

Telegram as a Battlefield: Kremlin-related Communications during the Russia-Ukraine Conflict

Apaar Bawa¹, Ugur Kursuncu^{1✉}, Dilshod Achilov², Valerie L. Shalin³,
Nitin Agarwal⁴, Esra Akbas¹

¹Georgia State University, ²University of Massachusetts Dartmouth, ³Wright State University,

⁴University of Arkansas at Little Rock

abawa2@student.gsu.edu, ugur@gsu.edu, dachilov@umassd.edu, valerie.shalin@wright.edu, nxagarwal@ualr.edu, eakbas1@gsu.edu

Abstract

Telegram emerged as a crucial platform for both parties during the conflict between Russia and Ukraine. Per its minimal policies for content moderation, Pro-Kremlin narratives and potential misinformation were spread on Telegram, while anti-Kremlin narratives with related content were also propagated, such as war footage, troop movements, maps of bomb shelters, and air raid warnings. This paper presents a dataset of posts from both pro-Kremlin and anti-Kremlin Telegram channels, collected over a period spanning a year before and a year after the Russian invasion. The dataset comprises 404 pro-Kremlin channels with 4,109,645 posts and 114 anti-Kremlin channels with 1,117,768 posts. We provide details on the data collection process, processing methods, and dataset characterization. Lastly, we discuss the potential research opportunities this dataset may enable researchers across various disciplines.

Introduction

Social media has become a primary communication tool for the Kremlin's state actors and their opposition for the ability to influence public opinion (Gunitsky 2015). The Kremlin regime of Russia, led by President Vladimir Putin, has also utilized various other online platforms to disseminate its propaganda, generate public support for its domestic and international policies, and disempower regime critics (Spaiser et al. 2017). The Kremlin has already systematically consolidated the conventional media under state control, including major TV channels such as Rossiya 1 and NTV (Aro 2016). Further, Polyanskaya et al., 2003 show these efforts extend to spreading disinformation to stifle pro-democracy voices and conduct mass surveillance of Russian citizens (Aro 2016). This extensive communicative ability allows the state to influence public opinion significantly.

On the other hand, the Russian opposition (i.e., anti-Kremlin) relies on social media platforms with more flexible content moderation policies, such as Telegram (Jurcevic 2019). Despite increased restrictions, digital platforms have amplified the voices of the Russian regime's critics (Glazunova and Amadoru 2023). Smyth and Oates (2017) have concluded that while state media's dominant narrative fosters regime support, alternative debates and government critiques on new media form the cognitive basis for opposition. For instance, intelligence on Russian troops' border

movements and military plans was leaked and became trending on social media just before President Vladimir Putin announced the full-scale invasion (Karalis 2024). Because anti-Kremlin narratives can only be spread on such online platforms, it is crucial for researchers to investigate the dynamics and potential strategies of these communications.

Russian Invasion of Ukraine Portrayed in Online Communications

In February 2022, as Russian forces invaded Ukraine, the Russian government swiftly enacted legislation aimed at controlling the narrative around the conflict. This included a "fake news" law that specifically banned the use of the term "war" to describe the invasion (Sherstoboeva 2024). Violations of this law led to severe punishment, including imprisonment for up to ten years, the shutdown of numerous news outlets, and the criminal prosecution of thousands of individuals for alleged misinformation. Pro-Kremlin channels often emphasized the legitimacy of the "special military operation," focusing on liberation and national security. Persisting anti-Kremlin channels highlighted war atrocities, humanitarian crises, and resistance efforts (Ramani 2023). The role of social media in this conflict is not merely passive; it actively attempts to shape perceptions and mobilize support or dissent. The rapid spread of information, from verified reports to misinformation, underscores the importance of digital literacy and critical analysis in contemporary conflicts (Pierri et al. 2023).

Telegram as an Essential Propaganda Medium

Major online platforms such as Facebook and X (formerly Twitter) have become critical sources of information for news, activism, business, and marketing in the last two decades (Kalsnes and Larsson 2018; Kursuncu et al. 2021). Similarly, Telegram, an instant messaging app, has gained substantial popularity, especially in Russia and Eastern Europe, where it serves as a crucial alternative to state-monitored communication channels for sharing news (Khaund et al. 2020). With Russia's invasion of Ukraine, Facebook and Instagram were banned over extremism charges by a Moscow court, and access to Twitter was restricted by the Russian censorship body Roskomnadzor (Glazunova and Amadoru 2023). In that light, Telegram's

role as a vital source of information has become increasingly significant. According to a survey by the Levada Center (2023), among Russian youth aged 18–24, TV was found to be the least popular news source, with 41% relying on Telegram channels for news. Additionally, during the initial two months of the conflict, 76.6% of Ukrainians used social media for news, with Telegram being the most favored platform by 65.7% (Survey 2022). Both Russian and Ukrainian government officials also actively use Telegram to rally international support, broadcast air raid warnings, and distribute maps of local bomb shelters.

Telegram distinguishes itself from other major online platforms through several unique features: (i) Telegram channels can be public or private, serving as feeds where text, photos, videos, audio, documents, and polls are broadcast to large audiences, including unlimited subscribers. (ii) Unlike other platforms, Telegram does not use algorithms to prioritize or restrict content visibility, giving users more straightforward access to all posted content. (iii) Users can easily disable comments and reactions, making channels a one-directional communication tool for mass information dissemination. These features have positioned Telegram as an effective platform for both anti- and pro-Kremlin propaganda within Russia, leading to its wide adoption for news consumption and dissemination. Over 40% of all Telegram channels are dedicated to news, with approximately 17% directly related to the war and ongoing events in Ukraine (Re:Russia 2024). This also makes Telegram a central medium for real-time updates and reliable information, influencing public opinion and information flow.

The Current Study

This paper presents the first comprehensive Telegram dataset capturing both pro-Kremlin and anti-Kremlin Telegram channels within the context of the Russian political landscape. Our dataset is created from 404 pro-Kremlin and 114 anti-Kremlin Telegram channels, with over four million and one million posts, respectively. These channels have been instrumental in disseminating various narratives and counternarratives within the ongoing Ukraine conflict (for Strategic Dialogue 2022; Bawa et al. 2024). A portion of this dataset, covering anti-Kremlin channels from January 2022 to March 2023, has been analyzed to examine the dynamics between offline events and online communications in anti-Kremlin channels over the seven distinct phases of the invasion (Bawa et al. 2024), as outlined by Murauskaite (2023). In the processes of data collection and curation, we ensure transparency and reproducibility in our approach. We provide details on data characteristics, including post volumes, views, forwarding and user engagement levels, and the use of multimodal content (text, images, videos). We also present n-gram analysis to provide insights into the lexical and topic characteristics prevalent in data, enabling parallel comparisons between the channels (Kursuncu et al. 2019). This unique dataset serves as an essential resource for understanding the dynamics of online political discourse in Russia, particularly in how digital platforms are used to influence public opinion and engage with the audience during periods of escalated political concern.

Methods

This section outlines our methodology for collecting and analyzing data from Russian political Telegram channels during the Russia-Ukraine conflict. We describe the process of selecting and categorizing channels, detail the data collection techniques, and explain how channel labels were validated. In addition, we present an overview of the dataset, including the types of attributes collected, covering the period before and after the invasion. Finally, we provide a content analysis highlighting the differences between Pro-Kremlin and Anti-Kremlin channels.

Data Collection and Annotation

We employed a systematic method to select influential Russian political Telegram channels from the TGStat website (TGStat 2024), which catalogs channels by country, language, and thematic content. We focused on channels with at least 10,000 subscribers. These channels were manually labeled by a native Russian-speaking coder into four categories: Pro-Kremlin, Anti-Kremlin, Neutral, and Others. Pro-Kremlin channels were defined by their consistent dissemination of state-sponsored Kremlin propaganda. In contrast, anti-Kremlin channels were characterized by their critical stance toward the policies and actions of Russian President Putin and his administration. Channels deemed “neutral” were those focused on reporting news without evident biases, and “others” included topics unrelated to the focus of our study, such as other countries’ politics. The initial classifications were validated by a non-Russian-speaking coder using Telegram’s translation feature.

Further validation was performed by a Russian-speaking political science expert who reviewed a random sample of 100 channels to ensure the accuracy and reliability of our dataset. To assess the reliability of our annotation process, we calculated Cohen’s kappa score (Cohen 1960), κ , a statistical measure that evaluates inter-rater agreement. However, we note that kappa scores assess agreement while it does not verify label accuracy.

Data Description

Following the annotation process, we identified 404 pro-Kremlin and 114 anti-Kremlin channels. Data collection from these channels spanned 430 days from December 21, 2020, to April 30, 2023—roughly more than a year pre-invasion and post-invasion. The dataset includes the following attributes of Telegram posts: (i) main text content of the posts, (ii) accompanying media (e.g., images, videos – represented as placeholders in the dataset), (iii) timestamps (e.g., date and time), (iv) number of view counts per post, (v) post forwarding counts (i.e., how often the post is forwarded), (vi) original or forwarded status (i.e., whether a post is original or forwarded), (vii) forwarding source (i.e., the origin source of the forwarded post, if applicable), (viii) emoji reactions (i.e., type of emoji reactions and their frequencies), and (ix) user replies to the post (i.e., each reply contains similar data as above). This comprehensive dataset contains 4,109,645 posts from pro-Kremlin channels and 1,117,768 posts from anti-Kremlin channels. The inclusion

of such diverse data attributes allows for an in-depth analysis of communication patterns and user engagement on these channels.




Figure 1: Comparison of Anti-Kremlin and Pro-Kremlin post volumes across different modalities (Only Text, Text Image, Text Video, and Text Image Video) during the Pre-Invasion and Post-Invasion phases.

Content Analysis

Our dataset shows specific qualitative differences between Pro-Kremlin and Anti-Kremlin channels, especially pre- and post-invasion. Anti-Kremlin channels typically focus on war atrocities, Russian troop movements, and humanitarian issues. In contrast, Pro-Kremlin channels often try to justify the “special military operation” (Hanley, Kumar, and Durumeric 2023). To analyze the basic thematic characteristics of the data, we conducted an n-gram analysis of the text content from these channels both before and after the invasion. We extracted n-grams ($n = 1, 2, 3$) and performed text preprocessing, such as removing punctuation, hyperlinks, numbers, special characters, and Russian stopwords. Additionally, given the extensive use of inflections in Russian, we used lemmatization to standardize different forms of the same word, employing the MyStem package, a Python wrapper for the morphological analysis of the Russian language.

Data Records

We performed a comparative exploratory analysis of both Pro-Kremlin and Anti-Kremlin channels in the context of pre- and post-invasion time frames. We examined the following attributes of the posts: (i) Multimodalities and Views (i.e., presence of various modalities of data and view counts), (ii) Post Forwarding, (iii) Reactions, and (iv) Replies.

Multimodalities and Views

Research shows that multimodal content, a critical feature that Telegram users often utilize (Times 2023), boosts user engagement (Park et al. 2022; Voorveld et al. 2018). Both Pro-Kremlin channels and anti-Kremlin channels demonstrate notable increases in post volumes, from 1,289,173 pre-invasion to 2,820,472 post-invasion by 119%, and from 330,492 to 787,276 by 138%, respectively. Figure 1 presents a comparison across pre- and post-invasion post volumes between Pro- and Anti-Kremlin channels, highlighting

changes in their multimodal characteristics. The content is various combinations of modalities as follows; (i) text and image, (ii) text and video, (iii) text, image and video, (iv) only text. Pro-Kremlin channels experienced a substantial rise in text and video posts, while there was a decrease in only text posts as well as text and image posts. On the other hand, anti-Kremlin channels experienced a 3% increase in both only text posts, text and video posts, while there was a 6% decrease in text and image posts, which remain the largest category by volume.

After the invasion, average views per post for Pro-Kremlin channels rose from 15,929 to 67,442 (a 323% increase) and for Anti-Kremlin channels from 25,346 to 104,778 (a 313% increase). Table 1 presents the distribution of views across the aforementioned multimodal content for both Pro- and Anti-Kremlin channels, highlighting trends before and after the invasion. Notably, anti-Kremlin channels consistently garnered higher viewership than pro-Kremlin channels, especially post-invasion. Further, posts with videos have attracted more views in both channel categories, indicating a strong viewer preference for video content. These trends highlight the dynamic changes in content presentation to increase user engagement, emphasizing the importance of multimodal content.

Post Forwarding

Post forwarding on Telegram is a key contributor to user engagement (Ng et al. 2024). Pro-Kremlin channels saw an increase of 313.5% in the average number of post forwarding, rising from 37 pre-invasion to 153 post-invasion. Anti-Kremlin channels experienced a 252.4% increase in forwarding, with the average rising from 82 to 289 per post. Multimodal posts, which include text, images, and videos, showed more notable increases, from 171 to 600 in Pro-Kremlin channels by 250.9%, and from 311 to 1170 in Anti-Kremlin channels by 276.2%. Posts containing videos saw a 231.4% increase, from 140 to 464 in Pro-Kremlin channels, and a 283.5% rise, from 231 to 886, in Anti-Kremlin channels. Posts in anti-Kremlin channels were forwarded more frequently on average than those in pro-Kremlin channels, highlighting higher user engagement in channels opposing the Kremlin.

Reactions and Replies

Telegram channels can opt to enable or disable user reactions and replies, which impacts public engagement. During our data collection process, distinguishing between posts without reactions due to low engagement and those where reactions were disabled posed a challenge. However, data shows a notable increase in the average number of reactions per post: from 7 pre-invasion to 991 post-invasion in Pro-Kremlin channels; from 15 to 1,533 in anti-Kremlin channels. Table 2 compares the top 10 emoji used by users pre- and post-invasion for both Pro-Kremlin and Anti-Kremlin channels. In Pro-Kremlin channels, the “👍” emoji dominated over 50% of reactions, while a more diverse range of emoji use was observed in anti-Kremlin channels, including emoji representing strong disagreement, such as “👎” (Kur-

Modalities	Pro-Kremlin			Anti-Kremlin		
	Pre-Invasion	Post-Invasion	% Change	Pre-Invasion	Post-Invasion	% Change
Only Text	18,696	62,853	236.2%	25,006	101,597	306.3%
Text + Image	12,106	59,333	390.1%	25,218	103,115	308.9%
Text + Video	16,957	86,447	409.8%	26,355	112,429	326.6%
Text + Image + Video	20,529	97,394	374.4%	26,424	127,480	382.4%

Table 1: Distribution of the average number of views for different modalities, Pre- and Post-Invasion for both Pro-Kremlin and Anti-Kremlin channels.

suncu et al. 2019; Wijeratne et al. 2016), with larger volume in Anti-Kremlin channels, post-invasion. The sad emoji “😞” decreased slightly in Pro-Kremlin channels from 3.78% to 3.65%, while an increase was observed in Anti-Kremlin channels from 3.41% to 7.09%, indicating increasing emotional response post-invasion.

	Pro-Kremlin		Anti-Kremlin	
	Pre- (~8.4M)	Post- (~2B)	Pre- (~5M)	Post- (~1.2B)
👍	42.39%	51.83%	39.73%	39.12%
😞	1.6%	6.55%	3.4%	11.14%
❤️	5.05%	7.33%	7.48%	10.11%
😄	15.14%	8.3%	9.77%	9.0%
😞	3.78%	3.65%	3.41%	7.09%
👎	3.85%	4.2%	10.4%	4.52%
🔥	8.86%	5.91%	5.36%	4.1%
💩	7.88%	0.95%	9.58%	2.77%
others	11.45%	11.28%	10.87%	12.15%

Table 2: Pro-Kremlin and Anti-Kremlin channels most prevalent user reactions in the content for Pre- and Post-invasion.

Before the invasion, both Pro-Kremlin and Anti-Kremlin channels averaged two replies per post, which rose to nine replies per post, indicating higher user engagement through replies post-invasion. In addition, we acknowledge the potential involvement of automated bots in replies or reactions to boost a post’s popularity artificially.

Our analysis of n-grams from both Pro-Kremlin (Table 3) and Anti-Kremlin (Table 4) channels reveals both common and unique phrases potentially distinct narratives. Common phrases include countries, such as россия (Russia), украина (Ukraine), сша (USA); regional and military terms, such as херсонский область (Kherson Region), харьковский область (Kharkov region), военный (Military), обстрел (shelling); and leader names such as владимир путин (Vladimir Putin), владимир (Zelensky), джо байден (Joe Biden). On the other hand, unique to anti-Kremlin channels are phrases including иностранный агент (Foreign Agent), военный преступление (War Crime), воздушный тревога (air raid alert), please keep an eye, помощь (Help), which potentially reflect critical perspectives on the con-

flict and requests for aid. In contrast, pro-Kremlin communications often use phrases unique to these channels, including освободить территория (liberate territory) and специальный военный операция (special military operation), indicating a justification of military actions. Further, the term “special military operation” was used more frequently than “war,” and the phrase “Great Patriotic War” also appeared in Pro-Kremlin tri-grams, suggesting a historical framing of current events. This data potentially contains cues for contrasts in how each side portrays the conflict and mobilizes support through online content creation and dissemination.

Data Quality and Qualitative Differences

The annotation comparison between a native Russian-speaking coder and a non-Russian-speaking coder yielded Cohen’s kappa κ score of 0.97, suggesting strong inter-rater agreement. Further, the assessment between the expert and the Russian-speaking and non-Russian-speaking coders revealed kappa κ scores of 0.87 and 0.89, respectively, indicating substantial agreement with the expert’s annotations. These results confirm substantial agreement with the expert’s annotations, validating the reliability of our channel classification process.

Usage Notes

The dataset can be accessed via the following Figshare repository¹. The repository has files in CSV format. This dataset was constructed using public Telegram channels and does not involve any direct interaction with individuals or collection of personally identifiable private data. We have adhered to standard anonymization practices during the data collection and processing stages, ensuring that any personally identifiable information (PII), including user IDs, has been removed. This approach aligns with ethical guidelines to protect the privacy of individuals whose data may be part of the public channels used. It is important to note that this dataset does not include actual images and videos; instead, it contains placeholders indicating the presence of such media. The primary reason for this limitation is the practical challenge of collecting large video files, as Telegram allows users to send video files up to 2 GB in size each. Consequently, this dataset focuses on textual data and metadata, which may limit certain types of multimedia analysis but facilitates more accessible and scalable data handling and processing.

¹<https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.28091024.v1>

N-grams	Before Invasion	After Invasion
Unigrams	россия (Russia), украина (Ukraine), сша (USA), путин (Putin), москва (Moscow), дело (case), военный (military), рубль (ruble), выборы (elections), политический (political), война (war), коронавирус (coronavirus), губернатор (governor), вакцинация (vaccination), суд (court)	россия (Russia), украина (Ukraine), военный (military), сша (USA), всу (Ukrainian Armed Forces), война (war), путин (Putin), москва (Moscow), киев (Kyiv), днр (DNR - Donetsk People's Republic), обстрел (shelling), уничтожать (destroy), зеленский (Zelensky), санкция (sanction), противник (opponent)
Bigrams	единый россия (United Russia), иностранный агент (foreign agent), уголовное дело (criminal case), северный поток (Nord Stream), ростовский область (Rostov region), алексей навальный (Alexei Navalny), днр лнр (DNR LNR), выборы госдума (State Duma elections), владимир зеленский (Vladimir Zelensky), сергей собянин (Sergey Sobyenin), правоохранительный орган (law enforcement agency), рост цена (price increase), михаил мишустин (Mikhail Mishustin), минский соглашение (Minsk agreement), александр лукашенко (Alexander Lukashenko)	владимир путин (Vladimir Putin), боевой действие (combat action), военный операция (military operation), херсонский область (Kherson region), харьковский область (Kharkov region), белый дом (White House), чвк вагнер (Wagner PMC), украинский националист (Ukrainian nationalist), результат обстрел (result of shelling), зеленский (Zelensky), освободить территорию (liberate territory), днр лнр (DNR LNR), уголовное дело (criminal case), ядерный оружие (nuclear weapon), российский нефть (Russian oil)
Trigrams	выполнять функция иностранный агент (performing the function of a foreign agent), массовый информация (mass information), возбуждать уголовное дело (initiate criminal case), великий отечественный война (Great Patriotic War), китай россия (China Russia), вооруженный сила украина (armed forces of Ukraine), энтони блинкен (Anthony Blinken), движение сорок сорок (movement forty forty), россия нато (Russia NATO), выявлять новый случай коронавирус (detecting new cases of coronavirus)	специальный военный операция (special military operation), война украина (war in Ukraine), территория россия (territory of Russia), район выпускать снаряд калибр (region launching caliber shells), выполнять функция иностранный агент (performing the function of a foreign agent), гражданин украина (citizen of Ukraine), реактивный система залповый огонь (reactive system of volley fire), орда родной злобный (horde of native evil), великий отечественный война (Great Patriotic War), возбуждать уголовное дело (initiating a criminal case)

Table 3: Pro-Kremlin channels most prevalent n-grams in the content of before and after invasion.

Research Opportunities

This dataset offers a unique vantage point for analyzing the dynamics of online discourse during the Ukraine-Russia conflict, opening various avenues for answering research questions. Researchers can perform comparative studies of propaganda strategies between pro-Kremlin and anti-Kremlin channels, investigating how these narratives influence public opinion and mobilize grassroots movements. Additionally, this dataset allows for the application of advanced natural language processing techniques to uncover the subtle language cues used in political communication and its evolution over time. By studying the relationship between online rhetoric and offline events, scholars can further examine the role of digital platforms in political conflicts.

Acknowledgments

Nitin Agarwal's contributions to this research are supported in part by grants from the U.S. National Science Foundation (OIA-1946391, OIA-1920920), U.S. Office of

the Under Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering (FA9550-22-1-0332), U.S. Army Research Office (W911NF-23-1-0011, W911NF-24-1-0078), U.S. Office of Naval Research (N00014-21-1-2121, N00014-21-1-2765, N00014-22-1-2318), U.S. Air Force Research Laboratory, U.S. Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency, Arkansas Research Alliance, the Jerry L. Maulden/Entergy Endowment at the University of Arkansas at Little Rock, and the Australian Department of Defense Strategic Policy Grants Program. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the funding organizations. The researchers gratefully acknowledge the support.

N-grams	Before Invasion	After Invasion
Unigrams	россия (Russia), украина (Ukraine), путин (Putin), навалный (Navalny), суд (court), москва (Moscow), сша (USA), рубль (ruble), задерживать (detain), полиция (police), беларусь (Belarus), выборы (elections), закон (law), уголовный (criminal), коронавирус (coronavirus)	россия (Russia), украина (Ukraine), война (war), военный (military), область (region), сша (USA), суд (court), удар (strike), ракета (missile), санкция (sanction), рубль (ruble), киев (Kiev), житель (resident), владимир (Vladimir), оккупант (occupant), помощь (help), погибать (to perish)
Bigrams	Иностраннный агент (Foreign Agent), алексей навалный (Alexey Navalny), владимир путин (Vladimir Putin), уголовный дело (Criminal Case), депутат госдума (State Duma Deputy), российский власть (Russian Authority), возбуждать уголовный (Initiate Criminal), владимир зеленский (Vladimir Zelensky), белый дом (White House), верховный суд (Supreme Court), выборы госдума (State Duma Elections), домашний арест (House Arrest), днр лнр (DNR LNR), александр лукашенко (Alexander Lukashenko), признавать виновный (Recognize Guilty), рамзан кадыров (Ramzan Kadyrov)	Иностраннный агент (Foreign Agent), война украина (Ukraine War), владимир путин (Vladimir Putin), владимир зеленский (Vladimir Zelensky), уголовный дело (Criminal Case), ракетный удар (Missile Strike), херсонский область (Kherson Region), харьковский область (Kharkiv Region), военный преступление (War Crime), джо байден (Joe Biden), воздушный тревога (Air Raid Alert), политический цирк (Political Circus), ядерный оружие (Nuclear Weapon), российский нефть (Russian Oil), военный помощь (Military Aid)
Trigrams	иностраннный средство массовой информация (foreign mass media), возбуждать уголовный дело (initiate a criminal case), россия украина (Russia Ukraine), ст ук рф (Criminal Code of the Russian Federation), случай covid регион активно (cases of COVID actively in the region), партия единый россия (United Russia Party), европейский суд право человек (European Court of Human Rights), поддержка навалный (support Navalny), энтони блинкен (Anthony Blinken), протяг останньої доби україні (during the last day in Ukraine), великий отечественный война (Great Patriotic War)	алексей владимирович касаться деятельность (Alexey Vladimirovich concerning activities), российский вторжение украина (Russian invasion of Ukraine), специальный военный операция (special military operation), донецкий область сирена (Donetsk region siren), укрытия гражданского захист (civil defense shelter), attention air raid sirens (внимание воздушные тревоги), реактивный система залповый огонь (reactive system of volley fire), военный помощь украина (military aid to Ukraine), донецкий область отбой (Donetsk region all-clear), оккупировать территория украина (occupied territory of Ukraine)

Table 4: Anti-Kremlin channels most prevalent n-grams in the content of before and after invasion.

References

- Aro, J. 2016. The cyberspace war: propaganda and trolling as warfare tools. *European View*, 15: 121–132.
- Bawa, A.; Kursuncu, U.; Achilov, D.; and Shalin, V. L. 2024. The adaptive strategies of anti-Kremlin digital dissent in Telegram during the Russian invasion of Ukraine.
- Center, L. 2023. Main sources of information and popular journalists. Accessed: 2024-12-28.
- Cohen, J. 1960. A coefficient of agreement for nominal scales. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 20: 37–46.
- for Strategic Dialogue, I. 2022. A false picture for many audiences: How Russian-language pro-Kremlin Telegram channels spread propaganda and disinformation about refugees from Ukraine. Accessed: 2024-12-28.
- Glazunova, S.; and Amadoru, M. 2023. "Anti-Regime Influentials" Across Platforms: A Case Study of the Free Navalny Protests in Russia. *Media and Communication*, 11: 187–202.
- Gunitsky, S. 2015. Corrupting the cyber-commons: Social media as a tool of autocratic stability. *Perspectives on Politics*, 13: 42–54.
- Hanley, H. W.; Kumar, D.; and Durumeric, Z. 2023. "A Special Operation": A Quantitative Approach to Dissecting and Comparing Different Media Ecosystems' Coverage of the Russo-Ukrainian War. In *Proceedings of the International AAAI Conference on Web and social media*, volume 17, 339–350.
- Jurcevic, K. 2019. Social media—The only voice for oppositional media in Russia?
- Kalsnes, B.; and Larsson, A. O. 2018. Understanding news

- sharing across social media: Detailing distribution on Facebook and Twitter. *Journalism Studies*, 19: 1669–1688.
- Karalis, M. 2024. Russia-Ukraine through the eyes of social media. Accessed: 2024-12-28.
- Khaund, T.; Hussain, M. N.; Shaik, M.; and Agarwal, N. 2020. Telegram: Data collection, opportunities and challenges. In *Proceedings of the Annual International Conference on Information Management and Big Data*, 513–526. Springer International Publishing.
- Kursuncu, U.; Gaur, M.; Lokala, U.; Thirunarayan, K.; Sheth, A.; and Arpinar, I. B. 2019. Predictive analysis on Twitter: Techniques and applications. *Emerging research challenges and opportunities in computational social network analysis and mining*, 67–104.
- Kursuncu, U.; Purohit, H.; Agarwal, N.; and Sheth, A. 2021. When the bad is good and the good is bad: Understanding cyber social health through online behavioral change. *IEEE Internet Computing*, 25(1): 6–11.
- Murauskaite, E. 2023. *U.S. Arms Transfers to Ukraine: Impact Assessment*. College Park, MD: START.
- Ng, L. H. X.; Kloo, I.; Clark, S.; and Carley, K. M. 2024. An exploratory analysis of COVID bot vs human disinformation dissemination stemming from the Disinformation Dozen on Telegram. *Journal of Computational Social Science*, 1–26.
- Park, C. Y.; Mendelsohn, J.; Field, A.; and Tsvetkov, Y. 2022. Challenges and opportunities in information manipulation detection: An examination of wartime Russian media. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics (EMNLP)*, 5209–5235.
- Pierri, F.; Luceri, L.; Jindal, N.; and Ferrara, E. 2023. Propaganda and misinformation on Facebook and Twitter during the Russian invasion of Ukraine. In *Proceedings of the 15th ACM web science conference 2023*, 65–74.
- Ramani, S. 2023. *Putin's War on Ukraine: Russia's Campaign for Global Counter-Revolution*. Hurst Publishers.
- Re:Russia. 2024. What does the Kremlin fear? An analysis of protest potential in Russian society. Accessed: 2024-12-28.
- Sherstoboeva, E. 2024. Russian Bans on 'Fake News' about the war in Ukraine: Conditional truth and unconditional loyalty. *International Communication Gazette*, 86: 36–54.
- Smyth, R.; and Oates, S. 2017. Mind the gaps: Media use and mass action in Russia. In *State Against Civil Society*, chapter 4, 127–147. Routledge.
- Spaiser, V.; Chadefaux, T.; Donnay, K.; Russmann, F.; and Helbing, D. 2017. Communication power struggles on social media: A case study of the 2011–12 Russian protests. *Journal of Information Technology and Politics*, 14: 132–153.
- Survey, O. 2022. Media Consumption of Ukrainians in a Full-Scale War. Accessed: 2024-12-28.
- TGStat. 2024. Telegram channels and groups catalog. Accessed: 2024-12-28.
- Times, T. M. 2023. Telegram surpasses WhatsApp traffic volume in Russia. Accessed: 2024-12-28.
- Voorveld, H. A. M.; Van Noort, G.; Muntinga, D. G.; and Bronner, F. 2018. Engagement with social media and social media advertising: The differentiating role of platform type. *Journal of Advertising*, 47: 38–54.
- Wijeratne, S.; Balasuriya, L.; Sheth, A.; and Doran, D. 2016. Emojinet: Building a machine readable sense inventory for emoji. In *Social Informatics: 8th International Conference, SocInfo 2016, Bellevue, WA, USA, November 11-14, 2016, Proceedings, Part I 8*, 527–541. Springer.