Local isometric immersions of pseudospherical surfaces described by a class of third order differential equations

Mingyue Guo^a, Zhenhua Shi^{a,b} *

 a School of Mathematics, Northwest University, Xi'an 710069, China b Center for Nonlinear Studies, Northwest University, Xi'an 710069, China

Abstract

We discuss a specific type of pseudospherical surfaces defined by a class of third order differential equations, of the form $u_t - u_{xxt} = \lambda u^2 u_{xxx} + G(u, u_x, u_{xx})$, and poses a question about the dependence of the triples $\{a, b, c\}$ of the second fundamental form in the context of local isometric immersion in \mathbb{E}^3 . It is demonstrated that the triples $\{a, b, c\}$ of the second fundamental form are not influenced by a jet of finite order of u. Instead, they are shown to rely on a jet of order zero, making them universal and not reliant on the specific solution chosen for u.

Key words: Pseudospherical surfaces, nonlinear partial differential equations, local isometric immersion

1 Introduction

Differential equations that describe pseudospherical surfaces are commonly found in the explanation of various nonlinear physical phenomena and in numerous problems in both pure and applied mathematics. Chern and Tenenblat extensively introduced the concept of a partial differential equation that describes pseudospherical surfaces in a research paper [1]. The category of these equations is especially intriguing due to its exceptional integrability characteristics when a parameter acts as a spectral parameter in the 1-forms linked to the pseudospherical structure. This results in a series of conservation laws and a related linear problem with an integrability condition that corresponds to the specified partial differential equation. Recall that a differential equation for a real function u(x, t) is called to describe pseudospherical surfaces if it is equivalent to the structure equation of a surface with Gaussian curvature K = -1, i.e.,

$$d\omega_1 = \omega_3 \wedge \omega_2, \quad d\omega_2 = \omega_1 \wedge \omega_3, \quad d\omega_3 = \omega_1 \wedge \omega_2,$$
 (1)

where $\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3$ are 1-forms $\omega_i = f_{i1}dx + f_{i2}dt$, $1 \le i \le 3$, with f_{ij} , j = 1, 2, are functions of u(x, t) and its derivatives, and

$$\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 \neq 0. \tag{2}$$

Therefore, it can be concluded that each solution leads to a pseudospherical metric, which is a Riemannian metric with a constant negative Gaussian curvature of -1, defined by

$$I = \omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2,\tag{3}$$

^{*}Corresponding author, E-mail address: zhenhuashi@nwu.edu.cn

The 1-form ω_3 mentioned in equation (1) represents the Levi-Civita connection 1-form of the metric (3).

The characteristic of a pseudospherical surface is inherently intrinsic as it is solely determined by its first fundamental form. Another perspective to explore is the set of differential equations that describe pseudospherical surfaces from an external standpoint, inspired by the well-known theorem stating that any pseudospherical surface can be locally isometrically embedded in \mathbb{E}^3 . This implies that for any solution u(x,t) of a partial differential equation that describes pseudospherical surfaces (for which $\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 \neq 0$), there is a corresponding local isometric embedding into \mathbb{E}^3 with a metric of constant Gaussian curvature equal to -1. Therefore, in view of Bonnet theorem, to any generic solution u(x,t) of a partial differential equation describing pseudospherical surfaces it is associated a pair (I, II) of first and second fundamental forms, which solves the Gauss-Codazzi equations and admits a local isometric immersion into \mathbb{E}^3 of an associated pseudospherical surfaces. However, in general the dependence of (I, II) on u(x, t) may be quite complicate and it is not guaranteed that it depends on u and its derivatives with respect to xand t.

One well-known instance is the sine-Gordon equation

$$u_{xt} = \sin u,\tag{4}$$

which was initially identified as being equivalent to the Gauss-Codazzi equations for pseudospherical surfaces in the context of classical surface theory in three dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{E}^3 using Darboux asymptotic coordinates [2]. Different 1-forms that meet the structure equations (1) for a specific differential equation describing pseudospherical surfaces may vary. For example, we can choose 1-forms ω_i as following

$$\omega_1 = \frac{1}{\eta} \sin u dt, \quad \omega_2 = \eta dx + \frac{1}{\eta} \cos u dt, \quad \omega_3 = u_x dx, \tag{5}$$

where η is a non-vanishing real parameter. In this case, one has the first and second fundamental forms

$$I = \frac{1}{\eta^2} dt^2 + 2\cos u dx dt + \eta^2 dx^2, \quad II = \pm 2\sin u dx dt,$$
(6)

satisfying the Gauss-Codazzi equations.

In the case of the sine-Gordon equation, it is significant to note that the normal curvatures a, c and the geodesic torsion b in the directions \mathbf{e}_1 and \mathbf{e}_2 dual to ω_1 and ω_2 (see sub-Section 2.2) depend explicitly on the particular solution u(x,t): indeed one can prove that for sine-Gordon equation $a = \pm \frac{2}{\tan u}$, whereas $b = \mp 1$ and c = 0. A natural question arises: Are there other equations, apart from the sine-Gordon equation, in the category of partial differential equations that describe pseudospherical surfaces, where the triples $\{a, b, c\}$ of the second fundamental form of the local isometric immersion are based on a jet of finite order of u, including x, t, u, and a limited number of u's derivatives? In a recent series of papers [3–8], researchers have explored the presence of local isometric immersions for the equations that describe pseudospherical surfaces, which were previously examined in [1, 9-13]. Unexpectedly, the authors found that, with the exception of the sine-Gordon equation and short pulse equation along with some generalizations, most of the equations discussed in the papers only allowed for local isometric immersions with "universal" triples $\{a, b, c\}$ that were dependent solely on x and t. This discovery highlights the unique significance of the sine-Gordon equation and short pulse equation and their generalizations among all equations describing pseudospherical surfaces. It also encourages further exploration to identify other examples that exhibit this exceptional property.

This study focuses on analyzing this question for a class of third order differential equations of the form:

$$u_t - u_{xxt} = \lambda u^2 u_{xxx} + G(u, u_x, u_{xx}), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R},$$
(7)

which describe pseudospherical surfaces with associated 1-forms

$$\omega_1 = f_{11}dx + f_{12}dt, \quad \omega_2 = f_{21}dx + f_{22}dt, \quad \omega_3 = f_{31}dx + f_{32}dt, \tag{8}$$

assuming a condition similar to that discussed in a previous work [13], where:

$$f_{p1} = \mu_p f_{11} + \eta_p, \quad \mu_p, \eta_p \in \mathbb{R}, \quad p = 2, 3.$$
 (9)

This class of equations includes

$$u_t - u_{xxt} = u^2 u_{xxx} - u^2 u_{xx} - 3u u_x^2 - 2u^2 u_x + 4u u_x u_{xx} + u_x^3,$$
(10)

which is one of the most well-known equations falling into Novikov's classification [14]. Our main result is as follows:

Theorem 1.1. With the exception of the two families of third order differential equations mentioned by

$$u_t - u_{xxt} = \frac{1}{f'} \left[\phi_{12,u} u_x + \phi_{12,u_x} u_{xx} \pm \frac{\eta_2}{\sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2}} \phi_{12} \right], \quad \eta_2 \neq 0,$$
(11)

where the functions $f = f(u - u_{xx})$ and $\phi_{12} = \phi_{12}(u, u_x)$ are differentiable with nonzero derivatives, satisfying $f' \neq 0$ and $\phi_{12} \neq 0$, and

$$u_{t} - u_{xxt} = \lambda u^{2} u_{xxx} + \frac{1}{f'} \left[u_{x} \phi_{12,u} + u_{xx} \phi_{12,u_{x}} - \lambda u^{2} u_{x} f' \pm \frac{\eta_{2}}{\sqrt{1 + \mu_{2}^{2}}} \phi_{12} - \left(2\lambda u u_{x} \pm \frac{\eta_{2}}{\sqrt{1 + \mu_{2}^{2}}} \lambda u^{2} \pm \frac{C}{\sqrt{1 + \mu_{2}^{2}}} \right) \right] f,$$
(12)

where $\lambda, \mu_2, \eta_2, C \in \mathbb{R}$, $(\lambda \eta_2)^2 + C^2 \neq 0$, $f = f(u - u_{xx})$ and $\phi_{12} = \phi_{12}(u, u_x)$ are real and differentiable functions satisfying $f' \neq 0$, there is no differential equation of form (7) describing pseudospherical surfaces with the property that the coefficients of the second fundamental forms of the local isometric immersions of the surfaces associated to the solutions u(x,t) of the equation depend on a jet of finite order of u(x,t). Moreover, the coefficients of the second fundamental forms of the local isometric immersions of the surfaces determined by the solution u(x,t) of (11) or (12) are universal, i.e. they are independent of u(x,t) and thus functions of x and t only.

We notice that Equation (10) is part of the category (12) of equations discussed in Theorem 1. This indicates that, for Equation (10), the triples $\{a, b, c\}$ of the second fundamental form are the same universal functions of x and t for any solution u(x, t).

Our paper is structured as follows: In Section 2, we review some useful facts from the classical theory of pseudospherical surfaces and provide a recapitulation, without proof, of the classification results from our other work that are essential for proving Theorem 1. This classification is segmented into branches, each of which is addressed individually in Section 3. We commence with an examination of the expression of the Codazzi and Gauss equations in terms of the coefficients f_{ij} of the 1-forms $\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3$. Finally, in Section 4, we undertake the integration of the Codazzi and Gauss equations, focusing on scenarios where the triples $\{a, b, c\}$ of the second fundamental form serve as universal functions of x and t, and obtain explicit expressions for these functions.

2 Preliminaries

For the reader's convenience, we collect here some fundamental facts and notations from classical theory of surfaces and the theory of equations describing pseudospherical surfaces. For further details on the theory of surfaces, the interested reader is referred to [15, 16].

2.1 Total derivatives and prolongations

Let us first introduce the following compact conventions for the time derivatives and mixed derivatives of u in addition to the spatial derivatives notation previously introduced [4], by setting

$$z_i = \partial_x^i u, \quad w_j = \partial_t^j u, \quad v_k = \partial_t^k u_x, \quad i \ge 0,$$
(13)

where $z_0 = w_0 = u$ and $z_1 = v_0 = u_x$. We have therefore, the total derivatives of a differential function $h = h(x, t, z_0, z_1, w_1, v_1, \dots, z_l, w_m, v_n)$, where $1 \leq l, m, n \leq \infty$ are finite, but otherwise arbitrary, are given by

$$D_x h = h_x + \sum_{i=0}^{l} h_{z_i} z_{i+1} + \sum_{j=1}^{m} h_{w_j} w_{j,x} + \sum_{k=1}^{n} h_{v_k} v_{k,x},$$
(14)

$$D_t h = h_t + \sum_{i=2}^l h_{z_i} z_{i,t} + \sum_{j=0}^m h_{w_j} w_{j+1} + \sum_{k=0}^n h_{v_k} v_{k+1},$$
(15)

Certainly, here are the refined expressions for the prolongations of the partial differential equation (23)

$$z_{2q,t} = z_{0,t} - \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} D_x^{2i} F, \quad z_{2q+1,t} = z_{1,t} - \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} D_x^{2i+1} F,$$
(16)

where $q = 1, 2, \cdots, F(z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3) = \lambda z_0^2 z_3 + G(z_0, z_1, z_2)$ and $D_x^0 F = F$.

2.2 Theory of pseudospherical surfaces

Let S be a pseudospherical surface in three-dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{E}^3 , $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}(t, x)$ is its parametric equation. According to G. Darboux and E. Cartan the geometry of surfaces can be conveniently described by using the formalism of moving frames on S, which in the context considered here are orthonormal frames \mathbf{e}_1 , \mathbf{e}_2 , \mathbf{e}_3 of vector fields with \mathbf{e}_1 and \mathbf{e}_2 tangent to S, and $\mathbf{e}_3 = \mathbf{e}_1 \times \mathbf{e}_2$ is unit normal vector. Since $d\mathbf{r}$ takes values in the tangent plane to S, it is decomposed

$$d\mathbf{r} = \omega_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + \omega_2 \mathbf{e}_2,\tag{17}$$

with ω_1 and ω_2 differential 1-forms. On the other hand, since

$$d\mathbf{e}_i = \omega_{i1}\mathbf{e}_1 + \omega_{i2}\mathbf{e}_2 + \omega_{i3}\mathbf{e}_3,\tag{18}$$

where ω_{ij} are differential 1-forms and satisfy the condition

$$\omega_{ij} + \omega_{ji} = 0, \quad i, j = 1, 2, 3, \tag{19}$$

hence, the first and second fundamental forms read

$$I = d\mathbf{r} \cdot d\mathbf{r} = \omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2,\tag{20}$$

and

$$II = -d\mathbf{r} \cdot d\mathbf{e}_3 = \omega_1 \cdot \omega_{13} + \omega_2 \cdot \omega_{23}.$$
 (21)

From $d^2 \mathbf{r} = d^2 \mathbf{e}_i = 0$ one easily gets the Cartan's structure equations

$$d\omega_1 = \omega_{12} \wedge \omega_2, \quad d\omega_2 = \omega_1 \wedge \omega_{12}, \tag{22}$$

$$\omega_1 \wedge \omega_{13} + \omega_2 \wedge \omega_{23} = 0, \tag{23}$$

and

$$d\omega_{12} = \omega_{13} \wedge \omega_{32}, \tag{24}$$

$$d\omega_{13} = \omega_{12} \wedge \omega_{23}, \quad d\omega_{23} = \omega_{21} \wedge \omega_{13}, \tag{25}$$

Equations (25) are called the Codazzi equations of the classical theory of surfaces. It follows from Equation (23) that $\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 \wedge \omega_{13} = \omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 \wedge \omega_{23} = 0$ and thus we can write ω_{13} and ω_{23} as

$$\omega_{13} = a\omega_1 + b\omega_2, \quad \omega_{23} = b\omega_1 + c\omega_2, \tag{26}$$

with a, b, c differentiable functions, whose geometric interpretation is as follows: functions a and c are the normal curvatures of S in the directions of \mathbf{e}_1 and \mathbf{e}_2 , respectively; b (resp., -b) is the geodesic torsion in the direction of \mathbf{e}_1 (resp., \mathbf{e}_2).

Therefore Equation (24) reduce to

$$d\omega_{12} = \omega_1 \wedge \omega_2, \tag{27}$$

with Gauss equation

$$ac - b^2 = -1 \tag{28}$$

being the Gaussian curvature of S in terms of its extrinsic geometry.

According to the theorem mentioned in [3], the Codazzi equation (25) can be rewritten using the components f_{ij} of the 1-forms $\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3$ in the following form

$$f_{11}D_t a + f_{21}D_t b - f_{12}D_x a - f_{22}D_x b - 2b\Delta_{13} + (a-c)\Delta_{23} = 0,$$
(29)

$$f_{11}D_tb + f_{21}D_tc - f_{12}D_xb - f_{22}D_xc + (a-c)\Delta_{13} + 2b\Delta_{23} = 0,$$
(30)

where

$$\Delta_{ij} = f_{i1}f_{j2} - f_{j1}f_{i2} \tag{31}$$

and where we assume that

$$\Delta_{13}^2 + \Delta_{23}^2 \neq 0. \tag{32}$$

Moreover, in view of (8) and (26), the second fundamental forms of local isometric immersions of surfaces characterized by the solutions of an equation describing pseudospherical surfaces have the form

$$II = a_1 dx^2 + 2a_2 dx dt + a_3 dt^2, (33)$$

with

$$\begin{cases} a_1 = af_{11}^2 + 2bf_{11}f_{21} + cf_{21}^2, \\ a_2 = af_{11}f_{12} + b(f_{11}f_{22} + f_{21}f_{12}) + cf_{21}f_{22}, \\ a_3 = af_{12}^2 + 2bf_{12}f_{22} + cf_{22}^2. \end{cases}$$
(34)

In view of Bonnet theorem, the local isometric immersion of the pseudospherical surfaces characterized by the space of solutions of an equation describing pseudospherical surfaces exists if and only if there exists a solution $\{a, b, c\}$ of (29)-(32). In this paper we will restrict the problem of determining such a triple $\{a, b, c\}$ in the case of equations described by Theorem 2.2-2.5, under the assumption that the triples $\{a, b, c\}$ depends only on x, t, z_0 and finitely many derivatives of z_0 with respect to x and t.

2.3 The classification of third order differential equations describing pseudospherical surfaces

Recently, we derived classification theorems for equation (7) that characterize pseudospherical surfaces under appropriate conditions (9) for the functions f_{ij} .

Theorem 2.1. Consider the differential equation:

$$z_{0,t} - z_{2,t} = \lambda z_0^2 z_3 + G(z_0, z_1, z_2), \quad G \neq 0,$$
(35)

which characterizes pseudospherical surfaces (with $\delta = 1$) or spherical surfaces (with $\delta = -1$). The associated 1-forms $\omega_i = f_{i1} dx + f_{i2} dt$, for $1 \le i \le 3$, and the functions f_{ij} are differential functions of z_k , where $0 \le k \le m$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, satisfying (9). The equation above can describe such surfaces if and only if f_{ij} and G satisfy the following conditions:

$$f_{i1,z_0} + f_{i1,z_2} = 0, (36)$$

$$f_{i1,z_1} = f_{i1,z_k} = f_{i2,z_k} = 0, \quad 3 \le k \le m, \tag{37}$$

$$f_{i2} = -\lambda z_0^2 f_{i1} + \phi_{i2}, \tag{38}$$

where $\phi_{i2} = \phi_{i2}(z_0, z_1)$ are real and differential functions of z_0, z_1 satisfying

$$-Gf_{11,z_0} + (-2\lambda z_0 f_{11} - \lambda z_0^2 f_{11,z_0} + \phi_{12,z_0}) z_1 + \phi_{12,z_1} z_2 + (\mu_2 \phi_{32} - \mu_3 \phi_{22}) f_{11} + \eta_2 \phi_{32} - \eta_3 \phi_{22} = 0$$
(39)

$$[(\mu_{3}\phi_{12} - \phi_{32}) - \mu_{2}(\mu_{2}\phi_{32} - \mu_{3}\phi_{22})]f_{11} + (\phi_{22} - \mu_{2}\phi_{12})_{z_{0}}z_{1} + (\phi_{22} - \mu_{2}\phi_{12})_{z_{1}}z_{2} - 2\lambda\eta_{2}z_{0}z_{1} - \mu_{2}(\eta_{2}\phi_{32} - \eta_{3}\phi_{22}) + \eta_{3}\phi_{12} = 0$$

$$(40)$$

$$[\delta(\mu_2\phi_{12} - \phi_{22}) - \mu_3(\mu_2\phi_{32} - \mu_3\phi_{22})]f_{11} + (\phi_{32} - \mu_3\phi_{12})_{z_0}z_1$$
(41)

$$+(\phi_{32}-\mu_3\phi_{12})_{z_1}z_2-2\lambda\eta_3z_0z_1-\mu_3(\eta_2\phi_{32}-\eta_3\phi_{22})+\delta\eta_2\phi_{12}=0$$

$$(\mu_2\phi_{12} - \phi_{22})f_{11} + \eta_2\phi_{12} \neq 0.$$
(42)

Theorem 2.2. Consider an equation of type (35) that characterizes pseudospherical surfaces. Associated with these surfaces are the 1-forms $\omega_i = f_{ij}dx + f_{ij2}dt$ for $1 \le i \le 3$. Each function f_{ij} is real and differentiable with respect to z_k , where $0 \le k \le m$ and m is a real number. These functions are required to satisfy conditions (9) and (36)-(42). We have $(\mu_3\phi_{12} - \phi_{32}) - \mu_2(\mu_2\phi_{32} - \mu_3\phi_{22}) = 0$, $\phi_{22} - \mu_2\phi_{12} = 0$, and $\mu_2\mu_3\eta_2 - (1 + \mu_2^2)\eta_3 = 0$ if and only if the following conditions are met:

$$z_{0,t} - z_{2,t} = \frac{1}{f'} \left(\phi_{12,z_0} z_1 + \phi_{12,z_1} z_2 \pm \frac{\eta_2}{\sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2}} \phi_{12} \right), \tag{43}$$

$$f_{11} = f, \qquad f_{12} = \phi_{12}, f_{21} = \mu_2 f_{11} + \eta_2, \qquad f_{22} = \mu_2 \phi_{12}, f_{31} = \pm \sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2} f_{11} \pm \frac{\mu_2 \eta_2}{\sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2}}, \qquad f_{32} = \pm \sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2} \phi_{12},$$
(44)

where $\eta_2 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and μ_2 is real constants. The functions $f = f(z_0 - z_2)$ and $\phi_{12} = \phi_{12}(z_0, z_1)$ are differentiable with non-zero derivatives, satisfying $f' \neq 0$ and $\phi_{12} \neq 0$. **Theorem 2.3.** Consider an equation of type (35) that characterizes pseudospherical surfaces. Associated with these surfaces are 1-forms ω_i , defined as $\omega_i = f_{ij} dx + f_{ij2} dt$ for $1 \le i \le 3$. Here, each f_{ij} is a real-valued and differentiable function of z_k , where $0 \le k \le m$, and m is any real number. These functions are required to satisfy conditions (9) and (36)-(42). We have $(\mu_3\phi_{12} - \phi_{32}) - \mu_2(\mu_2\phi_{32} - \mu_3\phi_{22}) = 0$, $\phi_{22} - \mu_2\phi_{12} = 0$, and $\gamma = \mu_2\mu_3\eta_2 - (1 + \mu_2^2)\eta_3 \ne 0$ if and only if the following conditions are met:

$$z_{0,t} - z_{2,t} = \lambda z_0^2 z_3 - \frac{\lambda}{f'} \left[2z_0 z_1 f + z_0^2 z_1 f' + \frac{2\eta_2}{\gamma} (z_1^2 + z_0 z_2 + (\mu_3 \eta_2 - \mu_2 \eta_3) z_0 z_1) \right], \quad (45)$$

$$f_{11} = f, \qquad f_{12} = -\lambda z_0^2 f - \frac{2}{\gamma} \lambda \eta_2 z_0 z_1,$$

$$f_{21} = \mu_2 f + \eta_2, \quad f_{22} = -\lambda z_0^2 f_{21} - \frac{2}{\gamma} \lambda \mu_2 \eta_2 z_0 z_1,$$

$$f_{31} = \mu_3 f + \eta_3, \quad f_{32} = -\lambda z_0^2 f_{31} - \frac{2}{\gamma} \lambda \mu_3 \eta_2 z_0 z_1,$$
(46)

where λ and η_2 are real numbers excluding zero, and μ_p, η_p are real numbers for p = 2, 3. The function f, defined as $f(z_0 - z_2)$, is real-valued and differentiable, meeting the conditions that its derivative f' is non-zero, and the relation $\eta_2^2 - \eta_3^2 - (\mu_2\eta_3 - \mu_3\eta_2)^2 = 0$.

Theorem 2.4. Consider an equation of type (35) that characterizes pseudospherical surfaces. Associated with these surfaces are the 1-forms $\omega_i = f_{ij}dx + f_{ij2}dt$ for $1 \le i \le 3$. Each function f_{ij} is real and differentiable with respect to z_k , where $0 \le k \le m$ and m is a real number. These functions are required to satisfy conditions (9) and (36)-(42). We have $(\mu_3\phi_{12} - \phi_{32}) - \mu_2(\mu_2\phi_{32} - \mu_3\phi_{22}) = 0$, $\phi_{22} - \mu_2\phi_{12} \ne 0$, and $\mu_2\mu_3\eta_2 - (1 + \mu_2^2)\eta_3 = 0$ if and only if the following conditions are met:

$$z_{0,t} - z_{2,t} = \lambda z_0^2 z_3 + \frac{1}{f'} \left[z_1 \phi_{12,z_0} + z_2 \phi_{12,z_1} - \lambda z_0^2 z_1 f' \pm \frac{\eta_2}{\sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2}} \phi_{12} - \left(2\lambda z_0 z_1 \pm \frac{\eta_2}{\sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2}} \lambda z_0^2 \pm \frac{C}{\sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2}} \right) \right] f,$$

$$f, \qquad f_{12} = -\lambda z_0^2 f + \phi_{12},$$

$$\mu_2 f + \eta_2, \qquad f_{22} = -\lambda \mu_2 z_0^2 f + \mu_2 \phi_{12} + C,$$

$$(47)$$

$$f_{21} = \mu_2 f + \eta_2, \qquad f_{22} = -\lambda \mu_2 z_0^2 f + \mu_2 \phi_{12} + C, \qquad (48)$$
$$f_{31} = \pm \sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2} f \pm \frac{\mu_2 \eta_2}{\sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2}}, \quad f_{32} = \mp \sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2} \lambda z_0^2 f \pm \sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2} \phi_{12} \pm \frac{\mu_2 C}{\sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2}},$$

where $\lambda, \mu_2, \eta_2, C \in \mathbb{R}$, $(\lambda \eta_2)^2 + C^2 \neq 0$, $f = f(z_0 - z_2)$ and $\phi_{12} = \phi_{12}(z_0, z_1)$ are real and differentiable functions satisfying $f' \neq 0$.

 $f_{11} =$

Theorem 2.5. Consider an equation of type (35) that characterizes pseudospherical surfaces. Associated with these surfaces are 1-forms ω_i , defined as $\omega_i = f_{ij} dx + f_{ij2} dt$ for $1 \le i \le 3$. Here, each f_{ij} is a real-valued and differentiable function of z_k , where $0 \le k \le m$, and m is any real number. These functions are required to satisfy conditions (9) and (36)-(42). We have $(\mu_3\phi_{12} - \phi_{32}) - \mu_2(\mu_2\phi_{32} - \mu_3\phi_{22}) \ne 0$, $\phi_{22} - \mu_2\phi_{12} \ne 0$, and $\mu_2\mu_3\eta_2 - (1 + \mu_2^2)\eta_3 \ne 0$ if and only if the following conditions are met: (i)

$$z_{0,t} - z_{2,t} = \lambda z_0^2 z_3 + \lambda \left[-5z_0^2 z_1 + 4z_0 z_1 z_2 + \left(2m_1 - \frac{4}{\theta} \right) z_0 z_1 + \frac{2m_1}{\theta} z_1 - \frac{2}{\theta} z_1 z_2 \right] + \left[\theta z_1^3 + 2z_0 z_1 + z_1 z_2 - m_1 z_1 \right] \theta B e^{\theta z_0},$$
(49)

with $\lambda, \theta, B, m_1 \in \mathbb{R}, \theta \neq 0, \lambda^2 + B^2 \neq 0$,

$$f_{11} = m(z_0 - z_2) - n,$$

$$f_{12} = -\lambda z_0^2 f_{11} - \frac{m}{\theta} \left(2\lambda - \theta^2 B e^{\theta z_0} \right) z_1^2$$

$$- \left(\frac{2\lambda}{\theta} - \theta B e^{\theta z_0} + 2\lambda z_0 \right) \left[\frac{m z_0 - n}{\theta} \pm \left(\mu_2 - \frac{m \eta_2}{\theta} \right) \frac{z_1}{\sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2}} \right],$$

$$f_{21} = \mu_2 f_{11} + \eta_2,$$

$$f_{22} = \mu_2 f_{12} - \lambda \eta_2 z_0^2 + \left(\frac{2\lambda}{\theta} - \theta B e^{\theta z_0} + 2\lambda z_0 \right) \left(\pm \sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2} z_1 - \frac{\eta_2}{\theta} \right),$$

$$f_{31} = \pm \sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2} f_{11} \pm \frac{\theta + m \mu_2 \eta_2}{m \sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2}},$$

$$f_{32} = \pm \sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2} f_{12} - \lambda \eta_3 z_0^2 + \left(\frac{2\lambda}{\theta} - \theta B e^{\theta z_0} + 2\lambda z_0 \right) \left(\mu_2 z_1 \mp \frac{\theta + m \mu_2 \eta_2}{m \theta \sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2}} \right),$$
(50)

where $\mu_2, \eta_2, m, n \in \mathbb{R}$ and $m \neq 0$;

or (ii)

$$z_{0,t} - z_{2,t} = \lambda z_0^2 z_3 + \lambda \left[-3z_0^2 z_1 + 2z_0 z_1 z_2 + 2m_2 z_0 z_1 \mp \frac{2}{\tau} (z_1^2 + z_0 z_2) \right] + \varphi'' z_1^2 e^{\pm \tau z_1}$$

$$\pm (\tau z_0 z_1 \pm z_2 + \tau z_1 z_2 - m_2 \tau z_1) \varphi' e^{\pm \tau z_1} + \tau (\pm z_1 + \tau z_0 z_2 - m_2 \tau z_2) \varphi e^{\pm \tau z_1},$$
(51)

with $\lambda, \tau, m_2 \in \mathbb{R}, \tau > 0, \varphi(z_0) \neq 0$ is a real differentiable function,

$$f_{11} = m(z_0 - z_2) - n,$$

$$f_{12} = -\lambda z_0^2 f_{11} + [\pm \tau (m z_0 - n)\varphi + m\varphi' z_1] e^{\pm \tau z_1} \mp \frac{2\lambda m}{\tau} z_0 z_1,$$

$$f_{21} = \mu_2 f_{11} + \eta_2,$$

$$f_{22} = \mu_2 f_{12} - \lambda \eta_2 z_0^2 \pm \tau \eta_2 \varphi e^{\pm \tau z_1},$$

$$f_{31} = \pm \tau \left(\frac{n}{m} - m_2\right) \left(\frac{1 + \mu_2^2}{\eta_2} f_{11} + \mu_2\right) \mp \frac{\tau}{m} f_{21},$$

$$f_{32} = \pm \tau \left(\frac{n}{m} - m_2\right) \left[\frac{1 + \mu_2^2}{\eta_2} f_{12} - \mu_2 \left(\lambda z_0^2 \mp \tau \varphi e^{\pm \tau z_1}\right)\right] \mp \frac{\tau}{m} f_{22},$$
(52)

where $\mu_2, \eta_2, m, n \in \mathbb{R}$ and $m\eta_2 \neq 0$.

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

The objective of this section is to scrutinize the system (28)-(30), which governs the triples $\{a, b, c\}$ of the second fundamental form, and to derive necessary conditions for the existence of solutions depending on jets of finite order of z_0 . It's worth noting that since the coefficients f_{ij} , as presented in the classification outlined in Section 2 (Theorems 2.2-2.5), solely depend on z_0 , z_1 , and z_2 , it follows that the functions Δ_{ij} defined in (31) also depend exclusively on z_0 , z_1 , and z_2 . First, we provide a necessary condition.

Lemma 3.1. Consider an equation of the form (35) describing pseudospherical surfaces, subject to the condition (9) as stipulated by Theorems 2.2-2.5. Let there exist a local isometric immersion of the pseudospherical surface, determined by a solution u(x,t) of (7) satisfying (2), wherein the

triples $\{a, b, c\}$ of the second fundamental form depend on $x, t, z_0, ..., z_l, w_1, ..., w_m, v_1, ..., v_n, where <math>1 \le l < \infty, 1 \le m < \infty$, and $1 \le n < \infty$ are finite, but otherwise arbitrary. Then $ac \ne 0$ on any open set within the domain of u.

The proof of this lemma is similar to the lemma in [4], we omit it here for brevity.

Now, suppose we have replaced the expressions of the total derivatives with respect to x and t provided by (14) and (15) into Equations (29) and (30), namely:

$$f_{11}a_{t} + f_{21}b_{t} - f_{12}a_{x} - f_{22}b_{x} - 2b(f_{11}f_{32} - f_{31}f_{12}) + (a - c)(f_{21}f_{32} - f_{31}f_{22}) + \sum_{i=2}^{l} (f_{11}a_{z_{i}} + f_{21}b_{z_{i}})\partial_{x}^{i-2}(z_{0,t} - F) - \sum_{i=0}^{l} (f_{12}a_{z_{i}} + f_{22}b_{z_{i}})z_{i+1} + \sum_{j=0}^{m} (f_{11}a_{w_{j}} + f_{21}b_{w_{j}})w_{j+1} - \sum_{j=1}^{m} (f_{12}a_{w_{j}} + f_{22}b_{w_{j}})w_{j,x} + \sum_{k=0}^{n} (f_{11}a_{v_{k}} + f_{21}b_{v_{k}})v_{k+1} - \sum_{k=1}^{n} (f_{12}a_{v_{k}} + f_{22}b_{v_{k}})z_{k,x} = 0,$$

$$(53)$$

and

$$f_{11}b_{t} + f_{21}c_{t} - f_{12}b_{x} - f_{22}c_{x} + (a - c)(f_{11}f_{32} - f_{31}f_{12}) + 2b(f_{21}f_{32} - f_{31}f_{22}) + \sum_{i=2}^{l} (f_{11}b_{z_{i}} + f_{21}c_{z_{i}})\partial_{x}^{i-2}(z_{0,t} - F) - \sum_{i=0}^{l} (f_{12}b_{z_{i}} + f_{22}c_{z_{i}})z_{i+1} + \sum_{j=0}^{m} (f_{11}b_{w_{j}} + f_{21}c_{w_{j}})w_{j+1} - \sum_{j=1}^{m} (f_{12}b_{w_{j}} + f_{22}c_{w_{j}})w_{j,x} + \sum_{k=0}^{n} (f_{11}b_{v_{k}} + f_{21}c_{v_{k}})v_{k+1} - \sum_{k=1}^{n} (f_{12}b_{v_{k}} + f_{22}c_{v_{k}})z_{k,x} = 0.$$
(54)

Without loss of generality, in the following proof, we always assume m = n. In fact, the cases where m < n or m > n can be reduced to the case where m = n.

Suppose m < n, i.e. $n \ge m + 1$. Differentiating (53), (54) and (28) with respect to v_{n+1} leads to $a_{v_n} = b_{v_n} = c_{v_n} = 0$. Successive differentiation with respect to $v_n, v_{n-1}, \dots, v_{(m+1)+1}$ leads to $a_{v_{n-1}} = \dots = a_{v_{m+1}} = 0$, $b_{v_{n-1}} = \dots = b_{v_{m+1}} = 0$ and $c_{v_{n-1}} = \dots = c_{v_{m+1}} = 0$. Hence, a, b and c are functions of $x, t, z_0, z_1, \dots, z_l, w_1, \dots, w_m, v_1, \dots, v_m$.

Suppose m > n, i.e. $m \ge n+1$. Differentiating (53), (54) and (28) with respect to w_{m+1} leads to $a_{w_m} = b_{w_m} = c_{w_m} = 0$. Successive differentiation with respect to $w_m, w_{m-1}, \dots, w_{(n+1)+1}$ leads to $a_{w_{m-1}} = \dots = a_{w_{n+1}} = 0$, $b_{w_{m-1}} = \dots = b_{w_{n+1}} = 0$ and $c_{w_{m-1}} = \dots = c_{w_{n+1}} = 0$. Hence, a, b and c are functions of $x, t, z_0, z_1, \dots, z_l, w_1, \dots, w_n, v_1, \dots, v_n$.

Back to Equations (53) and (54), by deriving both equations with respect to v_{n+1} and w_{n+1} , one gets

$$f_{11}a_{v_n} + f_{21}b_{v_n} = 0, \qquad f_{11}a_{w_n} + f_{21}b_{w_n} = 0, f_{11}b_{v_n} + f_{21}c_{v_n} = 0, \qquad f_{11}b_{w_n} + f_{21}c_{w_n} = 0.$$
(55)

Hence, we will discuss the following in two separate cases: $f_{21} = 0$ and $f_{21} \neq 0$.

If $f_{21} \neq 0$ on a non-empty open set, then

$$b_{v_n} = -\frac{f_{11}}{f_{21}} a_{v_n}, \qquad b_{w_n} = -\frac{f_{11}}{f_{21}} a_{w_n}, c_{v_n} = \left(\frac{f_{11}}{f_{21}}\right)^2 a_{v_n}, \qquad c_{w_n} = \left(\frac{f_{11}}{f_{21}}\right)^2 a_{w_n}.$$
(56)

The derivative of Gauss equation (28) with respect to v_n and w_n returns

$$\left[c + \left(\frac{f_{11}}{f_{21}}\right)^2 a + 2\frac{f_{11}}{f_{21}}b\right]a_{v_n} = 0, \quad \left[c + \left(\frac{f_{11}}{f_{21}}\right)^2 a + 2\frac{f_{11}}{f_{21}}b\right]a_{w_n} = 0 \tag{57}$$

and we will proceed by further distinguishing the two subcases:

$$c + \left(\frac{f_{11}}{f_{21}}\right)^2 a + 2b\frac{f_{11}}{f_{21}} \neq 0 \quad and \quad c + \left(\frac{f_{11}}{f_{21}}\right)^2 a + 2b\frac{f_{11}}{f_{21}} = 0$$

The discussion leading to the above equations reveals that the analysis of the Codazzi equations (29) and (30) naturally divides into several branches. These different scenarios are addressed in Lemmas 3.2-3.4 and are structured according to the following diagram:



Lemma 3.2. Consider an equation of the form (35) that describes pseudospherical surfaces under condition (9), as outlined in Theorems 2.2-2.5. Assume the existence of a local isometric immersion of the pseudospherical surface, which is determined by a solution u(x,t) of Equation (7). In this scenario, the triples $\{a,b,c\}$ of the second fundamental form are dependent on various parameters such as $x, t, z_0, z_1, \dots, z_l, w_1, \dots, w_n, v_1, \dots, v_n$, where $1 \le l < \infty$ and $1 \le n < \infty$ are finite but otherwise arbitrary. If $f_{21} = 0$ within a non-empty open set, then a, b, and c are solely reliant on x and t, making them universal.

Proof. Observe that setting $\mu_2 = \eta_2 = 0$ both in Equation (48) or (50) results in $f_{21} = 0$ on a non-empty open set. Furthermore, in both cases $\phi_{22} \neq 0$ on that open set. Our analysis consists of two steps. If $f_{21} = 0$, then Equations (53) and (54) are simplified to

$$f_{11}a_{t} - f_{12}a_{x} - f_{22}b_{x} - 2b(f_{11}f_{32} - f_{31}f_{12}) - (a - c)f_{31}f_{22} + \sum_{i=2}^{l} f_{11}a_{z_{i}}\partial_{x}^{i-2}(z_{0,t} - F) - \sum_{i=0}^{l} (f_{12}a_{z_{i}} + f_{22}b_{z_{i}})z_{i+1} + \sum_{j=0}^{m} f_{11}a_{w_{j}}w_{j+1} - \sum_{j=1}^{m} (f_{12}a_{w_{j}} + f_{22}b_{w_{j}})w_{j,x} + \sum_{k=0}^{n} f_{11}a_{v_{k}}v_{k+1} - \sum_{k=1}^{n} (f_{12}a_{v_{k}} + f_{22}b_{v_{k}})z_{k,x} = 0,$$
(58)

and

$$f_{11}b_{t} - f_{12}b_{x} - f_{22}c_{x} + (a - c)(f_{11}f_{32} - f_{31}f_{12}) - 2bf_{31}f_{22} + \sum_{i=2}^{l} f_{11}b_{z_{i}}\partial_{x}^{i-2}(z_{0,t} - F) - \sum_{i=0}^{l} (f_{12}b_{z_{i}} + f_{22}c_{z_{i}})z_{i+1} + \sum_{j=0}^{m} f_{11}b_{w_{j}}w_{j+1} - \sum_{j=1}^{m} (f_{12}b_{w_{j}} + f_{22}c_{w_{j}})w_{j,x} + \sum_{k=0}^{n} f_{11}b_{v_{k}}v_{k+1} - \sum_{k=1}^{n} (f_{12}b_{v_{k}} + f_{22}c_{v_{k}})z_{k,x} = 0.$$
(59)

Suppose l = 1. Successive differentiation of (58) and (59) with respect to v_{n+1}, \dots, v_1 and w_{n+1}, \dots, w_1 and of the Gauss equation (28) with respect to v_n, \dots, v_0 , since $f_{11} \neq 0$, lead to $a_{v_k} = b_{v_k} = c_{v_k} = 0$ and $a_{w_k} = b_{w_k} = c_{w_k} = 0$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$. Hence, a, b and c are universal.

Now suppose $l \geq 2$. Taking successive differentiation of (58) and (59) with respect to v_{n+1}, \dots, v_2 and w_{n+1}, \dots, w_2 and of the Gauss equation (28) with respect to v_n, \dots, v_1 , since $f_{11} \neq 0$, lead to $a_{v_k} = b_{v_k} = c_{v_k} = 0$ and $a_{w_k} = b_{w_k} = c_{w_k} = 0$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Thus, a, b and c depend on x, t, z_0, z_1, \dots, z_l . Moreover, Equations (58) and (59) rewrite as

$$f_{11}a_t - f_{12}a_x - f_{22}b_x - 2b(f_{11}f_{32} - f_{31}f_{12}) - (a - c)f_{31}f_{22} + \sum_{i=2}^l f_{11}a_{z_i}\partial_x^{i-2}(z_{0,t} - F) - \sum_{i=0}^l (f_{12}a_{z_i} + f_{22}b_{z_i})z_{i+1} + f_{11}a_{w_0}w_1 + f_{11}a_{v_0}v_1 = 0,$$
(60)

and

$$f_{11}b_t - f_{12}b_x - f_{22}c_x + (a - c)(f_{11}f_{32} - f_{31}f_{12}) - 2bf_{31}f_{22} + \sum_{i=2}^l f_{11}b_{z_i}\partial_x^{i-2}(z_{0,t} - F) - \sum_{i=0}^l (f_{12}b_{z_i} + f_{22}c_{z_i})z_{i+1} + f_{11}b_{w_0}w_1 + f_{11}b_{w_0}v_1 = 0.$$
(61)

Differentiating (60) and (61) with respect to z_{l+1} , we obtain, respectively,

$$\phi_{12}a_{z_l} + \phi_{22}b_{z_l} = 0, \quad \phi_{12}b_{z_l} + \phi_{22}c_{z_l} = 0, \tag{62}$$

differentiating the Gauss equation (28) with respect to z_l leads to $a_{z_l}c + ac_{z_l} - 2bb_{z_l} = 0$, which gives

$$\left[c + \left(\frac{\phi_{12}}{\phi_{22}}\right)^2 a + 2b\frac{\phi_{12}}{\phi_{22}}\right]a_{z_l} = 0.$$
(63)

If $c + \left(\frac{\phi_{12}}{\phi_{22}}\right)^2 a + 2b\frac{\phi_{12}}{\phi_{22}} \neq 0$, then $a_{z_l} = 0$ and thus, by (62), $b_{z_l} = c_{z_l} = 0$. Successive differentiation of (60) and (61) with respect to z_l, \dots, z_3 leads to $a_{z_l} = a_{z_{l-1}} = \dots = a_{z_2} = 0$ and thus, $b_{z_l} = b_{z_{l-1}} = \dots = b_{z_2} = 0$ and $c_{z_l} = c_{z_{l-1}} = \dots = c_{z_2} = 0$. Differentiating (58) and (59) with respect to v_1 and w_1 leads to $a_{v_0} = b_{v_0} = 0$ and $a_{w_0} = b_{w_0} = 0$. Differentiating the Gauss equation (28) with respect to w_0 and v_0 , in views of $a \neq 0$, gives $c_{v_0} = c_{w_0} = 0$. Hence, a, b and c are universal.

If $c + \left(\frac{\phi_{12}}{\phi_{22}}\right)^2 a + 2b\frac{\phi_{12}}{\phi_{22}} = 0$, then it follows from the Gauss equation (28) that

$$b = \pm 1 - \frac{\phi_{12}}{\phi_{22}}a,\tag{64}$$

$$c = \left(\frac{\phi_{12}}{\phi_{22}}\right)^2 a \mp 2\frac{\phi_{12}}{\phi_{22}}.$$
 (65)

Therefore, the following identities hold:

$$D_{t}b = -\frac{\phi_{12}}{\phi_{22}}D_{t}a - aD_{t}\left(\frac{\phi_{12}}{\phi_{22}}\right), D_{t}c = \left(\frac{\phi_{12}}{\phi_{22}}\right)^{2}D_{t}a + 2\left(\frac{\phi_{12}}{\phi_{22}}a \mp 1\right)D_{t}\left(\frac{\phi_{12}}{\phi_{22}}\right),$$

$$D_{x}b = -\frac{\phi_{12}}{\phi_{22}}D_{x}a - aD_{x}\left(\frac{\phi_{12}}{\phi_{22}}\right), D_{x}c = \left(\frac{\phi_{12}}{\phi_{22}}\right)^{2}D_{x}a + 2\left(\frac{\phi_{12}}{\phi_{22}}a \mp 1\right)D_{x}\left(\frac{\phi_{12}}{\phi_{22}}\right),$$
(66)

where D_t and D_x are total derivative operators. Then Equations (29) and (30) rewrite as

$$f_{11}(D_t a + \lambda z_0^2 D_x a) + a f_{22} D_x \left(\frac{\phi_{12}}{\phi_{22}}\right) - 2b\Delta_{13} + (a-c)\Delta_{23} = 0, \tag{67}$$

and

$$-\frac{\phi_{12}}{\phi_{22}}f_{11}(D_t a + \lambda z_0^2 D_x a) - f_{11}aD_t\left(\frac{\phi_{12}}{\phi_{22}}\right) -\left[a\left(\lambda z_0^2 f_{11} + f_{22}\frac{\phi_{12}}{\phi_{22}}\right) \mp 2f_{22}\right]D_x\left(\frac{\phi_{12}}{\phi_{22}}\right) + (a-c)\Delta_{13} + 2b\Delta_{23} = 0.$$
(68)

Adding (67) multiplied by $\frac{\phi_{12}}{\phi_{22}}$ with (68) we have

$$-f_{11}a\left[\left(\frac{\phi_{12}}{\phi_{22}}\right)_{z_0}z_{0,t} + \left(\frac{\phi_{12}}{\phi_{22}}\right)_{z_1}z_{1,t}\right] - (\lambda z_0^2 a f_{11} \mp 2f_{22})D_x\left(\frac{\phi_{12}}{\phi_{22}}\right) \\ + \left(a - c - 2\frac{\phi_{12}}{\phi_{22}}b\right)\Delta_{13} + \left[\frac{\phi_{12}}{\phi_{22}}(a - c) + 2b\right]\Delta_{23} = 0,$$
(69)

differentiating (69) with respect to $v_1 = z_{1,t}$ and $w_1 = z_{0,t}$, we obtain respectively,

$$f_{11}a\left(\frac{\phi_{12}}{\phi_{22}}\right)_{z_1} = 0, \quad f_{11}a\left(\frac{\phi_{12}}{\phi_{22}}\right)_{z_0} = 0, \tag{70}$$

which imply that $\frac{\phi_{22}}{\phi_{12}} = \mu$, $\mu \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. Otherwise, we would have $\phi_{22} = 0$. But, $l = \phi_{22} - \mu \phi_{12} = 0$ does not happen in (48) or (50). This concludes the proof.

Lemma 3.3. Consider an equation of the form (35) that describes pseudospherical surfaces under condition (9), as outlined in Theorems 2.2-2.5. Let's assume there is a local isometric immersion of the pseudospherical surface, determined by a solution u(x,t) of equation (17), where the triples $\{a, b, c\}$ of the second fundamental form vary with $x, t, z_0, z_1, \dots, z_l, w_1,$ $\dots, w_n, v_1, \dots, v_n$, where $1 \leq l < \infty$ and $1 \leq n < \infty$ are finite but otherwise arbitrary. It is assumed that $f_{21} \neq 0$ on a non-empty open set. If the condition

$$c + \left(\frac{f_{11}}{f_{21}}\right)^2 a + 2\frac{f_{11}}{f_{21}}b \neq 0 \tag{71}$$

is satisfied, then the coefficients a, b, and c only rely on x and t, making them universal.

Proof. Suppose l = 1. If (71) holds, then it follows from (57) that $a_{v_n} = a_{w_n} = 0$ and thus, by (56) we have $b_{v_n} = b_{w_n} = 0$ and $b_{v_n} = b_{w_n} = 0$. Similarly, successive differentiation of (53) and (54) with respect to v_n, \dots, v_1 and w_n, \dots, w_1 and of the Gauss equation (28) with respect to v_{n-1}, \dots, v_0 , since $f_{11} \neq 0$, lead to $a_{v_k} = b_{v_k} = c_{v_k} = 0$ and $a_{w_k} = b_{w_k} = c_{w_k} = 0$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$. Hence, a, b and c are universal.

Suppose $l \ge 2$. Taking successive differentiation of (53) and (54) with respect to v_{n+1}, \dots, v_2 and w_{n+1}, \dots, w_2 and of the Gauss equation (28) with respect to v_n, \dots, v_1 , since $f_{11} \ne 0$, lead to $a_{v_k} = b_{v_k} = c_{v_k} = 0$ and $a_{w_k} = b_{w_k} = c_{w_k} = 0$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Thus, a, b and c are function of $x, t, z_0, z_1, \dots, z_l$. Moreover, Equations (53) and (54) rewrite as

$$f_{11}a_{t} + f_{21}b_{t} - f_{12}a_{x} - f_{22}b_{x} - 2b(f_{11}f_{32} - f_{31}f_{12}) + (a - c)(f_{21}f_{32} - f_{31}f_{22}) + \sum_{i=2}^{l} (f_{11}a_{z_{i}} + f_{21}b_{z_{i}})\partial_{x}^{i-2}(z_{0,t} - F) - \sum_{i=0}^{l} (f_{12}a_{z_{i}} + f_{22}b_{z_{i}})z_{i+1} + (f_{11}a_{w_{0}} + f_{21}b_{w_{0}})w_{1} + (f_{11}a_{v_{0}} + f_{21}b_{v_{0}})v_{1} = 0,$$
(72)

and

$$f_{11}b_{t} + f_{21}c_{t} - f_{12}b_{x} - f_{22}c_{x} + (a-c)(f_{11}f_{32} - f_{31}f_{12}) + 2b(f_{21}f_{32} - f_{31}f_{22}) + \sum_{i=2}^{l} (f_{11}b_{z_{i}} + f_{21}c_{z_{i}})\partial_{x}^{i-2}(z_{0,t} - F) - \sum_{i=0}^{l} (f_{12}b_{z_{i}} + f_{22}c_{z_{i}})z_{i+1} + (f_{11}b_{w_{0}} + f_{21}c_{w_{0}})w_{1} + (f_{11}b_{v_{0}} + f_{21}c_{v_{0}})v_{1} = 0.$$
(73)

Differentiating (72) and (73) with respect to z_{l+1} , in views of (38), we obtain, respectively,

$$\phi_{12}a_{z_l} + \phi_{22}b_{z_l} = 0, \quad \phi_{12}b_{z_l} + \phi_{22}c_{z_l} = 0, \tag{74}$$

If $\phi_{22} = 0$, then since $\Delta_{12} \neq 0$, we have $\phi_{12} \neq 0$. By (74), we have $a_{z_l} = b_{z_l} = 0$. Differentiating the Gauss equation (28) with respect to z_l and using Lemma 3.1, we get $c_{z_l} = 0$. Successive differentiation of (72) and (73) with respect to z_l, \dots, z_3 and of (28) with respect to z_{l-1}, \dots, z_2 lead to $a_{z_i} = b_{z_i} = c_{z_i} = 0$ for $i = 2, 3, \dots, l-1$. Thus, equations (72) and (73) rewrite as

$$f_{11}a_t + f_{21}b_t - f_{12}a_x - f_{22}b_x - 2b(f_{11}f_{32} - f_{31}f_{12}) + (a - c)(f_{21}f_{32} - f_{31}f_{22}) - \sum_{i=0}^{1} (f_{12}a_{z_i} + f_{22}b_{z_i})z_{i+1} + (f_{11}a_{w_0} + f_{21}b_{w_0})w_1 + (f_{11}a_{v_0} + f_{21}b_{v_0})v_1 = 0,$$
(75)

and

$$f_{11}b_t + f_{21}c_t - f_{12}b_x - f_{22}c_x + (a-c)(f_{11}f_{32} - f_{31}f_{12}) + 2b(f_{21}f_{32} - f_{31}f_{22}) - \sum_{i=0}^{1} (f_{12}b_{z_i} + f_{22}c_{z_i})z_{i+1} + (f_{11}b_{w_0} + f_{21}c_{w_0})w_1 + (f_{11}b_{v_0} + f_{21}c_{v_0})v_1 = 0.$$
(76)

Differentiating (75) and (76) with respect to v_1 and w_1 leads to

$$f_{11}a_{v_0} + f_{21}b_{v_0} = 0, \quad f_{11}a_{w_0} + f_{21}b_{w_0} = 0, f_{11}b_{v_0} + f_{21}c_{v_0} = 0, \quad f_{11}b_{w_0} + f_{21}c_{w_0} = 0.$$

$$(77)$$

Hence the derivative of the Gauss equation (28) with respect to v_0 and w_0 returns

$$\left[c + \left(\frac{f_{11}}{f_{21}}\right)^2 a + 2\frac{f_{11}}{f_{21}}b\right]a_{v_0} = 0, \quad \left[c + \left(\frac{f_{11}}{f_{21}}\right)^2 a + 2\frac{f_{11}}{f_{21}}b\right]a_{w_0} = 0, \tag{78}$$

in views of (71), gives $a_{v_0} = a_{w_0} = 0$, and by (77), $b_{v_0} = b_{w_0} = 0$ and $c_{v_0} = c_{w_0} = 0$. Therefore, a, b and c are universal.

If $\phi_{22} \neq 0$, then after differentiating the Gauss equation (28) with respect to z_l and combining (74), one obtains

$$\left[c + \left(\frac{\phi_{12}}{\phi_{22}}\right)^2 a + 2b\frac{\phi_{12}}{\phi_{22}}\right]a_{z_l} = 0.$$
(79)

If $c + \left(\frac{\phi_{12}}{\phi_{22}}\right)^2 a + 2b\frac{\phi_{12}}{\phi_{22}} \neq 0$, then $a_{z_l} = 0$ and thus, by (74), $b_{z_l} = c_{z_l} = 0$. Similarly, successive differentiation of (72) and (73) with respect to z_l, \dots, z_3 leads to $a_{z_l} = a_{z_{l-1}} = \dots = a_{z_2} = 0$ and thus, $b_{z_l} = b_{z_{l-1}} = \dots = b_{z_2} = 0$ and $c_{z_l} = c_{z_{l-1}} = \dots = c_{z_2} = 0$. Differentiating (71) and (73) with respect to v_1 and w_1 leads to $a_{v_0} = b_{v_0} = 0$ and $a_{w_0} = b_{w_0} = 0$. Differentiating the Gauss equation (28) with respect to w_0 and v_0 , in views of $a \neq 0$, gives $c_{v_0} = c_{w_0} = 0$. Hence, a, b and c are universal.

If $c + \left(\frac{\phi_{12}}{\phi_{22}}\right)^2 a + 2b\frac{\phi_{12}}{\phi_{22}} = 0$, then it follows from the Gauss equation (28) that

$$b = \pm 1 - \frac{\phi_{12}}{\phi_{22}}a, \quad c = \left(\frac{\phi_{12}}{\phi_{22}}\right)^2 a \mp 2\frac{\phi_{12}}{\phi_{22}}.$$
(80)

Therefore, the following identities hold:

$$D_{t}b = -\frac{\phi_{12}}{\phi_{22}}D_{t}a - aD_{t}\left(\frac{\phi_{12}}{\phi_{22}}\right), D_{t}c = \left(\frac{\phi_{12}}{\phi_{22}}\right)^{2}D_{t}a + 2\left(\frac{\phi_{12}}{\phi_{22}}a \mp 1\right)D_{t}\left(\frac{\phi_{12}}{\phi_{22}}\right),$$

$$D_{x}b = -\frac{\phi_{12}}{\phi_{22}}D_{x}a - aD_{x}\left(\frac{\phi_{12}}{\phi_{22}}\right), D_{x}c = \left(\frac{\phi_{12}}{\phi_{22}}\right)^{2}D_{x}a + 2\left(\frac{\phi_{12}}{\phi_{22}}a \mp 1\right)D_{x}\left(\frac{\phi_{12}}{\phi_{22}}\right),$$
(81)

where D_t and D_x are total derivative operators. Then Equations (29) and (30) rewrite as

$$\frac{\Delta_{12}}{\phi_{22}}D_t a - af_{21}D_t\left(\frac{\phi_{12}}{\phi_{22}}\right) + \lambda z_0^2 \frac{\Delta_{12}}{\phi_{22}}D_x a + af_{22}D_x\left(\frac{\phi_{12}}{\phi_{22}}\right) - 2b\Delta_{13} + (a-c)\Delta_{23} = 0, \quad (82)$$

and

$$-\frac{\phi_{12}}{\phi_{22}}\frac{\Delta_{12}}{\phi_{22}}D_t a - a\frac{\Delta_{12}}{\phi_{22}}D_t\left(\frac{\phi_{12}}{\phi_{22}}\right) + f_{21}\left(a\frac{\phi_{12}}{\phi_{22}}\mp 2\right)D_t\left(\frac{\phi_{12}}{\phi_{22}}\right) - \lambda z_0^2\frac{\phi_{12}}{\phi_{22}}\frac{\Delta_{12}}{\phi_{22}}D_x a \\ -\lambda z_0^2 a\frac{\Delta_{12}}{\phi_{22}}D_x\left(\frac{\phi_{12}}{\phi_{22}}\right) - f_{22}\left(a\frac{\phi_{12}}{\phi_{22}}\mp 2\right)D_x\left(\frac{\phi_{12}}{\phi_{22}}\right) + (a-c)\Delta_{13} + 2b\Delta_{23} = 0.$$
(83)

Adding (82) multiplied by $\frac{\phi_{12}}{\phi_{22}}$ with (83) we have

$$\left(-a\frac{\Delta_{12}}{\phi_{22}} \mp 2f_{21} \right) \left[\left(\frac{\phi_{12}}{\phi_{22}} \right)_{z_0} z_{0,t} + \left(\frac{\phi_{12}}{\phi_{22}} \right)_{z_1} z_{1,t} \right] - (\lambda z_0^2 a \frac{\Delta_{12}}{\phi_{22}} \mp 2f_{22}) D_x \left(\frac{\phi_{12}}{\phi_{22}} \right) + (a - c - 2\frac{\phi_{12}}{\phi_{22}} b) \Delta_{13} + \left[\frac{\phi_{12}}{\phi_{22}} (a - c) + 2b \right] \Delta_{23} = 0,$$

$$(84)$$

differentiating (84) with respect to v_1 and w_1 , we obtain respectively,

$$\left(-a\frac{\Delta_{12}}{\phi_{22}} \mp 2f_{21}\right) \left(\frac{\phi_{12}}{\phi_{22}}\right)_{z_1} = 0, \quad \left(-a\frac{\Delta_{12}}{\phi_{22}} \mp 2f_{21}\right) \left(\frac{\phi_{12}}{\phi_{22}}\right)_{z_0} = 0.$$
(85)

A simple and straightforward calculation (for this reason it is omitted) shows that $-a\frac{\Delta_{12}}{\phi_{22}} \mp 2f_{21} \neq 0$, otherwise there is a contradiction. Then by (85), we have $\frac{\phi_{22}}{\phi_{12}} = \mu$, $\mu \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, i.e. $l = \phi_{22} - \mu\phi_{12} = 0$, which restricts our analysis to the case where f_{ij} are given by (44) or (46) both with $\mu_2 = \mu \neq 0$.

If f_{ij} are given by (44) with $\mu_2 = \mu \neq 0$, then we get $\Delta_{13} = \mp \frac{\mu \eta_2}{\sqrt{1+\mu^2}} \phi_{12} \neq 0$ and $\Delta_{23} = \pm \frac{\eta_2}{\sqrt{1+\mu^2}} \phi_{12} \neq 0$. In views of (84), one has $a = \pm \frac{1}{\mu}$. Hence, substituting a in (82) and (83) implies

$$\begin{pmatrix} -2b & a-c \\ a-c & 2b \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_{13} \\ \Delta_{23} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

It follows from (32) that b = 0 and a - c = 0, which contradicts the Gauss equation (28).

If f_{ij} are given by (46) with $\mu_2 = \mu \neq 0$, then we get $\Delta_{13} = \frac{2}{\gamma} \lambda \eta_2 \eta_3 z_0 z_1$ and $\Delta_{23} = \frac{2}{\gamma} \lambda \eta_2 (\mu \eta_3 - \mu_3 \eta_2) z_0 z_1$. By using (84), one obtains

$$\frac{\eta_2}{\gamma}\eta_3 z_0 z_1 a + \frac{\eta_2}{\gamma}(\mu\eta_3 - \mu_3\eta_2) z_0 z_1 \left(-\frac{a}{\mu} + 2\right) = 0.$$
(86)

Therefore, if $\frac{\eta_2}{\gamma}(\mu\eta_3 - \mu_3\eta_2) \neq 0$, i.e. $\mu\eta_3 - \mu_3\eta_2 \neq 0$, then (86) implies a is a constant. Similarly, in views of (82) and (83), there is a contradiction as above. if $\frac{\eta_2}{\gamma}(\mu\eta_3 - \mu_3\eta_2) = 0$, then $\Delta_{13} = -2\lambda\eta_2 z_0 z_1$ and $\Delta_{23} = 0$. Substituting into (82) and (83) leads to

$$D_t a + \lambda z_0^2 D_x a - 4\lambda (\pm \mu - a) z_0 z_1 = 0,$$

$$D_t a + \lambda z_0^2 D_x a - 2\lambda (a\mu^2 - a \pm 2\mu) z_0 z_1 = 0,$$

which imply a = 0 and therefore, a contradiction by Lemma 3.1.

Thus, a, b and c are universal. This concludes the proof of Lemma 3.2.

In Lemmas 3.2-3.3 discussed earlier, it was shown that when specific conditions are met, if a local isometric immersion exists where the triples $\{a, b, c\}$ of the second fundamental form are dependent solely on a finite order jet of z_0 , then their coefficients are functions that rely only on x and t. Additionally, the proof for both lemmas involves analyzing the cases of l = 1 and $l \geq 2$ separately. It will now be demonstrated that there is no local isometric immersion fitting these criteria.

Lemma 3.4. Consider an equation of the form (35) that describes pseudospherical surfaces under condition (9), as outlined in Theorems 2.2-2.5. Let's assume there is a local isometric immersion of the pseudospherical surface, determined by a solution u(x,t) of (7), where the triples $\{a, b, c\}$ of the second fundamental form depend on $x, t, z_0, z_1, \dots, z_l, w_1, \dots, w_n, v_1,$ \dots, v_n , where $1 \leq l < \infty$ and $1 \leq n < \infty$ are finite, but otherwise arbitrary. Assume $f_{21} \neq 0$ on a non-empty open set. If the condition

$$c + \left(\frac{f_{11}}{f_{21}}\right)^2 a + 2\frac{f_{11}}{f_{21}}b = 0 \tag{87}$$

is satisfied, then the system of equations (28)-(30) becomes inconsistent.

Proof. In views of the Gauss equation (28) and (87), we derive that b and c in terms of a, f_{11} and f_{21} as following

$$b = \pm 1 - \frac{f_{11}}{f_{21}}a,\tag{88}$$

$$c = \left(\frac{f_{11}}{f_{21}}\right)^2 a \mp 2\frac{f_{11}}{f_{21}}.$$
(89)

Therefore, the following identities hold:

$$D_{t}b = -\frac{f_{11}}{f_{21}}D_{t}a - aD_{t}\left(\frac{f_{11}}{f_{21}}\right), D_{t}c = \left(\frac{f_{11}}{f_{21}}\right)^{2}D_{t}a + 2\left(\frac{f_{11}}{f_{21}}a \mp 1\right)D_{t}\left(\frac{f_{11}}{f_{21}}\right),$$

$$D_{x}b = -\frac{f_{11}}{f_{21}}D_{x}a - aD_{x}\left(\frac{f_{11}}{f_{21}}\right), D_{x}c = \left(\frac{f_{11}}{f_{21}}\right)^{2}D_{x}a + 2\left(\frac{f_{11}}{f_{21}}a \mp 1\right)D_{x}\left(\frac{f_{11}}{f_{21}}\right),$$
(90)

where D_t and D_x are total derivative operators. Then Equations (29) and (30) rewrite as

$$-af_{21}D_t\left(\frac{f_{11}}{f_{21}}\right) + \frac{\Delta_{12}}{f_{21}}D_xa + af_{22}D_x\left(\frac{f_{11}}{f_{21}}\right) - 2b\Delta_{13} + (a-c)\Delta_{23} = 0,$$
(91)

and

$$(f_{11}a \mp 2f_{21})D_t\left(\frac{f_{11}}{f_{21}}\right) - \frac{f_{11}}{f_{21}}\frac{\Delta_{12}}{f_{21}}D_xa - \frac{\Delta_{12}}{f_{21}}aD_x\left(\frac{f_{11}}{f_{21}}\right) - f_{22}\left[\frac{f_{11}}{f_{21}}aD_x\left(\frac{f_{11}}{f_{21}}\right) \mp 2D_x\left(\frac{f_{11}}{f_{21}}\right)\right] + (a-c)\Delta_{13} + 2b\Delta_{23} = 0.$$
(92)

Combining Equations (91) and (92) leads to

$$\mp 2f_{21} \left[\left(\frac{f_{11}}{f_{21}} \right)_{z_0} z_{0,t} + \left(\frac{f_{11}}{f_{21}} \right)_{z_1} z_{2,t} \right] - \left(\frac{\Delta_{12}}{f_{21}} a \mp 2f_{22} \right) \left[\left(\frac{f_{11}}{f_{21}} \right)_{z_0} z_1 + \left(\frac{f_{11}}{f_{21}} \right)_{z_2} z_3 \right]$$

$$+ \left(a - c - 2b \frac{f_{11}}{f_{21}} \right) \Delta_{13} + \left[\frac{f_{11}}{f_{21}} (a - c) + 2b \right] \Delta_{23} = 0,$$

$$(93)$$

Moreover, using (9) and (36) we can obtain

$$\left(\frac{f_{11}}{f_{21}}\right)_{z_0} + \left(\frac{f_{11}}{f_{21}}\right)_{z_2} = 0,$$

then (93) becomes

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mp 2f_{21}G \mp 2(\lambda z_0^2 f_{21} + f_{22})z_3 - \left(\frac{\Delta_{12}}{f_{21}}a \mp 2f_{22}\right)z_1 + \frac{\Delta_{12}}{f_{21}}az_3 \end{bmatrix} \left(\frac{f_{11}}{f_{21}}\right)_{z_0} + \left[1 + \left(\frac{f_{11}}{f_{21}}\right)^2\right] \left[a\Delta_{13} + \left(-\frac{f_{11}}{f_{21}}a \pm 2\right)\Delta_{23}\right] = 0.$$
(94)

Taking the v_k and w_j , $1 \le k, j \le n$, derivatives of (94), we get, respectively,

$$Qa_{v_k} = 0, \quad Qa_{w_j} = 0,$$
 (95)

where

$$Q = (z_3 - z_1) \frac{\Delta_{12}}{f_{21}} \left(\frac{f_{11}}{f_{21}}\right)_{z_0} + \left[1 + \left(\frac{f_{11}}{f_{21}}\right)^2\right] \left(\Delta_{13} - \frac{f_{11}}{f_{21}}\Delta_{23}\right).$$
(96)

Next, we will show that $Q \neq 0$. In fact, if Q = 0, differentiating Q with respect to z_3 leads to $\left(\frac{f_{11}}{f_{21}}\right)_{z_0} = 0$, thus, $\frac{f_{11}}{f_{21}}$ is a non-zero constant. This situation will only take place in Theorem 2.4 and Theorem 2.5 (i). But in both cases we can derive $f_{11} = 0$, which is a contraction. Thus, $Q \neq 0$.

Back to Equation (95), since $Q \neq 0$, we have $a_{v_k} = a_{w_j} = 0$, $k, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Hence, a is a function depending only on x, t, z_0, \dots, z_l . However, differentiating (94) with respect to $z_l, l \geq 4$, we also get $Qa_{z_l} = 0$ where Q is given by (96) and, since $Q \neq 0$, we conclude that a depends only on x, t, z_0, \dots, z_3 . Moreover, differentiating (91) with respect to z_4 leads to $a_{z_3} = 0$. Taking the z_3 derivatives of (94), we have

$$\left[\mp 2(\lambda z_0^2 f_{21} + f_{22}) + \frac{\Delta_{12}}{f_{21}}a\right] \left(\frac{f_{11}}{f_{21}}\right)_{z_0} = 0.$$
(97)

Suppose $\left(\frac{f_{11}}{f_{21}}\right)_{z_0} = 0$, which happens only in the branches of the classification corresponding to Theorem 2.4 and Theorem 2.5 (i) both with $\eta_2 = 0$ and $\mu_2 = \mu \neq 0$. Let's assume that $\frac{f_{11}}{f_{21}} = \frac{1}{\mu}$, μ is a nonzero constant, calculating Δ_{13} and Δ_{23} and substituting the results into Equation (94) respectively leads to a is a constant. Then Equation (91) and (92) reduces to

$$-2b\Delta_{13} + (a-c)\Delta_{23} = 0, \quad (a-c)\Delta_{13} + 2b\Delta_{23} = 0, \tag{98}$$

which implies that b = 0 and a = c and thus a contradiction with the Gauss equation (28).

Suppose $\left(\frac{f_{11}}{f_{21}}\right)_{z_0} \neq 0$, this condition holds in the branches of the classification corresponding to Theorem 2.2-2.5. In views of (97), by equating $\mp 2(\lambda z_0^2 f_{21} + f_{22}) + \frac{\Delta_{12}}{f_{21}}a = 0$, one derives

$$a = \pm 2 \frac{\phi_{22} f_{21}}{\Delta_{12}},\tag{99}$$

by using $f_{i2} = \lambda z_0^2 f_{i1} + \phi_{i2}$. Thus, equation (94) is equivalent to

$$\left(\mp 2f_{21}G \mp 2\lambda z_0^2 z_1 f_{21}\right) \left(\frac{f_{11}}{f_{21}}\right)_{z_0} \pm 2f_{21}\phi_{32} \left[1 + \left(\frac{f_{11}}{f_{21}}\right)^2\right] = 0, \tag{100}$$

and then we conclude

$$G = -\lambda z_0^2 z_1 + \left[1 + \left(\frac{f_{11}}{f_{21}}\right)^2\right] \frac{\phi_{32}}{L}, \quad L = \left(\frac{f_{11}}{f_{21}}\right)_{z_0}.$$
 (101)

If G and f_{ij} are given as in (43) and (44), i.e. Theorem 2.2, it follows from (101) that $L = \frac{f'\eta_2}{f_{21}^2}$ and

$$\phi_{12,z_0}z_1 + \phi_{12,z_1}z_2 \pm \frac{\eta_2}{\sqrt{1+\mu_2^2}}\phi_{12} = \pm \frac{\sqrt{1+\mu_2^2}}{\eta_2}(f_{11}^2 + f_{21}^2)\phi_{12}.$$
 (102)

Differentiating (102) with respect to z_2 , there exists a function $P = P(z_0)$ such that

$$\frac{\phi_{12,z_1}}{\phi_{12}} = \pm \frac{\sqrt{1+\mu_2^2}}{\eta_2} (f_{11}^2 + f_{21}^2)_{z_2} = P,$$
(103)

then we can derive that

$$\phi_{12} = Re^{Pz_1}, \quad R \neq 0,$$

$$\pm \frac{\sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2}}{\eta_2} (f_{11}^2 + f_{21}^2) = Pz_2 + S,$$
 (104)

where $R = R(z_0)$ and $S = S(z_0)$ are two differentiable functions. By deriving the second equation of (104) with respect to z_0 and adding the result with the z_2 derivative of the same equation, and in views of $f_{i1,z_0} = -f_{i1,z_2}$, i = 1, 2, 3, we obtain P = A and $S = -Az_0 + C$, where A and C are constants with $A \neq 0$. Otherwise, if A = 0 then P = 0 and S = C, and differentiating (104) with respect to z_2 leads to f' = 0, which is a contradiction.

Substituting (104) into (102), we have

$$R'z_1 + R\left(\pm\frac{\eta_2}{\sqrt{1+\mu_2^2}} + Az_0 - C\right) = 0,$$
(105)

the derivative of (105) with respect to z_1 returns R' = 0 and the coefficient of z_0 for (105) gives us RA = 0, thus R = 0, which is a contradiction.

If G and f_{ij} are given as in (45) and (46), i.e. Theorem 2.3, it follows from (101) that $L = \frac{f'\eta_2}{f_{21}^2}$ and

$$z_0 z_1 f + \frac{\eta_2}{\gamma} [z_1^2 + z_0 z_2 + (\mu_3 \eta_2 - \mu_2 \eta_3) z_0 z_1] = \frac{\mu_3}{\gamma} (f_{11}^2 + f_{21}^2) z_0 z_1.$$
(106)

Considering the coefficient of z_1^2 of the above equation, we get $\frac{\eta_2}{\gamma} = 0$, which contradicts the condition $\eta_2 \neq 0$ appearing in Theorem 2.3.

If G and f_{ij} are given as in (47) and (48), i.e. Theorem 2.4, with $\eta_2 \neq 0$, it follows from (101) that $L = \frac{f'\eta_2}{f_{21}^2}$ and

$$z_{1}\phi_{12,z_{0}} + z_{2}\phi_{12,z_{1}} \pm \frac{\eta_{2}}{\sqrt{1+\mu_{2}^{2}}}\phi_{12} - \left(2\lambda z_{0}z_{1} \pm \frac{\eta_{2}}{\sqrt{1+\mu_{2}^{2}}}\lambda z_{0}^{2} \pm \frac{C}{\sqrt{1+\mu_{2}^{2}}}\right)f$$

$$= \frac{1}{\eta_{2}}(f_{11}^{2} + f_{21}^{2})\left[\pm\sqrt{1+\mu_{2}^{2}}\phi_{12} \pm \frac{\mu_{2}}{\sqrt{1+\mu_{2}^{2}}}(\lambda\eta_{2}z_{0}^{2} + C)\right].$$
(107)

Differentiating (107) with respect to z_0 and z_2 and adding both results lead to

$$z_{1}\phi_{12,z_{0}z_{0}} + z_{2}\phi_{12,z_{1}z_{0}} \pm \frac{\eta_{2}}{\sqrt{1+\mu_{2}^{2}}}\phi_{12,z_{0}} - \left(2\lambda z_{1} \pm \frac{2\eta_{2}}{\sqrt{1+\mu_{2}^{2}}}\lambda z_{0}\right)f + \phi_{12,z_{1}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\eta_{2}}(f_{11}^{2} + f_{21}^{2})\left[\pm\sqrt{1+\mu_{2}^{2}}\phi_{12,z_{0}} \pm 2\lambda\frac{\mu_{2}\eta_{2}}{\sqrt{1+\mu_{2}^{2}}}z_{0}\right].$$
(108)

Again, differentiating (108) with respect to z_0 and z_2 and adding both results lead to

$$z_{1}\phi_{12,z_{0}z_{0}z_{0}} + z_{2}\phi_{12,z_{1}z_{0}z_{0}} \pm \frac{\eta_{2}}{\sqrt{1+\mu_{2}^{2}}}\phi_{12,z_{0}z_{0}} \mp 2\lambda \frac{\eta_{2}}{\sqrt{1+\mu_{2}^{2}}}f + 2\phi_{12,z_{1}z_{0}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\eta_{2}}(f_{11}^{2} + f_{21}^{2})\left[\pm\sqrt{1+\mu_{2}^{2}}\phi_{12,z_{0}z_{0}} \pm 2\lambda \frac{\mu_{2}\eta_{2}}{\sqrt{1+\mu_{2}^{2}}}\right].$$
(109)

Once again, differentiating (109) with respect to z_0 and z_2 and adding both results lead to

$$z_1\phi_{12,z_0z_0z_0z_0} + z_2\phi_{12,z_1z_0z_0z_0} \pm \frac{\eta_2}{\sqrt{1+\mu_2^2}}\phi_{12,z_0z_0z_0} + 3\phi_{12,z_1z_0z_0}$$

$$= \pm \frac{\sqrt{1+\mu_2^2}}{\eta_2} (f_{11}^2 + f_{21}^2)\phi_{12,z_0z_0z_0}.$$
(110)

Taking the z_2 derivative of (110), we obtain

$$\phi_{12,z_1z_0z_0z_0} = \pm \frac{2\sqrt{1+\mu_2^2}}{\eta_2} (f_{11}f_{11,z_2} + f_{21}f_{21,z_2})\phi_{12,z_0z_0z_0}.$$
(111)

Next, we divide our analysis in two subcases, according to whether $\phi_{12,z_0z_0z_0} = 0$ or $\phi_{12,z_0z_0z_0} \neq 0$.

Suppose $\phi_{12,z_0z_0z_0} = 0$, then (111) gives that $\phi_{12,z_1z_0z_0z_0} = 0$ and by (110), $\phi_{12,z_1z_0z_0} = 0$. Hence, $\phi_{12} = Az_0^2 + Bz_0 + D$, where $A \in \mathbb{R}$ and $B = B(z_1)$ and $D = D(z_1)$ are two differentiable functions. It follows from (109) that

$$\pm 2A \frac{\eta_2}{\sqrt{1+\mu_2^2}} \mp 2\lambda \frac{\eta_2}{\sqrt{1+\mu_2^2}} f + 2B' = \frac{1}{\eta_2} (f_{11}^2 + f_{21}^2) \left[\pm 2A \sqrt{1+\mu_2^2} \pm 2\lambda \frac{\mu_2 \eta_2}{\sqrt{1+\mu_2^2}} \right].$$
(112)

Differentiating (112) with respect to z_2 , since $f' \neq 0$, leads to

$$\mp \frac{\lambda \eta_2}{\sqrt{1+\mu_2^2}} = -\frac{2}{\eta_2} (f_{11} + \mu_2 f_{21}) \left[\pm A \sqrt{1+\mu_2^2} \pm \frac{\lambda \mu_2 \eta_2}{\sqrt{1+\mu_2^2}} \right].$$
(113)

Likewise, differentiating (113) with respect to z_2 , we have

$$\pm A\sqrt{1+\mu_2^2} \pm \frac{\lambda\mu_2\eta_2}{\sqrt{1+\mu_2^2}} = 0.$$
(114)

Hence, we have $\lambda = 0$ and by (114), A = 0. Moreover, we also have B is a constant, in views of (112). Back to (108), it rewrites

$$\pm \frac{\eta_2}{\sqrt{1+\mu_2^2}}B + D' = \pm \frac{\sqrt{1+\mu_2^2}}{\eta_2} (f_{11}^2 + f_{21}^2)B.$$
(115)

Similarly, differentiating (115) twice with respect to z_2 leads to B = 0, and thus, by (115), D' = 0. Now (107) becomes

$$\pm \frac{\eta_2}{\sqrt{1+\mu_2^2}} D \mp \frac{C}{\sqrt{1+\mu_2^2}} f = \frac{1}{\eta_2} (f_{11}^2 + f_{21}^2) \left[\pm \sqrt{1+\mu_2^2} D \pm \frac{\mu_2 C}{\sqrt{1+\mu_2^2}} \right].$$
(116)

Taking the z_2 derivative of (116) two times, we conclude C = 0. However, since $\lambda = 0$, this contradicts with the condition $(\lambda \eta_2)^2 + C^2 \neq 0$ in Theorem 2.4.

Suppose $\phi_{12,z_0z_0z_0} \neq 0$, it follows from (111) that

$$\frac{\phi_{12,z_1z_0z_0z_0}}{\phi_{12,z_0z_0z_0}} = \pm \frac{\sqrt{1+\mu_2^2}}{\eta_2} (f_{11}^2 + f_{21}^2)_{z_2} = R,$$
(117)

where $R = R(z_0)$ is a differentiable function. Then (117) can be written as

$$\phi_{12,z_1z_0z_0z_0} = R\phi_{12,z_0z_0z_0},$$

$$f_{11}^2 + f_{21}^2 = \pm \frac{\eta_2}{\sqrt{1+\mu_2^2}} Rz_2 + S,$$
(118)

where $S = S(z_0)$ also is a differentiable function. Taking the z_0 and z_2 derivatives of the second equation in (118), adding the result and using $f_{i1,z_0} + f_{i1,z_2} = 0$ we get

$$R = A, S = \mp \frac{\eta_2}{\sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2}} A z_0 + B,$$
(119)

where A and B are two constants. Hence,

$$f_{11}^2 + f_{21}^2 = \mp \frac{\eta_2}{\sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2}} A(z_0 - z_2) + B, \qquad (120)$$

and integrating once with respect to z_0 the first equation in (118), we have

$$\phi_{12,z_1z_0z_0} = A\phi_{12,z_0z_0} + D, \tag{121}$$

where $D = D(z_1)$ is a differentiable function. Substituting (120) and (121) into (109) leads to

$$z_{1}\phi_{12,z_{0}z_{0}z_{0}} + z_{2}(A\phi_{12,z_{0}z_{0}} + D) \pm \frac{\eta_{2}}{\sqrt{1+\mu_{2}^{2}}}\phi_{12,z_{0}z_{0}} \mp \frac{2\lambda\eta_{2}}{\sqrt{1+\mu_{2}^{2}}}f + 2\phi_{12,z_{1}z_{0}}$$

$$= \left(\mp \frac{A(z_{0} - z_{2})}{\sqrt{1+\mu_{2}^{2}}} + B\right) \left[\pm \sqrt{1+\mu_{2}^{2}}\phi_{12,z_{0}z_{0}} \pm \frac{2\lambda\mu_{2}\eta_{2}}{\sqrt{1+\mu_{2}^{2}}}\right].$$
(122)

Taking the z_2 derivative of (122) returns

$$\pm \frac{2\lambda\eta_2}{\sqrt{1+\mu_2^2}}f' = -D + \frac{2\lambda\mu_2\eta_2}{\sqrt{1+\mu_2^2}}A \equiv \pm \frac{2\lambda\eta_2}{\sqrt{1+\mu_2^2}}E,$$
(123)

where E is a nonzero constant, since $f' \neq 0$. Hence, (123) gives $f_{11} = f = E(z_0 - z_2) + F$, where F is a constant. However, by using $f_{21} = \mu_2 f_{11} + \eta_2$, (120) becomes

$$(1+\mu_2^2)f_{11}^2 + 2\mu_2\eta_2f_{11} + \eta_2^2 = \mp \frac{\eta_2}{\sqrt{1+\mu_2^2}}A(z_0 - z_2) + B$$
(124)

differentiating (124) twice with respect to z_0 and substituting f_{11} into the result, we conclude $2(1 + \mu_2^2)E = 0$, which is meaning E = 0, and thus, a contradiction with $f' \neq 0$. Therefore, we have shown that from (111) we cannot have $\phi_{12,z_0z_0z_0} = 0$ or $\phi_{12,z_0z_0z_0} \neq 0$. So, (101) is not true if G and f_{ij} are given as Theorem 2.4 with $\eta_2 \neq 0$.

If G and f_{ij} are given as in (49) and (50), i.e. Theorem 2.5 (i), with $\eta_2 \neq 0$, it follows from (101) that $L = \frac{m\eta_2}{f_{21}^2}$ and

$$\lambda \left[-5z_0^2 z_1 + 4z_0 z_1 z_2 + \left(2m_1 - \frac{4}{\theta} \right) z_0 z_1 + \frac{2m_1}{\theta} z_1 - \frac{2}{\theta} z_1 z_2 \right] + \left[\theta z_1^3 + 2z_0 z_1 + z_1 z_2 - m_1 z_1 \right] \theta B e^{\theta z_0} = -\lambda z_0^2 z_1 + (f_{11}^2 + f_{21}^2) \frac{\phi_{32}}{m\eta_2},$$
(125)

where

$$\phi_{32} = -\frac{\mu_3 m}{\theta} (2\lambda - \theta^2 B e^{\theta z_0}) z_1^2 + \left(\frac{2\lambda}{\theta} - \theta B e^{\theta z_0} + 2\lambda z_0\right) \left(\mu_2 z_1 - \frac{\eta_3}{\theta}\right)$$

Differentiating (125) three times with respect to z_1 , we obtain $6\theta^2 B e^{\theta z_0} = 0$, i.e. B = 0 and then $\lambda \neq 0$. Hence, (125) becomes

$$\lambda \left[-4z_0^2 z_1 + 4z_0 z_1 z_2 + \left(2m_1 - \frac{4}{\theta} \right) z_0 z_1 + \frac{2m_1}{\theta} z_1 - \frac{2}{\theta} z_1 z_2 \right]$$

$$= (f_{11}^2 + f_{21}^2) \frac{1}{m\eta_2} \left[-2\lambda \frac{\mu_3 m}{\theta} z_1^2 + \left(\frac{2\lambda}{\theta} + 2\lambda z_0 \right) \left(\mu_2 z_1 - \frac{\eta_3}{\theta} \right) \right].$$
(126)

Differentiating (126) twice with respect to z_1 leads to $(f_{11}^2 + f_{21}^2) \frac{1}{m\eta_2} \left(-2\lambda \frac{\mu_3 m}{\theta}\right) = 0$ and thus, $\mu_3 = 0$. But $\mu_3 = \pm \sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2} \neq 0$, which is a contradiction.

If G and f_{ij} are given as in (51) and (52), i.e. Theorem 2.5 (ii), it follows from (101) that $L = \frac{m\eta_2}{f_{21}^2}$ and

$$\lambda \left[-3z_0^2 z_1 + 2z_0 z_1 z_2 + 2m_2 z_0 z_1 \mp \frac{2}{\tau} (z_1^2 + z_0 z_2) \right] + \varphi'' z_1^2 e^{\pm \tau z_1} \pm (\tau z_0 z_1 \pm z_2 + \tau z_1 z_2 - m_2 \tau z_1) \varphi' e^{\pm \tau z_1} + \tau (\pm z_1 + \tau z_0 z_2 - m_2 \tau z_2) \varphi e^{\pm \tau z_1}$$
(127)
$$= -\lambda z_0^2 z_1 + (f_{11}^2 + f_{21}^2) \frac{\phi_{32}}{m\eta_2},$$

where

$$\phi_{32} = \mu_3 [\pm \tau (mz_0 - n)\varphi + m\varphi' z_1] e^{\pm \tau z_1} \mp \frac{2\lambda m\mu_3}{\tau} z_0 z_1 \pm \eta_3 \tau \varphi e^{\pm \tau z_1}.$$

Taking the z_2 derivative of (127) returns

$$2\lambda \left(z_0 z_1 \mp \frac{z_0}{\tau} \right) \pm (\pm 1 + \tau z_1) \varphi' e^{\pm \tau z_1} + \tau^2 (z_0 - m_2) \varphi e^{\pm \tau z_1} = -\frac{2}{\eta_2} (f_{11} + \mu_2 f_{21}),$$

again, taking the z_2 derivative of the above equation, we have $\frac{2m}{\eta_2}(1+\mu_2^2)=0$, i.e. m=0, which contradicts the condition in Theorem 2.5 (ii).

Therefore, we have shown that (101) is not true if G and f_{ij} are given as any one of Theorem 2.2-2.5. This concludes the proof of Lemma 3.4.

4 Universal expressions for the second fundamental forms

In the preceding section, it was demonstrated that if there are triples $\{a, b, c\}$ (which are based on a finite order jet of z_0) of the second fundamental form of a local isometric immersion of a pseudospherical surface, and Equations (28)-(30) are satisfied, then a, b, and c are functions that solely depend on x and t, making them universal. The next step is to identify such triples $\{a, b, c\}$ for Equation (35) and the associated f_{ij} 's as outlined in Theorems 2.2-2.5.

Proposition 4.1. An equation of the form (35) that describes pseudospherical surfaces, subject to condition (9) as stated in Theorem 2.2, allows for the existence of a local isometric immersion of a pseudospherical surface, defined by a solution z_0 , for which the triples $\{a, b, c\}$ of the second fundamental form depend on $x, t, z_0, \dots, z_l, w_1, \dots, w_m, v_1, \dots, v_n$, where $1 \leq l, m, n < \infty$, are finite, but otherwise arbitrary if and only if

(i) $\mu_2 = 0$ and a, b and c depend only on x and are given by

$$a = \pm \sqrt{L(x)}, \quad b = -\beta e^{\pm 2\eta_2 x}, \quad c = a \mp \frac{a'}{\eta_2},$$
 (128)

where $L(x) = Ce^{\pm 2\eta_2 x} - \beta^2 e^{\pm 4\eta_2 x} - 1$, with $C, \beta \in \mathbb{R}, C > 0, C^2 > 4\beta^2$ and the 1-forms $\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3$ are defined on a strip of \mathbb{R}^2 where

$$\frac{C - \sqrt{C^2 - 4\beta^2}}{2\beta^2} < e^{\pm 2\eta_2 x} < \frac{C + \sqrt{C^2 - 4\beta^2}}{2\beta^2}.$$
(129)

Additionally, the values of the constant C and β are selected in a way that the strip intersects the solution domain of Equation (43).

(ii) $\mu_2 \neq 0$ and a, b and c depend only on x and are given by

$$a = \frac{1}{2\mu_2} \left[\pm \mu_2 \sqrt{\Delta} + (1 - \mu_2^2)b + \beta e^{\pm \frac{2\eta_2 x}{\sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2}}} \right],$$

$$c = \frac{1}{2\mu_2} \left[\pm \mu_2 \sqrt{\Delta} - (1 - \mu_2^2)b - \beta e^{\pm \frac{2\eta_2 x}{\sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2}}} \right],$$

$$\Delta = \frac{\left[(\mu_2^2 - 1)b - \beta e^{\pm \frac{2\eta_2 x}{\sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2}}} \right]^2 - 4\mu_2^2 (1 - b^2)}{\mu_2^2} > 0,$$
(130)

where b satisfies the ordinary differential equation

$$\begin{bmatrix} \pm (\mu_2^2 + 1)^2 b \mp (\mu_2^2 - 1)\beta e^{\pm \frac{2\eta_2 x}{\sqrt{1+\mu_2^2}}} + \mu_2(\mu_2^2 + 1)\sqrt{\Delta} \end{bmatrix} b' + \frac{2\eta_2}{\sqrt{1+\mu_2^2}} \begin{bmatrix} \mp \mu_2(\mu_2^2 + 1)\sqrt{\Delta}b - (\mu_2^2 - 1)\beta e^{\pm \frac{2\eta_2 x}{\sqrt{1+\mu_2^2}}}b + \beta^2 e^{\pm \frac{4\eta_2 x}{\sqrt{1+\mu_2^2}}} \end{bmatrix} = 0.$$
(131)

Proof. Since $\eta_2 \neq 0$ we have $f_{21} \neq 0$, on an open set. From Lemma 3.4, Equations (28)-(30) form an inconsistent system. Hence Lemma 3.3 holds, the coefficients of the second fundamental form of such local isometric immersion are universal, and hence (29) and (30) become

$$fa_t + (\mu_2 f + \eta_2)b_t - \phi_{12}a_x - \mu_2\phi_{12}b_x \pm 2b\frac{\mu_2\eta_2}{\sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2}}\phi_{12} \pm (a - c)\frac{\eta_2}{\sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2}}\phi_{12} = 0, \quad (132)$$

$$fb_t + (\mu_2 f + \eta_2)c_t - \phi_{12}b_x - \mu_2\phi_{12}c_x \mp (a-c)\frac{\mu_2\eta_2}{\sqrt{1+\mu_2^2}}\phi_{12} \pm 2b\frac{\eta_2}{\sqrt{1+\mu_2^2}}\phi_{12} = 0, \quad (133)$$

Differentiating (132) and (133) with respect to z_2 leads to

$$a_t = \mu_2^2 c_t,\tag{134}$$

$$b_t = -\mu_2 c_t. \tag{135}$$

Substituting (134) and (135) back into (132) and (133) we have

$$-\mu_2 \eta_2 c_t + \phi_{12} \left[-a_x - \mu_2 b_x \pm \frac{\eta_2}{\sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2}} (2\mu_2 b + a - c) \right] = 0,$$
(136)

$$\eta_2 c_t + \phi_{12} \left[-b_x - \mu_2 c_x \pm \frac{\eta_2}{\sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2}} (2b - \mu_2 a + \mu_2 c) \right] = 0.$$
(137)

Combining (136) and (137) returns

$$\mu_{2} \left[-b_{x} - \mu_{2}c_{x} \pm \frac{\eta_{2}}{\sqrt{1 + \mu_{2}^{2}}} (2b - \mu_{2}a + \mu_{2}c) \right] + \left[-a_{x} - \mu_{2}b_{x} \pm \frac{\eta_{2}}{\sqrt{1 + \mu_{2}^{2}}} (2\mu_{2}b + a - c) \right] = 0.$$
(138)

Differentiating (138) with respect to t and using (134) and (135), we get $\mp \frac{\eta_2}{\sqrt{1+\mu_2^2}}(1+\mu_2^2)^2c_t = 0$ and thus, $c_t = 0$. In views of (134) and (135), $a_t = b_t = 0$. Hence, a, b and c only depend on x. Therefore, (132) and (133) become

$$-a' - \mu_2 b' \pm \frac{\eta_2}{\sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2}} (2\mu_2 b + a - c) = 0,$$
(139)

$$-b' - \mu_2 c' \pm \frac{\eta_2}{\sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2}} (2b - \mu_2 a + \mu_2 c) = 0.$$
(140)

where (138) is obviously satisfied. From (139) we have c in terms of a, b, a' and b', which substituted into (140) leads to

$$\mu_2 \left(\pm \frac{\sqrt{1+\mu_2^2}}{\eta_2} - 2a' \right) + \mu_2^2 \left(\pm \frac{\sqrt{1+\mu_2^2}}{\eta_2} b'' - 2b' \right) - (1+\mu_2^2) \left(b' \mp \frac{2\eta_2}{\sqrt{1+\mu_2^2}} b \right) = 0,$$

that is,

$$\mu_2 a' = -\mu_2^2 b' \pm \eta_2 \sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2} b \pm \frac{\beta \eta_2}{\sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2}} e^{\pm \frac{2\eta_2 x}{\sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2}}},\tag{141}$$

where β is a constant.

If $\mu_2 = 0$, then from (141) and (139), we have

$$b = -\beta e^{\pm 2\eta_2 x}, \quad c = a \mp \frac{a'}{\eta_2}.$$
 (142)

Substituting (142) in the Gauss equation (28), we derive

$$a = \pm \sqrt{L(x)}, \quad L(x) = Ce^{\pm 2\eta_2 x} - \beta^2 e^{\pm 4\eta_2 x} - 1,$$
 (143)

with $C, \beta \in \mathbb{R}, C > 0$ and $C^2 > 4\beta^2$, where a is defined on the strip described by (129).

If $\mu_2 \neq 0$, then combining (141) and (139) leads to

$$c = a + \phi, \quad \phi = \phi(x) = \frac{\mu_2^2 - 1}{\mu_2} b - \frac{\beta}{\mu_2} e^{\pm \frac{2\eta_2 x}{\sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2}}}.$$
 (144)

Substituting (144) into the Gauss equation (28) implies that a second order equation in terms of a:

$$a^2 + a\phi - b^2 + 1 = 0$$

then we can solve the above equation to obtain a as in (130) and by (144) also have c. Hence, Equation (141) rewrites as

$$b'[(\mu_2^2 + 1)\sqrt{\Delta} \pm (\mu_2^2 - 1)\phi \pm 4\mu_2 b] \mp 2\eta_2 \sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2} b\sqrt{\Delta} - \frac{2\beta\eta_2}{\sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2}} \phi e^{\pm \frac{2\eta_2 x}{\sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2}}} = 0.$$
(145)

Observe that if the coefficient of b' in (145) vanishes, we get

$$(\mu_2^2 + 1)\sqrt{\Delta} \pm (\mu_2^2 - 1)\phi \pm 4\mu_2 b = 0,$$

$$\mp 2\eta_2 \sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2} b\sqrt{\Delta} - \frac{2\beta\eta_2}{\sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2}} \phi e^{\pm \frac{2\eta_2 x}{\sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2}}} = 0.$$

Combining the above two equations implies that

$$\frac{\mu_2\eta_2}{\sqrt{1+\mu_2^2}}(\phi^2+4b^2)=0,$$

and thus $\phi^2 + 4b^2 = 0$. But, $\phi^2 + 4b^2 = 0$ if and only if $\phi = b = 0$ and by (144), c = a. This contradicts the Gauss equation (28). Therefore, the coefficient of b' in (145) does not vanish on a non-empty open set. In other words, we can assume b' = g(x, b), where g is a differentiable function defined, in views of (145), as

$$g(x,b) = \frac{\pm 2\eta_2 \sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2} b\sqrt{\Delta} + \frac{2\beta\eta_2}{\sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2}} \phi e^{\pm \frac{2\eta_2 x}{\sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2}}}}{(\mu_2^2 + 1)\sqrt{\Delta} \pm (\mu_2^2 - 1)\phi \pm 4\mu_2 b}$$

Let x_0 be a specific point chosen and let's consider the initial value problem given by the system:

$$\begin{cases} b' = g(x, b), \\ b(x_0) = b_0. \end{cases}$$
(146)

Assuming that b is a differentiable function, it follows that g(x,b) and its partial derivative with respect to b are continuous within a certain open region defined by the rectangle

$$R = \{(x, b) : x_1 < x < x_2, b_1 < b < b_2\}$$

that includes the point (x_0, b_0) . Therefore, according to the basic theorem on the existence and uniqueness of solutions for ordinary differential equations, there is only one solution within a specific closed interval $I = [b_0 - \epsilon, b_0 + \epsilon]$, where ϵ is a positive number. Additionally, it is necessary to select x_1 and x_2 in a way that the range $x_1 < x < x_2$ intersects with the solution's domain. It is important to note that replacing ϕ into equation (145) results in equation (131).

The opposite can be deduced from a simple calculation.

Proposition 4.2. Consider an equation of type (35) that describes pseudospherical surfaces, subject to the condition (9) as outlined in Theorem 2.3. Then, there is no possibility of a local isometric immersion of a pseudospherical surface, which is defined by a solution z_0 , if the coefficients a, b, and c of the second fundamental form are dependent on various variables: $x, t, z_0, \dots, z_l, w_1, \dots, w_m, v_1, \dots, v_n$, where $1 \leq l, m, n < \infty$, are finite, but otherwise unspecified.

Proof. Since $\eta_2 \neq 0$ we have $f_{21} \neq 0$, on an open set. From Lemma 3.4, Equations (28)-(30) form an inconsistent system. Hence Lemma 3.3 holds, the coefficients of the second fundamental form of such local isometric immersion are universal, and hence (29) and (30) become

$$f_{11}a_t + f_{21}b_t - f_{12}a_x - f_{22}b_x - 2b\Delta_{13} + (a-c)\Delta_{23} = 0,$$
(147)

$$f_{11}b_t + f_{21}c_t - f_{12}b_x - f_{22}c_x + (a-c)\Delta_{13} + 2b\Delta_{23} = 0,$$
(148)

where $\Delta_{13} = \frac{2}{\gamma}\lambda\eta_2\eta_3z_0z_1$ and $\Delta_{23} = -\frac{2}{\gamma}\lambda\eta_2(\mu_3\eta_2 - \mu_2\eta_3)z_0z_1$. Hence, since f_{ij} are given by (46), it follows from (147) and (148) that, respectively,

$$f[a_t + \mu_2 b_t + \lambda (a_x + \mu_2 b_x) z_0^2] + \eta_2 (b_t + \lambda z_0^2 b_x) + \lambda z_0 z_1 \left[\frac{2}{\gamma} \eta_2 (a_x + \mu_2 b_x) - \frac{4}{\gamma} \eta_2 \eta_3 b - \frac{2}{\gamma} \eta_2 (\mu_3 \eta_2 - \mu_2 \eta_3) (a - c) \right] = 0,$$
(149)

$$f[b_t + \mu_2 c_t + \lambda (b_x + \mu_2 c_x) z_0^2] + \eta_2 (c_t + \lambda z_0^2 c_x) + \lambda z_0 z_1 \left[\frac{2}{\gamma} \eta_2 (b_x + \mu_2 c_x) + \frac{2}{\gamma} \eta_2 \eta_3 (a - c) - \frac{4}{\gamma} \eta_2 (\mu_3 \eta_2 - \mu_2 \eta_3) b \right] = 0.$$
(150)

Differentiating (149) and (150) with respect to z_2 leads to

$$a_t + \mu_2 b_t + \lambda (a_x + \mu_2 b_x) z_0^2 = 0, \qquad (151)$$

$$b_t + \mu_2 c_t + \lambda (b_x + \mu_2 c_x) z_0^2 = 0.$$
(152)

Considering the coefficients of z_0^2 both in (151) and (152), we have

$$a_t + \mu_2 b_t = 0, \quad a_x + \mu_2 b_x = 0, \tag{153}$$

$$b_t + \mu_2 c_t = 0, \quad b_x + \mu_2 c_x = 0. \tag{154}$$

Substituting (152) and (154) into (149) and (150) and taking the z_1 derivative and then z_0 derivative of the remaining expression we obtain

$$-\frac{4}{\gamma}\eta_2\eta_3 b - \frac{2}{\gamma}\eta_2(\mu_3\eta_2 - \mu_2\eta_3)(a-c) = 0,$$
(155)

$$\frac{2}{\gamma}\eta_2\eta_3(a-c) - \frac{4}{\gamma}\eta_2(\mu_3\eta_2 - \mu_2\eta_3)b = 0.$$
(156)

Since the Gauss equation (28) needs to be satisfied we have $(a-c)^2 + b^2 \neq 0$. Hence, from (155) and (156) we obtain $\eta_2^2 \eta_3^2 + \eta_2^2 (\mu_3 \eta_2 - \mu_3 \eta_2)^2 = 0$, which is meaning $\eta_2 = 0$, by the condition $\eta_2^2 - \eta_3^2 - (\mu_3 \eta_2 - \mu_3 \eta_2)^2 = 0$. This gives a contradiction since $\eta_2 \neq 0$.

Proposition 4.3. Consider an equation of type (35) that describes pseudospherical surfaces, under the condition (9), given by Theorem 2.4. There exists a local isometric immersion of a pseudospherical surface, defined by a solution z_0 , for which the triples $\{a, b, c\}$ of the second fundamental form depend on $x, t, z_0, \dots, z_l, w_1, \dots, w_m, v_1, \dots, v_n$, where $1 \leq l, m, n < \infty$, are finite, but otherwise arbitrary if and only if (i) $\mu_2 = \eta_2 = 0, C \neq 0$ and a, b and c depend only on t and are given by

$$a = \pm \sqrt{L(t)}, \quad b = \beta e^{\pm 2Ct}, \quad c = a \mp \frac{a'}{C},$$
(157)

where $L(t) = \sigma e^{\pm 2Ct} - \beta^2 e^{\pm 4Ct} - 1$, with $\sigma, \beta \in \mathbb{R}, \sigma > 0, \sigma^2 > 4\beta^2$ and the 1-forms $\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3$ are defined on a strip of \mathbb{R}^2 where

$$\frac{\sigma - \sqrt{\sigma^2 - 4\beta^2}}{2\beta^2} < e^{\pm 2Ct} < \frac{\sigma + \sqrt{\sigma^2 - 4\beta^2}}{2\beta^2}.$$
(158)

Moreover, the constant σ and β are chosen so that the strip intersects the domain of the solution of (47).

(ii)
$$\mu_2 = 0, \ \eta_2 \neq 0, \ \lambda^2 + C^2 \neq 0 \text{ and } a, b \text{ and } c \text{ are functions of } \eta_2 x + Ct \text{ and are given by}$$

$$a = \pm \sqrt{L(\eta_2 x + Ct)}, \quad b = -\beta e^{\pm 2(\eta_2 x + Ct)}, \quad c = a \mp a', \tag{159}$$

where $L(\eta_2 x + Ct) = \sigma e^{\pm 2(\eta_2 x + Ct)} - \beta^2 e^{\pm 4(\eta_2 x + Ct)} - 1$, with $\sigma, \beta \in \mathbb{R}, \sigma > 0, \sigma^2 > 4\beta^2$ and the 1-forms $\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3$ are defined on a strip of \mathbb{R}^2 where

$$\frac{\sigma - \sqrt{\sigma^2 - 4\beta^2}}{2\beta^2} < e^{\pm 2(\eta_2 x + Ct)} < \frac{\sigma + \sqrt{\sigma^2 - 4\beta^2}}{2\beta^2}.$$
(160)

Moreover, the constant σ and β are chosen so that the strip intersects the domain of the solution of (47).

(iii) $\mu_2 \neq 0$, $(\lambda \eta_2)^2 + C^2 \neq 0$ and a, b and c are differentiable functions of $\eta_2 x + Ct$ and are given by

$$a = \frac{1}{2\mu_2} \left[\pm \mu_2 \sqrt{\Delta} - (\mu_2^2 - 1)b + \beta e^{\pm \frac{2(\eta_2 x + Ct)}{\sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2}}} \right],$$

$$c = \frac{1}{2\mu_2} \left[\pm \mu_2 \sqrt{\Delta} + (\mu_2^2 - 1)b - \beta e^{\pm \frac{2(\eta_2 x + Ct)}{\sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2}}} \right],$$

$$\Delta = \frac{\left[(\mu_2^2 - 1)b - \beta e^{\pm \frac{2(\eta_2 x + Ct)}{\sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2}}} \right]^2 - 4\mu_2^2 (1 - b^2)}{\mu_2^2} > 0,$$
(161)

where b satisfies the ordinary differential equation

$$\begin{bmatrix} \pm (\mu_2^2 + 1)^2 b \mp (\mu_2^2 - 1)\beta e^{\pm \frac{2(\eta_2 x + Ct)}{\sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2}}} + \mu_2(\mu_2^2 + 1)\sqrt{\Delta} \end{bmatrix} b' + \frac{2}{\sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2}} \begin{bmatrix} \mp \mu_2(\mu_2^2 + 1)\sqrt{\Delta}b - (\mu_2^2 - 1)\beta e^{\pm \frac{2(\eta_2 x + Ct)}{\sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2}}} b + \beta^2 e^{\pm \frac{4(\eta_2 x + Ct)}{\sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2}}} \end{bmatrix} = 0.$$
(162)

Proof. Suppose $f_{21} = 0$, then $\mu_2 = \eta_2 = 0$ and $C \neq 0$. From Lemma 3.2 the coefficients of the second fundamental form of such local isometric immersion are universal, and hence (29) and (30) become

$$f[a_t + \lambda z_0^2 a_x \mp C(a-c)] - \phi_{12}a_x - Cb_x = 0, \qquad (163)$$

$$f[b_t + \lambda z_0^2 b_x \mp 2bC] - \phi_{12} b_x - Cc_x = 0.$$
(164)

Differentiating (163) and (164) with respect to z_2 leads to

$$a_t + \lambda z_0^2 a_x \mp C(a - c) = 0, \tag{165}$$

$$b_t + \lambda z_0^2 b_x \mp 2bC = 0. \tag{166}$$

Considering the coefficient of z_0^2 of both above equation, we obtain

$$\lambda a_x = 0, \quad a_t \mp C(a - c) = 0, \tag{167}$$

$$\lambda b_x = 0, \quad b_t \mp 2bC = 0. \tag{168}$$

Substituting (167) and (168) into (163) and (164), respectively, we get

$$b_x = -\frac{\phi_{12}}{C}a_x, \quad c_x = -\frac{\phi_{12}}{C}b_x.$$
 (169)

Hence the derivative of the Gauss equation (28) with respect to x returns

$$\left[c + \left(\frac{\phi_{12}}{C}\right)^2 a - 2\frac{\phi_{12}}{C}b\right]a_x = 0.$$
(170)

If $a_x \neq 0$, then $c + \left(\frac{\phi_{12}}{C}\right)^2 a - 2\frac{\phi_{12}}{C}b = 0$. Differentiating the first equation in (169) with respect to z_0 and z_1 gives $\phi_{z_0} = \phi_{z_1} = 0$, which is meaning that ϕ_{12} is a non-zero constant. Otherwise, (170) would give c = 0. Let us assume $\phi_{12} = C\alpha$, where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. Moreover, in views of the Gauss equation (28), we get

$$b = \pm 1 - \alpha a, \quad c = \alpha^2 a \mp 2\alpha. \tag{171}$$

Substituting (171) into (167) and (168) leads to

$$a_t \mp C(a - \alpha a \pm 2\alpha) = 0, \quad -\alpha a_t \mp 2C(\pm 1 - \alpha a) = 0.$$

In the above equations, adding the second to the first multiplied by α leads to $a = \pm \frac{2}{\alpha}$, which substituted into the first equation gives us C = 0 and thus, a contradiction since $C \neq 0$.

If $a_x = 0$ then by (169), we obtain $b_x = c_x = 0$. Thus, a, b and c depend only on t. It follows from (167) and (168) that

$$b = \beta e^{\pm 2Ct}, \quad c = a \mp \frac{a_t}{C}, \tag{172}$$

where β is a constant. Substituting (172) into the Gauss equation (28) leads to a second order equation in terms of a

$$a^2C \mp aa_t - \beta^2 C e^{\pm 4Ct} + C = 0,$$

then we derive $a = \pm \sqrt{L(t)}$ where $L(t) = \sigma e^{\pm 2Ct} - \beta^2 e^{\pm 4Ct} - 1$, with $\sigma, \beta \in \mathbb{R}, \sigma > 0, \sigma^2 > 4\beta^2$. Moreover, a is defined on the strip described by (158). This concludes (i).

Suppose $f_{21} \neq 0$ on a non-empty open set. From Lemma 3.4, Equations (28)-(30) form an inconsistent system. Hence Lemma 3.3 holds, the coefficients of the second fundamental form of such local isometric immersion are universal, and hence (29) and (30) become

$$f_{11}a_t + f_{21}b_t - f_{12}a_x - f_{22}b_x - 2b\Delta_{13} + (a-c)\Delta_{23} = 0,$$
(173)

$$f_{11}b_t + f_{21}c_t - f_{12}b_x - f_{22}c_x + (a-c)\Delta_{13} + 2b\Delta_{23} = 0,$$
(174)

where

$$\Delta_{13} = \pm \frac{\mu_2}{\sqrt{1+\mu_2^2}} (C + \lambda \eta_2 z_0^2) f \mp \frac{\mu_2 \eta_2}{\sqrt{1+\mu_2^2}} \phi_{12},$$

$$\Delta_{23} = \mp \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\mu_2^2}} (C + \lambda \eta_2 z_0^2) f \pm \frac{\eta_2}{\sqrt{1+\mu_2^2}} \phi_{12}.$$

Hence, since f_{ij} are given by (48), it follows from (173) and (174) that, respectively,

$$f\left[a_{t} + \mu_{2}b_{t} + \lambda(a_{x} + \mu_{2}b_{x})z_{0}^{2} \mp \frac{2b\mu_{2}}{\sqrt{1 + \mu_{2}^{2}}}(C + \lambda\eta_{2}z_{0}^{2}) \mp \frac{(a - c)}{\sqrt{1 + \mu_{2}^{2}}}(C + \lambda\eta_{2}z_{0}^{2})\right] + (\eta_{2}b_{t} - Cb_{x}) + \phi_{12}\left[-a_{x} - \mu_{2}b_{x} \pm \frac{2b\mu_{2}\eta_{2}}{\sqrt{1 + \mu_{2}^{2}}} \pm \frac{\eta_{2}(a - c)}{\sqrt{1 + \mu_{2}^{2}}}\right] = 0,$$

$$f\left[b_{t} + \mu_{2}c_{t} + \lambda(b_{x} + \mu_{2}c_{x})z_{0}^{2} \pm \frac{\mu_{2}(a - c)}{\sqrt{1 + \mu_{2}^{2}}}(C + \lambda\eta_{2}z_{0}^{2}) \mp \frac{2b}{\sqrt{1 + \mu_{2}^{2}}}(C + \lambda\eta_{2}z_{0}^{2})\right] + (\eta_{2}c_{t} - Cc_{x}) + \phi_{12}\left[-b_{x} - \mu_{2}c_{x} \mp \frac{\mu_{2}\eta_{2}(a - c)}{\sqrt{1 + \mu_{2}^{2}}} \pm \frac{2b\eta_{2}}{\sqrt{1 + \mu_{2}^{2}}}\right] = 0.$$
(175)

Differentiating (175) and (176) with respect to z_2 leads to

$$a_t + \mu_2 b_t + \lambda (a_x + \mu_2 b_x) z_0^2 \mp \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2}} (C + \lambda \eta_2 z_0^2) (2\mu_2 b + a - c) = 0,$$
(177)

$$b_t + \mu_2 c_t + \lambda (b_x + \mu_2 c_x) z_0^2 \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2}} (C + \lambda \eta_2 z_0^2) (\mu_2 a - \mu_2 c - 2b) = 0.$$
(178)

Taking the z_0 derivative twice of (177) and (178) and substituting the result back into the latter two equations we have

$$a_t + \mu_2 b_t \mp \frac{C}{\sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2}} (2\mu_2 b + a - c) = 0, \quad b_t + \mu_2 c_t \pm \frac{C}{\sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2}} (\mu_2 a - \mu_2 c - 2b) = 0, \quad (179)$$

and

$$\lambda \left[a_x + \mu_2 b_x \mp \frac{\eta_2}{\sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2}} (2\mu_2 b + a - c) \right] = 0,$$

$$\lambda \left[b_x + \mu_2 c_x \pm \frac{\eta_2}{\sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2}} (\mu_2 a - \mu_2 c - 2b) \right] = 0.$$
(180)

Substituting (179) and (180) back into (175) and (176) returns

$$\eta_2 b_t - C b_x + \phi_{12} \left[-a_x - \mu_2 b_x \pm \frac{\eta_2}{\sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2}} (2\mu_2 b + a - c) \right] = 0, \tag{181}$$

$$\eta_2 c_t - C c_x + \phi_{12} \left[-b_x - \mu_2 c_x \mp \frac{\eta_2}{\sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2}} (\mu_2 a - \mu_2 c - 2b) \right] = 0.$$
(182)

Multiplying the first equation in (179) by C and adding the result to the first equation in (180) multiplied by $\lambda \eta_2$ we get

$$\pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\mu_2^2}} (2\mu_2 b + a - c) = \frac{1}{H} [C(a_t + \mu_2 b_t) + \lambda^2 \eta_2 (a_x + \mu_2 b_x)],$$
(183)

and the same operation with the second equation of (179) and (180) leads to

$$\pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\mu_2^2}}(\mu_2 a - \mu_2 c - 2b) = -\frac{1}{H}[C(b_t + \mu_2 c_t) + \lambda^2 \eta_2(b_x + \mu_2 c_x)],$$
(184)

where $H = (\lambda \eta_2)^2 + C^2$ is a nonzero constant. Substituting (183) and (184) into (181) and (182), we get

$$(\mu_2 C\phi_{12} + H)(\eta_2 b_t - Cb_x) + C\phi_{12}(\eta_2 a_t - Ca_x) = 0, \tag{185}$$

$$(\mu_2 C\phi_{12} + H)(\eta_2 c_t - Cc_x) + C\phi_{12}(\eta_2 b_t - Cb_x) = 0.$$
(186)

Differentiating the Gauss equation (28) with respect to t and multiplying the result by η_2 and doing the same thing with x and C, we obtain

$$(\eta_2 a_t - Ca_x)c + (\eta_2 c_t - Cc_x)a - 2b(\eta_2 b_t - Cb_x) = 0.$$
(187)

Next, we will show that $\eta_2 a_t - Ca_x = \eta_2 b_t - Cb_x = \eta_2 c_t - Cc_x = 0$ by dividing into two subcases.

If $C\phi_{12} = 0$, then from (185) and (186) we have $\eta_2 b_t - Cb_x = 0$ and $\eta_2 c_t - Cc_x = 0$, which substituted into (187) leads to $\eta_2 a_t - Ca_x = 0$.

If $C\phi_{12} \neq 0$, substituting (185) and (186) into (187) we have

$$(\eta_2 c_t - C c_x)[a + Q^2 c + 2Qb] = 0, \quad Q = \frac{\mu_2 C \phi_{12} + H}{C \phi_{12}}.$$
(188)

Suppose $\eta_2 c_t - C c_x \neq 0$, then $a + Q^2 c + 2Qb = 0$, and thus $\phi_{12} \in \mathbb{R}$. By the Gauss equation (28) we obtain

$$a = Q^2 c \mp 2Q, \quad b = \pm 1 - Qc.$$
 (189)

Since $a \neq 0$, then Q is a nonzero constant. Substituting (189) into (179) leads to

$$(Q - \mu_2)Qc_t \mp \frac{C}{\sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2}} [2\mu_2^2(\pm 1 - Qc) + Q^2c \mp 2Q - c] = 0,$$

$$-(Q - \mu_2)c_t \pm \frac{C}{\sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2}} [-2(\pm 1 - Qc) + \mu_2(Q^2c \mp 2Q - c)] = 0.$$

Combining the above two equations, we conclude $c = \pm \frac{2\mu_2}{1+\mu_2 Q}$, i.e. c is a nonzero constant. In views of (189), we also have a and b are constants. However, (179) rewrite as

 $2\mu_2 b + a - c = 0, \quad \mu_2 a - \mu_2 c - 2b = 0,$

which implies that b = 0 and a = c, contradicts the Gauss equation (28). Therefore, $\eta_2 c_t - Cc_x = 0$ and by (185) and (186), we have $\eta_2 b_t - Cb_x = 0$ and $\eta_2 a_t - Ca_x = 0$.

Therefore, we can assume that

$$a = \phi_1, \quad b = \phi_2, \quad c = \phi_3,$$
 (190)

where $\phi_i = \phi_i(\eta_2 x + Ct), i = 1, 2, 3$ are real and differentiable functions and $\phi_1 \phi_3 \neq 0$, since $ac \neq 0$. Substituting (190) into (179) and (180) we get, respectively,

$$C(\phi_1' + \mu_2 \phi_2') \mp \frac{C}{\sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2}} (2\mu_2 \phi_2 + \phi_1 - \phi_3) = 0,$$

$$C(\phi_2' + \mu_2 \phi_3') \pm \frac{C}{\sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2}} (\mu_2 \phi_1 - \mu_2 \phi_3 - 2\phi_2) = 0,$$
(191)

and

$$\lambda \left[\eta_2(\phi_1' + \mu_2 \phi_2') \mp \frac{\eta_2}{\sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2}} (2\mu_2 \phi_2 + \phi_1 - \phi_3) \right] = 0,$$

$$\lambda \left[\eta_2(\phi_2' + \mu_2 \phi_3') \pm \frac{\eta_2}{\sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2}} (\mu_2 \phi_1 - \mu_2 \phi_3 - 2\phi_2) \right] = 0,$$
(192)

observing that $C^2 + (\lambda \eta_2)^2 \neq 0$, (191) and (192) give

$$\phi_1' + \mu_2 \phi_2' \mp \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2}} (2\mu_2 \phi_2 + \phi_1 - \phi_3) = 0,$$

$$\phi_2' + \mu_2 \phi_3' \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2}} (\mu_2 \phi_1 - \mu_2 \phi_3 - 2\phi_2) = 0.$$
(193)

From the first equation in (193) we derive ϕ_3 in terms of ϕ_1 , ϕ'_1 , ϕ_2 and ϕ'_2 , which substituted into the second equation in (193) implies that

$$\mu_2 \left(\mp \sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2} \phi_1'' + 2\phi_1' \right) + \mu_2^2 \left(\mp \sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2} \phi_2'' + 2\phi_2' \right)$$
$$+ (1 + \mu_2^2) \left(\phi_2' \mp \frac{2}{\sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2}} \phi_2 \right) = 0,$$

 $that \ is$

$$\mu_2 \phi_1' = \pm \sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2} \phi_2 - \mu_2^2 \phi_2' \pm \frac{\beta}{\sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2}} e^{\pm \frac{2(\eta_2 x + Ct)}{\sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2}}},$$
(194)

where β is a constant.

Suppose $\mu_2 = 0$, then (194) and (193) give that

$$b = -\beta e^{\pm 2(\eta_2 x + Ct)}, \quad c = a \mp a'.$$
 (195)

Similarly, by using the Gauss equation (28), we get a second order differentiable equation in terms of a

$$a^{2} \mp aa' - \beta^{2} e^{\pm 4(\eta_{2}x + Ct)} + 1 = 0,$$

thus a is defined as in (159) and on the strip described by (160). This concludes (ii).

Suppose $\mu_2 \neq 0$, then from (193) and (184), we have

$$\phi_3 = \phi_1 + \phi, \quad \phi = \frac{\mu_2^2 - 1}{\mu_2} \phi_2 - \frac{\beta}{\mu_2} e^{\pm \frac{2(\eta_2 x + Ct)}{\sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2}}}.$$
 (196)

Substituting (96) into the Gauss equation (28) leads to $\phi_1^2 + \phi \phi_1 - \phi_2^2 + 1 = 0$, and thus we have

$$a = \phi_1 = \frac{-\phi \pm \sqrt{\Delta}}{2}, \quad \Delta = \phi^2 - 4(1 - \phi_2^2) > 0.$$

Hence, in views of (196) we also have c as in (161), which substituted into (194) gives us

$$\phi_2'[(\mu_2^2+1)\sqrt{\Delta} \pm (\mu_2^2-1)\phi \pm 4\mu_2\phi_2] \mp 2\sqrt{1+\mu_2^2}\sqrt{\Delta}\phi_2 - \frac{2\beta}{\sqrt{1+\mu_2^2}}\phi e^{\pm\frac{2(\eta_2x+Ct)}{\sqrt{1+\mu_2^2}}} = 0.$$
(197)

Observe that if the coefficient of ϕ_2' in (197) vanishes, we have

$$(\mu_2^2 + 1)\sqrt{\Delta} \pm (\mu_2^2 - 1)\phi \pm 4\mu_2\phi_2 = 0,$$

$$\mp 2\sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2}\sqrt{\Delta}\phi_2 - \frac{2\beta}{\sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2}}\phi e^{\pm\frac{2(\eta_2 x + Ct)}{\sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2}}} = 0.$$

Combining the above two equations implies that

$$\frac{2\mu_2}{\sqrt{1+\mu_2^2}}(\phi^2+4\phi_2^2)=0,$$

and then $\phi^2 + 4\phi_2^2 = 0$. However, $\phi^2 + 4\phi_2^2 = 0$ if and only if $\phi = \phi_2 = 0$ which (196) leads to $\phi_3 = \phi_1$. This contradicts the Gauss equation (28). Therefore, the coefficient of ϕ'_2 in (197) does not vanish on a nonempty open set. In other words, we can assume $b' = \phi'_2 = g(\bar{x}, b)$, $\bar{x} = \eta_2 x + Ct$, where g is a differentiable function defined, in views of (197), as

$$g(\bar{x},b) = \frac{\pm 2\sqrt{1+\mu_2^2}b\sqrt{\Delta} + \frac{2\beta}{\sqrt{1+\mu_2^2}}\phi e^{\pm\frac{2(\eta_2 x + Ct)}{\sqrt{1+\mu_2^2}}}}{(\mu_2^2 + 1)\sqrt{\Delta} \pm (\mu_2^2 - 1)\phi \pm 4\mu_2 b}.$$

Let \bar{x}_0 be an arbitrarily fixed point and consider the following initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} b' = g(\bar{x}, b), \\ b(\bar{x}_0) = b_0. \end{cases}$$
(198)

Since b is a smooth function, then $g(\bar{x}, b)$ and $\partial_b g(\bar{x}, b)$ are continuous in some open rectangle

$$R = \{ (\bar{x}, b) : \bar{x}_1 < \bar{x} < \bar{x}_2, b_1 < b < b_2 \}$$

that contains the point (\bar{x}_0, b_0) . Therefore, according to the fundamental theorem of existence and uniqueness for ordinary differential equations, (198) possesses a singular solution within a specific closed interval $I = [b_0 - \epsilon, b_0 + \epsilon]$, where ϵ is a positive number. Additionally, \bar{x}_1 and \bar{x}_2 must be selected in a way that the range $\bar{x}_1 < \bar{x} < \bar{x}_2$ intersects the solution domain of the equation (47). It is important to note that when ϕ is substituted into the equation (197), the result is (162). This completes (iii).

The converse follows from a straightforward computation.

Proposition 4.4. An equation of the form (35) that describes pseudospherical surfaces, subject to condition (9) as per Theorem 2.5 (i), does not allow for a local isometric immersion of such a surface defined by a solution z_0 , where the triples $\{a, b, c\}$ of the second fundamental form are dependent on $x, t, z_0, \dots, z_l, w_1, \dots, w_m, v_1, \dots, v_n$, where $1 \leq l, m, n < \infty$, are finite, but otherwise arbitrary.

Proof. Suppose $f_{21} = 0$, on an open set, then we have $\mu_2 = \eta_2 = 0$. From Lemma 3.2, the coefficients of the second fundamental form of such local isometric immersion are universal, and hence (29) and (30) become

$$f_{11}[a_t + \lambda z_0^2 a_x - 2b(\phi_{32} \mp \phi_{12}) \mp (a - c)\phi_{22}] + \phi_{12}\left(a_x \pm 2b\frac{\theta}{m}\right) - \phi_{22}\left[b_x \pm (a - c)\frac{\theta}{m}\right] = 0, \quad (199)$$

$$f_{11}[b_t + \lambda z_0^2 b_x + (a-c)(\phi_{32} \mp \phi_{12}) \mp 2b\phi_{22}] + \phi_{12} \left[b_x \mp (a-c)\frac{\theta}{m} \right] - \phi_{22} \left(c_x \pm 2b\frac{\theta}{m} \right) = 0, \quad (200)$$

Differentiating (199) and (200) with respect to z_2 , we have, respectively,

$$a_t + \lambda z_0^2 a_x - 2b(\phi_{32} \mp \phi_{12}) \mp (a - c)\phi_{22} = 0, \qquad (201)$$

$$b_t + \lambda z_0^2 b_x + (a - c)(\phi_{32} \mp \phi_{12}) \mp 2b\phi_{22} = 0, \qquad (202)$$

where $\phi_{32} \mp \phi_{12} = \pm \frac{1}{m} \left(\frac{2\lambda}{\theta} - \theta B e^{\theta z_0} + 2\lambda z_0 \right)$ and $\phi_{22} = \pm \left(\frac{2\lambda}{\theta} - \theta B e^{\theta z_0} + 2\lambda z_0 \right) z_1$. Taking the z_1 derivative of (201) and (202) leads to

$$-(a-c)\left(\frac{2\lambda}{\theta} - \theta B e^{\theta z_0} + 2\lambda z_0\right) = 0,$$
(203)

$$-2b\left(\frac{2\lambda}{\theta} - \theta B e^{\theta z_0} + 2\lambda z_0\right) = 0, \qquad (204)$$

since $\lambda^2 + B^2 \neq 0$ and $\theta \neq 0$, then $\frac{2\lambda}{\theta} - \theta B e^{\theta z_0} + 2\lambda z_0 \neq 0$. But, (195) and (196) return a-c=b=0, which contradicts the Gauss equation (28).

Suppose $f_{21} \neq 0$ on an open set. From Lemma 3.4, Equations (28)-(30) form an inconsistent system. Hence Lemma 3.3 holds, the coefficients of the second fundamental form of such local isometric immersion are universal, and hence (29) and (30) become

$$f_{11} \left[a_t + \mu_2 b_t + \lambda (a_x + \mu_2 b_x) z_0^2 - 2b \left(\phi_{32} \mp \sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2} \phi_{12} \right) + (a - c) \left(\mu_2 \phi_{32} \mp \sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2} \phi_{22} \right) \right] - \phi_{12} \left(a_x \mp 2b \frac{\theta + m\mu_2 \eta_2}{m\sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2}} \right)$$

$$- \phi_{22} \left[b_x \pm (a - c) \frac{\theta + m\mu_2 \eta_2}{m\sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2}} \right] + \eta_2 (b_t + \lambda z_0^2 b_x) + \eta_2 \phi_{32} (a - c) = 0,$$
(205)

and

$$f_{11} \left[b_t + \mu_2 c_t + \lambda (b_x + \mu_2 c_x) z_0^2 + (a - c) \left(\phi_{32} \mp \sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2} \phi_{12} \right) + 2b \left(\mu_2 \phi_{32} \mp \sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2} \phi_{22} \right) \right] - \phi_{12} \left(b_x \pm (a - c) \frac{\theta + m\mu_2 \eta_2}{m\sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2}} \right)$$

$$- \phi_{22} \left[c_x \pm 2b \frac{\theta + m\mu_2 \eta_2}{m\sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2}} \right] + \eta_2 (c_t + \lambda z_0^2 c_x) + 2b\eta_2 \phi_{32} = 0,$$
(206)

where

$$\phi_{32} \mp \sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2} \phi_{12} = -\left(\frac{2\lambda}{\theta} - \theta B e^{\theta z_0} + 2\lambda z_0\right) (\mu_2 z_1 - \frac{\eta_3}{\theta}),$$

$$\mu_2 \phi_{32} \mp \sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2} \phi_{22} = -\left(\frac{2\lambda}{\theta} - \theta B e^{\theta z_0} + 2\lambda z_0\right) \left(z_1 + \frac{\mu_2 \eta_3 - \mu_3 \eta_2}{\theta}\right)$$

Differentiating (205) and (206) with respect to z_2 leads to

$$a_t + \mu_2 b_t + \lambda (a_x + \mu_2 b_x) z_0^2 - 2b \left(\phi_{32} \mp \sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2} \phi_{12} \right) + (a - c) \left(\mu_2 \phi_{32} \mp \sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2} \phi_{22} \right) = 0, \quad (207)$$

$$b_t + \mu_2 c_t + \lambda (b_x + \mu_2 c_x) z_0^2 + (a - c) \left(\phi_{32} \mp \sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2} \phi_{12} \right) + 2b \left(\mu_2 \phi_{32} \mp \sqrt{1 + \mu_2^2} \phi_{22} \right) = 0.$$
(208)
Taking the z₁ derivative of (207) and (208) we have

Taking the z_1 derivative of (207) and (208), we have

$$-2b\left(\phi_{32} \mp \sqrt{1+\mu_2^2}\phi_{12}\right)_{z_1} + (a-c)\left(\mu_2\phi_{32} \mp \sqrt{1+\mu_2^2}\phi_{22}\right)_{z_1} = 0,$$
(209)

$$(a-c)\left(\phi_{32} \mp \sqrt{1+\mu_2^2}\phi_{12}\right)_{z_1} + 2b\left(\mu_2\phi_{32} \mp \sqrt{1+\mu_2^2}\phi_{22}\right)_{z_1} = 0.$$
(210)

Combining the above two equation returns

$$\left[(a-c)^2 + 4b^2\right] \left(\mu_2 \phi_{32} \mp \sqrt{1+\mu_2^2} \phi_{22}\right)_{z_1} = 0,$$

since $ac - b^2 = -1$, i.e. $(a - c)^2 + b^2 \neq 0$, and thus $\frac{2\lambda}{\theta} - \theta B e^{\theta z_0} + 2\lambda z_0 = 0$ if and only if $\lambda = B = 0$. This is a contradiction.

Proposition 4.5. An equation of the form (35) that describes pseudospherical surfaces, subject to condition (9) as stated in Theorem 2.5 (ii), does not allow for a local isometric immersion of a pseudospherical surface defined by a solution z_0 , where the triples $\{a, b, c\}$ of the second fundamental form are dependent on $x, t, z_0, \dots, z_l, w_1, \dots, w_m, v_1, \dots, v_n$, where $1 \leq l, m, n < \infty$, are finite, but otherwise arbitrary.

Proof. Since $\eta_2 \neq 0$ we have $f_{21} \neq 0$, on an open set. From Lemma 3.4, Equations (28)-(30) form an inconsistent system. Hence Lemma 3.3 holds, the coefficients of the second fundamental form of such local isometric immersion are universal, and hence (29) and (30) become

$$f_{11}a_t + f_{21}b_t - f_{12}a_x - f_{22}b_x - 2b\Delta_{13} + (a-c)\Delta_{23} = 0,$$
(211)

$$f_{11}b_t + f_{21}c_t - f_{12}b_x - f_{22}c_x + (a-c)\Delta_{13} + 2b\Delta_{23} = 0,$$
(212)

where

$$\Delta_{13} = \eta_3 \left[\pm \tau \varphi e^{\pm \tau z_1} f_{11} - \phi_{12} \right], \Delta_{23} = (\mu_2 \eta_3 - \mu_3 \eta_2) \left[\pm \tau \varphi e^{\pm \tau z_1} f_{11} - \phi_{12} \right]$$

with $\phi_{12} = [\pm \tau (mz_0 - n)\varphi + m\varphi' z_1]e^{\pm \tau z_1} \mp \frac{2\lambda m}{\tau} z_0 z_1$. Hence, since f_{ij} are given by (52), it follows from (29) and (30) that, respectively,

$$f_{11}[a_t + \mu_2 b_t + \lambda (a_x + \mu_2 b_x) z_0^2 \mp 2b\eta_3 \tau \varphi e^{\pm \tau z_1} \pm (a - c)(\mu_2 \eta_3 - \mu_3 \eta_2) \tau \varphi e^{\pm \tau z_1}] + \eta_2 (b_t \mp \tau \varphi e^{\pm \tau z_1} b_x) - \phi_{12}[a_x + \mu_2 b_x - 2b\eta_3 + (a - c)(\mu_2 \eta_3 - \mu_3 \eta_2)] = 0,$$
(213)

and

$$f_{11}[b_t + \mu_2 c_t + \lambda (b_x + \mu_2 c_x) z_0^2 \pm (a - c)\eta_3 \tau \varphi e^{\pm \tau z_1} \pm 2b(\mu_2 \eta_3 - \mu_3 \eta_2) \tau \varphi e^{\pm \tau z_1}] + \eta_2 (c_t \mp \tau \varphi e^{\pm \tau z_1} c_x) - \phi_{12}[b_x + \mu_2 c_x + (a - c)\eta_3 + 2b(\mu_2 \eta_3 - \mu_3 \eta_2)] = 0.$$
(214)

Differentiating (213) and (214) with respect to z_2 we have

$$a_t + \mu_2 b_t + \lambda (a_x + \mu_2 b_x) z_0^2 \mp 2b\eta_3 \tau \varphi e^{\pm \tau z_1} \pm (a - c)(\mu_2 \eta_3 - \mu_3 \eta_2) \tau \varphi e^{\pm \tau z_1} = 0,$$
(215)

$$b_t + \mu_2 c_t + \lambda (b_x + \mu_2 c_x) z_0^2 \pm (a - c) \eta_3 \tau \varphi e^{\pm \tau z_1} \pm 2b(\mu_2 \eta_3 - \mu_3 \eta_2) \tau \varphi e^{\pm \tau z_1} = 0.$$
(216)

Taking the z_1 derivative of (215) and (216) leads to

$$-2b\eta_3 + (a-c)(\mu_2\eta_3 - \mu_3\eta_2) = 0, \qquad (217)$$

$$(a-c)\eta_3 + 2b(\mu_2\eta_3 - \mu_3\eta_2) = 0, (218)$$

in which we have used $\tau > 0$ and $\varphi \neq 0$. Since $(a-c)^2 + b^2 \neq 0$, it follows from the above system that $\eta_3 = \mu_2 \eta_3 - \mu_3 \eta_2 = 0$ and thus, $\Delta_{13} = \Delta_{23} = 0$, contradicts (32).

References

- S.S. Chern, K. Tenenblat, Pseudospherical surfaces and evolution equations, Stud. Appl. Math., 74 (1986) 55–83.
- [2] E. Bour, Théorie de la déformation des surfaces, J. Écol. Imper. Polytech. 19 (39) (1862) 1-48.
- [3] N. Kahouadji, N. Kamran, K. Tenenblat, Second-order equations and local isometric immersions of pseudo-spherical surfaces, arXiv preprint arXiv:1308.6545, 2013.

- [4] T. Castro Silva, N. Kamran. Third-order differential equations and local isometric immersions of pseudospherical surfaces. Commun. Contemp. Math. 18 (6) (2016) 1650021.
- [5] D. Catalano Ferraioli, L.A. de Oliveira, Local isometric immersions of pseudospherical surfaces described by evolution equations in conservation law form, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 446 (2017) 1606-1631.
- [6] D. Catalano Ferraioli, T. Castro Silva, K. Tenenblat, Isometric immersions and differential equations describing pseudospherical surfaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 511 (2022) 126091.
- [7] N. Kahouadji, N. Kamran, K. Tenenblat, Local isometric immersions of pseudo-spherical surfaces and evolution equations, Hamiltonian Partial Differential Equations and Applications, (2015) 369-381.
- [8] N. Kahouadji, N. Kamran, K. Tenenblat, Local isometric immersions of pseudo-spherical surfaces and k-th order evolution equations, Commun. Contemp. Math. 21 (04) (2019) 1850025.
- [9] D. Catalano Ferraioli, L.A. de Oliveira Silva, Second order evolution equations which describe pseudospherical surfaces, J. Differ. Equ. 260 (2016) 8072-8108.
- [10] D. Catalano Ferraioli, T. Castro Silva, K. Tenenblat, A class of quasilinear second order partial differential equations which describe spherical or pseudospherical surfaces, J. Differ. Equ. 268 (2019) 7164-7182.
- [11] N. Kamran, K. Tenenblat, On differential equations describing pseudo-spherical surfaces, J. Differ. Equ. 115 (1995) 75-98.
- [12] M.L. Rabelo, K. Tenenblat, A classification of pseudo-spherical surface equations of type $u_t = u_{xxx} + G(u, u_x, u_{xx})$, J. Math. Phys. 33 (1992) 537-549.
- [13] T. Castro Silva, K. Tenenblat, Third order differential equations describing pseudospherical surfaces, J. Differ. Equ. 259 (2015) 4897-4923.
- [14] V. Novikov, Generalizations of the Camassa-Holm equation, J. Phys. A, Math. Theor. 42 (2009) 342002.
- [15] H. Cartan, Formes différentielles, Hermann, Paris, 1970.
- [16] T.A. Ivey, J.M. Landsberg, Cartan for Beginners: Differential Geometry via Moving Frames and Exterior Differential Systems, AMS, 2003.