# SOME REMARKS ON PLANE CURVES RELATED TO FREENESS

ALEXANDRU DIMCA

ABSTRACT. For a free curve C of degree d with exponents  $(d_1, d_2)$  there is a simple formula relating  $d, d_1, d_2$  and the total Tjurina number of C. Our first result discusses how this result changes when the curve  $C$  is no longer free. For a free line arrangement with exponents  $(d_1, d_2)$ , the Poincaré polynomial coincides with the Betti polynomial  $B(t)$  and with the product  $P(t) = (1 + d_1t)(1 + d_2t)$ . Our second result shows that for any curve C, the difference  $P(t) - B(t)$  is a polynomial  $at + bt^2$ , with a and b non-negative integers. Moreover  $a = 0$  or  $b = 0$  if and only if C is a free line arrangement. We also give a combinatorial restriction which a potential minimal counter-example to Terao's Conjecture must satisfy. Moreover, we make more precise a result by H. Schenck concerning the relation between the exponents and the maximal multiplicity of points in any line arrangement.

# 1. INTRODUCTION

We denote by  $S = \mathbb{C}[x, y, z]$  the polynomial ring in three variables  $x, y, z$  with complex coefficients, and by  $C : f = 0$  a reduced curve of degree  $d \geq 3$  in the complex projective plane  $\mathbb{P}^2$ . Let  $J_f$  be the Jacobian ideal of f, i.e., the homogeneous ideal in S spanned by the partial derivatives  $f_x, f_y, f_z$  of f, and by  $M(f) = S/J_f$ the corresponding graded quotient ring, called the Jacobian (or Milnor) algebra of f. Consider the graded S-module of Jacobian syzygies of f or, equivalently, the module of derivations killing  $f$ , namely

(1.1) 
$$
D_0(f) = \{ \theta \in Der(S) : \theta(f) = 0 \}.
$$

We say that  $C : f = 0$  is an *m-syzygy curve* if the module  $D_0(f)$  is minimally generated by m homogeneous syzygies, say  $\rho_1, \rho_2, \ldots, \rho_m$ , of degrees  $d_j = \deg \rho_j$ ordered such that

<span id="page-0-0"></span>
$$
d_1 \leq d_2 \leq \ldots \leq d_m.
$$

We call these degrees  $(d_1, \ldots, d_m)$  the *exponents* of the curve C. The smallest degree  $d_1$  is sometimes denoted by  $mdr(f)$  and is called the minimal degree of a Jacobian relation for f.

The S-module  $D_0(f)$  is free if and only if  $m = 2$ , and in this case the curve C is said to be free. The exponents  $(d_1, d_2)$  of a free curve C of degree d are known to satisfy two key relations, namely

$$
(1.2) \t\t d_1 + d_2 = d - 1
$$

<sup>2010</sup> *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14H50; Secondary 13D02.

*Key words and phrases.* plane curve, free curve, Milnor algebra, minimal resolution, Tjurina number, Betti numbers, line arrangement.

and

<span id="page-1-0"></span>(1.3) 
$$
d_1 d_2 = (d-1)^2 - \tau(C),
$$

where  $\tau(C)$  denotes the total Tjurina number of C, see for instance [\[4,](#page-8-0) [9\]](#page-9-0). It is known that the condition  $(1.2)$  characterizes the free curves, that is a curve C which is not free satisfies

$$
(1.4) \t\t d_1 + d_2 \ge d,
$$

with equality exactly for the plus-one generated curves, see [\[8,](#page-9-1) Theorem 2.3] for details. The first main result of this note is to completely characterize the curves satisfying the second condition [\(1.3\)](#page-1-0), see Theorem [2.2.](#page-3-0) Beyond the free curves, the equality [\(1.3\)](#page-1-0) is satisfied only for 3-syzygy curves with  $d_3 = d - 1$  and for all the other curves one has

(1.5) 
$$
d_1 d_2 > (d-1)^2 - \tau(C).
$$

When  $C = A$  is a line arrangement in  $\mathbb{P}^2$ , one can define its Poincaré polynomial  $\pi(\mathcal{A}, t)$  and it is well known that

<span id="page-1-3"></span><span id="page-1-1"></span>
$$
\pi(\mathcal{A},t) = (1+d_1t)(1+d_2t)
$$

if A is free with exponents  $(d_1, d_2)$ , see [\[15,](#page-9-2) [3\]](#page-8-1). Moreover, the Poincaré polynomial  $\pi(\mathcal{A}, t)$  coincides with the Betti polynomial  $B(M(\mathcal{A}))$  of the complement  $M(\mathcal{A}) =$  $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \mathcal{A}$ , see [\(3.1\)](#page-4-0) for the general definition of the Betti polynomial and see [\[15,](#page-9-2) [3\]](#page-8-1) for the equality  $\pi(A, t) = B(M(A))(t)$ . Hence the above relation may be written as

(1.6) 
$$
B(M(\mathcal{A}))(t) = (1 + d_1t)(1 + d_2t)
$$

The second main result of this note is Theorem [3.1,](#page-4-1) which describes the relation between  $B(M(C))(t)$  and the product  $(1 + d_1t)(1 + d_2t)$  for any curve C in  $\mathbb{P}^2$ . It shows that the difference

<span id="page-1-2"></span>
$$
(1 + d_1t)(1 + d_2t) - B(M(C))(t)
$$

is a polynomial  $a(C)t + b(C)t^2$ , with  $a(C)$  and  $b(C)$  non-negative integers. Moreover  $a(C) = 0$  or  $b(C) = 0$  implies that C is a free line arrangement.

As applications of Theorems [2.2](#page-3-0) and [3.1](#page-4-1) we show that any irreducible component of a free curve with  $d_1 = 1$  is rational, see Remark [3.3](#page-5-0) and Corollary [3.4.](#page-5-1)

The first result in Section 5 gives a combinatorial restriction on the number of multiple points on any line in the arrangement  $A$ , which a potential minimal counterexample A to Terao's Conjecture must satisfy, see Theorem [4.3.](#page-6-0) The second result in Section 5 makes more precise a claim in [\[17,](#page-9-3) Lemma 5.2] concerning the relation between the exponents and the maximal multiplicity of multiple points in a line arrangement, see Theorem [4.5.](#page-7-0) We thank Piotr Pokora for drawing our attention on this question.

# <span id="page-2-4"></span>2. ON PLANE CURVES SATISFYING  $\tau(C) = (d-1)^2 - d_1d_2$

<span id="page-2-5"></span>We recall first the construction of the Bourbaki ideal  $B(C, \rho_1)$  associated to a degree d reduced curve  $C : f = 0$  and to a minimal degree non-zero syzygy  $\rho_1 \in$  $AR(f)$ , see [\[7\]](#page-9-4). For any choice of the syzygy  $\rho_1 = (a_1, b_1, c_1)$  with minimal degree  $d_1$ , we have a morphism of graded S-modules

(2.1) 
$$
S(-d_1) \xrightarrow{u} AR(f), \ u(h) = h \cdot \rho_1.
$$

For any homogeneous syzygy  $\rho = (a, b, c) \in AR(f)_m$ , consider the determinant  $\Delta(\rho) = \det M(\rho)$  of the 3 × 3 matrix  $M(\rho)$  which has as first row x, y, z, as second row  $a_1, b_1, c_1$  and as third row  $a, b, c$ . Then it turns out that  $\Delta(\rho)$  is divisible by f, see [\[4\]](#page-8-0), and we define thus a new morphism of graded S-modules

<span id="page-2-3"></span>(2.2) 
$$
AR(f) \xrightarrow{v} S(d_1 - d + 1), \ v(r) = \Delta(\rho)/f,
$$

and a homogeneous ideal  $B(C, \rho_1) \subset S$  such that im  $v = B(C, \rho_1)(d_1 - d + 1)$ . It is known that the ideal  $B(C, \rho_1)$ , when C is not a free curve, defines a 0-dimensional subscheme in  $\mathbb{P}^2$ , which is locally a complete intersection, see [\[7,](#page-9-4) Theorem 5.1].

Secondly, for the reader's convenience, we recall below the main claim of [\[8,](#page-9-1) Theorem 3.5], in a better formulation. Recall that  $t(C) = d_1 + d_2 - d + 1$  is the type of the curve  $C$ , see [\[2,](#page-8-2) Definition 1.2].

<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $C : f = 0$  be a reduced curve of degree  $d \geq 3$  with exponents  $d_1 \leq \cdots \leq d_m$  with  $m \geq 3$ , and let  $\rho_1$  be a non-zero syzygy of minimal degree  $d_1$ . Let d ′ *be the smallest integer such that*

<span id="page-2-2"></span>
$$
d_3 \le d' \le \min(d_m, d-1)
$$

and the linear system  $B(C, \rho_1)_{d_1+d'-d+1}$  has a 0-dimensional base locus. Then

(2.3) 
$$
\tau(C) \ge (d-1)^2 - d_1 d_2 + (d-1-d')t(C).
$$

and equality holds if and only if C is a 3-syzygy curve and then  $d' = d_3$ .

Proof. As explained in the proof of [\[8,](#page-9-1) Theorem 3.5], the integer d'above does indeed exist and one has

<span id="page-2-1"></span>
$$
\tau(C) \ge (d-1)(d-d_1-1) + d_1^2 - [d_1 - (d-1-d_2)][d_1 - (d-1-d')].
$$

A direct simple transformation of the right hand member of the inequality in Theorem [2.1](#page-2-0) yields the new inequality

(2.4) 
$$
\tau(C) \ge (d-1)^2 - d_1 d_2 + (d-1-d')(d_1 + d_2 - d + 1).
$$

This yields our new formulation of [\[8,](#page-9-1) Theorem 3.5].  $\square$ 

By definition of  $d'$  we have

$$
d-1-d'\geq 0
$$

and moreover

$$
t(C) = d_1 + d_2 - d + 1 > 0
$$

when  $C$  is not free, by  $(1.4)$ . This implies the following result.

<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Theorem 2.2.** Let C be a reduced plane curve of degree d in  $\mathbb{P}^2$  with exponents  $d_1 \leq d_2 \leq \ldots \leq d_m$ , where  $m \geq 3$ . Then  $\tau(C) = (d-1)^2 - d_1 d_2$  *if and only if*  $m = 3$ and  $d_3 = d - 1$ *. In all the other cases one has* 

$$
\tau(C) > (d-1)^2 - d_1 d_2.
$$

*Proof.* If  $m > 3$ , then Theorem [2.1](#page-2-0) tells us that the inequality  $(2.4)$  is strict, and hence  $\tau(C) > (d-1)^2 - d_1 d_2$ . When  $m = 3$ , it follows by definition that  $d' = d_3$ . Then Theorem [2.1](#page-2-0) tells us that the inequality [\(2.4\)](#page-2-1) is an equality and hence we have

$$
\tau(C) = (d-1)^2 - d_1d_2 + (d-1-d_3)(d_1+d_2-d+1),
$$

which is equivalent to the formula given in [\[8,](#page-9-1) Proposition 2.1 (4)] for  $\tau(C)$ . Therefore we get that  $\tau(C) = (d-1)^2 - d_1 d_2$  in this case if and only if  $d_3 = d-1$ .

<span id="page-3-2"></span>Corollary 2.3. *Let* A *be an arrangement of* d *lines in the projective plane* P <sup>2</sup> *with exponents*  $d_1 \leq d_2 \leq \ldots \leq d_m$ , where  $m \geq 2$ . Then the following inequality holds

$$
\tau(\mathcal{A}) \ge (d-1)^2 - d_1 d_2 + t(\mathcal{A}),
$$

*where*  $t(A)$  *is the type of the arrangement* A. In particular, A is free if and only if

$$
\tau(\mathcal{A}) = (d-1)^2 - d_1 d_2.
$$

*Proof.* Recall that for line arrangements the maximal degree  $d_m$  in the exponents of C is bounded by  $d-2$ , see [\[17,](#page-9-3) Corollary 3.5]. Then the first claim follows from the inequality [\(2.3\)](#page-2-2). The second claim follows by [\(1.3\)](#page-1-0) and Theorem [2.2.](#page-3-0)

 $\Box$ 

<span id="page-3-1"></span>**Corollary 2.4.** Let C be a reduced plane curve of degree d in  $\mathbb{P}^2$  with exponents  $d_1 \leq d_2 \leq \ldots \leq d_m$ , where  $m \geq 2$ . Then

$$
(d-1)2 - d1d2 \le \tau(C) \le (d-1)2 - d1(d-1-d1).
$$

*Proof.* Theorem [2.2](#page-3-0) gives the first inequality, while the second inequality comes from the upper-bound on  $\tau(C)$  given in [\[9\]](#page-9-0).

Note that C is free if and only if  $d_2 = d - 1 - d_1$  and then both inequalities in Corollary [2.4](#page-3-1) become equalities.

<span id="page-3-3"></span>**Remark 2.5.** Since  $d_2 \leq d-1$ , see for instance [\[8,](#page-9-1) Theorem 2.4], it follows that

$$
\tau(C) \ge (d-1)^2 - d_1 d_2 \ge (d-1)^2 - d_1 (d-1) = (d-1)(d-1 - d_1).
$$

Hence our Corollary [2.4](#page-3-1) can be regarded as an improvement of the lower bound for  $\tau(C)$  given in [\[9\]](#page-9-0). Moreover, the equality  $\tau(C) = (d-1)(d-1-d_1)$  holds if and only if C is a 3-syzygy curve with  $d_2 = d_3 = d - 1$ , see [\[8,](#page-9-1) Theorem 3.5]

<span id="page-3-4"></span>**Example 2.6.** Examples of 3-syzygy curves such that  $d_2 = d_3 = d - 1$  are given by the Thom-Sebastiani curves described in [\[8,](#page-9-1) Example 4.5] and by the union of a smooth degree  $(d-1)$  curve with one of its generic secants, see [\[8,](#page-9-1) Example 4.3 (i)].

Examples of 3-syzygy curves such that  $d_2 = d - 2$  and  $d_3 = d - 1$  are given by the union of a smooth Fermat degree  $(d-1)$  curve with a inflectional tangent meeting the curve in a single point, see [\[8,](#page-9-1) Example 4.3 (ii)].

An example consisting of the Klein quartic and 4 bitangents that is a plus-one generated curve with  $d_3 = d - 1$  can be found in [\[12,](#page-9-5) Proposition 4.11].

## <span id="page-4-0"></span>3. Euler polynomials of complements of plane curves

For any topological space  $M$ , having the homotopy type of a finite  $CW$ -complex of dimension 2, we define the Betti polynomial  $B(M)$  of M by the formula

(3.1) 
$$
B(M)(t) = b_0(M) + b_1(M)t + b_2(M)t^2,
$$

where  $b_i(M)$  denotes the j-th Betti number of M. In particular, we can define the polynomial  $B(M(C))$ , where  $M(C)$  is the complement  $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus C$  of a reduced plane curve C in  $\mathbb{P}^2$ . In this case, we have the following result.

<span id="page-4-1"></span>**Theorem 3.1.** Let C be a reduced plane curve of degree d in  $\mathbb{P}^2$  with exponents  $d_1 \leq d_2 \leq \ldots \leq d_m$ , where  $m \geq 2$ . Let

$$
\alpha(C) = \tau(C) - ((d-1)^2 - d_1 d_2).
$$

*If* e *denotes the number of irreducible components of* C*, then one has*

$$
(1 + d_1t)(1 + d_2t) - B(M(C))(t) = a(C)t + b(C)t^2,
$$

*where*  $a(C) = d_1 + d_2 - e + 1$  *and*  $b(C) = \mu(C) - \tau(C) + d - e + \alpha(C)$ *, with*  $\mu(C)$ *denoting the total Milnor number of* C*. In particular, one has the following.*

- (1)  $a(C) = t(C) + (d e) \ge 0$  and  $b(C) \ge 0$ ;
- (2) any of the two equalities  $a(C) = 0$  and  $b(C) = 0$  holds if and only if C is a *free line arrangement, and then the other equality also holds.*

*Proof.* Recall that  $b_1(M(C)) = e - 1$  for any curve C. On the other hand, the Euler numbers of the spaces under consideration satisfy

$$
E(M(C)) = E(\mathbb{P}^2) - E(C) = 3 - E(C) \text{ and } E(C) = E(C_d) + \mu(C),
$$

where  $C_d$  is a smooth curve of degree d. Hence

$$
E(C_d) = 2 - 2g = 2 - (d - 1)(d - 2).
$$

Putting these formula together yields

$$
b_2(M(C)) = (d-1)^2 - \mu(C) - (d-e),
$$

and this clearly prove our first claim. For the second claim we notice that in general we have

$$
d_1 + d_2 \ge d - 1 \ge e - 1
$$

which implies that  $a(C) \geq 0$ . The equality  $a(C) = 0$  implies  $t(C) = d_1 + d_2 - d + 1 = 0$ and  $e = d$ , namely that C is a free line arrangement.

Moreover,  $\mu(C) \geq \tau(C)$ , with equality if and only if all the singularities of C are quasi homogeneous. Corollary [2.4](#page-3-1) shows that  $\alpha(C) \geq 0$  and since  $d \geq e$  obviously, we get  $b(C) \geq 0$ . Finally,  $b(C) = 0$  implies that  $d = e$ , and hence C is a line arrangement, and also yields  $\alpha(C) = 0$ . Corollary [2.3](#page-3-2) implies that C is free and this completes the proof of the second claim.

<span id="page-5-2"></span>Corollary 3.2. *Let* C *be a reduced plane curve of degree* d*, having* e *irreducible components. If* C *is free with exponents*  $(d_1, d_2)$ *, then* 

 $(1+d_1t)(1+d_2t) = B(M(C))(t) + (d-e)t(1+t) + (\mu(C) - \tau(C))t^2$ .

*In particular, if in addition all the singularities of* C *are quasi homogeneous, one has*

$$
(1 + d_1t)(1 + d_2t) = B(M(C))(t) + (d - e)t(1 + t)
$$

*and the Euler number* E(M(C)) *satisfies*

$$
E(M(C)) = B(M(C))(-1) = (d_1 - 1)(d_2 - 1).
$$

*Proof.* For a free curve C we have  $d_1 + d_2 = d - 1$  and  $\alpha(C) = 0$ , recall [\(1.2\)](#page-0-0) and  $(1.3)$ .

We notice that the equality [\(1.6\)](#page-1-2) follows also from Corollary [3.2,](#page-5-2) since  $e = d$  in this case, see also  $|16|$ .

<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Remark 3.3.** The reduced plane curves with  $d_1 = 1$  were studied in [\[10\]](#page-9-7), where the interested reader may find out many explicit examples. It turns out that the curves with  $d_1 = 1$  are either free or nearly free, that is 3-syzygy curves such that the exponents are  $(1, d-1, d-1)$ . This follows from [\[10,](#page-9-7) Proposition 1.3 (ii)] and the characterization of nearly free curves given in [\[4\]](#page-8-0). Moreover, such a curve admits a 1-dimensional connected group of symmetries  $H$ , see [\[10\]](#page-9-7). Since H is either  $(\mathbb{C}^*, \cdot)$ or  $(\mathbb{C}, +)$ , it follows that the closures of the 1-dimensional orbits of H are rational curves. This implies that any irreducible component of a plane curve C with  $d_1 = 1$ is rational. When  $C$  is free, we can give the following alternative proof for this result.

<span id="page-5-1"></span>**Corollary 3.4.** Let C be a free curve with exponents  $(d_1, d_2)$  such that  $d_1 = 1$ . Then *any irreducible component of* C *is a rational curve.*

*Proof.* Using Corollary [3.2](#page-5-2) we get

$$
E(C) = B(M(C))(-1) = (d_1 - 1)(d_2 - 1) - (\mu(C) - \tau(C)) \le 0,
$$

since  $\mu(C) \geq \tau(C)$ . Then using a conjecture of W. Veys in [\[18\]](#page-9-8), proved by A. de Jong and J. Steenbrink in [\[13\]](#page-9-9) and by R. Gurjar and A. Parameswaran in [\[11\]](#page-9-10), it follows that any irreducible component of C is rational.

# 4. Two results related to Terao's Conjecture

<span id="page-5-4"></span>First we recall two main results from [\[6\]](#page-9-11) in the setting of line arrangements in  $\mathbb{P}^2$ . Similar results appear also in [\[1,](#page-8-3) Theorem 1.11] and in [\[14,](#page-9-12) Theorems 3.5 and 3.6]. Since all the singularities of line arrangements are quasi-homogeneous, it follows that all the invariants  $\epsilon$  in the quoted results are 0. First we restate [\[6,](#page-9-11) Theorem 1.3].

<span id="page-5-3"></span>**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $\mathcal{A}'$  be a line arrangement in  $\mathbb{P}^2$ , L a line in  $\mathbb{P}^2$ , which is not in  $\mathcal{A}'$ . We assume that the union  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}' \cup L$  is a free curve with exponents  $(d_1, d_2)$ . *Then the exponents*  $(d_1)$  $'_{1}, d'_{2})$  (resp.  $(d'_{1})$  $(f_1, d'_2, d'_3)$ ) of the free (resp. plus-one generated) *arrangement*  $\mathcal{A}'$  *and the number*  $r = |\mathcal{A}' \cap L|$  *of intersection points satisfy one of the following conditions, and all these three cases are possible.*

(1)  $d_1 < d_2$ ,  $d'_1 = d_1$  and  $d'_2 = d_2 - 1$ . In this case A' is free and  $r = d_1 + 1$ .

<span id="page-6-2"></span>(2) 
$$
d'_1 = d_1 - 1
$$
 and  $d'_2 = d_2$ . In this case  $\mathcal{A}'$  is free and  $r = d_2 + 1$ . \n(3)  $d'_1 = d_1$  and  $d'_2 = d_2$ . In this case  $\mathcal{A}'$  is plus-one generated and

$$
r = |\mathcal{A}'| - d_3' \le |\mathcal{A}| - 1 - d_2 = d_1.
$$

*In particular,*  $A'$  *is free if and only if*  $r \geq d_1 + 1$ *.* 

<span id="page-6-1"></span>Now we restate [\[6,](#page-9-11) Theorem 1.4].

**Theorem 4.2.** Let  $\mathcal{A}'$  be a line arrangement in  $\mathbb{P}^2$ , L a line in  $\mathbb{P}^2$ , which is not in  $\mathcal{A}'$ *. We consider the union*  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}' \cup L$  and assume that  $\mathcal{A}'$  is a free arrangement with *exponents* (d ′  $(a_1, d_2)$ . Then the exponents  $(d_1, d_2)$  (resp.  $(d_1, d_2, d_3)$ ) of the free (resp. *plus-one generated)* A and the number  $r = |A' \cap L|$  of intersection points satisfy one *of the following conditions, and all these three cases are possible.*

- (1)  $d_1 = d_1'$  $A_1'$  and  $d_2 = d_2' + 1$ . In this case A is free and  $r = d_1' + 1$ .
- (2)  $d'_1 < d'_2, d_1 = d'_1 + 1 \text{ and } d_2 = d'_2$  $\alpha_2'$ . In this case A is free and  $r = d_2' + 1$ .
- (3)  $d_1 = d_1 + 1$  and  $d_2 = d_2 + 1$ . In this case A is plus-one generated and

$$
r = d_3 + 1 \ge d_2 + 1 = d'_2 + 2.
$$

*In particular, A is free if and only if*  $r \le d'_2 + 1$ *.* 

We recall that (a partial case of) Terao's conjecture says that if  $A$  and  $B$  are two line arrangements in  $\mathbb{P}^2$  having the same combinatorics, and if A is free, then B is also free. By a *minimal counter example to Terao's Conjecture* we mean a pair A, B as above such that Terao's conjecture holds for all pairs  $\mathcal{A}',\mathcal{B}'$  with  $|\mathcal{A}'| = |\mathcal{B}'|$  $|\mathcal{A}| = |\mathcal{B}|$ , but not for the pair  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ . Our next result gives some information on such a minimal counter example to Terao's Conjecture, supposing it exists.

<span id="page-6-0"></span>Theorem 4.3. *Let* A, B *be a minimal counter example to Terao's Conjecture, such that* A *is free with exponents*  $d_1 \leq d_2$ . Then the following property holds for the free *arrangement* A: for any line  $L \in \mathcal{A}$ , the number  $r_L$  of multiple points of A situated *on* L *satisfies the inequality*

 $r_L < d_1$ .

*Proof.* We prove that if there is a line  $L \in \mathcal{A}$  such that

$$
r_L \geq d_1 + 1
$$

then  $\beta$  is also free, and hence  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  is not a counter example to Terao's Conjecture. If such a line L exists, then we denote by L' the line in  $\overrightarrow{B}$  corresponding to the line  $L \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $r_L \geq d_1 + 1$  under the isomorphism of intersection lattices  $L(\mathcal{A}) \simeq L(\mathcal{B})$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}' = \mathcal{A} \setminus \{L\}$  and  $\mathcal{B}' = \mathcal{B} \setminus \{L'\}$  be the two deleted arrangements obtained from A and B by deleting the line L and respectively  $L'$ . Clearly

$$
r_{L'} = |\mathcal{B}' \cap L'| = r_L.
$$

We apply Theorem [4.1](#page-5-3) and conclude that  $A'$  is a free line arrangement. Indeed, this happens in the cases  $(1)$  and  $(2)$  of Theorem [4.1.](#page-5-3) In the remaining case  $(3)$ , we have  $r_L = |\mathcal{A}' \cap L| \leq d_1$ , hence this case cannot occur in our situation.

On the other hand, it is clear that the intersection lattice isomorphism  $L(\mathcal{A}) \simeq$  $L(\mathcal{B})$  yields a new intersection lattice isomorphism  $L(\mathcal{A}') \simeq L(\mathcal{B}')$ . Since  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  was

supposed to be a *minimal* counter example to Terao's Conjecture, it follows that Terao's Conjecture holds for the pair  $\mathcal{A}', \mathcal{B}'$  and hence  $\mathcal{B}'$  is also a free line arrangement with the same exponents as  $\mathcal{A}'$ , call them  $d'_1 \leq d'_2$ 2 . We apply now Theorem [4.2](#page-6-1) to the arrangements  $\mathcal{B}'$  and  $\mathcal{B}$ , and note that in case (1) of Theorem [4.1](#page-5-3) we have

$$
r_{L'} = r_L = d'_1 + 1 = d_1 + 1 \le d_2 + 1
$$

and hence  $\beta$  is free by Theorem [4.2.](#page-6-1) Similarly, in case (2) of Theorem [4.1](#page-5-3) we have

$$
r_{L'} = r_L = d_1 + 1 = d_2 + 1 = d'_2 + 1
$$

and hence again  $\beta$  is free.

<span id="page-7-1"></span>Remark 4.4. The monomial line arrangement

$$
\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{A}(m,m,3):(x^m-y^m)(y^m-z^m)(x^m-z^m)=0
$$

is free with exponents  $d_1 = m + 1$  and  $d_2 = 2m - 2$  for  $m \geq 3$ , see for instance [\[3,](#page-8-1) Example 8.6 (i)]. For any line  $L \in \mathcal{A}$  one has  $r_L = m + 1$  so the condition  $r_L \leq d_1$ from Theorem [4.3](#page-6-0) holds. On the other hand, the full monomial line arrangement

$$
\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}(m, 1, 3) : xyz(x^m - y^m)(y^m - z^m)(x^m - z^m) = 0
$$

is free with exponents  $d_1 = m + 1$  and  $d_2 = 2m + 1$  for  $m \ge 2$ , see for instance [\[3,](#page-8-1) Example 8.6 (ii)]. For any line  $L \in \mathcal{A}$  one has  $r_L = m + 2$  so the condition  $r_L \leq d_1$ from Theorem [4.3](#page-6-0) does not hold. Similarly, this condition fails for the Hessian line arrangement which is free with exponents  $d_1 = 4$  and  $d_2 = 7$  and for any line L in it one has  $r<sub>L</sub> = 5$ , see for instance [\[3,](#page-8-1) Example 8.6 (i)]. We believe that the condition  $r_L \leq d_1$  from Theorem [4.3](#page-6-0) limits a lot the possibilities for A, but we have no result in this direction.

Let A be a line arrangement with exponents  $d_1 \leq \ldots \leq d_m$  and let  $m(\mathcal{A})$  be the maximal multiplicity of a multiple point in  $A$ . Then Lemma 5.2 in [\[17\]](#page-9-3) states (without a proof and in a rather cryptic way) that for the line arrangement  $\mathcal A$  one has

$$
(4.1) \t\t d_m \ge m(\mathcal{A}) - 1,
$$

see Theorem 5.4 claim 5. in [\[17\]](#page-9-3) for a clearer statement, where  $M = m(\mathcal{A}) - 1$ . In fact this claim can be improved as follows.

<span id="page-7-0"></span>Theorem 4.5. *With the above notation, one has*

<span id="page-7-2"></span>
$$
d_2 \geq t(\mathcal{A}) + m(\mathcal{A}) - 1 \geq m(\mathcal{A}) - 1,
$$

*where*  $t(A) = d_1 + d_2 - d + 1 \geq 0$  *is the type of the arrangement* A. In particular, *the equality*  $d_2 = m(\mathcal{A}) - 1$  *implies that*  $\mathcal A$  *is free, and for a non free arrangement*  $\mathcal A$ *one has*

$$
d_2 \geq m(\mathcal{A}).
$$

 $\Box$ 

*Proof.* The inequality

$$
d_2 \ge (d_1 + d_2 - d + 1) + m(A) - 1
$$

is clearly equivalent to the inequality

$$
d_1 \leq d - m(\mathcal{A}),
$$

which is proven in [\[5,](#page-8-4) Theorem 1.2].

<span id="page-8-6"></span>Remark 4.6. Consider the line arrangement

$$
\mathcal{A}: f = (x^5 - y^5)(x + 2y + z)(x + 3y - 5z) = 0
$$

consisting of 5 lines through a point plus two generic lines. A direct computation shows that A has exponents  $(2, 5, 5)$ , hence A is a nearly free arrangement satisfying  $d_2 \geq m(\mathcal{A}) = 5$ . The corresponding Poincaré polynomial is

$$
\pi(\mathcal{A},t) = (1+3t)^2.
$$

This is a special case of Example 5.3 in [\[17\]](#page-9-3), which seem to suggest that in that paper Lemma 5.2 *refers only to free arrangements*. Indeed, the author says that we can use this result in order to show that  $A$  is not free, perhaps thinking that the Poincaré polynomial yields the exponents as in the free case.

<span id="page-8-5"></span>Remark 4.7. There is the following relation between Theorems [4.3](#page-6-0) and [4.5](#page-7-0) above. Consider the arrangement A in Theorem [4.3](#page-6-0) and let  $p \in A$  be a point of maximal multiplicity  $m(\mathcal{A})$ . If L is a line in  $\mathcal A$  not passing through p, it is clear that

$$
r_L \geq m(\mathcal{A})
$$

since any line through p intersects  $L$  in a distinct point. If this inequality is an equality for any line L not passing through p, then p is a modular point, and hence  $A$  is a supersolvable line arrangement. Since for supersolvable line arrangements Terao's conjecture holds, it follows that this is not the case, and hence there is a line L not through p with  $r_L > m(\mathcal{A})$ . This yields the stronger inequality

$$
d_1 > m(\mathcal{A}),
$$

for the arrangement  $\mathcal A$  in Theorem [4.3,](#page-6-0) which also follows from [\[5,](#page-8-4) Corollary 1.4]. This result says that for free arrangements A with  $m(\mathcal{A}) \geq d_1$  Terao's Conjecture holds.

### **REFERENCES**

- <span id="page-8-3"></span>[1] T. Abe, Plus-one generated and next to free arrangements of hyperplanes, Int. Math. Res. Not.. 2021(2019), Issue 12, 9233–9261. [4](#page-5-4)
- <span id="page-8-2"></span><span id="page-8-1"></span>[2] T. Abe, A. Dimca, P. Pokora, A new hierarchy for complex plane curves, arXiv:2410.11479. [2](#page-2-3)
- <span id="page-8-0"></span>[3] A. Dimca, *Hyperplane Arrangements: An Introduction*, Universitext, Springer, 2017 [1,](#page-1-3) [4.4](#page-7-1)
- [4] A. Dimca, Freeness versus maximal global Tjurina number for plane curves, Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. 163 (2017), 161–172. [1,](#page-1-0) [2,](#page-2-4) [3.3](#page-5-0)
- <span id="page-8-4"></span>[5] A. Dimca, Curve arrangements, pencils, and Jacobian syzygies, Michigan Math. J. 66 (2017), 347–365. [4,](#page-7-0) [4.7](#page-8-5)

 $\Box$ 

- <span id="page-9-11"></span><span id="page-9-4"></span>[6] A. Dimca, On plus-one generated curves arising from free curves. *Bull. Math. Sci.*, Vol. 14, No. 3 (2024) 2450007 (21 pages). [4,](#page-5-4) [4](#page-6-2)
- [7] A. Dimca, G. Sticlaru, On the jumping lines of bundles of logarithmic vector fields along plane curves, Publ. Mat. 64 (2020), 513–542. [2,](#page-2-5) [2](#page-2-3)
- <span id="page-9-1"></span>[8] A. Dimca, G. Sticlaru, Plane curves with three syzygies, minimal Tjurina curves, and nearly cuspidal curves, Geometriae Dedicata 207 (2020), 29–49. [1,](#page-1-1) [2,](#page-2-3) [2,](#page-2-2) [2,](#page-2-1) [2,](#page-3-0) [2.5,](#page-3-3) [2.6](#page-3-4)
- <span id="page-9-0"></span>[9] A.A. du Plessis, C.T.C. Wall, Application of the theory of the discriminant to highly singular plane curves, Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc., 126(1999), 259-266. [1,](#page-1-0) [2,](#page-3-1) [2.5](#page-3-3)
- <span id="page-9-7"></span>[10] A.A. du Plessis, C.T.C. Wall, Curves in  $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{C})$  with 1-dimensional symmetry, Revista Mat. Complutense 12 (1999), 117–131. [3.3](#page-5-0)
- <span id="page-9-10"></span>[11] R. Gurjar, A. Parameswaran, Open surfaces with non-positive Euler characteristic, Compositio Math. 99(1995), 213-229. [3](#page-5-1)
- <span id="page-9-9"></span><span id="page-9-5"></span>[12] M. Janasz, P. Pokora, M. Zieliński, On arrangements of smooth plane quartics and their bitangents, arXiv:2308.16514 [2.6](#page-3-4)
- <span id="page-9-12"></span>[13] A. de Jong, J. Steenbrink, Proof of a conjecture of W. Veys, Indag. Math. 6(1995), 99-104. [3](#page-5-1)
- [14] A. Măcinic and P. Pokora, Addition–deletion results for plus-one generated curves. *J Algebr. Comb.*, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10801-024-01350-x>, (2024). [4](#page-5-4)
- <span id="page-9-6"></span><span id="page-9-2"></span>[15] P. Orlik, H. Terao, *Arrangements of Hyperplanes,* Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1992. [1](#page-1-3)
- <span id="page-9-3"></span>[16] P. Pokora, On Poincaré polynomials for plane curves with quasi-homogeneous singularities, arXiv:2412.08436. [3](#page-5-2)
- [17] H. Schenck, Elementary modifications and line configurations in  $P^2$ , Comm. Math. Helv. 78 (2003), 447–462. [1,](#page-1-2) [2,](#page-3-2) [4,](#page-7-1) [4,](#page-7-2) [4.6](#page-8-6)
- <span id="page-9-8"></span>[18] W. Veys, Numerical data of resolutions of singularities and Igusa's local zeta function, Ph. D. thesis, Univ. Leuven,1991. [3](#page-5-1)

UNIVERSITÉ CÔTE D'AZUR, CNRS, LJAD, FRANCE AND SIMION STOILOW INSTITUTE OF Mathematics, P.O. Box 1-764, RO-014700 Bucharest, Romania

*Email address*: Alexandru.DIMCA@univ-cotedazur.fr