

Two-loop dimension Six Effective Action: Integrating Out Heavy Scalar

Nilabhra Adhikary,^a Jaydeb Das ^{a,b}, Debmalya Dey ^a

^a*Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur, Kalyanpur, Kanpur 208016, Uttar Pradesh, India.*

^b*Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology Guwahati, North Guwahati, Assam-781039, India.*

E-mail: nilabhra09@gmail.com, jaydebphys@rnd.iitg.ac.in,
debmalyad23@iitk.ac.in

ABSTRACT: For the first time, we present the model-independent two-loop effective action up to dimension six after integrating out heavy scalar(s) employing the Heat-Kernel method. We compute the effective operators that emerge at two-loop for two example models: heavy electroweak complex triplet and doublet scalars. We present our results on the SILH basis. We also capture the effect in the fermion sector. For these two scenarios, we compute all the fermionic effective operators up to dimension six.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	The interacting scalar Green's function: Heat-Kernel approach	3
2.1	Sunset diagram	4
2.2	Infinity diagram	5
2.3	Counter-term diagram	5
2.4	Total contribution from all three diagrams	6
3	Two-loop effective action in terms of U	7
3.1	Contribution from individual diagrams	7
3.2	Resultant contribution	9
3.3	The two-loop Lagrangian	9
4	Two-loop effective action for triplet and doublet models	11
4.1	Electroweak triplet with hypercharge $Y_\Delta = 1$	12
4.1.1	Operators contributing up to dimension six at two-loop level	13
4.2	Electroweak doublet with hypercharge $Y_\Phi = -\frac{1}{2}$	16
4.2.1	Operators contributing up to dimension six at two-loop level	18
5	Conclusions	20
	Appendices	23
A	Component Green's functions	23
A.1	An extra contribution coming from the finite part of $g_0^2(x-y)$	24
B	The poles and finite parts of the three distinct vacuum diagrams	24
C	The rest of the coefficients of the Lagrangian	28
D	One-loop dimension six operators for triple and doublet models	29
D.1	Electroweak triplet with hypercharge $Y_\Delta = 1$	29
D.2	Electroweak doublet with hypercharge $Y_\Phi = -1/2$	29
D.3	Some useful relations and definitions	30

1 Introduction

In particle physics, the precision era began with the discovery of the Higgs boson, and experimental measurements have since achieved previously unheard-of levels of accuracy. Despite the Standard Model (SM) being highly successful in describing fundamental interactions, it remains incomplete, leaving unresolved questions such as the matter-antimatter asymmetry of the universe, the origin of neutrino masses, the hierarchy problem, the strong CP problem, and the nature of dark matter. Beyond Standard Model (BSM) theories offer potential solutions, but the vast landscape of possible models complicates systematic exploration. Effective Field Theory (EFT)[1–4], particularly the Standard Model Effective Field Theory (SMEFT)[5, 6], has emerged as a powerful framework to address some of these challenges. By integrating out heavy degrees of freedom and capturing their effects through higher-dimensional operators, EFT enables a model-independent description of new physics while maintaining a direct connection to low-energy observables.

We use SMEFT as a bridge to connect new physics models with experimental data. The framework provides a systematic approach to encode the effects of high-energy phenomena in terms of higher-dimensional operators, which allow for precise low-energy predictions. This approach enhances the understanding of potential BSM signals and offers a way to include quantum corrections, improving the accuracy of predictions for precision observables. This can be done in terms of two perturbative parameters. The first is the energy scale parameter (Λ), which defines the validity of the EFT below a certain energy threshold. The second is the fine structure constant (α), which characterizes the loop order, or the level of quantum corrections. As experimental measurements continue to push the boundaries of precision, the need for accurate theoretical predictions becomes more urgent. Considerable efforts have been dedicated to constructing higher-dimensional effective action operators to account for deviations in low-energy observables and to increase precision regarding the former parameter. Several automated tools have been developed to help in determining the Wilson coefficients of these operators [7–41]. The inclusion of operators beyond dimension six is essential for capturing subtle UV (ultra-violet) signatures, especially when lower-dimensional operators are absent at tree level. This extended EFT framework helps refine the parameter space of BSM models and ensures that new physics can be effectively explored through precise low-energy observables [42–67].

In earlier works [65–67], the one-loop effective action was computed up to dimension-eight operators for scalar and fermionic theories, including the contributions from heavy-light mixed loops using the HK method [68–81]. Building upon this approach, a generalized formalism was proposed in Ref. [82] in the context of renormalization of the theory to derive the beta functions for effective operators in scalar and fermionic theories for arbitrary loop orders. In contrast, the analyses in Refs. [83, 84] were limited to divergent parts of the two-loop effective action, with little attention given to its finite components. In this paper, we extend the scope by calculating the two-loop effective action for a generic scalar quantum field theory, considering operators up to dimension six. Our methodology employs the HK spectral approach and builds directly on the framework established in previous studies. To demonstrate the applicability of this approach, we explore two specific examples: the

extension of the SM by an extra Higgs doublet (2HDM) with hypercharge $-1/2$, and the inclusion of an electroweak complex triplet scalar with hypercharge 1. These two models have distinct phenomenological implications. The 2HDM model [27, 85–92] provides sufficient CP-violation (type III), which is an essential ingredient for explaining the baryon asymmetry of the Universe (BAU) via a strong first-order electroweak phase transition (SFOEWPT) and can also generate primordial gravitational wave (GW) signals, all while remaining consistent with electroweak precision data. The complex triplet model, famously known as the type-II Seesaw model [93–97], explains the generation of neutrino (Majorana) mass, lepton flavor violation processes, and the BAU. Our goal is to provide a framework for calculations in other similar scenarios where scalar(s) are required to be integrated out by providing example cases for these two models in which the heavy particles belong to different representations.

The paper is organized as follows. We briefly overview the HK method for model-independent two-loop effective action calculation in Sec. 2. We calculate the component Green’s functions (CGFs) and associated algebraic singularities from the interacting Green’s function. Then we demonstrate how to compute different vacuum diagrams to capture the two-loop quantum corrections, using the n-point vertex factors obtained from the Lagrangian. We systematically compute the finite part of the vacuum diagrams to get the effective action for a generic Lagrangian involving scalars in Sec. 3. We also show that the IR (infra-red) poles (If the massless limit is taken) get cancelled after adding all the possible vacuum diagrams with the counter-term diagram, which acts as a sanity check of our calculation. Next, in Sec. 4, we compute the two-loop corrections for the models, as mentioned earlier. Note that in this work, we restrict ourselves only up to dimension six standard model effective operators. Finally, we briefly conclude our work in Sec. 5.

2 The interacting scalar Green’s function: Heat-Kernel approach

Here, we are considering a theory described by a Lagrangian of a real scalar ϕ in the presence of some background gauge field A_μ in space-time dimension d as follows.

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}\phi D^2\phi + \frac{1}{2}M^2\phi^2 + V(\phi), \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta \equiv \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi^2} = D^2 + M^2 + U(\phi), \quad (2.1)$$

where M is the mass of the scalar field ϕ and $D_\mu = \partial_\mu - iA_\mu(x)$ is the covariant derivative. Here, Δ is a self-adjoint second-order elliptic operator having positive eigenvalues. The operator $U(\phi)$ contains all the information of the potential $V(\phi)$. The Heat Kernel (HK) is defined as the fundamental solution of the heat equation corresponding to the second-order elliptic operator, which is [74, 76, 77]

$$\mathcal{K}(t, x, y, \Delta) = \langle y | e^{-\Delta t} | x \rangle = \sum_n e^{-\Delta t} \tilde{\phi}_n(x) \tilde{\phi}_n^\dagger(y), \quad (2.2)$$

where t ¹ is a parameter, and $t > 0$ for all possible spacetime points. The functions, $\tilde{\phi}_n$ represent the eigenstates of the elliptic operator Δ . As demonstrated in [67, 98, 99], it is

¹Here, t is not to be confused with time.

easier to define the HK in the Fourier space. By performing momentum integral, the HK can be represented as a polynomial of t given below [82]

$$\mathcal{K}(t, x, y, \Delta) = \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{d/2}} e^{\frac{(x-y)^2}{4t}} e^{-M^2 t} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-t)^n}{n!} \tilde{b}_n. \quad (2.3)$$

The \tilde{b}_n is the Generalized Heat-Kernel Coefficients (g-HKC). In this context, the Green's function is constructed using the full Heat Kernel, which captures all interactions in the Lagrangian. Compared to the conventional Feynman diagram approach, this method simplifies calculations by concentrating on vacuum diagrams, which reduces the number of diagrams that need to be evaluated. The scalar interacting propagator can be presented in terms of the HK and further, be expressed by the HKCs as [82]

$$G(x, y) = \int_0^{\infty} dt \mathcal{K}(t, x, y, \Delta) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_n(x, y) \tilde{b}_n(x, y). \quad (2.4)$$

Using Eqs. (2.3) and (2.4), with \tilde{b}_n being g-HKC the component Green's functions (CGFs) are given by

$$g_n(x, y) = \int_0^{\infty} dt \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{\frac{d}{2}}} e^{\frac{z^2}{4t}} e^{-M^2 t} \frac{(-t)^n}{n!} = \frac{(-1)^n 2^{\frac{d}{2}-n}}{(4\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} n!} \left(\frac{M}{z}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}-n-1} K_{\frac{d}{2}-n-1}(Mz), \quad (2.5)$$

where $z^2 = -|x-y|^2$ and $K_n(z)$ are modified Bessel functions of the second kind. This approach simplifies the process by focusing on the singular behavior of the CGFs themselves. Note that the g-HKCs remain finite in the coincidence limit, *i.e.*, $x \rightarrow y$. Only by analytical continuation in d from $d < 2$ to a higher dimension, we encounter the singularities of the CGFs. Considering the poles and the finite parts coming from the distinct two-loop diagrams and adding them, we get the total contribution in terms of the g-HKCs.

2.1 Sunset diagram

The sunset diagram (see Fig. 1), contains the three-point vertex factor $V_{(3)}(x)$ defined in Eq. (3.2) and propagators. The contribution to Lagrangian², coming from this diagram looks like [82]

$$\mathcal{L}_{(2)}^a \subset -\frac{1}{12} \text{Tr} \left[\int d^d x d^d y V_{(3)}(x) G(x, y)^3 V_{(3)}(y) \right]. \quad (2.6)$$

After expanding the two-point Green's function $G(x, y)$ using the CGFs given in Eqs. (A.1), the Lagrangian looks like [82]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{(2)}^a = & -\frac{1}{12} \text{Tr} \left[\int d^d x d^d y V_{(3)}(x) \left(g_0(x, y)^3 \tilde{b}_0(x, y)^3 + 3g_0(x, y)^2 g_1(x, y) \tilde{b}_0(x, y)^2 \tilde{b}_1(x, y) \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + 3\alpha g_0(x, y)^2 \tilde{b}_0(x, y)^2 F(x, y) \right) V_{(3)}(y) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

where $\alpha F(x, y) = \sum_{i=2} g_i \tilde{b}_i(x, y)$. We take the value of F at coincidence limit and $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Note that at this limit, F is a finite quantity. Using the Eq. (A.2) and expanding the other

²The ‘‘Tr’’ is the functional trace, which means trace over all indices including spacetime coordinates, whereas ‘‘tr’’ means only the trace over matrix indices.




Figure 1. Left: Sunset Diagram, Middle: Infinity Diagram, Right: Counter-term Diagram

CGFs up to order ϵ^2 , we get

$$\mathcal{L}_{(2)}^a = \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \mathcal{C}_{(2)}^a|_{\epsilon^{-2}} + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \mathcal{C}_{(2)}^a|_{\epsilon^{-1}} + \mathcal{C}_{(2)}^a|_{\epsilon^0}, \quad (2.8)$$

where the expressions of $\mathcal{C}_{(2)}^a|_{\epsilon^{-2}}$, $\mathcal{C}_{(2)}^a|_{\epsilon^{-1}}$, the coefficients of $1/\epsilon^2$, $1/\epsilon$, respectively, and the finite terms $\mathcal{C}_{(2)}^a|_{\epsilon^0}$ are given in Eqs. (B.1)-(B.3). Note that an additional finite contribution, coming from the expansion of $g_0^2(x, y)$ is given in the App. A.1.

2.2 Infinity diagram

The infinity diagram (see Fig. 1) has the four-point vertex factor $V_{(4)}(x)$, defined in Eq. (3.2) and propagators that are evaluated in coincidence limit, which is

$$G(x, x) = g_0(x, x)\tilde{b}_0(x, x) + g_1(x, x)\tilde{b}_1(x, x) + \alpha F. \quad (2.9)$$

The contribution to Lagrangian from this diagram is given by [82]

$$\mathcal{L}_{(2)}^b \subset \frac{1}{8} \text{Tr} \left[\int d^d x V_{(4)}(x) \left(g_0(x, x)\tilde{b}_0(x, x) + g_1(x, x)\tilde{b}_1(x, x) + \alpha F \right)^2 \right], \quad (2.10)$$

where αF is specified in the previous subsection. Since the integral is evaluated at the coincidence limit, the CGFs can be written as

$$g_0 = \alpha \pi^{\frac{\epsilon}{2}} 2^\epsilon M^{2-\epsilon} \Gamma\left(\frac{\epsilon}{2} - 1\right), \quad g_1 = -\alpha \pi^{\frac{\epsilon}{2}} 2^\epsilon M^{-\epsilon} \Gamma\left(\frac{\epsilon}{2}\right). \quad (2.11)$$

Now, after putting the values of g_0 and g_1 in Eq. (2.10) and expanding the other CGFs up to order ϵ^2 , we get

$$\mathcal{L}_{(2)}^b = \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \mathcal{C}_{(2)}^b|_{\epsilon^{-2}} + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \mathcal{C}_{(2)}^b|_{\epsilon^{-1}} + \mathcal{C}_{(2)}^b|_{\epsilon^0}, \quad (2.12)$$

where the expressions of $\mathcal{C}_{(2)}^b|_{\epsilon^{-2}}$, $\mathcal{C}_{(2)}^b|_{\epsilon^{-1}}$, the coefficients of $1/\epsilon^2$, $1/\epsilon$, respectively, and the finite terms $\mathcal{C}_{(2)}^b|_{\epsilon^0}$ are given in Eqs. (B.4)-(B.6).

2.3 Counter-term diagram

The counter-term diagram (see Fig. 1) is needed in order to cancel the IR divergences coming from the above mentioned diagrams. It contains a single propagator and one vertex factor which can be derived from the Lagrangian at one loop level [65]

$$\mathcal{L}_{(1)} = \alpha c_s M^{-\epsilon} (4\pi)^{\frac{\epsilon}{2}} \text{Tr} \left[\Gamma\left(\frac{\epsilon}{2} - 2\right) M^4 \tilde{b}_0 - \Gamma\left(\frac{\epsilon}{2} - 1\right) M^2 \tilde{b}_1 + \frac{1}{2} \Gamma\left(\frac{\epsilon}{2}\right) \tilde{b}_2 \right], \quad (2.13)$$

where the combinatorial factor $c_s = \frac{1}{2}, 1$ for real and complex scalar field, respectively. The vertex factor of the counter-term diagram is defined using the following equation

$$V_{(2)}^{(ct-1)}(x) = \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{L}_{(1)}}{\partial \phi^2}. \quad (2.14)$$

So, the contribution of the counter-term diagram to the Lagrangian is [82]

$$\mathcal{L}_{(2)}^{ct} \subset \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \left[\int d^d x V_{(2)}^{(ct-1)}(x) G(x, x) \right]. \quad (2.15)$$

Since this integration will be evaluated in coincidence limit, the relevant expressions of g_n s given in Eqs. (A.1), and (A.3), are used here. One thing to note here is that we have truncated the gamma functions in the counter-term vertex factor at the order of $1/\epsilon$. In the counter-term vertex factor, we denote the derivatives of the HKCs with respect to the fields as

$$\tilde{b}'_i = \frac{\partial \text{tr}(\tilde{b}_i)}{\partial \phi}, \quad \tilde{b}''_i = \frac{\partial^2 \text{tr}(\tilde{b}_i)}{\partial \phi^2}. \quad (2.16)$$

Because of the $1/\epsilon$ poles present in the counter-term vertex factor $V_{(2)}^{(ct-1)}$, expanding the g_n s up to order ϵ , we finally get

$$\mathcal{L}_{(2)}^{ct} = \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \mathcal{C}_{(2)}^{ct}|_{\epsilon^{-2}} + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \mathcal{C}_{(2)}^{ct}|_{\epsilon^{-1}} + \mathcal{C}_{(2)}^{ct}|_{\epsilon^0}, \quad (2.17)$$

where the expressions of $\mathcal{C}_{(2)}^{ct}|_{\epsilon^{-2}}$, $\mathcal{C}_{(2)}^{ct}|_{\epsilon^{-1}}$, the coefficients of $1/\epsilon^2, 1/\epsilon$, respectively, and the finite terms $\mathcal{C}_{(2)}^{ct}|_{\epsilon^0}$ are given in in Eqs. (B.7)-(B.9).

2.4 Total contribution from all three diagrams

After adding the contributions from all three diagrams, from the Eqs. (2.8), (2.12), and (2.17), we get the full two-loop correction to the Lagrangian, which is

$$\mathcal{L}_{(2)} = \mathcal{L}_{(2)}^a + \mathcal{L}_{(2)}^b + \mathcal{L}_{(2)}^{ct} = \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \mathcal{C}_{(2)}|_{\epsilon^{-2}} + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \mathcal{C}_{(2)}|_{\epsilon^{-1}} + \mathcal{C}_{(2)}|_{\epsilon^0}, \quad (2.18)$$

where the expressions of $\mathcal{C}_{(2)}|_{\epsilon^{-2}}$, $\mathcal{C}_{(2)}|_{\epsilon^{-1}}$, and $\mathcal{C}_{(2)}|_{\epsilon^0}$ are given in Eqs. (B.10)-(B.12).

If we put $\alpha F(x, y) = \sum_{i=2}^6 g_i \tilde{b}_i(x, y)$ in the above expression, with the g_n s are provided in App. (A.3), the Lagrangian can be written as

$$\mathcal{L}_{(2)} = \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \mathcal{C}_{(2)}|_{\epsilon^{-2}} + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \mathcal{C}'_{(2)}|_{\epsilon^{-1}} + \mathcal{C}'_{(2)}|_{\epsilon^0}, \quad (2.19)$$

where the expressions of $\mathcal{C}'_{(2)}|_{\epsilon^{-1}}$ and $\mathcal{C}'_{(2)}|_{\epsilon^0}$ containing the g-HKCs are given in Eqs. (B.13)-(B.14). Note that, $\mathcal{C}_{(2)}|_{\epsilon^{-2}}$, the coefficient of $1/\epsilon^2$, does not contain αF .

3 Two-loop effective action in terms of U

In this section, we consider a Lagrangian without assuming any specific form of the potential. Therefore, U^3 (see Eq. (2.1)) can be expressed as a polynomial series in fields. In this case, we get a generalized version of the poles in terms of U and its derivatives. For later convenience, we define U_ϕ and $U_{\phi\phi}$ as the derivatives of U w.r.t the field ϕ as⁴

$$U_\phi \equiv \frac{\partial U}{\partial \phi}, \quad U_{\phi\phi} \equiv \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial \phi^2}. \quad (3.1)$$

To compute the vacuum diagrams we require the n -point vertex factors derived from the Lagrangian, which is

$$V_{(n)}(x) = \frac{\partial^n \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi^n}. \quad (3.2)$$

Considering U has the lowest operator dimension of one, all possible g-HKCs that could contribute up to dimension six can be written as follows [65]:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{b}_0(x, x) &= I, \quad \tilde{b}_1(x, x) = U, \quad \tilde{b}_2(x, x) = U^2 + \frac{1}{3}U_{\mu\mu} + \frac{1}{6}G_{\mu\nu}G^{\mu\nu}, \\ \tilde{b}_3(x, x) &= \frac{1}{15}G_{\mu\nu}G_{\nu\rho}G_{\rho\mu} + \frac{1}{2}UG_{\mu\nu}G_{\mu\nu} - \frac{J_\nu^2}{10} + U^3 - \frac{U_\mu^2}{2}, \\ \tilde{b}_4(x, x) &= \frac{4}{5}U^2G_{\mu\nu}^2 - \frac{2}{5}UJ_\nu U_\nu + U^4 + U^2U_{\mu\mu} + \frac{U_{\mu\mu}^2}{5} + \frac{(UG_{\mu\nu})^2}{5}, \\ \tilde{b}_5(x, x) &= U^5 + 2U^3U_\mu^2 + U^2U_{\mu\mu}, \quad \tilde{b}_6(x, x) = U^6. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

The following notations are used in the expressions for the g-HKCs provided in the equation above.

$$\begin{aligned} U_{\mu\mu} &\equiv D^2U = -[P_\mu[P_\mu, U]], \quad U_\mu \equiv D_\mu U = -i[P_\mu, U], \\ G_{\mu\nu} &\equiv [D_\mu, D_\nu] = -[P_\mu, P_\nu], \quad J_\nu \equiv D_\mu G_{\mu\nu} = i[P_\mu, P_\nu]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Here, $P_\mu = iD_\mu$ where D_μ is the Euclidean covariant derivative. In Eq. (3.3), the operator $U_{\mu\mu}$ in \tilde{b}_2 is a total derivative term. This is essentially a boundary term that goes to zero after imposing the boundary condition, so it does not contribute to the counter-term vertex factor coming from the Lagrangian at the one-loop level. It becomes significant for computing effective action if we go beyond one-loop corrections, in which the g-HKCs are located between multiple vertex factors.

3.1 Contribution from individual diagrams

In this subsection, we write the two-loop effective Lagrangian in terms of U , U_ϕ , and $U_{\phi\phi}$ for the three individual diagrams.

³ U contains no operators involving derivatives.

⁴If ϕ is a n -multiplet of fields, U becomes a $n \times n$ hermitian matrix in flavor space. For this case, $U_\phi \equiv \frac{\partial \text{tr}(U)}{\partial \phi}$, $U_{\phi\phi} \equiv \frac{\partial^2 \text{tr}(U)}{\partial \phi^2}$.

Sunset diagram:

From the Lagrangian given in Eq. (2.8), for the sunset diagram, the coefficients of $1/\epsilon^2$, $1/\epsilon$, and the finite parts are given in terms of U , U_ϕ , and $U_{\phi\phi}$ as

$$\mathcal{C}_{(2)}^a|_{\epsilon^{-2}} = \frac{\alpha^2}{2} \text{tr} \left[(M^2 + U) U_\phi^2 \right], \quad (3.5)$$

$$\mathcal{C}_{(2)}^a|_{\epsilon^{-1}} = -\frac{\alpha^2}{4} \text{tr} \left[U_\phi^2 \left(2F - 3M^2 - U + 2(M^2 + U) \log \left(\frac{M^2}{4\pi e^{-\gamma}} \right) \right) + \frac{\alpha^2}{24} U_\phi D^2 U_\phi \right], \quad (3.6)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_{(2)}^a|_{\epsilon^0} = & \frac{\alpha^2}{96} \text{tr} \left[\left(13 + 4 \log(4\pi e^{-\gamma}) \right) U_\phi D^2 U_\phi + \frac{1}{96} \alpha^2 U_\phi^2 \left[24F(\gamma - 2 - \log(4\pi)) \right. \right. \\ & + 2 \left[12(\gamma - 3)\gamma + \pi^2 + 30 \right] M^2 + 24 \left\{ \log(4\pi) \left((3 - 2\gamma)M^2 - 2(M^2 + U) \log(M^2) \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. - 2\gamma U + U \right) + \log(M^2) \left((2\gamma - 3)M^2 + (M^2 + U) \log(M) + 2(\gamma - 1)U \right) \right. \\ & \left. \left. + \log^2(4\pi) (M^2 + U) \right\} + 2(12(\gamma - 1)\gamma + \pi^2 - 6)U \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Infinity diagram:

From the Lagrangian given in Eq. (2.12), for the infinity diagram, the coefficients of $1/\epsilon^2$, $1/\epsilon$, and the finite parts are given in terms of U , U_ϕ , and $U_{\phi\phi}$ as

$$\mathcal{C}_{(2)}^b|_{\epsilon^{-2}} = \frac{\alpha^2}{2} \text{tr} \left[(M^2 + U)^2 U_{\phi\phi} \right], \quad (3.8)$$

$$\mathcal{C}_{(2)}^b|_{\epsilon^{-1}} = -\frac{\alpha^2}{2} \text{tr} \left[(M^2 + U) U_{\phi\phi} \left(F - M^2 + (M^2 + U) \log \left(\frac{M^2}{4\pi e^{-\gamma}} \right) \right) \right], \quad (3.9)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_{(2)}^b|_{\epsilon^0} = & \frac{\alpha^2}{48} \text{tr} \left[U_{\phi\phi} \left[6 \left\{ F - M^2 + (M^2 + U) \log \left(\frac{M^2}{4\pi e^{-\gamma}} \right) \right\}^2 + (M^2 + U) \right. \right. \\ & \times \left\{ M^2 \left(6\gamma(\gamma - 2 - 2 \log(4\pi)) + \pi^2 + 6[2 + 4 \log^2(2) + \log^2(\pi) + \log(16) \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + \{2 + \log(16)\} \log(\pi) \right) \right\} + 12 \log(M^2) \left(M^2 \{ \gamma - 1 - \log(4\pi) \} + U \{ \gamma \right. \\ & \left. \left. - \log(4\pi) \} \right) + 24 (M^2 + U) \log^2(M) + U (6(\gamma - \log(4\pi))^2 + \pi^2) \right\} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Counter-term diagram:

From the Lagrangian given in Eq. (2.17), for the counter-term diagram, the coefficients of $1/\epsilon^2$, $1/\epsilon$, and the finite parts are given in terms of U , U_ϕ , and $U_{\phi\phi}$ as

$$\mathcal{C}_{(2)}^{\text{ct}}|_{\epsilon^{-2}} = -\alpha^2 \text{tr} \left[(M^2 + U) \left((M^2 + U) U_{\phi\phi} + U_\phi^2 \right) \right], \quad (3.11)$$

$$\mathcal{C}_{(2)}^{\text{ct}}|_{\epsilon^{-1}} = \frac{\alpha^2}{2} \text{tr} \left[\left((M^2 + U) U_{\phi\phi} + U_\phi^2 \right) \left(F - M^2 + (M^2 + U) \log \left(\frac{M^2}{4\pi e^{-\gamma}} \right) \right) \right] \quad (3.12)$$

$$\mathcal{C}_{(2)}^{\text{ct}}|_{\epsilon^0} = -\frac{\alpha^2}{48} \text{tr} \left[\left\{ M^2 \left\{ 6\gamma(\gamma - 2 - 2 \log(4\pi)) + \pi^2 + 6(2 + 4 \log^2(2) + \log^2(\pi)) \right. \right. \right.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \log(16) + (2 + \log(16)) \log(\pi) \Big) \Big\} + 12 \log(M^2) \Big\{ M^2(\gamma - 1 - \log(4\pi)) \\
& + U(\gamma - \log(4\pi)) \Big\} + 24 (M^2 + U) \log^2(M) + U \Big(6(\gamma - \log(4\pi))^2 + \pi^2 \Big) \Big\} \\
& \times \left((M^2 + U) U_{\phi\phi} + U_{\phi}^2 \right) \Big]. \tag{3.13}
\end{aligned}$$

3.2 Resultant contribution

After adding up all the contributions from all three diagrams (see Fig. 1), we get the total contribution for the two-loop effective action. The coefficients of $1/\epsilon^2$, $1/\epsilon$, and the finite parts mentioned in Eq. (2.18), are given in terms of U , U_{ϕ} , and $U_{\phi\phi}$ as

$$\mathcal{C}_{(2)|\epsilon^{-2}} = -\frac{\alpha^2}{2} \text{tr} \left[(M^2 + U) \left((M^2 + U) U_{\phi\phi} + U_{\phi}^2 \right) \right], \tag{3.14}$$

$$\mathcal{C}_{(2)|\epsilon^{-1}} = \frac{\alpha^2}{24} \text{tr} \left[U_{\phi} D^2 U_{\phi} + 6U_{\phi}^2 (U + M^2) \right], \tag{3.15}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}_{(2)|\epsilon^0} &= \frac{\alpha^2}{96} \text{tr} \left[\left\{ 13 + 4 \log(4\pi e^{-\gamma}) \right\} U_{\phi} D^2 U_{\phi} + U_{\phi}^2 \left\{ 12 \left(2F(\gamma - 2 - \log(4\pi)) \right. \right. \right. \\
&+ M^2 \left\{ \gamma^2 - 2\gamma(2 + \log(4\pi)) + 3 + 4 \log^2(2) + \log(256) + \log(\pi)[4 + \log(16\pi)] \right\} \\
&+ U \left\{ \gamma^2 - 2\gamma(1 + \log(4\pi)) - 1 - 4 \log^2(2) + \log(\pi)[2 + \log(\pi)] + \log(16)[1 \right. \\
&+ \left. \log(4\pi)] \right\} \Big) + 48(\gamma - 2 - \log(4\pi)) (M^2 + U) \log(M) \Big\} + 12U_{\phi\phi} \left\{ F - M^2 \right. \\
&+ \left. \left. \left. (M^2 + U) \log \left(\frac{M^2}{4\pi e^{-\gamma}} \right) \right\}^2 \right]. \tag{3.16}
\end{aligned}$$

Here, the operators U_{ϕ} and $U_{\phi\phi}$ in the Eqs. (3.5)-(3.16) are defined in Eq. (3.1).

3.3 The two-loop Lagrangian

Using Eqs. (3.14)-(3.16), the Lagrangian can be expressed in a more convenient form, capturing all relevant terms in an organized manner as

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \alpha^2 \text{tr} \left(\mathcal{C}_4 M^4 + \mathcal{C}_2 M^2 + \mathcal{C}_0 M^0 + \sum_{n=1,2,\dots} \mathcal{C}_{-2n} M^{-2n} \right), \tag{3.17}$$

with

$$\mathcal{C}_4 = -\frac{U_{\phi\phi}}{2\epsilon^2} + \mathcal{C}_4^{[U_{\phi\phi}]} U_{\phi\phi}, \tag{3.18}$$

$$\mathcal{C}_2 = -\frac{(U_{\phi}^2 + 2UU_{\phi\phi})}{2\epsilon^2} + \frac{U_{\phi}^2}{4\epsilon} + \mathcal{C}_2^{[U_{\phi}^2]} U_{\phi}^2 + \mathcal{C}_2^{[UU_{\phi\phi}]} UU_{\phi\phi}, \tag{3.19}$$

$$\mathcal{C}_0 = -\frac{U(U_{\phi}^2 + UU_{\phi\phi})}{2\epsilon^2} + \frac{UU_{\phi}^2}{4\epsilon} + \frac{1}{24\epsilon} U_{\phi} D^2 U_{\phi} + \frac{1}{96} U_{\phi} D^2 U_{\phi} \left[13 + 4 \log(4\pi e^{-\gamma}) \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \mathcal{C}_0^{\llbracket U_\phi D^2 U_\phi \rrbracket} U_\phi D^2 U_\phi + \mathcal{C}_0^{\llbracket U U_\phi^2 \rrbracket} U U_\phi^2 + \mathcal{C}_0^{\llbracket U^2 U_{\phi\phi} \rrbracket} U^2 U_{\phi\phi} + \mathcal{C}_0^{\llbracket U_{\mu\mu} U_{\phi\phi} \rrbracket} U_{\mu\mu} U_{\phi\phi} \\
& + \mathcal{C}_0^{\llbracket G_{\mu\nu}^2 U_{\phi\phi} \rrbracket} G_{\mu\nu}^2 U_{\phi\phi}, \tag{3.20}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}_{-2} & = \mathcal{C}_{-2}^{\llbracket U^2 U_\phi^2 \rrbracket} U^2 U_\phi^2 + \mathcal{C}_{-2}^{\llbracket G_{\mu\nu}^2 U_\phi^2 \rrbracket} G_{\mu\nu}^2 U_\phi^2 + \mathcal{C}_{-2}^{\llbracket U_{\mu\mu} U_\phi^2 \rrbracket} U_{\mu\mu} U_\phi^2 + \mathcal{C}_{-2}^{\llbracket U^3 U_{\phi\phi} \rrbracket} U^3 U_{\phi\phi} \\
& + \mathcal{C}_{-2}^{\llbracket U G_{\mu\nu}^2 U_{\phi\phi} \rrbracket} U G_{\mu\nu}^2 U_{\phi\phi} + \mathcal{C}_{-2}^{\llbracket G_{\mu\nu} G_{\nu\rho} G_{\rho\mu} U_{\phi\phi} \rrbracket} G_{\mu\nu} G_{\nu\rho} G_{\rho\mu} U_{\phi\phi} + \mathcal{C}_{-2}^{\llbracket J_\nu^2 U_{\phi\phi} \rrbracket} J_\nu^2 U_{\phi\phi} \\
& + \mathcal{C}_{-2}^{\llbracket U_\mu^2 U_{\phi\phi} \rrbracket} U_\mu^2 U_{\phi\phi} + \mathcal{C}_{-2}^{\llbracket U U_{\mu\mu} U_{\phi\phi} \rrbracket} U U_{\mu\mu} U_{\phi\phi}. \tag{3.21}
\end{aligned}$$

Note that for a renormalizable UV theory, the operator $U_{\phi\phi}$ is essentially a unit matrix with a multiplicative factor, therefore, the operator $U_{\mu\mu} U_{\phi\phi}$ turns out to be a total derivative term. All the coefficients of the operators such as $U_{\phi\phi}$, U_ϕ^2 , $U_\phi D^2 U_\phi$ etc. in the above equations are given below.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}_4^{\llbracket U_{\phi\phi} \rrbracket} & = \frac{1}{8} \left[1 - \log \left(\frac{M^2}{4\pi e^{-\gamma}} \right) \right]^2, & \mathcal{C}_0^{\llbracket U_\phi D^2 U_\phi \rrbracket} & = \frac{1}{96} \left[13 + 4 \log(4\pi e^{-\gamma}) \right], \\
\mathcal{C}_2^{\llbracket U_\phi^2 \rrbracket} & = \frac{1}{8} \left[3 + \gamma^2 - 2\gamma(2 + \log(4\pi)) - 2(2 + \log(4\pi e^{-\gamma})) \log(M^2) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + 4 \log^2(2) + \log(256) + \log(\pi)(4 + \log(16\pi)) \right], \\
\mathcal{C}_2^{\llbracket U U_{\phi\phi} \rrbracket} & = -\frac{1}{4} \left[\left\{ 1 - \log \left(\frac{M^2}{4\pi e^{-\gamma}} \right) \right\} \log \left(\frac{M^2}{4\pi e^{-\gamma}} \right) \right], \\
\mathcal{C}_0^{\llbracket U U_\phi^2 \rrbracket} & = -\frac{1}{8} \left[1 - \gamma^2 + 2\gamma - 2(\gamma - 2) \log(M^2) - 2 \log(4\pi) \left\{ 1 - \gamma - \log(M^2) \right\} - \log^2(4\pi) \right], \\
\mathcal{C}_0^{\llbracket U^2 U_{\phi\phi} \rrbracket} & = -\frac{1}{8} \left[1 - \log \left(\frac{M^2}{4\pi e^{-\gamma}} \right) - \log^2 \left(\frac{M^2}{4\pi e^{-\gamma}} \right) \right], \\
\mathcal{C}_0^{\llbracket U_{\mu\mu} U_{\phi\phi} \rrbracket} & = -\frac{1}{24} \left[1 - \log \left(\frac{M^2}{4\pi e^{-\gamma}} \right) \right], & \mathcal{C}_0^{\llbracket G_{\mu\nu}^2 U_{\phi\phi} \rrbracket} & = -\frac{1}{48} \left[1 - \log \left(\frac{M^2}{4\pi e^{-\gamma}} \right) \right], \tag{3.22} \\
\mathcal{C}_{-2}^{\llbracket U^2 U_\phi^2 \rrbracket} & = -\frac{1}{8} \left[2 + \log(4\pi e^{-\gamma}) \right], & \mathcal{C}_{-2}^{\llbracket G_{\mu\nu}^2 U_\phi^2 \rrbracket} & = -\frac{1}{48} \left[2 + \log(4\pi e^{-\gamma}) \right], \\
\mathcal{C}_{-2}^{\llbracket U_{\mu\mu} U_\phi^2 \rrbracket} & = -\frac{1}{24} \left[2 + \log(4\pi e^{-\gamma}) \right], & \mathcal{C}_{-2}^{\llbracket U^3 U_{\phi\phi} \rrbracket} & = \frac{1}{24} \left[1 + 2 \log \left(\frac{M^2}{4\pi e^{-\gamma}} \right) \right], \\
\mathcal{C}_{-2}^{\llbracket U G_{\mu\nu}^2 U_{\phi\phi} \rrbracket} & = \frac{1}{48}, & \mathcal{C}_{-2}^{\llbracket G_{\mu\nu} G_{\nu\rho} G_{\rho\mu} U_{\phi\phi} \rrbracket} & = \frac{1}{360} \left[1 - \log \left(\frac{M^2}{4\pi e^{-\gamma}} \right) \right], \\
\mathcal{C}_{-2}^{\llbracket J_\nu^2 U_{\phi\phi} \rrbracket} & = -\frac{1}{240} \left[1 - \log \left(\frac{M^2}{4\pi e^{-\gamma}} \right) \right], & \mathcal{C}_{-2}^{\llbracket U_\mu^2 U_{\phi\phi} \rrbracket} & = -\frac{1}{48} \left[1 - \log \left(\frac{M^2}{4\pi e^{-\gamma}} \right) \right], \\
\mathcal{C}_{-2}^{\llbracket U U_{\mu\mu} U_{\phi\phi} \rrbracket} & = \frac{1}{24} \log \left(\frac{M^2}{4\pi e^{-\gamma}} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that in MS regularization scheme, the $\log(M^2)$ reads as $\log(M^2/\mu^2)$, whereas in \overline{MS} regularization scheme μ^2 rescales as $\mu^2 \rightarrow \mu^2/(4\pi e^{-\gamma})$, where μ is renormalization scale of the theory. For theories with U having the lowest operator dimension two, \mathcal{C}_{-4} , \mathcal{C}_{-6} and so on, they do not contain any dimension six operators. If we consider U having the lowest

operator dimension to be one, \mathcal{C}_{-4} to \mathcal{C}_{-10} does contribute to operators of dimension six which are given in App. C.

Also note that only the finite parts contribute to the effective action, whereas the divergences help us to compute the beta function, coming from the necessary counter terms that need to be added to cancel the UV divergences. This work leaves out the divergences; however, an extensive analysis of the evolution of the renormalization group (RG) is provided in [82].

$\mathcal{O}_{GG} = g_s^2 H ^2 G_{\mu\nu}^a G^{a,\mu\nu}$	$\mathcal{O}_H = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu H ^2)^2$
$\mathcal{O}_{WW} = g_W^2 H ^2 W_{\mu\nu}^a W^{a,\mu\nu}$	$\mathcal{O}_T = \frac{1}{2} (H^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu H)^2$
$\mathcal{O}_{BB} = g_Y^2 H ^2 B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu}$	$\mathcal{O}_R = H ^2 D_\mu H ^2$
$\mathcal{O}_{WB} = 2g_W g_Y H^\dagger \tau^a H W_{\mu\nu}^a B^{\mu\nu}$	$\mathcal{O}_D = D^2 H ^2$
$\mathcal{O}_W = ig_W (H^\dagger \tau^a \overleftrightarrow{D}^\mu H) D^\nu W_{\mu\nu}^a$	$\mathcal{O}_6 = H ^6$
$\mathcal{O}_B = ig_Y Y (H^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{D}^\mu H) \partial^\nu B_{\mu\nu}$	$\mathcal{O}_{2G} = -\frac{1}{2} (D^\mu G_{\mu\nu}^a)^2$
$\mathcal{O}_{3G} = \frac{1}{3!} g_s f^{abc} G_\rho^{a\mu} G_\mu^{b\nu} G_\nu^{c\rho}$	$\mathcal{O}_{2W} = -\frac{1}{2} (D^\mu W_{\mu\nu}^a)^2$
$\mathcal{O}_{3W} = \frac{1}{3!} g_W \epsilon^{abc} W_\rho^{a\mu} W_\mu^{b\nu} W_\nu^{c\rho}$	$\mathcal{O}_{2B} = -\frac{1}{2} (\partial^\mu B_{\mu\nu})^2$

Table 1. CP-conserving dimension six bosonic operators in SILH basis [58, 100, 101].

4 Two-loop effective action for triplet and doublet models

Here, we will briefly describe the covariant derivative expansion (CDE) method [55, 56], which is used to write the effective action in a manifestly gauge invariant way. We consider a generic form of the Lagrangian [58],

$$\mathcal{L}[\Phi, \phi] \supset -\Phi^\dagger (D^2 + m^2 + U(x)) \Phi + (\Phi^\dagger B(x) + \text{h.c.}), \quad (4.1)$$

where Φ and ϕ are the heavy and light scalar fields respectively. Here, $B(x)$ is a generic function of the light fields $\phi(x)$ whereas $U(x)$ can be a generic function of both the heavy fields $\Phi(x)$ and the light fields $\phi(x)$. The equation of motion (EOM) for Φ is

$$(D^2 + m^2 + U(x)) \Phi = B(x). \quad (4.2)$$

After linearizing, *i.e.*, ignoring the $\mathcal{O}(\Phi^2)$ terms in the EOM and solving it in the case where $p^2 \ll m^2$, as prescribed in [58], we get

$$\Phi_c = \frac{1}{m^2} B - \frac{1}{m^2} (D^2 + U) \frac{1}{m^2} B + \frac{1}{m^2} (D^2 + U) \frac{1}{m^2} (D^2 + U) \frac{1}{m^2} B + \dots \quad (4.3)$$

The mass-squared matrix in the equation above need not be diagonal, so $1/m^2$ may not commute with U . Back into the Lagrangian, we plug Φ_c to obtain the tree-level effective action. Note that even though we only considered $U(x)$ to be only a function of ϕ , we can include Φ as well and use recursion to get additional correction terms in the classical solution of Φ .

As an example scenario for computing the two-loop effective action, we take into consideration two particular models: the two Higgs doublet model (2HDM), the extension of the SM by an extra Higgs doublet Φ carrying hypercharge $Y_\Phi = -1/2$, and the complex triplet model, the extension of the SM by an electroweak scalar triplet Δ with hypercharge $Y_\Delta = 1$. These two well-known models have significant phenomenological implications, as discussed in Sec. 1. We provide our calculation procedures and results for these two cases in the following subsections.

4.1 Electroweak triplet with hypercharge $Y_\Delta = 1$

In this subsection, we integrate out an additional electroweak scalar Δ that transforms as a triplet under the SM gauge group, to get two-loop effective action. The triplet scalar's mass (m_Δ) is significantly higher than the electroweak symmetry-breaking scale *i.e.*, $m_\Delta \gg v^5$. When the triplet scalar Δ interacts with the SM Higgs doublet (H) and leptons, the most general UV Lagrangian can be expressed as [49, 102]

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{SM}} + \text{tr}[(D_\mu \Delta)^\dagger (D^\mu \Delta)] - m_\Delta^2 \text{tr}[\Delta^\dagger \Delta] - \mathcal{L}_Y - V(H, \Delta), \quad (4.4)$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned} V(H, \Delta) = & \lambda_1 (H^\dagger H) \text{tr}[\Delta^\dagger \Delta] + \lambda_2 (\text{tr}[\Delta^\dagger \Delta])^2 + \lambda_3 \text{tr}[(\Delta^\dagger \Delta)^2] \\ & + \lambda_4 (H^\dagger \Delta \Delta^\dagger H) + [\mu_\Delta (H^T i\sigma_2 \Delta^\dagger H) + \text{h.c.}], \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

and,

$$\mathcal{L}_Y = Y_\Delta l_L^T C i\sigma_2 \Delta l_L + \text{h.c.} \quad (4.6)$$

Here, $\Delta = \Delta^a \tau^a$, where $\tau^a = \frac{\sigma^a}{2}$, are the generators in the fundamental representation of $SU(2)$. The covariant derivative in the kinetic term, $D_\mu = (\partial_\mu - ig_W W_\mu^a \tau^a - ig_Y Y B_\mu)$, where the gauge fields W_μ^a are in the adjoint representation of the $SU(2)_L$ group. Each parameter in the potential above is treated as a real parameter, whereas the Y_Δ in \mathcal{L}_Y is generally a complex parameter.

Using the Eqs. (D.11)-(D.12), we can write the potential term on this basis given below.

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \frac{1}{2} (\Delta_i^* \ \Delta_i) U_{ij} \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_j \\ \Delta_j^* \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} (\Delta_i^* \ \Delta_i) \begin{pmatrix} (U_{11})_{ij} & (U_{12})_{ij} \\ (U_{21})_{ij} & (U_{22})_{ij} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_j \\ \Delta_j^* \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.7)$$

where i, j runs from 1 to 3. Essentially U is a 6×6 hermitian matrix decomposed by four 3×3 sub-matrices. These four sub-matrices can be defined as

$$(U_{11})_{ij} \equiv \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial \Delta_i^* \partial \Delta_j}, \quad (U_{22})_{ij} \equiv \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial \Delta_i \partial \Delta_j^*}, \quad (U_{22})_{ij} = (U_{11}^*)_{ij},$$

⁵ $v \approx 246$ GeV is the *vev* of the Higgs field.

$$(U_{12})_{ij} \equiv \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial \Delta_i^* \partial \Delta_j^*}, \quad (U_{21})_{ij} \equiv \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial \Delta_i \partial \Delta_j}, \quad (U_{21})_{ij} = (U_{12}^*)_{ij}. \quad (4.8)$$

The elements of these four matrices are expressed explicitly in terms of the model parameters as

$$(U_{11})_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \lambda_1 |H|^2 \delta_{ij} + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2 \left(|\Delta|^2 \delta_{ij} + \Delta_i \Delta_j^* \right) + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_3 \left(|\Delta|^2 \delta_{ij} + \Delta_i \Delta_j^* - \Delta_i^* \Delta_j \right) + \lambda_4 \left(\frac{1}{4} |H|^2 \delta_{ij} - \frac{i}{2} \epsilon^{ijk} (H^\dagger \tau^k H) \right), \quad (4.9)$$

$$(U_{12})_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2 \Delta_i \Delta_j + \frac{1}{4} \lambda_3 \left(2 \Delta_i \Delta_j - \Delta_k \Delta_k \delta_{ij} \right). \quad (4.10)$$

Using the CDE method, we can write the solution (see Eq. (4.3)) for the classical background field, up to linear approximation as

$$(\Delta_c)^i = \frac{1}{m_\Delta^2} B^i + \frac{1}{m_\Delta^4} \left(p^2 \delta_{ij} - (U_{11})_{ij} \right) B^j + \mathcal{O}(m_\Delta^{-6}), \quad (4.11)$$

where $B^i = \mu_\Delta H^T i \sigma_2 \tau^i H = -\mu_\Delta \tilde{H}^\dagger \tau^i H$ with $\tilde{H} = i \sigma_2 H^*$ (see Eq. (4.1)). Using the above expression of Δ_c^i , we get the following relation up to operator dimension six

$$|\Delta_c|^2 = (\Delta_c^i)^* \Delta_c^i \supset \frac{\mu_\Delta^2}{m_\Delta^4} \left[\frac{1}{2} |H|^4 + \frac{2}{m_\Delta^2} \mathcal{O}_H - \frac{2}{m_\Delta^2} \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{2} + \frac{\lambda_4}{4} \right) \frac{\mathcal{O}_6}{2} \right], \quad (4.12)$$

where the dimension six bosonic operators \mathcal{O}_H and \mathcal{O}_6 are defined in Tab. 1. We redo the computations of the dimension six operator structures at the one-loop level for this scenario given in the App. D.1. Our computation matches with the results of [49].

4.1.1 Operators contributing up to dimension six at two-loop level

Here, we discuss the operators up to dimension six generated at the two-loop level for both bosonic and leptonic cases for the complex triplet scenario.

Bosonic operators:

Using the Eq. (4.12) and some useful relations given in Eqs. (D.13)-(D.19), the pure bosonic operators⁶ generated for this scenario are given here.

$$\frac{1}{2} \text{tr} [U_{\phi\phi}] = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \text{tr} [U]}{\partial \Delta_k \partial \Delta_k^*} = 6\lambda_2 + \frac{9}{2} \lambda_3, \quad (4.13)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} [U_\phi^2] &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \text{tr} [U]}{\partial \Delta_l} \frac{\partial \text{tr} [U]}{\partial \Delta_l^*} = \frac{1}{2} (4\lambda_2 + 3\lambda_3)^2 |\Delta_c|^2, \\ &\supset \frac{\mu_\Delta^2}{2m_\Delta^4} \left(16\lambda_2^2 + 9\lambda_3^2 + 24\lambda_2\lambda_3 \right) \left[\frac{1}{2} |H|^4 + \frac{2}{m_\Delta^2} \mathcal{O}_H - \frac{1}{m_\Delta^2} \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{2} + \frac{\lambda_4}{4} \right) \mathcal{O}_6 \right], \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

⁶Here, $G_{\mu\nu}^2 = G_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu}$ and $G_{\mu\nu}^3 = G_\mu^\nu G_\nu^\rho G_\rho^\mu$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}\text{tr}[UU_{\phi\phi}] &= \frac{1}{2}(2\lambda_2 + \frac{3}{2}\lambda_3)\text{tr}[U] = \frac{1}{2}(2\lambda_2 + \frac{3}{2}\lambda_3)\text{tr}[U_{11}\mathbf{1}_{3\times 3} + U_{22}\mathbf{1}_{3\times 3}] \\
&= \left(2\lambda_2 + \frac{3}{2}\lambda_3\right) \left[\left(\frac{3\lambda_1}{2} + \frac{3\lambda_4}{4}\right) |H|^2 + \left(2\lambda_2 + \frac{3\lambda_3}{2}\right) |\Delta_c|^2 \right] \\
&\supset \left(2\lambda_2 + \frac{3}{2}\lambda_3\right) \left[\left(\frac{3\lambda_1}{2} + \frac{3\lambda_4}{4}\right) |H|^2 + \left(2\lambda_2 + \frac{3\lambda_3}{2}\right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times \frac{\mu_\Delta^2}{m_\Delta^4} \left(\frac{1}{2}|H|^4 + \frac{2}{m_\Delta^2}\mathcal{O}_H - \frac{1}{m_\Delta^2} \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{2} + \frac{\lambda_4}{4} \right) \mathcal{O}_6 \right) \right], \tag{4.15}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}\text{tr}[UU_\phi^2] &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\partial \text{tr}[U]}{\partial \Delta_i} (U_{11})_{ij} \frac{\partial \text{tr}[U]}{\partial \Delta_j^*} + \frac{\partial \text{tr}[U]}{\partial \Delta_i^*} (U_{22})_{ij} \frac{\partial \text{tr}[U]}{\partial \Delta_j} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{\partial \text{tr}[U]}{\partial \Delta_i} (U_{12})_{ij} \frac{\partial \text{tr}[U]}{\partial \Delta_j} + \frac{\partial \text{tr}[U]}{\partial \Delta_i^*} (U_{21})_{ij} \frac{\partial \text{tr}[U]}{\partial \Delta_j^*} \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left(\lambda_1 + \frac{\lambda_4}{2} \right) (16\lambda_2^2 + 9\lambda_3^2 + 24\lambda_2\lambda_3) |H|^2 |\Delta_c|^2 \\
&\supset \left(\lambda_1 + \frac{\lambda_4}{2} \right) (16\lambda_2^2 + 9\lambda_3^2 + 24\lambda_2\lambda_3) \frac{\mu_\Delta^2}{4m_\Delta^4} \mathcal{O}_6, \tag{4.16}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}\text{tr}[U^2U_{\phi\phi}] &= \frac{1}{2} \left(2\lambda_2 + \frac{3}{2}\lambda_3 \right) \text{tr} \left[(U_{11}^2)\mathbf{1}_{3\times 3} + 2(U_{12})(U_{21})\mathbf{1}_{3\times 3} + (U_{22}^2)\mathbf{1}_{3\times 3} \right] \\
&\supset \left(2\lambda_2 + \frac{3}{2}\lambda_3 \right) \left[\left(2\lambda_1\lambda_2 + \frac{3\lambda_1\lambda_3}{2} + \lambda_2\lambda_4 + \frac{3\lambda_3\lambda_4}{4} \right) \frac{\mu_\Delta^2}{2m_\Delta^4} \mathcal{O}_6 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left(\frac{3\lambda_1^2}{4} + \frac{5\lambda_4^2}{16} + \frac{3\lambda_1\lambda_4}{4} \right) |H|^4 \right], \tag{4.17}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}\text{tr}[U^3U_{\phi\phi}] &= \frac{1}{2} \left(2\lambda_2 + \frac{3}{2}\lambda_3 \right) \text{tr} \left[(U_{11}^3 + 3U_{11}U_{12}U_{21} + 3U_{22}U_{21}U_{12} + U_{22}^3)\mathbf{1}_{3\times 3} \right] \\
&\supset \frac{3}{8} \left(2\lambda_2 + \frac{3}{2}\lambda_3 \right) \left(\lambda_1^3 + \frac{3\lambda_4^3}{8} + \frac{3\lambda_1^2\lambda_4}{2} + \frac{5\lambda_1\lambda_4^2}{4} \right) \mathcal{O}_6, \tag{4.18}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}\text{tr}[U_\phi D^2 U_\phi] &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\partial \text{tr} U}{\partial \Delta_k} D^2 \frac{\partial \text{tr} U}{\partial \Delta_k^*} \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{2} (4\lambda_2 + 3\lambda_3)^2 (\Delta_c^i)^* D^2 \Delta_c^i \\
&\supset \frac{1}{2} (16\lambda_2^2 + 9\lambda_3^2 + 24\lambda_2\lambda_3) \left(-\frac{\mu_\Delta^2}{m_\Delta^4} \mathcal{O}_H \right), \tag{4.19}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}\text{tr}[G_{\mu\nu}^2 U_{\phi\phi}] &= \frac{1}{2} \left(2\lambda_2 + \frac{3}{2}\lambda_3 \right) \text{tr} [G_{\mu\nu}^2] \\
&= - \left(2\lambda_2 + \frac{3}{2}\lambda_3 \right) \left(2g_W^2 (W_{\mu\nu}^a)^2 + 3g_Y^2 (B_{\mu\nu})^2 \right), \tag{4.20}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\text{tr}[UG_{\mu\nu}^2 U_{\phi\phi}] = \frac{1}{2} \left(2\lambda_2 + \frac{3}{2}\lambda_3 \right) \text{tr} [UG_{\mu\nu}^2]$$

$$\supset - \left(2\lambda_2 + \frac{3}{2}\lambda_3\right) \left[\left(\frac{\lambda_1}{2} + \frac{\lambda_4}{4}\right) (2\mathcal{O}_{WW} + 3\mathcal{O}_{BB}) - \lambda_4\mathcal{O}_{WB} \right], \quad (4.21)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\text{tr}[J_\nu^2 U_{\phi\phi}] &= \frac{1}{2}(2\lambda_2 + \frac{3}{2}\lambda_3)\text{tr}[(D_\mu G_{\mu\nu})^2] \\ &= - \left(2\lambda_2 + \frac{3}{2}\lambda_3\right) (4g_W^2\mathcal{O}_{2W} + 6g_Y^2\mathcal{O}_{2B}), \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\text{tr}[U_\mu^2 U_{\phi\phi}] &= \frac{1}{2}(2\lambda_2 + \frac{3}{2}\lambda_3)\text{tr}[(D_\mu U)^2] \\ &\supset \left(2\lambda_2 + \frac{3}{2}\lambda_3\right) \left[2 \left(\frac{3\lambda_1^2}{4} + \frac{3\lambda_4^2}{16} + \frac{3\lambda_1\lambda_4}{4} \right) \mathcal{O}_H + \frac{\lambda_4^2}{4} (\mathcal{O}_T + 2\mathcal{O}_R) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (4.23)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\text{tr}[UU_{\mu\mu}U_{\phi\phi}] &= -\frac{1}{2}(2\lambda_2 + \frac{3}{2}\lambda_3)\text{tr}[(D_\mu U)^2] \\ &\supset - \left(2\lambda_2 + \frac{3}{2}\lambda_3\right) \left[2 \left(\frac{3\lambda_1^2}{4} + \frac{3\lambda_4^2}{16} + \frac{3\lambda_1\lambda_4}{4} \right) \mathcal{O}_H + \frac{\lambda_4^2}{4} (\mathcal{O}_T + 2\mathcal{O}_R) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\text{tr}[G_{\mu\nu}^3 U_{\phi\phi}] = \frac{1}{2}(2\lambda_2 + \frac{3}{2}\lambda_3)\text{tr}[G_{\mu\nu}^3] = - \left(2\lambda_2 + \frac{3}{2}\lambda_3\right) 6g_W^2\mathcal{O}_{3W}. \quad (4.25)$$

Note that the operators $U_{\mu\mu}U_\phi^2$, $U^2U_\phi^2$ and $G_{\mu\nu}^2U_\phi^2$ (see Eq. (3.21)) are excluded here as it generates operators of dimension eight or higher.

Because $U_{\phi\phi}$ is a diagonal matrix, as mentioned previously, the operators $UU_{\mu\mu}U_{\phi\phi}$ and $U_\mu^2U_{\phi\phi}$ can be related by integration by parts (IBP) *i.e.*, $\text{tr}[UU_{\mu\mu}U_{\phi\phi}] = -\text{tr}[U_\mu^2U_{\phi\phi}]$. The bosonic operators of dimension six in the above equations are listed in Tab. 1.

Leptonic operators:

After including the leptonic part, we get Weinberg operators at dimension five and Four-Fermi operators at dimension six. The modulus square of the classical background field involving leptons can be written as

$$|\Delta_c|^2 \supset -\frac{1}{4m_\Delta^4} Y_{pq}^* Y_{rs} (\bar{l}_L^T{}^p C l_L^s) (\bar{l}_L^T{}^r C l_L^q) - \frac{\mu_\Delta Y_{pq}^*}{2m_\Delta^4} (\tilde{H}^\dagger l_L^p) (\bar{l}_L^q H) + \text{h.c.} \quad (4.26)$$

Here, p and q represent the flavor indices and C is the charge conjugation operator, $\tilde{l}_L = i\sigma_2(l_L)^C = i\sigma_2(l^C)_R = i\sigma_2 C (\bar{l}_L)^T$. Using the above Eq. (4.26) and the Eqs. (4.14)-(4.15), the dimension six effective operators involving leptons, that we get at two-loop are

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\text{tr}[U_\phi^2] &= \frac{1}{2} (4\lambda_2 + 3\lambda_3)^2 |\Delta_c|^2 \supset -\frac{1}{8m_\Delta^4} (4\lambda_2 + 3\lambda_3)^2 \\ &\times \left(Y_{pq}^* Y_{rs} (\bar{l}_L^T{}^p C l_L^s) (\bar{l}_L^T{}^r C l_L^q) + 2\mu_\Delta Y_{pq}^* (\tilde{H}^\dagger l_L^p) (\bar{l}_L^q H) + \text{h.c.} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (4.27)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\text{tr}[UU_{\phi\phi}] &= \frac{1}{2} \left(2\lambda_2 + \frac{3}{2}\lambda_3 \right) \text{tr}[U] \supset -\frac{1}{4m_\Delta^4} \left(2\lambda_2 + \frac{3}{2}\lambda_3 \right)^2 \\ &\times \left(Y_{pq}^* Y_{rs} (\bar{l}_L^T{}^p C l_L^s) (\bar{l}_L^T{}^r C l_L^q) + 2\mu_\Delta Y_{pq}^* (\tilde{H}^\dagger l_L^p) (\bar{l}_L^q H) + \text{h.c.} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.28)$$

Finally, we present our results (only the two-loop part) in the following form,

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \mathcal{O}_a \mathcal{C}_a, \quad (4.29)$$

where \mathcal{O}_a denotes the dimension six pure bosonic and fermionic operators and \mathcal{C}_a denotes the corresponding Wilson coefficients. Using Eqs. (3.18)-(3.21) and Eqs.(4.13)-(4.25), we present the \mathcal{C}_a corresponding to \mathcal{O}_a in Tab. 2 for bosonic case whereas using the Eqs. (3.18)-(3.21) and Eqs. (4.27)-(4.28), we have listed the results in Tab. 3 for fermionic case for the complex triplet model.

Dim six Ops. (\mathcal{O}_a)	Wilson coefficients (\mathcal{C}_a)
\mathcal{O}_6	$\frac{\alpha^2 \mu_\Delta^2}{16m_\Delta^4} \left(2\mathcal{C}_0^{[UU_\phi^2]} - \mathcal{C}_2^{[UU_\phi\phi]} - 2\mathcal{C}_2^{[U_\phi^2]} \right) (4\lambda_2 + 3\lambda_3)^2 (2\lambda_1 + \lambda_4)$ $+ \frac{\alpha^2 \mu_\Delta^2}{4m_\Delta^4} \mathcal{C}_0^{[U^2 U_\phi\phi]} (4\lambda_2 + 3\lambda_3) \left(2\lambda_1 \lambda_2 + \frac{3\lambda_1 \lambda_3}{2} + \lambda_2 \lambda_4 + \frac{3\lambda_3 \lambda_4}{4} \right)$ $+ \frac{3\alpha^2}{16m_\Delta^2} \mathcal{C}_{-2}^{[U^3 U_\phi\phi]} (4\lambda_2 + 3\lambda_3) \left(\lambda_1^3 + \frac{3\lambda_4^3}{8} + \frac{3\lambda_1^2 \lambda_4}{2} + \frac{5\lambda_1 \lambda_4^2}{4} \right)$
\mathcal{O}_H	$\frac{\alpha^2 \mu_\Delta^2}{2m_\Delta^4} \left(2\mathcal{C}_2^{[U_\phi^2]} + \mathcal{C}_2^{[UU_\phi\phi]} - \mathcal{C}_0^{[U_\phi D^2 U_\phi]} \right) (4\lambda_2 + 3\lambda_3)^2$ $- \frac{\alpha^2}{m_\Delta^2} \left(\mathcal{C}_{-2}^{[U_\mu^2 U_\phi\phi]} - \mathcal{C}_{-2}^{[UU_{\mu\mu} U_\phi\phi]} \right) (4\lambda_2 + 3\lambda_3) \left(\frac{3\lambda_1^2}{4} + \frac{3\lambda_4^2}{16} + \frac{3\lambda_1 \lambda_4}{4} \right)$
\mathcal{O}_T	$\frac{\alpha^2 \lambda_4^2}{8m_\Delta^4} \left(\mathcal{C}_{-2}^{[U_\mu^2 U_\phi\phi]} - \mathcal{C}_{-2}^{[UU_{\mu\mu} U_\phi\phi]} \right) (4\lambda_2 + 3\lambda_3)$
\mathcal{O}_R	$\frac{\alpha^2 \lambda_4^2}{4m_\Delta^4} \left(\mathcal{C}_{-2}^{[U_\mu^2 U_\phi\phi]} - \mathcal{C}_{-2}^{[UU_{\mu\mu} U_\phi\phi]} \right) (4\lambda_2 + 3\lambda_3)$
\mathcal{O}_{WW}	$- \frac{\alpha^2}{4m_\Delta^2} \mathcal{C}_{-2}^{[UG_{\mu\nu}^2 U_\phi\phi]} (4\lambda_2 + 3\lambda_3) (2\lambda_1 + \lambda_4)$
\mathcal{O}_{BB}	$- \frac{3\alpha^2}{8m_\Delta^2} \mathcal{C}_{-2}^{[UG_{\mu\nu}^2 U_\phi\phi]} (4\lambda_2 + 3\lambda_3) (2\lambda_1 + \lambda_4)$
\mathcal{O}_{WB}	$\frac{\alpha^2 \lambda_4}{2m_\Delta^2} \mathcal{C}_{-2}^{[UG_{\mu\nu}^2 U_\phi\phi]} (4\lambda_2 + 3\lambda_3)$
\mathcal{O}_{2W}	$- \frac{2\alpha^2 g_W^2}{m_\Delta^2} \mathcal{C}_{-2}^{[J_\mu^2 U_\phi\phi]} (4\lambda_2 + 3\lambda_3)$
\mathcal{O}_{2B}	$- \frac{3\alpha^2 g_Y^2}{m_\Delta^2} \mathcal{C}_{-2}^{[J_\mu^2 U_\phi\phi]} (4\lambda_2 + 3\lambda_3)$
\mathcal{O}_{3W}	$- \frac{3\alpha^2 g_W^2}{m_\Delta^2} \mathcal{C}_{-2}^{[G^3 U_\phi\phi]} (4\lambda_2 + 3\lambda_3)$

Table 2. Dimension six CP-conserving pure bosonic operators and their corresponding two-loop Wilson coefficients for the complex triplet extension.

4.2 Electroweak doublet with hypercharge $Y_\Phi = -\frac{1}{2}$

Here, we concentrate on the scenario where the extra electroweak Higgs doublet Φ is integrated out to obtain two-loop effective action. The mass of the new scalar (m_Φ) is assumed to be significantly higher than the electroweak symmetry-breaking scale *i.e.*, $m_\Phi \gg v$.

Dim six Ops. (\mathcal{O}_a)	Wilson coefficients (\mathcal{C}_a)
$(\bar{l}_L^{T p} C l_L^s)(\bar{l}_L^{T r} C l_L^q) + \text{h.c}$	$-\frac{\alpha^2}{16m_\Delta^2} Y_{pq}^* Y_{rs} \left(\mathcal{C}_2^{[UU\phi\phi]} + 2\mathcal{C}_2^{[U\phi^2]} \right) (4\lambda_2 + 3\lambda_3)^2$

Table 3. Dimension six CP-conserving fermionic operators and their corresponding two-loop Wilson coefficients for complex triplet extension.

When Φ interacts with the SM Higgs doublet (H) and fermions, the most general UV Lagrangian can be expressed as [58]

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{SM}} + |D_\mu \Phi|^2 - m_\Phi^2 |\Phi|^2 - V(H, \Phi), \quad (4.30)$$

with potential term

$$\begin{aligned} V(H, \Phi) = & \frac{\lambda_\Phi}{4} |\Phi|^4 - (\eta_H |\tilde{H}|^2 + \eta_\Phi |\Phi|^2) (\tilde{H}^\dagger \Phi + \Phi^\dagger \tilde{H}) + \lambda_1 |\tilde{H}|^2 |\Phi|^2 + \lambda_2 |\tilde{H}^\dagger \Phi|^2 \\ & + \lambda_3 [(\tilde{H}^\dagger \Phi)^2 + (\Phi^\dagger \tilde{H})^2] + (Y_\Phi^{(e)} \bar{l}_L \tilde{\Phi} e_R + Y_\Phi^{(u)} \bar{q}_L \Phi u_R + Y_\Phi^{(d)} \bar{q}_L \tilde{\Phi} d_R + \text{h.c.}), \end{aligned} \quad (4.31)$$

the covariant derivative D_μ has the same form as defined earlier for the complex triplet scalar. Here, Yukawa couplings, such as $Y_\Phi^{(e)}$, $Y_\Phi^{(u)}$, and $Y_\Phi^{(d)}$, in general, can be complex, whereas other parameters are treated as real. We can write the above potential in the following matrix form,

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \frac{1}{2} (\Phi_i^* \ \Phi_i) U_{ij} \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_j \\ \Phi_j^* \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} (\Phi_i^* \ \Phi_i) \begin{pmatrix} (U_{11})_{ij} & (U_{12})_{ij} \\ (U_{21})_{ij} & (U_{22})_{ij} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_j \\ \Phi_j^* \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.32)$$

where i, j runs from 1 to 2. The 4×4 hermitian matrix U is decomposed into four matrix elements, which are 2×2 matrices defined similarly as in the case of electroweak triplet model (see Eq. (4.8)). The matrix elements are

$$\begin{aligned} (U_{11})_{ij} = & \frac{1}{2} \lambda_\Phi \left[(\Phi_k^* \Phi_k) \delta_{ij} + \Phi_i \Phi_j^* \right] - \eta_\Phi \left[(\tilde{H}_k^* \Phi_k + \Phi_k^* \tilde{H}_k) \delta_{ij} + \Phi_i \tilde{H}_j^* + \tilde{H}_i \Phi_j^* \right] \\ & + \lambda_1 (H_k^* H_k) \delta_{ij} + \lambda_2 (\tilde{H}_i \tilde{H}_j^*), \end{aligned} \quad (4.33)$$

$$(U_{12})_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \lambda_\Phi (\Phi_i \Phi_j) - \eta_\Phi (\Phi_i \tilde{H}_j + \tilde{H}_i \Phi_j) + \lambda_3 [\tilde{H}_i \tilde{H}_j + \tilde{H}_j \tilde{H}_i], \quad (4.34)$$

$$(U_{22})_{ij} = (U_{11})_{ij}, \quad (U_{21})_{ij} = (U_{12})_{ij}. \quad (4.35)$$

Using the CDE method, the classical background field (see Eq. (4.3)) can be written as

$$\Phi_c^i = \frac{1}{m_\Phi^2} B^i + \frac{1}{m_\Phi^4} \left(p^2 \delta_{ij} - (U_{11})_{ij} \right) B^j + \mathcal{O}(m_\Phi^{-6}), \quad (4.36)$$

where $B^i = \eta_H |H|^2 \tilde{H}^i$ (see Eq. (4.1)). Using this, we can write the following relations up to dimension six.

$$|\Phi_c|^2 = (\Phi_c^i)^* \Phi_c^i \supset \frac{\eta_H^2}{m_\Phi^4} \mathcal{O}_6, \quad (4.37)$$

$$\Phi_c^\dagger \tilde{H} + \tilde{H}^\dagger \Phi_c \supset \frac{2\eta_H}{m_\Phi^2} \left[|H|^4 + \frac{1}{m_\Phi^2} \left(\mathcal{O}_R + \mathcal{O}_H - (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) \mathcal{O}_6 \right) \right], \quad (4.38)$$

where the dimension six operators are listed in Tab. 1. We revisit the calculations for the one-loop correction at dimension six for this model given in App. D.2, which can be verified with the results of [49, 58].

4.2.1 Operators contributing up to dimension six at two-loop level

Here, we discuss the operators up to dimension six generated at the two-loop level for bosonic and fermionic cases for the doublet scenario.

Bosonic operators:

Using the above two Eqs. (4.37)-(4.38), and the relations given in Eqs. (D.13)-(D.19), the pure bosonic operators for this model are presented here.

$$\frac{1}{2} \text{tr}[U_{\phi\phi}] = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \text{tr}(U)}{\partial \Phi_k \partial \Phi_k^\dagger} = 3\lambda_\Phi, \quad (4.39)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}[U_\phi^2] &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \text{tr}(U)}{\partial \Phi_m} \frac{\partial \text{tr}(U)}{\partial \Phi_m^\dagger} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[9\lambda_\Phi^2 |\Phi_c|^2 - 18\lambda_\Phi \eta_\Phi \left(\Phi_c^\dagger \tilde{H} + \tilde{H}^\dagger \Phi_c \right) + 36\eta_\Phi^2 |H|^2 \right] \\ &\supset \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{9\eta_H^2 \lambda_\Phi^2}{m_\Phi^4} \mathcal{O}_6 - \frac{36\eta_H}{m_\Phi^2} \lambda_\Phi \eta_\Phi \left(|H|^4 + \frac{1}{m_\Phi^2} \left(\mathcal{O}_R + \mathcal{O}_H - (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) \mathcal{O}_6 \right) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 36\eta_\Phi^2 |H|^2 \right], \end{aligned} \quad (4.40)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}[UU_{\phi\phi}] &= \frac{3\lambda_\Phi}{4} \text{tr}[(U_{11})\mathbf{1}_{2 \times 2} + (U_{22})\mathbf{1}_{2 \times 2}] \\ &= \frac{3\lambda_\Phi}{4} \left[(4\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2) |H|^2 - 6\eta_\Phi \left(\Phi_c^\dagger \tilde{H} + \tilde{H}^\dagger \Phi_c \right) + 3\lambda_\Phi |\Phi_c|^2 \right] \\ &\supset \frac{3\lambda_\Phi}{4} \left[(4\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2) |H|^2 - \frac{12}{m_\Phi^2} \eta_\Phi \eta_H |H|^4 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{3}{m_\Phi^4} \left(\lambda_\Phi \eta_H^2 + 4\eta_\Phi \eta_H (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) \right) \mathcal{O}_6 - \frac{12}{m_\Phi^4} \eta_\Phi \eta_H (\mathcal{O}_H + \mathcal{O}_R) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (4.41)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}[UU_\phi^2] &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\partial \text{tr}[U]}{\partial \Phi_i} (U_{11})_{ij} \frac{\partial \text{tr}[U]}{\partial \Phi_j^*} + \frac{\partial \text{tr}[U]}{\partial \Phi_i^*} (U_{22})_{ij} \frac{\partial \text{tr}[U]}{\partial \Phi_j} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\partial \text{tr}[U]}{\partial \Phi_i} (U_{12})_{ij} \frac{\partial \text{tr}[U]}{\partial \Phi_j} + \frac{\partial \text{tr}[U]}{\partial \Phi_i^*} (U_{21})_{ij} \frac{\partial \text{tr}[U]}{\partial \Phi_j^*} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[72\eta_\Phi^2 (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + 2\lambda_3) |H|^4 - 216\eta_\Phi^3 \left(\Phi_c^\dagger \tilde{H} + \tilde{H}^\dagger \Phi_c \right) |H|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 36\eta_\Phi \lambda_\Phi (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + 2\lambda_3) \left(\Phi_c^\dagger \tilde{H} + \tilde{H}^\dagger \Phi_c \right) |H|^2 \right] \\ &\supset \frac{1}{2} \left[72\eta_\Phi^2 (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + 2\lambda_3) |H|^4 \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{2\eta_H}{m_\Phi^2} \left(216\eta_\Phi^3 + 36\eta_\Phi \lambda_\Phi (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + 2\lambda_3) \right) \mathcal{O}_6 \right], \end{aligned} \quad (4.42)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}\text{tr}[U^2U_{\phi\phi}] &= \frac{3\lambda_\Phi}{4}\text{tr}[(U_{11}^2)\mathbf{1}_{2\times 2} + 2(U_{12})(U_{21})\mathbf{1}_{2\times 2} + (U_{22}^2)\mathbf{1}_{2\times 2}] \\
&= \frac{3\lambda_\Phi}{4}\left[(4\lambda_1^2 + 4\lambda_1\lambda_2 + 2\lambda_2^2 + 8\lambda_3^2)|H|^4\right. \\
&\quad \left.- 4\eta_\Phi(3\lambda_1 + 2(\lambda_2 + \lambda_3))\left(\Phi_c^\dagger\tilde{H} + \tilde{H}^\dagger\Phi_c\right)|H|^2\right] \\
&\supset \frac{3\lambda_\Phi}{4}\left[\left(4\lambda_1^2 + 4\lambda_2\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2^2 + 8\lambda_3^2\right)|H|^4\right. \\
&\quad \left.- \frac{8\eta_\Phi\eta_H}{m_\Phi^2}\left(3\lambda_1 + 2(\lambda_2 + \lambda_3)\right)\mathcal{O}_6\right], \tag{4.43}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}\text{tr}[U_\phi D^2U_\phi] &= \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{\partial\text{tr}U}{\partial\Phi_k}D^2\frac{\partial\text{tr}U}{\partial\Phi_k^*}\right] = -\frac{1}{2}\left[D_\mu\left(\frac{\partial\text{tr}U}{\partial\Phi_k}\right)D^\mu\left(\frac{\partial\text{tr}U}{\partial\Phi_k^*}\right)\right] \\
&\supset -\frac{1}{2}\left[36\eta_\Phi^2|D_\mu H|^2 - \frac{36}{m_\Phi^2}\lambda_\Phi\eta_\Phi\eta_H(\mathcal{O}_H + \mathcal{O}_R)\right], \tag{4.44}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\text{tr}[G_{\mu\nu}^2U_{\phi\phi}] = \frac{3\lambda_\Phi}{4}\text{tr}[G_{\mu\nu}^2] = -\frac{3\lambda_\Phi}{4}\left(\frac{1}{2}g_W^2(W_{\mu\nu}^a)^2 + \frac{1}{2}g_Y^2(B_{\mu\nu})^2\right), \tag{4.45}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}\text{tr}[U^2U_\phi^2] &= \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{\partial\text{tr}[U]}{\partial\Phi_i}[U_{11}^2 + U_{12}U_{21}]_{ij}\frac{\partial\text{tr}[U]}{\partial\Phi_j^*} + \frac{\partial\text{tr}[U]}{\partial\Phi_i^*}[U_{21}(U_{11} + U_{22})]_{ij}\frac{\partial\text{tr}[U]}{\partial\Phi_j}\right. \\
&\quad \left.+ \frac{\partial\text{tr}[U]}{\partial\Phi_i}[U_{12}(U_{11} + U_{22})]_{ij}\frac{\partial\text{tr}[U]}{\partial\Phi_j} + \frac{\partial\text{tr}[U]}{\partial\Phi_i^*}[U_{22}^2 + U_{21}U_{12}]_{ij}\frac{\partial\text{tr}[U]}{\partial\Phi_j}\right] \\
&\supset \frac{1}{2}\left[72\eta_\Phi^2(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + 2\lambda_3)^2\right]\mathcal{O}_6, \tag{4.46}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}\text{tr}[U^3U_{\phi\phi}] &= \frac{3\lambda_\Phi}{4}\text{tr}[(U_{11}^3 + 3U_{11}U_{12}U_{21} + 3U_{22}U_{21}U_{12} + U_{22}^3)\mathbf{1}_{2\times 2}] \\
&\supset \frac{3\lambda_\Phi}{4}\left[4\lambda_1^3 + 6\lambda_2\lambda_1^2 + 6\lambda_2^2\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2^3 + 24\lambda_1\lambda_3^2 + 24\lambda_2\lambda_3^2\right]\mathcal{O}_6, \tag{4.47}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\text{tr}[G_{\mu\nu}^2U_\phi^2] = \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{\partial\text{tr}(U)}{\partial\Phi_i}(G_{\mu\nu}^2)_{ij}\frac{\partial\text{tr}(U)}{\partial\Phi_j^\dagger}\right] \supset -\frac{1}{2}\left[9\eta_\Phi^2(\mathcal{O}_{WW} + \mathcal{O}_{BB} + 2\mathcal{O}_{WB})\right], \tag{4.48}$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\text{tr}[U_\phi^2U_{\mu\mu}] = \frac{1}{2}\text{tr}\left[\frac{\partial\text{tr}(U)}{\partial\Phi_i}(D^2U)_{ij}\frac{\partial\text{tr}(U)}{\partial\Phi_j^\dagger}\right] \supset -72\eta_\Phi^2(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + 2\lambda_3)\mathcal{O}_H \tag{4.49}$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\text{tr}[UG_{\mu\nu}^2U_{\phi\phi}] = \frac{3\lambda_\Phi}{4}\text{tr}[UG_{\mu\nu}^2] \supset -\frac{3\lambda_\Phi}{4}\left[(\lambda_1 + \frac{\lambda_2}{2})(\mathcal{O}_{WW} + \mathcal{O}_{BB}) + \lambda_2\mathcal{O}_{WB}\right], \tag{4.50}$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\text{tr}[G_{\mu\nu}^3U_{\phi\phi}] = \frac{3\lambda_\Phi}{4}\text{tr}[G_{\mu\nu}^3] = -\frac{3\lambda_\Phi}{4}\left(3g_W^2\mathcal{O}_{3W}\right), \tag{4.51}$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\text{tr}[J_\nu^2U_{\phi\phi}] = \frac{3\lambda_\Phi}{4}\text{tr}[(D_\mu G_{\mu\nu})^2] = -\frac{3\lambda_\Phi}{4}\left[2g_W^2\mathcal{O}_{2W} + 2g_Y^2\mathcal{O}_{2B}\right], \tag{4.52}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}\text{tr}[U_\mu^2U_{\phi\phi}] &= \frac{3\lambda_\Phi}{4}\text{tr}[(D_\mu U)^2] \supset \frac{3\lambda_\Phi}{4}\left[2(4\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 + 4\lambda_3^2 + 4\lambda_1\lambda_2)\mathcal{O}_H\right. \\
&\quad \left.+ 2(\lambda_2^2 - 4\lambda_3^2)\mathcal{O}_T + 4(\lambda_2^2 + 4\lambda_3^2)\mathcal{O}_R\right], \tag{4.53}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\text{tr}[UU_{\mu\mu}U_{\phi\phi}] &= -\frac{3\lambda_\Phi}{4}\text{tr}[(D_\mu U)^2] \supset -\frac{3\lambda_\Phi}{4}\left[2\left(4\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 + 4\lambda_3^2 + 4\lambda_1\lambda_2\right)\mathcal{O}_H\right. \\ &\quad \left.+ 2\left(\lambda_2^2 - 4\lambda_3^2\right)\mathcal{O}_T + 4\left(\lambda_2^2 + 4\lambda_3^2\right)\mathcal{O}_R\right], \end{aligned} \quad (4.54)$$

where all the pure bosonic operators are listed in Tab. 1. Note that in a doublet scenario, the operators $U^2U_\phi^2$, $U_{\mu\mu}U_\phi^2$, and $G_{\mu\nu}^2U_\phi^2$ do have contributions at dimension six, unlike in the case of complex triplet.

Fermionic operators:

Now, we turn to the fermionic part of the Lagrangian mentioned above (see Eq. (4.31)) for this model. For the classical background field Φ_c involving leptons and quarks, we can write the following relations

$$\begin{aligned} |\Phi_c|^2 &\supset -\frac{1}{m_\Phi^4}\left(Y_\Phi^{(u)}Y_\Phi^{(d)}(\bar{q}_L^j u_R)\epsilon^{jk}(\bar{q}_L^k d_R) - \eta_H Y_\Phi^{(e)}(\bar{l}_L \tilde{H}^\dagger e_R)|H|^2\right. \\ &\quad \left.- \eta_H Y_\Phi^{(u)}(\bar{q}_L H u_R)|H|^2 - \eta_H Y_\Phi^{(d)}(\bar{q}_L \tilde{H}^\dagger d_R)|H|^2 + \text{h.c.}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (4.55)$$

$$\Phi_c^\dagger \tilde{H} + \tilde{H}^\dagger \Phi_c \supset \frac{\eta_H}{m_\Phi^2}\left(Y_\Phi^{(e)}(\bar{l}_L \tilde{H}^\dagger e_R) + Y_\Phi^{(u)}(\bar{q}_L H u_R) + Y_\Phi^{(d)}(\bar{q}_L \tilde{H}^\dagger d_R) + \text{h.c.}\right), \quad (4.56)$$

where $\epsilon^{ij} = i(\sigma_2)^{ij}$. Using the above two equations, and Eqs. (4.40)-(4.43) in the effective Lagrangian given in (3.17), the operators involving fermions, that we get at two-loop are

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\text{tr}[U_\phi^2] &= \frac{1}{2}(9\lambda_\Phi^2|\Phi_c|^2) \supset -\frac{9\lambda_\Phi^2}{2m_\Phi^4}\left(Y_\Phi^{(u)}Y_\Phi^{(d)}(\bar{q}_L^j u_R)\epsilon^{jk}(\bar{q}_L^k d_R) - \eta_H Y_\Phi^{(e)}(\bar{l}_L \tilde{H}^\dagger e_R)|H|^2\right. \\ &\quad \left.- \eta_H Y_\Phi^{(u)}(\bar{q}_L H u_R)|H|^2 - \eta_H Y_\Phi^{(d)}(\bar{q}_L \tilde{H}^\dagger d_R)|H|^2 + \text{h.c.}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (4.57)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\text{tr}[UU_{\phi\phi}] &= \frac{9\lambda_\Phi^2}{4}|\Phi_c|^2 \supset -\frac{9\lambda_\Phi^2}{4m_\Phi^4}\left(Y_\Phi^{(u)}Y_\Phi^{(d)}(\bar{q}_L^j u_R)\epsilon^{jk}(\bar{q}_L^k d_R) - \eta_H Y_\Phi^{(e)}(\bar{l}_L \tilde{H}^\dagger e_R)|H|^2\right. \\ &\quad \left.- \eta_H Y_\Phi^{(u)}(\bar{q}_L H u_R)|H|^2 - \eta_H Y_\Phi^{(d)}(\bar{q}_L \tilde{H}^\dagger d_R)|H|^2 + \text{h.c.}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (4.58)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\text{tr}[U^2U_{\phi\phi}] &= -3\lambda_\Phi\eta_\Phi\left[(3\lambda_1 + 2(\lambda_2 + \lambda_3))\left(\Phi_c^\dagger \tilde{H} + \tilde{H}^\dagger \Phi_c\right)|H|^2\right] \\ &\supset -3\frac{\lambda_\Phi\eta_\Phi\eta_H}{m_\Phi^2}(3\lambda_1 + 2(\lambda_2 + \lambda_3))\left(Y_\Phi^{(e)}(\bar{l}_L \tilde{H}^\dagger e_R)|H|^2 + Y_\Phi^{(u)}(\bar{q}_L H u_R)|H|^2\right. \\ &\quad \left.+ Y_\Phi^{(d)}(\bar{q}_L \tilde{H}^\dagger d_R)|H|^2 + \text{h.c.}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.59)$$

Using the Eqs. (3.18)-(3.21) and Eqs.(4.39)-(4.54), we present the \mathcal{C}_a corresponding to \mathcal{O}_a (see Eq. (4.29)) in Tab. 4 for bosonic case, whereas using the Eqs. (3.18)-(3.21) and Eqs. (4.57)-(4.59), we have listed the results in Tab. 5 for fermionic case for this scenario.

5 Conclusions

The goal of EFT is to systematically understand the low-energy behaviour of a UV theory through a set of parameters that can be measured in the experiments. The top-down approach involves integrating out the heavy particles with masses above the energy scale of

Dim six Ops. (\mathcal{O}_a)	Wilson coefficients (\mathcal{C}_a)
\mathcal{O}_6	$\left(2\mathcal{C}_2^{\llbracket U_\phi^2 \rrbracket} + \mathcal{C}_2^{\llbracket UU_{\phi\phi} \rrbracket}\right) \left(\frac{9\alpha^2 \eta_H^2 \lambda_\Phi^2}{4m_\Phi^2} - \frac{9\alpha^2 \eta_H \lambda_\Phi \eta_\Phi}{m_\Phi^2} (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)\right)$ $- \mathcal{C}_0^{\llbracket UU_\phi^2 \rrbracket} \frac{36\alpha^2 \eta_H \eta_\Phi}{m_\Phi^2} \left(6\eta_\Phi^2 + \lambda_\Phi (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + 2\lambda_3)\right)$ $- \mathcal{C}_0^{\llbracket U^2 U_{\phi\phi} \rrbracket} \frac{6\alpha^2 \lambda_\phi \eta_H \eta_\Phi}{m_\Phi^2} \left(3\lambda_1 + 2(\lambda_2 + \lambda_3)\right) - \mathcal{C}_{-2}^{\llbracket U^2 U_\phi^2 \rrbracket} \frac{36\alpha^2 \eta_\Phi^2}{m_\Phi^2} \left(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + 2\lambda_3\right)^2$ $+ \mathcal{C}_{-2}^{\llbracket U^3 U_{\phi\phi} \rrbracket} \frac{3\alpha^2 \lambda_\Phi}{4m_\Phi^2} \left(4\lambda_1^3 + 6\lambda_2 \lambda_1^2 + 6\lambda_2^2 \lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2^3 + 24\lambda_1 \lambda_3^2 + 24\lambda_2 \lambda_3^2\right)$
\mathcal{O}_H	$\left(2\mathcal{C}_0^{\llbracket U_\phi D^2 U_\phi \rrbracket} - 2\mathcal{C}_2^{\llbracket U_\phi^2 \rrbracket} - \mathcal{C}_2^{\llbracket UU_{\phi\phi} \rrbracket}\right) \frac{9\alpha^2 \eta_H \lambda_\Phi \eta_\Phi}{m_\Phi^2} - \frac{72\alpha^2 \eta_\Phi^2}{m_\Phi^2} \left(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + 2\lambda_3\right) \mathcal{C}_{-2}^{\llbracket U_{\mu\mu} U_\phi^2 \rrbracket}$ $+ \left(\mathcal{C}_{-2}^{\llbracket U_\mu^2 U_{\phi\phi} \rrbracket} - \mathcal{C}_{-2}^{\llbracket UU_{\mu\mu} U_{\phi\phi} \rrbracket}\right) \frac{3\alpha^2 \lambda_\Phi}{2m_\Phi^2} \left(4\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 + 4\lambda_3^2 + 4\lambda_1 \lambda_2\right)$
\mathcal{O}_T	$\left(\mathcal{C}_{-2}^{\llbracket U_\mu^2 U_{\phi\phi} \rrbracket} - \mathcal{C}_{-2}^{\llbracket UU_{\mu\mu} U_{\phi\phi} \rrbracket}\right) \frac{3\alpha^2 \lambda_\Phi}{2m_\Phi^2} \left(\lambda_2^2 - 4\lambda_3^2\right)$
\mathcal{O}_R	$\left(2\mathcal{C}_0^{\llbracket U_\phi D^2 U_\phi \rrbracket} - 2\mathcal{C}_2^{\llbracket U_\phi^2 \rrbracket} - \mathcal{C}_2^{\llbracket UU_{\phi\phi} \rrbracket}\right) \frac{9\alpha^2 \eta_H \lambda_\Phi \eta_\Phi}{m_\Phi^2}$ $+ \left(\mathcal{C}_{-2}^{\llbracket U_\mu^2 U_{\phi\phi} \rrbracket} - \mathcal{C}_{-2}^{\llbracket UU_{\mu\mu} U_{\phi\phi} \rrbracket}\right) \frac{3\alpha^2 \lambda_\Phi}{m_\Phi^2} \left(\lambda_2^2 + 4\lambda_3^2\right)$
\mathcal{O}_{WW}	$- \mathcal{C}_{-2}^{\llbracket G_{\mu\nu}^2 U_\phi^2 \rrbracket} \frac{9\alpha^2 \eta_\Phi^2}{2m_\Phi^2} - \mathcal{C}_{-2}^{\llbracket UG_{\mu\nu}^2 U_{\phi\phi} \rrbracket} \frac{3\alpha^2 \lambda_\Phi}{8m_\Phi^2} \left(2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2\right)$
\mathcal{O}_{BB}	$- \mathcal{C}_{-2}^{\llbracket G_{\mu\nu}^2 U_\phi^2 \rrbracket} \frac{9\alpha^2 \eta_\Phi^2}{2m_\Phi^2} - \mathcal{C}_{-2}^{\llbracket UG_{\mu\nu}^2 U_{\phi\phi} \rrbracket} \frac{3\alpha^2 \lambda_\Phi}{8m_\Phi^2} \left(2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2\right)$
\mathcal{O}_{WB}	$- \mathcal{C}_{-2}^{\llbracket G_{\mu\nu}^2 U_\phi^2 \rrbracket} \frac{9\alpha^2 \eta_\Phi^2}{m_\Phi^2} - \mathcal{C}_{-2}^{\llbracket UG_{\mu\nu}^2 U_{\phi\phi} \rrbracket} \frac{3\alpha^2 \lambda_\Phi}{4m_\Phi^2} \left(2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2\right)$
\mathcal{O}_{2W}	$- \mathcal{C}_{-2}^{\llbracket J_\mu^2 U_{\phi\phi} \rrbracket} \frac{3\alpha^2 \lambda_\Phi}{2m_\Phi^2} g_W^2$
\mathcal{O}_{2B}	$- \mathcal{C}_{-2}^{\llbracket J_\mu^2 U_{\phi\phi} \rrbracket} \frac{3\alpha^2 \lambda_\Phi}{2m_\Phi^2} g_Y^2$
\mathcal{O}_{3W}	$- \mathcal{C}_{-2}^{\llbracket G^3 U_{\phi\phi} \rrbracket} \frac{9\alpha^2 \lambda_\Phi}{4m_\Phi^2} g_W^2$

Table 4. Dimension six CP-conserving pure bosonic operators and their corresponding two-loop Wilson coefficients for extra Higgs doublet extension.

interest, e.g., the electroweak symmetry-breaking scale. The effect of these heavy particles is captured in the Wilson coefficients corresponding to higher-dimensional operators. In this precision era of current and future collider experiments, it is necessary to go beyond one-loop corrections. Thus, it is important to compute the effective action in two-loop order, and that also signifies that EFT calculations are more closely mimicking the full theory computation effectively.

In this paper, we have applied the Heat-Kernel (HK) method to calculate the two-loop effective action. Using HKCs, we have defined an interacting Green's function that is free from divergences at the coincidence limit. We have identified distinct irreducible vacuum

Dim six Ops. (\mathcal{O}_a)	Wilson coefficients (\mathcal{C}_a)
$(\bar{q}_L^j u_R) \epsilon^{jk} (\bar{q}_L^k d_R) + \text{h.c}$	$-\frac{9\alpha^2 \lambda_\Phi^2}{4m_\Phi^2} Y_\Phi^{(u)} Y_\Phi^{(d)} \left(\mathcal{C}_2^{[UU\phi\phi]} + 2\mathcal{C}_2^{[U_\phi^2]} \right)$
$(\bar{l}_L \tilde{H}^\dagger e_R) H ^2 + \text{h.c}$	$\frac{9\alpha^2 \lambda_\Phi^2}{4m_\Phi^2} Y_\Phi^{(e)} \left(\mathcal{C}_2^{[UU\phi\phi]} + 2\mathcal{C}_2^{[U_\phi^2]} \right)$ $-\frac{3\alpha^2 \lambda_\Phi \eta_H \eta_\Phi}{m_\Phi^2} Y_\Phi^{(e)} \mathcal{C}_0^{[U^2 U\phi\phi]} \left(3\lambda_1 + 2(\lambda_2 + \lambda_3) \right)$
$(\bar{q}_L H u_R) H ^2 + \text{h.c}$	$\frac{9\alpha^2 \lambda_\Phi^2}{4m_\Phi^2} Y_\Phi^{(u)} \left(\mathcal{C}_2^{[UU\phi\phi]} + 2\mathcal{C}_2^{[U_\phi^2]} \right)$ $-\frac{3\alpha^2 \lambda_\Phi \eta_H \eta_\Phi}{m_\Phi^2} Y_\Phi^{(u)} \mathcal{C}_0^{[U^2 U\phi\phi]} \left(3\lambda_1 + 2(\lambda_2 + \lambda_3) \right)$
$(\bar{q}_L \tilde{H}^\dagger d_R) H ^2 + \text{h.c}$	$\frac{9\alpha^2 \lambda_\Phi^2}{4m_\Phi^2} Y_\Phi^{(d)} \left(\mathcal{C}_2^{[UU\phi\phi]} + 2\mathcal{C}_2^{[U_\phi^2]} \right)$ $-\frac{3\alpha^2 \lambda_\Phi \eta_H \eta_\Phi}{m_\Phi^2} Y_\Phi^{(d)} \mathcal{C}_0^{[U^2 U\phi\phi]} \left(3\lambda_1 + 2(\lambda_2 + \lambda_3) \right)$

Table 5. Dimension six CP-conserving fermionic operators and their corresponding two-loop Wilson coefficients for extra Higgs doublet extension.

diagrams consisting of Green's functions and vertex factors. We have also identified the singularities encountered during loop corrections as poles employing algebraic identities. We first consider a quantum field theory for scalars with a general interaction, U , and compute the two-loop corrections for the individual diagrams. Then, based on our generic prescription, we have calculated the two-loop effective action up to dimension six for two example scenarios: when the SM is extended by an electroweak triplet Δ with hypercharge $Y_\Delta = 1$ and the extension of the SM by an extra Higgs doublet Φ with hypercharge $Y_\Phi = -1/2$. For these two cases, we have computed the Wilson coefficients, which are functions of the parameters of the UV Lagrangian, corresponding to the dimension six pure bosonic as well as fermionic operators. For the sake of completeness, we have also noted the corrections to the lower-dimensional operators, e.g., $|H|^2$, $|D_\mu H|^2$, $|H|^4$, $(W_{\mu\nu}^a)^2$, $(B_{\mu\nu})^2$.

In passing, we would like to mention that this method is not limited to scalar theory and is equally applicable to fermions.

Acknowledgments

We thank Joydeep Chakraborty for suggesting the problem and for the useful discussions. The authors also thank Kaanapuli Ramkumar for helpful suggestions. JD acknowledges the Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur (IITK) for the institutional postdoctoral fellowship grant with File No. DF/PDF/2023-IITK/2183. JD also thanks SERB, Government of India, for the national postdoctoral fellowship (NPDF) grant with File No. PDF/2023/001540. NA and DD acknowledge the Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur (IITK) for Institute Assistantship.

Appendices

A Component Green's functions

The expressions of the first three CGFs, $g_0(x, y)$, $g_1(x, y)$, $g_2(x, y)$ that we get from the Eq. (2.5) are

$$\begin{aligned}
g_0(x, y) &= \alpha \pi^{2-\frac{d}{2}} \left[2^{4-d} M^{d-2} \Gamma(1-d/2) - 2^{2-d} z^2 M^d \Gamma(-d/2) + \frac{1}{8} M^4 z^{6-d} \Gamma(d/2-3) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - M^2 z^{4-d} \Gamma(d/2-2) + 4z^{2-d} \Gamma(d/2-1) \right], \\
g_1(x, y) &= \alpha \pi^{2-\frac{d}{2}} \left[2^{2-d} z^2 M^{d-2} \Gamma(1-d/2) - 2^{4-d} M^{d-4} \Gamma(2-d/2) + \frac{1}{4} M^2 z^{6-d} \Gamma(d/2-3) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - z^{4-d} \Gamma(d/2-2) \right], \\
g_2(x, y) &= \alpha \pi^{2-\frac{d}{2}} \left[-2^{1-d} z^2 M^{d-4} \Gamma(2-d/2) + 2^{3-d} M^{d-6} \Gamma(3-d/2) + \frac{1}{8} z^{6-d} \Gamma(d/2-3) \right],
\end{aligned} \tag{A.1}$$

where $\alpha = \frac{1}{16\pi^2}$ and $d = 4 - \epsilon$. While computing the contribution coming from the Sunset diagram we get terms containing $\frac{1}{z^{2a}}$ with $a \geq 2$. At short distances *i.e.*, $z \rightarrow 0$ this terms will contribute to the $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$ poles via the gamma function [82, 83, 103],

$$\frac{1}{z^{2a}} = \frac{\pi^{d/2}}{4^{a-\frac{d}{2}}} \frac{\Gamma\left[\frac{d}{2}-a\right]}{\Gamma[a]} (D^2)^n \delta^d(z) + \mathcal{O}(\zeta^0), \tag{A.2}$$

where $a - \frac{d}{2} = n + \zeta$. The component Green's functions at coincidence limit for order $n = 2$ to $n = 6$ are listed below.

$$\begin{aligned}
g_2(x, x) &= \alpha \left[\pi^{\frac{\epsilon}{2}} 2^{\epsilon-1} M^{-\epsilon-2} \Gamma\left(\frac{\epsilon}{2} + 1\right) \right], & g_3(x, x) &= -\frac{\alpha}{3} \left[\pi^{\frac{\epsilon}{2}} 2^{\epsilon-1} M^{-\epsilon-4} \Gamma\left(\frac{\epsilon}{2} + 2\right) \right], \\
g_4(x, x) &= \frac{\alpha}{3} \left[\pi^{\frac{\epsilon}{2}} 2^{\epsilon-3} M^{-\epsilon-6} \Gamma\left(\frac{\epsilon}{2} + 3\right) \right], & g_5(x, x) &= -\frac{\alpha}{15} \left[\pi^{\frac{\epsilon}{2}} 2^{\epsilon-3} M^{-\epsilon-8} \Gamma\left(\frac{\epsilon}{2} + 4\right) \right], \\
g_6(x, x) &= \frac{\alpha}{45} \left[\pi^{\frac{\epsilon}{2}} 2^{\epsilon-4} M^{-\epsilon-10} \Gamma\left(\frac{\epsilon}{2} + 5\right) \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{A.3}$$

After expanding up to the power of ϵ^2 , the following is a list of the expressions of the relevant gamma functions containing the pole.

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma\left(\frac{\epsilon}{2} - 1\right) &= -\frac{2}{\epsilon} + \gamma - 1 + \frac{\epsilon}{24}(-12 + 12\gamma - 6\gamma^2 - \pi^2) \\
&\quad + \frac{\epsilon^2}{48} \left(-12 + 12\gamma - 6\gamma^2 + 2\gamma^3 - \pi^2 + \gamma\pi^2 - 2\psi^{(1)}(2) \right), \\
\Gamma\left(\frac{\epsilon}{2}\right) &= \frac{2}{\epsilon} - \gamma + \frac{\epsilon}{24}(6\gamma^2 + \pi^2) + \frac{\epsilon^2}{24} \left(-\gamma^3 - \frac{\gamma\pi^2}{2} + \psi^{(1)}(2) \right), \\
\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right) &= 1 - \frac{\gamma\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{48} (\pi^2 + 6\gamma^2),
\end{aligned} \tag{A.4}$$

where $\psi^{(n)}(x)$ is n -th derivative of digamma function $\psi(x)$, and γ is the universal Euler–Mascheroni constant.

A.1 An extra contribution coming from the finite part of $g_0^2(x-y)$

In the expansion of $\frac{1}{z^{2a}}$ in the Eq. (A.2), there's a finite part, that we didn't consider in our calculation. That can be calculated by doing Fourier transform [83, 103] as

$$\int \frac{1}{|z|^{2a}} e^{ikz} d^d z = \pi^{d/2} \frac{\Gamma(d/2 - a)}{\Gamma(a)} \left(\frac{1}{4} k^2\right)^{a - \frac{d}{2}}. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

While calculating $g_0^2(x-y)$, in which case $a = 2 - \epsilon$ and $d = 4 - \epsilon$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^{2-\epsilon/2} \frac{\Gamma(\epsilon/2)}{\Gamma(2-\epsilon)} \left(\frac{1}{4} k^2\right)^{-\epsilon/2} &= \pi^{2-\epsilon/2} \frac{\Gamma(\epsilon/2)}{\Gamma(2-\epsilon)} \exp\left(-\frac{\epsilon}{2} \log\left(\frac{k^2}{4}\right)\right) \\ &= \pi^{2-\epsilon/2} \frac{\Gamma(\epsilon/2)}{\Gamma(2-\epsilon)} \left(1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2} \log\left(\frac{k^2}{4}\right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)\right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.6})$$

As $\Gamma(\epsilon/2) \sim \frac{2}{\epsilon}$, it is clear that we get a finite piece, which is $\left(-\pi^2 \log \frac{k^2}{4}\right)$. In the configuration space, this looks like

$$\int \log(k^2) e^{ikz} d^d k = \log(-D^2) \delta^d(z). \quad (\text{A.7})$$

After applying \overline{MS} regularization scheme, we get $g_0^2(x-y)|_{\text{finite}} = -\alpha \log\left(-\frac{D^2}{\mu^2}\right) \delta^4(x-y)$.

So, in the two-loop effective Lagrangian, the additional contribution due to this part is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{(2)} \supset & -\frac{1}{12} \text{Tr} \left[\int d^d x d^d y V_{(3)}(x) \left(-3\alpha \log\left(-\frac{D^2}{\mu^2}\right) g_1(x, y) \tilde{b}_0(x, y)^2 \tilde{b}_1(x, y)\right) \right. \\ & \left. \times V_{(3)}(y) \delta^4(x-y) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.8})$$

B The poles and finite parts of the three distinct vacuum diagrams

Sunset diagram: For the sunset diagram, the coefficients of $1/\epsilon^2$, $1/\epsilon$, and the finite parts that appear in the Lagrangian are

$$\mathcal{C}_{(2)}^a|_{\epsilon^{-2}} = \text{tr} \left[\frac{1}{2} V_{(3)}^2 \left(\alpha^2 \tilde{b}_1 \tilde{b}_0^2 + \alpha^2 \tilde{b}_0^3 M^2 \right) \right], \quad (\text{B.1})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_{(2)}^a|_{\epsilon^{-1}} &= \text{tr} \left[\frac{1}{24} \alpha^2 \tilde{b}_0^3 V_{(3)} D^2 V_{(3)} + \frac{\alpha^2}{12} V_{(3)}^2 \left\{ \tilde{b}_0^3 \left(9M^2 - 6M^2 \log\left(\frac{M^2}{4\pi e^{-\gamma}}\right) \right) \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. - 3\tilde{b}_1 \tilde{b}_0^2 \left(2 \log\left(\frac{M^2}{4\pi e^{-\gamma}}\right) - 1 \right) - 6F \tilde{b}_0^2 \right\} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.2})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_{(2)}^a|_{\epsilon^0} &= \text{tr} \left[\frac{\alpha^2 \tilde{b}_0^3}{24} V_{(3)} D^2 V_{(3)} \left(\frac{13}{4} + \log(4\pi e^{-\gamma}) \right) + \frac{1}{96} \alpha^2 \tilde{b}_0^2 V_{(3)}^2 \left[\tilde{b}_0 \left\{ 2M^2 \right. \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. \times \left(12(\gamma - 3)\gamma + \pi^2 + 30 \right) + 24M^2 \left(\log(4\pi) (-2\gamma - 4 \log(M) + 3) \right) \right] \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + 2 \log(M)(2\gamma + \log(M) - 3) + \log^2(4\pi) \Big\} + 2\tilde{b}_1 \left(12(\gamma - 1)\gamma \right. \\
& + 12 \left[- 2 \log(4\pi)(\gamma + 2 \log(M)) + 2 \log(M)(2\gamma + \log(M) - 2) \right. \\
& \left. \left. + \log^2(4\pi) \right] + \pi^2 - 6 + 12 \log(4\pi) \right) + 24F(\gamma - 2 - \log(4\pi)) \Bigg]. \quad (\text{B.3})
\end{aligned}$$

Infinity diagram: In the context of the infinity diagram, the coefficients of $1/\epsilon^2$, $1/\epsilon$, and the finite parts appearing in the Lagrangian are

$$\mathcal{C}_{(2)}^b|_{\epsilon^{-2}} = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[\alpha^2 V_{(4)} \left(\tilde{b}_1 + \tilde{b}_0 M^2 \right)^2 \right], \quad (\text{B.4})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}_{(2)}^b|_{\epsilon^{-1}} &= -\frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[\alpha^2 V_{(4)} \left(\tilde{b}_0 M^2 + \tilde{b}_1 \right) \left\{ \tilde{b}_0 M^2 \left(\log \left(\frac{M^2}{4\pi e^{-\gamma}} \right) - 1 \right) \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. + \tilde{b}_1 \log \left(\frac{M^2}{4\pi e^{-\gamma}} \right) + F \right\} \right], \quad (\text{B.5})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}_{(2)}^b|_{\epsilon^0} &= \frac{1}{48} \text{tr} \left[\alpha^2 V_4 \left[6 \left\{ \tilde{b}_0 M^2 \left\{ \log \left(\frac{M^2}{4\pi e^{-\gamma}} \right) - 1 \right\} + \tilde{b}_1 \log \left(\frac{M^2}{4\pi e^{-\gamma}} \right) + F \right\}^2 \right. \right. \\
& + \left(\tilde{b}_0 M^2 + \tilde{b}_1 \right) \left\{ \tilde{b}_0 M^2 \left(6 \left((\gamma - 2)\gamma + 2 + 4 \log^2(2) + \log^2(\pi) \right. \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. \left. + \log(16) + (2 + \log(16)) \log(\pi) \right) + 12 \log(M^2) \left(\gamma + \frac{1}{2} \log(M^2) - 1 \right) \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. \left. - 12 \log(4\pi) (\gamma + \log(M^2)) + \pi^2 \right) + \tilde{b}_1 \left(6\gamma^2 + 6[\log(4\pi) - \log(M^2)] \right. \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. \left. \times [-2\gamma - \log(M^2) + \log(4\pi)] + \pi^2 \right) \right\} \right] \Bigg]. \quad (\text{B.6})
\end{aligned}$$

Counter-term diagram: For the counter-term diagram, the coefficients of $1/\epsilon^2$, $1/\epsilon$, and the finite parts appearing in the Lagrangian are

$$\mathcal{C}_{(2)}^{\text{ct}}|_{\epsilon^{-2}} = -\frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 \text{tr} \left[\left(\tilde{b}_0 M^2 + \tilde{b}_1 \right) \left(M^4 \tilde{b}_0'' + 2M^2 \tilde{b}_1'' + \tilde{b}_2'' \right) \right], \quad (\text{B.7})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}_{(2)}^{\text{ct}}|_{\epsilon^{-1}} &= \frac{1}{4} \alpha^2 \text{tr} \left[\left(M^4 \tilde{b}_0'' + 2M^2 \tilde{b}_1'' + \tilde{b}_2'' \right) \left\{ \tilde{b}_0 M^2 \left(\log \left(\frac{M^2}{4\pi e^{-\gamma}} \right) - 1 \right) \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. + \tilde{b}_1 \log \left(\frac{M^2}{4\pi e^{-\gamma}} \right) + F \right\} \right], \quad (\text{B.8})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}_{(2)}^{\text{ct}}|_{\epsilon^0} &= -\frac{1}{96} \alpha^2 \text{tr} \left[\left(M^4 \tilde{b}_0'' + 2M^2 \tilde{b}_1'' + \tilde{b}_2'' \right) \left(\tilde{b}_0 M^2 \left(6 \{ (\gamma - 2)\gamma + 2 \right. \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. \left. + 4 \log^2(2) + \log^2(\pi) + \log(16) + (2 + \log(16)) \log(\pi) \right\} + 12 \log(M^2) \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. \left. \times \left(\gamma + \frac{1}{2} \log(M^2) - 1 \right) - 12 \log(4\pi) (\gamma + \log(M^2)) + \pi^2 \right) \right) \right] \quad (\text{B.9})
\end{aligned}$$

$$- \log(M^2) + \log(4\pi)] + \pi^2) \Big\} \Big]. \quad (\text{B.12})$$

Considering the explicit value of F : By substituting the explicit value of F , the coefficients of $1/\epsilon^2$, $1/\epsilon$, and the finite parts of the total contributions can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}'_{(2)|\epsilon^0} = & \frac{1}{24} \alpha^2 \text{tr} \left[\tilde{b}_0^3 V_{(3)} D^2 V_{(3)} \left(\frac{13}{4} + \log(4\pi e^{-\gamma}) \right) + \frac{1}{1200} \left[60 \tilde{b}_0^2 V_3^2 \left\{ 5 \tilde{b}_0 \left(2[12(\gamma - 3)\gamma \right. \right. \right. \right. \\ & + \pi^2 + 30] M^2 + 24 M^2 \left\{ \log(4\pi)(-2\gamma - 2 \log(M^2) + 3) + \log(M^2)(2\gamma - 3 \right. \\ & + \left. \left. \left. \left. \frac{1}{2} \log(M^2) \right) + \log^2(4\pi) \right\} \right) + \frac{2}{M^{10}} \left\{ -3 \tilde{b}_5 M^2 + 5 M^4 (6 \tilde{b}_2 M^4 - 2 \tilde{b}_3 M^2 + \tilde{b}_4) \right. \right. \\ & + \left. \left. 2 \tilde{b}_6 \right\} (\gamma - 2 - \log(4\pi)) + 10 \tilde{b}_1 \left\{ 12(\gamma - 1)\gamma + 12 \left(-2 \log(4\pi)(\gamma + \log(M^2)) \right. \right. \right. \\ & + \left. \left. \left. \left. \log(M^2)(2\gamma + \frac{1}{2} \log(M^2) - 2) + \log^2(4\pi) \right) + \pi^2 - 6 + 12 \log(4\pi) \right\} \right\} \\ & - 300 \left(M^4 \tilde{b}_0'' + 2 M^2 \tilde{b}_1'' + \tilde{b}_2'' \right) \left\{ \tilde{b}_0 M^2 \left(6\gamma(\gamma - 2) + 12 \log(M^2)(\gamma - 1 + \frac{1}{2} \log(M^2)) \right. \right. \\ & - \left. \left. 12 \log(4\pi)(\gamma + \log(M^2)) + \pi^2 + 6[2 + 4 \log^2(2) + \log(16)(1 + \log(\pi)) + \log(\pi) \right. \right. \\ & \times \left. \left. (2 + \log(\pi)) \right) \right\} + \tilde{b}_1 \left[6\gamma^2 + 6(\log(4\pi) - \log(M^2))(-2\gamma - \log(M^2) + \log(4\pi)) \right. \\ & + \left. \left. \pi^2 \right] \right\} + V_4 \left\{ 600 \left(\tilde{b}_0 M^2 + \tilde{b}_1 \right) \left(\tilde{b}_0 M^2 \left[6(\gamma - 2)\gamma + 12 \log(M^2)(\gamma - 1 + \frac{1}{2} \log(M^2)) \right. \right. \right. \\ & - \left. \left. 12 \log(4\pi)(\gamma + 2 \log(M)) + \pi^2 + 6(2 + 4 \log^2(2) + \log(16)(1 + \log(\pi)) \right. \right. \\ & + \left. \left. \log(\pi)(2 + \log(\pi)) \right) \right] + \tilde{b}_1 \left[6\gamma^2 + 6(\log(4\pi) - \log(M^2))(-2\gamma - \log(M^2) + \log(4\pi)) \right. \\ & + \left. \left. \pi^2 \right] \right\} + \frac{1}{M^{20}} \left(-3 \tilde{b}_5 M^2 + 5 M^4 \left[-2 \tilde{b}_3 M^2 + 6 M^4 \left(2 \tilde{b}_0 M^4 (\gamma + \log(M^2)) - 1 \right. \right. \right. \\ & - \left. \left. \left. \left. \log(4\pi) + 2 \tilde{b}_1 M^2 (\gamma + \log(M^2) - \log(4\pi)) + \tilde{b}_2 \right) + \tilde{b}_4 \right] + 2 \tilde{b}_6 \right)^2 \Big\} \Big], \quad (\text{B.13}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}'_{(2)|\epsilon^{-1}} = & \frac{1}{24} \alpha^2 \text{tr} \left[\tilde{b}_0^3 V_{(3)} D^2 V_{(3)} + 2 \tilde{b}_0^2 V_3^2 \left\{ 5 \tilde{b}_0 M^{10} \left(6 M^2 (-2\gamma + 3 + 2 \log(4\pi)) \right. \right. \right. \\ & - \left. \left. 12 M^2 \log(M^2) \right) + 3 \tilde{b}_5 M^2 - 5 M^4 \left(6 \tilde{b}_1 M^6 (2\gamma - 1 + 2 \log(M^2) - 2 \log(4\pi)) \right. \right. \\ & + \left. \left. 6 \tilde{b}_2 M^4 - 2 \tilde{b}_3 M^2 + \tilde{b}_4 \right) - 2 \tilde{b}_6 \right\} - \left(M^4 \tilde{b}_0'' + 2 M^2 \tilde{b}_1'' + \tilde{b}_2'' \right) \left[3 \tilde{b}_5 M^2 \right. \\ & - \left. 5 M^4 \left(-2 \tilde{b}_3 M^2 + 6 M^4 \left(2 \tilde{b}_0 M^4 (\gamma - 1 + \log(M^2) - \log(4\pi)) + 2 \tilde{b}_1 M^2 (\gamma \right. \right. \right. \\ & + \left. \left. \left. \left. \log(M^2) - \log(4\pi) \right) + \tilde{b}_2 \right) + \tilde{b}_4 \right) - 2 \tilde{b}_6 \right] + 2 V_4 \left(\tilde{b}_0 M^2 + \tilde{b}_1 \right) \left\{ 3 \tilde{b}_5 M^2 \right. \\ & - \left. 5 M^4 \left(-2 \tilde{b}_3 M^2 + 6 M^4 [2 \tilde{b}_0 M^4 (\gamma - 1 + \log(M^2) - \log(4\pi)) + 2 \tilde{b}_1 M^2 (\gamma \right. \right. \right. \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \log(M^2) - \log(4\pi) + \tilde{b}_2] + \tilde{b}_4) - 2\tilde{b}_6 \Big\} . \quad (\text{B.14})$$

C The rest of the coefficients of the Lagrangian

The expressions of the remaining coefficients of the Lagrangian given in Eq. (3.17), such as \mathcal{C}_{-4} , \mathcal{C}_{-6} , and so on, are provided below. Note that these coefficients may include terms with dimensions more than six, but we only consider terms with dimensions up to six, assuming U has a minimum operator dimension of one.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_{-4} = & \frac{1}{24} U_\phi^2 U^3 \left[2 + \log(4\pi e^{-\gamma}) \right] + \frac{1}{48} G_{\mu\nu}^2 U_\phi^2 U \left[2 + \log(4\pi e^{-\gamma}) \right] + \frac{1}{360} G_{\mu\nu} G_{\nu\rho} G_{\rho\mu} U_\phi^2 \\ & \times \left[2 + \log(4\pi e^{-\gamma}) \right] - \frac{1}{240} J_\nu^2 U_\phi^2 \left[2 + \log(4\pi e^{-\gamma}) \right] - \frac{1}{48} U_\mu^2 U_\phi^2 \left[2 + \log(4\pi e^{-\gamma}) \right] \\ & + \frac{1}{96} U^4 U_{\phi\phi} \left[1 - 2 \log\left(\frac{M^2}{4\pi e^{-\gamma}}\right) \right] - \frac{1}{240} (U G_{\mu\nu})^2 U_{\phi\phi} \left[1 - \log\left(\frac{M^2}{4\pi e^{-\gamma}}\right) \right] \\ & - \frac{1}{480} U^2 G_{\mu\nu}^2 U_{\phi\phi} \left[3 + 2 \log\left(\frac{M^2}{4\pi e^{-\gamma}}\right) \right] + \frac{1}{48} U U_\mu^2 U_{\phi\phi} \log\left(\frac{M^2}{4\pi e^{-\gamma}}\right) \\ & + \frac{1}{48} U^2 U_{\mu\mu} U_{\phi\phi} \log\left(\frac{M^2}{4\pi e^{-\gamma}}\right) - \frac{1}{1440} U_{\mu\mu}^2 U_{\phi\phi} \left[1 - 6 \log\left(\frac{M^2}{4\pi e^{-\gamma}}\right) \right] \\ & + \frac{1}{120} U J_\nu U_\nu U_{\phi\phi} \left[1 - \log\left(\frac{M^2}{4\pi e^{-\gamma}}\right) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.1})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_{-6} = & -\frac{1}{48} U_\phi^2 U^4 \left[2 + \log(4\pi e^{-\gamma}) \right] - \frac{1}{240} U_\phi^2 (U G_{\mu\nu})^2 \left[2 + \log(4\pi e^{-\gamma}) \right] - \frac{1}{60} G_{\mu\nu}^2 U_\phi^2 U^2 \\ & \times \left[2 + \log(4\pi e^{-\gamma}) \right] - \frac{1}{48} U_{\mu\mu} U_\phi^2 U^2 \left[2 + \log(4\pi e^{-\gamma}) \right] - \frac{1}{240} U_{\mu\mu}^2 U_\phi^2 \left[2 + \log(4\pi e^{-\gamma}) \right] \\ & + \frac{1}{120} J_\nu U_\nu U_\phi^2 U \left[2 + \log(4\pi e^{-\gamma}) \right] - \frac{1}{120} U^5 U_{\phi\phi} \left[1 - \log\left(\frac{M^2}{4\pi e^{-\gamma}}\right) \right] \\ & + \frac{1}{480} U^2 U_\mu^2 U_{\phi\phi} \left[11 - 6 \log\left(\frac{M^2}{4\pi e^{-\gamma}}\right) \right] + \frac{1}{720} U^3 U_{\mu\mu} U_{\phi\phi} \left[13 - 3 \log\left(\frac{M^2}{4\pi e^{-\gamma}}\right) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.2})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_{-8} = & \frac{1}{80} U_\phi^2 U^5 \left[2 + \log(4\pi e^{-\gamma}) \right] + \frac{1}{80} U_\mu^2 U_\phi^2 U^2 \left[2 + \log(4\pi e^{-\gamma}) \right] + \frac{1}{40} U_{\mu\mu} U_\phi^2 U^3 \\ & \times \left[2 + \log(4\pi e^{-\gamma}) \right] + \frac{1}{720} U^6 U_{\phi\phi} \left[4 - 3 \log\left(\frac{M^2}{4\pi e^{-\gamma}}\right) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.3})$$

$$\mathcal{C}_{-10} = -\frac{1}{120} U^6 U_\phi^2 \left[2 + \log(4\pi e^{-\gamma}) \right]. \quad (\text{C.4})$$

Even if U has the lowest operator dimension of one, the other coefficients of the effective Lagrangian given in Eq. (3.17), such as \mathcal{C}_{-12} , \mathcal{C}_{-14} , and so on, do not contribute operators up to dimension six. Therefore, we do not consider them here.

D One-loop dimension six operators for triple and doublet models

D.1 Electroweak triplet with hypercharge $Y_\Delta = 1$

For the electroweak triplet model, the following are dimension six bosonic operator structures at the one-loop level.

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\text{tr}[U] &= \frac{1}{2}\left[(U_{11})_{ii} + (U_{22})_{ii}\right] \\ &= \frac{3}{2}\lambda_1|H|^2 + \left(2\lambda_2 + \frac{3}{2}\lambda_3\right)|\Delta_c|^2 + \frac{3}{4}\lambda_4|H|^2 \\ &\supset \frac{\mu_\Delta^2}{m^6}\left(2\lambda_2 + \frac{3}{2}\lambda_3\right)\left[2\mathcal{O}_H - \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{2} + \frac{\lambda_4}{4}\right)\mathcal{O}_6\right],\end{aligned}\quad (\text{D.1})$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\text{tr}[U^3] &= \frac{1}{2}\left[(U_{11}^3)_{ii} + (U_{22}^3)_{ii}\right] \\ &\supset \frac{3}{64}\left[8\lambda_1^3 + 3\lambda_4^3 + 12\lambda_1^2\lambda_4 + 10\lambda_1\lambda_4^2\right]\mathcal{O}_6,\end{aligned}\quad (\text{D.2})$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\text{tr}[U_\mu^2] &= \frac{1}{2}\left[(D_\mu U_{11})_{ij}(D^\mu U_{11})_{ji} + (D_\mu U_{22})_{ij}(D^\mu U_{22})_{ji}\right] \\ &\supset \frac{3}{8}\left[(4\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_4^2 + 4\lambda_1\lambda_4)\mathcal{O}_H + \frac{2}{3}\lambda_4^2(\mathcal{O}_T + 2\mathcal{O}_R)\right],\end{aligned}\quad (\text{D.3})$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\text{tr}[UG_{\mu\nu}^2] &= \frac{1}{2}\left[(U_{11})_{ij}(G_{\mu\nu}^2)_{ji} + (U_{22})_{ij}(G_{\mu\nu}^2)_{ji}\right] \\ &\supset -\left(\frac{\lambda_1}{2} + \frac{\lambda_4}{4}\right)(2\mathcal{O}_{WW} + 3\mathcal{O}_{BB}) + \lambda_4\mathcal{O}_{WB},\end{aligned}\quad (\text{D.4})$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\text{tr}[J_\nu^2] = -4g_W^2\mathcal{O}_{2W} - 6g_Y^2\mathcal{O}_{2B}, \quad \frac{1}{2}\text{tr}[G_{\mu\nu}^3] = -6g_W^2\mathcal{O}_{3W}. \quad (\text{D.5})$$

D.2 Electroweak doublet with hypercharge $Y_\Phi = -1/2$

For the two Higgs doublet model, dimension six bosonic operator structures at the one-loop level are provided below.

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\text{tr}[U] &= \frac{3}{2}\lambda_\Phi\Phi_c^\dagger\Phi_c - 3\eta_\Phi(\tilde{H}^\dagger\Phi_c + \Phi_c^\dagger\tilde{H}) + (2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)|H|^2 \\ &\supset \frac{3}{2m_\Phi^4}\lambda_\Phi\eta_H^2\mathcal{O}_6 - 6\eta_\Phi\eta_H\left[\frac{1}{m_\Phi^4}(\mathcal{O}_H + \mathcal{O}_R) - \frac{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}{m_\Phi^4}\mathcal{O}_6\right],\end{aligned}\quad (\text{D.6})$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\text{tr}[U^3] &= \frac{1}{2}\left[(U_{11}^3)_{ii} + (U_{22}^3)_{ii} + 3(U_{11}U_{12}U_{21} + U_{22}U_{12}U_{21})_{ii}\right] \\ &\supset \left[2\lambda_1^3 + 3\lambda_1^2\lambda_2 + 3\lambda_1\lambda_2^2 + \lambda_2^3 + 12\lambda_3^2(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)\right]\mathcal{O}_6,\end{aligned}\quad (\text{D.7})$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\text{tr}[U_\mu^2] &= \frac{1}{2}\left[(D_\mu U_{11})_{ij}(D^\mu U_{11})_{ji} + (D_\mu U_{22})_{ij}(D^\mu U_{22})_{ji} + 2(D_\mu U_{12})_{ij}(D_\mu U_{21})_{ji}\right] \\ &\supset \left[(4\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 + 4\lambda_3^2 + 4\lambda_1\lambda_2)\mathcal{O}_H + (\lambda_2^2 - 4\lambda_3^2)\mathcal{O}_T + 2(\lambda_2^2 + 4\lambda_3^2)\mathcal{O}_R\right],\end{aligned}\quad (\text{D.8})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\text{tr}[UG_{\mu\nu}^2] &= \frac{1}{2}\left[(U_{11})_{ij}(G_{\mu\nu}^2)_{ji} + (U_{22})_{ij}(G_{\mu\nu}^2)_{ji}\right] \\ &\supset -(2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)\left(\frac{1}{4}\mathcal{O}_{WW} + \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{O}_{BB}\right) - \frac{1}{2}\lambda_2\mathcal{O}_{WB}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D.9})$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\text{tr}[J_\nu^2] = \frac{1}{2}\text{tr}(D_\mu G_{\mu\nu})^2 = -g_W^2\mathcal{O}_{2W} - g_Y^2\mathcal{O}_{2B}, \quad \frac{1}{2}\text{tr}[G_{\mu\nu}^3] = -\frac{3}{2}g_W^2\mathcal{O}_{3W}. \quad (\text{D.10})$$

D.3 Some useful relations and definitions

To write the potential in the form of a 6×6 matrix from the trace part of the Lagrangian (see Eq. (4.5)), we present here some algebraic relations for the electroweak triplet model.

$$\text{Tr}[\Delta^\dagger\Delta] = \text{Tr}[\Delta_i^*\Delta_j\tau^i\tau^j] = \frac{1}{2}\Delta_i^*\Delta_i = \frac{1}{2}|\Delta|^2, \quad (\text{D.11})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}\left[\left(\Delta^\dagger\Delta\right)^2\right] &= \Delta_i^*\Delta_j\Delta_k^*\Delta_l\text{Tr}[\tau^i\tau^j\tau^k\tau^l] = \frac{1}{8}\Delta_i^*\Delta_j\Delta_k^*\Delta_l(\delta_{ij}\delta_{kl} + \delta_{il}\delta_{jk} - \delta_{ik}\delta_{jl}) \\ &= \frac{1}{4}(\Delta_i^*\Delta_i)(\Delta_j^*\Delta_j) - \frac{1}{8}(\Delta_i^*\Delta_i^*)(\Delta_j\Delta_j) = \frac{1}{4}|\Delta|^4 - \frac{1}{8}(\Delta^*)^2(\Delta)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D.12})$$

We present some algebraic relations for both scenarios to construct the dimension six effective operators which are given below.

$$(H^\dagger\tau^a H)P^2(H^\dagger\tau^a H) = D_\mu(H^\dagger\tau^a H)D^\mu(H^\dagger\tau^a H) = \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{O}_T + 2\mathcal{O}_R), \quad (\text{D.13})$$

$$(\tilde{H}^\dagger\tau^a H)P^2(H^\dagger\tau^a \tilde{H}) = D_\mu(\tilde{H}^\dagger\tau^a H)D^\mu(H^\dagger\tau^a \tilde{H}) = \mathcal{O}_H, \quad (\text{D.14})$$

$$(H^\dagger D_\mu H)^2 + ((D_\mu H)^\dagger H) = \mathcal{O}_T + \mathcal{O}_H, \quad (\text{D.15})$$

$$(H^\dagger H)P^2(H^\dagger H) = D_\mu(H^\dagger H)D^\mu(H^\dagger H) = (D_\mu|H|^2) = 2\mathcal{O}_H, \quad (\text{D.16})$$

$$\text{tr}[(HH^\dagger)P^2(HH^\dagger)] = \text{tr}[D_\mu(HH^\dagger)D^\mu(HH^\dagger)] = \mathcal{O}_H + \mathcal{O}_T + 2\mathcal{O}_R, \quad (\text{D.17})$$

$$\text{tr}[(\tilde{H}^\dagger(\tilde{H}^\dagger)^T)P^2(\tilde{H}(\tilde{H}^T))] = \text{tr}[D_\mu(\tilde{H}^\dagger(\tilde{H}^\dagger)^T)D^\mu(\tilde{H}(\tilde{H}^T))] = \mathcal{O}_H - \mathcal{O}_T + 2\mathcal{O}_R, \quad (\text{D.18})$$

$$H^\dagger\overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu H \equiv H^\dagger(D_\mu H) - (D_\mu H)^\dagger H, \quad H^\dagger\tau^a\overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu H \equiv H^\dagger\tau^a(D_\mu H) - (D_\mu H)^\dagger\tau^a H, \quad (\text{D.19})$$

where all the dimension six pure bosonic operators in Eqs. (D.1)-(D.10) and Eqs. (D.13)-(D.19) are listed in Tab. 1.

References

- [1] S. Weinberg, *Effective Gauge Theories*, *Phys. Lett. B* **91** (1980) 51.
- [2] H. Georgi, *Effective field theory*, *Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.* **43** (1993) 209.
- [3] A.V. Manohar, *Introduction to Effective Field Theories*, *Les Houches Lect. Notes* **108** (2020) [1804.05863].

- [4] T. Cohen, *As Scales Become Separated: Lectures on Effective Field Theory*, PoS **TASI2018** (2019) 011 [[1903.03622](#)].
- [5] I. Brivio and M. Trott, *The Standard Model as an Effective Field Theory*, *Phys. Rept.* **793** (2019) 1 [[1706.08945](#)].
- [6] G. Isidori, F. Wilsch and D. Wyler, *The Standard Model effective field theory at work*, [2303.16922](#).
- [7] B. Henning, X. Lu, T. Melia and H. Murayama, *2, 84, 30, 993, 560, 15456, 11962, 261485, ...: Higher dimension operators in the SM EFT*, *JHEP* **08** (2017) 016 [[1512.03433](#)].
- [8] L. Lehman and A. Martin, *Low-derivative operators of the Standard Model effective field theory via Hilbert series methods*, *JHEP* **02** (2016) 081 [[1510.00372](#)].
- [9] B. Henning, X. Lu, T. Melia and H. Murayama, *Operator bases, S-matrices, and their partition functions*, *JHEP* **10** (2017) 199 [[1706.08520](#)].
- [10] L. Lehman and A. Martin, *Hilbert Series for Constructing Lagrangians: expanding the phenomenologist’s toolbox*, *Phys. Rev.* **D91** (2015) 105014 [[1503.07537](#)].
- [11] R.M. Fonseca, *Enumerating the operators of an effective field theory*, *Phys. Rev. D* **101** (2020) 035040 [[1907.12584](#)].
- [12] R.M. Fonseca, *The Sym2Int program: going from symmetries to interactions*, *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **873** (2017) 012045 [[1703.05221](#)].
- [13] B. Gripaios and D. Sutherland, *DEFT: A program for operators in EFT*, *JHEP* **01** (2019) 128 [[1807.07546](#)].
- [14] J.C. Criado, *BasisGen: automatic generation of operator bases*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **79** (2019) 256 [[1901.03501](#)].
- [15] C.B. Marinissen, R. Rahn and W.J. Waalewijn, ..., *83106786, 114382724, 1509048322, 2343463290, 27410087742, ... Efficient Hilbert Series for Effective Theories*, *Phys. Lett. B* **808** (2020) 135632 [[2004.09521](#)].
- [16] U. Banerjee, J. Chakraborty, S. Prakash and S.U. Rahaman, *Characters and group invariant polynomials of (super)fields: road to “Lagrangian”*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80** (2020) 938 [[2004.12830](#)].
- [17] R.V. Harlander, T. Kempkens and M.C. Schaaf, *Standard model effective field theory up to mass dimension 12*, *Phys. Rev. D* **108** (2023) 055020 [[2305.06832](#)].
- [18] H.-L. Li, Z. Ren, M.-L. Xiao, J.-H. Yu and Y.-H. Zheng, *Operator bases in effective field theories with sterile neutrinos: $d \leq 9$* , *JHEP* **11** (2021) 003 [[2105.09329](#)].
- [19] H.-L. Li, Z. Ren, M.-L. Xiao, J.-H. Yu and Y.-H. Zheng, *Operators for generic effective field theory at any dimension: on-shell amplitude basis construction*, *JHEP* **04** (2022) 140 [[2201.04639](#)].
- [20] W. Buchmüller and D. Wyler, *Effective lagrangian analysis of new interactions and flavour conservation*, *Nuclear Physics B* **268** (1986) 621 .
- [21] B. Grzadkowski, M. Iskrzynski, M. Misiak and J. Rosiek, *Dimension-Six Terms in the Standard Model Lagrangian*, *JHEP* **10** (2010) 085 [[1008.4884](#)].
- [22] L. Lehman, *Extending the Standard Model Effective Field Theory with the Complete Set of Dimension-7 Operators*, *Phys. Rev. D* **90** (2014) 125023 [[1410.4193](#)].

- [23] C.W. Murphy, *Dimension-8 Operators in the Standard Model Effective Field Theory*, [2005.00059](#).
- [24] H.-L. Li, Z. Ren, J. Shu, M.-L. Xiao, J.-H. Yu and Y.-H. Zheng, *Complete Set of Dimension-8 Operators in the Standard Model Effective Field Theory*, [2005.00008](#).
- [25] H.-L. Li, Z. Ren, M.-L. Xiao, J.-H. Yu and Y.-H. Zheng, *Complete Set of Dimension-9 Operators in the Standard Model Effective Field Theory*, [2007.07899](#).
- [26] Y. Liao and X.-D. Ma, *An explicit construction of the dimension-9 operator basis in the standard model effective field theory*, [2007.08125](#).
- [27] Anisha, S. Das Bakshi, J. Chakraborty and S. Prakash, *Hilbert Series and Plethystics: Paving the path towards 2HDM- and MLRSM-EFT*, *JHEP* **09** (2019) 035 [[1905.11047](#)].
- [28] U. Banerjee, J. Chakraborty, S. Prakash, S.U. Rahaman and M. Spannowsky, *Effective Operator Bases for Beyond Standard Model Scenarios: An EFT compendium for discoveries*, *JHEP* **01** (2021) 028 [[2008.11512](#)].
- [29] N.P. Hartland, F. Maltoni, E.R. Nocera, J. Rojo, E. Slade, E. Vryonidou et al., *A Monte Carlo global analysis of the Standard Model Effective Field Theory: the top quark sector*, *JHEP* **04** (2019) 100 [[1901.05965](#)].
- [30] I. Brivio, S. Bruggisser, F. Maltoni, R. Moutafis, T. Plehn, E. Vryonidou et al., *O new physics, where art thou? A global search in the top sector*, *JHEP* **02** (2020) 131 [[1910.03606](#)].
- [31] I. Brivio, Y. Jiang and M. Trott, *The SMEFTsim package, theory and tools*, *JHEP* **12** (2017) 070 [[1709.06492](#)].
- [32] J. Ellis, M. Madigan, K. Mimasu, V. Sanz and T. You, *Top, Higgs, Diboson and Electroweak Fit to the Standard Model Effective Field Theory*, *JHEP* **04** (2021) 279 [[2012.02779](#)].
- [33] E. Bagnaschi, J. Ellis, M. Madigan, K. Mimasu, V. Sanz and T. You, *SMEFT Analysis of m_W* , [2204.05260](#).
- [34] J. Ellis, C.W. Murphy, V. Sanz and T. You, *Updated Global SMEFT Fit to Higgs, Diboson and Electroweak Data*, *JHEP* **06** (2018) 146 [[1803.03252](#)].
- [35] S. Das Bakshi, J. Chakraborty, S. Prakash, S.U. Rahaman and M. Spannowsky, *EFT diagrammatica: UV roots of the CP-conserving SMEFT*, *JHEP* **06** (2021) 033 [[2103.11593](#)].
- [36] W. Naskar, S. Prakash and S.U. Rahaman, *EFT Diagrammatica II: Tracing the UV origin of bosonic D6 CPV and D8 SMEFT operators*, [2205.00910](#).
- [37] R. Cepedello, F. Esser, M. Hirsch and V. Sanz, *Mapping the SMEFT to discoverable models*, *JHEP* **09** (2022) 229 [[2207.13714](#)].
- [38] G. Guedes, P. Olgoso and J. Santiago, *Towards the one loop IR/UV dictionary in the SMEFT: one loop generated operators from new scalars and fermions*, [2303.16965](#).
- [39] J. Gargalionis and R.R. Volkas, *Exploding operators for Majorana neutrino masses and beyond*, *JHEP* **01** (2021) 074 [[2009.13537](#)].
- [40] H.-L. Li, Y.-H. Ni, M.-L. Xiao and J.-H. Yu, *The Bottom-Up EFT: Complete UV Resonances of the SMEFT Operators*, [2204.03660](#).
- [41] J. Chakraborty, S. Prakash, S.U. Rahaman and M. Spannowsky, *Uncovering the root of LEFT in SMEFT*, *EPL* **136** (2021) 11002 [[2011.00859](#)].

- [42] A. Drozd, J. Ellis, J. Quevillon and T. You, *The Universal One-Loop Effective Action*, *JHEP* **03** (2016) 180 [[1512.03003](#)].
- [43] S.A.R. Ellis, J. Quevillon, T. You and Z. Zhang, *Mixed heavy–light matching in the Universal One-Loop Effective Action*, *Phys. Lett. B* **762** (2016) 166 [[1604.02445](#)].
- [44] F. del Aguila, Z. Kunszt and J. Santiago, *One-loop effective lagrangians after matching*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **76** (2016) 244 [[1602.00126](#)].
- [45] S.A.R. Ellis, J. Quevillon, T. You and Z. Zhang, *Extending the Universal One-Loop Effective Action: Heavy-Light Coefficients*, *JHEP* **08** (2017) 054 [[1706.07765](#)].
- [46] M. Krämer, B. Summ and A. Voigt, *Completing the scalar and fermionic Universal One-Loop Effective Action*, *JHEP* **01** (2020) 079 [[1908.04798](#)].
- [47] A. Angelescu and P. Huang, *Integrating Out New Fermions at One Loop*, *JHEP* **01** (2021) 049 [[2006.16532](#)].
- [48] S.A.R. Ellis, J. Quevillon, P.N.H. Vuong, T. You and Z. Zhang, *The Fermionic Universal One-Loop Effective Action*, *JHEP* **11** (2020) 078 [[2006.16260](#)].
- [49] S. Das Bakshi, J. Chakraborty and S.K. Patra, *CoDEX: Wilson coefficient calculator connecting SMEFT to UV theory*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **79** (2019) 21 [[1808.04403](#)].
- [50] J. Fuentes-Martín, M. König, J. Pagès, A.E. Thomsen and F. Wilsch, *A Proof of Concept for Matchete: An Automated Tool for Matching Effective Theories*, [2212.04510](#).
- [51] A. Carmona, A. Lazopoulos, P. Olgoso and J. Santiago, *Matchmakereft: automated tree-level and one-loop matching*, *SciPost Phys.* **12** (2022) 198 [[2112.10787](#)].
- [52] T. Cohen, X. Lu and Z. Zhang, *STrEAMlining EFT Matching*, *SciPost Phys.* **10** (2021) 098 [[2012.07851](#)].
- [53] J. Fuentes-Martin, M. König, J. Pagès, A.E. Thomsen and F. Wilsch, *SuperTracer: A Calculator of Functional Supertraces for One-Loop EFT Matching*, *JHEP* **04** (2021) 281 [[2012.08506](#)].
- [54] J.C. Criado, *MatchingTools: a Python library for symbolic effective field theory calculations*, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **227** (2018) 42 [[1710.06445](#)].
- [55] M.K. Gaillard, *The Effective One Loop Lagrangian With Derivative Couplings*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **268** (1986) 669.
- [56] O. Cheyette, *Effective Action for the Standard Model With Large Higgs Mass*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **297** (1988) 183.
- [57] L.-H. Chan, *Effective-action expansion in perturbation theory*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **54** (1985) 1222.
- [58] B. Henning, X. Lu and H. Murayama, *How to use the Standard Model effective field theory*, *JHEP* **01** (2016) 023 [[1412.1837](#)].
- [59] B. Henning, X. Lu and H. Murayama, *One-loop Matching and Running with Covariant Derivative Expansion*, *JHEP* **01** (2018) 123 [[1604.01019](#)].
- [60] S. Dittmaier, S. Schuhmacher and M. Stahlhofen, *Integrating out heavy fields in the path integral using the background-field method: general formalism*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **81** (2021) 826 [[2102.12020](#)].

- [61] J. Fuentes-Martin, J. Portoles and P. Ruiz-Femenia, *Integrating out heavy particles with functional methods: a simplified framework*, *JHEP* **09** (2016) 156 [[1607.02142](#)].
- [62] T. Cohen, X. Lu and Z. Zhang, *Functional Prescription for EFT Matching*, *JHEP* **02** (2021) 228 [[2011.02484](#)].
- [63] A. van de Ven, *Explicit counteraction algorithms in higher dimensions*, *Nuclear Physics B* **250** (1985) 593.
- [64] Z. Zhang, *Covariant diagrams for one-loop matching*, *JHEP* **05** (2017) 152 [[1610.00710](#)].
- [65] U. Banerjee, J. Chakraborty, S.U. Rahaman and K. Ramkumar, *One-loop effective action up to dimension eight: integrating out heavy scalar(s)*, *Eur. Phys. J. Plus* **139** (2024) 159 [[2306.09103](#)].
- [66] J. Chakraborty, S.U. Rahaman and K. Ramkumar, *One-loop effective action up to dimension eight: Integrating out heavy fermion(s)*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **1000** (2024) 116488 [[2308.03849](#)].
- [67] U. Banerjee, J. Chakraborty, S.U. Rahaman and K. Ramkumar, *One-loop effective action up to any mass-dimension for non-degenerate scalars and fermions including light-heavy mixing*, *Eur. Phys. J. Plus* **139** (2024) 169 [[2311.12757](#)].
- [68] S. Minakshisundaram and A. Pleijel, *Some properties of the eigenfunctions of the Laplace operator on Riemannian manifolds*, *Can. J. Math.* **1** (1949) 242.
- [69] S. Minakshisundaram, *Eigenfunctions on Riemannian manifolds*, *J. Indian Math. Soc.* **17** (1953) 158.
- [70] J. Hadamard, *Lectures on Cauchy's Problem in Linear Partial Differential Equations*, Dover phoenix editions, Dover Publications (2003).
- [71] B.S. DeWitt, *Dynamical theory of groups and fields*, *Conf. Proc. C* **630701** (1964) 585.
- [72] R. Seeley, *The resolvent of an elliptic boundary problem*, *Amer. J. Math.* **91** (1969) 889.
- [73] J.S. Schwinger, *On gauge invariance and vacuum polarization*, *Phys. Rev.* **82** (1951) 664.
- [74] D.V. Vassilevich, *Heat kernel expansion: User's manual*, *Phys. Rept.* **388** (2003) 279 [[hep-th/0306138](#)].
- [75] I.G. Avramidi, *Heat kernel approach in quantum field theory*, *Nucl. Phys. B Proc. Suppl.* **104** (2002) 3 [[math-ph/0107018](#)].
- [76] I.G. Avramidi, *Heat kernel method and its applications*, (Cham), Springer International Publishing (2015), [DOI](#).
- [77] K. Kirsten, *Spectral functions in mathematics and physics* (2001).
- [78] S.A. Fulling, *Aspects of Quantum Field Theory in Curved Space-time*, vol. 17 (1989).
- [79] G. von Gersdorff and K. Santos, *New covariant Feynman rules for effective field theories*, *JHEP* **04** (2023) 025 [[2212.07451](#)].
- [80] G. von Gersdorff, *Factorization of covariant Feynman graphs for the effective action*, *JHEP* **12** (2023) 077 [[2309.14939](#)].
- [81] I. Carneiro and G. von Gersdorff, *The heat kernel in Riemann normal coordinates and multiloop Feynman graphs in curved spacetime*, *JHEP* **12** (2024) 140 [[2408.04005](#)].

- [82] U. Banerjee, J. Chakraborty and K. Ramkumar, *Renormalization of scalar and fermion interacting field theory for arbitrary loop: Heat–Kernel approach*, *Eur. Phys. J. Plus* **139** (2024) 714 [[2404.02734](#)].
- [83] I. Jack and H. Osborn, *Two Loop Background Field Calculations for Arbitrary Background Fields*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **207** (1982) 474.
- [84] R. Alonso and M. West, *On the effective action for scalars in a general manifold to any loop order*, *Phys. Lett. B* **841** (2023) 137937 [[2207.02050](#)].
- [85] A. Crivellin, M. Ghezzi and M. Procura, *Effective Field Theory with Two Higgs Doublets*, *JHEP* **09** (2016) 160 [[1608.00975](#)].
- [86] S. Karmakar and S. Rakshit, *Relaxed constraints on the heavy scalar masses in 2HDM*, *Phys. Rev. D* **100** (2019) 055016 [[1901.11361](#)].
- [87] X. Liu, L. Bian, X.-Q. Li and J. Shu, *Type-III two Higgs doublet model plus a pseudoscalar confronted with $h \rightarrow \mu\tau$, muon $g - 2$ and dark matter*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **909** (2016) 507 [[1508.05716](#)].
- [88] S. Dawson, D. Fontes, C. Quezada-Calonge and J.J. Sanz-Cillero, *Matching the 2HDM to the HEFT and the SMEFT: Decoupling and Perturbativity*, [2305.07689](#).
- [89] S. Dawson, D. Fontes, S. Homiller and M. Sullivan, *Role of dimension-eight operators in an EFT for the 2HDM*, *Phys. Rev. D* **106** (2022) 055012 [[2205.01561](#)].
- [90] G.C. Branco, P.M. Ferreira, L. Lavoura, M.N. Rebelo, M. Sher and J.P. Silva, *Theory and phenomenology of two-Higgs-doublet models*, *Phys. Rept.* **516** (2012) 1 [[1106.0034](#)].
- [91] I.F. Ginzburg and M. Krawczyk, *Symmetries of two Higgs doublet model and CP violation*, *Phys. Rev. D* **72** (2005) 115013 [[hep-ph/0408011](#)].
- [92] J.F. Gunion and H.E. Haber, *Conditions for CP-violation in the general two-Higgs-doublet model*, *Phys. Rev. D* **72** (2005) 095002 [[hep-ph/0506227](#)].
- [93] A. Arhrib, R. Benbrik, M. Chabab, G. MOULTAKA, M.C. Peyranere, L. Rahili et al., *Higgs potential in the type II seesaw model*, *Physical Review D* **84** (2011) 095005.
- [94] J. Schechter and J.W.F. Valle, *Neutrino Decay and Spontaneous Violation of Lepton Number*, *Phys. Rev. D* **25** (1982) 774.
- [95] J. Schechter and J.W.F. Valle, *Neutrino Masses in $SU(2) \times U(1)$ Theories*, *Phys. Rev. D* **22** (1980) 2227.
- [96] P. Fileviez Perez, T. Han, G.-y. Huang, T. Li and K. Wang, *Neutrino Masses and the CERN LHC: Testing Type II Seesaw*, *Phys. Rev. D* **78** (2008) 015018 [[0805.3536](#)].
- [97] J. Das and N. Kumar, *Veltman criteria in the beyond standard model effective field theory of a complex scalar triplet*, *Phys. Rev. D* **108** (2023) 035048 [[2301.05524](#)].
- [98] A.A. Osipov, *Proper-time method for unequal masses*, *Phys. Lett. B* **817** (2021) 136300 [[2102.10573](#)].
- [99] A.A. Osipov and B. Hiller, *Large mass invariant asymptotics of the effective action*, *Phys. Rev. D* **64** (2001) 087701 [[hep-th/0106226](#)].
- [100] K. Hagiwara, S. Ishihara, R. Szalapski and D. Zeppenfeld, *Low-energy effects of new interactions in the electroweak boson sector*, *Phys. Rev. D* **48** (1993) 2182.

- [101] G.F. Giudice, C. Grojean, A. Pomarol and R. Rattazzi, *The Strongly-Interacting Light Higgs*, *JHEP* **06** (2007) 045 [[hep-ph/0703164](#)].
- [102] N.D. Barrie, C. Han and H. Murayama, *Type II Seesaw leptogenesis*, *JHEP* **05** (2022) 160 [[2204.08202](#)].
- [103] I. Gel'fand and G. Shilov, *Generalized Functions, Volume 1*, AMS Chelsea Publishing, American Mathematical Society (2016).