1

Stueckelberg field and Cosmology

T R Govindarajan

The Institute of Mathematical Sciences, Chennai 600113, Tamil Nadu, India Krea University, Sricity, 517646, Andhra Pradesh, India trg@imsc.res.in, govindarajan.thupil@krea.edu.in

Stueckelberg introduced an axion like scalar field to provide mass to the gauge electromgnetic field without breaking gauge invariance. This can be considered as a precursor to the spontaneously broken abelian Higgs model. We will consider its role in cosmology to provide a novel candidate to the dark matter question. In addition its implications to deeper issues will be pointed out.

1. Introduction:

Bernard Riemann introduced the axioms of geometry¹ in his famous habilitation talk in 1855. This formed the basis of Riemannian geometry which was extended to Pseudo Riemannian geometry to provide the underlying structure of General relativity. But towards the end of his talk Riemann himself expressed his doubts about validity of his axioms in the infinitesimal and infinite. These difficulties, he associated with the need for a scale to measure infinitesimal 'distances' and that needs the physics of 'light rods', essentially the wavelengths or quanta of very high frequency. At large length scales we also need the asymptotic behaviour of the fields. We can now associate these to the ultraviolet and infrared divergences in the Quantum field theory.

Einstein with the help of Marcell Grossmann,² made his famous link between gravity and geometry using the axioms of Riemann. Going back to Riemann's observations in his habilitation talk we should relook at the implications in gravity at these scales. We do now find the difficulties of quantising gravity and understanding large scale structure of galaxies can very well be linked to these questions.

For the past several decades the question and origin of dark matter³ representing 25% of matter in the universe have been a puzzle for both astrophysicists, particle physicists. The radial speeds of luminous stars and other objects in a galaxy do not go down sufficiently fast over a large distance as expected through Newtonian/Einsteinian gravity. This was such an unanswered question that physicists started conjecturing that there could be matter within the galaxies without any interactions to known matter but only through gravitational interactions. Further galaxy clusters, bullet clusters, gravitational lensing and CMB spectrum³ support this suggestion more.

There were several candidate proposals inspired by Beyond Standard Model (BSM) expectations. Weakly interacting massive particles (WIMP), SUSY particles, sterile neutrinos and many more are mentioned in the literature. None of them,

unfortunately answer all the queries satisfactorily regarding this.

Situation became so desperate that even doubts were raised that gravity itself will need changes. This led to the conjecture that law of Universal gravitation might fail when the acceleration is below a particular threshold.⁴ This theory is known Modified theory of Newtonian dynamics (MOND). While by construction it explains velocity of rotational curves, it fails in explaining bullet clusters or gravitational lensing. In addition it looks aribitrary without any fundamental principle behind it.

Extremely light bosons sometimes known as axion like bosons have also been considered to account for missing mass. But QCD axions have not succeeded in providing such a formulation. But it has remained as a potential candidate within the paradigm of cold dark matter (CDM). Ultralight or Fuzzy dark matter is one the strong proposals for the dark matter.

We will explain how the Stueckelberg boson can fit in this framework in section 2^5 We consider the Stueckelberg boson as limiting case of abelian Higgs mechanism in the next section (sec.3) Following this we we explain how the vortex solutions of such a model can play an important role in the cosmology of early universe leading to potential primordial blackhole and eventually super massive blackhole of the early universe (sec.4). Some expectations of such a proposal are explained in Section 5. We conclude with discussions and speculations in the end.

2. Stueckelberg field

We now revisit the fundamental query raised by Schrodinger in 50's namely 'Must the photon mass be zero?'⁶ He provided an answer and explained the details in a paper. Since the massive photon will have three degrees of freedom, whereas massless one will have one less, will it affect, for example the computation of Stefan's constant value through blackbody radiation? In the computation of blackbody radiation, we get the distribution of energy density as a function of frequencies and we multiply by a factor of 2 to account for the transverse degrees of freedom. For example density of modes is given by:

$$\rho(\nu) = \frac{2h\nu^3}{c^2} \frac{1}{e^{\frac{h\nu}{kT}} - 1}$$
(1)

We obtain Stefan's constant by integrating the density (Eq. 1) over all the frequencies,

$$E = \int \rho d\nu = \sigma T^4$$

Schrodinger himself answered the query: it will have very little effect if the mass of the photon is very small and if the interaction to the matter is through a conserved current j^{μ} . That is, if the interaction is

$$H_{int} = \int d^4x \; j^{\mu} \; A_{\mu} \; , \; and \; \partial_{\mu} \; j^{\mu} \; = \; 0$$
 (2)

the longitudinal component will not contribute to equilibrium and escape through the walls (matter). The contribution of the longitudinal photon will be negligible in the density. This essentially means that the longitudinal photon does not interact with matter. Even though photon is expected to be massless, Schrodinger adumbrated that the theory should make sense even if the parameter is small. He estimated the mass limit on the photon by considering earth as a magnet and using the geomagnetic data. He set the limit as:

$$m_{\gamma} \leq 10^{-16} eV \tag{3}$$

Now with more modern satellite based data, the limit has been improved as $m_{\gamma} \leq 10^{-18} eV$. Particle data book provides this as the current upper bound.⁷

2.1. Proca and Stueckelberg theory

Vector boson with mass, known as Proca theory has two problems (i) It has additional degree of freedom and massless limit has a disconituity in the number of degrees of freedom. (ii) Mass term explicitly breaks local gauge invarince. But the massive QED is renormalisable and the extra contributions are small if the mass is small.⁸ But gauge invariance is our guiding principle and we expect it to be preserved. Stueckelberg provided a solution that avoids simultaneously the discontinuity in the degrees of freedom and the absense of gauge invariance.⁹

Stueckelberg introduced an extra scalar field ϕ to cancel the extra terms under gauge transformations and gave a gauge invariant massive QED action whose massless limit in addition does not show any discontinuity. The Lagrangian density for Stueckelberg theory is:

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} (F_{\mu\nu})^2 + \frac{1}{2} m^2 \left(A_{\mu} - \frac{1}{m} \partial_{\mu} \phi \right)^2 + \bar{\psi} \Big[\gamma^{\mu} (i \partial_{\mu} + e A_{\mu}) - M \Big] \psi$$
(4)

where ϕ is the Stueckelberg field and ψ is the matter (electron) field. The gauge transformations are:

$$\psi \to e^{i\lambda(x)}\psi, \ A_{\mu} \to A_{\mu} - \partial_{\mu}\lambda(x), \ \phi \to \phi + m\lambda(x)$$
 (5)

We can fix The gauge using: $\mathcal{L}_{gf} = -\frac{1}{2}(\partial_{\mu}A^{\mu} + m \phi)^2$. This can be extended to Weak and electromgnetic theory of Weinberg- Salam model also.¹⁰ It is well known we can also provide mass to the photon by the Higgs mechanism (sometimes called Anderson, Higgs mechanism)^{11, 12} by coupling the abelian gauge field to a complex scalar field Φ . This will have nonzero vacuum expectation value giving mass to the the photon. We can write in the symmetry broken phase $\Phi = R e^{i\phi}$. And the Lagrangian becomes:

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} F \wedge F + |D_{\mu}\Phi|^2 - V(\Phi) + \cdots$$
(6)

Phase of this field, namely ϕ will be the Stueckelberg field and this mechanism in a specific limit of freezing the fluctuations of R (i.e.,make R very massive) leads to

the Stueckelberg theory. Natural scale for mass of R is the Planck mass and we will later refer to this.

2.2. Stueckelberg field as the dark matter candidate

As we pointed out, Stueckelberg field does not interact with normal matter in a Compton length scale of $\frac{h}{m_{\gamma}c}$. But it has energy and contributes extra terms to the energy momentum tensor. This can take part in gravitational interaction. Can it play the role of dark matter? But there is a problem. Since mass of the candidate is extremely small, they will have velocity of 'light' and decouple very early after the bigbang. During radiation dominated era they will not help in the structure formation. While this was the natural expectation physics of extremely light massive bosons are more subtle. At that time Bose and Einstein save the situation. Einstein used Bose statistics and predicted a new phase of matter now known as Bose Einstein Condensate (BEC).¹³ Bose¹⁴ wrote his famous paper in 1924 providing the basis for his statistics of photons.

We propose that we may treat the 'axion like' particles as the Stueckelberg particles which do not interact with matter. These are also longitudinal photons through a gauge choice. These particles will be such a candidate only if they form a Bose-Einstein condensate. The relativistic Bose gas will form a condensate if the temperature is less than a critical temperature, T_c . This temperature being $\propto \frac{1}{m_{\gamma}^3}$ is extremely large (close to early universe temperatures) where as the nonrelativisitic BEC condensate discovered in the lab using Rubidium gas is at nano kelvin.

2.3. Arguments in favor of FDM

There are prima facie evidences for ultralight dark matter or fuzzy dark matter proposal. Here we list some of them.

- (1) There are dwarf galaxies orbiting Milky way of with sizes ranging from 200 light years to 10⁵ light years. There are about 1000 to 10⁶ stars in them. In some, stars are at the initial stages of formation. Dark matter content is more in these dwarf galaxies. N body Simulation with usual dark matter candidates indicate the density grows exponentially at the core. This is known as core cusp problem.¹⁵ But for fuzzy dark models there is no such problem. This is because FDM behaves like a fluid or wave. About 100 to 200 such galaxies are expected through simulations. But only about 40 such galaxies have been seen so far. There are many more expected but are probably too faint and/or DM content is too high and hence less luminous.
- (2) There are dwarfs with sizes less than 1000 light years. The compton wavelength of the Stueckelberg particles will range from few light days to 100-150 light years depending on the mass from $10^{-20} 10^{-24} eV$. The size of the BEC condensate can be expected to be that of compton wavelength i.e., $\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{h}{m_{\gamma}c}\right)$. The size of

smallest galaxy will set a limit on the compton wavlength and hence of the mass of the dark matter candidate. The smallest dwarf galaxy known so far is Segue-2 with a half radius of 115 light years, at a disatnce of 10^5 light years away.¹⁶ It has a mass of $\mathcal{O}(10^6)M_{\odot}$ with around 1000 stars. It has size of Ursa Minor! We can expect 100 light years as the limit set by dwarf galaxy sizes which will correspond to $m_{\gamma} \geq 10^{-24} eV$.

- (3) Ryutov et al showed the Maxwell Proca electrodynmaics changes stress tensor due to longitudinal photons. This stress under certain conditions develope a 'negative pressure'.¹⁷ The effect is associated with random magnetic fields with correlation lengths exceeding the photon Compton wavelength. This is precisely the scale at which the longitudinal photons contribute. But unfortunately this force is not sufficient to explain the contribution to a star like sun in the rotational curves. This might be because we evaluate contributions in the Proca theory. We need to study this theory in the context of spontaneous symmetry breaking through Higgs mechanism. See in this connection Dvali etal.¹⁸ In this connection, an interesting observation is made about the photon mass by Alessandro Spallicci etal.,.¹⁹ In the standard model extension with Lorentz symmetry violation gives dressed photon without breaking gauge invariance. This photon mass induces an effective dark energy which acts optically, but not dynamically. This can help in resolving Hubble tension through SNeIa distance and luminosity. We will comment on this in future publication.
- (4) Recently results from Pulsar Timing Array (PTA) is providing certain restrictions on the scenario of the FDM. Pulsar timing array is a collection of radio telescopes looking for Nano hertz gravitational waves due to merger of galaxies in the very early universe. By analysing the time period of the Pulsars within our Milkyway galaxy. they have detected recently with confidence level 98% a hum of the early universe. This is similar to CMB for Electromagntic spectrum. It also has an interesting connection to FDM. The gravitional waves of the galaxy mergers of the early universe are affected by the dark matter between pulsars in our galaxy. The average distances of Pulsars should be comparable to the Debroglie wavelength of FDM candidates. We expect the mass of FDM candidate should be $\geq 10^{-24} eV$.²⁰ Parkes Pulsar Timing array which has been monitoring 20 millisecond pulsars data for the period 2004-16 has been studied. A limit FDM mass of $\geq 10^{-24}$ is claimed.

3. Abelian Higgs Mechanism

Stueckelberg model as pointed out earlier, does not break gauge invariance and has no discontinuity in the degrees of freedom when the mass parameter is made zero. It can also be obtained as a suitable limit of the abelian Higgs mechanism wherein the U(1) gauge field is coupled to a complex scalar field with spontaneous symmetry breaking. We will elaborate this now and see how it can provide a mechanism for seeds of primordial blackholes which can grow as supermassive blackholes.

Consider a complex scalar Φ coupled to U(1) gauge theory. The action can be written as

$$S = \int \mathcal{L} d^4 x = \int d^4 x \left(-\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + |D_\mu \Phi|^2 - V(\Phi) \right)$$
$$V(\Phi) = -\mu^2 |\Phi|^2 + \lambda |\Phi|^4$$

Here λ is quartic coupling constant. The potential is a double well potential and gauge symmetry will be broken with $|\Phi|^2 = v^2 = \frac{\mu^2}{2\lambda}$, and v is the expectation value of scalar field at the ground state.

Expanding the field as $\Phi = \sqrt{\frac{\mu^2}{2\lambda}} e^{\frac{i\phi}{v}}$ we will get,

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} \left(F_{\mu\nu} \right)^2 + m^2 \left(A_{\mu} - \frac{1}{m} \partial_{\mu} \phi \right)^2 + \mathcal{V}(v, \phi)$$
(7)

where m = ev is the mass of the photon. We can make the Higgs mass very high (as it is not naturally protected). it can be as high as $\mathcal{O}(10^{16})GeV$ and charge extremely small so that photon mass is finite and small value $\leq \mathcal{O}(10^{18})eV$. It is easy to see the Stueckelberg model emerging in the limit of infinite mass of the Higgs. This is the scenario at the very early Universe. The dark matter condensate should be formed in the radiation era with the Stueckelberg scalars. The condensate size can be expected to be $\mathcal{O}(h/m_{\gamma}c)$. To be precise we should use Debroglie wavlength at the high temperature. But that will probably alter the estimate only by an order of magnitude. This length scale for $m_{\gamma} = \mathcal{O}(10^{-20}) eV$ is $\approx .1$ light year. For $m_{\gamma} = \mathcal{O}(10^{-23}) eV$ it is 100 lightyears. Since we expect from the minimum of the dwarf galaxy the mass to be in this range we can ask how long will it take for the Universe size to grow to 100 light years through Friedman metric. This is estimated to be about 1000 to 10000 years. This is the time scale for ultralight dark matter condensate to be formed. Given this estimate from the Stueckelberg field we can look for the role of the Higgs part of the complex scalar field. Its mass is very large and acts as the seed of the primordial blackhole.

4. Vortex solutions of Abelian Higgs model

We now should consider fluctuations of the heavy Higgs also. With this the Hamiltonian can be written as:

$$H = \int d^3x \frac{1}{2} \left(E^2 + B^2 + m^2 \mathcal{A}^2 \right) + \mathcal{V}(v,\phi)$$
(8)

Now \mathcal{A} is in addition to transverse polarisations of the electromagnetic field contains the longitudinal polarisation too. Now generally we ignore the fluctuations of the Higgs field since the mass of Higgs is very large. But over length scales of compton wavelength of photons one can lower the energy by creating localised zeroes of the Higgs field. This will be like Abrikosov vortices in type II superconductor.²¹ The phase of the Higgs field can compensate the energy due to the transverse component. Actually this is due to the topological winding around the zeroes of the Higgs field

due to the longitudinal component. There is critical field B_c above which photon behaves like a massless field. But $B < B_c$ it has mass and behaves like massive proca theory.

But B_c is very large since the expected mass of the photon is very small. That means a large number of vortices should be present to compensate and lower the energy configuration.

For m_{γ} is $\mathcal{O}(10^{-20})$ eV and charge $e = 10^{-19}$ we can have high mass Higgs which is not unnatural. This can lead to simultaneous presence of ultralight dark matter as condensate and large mass Higgs as seeds of primordial black holes. The condensates can be the the size of compton wavelength of stueckelberg quanta. The condensate can also be accreted by the primordial black hole to develope into supermassive blackhole earlier than expected. This scenario provides simultaneouly dark matter and supermassive blackhole aiding the structure formation like galaxy. This outline of the program requires further details to make suitable predictions.

5. Conclusions

Photon mass being zero has been questioned earlier.²² The question of combining gauge invariance, Lorentz invariance for such a theory has led to ideas of spontaneous symmetry breaking and provided interesting connections to the cosmology. We would like to caution about the status of photon mass $limits^{23}$ which will be crucial for further developments. We have proposed a novel candidate for the ultralight dark matter. It is the Stueckelberg quanta which behaves like an axion like scalar particle at length scales less than its compton wavelength. This provides a potential limit of the mass of photon between $\mathcal{O}(10^{-18}) - \mathcal{O}(10^{-24})$ eV corresponding to compton wavelength of few light seconds to 200 light years. This fits the size of the smallest dwarf galaxy seen so far and has the potential of providing seeds for primordial blackhole. Formation of BEC condensate plays crucial role in this. The seeds itself can accret dark matter condensate and grow as supermassive blackhole in 300 - 400 million years after the big bang. Evidences for galaxies at such early times is being obtained by JWST and needs a good theory to explain. These require further detailed study of the first order phase transition of Abelian Higgs model at the cosmological scales in the early universe.^{24, 25, 26} This will be followed up later.

We go back to profound remarks due to Bernhard Riemann on the ultimate structure of space time in his Habilitation talk.¹

AXIOMS UNDERLYING THE BASIS OF GEOMETRY MAY NEED CHANGES AT INFINETESIMAL AND INFINITE LEVEL. Bernhard Riemann

These changes will be inherently tied up with quantum nature of gravity.

8

Acknowledgements

I acknowledge Krea University for providing partial support for taking part in the conference. I thank Dr Sivakumar, Dean of Research, Krea University for facilitating. I thank the referee for meticulously correcting many of my references and providing new informations.

References

- B Riemann, On the hypotheses which lie at the bases of geometry (Editors: Jürgen Jost, Birkhäuser Cham, 2016); https://www.cs.jhu.edu > Papers > riemann54.pdf
- 2. T Raz and T Sauer, Proceedings of 14^{th} Marcel Grossmann meeting, (World Scientific, 2017) 3368
- F Zwicky, Astrophysical Journal 86, 217 (1937); J G de Swart, G Bertone, J van Dongen, Nature Astronomy 1, 0059 (2017); J Liu, X Chen, X Ji, Nature Physics 13, 212 (2017)
- 4. M Milgrom, Astrophysical Journal 270, 365 (1983)
- T R Govindarajan, N Kalyanapuram, Modern Physics Letters A33, 1950330 (2019); Modern Physics Letters A34, 1950009 (2019)
- L Bass and E Schrodinger, Proceedings of Royal Society, London A, Mathematical and Physical Sciences 232, 1188 (1955)
- 7. S Navas and Particle Data Collaboration, Physical Review D 110, 030001 (2024)
- 8. T Banks, Modern Quantum Field Theory, (Cambridge University Press, 2008)
- 9. E C G Stueckelberg, Helvetica Physica Acta (in French) 30, 209 (1957)
- 10. H Ruegg, M Ruiz-Altaba, International Journal of Modern Physics A19, 32659 (2004)
- 11. P W Anderson, Nature Physics 11, 93 (2015)
- 12. P W Higgs, Physical Review Letters 13, 508 (1964)
- 13. A Einstein, Sitzungsberichte der Preußischen Akademie der Wissenschaften 261 (1924)
- 14. S N Bose, Zeitschrift für Physik 26, 178 (1924)
- 15. S-H Oh et al., The Astronomical Journal 149, 180 (2015)
- 16. E N Kirby et al., Astrophysical Journal 770, 16 (2013)
- D D Ryutov, D Budker and V V Flambaum, The Astrophysical Journal 871, 218 (2019)
- 18. G Dvali, C Gomez, N Wintergerst, Physical Review D94, 084051 (2016)
- A D A M Spallicci, J A Helayël Neto, M Lopez-Córredoira, and S Capozziello, European Physical Journal C 81, 1 (2021)
- 20. A Khmelnitsky and V Rubakov, J Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics 02, 019 (2014)
- 21. A Vilenkin, Physics Reports 121, 263 (1985)
- 22. L de Broglie, J Phys. et Radium 3, 422 (1922).
- A D A M Spallicci, G Sarracino, O Randriamboarison J A Helayël-Neto, A Dib, European Physical Jurnal Plus 139, 551 (2024)
- 24. T R Govindarajan, Essay written for the GRF 2024 essay competition, Selected for Honorable mention, arXiv:2407.21355
- 25. T R Govindarajan, Proceedings of 17th Marcel Grossmann Meeting, International Journal of Modern Physics D (World Scientific, 2025, To appear)
- M Yu Khlopov, B A Malomed, Ya B Zeldovich, Mon. Not. R. astr. Soc 215, 575 (1985).