

# A FAMILY OF LEVEL-TRANSITIVE GROUPS WITH POSITIVE FIXED-POINT PROPORTION AND POSITIVE HAUSDORFF DIMENSION

SANTIAGO RADI

ABSTRACT. This article provides a method to calculate the fixed-point proportion of any iterated wreath product acting on a  $d$ -regular tree. Moreover, the method applies to a generalization of iterated wreath products acting on a  $d$ -regular tree, which are not groups. As an application of this generalization, a family of groups of finite type of depth 2 acting on a  $d$ -regular tree with  $d \geq 3$  and  $d \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  is constructed. These groups are self-similar, level-transitive, have positive Hausdorff dimension, and exhibit a positive fixed-point proportion. Unlike other groups with a positive fixed-point proportion known in the literature, the fixed-point proportion of this new family can be calculated explicitly. Furthermore, the iterated Galois group of the polynomial  $x^d + 1$  with  $d \geq 2$  appears in this family, so its fixed-point proportion is calculated.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Given a spherically homogeneous tree  $T$  and  $X$  a set of the group of automorphisms of  $T$ , the fixed-point proportion of  $X$  (denoted  $\text{FPP}(X)$ ) measures the proportion of elements in  $X$  fixing at least one infinite path in the tree  $T$ .

R. W. K. Odoni first introduced this idea in [19] to prove that the Dirichlet density (and also the natural density) of the set of primes dividing any term of the recurrent sequence  $w_{n+1} = 1 + w_1 \cdots w_n$  with  $w_1 = 2$  is zero. His strategy involved finding a polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  such that  $f(w_{n+1}) = w_n$  for all  $n \geq 1$  (in his case,  $f(x) = x^2 - x + 1$ ), and then calculating the Galois group  $G_\infty(\mathbb{Q}, f, 0) = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\cup_{n \geq 0} f^{-n}(0))/\mathbb{Q})$ , now known as the iterated Galois group of  $f$ . It turns out that  $G_\infty(\mathbb{Q}, f, 0)$  can be embedded in  $\text{Aut}(T)$ , where  $T$  a binary tree, and that the Dirichlet density  $\mathcal{D}(\{p \text{ prime and } p \mid w_n \text{ for some } n\})$  can be bounded above by the  $\text{FPP}(G_\infty(\mathbb{Q}, f, 0))$ .

His solution was quickly generalized to any sequence of the form  $\{f^n(a_0)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , with  $a_0$  an element of a number field  $K$  and  $f$  a rational function in  $\mathbb{P}^1(K)$  such that  $\deg(f) = d \geq 2$ ,  $f^n(\infty) \neq 0$  for sufficiently large  $n$  and  $\#f^{-n}(0) = \deg(f)^n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . In fact, if we consider

$$P_f(a_0) := \{\mathfrak{p} \in M_K^0 : v_{\mathfrak{p}}(f^n(a_0)) > 0 \text{ for some } n \text{ such that } f^n(a_0) \neq 0\},$$

---

*Date:* December 2024.

*Key words and phrases.* Fixed-point proportion, groups acting on trees, iterated Galois groups.

The author is supported by Grigorchuk's Simons Foundation Grant MP-TSM-00002045 and the department of Mathematics of Texas A&M University.

then  $G_\infty(K, f, 0) = \text{Gal}(K(\cup_{n \geq 0} f^{-n}(0))/K)$  can also be embedded in  $\text{Aut}(T)$  for a  $d$ -regular tree  $T$  and

$$\mathcal{D}(P_f(a_0)) \leq \text{FPP}(G_\infty(K, f, 0)),$$

where  $M_K^0$  is the set of non-trivial prime ideals of the ring of integers  $\mathcal{O}_K$  of  $K$ , and  $v_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is the  $\mathfrak{p}$ -adic valuation (see [14]).

Then, more applications to arithmetic dynamics were discovered by studying the fixed-point proportion of

$$G_\infty(K, f, t) := \text{Gal}(K(\cup_{n \geq 0} f^{-n}(t))/K),$$

where  $K$  is any global field,  $t$  is transcendental over  $K$ , and  $f$  is a rational function in  $\mathbb{P}^1(K)$  such that  $\deg(f) \geq 2$  and  $\#f^{-n}(t) = \deg(f)^n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Theorem 1.1** (see [5, Corollary 3.5]). *Fix a prime power  $q$  and a rational function  $f \in \mathbb{F}_q(x)$  of degree at least 2. Denote by  $\text{Per}(f, \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}))$  the set of periodic points of  $f$  in the projective space  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$ . Then*

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\text{Per}(f, \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}))}{q^n + 1} \leq \text{FPP}(G_\infty(\mathbb{F}_q, f, t))$$

where  $t$  transcendental over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .

**Theorem 1.2** (see [5, Theorem 3.11] and [15, Proposition 5.3]). *Let  $K$  be a number field and  $f$  a rational function in  $K(x)$  of degree at least 2. For any prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  in  $\mathcal{O}_K$  denote by  $f_{\mathfrak{p}}$  the reduction of  $f$  modulo  $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}$ . Denote by  $\text{Per}(f_{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}})$  the set of periodic points of  $f_{\mathfrak{p}}$  in the finite field  $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Then,*

$$\liminf_{N(\mathfrak{p}) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\text{Per}(f, \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}})}{N(\mathfrak{p}) + 1} \leq \text{FPP}(G_\infty(\mathbb{C}, f, t)),$$

where  $t$  is transcendental over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

The intriguing applications of the fixed-point proportion in arithmetic dynamics have inspired number theorists and group theorists to study it more generally for any group  $G$  in  $\text{Aut}(T)$ .

Most of the results in the literature demonstrate that the fixed-point proportion of a group is zero. We highlight the following three results:

**Theorem 1.3** (see [5, Theorem 5.14] and [13, Theorem 1.5]). *Let  $G \leq \text{Aut}(T)$  be a level-transitive subgroup defined by a contracting automaton acting on a  $d$ -regular tree  $T$ . Let  $\mathcal{N}$  denote the nucleus of  $G$  and define*

$$\mathcal{N}_1 := \{g \in \mathcal{N} : \exists v \in T \setminus \{\emptyset\} \text{ such that } g(v) = v \text{ and } g|_v = g\},$$

where  $g|_v$  is the action of  $g$  on the subtree underneath  $v$ . Suppose that one of the following holds:

- (i)  $d$  is prime;
- (ii)  $G$  acts doubly transitive on the first level of  $T$ ;
- (iii)  $G$  has an element whose powers act transitively over all the levels of the tree.

Moreover, suppose that every element of  $\mathcal{N}_1$  fixes infinitely many elements on the boundary of  $T$ , then  $\text{FPP}(G) = 0$ .

**Theorem 1.4** (see [1, Corollary 2.6]). *Let  $\mathcal{P} \leq \text{Sym}(d)$  and consider the iterated wreath product  $W_{\mathcal{P}} \leq \text{Aut}(T)$ . If  $\mathcal{P}$  is transitive, then  $\text{FPP}(W_{\mathcal{P}}) = 0$ .*

**Theorem 1.5** (see [6, Theorem 1]). *Let  $T$  be a  $d$ -regular tree and  $G \leq \text{Aut}(T)$  a super-strongly fractal group. Then,  $\text{FPP}(G) = 0$ .*

On the other hand, few cases of groups in which the fixed point proportion is positive are known. We have, for example:

**Theorem 1.6** (see [13, Proposition 1.2]). *Let  $T_d$  be the Chebyshev polynomial with degree  $d$ . Then,  $\text{FPP}(\text{IMG}(\pm T_d)) = 1/4$  if  $d$  is even and  $1/2$  if  $d$  is odd,*

where in [Theorem 1.6](#), IMG means the iterated monodromy group of the polynomial  $T_d$  (see [18, Chapter 5] for more information). However, these groups have Hausdorff dimension zero with respect to  $\text{Aut}(T)$ , meaning that they are somehow small.

This difference among the amount of results proving null fixed-point proportion and the amount of examples with positive fixed-point proportion is reasonable as we heuristically expect for a random group in  $\text{Aut}(T)$  to have fixed-point proportion zero.

The first task in this article will be to generalize [Theorem 1.4](#) to any iterated wreath product. Given  $S \subseteq \text{Sym}(d)$  we define  $W_S$  as the set of elements in  $\text{Aut}(T)$  with  $T$  a  $d$ -regular tree such that the action underneath any vertex is a permutation of  $S$ . Associated with  $S$ , we will define a polynomial  $f_S$  of degree at most  $d$  whose  $k$ -th coefficients store the information of the amount of permutations in  $S$  fixing exactly  $k$  points. The result is the following:

**Theorem A.** *Let  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $S \subseteq \text{Sym}(d)$ .*

- (1)  $\text{FPP}(W_S)$  equals the largest fixed point of  $f_S$  in  $[0, 1]$ .
- (2)  $\text{FPP}(W_S)$  is the solution of a polynomial of degree at most  $d - 1$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z} \left[ \frac{1}{\#S} \right]$ .
- (3)  $\text{FPP}(W_S) = 0$  if and only if  $f'_S(0) \leq 1$  and  $f_S$  is not the identity function.
- (4)  $\text{FPP}(W_S) = 1$  if and only if every element in  $S$  fixes at least one element in  $\{1, \dots, d\}$ .

As a corollary of [Theorem A](#), we obtain

**Theorem B.** *Let  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ , the set  $X = \{1, \dots, d\}$  and  $\mathcal{P} \leq \text{Sym}(d)$ . Then the fixed point proportion of  $W_{\mathcal{P}}$  is:*

$$\text{FPP}(W_{\mathcal{P}}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \mathcal{P} \text{ is transitive over } X, \\ \alpha \in (0, 1) & \text{if } \mathcal{P} \text{ not transitive and } \exists \sigma \text{ with no fixed points} \\ 1 & \text{if } \mathcal{P} \text{ not transitive and every element fixes a point.} \end{cases}$$

In [11], Rafe Jones conjectured that in the binary tree, there are no groups  $G$  that are level-transitive, with positive Hausdorff dimension and with positive fixed-point proportion. The question was addressed by Nigel Boston in [4] where he found a counterexample to Jones' conjecture. Boston's article was presented to the author during the workshop 'Groups of Dynamical Origin' (see [24]) by V. Goksel, who suggested generalizing this result to other  $d$ -regular trees with  $d \geq 3$ . The groups will be written as  $G_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}}$  since they depend on two subgroups  $\mathcal{Q} \triangleleft \mathcal{P} \leq \text{Sym}(d)$ . The groups will be of finite type, which in particular will imply that they have positive Hausdorff dimension. Then, we will characterize in terms of  $\mathcal{Q}$  and  $\mathcal{P}$  when the groups are level-transitive and have positive fixed-point proportion.

It is proved by Boston in [4] that the group he constructs has positive fixed-point proportion but it seems hard to compute the exact value due to how hard the construction is.

By leveraging [Theorem A](#), we can explicitly compute the fixed-point proportion of the group  $G_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}}$ , finding the value as the sum of finitely many fixed points of the polynomials presented in [Theorem A](#). In conclusion, we have the following result:

**Theorem C.** *Let  $d \geq 3$  and  $1 < \mathcal{Q} \triangleleft \mathcal{P} \leq \text{Sym}(d)$ . Then the group  $G_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}}$  acts on a  $d$ -regular tree, it is of finite type of depth 2, it has Hausdorff dimension  $\frac{\log(|\mathcal{Q}|)}{\log(d!)}$  and the fixed-point proportion can be explicitly computed in terms of  $\mathcal{Q}$  and  $\mathcal{P}$ .*

In the article, we will compute two examples. From one of them we obtain the following:

**Theorem D.** *Let  $d \geq 3$  and write  $d = 2^n r$  with  $r$  odd. Take  $\mathcal{Q} = C_2^n \times C_r$  and  $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{Q} \rtimes \text{Aut}(\mathcal{Q})$ . Then  $\mathcal{Q}$  and  $\mathcal{P}$  can be embedded in  $\text{Sym}(d)$  such that  $\mathcal{Q}$  acts transitively on  $\{1, \dots, d\}$  and consequently  $G_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}}$  is level-transitive, it has positive Hausdorff dimension equal to  $\frac{\log(d)}{\log(d!)}$  and the fixed-point proportion is*

$$\text{FPP}(G_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}}) = \frac{\#\{A \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_n) : A - 1 \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_2)\}}{|\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_2)|} \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}, p|d} \left( \frac{p-2}{p-1} \right).$$

The other example that we will compute will be the iterated Galois group of the polynomial  $x^d + 1$ , allowing to conclude

**Theorem E.** *Let  $d \geq 2$  and  $f(x) = x^d + 1$ . Then  $G_{\infty}(\mathbb{Q}, f, t)$  with  $t$  transcendental over  $\mathbb{Q}$  is of finite type of depth 2, it has positive Hausdorff dimension equal to  $\frac{\log(d)}{\log(d!)}$  and*

$$\text{FPP}(G_{\infty}(\mathbb{Q}, f, t)) = \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}, p|d} \left( \frac{p-2}{p-1} \right).$$

The argument used in [Theorem 1.3](#) relies on defining a random process (referred to as the fixed-point process) and proving that, under certain conditions, this random process is a martingale.

In [5], the question is raised whether a rational function can exist such that the fixed-point process of its iterated monodromy group is not a martingale. This question was addressed in [8], where rational functions were found whose fixed-point processes of their iterated monodromy groups are not martingales. However, the fixed-point proportion for these groups has not been computed. In this article, we will characterize the conditions under which the fixed-point process of the groups  $G_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}}$  is a martingale ([Proposition 4.4](#)).

**Organization.** This article is organized into seven sections. [Section 2](#) introduces the background necessary to follow this article. [Section 3](#) focuses on proving [Theorem A](#) and deducing [Theorem B](#). In [Section 4](#), we define the groups  $G_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}}$  and characterize conditions under which these groups are level-transitive, exhibit a martingale fixed-point process, or are topologically finitely generated. Additionally, we provide a formula to explicitly calculate their fixed-point proportion, yielding [Theorem C](#). [Section 5](#) includes two examples, with the second one resulting in

**Theorem D.** In Section 6, it is proved that the first example corresponds to the iterated Galois group of  $x^d + 1$ , proving Theorem E. Finally, in Section 7, the author presents open questions that are considered relevant to the theory of fixed-point proportions.

**Acknowledgements.** The idea for this work emerged during the workshop Groups of Dynamical Origin in Pasadena, 2024, organized by R. Grigorchuk, D. Savchuk, and C. Medynets. The author is grateful to the American Institute of Mathematics (AIM) for sponsoring the workshop, which facilitated the discussion of new problems at the intersection of group theory, number theory, and arithmetic dynamics. The author also thanks all the participants of the conference who worked on the fixed-point proportion project, particularly V. Goksel, who suggested the problem addressed in this article. Gratitude is also extended to T. Tucker, who, in a private communication, provided an example that motivated the construction of this family of groups, and to J. Fariña-Asategui for reading and suggesting corrections.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

**2.1. About general notation.** Given a set  $S$ , the notation  $\#S$  will refer to its number of elements. In the case where  $S$  is a subgroup, we will use  $|S|$  instead. If  $H$  is a subgroup of  $G$ , we will use  $H \leq G$  and if  $H$  has finite index on  $G$ , we will denote it by  $H \leq_f G$ . The subgroup  $G'$  will denote the commutator subgroup of  $G$  and  $N_G(H)$  the normalizer of  $H$  in  $G$ .

**2.2. Groups acting on rooted trees.** A *spherically homogeneous infinite rooted tree*  $T$  is a tree with infinitely many vertices and a root  $\emptyset$ , where the vertices at the same distance from the root all have the same number of descendants, this number is finite and greater or equal to 2 for every vertex in  $T$ . The set of vertices at a distance exactly  $n \geq 0$  from the root form the  $n$ -th level of  $T$  and will be denoted  $\mathcal{L}_n$ . The vertices whose distance is at most  $n$  from the root form the  $n$ -th truncated tree of  $T$ , denoted  $T^n$ . If all the vertices of the tree have the same number of descendants  $d$ , the tree will be called  *$d$ -regular*. The group of automorphisms of  $T$ , denoted  $\text{Aut}(T)$ , is the group of bijective functions from  $T$  to  $T$  that send the root to the root and preserve adjacency between the vertices. This in particular implies that  $\text{Aut}(T) \curvearrowright \mathcal{L}_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . For any vertex  $v \in T$  the subtree rooted at  $v$ , which is again a spherically homogeneous infinite rooted tree, is denoted  $T_v$ . Notice also that if  $v$  and  $w$  are vertices on the same level, then  $T_v$  and  $T_w$  are isomorphic and that if  $T$  is  $d$ -regular then  $T_v$  is also  $d$ -regular. In this article, the action of  $\text{Aut}(T)$  on  $T$  will be on the left, so if  $g, h \in \text{Aut}(T)$  and  $v \in T$ , then  $(gh)(v) = g(h(v))$ .

Given a vertex  $v \in T$  we write  $\text{st}(v)$  for the *stabilizer of the vertex  $v$* , namely, the subgroup of the elements  $g \in \text{Aut}(T)$  such that  $g(v) = v$ . Given  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we write  $\text{St}(n) = \bigcap_{v \in \mathcal{L}_n} \text{st}(v)$ , and we call it the *stabilizer of level  $n$* . It is not hard to see that  $\text{St}(n)$  is a normal subgroup of finite index. This latter is because  $\text{Aut}(T)/\text{St}(n)$  is isomorphic to  $\text{Aut}(T^n)$  that is a finite group. We can make  $\text{Aut}(T)$  a topological group by declaring  $\text{St}(n)$  to be a base of neighborhoods of the identity. Then  $\text{Aut}(T)$  is homeomorphic and isomorphic to  $\varprojlim \text{Aut}(T)/\text{St}(n)$ , making  $\text{Aut}(T)$  a profinite group. The transition maps will be  $\pi_n : \text{Aut}(T) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(T^n)$  corresponding to restrict the action of an element on the whole tree to only the first  $n$  levels.

Let  $v \in T$  and  $g \in \text{Aut}(T)$ . By preservation of adjacency, there exists a unique map  $g|_v \in \text{Aut}(T_v)$  such that for all  $w \in T_v$ ,

$$g(vw) = g(v)g|_v(w).$$

This map is called the *section* of  $g$  at vertex  $v$ . To compact notation, we will write  $g|_v^n$  for  $\pi_n(g|_v)$ . A way to describe an element  $g$  in  $\text{Aut}(T)$  is by decorating each vertex  $v$  on the tree with the permutation  $g|_v^1$ . This description is known as the *portrait* of  $g$ . The sections satisfy the following two properties:

$$(1) \quad (gh)|_v = g|_{h(v)}h|_v \text{ and } (g^{-1})|_v = (g|_{g^{-1}(v)})^{-1}.$$

Let us fix now a subgroup  $G \leq \text{Aut}(T)$ . Then  $G$  also has actions on  $T$  and  $\mathcal{L}_n$ . We define vertex stabilizers and level stabilizers by restricting the previous ones to the group, i.e.,  $\text{st}_G(v) := \text{st}(v) \cap G$  and  $\text{St}_G(n) := \text{St}(n) \cap G$  for  $v \in T$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Definition 2.1.** *We say that a group  $G \leq \text{Aut}(T)$  is level-transitive if the actions  $G \curvearrowright \mathcal{L}_n$  are transitive for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

**Definition 2.2.** *Consider  $H \leq G \leq \text{Aut}(T)$ . The relative Hausdorff dimension of  $H$  on  $G$  is defined as the number*

$$\mathcal{H}_G(H) := \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log(|\pi_n(H)|)}{\log(|\pi_n(G)|)}.$$

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $T$  be a spherically homogeneous infinite rooted tree and  $K \leq_f H \leq G \leq \text{Aut}(T)$ . Then*

- (1)  $\mathcal{H}_G(H) = \mathcal{H}_G(\overline{H})$ ,
- (2) if  $H$  has infinitely many elements, then  $\mathcal{H}_G(H) = \mathcal{H}_G(K)$ .

*Proof.* (1) follows from the fact that  $\pi_n(H) = \pi_n(\overline{H})$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and (2) because for  $n$  big enough we have that  $|\pi_n(H)| = [H : K] |\pi_n(K)|$ .  $\square$

**Definition 2.4.** *If  $G \leq \text{Aut}(T)$ , the Hausdorff dimension of  $G$  is defined as*

$$\mathcal{H}(G) := \mathcal{H}_{\text{Aut}(T)}(G).$$

**2.3. Groups of finite type.** If we restrict our attention to  $d$ -regular trees, we say that a group  $G \leq \text{Aut}(T)$  is *self-similar* if  $g|_v \in G$  for all  $v \in T$  and  $g \in G$ .

If  $K$  is a subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(T)$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we define the *geometric product* of  $K$  on level  $n$  as

$$K_n := \{g \in \text{St}(n) : g|_v \in K \text{ for all } v \in \mathcal{L}_n\}.$$

We say that  $G \leq \text{Aut}(T)$  is *regular branch* over a subgroup  $K$  if  $K_1 \leq_f K \leq_f G$ .

**Lemma 2.5** ([20, Lemma 10]). *Let  $T$  be a  $d$ -regular tree and  $G \leq \text{Aut}(T)$  be a self-similar regular branch group, branching over a subgroup  $K$  containing  $\text{St}_G(m)$ . Then for all  $n \geq m$ ,*

$$\text{St}_G(n) \simeq \prod_{i=1}^{d^{n-m}} \text{St}_G(m)$$

via the isomorphism  $g \mapsto \prod_{v \in \mathcal{L}_{n-m}} (g|_v)$ .

Groups of finite type (also called finitely constrained groups in the literature) are a special family of groups in  $\text{Aut}(T)$  constructed to mimic subshifts of finite type. (see, for example, [17, Chapter 2]). We summarize here the definition and some properties that we will use throughout the article.

Let  $D$  be a positive natural number,  $T$  a  $d$ -regular rooted tree and  $\mathcal{P}$  a subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(T^D)$ . The *group of finite type of depth  $D$*  and set of *patterns*  $\mathcal{P}$  is defined as

$$(2) \quad G_{\mathcal{P}} := \{g \in \text{Aut}(T) : g|_v^D \in \mathcal{P} \text{ for all } v \in T\}.$$

A group  $G$  is said to be of *finite type* if there exist  $D \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\mathcal{P} \leq \text{Aut}(T^D)$  such that  $G = G_{\mathcal{P}}$ .

Thus, the elements of  $G$  must act at every vertex according to a finite family of permitted actions on the  $D$ -truncated tree.

If for example  $D = 1$ , we are forcing the portraits of each element in  $G_{\mathcal{P}}$  to be in a certain subgroup  $\mathcal{P}$  of  $\text{Sym}(d)$ . In this case the group is isomorphic to the inverse limit of the *iterated wreath products*  $\mathcal{P} \wr \mathcal{P} \wr \cdots \wr \mathcal{P}$ . We will denote these groups by  $W_{\mathcal{P}}$ .

**Proposition 2.6** ([3, page 3] and [9, Proposition 7.5]). *If  $G$  is a group of finite type of depth  $D$  then  $G$  is closed, self-similar and regular branch over  $\text{St}_G(D-1)$ .*

The following result characterizes groups of finite type and their importance in the theory of groups acting on trees:

**Theorem 2.7** ([21, Theorem 3]). *Groups of finite type are the closure of regular branch groups. Furthermore if  $G$  is a regular branch group branching over a subgroup  $K$  containing  $\text{St}_G(D-1)$ , then  $\overline{G}$  is a group of finite type of depth  $D$ .*

**Lemma 2.8.** *Let  $T$  be a  $d$ -regular tree, a number  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\mathcal{P} \leq \text{Sym}(d)$ . Then  $|\pi_n(W_{\mathcal{P}})| = |\mathcal{P}|^{\frac{d^n-1}{d-1}}$ .*

*Proof.* Since at any vertex of the truncated tree  $T^{n-1}$  we are allowed to put as portrait any element of  $\mathcal{P}$ , we have

$$|\pi_n(W_{\mathcal{P}})| = |\mathcal{P}|^{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \#\mathcal{L}_i}$$

. Since  $\#\mathcal{L}_i = d^i$ , we obtain

$$|\pi_n(W_{\mathcal{P}})| = |\mathcal{P}|^{\frac{d^n-1}{d-1}}.$$

□

We say that a closed subgroup  $G \leq \text{Aut}(T)$  is *topologically finitely generated* if there exists  $H \leq G$  finitely generated such that  $\overline{H} = G$ . The following is a standard result:

**Lemma 2.9.** *Let  $H \leq_f G$  be closed groups. Then  $H$  is topologically finitely generated if and only if  $G$  is topologically finitely generated.*

The topologically finitely generation of iterated wreath products is completely classified by the following result:

**Theorem 2.10** ([2, Theorem 1]). *Let  $\mathcal{P} \leq \text{Sym}(d)$  be a transitive group and  $W_{\mathcal{P}}$  be the iterated wreath product of  $\mathcal{P}$ . Then  $W_{\mathcal{P}}$  is topologically finitely generated if and only if the abelianization of  $\mathcal{P}$  is trivial.*

**2.4. Fixed-point proportion.** We now define the fixed-point proportion of a subgroup  $G$  of  $\text{Aut}(T)$  acting on a spherically homogeneous tree  $T$  as

$$(3) \quad \text{FPP}(G) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{g \in \pi_n(G) : g \text{ fixes a vertex in } \mathcal{L}_n\}}{|\pi_n(G)|}.$$

**Lemma 2.11.** *The fixed-point proportion of  $G$  is well-defined, namely, the limit in Equation (3) always exists.*

*Proof.* Consider the surjective map  $\pi : \pi_{n+1}(G) \rightarrow \pi_n(G)$ , namely, the projection of the action on level  $n+1$  to level  $n$ . Notice that if  $h \in \pi_n(G)$  does not fix any vertex at level  $n$ , then all the preimages of  $h$  under  $\pi$  will not fix any vertex at level  $n+1$ . Since  $\pi$  is a group homomorphism, the number of preimages is  $|\pi_{n+1}(G)| / |\pi_n(G)|$  for any point  $h \in \pi_n(G)$  and consequently we have the inequality

$$|\pi_{n+1}(G)| - f_{n+1} \geq \frac{|\pi_{n+1}(G)|}{|\pi_n(G)|} (|\pi_n(G)| - f_n),$$

where

$$f_n = \#\{g \in \pi_n(G) : g \text{ fixes a vertex in } \mathcal{L}_n\}.$$

Rewriting the inequality, we obtain

$$\frac{f_n}{|\pi_n(G)|} \leq \frac{f_{n+1}}{|\pi_{n+1}(G)|},$$

that is exactly the sequence considered in the limit of the fixed-point proportion. Since the sequence is bounded below by zero and it is decreasing, the limit must exist.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.12.** *Let  $G \leq \text{Aut}(T)$  and  $H \leq_f G$ . Then*

- (1)  $\text{FPP}(G) = \text{FPP}(\overline{G})$ ,
- (2)  $\text{FPP}(H) \leq \frac{1}{[G:H]} \text{FPP}(G)$ . In particular, if  $\text{FPP}(G) = 0$  then  $\text{FPP}(H) = 0$ .

*Proof.* For (1), we just observe that  $\pi_n(G) = \pi_n(\overline{G})$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and the definition of the fixed-point proportion only depends on  $\pi_n(G)$ .

For (2), we know that if the index is finite, then there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $[\pi_n(G) : \pi_n(H)] = [G : H]$  for all  $n \geq n_0$ . On the other side, if  $K \leq \text{Aut}(T)$  and we let  $f_n(K)$  denote the number of elements in  $\pi_n(K)$  that fix a vertex in  $\mathcal{L}_n$ , we have that  $f_n(H) \leq f_n(G)$  since  $H \leq G$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \text{FPP}(H) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f_n(H)}{|\pi_n(H)|} = \frac{1}{[G:H]} \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f_n(H)}{|\pi_n(G)|} \leq \frac{1}{[G:H]} \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f_n(G)}{|\pi_n(G)|} = \\ &= \frac{1}{[G:H]} \text{FPP}(G). \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Due to Lemma 2.12.(1), we may assume that  $G$  is a closed subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(T)$ . Since  $\text{Aut}(T)$  is compact and  $G$  is closed,  $G$  has a unique probability Haar measure  $\mu$ .

A remarkable property of the Haar measure is that if  $S$  is a measurable set in  $\overline{G}$ , then

$$(4) \quad \mu(S) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\#\pi_n(S)}{|\pi_n(\overline{G})|}.$$



Given  $G$ , a closed subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(T)$ , we define the *fixed-point process* of  $G$  as the sequence of functions  $\{X_n : G \rightarrow \mathbb{N}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that

$$X_n(g) = \#\{v \in \mathcal{L}_n : g(v) = v\}.$$

By the definition of the Haar measure, we have

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{FPP}(G) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{g \in \pi_n(G) : g \text{ fixes a vertex in } \mathcal{L}_n\}}{|\pi_n(G)|} = \\ &= \mu(\{g \in G : g \text{ fixes one vertex in } \mathcal{L}_n \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}\}) = \\ &= \mu\left(\bigcap_{n \geq 0} \{g \in G : X_n(g) > 0\}\right). \end{aligned}$$

So the fixed-point process is involved in the calculation of the fixed-point proportion (see [14] for more details).

**Lemma 2.13.** *Let  $T$  be a spherically homogeneous tree and  $\{G_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  a sequence of subgroups of  $\text{Aut}(T)$  such that  $G_n \leq G_{n+1}$  and write  $G = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_n$ . Then  $\text{FPP}(G_n) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} \text{FPP}(G)$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.12 we may assume that the subgroups  $G_n$ 's and  $G$  are closed. Let  $\mu_n$  be the Haar measure on  $G_n$  and consider  $\hat{\mu}_n$  the extension of  $\mu_n$  to  $G$  defined by

$$\hat{\mu}_n(A) = \mu_n(A \cap G_n).$$

In particular,

$$\text{FPP}(G_n) = \hat{\mu}_n\left(\bigcap_{n \geq 0} \{g \in G : X_n(g) > 0\}\right)$$

Denote by  $\mu$  the Haar measure on  $G$ . By [16, Exercise 4, Chapter VII], the sequence  $\hat{\mu}_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} \mu$  converges in the weak-\* topology, so

$$\begin{aligned} \text{FPP}(G_n) &= \hat{\mu}_n\left(\bigcap_{n \geq 0} \{g \in G : X_n(g) > 0\}\right) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} \\ &= \mu\left(\bigcap_{n \geq 0} \{g \in G : X_n(g) > 0\}\right) = \text{FPP}(G). \end{aligned}$$

□

As explained in the Introduction, it is important to know when the fixed point process is not a martingale, since the techniques currently known to prove that the fixed-point proportion of a group is zero rely on the fixed-point process being a martingale (see [10, Chapter 12] for a definition of a martingale process). The following characterization is crucial for us:

**Theorem 2.14** ([5, Theorem 5.7] and [12]). *Let  $T$  be a spherically homogeneous rooted tree, and let  $G \leq \text{Aut}(T)$  be a closed subgroup. Then,  $\{X_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  is a martingale if and only if, for all  $n \geq 1$  and  $v \in \mathcal{L}_{n-1}$ , the action of  $\text{St}_G(n-1)$  on  $T_v^1$  is transitive, where  $T_v^1$  is the set of vertices in level  $n$  that are below  $v$ .*

**2.5. Iterated Galois groups.** Let  $K$  be a field, and let  $f \in K[x]$  be a polynomial of degree at least 2. We denote the  $n$ -th iteration of  $f$  by  $f^n$ . Fix  $t \in K$ , and define  $K_n(f) := K(f^{-n}(t))$ . Then,  $K_n(f)/K$  is a Galois extension, and  $K_n(f) \subseteq K_{n+1}(f)$  for all  $n \geq 0$  (see [14]). Define  $K_\infty(f) := \bigcup_{n \geq 0} K_n(f)$ . It follows that  $K_\infty(f)/K$  is a Galois extension of  $K$ . For  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ , define also

$$G_n(K, f, t) := \text{Gal}(K_n(f)/K).$$

By the definition of  $K_\infty(f)$  we have  $G_\infty(K, f, t) = \varprojlim G_n(K, f, t)$ . So, in particular  $G_\infty(K, f, t)$  is a profinite group that acts naturally on  $f^{-n}(t)$  by permuting its elements.

Let  $d \geq 2$  be the degree of  $f$ , and suppose that  $f$  satisfies the property that  $f^{-n}(t)$  has  $d^n$  distinct elements for every  $n \geq 1$ . Assuming this hypothesis, we can create a  $d$ -regular tree  $T$  such that  $\mathcal{L}_n = f^{-n}(t)$  and if  $v \in \mathcal{L}_n$  and  $w \in \mathcal{L}_{n+1}$ , we put an edge between them if and only if  $f(w) = v$ . Then,  $G_\infty(K, f, t)$  can be embedded as a closed subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(T)$  preserving the action on  $T$  (see again [14] for a proof of this fact).

### 3. THE FIXED-POINT PROPORTION OF ITERATED WREATH PRODUCTS

We can extend the definition of the fixed-point proportion given in Equation (3) to any set  $X \subseteq \text{Aut}(T)$  in the following way:

$$(6) \quad \text{FPP}(X) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{x \in \pi_n(X) : x \text{ fixes a vertex in } \mathcal{L}_n\}}{|\pi_n(X)|}.$$

But now, we cannot apply Lemma 2.11 in this context, so the existence of the limit above is not guaranteed for every set  $X$ .

Let  $S$  be any set of  $\text{Sym}(d)$  and define

$$W_S := \{g \in \text{Aut}(T) : g|_v^1 \in S, \forall v \in T\}.$$

Notice that if  $S$  is a subgroup of  $\text{Sym}(d)$ , these sets correspond to the definition of iterated wreath product given in Equation (2). For this reason, we will also refer to them as iterated wreath products, even though they are not necessarily a subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(T)$ . We will devote this section to prove that  $\text{FPP}(W_S)$  exists and give a way to calculate  $\text{FPP}(W_S)$  for any set  $S$ .

Let  $f_n$  denote the number of elements in  $\pi_n(W_S)$  that fix at least one vertex in  $\mathcal{L}_n$ ,  $\sigma_n = |\pi_n(W_S)|$  and  $p_n$  be the proportion of them, namely,  $p_n = \frac{f_n}{\sigma_n}$ . The idea will be to give a recurrence formula for  $p_n$  that allows us to calculate  $\text{FPP}(W_S) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} p_n$ . By definition of  $W_S$ , we have that  $\sigma_{n+1} = \sigma_n^d \#S$ , because at each vertex of level 1 we can put any element of  $\pi_n(W_S)$  and then at the root we have all the options of  $S$ . Another way to think of  $\sigma_{n+1}$  is that we have  $\sigma_n$  options for the first  $n$  levels and then at each vertex of level  $n$  we can put as portrait any element of  $S$ , so  $\sigma_{n+1} = \sigma_n (\#S)^{\#\mathcal{L}_n} = \sigma_n (\#S)^{d^n}$ .

To prove that the limit of  $p_n$  exists, we follow the argument in Lemma 2.11. Notice that if an element in  $\pi_n(W_S)$  does not fix any vertex in level  $n$ , then any extension to level  $n+1$  of that element will not fix any vertex in level  $n+1$  either. The number of extensions of each element is  $(\#S)^{\mathcal{L}_n} = (\#S)^{d^n}$  since at any vertex of level  $n$  we can put any element of  $S$  as portrait. Therefore

$$\sigma_{n+1} - f_{n+1} \geq (\#S)^{d^n} (\sigma_n - f_n) = \frac{\sigma_{n+1}}{\sigma_n} (\sigma_n - f_n) = \sigma_{n+1} (1 - p_n).$$

Reordering terms, we get  $p_{n+1} \leq p_n$ . Thus,  $\{p_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a decreasing sequence and bounded by zero, so  $\text{FPP}(W_S)$  exists.

**Definition 3.1.** *Let  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $S \subseteq \text{Sym}(d)$ . Define  $D_S(k)$  as the number of permutations in  $S$  having  $k$  fixed points.*

This definition generalizes the concept of derangements for any set (see [7]). Clearly,

$$\sum_{k=0}^d D_S(k) = \#S.$$

Next, we aim a recurrence formula for  $f_{n+1}$ . Let  $s \in S$  be a portrait of the root with  $k$  fixed points. If  $k = 0$ , the resulting elements will not produce fixed points at level  $n + 1$ , so we assume that  $k > 0$ .

An element  $g \in \pi_{n+1}(W_S)$  whose portrait at the root is  $s$  will have fixed points at level  $n + 1$  only in the subtrees fixed by  $s$ . In the remaining  $d - k$  subtrees, any element of  $\pi_n(W_S)$  can be placed freely, contributing a factor of  $\sigma_n^{d-k}$ .

In the  $k$  fixed subtrees, we need to place at least one element of  $\pi_n(W_S)$  that fixes a vertex, while the rest may be arbitrary. To avoid repetition, we consider the disjoint sets

$$F_n = \{h \in \pi_n(W_S) : h \text{ has fixed points on } \mathcal{L}_n\} \text{ and } F_n^c.$$

We then sum in all the possible  $k$ -fold Cartesian products of  $F_n$  and  $F_n^c$ , excluding the product  $F_n^c \times \dots \times F_n^c$ , which does not produce elements with fixed points at level  $n + 1$ .

To express this formally, let  $\beta \in \{\pm 1\}$  and define

$$\overline{f}_n^\beta = \begin{cases} f_n & \text{if } \beta = 1, \\ \sigma_n - f_n & \text{if } \beta = -1. \end{cases}$$

We arrive at the following formula:

$$(7) \quad f_{n+1} = \sum_{k=1}^d D_S(k) \sigma_n^{d-k} \sum_{(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k) \in \{\pm 1\}^k \setminus \{(-1, \dots, -1)\}} \prod_{i=1}^k \overline{f}_n^{\beta_i}$$

The following lemma will help to clarify the intricate formula:

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $S \subseteq \text{Sym}(d)$ . Using the notation introduced earlier, we have*

$$(8) \quad f_{n+1} = \sum_{k=1}^d D_S^P(k) \sigma_n^{d-k} (\sigma_n^k - (f_n - \sigma_n)^k).$$

*Proof.* First, consider the sum

$$\sum_{(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k) \in \{\pm 1\}^k} \prod_{i=1}^k \overline{f}_n^{\beta_i}.$$

Fix  $t \in \{0, \dots, k\}$ . Notice that the number of terms of the form  $f_n^t (\sigma_n - f_n)^{k-t}$  corresponds to the number of vectors  $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k)$  having  $t$  entries equal to 1. To

count the number of such vectors, think of  $\{1, \dots, k\}$  as the set of the indices, and select  $t$  of them to assign the value 1. There are exactly  $\binom{k}{t}$  ways to do this. Hence,

$$\sum_{(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k) \in \{\pm 1\}^k} \prod_{i=1}^k \overline{f_n}^{\beta_i} = \sum_{t=0}^k \binom{k}{t} f_n^t (\sigma_n - f_n)^{k-t}.$$

By the binomial theorem,

$$\sum_{t=0}^k \binom{k}{t} f_n^t (\sigma_n - f_n)^{k-t} = (f_n + (\sigma_n - f_n))^k = \sigma_n^k.$$

Now, considering the term  $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k) = (-1, \dots, -1)$  separately, we move it to the right-hand side, obtaining:

$$\sum_{(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k) \in \{\pm 1\}^k \setminus \{-1, \dots, -1\}} \prod_{i=1}^k \overline{f_n}^{\beta_i} = \sigma_n^k - (\sigma_n - f_n)^k.$$

Substituting this result into Equation (7), we obtain:

$$f_{n+1} = \sum_{k=1}^d D_S^{\mathcal{P}}(k) \sigma_n^{d-k} (\sigma_n^k - (f_n - \sigma_n)^k).$$

Thus, the lemma is proved.  $\square$

Dividing Equation (8) by  $\sigma_{n+1}$ , using its recurrence formula  $\sigma_{n+1} = \sigma_n^d \#S$  and replacing  $\frac{f_n}{\sigma_n}$  with  $p_n$ , we obtain

$$(9) \quad p_{n+1} = \frac{f_{n+1}}{\sigma_{n+1}} = \sum_{k=1}^d \frac{D_S(k)}{\#S} \left(1 - (1 - p_n)^k\right).$$

**Definition 3.3.** Let  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $S \subseteq \text{Sym}(d)$ , define the characteristic polynomial of  $S$  as

$$f_S(x) = \sum_{k=1}^d \frac{D_S(k)}{\#S} \left(1 - (1 - x)^k\right).$$

Using Definition 3.3 and Equation (9) we conclude that

$$p_{n+1} = f_S(p_n).$$

Taking the limit as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  we deduce that the  $\text{FPP}(W_S)$  is a fixed point of the characteristic polynomial of  $f_S$ . This provides motivation to study properties of  $f_S$  in more detail.

**Lemma 3.4.** Let  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $S \subseteq \text{Sym}(d)$ . The characteristic polynomial  $f_S$  of  $S$  depends only on its conjugacy class in  $\text{Sym}(d)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $S'$  a set in  $\text{Sym}(d)$  such that  $S' = gSg^{-1}$  for some  $g \in \text{Sym}(d)$ . For  $x \in \{1, \dots, d\}$  and  $s \in S$ , observe that  $x$  is fixed by  $gsg^{-1}$  if and only if  $g^{-1}(x)$  is fixed by  $s$ . Since  $g$  is a permutation, this implies that  $D_{S'}(k) = D_S(k)$  for all  $k = 0, \dots, d$ . Consequently,  $f_{S'} = f_S$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.5.** Let  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $S \subseteq \text{Sym}(d)$ , and  $f_S : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be the characteristic polynomial of  $S$ . Then  $f_S$  has the following properties:

- (1)  $f_S(x) \in [0, 1]$  for all  $x \in [0, 1]$  and  $f_S(0) = 0$ . Moreover, if  $\deg(f_S) > 0$ , then  $f_S(x) = 0$  if and only if  $x = 0$ .
- (2)  $f'_S(x) \geq 0$ , and if  $\deg(f_S) > 0$ , then  $f'_S(x) > 0$  for  $x \in [0, 1]$ .
- (3)  $f''_S(x) \leq 0$  for  $x \in [0, 1]$ , and strictly negative in  $[0, 1]$  if  $\deg(f_S) > 1$ .
- (4) If  $f_S$  is not the identity function, the equation  $f_S(x) = x$  has at most two solutions in  $[0, 1]$ . with  $x = 0$  being one of them.

*Proof.* For (1), since  $x \in [0, 1]$ , we have  $0 \leq 1 - (1 - x)^k \leq 1$ , and it equals zero if and only if  $x = 0$  for all  $k$ . Furthermore,  $D_S(k) \geq 0$  for all  $k$ , so

$$0 \leq f_S(x) \leq \sum_{k=1}^d \frac{D_S(k)}{\#S} \leq \sum_{k=0}^d \frac{D_S(k)}{\#S} = 1,$$

where the last equality holds because  $\sum_{k=0}^d D_S(k) = \#S$ . The only exception occurs when  $\deg(f_S) < 1$ , in which case  $f_S(x) = 0$ .

For (2), the derivative of  $f_S$  is

$$f'_S(x) = \sum_{k=1}^d \frac{D_S(k)k}{\#S} (1-x)^{k-1} \geq 0$$

for  $x \in [0, 1]$ , since  $D_S(k) \geq 0$  and  $(1-x)^{k-1} \geq 0$ . Moreover, if  $\deg(f_S) > 0$ , then  $(1-x)^{k-1} > 0$  for  $x \in [0, 1]$ , making  $f'_S(x)$  is strictly positive in  $[0, 1]$ .

For (3), the second derivative of  $f_S$  is:

$$f''_S(x) = - \sum_{k=2}^d \frac{D_S(k)}{\#S} k(k-1)(1-x)^{k-2}.$$

Since  $D_S(k) \geq 0$  and  $(1-x)^{k-2} \geq 0$ , we have  $f''_S(x) \leq 0$  for  $x \in [0, 1]$ . If  $\deg(f_S) > 1$ , then  $D_S(k) > 0$  for some  $k \geq 2$ , and  $f''_S(x) < 0$  for  $x \in [0, 1]$ .

For (4), we use the strict concvity of  $f_S$  established in (3). Concaveness means that for all  $x, y$  in the domain and  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ , we have that

$$f_S((1-\alpha)x + \alpha y) > (1-\alpha)f_S(x) + \alpha f_S(y).$$

Suppose that  $f_S$  has a another solution different to 0 in  $[0, 1]$  and call  $x_1$  the smallest positive solution. If  $x_1 = 1$  we are done, if not, let  $y \in (x_1, 1]$ ,  $x = 0$  and take  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  such that  $(1-\alpha)x + \alpha y = \alpha y = x_1$ . Then,

$$f_S(x_1) = x_1 > \alpha f_S(y).$$

Dividing by  $\alpha$ , we obtain that  $y > f_S(y)$  and this for all  $y > x_1$ , so we at most have two fixed points.  $\square$

We are ready to conclude the main result about the fixed-point proportion of  $W_S$ :

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $S \subseteq \text{Sym}(d)$ . Then:*

- (1)  $\text{FPP}(W_S)$  equals the largest fixed point of  $f_S$  in  $[0, 1]$ .
- (2)  $\text{FPP}(W_S)$  is the solution of a polynomial of degree at most  $d - 1$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z} \left[ \frac{1}{\#S} \right]$ .
- (3)  $\text{FPP}(W_S) = 0$  if and only if  $f'_S(0) \leq 1$  and  $f_S$  is not the identity function.

(4)  $\text{FPP}(W_S) = 1$  if and only if every element in  $S$  fixes at least one element in  $\{1, \dots, d\}$ .

*Proof.* For (1), as it was observed prior to [Lemma 3.4](#), the fixed-point proportion of  $W_S$  is a fixed point of  $f_S$  in  $[0, 1]$ .

If  $\deg(f_S) < 1$ , then  $f_S(x) = 0$  and the only fixed point is zero.

If  $\deg(f_S) = 1$ , then  $f'_S(0) = \frac{D_S(1)}{\#S} \leq 1$ . If  $f'_S(0) < 1$ , then the only fixed point is zero. If  $f'_S(0) = 1$ , this implies that  $D_S(1) = \#S$  or equivalently that every element in  $S$  fixes exactly one point. Therefore  $\text{FPP}(W_S) = 1$ , coinciding with the largest fixed point of  $f_S$ .

If  $\deg(f_S) > 1$ , then by [Proposition 3.5](#), the function  $f_S$  has at most two fixed points, with 0 as one of them. If 0 is the only fixed point we are done. Otherwise, let  $x_0 > 0$  be the other fixed point. Consider  $g(x) = f_S(x) - x$ . Then  $g(0) = g(x_0) = 0$  and by Rolle's theorem there exists  $c \in (0, x_0)$  such that  $g'(c) = 0$  or equivalently that  $f'_S(c) = 1$ . Since  $f''_S$  is strictly negative, then  $f'_S$  is strictly decreasing but since  $f'_S$  is always positive then  $0 < f'_S(x_0) < f'_S(c) = 1 < f'_S(0)$ . This proves that 0 is a repelling fixed point whereas  $x_0$  is attracting, so  $\text{FPP}(W_S) = x_0$ .

For (2), since  $\deg(f_S) \leq d$  and  $f_S(0) = 0$ , if  $\deg(f_S) > 1$ ,  $\text{FPP}(W_S)$  satisfies the polynomial:

$$\frac{f_S(x)}{x} - 1 = 0,$$

which has degree at most  $d - 1$  and coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z} \left[ \frac{1}{\#S} \right]$ , as  $D_S(k) \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

For (3), the direct was already proved in (1). For the converse, if  $f'_S(0) \leq 1$  and  $\deg(f_S) > 1$ , since  $f'_S$  is strictly decreasing, then  $f_S(x) < x$  for all  $x > 0$  and the only fixed point is 0.

For (4),  $\text{FPP}(W_S) = 1$  if and only if

$$f_S(1) = \sum_{k=1}^d \frac{D_S(k)}{\#S} = 1.$$

Since  $\#S = \sum_{k=0}^d D_S(k) = 1$ , this implies that  $\text{FPP}(W_S) = 1$  if and only if  $D_S(0) = 0$ , meaning every element in  $S$  fixes at least one element in  $\{1, \dots, d\}$ .  $\square$

A particular case that we will be of interest in this article is when  $S$  is a coset in  $\text{Sym}(d)$ . We first need the following definitions:

**Definition 3.7.** Let  $G$  be a group acting on a set  $Y$  via the action  $\rho: G \curvearrowright Y$ . Let  $S$  be a set of  $G$ , an element  $s \in S$  and  $y \in Y$ . We denote by  $Y^s := \{y \in Y : sy = y\}$  and by  $\text{Stab}_S(y) := \{s \in S : sy = y\}$ .

If we consider  $G = \text{Sym}(d)$  with the natural action on  $X = \{1, \dots, d\}$  and the set  $S \subseteq \text{Sym}(d)$ , we have that

$$\frac{1}{\#S} \sum_{s \in S} \#X^s = \frac{1}{\#S} \sum_{k=0}^d \sum_{s \in S: \#X^s=k} k = \frac{1}{\#S} \sum_{k=0}^d D_S(k)k = \frac{1}{\#S} \sum_{k=1}^d D_S(k)k = f'_S(0).$$

If  $S$  is a coset, the quantity on the left-hand side can be studied as a generalization of Burnside's lemma:

**Lemma 3.8** (Burnside Lemma for cosets). *Let  $G \curvearrowright Y$  be an action of a finite group  $G$  over a finite set  $Y$ . Let  $H \leq G$  and  $A = gH$  be a coset of  $H$  in  $G$ .*

(1) *If  $H \curvearrowright Y$  acts transitively, then*

$$\frac{1}{\#A} \sum_{a \in A} \#Y^a = 1.$$

(2) *Define  $Y^* := \{y \in Y : \exists h \in H \text{ s.t. } hy = g^{-1}y\}$ . If  $g \in N_G(H)$ , the normalizer of  $H$  in  $G$ , then*

$$\#(Y^*/H) = \frac{1}{\#A} \sum_{a \in A} \#Y^a.$$

*Proof.* Consider the set  $Z = \{(a, y) \in A \times Y : ay = y\}$ . We can count the elements of  $Z$  in two different ways. On one hand, we have:

$$\#Z = \sum_{a \in A} \#Y^a.$$

On the other hand, we have:

$$\#Z = \sum_{y \in Y} \#\text{Stab}_A(y).$$

Notice that  $a \in \text{Stab}_A(y)$  if and only if  $ay = y$ . Since  $A = gH$ , there exists  $h \in H$  such that  $a = gh$ , so  $ay = y$  if and only if  $hy = g^{-1}y$ . If no such  $h$  exists, then  $\text{Stab}_A(y) = \emptyset$ , so we can restrict the sum to  $Y^*$ . If  $y \in Y^*$ , and  $h_0 \in H$  is an element such that  $h_0y = g^{-1}y$ , then we have a bijection between  $\text{Stab}_H(y)$  and  $\text{Stab}_A(y)$  given by  $h \mapsto gh_0h$ . Therefore

$$\#Z = \sum_{y \in Y^*} \#\text{Stab}_H(y).$$

By the orbit-stabilizer theorem, we know that:

$$\#\text{Stab}_H(y) = \frac{|H|}{\#\text{orb}_H(y)},$$

so:

$$\#Z = |H| \sum_{y \in Y^*} \frac{1}{\#\text{orb}_H(y)}.$$

If  $H$  is transitive, then  $Y^* = Y$ , and thus:

$$\#Z = |H| \sum_{B \in Y/H} \sum_{y \in B} \frac{1}{\#B} = |H| \#(Y/H) = |H| = \#A.$$

This gives the formula in the first case.

If  $g \in N_G(H)$ , the action  $G \curvearrowright Y$  restricts to  $H \curvearrowright Y^*$ . Indeed, if  $y \in Y^*$ , there exists  $h_0 \in H$  such that  $h_0y = g^{-1}y$ . Then, for  $h \in H$ , there exists  $h' \in H$  such that  $g^{-1}h = h'g^{-1}$ . Therefore:

$$g^{-1}(hy) = h'g^{-1}y = h'h_0y = (h'h_0h^{-1})h(y).$$

Since  $h'h_0h^{-1} \in H$ , we obtain that  $hy \in Y^*$ .

Thus, we can split the sum over the orbits  $Y^*/H$ , obtaining:

$$\#Z = |H| \sum_{B \in Y^*/H} \sum_{y \in B} \frac{1}{\#B} = |H| \#(Y^*/H) = \#A \#(Y^*/H).$$

This gives the formula in the second case.  $\square$

In the case that  $S$  is a subgroup in [Theorem 3.6](#), we deduce the following corollary for the fixed-point proportion of iterated wreath products:

**Corollary 3.9.** *Let  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $d \geq 2$ , the set  $X = \{1, \dots, d\}$  and  $\mathcal{P} \leq \text{Sym}(d)$ . Then the fixed point proportion of  $W_{\mathcal{P}}$  is:*

$$\text{FPP}(W_{\mathcal{P}}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \mathcal{P} \text{ is transitive over } X, \\ \alpha \in (0, 1) & \text{if } \mathcal{P} \text{ not transitive and } \exists \sigma \text{ with no fixed points} \\ 1 & \text{if } \mathcal{P} \text{ not transitive and every element fixes a point.} \end{cases}$$

Moreover, the value  $\text{FPP}(W_{\mathcal{P}})$  is the solution of a polynomial of degree  $d - 1$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z} \left[ \frac{1}{|\mathcal{P}|} \right]$

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{P}$  is a subgroup, the identity is in  $\mathcal{P}$  so  $D_{\mathcal{P}}(d) = 1$  and therefore  $\deg(f_{\mathcal{P}}) = d \geq 2$ . The case when  $\text{FPP}(W_{\mathcal{P}}) = 1$ , follows directly from [Theorem 3.6](#). The case when  $\text{FPP}(\mathcal{P}) = \alpha \in (0, 1)$  follows from the fact that  $\alpha$  is the largest fixed point of  $f_{\mathcal{P}}$  and  $f_{\mathcal{P}}(0) = 0$ , so it is a solution of  $\frac{f_{\mathcal{P}}(x)}{x} - 1 = 0$  that is a polynomial with degree  $d - 1$ .

Finally, by [Theorem 3.6](#), we have that  $\text{FPP}(W_{\mathcal{P}}) = 0$  if and only if  $f'_{\mathcal{P}}(0) \leq 1$  and  $f_{\mathcal{P}}$  is not the identity function. Since  $\deg(f_{\mathcal{P}}) > 1$ , then  $\text{FPP}(W_{\mathcal{P}}) = 0$  if and only if  $f'_{\mathcal{P}}(0) \leq 1$ . By the comment previous to [Lemma 3.8](#) and by [Lemma 3.8](#), we have that

$$f'_{\mathcal{P}}(0) = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{P}|} \sum_{k=1}^d D_{\mathcal{P}}(k)k = \#(X/\mathcal{P}),$$

so  $\text{FPP}(W_{\mathcal{P}}) = 0$  if and only if  $\mathcal{P}$  is transitive.  $\square$

Running a program in SAGE [\[23\]](#), we compute the characteristic polynomials of the subgroups of  $\text{Sym}(d)$  for  $d = 3$  and 4. By [Lemma 3.4](#), it suffices to consider the conjugacy classes of subgroups in  $\text{Sym}(d)$ , since they have the same characteristic polynomial.

For  $d = 3$ , the group  $\text{Sym}(3)$  has four conjugacy classes. Representatives of these classes are:  $\{1\}$ ,  $\langle(2, 3)\rangle$ ,  $\langle(1, 2, 3)\rangle$  and  $\text{Sym}(3)$ . The corresponding fixed-point proportions are 1, 1, 0 and 0, respectively. This matches with [Corollary 3.9](#), as the first two classes fix at least one point and the last two are transitive. [Figure 1](#) illustrates the functions  $f_{\mathcal{P}}$  for each conjugacy class of  $\mathcal{P}$  in  $\text{Sym}(3)$ . Notice that the intersections of  $f_{\mathcal{P}}$  with the identity functions are only at 0 and 1, as expected. In particular, there are no examples of non-trivial fixed-point proportion for  $d = 3$ .

For  $d = 4$ , the group  $\text{Sym}(4)$  has eleven conjugacy classes. In four of them (the ones corresponding to  $\text{Sym}(3)$ ) their elements always fix a point, so their fixed-point proportion is 1. There are five transitive conjugacy classes, namely, their fixed-point proportion is 0. In addition, there are two conjugacy classes whose fixed-point proportion is not trivial. Possible representatives for these are  $\langle(1, 2), (3, 4)\rangle$  and  $\langle(3, 4), (1, 2)(3, 4)\rangle$ . Notice that these classes are not transitive because their elements cannot send 1 to 3, nor do they fix any point. The corresponding fixed-point proportions are approximately 0.45631... and 0.70440... respectively. [Figure 2](#) shows the functions  $f_{\mathcal{P}}$  for each conjugacy class of  $\mathcal{P}$  in  $\text{Sym}(4)$ .






FIGURE 1. Plot of the functions  $f_{\mathcal{P}}$  for  $\mathcal{P}$  subgroups of  $\text{Sym}(3)$ .




FIGURE 2. Plot of the functions  $f_{\mathcal{P}}$  for  $\mathcal{P}$  subgroups of  $\text{Sym}(4)$ .

#### 4. THE MAIN CONSTRUCTION

In this section, we will use [Theorem 3.6](#) to construct a family of self-similar, level-transitive groups whose fixed-point proportion and Hausdorff dimension are positive.

Given  $d \geq 2$  and  $T$  a  $d$ -regular tree, consider two subgroups  $\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{P} \leq \text{Sym}(d)$  such that  $1 \neq \mathcal{Q} \triangleleft \mathcal{P}$ . Define

$$G_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}} = \{g \in \text{Aut}(T) : g|_v^1 \in \mathcal{P}, (g|_v^1)(g|_w^1)^{-1} \in \mathcal{Q} \text{ for all } v, w \in T\}.$$

In other words, the elements in this group lie in the iterated wreath product  $W_{\mathcal{P}}$  (see [Section 2](#) for the definition of  $W_{\mathcal{P}}$ ) and have their portraits in the same coset of  $\mathcal{P}/\mathcal{Q}$ . For example, if  $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{P}$ , then  $G_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}} = W_{\mathcal{P}}$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $T$  be a  $d$ -regular tree with  $d \geq 2$  and  $1 \neq \mathcal{Q} \triangleleft \mathcal{P} \leq \text{Sym}(d)$ . Then, the group  $G_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}}$  satisfies the following properties:*

- (1)  $G_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}}$  contains  $W_{\mathcal{Q}}$
- (2)  $W_{\mathcal{Q}} \triangleleft G_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}}$
- (3)  $[G_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}} : W_{\mathcal{Q}}] = [\mathcal{P} : \mathcal{Q}]$ .

*Proof.* We start proving that  $G_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}}$  is a group. Clearly, the identity element is in  $G_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}}$ . Next, suppose  $g, h \in G_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}}$ . We must verify that  $gh^{-1} \in G_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}}$ . For  $v, w \in T$ , by the properties of sections (see [Equation \(1\)](#)), we have:

$$(gh^{-1})|_v^1 ((gh^{-1})|_w^1)^{-1} = (g|_{h^{-1}(v)}^1) (h|_{h^{-1}(v)}^1)^{-1} (h|_{h^{-1}(w)}^1) (g|_{h^{-1}(w)}^1)^{-1}.$$

Rewriting, this becomes:

$$[(g|_{h^{-1}(v)}^1) (h|_{h^{-1}(v)}^1)^{-1} (h|_{h^{-1}(w)}^1) (g|_{h^{-1}(v)}^1)^{-1}] [((g|_{h^{-1}(v)}^1)(g|_{h^{-1}(w)}^1)^{-1})].$$

The second term between brackets is in  $\mathcal{Q}$  since  $g \in G_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}}$  and the first term in brackets is in  $\mathcal{Q}$  since  $h \in G_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}}$  and  $\mathcal{Q} \triangleleft \mathcal{P}$ . This ensures that  $gh^{-1} \in G_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}}$ . Hence,  $G_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}}$  is a group.

We now prove that  $W_{\mathcal{Q}} \triangleleft G_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}}$ . The proof is similar to the previous calculation. Specifically, we need to show that if  $g \in G_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}}$  and  $h \in W_{\mathcal{Q}}$  then  $(ghg^{-1})|_v^1 \in \mathcal{Q}$  for all  $v \in T$ . Indeed,

$$(ghg^{-1})|_v^1 = g|_{hg^{-1}(v)}^1 h|_{g^{-1}(v)}^1 (g|_{g^{-1}(v)}^1)^{-1}.$$

Rewriting, this becomes:

$$(ghg^{-1})|_v^1 = [g|_{hg^{-1}(v)}^1 h|_{g^{-1}(v)}^1 (g|_{hg^{-1}(v)}^1)^{-1}] [(g|_{hg^{-1}(v)}^1) (g|_{g^{-1}(v)}^1)^{-1}].$$

The first term in brackets belongs to  $\mathcal{Q}$  because  $\mathcal{Q} \triangleleft \mathcal{P}$ , and the second term in bracket belongs to  $\mathcal{Q}$  since  $g \in G_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}}$ . Thus,  $(ghg^{-1})|_v^1 \in \mathcal{Q}$  and consequently  $W_{\mathcal{Q}} \triangleleft G_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}}$ .

Finally, consider the map

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} G_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}}/W_{\mathcal{Q}} &\rightarrow \mathcal{P}/\mathcal{Q} \\ [g] &\mapsto [g|_{\emptyset}^1]. \end{aligned}$$

The map is well-defined because if  $h \in W_{\mathcal{Q}}$  and  $g \in G_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}}$  then

$$[(gh)|_{\emptyset}^1] = [g|_{\emptyset}^1][h|_{\emptyset}^1] = [g|_{\emptyset}^1],$$

and it is in fact a morphism since the root of the tree is fixed by any element.

To show surjectivity, let  $\sigma \in \mathcal{P}$ . Define  $g \in \text{Aut}(T)$  such that  $g|_v^1 = \sigma$  for all  $v \in T$ . Then,  $g \in G_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}}$  and  $[g|_{\emptyset}^1] = [\sigma]$ .

To prove injectivity, suppose  $[g|_\emptyset^1] = [h|_\emptyset^1]$ . Then:

$$(g|_\emptyset^1)(h|_\emptyset^1)^{-1} = (gh^{-1})|_\emptyset^1 \in \mathcal{Q}.$$

Since  $gh^{-1} \in G_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}}$ , then  $(gh^{-1})|_v^1 \in \mathcal{Q}$  for all  $v \in T$  and consequently  $gh^{-1} \in W_{\mathcal{Q}}$ .  $\square$

Notice that if  $d = 2$ , we can only construct one group, since  $\text{Sym}(2)$  only has two subgroups. In this case,  $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{P} = \text{Sym}(2)$  and  $G_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}} = \text{Aut}(T)$ . As we will see in [Corollary 3.9](#),  $\text{Aut}(T)$  has null fixed-point proportion. This forces us to work with  $d \geq 3$ .

**Proposition 4.2.** *Let  $T$  be a  $d$ -regular tree with  $d \geq 3$  and  $1 \neq \mathcal{Q} \triangleleft \mathcal{P} \leq \text{Sym}(d)$ . Then  $G_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}}$  is a group of finite type of depth 2 and Hausdorff dimension*

$$\mathcal{H}(G) = \frac{\log(|\mathcal{Q}|)}{\log(d!)}.$$

*In particular, its Hausdorff dimension is always positive.*

*Proof.* By the comment preceding [Proposition 2.6](#), the group  $W_{\mathcal{Q}}$  is regular branch, branching over  $\text{St}_{W_{\mathcal{Q}}}(1)$ . Furthermore,  $\text{St}_{G_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}}}(1) = \text{St}_{W_{\mathcal{Q}}}(1)$ , so  $(\text{St}_{W_{\mathcal{Q}}}(1))_1 \leq_f \text{St}_{W_{\mathcal{Q}}}(1) \leq_f G_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}}$ . Therefore,  $G_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}}$  is regular branch.

To determine the depth of  $G_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}}$ , we apply [Theorem 2.7](#). First,  $G_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}}$  is not an iterated wreath product, so its depth is greater than 1. Since  $\text{St}_{G_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}}}(1) = \text{St}_{W_{\mathcal{Q}}}(1)$ , it follows by [Lemma 2.5](#) that the group  $G_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}}$  is branching over  $\text{St}_{G_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}}}(1)$ . Therefore  $G_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}}$  is of finite type with depth  $D = 2$  as  $D - 1 = 1$  by [Theorem 2.7](#).

Finally, we compute the Hausdorff dimension of  $G_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}}$ . By [Lemma 2.3](#),  $\mathcal{H}(G) = \mathcal{H}(W_{\mathcal{Q}})$ , so it suffices to calculate the Hausdorff dimension of  $W_{\mathcal{Q}}$ . From [Lemma 2.8](#), we know:

$$|\pi_n(W_{\mathcal{Q}})| = |\mathcal{Q}|^{\frac{d^n - 1}{d - 1}} \quad \text{and} \quad |\pi_n(\text{Aut}(T))| = (d!)^{\frac{d^n - 1}{d - 1}}$$

since  $\text{Aut}(T)$  is the iterated wreath product of  $\text{Sym}(d)$ . Therefore

$$\mathcal{H}(W_{\mathcal{Q}}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(|\pi_n(G_{\mathcal{P}})|)}{\log(|\pi_n(\text{Aut}(T))|)} = \frac{\log(|\mathcal{Q}|)}{\log(d!)}.$$

This concludes the proof.  $\square$

Using the map in [Equation \(10\)](#), we deduce that cosets of  $G_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}}$  by  $W_{\mathcal{Q}}$  are of the form

$$W_A := \{g \in \text{Aut}(T) : g|_v^1 \in A, \forall v \in T\}$$

where  $A$  is a coset of  $\mathcal{P}/\mathcal{Q}$ .

The next question concerns the level-transitivity of  $G_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}}$ .

**Proposition 4.3.** *Let  $T$  be a  $d$ -regular tree with  $d \geq 3$  and  $1 \neq \mathcal{Q} \triangleleft \mathcal{P} \leq \text{Sym}(d)$ . Then  $G_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}}$  is level-transitive if and only if  $\mathcal{Q}$  is transitive on  $X = \{1, \dots, d\}$ .*

*Proof.* For the direct, let  $x, y \in X$ . Since  $G_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}}$  is level-transitive, there exists  $g \in G_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}}$  such that  $g(xx) = xy$ . Denote  $a_0 = g|_\emptyset^1$  and  $a_1 = g|_x^1$ . By the definition of  $G_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}}$ , there exists  $q \in \mathcal{Q}$  such that  $a_1 = a_0q$ . Since  $\mathcal{Q} \triangleleft \mathcal{P}$ , there exists  $q' \in \mathcal{Q}$  such that  $a_0q = q'a_0$ . Thus,  $a_1 = q'a_0$ , or equivalently,  $a_1a_0^{-1} \in \mathcal{Q}$ . Furthermore,

$$a_1a_0^{-1}(x) = a_1(x) = y,$$

implying that  $\mathcal{Q}$  is transitive on  $X$ .

For the converse, if  $\mathcal{Q}$  is transitive on  $X$ , then  $W_{\mathcal{Q}}$  is level-transitive and since  $W_{\mathcal{Q}} \leq G_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}}$ , then  $G_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}}$  is level-transitive as well.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.4.** *Let  $T$  be a  $d$ -regular tree with  $d \geq 3$  and  $1 \neq \mathcal{Q} \triangleleft \mathcal{P} \leq \text{Sym}(d)$ . Then, the fixed-point process of  $G_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}}$  is a martingale if and only if  $\mathcal{Q}$  acts transitively over  $X = \{1, \dots, d\}$ .*

*Proof.* By [Theorem 2.14](#), the fixed-point process is a martingale if and only if  $\text{St}_{G_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}}}(n)$  acts transitively on  $T_v^1 = X$  for every  $v \in \mathcal{L}_n$ . As shown in the proof of [Proposition 4.2](#), we have  $\text{St}_{G_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}}}(1) = \text{St}_{W_{\mathcal{Q}}}(1)$ . Consequently,  $\text{St}_{G_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}}}(n) = \text{St}_{W_{\mathcal{Q}}}(n)$  for all  $n \geq 1$  and therefore we need  $\mathcal{Q}$  acting transitively on  $X$ . This in particular implies that  $\text{St}_{G_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}}}(0) = G_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}}$  also acts transitively on the first level.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.5.** *Let  $T$  be a  $d$ -regular tree with  $d \geq 3$  and  $1 \neq \mathcal{Q} \triangleleft \mathcal{P} \leq \text{Sym}(d)$ .*

- (1) *If  $\mathcal{Q} \neq \mathcal{Q}'$  or there exists  $x \in X = \{1, \dots, d\}$  such that  $q(x) = x$  for all  $q \in \mathcal{Q}$ , then  $G_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}}$  is not topologically finitely generated.*
- (2) *If  $\mathcal{Q}$  is transitive, then  $G_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}}$  is topologically finitely generated if and only if  $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q}'$ .*

*Proof.* A group is topologically finitely generated if and only if a subgroup of finite index is topologically finitely generated. So, we can pass the question to  $W_{\mathcal{Q}}$ .

For the first part, consider the map

$$\varphi_1 : W_{\mathcal{Q}} \rightarrow \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{Q}/\mathcal{Q}', \quad g \mapsto \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left( \prod_{v \in \mathcal{L}_n} (g|_v^1) \mathcal{Q}' \right),$$

where the outer product is the Cartesian product and the inner product is the product of the sections. The map is well-defined since we are quotienting by  $\mathcal{Q}'$ .

The map is clearly surjective. If  $\mathcal{Q} \neq \mathcal{Q}'$ , the image is not topologically finitely generated, and thus  $W_{\mathcal{Q}}$  is not topologically finitely generated.

Suppose there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $q(x) = x$  for all  $q \in \mathcal{Q}$ . Consider the map

$$\varphi_2 : W_{\mathcal{Q}} \rightarrow \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{Q}, \quad g \mapsto \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (g|_{x^n}^1),$$

where  $x^n$  represents the vertex at level  $n$  reached by following the path labeled by  $x$  at each level.

The map is a morphism since  $g(x^n) = x^n$  for all  $g \in W_{\mathcal{Q}}$ . The map is surjective, and since  $\mathcal{Q} \neq 1$ , the image is not topologically finitely generated. Hence,  $W_{\mathcal{Q}}$  is not topologically finitely generated.

If  $\mathcal{Q}$  is transitive, then  $W_{\mathcal{Q}}$  is level-transitive and the result follows directly from [Theorem 2.10](#).  $\square$

Regarding the fixed-point proportion of  $G_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}}$ , notice that by the definition of  $G_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}}$ , if  $A, A'$  are two different cosets of  $\mathcal{P}/\mathcal{Q}$ , then  $\pi_n(W_A) \cap \pi_n(W_{A'}) = \emptyset$  for all  $n \geq 1$ , and

$$\bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{P}/\mathcal{Q}} \pi_n(W_A) = \pi_n(G_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}}).$$

This allows us to split the calculation of  $\text{FPP}(G_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}})$  as:

$$\text{FPP}(G_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\#\{g \in \pi_n(G_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}}) : g \text{ fixes a vertex in } \mathcal{L}_n\}}{|\pi_n(G_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}})|} = \sum_{A \in \mathcal{P}/\mathcal{Q}} \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{[\pi_n(G_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}}) : \pi_n(W_{\mathcal{Q}})]} \frac{\#\{g \in \pi_n(W_A) : g \text{ fixes a vertex in } \mathcal{L}_n\}}{|\pi_n(W_{\mathcal{Q}})|}.$$

By [Lemma 4.1](#), we have  $[\mathcal{P} : \mathcal{Q}] = [\pi_n(G_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}}) : \pi_n(W_{\mathcal{Q}})]$  and  $|\pi_n(W_{\mathcal{Q}})| = |\pi_n(W_A)|$  for any coset in  $\mathcal{P}/\mathcal{Q}$ . Therefore

$$(11) \quad \text{FPP}(G_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}}) = \frac{1}{[\mathcal{P} : \mathcal{Q}]} \sum_{A \in \mathcal{P}/\mathcal{Q}} \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\#\{g \in \pi_n(W_A) : g \text{ fixes a vertex in } \mathcal{L}_n\}}{|\pi_n(W_A)|}.$$

Notice that the last limit correspond to our extension of the definition of the fixed-point proportion of sets made in [Equation \(6\)](#). We conclude that

$$(12) \quad \text{FPP}(G_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}}) = \frac{1}{[\mathcal{P} : \mathcal{Q}]} \sum_{A \in \mathcal{P}/\mathcal{Q}} \text{FPP}(W_A).$$

In particular, we observe that  $\text{FPP}(G_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}}) > 0$  if and only if for at least one coset  $A$ , the number  $\text{FPP}(W_A) > 0$ .

## 5. EXAMPLES

In this subsection, we construct an explicit example of  $G_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}}$  that is level-transitive and has a positive fixed-point proportion. This example works for any  $d$ -regular tree with  $d \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ .

To ensure  $G_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}}$  is level-transitive, by [Proposition 4.3](#), the subgroup  $\mathcal{Q}$  must act transitively on  $X = \{1, \dots, d\}$ . With this condition satisfied, the fixed-point process of  $G_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}}$  is a martingale from [Proposition 4.4](#).

By [Equation \(12\)](#), we have

$$\text{FPP}(G_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}}) = \frac{1}{[\mathcal{P} : \mathcal{Q}]} \sum_{A \in \mathcal{P}/\mathcal{Q}} \text{FPP}(W_A),$$

where each  $A$  is a coset of  $\mathcal{P}/\mathcal{Q}$ .

Since  $\mathcal{Q}$  is transitive, by [Lemma 3.8.\(1\)](#) applied to  $\mathcal{P} \curvearrowright X$ , we have

$$f'_A(0) = \frac{1}{\#A} \sum_{a \in A} \#X^a = 1.$$

Therefore, by [Theorem 3.6.\(3\)](#), we have only two possibilities:

$$\text{FPP}(W_A) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if every element in } A \text{ fixes exactly one point in } X, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In particular  $\text{FPP}(W_{\mathcal{Q}}) = 0$  since the identity is in  $\mathcal{Q}$ .

**5.1. Construction 1.** Seeing  $X = \{1, \dots, d\}$  as  $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ , the integer numbers modulo  $d$ , we define

$$\mathcal{P} = \{x \mapsto ax + b : a \in (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^\times, b \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{Q} = \{x \mapsto x + b : b \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Here,  $\mathcal{Q} \triangleleft \mathcal{P}$ , and  $\mathcal{Q}$  is transitive on  $X$ . By [Proposition 4.5](#) the group  $G_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}}$  is not topologically finitely generated.

The cosets of  $\mathcal{P}/\mathcal{Q}$  are the sets

$$A_a = \{x \mapsto ax + b : b \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}\}.$$

To determine how many fixed points an element of the form  $ax + b$  has, we use the following lemma:

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ . Then, the equation*

$$\alpha x \equiv \beta \pmod{n}$$

*has a solution if and only if  $\gcd(\alpha, n) \mid \beta$  and the number of solutions is  $\gcd(\alpha, n)$ .*

Applying [Lemma 5.1](#) with  $(\alpha, \beta, n) = (a - 1, -b, d)$  we conclude

$$\text{FPP}(W_{A_a}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \gcd(a - 1, d) = 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } \gcd(a - 1, d) > 1. \end{cases}$$

Thus, by [Equation \(12\)](#):

$$(13) \quad \text{FPP}(G_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}}) = \frac{\#\{a \in (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^{\times} : a - 1 \in (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^{\times}\}}{\Phi(d)},$$

where  $\Phi(d)$  is the Euler's totient function.

**Proposition 5.2.** *Define  $\psi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  the function*

$$\psi(d) = \#\{a \in (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^{\times} : a - 1 \in (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^{\times}\}.$$

*Then*

$$\psi(d) = d \prod_{p|d} \left(1 - \frac{2}{p}\right).$$

*In particular,*

$$\frac{\psi(d)}{\Phi(d)} = \prod_{p|d} \frac{p-2}{p-1},$$

*where  $\Phi$  is the Euler's totient function.*

*Proof.* We start proving that  $\psi$  is multiplicative, namely, if  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  are coprime, then  $\psi(mn) = \psi(m)\psi(n)$ . Indeed, by Chinese remainder theorem, the map

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : \mathbb{Z}/mn\mathbb{Z} &\rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}) \times (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \\ x + mn\mathbb{Z} &\mapsto (x + m\mathbb{Z}, x + n\mathbb{Z}) \end{aligned}$$

is a ring isomorphism. Thus, 1 is sent to (1, 1) and units are sent to units. So  $a - 1$  is a unit in  $\mathbb{Z}/mn\mathbb{Z}$  if and only if  $\varphi(a) - 1 = (a_1 - 1, a_2 - 1)$  is a unit in  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ , meaning that both  $a_1 - 1$  and  $a_2 - 1$  are units in their respective rings. Therefore,  $\psi(mn) = \psi(m)\psi(n)$ .

We now compute  $\psi(p^\alpha)$  for  $p$  a prime number. The elements that are not units are of the form  $pk$  with  $k = 1, \dots, p^{\alpha-1}$ , so  $\psi(p^\alpha) = p^{\alpha-1}(p-2)$ .

Combining everything, if  $d = \prod_{i=1}^r p^{\alpha_i}$ , then

$$\psi(d) = \prod_{i=1}^r p^{\alpha_i-1}(p-2) = d \prod_{i=1}^r \left(1 - \frac{2}{p}\right).$$

Finally, since  $\Phi(d) = d \prod_{i=1}^r \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)$ , we obtain

$$\frac{\psi(d)}{\Phi(d)} = \prod_{p|d} \frac{p-2}{p-1}.$$

□

Thus,  $\text{FPP}(G_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}}) = 0$  if and only if  $d$  is even, since when  $d$  is odd we have that 2 and  $2-1=1$  are invertible modulo  $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ .

5.1.1. *Construction 2.* Write  $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{Q} \rtimes \text{Aut}(\mathcal{Q})$  with the operation

$$(q, h)(q', h') = (qh(q'), hh').$$

In particular  $(q, h)^{-1} = (h^{-1}(q^{-1}), h^{-1})$ .

The group  $\mathcal{P}$  acts on  $\mathcal{P}/\text{Aut}(\mathcal{Q})$  by left translation. A set of representatives for  $\mathcal{P}/\text{Aut}(\mathcal{Q})$  is  $\{(q, 1)\}_{q \in \mathcal{Q}}$ .

The action has the following properties:

- The action is faithful: Let  $(q, h) \in \mathcal{P}$  such that

$$(q, h)(q', 1) \equiv (q', 1) \pmod{\text{Aut}(\mathcal{Q})}$$

for all  $q' \in \mathcal{Q}$ . Since  $(q, h)(q', 1) = (qh(q'), h')$ , this means that  $qh(q') = q'$  for every  $q' \in \mathcal{Q}$ . If  $q' = 1$ , then this implies that  $q = 1$  and therefore  $h(q') = q'$  for all  $q'$ , so  $h$  is the identity.

Thus, the action is faithful, and  $\mathcal{P}$  can be seen as a subgroup of  $\text{Sym}(|\mathcal{Q}|)$ .

- $\mathcal{Q}$  acts transitively: Given  $q_1, q_2 \in \mathcal{Q}$ , then  $(q_2 q_1^{-1}, 1)(q_1, 1) = (q_2, 1)$ .

The cosets of  $\mathcal{P}/\mathcal{Q}$  have the form

$$A_h = \{(q, h) : q \in \mathcal{Q}\}.$$

An element  $(q, h)$  fixes exactly one point in  $\mathcal{P}/\text{Aut}(\mathcal{Q})$  if and only if there exists a unique  $q' \in \mathcal{Q}$  such that

$$(q, h)(q', 1) = (qh(q'), h) \equiv (q', 1) \pmod{\text{Aut}(\mathcal{Q})},$$

namely,  $qh(q') = q'$  has a unique solution.

Given  $d \geq 3$ , write  $d = 2^n r$  with  $r$  odd and let  $\mathcal{Q} = C_2^n \times C_r$ , where  $C_m$  is the cyclic group of order  $m$ . For this choice,  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{Q}) \simeq \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_2) \times (\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z})^*$ , where  $\mathbb{F}_2$  is the field with two elements.

Elements  $q \in \mathcal{Q}$  can be written as  $(\vec{x}, z)$ , where  $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$  and  $z \in \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$ , and elements  $h \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{Q})$  as  $(A, \alpha)$ , where  $A \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_2)$  and  $\alpha \in (\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z})^*$ . Since the group  $\mathcal{Q}$  is abelian, we can use additive notation. Then  $(q, h)$  has a unique fixed point in  $\mathcal{P}/\text{Aut}(\mathcal{Q})$  if and only if there exists a unique  $q' = (\vec{x}', z')$  such that  $q + h(q') = q'$ , namely,

$$\begin{cases} \vec{x} + A\vec{x}' = \vec{x}' \\ z + \alpha z' = z', \end{cases}$$

or equivalently

$$\begin{cases} (A-1)\vec{x}' = -\vec{x} \\ (\alpha-1)z' = -z. \end{cases}$$

This will have a unique solution if and only if  $A-1$  and  $\alpha-1$  are invertible in  $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_2)$  and  $(\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z})^*$ , respectively, and this is independent of  $q = (\vec{x}, z)$ .

Therefore

$$\text{FPP}(G_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}}) = \frac{\#\{A \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_n) : A - 1 \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_2)\}}{|\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_2)|} \prod_{p|r} \frac{p-2}{p-1}.$$

Notice that this is a generalization of construction 1 since when  $d$  is odd, the group

$$\{x \mapsto x + b : b \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}\}$$

is isomorphic to  $C_d$  and

$$\{x \mapsto ax + b : a \in (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^*, b \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}\}$$

is isomorphic to  $C_d \rtimes \text{Aut}(C_d) = C_d \rtimes (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^*$ . The issue caused by 2 when  $d$  is even in construction 1 is, in this case, isolated.

To prove that this construction of  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}}$  is an example of a group with positive fixed-point proportion, we still need to find:

- (1) a number in  $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha - 1$  are invertible with  $r$  odd and
- (2) a matrix in  $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_2)$  such that  $A$  and  $A - 1$  are both invertible.

In the case of  $\alpha$ , since  $r$  is odd then  $\alpha = 2$  satisfies the condition.

For the matrices, define

$$A_2 := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$A_3 := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since the rows are linearly independent, they are invertible. Moreover,

$$A_2 - 1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$A_3 - 1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

which are also invertible. Therefore, we have examples for  $n = 2$  and  $n = 3$ .

If  $n > 3$ , consider two cases. If  $n$  is even, define

$$A_n := \begin{pmatrix} A_2 & & & \\ & A_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & A_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and if  $n$  is odd,

$$A_n := \begin{pmatrix} A_2 & & & & \\ & A_2 & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & A_2 & \\ & & & & A_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

By induction and the property of the determinant of subblocks, then  $A_n$  and  $A_n - 1$  are invertible.



The only case that cannot be addressed with this construction is when  $n = 1$ , or equivalently  $d \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  because  $\text{GL}_1(\mathbb{F}_2)$  has only one invertible element.

Table 1 shows the values of

$$\#\{A \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_n) : A - 1 \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_2)\}$$

and

$$\frac{\#\{A \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_n) : A - 1 \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_2)\}}{|\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_2)|}$$

for the first cases of  $n$ . Combined with Proposition 5.2, this allows us to calculate the fixed-point proportion for several cases of this construction.

$n$	$\#\{A \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_n) : A - 1 \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_2)\}$	$ \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_2) $	$\frac{\#\{A \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_n) : A - 1 \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_2)\}}{ \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_2) }$
1	0	1	0
2	2	6	$1/3 \approx 0.333$
3	48	168	$2/7 \approx 0.2857 \dots$
4	5824	20160	$13/45 \approx 0.288 \dots$
5	2887680	9999360	$188/651 \approx 0.2887 \dots$

TABLE 1. Number of matrices  $A \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_2)$  such that  $A - I$  is also invertible.

There are other groups  $\mathcal{Q}$  similar to  $C_2^n \times C_r$  with  $r$  odd that can be defined, and their fixed-point proportions will have a similar formula. For example, we can consider  $\mathcal{Q} = \prod_{p|d} C_p^{e_p}$  where  $p$  is a prime number and  $e_p$  is the largest natural number such that  $p^{e_p} \mid d$ .

5.1.2. *The problem of  $d \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ .* Using GAP [22], all possible transitive groups  $\mathcal{Q} \leq \text{Sym}(d)$  were computed for  $d \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  with  $d$  ranging from 2 to 30. Since  $\mathcal{Q}$  must be normal in  $\mathcal{P}$ , we take  $\mathcal{P}$  the normalizer of  $\mathcal{Q}$  in  $\text{Sym}(d)$ , since this corresponds to the most favorable scenario. However, for all tested values of  $d$ , no transitive group  $\mathcal{Q}$  as subgroup of  $\mathcal{P}$  had a coset where every element in the coset fixed exactly one element. This suggests an obstruction for these values of  $d$  to admit a subgroup  $\mathcal{Q}$  meeting the required conditions. The obstruction align with the special case considered in Construction 2, where  $\mathcal{Q}$  was abelian of order  $d$ . The specific structure of  $\mathcal{Q}$  in this case explains why the conditions fail for  $d \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ .

## 6. APPLICATION TO ITERATED GALOIS GROUPS

In this subsection, we will demonstrate that Construction 1 in Section 5 corresponds to the group  $G_\infty(\mathbb{Q}, f, t)$ , the iterated Galois group of  $f(x) = x^d + 1$  with  $t$  transcendental over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Let us start by fixing  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  an algebraic closure of the rational numbers. We will denote by  $C_d$  the cyclic group of  $d$  elements.

We start examining the solutions of  $x^d + 1 = t$ . These solutions are of the form  $\xi^{x_1} \sqrt[d]{t - 1}$  where  $\xi$  is a primitive  $d$ -th root of unity, and  $x_1 = 1, \dots, d$ . Denote these solutions by  $\alpha_{x_1}$ . On the second level of the tree, we consider the preimages of each  $\alpha_{x_1}$ . These preimages are given by

$$\alpha_{x_1 x_2} = \xi^{x_2} \sqrt[d]{\alpha_{x_1} - 1}.$$

Continuing in this manner, at level  $n$ , the solutions are of the form  $\alpha_{x_1 \dots x_n}$ , where

$$\alpha_{x_1 \dots x_n} = \xi^{x_n} \alpha_{x_1 \dots x_{n-1}d}$$

and

$$\alpha_{x_1 \dots x_{n-1}d} = \sqrt[d]{\alpha_{x_1 \dots x_{n-1}} - 1}.$$

In the  $d$ -regular tree, we place  $\alpha_{x_1 \dots x_n}$  in the vertex labeled as  $x_1 \dots x_n$  of the tree.

To calculate the Galois group  $G_\infty(\mathbb{Q}, f, t)$ , we rely on the following theorem:

**Theorem 6.1** ([15, Theorem 3.1]). *Let  $f$  be a rational function of  $L = k(t)$  of degree  $d \geq 2$  such that  $f' \neq 0$  and  $k$  is a number field. Write  $L_\infty = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} L(f^{-n}(t))$ . Let  $C$  denote the set of critical points of  $f$ , and write  $F = L(f^{-1}(t)) \cap \bar{k}$  and  $\mathcal{Q} = \text{Gal}(L(f^{-1}(t))/F(t))$ . If for any  $a, b \in C$  and  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have  $f^m(a) = f^n(b)$  if and only if  $m = n$  and  $a = b$ , then  $\text{Gal}(L_\infty/F(t)) \simeq W_{\mathcal{Q}}$ , where  $W_{\mathcal{Q}}$  is the iterated wreath product of  $\mathcal{Q}$ .*

In our case, the polynomial  $f$  has only 0 as its critical point, and it is easy to see that 0 is wandering. Thus,  $f^m(0) = f^n(0)$  if and only if  $m = n$ . This implies that  $\text{Gal}(K_\infty(f)/F(t)) \simeq W_{\mathcal{Q}}$ , where  $F = K_1(f) \cap \overline{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathbb{Q}(\xi)$ , and

$$\mathcal{Q} = \text{Gal}(K_1(f)/F(t)) = \text{Gal}(F(\alpha_0, t)/F(t)) \simeq C_d.$$

A possible generator for  $\mathcal{Q}$  is  $\alpha_d \mapsto \alpha_1$ , which corresponds to the permutation  $(1, \dots, d)$  in  $\text{Sym}(d)$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{Q} = \{x \mapsto x + b : b \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}\}$ . Moreover, note that

$$G_\infty(\mathbb{Q}, f, t)/W_{\mathcal{Q}} \simeq \text{Gal}(F(t)/\mathbb{Q}(t)) \simeq \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\xi)/\mathbb{Q}) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^\times.$$

In particular  $[G_\infty(\mathbb{Q}, f, t) : W_{\mathcal{Q}}] = \Phi(d)$ , where  $\Phi(d)$  is the Euler's totient function.

Let  $\sigma \in G_\infty(\mathbb{Q}, f, t)$ . Then, there exists  $a \in (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^\times$  and  $b \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\sigma(\xi) = \xi^a$  and  $\sigma(\alpha_d) = \alpha_b$ . So the action of  $\sigma$  on the first level is given by

$$\sigma(\alpha_x) = \sigma(\xi^x \alpha_d) = \xi^{ax+b} \alpha_d.$$

Write  $\mathcal{P} = \{x \mapsto ax + b : a \in (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^\times, b \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}\}$ . For  $\alpha_{x_1 \dots x_{n+1}}$ , suppose the Galois automorphism  $\sigma$  sends  $\sigma(\alpha_{x_1 \dots x_n d}) = \alpha_{y_1 \dots y_n d}$ . Then

$$\sigma(\alpha_{x_1 \dots x_{n+1}}) = \sigma(\xi^{x_{n+1}} \alpha_{x_1 \dots x_n d}) = \xi^{ax_{n+1}} \alpha_{y_1 \dots y_n d} = \alpha_{y_1 \dots y_n (ax_{n+1} + y_{n+1})}.$$

This shows that  $\sigma|_{x_1 \dots x_n}^1 = x \mapsto ax + b$  for some  $b$  depending on the vertex  $x_1 \dots x_n$ . Hence,  $\sigma|_{x_1 \dots x_n}^1 \in \mathcal{P}$ .

Furthermore, for  $x_1 \dots x_n$  and  $x'_1 \dots x'_n \in \mathcal{L}_n$ , and the portraits  $\sigma|_{x_1 \dots x_n}^1 = x \mapsto ax + b$  and  $\sigma|_{x'_1 \dots x'_n}^1 = x \mapsto ax + b'$ , we have

$$(\sigma|_{x_1 \dots x_n}^1)(\sigma|_{x'_1 \dots x'_n}^1)^{-1} = x \mapsto x + b - ab' \in \mathcal{Q}.$$

Thus,  $G_\infty(\mathbb{Q}, f, t) \leq G_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}}$ . Since  $[G_\infty(\mathbb{Q}, f, t) : W_{\mathcal{Q}}] = [G_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}} : W_{\mathcal{Q}}] = \Phi(d)$ , we conclude  $G_\infty(\mathbb{Q}, f, t) = G_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{P}}$ . Using the results of the construction 1, this allow us to conclude the following corollary:

**Corollary 6.2.** *Let  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $d \geq 3$  and  $f(x) = x^d + 1$ . Then*

$$(14) \quad \text{FPP}(G_\infty(\mathbb{Q}, f, t)) = \prod_{p|d} \frac{p-2}{p-1}$$

When  $d$  is odd, this provides an example of a family of polynomials whose iterated Galois groups are level-transitive, have positive Hausdorff dimension and positive fixed-point proportion.

## 7. OPEN QUESTIONS

We conclude this article by posing the following questions, which are considered by the author to be relevant and interesting for better understanding the theory of the fixed-point proportion of groups acting on trees:

- (1) In [Lemma 2.12](#), it was proved that if  $H \leq_f G$  and  $\text{FPP}(G) = 0$ , then  $\text{FPP}(H) = 0$ . The question is whether this result can be extended to groups with relative Hausdorff dimension equal to 1.

**Question 7.1.** *Let  $T$  be a spherically homogeneous tree and  $H \leq G \leq \text{Aut}(T)$  such that  $\text{FPP}(G) = 0$  and  $\mathcal{H}_G(H) = 1$ . Does this imply that  $\text{FPP}(H) = 0$ ?*

- (2) [Lemma 2.13](#) answers the question about the continuity of the function FPP. The next question is about the surjectivity of FPP in  $[0, 1]$ :

**Question 7.2.** *Fix  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $T$  a  $d$ -regular tree. Given  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ , can we find a group  $G \leq \text{Aut}(T)$  such that  $\text{FPP}(G) = \alpha$ ? Does the same hold if we restrict to level-transitive groups or to topologically finitely generated groups?*

- (3) Finally, we wonder about the behavior of the fixed-point proportion when we take random subgroups.

**Question 7.3.** *Let  $T$  be a spherically homogeneous tree,  $n$  a positive integer, and  $G \leq \text{Aut}(T)$  a closed subgroup with normalized Haar measure  $\mu$ . Consider  $G^n$  as a probability space equipped with the product measure. Select  $n$  random elements of  $G$  and let  $H$  the subgroup they generate. Does  $\text{FPP}(H) = 0$  with probability 1?*

As an application, we prove that [Question 7.1](#) implies an instance of [Question 7.3](#).

**Proposition 7.4.** *Let  $T$  be a  $p$ -regular tree with  $p$  a prime number,  $n \geq 3$ , and denote  $\mathcal{P} = \langle (1, \dots, p) \rangle \leq \text{Sym}(p)$  the transitive cyclic group of order  $p$ . If the statement of [Question 7.1](#) holds, then the fixed-point proportion of  $n$  random elements in  $W_{\mathcal{P}}$  is 0 with probability 1.*

*Proof.* By [Theorem B](#), we have  $\text{FPP}(W_{\mathcal{P}}) = 0$ . From [[1](#), Theorem 7.2], if  $H$  is a subgroup generated by three random elements in  $W_{\mathcal{P}}$ , then  $\mathcal{H}_{W_{\mathcal{P}}}(H) = 1$  with probability 1. Therefore, the same holds if  $H$  is generated by  $n$  random elements with  $n \geq 3$ . Assuming the statement of [Question 7.1](#) holds, then  $\text{FPP}(H) = 0$  with probability 1.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. Abért, and B. Virág, Dimension and randomness in groups acting on rooted trees, *Journal of the American Mathematical Society* 18 (1) (2004), 157–192
- [2] I. Bondarenko, Finite generation of iterated wreath products, *Arch. Math. (Basel)* 95 (4) (2010), 301–308
- [3] I. Bondarenko, and I. Samoilovych, On finite generation of self-similar groups of finite type, *Internat. J. Algebra Comput.* 23 (1) (2013), 69–79.
- [4] N. Boston, Large transitive groups with many elements having fixed points, *Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI* 524 (2010), 11–15
- [5] A. Bridy, R. Jones, G. Kelsey, and R. Lodge, Iterated monodromy groups of rational functions and periodic points over finite fields, *Math. Ann.*, 390 (1) (2024), 439–475

- [6] J. Fariña-Asategui, and S. Radi, On the fixed-point proportion of self-similar groups, (2024), in preparation
- [7] M. Hassani, Derangements and Applications (2003),  
<https://cs.uwaterloo.ca/journals/JIS/VOL6/Hassani/hassani5.pdf>
- [8] J. He, and Z. Zhu, Iterated Monodromy Group With Non-Martingale Fixed-Point Process (2024), arXiv preprint 2403.12165, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2403.12165>
- [9] R. I. Grigorchuk, Solved and unsolved problems around one group, *Birkhäuser, Basel* 248 (2005), 117–218
- [10] G. R. Grimmett, and D. R. Stirzaker, Probability and random processes, *Oxford University Press, Oxford* (2020), xii–669
- [11] R. Jones, A survey of arboreal Galois representations and their application (2008),  
<https://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/document?repid=rep1&type=pdf&doi=bac43430f705d77a8c230b9230e1fd2637f753c9>
- [12] R. Jones, The density of prime divisors in the arithmetic dynamics of quadratic polynomials, *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)*, 78 (2) (2008), 523–544
- [13] R. Jones, Fixed-point-free elements of iterated monodromy groups, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 367 (3) (2015), 2023–2049
- [14] R. Jones, Galois representations from pre-image trees: an arboreal survey (2014), arXiv 1402.6018
- [15] J. Juul, P. Kurlberg, K. Madhu, and T. J. Tucker, Wreath products and proportions of periodic points, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* 13 (2016), 3944–3969
- [16] Y. Katznelson, Yitzhak, An introduction to harmonic analysis, *John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York-London-Sydney*, (1968), xiv–264
- [17] D. Lind, and B. Marcus, An Introduction to Symbolic Dynamics and Coding, *Cambridge University Press*, (1995)
- [18] V. Nekrashevych, Self-similar groups, *American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI* 117 (2005), xii–231
- [19] R. W. K. Odoni, On the Prime Divisors of the Sequence  $w_{n+1} = 1 + w_1 \dots w_n$ , *Journal of the London Mathematical Society* s2-32 (1) (1980), 1–11,
- [20] Z. Šunić, Hausdorff dimension in a family of self-similar groups, *Geom. Dedicata* **124** (2007), 213–236.
- [21] Z. Šunić, Pattern closure of groups of tree automorphisms, *Bull. Math. Sci.* **1** (1) (2011), 115–127.
- [22] The GAP Group, GAP – Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.13.1 (2024),  
<https://www.gap-system.org>
- [23] The Sage Developers, W. Stein, D. Joyner, D. Kohel, J. Cremona, and E. Burçin, SageMath, version 9.3 (2024), <http://www.sagemath.org>
- [24] Workshop: *Groups of dynamical origin*, June 2024 at the American Institute of Mathematics, Pasadena, California. Organized by R. I. Grigorchuk, C. Medynets, and D. Savchuk.  
<https://aimath.org/pastworkshops/groupdynamorigin.html>

SANTIAGO RADI: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY, 77843 COLLEGE STATION, U.S.A.

*Email address:* [santiradi@tamu.edu](mailto:santiradi@tamu.edu)