POSITIVE SCALAR CURVATURE AND EXOTIC STRUCTURES ON SIMPLY CONNECTED FOUR MANIFOLDS

ADITYA KUMAR AND BALARKA SEN

ABSTRACT. We address Gromov's band width inequality and Rosenberg's S^1 -stability conjecture for simply connected smooth four manifolds. Both results are known to be false in dimension 4 due to counterexamples based on Seiberg-Witten invariants. Nevertheless we show that both of these results hold upon considering smooth four manifolds up to homeomorphism. The key technical innovation is a careful adaptation of ideas from high dimensional surgery theory to dimension 4.

CONTENTS

The second author is supported by the Department of Atomic Energy, Government of India, under project no.12-R&D-TFR-5.01-0500.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this article, we are concerned with simply connected 4-manifolds admitting a metric of positive scalar curvature (PSC). The class of closed manifolds admitting PSC metrics is completely understood in dimension 2 and 3. Therefore, all the problems discussed in this paper are known in the case when $n = 2, 3$.

We will study two problems for manifolds with positive scalar curvature, namely, Rosenberg's S^1 -stability conjecture [\[Ros07,](#page-19-1) Conjecture 1.24] and Gromov's band width inequality conjecture [\[Gro18,](#page-19-2) 11.12, Conjecture C].

Conjecture 1 (S^1 -stability). Let M^n be a closed manifold. Then M admits a PSC metric if and only if $M \times S^1$ admits a PSC metric.

Conjecture 2 (Width inequality). Let M^n be a closed manifold that does not admit a PSC metric. Consider the band $X^{n+1} = M^n \times [-1, +1]$ equipped with a metric *q*, such that the scalar curvature satisfies the lower bound $R_q \geq n(n+1)$. Then,

$$
\mathrm{width}(X,g):=\mathrm{dist}_g(M\times\{-1\},M\times\{1\})\leq \frac{2\pi}{n+1}
$$

Note that the width inequality implies the S^1 -stability conjecture by passing to the infinite cyclic cover $M \times \mathbf{R}$. Therefore, a counterexample to the S^1 -stability conjecture is also a counterexample to the width inequality conjecture.

In *Four Lectures* [\[Gro23,](#page-19-3) Section 5], Gromov outlined a proof for Conjecture [2,](#page-1-2) which was subsequently completed by Räde in $\lceil \frac{\text{Rad23}}{\text{Rad23}} \rceil$ for dimension $n = 5, 6$. As noted previously, it was already known in dimension $n = 2, 3$ for other reasons.

Note that in dimensions 2 and 3 the only simply connected manifolds are S^2 and S^3 respectively, both of which have positive scalar curvature with respect to the standard round metric. In dimensions 5 and above there is the classification result of Gromov-Lawson-Stolz for simply connected manifolds admitting a metric of positive scalar curvature.

Theorem 3 (Gromov-Lawson, Stolz [\[GL80,](#page-19-5) [Sto92\]](#page-19-6)). For $n \geq 5$, let M^n be a closed simply *connected manifold. If M is not spin, then it admits a metric of positive scalar curvature* [\[GL80\]](#page-19-5)*. If M is spin, then it admits a metric of positive scalar curvature if and only if* $\hat{\alpha}(M) = 0$ [\[Sto92\]](#page-19-6).

Remark 4. When $n = 4$ as in this paper, $\hat{\alpha}(M)$ is just the Lichnerowicz genus $\hat{A}(M)$. $\hat{\alpha}$ was introduced by Milnor [\[Mil65\]](#page-19-7) as a generalisation of \hat{A} ⁿ when $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$.

1.1. **Counterexamples to** S^1 -stability in dimension 4. When $n = 4$, these conjectures, and several other related results are known to be false due to an obstruction to admitting a PSC metric coming from non-vanishing of the Seiberg-Witten invariant. The following counterexample to S^1 -stability in dimension 4 was provided in Rosenberg. We will discuss it in detail as understanding this example was a primary motivation behind this work.

Example (Counterexample to S^1 stability in $n = 4$). [\[Ros07,](#page-19-1) p.23, Remark 1.25] Let $M^4 = V_5$, where V_5 is the zero set of a degree 5 homogeneous polynomial in \mathbb{CP}^3 :

$$
V_5 = \{ [z_0 : z_1 : z_2 : z_3] \in \mathbf{CP}^3 \mid z_0^5 + z_1^5 + z_2^5 + z_3^5 = 0 \}.
$$

 V_5 has $b_2^+ = 9$ and $b_2^- = 44$. Since it is an algebraic hypersurface in \mathbb{CP}^3 , it has an induced symplectic structure. This along with $b_2^+(V_5) > 1$ implies that V_5 has non-zero Seiberg-Witten invariant due to a theorem of Taubes [\[Tau94\]](#page-20-0). Consequently, V_5 does not admit a PSC metric. On the other hand, V_5 is simply connected, therefore $\pi_1(V_5 \times S^1) = \mathbf{Z}$. Further, $V_5 \times S^1$ is not spin as V_5 has odd degree. Therefore, by a theorem of Stolz, $V_5 \times S^1$ admits a PSC metric, even though V_5 does not.

While this argument tells us that $V_5 \times S^1$ admits a PSC metric, the provenance of such a metric is not clear. In general if *M* admits a PSC metric, then *g^M* + *dt*² is a PSC metric on $M \times S^1$. Our starting observation is that the situation for $V_5 \times S^1$ is not too different. More explicitly, one can obtain a PSC metric on $V_5 \times S^1$ from an *exotic smooth structure* on *V*⁵ that admits a PSC metric.

Example (Direct argument that $V_5 \times S^1$ is PSC). As V_5 is a smooth simply connected 4-manifold that is not spin, it has odd intersection form. Therefore, the intersection form is isomorphic to $Q_{V_5} \cong b_2^+\langle 1 \rangle \oplus b_2^-\langle -1 \rangle$ [\[GS99,](#page-19-8) Theorem 1.2.21]. For V_5 , one had $b_2^+ = 9$ and $b_2^- = 44$, which gives us $Q_{V_5} \cong 9\langle 1 \rangle \oplus 44\langle -1 \rangle$. This is also the intersection form of $9\mathbf{CP}^2 \# 44\overline{\mathbf{CP}}^2$. We saw that V_5 does not admit a PSC metric, but $9\mathbf{CP}^2 \# 44\overline{\mathbf{CP}}^2$ admits a PSC metric, since a connect sum of PSC manifolds of dimension at least 3 is still PSC by the Gromov-Lawson-Schoen-Yau surgery theorem [\[GL80,](#page-19-5) [SY79\]](#page-19-9) .

Since the intersection forms are the same, by Wall's theorem $Wald4$ V_5 is *h*-cobordant to 9CP²#44CP². Thus, $V_5 \times S^1$ is also *h*-cobordant to $(9CP^2#44\overline{CP}^2) \times S^1$. This is also an *s*-cobordism, as $\pi_1(V_5 \times S^1) = \mathbb{Z}$, and the Whitehead group of \mathbb{Z} is trivial, $Wh(\mathbb{Z}) = 0$. As this is a 6-dimensional *s*-cobordism, we can apply the *s*-cobordism theorem [\[LM24,](#page-19-10) p. 15. Therefore, $V_5 \times S^1$ is diffeomorphic to $(9\mathbf{CP}^2 \# 44\overline{\mathbf{CP}}^2) \times S^1$. Pulling back the PSC metric on $(9\mathbf{CP}^2 \# 44\overline{\mathbf{CP}}^2) \times S^1$ by this diffeomorphism, one gets a PSC metric on $V_5 \times S^1$.

Remark 5. In general the same argument shows the following: Let *M* be a simply connected Kahler surface. Then for every integer $k > 0$, $(M \# k \overline{\mathbb{CP}}^2) \times S^1$ admits a PSC metric. On the other hand if we also have $b_2^+(M) > 1$, then $M \# k \overline{\mathbb{CP}}^2$ does not admit a PSC metric. Indeed, since $b_2^+(M) > 1$ $b_2^+(M) > 1$ and blowing up¹ does not change b_2^+ , $M \# k \overline{\mathbb{CP}}^2$ has non-zero Seiberg-Witten invariant by Taubes' theorem. However, performing a blow-up makes the intersection form odd. Therefore, $M \# k \overline{\text{CP}}^2$ has an exotic copy $m\overline{\text{CP}}^2 \# n \overline{\text{CP}}^2$ which admits a PSC metric, where $m = b_2^+(M)$, $n = b_2^-(M) + k$. Therefore, since $M \# k \overline{\mathbb{CP}}^2$

¹The connect sum $M \# \overline{\mathbb{CP}}^2$ is called the blow-up of *M*.

is simply connected, $(M \# k \overline{\mathbb{CP}}^2) \times S^1$ admits a PSC metric by the *s*-cobordism argument, as in the above example.

These counterexamples lead us to speculate that the failure of $S¹$ stability and several other related results on manifolds with positive scalar curvature in dimension 4 is *only* due to exotic structures. That is to say, if one looks at smooth four manifolds up to homeomorphisms, then the existing results could be extended to dimension $n = 4$. In this paper we take this point of view and establish Gromov's width inequality and Rosenberg's S^1 -stability conjecture for simply connected four manifolds. We also observe that this framework automatically extends the Gromov-Lawson-Stolz classification of simply connected PSC manifolds to dimension 4.

1.2. **Statements of main results.**

Definition 6. We will say that a manifold *M* is PSC if it admits a positive scalar curvature metric. We will say that a 4-manifold *M* is *PSC upto homeomorphism*, if there exists at least one smooth structure on *M* that admits a positive scalar curvature metric.

The main results of the article are the following.

Theorem A (Width inequality in dimension 4). Let $M⁴$ be a closed simply connected smooth 4-manifold that is not PSC upto homeomorphism. Let *g* be a metric on the band $X = M^4 \times [-1, 1]$ such that $R_g \geq 20$. Then,

$$
\text{width}(X^5, g) := \text{dist}_g(M \times \{-1\}, M \times \{1\}) \le \frac{2\pi}{5}
$$

[A](#page-3-1)s a consequence of Theorem A we obtain S^1 -stability:

Theorem B (S^1 -stability in dimension 4). Let M^4 be a closed simply connected smooth 4-manifold. Then, *M* is PSC upto homeomorphism if and only if $M \times S^1$ is PSC.

Remark 7. Note that in the forward direction the result of Theorem [B](#page-3-2) is slightly stronger than the statement of Conjecture [1](#page-1-3) because we do not assume that *M* is PSC, only that it is PSC upto homeomorphism.

The following observation will be deduced from standard facts about simply connected 4 manifolds and the fact that the Lichnerowicz genus \hat{A} is a homotopy invariant in dimension 4. This is because $\hat{A}(M^4) = \sigma(M)/8$, where $\sigma(M) = b_2^+(M) - b_2^-(M)$ is the signature of *M*.

Observation 8 (Gromov-Lawson-Stolz in dimension 4)**.** Let *M*⁴ be a closed simply connected smooth 4-manifold. If *M* is not spin, then it is PSC upto homeomorphism. If *M* is spin, then it is PSC upto homeomorphism if and only if $\hat{A}(M) = 0$.

Theorem [A](#page-3-1) combined with Observation [8](#page-3-3) gives the following corollary.

Corollary 9. $K3 \times [-1, 1]$ *satisfies the width inequality.*

Remark 10. In general if $M⁴$ be a simply connected four manifold such that *M* is spin and $\hat{A}(M) \neq 0$. Then $M \times [-1, 1]$ satisfies the width inequality.

Theorem [B](#page-3-2) combined with Observation [8](#page-3-3) leads to the following corollary:

Corollary 11. *Let M be a simply connected smooth* 4*-manifold.*

- (1) If *M* is not spin, then $M \times S^1$ is PSC.
- (2) If *M* is spin and $\hat{A}(M) = 0$, then $M \times S^1$ is PSC.
- (3) If *M* is spin and $\hat{A}(M) \neq 0$, then $M \times S^1$ is not PSC.

Remark 12. Part (1) implies that for all $k \geq 1$, $(K3 \# k\overline{\mathbb{CP}}^2) \times S^1$ admits a PSC metric. On the other hand Part (3) implies that $K3 \times S^1$ does not admit a PSC metric.

1.3. **Idea of proof.** The proof of Theorem [A](#page-3-1) will be accomplished in three steps, following the same general scheme as the proof for dimensions 5 and 6 due to Räde $[\text{Räd23}]$.

Suppose a band $M^n \times [-1,1]$ admits a positive scalar curvature metric. Then, if the band is long enough, i.e., if $dist_q(M \times \{-1\}, M \times \{1\})$ is above a certain threshold, then the band contains a PSC hypersurface Σ which separates the faces of the band. This is the classical Schoen-Yau conformal descent argument adapted to μ -bubbles as outlined by Gromov [\[Gro23,](#page-19-3) Section 5]. We emphasise that the largeness of the band is not needed for existence of a separating μ -bubble, however it is required for the μ -bubble to be PSC. This argument works for all $3 \leq n \leq 6$. We present a proof for completeness in Section 2.

The aim of the second step is to prove that if a 5-dimensional band $M^4 \times [-1,1]$ over a simply connected closed smooth 4-manifold contains a separating PSC hypersurface $\Sigma \subset M \times [-1,1]$, then M admits an exotic copy which is PSC. We manage this following the same strategy as Räde [R $\ddot{\rm a}$ d $\ddot{\rm a}$], Proposition 6.4] (see also, [\[SZ20,](#page-19-11) Proposition 3.1]) in the high dimensional setting, and carefully implementing it in dimension 4. This involves considering the cobordism $(W; \partial_{-}W, \partial_{+}W)$ between $\partial_{-}W = M$ and $\partial_{+}W = \Sigma$ given by one of the connected components of $(M \times [-1,1]) \setminus \Sigma$, and improving it by Wall's normal surgery techniques [\[Wal99\]](#page-20-2) so that the inclusion $M \hookrightarrow W$ induces an isomorphism on π_1 and π_2 . In higher dimensions (dim(*W*) \geq 6), this would imply one can construct a handle decomposition of $(W, \partial_W = M)$ containing no handles of index less than 3. In that case, reversing the handle decomposition, one obtains M from Σ by surgeries of codimension ≥ 3. In this *ideal* scenario, *M* is PSC if Σ is PSC, due to the Gromov-Lawson-Schoen-Yau surgery theorem [\[GL80,](#page-19-5) [SY79\]](#page-19-9).

However, such a handle decomposition cannot be arranged for 5-dimensional cobordisms, due to the failure of the Whitney trick in dimension 4. Our key innovation is to intervene at this step by localizing the failure of the Whitney trick to a sub-cobordism of *W* which is an *h*-cobordism. At this point, Freedman's celebrated topological *h*-cobordism theorem in

dimension 4 [\[Fre82\]](#page-19-12) becomes available to us. This is how we are able to obtain an exotic structure on *M* admitting a positive scalar curvature metric.

Together, these give the width inequality. To see this, consider the band $M \times [-1, 1]$ over *M*. By the μ -bubble descent argument in Section 2, it contains a separating μ -bubble Σ. If the band $M \times [-1, 1]$ is long enough, then Σ is also PSC. Then, by Proposition [34,](#page-17-1) *M* is PSC upto homeomorphism. Therefore, if *M* is not PSC upto homeomorphism, the band must satisfy the width inequality.

1.4. **Outline of paper.** In Section 2 we recall definitions and review the existence and second variation of μ -bubbles. For completeness we also collect here the Schoen-Yau descent argument for μ -bubbles. In Section 3, we prove several lemmas which culminate in the proof of Proposition [34,](#page-17-1) which is our main surgery result. In Section 4, we provide the proofs of Theorem [A](#page-3-1) and [B,](#page-3-2) as well as Observation [8.](#page-3-3)

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank Mike Miller Eismeier for comments on an early draft. The second author would like to thank his advisor Mahan Mj for various fruitful discussions as well as Thorger Geiß for discussions on normal maps.

2. Separating *µ*-bubbles and width inequality

In this section we introduce some terminology that will be used in the rest of the paper. We also review the μ -bubble technique and collect some of its main results. These results are primarily from [\[Gro23,](#page-19-3) [Zhu21\]](#page-20-3). We have provided them for completeness.

2.1. **Background.** The *µ*-bubble methods was introduced by Gromov in *Four Lectures* [\[Gro23,](#page-19-3) Section 5]. He observed that one can modify the area functional, by adding an appropriate term, in such a way that the second variation of the modified functional is still amenable to the Schoen-Yau conformal descent argument. The minimizers of this modified functional are called μ -bubbles and the technique is called the μ -bubble method. One key benefit of giving up minimality is that it is easier to show that *µ*-bubbles separate a space, i.e., in the minimization process they do not escape to infinity or to the boundary. This feature will become clear in the proof below. It is one of the reasons behind the success of the μ -bubble method in several recent advances in the study of manifolds with positive scalar curvature (cf. $[CL24, CRZ23, CLL23, Räd23]$ $[CL24, CRZ23, CLL23, Räd23]$).

2.2. **Preliminary definitions and properties.**

Definition 13 (Bands, faces, and width)**.** Let *M* be a Riemannian manifold. A band over *M* is the manifold $X = M \times [-1, 1]$. The faces of the band are $\partial_+ X = M \times \{+1\}$ and ∂ [−]*X* = *M* × {−1}. The width of the band is the distance between the faces, i.e.,

$$
width(X, g) = dist_g\{\partial_-X, \partial_+X\}
$$

Definition 14 (Separating hypersurface). A separating hypersurface in a band $X =$ $M \times [-1, 1]$ is an embedded hypersurface $\Sigma \subset X^{\circ}$ contained in the interior $X^{\circ} \subset X$ of the band such that there is no curve in $X \setminus \Sigma$ connecting the faces, i.e., if $\gamma : [0,1] \to X$ is such that $\gamma(0) \in \partial X$ and $\gamma(1) \in \partial X$, then γ intersects Σ .

We now formally define μ -bubbles on a Riemannian band $X^{n+1} = M^n \times [-1, 1].$

Definition 15 (μ -bubbles). Consider a function $h \in C^1(X^{\circ})$ with the boundary conditions that *h* goes to $\pm\infty$ on the faces $\partial_{\pm}X$, respectively. Fix a Cacciopoli set (i.e., a set of finite perimeter) U_0 with smooth boundary $\partial U_0 \subset X^\circ$ and $\partial_- X \subset U_0$. For any Cacciopoli set U such that $U\Delta U_0 \in X^{\circ}$, consider the functional

$$
\mathcal{A}_h(U) := \mathcal{H}^n(\partial^* U) - \int_X (\chi_U - \chi_{U_0}) h \, d\mathcal{H}^{n+1} \tag{2.1}
$$

Here, $\partial^* U$ denotes the reduced boundary of *U* [\[Giu84,](#page-19-16) p. 42]. If \tilde{U} is a minimizer of \mathcal{A}_h with boundary $\partial \tilde{U} = \Sigma$, then we will call Σ a μ -bubble.

Note that the case $h \equiv 0$, corresponding to minimal surfaces, is ruled out by the boundary conditions imposed on *h*, i.e., that it goes to $\pm \infty$ on the faces $\partial_{\pm} X$. Heuristically, this condition pushes the bubble away from the faces, giving a separating hypersurface. As explained earlier this is a key benefit of using μ -bubbles, as a separating stable minimal hypersurface may not always exist.

The following was outlined by Gromov in [\[Gro23,](#page-19-3) Section 5] and rigorously obtained by Zhu $Zhu21$.

Lemma 16 (Existence and Second Variation of μ -bubbles). Let $2 \leq n \leq 6$ and X^{n+1} be a *Riemannian band. Then,* A_h *has a minimizer with smooth boundary* Σ^n *that separates the faces of the band. It has mean curvature* $H_{\Sigma} = h|_{\Sigma}$ *and the second variation formula is given by,*

$$
\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma} \left(R_M + |A|^2 + h^2 + 2 \langle \nabla h, \nu \rangle \right) f^2 \, dvol_{\Sigma} \le \int_{\Sigma} \left(|\nabla f|^2 + \frac{R_{\Sigma}}{2} f^2 \right) \, dvol_{\Sigma} \tag{2.2}
$$
\n
$$
\text{for all } f \in C_c^1(\Sigma).
$$

Before we proceed further, we collect a small lemma. This result is behind the Schoen-Yau conformal descent, and first appeared in [\[SY79\]](#page-19-9).

Lemma 17. *Let* $n \geq 3$ *and* Σ^n *be a closed Riemannian manifold. If the operator* $-\Delta_g + R/2$ *is positive, i.e.,* $\lambda_1(-\Delta_q + R/2) > 0$ *, then the metric g can be conformally deformed to give a metric on* Σ *with positive scalar curvature.*

Proof. For $n \geq 3$, consider the conformal Laplacian operator,

$$
L_{\Sigma} := -\Delta_g + \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)}R
$$

Note that $1/2 > (n-2)/(4n-4)$ if $n \ge 3$. Therefore, we have the following eigenvalue comparison

$$
\lambda_1(L_{\Sigma}) > \lambda_1(-\Delta_{\Sigma} + R/2)
$$

If $\lambda_1(-\Delta_g + R/2) > 0$, then the first eigenvalue of the conformal Laplacian, $\lambda_1(L_\Sigma) > 0$. Therefore, Σ admits a PSC metric by a result of Kazdan and Warner [\[KW75\]](#page-19-17). See also $[SY79, p. 9]$ $[SY79, p. 9]$.

Remark 18. The lemma is also true when $n = 2$. There, it follows directly. One takes the test function $f \equiv 1$ in the defintion of λ_1 and uses Gauss-Bonnet theorem to obtain that Σ is diffeomorphic to S^2 .

2.3. **Descent argument.** We can now present the conformal descent argument for *µ*bubbles as outlined in [\[Gro23,](#page-19-3) Section 5] and based on [\[Cho21,](#page-19-18) p. 20, Theorem 6.11]. For $h \equiv 0$, this is exactly the argument of Schoen and Yau [\[SY79\]](#page-19-9).

Proposition 19. For $2 \le n \le 6$, let $X = M^n \times [-1, 1]$ be a band over a closed manifold *Mⁿ*. If *X* has scalar curvature $R_X \geq n(n+1)$ and is such that

$$
dist_g(\partial_+X, \partial_-X) > \frac{2\pi}{n+1}
$$

then X contains a separating hypersurface that admits a PSC metric.

Remark 20. The computation below can simplified by dropping the $|A|^2$ term. However, that does not provide a sharp width bound.

Proof. Given a function *h* meeting the boundary conditions, the existence of a minimizing μ -bubble Σ that is a separating hypersurface for *X* is contained in Lemma [16.](#page-6-0) The rest of the argument is devoted to showing that it is PSC.

We first recall the second variation formula from Lemma [16.](#page-6-0) Observe that by Cauchy-Schwarz $h^2/n \leq |A|^2$ on Σ since $H_{\Sigma} = h|_{\Sigma}$. Therefore we get the following for any test function $f \in C_c^1(\Sigma)$:

$$
\int_{\Sigma} \left(\frac{R_X}{2} + \frac{n+1}{n} h^2 + \langle \nabla h, \nu \rangle \right) f^2 \le \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla f|^2 + (R_\Sigma / 2) f^2 \tag{2.3}
$$

Note here that if $h \equiv 0$, then we are in the minimal surface case (assuming existence). Then, Lemma [17](#page-6-1) is immediately applicable. Below, we see that it is still applicable when band width is large enough, as then *h* can be chosen such that the terms on RHS are bounded below by some $\delta > 0$.

Denote the width by $L = dist(\partial_+ X, \partial_- X)$ and denote by $\rho : X \to \mathbf{R}$, a smoothening of the distance function from ∂ −*X*. It is such that $\rho \equiv 0$ on ∂ −*X*, $\rho \equiv 1$ on $\partial_+ X$ and $|\nabla \rho| \leq 1$. Then, we can take the function *h* in the *µ*-bubble energy functional \mathcal{A}_h to be

$$
h(x) = \frac{2n}{n+1} \frac{\pi}{L} \tan\left(\frac{\pi}{2} \left[\frac{2\rho(x)}{L} - 1 \right] \right)
$$

Note that *h* goes to $\pm \infty$ on the faces of the band as required. Further we have,

$$
\nabla h = \frac{2n}{n+1} \frac{\pi^2}{L^2} \sec^2 \left(\frac{\pi}{2} \left[\frac{2\rho(x)}{L} - 1 \right] \right) \nabla \rho
$$

Since $|\nabla \rho| \leq 1$ and $\sec^2(x) = 1 + \tan^2(x)$, this gives

$$
-|\nabla h| \ge -\frac{2n}{n+1}\frac{\pi^2}{L^2} - \frac{n+1}{2n}h^2
$$

Therefore, the terms involving *h* are bounded from below by a constant:

$$
\frac{n+1}{2n}h^{2} + \langle \nabla h, \nu \rangle \ge \frac{n+1}{2n}h^{2} - |\nabla h| \ge -\frac{2n}{n+1}\frac{\pi^{2}}{L^{2}}
$$

Plugging this back into the second variation formula (2.3) , along with $R_X \geq n(n+1)$, we obtain

$$
\left(\frac{n(n+1)}{2} - \frac{2n}{n+1}\frac{\pi^2}{L^2}\right)\int_{\Sigma} f^2 \le \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla f|^2 + (R_{\Sigma}/2)f^2
$$

Observe that if $L = 2\pi/(n+1)$, then the left hand side is exactly 0. Therefore, as per hypothesis, if the width $L > 2\pi/(n+1)$, then the left hand side is bounded from below by some $\delta > 0$. This gives,

$$
0 < \delta \int_{\Sigma} f^2 < \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla f|^2 + (R_{\Sigma}/2)f^2
$$

Therefore,

$$
0 < \delta < \frac{\int_{\Sigma} |\nabla f|^2 + (R_{\Sigma}/2)f^2}{\int_{\Sigma} f^2}
$$

Since this is true for all $f \in C_c^1(\Sigma)$, by taking an infimum over them we obtain:

$$
0 < \delta < \lambda_1(-\Delta + R/2)
$$

Therefore, the μ -bubble Σ is PSC by Lemma [17.](#page-6-1) \Box

3. Surgery arguments in dimension 4

The main goal of this section is to prove that if a 5-dimensional band $M^4 \times [-1,1]$ over a simply connected closed smooth 4-manifold contains a separating hypersurface $\Sigma \subset M \times [-1,1]$ such that Σ is PSC, then *M* admits an exotic copy which is PSC. To do that we first need to prove a few prepatory statements. The reader may wish to directly go to the proof of Proposition [34](#page-17-1) and refer to the proofs of the lemmas as and when they are used therein.

3.1. **Preliminaries on cobordism and handle decompositions.** We begin by fixing some notation that will be frequently used throughout this section.

Definition 21. A *cobordism* is a triple of smooth manifolds $(W; \partial_{-}W, \partial_{+}W)$ such that

$$
\partial W = \partial_{-} W \sqcup \partial_{+} W
$$

∂−*W* (resp. *∂*+*W*) is called the *negative* (resp. *positive*) boundary of *W*. *W* is said to be a cobordism *from ∂*−*W to ∂*+*W*. We shall often suppress this sense of direction, and simply say *W* is a cobordism *between* ∂ ^{−*W*} and ∂ ⁺*W*.

Henceforth, all cobordisms will be assumed to be connected and between connected manifolds. Recall that an *n*-dimensional *k*-handle is $h^k := D^k \times D^{n-k}$. These are *attached* to manifolds with boundaries $(X, \partial X)$ by diffeomorphisms $\phi : \partial D^k \times D^{n-k} \to \partial X$. The disk $D^k \times \{0\}$ (resp. $\{0\} \times D^{n-k}$) is called the *core* (resp. *co-core*) of h^k . The boundary of the core (resp. co-core) is called the *attaching (resp. belt)* sphere of h^k .

Definition 22. Given an *n*-dimensional cobordism $(W^n; \partial_W, \partial_W, \mathcal{H})$, a *handle decomposition* of *W* is a handle decomposition of *W* rel. *∂*−*W*, i.e., a description of *W* as obtained from *∂*−*W* × [0*,* 1] by attaching handles *D^k* × *Dⁿ*−*^k* of various indices 1 ≤ *k* ≤ *n* to ∂ −*W* × {1} ⊂ ∂ −*W* × [0, 1].

Any such handle decomposition gives a dual handle decomposition of *W* rel. $\partial_+ W$ in a canonical way, by reversing the roles of the core and co-core of each handle. We shall say this is obtained from *reversing* the original handle decomposition of *W*.

The following lemma is well known, and is central to the proof of the *h*-cobordism theorem. We provide a proof for completeness.

Lemma 23 (Arranging algebraically cancelling handles). Let $(W^n; \partial_-W, \partial_+W)$ be an *n*-dimensional cobordism, and let $k \leq n-2$. Suppose

- (1) *W admits a handle decomposition without handles of index* $\leq k 1$ *.*
- (2) *Moreover*, $H_k(W, \partial_W) = 0$

Then, there exists a new handle decomposition of W such that for every k-handle h_i^k *, there is a* $(k+1)$ -handle h_i^{k+1} so that the homological intersection number between the belt sphere *of* h_i^k *and the attaching sphere of* h_i^{k+1} *is* +1*.*

Proof. We use the language of handle homology, which is a variant of cellular homology for handle decompositions (see [\[GS99,](#page-19-8) pg. 111]). As $H_k(W, \partial_W = 0)$, every *k*-cycle in the handle chain complex of (*W, ∂*−*W*) bounds a (*k* + 1)-chain. Therefore, for every *k*-handle h_i^k of (W, ∂_W) , there exists integers $c_{i,j} \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$
[h_i^k] = \sum_j c_{i,j} d[h_j^{k+1}], \tag{3.1}
$$

in the handle chain complex of (*W, ∂*−*W*). Here, the sum on the right hand side of Equation (3.1) runs over all $(k + 1)$ -handles of *W*. We modify the handle decomposition of *W* as follows:

(1) For every *k*-handle h_i^k of W, create a canceling pair consisting of a $(k+1)$ -handle and a $(k+2)$ -handle. Let us denote these as $h_{i,0}^{k+1}$ and $h_{i,0}^{k+2}$, respectively.

(2) Handle-slide $h_{i,0}^{k+1}$ over each $(k+1)$ -handle h_j^{k+1} , $c_{i,j}$ times.

Therefore, by construction,

$$
[h_{i,0}^{k+1}] = \sum_j c_{i,j} [h_j^{k+1}]
$$

Consequently, $[h_i^k] = d[h_{i,0}^{k+1}]$. Thus, in this new handle decomposition of *W*, the homological intersection number between the belt sphere of h_i^k and the attaching sphere of $h_{i,0}^{k+1}$ is $+1$, for all *i*. This finishes the proof.

3.2. **Trading handles in cobordisms of dimension** 5**.** Handle-trading is a standard technique in high dimensional surgery theory to simplify handle decompositions of cobordisms. It is well known that some of the techniques also extends to 5-dimensional cobordisms with some extra effort, see $[BKK^+21,$ $[BKK^+21,$ Section 20.1]. In this section we record some of the results relevant for our purposes.

Lemma 24 (Unknotting in dimension 4). Let M^4 be a 4-dimensional manifold and $\gamma \subset M$ *be an embedded, nullhomotopic loop. Then γ bounds a smoothly embedded disk in M.*

Proof. Since γ is nullhomotopic, it must bound a clean immersed disk in *M*. Let p_1, \dots, p_n be the points of self-intersection. Join each p_i to the boundary of the disk by an arc $\alpha_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$. We apply the Whitney finger move along the arc p_i to push the self-intersections out of the boundary of the disk (see [\[BKK](#page-19-19)⁺21, Section 11.2], also [BKK⁺21, Figure 11.4]). \square

Lemma 25 (Trading 1-handles for 3-handles)**.** *Let* (*W*; *∂*−*W, ∂*+*W*) *be a* 5*-dimensional cobordism, such that the inclusion* ∂ _−*W* \rightarrow *W is an isomorphism in* π ₁*. Then, there exists a handle decomposition of W without handles of index* 0 *or* 1*.*

Proof. Choose a handle decomposition of W. By connectedness, we may assume there are no 0-handles. Let $V \subset W$ be the union of all 1- and 2-handles of W. We consider V as a cobordism with negative boundary ∂ _−*V* = ∂ −*W*, and positive boundary ∂ ₊*V* = ∂ *V* \ ∂ −*V*. Since *W* is obtained by attaching handles of index ≥ 3 to *V*, the inclusion $V \hookrightarrow W$ induces an isomorphism on π_1 . Notice that the composition $\partial_- W = \partial_- V \hookrightarrow V \hookrightarrow W$ induces an isomorphism on π_1 by hypothesis. Therefore, the inclusion ∂ [−]*W* \rightarrow *V* must also induce an isomorphism on π_1 . Reversing the handle decomposition of $(V; \partial_{-}V, \partial_{+}V)$, we see $\partial_{+}V$ is obtained from ∂ −*V* by attaching handles of index \geq 3. Therefore, ∂ ₊*V* \hookrightarrow *V* induces an isomorphism on π_1 , as well.

Let $h^1 \subset V$ be a 1-handle. Let $\alpha \subset \partial_+ V$ be an arc running parallel to the core of the 1-handle along the boundary of h^1 . The endpoints of α lie on the feet of the 1-handle, which may be connected by an arc β lying in ∂ −*W*. Next, since the inclusion

$$
\partial_- W \hookrightarrow V
$$

induces an isomorphism on π_1 , the loop $[\alpha \cup \beta] \in \pi_1(V)$ must be the image of a loop $[\gamma] \subset \pi_1(\partial_- W)$. Let us denote $\beta' := \beta \# \gamma^{-1}$, given by interior connect sum of the arc *β* with the loop *γ* ⊂ *∂*_−*W*. Then, *α* ∪ *β*^{$'$} ⊂ *∂*₊*V* ⊂ *V* must be nullhomotopic within *V*. However, from the previous paragraph, we know that the inclusion

$$
\partial_+ V \hookrightarrow V,
$$

also induces an isomorphism on π_1 . Thus, $\alpha \cup \beta' \subset \partial_+ V$ is nullhomotopic in $\partial_+ V$.

By Lemma [24,](#page-10-1) $\alpha \cup \beta' \subset \partial_{+}V$ bounds an embedded disk $D^2 \subset \partial_{+}V$. We insert a layer $\partial_+ V \times I$ into the cobordism *W*, where $\partial_+ V \times I$ contains a 2- and 3-handle cancelling pair given by $h^2 = \nu(\partial (D^2 \times I) \setminus D^2 \times \{0\})$ and $h^3 = \nu(D^2 \times I)$. By construction, h^2 has attaching sphere $\alpha \cup \beta'$ which intersects the belt sphere of h^1 exactly once, geometrically. Therefore, $h¹$ and $h²$ are a cancelling pair of handles. By cancelling them, we reduce the number of 1-handles in *W* by one. Proceeding inductively, we can likewise trade all the 1-handles in *W* for 3-handles, as desired. \Box

Remark 26 (Trading 4-handles for 2-handles). Let $(W; \partial_{-}W, \partial_{+}W)$ be a 5-dimensional cobordism such that the inclusion $\partial_+ W \hookrightarrow W$ induces an isomorphism on π_1 . Then, *W* admits a handle decomposition without handles of index 4 or 5. Indeed, the proof follows *mutatis mutandis* from the proof of Lemma [25](#page-10-2) by reversing the cobordism *W*.

Therefore, if $(W; \partial_{-}W, \partial_{+}W)$ is a 5-dimensional cobordism such that the inclusions $\partial_{\pm} W \hookrightarrow W$ both induce isomorphisms on π_1 , then *W* admits a handle decomposition consisting of only 2- and 3-handles.

3.3. **Surgery theory of normal maps in dimension** 4**.** In this subsection, we extend a result due to Räde [Räd23, Proposition 6.4] (see also [\[SZ20,](#page-19-11) Proposition 3.1]) on making certain high dimensional cobordisms sufficiently homotopically connected, to cobordisms between manifolds of dimension 4. This will involve careful low dimensional implementation of standard ideas from high dimensional surgery theory of normal maps due to Wall [\[Wal99,](#page-20-2) Section 0.1]. We begin with the definition of normal maps.

Definition 27 (Stable normal bundle and normal maps)**.** The stable normal bundle of a smooth manifold M, denoted as $\nu(M)$, is the stable equivalence class^{[2](#page-11-1)} of the normal bundle of a Whitney embedding of *M* in \mathbb{R}^n , for some $n \geq 1$.

²Two vector bundles *E*, *F* over a space *X* are said to be *stably equivalent* if there exists $k, l \geq 0$ such that $E \oplus \varepsilon^k \cong F \oplus \varepsilon^l$. Here, ε^k denotes the trivial vector bundle of rank *k*.

Let *M*, *N* be smooth manifolds. A map $f : M \to N$ is said to be *normal* if $f^* \nu(N) \oplus TM$ is stably trivial.

Lemma 28 (Making 5-dimensional cobordisms 2-connected). Let $(W; \partial_{-}W, \partial_{+}W)$ be a 5*-dimensional cobordism, and let r* : *W* → *∂*−*W be a retract which is a normal map. There exists a new* 5*-dimensional cobordism* W'' *with* $\partial_{\pm}W'' = \partial_{\pm}W$ *such that the inclusion* ∂ ^{−*W*} *induces an isomorphism in* π ^{*i*} *for i* = 1*,* 2*.*

Proof. Since *r* is a retract, $r_* : \pi_1(W) \to \pi_1(\partial_W)$ is a surjection. As $W, \partial_W W$ are compact, the groups $\pi_1(W)$ and $\pi_1(\partial_-W)$ are finitely presented. Therefore, ker(r_*) < $\pi_1(W)$ is *normally* finitely generated. We choose a generating set for $\ker(r_*)$, so that:

$$
\ker(r_*) = \langle\!\langle [\gamma_1], \cdots, [\gamma_n] \rangle\!\rangle
$$

Since dim(*W*) = 5 > 2, we may ensure by a homotopy that the representatives $\gamma_i \subset W^{\circ}$ are embedded loops strictly contained in the interior of *W*. We perform a surgery along these loops in the interior of *W*, by cutting out normal neighborhoods $N(\gamma_i) \cong S^1 \times D^4$ and gluing back $D^2 \times S^3$. Let us call the resulting manifold W', which is a new cobordism between $\partial_+ W$ and $\partial_- W$. Since $r|_{\gamma_i}$ is a nullhomotopic, r extends over the surgery to a retract $r': W' \to \partial_- W$.

By construction, $(r')_* : \pi_1(W') \to \pi_1(\partial_- W')$ is an isomorphism. Let $i : \partial_- W \hookrightarrow W'$ denote the inclusion map. As r' is a left-inverse for *i*, *i* must induce an isomorphism on π_1 as well. Let us denote

$$
\pi := \pi_1(W') \cong \pi_1(\partial_- W).
$$

Since *i* induces an isomorphism on π_1 , by Lemma [25](#page-10-2) we can produce a handle decomposition of *W*′ without 0- or 1-handles. As *W*′ is compact, there are finitely many 2-handles, each of which must be attached along contractible curves to *∂*−*W*. Indeed, otherwise $i_* : \pi_1(\partial_- W) \to \pi_1(W')$ would have nonzero cokernel. Therefore, the 2-skeleton of *W'* rel. *∂*−*W*′ = *∂*−*W* is homotopy equivalent to *∂*−*W* ∨ ($\vee_{j=1}^{n} S_j^2$). Here, the wedge sum factor contains finitely many 2-spheres S_j^2 , $1 \le j \le n$.

Next, as r' is also a retract, $(r')_* : \pi_2(W') \to \pi_2(\partial_-W)$ is a surjection. We observe $\ker(r_*)$ is a $\mathbf{Z}[\pi]$ -module finitely generated by the aforementioned spheres $\sigma_j := S_j^2$. Thus,

$$
\ker(r_*) = \langle [\sigma_1], \cdots, [\sigma_n] \rangle
$$

Since dim(*W*) = 5 > 4, we may ensure by a homotopy that the representatives $\sigma_j \subset W^{\circ}$ are embedded 2-spheres strictly contained in the interior of *W*. We wish to surger *W*′ in the interior along σ_j . Thus, we first establish the normal neighborhood $N(\sigma_j)$ is a trivial bundle over *S* 2 .

Since $r: W \to \partial_W$ is a normal map and $r': W' \to \partial_W$ is obtained from 1-surgeries to *r*, *r'* is also a normal map^{[3](#page-13-1)}. Thus, $(r')^* \nu(\partial_- W') \oplus TW'$ is stably trivial. Therefore, the restriction of $(r')^* \nu(\partial_- W') \oplus TW'$ to $\sigma_j \subset W$ is also stably trivial. Since $r'|_{\sigma_j}$ is nullhomotopic, there exists a map $g: D^3 \to \partial_- W$ such that $g|_{\partial D^3} = r'|_{\sigma_j}$. Thus,

$$
(r')^* \nu(\partial_-W')|_{\sigma_j} = g^* \nu(\partial_-W')|_{S^2}
$$

However, $g^*\nu(\partial_-W')$ is trivial as it is a bundle over D^3 . Thus, $(r')^*\nu(\partial_-W')$ is trivial. Consequently, $TW'|_{\sigma_j}$ must be stably trivial. However,

$$
TW'|_{\sigma_j} = T\sigma_j \oplus N(\sigma_j) \cong TS^2 \oplus N(\sigma_j).
$$

Since TS^2 is stably trivial, this in turn forces $N(\sigma_j)$ to be stably trivial. Note that $N(\sigma_j)$ is a rank 3 bundle over $\sigma_i \cong S^2$. Since $BO(3) \hookrightarrow BO(\infty)$ induces an isomorphism on π_2 , $N(\sigma_i)$ must be in fact be trivial.

We perform surgery along the spheres $\sigma_j = S_j^2$ in the interior of W', by cutting out normal neighborhoods $N(\sigma_j) \cong S^2 \times D^3$ and gluing back $D^3 \times S^2$. Let us call the resulting manifold *W*^{$''$}, which is yet another cobordism between ∂_+W and ∂_-W . As $r' \mid \sigma_i$ is nullhomotopic, *r'* extends over the surgery to a retract $r'' : W'' \to \partial_- W$. By construction, r'' induces an isomorphism on π_1 and π_2 . As r'' is a left-inverse to the inclusion $i : \partial_- W \hookrightarrow W''$, *i* must also induce an isomorphism on π_1 and π_2 . □

3.4. Localizing failure of the Whitney trick to an *h*-cobordism. Let $(W; \partial_{-}W, \partial_{+}W)$ be a cobordism such that the inclusion ∂ _−*W* \hookrightarrow *W* induces an isomorphism on π ^{*i*}, for $i = 1, 2$. If dim(*W*) ≥ 6, then one can arrange a handle decomposition for *W* rel. ∂ −*W* such that *W* does not contain *i*-handles for $i = 0, 1, 2$. However, this is not possible for $\dim(W) = 5$ due to the failure of the Whitney trick in dimension 4. Nevertheless, in this section we show that if $\partial_{\pm}W$ are simply connected, then we can decompose W into an *h*-cobordism followed by a cobordism which does not contain *i*-handles for $i = 0, 1, 2$.

For convenience of discussion, we introduce the following terminology.

Definition 29 (Semi-*h*-cobordism)**.** A *semi-h-cobordism* is a cobordism $(W; \partial_W, \partial_W, \partial_W)$ such that the inclusion of the negative end ∂ [−]*W* \rightarrow *W* is a homotopy equivalence.

Lemma 30 (Cutting a cobordism into a semi-*h*-cobordism and a (3*,* 4)-handle cobordism)**.** *Let* $(W; \partial_W, \partial_W)$ *be a smooth* 5*-dimensional cobordism such that the inclusion* $\partial_W \rightarrow$ *W induces an isomorphism on* π_i *for* $i = 1, 2$ *. Further, suppose also that* $\pi_1(\partial_-W) = 0$ *. Then W* can be decomposed as $W = W_1 \cup_M W_2$ where $(W_1; \partial_- W, M)$ *is a semi-h-cobordism and* $(W_2; M, \partial_+ W)$ *consists only of handles of index* 3 *and* 4*.*

³Performing surgery below the middle dimension on a normal map also produces a normal map. For details, see [\[Wal99,](#page-20-2) Section 0.1]

Proof. By connectedness of *W* and $\partial_{+}W$, we obtain a handle decomposition of *W* without 0-handles or 5-handles Since *i* is an isomorphism in π_1 , by Lemma [25,](#page-10-2) we can ensure the handle decomposition has no 1-handles. Let h_1^2, \dots, h_n^2 be all the 2-handles in *W*. By Lemma [23,](#page-9-2) we can find 3-handles h_1^3, \dots, h_n^3 such that the homological intersection number between the belt sphere of h_i^2 and the attaching sphere of h_i^3 is $+1$. Let

$$
W_1 := \partial_- W \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^n h_i^2 \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^n h_i^3 \subset W
$$

$$
W_2 := W \setminus W_1^\circ
$$

By construction, W_2 consists only of handles of index 3 and 4. Since W is obtained from attaching handles of index ≥ 3 to W_1 , the inclusion $W_1 \hookrightarrow W$ induces an isomorphism on π_1 . Since

$$
i:\partial_{-}W\hookrightarrow W_{1}\hookrightarrow W
$$

is an isomorphism on π_1 , we conclude ∂ _−*W* \hookrightarrow *W*₁ must induce an isomorphism on π_1 as well. Thus, ∂ −*W* and W_1 are both simply connected. By construction of W_1 , $H_*(W_1, \partial_- W; \mathbf{Z}) = 0$. Therefore, the inclusion $\partial_- W \hookrightarrow W_1$ induces an isomorphism on integral homology in all degrees. By the homological version of Whitehead's theorem, ∂ [−]*W* → *W* must be a homotopy equivalence. Therefore, W_1 is a semi-*h*-cobordism. □

We provide a general lemma which allows us to upgrade a semi-*h*-cobordism to a genuine *h*-cobordism under an assumption on the fundamental group of the positive end.

Lemma 31 (Upgrading a semi-*h*-cobordism to an *h*-cobordism). Let $(W; \partial_W, \partial_W)$ be *a semi-h-cobordism, i.e.,* $\partial_- W \hookrightarrow W$ *is a homotopy equivalence. If* $\partial_+ W \hookrightarrow W$ *is an isomorphism on* π_1 *, then W is an h-cobordism.*

Proof. Let us denote $\pi := \pi_1(W) \cong \pi_1(\partial_W)$. Since the inclusion $\partial_W \to W$ is a homotopy equivalence, we must have $H^{i}(W, \partial_{-}W; \mathbf{Z}[\pi]) = 0$ for all *i*. By Lefschetz duality,

$$
H^i(W, \partial_+ W; \mathbf{Z}[\pi]) \cong H_{n-i}(W, \partial_- W; \mathbf{Z}[\pi]) = 0
$$

for all *i*, as well. Therefore, $\partial_+ W \hookrightarrow W$ induces an isomorphism on homology with $\mathbf{Z}[\pi]$ coefficients. Since it induces an isomorphism on π_1 by assumption, the homological version of Whitehead's theorem implies it is a homotopy equivalence. Thus, *W* is an *h*-cobordism, as required. \Box

Corollary 32 (Cutting a cobordism into an *h*-cobordism and a 3-handle cobordism)**.** *Let* $(W; \partial_W, \partial_W)$ *be a* 5-dimensional cobordism such that $\partial_W \to W$ is an isomorphism in π_i *for* $i = 1, 2$ *. Suppose also that* $\pi_1(\partial_+W) = \pi_1(\partial_-W) = 0$ *. Then, for the decomposition* $W = W_1 \cup_M W_2$ *constructed in Lemma [30,](#page-13-2) we can ensure* W_1 *is an h-cobordism and* W_2 *consists of only* 3*-handles.*

Proof. Since $\pi_1(\partial_-W) = 0$ and $\partial_-W \hookrightarrow W$ induces an isomorphism on π_1 by hypothesis, we must have $\pi_1(W) = 0$. As $\pi_1(\partial_+W) = 0$ by hypothesis, the inclusion $\partial_+W \hookrightarrow W$ must be induce an isomorphism on π_1 . Therefore, by Remark [26,](#page-11-2) we may choose a handle decomposition of *W* consisting of only 2- and 3-handles. Starting from this handle decomposition, we construct W_1 and W_2 exactly as in the proof of Lemma [30.](#page-13-2) Then W_2 consists of only handles of index 3, by construction.

By reversing the handlebody W_2 , we see W_2 is constructed from $\partial_+ W_2 = \partial_+ W$ by attaching handles of index 2. Since ∂_+W is simply connected, the attaching circles of these 2-handles must be nullhomotopic curves in ∂_+W . Consequently, W_2 must be homotopy equivalent to $\partial_+ W \vee (\vee_{j=1}^n S_j^2)$. Thus, W_2 is simply connected. Since W_2 is obtained from attaching handles of index 3 to $M = \partial_{-}W_2$, the inclusion $M \hookrightarrow W_2$ must induce an isomorphism on π_1 . Therefore, *M* is also simply connected.

By hypothesis, ∂ −*W* is simply connected. Since W_1 is a semi-*h*-cobordism, it is homotopy equivalent to ∂ −*W*₁ = ∂ −*W*. Hence, *W*₁ is also simply connected. Thus, the inclusion $M = \partial_+ W_1 \hookrightarrow W_1$ must be an isomorphism in π_1 as both domain and range are simply connected. By Lemma [31,](#page-14-0) we conclude W_1 is an *h*-cobordism. This proves the claim. \Box

3.5. **Obtaining a smooth structure with PSC metric.** In this section, we use the surgery results obtained till now to deduce that if the band $M^4 \times [-1, 1]$ over a simply connected smooth 4-manifold *M*⁴ contains a PSC separating hypersurface, then *M* has a (possibly, exotic) smooth structure carrying a PSC metric. This is the content of Proposition [34.](#page-17-1) The first step towards this is to modify the given PSC hypersurface to a simply connected PSC separating hypersurface by ambient surgeries. We accomplish this in the following lemma.

Lemma 33. Let *M* be a simply connected closed smooth 4-manifold. Let $\Sigma \subset M \times [-1,1]$ *be a separating hypersurface. Suppose* Σ *admits a positive scalar curvature metric. Then there exists a separating hypersurface* $\Sigma' \subset M \times [-1,1]$ *such that* Σ' *is simply connected, and also admits a positive scalar curvature metric.*

Proof. Since Σ is a compact manifold, $\pi_1(\Sigma)$ is finitely generated. We choose a generating set $[\gamma_1], \cdots, [\gamma_n]$ for $\pi_1(\Sigma)$. Since dim $\Sigma = 4 > 2$, we may ensure $\{\gamma_i\} \subset \Sigma$ are a mutually disjoint collection of embedded loops. As the interior $M \times (-1,1) \subset M \times [-1,1]$ is simply connected, γ_i are nullhomotopic in $M \times (-1,1)$. Since dim $(M \times (-1,1)) = 5 > 4$, we can find a mutually disjoint collection of embedded 2-disks $\{D_i\} \subset M \times (-1,1)$ such that $\partial D_i = \gamma_i$. We wish to perform ambient surgeries on Σ along γ_i using the disks D_i . See first row in Figure [1.](#page-16-0)

However, note that the interior of the disks D_i may intersect non-trivially with Σ . We overcome this issue by inductively performing surgeries on Σ along smaller subdisks of D_i . To this end, let us begin with the disk D_1 . Choose a parametrization $\phi : D^2 \to M \times (-1,1)$

FIGURE 1. Pair of red dots represent a loop $\gamma \subset \Sigma$. The blue curve represents an embedded disk $D^2 \subset M \times (-1,1)$ bounding γ . The right column is obtained from the left column by performing surgery along the innermost disk.

for D_1 . By a slight isotopy, we make ϕ transverse to Σ . Then $\phi^{-1}(D_1 \cap \Sigma)$ is a collection of closed embedded curves in *D*² . Among these curves, there must be at least one curve in *D*² bounding an *innermost* disk, i.e., a disk containing no other curves in its interior. Let us call the image of the innermost disk by ϕ as $D_{1,0}$. Then $D_{1,0} \subset M \times (-1,1)$ is an embedded disk with $\partial D_{1,0} \subset \Sigma$ and the interior of $D_{1,0}$ is disjoint from Σ . Let $\mathbf{D}_{1,0} \cong D_{1,0} \times D_{\delta}^3$ be a closed thickening of $D_{1,0}$ in $M \times (-1,1)$ such that

$$
\mathbf{D}_{1,0} \cap \Sigma = \partial \mathbf{D}_{1,0} \cap \Sigma \cong \partial D_{1,0} \times D^3_{\delta},
$$

is a closed normal neighborhood $N(\partial D_{1,0})$ of $\partial D_{1,0}$ in Σ . Then, we define:

$$
\Sigma_0 := \Sigma \,\triangle\, \partial \mathbf{D}_{1,0} = (\Sigma \setminus N(\partial D_{1,0})) \cup (\partial \mathbf{D}_{1,0} \setminus \partial \mathbf{D}_{1,0} \cap \Sigma).
$$

We say Σ_0 is obtained from Σ by ambiently surgering along $\partial D_{1,0}$ using the 2-disk $D_{1,0}$. See the second row of Figure [1.](#page-16-0) Note that Σ_0 is obtained from Σ by a 1-surgery. Therefore, as Σ is PSC, Σ_0 is PSC as well [\[GL80,](#page-19-5) [SY79\]](#page-19-9). Also, the number of circles in the intersection locus $\phi^{-1}(D_1 \cap \Sigma_0)$ reduces by 1 from that of $\phi^{-1}(D_1 \cap \Sigma)$. Further, $\pi_1(\Sigma_0)$ is a quotient of $\pi_1(\Sigma)$ by the normal subgroup generated by $[\partial D_{1,0}]$. After repeating this process finitely many times, we obtain a PSC hypersurface $\Sigma' \subset M \times (-1,1)$ such that $\pi_1(\Sigma')$ is a quotient of $\pi_1(\Sigma)/\langle\langle[\gamma_1]\rangle\rangle$. Repeating the same process with the rest of the disks D_i , $2 \le i \le n$, we obtain a PSC hypersurface $\Sigma' \subset M \times (-1,1)$ such that $\pi_1(\Sigma')$ is a quotient of

$$
\pi_1(\Sigma)/\langle\langle[\gamma_1],\cdots,[\gamma_n]\rangle\rangle\cong 0
$$

Therefore, $\Sigma' \subset M \times [-1,1]$ is a simply connected PSC separating hypersurface. \Box

Proposition 34. Let M be a simply connected closed smooth 4-manifold. Let $\Sigma \subset$ $M \times [-1, 1]$ *be an embedded hypersurface separating* $M \times \{-1\}$ *and* $M \times \{1\}$ *. If* Σ *admits a positive scalar curvature metric, then M is homeomorphic to a smooth* 4*-manifold M*′ *such that M*′ *admits a positive scalar curvature metric.*

Proof. Using Lemma [33](#page-15-1) we find a simply connected separating hypersurface $\Sigma' \subset M$ × $(-1, 1)$ which admits a positive scalar curvature metric. Let $W \subset M \times [-1, 1]$ denote the compact domain cobounded by $M \times \{-1\}$ and Σ' . We think of *W* as a cobordism from ∂ [−]*W* = *M* to ∂ ⁺*W* = Σ' . Restricting the projection $M \times [-1, 1] \rightarrow M \times \{1\}$ to *W*, we conclude *W* admits a retract $r : W \to \partial_W W = M$. Furthermore, $M \times [-1, 1] \to M$ is certainly a normal map, and therefore so is the restriction to *W*. Using Lemma [28,](#page-12-0) we find a new cobordism W'' between M and Σ such that the inclusion $M \hookrightarrow W''$ induces an isomorphism on π_i for $i = 1, 2$. Since Σ' is simply connected, the cobordism $(W''; M, \Sigma')$ satisfies the conditions of Corollary [32.](#page-14-1) Therefore, we may find a splitting

$$
W'' = W_1'' \cup_{M'} W_2'',
$$

such that $(W''_1; M, M')$ is an *h*-cobordism and (W''_2, M', Σ') consists of only 3-handles. Reversing the handle decomposition of W''_2 , we see M' is obtained from Σ' by attaching 2-handles. In other words, M' is obtained from Σ' by 1-surgeries. Therefore, M' admits a PSC metric since Σ' admits a PSC metric [\[GL80\]](#page-19-5).

Since *M* and *M*′ are simply connected closed 4-manifolds which are *h*-cobordant, they must be homeomorphic by Freedman's theorem [\[Fre82\]](#page-19-12) (see also, [\[BKK](#page-19-19)⁺21]). Thus, *M* is homeomorphic to a 4-manifold M' which admits a PSC metric, as desired. \Box

4. Width inequality and *S* 1 -stability in dimension 4

We now establish the band width inequality for simply connected 4-manifolds that are not PSC upto homeomorphisms.

Theorem 35. *Let M*⁴ *be a closed simply connected smooth* 4*-manifold that is not PSC upto homeomorphism. Let g be a metric on the band* $X = M^4 \times [-1, 1]$ *such that* $R_g \geq 20$ *. Then,*

$$
\text{width}(X^5, g) := \text{dist}_g(M \times \{-1\}, M \times \{1\}) \le \frac{2\pi}{5}
$$

Proof. First note that by Proposition [34,](#page-17-1) the band *X* over *M* does not admit a PSC separating hypersurface. If the inequality is not satisfied, then the band *X* satisfies all hypothesis of Proposition [19](#page-7-2) with $n = 4$. Consequently, *X* admits a separating μ -bubble that is PSC. Contradiction. □

Next, we prove $S¹$ stability for simply connected 4-manifolds.

Theorem 36. *Let M*⁴ *be a simply connected closed smooth* 4*-manifold. Then M is PSC up to homeomorphism if and only if* $M \times S^1$ *is PSC.*

Proof. (\Longleftarrow) First, assume that $M \times S^1$ is PSC. Then we may lift the metric to a complete and uniformly PSC metric on the cover $M \times \mathbf{R}$. After scaling we may assume that $R_{M\times R} \geq 20$. Next, we take *t* large enough so that the width of $M \times [-t, t]$ is greater than $2\pi/5$. By Theorem [35](#page-17-2) this implies that M is PSC upto homeomorphism.

 (\Longrightarrow) Now, we assume that *M* is PSC upto homeomorphism. So, there is a smooth 4-manifold *M*′ which PSC and *M* is homeomorphic to *M*′ . Note that, as *M*′ is PSC, so is $M' \times S^1$ (one can take the metric $g_{M'} + dt^2$). Now, since M and M' are simply connected, there is an *h*-cobordism $(W; M', M)$. Upon taking a product with S^1 , we obtain an *h*-cobordism $(W \times S^1; M' \times S^1, (M \times S^1))$. Note that $\pi_1(M \times S^1) = \mathbb{Z}$ as M is simply connected. But the Whitehead group $Wh(\mathbf{Z})$ vanishes, therefore $M \times S^1$ and $M' \times S^1$ are diffeomorphic by the *s*-cobordism theorem. Pulling back the PSC metric on $M' \times S^1$ by this diffeomorphism, we obtain $M \times S^1$ is also PSC.

Corollary 37. *Let M be a simply connected closed smooth* 4*-manifold that is not PSC up to homeomorphism. Then* $M \times \mathbf{R}$ *does not admit a complete metric of positive scalar curvature.*

Finally, we extend the Gromov-Lawson-Stolz classification of simply connected manifolds with PSC to dimension 4.

Observation 38 (Gromov-Lawson-Stolz in $n = 4$). Let $M⁴$ be a closed simply connected smooth 4-manifold. If *M* is not spin, then it is PSC upto homeomorphism. If *M* is spin, then it is PSC upto homeomorphism if and only if $\hat{A}(M) = 0$.

Proof. Suppose *M* is not spin. Since *M* is a simply connected this is equivalent to *M* having with an odd intersection form Q_M . Therefore, with $m = b_2^+(M)$ and $n = b_2^-(M)$, we have that $Q_M = m\langle 1 \rangle \oplus n\langle -1 \rangle$. Consequently, M is homeomorphic to $m\mathbb{CP}^2 \# n\overline{\mathbb{CP}}^2$ by Freedman's theorem [\[Fre82\]](#page-19-12). The latter is a PSC manifold.

Suppose *M* is spin. By the classical result of Lichnerowicz [\[Lic63\]](#page-19-20), if *M* is spin and $\hat{A}(M) \neq 0$, then *M* does not admit a PSC metric up to homeomorphism. This is true upto homeomorphism because \hat{A} is a homotopy invariant (in particular, a homeomorphism invariant) in dimension 4. In the other direction, it is noted earlier that in dimension $A, \hat{A}(M) = \sigma(M)/8$, where $\sigma = b_2^+ - b_2^-$ is the signature. Therefore, if $\hat{A}(M) = 0$, this implies that *M* has zero signature. But all simply connected spin 4-manifolds with zero signature are homeomorphic to a connect sum $\#^k(S^2 \times S^2)$ for some $k \geq 1$ [\[Fre82\]](#page-19-12). The latter is a PSC manifold. \Box

REFERENCES

- [BKK⁺21] Stefan Behrens, Boldizsár Kalmár, Min Hoon Kim, Mark Powell, and Arunima Ray, editors. *The disc embedding theorem*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2021. [11,](#page-10-3) [18](#page-17-3)
- [Cho21] Otis Chodosh. Stable minimal surfaces and positive scalar curvature, 2021. Lecture notes, https://web.stanford.edu/~ochodosh/Math25[8](#page-7-3)-min-surf.pdf. 8
- [CL24] Otis Chodosh and Chao Li. Generalized soap bubbles and the topology of manifolds with positive scalar curvature. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 199(2):707–740, 2024. [6](#page-5-5)
- [CLL23] Otis Chodosh, Chao Li, and Yevgeny Liokumovich. Classifying sufficiently connected PSC manifolds in 4 and 5 dimensions. *Geom. Topol.*, 27(4):1635–1655, 2023. [6](#page-5-5)
- [CRZ23] Simone Cecchini, Daniel Räde, and Rudolf Zeidler. Nonnegative scalar curvature on manifolds with at least two ends. *J. Topol.*, 16(3):855–876, 2023. [6](#page-5-5)
- [Fre82] Michael Hartley Freedman. The topology of four-dimensional manifolds. *J. Differential Geometry*, 17(3):357–453, 1982. [6,](#page-5-5) [18,](#page-17-3) [19](#page-18-0)
- [Giu84] Enrico Giusti. *Minimal surfaces and functions of bounded variation*, volume 80 of *Monographs in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1984. [7](#page-6-2)
- [GL80] Mikhael Gromov and H. Blaine Lawson, Jr. The classification of simply connected manifolds of positive scalar curvature. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 111(3):423–434, 1980. [2,](#page-1-4) [3,](#page-2-1) [5,](#page-4-1) [17,](#page-16-1) [18](#page-17-3)
- [Gro18] Misha Gromov. Metric inequalities with scalar curvature. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 28(3):645–726, 2018. [2](#page-1-4)
- [Gro23] Misha Gromov. Four lectures on scalar curvature. In *Perspectives in scalar curvature. Vol. 1*, pages 1–514. World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, [2023] ©2023. [2,](#page-1-4) [5,](#page-4-1) [6,](#page-5-5) [7,](#page-6-2) [8](#page-7-3)
- [GS99] Robert E. Gompf and Andr´as I. Stipsicz. 4*-manifolds and Kirby calculus*, volume 20 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999. [3,](#page-2-1) [10](#page-9-3)
- [KW75] Jerry L. Kazdan and F. W. Warner. Scalar curvature and conformal deformation of Riemannian structure. *J. Differential Geometry*, 10:113–134, 1975. [8](#page-7-3)
- [Lic63] Andr´e Lichnerowicz. Spineurs harmoniques. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 257:7–9, 1963. [19](#page-18-0)
- [LM24] Wolfgang L¨uck and Tibor Macko. *Surgery theory—foundations*, volume 362 of *Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer, Cham, [2024] ©2024. With contributions by Diarmuid Crowley. [3](#page-2-1)
- [Mil65] John W. Milnor. Remarks concerning spin manifolds. In *Differential and Combinatorial Topology (A Symposium in Honor of Marston Morse)*, pages 55–62. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1965. [2](#page-1-4)
- [Räd23] Daniel Räde. Scalar and mean curvature comparison via μ -bubbles. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 62(7):Paper No. 187, 39, 2023. [2,](#page-1-4) [5,](#page-4-1) [6,](#page-5-5) [12](#page-11-3)
- [Ros07] Jonathan Rosenberg. Manifolds of positive scalar curvature: a progress report. In *Surveys in differential geometry. Vol. XI*, volume 11 of *Surv. Differ. Geom.*, pages 259–294. Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2007. [2,](#page-1-4) [3](#page-2-1)
- [Sto92] Stephan Stolz. Simply connected manifolds of positive scalar curvature. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 136(3):511–540, 1992. [2](#page-1-4)
- [SY79] R. Schoen and S. T. Yau. On the structure of manifolds with positive scalar curvature. *Manuscripta Math.*, 28(1-3):159–183, 1979. [3,](#page-2-1) [5,](#page-4-1) [7,](#page-6-2) [8,](#page-7-3) [17](#page-16-1)
- [SZ20] Thomas Schick and Vito Felice Zenobi. Positive scalar curvature due to the cokernel of the classifying map. *SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl.*, 16:Paper No. 129, 12, 2020. [5,](#page-4-1) [12](#page-11-3)
- [Tau94] Clifford Henry Taubes. The Seiberg-Witten invariants and symplectic forms. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 1(6):809–822, 1994. [3](#page-2-1)
- [Wal64] C. T. C. Wall. On simply-connected 4-manifolds. *J. London Math. Soc.*, 39:141–149, 1964. [3](#page-2-1)
- [Wal99] C. T. C. Wall. *Surgery on compact manifolds*, volume 69 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, second edition, 1999. Edited and with a foreword by A. A. Ranicki. [5,](#page-4-1) [12,](#page-11-3) [14](#page-13-3)
- [Zhu21] Jintian Zhu. Width estimate and doubly warped product. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 374(2):1497– 1511, 2021. [6,](#page-5-5) [7](#page-6-2)

Department of Mathematics, Johns Hopkins University. 3400 N. Charles Street, Baltimore, MD 21218, USA

Email address: akumar65@jhu.edu

School of Mathematics, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research. 1, Homi Bhabha Road, Mumbai-400005, India

Email address: balarka2000@gmail.com, balarka@math.tifr.res.in