

# GEOMETRIC PROPERTIES FOR A CERTAIN SUBCLASS OF NORMALIZED HARMONIC MAPPINGS

RAJIB MANDAL AND RAJU BISWAS

ABSTRACT. Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be the class of harmonic functions  $f = h + \bar{g}$  in the unit disk  $\mathbb{D} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ , where  $h$  and  $g$  are analytic in  $\mathbb{D}$  with the normalization  $h(0) = g(0) = h'(0) - 1 = 0$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{H}}^0(\alpha, M)$  denote the subclass of  $\mathcal{H}$  in  $\mathbb{D}$  satisfying  $\operatorname{Re}((1 - \alpha)h'(z) + \alpha zh''(z)) > -M + |(1 - \alpha)g'(z) + \alpha zg''(z)|$  with  $g'(0) = 0$ ,  $M > 0$  and  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ . In this paper, we investigate some fundamental properties of functions belonging to the class  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{H}}^0(\alpha, M)$ , including coefficient bounds, growth estimates, convexity, starlikeness, convex combinations, and convolution.

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

The application of harmonic mappings has become a valuable tool in the study of fluid flow problems (see [1]). Moreover, univalent harmonic functions that are characterized by geometric properties such as convexity, starlikeness, and close-to-convexity emerge naturally in the context of planar fluid dynamics problems. For instance, in [1, Theorem 4.5], Aleman and Constantin studied a fluid flow problem on a convex domain that exhibited an intriguing geometric property.

Let  $f = u + iv$  be a complex-valued function of  $z = x + iy$  in a simply connected domain  $\Omega$ . If  $f$  satisfies the Laplace equation  $\Delta f = 4f_{z\bar{z}} = 0$  in  $\Omega$ , then  $f$  is said to be harmonic in  $\Omega$ . Note that every harmonic mapping  $f$  has the canonical representation  $f = h + \bar{g}$ , where  $h$  and  $g$  are analytic in  $\Omega$ , known respectively as the analytic and co-analytic parts of  $f$ , and  $\bar{g}(z)$  denotes the complex conjugate of  $g(z)$ . This representation is unique up to an additive constant (see [8]). The inverse function theorem and a result of Lewy [12] shows that a harmonic function  $f$  is locally univalent in  $\Omega$  if, and only if, the Jacobian of  $f$ , defined by  $J_f(z) := |h'(z)|^2 - |g'(z)|^2$  is non-zero in  $\Omega$ . A harmonic mapping  $f$  is locally univalent and sense-preserving in  $\Omega$  if, and only if,  $J_f(z) > 0$  in  $\Omega$  or equivalently if  $h' \neq 0$  in  $\Omega$  and the dilatation  $\omega_f := \omega = g'/h'$  of  $f$  has the property that  $|\omega_f| < 1$  in  $\Omega$  (see [12]). Let  $\mathcal{H}$  denote the class of all complex-valued harmonic functions  $f = h + \bar{g}$  defined in  $\mathbb{D}$ , where  $h$  and  $g$  are analytic in  $\mathbb{D}$  with the normalization  $h(0) = h'(0) - 1 = 0$  and  $g(0) = 0$ . If the co-analytic part  $g(z) \equiv 0$  in  $\mathbb{D}$ , then the class  $\mathcal{H}$  reduces to the class  $\mathcal{A}$  of analytic functions in  $\mathbb{D}$  with  $f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}}$  denote the subclass of  $\mathcal{H}$  that are sense-preserving and univalent in  $\mathbb{D}$  and further let  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}}^0 = \{f = h + \bar{g} \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}} : g'(0) = 0\}$ . Thus, every  $f = h + \bar{g} \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}}^0$  has the following form

$$f(z) = h(z) + \overline{g(z)} = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n + \overline{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n}. \quad (1.1)$$

---

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45, 30C50, 30C80, 31A05.

Key words and phrases: Harmonic functions, starlike and convex functions, convolution, convex combination, coefficient estimate, growth theorem.

Type set by  $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$ - $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{A}\mathcal{T}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}$

If the co-analytic parts  $g(z) \equiv 0$  in  $\mathbb{D}$ , then both the classes  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}}$  and  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}}^0$  reduces to the class  $\mathcal{S}$  of univalent and analytic functions in  $\mathbb{D}$  with  $f(0) = 0$  and  $f'(0) = 1$ . Both  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}}$  and  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}}^0$  are natural harmonic generalizations of  $\mathcal{S}$ , but only  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}}^0$  is known to be compact although both  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}}$  and  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}}^0$  are normal. In 1984, Clunie and Sheil-Small [6] undertook a comprehensive study of the class  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}}$  and its geometric subclasses. This study has subsequently garnered extensive attention from researchers (see [3, 11, 13, 18]).

A domain  $\Omega$  is called starlike with respect to a point  $z_0 \in \Omega$  if the line segment joining  $z_0$  to any point in  $\Omega$  lies in  $\Omega$ , i.e.,  $(1-t)z_0 + tz \in \Omega$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$  and for all  $z \in \Omega$ . In particular, if  $z_0 = 0$ , then  $\Omega$  is simply called starlike. A complex-valued harmonic mapping  $f \in \mathcal{H}$  is said to be starlike if  $f(\mathbb{D})$  is starlike. Let  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}}^*$  denote the class of harmonic starlike functions in  $\mathbb{D}$ . A domain  $\Omega$  is called convex if it is starlike with respect to any point in  $\Omega$ . In other words, convexity implies starlikeness, but the converse is not necessarily true. A domain can be starlike without being convex. A function  $f \in \mathcal{H}$  is said to be convex if  $f(\mathbb{D})$  is convex. Let  $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{H}}$  denote the class of harmonic convex mappings in  $\mathbb{D}$ . Starlikeness is a hereditary property for conformal mappings. Thus, if  $f$  is analytic and univalent in  $\mathbb{D}$  with  $f(0) = 0$  and if  $f$  maps  $\mathbb{D}$  onto a domain that is starlike with respect to the origin, then the image of every subdisk  $|z| < r < 1$  is also starlike with respect to the origin. This means that every point of the range can be connected to the origin by a radial line that lies entirely in the region. This hereditary property does not extend to harmonic mappings, which is the subject of discussion in [5]. The following definitions are essential for a comprehensive understanding of this paper.

**Definition 1.** [7, 16] Let  $\psi_1$  and  $\psi_2$  be two analytic functions in  $\mathbb{D}$  given by  $\psi_1(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  and  $\psi_2(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n$ . The convolution (or, Hadamard product) is defined by

$$(\psi_1 * \psi_2)(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n \text{ for } z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

**Definition 2.** [10] For harmonic functions  $f_1 = h_1 + \overline{g_1}$  and  $f_2 = h_2 + \overline{g_2}$  in  $\mathcal{H}$ , the convolution is defined as

$$f_1 * f_2 = h_1 * h_2 + \overline{g_1 * g_2}.$$

**Definition 3.** [16] A sequence  $\{a_n\}$  of non-negative numbers is said to be a convex null sequence if  $a_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and

$$a_0 - a_1 \geq a_1 - a_2 \geq \cdots \geq a_{n-1} - a_n \geq \cdots \geq 0.$$

In 2020, Ghosh and Allu [9] considered the following subclass of  $\mathcal{H}$  for  $M > 0$  as follows:

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{H}}^0(M) = \{f = h + \overline{g} \in \mathcal{H} : \operatorname{Re}(zh''(z)) > -M + |zg''(z)| \text{ with } g'(0) = 0 \text{ for } z \in \mathbb{D}\}$$

and established the following results regarding the sharp coefficient bounds and growth results for functions in  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{H}}^0(M)$ .

**Theorem A.** [9] Let  $f = h + \overline{g} \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{H}}^0(M)$  for  $M > 0$  be of the form (1.1). Then, for  $n \geq 2$ ,  $|b_n| \leq 2M/(n(n-1))$ . The result is sharp for the function  $f(z) = z - M\overline{z}^n/(n(n-1))$ .

**Theorem B.** [9] Let  $f = h + \overline{g} \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{H}}^0(M)$  for  $M > 0$  be of the form (1.1). Then, for  $n \geq 2$ , (i)  $|a_n| + |b_n| \leq \frac{2M}{n(n-1)}$ ; (ii)  $||a_n| - |b_n|| \leq \frac{2M}{n(n-1)}$ ; (iii)  $|a_n| \leq \frac{2M}{n(n-1)}$ . The results are sharp for the function  $f$  given by  $f'(z) = 1 - 2M \ln(1-z)$ .

**Theorem C.** [9] Let  $f = h + \bar{g} \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{H}}^0(M)$  for  $M > 0$  be of the form (1.1). Then

$$|z| - 2M \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{|z|^n}{n(n-1)} \leq |f(z)| \leq |z| + 2M \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{|z|^n}{n(n-1)}.$$

The right-hand inequality is sharp for the function  $f$  given by  $f'(z) = 1 - 2M \ln(1 - z)$ .

Motivated by the class  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{H}}^0(M)$ , in this paper, we consider the following subclass of  $\mathcal{H}$  for  $M > 0$  and  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$  as follows:

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{H}}^0(\alpha, M) = \{f = h + \bar{g} \in \mathcal{H} : \operatorname{Re}((1 - \alpha)h'(z) + \alpha zh''(z)) > -M + |(1 - \alpha)g'(z) + \alpha zg''(z)| \\ \text{with } g'(0) = 0 \text{ for } z \in \mathbb{D}\}.$$

The organization of this paper is: In section 2, we establish the sharp coefficients bounds, growth results, starlikeness and convexity properties for functions in  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{H}}^0(\alpha, M)$ . In section 3, we obtain the convolution and convex combination properties of functions in  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{H}}^0(\alpha, M)$ .

## 2. THE COEFFICIENT BOUNDS, GROWTH ESTIMATE, STARLIKENESS AND CONVEXITY

Let us consider the class  $\mathcal{P}(\alpha, M)$  defined by

$$\mathcal{P}(\alpha, M) := \{\phi \in \mathcal{A} : \operatorname{Re}((1 - \alpha)\phi'(z) + \alpha z\phi''(z)) > -M \text{ for } M > 0, \alpha \in (0, 1] \text{ and } z \in \mathbb{D}\}.$$

The following result provides a correlation between the functions in  $\mathcal{P}(\alpha, M)$  and  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{H}}^0(\alpha, M)$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *The harmonic mapping  $f = h + \bar{g}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{H}}^0(\alpha, M)$  if, and only if, the function  $F_{\varepsilon} = h + \varepsilon g \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha, M)$  for each  $\varepsilon$  ( $|\varepsilon| = 1$ ).*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $f = h + \bar{g} \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{H}}^0(\alpha, M)$ . Therefore

$$\operatorname{Re}((1 - \alpha)h'(z) + \alpha zh''(z)) > -M + |(1 - \alpha)g'(z) + \alpha zg''(z)| \text{ for } z \in \mathbb{D}. \quad (2.1)$$

Fix  $|\varepsilon| = 1$ . Since  $F_{\varepsilon} = h + \varepsilon g$ , we deduce by using (2.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}((1 - \alpha)F'_{\varepsilon}(z) + \alpha zF''_{\varepsilon}(z)) &= \operatorname{Re}(((1 - \alpha)h'(z) + \alpha zh''(z)) \\ &\quad + \varepsilon((1 - \alpha)g'(z) + \alpha zg''(z))) \\ &\geq \operatorname{Re}((1 - \alpha)h'(z) + \alpha zh''(z)) \\ &\quad - |(1 - \alpha)g'(z) + \alpha zg''(z)| \\ &> -M \text{ for } z \in \mathbb{D}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $F_{\varepsilon} = h + \varepsilon g \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha, M)$  for each  $\varepsilon$  with  $|\varepsilon| = 1$ . Conversely, if  $F_{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha, M)$ , then for  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ , we have

$$\operatorname{Re}((1 - \alpha)F'_{\varepsilon}(z) + \alpha zF''_{\varepsilon}(z)) > -M,$$

$$\text{i.e., } \operatorname{Re}(((1 - \alpha)h'(z) + \alpha zh''(z)) + \varepsilon((1 - \alpha)g'(z) + \alpha zg''(z))) > -M,$$

$$\text{i.e., } \operatorname{Re}((1 - \alpha)h'(z) + \alpha zh''(z)) > -M + \operatorname{Re}(-\varepsilon[(1 - \alpha)g'(z) + \alpha zg''(z)]).$$

Since  $\varepsilon$  ( $|\varepsilon| = 1$ ) is arbitrary, for an appropriate choice of  $\varepsilon$ , we have

$$\operatorname{Re}((1 - \alpha)h'(z) + \alpha zh''(z)) > -M + |(1 - \alpha)g'(z) + \alpha zg''(z)| \text{ for } z \in \mathbb{D},$$

which shows that  $f \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{H}}^0(\alpha, M)$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

The following two theorems gives sharp coefficient bounds for functions in  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{H}}^0(\alpha, M)$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $f = h + \bar{g} \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{H}}^0(\alpha, M)$  be of the form (1.1) for  $M > 0$ ,  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$  with  $M - \alpha + 1 > 0$ . For  $n \geq 2$ , we have

$$|b_n| \leq \frac{M - \alpha + 1}{n + \alpha n(n - 2)}.$$

The result is sharp for the function  $f$  given by  $f(z) = z + \frac{M - \alpha + 1}{n + \alpha n(n - 2)} \bar{z}^n$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f = h + \bar{g} \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{H}}^0(\alpha, M)$ . Therefore,

$$|(1 - \alpha)g'(z) + \alpha z g''(z)| < M + \operatorname{Re}((1 - \alpha)h'(z) + \alpha z h''(z)) \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{D}. \quad (2.2)$$

Since  $(1 - \alpha)g'(z) + \alpha z g''(z) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n + \alpha n(n - 2)) b_n z^{n-1}$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{D}$ , in view of the Cauchy's integral formula for derivatives, we have

$$(n + \alpha n(n - 2)) b_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z|=r} \frac{(1 - \alpha)g'(z) + \alpha z g''(z)}{z^n} dz.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} (n + \alpha n(n - 2)) |b_n| &= \left| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{(1 - \alpha)g'(re^{i\theta}) + \alpha r e^{i\theta} g''(re^{i\theta})}{r^n e^{in\theta}} i r e^{i\theta} d\theta \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{|(1 - \alpha)g'(re^{i\theta}) + \alpha r e^{i\theta} g''(re^{i\theta})|}{r^{n-1}} d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

From (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (n + \alpha n(n - 2)) r^{n-1} |b_n| &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} (M + \operatorname{Re}((1 - \alpha)h'(re^{i\theta}) + \alpha r e^{i\theta} h''(re^{i\theta}))) d\theta \\ &= M + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \operatorname{Re}((1 - \alpha)h'(re^{i\theta})) d\theta \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \operatorname{Re}(\alpha r e^{i\theta} h''(re^{i\theta})) d\theta \\ &= M + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{(1 - \alpha)h'(re^{i\theta}) + (1 - \alpha)\overline{h'(re^{i\theta})}}{2} d\theta \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\alpha r e^{i\theta} h''(re^{i\theta}) + \overline{\alpha r e^{i\theta} h''(re^{i\theta})}}{2} d\theta \\ &= M - \alpha + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $r \rightarrow 1^-$  gives the desired bound. It is evident that  $f(z) = z + \frac{M - \alpha + 1}{n + \alpha n(n - 2)} \bar{z}^n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) belongs to  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{H}}^0(\alpha, M)$  and  $|b_n(f)| = \frac{M - \alpha + 1}{n + \alpha n(n - 2)}$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $f = h + \bar{g} \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{H}}^0(\alpha, M)$  be of the form (1.1) for  $M > 0$  and  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ . For  $n \geq 2$ , we have

- (i)  $|a_n| + |b_n| \leq \frac{2(M - \alpha + 1)}{n + \alpha n(n - 2)}$ ;
- (ii)  $||a_n| - |b_n|| \leq \frac{2(M - \alpha + 1)}{n + \alpha n(n - 2)}$ ;
- (iii)  $|a_n| \leq \frac{2(M - \alpha + 1)}{n + \alpha n(n - 2)}$ .

The results are sharp for the function  $f$  given by  $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{2(M - \alpha + 1)}{n + \alpha n(n - 2)} \bar{z}^n$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f = h + \bar{g} \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{H}}^0(\alpha, M)$ . In view of Theorem 2.1, we have  $F_\varepsilon = h + \varepsilon g \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha, M)$  for each  $\varepsilon$  ( $|\varepsilon| = 1$ ). Therefore, we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( (1 - \alpha)F'_\varepsilon(z) + \alpha z F''_\varepsilon(z) + M \right) > 0 \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

This implies that there exists an analytic function  $p$  in  $\mathbb{D}$  of the form  $p(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n z^n$  with  $p(0) = 1$  and  $\operatorname{Re} p(z) > 0$  in  $\mathbb{D}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(1 - \alpha)F'_\varepsilon(z) + \alpha z F''_\varepsilon(z) + M}{M - \alpha + 1} = p(z) \\ \text{i.e., } & \frac{(M - \alpha + 1) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n + \alpha(n^2 - 2n)) (a_n + \varepsilon b_n) z^{n-1}}{M - \alpha + 1} = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n z^n \\ \text{i.e., } & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n + 1 + \alpha(n^2 - 1)) (a_{n+1} + \varepsilon b_{n+1}) z^n = (M - \alpha + 1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n z^n. \quad (2.3) \end{aligned}$$

Comparing coefficients on both sides of (2.3), we have

$$(n + 1 + \alpha(n^2 - 1)) (a_{n+1} + \varepsilon b_{n+1}) = (M - \alpha + 1) p_n \quad \text{for } n \geq 1. \quad (2.4)$$

By well-known coefficient estimates for functions with positive real parts (see [4, 17]), we have  $|p_n| \leq 2$  for  $n \geq 1$ . Since  $\varepsilon$  ( $|\varepsilon| = 1$ ) is arbitrary, it follows from (2.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} & (n + 1 + \alpha(n^2 - 1)) (|a_{n+1}| + |b_{n+1}|) \leq 2(M - \alpha + 1) \\ \text{and} & (n + 1 + \alpha(n^2 - 1)) \left| |a_{n+1}| - |b_{n+1}| \right| \leq 2(M - \alpha + 1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for  $n \geq 2$ , we have

$$|a_n| + |b_n| \leq \frac{2(M - \alpha + 1)}{n + \alpha(n^2 - 2n)}, \quad \left| |a_n| - |b_n| \right| \leq \frac{2(M - \alpha + 1)}{n + \alpha(n^2 - 2n)} \quad \text{and} \quad |a_n| \leq \frac{2(M - \alpha + 1)}{n + \alpha(n^2 - 2n)}.$$

It is evident that the function  $f$  defined by  $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{2(M - \alpha + 1)}{n + \alpha(n^2 - 2n)} z^n$  belongs to the class  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{H}}^0(\alpha, M)$  and that all three inequalities are sharp. This completes the proof.  $\square$

In the following result, we establish the sharp growth estimates for functions in the class  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{H}}^0(\alpha, M)$ .

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $f = h + \bar{g} \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{H}}^0(\alpha, M)$  be of the form (1.1) for  $M > 0$  and  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ . Then,*

$$|z| + 2(M - \alpha + 1) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1} |z|^n}{n(1 + \alpha(n - 2))} \leq |f(z)| \leq |z| + 2(M - \alpha + 1) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{|z|^n}{n(1 + \alpha(n - 2))}. \quad (2.5)$$

For each  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ ,  $z \neq 0$ , equality occurs for the function  $f$  given by  $f(z) = z + 2(M - \alpha + 1) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n(1 + \alpha(n - 2))}$  or its suitable rotations.

*Proof.* Let  $f = h + \bar{g} \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{H}}^0(\alpha, M)$  for  $M > 0$ ,  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$  and  $M - \alpha + 1 > 0$ . Then  $F_\varepsilon = h + \varepsilon g \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha, M)$  for each  $|\varepsilon| = 1$ . Thus, we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( (1 - \alpha)F'_\varepsilon(z) + \alpha z F''_\varepsilon(z) + M \right) > 0 \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Then there exists an analytic function  $\omega : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  with  $\omega(0) = 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(1-\alpha)F'_\varepsilon(z) + \alpha z F''_\varepsilon(z) + M}{M - \alpha + 1} &= \frac{1 + \omega(z)}{1 - \omega(z)}, \\ \text{i.e., } (1-\alpha)F'_\varepsilon(z) + \alpha z F''_\varepsilon(z) &= (M - \alpha + 1) \frac{1 + \omega(z)}{1 - \omega(z)} - M, \\ \text{i.e., } z^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-2} ((1-\alpha)F'_\varepsilon(z) + \alpha z F''_\varepsilon(z)) &= (1-\alpha)z^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-2} \frac{1 + \omega(z)}{1 - \omega(z)} + 2Mz^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-2} \frac{\omega(z)}{1 - \omega(z)}, \\ \text{i.e., } \frac{d}{dz} \left( \alpha z^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} F'_\varepsilon(z) \right) &= (1-\alpha)z^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-2} \frac{1 + \omega(z)}{1 - \omega(z)} + 2Mz^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-2} \frac{\omega(z)}{1 - \omega(z)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

Note that, we can choose an analytic branch of  $z^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1}$  ( $1/\alpha - 1 \geq 0$ ) by restricting the argument of  $z$  to the range  $(-\pi, \pi]$ . This ensure that the function is single-valued and analytic within that range. Hence the function  $z^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1}$  is differentiable (see [14]). Using the Schwarz lemma, we have  $|\omega(z)| \leq |z|$  for  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ . We consider the following cases.

**Case 1.** Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ . Using  $F'_\varepsilon(0) = 1$ , from (2.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &|\alpha z^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} F'_\varepsilon(z)| \\ &= \left| (1-\alpha) \int_0^z \xi^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-2} \frac{1 + \omega(\xi)}{1 - \omega(\xi)} d\xi + 2M \int_0^z \xi^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-2} \frac{\omega(\xi)}{1 - \omega(\xi)} d\xi \right| \\ &= \left| (1-\alpha) \int_0^{|z|} (te^{i\theta})^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-2} \frac{1 + \omega(te^{i\theta})}{1 - \omega(te^{i\theta})} e^{i\theta} dt + 2M \int_0^{|z|} (te^{i\theta})^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-2} \frac{\omega(te^{i\theta})}{1 - \omega(te^{i\theta})} e^{i\theta} dt \right| \\ &\leq (1-\alpha) \int_0^{|z|} t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-2} \frac{1+t}{1-t} dt + 2M \int_0^{|z|} t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-2} \frac{t}{1-t} dt \\ &\leq (1-\alpha) \int_0^{|z|} \left( t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-2} + 2t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^n dt \right) + 2M \int_0^{|z|} t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^n dt \\ &= (1-\alpha) \frac{|z|^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1}}{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} + 2(M-\alpha+1) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|z|^{\frac{1}{\alpha}+n}}{\frac{1}{\alpha}+n}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |F'_\varepsilon(z)| = |h'(z) + \varepsilon g'(z)| &\leq 1 + 2(M - \alpha + 1) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|z|^{n+1}}{1 + \alpha n} \\ &= 1 + 2(M - \alpha + 1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|z|^n}{1 + \alpha(n-1)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

Since  $\varepsilon$  ( $|\varepsilon| = 1$ ) is arbitrary, it follows from (2.8) that

$$|h'(z)| + |g'(z)| \leq 1 + 2(M - \alpha + 1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|z|^n}{1 + \alpha(n-1)}.$$

Let  $\Gamma$  be the radial segment from 0 to  $z$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z)| &= \left| \int_{\Gamma} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial \xi} d\xi + \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{\xi}} d\bar{\xi} \right) \right| \leq \int_{\Gamma} (|h'(\xi)| + |g'(\xi)|) |d\xi| \\ &\leq \int_0^{|z|} \left( 1 + 2(M - \alpha + 1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{1 + \alpha(n-1)} \right) dt \\ &= |z| + 2(M - \alpha + 1) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{|z|^n}{n(1 + \alpha(n-2))}. \end{aligned}$$

Again from (2.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \alpha z^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} F'_\varepsilon(z) \right| \\ &= \left| (1 - \alpha) \int_0^{|z|} (te^{i\theta})^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-2} \frac{1 + \omega(te^{i\theta})}{1 - \omega(te^{i\theta})} e^{i\theta} dt + 2M \int_0^{|z|} (te^{i\theta})^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-2} \frac{\omega(te^{i\theta})}{1 - \omega(te^{i\theta})} e^{i\theta} dt \right| \\ &\geq (1 - \alpha) \int_0^{|z|} t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-2} \operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{1 + \omega(te^{i\theta})}{1 - \omega(te^{i\theta})} \right) dt + 2M \int_0^{|z|} t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-2} \operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{\omega(te^{i\theta})}{1 - \omega(te^{i\theta})} \right) dt. \quad (2.9) \end{aligned}$$

It is evident that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{1 + \omega(z)}{1 - \omega(z)} \right) &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1 + \omega(z)}{1 - \omega(z)} + \frac{1 + \overline{\omega(z)}}{1 - \overline{\omega(z)}} \right) \\ &= \frac{1 - |\omega(z)|^2}{|1 - \omega(z)|^2} \geq \frac{(1 + |\omega(z)|)(1 - |\omega(z)|)}{(1 + |\omega(z)|)^2} \geq \frac{1 - |z|}{1 + |z|}. \quad (2.10) \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, note that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}(\omega(z)) &\geq -|\omega(z)| \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad \operatorname{Re}(\omega(z)) - |\omega(z)|^2 \geq -|\omega(z)|(1 + |\omega(z)|) \\ \text{and} \quad \frac{\operatorname{Re}(\omega(z)) - |\omega(z)|^2}{|1 - \omega(z)|^2} &\geq \frac{-|\omega(z)|(1 + |\omega(z)|)}{(1 + |\omega(z)|)^2} = \frac{-|\omega(z)|}{1 + |\omega(z)|}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{\omega(z)}{1 - \omega(z)} \right) = \frac{\operatorname{Re}(\omega(z)) - |\omega(z)|^2}{|1 - \omega(z)|^2} \geq \frac{-|z|}{1 + |z|}. \quad (2.11)$$

From (2.9), (2.10) and (2.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \alpha z^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} F'_\varepsilon(z) \right| \\ &\geq (1 - \alpha) \int_0^{|z|} t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-2} \frac{1-t}{1+t} dt - 2M \int_0^{|z|} t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-2} \frac{t}{1+t} dt \\ &= (1 - \alpha) \int_0^{|z|} \left( t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-2} - 2t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n t^n dt \right) - 2M \int_0^{|z|} t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n t^n \\ &= (1 - \alpha) \frac{|z|^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1}}{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} - 2(M - \alpha + 1) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{|z|^{\frac{1}{\alpha}+n}}{\frac{1}{\alpha}+n}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$|F'_\varepsilon(z)| = |h'(z) + \varepsilon g'(z)| \geq 1 - 2(M - \alpha + 1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} \frac{|z|^n}{1 + \alpha(n-1)}. \quad (2.12)$$

Since  $\varepsilon$  ( $|\varepsilon| = 1$ ) is arbitrary, it follows from (2.12) that

$$|h'(z)| - |g'(z)| \geq 1 - 2(M - \alpha + 1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} \frac{|z|^n}{1 + \alpha(n-1)}. \quad (2.13)$$

In view of (2.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z)| &= \left| \int_0^z \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial \xi} d\xi + \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{\xi}} d\bar{\xi} \right) \right| \\ &\geq \int_0^{|z|} (|h'(\xi)| - |g'(\xi)|) |d\xi| \\ &\geq \int_0^{|z|} \left( 1 - 2(M - \alpha + 1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} \frac{t^n}{1 + \alpha(n-1)} \right) dt \\ &= |z| + 2(M - \alpha + 1) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} \frac{|z|^n}{n(1 + \alpha(n-2))}. \end{aligned}$$

**Case 2.** Let  $\alpha = 1$ . From (2.6), we have

$$\frac{d}{dz} (F'_\varepsilon(z)) = \frac{2M\omega(z)}{z(1 - \omega(z))}.$$

Using  $F'_\varepsilon(0) = 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |F'_\varepsilon(z)| &= \left| 1 + 2M \int_0^{|z|} \frac{\omega(te^{i\theta})}{te^{i\theta}(1 - \omega(te^{i\theta}))} e^{i\theta} dt \right| \\ &\leq 1 + 2M \int_0^{|z|} \frac{dt}{1-t} = 1 + 2M \int_0^{|z|} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^n dt = 1 + 2M \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|z|^n}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |F'_\varepsilon(z)| &\geq 1 + 2M \int_0^{|z|} \frac{1}{t} \operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{\omega(te^{i\theta})}{1 - \omega(te^{i\theta})} \right) dt \\ &\geq 1 - 2M \int_0^{|z|} \frac{dt}{1+t} = 1 - 2M \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1} |z|^n}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

Using similar argument as in Case 1, we have

$$|z| + 2M \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1} |z|^n}{n(n-1)} \leq |f(z)| \leq |z| + 2M \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{|z|^n}{n(n-1)}.$$

Equality holds in (2.5) when  $f$  given by

$$f(z) = z + 2(M - \alpha + 1) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n(1 + \alpha(n-2))}$$

or its suitable rotations. This completes the proof.  $\square$



**Remark 2.1.** Setting  $\alpha = 1$  in Theorem 2.4 gives the sharp version of Theorem C.

The following theorem gives a sufficient condition for a function to belong to  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{H}}^0(\alpha, M)$ .

**Theorem 2.5.** Let  $f = h + \bar{g} \in \mathcal{H}$  with  $g'(0) = 0$  be given by (1.1). If

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n + \alpha n(n-2)) (|a_n| + |b_n|) < M - \alpha + 1,$$

then  $f \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{H}}^0(\alpha, M)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f = h + \bar{g} \in \mathcal{H}$  with  $g'(0) = 0$ . Let  $h(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  and  $g(z) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n$ . Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}((1-\alpha)h'(z) + \alpha zh''(z)) &= \operatorname{Re}\left((1-\alpha) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n + \alpha n(n-2)) a_n z^{n-1}\right) \\ &\geq (1-\alpha) - \left| \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n + \alpha n(n-2)) a_n z^{n-1} \right| \\ &\geq (1-\alpha) - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n + \alpha n(n-2)) |a_n|. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n + \alpha n(n-2)) (|a_n| + |b_n|) < M - \alpha + 1$ , thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}((1-\alpha)h'(z) + \alpha zh''(z)) &> -M + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n + \alpha n(n-2)) |b_n| \\ &\geq -M + \left| \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n + \alpha n(n-2)) b_n z^{n-1} \right| \\ &= -M + |(1-\alpha)g'(z) + \alpha zg''(z)|, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that  $f \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{H}}^0(\alpha, M)$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

We now recall the following well-known result.

**Lemma 2.1.** [2] Let  $f = h + \bar{g}$  be given by (1.1).

(i) If  $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n (|a_n| + |b_n|) \leq 1$ , then  $f$  is starlike in  $\mathbb{D}$ ;

(ii) If  $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^2 (|a_n| + |b_n|) \leq 1$ , then  $f$  is convex in  $\mathbb{D}$ .

By Theorem 2.3 and Lemma 2.1, it is possible to show that each  $f \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{H}}^0(\alpha, M)$  is convex (resp. starlike) in some disk  $D$ , i.e.,  $f(D)$  is a convex domain (resp.  $f(D)$  is a domain starlike with respect to the origin).

**Theorem 2.6.** Let  $f = h + \bar{g} \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{H}}^0(\alpha, M)$  be given by (1.1) for  $M > 0$ ,  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$  with  $M - \alpha + 1 > 0$ . Then,  $f$  is starlike in  $|z| \leq r^*$  and convex in  $|z| \leq r_c$ , where  $r^* \in (0, 1)$  is the smallest root of the equation

$$r \int_{s=0}^1 \frac{s^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1}}{1-rs} ds = \frac{\alpha}{2(M-\alpha+1)}$$

and  $r_c \in (0, 1)$  is the smallest root of the equation

$$\frac{r}{1-r} + \left(2 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) r \int_{s=0}^1 \frac{s^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1}}{1-rs} ds = \frac{\alpha}{2(M-\alpha+1)}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $0 < r < 1$  and  $f_r(z) = (1/r)f(rz) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n r^{n-1} z^n + \overline{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n r^{n-1} z^n}$  for  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ . For convenience, let

$$S_1 = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n (|a_n| + |b_n|) r^{n-1} \quad \text{and} \quad S_2 = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^2 (|a_n| + |b_n|) r^{n-1}.$$

According to Lemma 2.1, it suffices to show that  $S_1 \leq 1$  for  $|z| = r \leq r^*$  and  $S_2 \leq 1$  for  $|z| = r \leq r_c$ . In view of Theorem 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &\leq 2(M-\alpha+1) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{r^{n-1}}{1+\alpha(n-2)} = \frac{2(M-\alpha+1)}{\alpha} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{r^{n-1}}{\frac{1}{\alpha} + n - 2} \\ &= \frac{2(M-\alpha+1)}{\alpha} \left( r^{1-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \int_{t=0}^r t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}+n-3} dt \right) \\ &= \frac{2(M-\alpha+1)}{\alpha} \left( r^{1-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \int_{t=0}^r \frac{t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1}}{1-t} dt \right) \\ &= \frac{2(M-\alpha+1)}{\alpha} r \int_{s=0}^1 \frac{s^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1}}{1-rs} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$G_1(r) := r \int_{s=0}^1 \frac{s^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1}}{1-rs} ds - \frac{\alpha}{2(M-\alpha+1)} = 0. \quad (2.14)$$

Note that the function  $G_1(r)$  is continuous in  $[0, 1]$  with  $G_1(0) = -\frac{\alpha}{2(M-\alpha+1)} < 0$  and  $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} G_1(r) = +\infty$ . In view of the Intermediate value theorem, there exists a  $r_1 \in (0, 1)$  such that  $G_1(r_1) = 0$ . Therefore,  $S_1 \leq 1$  if  $r \leq r^*$ , where  $r^* \in (0, 1)$  is the smallest root of the equation (2.14). In view of Theorem 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_2 &\leq 2(M-\alpha+1) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{nr^{n-1}}{1+\alpha(n-2)} = \frac{2(M-\alpha+1)}{\alpha} \left( \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{r^n}{\frac{1}{\alpha} + n - 2} \right)' \\ &= \frac{2(M-\alpha+1)}{\alpha} \left( r^{2-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \int_{t=0}^r t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}+n-3} dt \right)' \\ &= \frac{2(M-\alpha+1)}{\alpha} \left( r^{2-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \int_{t=0}^r \frac{t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1}}{1-t} dt \right)' \\ &= \frac{2(M-\alpha+1)}{\alpha} \left( \frac{r}{1-r} + \left(2 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) r^{1-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \int_{t=0}^r \frac{t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1}}{1-t} dt \right) \\ &= \frac{2(M-\alpha+1)}{\alpha} \left( \frac{r}{1-r} + \left(2 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) r \int_{s=0}^1 \frac{s^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1}}{1-rs} ds \right). \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$G_2(r) := \frac{r}{1-r} + \left(2 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) r \int_{s=0}^1 \frac{s^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1}}{1-rs} ds - \frac{\alpha}{2(M-\alpha+1)} = 0. \quad (2.15)$$

Note that the function  $G_2(r)$  is continuous in  $[0, 1)$  with  $G_2(0) = -\frac{\alpha}{2(M-\alpha+1)} < 0$  and  $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} G_2(r) = +\infty$ . In view of the Intermediate value theorem, there exists a  $r_2 \in (0, 1)$  such that  $G_2(r_2) = 0$ . Therefore,  $S_2 \leq 1$  if  $r \leq r_c$ , where  $r_c \in (0, 1)$  is the smallest root of the equation (2.15). This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 2.2.** For parameters  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $c \neq -k$  ( $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ ) and  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ , the Gaussian hypergeometric function is defined by

$$F(a, b, c, z) := {}_2F_1(a, b; c; z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_n z^n, \quad \text{where } \gamma_n = \frac{(a)_n (b)_n}{(c)_n (1)_n},$$

which converges for all  $z \in \mathbb{D}$  and converges on the circle  $|z| = 1$  if  $\operatorname{Re}(c - a - b) > 0$ . Here,  $(a)_n$  is the Pochhammer symbol defined as follows:

$$(a)_n := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n = 0 \\ a(a+1)(a+2) \cdots (a+n-1), & \text{if } n > 0. \end{cases}$$

It is evident that the Gaussian hypergeometric function  $F(a, b; c; z)$  is analytic within the domain  $\mathbb{D}$ , and it can also be analytically continued outside the unit circle. For further details, we refer to [15] and the references cited therein. It is evident that

$$\int_{s=0}^1 \frac{s^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1}}{1-rs} ds = \alpha {}_2F_1\left(1, \frac{1}{\alpha}; 1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}; r\right).$$

Note that  ${}_2F_1\left(1, \frac{1}{\alpha}; 1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}; 0\right) = 1$  and  ${}_2F_1\left(1, \frac{1}{\alpha}; 1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}; 1\right) = +\infty$ . By using the Gaussian hypergeometric function with the above arguments, we can alternatively ensure the existence of positive roots of the equations (2.14) and (2.15) in  $(0, 1)$ .

### 3. CONVEX COMBINATIONS AND CONVOLUTIONS

In the following result, we establish that the class  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{H}}^0(\alpha, M)$  is invariant under convex combinations.

**Theorem 3.1.** *The class  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{H}}^0(\alpha, M)$  is closed under convex combinations.*

*Proof.* Let  $f_i = h_i + \overline{g_i} \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{H}}^0(\alpha, M)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^n t_i = 1$ , where  $0 \leq t_i \leq 1$  for each  $i$ . Thus, we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( (1-\alpha)h'_i(z) + \alpha zh''_i(z) \right) > -M + \left| (1-\alpha)h'_i(z) + \alpha zg''_i(z) \right|$$

with  $h_i(0) = g_i(0) = h'_i(0) - 1 = g'_i(0) = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ . The convex combination of the  $f_i$ 's can be written as

$$f(z) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i f_i(z) = h(z) + \overline{g(z)},$$

where  $h(z) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i h_i(z)$  and  $g(z) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i g_i(z)$ . It is evident that both  $h$  and  $g$  are analytic in  $\mathbb{D}$  with  $h(0) = g(0) = h'(0) - 1 = g'(0) = 0$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Re}((1-\alpha)h'(z) + \alpha zh''(z)) &= \operatorname{Re}\left((1-\alpha)\sum_{i=1}^n t_i h'_i(z) + \alpha z \sum_{i=1}^n t_i h''_i(z)\right) \\
&= \operatorname{Re}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n t_i ((1-\alpha)h'_i(z) + \alpha zh''_i(z))\right) \\
&> \sum_{i=1}^n t_i (-M + |(1-\alpha)g'_i(z) + \alpha zg''_i(z)|) \\
&= -M + \sum_{i=1}^n t_i |(1-\alpha)g'_i(z) + \alpha zg''_i(z)| \\
&\geq -M + \left| (1-\alpha) \left( \sum_{i=1}^n t_i g'_i(z) \right) + \alpha z \left( \sum_{i=1}^n t_i g''_i(z) \right) \right| \\
&= -M + |(1-\alpha)g'(z) + \alpha zg''(z)|,
\end{aligned}$$

which shows that  $f \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{H}}^0(\alpha, M)$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

We need the following essential lemmas to prove our convolution results.

**Lemma 3.1.** [16] *Let  $\{a_n\}$  be a convex null sequence. Then the function  $p$  given by  $p(z) = a_0/2 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{D}$  and  $\operatorname{Re}(p(z)) > 0$ .*

**Lemma 3.2.** [16] *Let the function  $p$  be analytic in  $\mathbb{D}$  with  $p(0) = 1$  and  $\operatorname{Re}(p(z)) > 1/2$  in  $\mathbb{D}$ . Then for any analytic function  $f$  in  $\mathbb{D}$ , the function  $p * f$  takes values in the convex hull of the image of  $\mathbb{D}$  under  $f$ .*

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $F \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha, M)$  with  $M - \alpha + 1 \leq \frac{3(1+\alpha)}{6\alpha+4}$ . Then,  $\operatorname{Re}(F(z)/z) > 1/2$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $F \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha, M)$  be given by  $F(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} A_n z^n$ . Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\operatorname{Re}((1-\alpha)F'(z) + \alpha z F''(z)) > -M, \\
\text{i.e., } &\operatorname{Re}\left((M-\alpha+1) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} ((1-\alpha)n + \alpha n(n-1))A_n z^{n-1}\right) > 0, \\
\text{i.e., } &\operatorname{Re}\left(1 + \frac{1}{2(M-\alpha+1)} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n + \alpha n(n-2))A_n z^{n-1}\right) > \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{D}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let  $p(z) = 1 + \frac{1}{2(M-\alpha+1)} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n + \alpha n(n-2))A_n z^{n-1}$ . Then,  $p(0) = 1$  and  $\operatorname{Re}(p(z)) > 1/2$  in  $\mathbb{D}$ . We consider a sequence  $\{c_n\}$  defined by  $c_0 = 1$  and  $c_{n-1} = \frac{2(M-\alpha+1)}{n+\alpha n(n-2)}$  for  $n \geq 2$ . It is evident that  $c_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Note that,  $c_0 - c_1 = 1 - (M - \alpha + 1)$ ,  $c_1 - c_2 = (M - \alpha + 1)(1 + 3\alpha)/(3 + 3\alpha)$ ,  $c_2 - c_3 = (5\alpha + 1)(M - \alpha + 1)/6((\alpha + 1)(2\alpha + 1))$ ,  $c_3 - c_4 = (7\alpha + 1)(M - \alpha + 1)/(10(2\alpha + 1)(3\alpha + 1))$ , etc. It is evident that

$$\begin{aligned}
(c_1 - c_2) - (c_2 - c_3) &= \frac{(12\alpha^2 + 5\alpha + 1)(M - \alpha + 1)}{6(\alpha + 1)(2\alpha + 1)} \geq 0, \\
(c_2 - c_3) - (c_3 - c_4) &= \frac{(27\alpha^2 + 8\alpha + 1)(M - \alpha + 1)}{15(\alpha + 1)(2\alpha + 1)(3\alpha + 1)} \geq 0
\end{aligned}$$

and so on. Thus, we have  $c_{i-2} - c_{i-1} \geq c_{i-1} - c_i \geq 0$  for  $i \geq 3$ . Therefore,

$$c_0 - c_1 \geq c_1 - c_2 \geq \cdots \geq c_{n-1} - c_n \geq \cdots \geq 0$$

is possible only when  $M - \alpha + 1 \leq 3(1 + \alpha)/(6\alpha + 4)$ . Thus,  $\{c_n\}$  is a convex null sequence. In view of Lemma 3.1, we have the function

$$q(z) = \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{2(M - \alpha + 1)}{n + \alpha n(n - 2)} z^{n-1},$$

which is analytic in  $\mathbb{D}$  and  $\operatorname{Re}(q(z)) > 0$ . Therefore

$$\frac{F(z)}{z} = 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} A_n z^{n-1} = p(z) * \left( 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{2(M - \alpha + 1)}{n + \alpha n(n - 2)} z^{n-1} \right). \quad (3.1)$$

In view of Lemma 3.2 and using (3.1), we have  $\operatorname{Re}(F(z)/z) > 1/2$  for  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $F_1, F_2 \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha, M)$  with  $M - \alpha + 1 \leq 3(1 + \alpha)/(6\alpha + 4)$ . Then  $F_1 * F_2 \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha, M)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $F_1(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} A_n z^n$  and  $F_2(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} B_n z^n$ . Then the convolution of  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  is given by  $F(z) = F_1(z) * F_2(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} A_n B_n z^n$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \alpha)F'(z) + \alpha z F''(z) &= (1 - \alpha) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n + \alpha n(n - 2)) A_n B_n z^{n-1} \\ &= \left( \frac{F_2(z)}{z} \right) * ((1 - \alpha)F_1'(z) + \alpha z F_1''(z)). \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Since  $F_1, F_2 \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha, M)$ , it follows that  $\operatorname{Re}((1 - \alpha)F_1'(z) + \alpha z F_1''(z)) > -M$  and in view of Lemma 3.3, we have  $\operatorname{Re}(F_2(z)/z) > 1/2$  for  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ . In view of Lemma 3.2 and using (3.2), we have  $\operatorname{Re}((1 - \alpha)F(z) + \alpha z F''(z)) > -M$  for  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ . Hence,  $F = F_1 * F_2 \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha, M)$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

In the following result, we establish that the class  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{H}}^0(\alpha, M)$  is invariant under convolutions for some certain condition.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $F_1, F_2 \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{H}}^0(\alpha, M)$  with  $M - \alpha + 1 \leq 3(1 + \alpha)/(6\alpha + 4)$ . Then  $F_1 * F_2 \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{H}}^0(\alpha, M)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $F_1 = h_1 + \overline{g_1}$  and  $F_2 = h_2 + \overline{g_2}$  be two functions in  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{H}}^0(\alpha, M)$ . The convolution of  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  is given by  $F_1 * F_2 = h_1 * h_2 + \overline{g_1 * g_2}$ . In order to show that  $F_1 * F_2 \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{H}}^0(\alpha, M)$ , it is sufficient to show that  $F = h_1 * h_2 + \varepsilon(g_1 * g_2) \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha, M)$  for each  $\varepsilon$  with  $|\varepsilon| = 1$ . By Theorem 2.1, we have  $h_1 + \varepsilon g_1, h_2 + \varepsilon g_2 \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha, M)$  for each  $\varepsilon$  with  $|\varepsilon| = 1$ . Now

$$F = h_1 * h_2 + \varepsilon(g_1 * g_2) = \frac{1}{2}((h_1 - g_1) * (h_2 - \varepsilon g_2)) + \frac{1}{2}((h_1 + g_1) * (h_2 + \varepsilon g_2)).$$

Using Lemma 3.4, we have  $(h_1 - g_1) * (h_2 - \varepsilon g_2), (h_1 + g_1) * (h_2 + \varepsilon g_2) \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha, M)$ . In view of Theorem 3.1, we have  $F \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha, M)$ . Thus, the class  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{H}}^0(\alpha, M)$  is closed under convolution. This completes the proof.  $\square$

In 2002, Goodloe [10] defined the Hadamard product of a harmonic function with an analytic function as follows:

$$f \tilde{*} \phi = h * \phi + \overline{g * \phi},$$

where  $f = h + \overline{g}$  is harmonic mapping in  $\mathbb{D}$  and  $\phi$  is an analytic function in  $\mathbb{D}$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{H}}^0(\alpha, M)$  be given by (1.1) and  $\phi \in \mathcal{A}$  be such that  $\operatorname{Re}(\phi(z)/z) > 1/2$  for  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ . Then  $f \tilde{*} \phi \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{H}}^0(\alpha, M)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f = h + \bar{g} \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{H}}^0(\alpha, M)$ . In view of Theorem 2.1, we have  $f_\varepsilon = h + \varepsilon g \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha, M)$  for each  $\varepsilon$  with  $|\varepsilon| = 1$ . In order to prove that  $f \tilde{*} \phi = h * \phi + \overline{g * \phi} \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{H}}^0(\alpha, M)$ , it is sufficient to show that  $F_\varepsilon(z) = h * \phi + \varepsilon(g * \phi) \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha, M)$  for each  $\varepsilon$  ( $|\varepsilon| = 1$ ). Since  $f_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha, M)$  and  $\phi \in \mathcal{A}$ , let  $f_\varepsilon(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} A_n z^n$  and  $\phi(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} B_n z^n$ . Therefore,  $F_\varepsilon = f_\varepsilon * \phi = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} A_n B_n z^n$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \alpha)F'_\varepsilon(z) + \alpha z F''_\varepsilon(z) &= (1 - \alpha) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n + \alpha n(n - 2)) A_n B_n z^{n-1} \\ &= \left( \frac{\phi(z)}{z} \right) * ((1 - \alpha)f'_\varepsilon(z) + \alpha z f''_\varepsilon(z)). \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

As  $\operatorname{Re}(\phi(z)/z) > 1/2$  for  $z \in \mathbb{D}$  and  $f_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha, M)$ , i.e.,  $\operatorname{Re}((1 - \alpha)f'_\varepsilon(z) + \alpha z f''_\varepsilon(z)) > -M$ , in view of Lemma 3.2 and using (3.3), we have

$$\operatorname{Re}((1 - \alpha)F'_\varepsilon(z) + \alpha z F''_\varepsilon(z)) > -M \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{D},$$

which shows that  $F_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha, M)$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.1.** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{H}}^0(\alpha, M)$  be given by (1.1) and  $\phi \in \mathcal{K}$ , where  $\mathcal{K}$  denotes the family of all convex functions in  $\mathbb{D}$ . Then  $f \tilde{*} \phi \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{H}}^0(\alpha, M)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\phi \in \mathcal{K}$ , it follows that  $\operatorname{Re}(\phi(z)/z) > 1/2$  for  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ . The result immediately follows from Theorem 3.3.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{H}}^0(\alpha, M)$  be given by (1.1) and  $\phi \in \mathcal{A}$  be such that  $\operatorname{Re}(\phi(z)/z) > 1/2$  for  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ . Then  $f * (\phi + \beta \bar{\phi}) \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{H}}^0(\alpha, M)$ , where  $|\beta| = 1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f = h + \bar{g} \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{H}}^0(\alpha, M)$  be of the form (1.1), i.e.,  $h(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ ,  $g(z) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n$ . Therefore,

$$f * (\phi + \beta \bar{\phi}) = (h + \bar{g}) * \left( \phi + \overline{\beta \phi} \right) = h * \phi + \overline{\beta (g * \phi)}.$$

To prove that  $f * (\phi + \beta \bar{\phi}) \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{H}}^0(\alpha, M)$ , in view of Theorem 2.1, it is sufficient to show that  $f_\varepsilon = h * \phi + \varepsilon \beta \overline{g * \phi} \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha, M)$  for each  $\varepsilon$  ( $|\varepsilon| = 1$ ). Let  $\phi(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} C_n z^n$ . For each  $|\varepsilon| = 1$ , we have

$$(1 - \alpha)f'_\varepsilon(z) + \alpha z f''_\varepsilon(z) = \left( \frac{\phi(z)}{z} \right) * \left( (1 - \alpha)(h(z) + \varepsilon \beta \overline{g(z)})' + \alpha z (h(z) + \varepsilon \beta \overline{g(z)})'' \right). \quad (3.4)$$

Since  $f = h + \bar{g} \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{H}}^0(\alpha, M)$ , in view of Theorem 2.1, we have  $h + \varepsilon \beta \overline{g} \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha, M)$  for  $\varepsilon, \beta$  with  $|\varepsilon \beta| = 1$ , i.e.,  $|\beta| = 1$ . Therefore, we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( (1 - \alpha)(h(z) + \varepsilon \beta \overline{g(z)})' + \alpha z (h(z) + \varepsilon \beta \overline{g(z)})'' \right) > -M \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Since  $\operatorname{Re}(\phi(z)/z) > 1/2$  for  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ , using Lemma 3.2 and (3.4), we have

$$\operatorname{Re}((1 - \alpha)f'_\varepsilon(z) + \alpha z f''_\varepsilon(z)) > -M \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Hence,  $f_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha, M)$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{H}}^0(\alpha, M)$  be given by (1.1) and  $\phi \in \mathcal{K}$ , where  $\mathcal{K}$  denotes the family of all convex functions in  $\mathbb{D}$ . Then  $f * (\phi + \beta \bar{\phi}) \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{H}}^0(\alpha, M)$ , where  $|\beta| = 1$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\phi \in \mathcal{K}$ , it follows that  $\operatorname{Re}(\phi(z)/z) > 1/2$  for  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ . The result immediately follows from Theorem 3.4.  $\square$

## 4. STATEMENTS AND DECLARATIONS:

**Acknowledgment:** The work of the second Author is supported by University Grants Commission (IN) fellowship (No. F. 44 - 1/2018 (SA - III)).

**Conflict of Interest:** Authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

**Data availability:** Not applicable.

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. ALEMAN and A. CONSTANTIN, Harmonic maps and ideal fluid flows, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, **204** (2014), 479–513.
- [2] Y. AVCI and E. ZLOTKIEWICZ, On harmonic univalent mappings, *Ann. Uni. Mariae Curie-Sklodowska Sect. A*, **44** (1990), 1–7.
- [3] D. BSHOUTY, S. S. JOSHI and S. B. JOSHI, On close-to-convex harmonic mappings, *Complex Var. Elliptic Equ.*, **58** (2013), 1195–1199.
- [4] C. CARATHÉODORY, Sur quelques applications du théorème de Landau-Picard, *C. R. Acad. Sci. (Paris)*, **144** (1907), 1203–1206.
- [5] M. CHUAQUI, P. DUREN and B. OSGOOD, Curvature properties of planar harmonic mappings, *Comp. Methods Funct. Theory*, **4**(1) (2004), 127–142.
- [6] J. CLUNIE and T. SHEIL-SMALL, Harmonic univalent functions, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A. I.*, **9** (1984), 3–25.
- [7] P. L. DUREN, Univalent functions, *Grundlehren Der Mathematischen Wissenschaften*, vol. bf 259, *Spring*, New York, 1983.
- [8] P. L. DUREN, Harmonic mappings in the plane, *Cambridge University Press*, 2004.
- [9] N. GHOSH and V. ALLU, On some subclasses of harmonic mappings, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.*, **101** (2020), 130–140.
- [10] R. M. GOODLOE, Hadamard products of convex harmonic mappings, *Complex Var. Theory Appl.*, **47** (2002), 81–92.
- [11] D. KALAJ, S. PONNUSAMY and M. VUORINEN, Radius of close-to-convexity and full starlikeness of harmonic mappings, *Complex Var. Elliptic Equ.*, **59** (2014), 539–552.
- [12] H. LEWY, On the non-vanishing of the Jacobian in certain one-to-one mappings, *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.* **42** (1936), 689–692.
- [13] R. MANDAL, R. BISWAS and S. K. GUIN, Geometric studies and the Bohr radius for certain normalized harmonic mappings, *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.*, **47** (2024), 131.
- [14] S. PONNUSAMY, Foundations of Complex Analysis, *Alpha Science International Publishers*, UK, 2005.
- [15] S. -L. QIU and M. VUORINEN, Special functions in geometric function theory, *Handbook of Complex Analysis*, **2**, North-Holland, 621–659, 2005.
- [16] R. SINGH and S. SINGH, Convolution properties of a class of starlike functions, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.*, **106** (1989), 145–152.
- [17] D. K. THOMAS, N. TUNESKI and V. ALLU, Univalent functions. A primer, *De Gruyter Studies in Mathematics*, **69**, De Gruyter, Berlin, 2018.
- [18] X. T. WANG and X. Q. LIANG, Precise coefficient estimates for close-to-convex harmonic univalent mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **263** (2001), 501–509.

RAJIB MANDAL, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, RAIGANJ UNIVERSITY, RAIGANJ, WEST BENGAL-733134, INDIA.

*Email address:* rajibmathresearch@gmail.com

RAJU BISWAS, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, RAIGANJ UNIVERSITY, RAIGANJ, WEST BENGAL-733134, INDIA.

*Email address:* rajubiswasjanu02@gmail.com