

# ON THE EIGENVECTORS OF THE 5D DISCRETE FOURIER TRANSFORM NUMBER OPERATOR IN NEWTONIAN BASIS

NATIG ATAKISHIYEV

ABSTRACT. A simple analytic approach to the evaluation of the eigenvalues and eigenvectors  $f_n$  of the 5D discrete number operator  $\mathcal{N}_5 = A_5^\top A_5$  is formulated. This approach is based on the symmetry of the intertwining operators  $A_5$  and  $A_5^\top$  with respect to the discrete reflection operator. A procedure for *sparsealization* the intertwining operators  $A_5$  and  $A_5^\top$  has been developed, which made it possible to establish a discrete analog of the well-known continuous-case formula  $\psi_n(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} (\mathbf{a}^\dagger)^n \psi_0(x)$ . A discrete analog for the eigenvectors  $f_n$  of another continuous-case formula  $\psi_n(x) = c_n^{-1} H_n(x) \psi_0(x)$ ,  $c_n = \sqrt{2^n n!}$ , is constructed in terms of the Newtonian basis polynomials  $\mathcal{P}_n(X_5)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_5$ , times the lowest eigenvector  $f_0$ , as well.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

First, let me recall that the discrete (finite) Fourier transform (DFT) based on five points is represented by a  $5 \times 5$  unitary symmetric matrix  $\Phi_5$  with entries (see, for example, [1]–[6])

$$(\Phi_5)_{kl} = 5^{-1/2} q^{kl}, \quad k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_5 := \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $q = \exp(2\pi i/5)$  is a primitive 5-th root of unity. Those vectors  $f_k$ , which are solutions of the standard equations

$$\sum_{k=0}^4 (\Phi_5)_{m,n} (f_k)_n = \lambda_k (f_k)_m, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_5, \quad (1.2)$$

then represent five eigenvectors of operator  $\Phi_5$ , associated with the eigenvalues  $\lambda_k$ . Because the fourth power of  $\Phi_5$  is a unit matrix, only four distinct eigenvalues among  $\lambda_k$ s are  $\pm 1$  and  $\pm i$ .

In addition, the discrete analog of the reflection operator  $P$  (defined on the full real line  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  as  $Px = -x$ ), associated with the DFT operator (1.1) is represented by the  $5 \times 5$  matrix:

$$P_d := C_5^\top J_5 \equiv J_5 C_5, \quad (1.3)$$

where  $C_5$  is the 5D basic circulant permutation matrix with entries  $(C_5)_{kl} = \delta_{k,l-1}$  and  $J_5$  is the  $5 \times 5$  ‘backward identity’ permutation matrix with ones on the secondary diagonal (see [7], pages 26 and 28, respectively). It is readily verified that the DFT operator (1.1) is  $P_d$ -symmetric, that is, the commutator  $[\Phi_5, P_d] = \Phi_5 P_d - P_d \Phi_5 = 0$ . Therefore, similar to the continuous case, governed by the reflection operator  $P$ , the eigenvectors of the DFT operator  $\Phi_5$  should be either  $P_d$ -symmetric or  $P_d$ -antisymmetric.

In the present work, additional findings concerning algebraic properties of two intertwining operators associated with the DFT matrix (1.1) are discussed. These operators are represented by matrices  $A_5$  and  $A_5^\top$  of the same size  $5 \times 5$ , such that the intertwining relations

$$A_5 \Phi_5 = i \Phi_5 A_5, \quad A_5^\top \Phi_5 = -i \Phi_5 A_5^\top, \quad (1.4)$$

are valid. Matrices  $A_5$  and  $A_5^\top$  have emerged in a paper [8] devoted to the problem of determining an explicit form for the difference operator that governs the eigenvectors of the DFT matrix  $\Phi_5$ . They can be interpreted as discrete analogs of the quantum harmonic oscillator lowering and raising operators  $\mathbf{a} = 2^{-1/2} \left( x + \frac{d}{dx} \right)$  and  $\mathbf{a}^\dagger = 2^{-1/2} \left( x - \frac{d}{dx} \right)$ ; their algebraic properties have been studied in detail in [9]–[11]. In particular, it was shown that the operators  $A$  and  $A^\top$  form a cubic algebra  $\mathcal{C}_q$  with  $q$  a root of unity [11]. This algebra is intimately related to the two other well-known realizations of the cubic algebra: the Askey-Wilson algebra [12]–[15] and the Askey-Wilson-Heun algebra [16]. This particular cubic algebra  $\mathcal{C}_q$ , associated with operators  $A$  and  $A^\top$ , is certainly

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 47A75 ; Secondaries: 11C20, 39A70, 39B42, 65T50.

*Key words and phrases*. discrete Fourier transform; number operator; eigenvalues and eigenvectors.

more complicated than Heisenberg–Weyl algebra, generated by the lowering and raising operators  $\mathbf{a}$  and  $\mathbf{a}^\dagger$  of the continuous case. Nevertheless, the remarkable fact is that it turns out possible to use the same procedure of constructing the eigenvectors of the discrete number operator  $\mathcal{N} := A^\top A$  in the form of the ladder–type hierarchy, as in the linear harmonic oscillator case in quantum mechanics [17]:

$$\mathbf{a}\psi_0(x) = 0, \quad \psi_n(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \mathbf{a}^\dagger \psi_{n-1}(x), \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (1.5)$$

Note also that from the intertwining relations (1.4) it follows that the operator  $\mathcal{N}_5 = A_5^\top A_5$  commutes with the DFT operator  $\Phi_5$ , that is,  $[\mathcal{N}_5, \Phi_5] = 0$ . The discrete number operator  $\mathcal{N}_5$  and DFT operator  $\Phi_5$  thus have the same eigenvectors and the former can be employed to find an explicit form of the eigenvectors of the latter (see [8] for a more detailed discussion of this point).

This paper presents a novel analytical method for evaluating the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the 5D discrete number operator  $\mathcal{N}_5 = A_5^\top A_5$ , leveraging the symmetry of the intertwining operators  $A_5$  and  $A_5^\top$  with respect to the discrete reflection operator  $P_d$ . It turned out that in order to achieve this goal, it is necessary to isolate from the intertwining operators  $A_5$  and  $A_5^\top$  those symmetric and antisymmetric parts that annihilate arbitrary vectors of the same parity. In fact, this study develops a procedure for the *sparsealization* of operators  $A_5$  and  $A_5^\top$ , which enables us to derive a still-missing discrete matrix analog of the well-known formulas

$$\psi_n(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} (\mathbf{a}^\dagger)^n \psi_0(x) = c_n^{-1} H_n(x) \psi_0(x), \quad c_n = \sqrt{2^n n!} \quad (1.6)$$

associated with the continuous case for eigenvectors  $f_k$  of the DFT operator  $\Phi_5$ .

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 an account is given on how to resolve the problem of finding the eigenvectors  $f_n$  and eigenvalues  $\lambda_n$  of the 5D discrete number operator  $\mathcal{N}_5 = A_5^\top A_5$ . A detailed description of how to accomplish this task is provided in Section 3. In Section 4, an explicit form of the ladder-type hierarchy is established, in which the eigenvectors  $f_n$  form. Section 4 closes the paper by expressing the eigenvectors  $f_n$  in terms of Newtonian basis polynomials times the lowest eigenvector  $f_0$ .

## 2. 5D INTERTWINING OPERATORS $A_5$ AND $A_5^\top$

This section begins by deriving additional symmetry properties of the 5D intertwining operators  $A_5$  and  $A_5^\top$  [8]. The explicit form of the matrices, associated with the operators  $A_5$  and  $A_5^\top$ , is

$$A_5 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (X_5 + iY_5) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (X_5 + D_5), \quad A_5^\top = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (X_5 - iY_5) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (X_5 - D_5), \quad (2.1)$$

where  $X_5 = \text{diag}(s_0, s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4)$ ,  $s_n := 2 \sin(2\pi n/5)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_5$ , and  $Y_5 = -iD_5 = i(C_5^\top - C_5)$ . The 5D operators  $X_5$  and  $Y_5$  are Hermitian and act as finite-dimensional analogs of the coordinates and momentum operators, respectively, in quantum mechanics. It is remarkable that operators  $X$  and  $Y$  are "classical" operators with good spectral properties [11]. For operator  $X_5$ , the spectrum of  $X_5$  is

$$\lambda_n = s_n = i(q^{-n} - q^n), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_5. \quad (2.2)$$

This indicates that the spectrum (2.2) belongs to the class of Askey–Wilson spectra of the type

$$\lambda_n = C_1 q^n + C_2 q^{-n} + C_0. \quad (2.3)$$

The eigenvectors of operator  $X_5$  are represented by the Euclidean 5-column orthonormal vectors  $e_k$  with the components  $(e_k)_l = \delta_{kl}$ ,  $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_5$ , that is,

$$X_5 e_k = s_k e_k. \quad (2.4)$$

The spectrum of the matrix  $Y_5 = -iD_5$  belongs to the same Askey–Wilson family because the operators  $X_5$  and  $Y_5 = -iD_5$  are unitary equivalent,  $Y_5 = -iD_5 = \Phi_5 X_5 \Phi_5^\dagger$ , and hence isospectral [11]. Note that the spectrum of  $X_5$  is simple, that is, it is nondegenerate. In addition, from the unitary equivalence of operators  $X_5$  and  $Y_5 = -iD_5$  it follows that the eigenvectors of the latter operator are of the form:

$$Y_5 \epsilon_k = -iD_5 \epsilon_k = s_k \epsilon_k, \quad \epsilon_n := \Phi_5 e_n = 5^{-1/2} (1, q^n, q^{2n}, q^{3n}, q^{4n})^\top. \quad (2.5)$$

Let me draw attention now to the remarkable symmetry between the operators  $X_5$  and  $Y_5 = -iD_5$ : the operator  $X_5$  is two-diagonal in the eigenbasis of the operator  $Y_5 = -iD_5$ ,

$$X_5 \epsilon_n = i(\epsilon_{n-1} - \epsilon_{n+1}), \quad (2.6)$$

whereas operator  $Y_5$  is similarly two-diagonal in the eigenbasis of operator  $X_5$ ,

$$Y_5 e_n = -iD_5 e_n = i(e_{n+1} - e_{n-1}). \quad (2.7)$$

It is also worth mentioning here that the  $N$ -column eigenvectors of operator  $Y = -iD$  for a general  $N$ ,

$$\epsilon_n = \Phi_N e_n = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} (\Phi_N)_{kn} e_k = N^{-1/2} \left( 1, q^n, q^{2n}, \dots, q^{(N-1)n} \right)^\top, \quad (2.8)$$

form an orthonormal basis in the  $N$ -dimensional complex plane  $\mathbb{C}^N$  and are frequently used therefore as building blocks of the discrete Fourier transform in applications (see, for example, p.130 in [18], where the  $\epsilon_n$  referred to as *discrete trigonometric functions*).

Note also that both operators  $X_5$  and  $D_5 = iY_5$  are  $P_d$ -antisymmetric; that is,

$$P_d X_5 + X_5 P_d = 0, \quad P_d D_5 + D_5 P_d = 0. \quad (2.9)$$

Moreover, since the 5D intertwining operators  $A_5$  and  $A_5^\top$  under discussion are linear combinations of the operators  $X_5$  and  $D_5 = iY_5$ , from (2.9) it follows that both operators  $A_5$  and  $A_5^\top$  are also  $P_d$ -antisymmetric.

It remains only to note that such a detailed discussion of the matrix structure of the operators  $X_5$  and  $D_5 = iY_5$  is dictated by the fact that it makes it possible to significantly simplify the problem of finding the eigenvectors of the 5D discrete number operator  $\mathcal{N}_5 = A_5^\top A_5$ . It is possible to formulate a simpler algorithm for calculating the eigenvectors of the discrete number operator  $\mathcal{N}_5 = A_5^\top A_5$  by separating from the operators  $A_5$  and  $A_5^\top$  their symmetric and antisymmetric parts, which are essentially annihilators. A detailed description of how to achieve this goal is provided in the next section, where it becomes apparent that the key idea of this approach is the essential use of the remarkably symmetric matrix structure of the product  $\Phi_5 X_5$ . Therefore, let me close this section with a discussion about a particular  $P_d$ -symmetry property of the product  $\Phi_5 X_5$ , which will be essentially used in what follows.

**Proposition 1.** *The product  $\Phi_5 X_5$  can be represented as either*

$$\Phi_5 X_5 = s_2^{-1} \mathcal{A}^{(s)} + i \mathcal{B}^{(s)}, \quad (2.10)$$

where  $\mathcal{A}^{(s)}$  is a symmetric annihilator operator that annuls every  $P_d$ -symmetric vector  $f^{(s)} := (a, b, c, c, b)^\top$ , and  $\mathcal{B}^{(s)}$  is a sparse matrix, or

$$\Phi_5 X_5 = s_2^{-1} \left( \mathcal{A}^{(a)} + \mathcal{B}^{(a)} \right), \quad (2.11)$$

where  $\mathcal{A}^{(a)}$  is an antisymmetric annihilator operator that annuls every  $P_d$ -antisymmetric vector  $f^{(a)} := (0, b, c, -c, -b)^\top$ , and  $\mathcal{B}^{(a)}$  is a sparse matrix.

*Proof.* The operator  $\Phi_5 X_5$  is represented by a traceless matrix

$$\Phi_5 X_5 = \frac{1}{s_2} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & c_1 & -c_1 & -1 \\ 0 & q & c_1 q^2 & -c_1 q^3 & -q^4 \\ 0 & q^2 & c_1 q^4 & -c_1 q & -q^3 \\ 0 & q^3 & c_1 q & -c_1 q^4 & -q^2 \\ 0 & q^4 & c_1 q^3 & -c_1 q^2 & -q \end{bmatrix}. \quad (2.12)$$

From (2.12) it follows that the first row annihilates an arbitrary  $P_d$ -symmetric vector  $f^{(s)} := (a, b, c, c, b)^\top$ , that is,  $(0, 1, c_1, -c_1, -1) f^{(s)} = 0$ . In addition, with the aid of simple identities  $q = q^4 + is_1$  and  $q^2 = q^3 + is_2$ , the second and third rows in (2.12) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} (0, q^4 + is_1, c_1(q^3 + is_2), -c_1 q^3, -q^4) &= (0, q^4, c_1 q^3, -c_1 q^3, -q^4) + i(0, s_1, c_1 s_2, 0, 0), \\ (0, q^3 + is_2, c_1(q - is_1), -c_1 q, -q^3) &= (0, q^3, c_1 q, -c_1 q, -q^3) + i(0, s_2, -s_2, 0, 0), \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

respectively.

Similarly, the fourth and fifth rows in (2.12) can be represented as

$$\begin{aligned} (0, q^3, c_1 q, -c_1(q - is_1), -(q^3 + is_2)) &= (0, q^3, c_1 q, -c_1 q, -q^3) + i(0, 0, 0, s_2, -s_2), \\ (0, q^4, c_1 q^3, -c_1(q^3 + is_2), -(q^4 + is_1)) &= (0, q^4, c_1 q^3, -c_1 q^3, -q^4) - i(0, 0, 0, c_1 s_2, s_1), \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

respectively. Hence the initial matrix (2.12) can be divided into two parts,  $\Phi_5 X_5 = s_2^{-1} \mathcal{A}^{(s)} + i \mathcal{B}^{(s)}$ , where

$$\mathcal{A}^{(s)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & c_1 & -c_1 & -1 \\ 0 & q^4 & c_1 q^3 & -c_1 q^3 & -q^4 \\ 0 & q^3 & c_1 q & -c_1 q & -q^3 \\ 0 & q^3 & c_1 q & -c_1 q & -q^3 \\ 0 & q^4 & c_1 q^3 & -c_1 q^3 & -q^4 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{B}^{(s)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -c_2 & c_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -c_1 & c_2 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (2.15)$$

From the explicit form of the matrix  $\mathcal{A}^{(s)}$  in (2.15) it is evident that  $\mathcal{A}^{(s)} f^{(s)} = 0$ . In addition, with only eight non-zero elements, the  $5 \times 5$  matrix  $\mathcal{B}^{(s)}$  is a sparse matrix. Consequently, identity (2.10) is proved with the identification of the explicit forms of both operators  $\mathcal{A}^{(s)}$  and the matrix  $\mathcal{B}^{(s)}$ .

Alternatively, the same matrix (2.12) can be split into two parts:  $\Phi_5 X_5 = s_2^{-1} (\mathcal{A}^{(a)} + \mathcal{B}^{(a)})$ , where

$$\mathcal{A}^{(a)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & -c_1 & -c_1 & -1 \\ 0 & -q^4 & -c_1 q^3 & -c_1 q^3 & -q^4 \\ 0 & -q^3 & -c_1 q & -c_1 q & -q^3 \\ 0 & q^3 & c_1 q & c_1 q & q^3 \\ 0 & q^4 & c_1 q^3 & c_1 q^3 & q^4 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{B}^{(a)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 & 2c_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c_1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c_2 & c_1^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -c_1^2 & -c_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -c_1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (2.16)$$

From the explicit form of matrix  $\mathcal{A}^{(a)}$  in (2.16) it is evident that  $\mathcal{A}^{(a)} f^{(a)} = 0$ . The  $5 \times 5$  matrix  $\mathcal{B}^{(a)}$ , with only 10 nonzero elements, is also a sparse matrix. Consequently, identity (2.11) is also proved, with the identification of the explicit forms of both operators  $\mathcal{A}^{(a)}$  and the matrix  $\mathcal{B}^{(a)}$ . This completes the proof of the proposition.  $\square$

### 3. EIGENVECTORS AND EIGENVALUES OF THE DISCRETE NUMBER OPERATOR $\mathcal{N}_5$

This section begins with the derivation of an explicit form of the eigenvectors and eigenvalues of discrete number operator  $\mathcal{N}_5$ .

1°. Similar to the continuous case (1.5), the lowest eigenvector  $f_0$  of the discrete number operator  $\mathcal{N}_5$  is obtained by solving the difference equation

$$A_5 f_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (X_5 + D_5) f_0 = 0. \quad (3.1)$$

This vector  $f_0$  must be  $P_d$ -symmetric, i.e. it can be written as  $f_0 = (x_0, x_1, x_2, x_2, x_1)^\top$ . Therefore the matrix form of Equation (3.1) is:

$$A_5 f_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & s_1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & s_2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & -s_2 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -s_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_0 \\ x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_2 \\ x_1 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ s_1 x_1 + x_2 - x_0 \\ s_2 x_2 + x_2 - x_1 \\ x_1 - s_2 x_2 - x_2 \\ x_0 - s_1 x_1 - x_2 \end{bmatrix} = 0.$$

Thus, only two linearly independent equations

$$x_0 = s_1 x_1 + x_2, \quad x_1 = x_2 (1 + s_2), \quad (3.2)$$

interconnecting components  $x_0$ ,  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  were obtained. Hence the lowest eigenvector

$$f_0 = x_2 (\xi_0, \xi_1, 1, 1, \xi_1)^\top, \quad \xi_0 = s_1 - 2c_2, \quad \xi_1 = 1 + s_2, \quad (3.3)$$

is determined up to the multiplicative factor  $x_2$ , the explicit form of which is given as follows.

It is directly verified that  $\Phi_5 f_0 = f_0$ ; moreover, from this formula and the second identity in (1.4) it follows that:

$$\Phi_5 f_k = i^k f_k, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_5. \quad (3.4)$$

Recall that the functions  $\psi_n(x)$  from (1.6) possess a simple transformation property with respect to the Fourier transform: *they are eigenfunctions of the Fourier transform, associated with the eigenvalues  $i^n$ ,*

$$(\mathcal{F}\psi_n)(x) \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{ixy} \psi_n(y) dy = i^n \psi_n(x). \quad (3.5)$$

Thus, Equation (3.4) is a discrete analog of the continuous case (3.5).

It should be emphasized that owing to the simplicity of defining Equation (3.1) for the lowest eigenvector  $f_0$ , there was no need to use Proposition 1 in the first step.

**2°.** To define next to the  $f_0$  eigenvector  $f_1$ , we evaluate

$$\begin{aligned} A_5^\top f_0 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (X_5 - D_5) f_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (X_5 - i\Phi_5 X_5 \Phi_5^\dagger) f_0 = \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (X_5 - i\Phi_5 X_5) f_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (X_5 + \mathcal{B}^{(s)}) f_0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

by using the unitary equivalence of operators  $-iD_5$  and  $X_5$  in the first step, the identity  $\Phi_5^\dagger f_0 = f_0$ , which follows from (3.4), in the second step, and the identity (2.10) in the third step. Upon rewriting (3.6) in matrix form, we arrive at the following:

$$A_5^\top f_0 = \frac{x_2}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -c_2\xi_1 & c_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \xi_1 - 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 - \xi_1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -c_1 & c_2\xi_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \xi_0 \\ \xi_1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ \xi_1 \end{bmatrix} = 2^{1/2} x_2 s_1 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \xi_1 \\ c_1 \\ -c_1 \\ -\xi_1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (3.7)$$

where the readily verified identity  $c_2\xi_1^2 = c_1 - 2s_1\xi_1$  is considered. Hence, the unit-length  $P_d$ -antisymmetric eigenvector  $f_1$  is of the form

$$f_1 = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{s_2\xi_1}} (0, \xi_1, c_1, -c_1, -\xi_1)^\top. \quad (3.8)$$

To find explicitly the eigenvalue  $\lambda_1$  of the discrete number operator  $\mathcal{N}_5 = A_5^\top A_5$ , associated with the eigenvector  $f_1$ , one evaluates first

$$\begin{aligned} A_5 f_1 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (X_5 + D_5) f_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (X_5 + i\Phi_5 X_5 \Phi_5^\dagger) f_1 = \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (X_5 + \Phi_5 X_5) f_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (X_5 + s_2^{-1} \mathcal{B}^{(a)}) f_1 = u, \\ u &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2s_2\xi_1}} (2\xi_1, s_1\xi_1 + c_1, c_2 - c_1^2 s_2, c_2 - c_1^2 s_2, s_1\xi_1 + c_1)^\top, \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

by using the unitary equivalence of operators  $-iD_5$  and  $X_5$  in the first step, the identity  $\Phi_5^\dagger f_1 = -if_1$ , which follows from (3.4), in the second step, and the identity (2.11) in the third step. It is readily verified that the  $P_d$ -symmetric vector  $u$  is the eigenvector of the DFT operator  $\Phi_5$ , that is,  $\Phi_5 u = u$ . Therefore one may use identity (2.10) to evaluate

$$\begin{aligned} A_5^\top A_5 f_1 &= A_5^\top u = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (X_5 - D_5) u = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (X_5 - i\Phi_5 X_5 \Phi_5^\dagger) u = \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (X_5 - i\Phi_5 X_5) u = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (X_5 + \mathcal{B}^{(s)}) u = \lambda_1 f_1, \quad \lambda_1 = [c_1(s_2 - 1) + 7]/2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

**3°.** To define the next eigenvector  $f_2$ , we evaluate

$$\begin{aligned} A_5^\top f_1 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (X_5 - D_5) f_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (X_5 - i\Phi_5 X_5 \Phi_5^\dagger) f_1 = \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (X_5 - \Phi_5 X_5) f_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (X_5 - s_2^{-1} \mathcal{B}^{(a)}) f_1, \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

using the identity  $\Phi_5^\dagger f_1 = -if_1$  and identity (2.11). Upon rewriting the right-hand side of (3.11) in matrix form, we obtain

$$A_5^\top f_1 = \frac{1}{2\xi_1^{1/2} (2s)_2^{3/2}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -2 & -2c_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -c_2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -c_2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & c_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & c_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \xi_1 \\ c_1 \\ -c_1 \\ -\xi_1 \end{bmatrix} = \sqrt{s_1(s_1 - c_2)/2} f_2, \quad (3.12)$$

where the unit-length  $P_d$ -symmetric eigenvector  $f_2$  is of the form

$$f_2 = \frac{1}{2s_2} \left( -2c_1, 1, 1, 1, 1 \right)^\top. \quad (3.13)$$

To find explicitly the eigenvalue  $\lambda_2$  of the discrete number operator  $\mathcal{N}_5 = A_5^\top A_5$ , associated with the eigenvector  $f_2$ , one evaluates first

$$\begin{aligned} A_5 f_2 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (X_5 + D_5) f_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (X_5 + i\Phi_5 X_5 \Phi_5^\dagger) f_2 = \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (X_5 - i\Phi_5 X_5) f_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (X_5 + \mathcal{B}^{(s)}) f_2 = \sqrt{s_1(s_1 - c_2)/2} f_1, \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

using the identity  $\Phi_5^\dagger f_2 = -f_2$ , which follows from (3.4), and identity (2.10). Then, from (3.12) and (3.14) it follows that

$$A_5^\top A_5 f_2 = \sqrt{s_1(s_1 - c_2)/2} A_5^\top f_1 = [s_1(s_1 - c_2)/2] f_2 = \lambda_2 f_2, \quad \lambda_2 = s_1(s_1 - c_2)/2. \quad (3.15)$$

4°. To define the next eigenvector  $f_3$ , we evaluate

$$\begin{aligned} A_5^\top f_2 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (X_5 - D_5) f_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (X_5 - i\Phi_5 X_5 \Phi_5^\dagger) f_2 = \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (X_5 + i\Phi_5 X_5) f_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (X_5 - \mathcal{B}^{(s)}) f_2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

using the identity  $\Phi_5^\dagger f_2 = -f_2$ , which follows from (3.4), and identity (2.10). Upon rewriting the right-hand side of Equation (3.16) in matrix form, we arrive at the following:

$$A_5^\top f_2 = \frac{1}{2^{3/2} s_2} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & s_1 + c_2 & -c_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & \xi_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\xi_1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & c_1 & -(s_1 + c_2) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -2c_1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{2^{3/2} c_1} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 - s_2 \\ c_1 \\ -c_1 \\ s_2 - 1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (3.17)$$

Hence the unit-length  $P_d$ -antisymmetric eigenvector  $f_3$  is of the form

$$f_3 = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{s_2(s_2 - 1)}} \left( 0, 1 - s_2, c_1, -c_1, s_2 - 1 \right)^\top. \quad (3.18)$$

To find explicitly the eigenvalue  $\lambda_3$  of the discrete number operator  $\mathcal{N}_5 = A_5^\top A_5$ , associated with the eigenvector  $f_3$ , one evaluates first

$$\begin{aligned} A_5 f_3 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (X_5 + D_5) f_3 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (X_5 + i\Phi_5 X_5 \Phi_5^\dagger) f_3 = \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (X_5 - \Phi_5 X_5) f_3 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (X_5 - s_2^{-1} \mathcal{B}^{(a)}) f_3 = \sqrt{s_1(s_1 + c_2)/2} f_2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

using the identity  $\Phi_5^\dagger f_3 = if_3$ , which follows from (3.4), and identity (2.11). Then, from (3.17) and (3.19), it follows that

$$A_5^\top A_5 f_3 = \sqrt{s_1(s_1 + c_2)/2} A_5^\top f_2 = [s_1(s_1 + c_2)/2] f_3 = \lambda_3 f_3, \quad \lambda_3 = s_1(s_1 + c_2)/2. \quad (3.20)$$

5°. Finally, to define the last eigenvector  $f_4$ , we evaluate

$$\begin{aligned} A_5^\top f_3 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (X_5 - D_5) f_3 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (X_5 - i\Phi_5 X_5 \Phi_5^\dagger) f_3 = \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (X_5 + \Phi_5 X_5) f_3 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (X_5 + s_2^{-1} \mathcal{B}^{(a)}) f_3, \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

using the identity  $\Phi_5^\dagger f_3 = i f_3$  and identity (2.11). Upon rewriting the right-hand side of (3.21) in matrix form, we obtain

$$A_5^\top f_3 = \frac{1}{2c_1 s_2 \sqrt{2\lambda_3}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 & 2c_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3c_1 + 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c_2 & 3 - 2c_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2c_1 - 3 & -c_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -(3c_1 + 1) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 - s_2 \\ c_1 \\ -c_1 \\ s_2 - 1 \end{bmatrix} = v, \quad (3.22)$$

where the  $P_d$ -symmetric vector  $v$  is of the form

$$v = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_3}}{2s_2} \left( 2c_1, -(2s_2 + 1), 2s_2 + 3 - 2c_1, 2s_2 + 3 - 2c_1, -(2s_2 + 1) \right)^\top. \quad (3.23)$$

It is readily verified now that the  $P_d$ -symmetric vector  $v$  is the eigenvector of the DFT operator  $\Phi_5$ , that is,  $\Phi_5 v = v$ . To find explicitly the eigenvalue  $\lambda_4$  of the discrete number operator  $\mathcal{N}_5 = A_5^\top A_5$ , associated with the eigenvector  $v$ , one evaluates first

$$\begin{aligned} A_5 v &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (X_5 + D_5) v = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (X_5 + i\Phi_5 X_5 \Phi_5^\dagger) v = \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (X_5 + i\Phi_5 X_5) v = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (X_5 - \mathcal{B}^{(s)}) v = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (7 - c_1 - c_1 s_2) f_3. \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

Then from (3.22) and (3.24) it follows at once that

$$A_5^\top A_5 v = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (7 - c_1 - c_1 s_2) A_5^\top f_3 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (7 - c_1 - c_1 s_2) v = \lambda_4 v, \quad \lambda_4 = [7 - c_1(1 + s_2)]/2. \quad (3.25)$$

Therefore, a  $P_d$ -symmetric eigenvector  $f_4$  of unit length is simply a properly normalized version of vector  $v$ , that is,  $v = \sqrt{\lambda_4} f_4$  and

$$f_4 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_2 \lambda_4}} \left( 2, c_2 - 2s_1, 2s_1 - c_2 + 2c_1, 2s_1 - c_2 + 2c_1, c_2 - 2s_1 \right)^\top. \quad (3.26)$$

**Remark 3.1.** It should be noted that by defining all the eigenvalues  $\lambda_1 = [c_1(s_2 - 1) + 7]/2$ ,  $\lambda_2 = s_1(s_1 - c_2)/2$ ,  $\lambda_3 = s_1(s_1 + c_2)/2$ , and  $\lambda_4 = [7 - c_1(1 + s_2)]/2$ , it is possible to uniformly write all the preceding  $f_4$  eigenvectors of unit length as

$$\begin{aligned} f_0 &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{\lambda_2 \lambda_4}} (s_1 - 2c_2, 1 + s_2, 1, 1, 1 + s_2)^\top, & f_1 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\lambda_2}} (0, s_1 - c_2, 1, -1, c_2 - s_1)^\top, \\ f_2 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_2 \lambda_3}} (2, c_2, c_2, c_2, c_2)^\top, & f_3 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\lambda_3}} (0, -(s_1 + c_2), 1, -1, s_1 + c_2)^\top. \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

Note also that the eigenvectors  $f_k$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_5$ , from (3.26) and (3.27) are orthonormalized, that is,

$$(f_k, f_l) = \delta_{k,l}, \quad k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_5. \quad (3.28)$$

#### 4. EXPLICIT FORMS OF DISCRETE ANALOGS

**1°.** Thus, the above formulated approach to finding the eigenvectors of the discrete number operator  $\mathcal{N}_5 = A_5^\top A_5$  really leads to the definition of a certain hierarchy of the ladder type that these eigenvectors form. It only remains to consider that the compact form of this hierarchy still contains another parameter, which can be interpreted as follows.

It is well known that if  $A$  and  $B$  are  $n \times n$  matrices, then  $AB$  and  $BA$  have the same eigenvalues (see [19], p.54). Hence the operator  $\mathcal{N}_5^{(s)} := A_5 A_5^\top$  has the same 5 eigenvalues  $\lambda_n$  as the DFT operator  $\mathcal{N}_5 = A_5^\top A_5$ , that is,

$$\mathcal{N}_5^{(s)} g_n = A_5 A_5^\top g_n = \lambda_n g_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_5. \quad (4.1)$$

Moreover, it is readily verified that the eigenvectors  $g_n$  and  $f_n$  of the operators  $\mathcal{N}_5^{(s)} = A_5 A_5^\top$  and  $\mathcal{N}_5 = A_5^\top A_5$ , respectively, are interrelated as

$$\begin{aligned} g_0 &= \sin \varphi f_0 + \cos \varphi f_4 = \eta \left( \frac{s_1^2}{4} f_0 + f_4 \right), \\ g_1 &= \cos \varphi f_0 - \sin \varphi f_4 = \eta \left( f_0 - \frac{s_1^2}{4} f_4 \right), \\ g_2 &= f_1, & g_3 &= f_2, & g_4 &= f_3, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\cos \varphi &= 4/\sqrt{21-5c_2} = \eta, & \sin \varphi &= s_1^2/\sqrt{21-5c_2} = \eta s_1^2/4, \\ \varphi &= \arctan\left(s_1^2/4\right) = \arctan\left[(5+\sqrt{5})/8\right] = 42, 13^\circ,\end{aligned}\quad (4.2)$$

where the parameter  $\eta = \cos \varphi$  can also be expressed in terms of eigenvalues  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_4$  as  $\eta = 8s_2/\sqrt{\lambda_1 \lambda_4}$ .

**2°.** Having defined the parameter  $\eta$ , the simple geometric interpretation of which is obvious from (4.1) and (4.2), it is now easy to show that this parameter also enters the discrete analog of (1.6) as

$$f_n = \left(\eta \prod_{k=1}^n \lambda_k^{1/2}\right)^{-1} \left(A_5^\top\right)^n f_0, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, 4. \quad (4.3)$$

Recall that in the continuous case  $N\psi_n = \mathbf{a}^\dagger \mathbf{a} \psi_n = n \psi_n$ , hence multiplier  $n!$  in Eq. (1.6) can be expressed as  $n! = 1 \cdot 2 \cdots n = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \cdots \lambda_n$  with  $\lambda_m := m$ , confirming the similarity between (1.6) and (4.3).

Moreover, it turns out that the parameter  $\eta$  essentially contributes into a discrete analogue of the three-term recurrence relation [17]

$$\sqrt{2(n+1)} \psi_{n+1}(x) + \sqrt{2n} \psi_{n-1}(x) = 2x \psi_n(x), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (4.4)$$

associated with continuous cases (1.6). This can be expressed as follows.

From (3.22), (3.23) and (3.26) it follows that  $A_5^\top f_3 = \sqrt{\lambda_4} f_4$ . Consequently, this can be rewritten as:

$$\sqrt{\lambda_4} f_4 = A_5^\top f_3 = (\sqrt{2} X_5 - A_5) f_3 = \sqrt{2} X_5 f_3 - \sqrt{\lambda_3} f_2, \quad (4.5)$$

where the evident identity  $A_5 + A_5^\top = \sqrt{2} X_5$  has been used first, followed by the identity  $A_5 f_3 = \sqrt{\lambda_3} f_2$  from (3.19) and (3.20).

Similarly, from (3.17) and (3.18) it follows that  $A_5^\top f_2 = \sqrt{\lambda_3} f_3$ . Therefore,

$$\sqrt{\lambda_3} f_3 = A_5^\top f_2 = (\sqrt{2} X_5 - A_5) f_2 = \sqrt{2} X_5 f_2 - \sqrt{\lambda_2} f_1, \quad (4.6)$$

because  $A_5 f_2 = \sqrt{\lambda_2} f_1$  by Eq.(3.14). Thus both identities (4.5) and (4.6) represent particular cases of the three-term recurrence relation

$$\sqrt{2\lambda_{n+1}} f_{n+1} + \sqrt{2\lambda_n} f_{n-1} = 2X_5 f_n \quad (4.7)$$

for  $n = 3$  and  $n = 2$ , respectively. Note the similarity between the recurrence relations (4.4) and (4.7).

Finally, from (3.12) it follows that  $A_5^\top f_1 = \sqrt{\lambda_2} f_2$ ; hence

$$\sqrt{\lambda_2} f_2 = A_5^\top f_1 = (\sqrt{2} X_5 - A_5) f_1 = \sqrt{2} X_5 f_1 - \sqrt{\lambda_1} \eta \left(f_0 + \frac{\sqrt{5} c_2}{4} f_4\right), \quad (4.8)$$

where the readily verifiable identity

$$A_5 f_1 = \sqrt{\lambda_1} \left(\cos \varphi f_0 - \sin \varphi f_4\right) = \sqrt{\lambda_1} \eta \left(f_0 + \frac{\sqrt{5} c_2}{4} f_4\right) \quad (4.9)$$

has been used. Thus, Eq. (4.8) represents a four-term recurrence relation

$$\sqrt{2\lambda_2} f_2 + \sqrt{2\lambda_1} \eta \left(f_0 + \frac{\sqrt{5} c_2}{4} f_4\right) = 2X_5 f_1, \quad (4.10)$$

where a linear combination of the eigenvectors  $f_0$  and  $f_4$  appears on the left side, instead of only  $f_0$  [cf.(4.9)]. This difference between (4.7) and (4.10) is a consequence of the fact that operators  $X_5$  and  $Y_5 = -iD_5$  do not satisfy the Heisenberg commutation relation  $[x, p] = i$  for the standard operators  $x$  and  $p$  in quantum mechanics (see [11] for a more detailed discussion of this point).

**3°.** Finally, the above formulas for the explicit form of the eigenvectors  $f_k$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq 4$ , allow us to represent them in the form  $f_k = d_k^{-1} \mathcal{P}_k(X_5) f_0$ , where  $\mathcal{P}_k(X_5)$  is a polynomial in matrix  $X_5$  of degree  $k$ . In this way one can obtain an explicit form of the discrete analog of the second part of formula (1.6) associated with the continuous case. This can be ascertained as follows.

From (3.6)–(3.8) one readily derives that

$$f_1 = \left(\eta \sqrt{\lambda_1}\right)^{-1} A_5^\top f_0 = \left(\eta \sqrt{2\lambda_1}\right)^{-1} \left(X_5 + \mathcal{B}^{(s)}\right) f_0 = d_1^{-1} \mathcal{P}_1(X_5) f_0, \quad (4.11)$$

where  $\mathcal{P}_1(X_5) := X_5 + \mathcal{B}^{(s)}$  and  $d_1 = \eta \sqrt{2\lambda_1}$ .

From (3.11) and (3.12) one similarly derives that

$$f_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_2}} A_5^\top f_1 = \left(2\eta\sqrt{\lambda_1\lambda_2}\right)^{-1} \left(X_5 - s_2^{-1}\mathcal{B}^{(a)}\right)\left(X_5 + \mathcal{B}^{(s)}\right)f_0 = d_2^{-1} \mathcal{P}_2(X_5)f_0, \quad (4.12)$$

where

$$\mathcal{P}_2(X_5) = \left(X_5 - s_2^{-1}\mathcal{B}^{(a)}\right)\left(X_5 + \mathcal{B}^{(s)}\right), \quad d_2 = 2\eta\sqrt{\lambda_1\lambda_2}. \quad (4.13)$$

Also, from (3.16)–(3.18) one similarly gets that

$$f_3 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_3}} A_5^\top f_2 = d_3^{-1} \left(X_5 - \mathcal{B}^{(s)}\right)\left(X_5 - s_2^{-1}\mathcal{B}^{(a)}\right)\left(X_5 + \mathcal{B}^{(s)}\right)f_0 = d_3^{-1} \mathcal{P}_3(X_5)f_0, \quad (4.14)$$

where

$$\mathcal{P}_3(X_5) = \left(X_5 - \mathcal{B}^{(s)}\right)\left(X_5 - s_2^{-1}\mathcal{B}^{(a)}\right)\left(X_5 + \mathcal{B}^{(s)}\right), \quad d_3 = \eta\sqrt{2^3\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3}. \quad (4.15)$$

Finally, from (3.21), (3.23), and (3.26), it follows that

$$f_4 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_4}} A_5^\top f_3 = d_4^{-1} \mathcal{P}_4(X_5)f_0, \quad (4.16)$$

where

$$\mathcal{P}_4(X_5) = \left(X_5 + s_2^{-1}\mathcal{B}^{(a)}\right)\left(X_5 - \mathcal{B}^{(s)}\right)\left(X_5 - s_2^{-1}\mathcal{B}^{(a)}\right)\left(X_5 + \mathcal{B}^{(s)}\right), \quad d_4 = 4\eta\sqrt{\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4}. \quad (4.17)$$

Thus, the discrete analog of the formula  $\psi_n(x) = c_n^{-1} H_n(x) \psi_0(x)$ ,  $c_n = \sqrt{2^n n!}$ , associated with the continuous case, has the form:

$$f_n = d_n^{-1} \mathcal{P}_n(X_5)f_0, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, 4, \quad (4.18)$$

where  $d_n = \eta \prod_{k=1}^n (2\lambda_k)^{1/2}$  and  $\mathcal{P}_n(X_5)$  are the Newtonian basis matrix polynomials in  $X_5$  (see, e.g. [20]–[23] and relevant references quoted therein on various applications of the Newtonian basis), defined as

$$\mathcal{P}_0(X_5) = 1, \quad \mathcal{P}_n(X_5) = (X_5 - M_{n-1}) \cdots (X_5 - M_1)(X_5 - M_0), \quad n = 1, 2, 3, 4, \quad (4.19)$$

with the matrices  $M_0 = -M_2 = -\mathcal{B}^{(s)}$  and  $M_1 = -M_3 = s_2^{-1}\mathcal{B}^{(a)}$  as interpolation nodes at 0,1,2,3. It should be noted that by combining (4.18) with the orthonormality condition of eigenvectors  $f_n$ , it is easy to verify that the polynomials  $\mathcal{P}_n(X_5)$  are orthogonal:

$$\left(\mathcal{P}_k(X_5)f_0, \mathcal{P}_l(X_5)f_0\right) = d_k^2 \delta_{kl}. \quad (4.20)$$

Simultaneously, it is extremely important to emphasize that the matrix polynomials  $\mathcal{P}_n(X_5)$  do not belong to the class of hypergeometric-type polynomials on a Newtonian basis, which necessarily satisfy the standard three-term recurrence relations [20].

## 5. CONCLUSIONS

To summarize, the eigenvalues  $\lambda_n$  and eigenvectors  $f_n$  of the 5D discrete number operator  $\mathcal{N}_5 = A_5^\top A_5$  are evaluated in a systematic way. Because the eigenvalues  $\lambda_n$  are represented by distinct non-negative numbers, the number operator  $\mathcal{N}_5$  has been used to classify eigenvectors of the 5D discrete Fourier transform  $\Phi_5$ , thus resolving the ambiguity caused by the well-known degeneracy of the eigenvalues of the discrete Fourier transform  $\Phi_N$ . A procedure for *sparsealization* the intertwining operators  $A_5$  and  $A_5^\top$  has been formulated, which made it possible to construct the discrete analog (4.3) of the well-known continuous-case formula  $\psi_n(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} (\mathbf{a}^\dagger)^n \psi_0(x)$ . In addition, a discrete analog for the eigenvectors  $f_n$  of the continuous-case formula  $\psi_n(x) = c_n^{-1} H_n(x) \psi_0(x)$ ,  $c_n = \sqrt{2^n n!}$ , has been established in terms of the Newtonian basis polynomials  $\mathcal{P}_n(X_5)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_5$ , times the lowest eigenvector  $f_0$ . The methodology developed not only deepens the understanding of the discrete Fourier transform but also paves the way for advanced numerical methods in spectral analysis.

### Acknowledgments

I am grateful to Luis Verde-Star and Alexei Zhedanov for their illuminating discussions.

## REFERENCES

- [1] J.H.McClellan and T.W.Parks, *Eigenvalue and eigenvector decomposition of the discrete Fourier transform*, IEEE Trans. Audio Electroac., **AU-20**, 66–74, 1972.
- [2] L.Auslander and R.Tolimieri, *Is computing with the finite Fourier transform pure or applied mathematics?* Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **1**, 847–897, 1979.
- [3] B.W.Dickinson and K.Steiglitz, *Eigenvectors and functions of the discrete Fourier transform* IEEE Trans. Acoust. Speech, **30**, 25–31, 1982.
- [4] M.L.Mehta, *Eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the finite Fourier transform*, J. Math. Phys., **28**, 781–785, 1987.
- [5] V.B.Matveev, *Intertwining relations between the Fourier transform and discrete Fourier transform, the related functional identities and beyond*, Inverse Prob., **17**, 633–657, 2001.
- [6] N.M.Atakishiyev, *On  $q$ -extensions of Mehta’s eigenvectors of the finite Fourier transform*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A, **21**, 4993–5006, 2006.
- [7] R.A.Horn, C.R.Johnson, *Matrix analysis*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2009.
- [8] M.K.Atakishiyeva and N.M.Atakishiyev, *On the raising and lowering difference operators for eigenvectors of the finite Fourier transform*, J. Phys: Conf. Ser., **597**, 012012, 2015.
- [9] M.K.Atakishiyeva and N.M.Atakishiyev, *On algebraic properties of the discrete raising and lowering operators, associated with the  $N$ -dimensional discrete Fourier transform*, Adv. Dyn. Syst. Appl., **11**, 81–92, 2016.
- [10] M.K.Atakishiyeva, N.M.Atakishiyev and J.Loreto-Hernández, *More on algebraic properties of the discrete Fourier transform raising and lowering operators*, 4 Open, **2**, 1–11, 2019.
- [11] M.K.Atakishiyeva, N.M.Atakishiyev and A.Zhedanov, *An algebraic interpretation of the intertwining operators associated with the discrete Fourier transform*, J. Math. Phys., **62**, 101704, 2021.
- [12] A.S.Zhedanov, *“Hidden symmetry” of Askey-Wilson polynomials*, Theoretical and Mathematical Physics **89**, 1146–1157, 1991.
- [13] P.Terwilliger, *The Universal Askey-Wilson Algebra*, SIGMA **7**, 069, 2011.
- [14] T.H.Koornwinder, *The relationship between Zhedanov’s algebra  $AW(3)$  and the double affine Hecke algebra in the rank one case*, SIGMA **3**, 063, 2007.
- [15] T.H.Koornwinder, *Zhedanov’s algebra  $AW(3)$  and the double affine Hecke algebra in the rank one case.II. The spherical subalgebra*, SIGMA **4**, 052, 2008.
- [16] P. Baseilhac, S. Tsujimoto, L. Vinet, and A. Zhedanov, *The Heun-Askey-Wilson Algebra and the Heun Operator of Askey-Wilson Type*, Annales Henri Poincaré **20** 3091–3112, 2019.
- [17] L.D.Landau, E.M.Lifshitz, *Quantum Mechanics (Non-relativistic Theory)*, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1991.
- [18] M.C.Pereyra and L.A.Ward, *Harmonic analysis: from Fourier to wavelets*, AMS, Providence, Rhode Island, 2012.
- [19] J.H.Wilkinson, *The Algebraic Eigenvalue Problem*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 2004.
- [20] L.Vinet and A.Zhedanov, *Hypergeometric Orthogonal Polynomials with respect to Newtonian Bases*, SIGMA **12**, 048, 2011.
- [21] L.Verde-Star, *Characterization and construction of classical orthogonal polynomials using a matrix approach*, Linear Algebra and its Applications., **438**, 3635–3648, 2013.
- [22] L.Verde-Star, *A unified construction of all the hypergeometric and basic hypergeometric families of orthogonal polynomial sequences*, Linear Algebra and its Applications., **627**, 242–274, 2021.
- [23] L.Verde-Star, *Linearization and connection coefficients of polynomial sequences: A matrix approach*, Linear Algebra and its Applications., **672**, 195–209, 2023.

UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL AUTÓNOMA DE MÉXICO, INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIDAD CUERNAVACA, CUERNAVACA, 62210, MORELOS, MÉXICO