

Tracking behavioural differences across chronotypes: A case study in Finland using Oura rings

Chandreyee Roy *, Kunal Bhattacharya, and Kimmo Kaski

Department of Computer Science, Aalto University School of Science, Espoo, Finland

* chandreyee.roy@aalto.fi

Abstract

Non-invasive mobile wearables like fitness trackers, smart watches and rings allow an easy and less expensive approach to study everyday human behaviour. This alternative approach not only supplements clinical studies, but also provides an opportunity to overcome some of the limitations in them. One of the major challenges faced by them is studying long-term human health and behaviour in realistic settings. Here we have utilised Oura rings to obtain granular data from nineteen healthy participants over the span of one year (October 2023 - September 2024) along with monthly surveys for nine months to track their subjective stress within the duration of the study. We have studied longitudinal sleep and activity patterns of three chronotype groups of participating individuals: morning type (MT), neither type (NT) and evening type (ET). We find that while ET individuals do not seem to lead as healthy life as the MT or NT individuals, they have seemingly improved their habits during the duration of the study. We also show that the Daylight Saving Time changes affect the chronotypes differently. Finally, by utilising mixed effects regression model, we have shown that the stress an individual experiences has a significant correlation with his or her total sleep duration, monthly survey response time, and age.

Introduction

In the past few years, there has been a rapid growth of wearable mobile devices like the fitness trackers and smartwatches that are being used by millions of people around the world [1]. This phenomenon started with just simple trackers that were able to track the steps and basic activity of the user, but by now they have been developed to measure and collect data of the user's sleep, heart rate, heart rate variability, calories burned, daily activity, menstrual cycles, among others [2]. The added advantage of this personal data is that it can be synchronized to their respective applications on users' smartphones to provide them with in-depth analysis of their own health and progress reports. There are several motivations for the widespread adoption of these devices [3]. One of them is that users are driven by the need to improve their physical fitness and these devices can aid by providing instant feedback along with features like reminders to move, to reach daily step goals and about workout details [4].

A number of users are also motivated by the need to improve their sleep due to these devices' ability to gauge an individual's sleep quality through the night [5,6]. Sleep has often been considered one of the pillars of health, along with nutrition and exercise, due to its profound impact on all aspects of our daily functioning [7]. Several studies have shown that neglecting sleep can lead to a cascading amount of negative health

outcomes [8,9], and therefore prioritizing it is emphasized to improve one's quality of life. Since sleep plays such an important role in human physiological processes, cognition, and overall wellbeing [10], its monitoring and assessment have taken precedence among those who want to lead a healthy life.

Traditionally, studies with polysomnography (PSG) [11] and actigraphy have been used to assess sleep and health [12], but with the advent of advanced fitness trackers from all price brackets have allowed humans to very conveniently assess their sleep and daily activities on a regular basis. These devices encompass a broad range of gadgets like watches, rings, activity bands among others that are worn close to or on the body [13,14]. These devices typically have sensors that can collect physiological data which are then processed and interpreted via algorithms and machine learning methods by health applications that can nowadays very easily be downloaded in smartphones. This method of monitoring one's health is now very popular among the general population [4].

The conventional methods of detecting sleep and activity usually involve sleep laboratories [15,16] and the use of a myriad of wires, electrodes and overnight stays by subjects, which does not simulate a natural environment to study sleep over extended periods of time. These wearables have now provided a way in which we can now capture sleep patterns in the real world without being too intrusive [17,18]. The most popular method nowadays, is using wristwatches that detect movement using accelerometers and rings that can measure heart rate variability and body temperatures [19]. The wearables offer quite an unparalleled insight into one's sleep cycles, sleep quality, and even circadian rhythm [20].

In the current study, we have used Oura rings [21] to study behavioural health patterns among volunteers for a period of 1 year. Our main objective is to study the differences in circadian patterns of a group of volunteers from a long-term perspective. Here we focus on the circadian rhythms of the volunteers, since it plays a pivotal role in their sleep-wake cycles, eating patterns, hormone cycles and other physiological processes [22]. Disruptions in individuals' circadian rhythms due to lifestyle, travel and work shifts can have a major implication on their health and well-being [23]. We have identified each individual's chronotype [24] his or her sleeping or waking time preferences using the Horne and Ostberg morningness eveningness questionnaire [25]. Accordingly if a person is not able to get up in the morning but gets most of the day's intensive jobs done during the latter part of the day, then his or her chronotype would resemble that of an "owl" and is more commonly known as the evening type (ET) [26]. Whereas if one prefers getting up early in the morning and starts to feel groggy in the evening then the chronotype would resemble that of a "lark" (also known as the morning type (MT)). Most people in a large population fall within the spectrum of these two categories of larks and owls. Those individuals in the middle of the spectrum constitute the majority of the people and are known as "third birds" of neither types (NT) [27].

While the proverb "early to bed, early to rise makes a man healthy, wealthy, and wise" suggests that larks lead better lives than owls, there are some studies showing that owls can also lead healthy lives if they follow their own sleeping patterns [28]. This is because alignment of one's body clock with their inherent chronotypes, results in most productivity in their daily lives. Unfortunately, misalignment of one's chronotype is quite common [29] even though it is considered an issue that leads to irregular sleep patterns, unhealthy eating habits, and general poor health. Most of the time people are simply unaware of this misalignment or are unable to follow their inherent circadian rhythms due to non-flexible work or school schedules [30]. This is predominately seen to affect ET individuals [31,32] due to the global bias towards morning friendly schedules and therefore it is the most vulnerable group among the chronotypes. In the present study, we aim to use behavioural data related to sleep and activity that is collected

from Oura rings to identify the long-term differences across chronotypes.

The present study was conducted among participants living in the Helsinki capital region, Finland, which is situated at 60.1699° N, 24.9384° E. The contrast in sunlight hours at this latitude, along with the weather conditions, provides an interesting backdrop for studying the behaviour of chronotypes throughout the year. In the present study we find that while ET individuals have poorer sleep habits in general, but they can potentially improve with time. We find that they are able to enhance their sleep and activity habits, thereby improving their sleep quality. We also find that the Daylight saving times (DST) in autumn and spring affect the chronotypes differently. In addition, we find that the perceived stress that we tracked through surveys among the healthy participants of the study showed a significant correlation with their total sleep duration, monthly survey response time, and age.

Materials and methods

Volunteer recruitment

Participants were recruited through public advertisements provided online in the website of the University, University marketplace and through the student chat groups. A total of 19 volunteers (9 females and 10 males) were chosen for the study aged between 24 - 74 years at the start of the study. Participants of the study were provided with a detailed information sheet about the study, privacy notice along with consent forms at the beginning of the study. Data collection period lasted from October, 2023 till September, 2024. Data was collected in the form of digital surveys carried out from January, 2024 till the end of the study (a total of nine questionnaires) along with digital health data from Oura rings that were worn by the participants throughout the study period. This study design was reviewed and approved by the Aalto University Research Ethics Committee. Sizing kits were provided to get the correct sized ring for each of the participants. Health data collected from the rings are stored in the company's cloud through which the researcher accessed the data using APIs. Each participant was associated with a unique identifier before storing in secure Aalto servers and any personal direct identifiers were removed from the data after the data collection period was over.

Data

From Oura rings, we collected the bedtimes and awake times of the participants along with night sleep metrics such as duration of sleep stages and sleep scores. The ring specifies the durations of the different sleep stages: light sleep, Rapid eye movement (REM) sleep, deep sleep within a sleep event and also provides a hypnogram that classifies the sleep stages every five minutes. It also assigns a sleep score to each sleep event taking into account bedtimes, percentage of sleep spent being awake, light, deep and REM stages out of the total sleep duration, total sleep duration (i.e. sum of light+deep+REM), sleep latency, sleep efficiency and restfulness. Additionally, the ring automatically detects activities like walking, running and cycling and the number of steps taken per day. It assigns an activity score based on active time, movement time, meeting daily goals for the past seven days, training frequency, training volume and recovery time. Each of these biometrics have different weights but since we have not found any public description of the algorithms for their scoring methods, we consider only the data provided by the ring at the end result for the purpose of this paper. We also collected the total number of steps taken each day by the volunteers that is detected automatically by the ring.

Along with objective data collected from the rings, the participants were required to answer the standard morningness-eveningness questionnaire [25] developed by Horne and Ostberg to get their chronotype and it resulted in seven morning-types (MT), eight neither-types (NT) and four evening-types (ET). From the monthly surveys we tracked the stress of the participants through the question "Stress refers to a situation in which a person feels tense, restless, nervous or anxious or has difficulty sleeping when things are constantly bothering his mind. Think about the last 2 weeks. Have you felt this kind of stress during that time?" with the options being "Not at all", "Just a little", "Somewhat", "Quite a lot", and "Very much" which were converted to continuous numerical scale with 1 being not stressed at all and 5 being very stressed.

Data preprocessing

For the sleep data, nights that were spent in Finland by the participants were considered for analysis. Additionally, sleep on nights during public national holidays of the country were removed from this analysis. This was done to eliminate irregular sleep schedules that may happen due to celebrations, vacations among others. We chose nighttime sleep events (i.e. sleep that starts from 20:00 hrs or later) that were of at least 4 hours and were labelled by Oura as a "long sleep". If a "long sleep" event occurred during the day time, then they were not considered for this analysis. This resulted in a total of 5,637 nights for all 19 participants in the study. For the activity data, we removed only the Finnish public holidays from our analysis and were left with 6,182 days.

Methods

Sleep diversity index:

An individual's sleep diversity index is defined as the percentage of the total sleep time that was spent in moving between different stages of sleep [33]. Previous study has shown that the SDI has a positive correlation sleep fragmentation index and a negative correlation with efficiency. It is calculated from an individual sleep hypnogram as shown in Fig. 1. The Oura ring is able to detect 4 sleep stages (awake, REM, light, and deep) and in each of the sleep session of an individual. Each data point collected constitutes 5 minutes of the sleep time.




Fig 1. Sleep hypnogram. The different stages of sleep every five minutes is displayed. The Group values 1,2,3, and 4 represent deep, light, REM, and awake stages of sleep respectively.

We consider an epoch of 40 minutes and calculate the Shannon entropy in each of the epochs as described in Ref. [33] using the following equations:

$$H' = - \sum_{i=1}^S \frac{n_i}{n} \log_2 \frac{n_i}{n} \quad (1)$$

where n_i represents the sleep stage in epoch i and n is the total number of sleep stages. S is the total number of epochs in each sleep session. If n_i out of n for all i , is in awake stage, then $H' = \max(H')$. The sleep diversity index is then calculated by the following:

$$SDI = \frac{\sum_{(j>H'_{max}/2)}^{H'_{max}} H'_j}{\sum_{j=1}^N H'_j} \times 100 \quad (2)$$

Higher values of SDI mean a more fluctuation withing a sleep session.

Linear mixed effects model

We have used the linear mixed effects (LME) model [34] in R utilising the package "lme4" to model longitudinal stress with sleep metrics [35]. For each individual, we have nine different time points and LME can handle predictors that are fixed across individuals as well as allowing random effects from the individuals allowing them to have their own intercepts. We used the following model progression with model 3 being the best fit for our data.

$$\text{Model 1: } y_{it} = \beta_0 + u_{0i} + \epsilon_{it} \quad (3)$$

$$\text{Model 2: } y_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 Time_{it} + u_{0i} + \epsilon_{it} \quad (4)$$

$$\text{Model 3: } y_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 Time_{it} + \beta_2 BMI_i + \beta_3 Age_i + \beta_4 TSD_{it} + u_{0i} + \epsilon_{it} \quad (5)$$

where y_{it} is the dependent variable, perceived stress, for each individual i and each time point $t \in \{1, 9\}$, BMI stands for Body mass index, and TSD stands for Total sleep duration detected by the ring during a sleep event. The TSD is the time varying predictor and varies over time for each individual whereas Age and BMI is a fixed predictor for each individual. u_{0i} is the random intercept varying for each individual and ϵ_{it} is the residual error. Here Time has been modelled as a fixed effect and β_0 is the fixed intercept which is the baseline level for stress when when time is 0, $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3$ are the fixed effects for time, BMI, age and TSD.

Results

Differences in sleeping patterns among chronotypes

We first observe the well studied differences in the sleeping patterns of the chronotypes among the participants in the present study as well. The mid sleep time (MST) for all chronotypes on free days is shown in Fig. 2(a) since humans tend to follow their own circadian rhythms on those days due to the less impact of work or study related schedules [27] and is observed in this dataset as well (see weekly variation of the MSTs in Fig. 7 in the SI). A similar pattern is observed for work days as well but not shown in the present manuscript. The MSTs for ETs are observed to be at significantly later hours in the entire duration of the study period when compared to MTs or NTs. A seasonal variation is also observed for all chronotypes with individuals having late MSTs during winter and summer holiday time, as can be seen from the seasonal indices shown in Fig. 6 in the SI.

The Oura rings assign a sleep score for each sleep event that takes into account several sleep metrics detected by the rings (see Materials and methods section). In Fig. 2(b) we have shown the average monthly sleep scores for the different chronotypes. While we observe bad scores of the ETs when compared to other chronotypes, it is clear that they have made significant progress in their sleep scores within the course of the




Fig 2. Mid sleep time (MST) and sleep scores of the chronotypes. (a) Evening-types (ET) in blue have later MSTs while morning-types (MT) in red have the earlier MSTs when compared with neither-types (NT) in yellow. Seasonal changes are observed in all chronotypes with winter and summer holiday times showing late MSTs and can be seen from Fig. 6 in the SI. (b) The sleep scores of Oura ring that takes into account several different sleep metrics for scoring is displayed here. ET is observed to have poorer sleep scores when compared to other chronotypes, however their scores improve considerably with time.

study. Some of the sleep metrics used to measure the sleep scores have been plotted in the SI in Fig. 8.

Next, we calculated the sleep diversity index (SDI) that uses Shannon entropy to capture the diversity of sleep stages and thereby quantifying disruptions in sleep. It is found to have positive correlation with the standard measurements like sleep fragmentation index as seen in Ref. [33]. In the present study, we have used the sleep hypnogram provided by Oura to calculate the SDI for each of the sleep session. (See Materials and Methods for calculation). In Fig. 3(a) we have plotted the monthly variation of the SDI. A higher value of SDI suggests a more disruptive sleep and we observe that NTs have better quality sleep throughout the year when compared to both ETs and MTs. Additionally, the ETs have better SDI values towards the later part of the study. In the weekly variation in Fig. 3(b) we observe that the sleep quality for ETs and NTs is more disruptive towards the end of the week whereas MTs are able to maintain their sleep quality. Since each data point in the hypnogram is of 5 minutes we considered a sampling frequency of 40 minutes for SDI calculation which is just under the upper limit for reliable entropy calculations. We also carried out the same analysis using a sampling frequency of 20 minutes and is shown in Fig. 9 in the SI. In the latter case we observe similar trends but higher fluctuations in the values. Furthermore, we also studied the SDI and sleep latency during the daylight saving time (DST) changes in both the Autumn (29th October, 2023) and Spring (31st March, 2024) times. Since the changes occurred on Sunday, and to exclude the weekend effects on sleeping patterns we consider the average of nights from Monday to Thursday before and after the DST change as shown in Fig. 4. We observe that during Autumn the latency for ET and NT decreases but for MT increases whereas not much difference can be found in the spring time. We also find that the SDI lowers for ET in autumn and but increases for MT in spring.




Fig 3. Sleep diversity index (SDI). NTs display lowermost values of SDI throughout the year indicating better sleep quality than both MTs and ETs. Higher values of SDI calculated using Shannon entropy utilising Eq.1 and Eq.2 indicate a more fragmented sleep during the night time for the participants in the study.




Fig 4. Daylight saving time. Differences in SDI and sleep latency among the chronotypes before and after the time is changed in autumn and in spring for the chronotypes.

Activity differences among the chronotypes

The monthly variation in activity scores assigned by the Oura ring for each day is plotted in Fig. 5(a). We find that while ETs scored low values in the beginning of the the study, they increased with time while the other chronotypes maintained steady scores. We observe mainly two peaks for NTs and MTs during February-March and during summer time. A significant jump is observed in the scores in January for ETs. The weekly variation is shown in the inset of the figure and we observe that ETs and MTs have better scores on weekends than on weekdays. The total number of steps taken by the participants each day and its monthly variation is plotted in Fig. 5(b). The weekly variation of the steps are shown in the inset and all chronotypes are observed to have low steps on the weekends compared to the weekdays. The NTs have maintained the highest steps throughout the duration of the study and the MTs and ETs have increased average monthly steps with time. Here also we observe peaks in the average steps during winter and during summer.




Fig 5. Activity scores and steps. The monthly variation of the activity scores throughout the study period is shown in (a) along with the weekly variation in the inset of the figure. The ETs have low scores in the beginning but their scores are observed to have increased with time. Peaks in activity scores of the MTs and ETs coincide with the annual summer and winter activity schedules of Finland. A similar trend is observed in the average monthly steps taken by the participants as shown in Fig. (b). NTs have maintained higher number steps when compared with other chronotypes and MTs and ETs are observed to have improved their average of steps by the end of the study.

Subjective stress from questionnaires

We conducted a total of nine monthly questionnaires from January, 2024 till September, 2024 within the duration of the study. We tracked the subjective perception of stress. The surveys were answered in different times by the participants; therefore we took averages of the sleep and activity metrics from two weeks before the date of response of the participants. The stress was modelled using random intercept LME model with stress as the dependent variable on a continuous scale. We find a significant relationship of stress with TSD, age and the survey response time as enumerated in Table 1. The interclass correlation coefficients (ICC) for our final Model 3 is 0.372 which suggests substantial grouping effects in the model. We find that among the participants one standard deviation increase in TSD and age reported values of stress reduces by 0.23 and 0.42 units and older individuals also reported lower stress. With time the perceived stress is also observed to have reduced significantly but the observed change is quite low.

Discussion

In this study we used Oura rings to track 19 individuals for one year and have observed the monthly and weekly variations in their sleep and activity pattern. Through our preliminary results of sleep and activity data we have found some distinct seasonal patterns across all chronotypes. Although the study contained healthy participants with no known disease diagnosed, ETs were found to have no sleep and activity metrics that are as good as the other groups (MT and NT). However, throughout the duration of the study the ETs have made significant improvements in their sleeping and activity habits. While they continued sleeping at later hours, their sleep scores increased considerably in the middle of the study along with total sleep duration. We conducted a final questionnaire at the end of the study period regarding their experience, which was

Model 1			AIC	BIC
Random effects	Variance	Std. Dev.		
intercept	0.38	0.61		
Fit statistics			410.92	420.07
Model 2				
Random effects	Variance	Std. Dev.		
intercept	0.39	0.63		
Fixed effects	Estimate	Std. Err.		
Time	-0.08**	0.03		
Fit statistics			403.61	415.81
Model 3				
Random effects	Variance	Std. Dev.		
intercept	0.32	0.55		
Fixed effects	Estimate	Std. Err.		
time	-0.07**	0.02		
age	-0.42*	0.15		
Total sleep duration (workday)	-0.23*	0.11		
Fit statistics			399.08	417.38

Table 1. Linear mixed effect model fit with the perceived stress as the dependent variable. The table shows the summary of the model fits. Model 1 is the basic model without any predictor. In Model 2 we time as a fixed effect predictor and our final model (Model 3) includes TSD, age and time all of which show significant relationship with stress.

answered by 14 of the 19 participants. When asked whether they were able to "follow their natural circadian rhythms" by adjusting their schedules and activities to match their inherent rhythms, all of them answered either "sometimes" or "often". This sentiment is also observed from the data. We observe that their sleep quality has also improved over time.

We find similar improvements in the activities of the ETs and a noticeable jump in January may indicate the positive impacts of New Year resolutions for ETs. Interestingly, the double peaks in the activity scores is indicative of various sports activities that are played around this time in Finland. Finland has a short skiing holiday in February and March and people also participate in several outdoor activities during summer. Similar trends are also observed in the average monthly steps taken by the participants. Additionally, higher sleep duration causes less stress among the participants. We also find that older age relates to lesser stress. While our model in the paper shows a positive relationship of tracked stress with time, it should be noted that there may be several factors that directly or indirectly affect the feeling of stress, for which reason it may be difficult to capture with just one question. In the future we plan to use standard stress related questionnaires along with objective measurements.

We also studied how the chronotypes are affected by DST changes, especially in the context of Finland, since the sunlight hours change drastically around this time of the year. In the autumn the clock is turned back by one hour and in spring the clock is turned forward by one hour. This change is known to cause disruption to individual's sleep habits which may eventually cause some health issues. We find in our study that the most significant changes for sleep latency occurred in autumn, where ETs and NTs have lower sleep latency but for MTs the latency is increased just after the change. This shows that ETs may benefit from the extra hour of sleep and later times align more with their schedules if the bedtimes are consistent. This is also observed in the drop in SDI for ETs after the autumn DST change. In the spring-time DST change we do not observe any major changes in the latency but the SDI increase in MTs is an indication

of a more disruptive sleep following the change. In future studies, we plan to use more health metrics collected by the rings and other devices to provide a more comprehensive picture of the vulnerabilities faced by the chronotypes around DST.

Conclusion

Wearables and fitness trackers are proven to be versatile tools for studying physical and health related attributes of individuals in a non-invasive manner. The Oura rings used in the present study enabled us to collect objective health data from participants in realistic settings thereby providing a unique long-term perspective for investigating the chronotypes of individuals. A major strength of the present study is the finding that even though ETs are most susceptible to health related issues due to misalignment of circadian rhythms, they can improve their healthy habits with time to some extent. Our findings suggest that future research should utilise these non-invasive devices to explore novel and timely interventions through the associated applications with focus on enhancing healthy habits as well as maintaining them in the long run.

Supporting information




Fig 6. Seasonal variation of the MSTs across chronotypes. A bar chart showing the seasonal indices for each month to show how much the values deviate from the overall average values in each group. The seasonal indices are calculated as seasonal mean/overall mean. A clear peak can be seen during summer time indicating that all the participants slept at later hours around that time.

Acknowledgments

C.R., and K.K. acknowledge support from EU HORIZON 2020 INFRAIA-1-2014-2015 program project (SoBigData) No. 654024, INFRAIA-2019-1 (SoBigData++) No. 871042 and Brain health through digitalization.




Fig 7. Mid sleep times on weekly basis. The MSTs of all chronotypes follow different patterns on weekdays and weekends. On weekends the MST of all chronotypes are later than on weekdays.

References

1. Huhn S, Axt M, Gunga HC, Maggioni MA, Munga S, Obor D, et al. The impact of wearable technologies in health research: Scoping review. *JMIR mHealth and uHealth*, 10 (1), e34384; 2022.
2. Lee T, Cho Y, Cha KS, Jung J, Cho J, Kim H, et al. Accuracy of 11 wearable, nearable, and airable consumer sleep trackers: Prospective multicenter validation study. *JMIR mHealth and uHealth*. 2023;11(1):e50983.
3. Perez MV, Mahaffey KW, Hedlin H, Rumsfeld JS, Garcia A, Ferris T, et al. Large-scale assessment of a smartwatch to identify atrial fibrillation. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2019;381(20):1909–1917.
4. Nupponen M, Härkönen T. Well-being data measurement offers opportunities for creating new innovative services; October 15, 2020. Available from: <https://www.sitra.fi/en/articles/well-being-data-measurement-offers-opportunities-for-creating-new-innovat>
5. Kinnunen H, Rantanen A, Kenttä T, Koskimäki H. Feasible assessment of recovery and cardiovascular health: accuracy of nocturnal HR and HRV assessed via ring PPG in comparison to medical grade ECG. *Physiological measurement*. 2020;41(4):04NT01.
6. Alzueta E, de Zambotti M, Javitz H, Dulai T, Albinni B, Simon KC, et al. Tracking sleep, temperature, heart rate, and daily symptoms across the menstrual cycle with the Oura ring in healthy women. *International Journal of Women's Health*. 2022; p. 491–503.
7. Walker M. *Why we sleep: The new science of sleep and dreams*. Penguin UK; 2017.
8. Durmer JS, Dinges DF. Neurocognitive consequences of sleep deprivation. In: *Seminars in neurology*. vol. 25. Copyright© 2005 by Thieme Medical Publishers, Inc., 333 Seventh Avenue, New ...; 2005. p. 117–129.
9. Knutson KL, Spiegel K, Penev P, Van Cauter E. The metabolic consequences of sleep deprivation. *Sleep medicine reviews*. 2007;11(3):163–178.




Fig 8. Some of the sleep metrics that are detected by Oura rings and used to calculate the sleep scores. In (a) we have shown the total sleep duration that is a sum of the durations of light, REM and deep sleep. In (b), (c) and (d) the percentage of night sleep time spent in REM, light and deep sleep stages respectively are displayed.

10. Grandner MA. Sleep, health, and society. *Sleep medicine clinics*. 2022;17(2):117–139.
11. Jafari B, Mohsenin V. Polysomnography. *Clinics in chest medicine*. 2010;31(2):287–297.
12. Fekedulegn D, Andrew ME, Shi M, Violanti JM, Knox S, Innes KE. Actigraphy-based assessment of sleep parameters. *Annals of Work Exposures and Health*. 2020;64(4):350–367.
13. Binkley PF. Predicting the potential of wearable technology. *IEEE engineering in medicine and biology magazine*. 2003;22(3):23–27.
14. Ko YF, Kuo PH, Wang CF, Chen YJ, Chuang PC, Li SZ, et al. Quantification analysis of sleep based on smartwatch sensors for Parkinson’s disease. *Biosensors*. 2022;12(2):74.
15. Portier F, Portmann A, Czernichow P, Vascaut L, Devin E, Benhamou D, et al. Evaluation of home versus laboratory polysomnography in the diagnosis of sleep apnea syndrome. *American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine*. 2000;162(3):814–818.
16. Bruyneel M, Sanida C, Art G, Libert W, Cuvelier L, Paesmans M, et al. Sleep efficiency during sleep studies: results of a prospective study comparing home-based and in-hospital polysomnography. *Journal of sleep research*. 2011;20(1pt2):201–206.
17. Leeder J, Glaister M, Pizzoferro K, Dawson J, Pedlar C. Sleep duration and quality in elite athletes measured using wristwatch actigraphy. *Journal of sports sciences*. 2012;30(6):541–545.




Fig 9. SDI for 20 min sampling frequency.

18. Ko PRT, Kientz JA, Choe EK, Kay M, Landis CA, Watson NF. Consumer sleep technologies: a review of the landscape. *Journal of clinical sleep medicine*. 2015;11(12):1455–1461.
19. Shelgikar AV, Anderson PF, Stephens MR. Sleep tracking, wearable technology, and opportunities for research and clinical care. *Chest*. 2016;150(3):732–743.
20. Koskimäki H, Kinnunen H, Kurppa T, Röning J. How do we sleep: a case study of sleep duration and quality using data from oura ring. In: *Proceedings of the 2018 ACM international joint conference and 2018 international symposium on pervasive and ubiquitous computing and wearable computers*; 2018. p. 714–717.
21. Kinnunen H, Smarr B, Laakkonen H, Paksuniemi I, Koskimäki H. 0061 Correlation Between MEQ Questionnaire Based Chronotype And Sleep Parameters Automatically Obtained By Commercially Available Wearable Ring. *Sleep*. 2018;41:A24.
22. Foster RG. Sleep, circadian rhythms and health. *Interface Focus*. 2020;10(3):20190098.
23. Merikanto I, Lahti T, Kronholm E, Peltonen M, Laatikainen T, Vartiainen E, et al. Evening types are prone to depression. *Chronobiology international*. 2013;30(5):719–725.
24. Roenneberg T. Having trouble typing? What on earth is chronotype? *Journal of biological rhythms*. 2015;30(6):487–491.
25. Horne JA, Ostberg O. A self-assessment questionnaire to determine morningness-eveningness in human circadian rhythms. *International journal of chronobiology*. 1976;4(2):97–110.
26. Roenneberg T, Kuehnl T, Juda M, Kantermann T, Allebrandt K, Gordijn M, et al. Epidemiology of the human circadian clock. *Sleep medicine reviews*. 2007;11(6):429–438.
27. Roy C, Monsivais D, Bhattacharya K, Dunbar RI, Kaski K. Morningness–eveningness assessment from mobile phone communication analysis. *Scientific Reports*. 2021;11(1):14606.
28. Salfi F, D’Atri A, Amicucci G, Viselli L, Gorgoni M, Scarpelli S, et al. The fall of vulnerability to sleep disturbances in evening chronotypes when working from home and its implications for depression. *Scientific Reports*. 2022;12(1):12249.

29. Chellappa SL, Morris CJ, Scheer FA. Circadian misalignment increases mood vulnerability in simulated shift work. *Scientific reports*. 2020;10(1):18614.
30. van de Ven HA, Hulsegge G, Zoomer T, de Korte EM, Burdorf A, Hengel KMO. The acute effects of working time patterns on fatigue and sleep quality using daily measurements of 6195 observations among 223 shift workers. *Scandinavian Journal of Work, Environment & Health*. 2021;47(6):446.
31. Merikanto I, Kortesoja L, Benedict C, Chung F, Cedernaes J, Espie CA, et al. Evening-types show highest increase of sleep and mental health problems during the COVID-19 pandemic—multinational study on 19 267 adults. *Sleep*. 2022;45(2):zsab216.
32. Makarem N, Paul J, Giardina EGV, Liao M, Aggarwal B. Evening chronotype is associated with poor cardiovascular health and adverse health behaviors in a diverse population of women. *Chronobiology international*. 2020;37(5):673–685.
33. Naeck R, D’Amore D, Mateo MF, Elias A, Suppini JP, Rabat A, et al. SLEEP DIVERSITY INDEX FOR SLEEP FRAGMENTATION ANALYSIS. *Journal of Nonlinear Systems and Applications*. 2009;151:154.
34. Gelman A. *Data analysis using regression and multilevel/hierarchical models*. Cambridge university press; 2007.
35. Bates D, Maechler M, Bolker B, Walker S, Christensen RHB, Singmann H, et al. Package ‘lme4’. *convergence*. 2015;12(1):2.