

Enhanced Conformal BMS₃ Symmetries

Oscar Fuentealba,^{a,b,c} Iva Lovrekovic,^d David Tempo,^{a,b} Ricardo Troncoso^{e,f}

^a*Instituto de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales (ICEN), Universidad Arturo Prat, Playa Brava 3256, 1111346 Iquique, Chile*

^b*Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad Arturo Prat, Avenida Arturo Prat Chacón 2120, 1110939 Iquique, Chile*

^c*International Solvay Institutes, ULB-Campus Plaine CP231, B-1050 Brussels, Belgium*

^d*Institute for Theoretical Physics, TU Wien, Wiedner Hauptstrasse, 8-10, 1040, Vienna, Austria*

^e*Facultad de Ingeniería, Arquitectura y Diseño, Universidad San Sebastián, sede Valdivia, General Lagos 1163, Valdivia 5110693, Chile*

^f*Centro de Estudios Científicos (CECs), Av. Arturo Prat 514, Valdivia, Chile*

E-mail: ofuentealba@unap.cl, iva.lovrekovic@tuwien.ac.at,
jtempo@unap.cl, ricardo.troncoso@uss.cl

ABSTRACT: An enhanced version of the conformal BMS₃ algebra is presented. It is shown to emerge from the asymptotic structure of an extension of conformal gravity in 3D by Pope and Townsend that consistently accommodates an additional spin-2 field, once it is endowed with a suitable set of boundary conditions. The canonical generators of the asymptotic symmetries then span a precise nonlinear $W_{(2,2,2,2,1,1,1)}$ algebra, whose central extensions and coefficients of the nonlinear terms are completely determined by the central charge of the Virasoro subalgebra. The wedge algebra corresponds to the conformal group in four dimensions $SO(4,2)$ and therefore, enhanced conformal BMS₃ can also be regarded as an infinite-dimensional nonlinear extension of the AdS₅ algebra with nontrivial central extensions. It is worth mentioning that our boundary conditions might be considered as a starting point in order to consistently incorporate either a finite or an infinite number of conformal higher spin fields.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Asymptotic structure of extended conformal gravity in 3D	2
2.1	Boundary conditions and enhanced conformal BMS_3 algebra	3
3	Ending remarks	6

1 Introduction

Finding a bona fide conformal extension of the BMS algebra appears to be a hard nut to crack (see e.g. [1–5]). Nevertheless in three spacetime dimensions the task can be successfully achieved, provided that an infinite number of superdilations and superspecial conformal transformations are incorporated within a nonlinear algebra [6]. Specifically, the commutator of supertranslations with superspecial conformal transformations acquires quadratic and cubic terms made of superrotations and superdilations. The conformal BMS_3 algebra has been shown to emerge in different physical setups, as it is the case of the asymptotic symmetry algebra of conformal gravity in 3D [6], as well as from the free field realization of the BMS_3 Ising model in 2D [7]. Further related results can be found in [8, 9].

The conformal BMS_3 algebra seems to be very rigid, since the central extensions and the coefficients of the nonlinear terms become entirely determined by the central charge of the Virasoro subalgebra. Indeed, the conditions obtained from the Jacobi identity turn out to be very stringent, which suggests that the algebra is unique. In this sense, since the conformal BMS_3 algebra looks undeformable, one may wonder whether it might be enhanced in some appropriate way. As a strategy to achieve this task we propose exploring the asymptotic structure of a suitable extension of conformal gravity in 3D [10, 11]. A nice and simple theory enjoying the sought features was proposed long ago by Pope and Townsend [12] with the aim of further enlarging it in order to describe an infinite tower of conformal higher spin fields in 3D, along the lines of [13]. More recently, conformal gravity was shown to admit a different extension that accommodates a large class of theories with a finite number of conformal higher spin fields [14].¹

The theory proposed in [12] describes a non-gauged spin-2 field consistently coupled to conformal gravity, and it can also be formulated in terms of a Chern-Simons action for $so(4, 2)$, after a suitable gauge choice akin to that of Horne and Witten for the case of pure conformal gravity [11].

In the next section we show that the searched-for enhancement of the conformal BMS_3 algebra naturally emerges from the asymptotic structure of the extension of conformal gravity aforementioned.

2 Asymptotic structure of extended conformal gravity in 3D

Following Pope and Townsend [12] the three-dimensional conformal algebra $so(3, 2)$, spanned by $\{J_a, P_a, K_a, D\}$ with $a = 0, 1, 2$, is enhanced to that of $so(4, 2)$ by enlarging the set of generators to include $\{U_a, U, V\}$. This is also isomorphic to algebra of depth-two conformal gravity in Grigoriev et al. [14].

For our purposes, it is convenient to arrange the generators in a different way. The generators of the $so(2, 1) \approx sl(2, \mathbb{R})$ subalgebra that commutes with the Lorentz subalgebra (spanned by J_a) are given by $T^I = \{U, V, D\}$ with $I = 0, 1, 2$, so that the remaining

¹Additional interesting results concerning conformal higher spin fields can be found in e.g., [15–17].

generators $P_a^I = \{P_a, K_a, U_a\}$ also behave like vectors of $sl(2, \mathbb{R})$. The full $so(4, 2)$ algebra then explicitly reads

$$\begin{aligned} [J_a, J_b] &= \epsilon_{abc} J^c ; [J_a, P_b^I] = \epsilon_{ab}{}^c P_c^I , \\ [T^I, T^J] &= \epsilon^{IJK} T_K ; [T^I, P_a^J] = \epsilon^{IJ}{}_K P_a^K , \\ [J_a, T^I] &= 0 ; [P_a^I, P_b^J] = -2\tau^{IJ} \epsilon_{abc} J^c - 2\eta_{ab} \epsilon^{IJK} T_K , \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

where both η_{ab} and τ_{IJ} stand for the flat Minkowski metric in 3D. It is useful to express τ^{IJ} in light cone coordinates ($\tau^{01} = \tau^{10} = \tau^{22} = 1$) so that Poincaré translations and special conformal transformations correspond to $P_a = P_a^0$ and $K_a = P_a^1$, respectively; while dilations do for $D = T^2$.

We choose the normalization of the Cartan-Killing metric so that it reads

$$\langle J_a J_b \rangle = \eta_{ab} ; \langle P_a^I P_b^J \rangle = -2\eta_{ab} \tau^{IJ} ; \langle T_I T_J \rangle = \tau_{IJ} , \quad (2.2)$$

and hence, the extension of conformal gravity in 3D can be expressed in terms of a Chern-Simons action

$$I[A] = \frac{k}{4\pi} \int \left\langle AdA + \frac{2}{3} A^3 \right\rangle , \quad (2.3)$$

for a gauge field given by

$$A = \omega^a J_a + E_I^a P_a^I + M_I T^I , \quad (2.4)$$

where $e^a = E_0^a$ and ω^a stand for the dreibein and the dualized spin connection, while $s^a = E_2^a$ corresponds to the one-form associated to the spin-2 gauge field [14].²

2.1 Boundary conditions and enhanced conformal BMS₃ algebra

Following the lines of [18], a gauge choice of the form $A = g^{-1} a g + g^{-1} d g$, with a suitable group element $g = g(r)$, allows to completely gauge away the radial dependence of the asymptotic form of the connection, so that the remaining analysis can be readily performed in terms of the auxiliary gauge field $a = a_t dt + a_\varphi d\varphi$ that depends only on time and the angular coordinate.

We then propose the following fall-off of the gauge field

$$a = \left[J_1 + \frac{2\pi}{k} \left(\mathcal{J} + \frac{2\pi}{k} \Lambda_{(2)} \right) J_0 + \frac{\pi}{k} \mathcal{P}_I P_0^I + \frac{2\pi}{k} \mathcal{M}_I T^I \right] (d\varphi + dt) , \quad (2.5)$$

where $\Lambda_{(2)} = \tau_{IJ} \Lambda_{(2)}^{IJ}$, with

$$\Lambda_{(2)}^{IJ} = \frac{1}{4} (\mathcal{M}^I \mathcal{M}^J - \tau^{IJ} \mathcal{M}^K \mathcal{M}_K) , \quad (2.6)$$

and the dynamical fields \mathcal{J} , \mathcal{P}_I , \mathcal{M}_J depend only on t , φ .

The asymptotic behavior is preserved under gauge transformations $\delta a = d\Omega + [a, \Omega]$, with a Lie-algebra-valued parameter given by

$$\Omega [\epsilon, \zeta^I, \lambda^J] = \epsilon J_1 - \zeta_I P_1^I - \left(\lambda_I - \frac{2\pi}{k} \mathcal{M}_I \epsilon \right) T^I + \Theta [\epsilon, \zeta^I, \lambda^J] , \quad (2.7)$$

²This field was initially identified as a spin-2 non-gauge field [12].

with

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta = & -\epsilon' J_2 + \frac{2\pi}{k} \left(\zeta'_K - \frac{2\pi}{k} \epsilon'^{IJ} \zeta_I \mathcal{M}_J \right) P_2^K + \frac{2\pi}{k} \left[\epsilon \left(\mathcal{J} + \frac{2\pi}{k} \Lambda_{(2)} \right) + \zeta_I \mathcal{P}^I - \frac{k}{2\pi} \epsilon'' \right] J_0 \\ & - \frac{2\pi}{k} \left[\zeta_K \left(\mathcal{J} + \frac{2\pi}{k} \Lambda_{(2)} \right) + \frac{8\pi}{k} \zeta_I \Lambda_{(2)K}^I + \epsilon'^{IJ} (\zeta_I \mathcal{M}'_J + 2\zeta'_I \mathcal{M}_J) - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon \mathcal{P}_K - \frac{k}{2\pi} \zeta''_K \right] P_0^K, \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

depending on chiral functions of t , φ fulfilling $\dot{\epsilon} = \epsilon'$, $\dot{\zeta}_I = \zeta'_I$, $\dot{\lambda}_I = \lambda'_I$. Note that anti-chiral functions would be obtained if the asymptotic behavior of the gauge field in (2.5) were chosen as a one-form along $d\varphi - dt$ (instead of $d\varphi + dt$).

The transformation law of the dynamical fields is then given by

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \mathcal{J} &= 2\mathcal{J}\epsilon' + \mathcal{J}'\epsilon - \frac{k}{2\pi} \epsilon''' + 2\mathcal{P}^I \zeta'_I + 2\mathcal{P}'_I \zeta^I - \mathcal{M}^I \lambda'_I, \\ \delta \mathcal{P}^I &= 2\mathcal{P}^I \epsilon' + \mathcal{P}'^I \epsilon - 4 \left(\mathcal{J} \tau^{IJ} - \tilde{\Lambda}_{(2)}^{IJ} \right) \zeta'_J - 2 \left[\left(\mathcal{J} \tau^{IJ} - \tilde{\Lambda}_{(2)}^{IJ} \right)' + \epsilon'^{JK} \mathcal{M}''_K + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\Lambda}_{(3)}^{IJ} \right] \zeta_J \\ &\quad + 6 \left(\epsilon'^{JK} \mathcal{M}_J \zeta'_K \right)' - \epsilon'^{JK} \mathcal{P}_J \lambda_K + \frac{k}{\pi} \zeta^{I''}, \\ \delta \mathcal{M}_I &= \mathcal{M}_I \epsilon' + \mathcal{M}'_I \epsilon + \epsilon_{IJK} \mathcal{P}^J \zeta^K - \epsilon_I{}^{JK} \mathcal{M}_J \lambda_K - \frac{k}{2\pi} \lambda'_I. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

with $\tilde{\Lambda}_{(2)}^{IJ}$ and $\tilde{\Lambda}_{(3)}^{IJ}$ being symmetric and antisymmetric in I, J , respectively, and defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Lambda}_{(2)}^{IJ} &= -\frac{2\pi}{k} \left(\Lambda_{(2)} \tau^{IJ} + 6\Lambda_{(2)}^{IJ} \right), \\ \tilde{\Lambda}_{(3)}^{IJ} &= -\frac{4\pi}{k} \left[2 \left(\mathcal{J} + \frac{4\pi}{k} \Lambda_{(2)} \right) \epsilon'^{JK} \mathcal{M}_K + \mathcal{M}^{[I} \mathcal{M}'^{J]} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

The generators of the asymptotic symmetries can then be straightforwardly obtained following different approaches, as in [19, 20], and they are given by

$$\mathcal{Q}[\epsilon, \zeta_I, \lambda_I] = - \int (\epsilon \mathcal{J} + \zeta_I \mathcal{P}^I - \lambda_I \mathcal{M}^I) d\varphi, \quad (2.11)$$

so that their algebra can be extracted from their Dirac brackets; or in a more direct way, by virtue of $\delta_{\eta_1} \mathcal{Q}[\eta_2] = \{ \mathcal{Q}[\eta_2], \mathcal{Q}[\eta_1] \}$, and the transformation law of the dynamical fields in (2.9).

The algebra of the asymptotic symmetry generators is then found to be described by

$$\begin{aligned}
\{\mathcal{J}(\phi), \mathcal{J}(\varphi)\} &= -2\mathcal{J}(\phi)\delta'(\phi - \varphi) - \delta(\phi - \varphi)\mathcal{J}'(\phi) + \frac{k}{2\pi}\delta'''(\phi - \varphi) , \\
\{\mathcal{J}(\phi), \mathcal{P}^K(\varphi)\} &= -2\mathcal{P}^K(\phi)\delta'(\phi - \varphi) - \delta(\phi - \varphi)\mathcal{P}^{K'}(\phi) , \\
\{\mathcal{J}(\phi), \mathcal{M}^I(\varphi)\} &= -\mathcal{M}^I(\phi)\delta'(\phi - \varphi) , \\
\{\mathcal{M}^I(\phi), \mathcal{M}^J(\varphi)\} &= \epsilon^{IJK}\mathcal{M}_K\delta(\phi - \varphi) - \frac{k}{2\pi}\tau^{IJ}\delta'(\phi - \varphi) , \\
\{\mathcal{P}_I(\phi), \mathcal{M}_J(\varphi)\} &= \epsilon_{IJK}\mathcal{P}^K(\phi)\delta(\phi - \varphi) , \\
\{\mathcal{P}^I(\phi), \mathcal{P}^J(\varphi)\} &= 4\left(\mathcal{J}(\phi)\tau^{IJ} - \tilde{\Lambda}_{(2)}^{IJ}(\phi)\right)\delta'(\phi - \varphi) \\
&\quad + 2\left(\mathcal{J}(\phi)\tau^{IJ} - \tilde{\Lambda}_{(2)}^{IJ}(\phi) + \epsilon^{IJK}\mathcal{M}'_K(\phi)\right)'\delta(\phi - \varphi) \\
&\quad - 6\left(\epsilon^{IJK}\mathcal{M}_K(\phi)\delta'(\phi - \varphi)\right)' + \tilde{\Lambda}_{(3)}^{IJ}(\phi)\delta(\phi - \varphi) - \frac{k}{\pi}\tau^{IJ}\delta'''(\phi - \varphi) .
\end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

Expanding in Fourier modes according to $X = \frac{1}{2\pi}\sum_m X_m e^{im\varphi}$, the algebra reads

$$\begin{aligned}
i\{\mathcal{J}_m, \mathcal{J}_n\} &= (m - n)\mathcal{J}_{m+n} + m(m^2 - 1)k\delta_{m+n,0} , \\
i\{\mathcal{J}_m, \mathcal{P}_n^I\} &= (m - n)\mathcal{P}_{m+n}^I , \\
i\{\mathcal{J}_m, \mathcal{M}_n^I\} &= -n\mathcal{M}_{m+n}^I , \\
i\{\mathcal{M}_m^I, \mathcal{M}_n^J\} &= i\epsilon^{IJ}{}_K\mathcal{M}_{m+n}^K + k\tau^{IJ}m\delta_{m+n,0} , \\
i\{\mathcal{P}_m^I, \mathcal{M}_n^J\} &= i\epsilon^{IJ}{}_K\mathcal{P}_{m+n}^K , \\
i\{\mathcal{P}_m^I, \mathcal{P}_n^J\} &= -2(m - n)\mathcal{J}_{m+n}\tau^{IJ} + (m - n)\tilde{\Lambda}_{(2)m+n}^{IJ} \\
&\quad - 2i(m^2 - mn + n^2 - 1)\epsilon^{IJ}{}_Q\mathcal{M}_{m+n}^Q + \tilde{\Lambda}_{(3)m+n}^{IJ} + 2k\tau^{IJ}m(m^2 - 1)\delta_{m+n,0} ,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

where the zero mode of \mathcal{J}_n has been shifted as $\mathcal{J}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_0 - \frac{k}{4\pi}$, and the nonlinear terms given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\Lambda}_{(2)m}^{IJ} &= \frac{4}{k}\tau^{IJ}\tau_{KL}\sum_n\mathcal{M}_{m-n}^K\mathcal{M}_n^L - \frac{3}{k}\sum_n\mathcal{M}_{m-n}^I\mathcal{M}_n^J , \\
\tilde{\Lambda}_{(3)m}^{IJ} &= -\frac{4}{k}\epsilon^{IJ}{}_K\sum_p\left(\mathcal{J}_{m+p} - \frac{1}{k}\tau_{QR}\sum_n\mathcal{M}_{m-n-p}^Q\mathcal{M}_n^R\right)\mathcal{M}_p^K + \frac{i}{2k}\sum_n n\mathcal{M}_n^I\mathcal{M}_{m-n}^J ,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

possess (anomalous) conformal weight 2 and 3, respectively. Indeed, the conformal weight of the generators $\mathcal{J}_m, \mathcal{P}_m^I$ is 2, while that of the currents \mathcal{M}_m^I is clearly 1.

The wedge algebra is then given by that of the original gauge group $SO(4, 2)$ in (2.1), being recovered once the nonlinear terms are dropped and the modes are restricted according to $|m| < s$, where s stands for the conformal weight of the generators, followed by a simple change of basis.

Note that since the Lorentz subalgebra is non-principally embedded within the wedge algebra, according to the conformal weight of the generators, the enhanced conformal BMS₃ algebra (2.13) can be regarded as a $W_{(2,2,2,2,1,1,1)}$ algebra (see e.g. [21, 22]).

It is also worth highlighting that the Virasoro central charge gives support to the nonlinear terms, and therefore, the enhanced conformal BMS_3 algebra turns out to be well-defined provided the central charge does not vanish. Nonetheless, for the quantum algebra this is not necessarily the case because the central extensions and the coefficient in front of the nonlinear terms generically acquire corrections.

3 Ending remarks

The enhanced conformal BMS_3 algebra (2.13) inherits the “rigidity” of its non enhanced version in [6], since all of the central extensions and the coefficients of the nonlinear terms also become entirely fixed in terms of the central charge of the Virasoro subalgebra, determined by the Chern-Simons level k . This can be traced back by the fact that the extension of the conformal algebra $so(4, 2)$ is semisimple, so that it possesses a unique invariant bilinear form given by the Cartan-Killing metric that can be normalized as in (2.2).

It must be stressed that supertranslations no longer commute with themselves in the enhanced version of the conformal BMS_3 algebra, and this is also the case for the super-special conformal transformations. Indeed, from the corresponding commutator in (2.13), one can read that

$$i \{ \mathcal{P}_m, \mathcal{P}_n \} = i \{ \mathcal{P}_m^0, \mathcal{P}_n^0 \} = -\frac{3}{k} (m - n) \sum_p \mathcal{M}_{m+n-p}^0 \mathcal{M}_p^0, \quad (3.1)$$

$$i \{ \mathcal{K}_m, \mathcal{K}_n \} = i \{ \mathcal{P}_m^1, \mathcal{P}_n^1 \} = -\frac{3}{k} (m - n) \sum_p \mathcal{M}_{m+n-p}^1 \mathcal{M}_p^1, \quad (3.2)$$

and hence, commutativity is lost due to nonlinear contributions of the current generators even at the classical level, being clearly persistent in the quantum realization. This is in stark contrast with what occurs for the (non enhanced) conformal BMS_3 algebra in [6], since commutativity holds in that case. Indeed, BMS_3 is a subalgebra of its conformal extension; nevertheless, it is not a subalgebra of its enhanced conformal extension due to the nonlinear terms in the currents.

It is worth noting that the enhanced conformal BMS_3 algebra (2.13) can also be seen as an infinite-dimensional nonlinear extension of the AdS_5 algebra with nontrivial central charges. Thus, the obstruction to include non trivial central extensions for semisimple algebras, supported by a classical theorem of algebraic cohomology (see e.g. [23]), can be circumvented due to the nonlinearity of the algebra.

It is also interesting to explore whether the black hole solutions of conformal gravity in 3D [17, 24–26] could be endowed with an additional spin-2 field in the context of the extension of conformal gravity of Pope and Townsend [12] and Grigoriev et al. [14]. In order to suitably explore their properties, the asymptotic behavior discussed here should be extended along the lines of [27, 28] so as to include the chemical potentials that correspond to the enlarged set of global charges in (2.11).

As a final remark, it is worth exploring whether the fall-off of the gauge fields implemented by our boundary conditions could be suitably extended to incorporate either a finite or an infinite number of conformal higher spin fields along the lines of [14] and [12],

respectively. It is then natural to expect that the full extension of the BMS_3 algebra that would emerge from such scenarios should necessarily be nonlinear in a two-folded way. Indeed, nonlinear extensions of BMS_3 algebra are known to appear not only for its conformal enhancement, but also from the presence of bosonic or fermionic higher spin fields as in [29–32] and [33, 34], respectively.

Acknowledgments

We thank Luis Avilés and Joaquim Gomis for interesting remarks and discussions. I.L. was supported by the FWF grant Hertha Firnberg T 1269-N and by the FWF grant Elise Richter V 1052-N. This research has been partially supported by ANID FONDECYT grants N° 1211226, 1220910, 1221624. O.F. and I.L. thank the organizers of the 5th Mons Workshop on Higher Spin Gauge Theories hosted by the Service de Physique de l’Univers, Champs et Gravitation of the Université de Mons in January 2024, where this collaboration initiated. The authors also thank the organizers of the ESI Programme and Workshop Carrollian Physics and Holography hosted by the Erwin Schrödinger Institute in April 2024 in Vienna, where part of this work was carried out. The work of O.F. was partially supported by a Marina Solvay Fellowship, as well as by an UNAP Consolida grant of the Vicerrectoría de Investigación e Innovación of the Universidad Arturo Prat.

References

- [1] S. J. Haco, S. W. Hawking, M. J. Perry and J. L. Bourjaily, “The Conformal BMS Group,” *JHEP* **11**, 012 (2017) doi:10.1007/JHEP11(2017)012 [arXiv:1701.08110 [hep-th]].
- [2] H. Adami, M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari, V. Taghiloo, H. Yavartanoo and C. Zwickel, “Symmetries at null boundaries: two and three dimensional gravity cases,” *JHEP* **10**, 107 (2020) doi:10.1007/JHEP10(2020)107 [arXiv:2007.12759 [hep-th]].
- [3] L. Donnay, G. Giribet and F. Rosso, “Quantum BMS transformations in conformally flat space-times and holography,” *JHEP* **12**, 102 (2020) doi:10.1007/JHEP12(2020)102 [arXiv:2008.05483 [hep-th]].
- [4] C. Batlle, V. Campello and J. Gomis, “A canonical realization of the Weyl BMS symmetry,” *Phys. Lett. B* **811**, 135920 (2020) doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2020.135920 [arXiv:2008.10290 [hep-th]].
- [5] X. Bekaert, A. Campoleoni and S. Pekar, “Carrollian conformal scalar as flat-space singleton,” *Phys. Lett. B* **838**, 137734 (2023) doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2023.137734 [arXiv:2211.16498 [hep-th]].
- [6] O. Fuentealba, H. A. González, A. Pérez, D. Tempo and R. Troncoso, “Superconformal Bondi-Metzner-Sachs Algebra in Three Dimensions,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126**, no.9, 091602 (2021) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.126.091602 [arXiv:2011.08197 [hep-th]].
- [7] Z. f. Yu and B. Chen, “Free field realization of the BMS Ising model,” *JHEP* **08**, 116 (2023) doi:10.1007/JHEP08(2023)116 [arXiv:2211.06926 [hep-th]].
- [8] N. Gupta and N. V. Suryanarayana, “All chiral \mathcal{W} -algebra extensions of $\mathfrak{so}(2, 3)$,” *JHEP* **08**, 137 (2024) doi:10.1007/JHEP08(2024)137 [arXiv:2304.14938 [hep-th]].

- [9] N. Gupta and N. V. Suryanarayana, “Chiral $A\text{-}\mathfrak{bms}_4$ symmetry of 3d conformal gravity,” [arXiv:2405.20244 [hep-th]].
- [10] P. van Nieuwenhuizen, “Three-dimensional conformal supergravity and Chern-Simons terms,” Phys. Rev. D **32**, 872 (1985) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.32.872
- [11] J. H. Horne and E. Witten, “Conformal Gravity in Three-dimensions as a Gauge Theory,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **62**, 501-504 (1989) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.62.501
- [12] C. N. Pope and P. K. Townsend, “Conformal Higher Spin in (2+1)-dimensions,” Phys. Lett. B **225**, 245-250 (1989) doi:10.1016/0370-2693(89)90813-7
- [13] E. S. Fradkin and M. A. Vasiliev, “On the Gravitational Interaction of Massless Higher Spin Fields,” Phys. Lett. B **189**, 89-95 (1987) doi:10.1016/0370-2693(87)91275-5
- [14] M. Grigoriev, I. Lovrekovic and E. Skvortsov, “New Conformal Higher Spin Gravities in 3d,” JHEP **01**, 059 (2020) doi:10.1007/JHEP01(2020)059 [arXiv:1909.13305 [hep-th]].
- [15] M. Henneaux, S. Hörtnner and A. Leonard, “Higher Spin Conformal Geometry in Three Dimensions and Prepotentials for Higher Spin Gauge Fields,” JHEP **01**, 073 (2016) doi:10.1007/JHEP01(2016)073 [arXiv:1511.07389 [hep-th]].
- [16] F. Diaz, C. Iazeolla and P. Sundell, “Fractional spins, unfolding, and holography. Part II. 4D higher spin gravity and 3D conformal dual,” JHEP **10**, 066 (2024) doi:10.1007/JHEP10(2024)066 [arXiv:2403.02301 [hep-th]].
- [17] I. Lovrekovic, “Holography of new conformal higher spin gravities in 3d for low spins,” [arXiv:2411.13250 [hep-th]].
- [18] O. Coussaert, M. Henneaux and P. van Driel, “The Asymptotic dynamics of three-dimensional Einstein gravity with a negative cosmological constant,” Class. Quant. Grav. **12**, 2961-2966 (1995) doi:10.1088/0264-9381/12/12/012 [arXiv:gr-qc/9506019 [gr-qc]].
- [19] T. Regge and C. Teitelboim, “Role of Surface Integrals in the Hamiltonian Formulation of General Relativity,” Annals Phys. **88**, 286 (1974) doi:10.1016/0003-4916(74)90404-7
- [20] G. Barnich and F. Brandt, “Covariant theory of asymptotic symmetries, conservation laws and central charges,” Nucl. Phys. B **633**, 3-82 (2002) doi:10.1016/S0550-3213(02)00251-1 [arXiv:hep-th/0111246 [hep-th]].
- [21] P. Bouwknegt and K. Schoutens, “W symmetry,” Adv. Ser. Math. Phys. **22**, 1-875 (1995)
- [22] L. Frappat, E. Ragoucy and P. Sorba, “W algebras and superalgebras from constrained WZW models: A Group theoretical classification,” Commun. Math. Phys. **157**, 499-548 (1993) doi:10.1007/BF02096881 [arXiv:hep-th/9207102 [hep-th]].
- [23] D. B. Fuks, “Cohomology of infinite-dimensional Lie algebras,” Springer Science and Business Media, 2012. doi:10.1007/978-1-4684-8765-7
- [24] J. Oliva, D. Tempo and R. Troncoso, “Static spherically symmetric solutions for conformal gravity in three dimensions,” Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **24**, 1588-1592 (2009) doi:10.1142/S0217751X09045054 [arXiv:0905.1510 [hep-th]].
- [25] J. Oliva, D. Tempo and R. Troncoso, “Three-dimensional black holes, gravitational solitons, kinks and wormholes for BHT massive gravity,” JHEP **07**, 011 (2009) doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2009/07/011 [arXiv:0905.1545 [hep-th]].
- [26] I. Lovrekovic, “Holography of New Conformal Higher Spin Gravities in 3d,” [arXiv:2312.12301 [hep-th]].

- [27] M. Henneaux, A. Perez, D. Tempo and R. Troncoso, “Chemical potentials in three-dimensional higher spin anti-de Sitter gravity,” *JHEP* **12**, 048 (2013) doi:10.1007/JHEP12(2013)048 [arXiv:1309.4362 [hep-th]].
- [28] C. Bunster, M. Henneaux, A. Perez, D. Tempo and R. Troncoso, “Generalized Black Holes in Three-dimensional Spacetime,” *JHEP* **05**, 031 (2014) doi:10.1007/JHEP05(2014)031 [arXiv:1404.3305 [hep-th]].
- [29] H. Afshar, A. Bagchi, R. Fareghbal, D. Grumiller and J. Rosseel, “Spin-3 Gravity in Three-Dimensional Flat Space,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111**, no.12, 121603 (2013) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.121603 [arXiv:1307.4768 [hep-th]].
- [30] H. A. Gonzalez, J. Matulich, M. Pino and R. Troncoso, “Asymptotically flat spacetimes in three-dimensional higher spin gravity,” *JHEP* **09**, 016 (2013) doi:10.1007/JHEP09(2013)016 [arXiv:1307.5651 [hep-th]].
- [31] M. Gary, D. Grumiller, M. Riegler and J. Rosseel, “Flat space (higher spin) gravity with chemical potentials,” *JHEP* **01**, 152 (2015) doi:10.1007/JHEP01(2015)152 [arXiv:1411.3728 [hep-th]].
- [32] J. Matulich, A. Perez, D. Tempo and R. Troncoso, “Higher spin extension of cosmological spacetimes in 3D: asymptotically flat behaviour with chemical potentials and thermodynamics,” *JHEP* **05**, 025 (2015) doi:10.1007/JHEP05(2015)025 [arXiv:1412.1464 [hep-th]].
- [33] O. Fuentealba, J. Matulich and R. Troncoso, “Extension of the Poincaré group with half-integer spin generators: hypergravity and beyond,” *JHEP* **09**, 003 (2015) doi:10.1007/JHEP09(2015)003 [arXiv:1505.06173 [hep-th]].
- [34] O. Fuentealba, J. Matulich and R. Troncoso, “Asymptotically flat structure of hypergravity in three spacetime dimensions,” *JHEP* **10**, 009 (2015) doi:10.1007/JHEP10(2015)009 [arXiv:1508.04663 [hep-th]].