


# Data Parallel Visualization and Rendering on the RAMSES Supercomputer with ANARI

Stefan Zellmann 



**Figure 1:** Six time steps of a large-scale scientific visualization of a computational fluid dynamic simulation—conducted by NASA for the Mars Lander atmospheric entry [Jon19]. This simulation was conducted on Summit on over 500 GPUs. The visualization was rerun on RAMSES using 36 NVIDIA H100 GPUs. The data set is partitioned into over 500 parts and comprises multiple time steps and field variables. Distributed rendering with ANARI allows to interactively visualize such data in-situ on the HPC system using ray tracing. We demonstrate a practical implementation of this on the RAMSES supercomputer at the University of Cologne.

## Abstract

3D visualization and rendering in HPC are very heterogenous applications, though fundamentally the tasks involved are well-defined and do not differ much from application to application. The Khronos Group’s ANARI standard seeks to consolidate 3D rendering across sci-vis applications. This paper makes an effort to convey challenges of 3D rendering and visualization with ANARI in the context of HPC, where the data does not fit within a single node or GPU but must be distributed. It also provides a gentle introduction to parallel rendering concepts and challenges to practitioners from the field of HPC in general. Finally, we present a case study showcasing data parallel rendering on the new supercomputer RAMSES at the University of Cologne.

## 1. Introduction

Scientific visualization (sci-vis) applications implement a variety of post-processing algorithms on scientific, three-dimensional data. Some of those algorithms are concerned with filtering the data to extract features of interest, to map such features to colors, different shapes, etc.; one particularly important algorithm is rendering that takes the filtered and mapped data and turns it into a 2D raster image that is interactively refreshed on user interaction, facilitating exploration.

A multitude of sci-vis software systems targeted at high performance computing (HPC) applications exists. Some of these software systems are quite general, such as ParaView [AGL05] or VisIt [CBW\*11] (both of which internally use the VTK library [SML06] to implement vis algorithms). Other sci-vis apps tackle very specific HPC problems [Stu10, HDS96]. Rendering is often implemented using rasterization and OpenGL.

Large-scale scientific rendering of simulation data on HPC and supercomputing systems is often implemented using ray tracing these days. HPC systems provide limited resources for hardware rasterization rendering with OpenGL and similar APIs. The hardware vendors provide optimized ray tracing kernel frameworks to accelerate ray/object intersection tests; noteworthy examples are Intel’s Embree [WWB\*14] and NVIDIA’s OptiX [PBD\*10].

This gives rise to a multitude of low-level rendering APIs for rasterization and ray tracing, and vis apps that implement their own renderers on top. 3D rendering in general is rather well-defined: the input is a structured description of the 3D geometry or volumetric data plus color or normal maps, light sources, and a virtual camera description to generate the image from. All these entities can change over time, though depending on the application, certain entities, such as the camera, are more likely to change than others (e.g., the geometry or volume elements). In principle, from the application’s side, the task of generating 2D imagery from such a structured description can be treated as a black box, given a couple of parameters and settings for image quality or interactivity.

Yet, the default approach implementing renderers so far has been for the app to implement a custom rendering subsystem that is deeply integrated into the vis app itself; VTK for example has a custom OpenGL renderer; similar renderers are also found in virtually any other vis app. OSPRay [WJA\*17] and VisRTX [Ams24] are vendor specific rendering libraries on top of Embree and OptiX.

The Khronos Group’s ANARI standard [SGA\*22] is an effort to consolidate those rendering submodules into rendering backends with a well-defined API. ANARI draws inspiration from both OSPRay and VisRTX, and seeks to hide the specifics of 3D rendering behind that API; fundamentally, any rendering algorithm can

be encapsulated behind ANARI; the application developer provides the aforementioned, structured scene description, periodically updates it as required, and calls dedicated API functions to render images. These images are later available in dedicated memory regions. ANARI also hides from the app developer if rendering happens on the CPU or the GPU. The ANARI implementation by Intel for example uses OSPRay internally and is thus well-optimized for CPUs. The user is free to choose an implementation optimized for their platform, such as one of the ANARI implementations by NVIDIA. Other ANARI implementations exist that are more focused on scientific use cases and research on rendering algorithms themselves [ZWL17], i.e., ANARI is also used by 3D rendering researchers who can directly integrate and benchmark their developments inside vis apps like ParaView that integrate ANARI in their front-ends.

In this paper we specifically look at challenges that come with data parallel rendering where the data is (or must be) distributed across a number of nodes or GPUs. An example of such data, simulated by NASA [Jon19] is shown in Fig. 1. Solutions tackling data parallel rendering under ANARI have recently been proposed by Wald et al. [WZA\*24]. This paper takes a step back and reflects on the problems leading to those solutions and tries to convey them to a (visualization) layperson audience who are familiar with concepts from HPC in general, but not with concepts from scientific visualization and ray tracing in particular. We also demonstrate a case study visualizing the lander data set on the H100 GPU partition of the RAMSES supercomputer, which was installed at the University of Cologne in 2024.


## 2. Background

We assume that the reader of this paper is a student or practitioner in HPC but has little or only passing knowledge in scientific visualization and rendering. We first describe relevant aspects of the ray tracing rendering algorithm on a high level. We then discuss what the specific challenges are if the data that is rendered is not present on a single node or GPU, but is distributed among several processors.

### 2.1. Ray Tracing

Ray tracing is one of the classic techniques to render 2D images from a 3D scene description. Independent of the algorithm, doing so requires one to solve the visibility problem, i.e., identifying those objects that are in front of all the other objects when viewed from a certain position. The rasterization algorithm, which is another classic technique, solves this by using a z-buffer data structure that stores a depth value per 2D image pixel; objects are projected from 3D to 2D and then rasterized into the z-buffer; depth pixels associated with objects that are behind other object are discarded. Ray tracing instead solves the visibility problem by geometrically intersecting objects with straight lines; these lines can originate at the virtual camera. More rays are spawned at intersection positions, allowing to compute reflections, shadows, etc. For a detailed introduction to ray tracing we refer the reader to classical text books by Marschner and Shirley [MS16] or by Glassner [Gla89].

An important aspect of ray tracing is that it is rather intuitive to



**Figure 2:** Scenario that presents a challenge for data parallel ray tracing. The data (tetraedra, finite elements, etc.) is distributed across multiple MPI ranks. Here, a ray was traced from the camera and intersects with the data on rank 0. A shadow ray is cast to determine if the intersection point requires shading. There is an occluder, but on rank 1, so that the secondary ray needs to be sent there first before the operation can complete.

implement effects such as reflection, refraction, shadows, etc.; for example, to compute if a certain spot on a surface is in shadow, one simply casts a ray towards a light source—if an object intersection was found between the surface spot and the light source, the surface is in shadow (with respect to that light source), and if it is not, the surface must be shaded.

Visibility tests involve testing rays against each object in the scene, making this an inherently inefficient algorithm if not optimized properly. Any ray tracing library will use some kind of acceleration structure allowing to cull a majority of objects that are not close to the trajectory of the ray; different strategies exist, but the most popular and successful one used today is the bounding volume hierarchy (BVH) (e.g., [Wal07]). The main idea is to compute a bounding object (usually an axis-aligned box, AABB) around a set of objects in the scene; by first testing the ray against the bounding object, which is cheap, we can determine if we possibly hit any of the objects inside. Only if the bounding object was hit do we need to intersect with individual objects; these individual objects can, however, themselves be smaller bounding objects, which gives rise to hierarchical data structures (usually trees) where the actual geometric objects (surfaces, volume elements, etc.) are at the leaf nodes. Rays are traversed down those trees until they find a leaf. Then, usually a handful of surfaces are intersected. If no surface was hit, traversal continues recursively through the tree.

Implementing efficient BVHs—ones that are fast to traverse, i.e., few traversal steps down the tree and few surface tests until an intersection was found, if any—is quite challenging and requires many low-level optimizations. These optimizations differ significantly between CPU and GPU and are the subject of state-of-the-art research even today [VWB19, YKL17]. Libraries like Embree [WWB\*14] and OptiX [PBD\*10] implement what is the current state-of-the-art regarding these acceleration structures. GPU architectures like NVIDIA’s RTX even have dedicated chips to accelerate ray-BVH traversal.

### 2.2. Data Parallel Ray Tracing

Data parallel ray tracing is typically implemented by spatially distributing the data across ranks or processes. If the data for example consists of finite elements [SZD\*23] these elements need to be dis-

tributed so that each rank gets a share of them. The distribution can be very simple, e.g., so that each rank gets an equal share. But a common scenario where shading is complex requires that rays are exchanged by ranks to test for visibility and occlusion. This is illustrated in Fig. 2. Here the data shown in blue is located on (MPI) rank 0. A ray was traced from the camera and generated a hit on rank 0. We now need to determine if the hit point is in shadow. The light source is occluded by the data on rank 1 (red elements); the shadow ray sent to determine if the hit point is occluded must be traced on that rank. We do not know in advance where such hits occur and must hence make sure to test the rays on each rank.


To implement this, rays must be queued and batched up because sending individual rays would incur prohibitive latency. Wavefront ray tracers will trace each batch of rays per pixel, then generate a next batch per “bounce”—i.e., all ranks now process shadows, reflections, etc. A traditional school of techniques advocated that the number of rays in those batches is reduced by using culling data structures such as kd-trees [ZMWP20]. The downside of this is that kd-trees require significant pre-processing and the algorithms are relatively complicated and do not generalize easily. Wald et al. [WJZ23] recently showed that on systems with low-latency interconnects (here demonstrated using NVIDIA NVLink) such culling is not necessary and it is feasible these days to just trace and cycle every ray on every process. The advantage of this is that now the data distribution can be arbitrary and potentially just reuse the exact distribution to ranks that the simulation code used as well.

It is important to note that data parallel ray tracing does not scale well: adding more processes will not result in lower execution times; if more processors are available than can fit the data, it is beneficial to combine data parallel rendering with data replication using hybrid rendering techniques [ZWB\*22].

### 3. Data Parallel Rendering with ANARI (DP-ANARI)

As stated above, 3D rendering is a well understood task, and though implemented in a myriad of ways by different apps, efforts like ANARI [SGA\*22] seek to streamline and consolidate these tasks under common APIs. We refer to [SGA\*22] for a detailed description of the Khronos standard ANARI and its API and only summarize what is important here. ANARI’s core data structure is a render graph: geometry or volumes nodes, materials, light sources, transformations, and others, form a hierarchy of at most two levels. A complete render graph is referred to as a `ANARIWorld`. Worlds describe the virtual scene, and objects in the world (as well as the world itself) are reference-counted. To render a world, the user creates a `ANARIFrame` object, a `ANARICamera`, and a `ANARIRenderer`. World, camera, and renderer become child objects of the frame, which serves as a virtual film abstraction that also has a memory buffer that can hold the final image pixels.

Notably, ANARI is a *not* a data parallel API; the standard does not mention anywhere that apps can run in a data distributed way. Wald et al. [WZA\*24] propose a set of conventions for the vis app developer to follow so that it is compatible with data parallel ANARI implementations. The paper assumes the use of MPI for this. An important assumption is that the rendering implementation uses ray queue cycling [WJZ23] so the data does not need



**Figure 3:** Thin display client connecting to DP-ANARI distributed MPI renderer on Cologne’s super computer RAMSES, here operating a display wall while RAMSES renders the NASA Mars Lander on 36 NVIDIA H100 GPUs.


to be redistributed but can directly come from the simulation. Redistribution is not necessary because every ray will eventually be cycled to every compute node, rendering complicated culling with kd-trees and the like unnecessary.

The conventions this paradigm (called DP-ANARI by the authors) imposes include that certain operations are collective similar to how MPI has collective operations; examples of that are calls to `anariRender()` to retire image pixels into the framebuffer using ray tracing. The framebuffer itself can be distributed but will eventually be available on the main MPI rank; in contrast, the scene represented by the `ANARIWorld` is assumed to be distributed and updates by the worker ranks happen locally. The app itself is assumed to perform MPI synchronization between API calls.

### 4. Interactive In-Situ Visualization on RAMSES Using DP-ANARI

DP-ANARI is used for large-scale rendering on the supercomputer RAMSES at the University of Cologne. One of the visualizations benefiting from this is the Mars Lander seen in Fig. 1 that is freely available as simulation data from NASA [Jon19]. A speciality of that data set is that it is available in the exact way the data was distributed to MPI ranks during the simulation. We refer the reader to the paper by Sahistan et al. [SDW\*24] for a detailed overview of this mixed finite element data set. The challenges with this data set are its size, and the fact that the data is distributed unevenly in space (see Fig. 4). It is hence well-suited to DP-ANARI and ray queue cycling with its low sensitivity to spatially unbalanced object distribution. The fact that the data is distributed in the exact way it was simulated on Summit allows us to replay the simulation and perform the visualization as if it was running in-situ.

One of the challenges on modern HPC systems is that OpenGL is often not available on compute nodes; on RAMSES, e.g., the users are not allowed to start their own `Xorg` instances, prohibiting the use of ParaView and `pvserver`, ParaView’s MPI server instance;



**Figure 4:** Mars Lander data set with color coding indicating which finite elements go on which rank.

though DP-ANARI does not need OpenGL, `pvserver` does so (although we bypass its internal compositing). We instead opted to integrate DP-ANARI into the virtual reality (VR) renderer OpenCOVER developed at HLRS [Wös25]. OpenCOVER can also be run in MPI cluster mode but allows the user to configure that the processes run headless and do not require a local OpenGL context to render and composite images. We added ANARI with the DP-ANARI extensions as an OpenCOVER plugin; we also added a remote rendering server operated by the plugin that allows one to connect to the OpenCOVER instance running on RAMSES with another OpenCOVER instance acting as a thin display server. An example of this thin client running the Mars Lander simulation can be seen in Fig. 3 where it is used to operate an LED display.

The bigger challenges with this data set are its size—as we have only 36 GPUs available on RAMSES (yet with much more memory than the GPUs on Summit had) we cannot directly emulate a simulation rerun, but must use multiple instances per GPU. The pragmatic way of doing so was to just trivially recombine the data so we do not have to run 500 MPI processes on 36 GPUs. Another challenge is the one mentioned above with occluders potentially ending up on different nodes than the finite elements that were initially hit, as illustrated in Fig. 2. This can also be seen in Fig. 4, which shows the lander data set color coded by rank assignment.

For rendering we can currently use the two implementations proposed by Wald et al. [WZA\*24], which are available as open source projects. *Barney* [WZAJ24] is a full-fledge MPI data parallel renderer with a low level interface and ANARI front-end implementing the ray queue cycling paradigm using NVIDIA OptiX.

We were able to fit a significant portion of the Lander data set onto the 36 H100 GPUs. As the data is time-varying we follow a strategy to selectively load and later evict time steps so we do not initially hold the whole data set in GPU memory, but only a subset of the time steps. We achieve interactive frame rates (usually 10-15 frames per second, sometimes lower, e.g., when moving the virtual camera into the data set). We note that OpenCOVER is also used to operate the CAVE virtual reality environments at both HLRS in Stuttgart and the IT-center (ITCC) at the University of Cologne, so that in future work we plan to use the newly developed ANARI plugin for in-situ visualization in virtual reality.

## 5. Conclusion

We presented data parallel rendering on the new RAMSES supercomputer at the University of Cologne, using a case study visualizing the NASA Mars Lander data set in-situ. The focus of the paper was also to convey some of the challenges with data parallel rendering to a layperson audience with passing knowledge of scientific visualization and rendering. Data parallel renderers use ray tracing these days, which makes computing secondary effects like shadows or reflections very intuitive. This, however, requires more complex communication patterns such as wavefronts and ray queue cycling than the patterns used when not simulating these effects. We demonstrated an efficient implementation of this DP-ANARI paradigm that we integrated into the open source renderer OpenCOVER, which is jointly developed and used by HLRS and the University of Cologne.

## Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) under grant no. 456842964. We express our gratitude to the IT Center of the University of Cologne (ITCC) who supported this work by graciously provided us with computing resources on the supercomputer RAMSES. We also thank the HPC team of the University of Cologne for their support in running our code on RAMSES.

## References

- [AGL05] AHRENS J., GEVECI B., LAW C.: *ParaView: An End-User Tool for Large Data Visualization*. Visualization Handbook. Elsevier, 2005. 1
- [Ams24] AMSTUTZ J.: VisRTX: A NVidia OptiX based implementation of ANARI. <https://github.com/NVIDIA/VisRTX>, 2024. URL: <https://github.com/NVIDIA/VisRTX>. 1
- [CBW\*11] CHILDS H., BRUGGER E., WHITLOCK B., MEREDITH J., AHERN S., BONNELL K., MILLER M., WEBER G. H., HARRISON C., PUGMIRE D., FOGAL T., GARTH C., SANDERSON A., BETHEL E. W., DURANT M., CAMP D., FAVRE J. M., RÜBEL O., NAVRÁTIL P., WHEELER M., SELBY P., VIVODTZEV F.: VisIt: An End-User Tool For Visualizing and Analyzing Very Large Data. In *Proceedings of SciDAC 2011* (Denver, CO, July 2011). 1
- [Gla89] GLASSNER A. S. (Ed.): *An introduction to ray tracing*. Academic Press Ltd., GBR, 1989. 2
- [HDS96] HUMPHREY W., DALKE A., SCHULTEN K.: VMD – Visual Molecular Dynamics. *Journal of Molecular Graphics* 14 (1996). 1
- [Jon19] JONES K. E.: Summit Supercomputer Simulates How Humans Will Brake During Mars Landing. <https://www.ornl.gov/news/summit-simulates-how-humans-will-brake-during-mars-landing>, October 2019. 1, 2, 3
- [MS16] MARSCHNER S., SHIRLEY P.: *Fundamentals of Computer Graphics, Fourth Edition*, 4th ed. A. K. Peters, Ltd., USA, 2016. 2
- [PBD\*10] PARKER S. G., BIGLER J., DIETRICH A., FRIEDRICH H., HOBEROCK J., LUEBKE D., MCALLISTER D., MCGUIRE M., MORLEY K., ROBISON A.: OptiX: A General Purpose Ray Tracing Engine. *ACM Transactions on Graphics (Proceedings of ACM SIGGRAPH)* (2010). 1, 2
- [SDW\*24] SAHISTAN A., DEMIRCI S., WALD I., ZELLMANN S., BARBOSA J., MORRICAL N., GÜDÜKBAY U.: Visualization of Large Non-Trivially Partitioned Unstructured Data with Native Distribution on High-Performance Computing Systems. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics* (2024), 1–14. doi:10.1109/TVCG.2024.3427335. 3

- [SGA\*22] STONE J. E., GRIFFIN K., AMSTUTZ J., DEMARLE D. E., SHERMAN W. R., GÜNTHER J.: ANARI: A 3-D Rendering API Standard. *Computing in Science & Engineering* 24, 02 (2022). doi:10.1109/MCSE.2022.3163151. 1, 3
- [SML06] SCHROEDER W., MARTIN K., LORENSEN B.: *The Visualization Toolkit (4th ed.)*. Kitware, 2006. 1
- [Stu10] STUKOWSKI A.: Visualization and analysis of atomistic simulation data with OVITO—the Open Visualization Tool. *Modelling and Simulation in Materials Science and Engineering* 18, 1 (2010). doi:10.1088/0965-0393/18/1/015012. 1
- [SZD\*23] SARTON J., ZELLMANN S., DEMIRCI S., GÜDÜKBAY U., ALEXANDRE-BARFF W., LUCAS L., DISCHLER J. M., WESNER S., WALD I.: State-of-the-art in Large-Scale Volume Visualization Beyond Structured Data. *Computer Graphics Forum* 42, 3 (2023), 491–515. URL: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/cgf.14857>, arXiv:<https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1111/cgf.14857>, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1111/cgf.14857>. 2
- [VWB19] VAIDYANATHAN K., WOOP S., BENTHIN C.: Wide BVH Traversal with a Short Stack. In *High-Performance Graphics - Short Papers* (2019), Steinberger M., Foley T., (Eds.), The Eurographics Association. doi:10.2312/hpg.20191190. 2
- [Wal07] WALD I.: On fast Construction of SAH-based Bounding Volume Hierarchies. In *Proceedings of the 2007 IEEE Symposium on Interactive Ray Tracing* (USA, 2007), RT '07, IEEE Computer Society, p. 33–40. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1109/RT.2007.4342588>, doi:10.1109/RT.2007.4342588. 2
- [WJA\*17] WALD I., JOHNSON G. P., AMSTUTZ J., BROWNLEE C., KNOLL A., JEFFERS J., GÜNTHER J., NAVRÁTIL P.: OSPRay – A CPU Ray Tracing Framework for Scientific Visualization. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics* (2017). 1
- [WJZ23] WALD I., JAROŠ M., ZELLMANN S.: Data Parallel Multi-GPU Path Tracing using Ray Queue Cycling. *Computer Graphics Forum* 42, 8 (2023). doi:<https://doi.org/10.1111/cgf.14873>. 3
- [Wös25] WÖSSNER U.: COVISE (Collaborative Visualization and Simulation Environment). <https://www.hlrs.de/solutions/types-of-computing/visualization/covise>, 2025. URL: <https://www.hlrs.de/solutions/types-of-computing/visualization/covise>. 4
- [WWB\*14] WALD I., WOOP S., BENTHIN C., JOHNSON G. S., ERNST M.: Embree: a kernel framework for efficient CPU ray tracing. *ACM Trans. Graph.* 33, 4 (July 2014). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1145/2601097.2601199>, doi:10.1145/2601097.2601199. 1, 2
- [WZA\*24] WALD I., ZELLMANN S., AMSTUTZ J., WU Q., GRIFFIN K., JAROS M., WESNER S.: Standardized Data-Parallel Rendering Using ANARI. In *2024 IEEE 14th Symposium on Large Data Analysis and Visualization (LDAV)* (2024), pp. 23–32. doi:10.1109/LDAV64567.2024.00013. 2, 3, 4
- [WZAJ24] WALD I., ZELLMANN S., AMSTUTZ J., JAROŠ M.: Barney: A Data-Parallel Ray Tracing Framework for Large Scientific Data. <https://github.io/ingowald/barney>, 2024. 4
- [YKL17] YLITIE H., KARRAS T., LAINE S.: Efficient incoherent ray traversal on gpus through compressed wide bvhs. In *Proceedings of High Performance Graphics* (New York, NY, USA, 2017), HPG '17, Association for Computing Machinery. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1145/3105762.3105773>, doi:10.1145/3105762.3105773. 2
- [ZMWP20] ZELLMANN S., MORRICAL N., WALD I., PASCUCCI V.: Finding Efficient Spatial Distributions for Massively Instanced 3-d Models. In *Eurographics Symposium on Parallel Graphics and Visualization* (2020), Frey S., Huang J., Sadlo F., (Eds.), The Eurographics Association. doi:10.2312/pgv.20201070. 3
- [ZWB\*22] ZELLMANN S., WALD I., BARBOSA J., DEMIRCI S., SAHISTAN A., GUDUKBAY U.: Hybrid Image-/Data-Parallel Rendering Using Island Parallelism. In *Proceedings of the 2022 IEEE 12th Symposium on Large Data Analysis and Visualization (LDAV)* (2022). doi:10.1109/LDAV57265.2022.9966396. 3
- [ZWL17] ZELLMANN S., WICKEROTH D., LANG U.: Visionaray: A Cross-Platform Ray Tracing Template Library. In *2017 IEEE 10th Workshop on Software Engineering and Architectures for Realtime Interactive Systems (SEARIS)* (2017), pp. 1–8. doi:10.1109/SEARIS41720.2017.9183547. 2