AN ASYMPTOTIC FORMULA FOR THE NUMBER OF INTEGRAL MATRICES WITH A FIXED CHARACTERISTIC POLYNOMIAL VIA ORBITAL INTEGRALS

SEONGSU JEON AND YUCHAN LEE

ABSTRACT. For an arbitrarily given irreducible polynomial $\chi(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ of degree n with $\mathbb{Q}[x]/(\chi(x))$ totally real, let $N(X,T)$ be the number of $n \times n$ matrices over Z whose characteristic polynomial is $\chi(x)$, bounded by a positive number T with respect to a certain norm. In this paper, we will provide an asymptotic formula for $N(X,T)$ as $T \to \infty$ in terms of the orbital integrals of \mathfrak{gl}_n . This generalizes the work of A. Eskin, S. Mozes, and N. Shah (1996) which assumed that $\mathbb{Z}[x]/(\chi(x))$ is the ring of integers.

In addition, we will provide an asymptotic formula for $N(X,T)$, using the orbital integrals of \mathfrak{gl}_n , when $\mathbb Q$ is generalized to a totally real number field k and when n is a prime number. Here we need a mild restriction on splitness of $\chi(x)$ over k_v at p-adic places v of k for $p \leq n$ when $k[x]/(\chi(x))$ is unramified Galois over k.

Our method is based on the strong approximation property with Brauer-Manin obstruction on a variety, the formula for orbital integrals of \mathfrak{gl}_n , and the Langlands-Shelstad fundamental lemma for \mathfrak{sl}_n .

CONTENTS

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. MSC11F72, 11G35, 11R37, 11S80, 14F22, 14G12, 20G30, 20G35.

The authors are supported by Samsung Science and Technology Foundation under Project Number SSTF-BA2001- 04.

1. INTRODUCTION

For an algebraic variety defined over Z, understanding its Z-points can be viewed as a classical number-theoretic problem, studying solutions of a Diophantine equation. More generally, one can expand objects to a variety X defined over \mathcal{O}_k , where \mathcal{O}_k is the ring of integers of a number field k. In this context, a Diophantine analysis investigating the distribution of $X(\mathcal{O}_k)$ has been thoroughly studied for $k = \mathbb{Q}$ ([\[Bir62\]](#page-43-1), [\[BR95\]](#page-43-2), [\[DRS93\]](#page-43-3), and [\[Sch85\]](#page-44-0)). Here the distribution of $X(\mathcal{O}_k)$ means the asymptotic behavior of $N(X,T)$ as $T \to \infty$ where

$$
N(X, T) = \# \{ x \in X(\mathcal{O}_k) \mid ||x|| \le T \}
$$

with a certain norm $\|\cdot\|$ defined on $X(k)$.

We concentrate on a variety X which represents the set of $n \times n$ matrices whose characteristic polynomial is $\chi(x)$, where $\chi(x) \in \mathcal{O}_k[x]$ is an irreducible monic polynomial of degree *n*. We aim to formulate the constant $C \in \mathbb{R}$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\frac{N(X,T)}{CT^r} \to 1$ as $T \to \infty$, with respect to the norm given by

(1.1)
$$
||x|| = \max_{v \in \infty_k} \sqrt{\sum_{i,j=1}^n |x_{ij}|_v^2},
$$

where x embeds to $(x_{ij}) \in M_n(k)$ and ∞_k denotes the set of Archimedean places of k.

This is the case investigated in **EMS96**, Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.16 under a certain restriction. More precisely, they established the following formula

(1.2)
$$
N(X,T) \sim \frac{2^{n-1}h_K R_K w_n}{\sqrt{\Delta_{\chi}} \cdot \prod_{k=2}^n \Lambda(k/2)} T^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}},
$$

under the restrictions

(1.3)
$$
\begin{cases} (1) \ k = \mathbb{Q}; \\ (2) \ \mathbb{Z}[x]/(\chi(x)) \ \text{is the ring of integers of } \mathbb{Q}[x]/(\chi(x)); \\ (3) \ \mathbb{Q}[x]/(\chi(x)) \ \text{is totally real, equivalently } \chi(x) \ \text{splits completely over } \mathbb{R}. \end{cases}
$$

Here, for two functions $A(T)$ and $B(T)$ for T, we denote $A(T) \sim B(T)$ if $\lim_{T \to \infty}$ $\frac{A(T)}{B(T)} = 1.$ h_K is the class number of \mathcal{O}_K and R_K is the regulator of K, where $K = \mathbb{Q}[x]/(\chi(x))$ and \mathcal{O}_K is its ring of integers. Δ_{χ} is the discriminant of $\chi(x)$, w_n is the volume of the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}$, and $\Lambda(s) = \pi^{-s} \Gamma(s) \zeta(2s).$

The main goal of this paper is to weaken the first two restrictions in [\(1.3\)](#page-1-1). More precisely, we will describe the asymptotic formula for $N(X, T)$ in Theorem [7.1](#page-36-1) (which is summarized in Theorem [1.5\)](#page-5-0) using orbital integrals of \mathfrak{gl}_n , under the following restrictions

(1.4) $\sqrt{ }$ \int $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$ *(1)* k and $K := k[x]/(\chi(x))$ are totally real number fields; *(2) if* $k \neq \mathbb{Q}$ *, then* $\chi(x)$ *is of prime degree n*; *(3) if* K/k *is unramified Galois, then* $\chi(x)$ *splits over* k_v *at* p-*adic places v of* k *, for* $p \leq n$ *.*

This largely generalizes [\(1.3\)](#page-1-1); if $k = \mathbb{Q}$, then the above conditions (2) and (3) are unnecessary so that we do not need the condition (2) of (1.3) (cf. Remark [7.2\)](#page-39-0).

In contrast to [\[EMS96\]](#page-43-4), our method is based on the strong approximation property with Brauer-Manin obstruction suggested in [\[WX16\]](#page-44-1). We will also use the formula for orbital integrals of \mathfrak{gl}_n (cf. [\[CKL\]](#page-43-5) and [\[CHL\]](#page-43-6)) and the Langlands-Shelstad fundamental lemma for \mathfrak{sl}_n (cf. [Ngfrm[o]-0, Theorem 1]).

1.1. Backgrounds. One of the important observations to understand the asymptotic behavior of $N(X,T)$ for $k = \mathbb{Q}$ is introduced in [\[BR95\]](#page-43-2), which is called Hardy-Littlewood expectation. M. Borovoi and Z. Rudnick proved in [\[BR95,](#page-43-2) Theorem 5.3] that a particular homogeneous space of a semisimple group, including our case when $k = \mathbb{Q}$, can be represented by the integration of a certain function defined on the adelic points of X . However, their description of the integrand is complicated to compute directly (cf. [\[BR95,](#page-43-2) Section 3.5, Theorem 5.3]).

In [\[WX16\]](#page-44-1), D. Wei and F. Xu generalized the observation of M. Borovoi and Z. Rudnick for X defined over any number field k. They also gave a more handleable description of the Hardy-Littlewood expectation to approximate $N(X,T)$. They mainly used the fact that X satisfies the strong approximation property with Brauer-Manin obstruction following [\[BD13,](#page-43-7) Theorem 0.1]. This implies that the integral points of X are related to the Brauer elements (cf. $[**LX15**, **Theorem**]$ 2.10]). Combining this observation with the equidistribution property in [\[BO12,](#page-43-8) Theorem 1.5], they obtained Proposition [1.1.](#page-2-1)

To introduce the results in $[WX16]$, we define the following notations;

 $\sqrt{ }$ \int $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$ Ω_k *is the set of places of* k *, and* ∞_k *is the set of Archimedean places of* k *;* k^v *is a completion with respect to the place* v; \mathcal{O}_{k_v} *is its ring of integers and* π_v *is a uniformizer of* \mathcal{O}_{k_v} *for* $v \in \Omega_k \setminus \infty_k$; κ_v *is the residue field of* \mathcal{O}_{k_v} *and* $q_v = \# \kappa_v$ *for* $v \in \Omega_k \setminus \infty_k$.

Proposition 1.1. [\[WX16,](#page-44-1) Theorem 4.3] *Let* $\chi(x)$ *be an irreducible monic polynomial over* \mathcal{O}_k *. Then*

$$
(1.5) \quad N(X,T) \sim |\Delta_k|^{-\frac{1}{2}\dim X} \sum_{\xi \in \text{Br } X/\text{Br } k} \prod_{v \in \Omega_k \backslash \infty_k} \int_{X(\mathcal{O}_{k_v})} \xi_v(x) \, |\omega_X|_v \prod_{v \in \infty_k} \int_{X(k_v,T)} \xi_v(x) \, |\omega_X|_v,
$$

where

 $\sqrt{ }$ $\begin{matrix} \end{matrix}$ $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$ $X(k_v, T) = \{x \in X(k_v) \mid ||x||_v \leq T\}$ for $v \in \infty_k$ and $T > 0$; $|\omega_X|_v$ *is the measure defined in Section [3.2](#page-14-0) for* $v \in \Omega_k$; Δ_k *is the absolute discriminant of* k ; Br X/ Br k *is the quotient group defined in Remark [4.4](#page-17-0)*; $\xi_v(x)$ denotes a Brauer evaluation on $x \in X(k_v)$ which is defined in Definition [4.3](#page-17-1).

As a special case of Proposition [1.1,](#page-2-1) they also deduced the same results with [\(1.2\)](#page-1-2) under the assumptions in (1.3) . They observed that a non-trivial element in Br $X/Br k$ does not affect the summation in [\(1.5\)](#page-2-2). Indeed, $\int_{X(\mathbb{Z}_p)} \xi_p(x) |\omega_X|_p$ vanishes for a ramified prime number p, which always exists by the assumption $k = \mathbb{Q}$. After that, they computed the local integration for a trivial element in Br X/ Br k under the assumption that $\mathbb{Z}[x]/(\chi(x))$ is the ring of integers of $\mathbb{Q}[x]/(\chi(x))$.

However, this method is not applicable for general number fields since the ramified place might not exist for a number field other than \mathbb{Q} (cf. WX16, Example 6.3). In addition, the computation of the local integration is quite a challenging problem unless $\mathbb{Z}[x]/(\chi(x))$ is the ring of integers of $\mathbb{Q}[x]/(\chi(x))$ (cf. Proposition [5.9\)](#page-26-1).

1.2. Main results. Our strategy to obtain the asymptotic formula for $N(X,T)$ is based on Propo-sition [1.1.](#page-2-1) We first figure out the evaluation of $\xi \in \text{Br } X$ in [\(1.5\)](#page-2-2), and then investigate each local integration of ξ_v . According to Section [2,](#page-8-0) our method depends on the GL_n -homogeneous space (resp. SL_n-homogeneous space) structure of X. By Proposition [2.1,](#page-8-2) we fix $x_0 \in X(\mathcal{O}_k)$ and thus we have

$$
T_{x_0}\backslash GL_n \xrightarrow{\sim} X \ (resp. \ S_{x_0}\backslash SL_n \xrightarrow{\sim} X), \ g \mapsto g^{-1}x_0g,
$$

where T_{x_0} (resp. S_{x_0}) denotes the stabilizer of x_0 under the GL_n -action (resp. the SL_n -action) on X.

1.2.1. *Brauer evaluation of* $\xi \in \text{Br } X$ *on* $X(k_v)$. The Brauer evaluation of $\xi \in \text{Br } X$ on local points $X(k_v)$ is defined by using the functoriality of the Brauer group in Definition [4.3.](#page-17-1) We interpret this functoriality through the local class field theory and obtain Proposition [1.2.](#page-3-1) To establish the well-definedness of the local evaluation of $\xi \in \text{Br } X$, we consider the normalized evaluation ξ_v (cf. Definition [4.5\)](#page-17-2). In Remark [4.4,](#page-17-0) we show that this normalization does not affect the product in [\(1.5\)](#page-2-2).

Proposition 1.2. *Suppose that* $\chi(x)$ *is of prime degree. For* $\xi \in \text{Br } X$ *, the normalized evaluation* ξ_v *is formulated as follows.*

- *(1) (Proposition [5.1\)](#page-18-2) If* K/k *is not Galois, then* $\tilde{\xi}_v(x) = 1$ *.*
- *(2) (Proposition [5.5\)](#page-22-1) If* K/k *is Galois and* K_v/k_v *is not a field extension, then* $\tilde{\xi}_v(x) = 1$ *.*
- (3) (Proposition [5.2\)](#page-19-0) If K/k *is Galois and* K_v/k_v *is a field extension, then*

$$
\tilde{\xi}_v(x) = \exp 2\pi i \Psi(\xi) (\phi_{K_v/k_v}(\det g_x)),
$$

where $\sqrt{ }$ \int $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$ Ψ : Br $X/\operatorname{Br} k \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Hom}(\operatorname{Gal}(K/k), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ *is an isomorphism defined in Proposition [5.2](#page-19-0)*; $g_x \in GL_n(k_v)$ such that $g_x^{-1}x_0g_x = x;$ $\phi_{K_v/k_v}: k_v^{\times}/\text{Nm}_{K_v/k_v} K_v^{\times} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Gal}(K_v/k_v)$ is the Artin reciprocity isomorphism (*cf.* [\[Ser79,](#page-44-4) Chapter XI]).

1.2.2. *Computation of each local integration*. To investigate the integration of $\tilde{\xi}_v$ for each $v \in \Omega_k$, we will use the more handlealbe measure $|\omega_{X_{k_v}}^{can}|_v$ defined in Section [3.2.2.](#page-14-1) Here, in the equation [\(1.5\)](#page-2-2), Proposition [3.9](#page-16-1) enables us to interchange the measure $|\omega_X|_v$ with $|\omega_{X_{k_v}}^{can}|_v$.

In the case of an Archimedean place, it is enough to consider the case that ξ_v is trivial by the assumption (1) in (1.4) . We formulate the integration as the following proposition by using the Iwasawa decomposition on $GL_n(\mathbb{R})$.

Proposition 1.3. *(Proposition [5.6\)](#page-22-2) Suppose that* k *and* K *are totally real number fields. Then we have*

$$
\prod_{v \in \infty_k} \int_{X(k_v,T)} \tilde{\xi}_v(x) \, |\omega_{X_{k_v}}^{can}|_v \sim \left(w_n \pi^{\frac{n(n+1)}{4}} T^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^n \Gamma(\frac{i}{2})^{-1}\right)^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]} \prod_{v \in \Omega_k \setminus \infty_k} |\Delta_{\chi}|_v^{\frac{1}{2}},
$$

where w_n is the volume of the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}$ and Δ_{χ} is the discriminant of $\chi(x)$.

In the case of a non-Archimedean place, Proposition [1.2](#page-3-1) implies that $\tilde{\xi}_v$ is non-trivial only if K/k is Galois and K_v is a Galois field extension over k_v . In this case, we address the following cases separately; when K_v/k_v is ramified and when K_v/k_v is unramified.

Proposition 1.4. *Suppose* $v \in \Omega_k \setminus \infty_k$.

(1) (Proposition [5.9\)](#page-26-1) If $\tilde{\xi}_v$ *is trivial, then we have*

$$
\int_{X(\mathcal{O}_{k_v})} \tilde{\xi}_v(x) \ |\omega_{X_{k_v}}^{can}|_v = \int_{\mathrm{T}_{x_0,k_v}(k_v)\backslash \mathrm{GL}_n(k_v)} \mathbb{1}_{\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathcal{O}_{k_v})}(g^{-1}x_0g) \ |\omega_{X_{k_v}}^{can}|_v.
$$

Here, the right hand side is the orbital integral of x_0 *for* \mathfrak{gl}_n *with respect to the measure* $|\omega_{X_{kv}}^{can}|_v.$

- (2) If $\tilde{\xi}_v$ *is non-trivial (and so* K_v/k_v *is a Galois field extension), then we have the following equations.*
	- *(Proposition [5.11\)](#page-27-0)* If K_v/k_v *is a ramified Galois extension, then we have*

$$
\int_{X(\mathcal{O}_{k_v})} \tilde{\xi}_v(x) \, |\omega_{X_{k_v}}^{can}|_v = 0.
$$

• *(Proposition [6.8\)](#page-34-0)* If K_v/k_v *is an unramified Galois extension and the characteristic of the residue field of* k_v *is bigger than n, then we have*

$$
\int_{X(\mathcal{O}_{k_v})} \tilde{\xi}_v(x) \, |\omega_{X_{k_v}}^{can}|_v = |\Delta_{\chi}|_v^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\# \mathrm{SL}_{n,\mathcal{O}_{k_v}}(\kappa_v) \cdot q_v^{n^2 - 1}}{\# \mathrm{S}_{x_0,\mathcal{O}_{k_v}}(\kappa_v) \cdot q_v^{n - 1}},
$$

where $S_{x_0, O_{k_v}}$, is an integral model of the stabilizer S_{x_0} of x_0 in SL_{n,k_v} , defined in *Section [6.1.1.](#page-28-1)*

When $\tilde{\xi}_v$ is trivial, the formula directly follows that of the orbital integral for \mathfrak{gl}_n . Although the orbital integral, which appears in the geometric side of the Arthur-Selberg trace formula, has been studied extensively in the literature, to obtain its closed formula is quite involved.

In this paper, for the closed formula of the orbital integral for \mathfrak{gl}_n , we will refer to the results in [\[CKL\]](#page-43-5) for the case that $n = 2, 3$ and the results in [\[CHL\]](#page-43-6) for the case that $\mathcal{O}_k[x]/(\chi(x))$ is a Bass order. Here a Bass order is an order of a number field whose fractional ideals are generated by two elements (cf. [\[CHL,](#page-43-6) Definition 6.1]). We note that majority of number fields contain infinitely many Bass orders. For example, any order of a number field which contains the maximal order of a subfield with degree 2 or whose discriminant is fourth-power-free in \mathbb{Z} , is a Bass order.

In the case that ξ_v is non-trivial and K_v/k_v is an unramified Galois extension, we use the Langlands-Shelstad fundamental lemma in $Ng frm[\circ]$ –0, Theorem 1. This is the reason why we need the assumption (3) in [\(1.4\)](#page-2-3), involving the characteristic of κ_v .

1.2.3. *Conclusion.* Combining Propositions [1.2](#page-3-1)[-1.4,](#page-4-0) we conclude our main theorem.

Theorem 1.5 (Theorem [7.1\)](#page-36-1). Let k be a number field and $\chi(x) \in \mathcal{O}_k[x]$ be an irreducible monic *polynomial of degree* n. Let X be an \mathcal{O}_k -scheme representing the set of $n \times n$ matrices whose *characteristic polynomial is* $\chi(x)$ *. We define*

$$
N(X, T) = \# \{ x \in X(\mathcal{O}_k) \mid ||x|| \leq T \},\
$$

for $T > 0$ *, where the norm* $\|\cdot\|$ *is defined in* [\(1.1\)](#page-1-3)*.*

Suppose that k and $K = k[x]/(\chi(x))$ are totally real, and if $k \neq \mathbb{Q}$, we further assume that n is *a prime number. Then we have the following asymptotic formulas.*

(1) If K/k *is not Galois or ramified Galois, then*

$$
N(X,T) \sim C_T \prod_{v \in \Omega_k \setminus \infty_k} \frac{\mathcal{SO}_v(\chi)}{q_v^{S_v(\chi)}}
$$

.

(2) If K/k *is unramified Galois and splits over all p-adic places for* $p \leq n$ *, then*

$$
N(X,T) \sim C_T \left(\prod_{v \in \Omega_k \setminus \infty_k} \frac{\mathcal{SO}_v(\chi)}{q_v^{S_v(\chi)}} + n - 1 \right).
$$

Here, C_T *is formulated as follows*

$$
C_T = |\Delta_k|^{\frac{-n^2+n}{2}} \frac{R_K h_K \sqrt{|\Delta_K|}^{-1}}{R_k h_k \sqrt{|\Delta_k|}^{-1}} \prod_{i=2}^n \zeta_k(i)^{-1} \left(\frac{2^{n-1} \pi^{\frac{n(n+1)}{4}} w_n}{\prod_{i=1}^n \Gamma(\frac{i}{2})} T^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \right)^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]} ,
$$

and we use the following notations

 $\sqrt{ }$

 $\begin{array}{c} \hline \end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{c} \hline \end{array}$

 R_F is the regulator of F for $F=k\,$ or $K;$ h_F *is the class number of* \mathcal{O}_F *for* $F = k$ *or* K ; Δ_F *is the discriminant of* F/\mathbb{Q} *for* $F = k$ *or* K; w_n is the volume of the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}};$ ζ_k *is the dedekind zeta function of k*; $S_v(\chi)$ *is the* \mathcal{O}_{k_v} -module length between \mathcal{O}_{K_v} and $\mathcal{O}_{k_v}[x]/(\chi(x));$ $\mathcal{SO}_v(\chi)$ is the orbital integral for \mathfrak{gl}_{n,k_v} associated with $\chi(x)$ with respect to $\frac{dg_v}{dt_v}$ *(cf. Proposition [5.9](#page-26-1) and Lemma [3.7\)](#page-15-0)*.

In Proposition [7.3](#page-40-0) (respectively, Proposition [7.4\)](#page-42-0), we will provide a closed formula for the product $\prod_{v\in\Omega_k\setminus\infty_k} \mathcal{SO}_v(\chi)$ when $n=2$ or $n=3$ (respectively, when $\mathcal{O}_k[x]/(\chi(x))$ is a Bass order).

Remark 1.6. According to [\[Yun13,](#page-44-5) Theorem 1.5], $\mathcal{SO}_v(\chi)$ is an integer, which is a Z-polynomial in q_v , whose leading term is $q_v^{S_v(\chi)}$. Since $S_v(\chi) = 0$ for all but finitely many places $v \in \Omega_k \setminus \infty_k$, the product $\prod_{v \in \Omega_k \setminus \infty_k}$ $\mathcal{SO}_{v}(\chi)$ $\frac{\partial \mathcal{O}_v(\chi)}{\partial v}$ is a finite product.

Remark 1.7. In this remark, we explain how the assumptions in [\(1.4\)](#page-2-3) affect the main steps of our argument.

(1) Since we assume that k is totally real, for the integration on $X(k_v, T)$ where $v \in \infty_k$, we can apply the theory of R-manifolds (cf. Propsition [5.6](#page-22-2)[-5.8\)](#page-25-0).

On the other hand, by virtue of the assumption that K is totally real, Proposition [5.5](#page-22-1) yields that the Brauer evaluations for Archimedean places are trivial. Moreover, this condition enables us to describe the stabilizer T_{x_0,k_v} , for $v \in \infty_k$, in Section [3.2.2.](#page-14-1) This description affects the computations in Proposition [5.2.](#page-22-0)

(2) The assumption (2) in [\(1.4\)](#page-2-3) implies that the Brauer evaluation is non-trivial only if K/k is an abelian extension, as explained in Proposition [5.1,](#page-18-2) which enables us to use Proposion [5.2.](#page-19-0)

This assumption also facilitates figuring out an endoscopic group associated with a local integration for k_v , in Lemma [6.6,](#page-33-0) when K_v/k_v is unramified.

 (3) As we explained in Section [1.2.2,](#page-4-1) we assumed (3) in (1.4) in order to use the Langlands-Shelstad fundamental fundamental lemma for the Lie algebra \mathfrak{sl}_n of SL_n over k_v , where K_v/k_v is unramified.

Organizations. We organize this paper as follows. In Section [2,](#page-8-0) we observe the structure of X as a homogeneous space and investigate the related objects. In Section [3,](#page-12-0) we introduce the Tamagawa measures on homogeneous spaces and the canonical measure on X which is used in our local calculations. To apply Proposition [1.3,](#page-4-2) we define the notion of Brauer evaluation in Section [4.](#page-16-0) The description of Brauer evaluation and the computation of local integrations are in Section [5](#page-18-0)[-6.](#page-28-2) In Section [7,](#page-36-0) we provide the asymptotic formula for $N(X,T)$, in terms of the orbital integrals of \mathfrak{gl}_n , and we refer to the closed formula of orbital integrals of \mathfrak{gl}_n in [\[CKL\]](#page-43-5) and [\[CHL\]](#page-43-6) for certain cases.

Acknowledgments. We would like to thank our advisor Sungmun Cho for suggesting this problem and encouraging us, and Sug Woo Shin for helpful comments on Section [6.](#page-28-2) We also thank Seewoo Lee for meaningful discussions on the exterior product of differential forms and Fei Xu for his lecture note on Brauer-Manin obstruction, which was given at POSTECH in 2019.

1.3. Notations.

- Let k be a number field with ring of integers \mathcal{O}_k , and \bar{k} be an algebraic closure of k.
- Suppose that A is a commutative \mathcal{O}_k -algebra. We define the following schemes over A.

 $\sqrt{ }$ \int $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$ $\mathrm{GL}_{n,A}:$ the general linear group scheme over A , $\mathfrak{gl}_{n,A}:$ the Lie algebra of $\mathrm{GL}_{n,A};$ $SL_{n,A}:$ *the special linear group scheme over* A, $\mathfrak{sl}_{n,A}:$ *the Lie algebra of* $SL_{n,A};$ $M_{n,A}:$ *the scheme over* A representing the set of $n \times n$ matrices.

If there is no confusion, we sometimes omit A in the subscript to express schemes over k .

- Let $\chi(x) \in \mathcal{O}_k[x]$ be an irreducible monic polynomial of degree n. We define X to be the closed subscheme of M_{n,\mathcal{O}_k} representing the set of $n \times n$ matrices whose characteristic polynomial is $\chi(x)$.
- Let Ω_k be the set of places and ∞_k be the set of Archimedean places. For $v \in \Omega_k$, we denote the normalized absolute value associated with v by $|\cdot|_v$ and the completion with respect to $|\cdot|_v$ by k_v .
- For $v \in \Omega_k \setminus \infty_k$, we define the following notations

 $\sqrt{ }$ \int \downarrow \mathcal{O}_{k_v} : the ring of integers of k_v ; π_v : *a uniformizer of* \mathcal{O}_{k_v} ; κ_v : the residue field of \mathcal{O}_{k_v} ; q_v : the cardinality of the residue field κ_v .

For an element $x \in k_v$, the exponential order of x with respect to the maximal ideal in \mathcal{O}_{k_v} is written by $\mathrm{ord}_v(x)$. We then have that $|x|_v = q_v^{-\mathrm{ord}_v(x)}$.

- We define $K = k[x]/(\chi(x))$ with ring of integers \mathcal{O}_K . For $v \in \Omega_k$, we define $K_v =$ $k_v[x]/(\chi(x))$ with ring of integers \mathcal{O}_{K_v} .
- For $v \in \Omega_k$, let $B_v(\chi)$ be an index set in bijection with the irreducible factors $\chi_{v,i}$ of χ over k_v . For $i \in B_v(\chi)$, we define the following notations

$$
\begin{cases} K_{v,i} = k_v[x]/(\chi_{v,i}(x)) \text{ with ring of integers } O_{K_{v,i}}; \\ \kappa_{K_{v,i}}: \text{ the residue field of } O_{K_{v,i}}. \end{cases}
$$

Here, we note that $K_v \cong \prod_{i \in B_v(\chi)} K_{v,i}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{K_v} \cong \prod_{i \in B_v(\chi)} \mathcal{O}_{K_{v,i}}$.

• For a finite field extension E/F where F is Q or k_v , let $\Delta_{E/F}$ be the discriminant ideal of E/F .

- If $F = \mathbb{Q}$, then we denote $\Delta_{E/\mathbb{Q}}$ by Δ_E , and $|\Delta_E|$ by the absolute value of a genearator of Δ_E as an ideal in \mathbb{Z} .
- $-$ If $F = k_v$, then $\text{ord}_v(\Delta_{E/k_v})$ (resp. $|\Delta_{E/k_v}|_v$) denotes the exponential order (resp. the normalized absolute value) of a generator of Δ_{E/k_v} as an ideal in \mathcal{O}_{k_v} .
- For a field F, let $\Delta_f \in F$ be the discriminant of a polynomial $f(x) \in F[x]$.
- We define norms for $x \in X(k)$ by

$$
||x||_v = \sqrt{\sum_{i,j=1}^n |x_{ij}|_v^2}
$$
 and $||x|| = \max_{v \in \infty_k} ||x||_v$,

where x embeds to $(x_{ij}) \in M_n(k)$. For $T > 0$, we define

$$
N(X, T) = \# \{ x \in X(\mathcal{O}_k) \mid ||x|| \le T \}.
$$

We note that $N(X,T)$ is finite since \mathcal{O}_k is discrete in k_v for each Archimendian place $v \in \infty_k$.

• For two functions $A(T)$ and $B(T)$ for T, we denote $A(T) \sim B(T)$ if $\lim_{T \to \infty}$ $\frac{A(T)}{B(T)}=1.$

2. Homogeneous space X

2.1. **Homogeneous space.** In this section, we observe that X is a homogeneous space of both GL_n and SL_n . We write $\chi(x) = x^n + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i x^i$ where $a_i \in \mathcal{O}_k$ for $0 \le i \le n-1$. To regard X as a homogeneous space of GL_n (resp. SL_n), we fix an integral matrix $x_0 \in X(\mathcal{O}_k)$ whose characteristic polynomial is $\chi(x)$. For example, we can choose x_0 as the companion matrix of $\chi(x)$. We define a map

(2.1)
$$
\varphi_{GL_n}: GL_n \to X \text{ (resp. } \varphi_{SL_n}: SL_n \to X), g \mapsto g^{-1}x_0g.
$$

We define a right GL_n-action (resp. SL_n -action) on X by the conjugation $x \cdot g = g^{-1}xg$, so that φ_{GL_n} (resp. φ_{SL_n}) is an equivariant map under the right action of GL_n (resp. SL_n).

Proposition 2.1. *(1)* X *is a homogeneous space of* GL_n *(resp.* SL_n *) with respect to conjugation* by GL_n (resp. SL_n) and φ_{GL_n} (resp. φ_{SL_n}) induces an following isomorphism

$$
X \cong T_{x_0} \backslash GL_n \ (resp. \ X \cong S_{x_0} \backslash SL_n),
$$

where T_{x_0} (resp. S_{x_0}) denotes the stabilizer of x_0 under the GL_n -action (resp. the SL_n *action) on* X*.*

 (2) We have the following isomorphism for the stabilizer of x_0

$$
T_{x_0} \cong R_{K/k}(\mathbb{G}_{m,K}) \ (resp. \ S_{x_0} \cong R_{K/k}^{(1)}(\mathbb{G}_{m,K})),
$$

where we use the following notations:

$$
\begin{cases}\nR_{K/k}(\mathbb{G}_{m,K}) \text{ is the Weil restriction of } \mathbb{G}_{m,K} \text{ and;}\\ \nR_{K/k}^{(1)}(\mathbb{G}_{m,K}) := \text{ker}(\text{Nm}_{K/k} : R_{K/k}(\mathbb{G}_{m,K}) \to \mathbb{G}_{m,k}) \text{ is the norm-1 torus of } K/k.\n\end{cases}
$$

Proof. Since every square matrix over a field has a rational canonical form determined by its characteristic polynomial, for $x \in X(\bar{k})$, there exists $g \in GL_n(\bar{k})$ such that $x = g^{-1}x_0g$. Therefore, X is a homogeneous space of GL_n . Similarly, we can show that X is also a homogeneous space of SL_n by choosing $h = \frac{g}{\sqrt[n]{\det g}} \in SL_n(\bar{k})$. We then obtain the following identifications induced by φ_{GL_n} and φ_{SL_n} defined in (2.1) ,

$$
X \cong \mathrm{T}_{x_0} \backslash \mathrm{GL}_n \cong \mathrm{S}_{x_0} \backslash \mathrm{SL}_n.
$$

We now prove the second statement. Since x_0 has a rational canonical form, there exists an invertible matrix $Q \in GL_n(k)$ such that

(2.2)
$$
x_0 = Q^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 & -a_0 \\ 1 & \cdots & 0 & -a_1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 1 & -a_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} Q.
$$

For each $1 \leq i \leq n$, we define $f_i \in K = k[x]/(\chi(x))$ by

$$
f_i = \sum_{k=1}^n Q_{ki} x^{k-1}.
$$

Since $\{1, x, \ldots, x^{n-1}\}$ is a k-basis of K, $\{f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_n\}$ is also a basis.

For each k-algebra R, we now construct an explicit bijection $T_{x_0}(R) \cong R_{K/k}(\mathbb{G}_{m,K})(R)$. Let V be a free R-module $R[x]/(\chi(x))$. With respect to the basis $\{f_1, \ldots, f_n\}$ of V, we have the following identification

$$
\Phi: \mathrm{M}_n(R) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{End}_R(V).
$$

Since the companion matrix in (2.2) represents the left-multiplication by x with respect to the basis $\{1, x, \ldots, x^{n-1}\}\$, we have that $\Phi(x_0)$ equals to $(f(x) \mapsto xf(x)) \in \text{End}_R(V)$ by the change of basis.

For $g \in M_n(R)$, $gx_0 = x_0g$ in $M_n(R)$ if and only if $\Phi(g)(xv) = x\Phi(g)(v)$ for each $v \in V$. We then identify the stabilizer $T_{x_0}(R) \subset M_n(R)$ with the set of R-linear automorphisms on V that commute with x, under the isomorphism Φ . By the R-linearity, we also have

(2.3)
$$
T_{x_0}(R) = \{g \in M_n(R) \mid g \text{ is invertible and } \Phi(g)(v_1v_2) = v_1\Phi(g)(v_2) \text{ for all } v_1, v_2 \in V\}.
$$

We now define the following R-linear map

$$
\Phi_R: \mathrm{T}_{x_0}(R) \to (R[x]/(\chi(x)))^{\times}, \ g \mapsto \Phi(g)(1_R),
$$

where 1_R is the identity element in R. The image of Φ_R is contained in $(R[x]/(\chi(x)))^{\times}$ since, for $g \in T_{x_0}(R)$,

$$
\Phi_R(g)\Phi_R(g^{-1}) = \Phi(g)(\Phi(g)^{-1}(1_R)) = 1_R.
$$

We assert that Φ_R is a bijection by constructing the inverse. For $v \in (R[x]/(\chi(x)))^{\times}$, let $g_v \in$ $M_n(R)$ be a matrix whose *i*-th column consists of the coefficients of $f_i v \in V$ with respect to the basis $\{f_1, \ldots, f_n\}$. Then we have $\Phi(g_v)(f) = fv$ for all $f \in V$. Since v is invertible, g_v is contained in $T_{x_0}(R)$ using the identification [\(2.3\)](#page-9-1). Thus, $(R[x]/(\chi(x)))^{\times} \to T_{x_0}(R)$, $v \mapsto g_v$ defines an inverse

of Φ_R . One can deduce that Φ_R is functorial in R and this concludes that $T_{x_0} \cong R_{K/k}(\mathbb{G}_{m,K})$ as k-schemes.

Moreover, since $Nm_{K/k}: R_{K/k}(\mathbb{G}_{m,K}) \to \mathbb{G}_{m,k}$ commutes with det : $GL_n \to \mathbb{G}_{m,k}$, it follows that $S_{x_0} \cong \ker \text{Nm}_{K/k} = \text{R}_{K/k}^{(1)}(\mathbb{G}_{m,K}).$

2.2. Conjugacy class of $GL_n(k_v)$ and $SL_n(k_v)$ in $X(k_v)$. In this subsection, we will recall useful properties of a homogeneous space X. In the previous subsection, we proved that X over k is identified as follows.

$$
X \cong \mathrm{T}_{x_0} \backslash \mathrm{GL}_n \cong \mathrm{S}_{x_0} \backslash \mathrm{SL}_n.
$$

By [\[EvdGM,](#page-43-9) 4.30], the homogeneous space structure is preserved under base change and thus we have

$$
X_{k_v} \cong T_{x_0,k_v} \backslash \mathrm{GL}_{n,k_v} \cong S_{x_0,k_v} \backslash \mathrm{SL}_{n,k_v},
$$

for any place $v \in \Omega_k$. The structures of T_{x_0,k_v} and S_{x_0,k_v} are described in the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. *We have the following isomorphisms*

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}\mathcal{T}_{x_0,k_v}\cong\prod\limits_{i\in B_v(\chi)}\mathcal{R}_{K_{v,i}/k_v}(\mathbb{G}_{m,K_{v,i}});\\\ \mathcal{S}_{x_0,k_v}\cong\ker\Big(\prod\limits_{i\in B_v(\chi)}\mathcal{N}m_{K_{v,i}/k_v}:\prod\limits_{i\in B_v(\chi)}\mathcal{R}_{K_{v,i}/k_v}(\mathbb{G}_{m,K_{v,i}})\to\mathbb{G}_{m,k_v}\Big),\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
\begin{cases}\nB_v(\chi) \text{ is an index set in bijection with the irreducible factors } \chi_i \text{ of } \chi \text{ over } k_v; \\
K_v = k_v[x]/(\chi(x)) \text{ and } K_{v,i} = k_v[x]/(\chi_i(x)).\n\end{cases}
$$

Proof. The describtion for T_{x_0,k_v} follows from [\[Vos98,](#page-44-6) 3.12]. For the second description, we consider the following exact sequence of algebraic groups

(2.4)
$$
1 \to S_{x_0,k_v} \to T_{x_0,k_v} \to \mathbb{G}_{m,k_v} \to 1.
$$

We claim that the norm map for an étale algebra $\prod_{i\in B_v(\chi)} K_{v,i}$ over k_v is the product of norms for $K_{v,i}$ for $i \in B_v(\chi)$.

We verify the statements for S_{x_0,k_v} on A-points for each k_v -algebra A. We fix an element $x \in A$ and define $\phi : A \otimes_k K \to A \otimes_k K$ to be the left-multiplication by $x \otimes 1$. Under the following composition of isomorphisms

$$
A\otimes_k K=(A\otimes_{k_v}k_v)\otimes_k K\cong A\otimes_{k_v}(k_v\otimes_k K)\cong A\otimes_{k_v}\prod_{i\in B_v(\chi)}K_{v,i}\cong \prod_{i\in B_v(\chi)}A\otimes_{k_v}K_{v,i},
$$

the transfer of ϕ from $\prod_{i\in B_v(\chi)} A\otimes_{k_v} K_{v,i}$ to itself is also the left-multiplication by $x\otimes 1$. We then have

$$
\mathrm{Nm}_{A\otimes_k K/A}(x)=\det \phi=\prod_{i\in B_v(\chi)} \det \phi_i=\prod_{i\in B_v(\chi)} \mathrm{Nm}_{A\otimes_{k_v} K_{v,i}/A}(x),
$$

where $\phi_i: A \otimes_{k_v} K_{v,i} \to A \otimes_{k_v} K_{v,i}$ is the left-multiplication on $A \otimes_{k_v} K_{v,i}$ by $x \otimes 1$. This proves the claim and concludes the lemma.

We now describe the orbits of $X(k_v)$ under the $GL_n(k_v)$ -action and the $SL_n(k_v)$ -action, respectively.

Proposition 2.3. *There is only one* $GL_n(k_v)$ -*orbit in* $X(k_v)$ *and thus* $X(k_v) \cong T_{x_0}(k_v)\backslash GL_n(k_v)$ *.*

Proof. We consider the following exact sequence for the homogeneous space X_{k_v} ,

 $1 \to \mathrm{T}_{x_0,k_v} \to \mathrm{GL}_{n,k_v} \to X_{k_v} \to 1.$

This induces the following long exact sequence

$$
\cdots \to \mathrm{GL}_n(k_v) \to X(k_v) \to \mathrm{H}^1(k_v, \mathrm{T}_{x_0,k_v}) \to \cdots.
$$

Using Lemma [2.2,](#page-10-1) by [\[Vos98,](#page-44-6) 3.12] and Hilbert's Theorem 90, we have

$$
\mathrm{H}^{1}(k_{v}, \mathrm{T}_{x_{0}, k_{v}}) \cong \prod_{i \in B_{v}(\chi)} \mathrm{H}^{1}(k_{v}, \mathrm{R}_{K_{v,i}/k_{v}}(\mathbb{G}_{m, K_{v,i}})) \cong \prod_{i \in B_{v}(\chi)} \mathrm{H}^{1}(K_{v,i}, \mathbb{G}_{m, K_{v,i}}) = 1,
$$

where $K \otimes_{k} k_{v} \cong \prod_{i \in B_{v}(\chi)} K_{v,i}.$

Proposition 2.4. The set of $SL_n(k_v)$ -orbits within $X(k_v)$ is in bijection with $H^1(k_v, S_{x_0,k_v})$.

Proof. We consider the following exact sequence for the homogeneous space X_{k_v} ,

$$
1 \to S_{x_0,k_v} \to SL_{n,k_v} \to X_{k_v} \to 1.
$$

This induces the following long exact sequence

$$
\cdots \to \mathrm{SL}_n(k_v) \to X(k_v) \to \mathrm{H}^1(k_v, \mathrm{S}_{x_0,k_v}) \to \mathrm{H}^1(k_v, \mathrm{SL}_{n,k_v}) \to \cdots.
$$

Since $H^1(k_v, SL_{n,k_v}) = 1$, it follows that the set of $SL_n(k_v)$ -orbits is in bijection with $H^1(k_v, S_{x_0,k_v})$. \Box

Remark 2.5. If K_v is a field extension of k_v , then $H^1(k_v, S_{x_0,k_v})$ is isomorphic to $Gal(K_v/k_v)^{ab}$. Indeed, the following exact sequence is induced by [\(2.4\)](#page-10-2),

$$
K_v^{\times} \xrightarrow{\text{Nm}_{K_v/k_v}} k_v^{\times} \to \mathrm{H}^1(k_v, \mathcal{S}_{x_0,k_v}) \to \mathrm{H}^1(k_v, \mathrm{T}_{x_0,k_v}).
$$

As shown in the proof of Proposition [2.3,](#page-11-0) we have $H^1(k_v, T_{x_0,k_v}) = 0$. Thus, $H^1(k_v, S_{x_0,k_v})$ is isomorphic to $k_v^{\times}/\text{Nm}_{K_v/k_v} K_v^{\times}$ by [\(2.4\)](#page-10-2) and this quotient is isomorphic to $\text{Gal}(K_v/k_v)^{ab}$ by [\[Ser79,](#page-44-4) Section 3, XI].

Definition 2.6. We define $\mathcal{R}_{x_0,v}$ to be the set of representatives of each $SL_n(k_v)$ -orbits in $X(k_v)$. For each $x_0' \in \mathcal{R}_{x_0,v}$, we define $z_{x_0'}$ to be the element in $\mathrm{H}^1(k_v, \mathrm{S}_{x_0,k_v})$ corresponding to the orbit x'_0 · $SL_n(k_v)$ according to Proposition [2.4.](#page-11-1)

Remark 2.7. By Proposition [2.4,](#page-11-1) we can describe $X(k_v)$ as the following disjoint union.

$$
X(k_v) = \bigsqcup_{x'_0 \in \mathcal{R}_{x_0,v}} x'_0 \cdot SL_n(k_v) \cong \bigsqcup_{x'_0 \in \mathcal{R}_{x_0,v}} S_{x'_0}(k_v) \backslash SL_n(k_v),
$$

where $S_{x'_0}$ is the stabilizer of x'_0 under the SL_n -action.

Remark 2.8. We remark that each $SL_n(k_v)$ -orbit is open in $X(k_v)$. For each $x \in X(k_v)$, we define the equivariant map over $k_v, \varphi_x : SL_n \to X$ by $g \to x \cdot g$. By the same argument in Lemma [2.2,](#page-10-1) one can deduce that the stabilizer $\text{Stab}_{\text{SL}_n(k_v)}(x)$ is isomorphic to $\text{ker}(\prod_{i\in B_v(\chi)}\text{Nm}_{K_{v,i}/k_v})$ and it is smooth over k_v . Thus, φ_x is also smooth over k_v by [\[EvdGM,](#page-43-9) Corollary 4.33] and $\varphi_x(k_v)$ is an open map by [\[Poo17,](#page-44-7) Proposition 3.5.73]. Therefore, the image of $SL_n(k_v)$ via φ_x , that is the $SL_n(k_v)$ -orbit of x, is open in $X(k_v)$.

3. Measures on X

In this section, we introduce the Tamagawa measure on $X(\mathbb{A}_k)$, which is used to explain the Hardy-Littlewood expectation in Proposition [1.5.](#page-2-2) The Tamagawa measure is induced from a gauge form on X (cf. Definition [3.1\)](#page-12-2), and so we provide various concepts about volume forms.

In Section [3.1,](#page-12-1) we define the Tamagawa measure on $V(\mathbb{A}_k)$ in the general setting: V is a homogeneous space $V \cong H\backslash G$ over k where G and H are assumed to be connected reductive k-groups. In Section [3.2,](#page-14-0) we return to X in Section [2.1,](#page-8-1) and describe the Tamgawa measure on $X(\mathbb{A}_k)$ using another handleable measure $|\omega_X^{can}|_v$, which is defined in Section [3.2.2.](#page-14-1)

3.1. **Tamagawa Measure on a homogeneous space.** Let $V \cong H\backslash G$ be a homogeneous space of G where G and H are connected reductive k-groups. To define the Tamagawa measure on $V(\mathbb{A}_k)$, we will use a top-degree volume form on V that is "compatible" with non-vanishing invariant top-degree volume forms on G and H (cf. Definition [3.2\)](#page-12-3). In our case where $X \cong T_{x_0} \backslash GL_n \cong S_{x_0} \backslash SL_n$, we will prove that the Tamagawa measure on X is unique. We mainly follow concepts and backgrounds in [\[Wei82,](#page-44-8) Section 2] and [\[BR95,](#page-43-2) Section 1].

3.1.1. *Gauge forms.*

Definition 3.1. For a geometrically irreducible non-singular algebraic variety V over a field k , a gauge form on V is a nowhere-zero and regular differential form in $\bigwedge^{\dim V} \Omega_{V/k}(V)$ where $\Omega_{V/k}$ denotes a cotangent sheaf of V.

From now on, we will simply denote $\bigwedge^d \Omega_{V/k}$ by $\Omega^d_{V/k}$. We assume that V has a k-point x_0 whose stabilizer is H . This gives the canonical map from G into V ,

$$
\varphi_G: G \to V, \ g \mapsto x_0 \cdot g,
$$

which induces an isomorphism $H\ G \cong V$. Using this identification, we introduce the notion of algebraically matching for gauge forms on homogeneous spaces.

Definition 3.2 (Wei82, Section 2.4, p24). Let ω_G , ω_H , and ω_V be an invariant gauge form on G, an invariant gauge form on H, and a G-invariant gauge form on V, respectively. Let $\varphi_G^*\omega_V$ be the pullback of ω_V along the morphism φ_G in [\(3.1\)](#page-12-4), and let a differential form $\tilde{\omega}_H$ on G be a lifting of ω_H such that, for every $g \in G$, $\widetilde{\omega}_H(gh)$ induces on H the form $\omega_H(h)$ for $h \in H$. Then the form $\varphi_G^* \omega_V \wedge \widetilde{\omega}_H$ is a gauge form which is independent of the choice of a lifting $\widetilde{\omega}_H$. We say that ω_G , ω_H , and ω_V match together algebraically, if $\omega_G = \varphi_G^* \omega_V \wedge \tilde{\omega}_H$ and we denote it by $\omega_G = \omega_V \cdot \omega_H$.

We note that G and H are unimodular since they are connected reductive k -groups. As shown in [\[Wei82,](#page-44-8) Corollary of Theorem 2.2.2], G and H admit translation-invariant gauge forms. Moreover, V admits a G-invariant gauge form ω_V by [\[BR95,](#page-43-2) Section 1.4]. Following the arguments in [\[Wei82,](#page-44-8) p. 24], by multiplying a constant in k^{\times} on ω_V , we can assume that $\omega_G = \omega_V \cdot \omega_H$.

For a gauge form ω on a variety V over k, let $|\omega|_v$ denote the measure on $V(k_v)$ induced from the canonical Haar measure on k_v , as in [\[Wei82,](#page-44-8) Section 2.2.1], for each $v \in \Omega_k$. We then have the following proposition in the sentence right below [\[BR95,](#page-43-2) Lemma 1.6.4].

Proposition 3.3. Let ω_G, ω_H , and ω_V be a translation-invariant gauge form on G, a translation*invariant gauge form on* H, and G-invariant gauge form on V, respectively, such that $\omega_G = \omega_V \cdot \omega_H$. *Then we have*

(3.2)
$$
\int_{G(k_v)} f(g)|\omega_G|_v = \int_{H(k_v)\backslash G(k_v)} |\omega_V|_v \int_{H(k_v)} f(hg)|\omega_H|_v.
$$

Here we note that $H(k_v)\backslash G(k_v)$ *is an open set in* $V(k_v)$ *. For triples of measures* $(|\omega_G|_v, |\omega_H|_v, |\omega_V|_v)$ *satisfying [\(3.2\)](#page-13-0), we say that they match together topologically.*

3.1.2. *Tamagawa measure.* From the previous section, we choose a G-invariant gauge form ω_V on $V \cong H\backslash G$. Using this gauge form, we define the Tamagawa measure on $V(\mathbb{A}_k)$ as follows.

Definition 3.4. We define the Tamagawa measure m_V^{Tam} on $V(\mathbb{A}_k)$ with respect to ω_V to be

$$
m_V^{Tam} = |\Delta_k|^{-\frac{1}{2}\dim V} \prod_{v \in \Omega_k} |\omega_V|_v.
$$

Remark 3.5. In general, the volume of a compact set $\prod_{v \in \Omega_k \setminus \infty_k} V(\mathcal{O}_{k_v})$ with respect to the non-Archimedean part $\prod_{v \in \Omega_k \setminus \infty_k} |\omega_V|_v$ of the Tamagawa measure does not converge. To resolve this problem, Ono introduced the convergence factors using the Artin L-function in [\[Ono65\]](#page-44-9). However, in the case of X in Section [2.1,](#page-8-1) the product $\prod_{v\in\Omega_k\setminus\infty_k} vol(X(\mathcal{O}_{k_v}), |\omega_X|_v)$ already converges and thus we use the notion for the Tamagawa measure without convergence factors.

The definition of the Tamagawa measure m_V^{Tam} might depend on the choice of a G-invariant gauge form ω_V . However, the following proposition yields that the Tamgawa measure on X, in Section [2.1,](#page-8-1) is uniquely defined regardless of the choice of a gauge form.

Proposition 3.6. *The Tamagawa measure on* X *is independent of the choice of a gauge form* ω_X .

Proof. We claim that any gauge form on X is unique up to a constant factor in k^{\times} . A gauge form $\omega \in \Omega^{\dim X}_{X/k}(X)$ defines an isomorphism $\mathcal{O}_X \cong \Omega^{\dim X}_{X/k}(X)$ of locally free \mathcal{O}_X -modules, by mapping $1 \mapsto \omega$. For another gauge form ω' on X, $\omega \circ \omega'^{-1}$ defines a nowhere-zero regular automorphism on \mathcal{O}_X which corresponds to an element in the unit group of the coordinate ring $k[X]^\times$. Thus, we have $\omega' = c\omega$ for some $c \in k[X]^{\times}$. Since X is a homogeneous space of SL_n and $Hom(SL_n, \mathbb{G}_m) = 1$, we have $k[X]^\times = k^\times$ by [\[BR95,](#page-43-2) Lemma 1.5.1].

For $c \in k^{\times}$, we have $|c\omega|_{v} = |c|_{v} |\omega|_{v}$, and the product formula yields that $\prod_{v \in \Omega_{k}} |c|_{v} = 1$. Therefore, the Tamagawa measure is independent of the choice of a gauge form on X .

3.2. Measures on $X(\mathbb{A}_k)$ for $X \cong T_{x_0} \backslash GL_n$. We now return to our main task. We note that $X \cong T_{x_0} \backslash GL_n$ where both GL_n and T_{x_0} are connected reductive groups. The Tamagawa measure used in [\(1.5\)](#page-2-2) is therefore given by $|\Delta_k|^{-\frac{1}{2} \dim X} \prod_{v \in \Omega_k} |\omega_X|_v$, which is independent of the choice of a gauge form ω_X on X by Proposition [3.6.](#page-13-1) To clarify the subsequent statements in this section, we fix a translation-invariant gauge form ω_{GL_n} on GL_n , a translation-invariant gauge form $\omega_{\mathrm{T}_{x_0}}$ on T_{x_0} , and let ω_X be a GL_n -invariant gauge form on X such that $\omega_{GL_n} = \omega_X \cdot \omega_{T_{x_0}}$.

To compute each integration in [\(1.5\)](#page-2-2), we need an explicit description of the measure $|\omega_X|_v$ on $X(k_v)$. To address this, we define a translation-invariant gauge form $\omega_{X_{k_v}}^{can}$ on X_{k_v} such that the induced measure $|\omega_{X_{k_v}}^{can}|_v$ is suitable to investigate the integration of ξ_v for each $v \in \Omega_k$. After that, we will establish a relation between the Tamagawa measure and $\prod_{v \in \Omega_k} |\omega_{X_{k_v}}^{can}|_v$.

3.2.1. *The standard integral model for* T_{x_0,k_v} *for* $v \in \Omega_k \setminus \infty_k$. To define a translation-invariant volume form on T_{x_0,k_v} for $v \in \Omega_k \setminus \infty_k$, we need a precise description of an integral model for $\mathrm{T}_{x_0,k_v}.$

For $v \in \Omega_k \setminus \infty_k$, we recall that the following description of T_{x_0,k_v} given in Lemma [2.2,](#page-10-1)

$$
\mathrm{T}_{x_0,k_v}\cong \prod_{i\in B_v(\chi)} \mathrm{R}_{K_{v,i}/k_v}\mathbb{G}_{m,K_{v,i}}.
$$

For each $i \in B_v(\chi)$, the component $\mathcal{R}_{K_v,i/k_v}\mathbb{G}_{m,K_v,i}$ has an integral model, given by the smooth group scheme $\mathrm{R}_{\mathcal{O}_{K_{v,i}}/\mathcal{O}_{k_v}}\mathbb{G}_{m,\mathcal{O}_{K_{v,i}}}$ over \mathcal{O}_{k_v} (cf. [\[KP23,](#page-43-10) B.3.(2)]). Thus, T_{x_0,k_v} has a smooth integral structure $T_{x_0, \mathcal{O}_{k_v}}$, given by

$$
\mathrm{T}_{x_0,\mathcal{O}_{k_v}}:=\prod_{i\in B_v(\chi)}\mathrm{R}_{\mathcal{O}_{K_{v,i}}/\mathcal{O}_{k_v}}\mathbb{G}_{m,\mathcal{O}_{K_{v,i}}}.
$$

This is refered to the standard integral model for T_{x_0,k_v} and we note that $T_{x_0,\mathcal{O}_{k_v}}(\mathcal{O}_{k_v}) = \prod_{i \in B_v(\chi)} \mathcal{O}_{K_{v,i}}^{\times}$ is the maximal compact open subgroup of $T_{x_0}(k_v)$. Moreover, the identity component $\mathcal{T}_{x_0}^0$ of the Néron-Raynaud model \mathcal{T}_{x_0} (cf. [\[BLR90,](#page-43-11) Chapter 6]) for T_{x_0,k_v} coincides with the standard integral model by the description right below [\[Bit11,](#page-43-12) Remark 1.2].

3.2.2. *Volume forms on* $X_{k_v} \cong T_{x_0,k_v} \backslash GL_{n,k_v}$. To define $\omega_{X_{k_v}}^{can}$, we construct the translation-invariant volume forms $\omega_{\mathrm{GL}_{n,k_v}}^{can}$ on GL_{n,k_v} and $\omega_{\mathrm{T}_{x_0,k_v}}^{can}$ on T_{x_0,k_v} . Since our main computation on Archimdean places requires the assumption (1) in [\(1.4\)](#page-2-3), we assume that both k and K are totally real.

For an Archimedean place $v \in \infty_k$, by the assumption, we have $k_v \cong \mathbb{R}$ and $\chi(x)$ splits completely over k_v for all $v \in \infty_k$. In this case we define the volume forms on GL_{n,k_v} and T_{x_0,k_v} as follows.

(1) On GL_{n,k_v} , we define $\omega_{\mathrm{GL}_{n,k_v}}^{can}$ to be a translation-invariant volume form in $\Omega_{\mathrm{GI}}^{n^2}$ $\mathrm{GL}_{n,k_v}/k_v(\mathrm{GL}_n)$ which generates the free Z-module $\text{Hom}(\Lambda^{n^2} \mathfrak{gl}_{n,\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}),\mathbb{Z})$, following [\[GG99,](#page-43-13) Section 9]. We note that a translation-invariant volume form on GL_{n,k_v} is a form of

$$
\frac{c}{\det^n} \bigwedge_{1 \le i,j \le n} dx_{ij}
$$

for some $c \in k_v^{\times}$. To generate $\text{Hom}(\bigwedge^{n^2} \mathfrak{gl}_{n,\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z})$, the constant c must be ± 1 . We note that both choices induce the same measure on $GL_n(k_v)$.

(2) Since k and K are totally real, we have

$$
T_{x_0,k_v} \cong R_{K_v/k_v}(\mathbb{G}_{m,K_v}) \cong \mathbb{G}_{m,k_v}^n.
$$

On T_{x_0,k_v} , we define $\omega_{T_{x_0,k_v}}^{can}$ to be a pullback of a translation-invariant volume form in $\Omega_{\mathbb{G}_{m,k_v}/k_v}^n(\mathbb{G}_{m,k_v}^n)$ which generates the free Z-module $\text{Hom}(\bigwedge^n \mathbb{G}_{a,\mathbb{Z}}^n(\mathbb{Z}),\mathbb{Z})$, following $[GG99,$ Section 9. We note that a translation-invariant volume form on \mathbb{G}_{m,k_v}^n is a form of

$$
c\bigwedge_{i=1}^n\frac{dx_i}{x_i}
$$

for some $c \in k_v^{\times}$. To generate $\text{Hom}(\bigwedge^{n^2} \mathbb{G}_{a,\mathbb{Z}}^n(\mathbb{Z}),\mathbb{Z})$, the constant c must be ± 1 . We note that both choices induce the same measure on $T_{x_0}(k_v)$.

On the other hand, for a non-Archimedean place $v \in \Omega_k \setminus \infty_k$, we define the volume forms on GL_{n,k_n} and T_{x_0,k_v} as follows.

(1) On GL_{n,k_v} , we define $\omega_{\mathrm{GL}_{n,k_v}}^{can}$ to be a translation-invariant volume form in $\Omega_{\mathrm{GI}}^{n^2}$ $\lim_{\mathrm{GL}_{n,k_v}/k_v}(\mathrm{GL}_{n,k_v})$ which generates the \mathcal{O}_{k_v} -submodule $\text{Hom}(\bigwedge^{n^2} \mathfrak{gl}_{n,\mathcal{O}_{k_v}}(\mathcal{O}_{k_v}), \mathcal{O}_{k_v})$. Then the volume form $\omega_{\mathrm{GL}_{n,k_v}}^{can}$ has good reduction (mod π_v) in the sense of [\[Gro97,](#page-43-14) Section 4]. We then have the following equation by $[Gro97,$ Proposition 4.7],

$$
vol(\operatorname{GL}_{n,\mathcal{O}_{k_v}}(\mathcal{O}_{k_v}),|\omega_{\operatorname{GL}_{n,k_v}}^{can}|_v)=\frac{\#\operatorname{GL}_{n,\mathcal{O}_{k_v}}(\kappa_v)}{q_v^{n^2}}.
$$

(2) On T_{x_0,k_v} , we define $\omega_{T_{x_0,k_v}}^{can}$ to be a translation-invariant volume form in $\Omega_{T_{x_0,k_v}/k_v}^n(T_{x_0,k_v})$ which generates the \mathcal{O}_{k_v} -submodule $\text{Hom}(\bigwedge^n \text{Lie}(T_{x_0,\mathcal{O}_{k_v}})(\mathcal{O}_{k_v}), \mathcal{O}_{k_v})$. Since $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{x_0}^0 \cong T_{x_0,\mathcal{O}_{k_v}},$ the volume form $\omega_{\mathrm{T}_{x_0,k_v}}$ has good redcution (mod π_v) in the sense of [\[Gro97,](#page-43-14) Section 4]. We then have the following equation by $[\text{Bit11}, \text{Proposition 2.14}]$,

.

.

$$
vol(\mathrm{T}_{x_0,\mathcal{O}_{k_v}}(\mathcal{O}_{k_v}),|\omega_{\mathrm{T}_{x_0,k_v}}^{can}|_v)=\frac{\#\mathrm{T}_{x_0,\mathcal{O}_{k_v}}(\kappa_v)}{q_v^n}
$$

In both two cases, for $v \in \Omega_k$, we define $\omega_{X_{k_v}}^{can}$ on $X_{k_v} \cong T_{x_0,k_v} \backslash GL_{n,k_v}$ to be a translation-invariant volume form such that $\omega_{\mathrm{GL}_{n,k_v}}^{can} = \omega_{X_{k_v}}^{can} \cdot \omega_{\mathrm{T}_{x_0,k_v}}^{can}$. Then by Proposition [3.3,](#page-13-2) $|\omega_{X_{k_v}}^{can}|_v$ defines a measure on $T_{x_0}(k_v)\backslash GL_n(k_v)$ which matches topologically together with $|\omega_{\mathrm{GL}_{n,k_v}}^{can}|_v$ and $|\omega_{T_{x_0,k_v}}^{can}|_v$.

For $v \in \Omega_k \setminus \infty_k$, comparing with the quotient measure $\frac{dg_v}{dt_v}$ induced from the canonically normalized Haar measures dg_v on $GL_n(k_v)$ and dt_v on $T_{x_0}(k_v)$, we have the following relation.

Lemma 3.7. Suppose that $v \in \Omega_k \setminus \infty_k$. Let $\frac{dg_v}{dt_v}$ be the quotient measure on $X(k_v)$, which is defined *via the isomorphism* $X(k_v) \cong T_{x_0}(k_v) \backslash GL_n(k_v)$, where

$$
\begin{cases}\ndg_v & \text{the Haar measure on } GL_n(k_v) \text{ such that } vol(dg_v, GL_{n, \mathcal{O}_{k_v}}(\mathcal{O}_{k_v})) = 1; \\
dt_v & \text{the Haar measure on } \mathcal{T}_{x_0}(k_v) \text{ such that } vol(dt_v, \mathcal{T}_{x_0, \mathcal{O}_{k_v}}(\mathcal{O}_{k_v})) = 1.\n\end{cases}
$$

We have

$$
|\omega_{X_{kv}}^{can}|_v = \frac{\#\mathrm{GL}_{n,\mathcal{O}_{kv}}(\kappa_v) \cdot q_v^{-n^2}}{\#\mathrm{T}_{x_0,\mathcal{O}_{k_v}}(\kappa_v) \cdot q_v^{-n}} \cdot \frac{dg_v}{dt_v}
$$

Lemma 3.8. *We have the following equations which are independent of the choice of gauge forms* ω_{GL_n} and $\omega_{\mathrm{T}_{x_0}},$ respectively,

$$
\prod_{v\in\Omega_k}\frac{|\omega^{can}_{\operatorname{GL}_{n,k_v}}|_v}{|\omega_{\operatorname{GL}_n}|_v}=1\ \ and\ \prod_{v\in\Omega_k}\frac{|\omega^{can}_{\operatorname{T}_{x_0,k_v}}|_v}{|\omega_{\operatorname{T}_{x_0}}|_v}=\prod_{v\in\Omega_k\setminus\infty_k}\prod_{i\in B_v(\chi)}|\Delta_{K_{v,i}/k_v}|^{-\frac{1}{2}}.
$$

Proof. For $G = GL_n$ or T_{x_0,k_v} , by [\[GG99,](#page-43-13) Corollary 7.3 and Proposition 9.3] we have that

$$
\prod_{v \in \Omega_k} \frac{|\omega_{G_{kv}}^{can}|_v}{|\omega_G|_v} = f(M_G)^{\frac{1}{2}},
$$

which is independent of the choice of a gauge form ω_G where $f(M_G)$ is the global conductor of the motive M_G of G (cf. [\[GG99,](#page-43-13) Equation (9.1)]).

- (1) Since GL_n is split over k, we take a gauge form ω_{GL_n} to generate the Chevalley differetials over Z. Then by the proof of [\[GG99,](#page-43-13) Proposition 9.3], we have $|\omega_{\mathrm{GL}_{n,k_v}}^{can}|_v = |\omega_{\mathrm{GL}_n}|_v$ for all $v \in \Omega_k$ and so we have $f(M_{\text{GL}_n})^{\frac{1}{2}} = 1$.
- (2) On the other hand, in the case of T_{x_0} , the global conductor of the motive $M_{T_{x_0}}$ is given by $f(M_{T_{x_0}}) = \prod_{v \in \Omega_k \setminus \infty_k} q_v^{a_p(X^*(T_{x_0}) \otimes \mathbb{Q})}$ where $a_p(X^*(T_{x_0} \otimes \mathbb{Q}))$ is the local Artin conductor of $X^*(T_{x_0} \otimes \mathbb{Q})$ following [\[Gro97,](#page-43-14) Section 2]. By [\[Neu99,](#page-44-10) Proposition VII.11.7] and the argument in [\[Lee21,](#page-43-15) Section 1.2], we have that

$$
f(M_{\mathbf{T}_{x_0}}) = \prod_{v \in \Omega_k \setminus \infty_k} \prod_{i \in B_v(\chi)} |\Delta_{K_{v,i}/k_v}|^{-1}.
$$

 \Box

Then Proposition [3.3](#page-13-2) and Lemma [3.8](#page-16-2) directly yield the following relation between two global measures $\prod_{v \in \Omega_k} |\omega_X|_v$ and $\prod_{v \in \Omega_k} |\omega_{X_{k_v}}^{can}|_v$.

Proposition 3.9. *We have*

$$
\prod_{v \in \Omega_k} |\omega_X|_v = \prod_{v \in \Omega_k \setminus \infty_k} \prod_{i \in B_v(\chi)} |\Delta_{K_{v,i}/k_v}|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{v \in \Omega_k} |\omega_{X_{k_v}}^{can}|_v.
$$

4. Brauer groups and evaluations

In this section, we will explain various notions, used in the observation of D. Wei and F. Xu in Proposition [1.1.](#page-2-1) For the reader's convenience, we restate this proposition as follows.

Proposition 4.1. WX16, Theorem 4.3 *Suppose that* $\chi(x)$ *is an irreducible monic polynomial over* \mathcal{O}_k *. Then we have*

$$
(4.1) \quad N(X,T) \sim |\Delta_k|^{-\frac{1}{2}\dim X} \sum_{\xi \in \text{Br } X/\text{Br } k} \prod_{v \in \Omega_k \backslash \infty_k} \int_{X(\mathcal{O}_{k_v})} \xi_v(x) \, |\omega_X|_v \prod_{v \in \infty_k} \int_{X(k_v,T)} \xi_v(x) \, |\omega_X|_v,
$$

where

- $\sqrt{ }$ \int $\overline{1}$ $X(k_v, T) = \{x \in X(k_v) \mid ||x||_v \leq T\}$ for $v \in \infty_k$ and $T > 0$; $|\omega_X|_v$ *is the measure defined in [3.1.1](#page-12-5)*;
	- $\xi_v(x)$ denotes a Brauer evaluation for $x \in X(k_v)$ which is defined in Definition [4.3](#page-17-1).

We mainly follow $[Pool7, Section 8]$ to define the Brauer group and the evaluation of each element in the Brauer group.

Definition 4.2. For any k -scheme Y , the Brauer group is defined by

 $\text{Br}\,Y=\text{H}_{\acute{e}t}^2(Y,\mathbb{G}_m),$

where \mathbb{G}_m stands for the étale sheaf associated with the multiplicative group \mathbb{G}_m over Y (cf. [\[Poo17,](#page-44-7) Proposition 6.3.19]). To ease the notation, we denote $Br(Spec A)$ by $Br A$ for affine schemes.

Since $H^2_{\acute{e}t}(-,\mathbb{G}_m)$ gives a contravariant functor from Sch_k to Ab, each element of Br Y induces an evaluation on the set of A-points $Y(A)$, for any k-algebra A, as follows.

Definition 4.3. Let Y be a k-scheme and A be a k-algebra.

- (1) For any $x \in Y(A)$ and $\xi \in \text{Br } Y$, we define the Brauer evaluation $\xi(x)$ to be the image of ξ under the morphism $Br(Spec A \rightarrow Y)$.
- (2) In particular, for a local field $A = k_v$, [\[Poo17,](#page-44-7) Theorem 1.5.34] yields that the invariant map inv : Br $k_v \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ is injective. In this case, for any $x \in Y(k_v)$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{B}_r Y$, we define the Brauer evaluation $\xi_v(x)$ to be the image of ξ under the following composition,

$$
\text{Br } Y \xrightarrow{\text{Br}(x)} \text{Br } k_v \xrightarrow{\text{inv }} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{x \mapsto \exp(2\pi i x)} \mathbb{C}^{\times}.
$$

Remark 4.4. We note that X in Section [2.1](#page-8-1) has a k-point $x_0 \in X(\mathcal{O}_k) \subset X(k)$. Since X is a kscheme, the structure morphism induces a morphism $Br k \to Br X$. Let $Br X/Br k$ be the quotient group of Br X by the image of Br k under this induced morphism. We remark that the summation in [\(4.1\)](#page-16-3) is well-defined. More precisely, each infinite product over $v \in \Omega_k$ is independent of the choice of representatives of $\text{Br } X / \text{Br } k$.

Since the Brauer evaluation $Br X \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ is a group homomorphism, it suffices to show that the evaluation ξ_v is constant on $X(k_v)$ and the product of these constant values over $v \in \Omega_k$ is trivial. For a fixed embedding $k \hookrightarrow k_v$, the composition $Br k \to Br X \xrightarrow{Br(x)} Br k_v$ is independenet of $x \in X(k_v)$. This concludes that $\xi_v(x)$ is constant on $X(k_v)$ by Definition [4.3.](#page-17-1)(2). Therefore it suffices to show that $\prod_{v \in \Omega_k} \xi_v(x_0)$ is trivial for $x_0 \in X(k) \subset X(k_v)$, and this follows from $\lbrack \text{Pool7}, \rbrack$ Proposition 8.2.2].

For X in Section [2.1,](#page-8-1) we define the normalized Brauer evaluation as follows to remove the vagueness.

Definition 4.5. For each $\xi \in \text{Br } X$, we define the normalized Brauer evaluation ξ_v on $x \in X(k_v)$ to be

$$
\tilde{\xi}_v(x) = \frac{\xi_v(x)}{\xi_v(x_0)},
$$

where $v \in \Omega_k$ and x_0 is the point in $X(\mathcal{O}_k)$ chosen in Section [2.1.](#page-8-1)

Remark [4.4](#page-17-0) indicates that the evaluation $\tilde{\xi}_v \equiv \tilde{\xi}'_v$ on $X(k_v)$ if ξ and ξ' belong to the same coset in Br $X/\operatorname{Br} k$. We also have that for $\xi \in \operatorname{Br} X$

(4.2)
$$
\prod_{v \in \Omega_k \setminus \infty_k} \int_{X(\mathcal{O}_{k_v})} \tilde{\xi}_v(x) \, |\omega_X|_v \prod_{v \in \infty_k} \int_{X(k_v,T)} \tilde{\xi}_v(x) \, |\omega_X|_v
$$

$$
= \prod_{v \in \Omega_k \setminus \infty_k} \int_{X(\mathcal{O}_{k_v})} \xi_v(x) \, |\omega_X|_v \prod_{v \in \infty_k} \int_{X(k_v,T)} \xi_v(x) \, |\omega_X|_v
$$

by $[Pool7, Proposition 8.2.2]$ since x_0 is in $X(k)$. Therefore, we can use the normalized Brauer evaluation $\tilde{\xi}_v$ on [\(4.1\)](#page-16-3).

Remark 4.6. If ξ is trivial in Br $X/Br k$, then the normalized Brauer evaluation is trivial on $X(k_v)$ by Remark [4.4.](#page-17-0) However, the normalized Brauer evaluation can be trivial even if ξ is non-trivial in $Br X/Br k.$

5. THE ASYMPTOTIC FORMULA FOR $N(X,T)$

From now on, throughout the remaining part of this paper, we will work on the following assumptions in (1.4) ,

- $\sqrt{ }$ *(1)* k *and* K *are totally real number fields*;
- \int *(2) if* $k \neq \mathbb{Q}$ *, then* $\chi(x)$ *is of prime degree n*;
- \overline{a} *(3) if* K/k *is unramified Galois, then* $\chi(x)$ *splits over* k_v *at* p-adic places v of k, for $p \leq n$.

We mainly use the result in Proposition [4.1.](#page-16-4) According to the formula (4.1) , we will investigate the Brauer evaluation ξ_v , using the local class field theory, in Section [5.1.](#page-18-1) Based on this observation, we will investigate the integration for each place $v \in \Omega_k$ throughout Section [5.2-](#page-22-0) [5.3](#page-26-0) and Section [6.](#page-28-2)

5.1. **Evaluation of** $\xi \in \text{Br } X/\text{Br } k$. In Definition [4.3,](#page-17-1) we described a concrete definition of the Brauer evaluation using the functor Br and the invariant map. Alternatively, we can explicitly formulate the Brauer evaluation using local class field theory in our case, where X is a homogeneous space of SL_n . The key fact is that SL_n is a semisimple and simply connected algebraic group (cf. $[CTX09, Section 2]$ $[CTX09, Section 2]$. We divide into cases based on whether K/k is Galois or not.

5.1.1. *The case that* K/k *is not a Galois extension.* If K/k is not Galois, the lemma below states that Br $X/Br k$ is trivial. Thus, by Remark [4.6,](#page-18-3) we do not need to consider any nontrivial Brauer evaluation in this case.

Proposition 5.1. *If* K/k *is of prime degree and not Galois, then* Br X/ Br k *is trivial.*

Proof. Let L be the Galois closure of K/k , with $\Lambda = \text{Gal}(L/k)$ and $\Upsilon = \text{Gal}(L/K)$ as the corresponding Galois groups. By the proof of $[WX16,$ Theorem 6.1 and $[CTX09,$ Proposition 2.10, we have

$$
\text{Br } X/\text{Br } k \cong \text{Pic } S_{x_0} \cong \text{ker}(\text{Hom}(\Lambda, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \to \text{Hom}(\Upsilon, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})).
$$

Suppose that there is a non-trivial element $\xi \in \text{Br } X/\text{Br } k$. Let $f \in \text{Hom}(\Lambda, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ be the image of ξ via the above isomorphism, and let A be the fixed field of ker f. We claim that $A = K$. Then K is Galois over k since ker f is normal in Λ , which contradicts the hypothesis. Since f vanishes on Υ , we have Υ ⊂ ker f ⊂ Λ. The non-trivial ξ yields that ker f is not equal to Λ. We then have that $\ker f = \Upsilon$ since $\deg(K/k)$ is a prime number, which implies that $A = K$.

5.1.2. The case that K/k is a Galois extension. Since we assume $\deg(K/k)$ is a prime number, the field extension K/k is an abelian extension if it is Galois. For any non-Archimedean place $v \in \Omega_k \setminus \infty_k$, if $K_v = K \otimes_k k_v$ is a field, we can explictly describe the normalized Brauer evaluation.

Proposition 5.2. *Suppose that* K/k *is an abelian extension. Let* $\Lambda = \text{Gal}(K/k)$ *. Then there is an isomorphism*

$$
\Psi: \text{Br } X/\text{Br } k \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Pic } S_{x_0} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}(\Lambda, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}).
$$

In particular, if $K_v = K \otimes_k k_v$ *is a field for* $v \in \Omega_k \setminus \infty_k$ *, then the normalized Brauer evaluation* ξ_v *for* $\xi \in \text{Br } X$ *is given by*

 $\tilde{\xi}_v(x) = \exp 2\pi i \Psi(\xi) (\phi_{K_v/k_v}(\det g_x))$

 $for x \in X(k_v)$. Here, $\phi_{K_v/k_v} : k_v^{\times}/Nm_{K_v/k_v} K_v^{\times} \to \text{Gal}(K_v/k_v) \subset \Lambda$ is the Artin reciprocity *isomorphism (cf.* [\[Ser79,](#page-44-4) Chapter XI]) and $g_x \in GL_n(k_v)$ *such that* $g_x^{-1}x_0g_x = x$.

Proof. The first isomorphism, Br $X/\operatorname{Br} k \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Pic} S_{x_0}$ in Ψ , is proved in [\[CTX09,](#page-43-16) Proposition 2.10]. On the other hand, the isomorphism $Pic S_{x_0} \stackrel{\sim}{\to} Hom(\Lambda, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ in Ψ is proved in [\[WX16,](#page-44-1) Theorem 6.1]. The same arguments apply for Ψ_v : Br $X/Br k_v \stackrel{\sim}{\to} \text{Pic } S_{x_0,k_v} \stackrel{\sim}{\to} \text{Hom}(\Lambda_v, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ where $\Lambda_v = \text{Gal}(K_v/k_v) \subset \Lambda$, and it satisfies the following commutative diagram,

$$
\text{Br } X/\text{Br } k \xrightarrow{\Psi} \text{Hom}(\Lambda, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})
$$

$$
\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \text{res}
$$

$$
\text{Br } X/\text{Br } k_v \xrightarrow{\Psi_v} \text{Hom}(\Lambda_v, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}).
$$

Therefore it suffices to consider the evaluation for the base change $X_{k_v} \cong S_{x_0,k_v} \backslash SL_{n,k_v}$.

The Brauer evaluation of a homogeneous space is induced from the following commutative diagram, as shown in [\[CTX09,](#page-43-16) Proposition 2.9-2.10],

(5.1)
$$
X(k_v)
$$
 \times $\text{Br}_* X$ \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} $\xrightarrow{x \to \exp(2\pi ix)}$ \mathbb{C}^{\times}
\n \downarrow $\$

Here, $\text{Br}_* X \subset \text{Br} X$ is the subgroup of the Brauer group consisting of the elements whose evaluation vanishes at $x_0 \in X(k_v)$. The pairing in the first row is the Brauer evaluation for Br_{*} X, and the second row is the cup product ∪ on the group cohomology. We will explain the first vertical map later. The second vertical map is defined by

$$
\text{Br}_{*} X \cong \text{Br} X / \text{Br} k_v \to \text{Pic } \text{S}_{x_0, k_v} \cong \text{H}^1(k_v, \widehat{\text{S}_{x_0, k_v}}).
$$

First, we explain how to operate the cup product in the second row of [\(5.1\)](#page-19-1). Since the cup product is compatible with inflation homomorphisms, and both S_{x_0,k_v} and $\widehat{S_{x_0,k_v}}$ split over K_v , we consider the cup product \cup on the group cohomology for Λ_v -modules as follows,

$$
H^1(\Lambda_v, S_{x_0,k_v}) \times H^1(\Lambda_v, \widehat{S_{x_0,k_v}}) \xrightarrow{\cup} H^2(\Lambda_v, S_{x_0,k_v} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \widehat{S_{x_0,k_v}}) \to H^2(\Lambda_v, K_v^{\times}) \xrightarrow{\text{inv}} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}.
$$

We claim that the following diagram commutes, allowing us to use the cup product in the second row.

(5.2)
$$
H^{1}(\Lambda_{v}, S_{x_{0}, k_{v}}) \times H^{1}(\Lambda_{v}, \widehat{S_{x_{0}, k_{v}}}) \xrightarrow{\cup} H^{2}(\Lambda_{v}, S_{x_{0}, k_{v}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \widehat{S_{x_{0}, k_{v}}})
$$

$$
H^{0}(\Lambda_{v}, K_{v}^{\times}) \times H^{2}(\Lambda_{v}, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cup} H^{2}(\Lambda_{v}, K_{v}^{\times} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}).
$$

Here, the right vertical map is obtained from the canonical pairing. δ_1 and δ_2 are connecting homomorphisms derived from the following exact sequences of Λ_v -modules,

(5.3)
\n
$$
0 \to S_{x_0,k_v}(K_v) \to (K_v \otimes_{k_v} K_v)^{\times} \longrightarrow K_v^{\times} \longrightarrow 0 \text{ and;}
$$
\n
$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\Lambda_v] \longrightarrow \widehat{S_{x_0,k_v}}(K_v) \longrightarrow 0.
$$

One can show that two exact sequences in [\(5.3\)](#page-20-0) split by choosing splitting homomorphisms $K_v^{\times} \to$ $(K_v \otimes_{k_v} K_v)^\times$, $a \mapsto 1 \otimes a$ and $\mathbb{Z}[\Lambda_v] \to \mathbb{Z}$, $\sum_{\sigma} n_{\sigma} \sigma \mapsto n_1$, respectively. We have that δ_1 is surjective since the proof of Proposition [2.3](#page-11-0) yields that $H^1(\Lambda_v, (K_v \otimes_{k_v} K_v)^{\times})$ is trivial. Since $\mathbb{Z}[\Lambda_v] = \text{Ind}_{1}^{\Lambda_v} \mathbb{Z},$ we have

$$
H^{i}(\Lambda_{v}, \mathbb{Z}[\Lambda_{v}]) \cong H^{i}(1, \mathbb{Z}) = 0
$$

for $i = 1$ and 2, by Shapiro's lemma, and thus δ_2 is an isomorphism. From the exact sequences in [\(5.3\)](#page-20-0), we consider the diagram obtained by applying $(-) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \widehat{S_{x_0,k_v}}(K_v)$ at the first row, and by applying $K_v^{\times} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} (-)$ at the second row as follows,

0 S^x0,k^v (Kv) [⊗]^Z ^S\^x0,k^v (Kv) (K^v ⊗ Kv) [×] [⊗]^Z ^S\^x0,k^v (Kv) K[×] ^v [⊗]^Z ^S\^x0,k^v (Kv) 0 0 K[×] ^v [⊗]^Z ^Z ^K[×] ^v [⊗]^Z ^Z[Λv] ^K[×] ^v [⊗]^Z ^S\^x0,k^v (Kv) 0.

 $\widehat{S_{x_0,k_v}}(K_v)$ and $K_v^{\times} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} (-)$ preserve split exactness, both two rows are also split exact. Thus, there The first vertical map is defined by the canonical pairing of the character modules. Since $(-) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is an induced central map that such that the diagram commutes, through the splitting homomorphisms. Let δ_3 and δ_4 be the connecting homomorphisms from the above two. Then the diagram below commutes.

$$
\mathrm{H}^{1}(\Lambda_{v}, K_{v}^{\times} \otimes \widehat{S_{x_{0},k_{v}}}) \xrightarrow{\delta_{3}} \mathrm{H}^{2}(\Lambda_{v}, S_{x_{0},k_{v}} \otimes \widehat{S_{x_{0},k_{v}}})
$$
\n
$$
\parallel \qquad \qquad \downarrow
$$
\n
$$
\mathrm{H}^{1}(\Lambda_{v}, K_{v}^{\times} \otimes \widehat{S_{x_{0},k_{v}}}) \xrightarrow{\delta_{4}} \mathrm{H}^{2}(\Lambda_{v}, K_{v}^{\times} \otimes \mathbb{Z}).
$$

For $a \in H^0(\Lambda_v, K_v^{\times})$ and $b \in H^1(\Lambda_v, \widehat{S_{x_0,k_v}})$, it follows from [\[Ser79,](#page-44-4) Proposition 5, VIII] that $\delta_3(a \cup$ $b) = \delta_1 a \cup b$ and $\delta_4(a \cup b) = a \cup \delta_2 b$. By the commutativity of δ_3 and δ_4 , $\delta_1 a \cup b$ and $a \cup \delta_2 b$ coincide in $H^2(\Lambda_v, K_v^{\times})$, so that the diagram [\(5.2\)](#page-20-1) commutes.

Now we describe the map $X(k_v) \to H^1(k_v, S_{x_0,k_v})$ in the commutative diagram [\(5.1\)](#page-19-1) explicitly. By Proposition [2.1,](#page-8-2) we have

$$
S_{x_0,k_v}(k_v) \longrightarrow SL_n(k_v) \longrightarrow X(k_v) \longrightarrow H^1(k_v, S_{x_0,k_v})
$$

\n
$$
\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \parallel
$$

\n
$$
T_{x_0,k_v}(k_v) \longrightarrow GL_n(k_v) \longrightarrow X(k_v)
$$

\n
$$
\downarrow \text{Nm} \qquad \qquad \downarrow
$$

\n
$$
H^0(k_v, \mathbb{G}_{m,k_v}) \longrightarrow k_v^{\times}
$$

\n
$$
\downarrow \delta_1
$$

\n
$$
H^1(k_v, S_{x_0,k_v})
$$

To identify two $H^1(k_v, S_{x_0,k_v})$ in the diagram, we choose $g_x \in GL_n(k_v)$ for $x \in X(k_v)$ such that $g_x^{-1}x_0g_x = x$. Thus, $\delta_1(\det g_x)$ represents the image of x in $H^1(k_v, S_{x_0,k_v})$. For $\xi \in \text{Br } X$, we can choose $\xi_0 \in \text{Br } k_v$ such that inv $\xi_0 = \xi_v(x_0) \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$, which implies that $\xi - \xi_0 \in \text{Br}_* X$. Let $\chi \in \text{Hom}(\Lambda_v, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ be the image of $\xi - \xi_0$ under

$$
\text{Br}_{*} X \cong \text{H}^{1}(k_{v}, \widehat{\text{S}_{x_{0},k_{v}}}) \cong \text{Pic } \text{S}_{x_{0},k_{v}} \cong \text{Hom}(\Lambda_{v}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}).
$$

We note that the evaluation of $\xi - \xi_0 \in \text{Br}_* X \subset \text{Br } X$ equals the normalized evaluation ξ_v . By the proof of [\[WX16,](#page-44-1) Theorem 6.1] that $Pic S_{x_0,k_v} \cong Hom(\Lambda_v, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$, the image of $\xi - \xi_0$ under

$$
\operatorname{Br}_* X \cong \mathrm{H}^1(k_v, \widehat{\mathrm{S}_{x_0,k_v}}) \xrightarrow{\delta_2} \mathrm{H}^2(\Lambda_v, \mathbb{Z})
$$

coincides with $d\chi$. Here, $d : \text{Hom}(\Lambda_v, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) = H^1(\Lambda_v, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(\Lambda, \mathbb{Z})$ is a connecting homomorphism derived from the exact sequence

$$
0 \to \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Q} \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \to 0.
$$

Therefore, the evaluation of $\xi - \xi_0$ in [\(5.1\)](#page-19-1) is

$$
(\xi - \xi_0)_v(x) = \tilde{\xi}_v(x) = inv(\det g_x \cup d\chi) = \Psi(\xi)(\phi_{K_v/k_v}(\det g_x))
$$

by Ser79 , Proposition 2, XI].

Corollary 5.3. Suppose that K/k is an abelian extension. For $v \in \Omega_k \setminus \infty_k$, if K_v is a field, then *the normalized Brauer evaluation* $\tilde{\xi}_v$ *on* $X(k_v)$ *is constant on each* $SL_n(k_v)$ *-orbits in* $X(k_v)$ *, for any* $\xi \in \text{Br } X$.

Proof. For $x, x' \in X(k_v)$ on the same $SL_n(k_v)$ -orbit, we have $x = x' \cdot g$ for some $g \in SL_n(k_v)$. Then, by Proposition [5.2,](#page-19-0) we have $\tilde{\xi}_v(x) = \tilde{\xi}_v(x')$ for any $\xi \in \text{Br } X$.

Corollary 5.4. If K/k *is a Galois extension of prime degree and* K_v/k_v *is also a field extension, then for a non-trivial* $\xi \in \text{Br } X/\text{Br } k$, *the evaluation* $\tilde{\xi}_v$ *on* $X(k_v)$ *is non-trivial.*

.

Proof. The hypothesis on K and K_v yields that $Gal(K/k) = Gal(K_v/k_v)$ and it is abelian. By Proposition [5.2,](#page-19-0) $\Psi(\xi) \in \text{Hom}(\text{Gal}(K/k), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ is non-trivial. In other words, there exists $\sigma \in$ $Gal(K/k) = Gal(K_v/k_v)$ such that $\Psi(\xi)(\sigma) \neq 0$. Let $c \in k_v^{\times}$ be any lift of $\phi_{K_v/k_v}^{-1}(\sigma) \in k_v^{\times}/Nm_{K_v/k_v} K_v^{\times}$ and we define $g = diag(c, 1, \ldots, 1) \in GL_n(k_v)$. Then, $\tilde{\xi}_v(x_0 \cdot g)$ is nontrivial by Proposition [5.2.](#page-19-0) \Box

For the case that K_v is not a field, the normalized Brauer evaluation is always trivial.

Proposition 5.5. *If* K/k *is a Galois extension of prime degree and* K_v *is not a field, then* $\tilde{\xi}_v \equiv 1$ *on* $X(k_v)$.

Proof. Since K is a Galois extension of k of prime degree, if K_v is not a field, then $\chi(x)$ splits completely over k_v . By [\[CTX09,](#page-43-16) Propositoin 2.10], we have

$$
\text{Br } X/\text{ Br } k_v \cong \text{Pic } \text{S}_{x_0,k_v} \cong \text{H}^1(k_v, \widehat{\text{S}_{x_0,k_v}}).
$$

By Lemma [2.2,](#page-10-1) S_{x_0,k_v} is the kernel of the multiplication map $\mathbb{G}_{m,k_v}^n \to \mathbb{G}_{m,k_v}$, which is isomorphic to \mathbb{G}_{m,k_v}^{n-1} . Since S_{x_0,k_v} splits over k_v , $\widehat{S_{x_0,k_v}}$ is also splits over k_v . We conclude that $\text{Br } X/\text{Br } k_v$ is trivial. If $\xi \in \text{Br } X$ is contained in the image of $\text{Br } k_v \to \text{Br } X$, then for $x \in X(k_v)$, $\xi_v(x)$ is defined by the image of ξ via

$$
\text{Br}\,k_v \to \text{Br}\,X \xrightarrow{\text{Br}(x)} \text{Br}\,k_v \xrightarrow{\text{inv}} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{x \mapsto \exp(2\pi ix)} \mathbb{C}^{\times}.
$$

Since ξ_v is constant on $X(k_v)$, $\tilde{\xi}_v$ is trivial on $X(k_v)$.

5.2. Computation on Archimedean places. We now investigate the integration in [\(4.1\)](#page-16-3) for Archimedean places. Since we assume that k and K are totally real number fields, $\chi(x)$ splits completely over k_v for any Archimedean place $v \in \infty_k$. Thus, by Proposition [5.5,](#page-22-1) the Brauer evaluation ξ_v is trivial. It suffices to consider the volume of $X(k_v, T)$ defined in [\(4.1\)](#page-16-3), with respect to $|\omega_{X_{k_v}}^{can}|_v$.

Proposition 5.6. *Suppose that* k *and* K *are totally real number fields. Then we have*

$$
\prod_{v \in \infty_k} \int_{X(k_v,T)} |\omega_{X_{k_v}}^{can}|_v \sim \left(w_n \pi^{\frac{n(n+1)}{4}} T^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^n \Gamma(\frac{i}{2})^{-1} \right)^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]} \prod_{v \in \Omega_k \setminus \infty_k} |\Delta_{\chi}|_v^{\frac{1}{2}},
$$

where w_n *is the volume of the unit ball in* $\mathbb{R}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}$.

Proof. We fix an Archimedean place $v \in \infty_k$. Since k and K are totally real, we have $k_v \cong \mathbb{R}$ and $\chi(x)$ splits completely over k_v . Let $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n \in k_v$ be the distinct roots of $\chi(x)$, and $\lambda :=$ $diag(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n)\in X(k_v)$ be the diagonal matrix. We denote by T_{λ,k_v} the stabilizer of λ under the conjugation of GL_{n,k_v} . Since the roots λ_i are distinct, the stabilizer $T_{\lambda,k_v}(k_v)$ is the set of diagonal matrices in $GL_n(k_v)$.

To obtain the volume $\int_{X(k_v,T)} |\omega_{X_{k_v}}^{can}|_v$, we define a test function 1_{G_T} where $G_T \subset GL_n(k_v)$ denotes

$$
G_T = \{ g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(k_v) \mid ||\lambda \cdot g||_v \le T \text{ and } 1 < r_{ii} < 2 \text{ for } g = rq \}.
$$

Here, $g = rq$ represents the unique RQ-decomposition of $g \in GL_n(k_v)$, where r is an upper triangular matrix with positive diagonal entries, and q is an orthogonal matrix in $GL_n(k_v)$.

We note that

(5.5)
$$
X(k_v) = \mathrm{T}_{x_0,k_v}(k_v) \backslash \mathrm{GL}_n(k_v) = \mathrm{T}_{\lambda,k_v}(k_v) \backslash \mathrm{GL}_n(k_v).
$$

As explained in Section [3.2.2,](#page-14-1) we have $(\mathbb{G}_{m,k_v})^n \cong T_{x_0,k_v}$. Comparing this with T_{λ,k_v} , we have $(\mathbb{G}_{m,k_v})^n \cong \mathrm{T}_{x_0,k_v} \cong \mathrm{T}_{\lambda,k_v}$ by $(t_i) \mapsto \mathrm{diag}(t_i)$. Thus, the pullback of $\omega_{\mathrm{T}_{x_0,k_v}}^{can}$ under the isomorphism $T_{x_0,k_v} \cong T_{\lambda,k_v}$ induces the Haar measure $\bigwedge_{i=1}^n \frac{dt_i}{|t_i|}$ $\frac{dt_i}{|t_i|}$ on $T_{\lambda,k_v}(k_v)$. Therefore, through the identifi-cation [\(5.5\)](#page-23-0), the triple of measures $(|\omega_{\mathrm{GL}_{n,k_v}}^{can}|_v, \bigwedge_{i=1}^n \frac{dt_i}{|t_i|}$ $\frac{dt_i}{|t_i|}, |\omega_{X_{k_v}}^{can}|_v)$ match together topologically by Proposition [3.3,](#page-13-2) we have

(5.6)
$$
\int_{GL_n(k_v)} \mathbb{1}_{G_T}(g) |\omega_{\mathrm{GL}_{n,k_v}}^{can}|_v = \int_{X(k_v)} \left(\int_{\mathrm{T}_{\lambda,k_v}(k_v)} \mathbb{1}_{G_T}(tg) \bigwedge_{i=1}^n \frac{dt_i}{|t_i|} \right) |\omega_{X_{k_v}}^{can}|_v.
$$

First, we express the inner integration in the right hand side of (5.6) in terms of $1_{X(k_v,T)}$. We fix an element $g \in GL_n(k_v)$, with the unique RQ-decomposition $g = rq$. For any $t = diag(t_1, \ldots, t_n)$ $T_{\lambda}(k_v)$, the condition $tg \in G_T$ is equivalent that $\lambda \cdot g \in X(k_v, T)$ and $1 < |t_i|r_{ii} < 2$. Thus, we have

$$
(5.7) \qquad \int_{\mathcal{T}_{\lambda,k_v}(k_v)} \mathbb{1}_{G_T}(tg) \bigwedge_{i=1}^n \frac{dt_i}{|t_i|} = \left(\prod_{i=1}^n 2 \int_{1/r_{ii}}^{2/r_{ii}} \frac{dt_i}{t_i}\right) \mathbb{1}_{X(k_v,T)}(\lambda \cdot g) = (2\ln 2)^n \mathbb{1}_{X(k_v,T)}(\lambda \cdot g).
$$

Next, we compute the left hand side of (5.6) by applying the Iwasawa decomposition

(5.8) GLn(kv) = ANK,

where

 $\sqrt{ }$ \int $\overline{1}$ A *is the subgroup of* $GL_n(k_v)$ *consisting of positive diagonal matrices*; N *is the subgroup of* $GL_n(k_v)$ *consisting of unipotent upper triangular matrices*; K is the orthogonal group $O_n(k_v) \subset GL_n(k_v)$.

By decomposing $G_T \subset GL_n(k_v)$, we have

$$
G_T = (1,2)^n N_T K,
$$

where $(1, 2)^n$ represents the set of diagonal matrices with entries in $(1, 2)$ and $N_T := \{n \in N \mid$ $||n^{-1}\lambda n|| \leq T$. Indeed, for $g = ank \in GL_n(k_v)$, we have $||g^{-1}\lambda g|| = ||n^{-1}\lambda n||$.

We decompose the Haar measure $|\omega_{\text{GL}_{n,k_v}}^{can}|_v$ following [\[Kna02,](#page-43-17) Section 8] with respect to the decomposition (5.8) . Let da and dn be Haar measures on A and N defined as follows,

(5.9)
$$
\begin{cases} da = \bigwedge_{i=1}^{n} \frac{dt_i}{|t_i|} & \text{on } A; \\ dn = \bigwedge_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} dx_{ij} & \text{on } N. \end{cases}
$$

Then Kna02 , Proposition 8.43 implies that there exists the Haar measure dk on K such that

(5.10)
$$
|\omega_{\mathrm{GL}_{n,k_v}}^{can}|_v = \frac{1}{|\det|^n} \bigwedge_{1 \le i,j \le n} dx_{ij} = da \, \, dn \, \, dk.
$$

To obtain the volume of G_T with respect to $|\omega_{\mathrm{GL}_{n,k_v}}^{can}|_v$, it suffices to compute each factor in the right hand side of the following equation,

$$
vol(G_T, |\omega_{\mathrm{GL}_{n,k_v}}^{can}|_v) = vol((1,2)^n, da) \cdot vol(N_T, dn) \cdot vol(K, dk).
$$

By definition of da, we have $vol((1,2)^n, da) = (\ln 2)^n$. The formulas in [\[EMS96,](#page-43-4) p. 282] yield that

$$
vol(N_T, dn) \sim w_n |\Delta_\chi|_v^{-\frac{1}{2}} T^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}.
$$

Lemma [5.7,](#page-24-0) provided below, proves that

$$
vol(K, dk) = 2^{n} \pi^{\frac{n(n+1)}{4}} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \Gamma(\frac{i}{2})^{-1}.
$$

Combining these results with (5.7) , we have

$$
vol(X(k_v, T), |\omega_{X_{k_v}}^{can}|_v) = (2 \ln 2)^{-n} vol(G_T, |\omega_{\mathrm{GL}_{n,k_v}}^{can}|_v)
$$

$$
= (2 \ln 2)^{-n} \cdot vol((1, 2)^n, da) \cdot vol(N_T, dn) \cdot vol(K, dk)
$$

$$
\sim \pi^{\frac{n(n+1)}{4}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \Gamma(\frac{i}{2})^{-1} \right) w_n |\Delta_{\chi}|_v^{-\frac{1}{2}} T^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}.
$$

By the product formula for $\Delta_{\chi} \in k$, we obtain

$$
\prod_{v \in \infty_k} \int_{X(k_v,T)} |\omega_{X_{k_v}}^{can}|_v \sim \left(w_n \pi^{\frac{n(n+1)}{4}} T^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^n \Gamma(\frac{i}{2})^{-1} \right)^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]} \prod_{v \in \Omega_k \setminus \infty_k} |\Delta_{\chi}|_v^{\frac{1}{2}}.
$$

 \Box

Lemma 5.7. Let dk be the Haar measure on $K = O_n(k_v)$ satisfying [\(5.10\)](#page-23-4). Then we have

$$
vol(K,dk) = 2^{n} \pi^{\frac{n(n+1)}{4}} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \Gamma(\frac{i}{2})^{-1}.
$$

Proof. To obtain the volume of K, we introduce an alternative Haar measure dk' on K using another decomposition

$$
(5.11) \t\t\t GLn(kv) = KMAN,
$$

where M denotes the set of signature matrices, and K, A, and N are as defined in (5.8) . Like defining dk in (5.10) , we should fix the other Haar measures on M, A, and N. We define da on A and dn on N as (5.9) .

We choose a Haar measure dm on M such that

(5.12)
$$
|\omega_{\mathrm{GL}_{n,k_v}}^{\mathrm{can}}|_v = e^{2\rho \log a} d\bar{n} \ dm \ da \ dn,
$$

where 2ρ denotes the sum of positive roots in the root system of GL_n (cf. [\[Kna02,](#page-43-17) Proposition 8.45]). Here, $d\bar{n} = \bigwedge_{1 \leq j < i \leq n} dx_{ij}$ denotes a Haar measure on the subgroup \bar{N} of $GL_n(k_v)$ consisting of unipotent lower triangular matrices. We claim that dm is the counting measure on M so that $vol(M, dm) = 2^n$. To express the Haar measure $|\omega_{\mathrm{GL}_{n,k_v}}^{can}|_v$ in terms of da, dn, and dn, we apply

Lemma [5.8,](#page-25-0) provided below, which describe the Jacobian of the LDU-decomposition. Let $a =$ $diag(t_1, \ldots, t_n)$ be the diagonal matrix, and \bar{n} (resp. *n*) be a unipotent lower (resp. upper) triangular matrix with entries x_{ij} for $1 \leq j < i \leq n$ (resp. $1 \leq i < j \leq n$). By a change of variables, we obtain

$$
\frac{1}{|\det(x_{ij})|^n} \bigwedge_{1 \le i,j \le n} dx_{ij} = \frac{|t_1^{2n-2} t_2^{2n-4} \cdots t_{n-1}|}{|t_1 t_2 \cdots t_n|^n} \bigwedge_{1 \le j < i \le n} dx_{ij} \wedge \bigwedge_{1 \le i \le n} dt_i \wedge \bigwedge_{1 \le i < j \le n} dx_{ij}
$$
\n
$$
= |t_1^{n-1} t_2^{n-3} \cdots t_n^{-n+1}| \bigwedge_{1 \le j < i \le n} dl_{ij} \wedge \frac{1}{|t_1 t_2 \cdots t_n|} \bigwedge_{1 \le i < j \le n} dt_i \wedge \bigwedge_{1 \le i < j \le n} dx_{ij}
$$
\n
$$
= e^{2\rho \log a} d\bar{n} \, da \, dn.
$$

The last equality follows from the root system of GL_n , for $a = diag(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in A$,

$$
e^{2\rho \log a} = \left| \prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} \frac{t_i}{t_j} \right| = |t_1^{n-1} t_2^{n-3} \cdots t_n^{-n+1}|.
$$

The decomposition (5.12) then implies that each element in M has measure 1.

[\[Kna02,](#page-43-17) Proposition 8.44] implies that there exists a Haar measure dk' on K such that

(5.13)
$$
|\omega_{\mathrm{GL}_{n,k_v}}^{can}|_v = e^{2\rho \log a} dk' dm \ da \ dn.
$$

By $[Kna02,$ Proposition 8.43, exchanging dk and da dn in (5.10) yields

da dn
$$
dk = e^{2\rho \log a} dk
$$
 da dn.

Combining this with [\(5.13\)](#page-25-1), we have $dk = vol(M, dm)dk' = 2ⁿdk'$. [\[Kna02,](#page-43-17) Proposition 8.46] determines the normalization of dk' ,

$$
\int_K dk' = \int_{\bar{N}} e^{-2\rho \log a(\bar{n})} d\bar{n} = \pi^{\frac{n(n+1)}{4}} \prod_{i=1}^n \Gamma(\frac{i}{2})^{-1},
$$

where $a(\bar{n})$ is the diagonal matrix in the decomposition of \bar{n} with respect to [\(5.11\)](#page-24-2) and the second equality follows from $\sqrt{\cos 98}$, Theorem 1, 14.10. Therefore, we conclude that

$$
vol(K,dk) = 2n vol(K,dk') = 2n \pi^{\frac{n(n+1)}{4}} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \Gamma(\frac{i}{2})^{-1}.
$$

Lemma 5.8. *Let*

$$
\phi_n : \mathbb{R}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \times (\mathbb{R}_{>0})^n \times \mathbb{R}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \to M_n(\mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{R}^{n^2}
$$

defined by

$$
(x_{ij})_{i>j}, (t_i), (x_{ij})_{i
$$

where \bar{n} (resp. n) is the unipotent lower (resp. upper) triangular matrix with the entries x_{ij} for $1 \leq j \leq i \leq n$ *(resp.* $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$ *), and* $a = \text{diag}(t_1, \ldots, t_n)$ *. Then the absolute value of the Jacobian of* ϕ_n *is* $|\det J_{\phi_n}| = |t_1^{2n-2}t_2^{2n-4}\cdots t_{n-1}|.$

Proof. We use an induction on n. For $n = 1$ and $\phi = id$, we have the Jacobian of ϕ_n is 1. Suppose that the lemma holds for $n = k - 1$. We denote the blocks of l, d, and u by

$$
l = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ x_{21} \\ \vdots \\ x_{n1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad d = \begin{pmatrix} t_1 & 0 \\ 0 & a' \\ 0 & \cdots \end{pmatrix}, \quad u = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_{12} & \cdots & x_{1n} \\ 0 & & n' \\ 0 & & \cdots & n' \end{pmatrix}
$$

.

Then, we have

$$
\bar{n}an = \begin{pmatrix} t_1 & t_1x_{12} & \cdots & t_1x_{1n} \\ t_1x_{21} & & & \\ \vdots & & & \bar{n}'a'n' \\ t_1x_{n1} & & & \end{pmatrix}.
$$

Since the entries of $\bar{n}'a'n'$ are independent of t_1 , x_{i1} , and x_{1i} for $2 \leq i \leq n$, the Jacobian matrix J_{ϕ_n} equals diag(1, t_1, \ldots, t_1) \oplus $J_{\phi_{n-1}}$. This completes the proof by the inductive assumption. \Box

5.3. Computation on non-Archimedean places. Now we consider the integration $\tilde{\xi}_v$ on $X(\mathcal{O}_{k_v})$ for $v \in \Omega_k \setminus \infty_k$. In the case that the evaluation $\tilde{\xi}_v$ is trivial, we find that the integration is associated with the orbital integral for \mathfrak{gl}_n (cf. Proposition [5.9\)](#page-26-1). Otherwise, K_v/k_v is a Galois field extension by Proposition [5.1](#page-18-2) and Proposition [5.5.](#page-22-1) In this case, we will address the two cases separately; when K_v/k_v is ramified and when K_v/k_v is unramified.

In particular, when K_v/k_v is unramified, we use the Langlands-Shelstad fundamental lemma for \mathfrak{sl}_n , proven by Ngô in [\[Ngfrm\[o\]–0\]](#page-44-2). Because of the complexity and the various involved concepts, we will provide it in Section [6.](#page-28-2)

5.3.1. *The case that the evaluation* $\tilde{\xi}_v$ *is trivial.* By Proposition [5.1](#page-18-2) and Proposition [5.5,](#page-22-1) the evaluation ζ_v is trivial if the following cases hold.

- $\sqrt{ }$ K/k *is not a Galois field extension*;
- \int K/k *is a Galois field extension and* K_v/k_v *splits*;
- $\overline{1}$ K/k *is a Galois field extension,* K_v/k_v *is a field extension, and* $\xi \in \text{Br } X/\text{Br } k$ *is trivial.*

Otherwise, K_v/k_v is a Galois field extension and $\xi \in \text{Br } X/\text{Br } k$ is non-trivial, then the evaluation ξ_v is non-trivial by Proposition [5.4.](#page-21-0)

Proposition 5.9. For $v \in \Omega_k \setminus \infty_k$, we identify $M_{n,\mathcal{O}_{k_v}}(\mathcal{O}_{k_v})$ with $\mathfrak{gl}_{n,\mathcal{O}_{k_v}}(\mathcal{O}_{k_v})$ so that $x_0 \in$ $\mathfrak{gl}_{n,\mathcal{O}_{k_v}}(\mathcal{O}_{k_v})$ *. Then, we have the following equation.*

$$
\int_{X(\mathcal{O}_{k_v})}|\omega_{X_{k_v}}^{can}|_v=\int_{\mathrm{T}_{x_0}(k_v)\backslash\mathrm{GL}_n(k_v)}\mathbb{1}_{\mathfrak{gl}_{n,\mathcal{O}_{k_v}}(\mathcal{O}_{k_v})}(g^{-1}x_0g)|\omega_{X_{k_v}}^{can}|_v.
$$

Here, the right hand side is the orbital integral of x_0 *for* \mathfrak{gl}_n *with respect to the measure* $|\omega_{X_{k_v}}^{can}|_v$ *(See* [\[Yun13,](#page-44-5) Section 1.3] *for the definition of the orbital integral for* \mathfrak{gl}_n *with respect to the measure* $\frac{dg_v}{dt_v}$. *Proof.* Via the identification $X \cong T_{x_0} \backslash GL_n$ in Proposition [2.1.](#page-8-2)(1) and Proposition [2.3,](#page-11-0) we have

$$
X(k_v) \cong T_{x_0}(k_v) \backslash GL_n(k_v).
$$

Under this identification, we have $X(\mathcal{O}_{k_v}) \cong \{g \in T_{x_0}(k_v) \backslash GL_n(k_v) \mid g^{-1}x_0g \in \mathfrak{gl}_{n,\mathcal{O}_{k_v}}(\mathcal{O}_{k_v})\}$ and this yields the formula.

In general, obtaining the closed formula of the orbital integral for \mathfrak{gl}_n is a challenging problem, and the difficulty increases as the rank n grows. In certain cases, including $n = 2, 3$, we will summarize the closed formula of the orbital integrals for \mathfrak{gl}_n in Proposition [7.3](#page-40-0)[-7.4.](#page-42-0)

Remark 5.10. Suppose that $\chi(x)$ is a irreducible polynomial such that $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathcal{O}_k[x]/(\chi(x))$ (cf. assumption (2) in (1.3) . By [\[Yun13,](#page-44-5) Theorem 1.5], we have

(5.14)
$$
\int_{X(\mathcal{O}_{k_v})} \frac{dg_v}{dt_v} = \int_{\mathrm{T}_{x_0}(k_v)\backslash \mathrm{GL}_n(k_v)} \mathbb{1}_{\mathfrak{gl}_{n,\mathcal{O}_{k_v}}(\mathcal{O}_{k_v})}(g^{-1}x_0g) \frac{dg_v}{dt_v} = 1,
$$

where the measure $\frac{dg_v}{dt_v}$ is defined in Lemma [3.7.](#page-15-0) Indeed, according to loc. cit. the orbtal integral of x_0 for \mathfrak{gl}_n with respect to $\frac{dg_v}{dt_v}$ is an integer formulated by a Z-polynomial in q_v whose leading term is $q_v^{S_v(\chi)}$. Here $S_v(\chi)$ is the \mathcal{O}_{k_v} -module length between \mathcal{O}_{K_v} and $\mathcal{O}_{k_v}[x]/(\chi(x))$. Therefore the assumption yields that $S_v(\chi) = 0$ and thus [\(5.14\)](#page-27-1) holds.

5.3.2. The case that the evaluation $\tilde{\xi}_v$ is non-trivial and K_v/k_v is ramified.

Proposition 5.11. *Suppose that* K_v/k_v *is a ramified Galois extension of prime degree n. For* $\xi \in \text{Br}(X)$ whose evaluation $\tilde{\xi}_v$ *is non-trivial, we have*

$$
\int_{X(\mathcal{O}_{k_v})} \tilde{\xi}_v(x) \, |\omega_{X_{k_v}}^{can}|_v = 0.
$$

Proof. [\[Neu99,](#page-44-10) (1.7) Proposition, Chapter 5] states that K_v/k_v is ramified if and only if there exists an element $u \in \mathcal{O}_{k_v}^{\times}$ such that $u \notin \text{Nm}_{K_v/k_v} K_v^{\times}$. Therefore the image of u under the Artin reciprocity isomorphism ϕ_{K_v/k_v} , defined in Proposition [5.2,](#page-19-0) is non-trivial in $Gal(K_v/k_v)$. On the other hand, by the assumption that $\deg(K/k)$ is a prime number, we have that $Gal(K/k) = Gal(K_v/k_v)$. Then the kernel of $\Psi(\xi) \in \text{Hom}(\text{Gal}(K_v/k_v), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ is trivial, where Ψ is defined in Proposition [5.2.](#page-19-0) We then have that $\exp 2\pi i \Psi(\xi) (\phi_{K_v/k_v}(u)) \neq 1$.

Let $g := \text{diag}(u, 1, \ldots, 1) \in GL_{n, \mathcal{O}_k}(\mathcal{O}_k)$. Since $|\omega_{X_{k_v}}^{can}|_v$ is a $GL_n(k_v)$ -invariant measure and $X(\mathcal{O}_k)$ is stable under the $\mathrm{GL}_{n,\mathcal{O}_k}(\mathcal{O}_k)$ -action, we have

$$
\int_{X(k_v)} \tilde{\xi}_v(x) \mathbb{1}_{X(\mathcal{O}_k)} |\omega_{X_{k_v}}^{can}|_v(x) = \int_{X(k_v)} \tilde{\xi}_v(x \cdot g) \mathbb{1}_{X(\mathcal{O}_k)}(x \cdot g) |\omega_{X_{k_v}}^{can}|_v
$$

$$
= \exp 2\pi i \Psi(\xi) (\phi_{K_v/k_v}(u)) \int_{X(k_v)} \tilde{\xi}_v(x) \mathbb{1}_{X(\mathcal{O}_k)}(x) |\omega_{X_{k_v}}^{can}|_v
$$

by Proposition [5.2.](#page-19-0) Therefore, we have

$$
\int_{X(\mathcal{O}_k)} \tilde{\xi}_v(x) \, |\omega_{X_{k_v}}^{can}|_v = 0.
$$

 \Box

6. COMPUTATION ON NON-ARCHIMEDEAN PLACES: THE CASE THAT THE EVALUATION ζ_n is NON-TRIVIAL AND K_v/k_v is UNRAMIFIED

By Proposition [5.3,](#page-21-1) the integration of $\tilde{\xi}_v$ on $X(\mathcal{O}_{k_v})$ turns to be the weighted summation for each $SL_n(k_v)$ -orbit. In the case that K_v/k_v is unramified and $\tilde{\xi}_v$ is non-trivial, we will show that the enumeration of this summation follows from the Langlands-Shelstad fundamental lemma, which is proven in $[Ngfrm[o]-0]$.

We first collect the necessary materials to state the Langlands-Shelstad fundamental lemma for \mathfrak{sl}_n in Section [6.1.](#page-28-0) Summing up our observations, we will provide the conclusion in Section [6.2.](#page-31-0) Throughout this section, we fix $v \in \Omega_k \setminus \infty_k$ such that K_v/k_v is an unramified Galois field extension.

6.1. Measures on each $SL_n(k_v)$ -orbit in $X(k_v)$. In the Langlands-Shelstad fundamental lemma, the measure $\frac{dh_v}{ds'_v}$ defined in Lemma [6.1](#page-29-0) is used, whereas our integration is defined with respect to the measure $|\omega_{X_{k_v}}^{can}|_v$. Therefore, it is necessary to describe the difference between two measures $|\omega_{X_{k_v}}^{can}|_v$ and $\frac{dh_v}{ds'_v}$ on $x'_0 \cdot SL_n(k_v) \cong S_{x'_0}(k_v) \setminus SL_n(k_v)$, for each $x'_0 \in \mathcal{R}_{x_0,v}$.

To address this, we will define a measure $|\omega_{X_{k_v},x'_0}^{can}|_v$ on each x'_0 . SL_n(k_v), which behaves as a bridge between two measures $|\omega_{X_{k_v}}^{can}|_v$ and $\frac{dh_v}{ds'_v}$ (cf. Lemma [6.1](#page-29-0)[-6.2\)](#page-29-1). The construction of this measure will follow the method used in Section [3.2.2.](#page-14-1)

6.1.1. *Integral models for* $S_{x'_0,k_v}$ *when* K_v/k_v *is an unramified field extension.* As in Subsection [3.2.1,](#page-14-2) we first construct an integral model for $S_{x'_0,k_v}$. Since Lemma [2.2](#page-10-1) is independent of the choice of x_0 , we have the following description of $S_{x'_0,k_v} \cong S_{x_0,k_v}$,

$$
\mathcal{S}_{x'_0,k_v} \cong \ker \bigg(\operatorname{Nm}_{K_v/k_v} : \mathcal{R}_{K_v/k_v}(\mathbb{G}_{m,K_v}) \to \mathbb{G}_{m,k_v} \bigg).
$$

By [\[Vos98,](#page-44-6) Section 10.5], the standard integral model for $S_{x'_0,k_v}$ is given by $S_{x'_0,\mathcal{O}_{k_v}} := R^{(1)}_{\mathcal{O}_{K_v}/\mathcal{O}_{k_v}}(\mathbb{G}_{m,\mathcal{O}_{K_v}})$ which is the kernel of the following morphism.

$$
\mathrm{Nm}_{\mathcal{O}_{K_v}/\mathcal{O}_{k_v}}: \mathrm{R}_{\mathcal{O}_{K_v}/\mathcal{O}_{k_v}}(\mathbb{G}_{m,\mathcal{O}_{K_v}}) \to \mathbb{G}_{m,\mathcal{O}_{k_v}}, \ g \mapsto \prod_{\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(K_v/k_v)} \sigma(g).
$$

Since K_v/k_v is unramified, [\[Vos98,](#page-44-6) Theorem 2, 10.3] yields that $S_{x'_0, \mathcal{O}_{k_v}}$ is an \mathcal{O}_{k_v} -torus, with $\mathrm{S}_{x'_0,\mathcal{O}_{k_v}}\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{k_v}}\mathcal{O}_{K_v}\cong \mathbb{G}_{m,\mathcal{O}}^{n-1}$ $\sum_{m,\mathcal{O}_{K_v}}^{n-1}$ which is smooth over \mathcal{O}_{K_v} and has a connected fiber over each point in $Spec(\mathcal{O}_{K_v})$. Then by [\[Poo17,](#page-44-7) Theorem 4.3.7], these properties descend to $S_{x'_0, \mathcal{O}_{k_v}}$ as well and thus $S_{x'_0, \mathcal{O}_{k_v}}$ is also smooth over \mathcal{O}_{k_v} and its fiber over each point in $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{k_v})$ is connected. Indeed, $Spec(\mathcal{O}_{K_v}) \to Spec(\mathcal{O}_{k_v})$ is a fpqc morphism. Moreover, the identity component $\mathcal{S}^0_{x'_0}$ of the Néron-Raynaud model $\mathcal{S}_{x'_0}$ (cf. [\[BLR90,](#page-43-11) Chapter 10]) for $\mathrm{S}_{x'_0,k_v}$ coincides with the standard integral model by $[B_{it11}, Corollary 1.4].$

6.1.2. *Volume forms on* $X_{k_v} \cong S_{x'_0,k_v} \backslash SL_{n,k_v}$. We construct translation-invariant volume forms $\omega_{\text{SL}_{n,k_v}}^{can}$ on SL_{n,k_v} , $\omega_{\text{S}_{x'_0,k_v}}^{can}$ on $\text{S}_{x'_0,k_v}$, and the corresponding SL_{n,k_v} -invariant volume form $\omega_{X_{k_v},x'_0}^{can}$ on X_{k_v} as follows.

(1) On SL_{n,k_v} , we define $\omega_{SL_{n,k_v}}^{can}$ to be a translation-invariant volume form in $\Omega_{SL_{n,k_v}/k_v}^{n^2-1}(SL_{n,k_v})$ which generates the \mathcal{O}_{k_v} -submodule $\text{Hom}(\bigwedge^{n^2-1} \mathfrak{sl}_{n,\mathcal{O}_{k_v}}(\mathcal{O}_{k_v}), \mathcal{O}_{k_v})$. Then the volume form $\omega_{\mathrm{SL}_{n,k_v}}^{can}$ has good reduction (mod π_v) in the sense of [\[Gro97,](#page-43-14) Section 4]. We then have the following equation by $[Gro97,$ Proposition 4.7],

$$
\text{vol}(|\omega_{\mathrm{SL}_{n,k_v}}^{can}|_v, \mathrm{SL}_{n,\mathcal{O}_{k_v}}(\mathcal{O}_{k_v})) = \frac{\# \mathrm{SL}_{n,\mathcal{O}_{k_v}}(\kappa_v)}{q_v^{n^2-1}}.
$$

(2) On $S_{x'_0,k_v}$, we define $\omega_{S_{x'_0,k_v}}^{can}$ to be a translation-invariant volume form in $\Omega_{S_{x'_0,k_v}}^{n-1}$ $\mathbb{S}^{-1}_{x'_0,k_v}/k_v^{\,}(\mathrm{S}_{x'_0,k_v})$ which generates the \mathcal{O}_{k_v} -submodule Hom $(\bigwedge^{n-1} \mathrm{Lie}(S_{x'_0,\mathcal{O}_{k_v}})(\mathcal{O}_{k_v}), \mathcal{O}_{k_v})$. Since $\mathcal{S}^0_{x'_0} \cong S_{x'_0,\mathcal{O}_{k_v}}$, the volume form $\omega_{S_{x'_0,k_v}}^{can}$ has good reduction (mod π_v) in the sense of [\[Gro97,](#page-43-14) Section 4]. We then have the following equation by $[Bit11, Proposition 2.14],$

$$
\text{vol}(|\omega_{\mathcal{S}_{x'_0,k_v}}^{can}|_v, \mathcal{S}_{x'_0,\mathcal{O}_{k_v}}(\mathcal{O}_{k_v})) = \frac{\# \mathcal{S}_{x'_0,\mathcal{O}_{k_v}}(\kappa_v)}{q_v^{n-1}}.
$$

(3) On $X_{k_v} \cong S_{x'_0,k_v} \backslash SL_{n,k_v}$, we define $\omega_{X_{k_v},x'_0}^{can}$ to be a SL_{n,k_v} -invariant volume form such that $\omega_{\mathrm{SL}_{n,k_v}}^{can} = \omega_{X_{k_v},x'_0}^{can} \cdot \omega_{\mathrm{S}_{x'_0,k_v}}^{can}$. Then by Proposition [3.3,](#page-13-2) $|\omega_{X_{k_v},x'_0}^{can}|_v$ defines a measure on x'_0 · $SL_n(k_v) \cong S_{x'_0}(k_v) \setminus SL_n(k_v)$ which matches topologically together with $|\omega_{SL_{n,k_v}}^{can}|_v$ and $|\omega_{\mathbf{S}_{x'_0,k_v}}^{can}|_v.$

Comparing with the quotient measure $\frac{dh_v}{ds'_v}$ induced from the canonically normalized Haar measures dh_v on $SL_n(k_v)$ and ds'_v on $S_{x'_0}(k_v)$, we have the following relation.

Lemma 6.1. Let $\frac{dh_v}{ds'_v}$ be the quotient measure on x'_0 · $SL_n(k_v)$, which is defined via the isomorphism $x'_0 \cdot SL_n(k_v) \cong S_{x'_0}(k_v) \backslash SL_n(k_v)$, where

$$
dh_v
$$
 be the Haar measure on $SL_n(k_v)$ such that $vol(dh_v, SL_{n, \mathcal{O}_{k_v}}(\mathcal{O}_{k_v})) = 1$;
 ds'_v be the Haar measure on $S_{x'_0}(k_v)$ such that $vol(ds'_v, S_{x'_0, \mathcal{O}_{k_v}}(\mathcal{O}_{k_v})) = 1$.

We have

$$
|\omega_{X_{kv},x_0'}^{can}|_v = \frac{\#\text{SL}_{n,\mathcal{O}_{k_v}}(\kappa_v) \cdot q_v^{-(n^2-1)}}{\#\text{S}_{x_0',\mathcal{O}_{k_v}}(\kappa_v) \cdot q_v^{-(n-1)}} \cdot \frac{dh_v}{ds_v'}.
$$

On the other hand, the measure $|\omega_{X_{k_v},x'_0}^{can}|_v$ coincides with the restriction of $|\omega_{X_{k_v}}^{can}|_v$ on x'_0 . $SL_n(k_v)$, by the following lemma.

Lemma 6.2. *We have*

 $\sqrt{ }$

$$
|\omega_{X_{k_v}}^{can}|_v\Big|_{x'_0\cdot\operatorname{SL}_n(k_v)}=|\omega_{X_{k_v},x'_0}^{can}|_v.
$$

Proof. In this proof, to ease the notations, we will omit the subscript k_v for each scheme over k_v if there is no confusion. For the centralizer $T_{x'_0}$ of x'_0 in GL_n , we define $\omega_{T_{x'_0}}^{can}$ following Section [3.2.2.](#page-14-1) Since there exists $g \in GL_n(k_v)$ such that $g^{-1}x_0g = x'_0$, we have $T_{x_0}(k_v) \cong T_{x'_0}(k_v)$ and $X(k_v) \cong T_{x_0}(k_v)\backslash GL_n(k_v) \cong T_{x'_0}(k_v)\backslash GL_n(k_v)$. Therefore $|\omega_{T_{x'_0}}\backslash \omega_{GL_n}|_v$ and $|\omega_{T_{x_0}}\backslash \omega_{GL_n}|_v$ are the same measure on $X(k_v)$ by Lemma [3.7.](#page-15-0) Here we note that $S_{x'_0, \mathcal{O}_{k_v}}$ is embedded in $T_{x'_0, \mathcal{O}_{k_v}}$ as the

kernel of $\text{Nm}_{\mathcal{O}_{K_v}/\mathcal{O}_{k_v}}: \text{T}_{x'_0,\mathcal{O}_{k_v}} \to \mathbb{G}_{m,\mathcal{O}_{k_v}}$. We consider the following commutative diagram defined over \mathcal{O}_{k_v} ,

(6.1)
\n
$$
T_{x'_{0}, \mathcal{O}_{k_{v}}} \xrightarrow{\text{Nm}_{\mathcal{O}_{K_{v}}/\mathcal{O}_{k_{v}}}} \mathbb{G}_{m, \mathcal{O}_{k_{v}}} \qquad (6.1)
$$
\n
$$
\downarrow id
$$
\n
$$
GL_{n, \mathcal{O}_{k_{v}}} \xrightarrow{\det} \mathbb{G}_{m, \mathcal{O}_{k_{v}}}.
$$

Here the first horizontal morphism $Nm_{\mathcal{O}_{K_v}/\mathcal{O}_{k_v}}$ is smooth over \mathcal{O}_{k_v} since K_v/k_v is unramified. On the other hand, the second horizontal morphism det is also smooth over \mathcal{O}_{k_v} since its differential $d(\det) = \text{tr} : \mathfrak{gl}_{n, \mathcal{O}_{k_v}}(\mathcal{O}_{k_v}) \to \mathbb{G}_{a, \mathcal{O}_{k_v}}(\mathcal{O}_{k_v})$ is surjective.

We first claim that there exists a translation-invariant gauge form $\omega_{\mathbb{G}_m}$ on $\mathbb{G}_m \cong SL_n\backslash GL_n \cong$ $S_{x'_0}\backslash T_{x'_0}$ satisfying the following two equations, up to multiplication of a unit in \mathcal{O}_{k_v} ,

$$
\omega_{\mathrm{GL}_n}^{can} = \omega_{\mathbb{G}_m} \cdot \omega_{\mathrm{SL}_n}^{can} \text{ and } \omega_{\mathrm{T}_{x'_0}}^{can} = \omega'_{\mathbb{G}_m} \cdot \omega_{\mathrm{S}_{x'_0}}^{can}.
$$

Since $\omega_{\mathrm{SL}_n}^{can}$ generates an \mathcal{O}_{k_v} -module $\text{Hom}(\bigwedge^{n^2-1} \mathfrak{sl}_{n,\mathcal{O}_{k_v}}(\mathcal{O}_{k_v}), \mathcal{O}_{k_v}),$ its lifting $\widetilde{\omega}_{\mathrm{SL}_n}^{can}$ on GL_n (cf. Definition [3.2\)](#page-12-3), which is in $\text{Hom}(\bigwedge^{n^2-1} \mathfrak{gl}_{n,\mathcal{O}_{k_v}}(\mathcal{O}_{k_v}), \mathcal{O}_{k_v})$, does not vanish under the modulo π_v reduction. Since $\omega_{\text{GL}_n}^{can}$ generates an \mathcal{O}_{k_v} -module $\text{Hom}(\bigwedge^{n^2} \mathfrak{gl}_{n,\mathcal{O}_{k_v}}(\mathcal{O}_{k_v}), \mathcal{O}_{k_v})$ and $\text{det}: \text{GL}_{n,\mathcal{O}_{k_v}} \to$ $\mathbb{G}_{m,\mathcal{O}_{k_v}}$ is smooth over \mathcal{O}_{k_v} , a translation-invariant volume form $\omega_{\mathbb{G}_m}$ on \mathbb{G}_m , such that $\omega_{\text{GL}_n}^{can}$ $\det^* \omega_{\mathbb{S}_{m}} \wedge \tilde{\omega}_{\mathrm{SL}_n}^{can}$, has good reduction (mod π). Since $\text{Nm}_{\mathcal{O}_{K_v}/\mathcal{O}_{k_v}} : T_{x'_0, \mathcal{O}_{k_v}} \to \mathbb{G}_{m, \mathcal{O}_{k_v}}$ is smooth over \mathcal{O}_{k_v} , a translation-invariant gauge form $\omega'_{\mathbb{G}_m}$ such that $\omega^{can}_{\mathbb{T}^{r'_0}} = (\text{Nm}_{\mathcal{O}_{K_v}/\mathcal{O}_{k_v}})^* \omega'_{\mathbb{G}_m} \wedge \tilde{\omega}^{can}_{\mathbb{S}^{r'_0}}$ also has good reduction (mod π) by the same argument. By [\[Gro97,](#page-43-14) p. 293], $\omega'_{\mathbb{G}_m}$ differs from $\omega_{\mathbb{G}_m}$ up to multiplication of a unit in \mathcal{O}_{k_v} . This yields the claim.

Now we denote a lifting of a volume form ω on $S_{x'_0}, T_{x'_0}$, or SL_n in the sense of Definition [3.2,](#page-12-3) along the embedding into GL_n , by $\tilde{\omega}^{GL_n}$. Since $\omega_{GL_n}^{can} = \omega_X^{can} \cdot \omega_{T_{x'_0}}^{can}$, we have

$$
\omega_{\mathrm{GL}_n}^{can} = \varphi_{\mathrm{GL}_n}^* \omega_X^{can} \wedge \tilde{\omega}_{\mathrm{T}_{x'_0}}^{can,\mathrm{GL}_n},
$$

where $\varphi_{\text{GL}_n} : \text{GL}_n \to X$ represents the mapping defined by $g \to g^{-1}x'_0g$. The above claim yields that

(6.2)
$$
\det^* \omega_{\mathbb{G}_m} \wedge \tilde{\omega}_{\mathrm{SL}_n}^{can, \mathrm{GL}_n} = \varphi_{\mathrm{GL}_n}^* \omega_X^{can} \wedge \tilde{\omega}_{\mathrm{T}_{x'_0}}^{can, \mathrm{GL}_n},
$$

where $\tilde{\omega}_{\mathrm{T}}^{can,\mathrm{GL}_n}$ $T_{x'_{0}}^{can, GL_n}$ is equal to a lifting of $\text{Nm}^*_{\mathcal{O}_{K_v}/\mathcal{O}_{k_v}} \omega_{\mathbb{G}_m} \wedge \tilde{\omega}_{S_{x'_{0}}}^{can}$, along $T_{x'_{0}} \hookrightarrow GL_n$. Since the right 0 and \overline{w} and \overline{w} hand side of [\(6.2\)](#page-30-0) is independent of the choice of a lifting $\tilde{\omega}_{\text{T}}^{can,\text{GL}_n}$ $T_{T'_{0}}^{can, GL_n}$ (cf. Definition [3.2\)](#page-12-3), we choose it to be the exterior product of liftings of $\mathrm{Nm}^*_{\mathcal{O}_{K_v}/\mathcal{O}_{k_v}} \omega_{\mathbb{G}_m}$ and $\tilde{\omega}^{can,\mathrm{GL}_n}_{\mathcal{S}_{x'_h}}$ $S_{\mathbf{x}_0'}^{can,\text{GL}_n}$ on GL_n . By the commutative diagram [\(6.1\)](#page-30-1), det^{*} $\omega_{\mathbb{G}_m}$ is a lifting of $\text{Nm}^*_{\mathcal{O}_{K_v}/\mathcal{O}_{k_v}}\omega_{\mathbb{G}_m}$ along $\text{T}_{x'_0}\hookrightarrow \text{GL}_n$. We then have

$$
\operatorname{det}^* \omega_{\mathbb{G}_m} \wedge \tilde{\omega}_{\operatorname{SL}_n}^{can, \operatorname{GL}_n} = \varphi_{\operatorname{GL}_n}^* \omega_X^{can} \wedge \operatorname{det}^* \omega_{\mathbb{G}_m} \wedge \tilde{\omega}_{\operatorname{S}_{x'_0}}^{can, \operatorname{GL}_n}.
$$

Therefore the $(n^2 - 1)$ -form $\tilde{\omega}^{can, GL_n}_{SL_n}$ $\mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathrm{GL}_n \to \varphi_{\mathrm{GL}_n}^* \omega_X^{can} \wedge \tilde{\omega}_{\mathrm{S}_{x_\circ}^{r_\circ}}^{can,\mathrm{GL}_n}$ $S_{x'_{0}}^{can,\text{GL}_{n}}$ vanishes under the exterior product with det^{*} $\omega_{\mathbb{G}_m}$. Since det^{*} $\omega_{\mathbb{G}_m}$ is of degree 1, there exists an (n^2-2) -differential form ω' on GL_n such that

$$
\tilde{\omega}_{\mathrm{SL}_n}^{can,\mathrm{GL}_n} + \varphi_{\mathrm{GL}_n}^* \omega_X^{can} \wedge \tilde{\omega}_{\mathrm{S}_{x'_0}}^{can,\mathrm{GL}_n} = \mathrm{det}^* \omega_{\mathbb{G}_m} \wedge \omega'.
$$

Restricting this equation on SL_n , by plugging det = 1 with $d(\det) = 0$, we then have the following equation up to sign

$$
\omega_{\mathrm{SL}_n}^{can} = \varphi_{\mathrm{SL}_n}^* \omega_X^{can} \wedge \tilde{\omega}_{\mathrm{S}_{x_0'}^{can},\mathrm{SL}_n}^{can, \mathrm{SL}_n}
$$

,

where $\varphi_{SL_n} : SL_n \to X$ represents the mapping defined by $g \mapsto g^{-1}x'_0g$. This yields that $|\omega_{X_{k_v}}^{can}|_v =$ $|\omega_{X_{k_v},x'_0}^{can}|_v$ on $S_{x'_0}(k_v)\backslash SL_n(k_v) \cong x'_0 \cdot SL_n(k_v)$ by Proposition [3.3.](#page-13-2)

6.2. Computation using the Langlands-Shelstad fundamental lemma. The Langlands-Shelstad fundamental lemma, stated in $Ng frm|o|-0$, Theorem 1, represents the equation between two orbital integrals encoded by an endoscopic data (cf. [\[Hal05,](#page-43-18) Section 2-3] and [\[Kot84,](#page-43-19) Section 7.1]). We find that the evaluation $\tilde{\xi}_v$ on $X(\mathcal{O}_{k_v})$ is related to an endoscopic data for SL_n , and one side of the fundamental lemma for \mathfrak{sl}_n coincides with the integration of $\tilde{\xi}_v$ on $X(\mathcal{O}_{k_v})$.

In Section [6.2.1,](#page-31-1) we will provide the explicit relation between the evaluation ξ_v and an endoscopic data for SL_n , and demonstrate that S_{x_0,k_v} is an endoscopic group associated with the endoscopic data derived from ξ_v . This connection provides the information on the other side of the fundamental lemma for \mathfrak{sl}_n . Using this argument, in Section [6.2.2,](#page-33-1) we will formulate the integration of ξ_v on $X(\mathcal{O}_{k_v}).$

6.2.1. *Endoscopic data and endoscopic group for* SL_n . Since SL_{n,k_v} is a split group over k_v , we use the definition for the endoscopic data and the endoscopic group, in terms of the root data following [\[Hal05,](#page-43-18) Section 2-3].

Definition 6.3 ([\[Hal05,](#page-43-18) Section 2]). Let G be a reductive group over k_v . If G is a quasi-split group which splits over an unramified extension over k_v , then G is said to be an unramified reductive group.

An unramified reductive group G is classified by the following root data

$$
(X^*(T), X_*(T), \Phi_G, \Phi_G^{\vee}, \sigma_G)
$$

where $\sqrt{ }$

 $\Bigg\}$

X∗ (T) *is the character group of a Cartan subgroup* T *of* G; $X_*(T)$ *is the cocharacter group of a Cartan subgroup* T *of* G ; Φ^G *is the set of roots*;

 Φ_G^{\vee} *is the set of coroots*;

 $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$ σ_G *is an automorphism of finite order of* $X^*(T)$ *sending a set of simple roots in* Φ_G *to itself.*

In general, σ_G is obtained from the action on $X^*(T)$ induced from the Frobenius automorphism of $Gal(k_v^{un}/k_v)$ on the maximally split Cartan subgroup in G. Here, k_v^{un} is the maximal unramified extension over k_v , in a fixed algebraically closure \overline{k}_v of k_v containing \overline{k} .

Definition 6.4 ([\[Kot84,](#page-43-19) Section 7.1] and [\[Hal05,](#page-43-18) Section 3]). Let G be an unramified reductive group over k_v with the root data $(X^*(T), X_*(T), \Phi_G, \Phi_G^{\vee}, \sigma_G)$. H is an endoscopic group of G if it is an unramified reductive group over k_v whose classifying data has the form

$$
(X^*(T), X_*(T), \Phi_H, \Phi_H^{\vee}, \sigma_H).
$$

The data for H is subject to the constraints that there exists an element $\kappa \in \text{Hom}(X_*(T), \mathbb{C}^\times)$ and a Weyl group element $w \in W(\Phi_G)$ such that $\Phi_H^{\vee} = {\alpha \in \Phi_G^{\vee} \mid \kappa(\alpha) = 1}, \sigma_H = w \circ \sigma_G$, and $\sigma_H(\kappa) = \kappa.$

Now, we return to our context, plugging $G = SL_{n,k_v}$ and $T = S_{x_0,k_v}$. The following two lemmas explain an endoscopic data of SL_{n,k_v} associated with the evaluation $\tilde{\xi}_v$ and the corresponding endoscopic group.

Lemma 6.5. *The following morphism* $\kappa_{\tilde{\xi}_v}$ *is a character of* $H^1(k_v, S_{x_0,k_v})$ *,*

 $\kappa_{\tilde{\xi}_v} : \mathrm{H}^1(k_v, \mathcal{S}_{x_0, k_v}) \to \mathbb{C}^{\times}, \ z_{x'_0} \mapsto \tilde{\xi}_v(x'_0)$

where $x'_0 \in \mathcal{R}_{x_0,v}$ *and* $z_{x'_0} \in H^1(k_v, S_{x_0,k_v})$ *are in Definition [2.6.](#page-11-2)*

Proof. By Proposition [2.4](#page-11-1) and Corollary [5.3,](#page-21-1) the morphism $\kappa_{\tilde{\xi}_v}$ is well-defined and independent of the choice of $\mathcal{R}_{x_0,v}$. For $g_{x'_0} \in GL_n(k_v)$ such that $g_{x'_0}^{-1} x_0 g_{x'_0} = x'_0$, we have

$$
\kappa_{\tilde{\xi}_v}(z_{x'_0}) = \tilde{\xi}_v(x'_0) = \exp 2\pi i \Psi(\xi) (\phi_{K_v/k_v}(\det g_{x'_0})) = \exp 2\pi i \Psi(\xi) (\phi_{K_v/k_v}(\delta_1^{-1}(z_{x'_0})))
$$

by Proposition [5.2,](#page-19-0) where the notations Ψ and ϕ_{K_v/k_v} are defined in loc. cit. and δ_1 is defined in the diagram [\(5.4\)](#page-21-2). Since the morphisms \exp , $\Psi(\xi)$, ϕ_{K_v/k_v} , and δ_1^{-1} are group homomorphisms, the above equation concludes the desired result.

By the Tate-Nakayama isomorphism (cf. [\[Lab08,](#page-43-20) Theorem 6.5.1]) for S_{x_0,k_v} , we can identify the character κ of $\mathrm{H}^{1}(k_v, \mathrm{S}_{x_0,k_v})$ as an element in $\mathrm{Hom}(X_*(\mathrm{S}_{x_0,k_v}), \mathbb{C}^{\times})$. Since $\mathrm{S}_{x_0,k_v} \cong \mathrm{R}_{K_v}^{(1)}$ $\mathcal{F}_{K_v/k_v}^{(1)}(\mathbb{G}_{m,K_v})$ by Section [6.1.1,](#page-28-1) we have

$$
S_{x_0,K_v} \cong \mathbb{G}_{m,K_v}^{n-1} \text{ and } X_*(S_{x_0,k_v}) \cong (\mathbb{Z}^n)_{\Sigma=0}
$$

where $(\mathbb{Z}^n)_{\Sigma=0} = \{(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid \sum_i z_i = 0\}$. Here, the action of $\Lambda_v = \text{Gal}(K_v/k_v) \cong \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ on $X_*(\mathcal{S}_{x_0,k_v})$, induced from that on \mathcal{S}_{x_0,K_v} , is given by cyclic permutations of the factors of $(\mathbb{Z}^n)_{\Sigma=0}$. On the other hand, by $[Lab08,$ Proposition 6.5.2], we have the following isomorphism

$$
\ker(N_{\Lambda_v})/I_{\Lambda_v}X_*(\mathcal{S}_{x_0,k_v}) \cong \mathrm{H}^1(k_v,\mathcal{S}_{x_0,k_v})
$$

where

$$
\begin{cases} N_{\Lambda_v} : X_*(\mathcal{S}_{x_0,k_v}) \to X_*(\mathcal{S}_{x_0,k_v}), \ x \mapsto \sum_{\sigma \in \Lambda_v} \sigma(x); \\ I_{\Lambda_v} := \{ \tau = \sum_{\sigma \in \Lambda_v} n_{\sigma} \sigma \mid \sum_{\sigma \in \Lambda_v} n_{\sigma} = 0 \}. \end{cases}
$$

Since N_{Λ_v} is a trivial morphism in $X_*(S_{x_0,k_v}) \cong (\mathbb{Z}^n)_{\Sigma=0}$, the following composition is surjective

$$
X_*(S_{x_0,k_v}) \to X_*(S_{x_0,k_v})/I_{\Lambda_v} X_*(S_{x_0,k_v}) \cong H^1(k_v, S_{x_0,k_v}).
$$

Hence, a character κ on $H^1(k_v, S_{x_0,k_v})$ assigns an element in $Hom(X_*(S_{x_0,k_v}), \mathbb{C}^\times)$.

Lemma 6.6. *Under the above identifiaction of* $\kappa_{\tilde{\xi}_v}$ *as an element in* $\text{Hom}(X_*(S_{x_0,k_v}), \mathbb{C}^\times)$ *, an* $unramified\;reductive\;group\ S_{x_0,k_v}\;is\;an\;endoscopic\;group\;of\ SL_{n,k_v},\;associated\;with\; \kappa_{\tilde{\xi}_v}\;(cf.\;Definition$ *[6.4\)](#page-32-0).*

Proof. Let $\sigma_{S_{x_0,k_v}}$ be the action on $X^*(S_{x_0,k_v})$ induced from the Frobenius automorphism of $Gal(K_v/k_v)$ on S_{x_0,K_v} . We claim that there exists an element w of Weyl group for $\Phi_{SL_{n,k_v}}$ such that $\sigma_{S_{x_0,k_v}} =$ $w \circ \sigma_{SL_{n,k_v}}$ and $\sigma_{S_{x_0,k_v}}(\kappa_{\tilde{\xi}_v}) = \kappa_{\tilde{\xi}_v}$. Since SL_{n,k_v} is split over k_v , we have $\sigma_{SL_{n,k_v}} = id$. The Weyl group $W(\Phi_{\mathrm{SL}_{n,k_v}})$ is isomorphic to the symmetric group S_n which acts on $X_*(S_{x_0,k_v}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{\Sigma=0}^n$ by permuting the factors. Thus we can find $w \in S_n$ such that $\sigma_{S_{x_0,k_v}} = w \circ \sigma_{SL_{n,k_v}} = w$. Indeed, $\sigma_{S_{x_0,k_v}}$ acts on $X_*(S_{x_0,k_v}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{\Sigma=0}^n$ by a cyclic permuation of the factors. On the other hand, since $id - \sigma_{S_{x_0,k_v}} \in I_{\Lambda_v}$, we have $\sigma_{S_{x_0,k_v}}(\kappa_{\tilde{\xi}_v}) = \kappa_{\tilde{\xi}_v}$.

We now verify that

$$
(X^*(\mathcal{S}_{x_0,k_v}), X_*(\mathcal{S}_{x_0,k_v}), \Phi_H, \Phi_H^{\vee}, \sigma_{\mathcal{S}_{x_0,k_v}})
$$

is the root data classifying an unramified reductive group S_{x_0,k_v} , where $\Phi_H^{\vee} = \{ \alpha \in \Phi_{SL_{n,k_v}}^{\vee} \mid$ $\kappa_{\tilde{\xi}_v}(\alpha) = 1$ and Φ_H is its dual set. Since the evaluation $\tilde{\xi}_v$ is non-trivial, $\kappa_{\tilde{\xi}_v}$ is also non-trivial by Lemma [6.5.](#page-32-1) Moreover since $H^1(k_v, S_{x_0,k_v}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ and n is a prime number, the induced morphism in Hom $(X_*(S_{x_0,k_v}),\mathbb{C}^\times)$ from $\kappa_{\tilde{\xi}_v}$, via the above identification, maps $\epsilon_{1,2} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\Sigma=0} \cong X_*(S_{x_0,k_v})$ to a non-trivial *n*-th root of unity ζ_n in $S^1 \subset \mathbb{C}^\times$ where $\epsilon_{i,j} = (\{0, \dots, 0, 1\})$ $\underbrace{\qquad \qquad }_{i}$ $, 0, \cdots, 0, -1$ ${y-i}$ $, 0, \cdots, 0$ $\overline{n-j}$). Indeed,

 $\epsilon_{1,2}$ represents a non-trivial element in $X_*(S_{x_0,k_v})/I_{\Lambda_v}X_*(S_{x_0,k_v})$. For any coroot $\epsilon_{i,j} \in \Phi_{SL_{n,k_v}}^{\vee}$ where $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, we then have

(6.3)
$$
\kappa_{\tilde{\xi}_v}(\epsilon_{i,j}) = \kappa_{\tilde{\xi}_v}(\sum_{k=0}^{j-i-1} \sigma^{i+k-1}(\epsilon_{1,2})) = \prod_{k=0}^{j-i-1} \kappa_{\tilde{\xi}_v}(\sigma^{i+k-1}(\epsilon_{1,2})) = \zeta_n^{j-i},
$$

where $\sigma \in \Lambda_v$ such that $\sigma((\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)) = (\alpha_n, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1})$. Here, the last equality in [\(6.3\)](#page-33-2) follows from the fact that $id - \sigma^{i+k-1} \in I_{\Lambda_v}$. Since $1 \leq j - i \leq n$, we then have

$$
\Phi_{\mathcal{S}_{x_0,k_v}}^{\vee} = \{ \alpha \in \Phi_{\mathrm{SL}_{n,k_v}}^{\vee} \mid \kappa_{\tilde{\xi}_v}(\alpha) = 1 \} = \emptyset,
$$

and the dual set $\Phi_{\mathrm{S}_{x_0,k_v}}$ is also empty. In conculusion, the root data $(X^*(\mathrm{S}_{x_0,k_v}), X_*(\mathrm{S}_{x_0,k_v}), \emptyset, \emptyset, \sigma_{\mathrm{S}_{x_0,k_v}})$ corresponds to S_{x_0,k_v} . .

6.2.2. *Computation.* We first introduce one lemma which will be used in our main formulation.

Lemma 6.7. For $c \in \mathcal{O}_k$, let X_c be the closed subscheme of M_{n,\mathcal{O}_k} representing the set of $n \times n$ *matrices whose characteristic polynomial is* $\chi(x-c)$ *.*

(1) Then, X_c *is a homogeneous space of* GL_n *(resp.* SL_n *), in the sence of Section [2.1](#page-8-1) with a point* $x_c := x_0 + cI_n$ *, and we have the following isomorphism*

$$
X_c \cong T_{x_c} \backslash GL_n \ (resp. \ X_c \cong S_{x_c} \backslash SL_n),
$$

where T_{x_c} (resp. S_{x_c}) is the stabilizer of x_c under the GL_n -action (resp. the SL_n -action) on X*.*

(2) There is an isomorphism Br $X \cong \text{Br } X_c$, $\xi \mapsto \xi_c$ *such that* $\tilde{\xi}_v(x) = \tilde{\xi}_{c,v}(x + cI_n)$ *and the following equation holds*

$$
\int_{X(\mathcal{O}_{k_v})} \tilde{\xi}_v(x) \, |\omega_{X_{k_v}}^{can}|_v = \int_{X_c(\mathcal{O}_{k_v})} \tilde{\xi}_{c,v}(x) \, |\omega_{X_{c,k_v}}^{can}|_v.
$$

Proof. Since the map $\iota_c: X \to X_c, x \mapsto x + cI_n$ is an isomorphism which is compatible with conjugation of GL_n (resp. SL_n), we obtain the first argument from the homogeneous space structure of X with a point x_0 , in Proposition [2.1.](#page-8-2) This directly yields the following commutative diagram.

$$
X_{k_v} \xrightarrow{\iota_c} X_{c,k_v}
$$

\n
$$
\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow
$$

\n
$$
T_{x_0,k_v} \setminus GL_{n,k_v} \xrightarrow{\text{id}} T_{x_c,k_v} \setminus GL_{n,k_v}.
$$

Here, $T_{x_0,k_v} = T_{x_c,k_v}$. Therefore the measure $|\omega_{X_{k_v}}^{can}|_v$ transports to the measure $|\omega_{X_{c,k_v}}^{can}|_v$ along the isomorphism $\iota_c(k_v) : X(k_v) \to X_c(k_v)$, according to Section [3.2.2.](#page-14-1)

On the other hand, applying the functor $Br = H_{\acute{e}t}^2(-,\mathbb{G}_m)$ on the isomorphism ι_c (cf. Definition [4.2\)](#page-17-3), we have the induced isomorphism $Br(\iota_c)$: $Br X_c \xrightarrow{\sim} Br X$. We then have the following commutative diagram for $x \in X(k_v)$,

$$
\text{Br } X_c \xrightarrow{\text{Br}(x+cI_n)} \text{Br } k_v
$$
\n
$$
\downarrow^{\text{Br}(t_c)} \qquad \qquad \downarrow id
$$
\n
$$
\text{Br } X \xrightarrow{\text{Br}(x)} \text{Br } k_v \xrightarrow{\text{inv}} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{x \to \exp(2\pi ix)} \mathbb{C}^{\times}.
$$

For $\xi \in \text{Br } X$, this yields that $\xi_v(x) = \xi_{c,v}(x + cI_n)$ where $\xi_c := \text{Br}(\iota_c)^{-1}\xi$. By Definition [4.5,](#page-17-2) we have

$$
\tilde{\xi}_v(x) = \frac{\xi_v(x)}{\xi_v(x_0)} = \frac{\xi_{c,v}(x + cI_n)}{\xi_{c,v}(x_c)} = \tilde{\xi}_{c,v}(x + cI_n) = \tilde{\xi}_{c,v}(\iota_c(k_v)(x))
$$

for $x \in X(k_v)$. Since the measure $|\omega^{can}_{X_{c,k_v}}|_v$ and the evaluation $\tilde{\xi}_{c,v}$ on $X_c(k_v)$ are compatible with the measure $|\omega_{X_{k_v}}^{can}|_v$ and the evaluation $\tilde{\xi}_v$ on $X(k_v)$ along the isomorphism $\iota_c: X_{k_v} \to X_{c,k_v}$, we conclude that

$$
\int_{X_c(\mathcal{O}_{k_v})} \tilde{\xi}_{c,v}(x) \, |\omega_{X_{c,k_v}}^{can}|_v = \int_{X(\mathcal{O}_{k_v})} \tilde{\xi}_{c,v}(x+cI_n) \, |\omega_{X_{k_v}}^{can}|_v = \int_{X(\mathcal{O}_{k_v})} \tilde{\xi}_v(x) \, |\omega_{X_{k_v}}^{can}|_v.
$$

Proposition 6.8. *Suppose that* K_v/k_v *is an unramified Galois extension of prime degree n. For* $\xi \in \text{Br}(X)$ whose evaluation $\tilde{\xi}_v$ *is non-trivial, we have*

$$
\int_{X(\mathcal{O}_{k_v})} \tilde{\xi}_v(x) \, |\omega_{X_{k_v}}^{can}|_v = q_v^{\frac{\text{ord}_v(\Delta_X)}{2}} \frac{\# \text{SL}_{n,\mathcal{O}_{k_v}}(\kappa_v) \cdot q_v^{-(n^2-1)}}{\# \text{S}_{x_0,\mathcal{O}_{k_v}}(\kappa_v) \cdot q_v^{-(n-1)}},
$$

where $char(\kappa_v) > n$.

Proof. Since char $(\kappa_v) > n$, we can always choose $c \in \mathcal{O}_k$ for x_0 such that $x_c := x_0 + cI_n \in$ $\mathfrak{sl}_{n,\mathcal{O}_{k_v}}(\mathcal{O}_{k_v})$. Accordingly, we can take $\mathcal{R}_{x_c,v}$ to be $\{x'_0+cI_n\mid x'_0\in\mathcal{R}_{x_0,v}\}\subset\mathfrak{sl}_{n,\mathcal{O}_{k_v}}(\mathcal{O}_{k_v})$ as well. Since the discriminant Δ_{χ} is invaraint under the constant translation on $\chi(x)$ and $S_{x_0,k_v} = S_{x_c,k_v}$, we may and do assume that $x_0 \in \mathfrak{sl}_{n,\mathcal{O}_{k_v}}(\mathcal{O}_{k_v})$ with $\mathcal{R}_{x_0,v} \subset \mathfrak{sl}_{n,\mathcal{O}_{k_v}}(\mathcal{O}_{k_v})$ by Lemma [6.7.](#page-33-3)

By Lemma [5.3](#page-21-1) and Lemma [6.5,](#page-32-1) we have the folowing equation where $z_{x_0'} \in H^1(k_v, S_{x_0,k_v})$ which corresponds to $x'_0 \in \mathcal{R}_{x_0,v}$ (cf. Definition [2.6\)](#page-11-2),

$$
(6.4) \qquad \int_{X(\mathcal{O}_{k_v})} \tilde{\xi}_v(x) \, |\omega_{X_{k_v}}^{can}|_v = \sum_{x'_0 \in \mathcal{R}_{x_0,v}} \kappa_{\tilde{\xi}_v}(z_{x'_0}) \cdot \int_{\left(S_{x'_0}(k_v)\setminus SL_n(k_v)\right) \cap X(\mathcal{O}_{k_v})} |\omega_{X_{k_v}}^{can}|_v,
$$

with the identification $x'_0 \cdot SL_n(k_v) \cong S_{x'_0}(k_v) \setminus SL_n(k_v)$ in Remark [2.7.](#page-11-3) By the similar argument in the proof of Proposition [5.9](#page-26-1) and the assumption that $\mathcal{R}_{x_0,v} \subset \mathfrak{sl}_{n,\mathcal{O}_{k_v}}(\mathcal{O}_{k_v})$, we have

$$
\int_{\left(S_{x'_0}(k_v)\backslash \mathrm{SL}_n(k_v)\right)\cap X(\mathcal{O}_{k_v})} |\omega_{X_{k_v}}^{can}|_v = \int_{S_{x'_0}(k_v)\backslash \mathrm{SL}_n(k_v)} \mathbb{1}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{n,\mathcal{O}_{k_v}}(\mathcal{O}_{k_v})}(g^{-1}x'_0g) |\omega_{X_{k_v}}^{can}|_v.
$$

Combining the above two equations, we have

$$
(6.5) \qquad \int_{X(\mathcal{O}_{k_v})} \tilde{\xi}_v(x) \, |\omega_{X_{k_v}}^{can}|_v = \sum_{x_0' \in \mathcal{R}_{x_0,v}} \kappa_{\tilde{\xi}_v}(z_{x_0'}) \int_{S_{x_0'}(k_v)\backslash SL_n(k_v)} \mathbb{1}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{n,\mathcal{O}_{k_v}}(\mathcal{O}_{k_v})}(g^{-1}x_0'g) \, |\omega_{X_{k_v}}^{can}|_v,
$$

for $x_0 \in \mathfrak{sl}_{n,\mathcal{O}_{k_v}}(\mathcal{O}_{k_v}).$

To compute the right hand side of (6.5) , we apply the formula in $[Ngfrm]o[-0,$ Theorem 1 by plugging $G = SL_{n,k_v}$, $H = S_{x_0,k_v}$, and $\kappa = \kappa_{\tilde{\xi}_v}$ according to Lemma [6.6.](#page-33-0) We note that the condition char $(\kappa_v) > n$ is necessary to apply this formula. For the measure ds_v on $S_{x_0}(k_v)$ such that $vol(ds_v, S_{x_0, \mathcal{O}_{k_v}}(\mathcal{O}_{k_v})) = 1$, we have

$$
(6.6)\ \ \mathcal{O}_{a(x_0)}^{\kappa_{\tilde{\xi}_v}}(\mathbb{1}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{n,\mathcal{O}_{k_v}}(\mathcal{O}_{k_v})},ds_v)=q_v^{\frac{\mathrm{ord}(\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{SL}_{n,k_v}}(a(x_0)))-\mathrm{ord}(\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{S}_{x_0,k_v}}(a(x_0,H)))}{2}}\cdot \mathcal{SO}_{a(x_{0,H})}(\mathbb{1}_{\mathfrak{s}_{x_0,\mathcal{O}_{k_v}}(\mathcal{O}_{k_v})},ds_v).
$$

We explain the notations in the following $(1)-(3)$, and further compute the right hand side of (6.6) in $(2)-(3)$.

(1) The $\kappa_{\tilde{\xi}_v}$ -orbital integral $\mathcal{O}_{a(x)}^{\kappa_{\tilde{\xi}_v}}$ $a_{a(x_0)}^{x_{\xi_v}}(1_{\mathfrak{sl}_{n,\mathcal{O}_{k_v}}(\mathcal{O}_{k_v})},ds_v)$ in the left hand side of (6.6) is formulated as follows

$$
\mathcal{O}_{a(x_0)}^{\kappa_{\tilde{\xi}_v}}(\mathbb{1}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{n,\mathcal{O}_{k_v}}}(\mathcal{O}_{k_v}),ds_v)=\sum_{x_0'\in\mathcal{R}_{x_0,v}}\kappa_{\tilde{\xi}_v}(z_{x_0'})\int_{\mathcal{S}_{x_0'}(k_v)\backslash\mathrm{SL}_n(k_v)}\mathbb{1}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{n,\mathcal{O}_{k_v}}}(\mathcal{O}_{k_v})({g^{-1}}x_0'g)\;\frac{dh_v}{ds_v'},
$$

where $a(x_0)$ is the stable conjugacy class of x_0 and the measure $\frac{dh_v}{ds'_v}$ is defined in Lemma [6.1.](#page-29-0)

(2) We denote the Lie algebra of S_{x_0,k_v} by \mathfrak{s}_{x_0,k_v} , and correspondingly the Lie algebra of $S_{x_0,\mathcal{O}_{k_v}}$ by $\mathfrak{s}_{x_0,\mathcal{O}_{k_v}}$. Let $x_{0,H}$ be an element in $\mathfrak{s}_{x_0,\mathcal{O}_{k_v}}(\mathcal{O}_{k_v})$, whose stable conjugacy class $a(x_{0,H})$ transfers to $a(x_0)$ via the map given by [\[Ngfrm\[o\]–0,](#page-44-2) Section 1.9]. By [Ngfrm[o]–0, Lemma 1.4.3 and Lemma 1.9.2, the centralizer of $x_{0,H}$ in S_{x_0,k_v} coincides with S_{x_0,k_v} . Then the stable orbital integral $\mathcal{SO}_{a(x_{0},H)}(\mathbb{1}_{\mathfrak{s}_{x_{0},\mathcal{O}_{k_{v}}}(\mathcal{O}_{k_{v}})},ds_{v})$ in the right hand side of (6.6) is formulated

as follows

$$
\mathcal{SO}_{a(x_{0},H)}(\mathbb{1}_{\mathfrak{s}_{x_{0},\mathcal{O}_{k_{v}}}(\mathcal{O}_{k_{v}})},ds_{v})=\int_{\mathrm{S}_{x_{0}}(k_{v})\backslash\mathrm{S}_{x_{0}}(k_{v})}\mathbb{1}_{\mathfrak{s}_{x_{0},\mathcal{O}_{k_{v}}}(\mathcal{O}_{k_{v}})}(g^{-1}x_{0,H}g)\frac{ds_{v}}{ds_{v}},
$$

where $a(x_{0,H})$ is the stable conjugacy class of $x_{0,H}$. Indeed, the adjoint action of S_{x_0,k_v} on \mathfrak{s}_{x_0,k_v} is trivial and so $a(x_{0,H}) = \{x_{0,H}\}.$ Therefore we have

$$
\mathcal{SO}_{a(x_{0,H})}(1_{\mathfrak{s}_{x_{0},\mathcal{O}_{k_{v}}}(\mathcal{O}_{k_{v}})},ds_{v})=1.
$$

(3) In the right hand side of [\(6.6\)](#page-35-1), $\mathcal{D}_{SL_{n,k_v}}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{S_{x_0,k_v}}$ are discriminant functions of SL_{n,k_v} and S_{x_0,k_v} defined in [Ngfrm[o]-0, Section 1.10], respectively. Since S_{x_0,k_v} is torus, $\mathcal{D}_{S_{x_0,k_v}}$ is trivial and so

$$
\mathrm{ord}_v(\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{S}_{x_0,k_v}}(a(x_{0,H})))=0.
$$

On the other hand, by [\[Gor22,](#page-43-21) (20) and Example 3.6], we have

$$
\mathrm{ord}_v(\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{SL}_{n,k_v}}(a(x_0))) = \mathrm{ord}_v(\Delta_\chi).
$$

To sum up, we have

$$
\sum_{x'_0 \in \mathcal{R}_{x_0,v}} \kappa_{\tilde{\xi}_v}(z_{x'_0}) \int_{\mathcal{S}_{x'_0}(k_v) \backslash \mathrm{SL}_n(k_v)} \mathbb{1}_{\mathfrak{sl}_n, \mathcal{O}_{k_v}}(\mathcal{O}_{k_v}) (g^{-1}x_0 g) \frac{dh_v}{ds'_v} = q_v^{\frac{\mathrm{ord}_v(\Delta_\chi)}{2}}.
$$

Finally, by the measure difference between $\frac{dh_v}{ds'_v}$ and $|\omega_{X_{k_v}}^{can}|_v$ obtained in Lemma [6.1-](#page-29-0)[6.2,](#page-29-1) we obtain the desired conclusion. \square

7. Main Result

We provide our main theorem on the asymptotic formula for $N(X,T)$ based on the results in Section [5-](#page-18-0)[6.](#page-28-2) We note that the product $\prod_{v \in \Omega_k \setminus \infty_k} \mathcal{SO}_v(\chi)$ which appears in the following theorem is a finite product by Remark [1.6.](#page-6-0)

Theorem 7.1. Let k be a number field and $\chi(x) \in \mathcal{O}_k[x]$ be an irreducible monic polynomial *of degree* n. Let X be an \mathcal{O}_k -scheme representing the set of $n \times n$ matrices whose characteristic *polynomial is* $\chi(x)$ *. We define*

$$
N(X, T) = \# \{ x \in X(\mathcal{O}_k) \mid ||x|| \le T \},\
$$

for $T > 0$ *, where the norm* $\|\cdot\|$ *is defined in* [\(1.1\)](#page-1-3)*.*

Suppose that k and $K = k[x]/(\chi(x))$ are totally real, and if $k \neq \mathbb{Q}$, we further assume that n is *a prime number. Then we have the following asymptotic formulas.*

(1) If K/k *is not Galois or ramified Galois, then*

$$
N(X,T) \sim C_T \prod_{v \in \Omega_k \setminus \infty_k} \frac{\mathcal{SO}_v(\chi)}{q_v^{S_v(\chi)}}.
$$

(2) If K/k *is unramified Galois and splits over all p-adic places for* $p \leq n$ *, then*

$$
N(X,T) \sim C_T \left(\prod_{v \in \Omega_k \setminus \infty_k} \frac{\mathcal{SO}_v(\chi)}{q_v^{S_v(\chi)}} + n - 1 \right).
$$

Here, C_T *is formulated as follows*

$$
C_T = |\Delta_k|^{\frac{-n^2+n}{2}} \frac{R_K h_K \sqrt{|\Delta_K|}^{-1}}{R_k h_k \sqrt{|\Delta_k|}^{-1}} \prod_{i=2}^n \zeta_k(i)^{-1} \left(\frac{2^{n-1} \pi^{\frac{n(n+1)}{4}} w_n}{\prod_{i=1}^n \Gamma(\frac{i}{2})} T^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \right)^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]} ,
$$

where we use the following notations,

 $\overline{}$

 $\begin{array}{c} \hline \end{array}$

 $\int \Delta_F$ *is the discriminant of* F/\mathbb{Q} *for* $F = K$ *or* k; R_F *is the regulator of* F for $F = K$ or k ; h_F *is the class number of* \mathcal{O}_F *for* $F = K$ *or k*; w_n is the volume of the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}};$ ζ_k *is the dedekind zeta function of k*; $S_v(\chi)$ *is the* \mathcal{O}_{k_v} -module length between \mathcal{O}_{K_v} and $\mathcal{O}_{k_v}[x]/(\chi(x));$ $\mathcal{SO}_v(\chi)$ is the orbital integral for \mathfrak{gl}_{n,k_v} associated with $\chi(x)$ with respect to $\frac{dg_v}{dt_v}$ *(cf. Proposition [5.9](#page-26-1) and Lemma [3.7\)](#page-15-0)*.

Proof. We recall the formula in Proposition [4.1,](#page-16-4) by the equation (4.2) , we have

$$
(7.1) \quad N(X,T) \sim |\Delta_k|^{-\frac{1}{2}\dim X} \sum_{\xi \in \text{Br } X/\text{Br } k} \prod_{v \in \Omega_k \setminus \infty_k} \int_{X(\mathcal{O}_{k_v})} \tilde{\xi}_v(x) \, |\omega_X|_v \prod_{v \in \infty_k} \int_{X(k_v,T)} \tilde{\xi}_v(x) \, |\omega_X|_v.
$$

By Proposition [3.9,](#page-16-1) we have

$$
\prod_{v \in \Omega_k} |\omega_X|_v = \prod_{v \in \Omega_k \setminus \infty_k} \prod_{i \in B_v(\chi)} |\Delta_{K_{v,i}/k_v}|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{v \in \Omega_k \setminus \infty_k} |\omega_{X_{k_v}}^{can}|_v.
$$

For Archimedean place $v \in \infty_k$, the assumption yields that $\tilde{\xi}_v$ is trivial. By Proposition [5.6,](#page-22-2) we have

$$
\prod_{v \in \infty_k} \int_{X(k_v,T)} |\omega_{X_{k_v}}^{can}|_v \sim \left(\frac{\pi^{\frac{n(n+1)}{4}} w_n}{\prod_{i=1}^n \Gamma(\frac{i}{2})} T^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}\right)^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]} \prod_{v \in \Omega_k \setminus \infty_k} |\Delta_{\chi}|_v^{\frac{1}{2}}.
$$

We denote the \mathcal{O}_{k_v} -module length between $\mathcal{O}_{K_{v,i}}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{k_v}[x]/(\chi_{v,i}(x))$ by $S_v(\chi_{v,i})$. Then by [\[CKL,](#page-43-5) Proposition 2.5], we have

$$
|\Delta_{\chi}|_{\bar{v}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{i \in B_{v}(\chi)} |\Delta_{K_{v,i}/k_{v}}|_{v}^{-\frac{1}{2}} = (|\Delta_{\chi}|_{\bar{v}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{i \in B_{v}(\chi)} |\Delta_{\chi_{v,i}}|_{v}^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \prod_{i \in B_{v}(\chi)} q_{v}^{-S_{v}(\chi_{v,i})} = q_{v}^{-S_{v}(\chi)},
$$

where the last equality follows from [\[Yun13,](#page-44-5) Section 4.1] and [\[Bou03,](#page-43-22) Corollary 1 of Prosition 11 in Chapter 4.6]. Therefore we have

$$
N(X,T) \sim |\Delta_k|^{-\frac{n^2+n}{2}} \left(\frac{\pi^{\frac{n(n+1)}{4}} w_n}{\prod_{i=1}^n \Gamma(\frac{i}{2})} T^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \right)^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]} \left(\sum_{\xi \in \text{Br } X/\text{Br } k} \prod_{v \in \Omega_k \setminus \infty_k} \frac{1}{q_v^{S_v(\chi)}} \int_{X(\mathcal{O}_{k_v})} \tilde{\xi}_v(x) |\omega_{X_{k_v}}^{can}|_v \right).
$$

We now compute the integration of $\tilde{\xi}_v(x)$ on $X(\mathcal{O}_{k_v})$ with respect to $|\omega_{X_{k_v}}^{can}|_v$ for each $v \in \Omega_k \setminus \infty_k$. For $k = \mathbb{Q}$, we note that the only case (1) is possible since every extension of \mathbb{Q} is ramified.

(1) We claim that the summand in [\(7.1\)](#page-37-0) for a non-trivial element $\xi \in Br X/Br k$ vanishes. Therefore, it suffices to obtain the volume of $X(\mathcal{O}_{k_v})$ with respect to $|\omega_{X_{k_v}}^{can}|_v$ by Remark [4.6.](#page-18-3) In the case that $k \neq \mathbb{Q}$ and K/k is not Galois, Br $X/Br k$ is trivial by Proposition [5.1.](#page-18-2) On the other hand, if $k \neq \mathbb{Q}$ and K/k is ramified, then Corollary [5.4](#page-21-0) and Proposition [5.11](#page-27-0) yields the claim. In the case that $k = \mathbb{Q}$, without the assumption that n is a prime number, the claim also holds by the proof of [\[WX16,](#page-44-1) Theorem 6.1].

By Lemma [3.7](#page-15-0) and Proposition [5.9,](#page-26-1) we then have

$$
\int_{X(\mathcal{O}_{k_v})} |\omega_{X_{k_v}}^{can}|_v = \frac{\#\mathrm{GL}_{n,\mathcal{O}_{k_v}}(\kappa_v) \cdot q_v^{-n^2}}{\#\mathrm{T}_{x_0,\mathcal{O}_{k_v}}(\kappa_v) \cdot q_v^{-n}} \mathcal{SO}_v(\chi).
$$

Here, we note that the following equalities hold,

$$
\begin{cases} \#\mathrm{GL}_{n,\mathcal{O}_{k_v}}(\kappa_v) \cdot q_v^{-n^2} = (1 - \frac{1}{q_v^n})(1 - \frac{1}{q_v^{n-1}}) \cdots (1 - \frac{1}{q_v});\\ \#\mathrm{T}_{x_0,\mathcal{O}_{k_v}}(\kappa_v) \cdot q_v^{-n} = \prod_{i \in B_v(\chi)} (1 - \frac{1}{\#\kappa_{K_{v,i}}}), \end{cases}
$$

where the formula for $\#\mathrm{T}_{x_0,\mathcal{O}_{k_v}}(\kappa_v)$ is induced from Lemma [2.2](#page-10-1) and the fact that

$$
\#R_{\mathcal{O}_{K_{v,i}}/\mathcal{O}_{k_v}}(\mathbb{G}_{m,\mathcal{O}_{K_{v,i}}})(\kappa_v) = q_v^{[K_{v,i}:k_v]}(1 - \frac{1}{\#\kappa_{K_{v,i}}}).
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\prod_{v \in \Omega_k \setminus \infty_k} \frac{1}{q_v^{S_v(\chi)}} \int_{X(\mathcal{O}_{k_v})} |\omega_{X_{k_v}}^{can}|_v = \frac{\zeta_K(s)}{\zeta_k(s)} \Big|_{s=1} \prod_{i=2}^n \zeta_k(i)^{-1} \prod_{v \in \Omega_k \setminus \infty_k} \frac{\mathcal{SO}_v(\chi)}{q_v^{S_v(\chi)}}
$$

$$
= 2^{(n-1)[k:\mathbb{Q}]} \frac{R_K h_K \sqrt{|\Delta_k|}^{-1}}{R_k h_k \sqrt{|\Delta_k|}^{-1}} \prod_{i=2}^n \zeta_k(i)^{-1} \prod_{v \in \Omega_k \setminus \infty_k} \frac{\mathcal{SO}_v(\chi)}{q_v^{S_v(\chi)}},
$$

where the last equality follows from the class number formula for k and K . In conclusion, we have

.

$$
N(X,T) \sim C_T \prod_{v \in \Omega_k \setminus \infty_k} \frac{\mathcal{SO}_v(\chi)}{q_v^{S_v(\chi)}}
$$

- (2) In the case that $\xi \in \text{Br } X/\text{Br } k$ is trivial, the computation of the integration of ξ_v on $X(\mathcal{O}_{k_v})$ is exactly same with that of (1), and so we will omit this. For a non-trivial element $\xi \in \text{Br } X/\text{Br } k$, we address the following two cases separately; K_v splits completely over k_v and K_v is unramified over k_v .
	- If K_v splits completely over k_v , then the evaluation $\tilde{\xi}_v$ is trivial by Proposition [5.5.](#page-22-1) We then apply the computation in (1),

$$
\frac{1}{q_v^{S_v(\chi)}} \int_{X(\mathcal{O}_{k_v})} |\omega_{X_{k_v}}^{can}|_v = \frac{\#\mathrm{GL}_{n,\mathcal{O}_{k_v}}(\kappa_v) \cdot q_v^{-n^2}}{\#\mathrm{T}_{x_0,\mathcal{O}_{k_v}}(\kappa_v) \cdot q_v^{-n}} \frac{\mathcal{SO}_v(\chi)}{q_v^{S_v(\chi)}}.
$$

Here, $\frac{\mathcal{SO}_v(\chi)}{q_v^{S_v(\chi)}} = 1$ by the following argument. Since $\chi_{v,i}$ is a linear polynomial for each $i \in B_v(\chi)$ where $\chi = \prod_{i \in B_v} \chi_{v,i}$ over k_v , the orbital integral $\mathcal{SO}_v(\chi_{v,i})$ for \mathfrak{gl}_n associated with $\chi_{v,i}(x)$ is equal to 1. In addition, the \mathcal{O}_{k_v} -module length $S_v(\chi_{v,i})$ between $\mathcal{O}_{K_{v,i}} = \mathcal{O}_{k_v}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{k_v}[x]/(\chi_{v,i}(x))$ equals to 0. By [\[Yun13,](#page-44-5) Corollary 4.10], we then have

$$
\mathcal{SO}_v(\chi) = q_v \sum_{i \in B_v(\chi)}^{S_v(\chi) - \sum\limits_{i \in B_v(\chi)} S_v(\chi_{v,i})} \prod_{i \in B_v(\chi)} \mathcal{SO}_v(\chi_{v,i}) = q_v^{S_v(\chi)}.
$$

• If K_v is unramified over k_v and so the place v is p-adic for $p > n$ by the assumption, then the evaluation ξ_v is non-trivial by Corollary [5.4.](#page-21-0) By Proposition [6.8,](#page-34-0) we then have

$$
\frac{1}{q_v^{S_v(\chi)}} \int_{X(\mathcal{O}_{k_v})} \tilde{\xi}_v(x) \, |\omega_{X_{k_v}}^{can}|_v = \frac{\# \mathrm{SL}_{n,\mathcal{O}_{k_v}}(\kappa_v) \cdot q_v^{-(n^2-1)}}{\# \mathrm{SL}_{n,\mathcal{O}_{k_v}}(\kappa_v) \cdot q_v^{-(n-1)}} = \frac{\# \mathrm{GL}_{n,\mathcal{O}_{k_v}}(\kappa_v) \cdot q_v^{-n^2}}{\# \mathrm{T}_{x_0,\mathcal{O}_{k_v}}(\kappa_v) \cdot q_v^{-n}}.
$$

Indeed, $\frac{\text{ord}_v(\Delta_\chi)}{2} = S_v(\chi) + \frac{\text{ord}_v(\Delta_{K_v/k_v})}{2} = S_v(\chi)$ by [\[CKL,](#page-43-5) Proposition 2.5] and [\[Neu99,](#page-44-10) Theorem 2.6 in Chapter III].

To sum up, for a non-trivial $\xi \in \text{Br } X/\text{Br } k$, we have

$$
\prod_{v \in \Omega_k \setminus \infty_k} \frac{1}{q_v^{S_v(\chi)}} \int_{X(\mathcal{O}_{k_v})} |\omega_{X_{k_v}}^{can}|_v = \prod_{\substack{v \in \Omega_k \setminus \infty_k \\ v:splits}} \frac{\#\mathrm{GL}_{n,\mathcal{O}_{k_v}}(\kappa_v) \cdot q_v^{-n^2}}{\#\mathrm{T}_{x_0,\mathcal{O}_{k_v}}(\kappa_v) \cdot q_v^{-n}} \prod_{\substack{v \in \Omega_k \setminus \infty_k \\ v:unram}} \frac{\#\mathrm{GL}_{n,\mathcal{O}_{k_v}}(\kappa_v) \cdot q_v^{-n^2}}{\#\mathrm{T}_{x_0,\mathcal{O}_{k_v}}(\kappa_v) \cdot q_v^{-n}}
$$

$$
= \left(2^{(n-1)[k:\mathbb{Q}]} \frac{R_K h_K \sqrt{|\Delta_k|}^{-1}}{R_k h_k \sqrt{|\Delta_k|}^{-1}} \prod_{i=2}^n \zeta_k(i)^{-1}\right).
$$

Proposition [5.2](#page-19-0) yields that Br $X/\text{Br } k \cong \text{Hom}(\text{Gal}(K/k), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$. Since Gal (K/k) is a cyclic group of prime order n, we have $n-1$ nontrivial elements in Br $X/Br k$. In conclusion, we have

$$
N(X,T) \sim C_T \left(\prod_{v \in \Omega_k \setminus \infty_k} \frac{\mathcal{SO}_v(\chi)}{q_v^{S_v(\chi)}} + n - 1 \right).
$$

Remark 7.2. Suppose that $k = \mathbb{Q}$ and $\chi(x)$ splits completely over \mathbb{R} (assumptions [\(1.3\)](#page-1-1) without the assumption (2) that $\mathbb{Z}[x]/(\chi(x)) = \mathcal{O}_K$. Since every extension of \mathbb{Q} is ramified, the asymptotic formula for $N(X,T)$ follows from Theorem [7.1.](#page-36-1)(1). We then have

$$
N(X,T) \sim \left(\frac{2^{n-1}h_K R_K w_n}{\sqrt{\Delta_K} \prod_{k=2}^n \Lambda(k/2)} \prod_{v \in \Omega_k \setminus \infty_k} \frac{\mathcal{SO}_v(\chi)}{q_v^{S_v(\chi)}}\right) T^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}},
$$

where $\Lambda(k/2) = \pi^{-k/2} \Gamma(k/2) \zeta(k)$.

We verify that the above formula coincides with (1.2) when $\mathbb{Z}[x]/(\chi(x)) = \mathcal{O}_K$. Indeed, since $\mathbb{Z}[x]/(\chi(x)) = \mathcal{O}_K$, equivalently $S_v(\chi) = 0$ for all $v \in \Omega_k \setminus \infty_k$, we have $\mathcal{SO}_v(\chi) = 1$ by Remark [5.10](#page-27-2) and $\Delta_K = \Delta_{\chi}$. Therefore we have

$$
N(X,T) \sim \frac{2^{n-1} h_K R_K w_n}{\sqrt{\Delta_{\chi}} \cdot \prod_{k=2}^n \Lambda(k/2)} T^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}.
$$

In the case that $n = 2$ and 3, we refer to the results in [\[CKL\]](#page-43-5), for the closed formula of $\prod_{v\in\Omega_k\setminus\infty_k}\mathcal{SO}_v(\chi).$

Proposition 7.3. *(1) For* $n = 2$ *, the product of orbital integrals* $\mathcal{SO}_v(\chi)$ *is given as follows*

$$
\prod_{v \in \Omega_k \setminus \infty_k} \mathcal{SO}_v(\chi) = \prod_{v \in \Omega_k \setminus \infty_k} \left(1 + \frac{\# \mathrm{T}_{x_0, \mathcal{O}_{k_v}}(\kappa_v)}{q_v - 1} \frac{q_v^{\mathcal{S}_v(\chi)} - 1}{q_v - 1}\right).
$$

(2) For $n = 3$ *, the product of orbital integrals* $\mathcal{SO}_v(\chi)$ *is given as follows*

$$
\prod_{v \in \Omega_k \setminus \infty_k} \mathcal{SO}_v(\chi) = \prod_{v \in \Omega_k \setminus \infty_k} q_v^{\rho_v(\chi)} \left(1 + \frac{\# \mathrm{T}_{x_0, \mathcal{O}_{k_v}}(\kappa_v)}{(q_v - 1)^2} \Phi_v(\chi) \right).
$$

Here,

$$
\begin{cases}\n\#T_{x_0,\mathcal{O}_{k_v}}(\kappa_v) = \prod_{i \in B_v(\chi)} q_v^{[K_{v,i}:k_v] - [\kappa_{K_{v,i}:K_v]}}(q_v^{[\kappa_{K_{v,i}:K_v]}]} - 1), \\
\rho_v(\chi) := \sum_{\{i,j\} \subset B_v(\chi), i \neq j} \text{ord}_v(\text{Res}(\chi_{v,i}, \chi_{v,j})) = \begin{cases}\n0 & \text{if } |B_v(\chi)| = 1; \\
S_v(\chi) - \delta_v & \text{if } |B_v(\chi)| = 2; \\
S_v(\chi) & \text{if } |B_v(\chi)| = 3, \\
f_K_v & \text{ splits over } k_v; \n\end{cases} \\
\Phi_v(\chi) = \begin{cases}\n\frac{q_v^{5_v} - 1}{q_v - 1} & \text{if } K_v \text{ splits over } k_v; \\
\frac{q_v^{5_v} - 1}{q_v - 1} - \frac{3(q_v^{5_v - d_v - 1)}{q_v^2 - 1}}{q_v^2 - 1} + \frac{(1 + \delta_v - 3d_v)q_v^{5_v - d_v} - 1}{q_v(q_v + 1)} & \text{if } K_v \text{ is a ramified field extension of } k_v, \\
\frac{B_v(\chi) \text{ is an index set in bijection with irreducible factors } \chi_{v,i} \text{ of } \chi \text{ over } \mathcal{O}_{k_v}; \\
\text{Res}(\chi_{v,i}, \chi_{v,j}) \text{ denotes the resultant of two polynomials } \chi_{v,i} \text{ and } \chi_{v,j}; \\
\delta_v := \max_{i \in B_v(\chi)} \{S_v(\chi_{v,i})\} \text{ for the } \mathcal{O}_{k_v} \text{-module length } S_v(\chi_{v,i}) \text{ between } \mathcal{O}_{K_{v,i}} \text{ and } \mathcal{O}_{k_v}[\chi](\chi_{v,i}(x)); \\
d_v := \left[\frac{\delta_v}{3}\right] \text{ for the floor function } [\cdot].\n\end{cases}
$$

Proof. (1) By [\[CKL,](#page-43-5) Remark 5.7], we have

$$
\mathcal{SO}_v(\chi) = \begin{cases} q_v^{S_v(\chi)} & \text{if } K_v \text{ splits over } k_v; \\ 1 + (q_v + 1) \frac{q_v^{S_v(\chi)} - 1}{q_v - 1} & \text{if } K_v \text{ is an unramified field extension over } k_v; \\ \frac{q_v^{S_v(\chi)+1} - 1}{q_v - 1} & \text{if } K_v \text{ is a ramified field extension over } k_v. \end{cases}
$$

In order to write the above in a uniform way, we describe $T_{x_0, \mathcal{O}_{k_v}}(\kappa_v)$ explicitly as follows.

$$
T_{x_0,\mathcal{O}_{k_v}}(\kappa_v) \cong \begin{cases} (\kappa_v \times \kappa_v)^{\times} & \text{if } K_v \text{ splits over } k_v; \\ \kappa_{K_v}^{\times} & \text{if } K_v \text{ is an unramified field extension over } k_v; \\ (\kappa_v[x]/(x^2))^{\times} & \text{if } K_v \text{ is a ramified field extension over } k_v, \end{cases}
$$

where in the second case, κ_{K_v} is a quadratic field extension over κ_v . Plugging it into the above formula for $\mathcal{SO}_v(\chi)$, we obtain the desired formula.

- (2) By [\[CKL,](#page-43-5) Remark 6.7], we have
	- (a) If $\chi(x)$ is an irreducible monic polynomial \mathcal{O}_{k_v} , then we have

$$
\mathcal{SO}_{v}(\chi) = \begin{cases}\n(q_v^2 + q_v + 1) \sum_{i=1}^{d_v} (q_v^{3i-2} + 2q_v^{3i-3} + 3q_v^{2i-2} \frac{q_v^{i-1}-1}{q_v-1}) + 1 & \text{if } K_v/k_v \text{ is unramified and } S_v(\chi) = 3d_v; \\
\sum_{i=1}^{d_v} (q_v^{3i} + 2q_v^{3i-1} + q_v^{2i-1} + 3q_v^{2i} \frac{q_v^{i-1}-1}{q_v-1}) + 1 & \text{if } K_v/k_v \text{ is ramified and } S_v(\chi) = 3d_v; \\
\sum_{i=1}^{d_v} (q_v^{3i+1} + 2q_v^{3i} + 2q_v^{2i} + 3q_v^{2i+1} \frac{q_v^{i-1}-1}{q_v-1}) + q_v + 1 & \text{if } K_v/k_v \text{ is ramified and } S_v(\chi) = 3d_v + 1.\n\end{cases}
$$

Here, we note that $S_v(\chi)$ cannot be of the form $3d_v + 2$ (cf. [\[CKL,](#page-43-5) Proposition 6.2]).

(b) If $\chi(x) = \chi_{v,1}(x)\chi_{v,2}(x)$ over \mathcal{O}_{k_v} where $\chi_{v,1}(x) \in \mathcal{O}_{k_v}[x]$ is an irreducible monic quadratic polynomial, we have

$$
\mathcal{SO}_{v}(\chi) = \begin{cases} q_v^{S_v(\chi) - S_v(\chi_{v,1})} (1 + (q_v + 1) \frac{q_v^{S_v(\chi_{v,1})} - 1}{q_v - 1}) & \text{if } K_{v,1}/k_v \text{ is unramified;} \\ q_v^{S_v(\chi) - S_v(\chi_{v,1})} \frac{q_v^{S_v(\chi_{v,1}) + 1} - 1}{q_v - 1} & \text{if } K_{v,1}/k_v \text{ is ramified.} \end{cases}
$$

(c) If $\chi(x)$ splits into linear terms completely over \mathcal{O}_{k_v} , we have

$$
\mathcal{SO}_v(\chi) = q_v^{S_v(\chi)}
$$

On the other hand, by [\[Yun13,](#page-44-5) Section 4.1], we have $\rho_v(\chi) = S_v(\chi) - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}$ $i \in B_v(\chi)$ $S_v(\chi_{v,i})$. Since $S_v(\chi_{v,i}) = 0$ for a linear factor $\chi_{v,i}(x) \in \mathcal{O}_{k_v}[x]$ of $\chi(x)$, we verify that

.

$$
\rho_v(\chi) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } |B_v(\chi)| = 1; \\ S_v(\chi) - \delta_v & \text{if } |B_v(\chi)| = 2; \\ S_v(\chi) & \text{if } |B_v(\chi)| = 3. \end{cases}
$$

In order to write the above in a uniform way, we describe $T_{x_0, \mathcal{O}_{k_v}}(\kappa_v)$ explicitly as follows.

$$
T_{x_0,\mathcal{O}_{k_v}}(\kappa_v) \cong \begin{cases} \kappa_{K_v}^{\times} & \text{in the case (a), if } K_v/k_v \text{ is unramified;}\\ (\kappa_v[x]/(x^3))^{\times} & \text{in the case (a), if } K_v/k_v \text{ is ramified;}\\ (\kappa_{K_{v,1}} \times \kappa_v)^{\times} & \text{in the case (b), if } K_{v,1}/k_v \text{ is unramified;}\\ (\kappa_v[x]/(x^2) \times \kappa_v)^{\times} & \text{in the case (b), if } K_{v,1}/k_v \text{ is ramified;}\\ (\kappa_v^3)^{\times} & \text{in the case (c),} \end{cases}
$$

where κ_{K_v} is a cubic field extension over κ_v in the first case, and $\kappa_{K_{v,1}}$ is a quadratic field extension over κ_v in the third case. Plugging it into the above formula for $\mathcal{SO}_v(\chi)$, we obtain the desired formula.

 \Box

In the case that an \mathcal{O}_k -order $\mathcal{O}_k[x]/(\chi(x))$ is a Bass order, we refer to the results in [\[CHL\]](#page-43-6), for the closed formula of $\prod_{v\in\Omega_k\setminus\infty_k} \mathcal{SO}_v(\chi)$. A Bass order is an order of a number field whose fractional ideals are generated by two elements (cf. [\[CHL,](#page-43-6) Definition 6.1]). For example, any order of a number field which contains the maximal order of a subfield with degree 2 or whose discriminant is fourth-power-free in \mathbb{Z} , is a Bass order.

To state the closed formula of $\prod_{v\in\Omega_k\setminus\infty_k} \mathcal{SO}_v(\chi)$ when $R := \mathcal{O}_k[x]/(\chi(x))$ is a Bass order, we define |R| to be the set of maximal ideals of R and define the following notations for $w \in |R|$;

$$
\begin{cases}\nR_w \text{ is a } w\text{-adic completion of } R; \\
K_w \text{ is the ring of total fractions of } R_w; \\
\mathcal{O}_{K_w} \text{ is the ring of integers of } K_w.\n\end{cases}
$$

Let $|R|^{irred}$ and $|R|^{split}$ be subsets of $|R|$ such that

$$
\begin{cases}\n|R|^{irred} \subset \{w \in |R| : R_w \text{ is an integral domain}\}; \\
|R|^{split} \subset \{w \in |R| : R_w \text{ is not an integral domain}\}\n\end{cases}\n\text{ and thus }\n|R| = |R|^{irred} \sqcup |R|^{split}.
$$

We denote the residue field of R_w by κ_{R_w} and the cardinality of κ_{R_w} by q_{R_w} . For $w \in |R|^{irred}$, we denote the residue field of \mathcal{O}_{K_w} by κ_{K_w} .

Proposition 7.4. *Suppose that* $R = \mathcal{O}_k[x]/(\chi(x))$ *is a Bass order. The product of orbital integrals* $\mathcal{SO}_v(\chi)$ *is given as follows*

$$
\prod_{v\in\Omega_k\setminus\infty_k}\mathcal{SO}_v(\chi)=\left(\prod_{w\in|R|^{split}}q_{R_w}^{S_w(R_w)}\cdot\prod_{w\in|R|^{irred}}\left(q_{R_w}^{S_w(R_w)}+[\kappa_{K_w}:\kappa_{R_w}]\frac{q_{R_w}^{S_w(R_w)}-1}{q_{R_w}-1}\right)\right),
$$

 $where \ S_w(R_w) := \{ \mathcal{O}_{k_v} \text{-module length between } \mathcal{O}_{K_w} \text{ and } R_w \} / [\kappa_{R_w} : \kappa_v].$

Proof. To ease the notation, we denote $\mathcal{O}_{k_v}[x]/(\chi(x))$ by R_v . For $(\mathcal{O}, E) = (R_v, K_v)$ or (R_w, K_w) respectively, we define

$$
\begin{cases}\nX_{\mathcal{O}} \text{ to be the set of fractional } \mathcal{O}\text{-ideals and;}\\ \Lambda_E \text{ to be complementary to } \mathcal{O}_E^{\times} \text{ inside } E^{\times}, \text{ which acts on } X_{\mathcal{O}} \text{ by multiplication.}\n\end{cases}
$$

By [\[CHL,](#page-43-6) Definition 2.3], we have the following identification

$$
\mathcal{SO}_v(\chi) = \#(\Lambda_{K_v} \backslash X_{R_v}).
$$

The isomorphism $R_v \cong \bigoplus$ $w|v, w \in |R|$ R_w in [\[CHL,](#page-43-6) Remark B.(1)] yields that $X_{R_v} \cong \prod$ $w|v, w \in |R|$ X_{R_w} and thus $\Lambda_{K_v} \backslash X_{R_v} \cong \prod$ $w|v, w \in |R|$ $\Lambda_{K_w} \backslash X_{R_w}$. Since the map from Spec R to Spec \mathcal{O}_k is surjective, we then have

(7.2)
$$
\prod_{v \in \Omega_k \setminus \infty_k} \mathcal{SO}_v(\chi) = \prod_{w \in |R|} \#(\Lambda_{K_w} \setminus X_{R_w}).
$$

For $w \in |R|^{irred}$, by [\[CHL,](#page-43-6) Theorem 3.7.(1)], we have

$$
\#(\Lambda_{E_w}\backslash X_{R_w})=q_{R_w}^{S_w(R_w)}+[\kappa_{K_w}:\kappa_{R_w}]\frac{q_{R_w}^{S_w(R_w)}-1}{q_{R_w}-1}.
$$

For $w \in |R|^{split}$, by [\[CHL,](#page-43-6) Theorem 6.11], we have

$$
\#(\Lambda_{E_w}\backslash X_{R_w})=q_{R_w}^{S_w(R_w)}.
$$

Plugging these closed formula for $\#(\Lambda_{E_w} \backslash X_{R_w})$ into [\(7.2\)](#page-42-1), we have the desired result.

REFERENCES

- [BD13] Mikhail Borovoi and Cyril Demarche. Manin obstruction to strong approximation for homogeneous spaces. Comment. Math. Helv., 88(1):1–54, 2013.
- [Bir62] B. J. Birch. Forms in many variables. Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A, 265:245–263, 1961/62.
- [Bit11] Rony A. Bitan. The discriminant of an algebraic torus. J. Number Theory, 131(9):1657–1671, 2011.
- [BLR90] Siegfried Bosch, Werner Lütkebohmert, and Michel Raynaud. Néron models, volume 21. Springer Science & Business Media, 1990.
- [BO12] Yves Benoist and Hee Oh. Effective equidistribution of S-integral points on symmetric varieties. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble), 62(5):1889–1942, 2012.
- [Bou03] Nicolas Bourbaki. Algebra II. Chapters 4–7. Elements of Mathematics (Berlin). Springer-Verlag, Berlin, french edition, 2003.
- [BR95] Mikhail Borovoi and Zeév Rudnick. Hardy-Littlewood varieties and semisimple groups. Invent. Math., 119(1):37–66, 1995.
- [CHL] Sungmun Cho, Jungtaek Hong, and Yuchan Lee. Orbital integrals and ideal calss monoids for a bass order. arXiv:2408.16199v2.
- [CKL] Sungmun Cho, Taeyeoup Kang, and Yuchan Lee. Orbital integrals for classical lie algebras and smooth integral models. arXiv:2411.16054.
- [CTX09] Jean-Louis Colliot-Thélène and Fei Xu. Brauer-Manin obstruction for integral points of homogeneous spaces and representation by integral quadratic forms. Compos. Math., 145(2):309-363, 2009. With an appendix by Dasheng Wei and Xu.
- [DRS93] W. Duke, Z. Rudnick, and P. Sarnak. Density of integer points on affine homogeneous varieties. Duke Math. J., 71(1):143–179, 1993.
- [EMS96] Alex Eskin, Shahar Mozes, and Nimish Shah. Unipotent flows and counting lattice points on homogeneous varieties. Ann. of Math. (2), 143(2):253–299, 1996.
- [EvdGM] Bas Edixhoven, Gerard van der Geer, and Ben Moonen. Abelian varieties, preprint available at <http://van-der-geer.nl/~gerard/AV.pdf>.
- [GG99] Benedict H. Gross and Wee Teck Gan. Haar measure and the Artin conductor. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 351(4):1691–1704, 1999.
- [Gor22] Julia Gordon. Orbital integrals and normalizations of measures. arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.02391, 2022.
- [Gro97] Benedict H. Gross. On the motive of a reductive group. Invent. Math., 130(2):287–313, 1997.
- [Hal05] Thomas C. Hales. A statement of the fundamental lemma. In Harmonic analysis, the trace formula, and Shimura varieties, volume 4 of Clay Math. Proc., pages 643–658. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005.
- [Kna02] Anthony W. Knapp. Lie groups beyond an introduction, volume 140 of Progress in Mathematics. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, second edition, 2002.
- [Kot84] Robert E. Kottwitz. Stable trace formula: cuspidal tempered terms. Duke Math. J., 51(3):611–650, 1984.
- [KP23] Tasho Kaletha and Gopal Prasad. Bruhat-Tits theory—a new approach, volume 44 of New Mathematical Monographs. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2023.
- [Lab08] Jean-Pierre Labesse. Introduction to endoscopy. In Representation theory of real reductive Lie groups, volume 472 of Contemp. Math., pages 175–213. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008.
- [Lee21] Jungin Lee. Counting algebraic tori over Q by artin conductor. arXiv preprint arXiv:2104.02855, 2021.
- [LX15] Qing Liu and Fei Xu. Very strong approximation for certain algebraic varieties. Math. Ann., 363(3-4):701– 731, 2015.
- [Neu99] Jürgen Neukirch. Algebraic number theory, volume 322 of Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999. Translated from the 1992 German original and with a note by Norbert Schappacher, With a foreword by G. Harder.
- [Ngfrm[o]–0] Bao Châu Ngô. Le lemme fondamental pour les algèbres de Lie. Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci., (111):1–169, 2010.
- [Ono65] Takashi Ono. On the relative theory of Tamagawa numbers. Ann. of Math. (2), 82:88–111, 1965.
- [Poo17] Bjorn Poonen. Rational points on varieties, volume 186 of Graduate Studies in Mathematics. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2017.
- [Sch85] Wolfgang M. Schmidt. The density of integer points on homogeneous varieties. Acta Math., 154(3-4):243– 296, 1985.
- [Ser79] Jean-Pierre Serre. Local fields, volume 67 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1979. Translated from the French by Marvin Jay Greenberg.
- [Vos98] V. E. Voskresenskiĭ. Algebraic groups and their birational invariants, volume 179 of Translations of Mathematical Monographs. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998. Translated from the Russian manuscript by Boris Kunyavski [Boris È. Kunyavskiĭ].
- [Wei82] André Weil. Adeles and algebraic groups, volume 23 of Progress in Mathematics. Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 1982. With appendices by M. Demazure and Takashi Ono.
- [WX16] Dasheng Wei and Fei Xu. Counting integral points in certain homogeneous spaces. J. Algebra, 448:350–398, 2016.
- [Yun13] Zhiwei Yun. Orbital integrals and Dedekind zeta functions. In The legacy of Srinivasa Ramanujan, volume 20 of Ramanujan Math. Soc. Lect. Notes Ser., pages 399–420. Ramanujan Math. Soc., Mysore, 2013.

Seongsu Jeon, Department of Mathematics, POSTECH, 77, Cheongam-ro, Nam-gu, Pohang-si, Gyeongsangbuk-do, 37673, KOREA

Email address: ssjeon.math@gmail.com

Yuchan Lee, Department of Mathematics, POSTECH, 77, Cheongam-ro, Nam-gu, Pohang-si, Gyeongsangbukdo, 37673, KOREA

Email address: yuchanlee329@gmail.com