

Semantics-Guided Diffusion for Deep Joint Source-Channel Coding in Wireless Image Transmission

Maojun Zhang, Haotian Wu, Guangxu Zhu, Richeng Jin, Xiaoming Chen, Deniz Gündüz

Abstract—Joint source-channel coding (JSCC) offers a promising avenue for enhancing transmission efficiency by jointly incorporating source and channel statistics into the system design. A key advancement in this area is the deep joint source and channel coding (DeepJSCC) technique that designs a direct mapping of input signals to channel symbols parameterized by a neural network, which can be trained for arbitrary channel models and semantic quality metrics. This paper advances the DeepJSCC framework toward a semantics-aligned, high-fidelity transmission approach, called semantics-guided diffusion DeepJSCC (SGD-JSCC). Existing schemes that integrate diffusion models (DMs) with JSCC face challenges in transforming random generation into accurate reconstruction and adapting to varying channel conditions. SGD-JSCC incorporates two key innovations: (1) utilizing some inherent information that contributes to the semantics of an image, such as text description or edge map, to guide the diffusion denoising process; and (2) enabling seamless adaptability to varying channel conditions with the help of a semantics-guided DM for channel denoising. The DM is guided by diverse semantic information and integrates seamlessly with DeepJSCC. In a slow fading channel, SGD-JSCC dynamically adapts to the instantaneous signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) directly estimated from the channel output, thereby eliminating the need for additional pilot transmissions for channel estimation. In a fast fading channel, we introduce a training-free denoising strategy, allowing SGD-JSCC to effectively adjust to fluctuations in channel gains. Numerical results demonstrate that, guided by semantic information and leveraging the powerful DM, our method outperforms existing DeepJSCC schemes, delivering satisfactory reconstruction performance even at extremely poor channel conditions. The proposed scheme highlights the potential of incorporating diffusion models in future communication systems. The code and pretrained checkpoints will be publicly available at <https://github.com/MauroZMJ/SGDJSCC>, allowing integration of this scheme with existing DeepJSCC models, without the need for retraining from scratch.

Index Terms—joint source-channel coding, semantics-guided diffusion models, wireless image transmission

I. INTRODUCTION

Over the past decades, wireless communication has undergone significant evolution, progressing from 1G to 5G. Advanced coding techniques, such as polar codes and low-density parity-check (LDPC) codes, have pushed performance closer to theoretical limits. However, rapidly growing communication demands, driven by applications like autonomous driving and advanced artificial intelligence, pose a risk of saturating network capacity. Addressing this challenge requires

a shift from viewing communication systems as passive bit-pipes to developing semantic-aware communication frameworks with intrinsic intelligence. This paradigm shift aims to bridge the gap between escalating demands and the theoretical performance bottleneck of conventional communication systems, leading researchers to explore new paradigms at the semantic level, known as semantic communication (SemCom) [1]. Emerging SemCom systems leverage neural networks to extract and utilize semantic information, which essentially refers to the information that is most relevant for the task desired to be carried out by the receiver. Shifting the transmission objective from bit-level accuracy to semantic level, or end-to-end accuracy, allows SemCom to outperform traditional separation-based approaches.

A. Deep Joint Source and Channel Coding (DeepJSCC)

One of the most promising advancements for SemCom is the DeepJSCC approach [2], which combines source compression and error correction into a unified encoder parameterized by a neural network. Unlike separate source and channel coding, DeepJSCC allows end-to-end optimization and promises significant improvements in the practical finite-blocklength regime [3]. DeepJSCC for wireless image transmission was initially proposed in [2], where a convolutional neural network (CNN)-based JSCC architecture is proposed, outperforming standard separation-based schemes over additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN) and Rayleigh fading channels. Subsequent works, such as [4], [5], have enhanced DeepJSCC approach by incorporating advanced vision transformer architectures. DeepJSCC has also been extended to various channel models and scenarios with superior performance, including multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) channels [6], orthogonal frequency division multiplexing (OFDM) [7], [8], relay channels [9], multi-user transmission [10], [11], and transmission using a finite constellation [12], [13]. However, as we will show in this paper, it is possible to further push the limits of DeepJSCC. DeepJSCC maps the original data directly to a latent feature vector as channel symbols. The global distribution of the image itself or its latent features constitutes a new dimension of prior knowledge in DeepJSCC. This motivates the integration of generative models, which can efficiently capture the underlying data distribution, to enhance DeepJSCC [14]. However, merging generative models with DeepJSCC presents new challenges. Specifically, generative models aim to randomly generate realistic data while DeepJSCC focuses on accurately transmitting data from the transmitter to the receiver. Moreover, there are concerns about whether the generative models-aided DeepJSCC can remain effective under varying channel conditions. These challenges are crucial for

M. Zhang, R. Jin, and X. Chen are with the College of Information Science and Electronic Engineering, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China (Email: {zhmj, richengjin, chen_xiaoming}@zju.edu.cn.). H. Wu and D. Gündüz is with the Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, Imperial College London (Email: {haotian.wu17, d.gunduz}@imperial.ac.uk). G. Zhu is with Shenzhen Research Institute of Big Data, Shenzhen, China (Email: gxzhu@sribd.cn). This work was carried out when M. Zhang was a visiting student at the Information Processing Laboratory (IPC Lab) at Imperial College London.

the integration of generative models with DeepJSCC, yet they have not been fully addressed.

B. Motivations

Towards an accurate diffusion model (DM)-aided DeepJSCC framework: We utilize the DM, a powerful generative model for visual data. Specifically, we consider employing DM for channel denoising, leveraging the resemblance between the diffusion process and the wireless channel, as demonstrated in [15]. As discussed earlier, while randomly denoising the channel output can generate realistic data, it risks compromising key semantics, especially under high channel noise. To address this, we consider transmitting semantics as side information to guide the diffusion denoising process towards a semantics-aligned direction. This framework enhances performance by transforming unconditional denoising into conditional denoising. Moreover, as the definition and type of the underlying side information are flexible, the transmitter can adjust the semantic side information based on the instantaneous transmission objective. This flexibility enables effective adaptation to various semantic quality metrics without the need for specific training or fine-tuning.

Adapting DM to varying channels: Another essential challenge in advancing DeepJSCC is improving model adaptability across diverse channel conditions, which becomes especially critical when adopting large models containing billions of parameters. Typically, a separate JSCC model must be trained for each specific channel environment to ensure best performance is achieved in that environment. However, this approach demands substantial computational resources and storage capacity, making it both impractical and costly. Currently, there are two primary approaches to address this problem. The first approach involves acquiring the channel state information (CSI), and providing it as side information to both the transmitter and receiver, typically utilizing an attention mechanism to introduce the CSI into the coding process [16], [8]. This network is then trained over a wide variety of channel conditions, and learns to adapt to each channel state dynamically. The second approach uses DM and employs the current CSI to select an appropriate matching step to start the denoising process [15]. Both approaches require accurate CSI information which necessitates pilot transmission and explicit channel estimation, as well as CSI feedback if we want the encoder to adapt to the CSI as well. In this paper, the proposed SGD-JSCC method addresses this challenge by dynamically adapting to the channel state directly from the channel output, eliminating the need for pilot transmissions for channel estimation. Furthermore, in fast fading channels, where noise levels vary across different symbols, we propose a denoising scheme inspired by the water-filling principle. This approach allows us to directly leverage the DM trained on slow fading channels for denoising in fast fading channels.

C. Contribution and Organization

In this paper, we advance DeepJSCC towards a semantics-aligned, high-fidelity transmission approach. Specifically, we propose transmitting some underlying semantics as side information alongside JSCC latent features. These semantics

serve as guidance for DM denoising, improving the denoising performance while preserving key semantic information. Furthermore, to fully leverage the advantages of DM within the DeepJSCC system, we design a DM tailored for the wireless channel, making it adaptive to varying channel conditions. The key contributions of this paper are summarized as follows.

- **Semantics-guided DM for semantics-aligned denoising:** We propose transmitting inherent information that contributes to the semantics of an image as side information alongside JSCC latent features. At the receiver side, a transmission-tailored DM is introduced to conduct channel denoising under the guidance of semantics, thereby accurately reconstructing key semantic content.
- **Adaptive DM for time-varying channel conditions:** Considering a slow fading channel, we propose to estimate the instantaneous signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) directly from the normalized channel output, alleviating the need for dedicated pilot transmission. A continuous timestep matching approach is proposed to mitigate matching errors common in previous discrete-timestep DM-DeepJSCC methods [15]. In a fast fading channel, we introduce a training-free denoising strategy, which enables SGD-JSCC to dynamically adapt to variations in channel gains.
- **Performance evaluation:** Our numerical results demonstrate that the proposed method achieves superior performance compared to other DeepJSCC schemes in the literature and can achieve satisfactory performance even at extremely poor channel conditions. i.e., $\text{SNR} = -15$ dB. Moreover, with the integration of semantic side information, the proposed method effectively preserves relevant semantics in the reconstructed images, resulting in a more satisfactory perceptual reconstruction quality.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. The related works are discussed in Section II. Section III introduces the semantics-guided transmission framework. Section IV presents two types of semantic guidance and the corresponding transmission scheme. DeepJSCC and DM design for slow fading channels are detailed in Section V, followed by the extension to fast fading channels in Section VI. Numerical simulation results are provided in Section VII, followed by the concluding remarks in Section VIII.

II. RELATED WORKS

A. Generative Models: Foundations and Control Principles

Generative models aim to generate realistic samples by learning the underlying data distribution and sampling from it. Among various generative models, DM has demonstrated remarkable results, particularly in visual generation tasks. During training, DMs learn the conditional distribution of data over a progressively noisier latent space. In the inference stage, DMs iteratively remove noise and finally obtain a generated data instance [17]. Nevertheless, the original DM in [17] operates under an unconditional generation framework, which introduces randomness in the generated results. Our goal in this work, however, is to convey the input image with the highest fidelity, rather than generating an arbitrary realistic

image sample at the receiver. Hence, we want to design a DM that is able to generate data that is aligned with the semantics of the input image, leading to semantics-guided generation. The authors in [18] first introduced the concept of incorporating class labels into the DM, employing a classifier to guide the generation process and improve alignment between the generated image and the desired class. This was further extended to text-based semantics, leading to the development of popular text-to-image DMs like stable diffusion [19]. Stable diffusion shifts the diffusion process from the pixel level to the latent feature space and integrates contrastive language-image pretraining (CLIP) models to better align the generated images with their corresponding text descriptions. This method has been further enhanced by advanced diffusion transformer models [20]. Additionally, the authors in [21] introduced structural semantics, adding spatial control over the generation process, and allowing for fine-grained regulation of the output.

B. DMs for Source Coding

Pretrained semantics-guided DMs have also been applied in the field of data compression. The authors in [22] initially explored compressing an image by representing it through its key semantic features. During the decoding process, these semantics are served as guidance for the generation process. Compared to directly compressing the image itself, these semantics are lightweight and incur lower encoding costs. This method has been extended in [23]–[25]. However, due to the inherent randomness of the generative process, the decoded image may differ significantly from the original image, particularly in color accuracy. To address this, the authors in [26] utilized the compressed image from existing compression schemes as additional guidance, which is shown to improve the consistency of the received images. While the benefits of DM in compression has been shown, further investigation is needed to develop DM-based transmission schemes that can simultaneously optimize data compression and noise resilience over wireless channels.

C. DMs for DeepJSCC

By effectively capturing data distributions, generative models can help in addressing the semantic distortion issue present in DeepJSCC. In the context of DM, a hybrid JSCC scheme was first proposed in [27], which conveyed a low-resolution image using separate source and channel coding, followed by a refinement layer that exploited diffusion. A fully joint scheme was later presented in [28] that employ DM for post-processing, specifically to refine the reconstructed images from DeepJSCC. An alternative scheme relying on invertible neural networks was proposed in [29]. Using DM for post-processing requires modeling the distortion function from the reconstructed image to the original one. However, this distortion is highly non-linear as it arises from both the encoder/decoder neural networks and the dynamic nature of the wireless channels, which either involve complex computations or fluctuate unpredictably, making accurate distortion characterization challenging. To address this, the authors in [15] proposed using DM for preprocessing the channel output,

called channel denoising diffusion models (CDDM). Thanks to the similarity between the diffusion process and the noise added over the wireless channel, DM can naturally serve as denoisers for removing channel noise. Given the high inference latency of CDDM, the authors in [30] proposed a consistency distillation strategy to reduce the number of diffusion steps. The authors in [31] considered using a variational autoencoder (VAE) for downsampling and transforming the original feature distribution to a Gaussian distribution, which then can be processed by DMs. Subsequently, the authors in [32] proposed to directly utilize the noisy latent feature as prior knowledge, and developed a score-matching algorithm for enhancing the denoising performance. Despite significant advancements, several critical challenges in DeepJSCC, particularly in terms of practicality and interpretability, remain unresolved. Additionally, the full potential of diffusion-based generative models for wireless communication remains underexplored. Current approaches, such as CDDM and its follow-up works, overlook the explicit utilization of inherent data semantics. This restricts their denoising efficiency and increases computational complexity.

III. SYSTEM MODEL

A. Problem Statement

We consider the problem of transmitting an image $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{h \times w \times 3}$ over a point-to-point wireless channel, where h , w , and 3 denote the height, width, and color channels of an RGB image, respectively. The transmitter maps the source image \mathbf{x} into a vector of complex-valued channel input symbols $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^M$. An average transmit power constraint is imposed on \mathbf{z} , such that

$$\frac{1}{M} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{z}} [\|\mathbf{z}\|_2^2] \leq 2. \quad (1)$$

The vector \mathbf{z} is then transmitted over a noisy channel. We consider a slow fading channel, with the channel output denoted by \mathbf{y} . The i -th element of \mathbf{y} is given by

$$y_i = h z_i + n_{c,i}, \quad (2)$$

where $h \in \mathbb{C}$ denotes the random channel gain¹, and $n_{c,i} \in \mathcal{CN}(0, 2\sigma^2)$ denotes the independent additive complex Gaussian noise. The receiver reconstructs the image from the channel output \mathbf{y} . We assume that the transmitter has no access to CSI. The receiver obtains perfect CSI by transmitting pilots for estimation or acquires imperfect CSI by directly estimating it from the channel output \mathbf{y} .

The transmission objective is to minimize the distortion between the source and the reconstructed image, measured by various semantic quality metrics, subject to a given bandwidth compression ratio (BCR). The BCR is defined as $R \triangleq \frac{M}{3hw}$, representing the average number of available channel symbols per source dimension.

¹Note that we primarily consider slow fading channels, where the channel gain remains constant during the transmission of each \mathbf{z} . In Section VI, we will also discuss how to extend the transmission method from slow fading channels to fast fading channels, where the channel gain may vary with each transmitted symbol z_i .

B. Semantics Guided Transmission Framework

DeepJSCC is a promising paradigm for addressing the wireless image transmission problem described in Section III-A. As illustrated in Fig. 1(a), a standard DeepJSCC scheme directly maps the original data into channel symbols, and the decoder reconstructs the input image from the noisy channel output. In this paper, we consider an enhanced DeepJSCC scheme that incorporates inherent information contributing to the semantics of an image as side information to improve transmission performance, as illustrated in Fig. 1(b). The transmission model of the proposed SGD-JSCC scheme is detailed in the following subsection.

1) *Transmitter*: As depicted in Fig. 1(b), the transmitter directly encodes the source image \mathbf{x} to its latent representation. The encoding process is described as follows:

$$\mathbf{f} = \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{x}; \Theta), \quad (3)$$

where \mathbf{x} and Θ denote the original image \mathbf{x} and the trainable parameters of the JSCC encoder, respectively. $\mathcal{F}(\cdot)$ is the encoding function, which generates the latent representation $\mathbf{f} \in \mathbb{R}^N$. The latent representation \mathbf{f} satisfies the power constraint $\frac{1}{N} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{f}}[\|\mathbf{f}\|_2^2] \leq 1$.

Besides, a semantic extractor is employed at the encoder to directly extract the semantic features of \mathbf{x} , yielding \mathbf{s} . The exact form of \mathbf{s} is flexible and can be customized at the transmitter for a specific semantic quality metric. \mathbf{s} is subsequently encoded into its latent representation, leading to:

$$\mathbf{o} = \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{s}), \quad (4)$$

where $\mathcal{H}(\cdot)$ denotes the encoding function of \mathbf{s} . The latent feature of \mathbf{s} is denoted by $\mathbf{o} \in \mathbb{R}^K$, which satisfies the power constraint $\frac{1}{K} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{o}}[\|\mathbf{o}\|_2^2] \leq 1$.

Then, \mathbf{f} and \mathbf{o} are concatenated to form $\mathbf{z}_r \in \mathbb{R}^{2M}$, where $M = (N + K)/2$. \mathbf{z}_r is then converted into a complex-valued vector \mathbf{z} . The i -th element of \mathbf{z} is given by $z_i = z_{r,i} + jz_{r,i+M/2}$, where j denotes the imaginary unit. Since both \mathbf{f} and \mathbf{o} satisfy their respective power constraints, \mathbf{z} inherently satisfies the power constraint in (1). Finally, \mathbf{z} is transmitted through the wireless channel in (2).

2) *Receiver*: The receiver obtains the noisy channel output \mathbf{y} after the transmitter sends \mathbf{z} over the wireless channel. We apply minimum mean square error (MMSE) channel equalization, resulting in the equalized channel output \mathbf{y}_{eq} . The i -th element of \mathbf{y}_{eq} is given by

$$y_{eq,i} = \frac{h^*}{|h|^2 + \sigma^2} y_i, \quad (5)$$


where h^* denotes the complex conjugate of h . Given the channel model described in (2), we further have:

$$y_{eq,i} = \frac{|h|^2}{|h|^2 + \sigma^2} z_i + \frac{h^*}{|h|^2 + \sigma^2} n_{c,i}. \quad (6)$$

After equalization, \mathbf{y}_{eq} undergoes the reverse operation of the transformation from \mathbf{z}_r to \mathbf{z} and is subsequently normalized by dividing a coefficient $\frac{|h|}{\sqrt{|h|^2 + \sigma^2}}$, yielding \mathbf{y}_r . Let $y_{r,i}$ denote



(a) Standard DeepJSCC scheme.



(b) Proposed semantics guided DeepJSCC scheme.

Figure 1: Different DeepJSCC transmission paradigms.

the i -th element of \mathbf{y}_r , based on the equalization result in (6), the conditional distribution of $y_{r,i}$ given $z_{r,i}$ and h is given by

$$p(y_{r,i}|z_{r,i}, h) \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\frac{|h|}{\sqrt{|h|^2 + \sigma^2}} z_{r,i}, \frac{\sigma^2}{|h|^2 + \sigma^2}\right). \quad (7)$$

Let $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{o}}$ denote the received latent features of the image \mathbf{x} and the semantics \mathbf{s} , respectively. According to (7), the conditional distribution is given by

$$p(\tilde{\mathbf{f}}|\mathbf{f}, h) \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\frac{|h|}{\sqrt{|h|^2 + \sigma^2}} \mathbf{f}, \frac{\sigma^2}{|h|^2 + \sigma^2} \mathbf{I}\right), \quad (8)$$

$$p(\tilde{\mathbf{o}}|\mathbf{o}, h) \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\frac{|h|}{\sqrt{|h|^2 + \sigma^2}} \mathbf{o}, \frac{\sigma^2}{|h|^2 + \sigma^2} \mathbf{I}\right), \quad (9)$$

where \mathbf{I} denotes the identity matrix.

Then, the receiver reconstructs the semantic side information, as follows:

$$\tilde{\mathbf{s}} = \mathcal{G}(\tilde{\mathbf{o}}), \quad (10)$$

where \mathcal{G} denotes the decoding function of \mathbf{s} , and $\tilde{\mathbf{s}}$ denotes the reconstructed semantic side information.

With the noisy feature $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}$ and semantic side information $\tilde{\mathbf{s}}$ in hand, we consider employing DM to preprocess the noisy feature $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}$ under the guidance of $\tilde{\mathbf{s}}$. DM is a powerful generator and denoiser capable of refining content and generating outputs aligned with semantic guidance. The denoising process is given as follows:

$$\hat{\mathbf{f}} = \mathcal{D}(\tilde{\mathbf{f}}|\tilde{\mathbf{s}}; \Omega), \quad (11)$$

where Ω and \mathcal{D} denote the trainable parameters in DM and the denoising operation, respectively.

Consequently, the denoised feature $\hat{\mathbf{f}}$ is fed into the JSCC decoder to reconstruct the image in the pixel domain, as follows:

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \mathcal{G}(\hat{\mathbf{f}}; \Psi), \quad (12)$$

where Ψ and \mathcal{G} are the trainable parameters in DeepJSCC decoder and the decoding function, respectively.

IV. SEMANTIC GUIDANCE EXTRACTION AND TRANSMISSION

To facilitate the reliable transmission of critical semantic information, we explore two types of semantic guidance: text descriptions, which convey coarse semantic information, and edge maps, which offer finer semantic details.




Figure 2: DeepJSCC transmission for the image edge map.

A. Coarse Semantics: Text Description

In human-level communication, we can often imagine a reasonable reconstruction of an image based on the descriptions provided by other people. Inspired by this, text descriptions serve as suitable semantic guidance that encapsulate the general information behind images. Utilizing text descriptions in DM-aided image compression has been considered in [22], [33], [34]. We use BLIP2 [35], an off-the-shelf state-of-the-art image captioning model, to extract the text descriptions for the input images. The transmission cost of text descriptions is negligible compared to that of images. Therefore, we neglect the transmission cost of text and assume the text description can be transmitted to the receiver perfectly.²

B. Fine Semantics: Edge Map

Text descriptions provide lightweight semantics but only allow for coarse guidance during the denoising process. To address this limitation, we explore the use of edge maps as an additional semantic guidance with structural details. An edge map is a grayscale image that highlights the edges of objects in an image. We use MuGE [36], a deep learning-based edge extractor, to extract edge information from input images.

Specifically, since each pixel is represented by a single scalar and most of the pixels are set to zero in an edge map, we use a much smaller embedding size for each patch and project the SNR values as side information to the transformer blocks at the decoder side. The output of the JSCC model represents the probability of each pixel being part of the foreground, for which we adopt binary cross-entropy (BCE) as the first part of the loss function. Moreover, the edge map is transmitted to provide structural information that guides the DM denoising process. In this context, the focus is on accurately identifying foreground information in the non-zero pixels rather than achieving overall pixel-level accuracy. Therefore, we incorporate Dice loss as the second part of the loss function, encouraging the model to prioritize foreground information. Specifically, the DeepJSCC model in Fig. 2 is trained in an end-to-end manner to minimize the weighted sum of BCE loss and Dice loss.

²This assumption holds reasonable for most transmission scenarios. However, in some extreme cases (e.g., SNR = -15dB), transmitting text accurately becomes challenging. Nevertheless, as the goal shifts from word-level accuracy to preserving the semantic meaning for guidance, specialized DeepJSCC techniques hold promise for addressing such challenges. This, however, is beyond the scope of this paper and is left for future investigation.




Figure 3: Architecture of the DeepJSCC.

V. SGD-JSCC OVER SLOW FADING CHANNELS

In this section, we consider slow fading channels, where the fading state remains constant during the transmission of \mathbf{f} , i.e., $h_{c,i} = h, \forall i$. Under this scenario, the received channel output can be equivalently treated as the channel output of an additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN) channel with SNR = $\frac{|h|^2}{\sigma^2}$. We begin by detailing the design of the DeepJSCC model, followed by the specific architecture of the DM, and proceed to the training strategies and pilot-free channel estimation design. We then extend the proposed approach to a more challenging fast-fading scenario in Section VI.

A. DeepJSCC architecture

As the DeepJSCC architecture for the extraction and transmission of the input image features, we adopt the architecture proposed in [17]. Specifically, as depicted in Fig. 3, residual blocks are utilized as the basic feature extraction modules. Additionally, a self-attention module is incorporated after each residual block to further enhance representation and reconstruction capabilities of the DeepJSCC model. Within each self-attention module, three convolutional layers are employed to obtain the query, key, and value sequences from the corresponding intermediate feature map, which are subsequently processed through the self-attention mechanism.

We train this autoencoder pair in an end-to-end manner under a fixed noisy channel setting (i.e., AWGN channel with SNR = 10dB)³. The total training objective is divided into two parts as follows.

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{JSCC}} = \min_{\Theta, \Psi} \|\mathbf{x} - \hat{\mathbf{x}}\|_2^2 + \lambda \min_{\Theta, \Psi} \max_{\mathcal{D}} (L_{\text{GAN}}) \quad (13)$$

The mean squared error (MSE) between the reconstructed and original images serves as the first part of the loss function. However, merely minimizing the pixel-level distortion can significantly degrade the semantic information. To address this, we incorporate a patch-based discriminator to enhance perceptual performance. The discriminator model is denoted by \mathcal{D} , and the corresponding discriminator loss is denoted by L_{GAN} . λ is the weighting factor.

³Note that, the adaptability to various SNRs can be achieved by DM module as detailed in Section V-B, thereby we consider a fixed SNR setting for the training of the JSCC model.

Algorithm 1 Training algorithm for the denoising DM

Input: Training dataset, $\bar{\beta}_t$ **Output:** DM Ω after training

- 1: **while** Ω not converged **do**
 - 2: $\mathbf{f}_0 \sim q(\mathbf{f}_0)$.
 - 3: $t \sim \text{Uniform}(0, 1)$.
 - 4: $\bar{\beta}_t = \mathcal{S}(t)$ defined in (17).
 - 5: $\mathbf{n} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$.
 - 6: Take gradient descent step:
 $\nabla_{\Omega} \|\mathbf{f}_0 - \epsilon_{\Omega}(\sqrt{1 - \bar{\beta}_t} \mathbf{f}_0 + \sqrt{\bar{\beta}_t} \mathbf{n}, \bar{\beta}_t)\|^2$.
 - 7: **end while**
-

B. Diffusion Denoiser

DMs are natural denoisers, adept at iteratively learning to remove additive noise from data [17]. Given the similar effects of wireless channels on transmitted signals, we employ DMs to mitigate channel noise. Notably, conventional and state-of-the-art DMs are specifically designed for generative tasks, where visual quality is the primary focus. However, when applying DMs to DeepJSCC, it is crucial to consider the transmission distortion in addition to visual quality. In the following section, we will elaborate on the diffusion algorithm and the design of the transmission-oriented diffusion denoising model.

1) *Training Strategy:* Given a data point sampled from a real data distribution $\mathbf{f}_0 \sim \mathbf{q}(\mathbf{f})$, the forward trajectory of the diffusion process involves iteratively adding Gaussian noise with a specific variance to the data sample, ultimately resulting in a standard Gaussian noise $\mathbf{f}_1 \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$. Let t represent the timestep corresponding to a specific noise level, where $t = 0$ corresponds to the clean sample \mathbf{f}_0 . We have

$$\mathbf{f}_t = \sqrt{1 - \bar{\beta}_t} \mathbf{f}_0 + \sqrt{\bar{\beta}_t} \mathbf{n}, \quad (14)$$

where $\bar{\beta}_t$ is the variance of the noise at timestep t , and $\mathbf{n} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$ denote the additive Gaussian noise. Note that in most DMs, t takes discrete values ranging from 0 to T . However, the wireless channel noise can take continuous values, which means that a discrete noise schedule cannot accurately characterize its state. We consider t as a continuous value, i.e., $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $0 \leq t \leq 1$. The continuous setting of t is helpful for mitigating the step-matching error that will be discussed later.

We consider a variance-preserving forward trajectory, that is, $\mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{f}_t\|^2] = 1$. Based on (14), it can be found that the conditional distribution $q(\mathbf{f}_t|\mathbf{f}_s)$ for any $t > s$ is Gaussian distribution as well, which is given by

$$\mathbf{f}_t = \sqrt{\frac{1 - \bar{\beta}_t}{1 - \bar{\beta}_s}} \mathbf{f}_s + \sqrt{\bar{\beta}_t - \bar{\beta}_s \frac{1 - \bar{\beta}_t}{1 - \bar{\beta}_s}} \mathbf{n}. \quad (15)$$

Given the forward trajectory described in (14), the objective of the reverse trajectory in diffusion process is to recover \mathbf{f}_0 from \mathbf{f}_1 in T steps. To achieve this, a DM is introduced to learn the conditional distribution, i.e., $p_{\Omega}(\mathbf{f}_s|\mathbf{f}_t)$, $s < t$. For an intermediate timestep t , we have $\mathbf{f}_t = \sqrt{1 - \bar{\beta}_t} \mathbf{f}_0 + \sqrt{\bar{\beta}_t} \epsilon$, where ϵ is a instance of \mathbf{n} . Given the forward distribution $q(\mathbf{f}_t|\mathbf{f}_0) \sim \mathcal{N}(\sqrt{1 - \bar{\beta}_t} \mathbf{f}_0, \bar{\beta}_t \mathbf{I})$, following the non-Markovian

Algorithm 2 Sampling algorithm of the denoising DM

Input: Channel output $\hat{\mathbf{y}}, \bar{\beta}_t$ **Output:** The denoised latent feature \mathbf{f}_0

- 1: **Step matching:**
 Calculate the current timestep m with (19).
 - 2: $\mathbf{f}_m = \hat{\mathbf{y}}$.
 - 3: **Initialize:** $t = m$.
 - 4: **while** $t > 0$ **do**
 - 5: $s = t - \frac{m}{T}$.
 - 6: Calculate $\bar{\beta}_s, \bar{\beta}_t$ with (17).
 - 7: $\mathbf{f}_s = \sqrt{\frac{\bar{\beta}_s}{\bar{\beta}_t}} \mathbf{f}_t + \left(\sqrt{1 - \bar{\beta}_s} - \sqrt{\frac{\bar{\beta}_s(1 - \bar{\beta}_t)}{\bar{\beta}_t}} \right) \epsilon_{\Omega}(\mathbf{f}_t, \bar{\beta}_t)$.
 - 8: $t = s$.
 - 9: **end while**
-

sampling distribution proposed in [37], the distribution of \mathbf{f}_s conditioned on \mathbf{f}_0 and \mathbf{f}_t can be built by

$$q(\mathbf{f}_s|\mathbf{f}_t, \mathbf{f}_0) = \mathcal{N}\left(\sqrt{1 - \bar{\beta}_s} \mathbf{f}_0 + \sqrt{\bar{\beta}_s - \sigma_{s,t}^2} \frac{\mathbf{f}_t - \sqrt{1 - \bar{\beta}_t} \mathbf{f}_0}{\sqrt{\bar{\beta}_t}}, \sigma_{s,t}^2 \mathbf{I}\right), \quad (16)$$

where $\sigma_{s,t}^2$ is the variance for the reverse distribution. To note, DM serves as a denoising module as shown in Fig. 1(b). The objective is to recover the transmitted feature from a noisy one rather than generating an arbitrary new sample. Consequently, unlike the original DM in [17], introducing additional noise or randomness is undesirable. Therefore, we adopt a deterministic reverse process with $\sigma_{s,t}^2 = 0, \forall s, t \sim [0, 1]$.

From (16), it is evident that obtaining \mathbf{f}_s requires both \mathbf{f}_t and \mathbf{f}_0 , where \mathbf{f}_0 is the desired result of reverse trajectory and is unknown at the t -th step of reverse trajectory. To address this, a neural network Ω is introduced to predict \mathbf{f}_0 from \mathbf{f}_t , yielding $\epsilon_{\Omega}(\mathbf{f}_t, \bar{\beta}_t)$. Moreover, as revealed in [38], the noise scheduling function (i.e., $\bar{\beta}_t$ over t) plays a critical role to the performance of DMs. We adopt a sigmoid scheduling function, which is given by

$$\mathcal{S}(t) = \frac{\text{sigmoid}\left(\frac{t(e-g)+g}{\tau}\right) - \text{sigmoid}\left(\frac{g}{\tau}\right)}{\text{sigmoid}\left(\frac{e}{\tau}\right) - \text{sigmoid}\left(\frac{g}{\tau}\right)}, \quad (17)$$

where $\text{sigmoid}(x) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-x)}$. The training algorithm is concluded in Algorithm 1.

2) *Sampling Strategy:* With the well-trained DM for predicting \mathbf{f}_0 from \mathbf{f}_t , we are able to perform the denoising operation, also known as the sampling operation in diffusion theory [17]. Let s be the next target timestep, where $s < t$. Building on the conditional distribution $q(\mathbf{f}_s|\mathbf{f}_t, \mathbf{f}_0)$ in (16) with $\sigma_{s,t}^2 = 0$, the optimal sample of \mathbf{f}_s is given by

$$\mathbf{f}_s = \sqrt{\frac{\bar{\beta}_s}{\bar{\beta}_t}} \mathbf{f}_t + \left(\sqrt{\bar{\beta}_s} - \sqrt{\frac{\bar{\beta}_s(1 - \bar{\beta}_t)}{\bar{\beta}_t}} \right) \epsilon_{\Omega}(\mathbf{f}_t, \bar{\beta}_t). \quad (18)$$

Unlike the generation-oriented reverse diffusion process, which begins denoising from pure Gaussian noise, our DM starts denoising from equalized channel output $\hat{\mathbf{f}}$, a noisy version of the latent feature vector, where the noise variance depends on the channel state. Therefore, determining the




Figure 4: Network architecture of diffusion transformer (DiT) model.




Figure 5: Block diagram of the proposed semantics-guided diffusion framework.

appropriate starting point is essential. We refer to this process as *step matching*, which calculates the corresponding timestep based on the SNR of $\hat{\mathbf{f}}$. This method was initially proposed in [15], where the SNR value is mapped to a discrete timestep. However, this mapping introduces step matching error, as the SNR typically has a continuous range. To overcome this, we consider the timestep as a continuous value and directly input the noise level instead of the discrete timestep into the DM, effectively mitigating the matching error issue. Specifically, let γ denote the SNR value, the current timestep is determined by

$$m = \mathcal{S}^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{1+\gamma}\right) = \mathcal{S}^{-1}\left(\frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma^2 + |h|^2}\right). \quad (19)$$

The sampling algorithm is concluded in Algorithm 2.

Remark 1. Using diffusion for preprocessing the channel output, rather than post-processing the DeepJSSC output as in [28], [29], offers some new advantages. While DM for post-processing can leverage the prior knowledge from the learned data distribution to counteract distortion, it struggles to accurately and efficiently characterize the distortion caused by JSSC decoder and wireless channel. The preprocessing approach, however, moves the DM model before the JSSC decoder, requiring the DM to handle only the distortion introduced by the wireless channel. The channel-induced distortion can be naturally interpreted as an intermediate state within the diffusion process. This alignment with the diffusion process highlights the inherent suitability of using DM for preprocessing. Moreover, by adopting a preprocessing strategy, channel adaptation can be delegated entirely to the DM model. As a result, the DeepJSSC model itself can be fixed and does not need further fine-tuning for specific channel environments or semantic quality metrics, while only the DM needs to be personalized and tailored. Once the JSSC model is trained, various DMs can be trained on it and flexibly integrated into the DeepJSSC framework in a plug-in manner. Moreover,

compared to the existing preprocessing methods [15], we introduce semantics guidance for denoising and address the step-matching errors by adopting a continuous timestep setting, which further improves its applicability in DeepJSSC.

3) *Network Design:* We employ the diffusion transformer (DiT) model as the main architecture, which has been widely adopted in visual generation tasks. As shown in Fig. 4, the base DM facilitates guidance through text descriptions. In each denoising iteration, the noisy feature is passed through a sequence of DiT blocks, yielding a “cleaner” JSSC feature. The detailed architecture of DiT block is depicted on the right hand side of Fig. 4, where we adopt the same DiT block as in [39]. The timestep information, represented by $\bar{\beta}_t$, is projected unto each DiT block through the adaptive layer norm (ADALN) mechanism [40], which performs modulation operations like scale $y = \alpha x$ and scale plus shift $y = \gamma x + \beta$. The text information is first encoded with the CLIP model and then serves as the key and value in the cross-attention layer.

Moreover, as revealed in [41], incorporating masked data enhances the denoising and generation capabilities of the DM. Given this, we introduce masked data as an auxiliary input to boost performance. Specifically, during the training process, given the latent feature \mathbf{f}_t and timestep t , we randomly mask some patches of the latent feature, yielding $\hat{\mathbf{f}}_t$. Both \mathbf{f}_t and $\hat{\mathbf{f}}_t$ are then fed into the diffusion transformer. Since some patches are dropped in $\hat{\mathbf{f}}_t$, the unmasked patches are first flattened and undergo the position embedding operation. After passing through N_1 diffusion transformer (DiT) blocks, a side interpolator, which has the same structure as a DiT block, is introduced to predict the masked tokens. Finally, the loss function is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{DM}}(\mathbf{f}_t, \mathbf{f}_0, \bar{\beta}_t) = \|\epsilon_{\Omega}(\mathbf{f}_t, \bar{\beta}_t) - \mathbf{f}_0\|^2 + \|\epsilon_{\Omega}(\hat{\mathbf{f}}_t, \bar{\beta}_t) - \mathbf{f}_0\|^2. \quad (20)$$

Controlnet for semantics guided denoising: As discussed in Section IV-B, semantics can also be in a more structural form. The text-guided DM illustrated in Fig. 4 does not readily support edge maps as a finer form of guidance. To




Figure 6: Comparison of step matching method.

address this limitation, we integrate ControlNet [20], [21] to utilize edge maps as guidance during the denoising process. Specifically, as depicted in Fig. 5, the first N DiT blocks are replaced with DiT control blocks, which independently process the image features and structural semantic features, subsequently combining them using a weighted sum operation. Each DiT control block consists of two DiT blocks and a “zero” linear layer. The two DiT blocks are exact copies of those in the well-trained text-guided DM in Fig. 4, ensuring the preservation of the prior knowledge embedded in the original model. The zero linear layer is a linear layer initialized with parameters set to zero, allowing it to adaptively learn how to fuse the structural guidance with the image features. During the training of the DiT control blocks, the parameters of the original text-guided DM are frozen, and only the parameters of the DiT blocks handling the structural semantic features are updated. This approach preserves the prior knowledge embedded in the original text-guided DM while enabling the model to incorporate structural information.

C. Pilot-free step matching

Using DM for preprocessing of the noisy channel output provides robustness in dynamic wireless environments with the assistance of step matching (19). However, it necessitates accurate estimation of the instantaneous SNR, which could require pilot transmission and introduce additional overhead as in Fig. 6(a). Instead, we propose a pilot-free scheme. Specifically, we consider directly estimating the SNR from the received signal rather than known pilots. The pipeline is illustrated in Fig. 6(b).

For direct SNR estimation, we utilize a widely adopted residual network that comprises four residual blocks, followed by a fully connected layer, and finally processed by a sigmoid activation function. In the training process, we manually add noise at a specific level to latent image features \mathbf{f} . Then, the resulting noisy latent features are fed into the estimation module. The output of the estimation module is expected to be the power of the desired signal, ranging from 0 to 1. The training objective of the estimation module is given by

$$\min_{\mathcal{P}} \mathbb{E}[\|\zeta_{\mathcal{P}}(\sqrt{\alpha}\mathbf{f} + \sqrt{1-\alpha}\mathbf{n}) - \alpha\|_2^2], \quad (21)$$

where $\zeta_{\mathcal{P}}(\cdot)$ denotes the estimation function, with \mathcal{P} being the trainable parameters in the estimation module. α denotes the power of the desired signal. Details of the SNR estimation module are given in Table I.

Table I: Parameters of the SNR estimation module.

Layer	Parameter
Layer 1 (input)	Input of size $c \times h \times w$, batch of size 128, 100 epochs
Layer 2 (residual block)	output of size $32 \times \frac{h}{2} \times \frac{w}{2}$
Layer 3 (residual block)	output of size $64 \times \frac{h}{4} \times \frac{w}{4}$
Layer 4 (residual block)	output of size $128 \times \frac{h}{8} \times \frac{w}{8}$
Layer 5 (residual block)	output of size $256 \times \frac{h}{16} \times \frac{w}{16}$
Layer 6 (average pool)	output of size $256 \times 1 \times 1$
Layer 7 (flatten)	
Layer 9 (fully-connected)	1 output neuron
Layer 10 (activation)	Sigmoid
Layer 11 output layer	Adam optimizer, learning rate of 0.001, MSE metric

VI. EXTENSION TO FAST FADING CASE

Up to this point, semantics-guided DMs have shown to be a promising solution for slow fading and AWGN scenarios. The next question is *whether the DM trained under an AWGN channel can be directly applied to a fast fading scenario, without further training or specific fine-tuning*. In a fast fading scenario, the i -th element of the channel output \mathbf{y} is given by $y_i = h_i z_i + n_i$. We assume perfect CSI at the receiver, i.e., $\mathbf{h} = [h_1, \dots, h_M]$. At the receiver side, \mathbf{y} is first processed by the MMSE channel equalization and normalization (i.e., $\frac{h_i^*}{|h_i| \sqrt{|h_i|^2 + \sigma^2}} y_i$), then transformed from a complex vector into a real vector, yielding the resulting latent feature $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}$. Let $[\mathbf{e}]_i$ denote the i -th element of the vector \mathbf{e} , and $\mathbf{f}_0 = \mathbf{f}$ be the desired latent feature. Then $[\tilde{\mathbf{f}}]_i$ can be expressed as

$$[\tilde{\mathbf{f}}]_i = \sqrt{1-d_i}[\mathbf{f}_0]_i + \sqrt{d_i}[\mathbf{n}]_i, \quad (22)$$


where $d_i = \frac{\sigma^2}{|h_{c,i}|^2 + \sigma^2}$, and $h_{c,i} = \begin{cases} h_i, & i \leq N/2 \\ h_{i-N/2}, & \text{else} \end{cases}$.

As illustrated in Fig. 7(a), no direct intermediate state as described in (14) can be used to facilitate step matching for $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}$, since different elements experience varying SNR levels due to the distinct fading coefficients. To address this, We draw inspiration from water-filling: we manually add Gaussian noise with a carefully chosen variance to elements which has lower noise level than the current target noise level. Specifically, let t and s represent the current and the next target timesteps ($s < t$), respectively. The diffusion denoising step refers to inferring \mathbf{f}_s from \mathbf{f}_t using DM. Denote $\mathbf{b}_t, \mathbf{b}_s$ as the noise level vectors at timestep t and s , and $\bar{\beta}_t, \bar{\beta}_s$ as the target noise level at these timesteps, which can be calculated by (15). We add noise to each $[\mathbf{f}_t]_i$, as follows.

$$[\mathbf{g}_t]_i = \sqrt{\frac{1-\bar{\beta}_t}{1-[\mathbf{b}_t]_i}}[\mathbf{f}_t]_i + \sqrt{\bar{\beta}_t - [\mathbf{b}_t]_i} \frac{1-\bar{\beta}_t}{1-[\mathbf{b}_t]_i} \epsilon, \quad (23)$$

where $\epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ denotes an instance of standard Gaussian noise. Therefore, the conditional distribution of \mathbf{g}_t given \mathbf{f}_0 is given by $p(\mathbf{g}_t|\mathbf{f}_0) \sim \mathcal{N}(\sqrt{1-\bar{\beta}_t}\mathbf{f}_0, \bar{\beta}_t\mathbf{I})$, which indicates that all $[\mathbf{g}_t]_i$ have the same noise level. \mathbf{g}_t thus can be fed into DM trained in slow fading channels for denoising, yielding

$$\hat{\mathbf{g}}_s = \sqrt{\frac{\bar{\beta}_s}{\bar{\beta}_t}}\mathbf{g}_t + \left(\sqrt{1-\bar{\beta}_s} - \sqrt{\frac{\bar{\beta}_s(1-\bar{\beta}_t)}{\bar{\beta}_t}} \right) \epsilon_{\Omega}(\mathbf{g}_t, \bar{\beta}_t). \quad (24)$$



(a) Signal and noise levels in channels (b) The proposed denoising scheme
Figure 7: Adaptation to the fast fading channel.

Algorithm 3 The proposed denoising algorithm for fast fading channels.

```

1: Input: the noisy latent feature  $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}$  in (22).
2: Output: the denoised latent feature  $\mathbf{f}_0$ .
3: Initialize:  $t = \mathcal{S}^{-1}(\max\{d_i, \forall i\})$ .  $\mathbf{f}_t = \tilde{\mathbf{f}}$ ,  $[\mathbf{b}_t]_i = d_i, \forall i$ .
4: while  $t > 0$  do
5:    $s = t - \frac{1}{T}$ 
6:   Calculate  $\bar{\beta}_s, \bar{\beta}_t$  with (17).
7:   Conduct water filling, and obtain  $\mathbf{g}_t$  with (23).
8:   Conduct denoising with DM, and obtain  $\hat{\mathbf{g}}_s$  with (24).
9:   # Update the latent feature
10:  for  $i = 1, \dots, N$  do
11:    if  $[\mathbf{b}_t]_i \geq \sqrt{\bar{\beta}_s}$  then
12:       $[\mathbf{f}_s]_i = [\hat{\mathbf{g}}_s]_i$ ,  $[\mathbf{b}_s]_i = \bar{\beta}_s$ .
13:    else
14:       $[\mathbf{f}_s]_i = [\mathbf{f}_t]_i$ ,  $[\mathbf{b}_s]_i = [\mathbf{b}_t]_i$ .
15:    end if
16:  end for
17:   $t = s$ .
18: end while

```

As for the updating process, for a specific element $[\mathbf{f}_t]_i$ with its noise level $[\mathbf{b}_t]_i$ and the current noise level β_t , there are two cases⁴.

- $\beta_s \leq [\mathbf{b}_t]_i \leq \beta_t$, which means that the current noise level is higher than the next target noise level. Therefore, $[\mathbf{f}_s]_i$ and its corresponding $[\mathbf{b}_s]_i$ needs to be updated, i.e., $[\mathbf{f}_s]_i = \hat{\mathbf{g}}_s$, and $[\mathbf{b}_t]_i = \bar{\beta}_s$.
- $[\mathbf{b}_t]_i < \beta_s$, which means that the current noise level is already lower than the next target level, indicating that $[\mathbf{f}_t]_i$ is “cleaner” than $[\hat{\mathbf{g}}_t]_i$. Therefore, we leave these elements unchanged, i.e., $[\mathbf{f}_s]_i = [\mathbf{f}_t]_i$, and $[\mathbf{b}_s]_i = [\mathbf{b}_t]_i$.

The detailed denoising algorithm for fast fading channels is outlined in Algorithm 3.

VII. NUMERICAL RESULTS

In this section, we conduct a series of experiments to evaluate the performance of the proposed scheme, providing a comprehensive demonstration of its effectiveness across various scenarios.

A. Simulation Setup

Training Details: The proposed SGD-JSCC scheme is trained in three stages. In the first stage, the JSCC encoder

⁴Note that, throughout all denoising iterations, the condition $[\mathbf{b}_t]_i \leq \beta_t, \forall i$ is always satisfied. Based on the updating method, we observe that $[\mathbf{b}_s]_i \leq \bar{\beta}_s$ if $[\mathbf{b}_t]_i \leq \beta_t$. This condition can be ensured by setting the initial noise level β_1 to be the maximum noise level in the channel.

Table II: Dataset and model parameters used in the second and third stage of training of SGD-JSCC.

(a) Dataset composition		(b) Training parameters	
training dataset	samples	Parameters	value
SA-1B	7M	number of channels c	16
JourneyDB	3M	batch size	64
CC3M	2M	embedding size	256
Datacomp	2M	CFG scalar	4.5
Celeba-HQ	30K	Guidance scalar	0.3

and decoder are jointly trained using the loss function in (13) on the Imagenet dataset under a fixed channel setting (AWGN channel with SNR=10dB in our simulations). The JSCC model is fixed after this training stage. Then, in the second stage, we train the text-guided DM shown in Fig. 4, following the steps outlined in Algorithm 1. We collect approximately 14 million text-image pairs from various open datasets, including SA-1B [42], JourneyDB [43], CC3M [44], Datacomp [45], and CelebA-HQ [46]. With this diverse dataset, our DM is capable of understanding open-domain text descriptions and generating the corresponding visual data. All the images are center-cropped and resized to 128×128 . In the third stage, we incorporate edge maps as structural guidance for the well-trained text-guided DM obtained from stage two. The DMs in stage two and stage three are both trained with about 250,000 gradient descent steps on a single NVIDIA A100 GPU, requiring about 2 GPU days. The training parameters and dataset composition for the second and third training stage are detailed in Table II(a) and Table II(b), respectively.

Benchmark Schemes: We compare the proposed SGD-JSCC scheme with three DeepJSCC-based schemes: ADJSCC [16], JSCCformer [5], DeepJSCC-Diff [28], and VAEJSCC. The ADJSCC scheme refers to the DeepJSCC architecture in [14], which iteratively downsamples and upsamples image data using residual and attention blocks. The attention feature (AF) modules are integrated after each upsample block to incorporate SNR information into the DeepJSCC network. Additionally, we also reimplement DeepJSCC-Diff [28], a diffusion-based scheme aimed at improving the perceptual performance of DeepJSCC through post-processing. The JSCCformer scheme refers to the JSCC architecture with vision transformer, which can also achieve SNR-adaptivity using a single model. Furthermore, we compare our SGD-JSCC method with VAEJSCC, a variation of the proposed SGD-JSCC scheme that does not use diffusion for denoising. VAEJSCC serves as a baseline to validate the effectiveness of our semantic-guided DM. All schemes set their hyperparameters to ensure a CBR of $R = \frac{1}{20}$, and trained with the loss function in (13). For the proposed SGD-JSCC scheme, the transmission cost of the edge map and JSCC features in terms of CBR are set as $\frac{1}{24}, \frac{1}{120}$, respectively, resulting in a total CBR of $R = \frac{1}{20}$. The hyperparameters of scheduling function in (17) are set to $e = 3, s = 0, \tau = 0.7$.

Evaluation Dataset: We adopt the COCO2017 dataset [47] for evaluation. Specifically, for DeepJSCC and DeepJSCC-Diff, the COCO training set is used for training the JSCC models. We use the Imagenet dataset for training the DM used in the DeepJSCC-Diff scheme. Similarly, all the images are center-cropped and resized to 128×128 . The COCO validation




Figure 8: Average reconstruction quality comparison under different measures when CSI is available at the receiver.




Figure 9: Average reconstruction quality comparison when CSI is unknown at neither the transmitter nor the receiver.

set, consisting of 5,000 images and their corresponding text descriptions, is used for evaluation.

Performance Metrics: We employ the commonly used peak signal-to-noise ratio (PSNR) and learned perceptual image patch similarity (LPIPS) to evaluate the reconstruction performance. Additionally, perception is also a crucial aspect of image transmission, which the aforementioned metrics may not fully capture. To address this, we introduce two additional metrics: CLIP score and Fréchet inception distance (FID). The CLIP score measures the similarity between image and text descriptions. Since COCO2017 dataset already includes text descriptions for each image, we can evaluate the consistency between the reconstructed image and its corresponding ground-truth text description. FID assesses visual quality by calculating the statistical similarity between the original image set and the reconstructed image set.

B. Performance Evaluation over Slow Fading Channels

In this subsection, we compare the proposed scheme⁵ with three benchmarks under slow fading, which can be modeled as AWGN channels with an equivalent SNR = $\frac{|h|^2}{\sigma^2}$ (eq. SNR). We consider complex Rayleigh channel, i.e., $h \in \mathcal{CN}(0, 1)$. We first evaluate the performance in a scenario where only the receiver has perfect CSI. The reconstruction performance is depicted in Fig. 8. First, it can be observed that the ADJSCC scheme has better PSNR performance. The proposed SGD-JSCC scheme improves the PSNR performance compared to VAEJSCC, with a gap of only 2.5dB compared to the state-of-the-art JSCC scheme. Second, in terms of LPIPS metric, the proposed SGD-JSCC scheme outperforms both the ADJSCC and JSCCformer schemes. It also achieves much better performance than VAEJSCC when eq. SNR ≤ 5 dB, validating the effectiveness of the semantics-guided DM in denoising. Third, generative models are naturally beneficial for improving

perceptual performance, as measured by CLIP score and FID shown in Fig. 8(c) and Fig. 8(d). The DeepJSCC-Diff scheme aims to first reconstruct a lower-resolution image, followed by a super-resolution process using DM. This approach results in better perceptual performance in the low SNR regime (i.e., eq. SNR ≤ 5 dB) compared to ADJSCC, especially in terms of the FID metric. However, the performance of DeepJSCC-Diff is limited by the imperfect transmission of the lower-resolution image and also constrained by the introduced irreversible downsampling operation. As a result, a performance floor occurs when eq. SNR > 5 dB. In contrast, by transforming the paradigm from post-processing the DeepJSCC output into preprocessing the channel output, the proposed SGD-JSCC scheme benefits from dynamically translating the eq. SNR to a specific intermediate state of diffusion process. SGD-JSCC significantly improves the perceptual quality of VAEJSCC in low SNR regime and retains performance in the high SNR regime. Moreover, by embracing semantics guidance and open-world text-image datasets, SGD-JSCC outperforms the DeepJSCC-Diff in terms of both reconstruction and perceptual performance. The demonstrated improvements highlight the superiority of the proposed SGD-JSCC scheme.

Next, we consider the scenario where neither the transmitter nor the receiver has access to CSI. The results are presented in Fig. 9. In this case, the AF modules in the JSCC models of both the ADJSCC and DeepJSCC-Diff schemes are removed, leading to a performance drop compared to the corresponding setups with CSI available at both ends. Interestingly, the performance of the proposed SGD-JSCC method remains nearly identical, whether the eq. SNR is available or not. This robustness arises because our scheme does not require CSI at the transmitter for adaptive design. Furthermore, it bypasses the need for precise eq. SNR information by directly estimating it from the noisy JSCC features (channel output) and leveraging this estimate for step matching in the diffusion denoising process. This indicates that the proposed scheme is a promising solution in scenarios where CSI is unavailable or

⁵For a fair comparison, we incorporate edge map guidance into the proposed SGD-JSCC scheme in this subsection. The effectiveness of text guidance will be evaluated in Section VII-C.




Figure 10: Examples of reconstructed images under AWGN channel with eq. SNR = -15 dB.




Figure 11: Examples of reconstructed images under AWGN channel with eq. SNR = -5 dB.




Figure 12: Examples of reconstructed images under AWGN channel with eq. SNR = 5 dB.

challenging to measure accurately.

We provide examples of reconstructed images in Fig. 10, Fig. 11, and Fig. 12 for eq. SNR values of -15 dB, -5 dB, and 5 dB, respectively. As shown in Fig. 10, under eq. SNR = -15 dB, although ADJSCC and JSCC-Diff exhibit better PSNR performance, their reconstructed images degrade significantly due to the high noise levels, resulting in the loss of key semantic information. In contrast, under the guidance of semantic side information, the proposed SGD-JSCC preserves these key semantics and delivers better perceptual performance. This also indicates that LPIPS and CLIP score are better performance metrics for evaluating reconstructed images under extremely low SNR conditions. Similarly, as shown in Fig. 11, the proposed SGD-JSCC reconstructs the images with the best visual quality, consistent with the FID performance in Fig. 8(d). When eq. SNR = 5 dB, the benchmark schemes are

able to reconstruct images that capture some of the semantic information, while the advantage of the proposed SGD-JSCC algorithm lies in providing more detailed reconstructions.

C. Performance Evaluation of Semantics Guided DM

In this subsection, we conduct an ablation study on the DM with different guidance schemes. Since we consider two types of semantic side information, we perform experiments under four conditions: no guidance (i.e., unconditional denoising similar to CDDM in [15]), text guidance, edge map guidance, and joint text and edge map guidance. The quantitative results are illustrated in Fig. 14. It can be found that both text and edge map contribute to the performance compared to the unconditional setting. The joint guidance from texts and edge maps outperforms the use of single guidance, validating the effectiveness of the joint semantics-guided method.




Figure 13: Examples of reconstructed images under different guidance methods with eq. SNR = -10 dB. The extracted text description for the first row images: *A brown bear is sitting in the grass*. The extracted text description for the second-row images: *A blue and white train on the tracks*.




Figure 14: Ablation study of different guidance schemes.

Exemplary images are provided in Fig. 13 to visualize the role of text and edge map. First, under eq. SNR = -10 dB, the reconstructed edge map retains most of the key structural information compared with the original image. For the first row image that comprises a bear and grass, the edge map-guided method successfully reconstructs the bear and grass, whereas the unconditional guided one struggles, reconstructing an unidentified animal instead of a bear. However, as shown in the second row, single structural guidance can also lead to errors: the edge map-guided reconstruction preserves only structural information, missing key semantics such as color. Fortunately, this issue is effectively addressed by adding text guidance, which provides the missing semantic information. These results demonstrate the necessity and effectiveness of hybrid semantic guidance.

D. Performance Evaluation over Fast Fading Channels

In this subsection, we evaluate the proposed SGD-JSCC scheme in fast fading channels. As discussed in Section VI, fast fading channels pose significant challenges due to the independent fading states affecting each symbol differently, resulting in imbalanced SNR levels. To address this, the proposed water-filling-inspired denoising scheme (outlined in Algorithm 3) enables the direct utilization of the DM trained for AWGN and slow fading channels to perform denoising in fast fading channels. Fig. 15 compares the performance of SGD-JSCC in AWGN channels with its performance in fast fading channels. A degradation in reconstruction performance is observed when deploying SGD-JSCC in fast fading channels compared to AWGN channels. This degradation is primarily




Figure 15: Performance comparison under different channel settings.

attributed to two factors. First, the presence of highly noisy elements caused by symbols with small channel gains makes the denoising challenging. Second, the reconstructed edge map guidance suffers from increased distortion, which impacts the final reconstruction performance of SGD-JSCC in fast fading channels. Nevertheless, the performance drop is minor, i.e., less than 0.05 under the LPIPS performance metric, demonstrating the robustness and effectiveness of the proposed approach in handling fast fading channels.

VIII. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we propose a novel semantics-guided diffusion DeepJSCC scheme, called SGD-JSCC. First, we explored different types of semantics and their corresponding transmission schemes. Then, we designed a DiT model for channel denoising, supporting both text and edge map guidance by integrating a cross-attention mechanism and ControlNet architecture. We made necessary modifications to the original DM and trained it from scratch to seamlessly integrate with DeepJSCC. Furthermore, we introduced a water-filling-inspired scheme to address fading channel scenarios, enabling the use of a DM trained under AWGN conditions without the need for specific fine-tuning. Experimental results demonstrate that the proposed scheme outperforms existing methods. For future work, we aim to extend the proposed scheme to MIMO channels and explore the corresponding CSI-free transmission.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Gündüz, Z. Qin, I. E. Aguerri, H. S. Dhillon, Z. Yang, A. Yener, K. K. Wong, and C.-B. Chae, "Beyond transmitting bits: Context, semantics, and task-oriented communications," *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, vol. 41, no. 1, pp. 5–41, 2023.

- [2] E. Boursoulatte, D. B. Kurka, and D. Gündüz, "Deep joint source-channel coding for wireless image transmission," *IEEE Trans. Cogn. Commun. Netw.*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 567–579, 2019.
- [3] D. Gündüz, M. A. Wigger, T.-Y. Tung, P. Zhang, and Y. Xiao, "Joint source-channel coding: Fundamentals and recent progress in practical designs," *Proceedings of the IEEE*, 2024.
- [4] J. Dai, S. Wang, K. Tan, Z. Si, X. Qin, K. Niu, and P. Zhang, "Nonlinear transform source-channel coding for semantic communications," *IEEE J. Sel. Areas Commun.*, vol. 40, no. 8, pp. 2300–2316, 2022.
- [5] H. Wu, Y. Shao, E. Ozfatura, K. Mikolajczyk, and D. Gündüz, "Transformer-aided wireless image transmission with channel feedback," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, early access, 2024.
- [6] H. Wu, Y. Shao, C. Bian, K. Mikolajczyk, and D. Gündüz, "Deep joint source-channel coding for adaptive image transmission over MIMO channels," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, 2024.
- [7] M. Yang, C. Bian, and H.-S. Kim, "Deep joint source channel coding for wireless image transmission with OFDM," in *Proc. IEEE International Conference on Communications (ICC)*, pp. 1–6, 2021.
- [8] H. Wu, Y. Shao, K. Mikolajczyk, and D. Gündüz, "Channel-adaptive wireless image transmission with OFDM," *IEEE Wireless Commun. Lett.*, vol. 11, no. 11, pp. 2400–2404, 2022.
- [9] C. Bian, Y. Shao, H. Wu, E. Ozfatura, and D. Gündüz, "Process-and-forward: Deep joint source-channel coding over cooperative relay networks," [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2403.10613>, 2024.
- [10] S. F. Yilmaz, C. Karamanli, and D. Gündüz, "Distributed deep joint source-channel coding over a multiple access channel," in *Prof. IEEE Int'l Conf. on Comms. (ICC)*, pp. 1400–1405, 2023.
- [11] P. Zhang, X. Xu, C. Dong, K. Niu, H. Liang, Z. Liang, X. Qin, M. Sun, H. Chen, N. Ma, et al., "Model division multiple access for semantic communications," *Frontiers of Information Technology & Electronic Engineering*, vol. 24, no. 6, pp. 801–812, 2023.
- [12] T.-Y. Tung, D. B. Kurka, M. Jankowski, and D. Gündüz, "Deepjscq: Constellation constrained deep joint source-channel coding," *IEEE J. Sel. Areas Inf. Theory*, vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 720–731, 2022.
- [13] Y. Bo, Y. Duan, S. Shao, and M. Tao, "Joint coding-modulation for digital semantic communications via variational autoencoder," *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, vol. 72, no. 9, pp. 5626–5640, 2024.
- [14] E. Erdemir, T.-Y. Tung, P. L. Dragotti, and D. Gündüz, "Generative joint source-channel coding for semantic image transmission," *IEEE J. Sel. Areas Commun.*, vol. 41, no. 8, pp. 2645–2657, 2023.
- [15] T. Wu, Z. Chen, D. He, L. Qian, Y. Xu, M. Tao, and W. Zhang, "CDDM: Channel denoising diffusion models for wireless semantic communications," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, 2024.
- [16] J. Xu, B. Ai, W. Chen, A. Yang, P. Sun, and M. Rodrigues, "Wireless image transmission using deep source channel coding with attention modules," *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. Video Technol.*, vol. 32, no. 4, pp. 2315–2328, 2021.
- [17] J. Ho, A. Jain, and P. Abbeel, "Denoising diffusion probabilistic models," *Proc. Adv. in Neural Inf. Proc. Sys. (NeurIPS)*, pp. 6840–6851, 2020.
- [18] P. Dhariwal and A. Nichol, "Diffusion models beat gans on image synthesis," *Proc. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS)*, vol. 34, pp. 8780–8794, 2021.
- [19] R. Rombach, A. Blattmann, D. Lorenz, P. Esser, and B. Ommer, "High-resolution image synthesis with latent diffusion models," in *IEEE/CVF Conf. Comp. Vision and Pattern Recog. (CVPR)*, pp. 10684–10695, 2022.
- [20] J. Chen, Y. Wu, S. Luo, E. Xie, S. Paul, P. Luo, H. Zhao, and Z. Li, "Pixart- δ : Fast and controllable image generation with latent consistency models," [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2401.05252>, 2024.
- [21] L. Zhang, A. Rao, and M. Agrawala, "Adding conditional control to text-to-image diffusion models," in *Proc. IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV)*, pp. 3836–3847, 2023.
- [22] E. Lei, Y. B. Uslu, H. Hassani, and S. S. Bidokhti, "Text+sketch: Image compression at ultra low rates," [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2307.01944>, 2023.
- [23] L. Qiao, M. Mashhadi, Z. Gao, C. H. Foh, P. Xiao, and M. Bennis, "Latency-aware generative semantic communications with pre-trained diffusion models," [Online]: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2403.17256>, 2024.
- [24] Y. Wang, W. Yang, Z. Xiong, Y. Zhao, S. Mao, T. Q. Quek, and H. V. Poor, "Fast-gsc: Fast and adaptive semantic transmission for generative semantic communication," [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2407.15395>, 2024.
- [25] A. Wijesinghe, S. Zhang, S. Wanninayaka, W. Wang, and Z. Ding, "Diff-go: Diffusion goal-oriented communications to achieve ultra-high spectrum efficiency," [Online]: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2312.02984>, 2023.
- [26] R. Yang and S. Mandt, "Lossy image compression with conditional diffusion models," *Proc. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS)*, Vancouver, Canada, 2024.
- [27] X. Niu, X. Wang, D. Gündüz, B. Bai, W. Chen, and G. Zhou, "A hybrid wireless image transmission scheme with diffusion," in *IEEE Int'l Wrks. on Sig. Proc. Adv. in Wireless Comms. (SPAWC)*, pp. 86–90, 2023.
- [28] S. F. Yilmaz, X. Niu, B. Bai, W. Han, L. Deng, and D. Gündüz, "High perceptual quality wireless image delivery with denoising diffusion models," [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2309.15889>, 2023.
- [29] J. Chen, D. You, D. Gündüz, and P. L. Dragotti, "Commin: Semantic image communications as an inverse problem with inn-guided diffusion models," in *IEEE Int'l Conf. on Acous., Speech and Sig. Proc. (ICASSP)*, pp. 6675–6679, Seoul, Korea, 2024.
- [30] J. Pei, F. Cheng, P. Wang, H. Tabassum, and D. Shi, "Latent diffusion model-enabled real-time semantic communication considering semantic ambiguities and channel noises," [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2406.06644>, 2024.
- [31] L. Guo, W. Chen, Y. Sun, B. Ai, N. Pappas, and T. Quek, "Diffusion-driven semantic communication for generative models with bandwidth constraints," [Online], <https://arxiv.org/abs/2407.18468>, 2024.
- [32] S. Wang, J. Dai, K. Tan, X. Qin, K. Niu, and P. Zhang, "Diffcom: Channel received signal is a natural condition to guide diffusion posterior sampling," [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2406.07390>, 2024.
- [33] Z. Pan, X. Zhou, and H. Tian, "Extreme generative image compression by learning text embedding from diffusion models," [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2211.07793>, 2022.
- [34] M. Careil, M. J. Muckley, J. Verbeek, and S. Lathuilière, "Towards image compression with perfect realism at ultra-low bitrates," in *Proc. International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR)*, 2023.
- [35] J. Li, D. Li, S. Savarese, and S. Hoi, "Blip-2: Bootstrapping language-image pre-training with frozen image encoders and large language models," in *Proc. International conference on machine learning (ICML)*, pp. 19730–19742, Honolulu, USA, 2023.
- [36] C. Zhou, Y. Huang, M. Pu, Q. Guan, R. Deng, and H. Ling, "Muge: Multiple granularity edge detection," in *IEEE/CVF Conf. on Computer Vision and Pattern Recog. (CVPR)*, pp. 25952–25962, 2024.
- [37] J. Song, C. Meng, and S. Ermon, "Denoising diffusion implicit models," [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2010.02502>, 2020.
- [38] T. Chen, "On the importance of noise scheduling for diffusion models," [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2301.10972>, 2023.
- [39] J. Chen, J. Yu, C. Ge, L. Yao, E. Xie, Y. Wu, Z. Wang, J. Kwok, P. Luo, H. Lu, et al., "Pixart- α : Fast training of diffusion transformer for photorealistic text-to-image synthesis," [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2310.00426>, 2023.
- [40] W. Peebles and S. Xie, "Scalable diffusion models with transformers," in *Proc. IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision (CVPR)*, pp. 4195–4205, 2023.
- [41] S. Gao, P. Zhou, M.-M. Cheng, and S. Yan, "MDTV2: Masked Diffusion Transformer is a Strong Image Synthesizer," [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2303.14389>, 2023.
- [42] A. Kirillov, E. Mintun, N. Ravi, H. Mao, C. Rolland, L. Gustafson, T. Xiao, S. Whitehead, A. C. Berg, W.-Y. Lo, et al., "Segment anything," in *Proc. IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision (CVPR)*, pp. 4015–4026, 2023.
- [43] K. Sun, J. Pan, Y. Ge, H. Li, H. Duan, X. Wu, R. Zhang, A. Zhou, Z. Qin, Y. Wang, et al., "Journeydb: A benchmark for generative image understanding," *Proc. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS)*, vol. 36, Vancouver, Canada, 2024.
- [44] S. Changpinyo, P. Sharma, N. Ding, and R. Soricut, "Conceptual 12m: Pushing web-scale image-text pre-training to recognize long-tail visual concepts," in *IEEE/CVF Conf. on Computer Vision and Pattern Recog. (CVPR)*, pp. 3558–3568, 2021.
- [45] S. Y. Gadre, G. Ilharco, A. Fang, J. Hayase, G. Smyrnis, T. Nguyen, R. Marten, M. Wortsman, D. Ghosh, J. Zhang, et al., "Datacomp: In search of the next generation of multimodal datasets," *Advances in Neural Inf. Proc. Systems (NeurIPS)*, vol. 36, 2024.
- [46] H. Zhu, W. Wu, W. Zhu, L. Jiang, S. Tang, L. Zhang, Z. Liu, and C. C. Loy, "Celebv-hq: A large-scale video facial attributes dataset," in *European Conf. on Comp. Vision (ECCV)*, pp. 650–667, 2022.
- [47] T.-Y. Lin, M. Maire, S. Belongie, J. Hays, P. Perona, D. Ramanan, P. Dollár, and L. Zitnick, "Microsoft coco: Common objects in context," in *European Conf. on Comp. Vision (ECCV)*, pp. 740–755, 2014.