

A QUBO Formulation for the Generalized Takuzu/LinkedIn Tango Game

Alejandro Mata Ali^{1,2} and Edgar Mencia^{2,3}

¹Instituto Tecnológico de Castilla y León (ITCL), Spain

²Quantum Information and Quantum Computing Group, QuantumQuipu, National University of San Marcos, Av. Germán Amézaga s/n. Ciudad Universitaria, Lima, Perú

³Thinkcomm SRL, (1527) Asunción, Paraguay

In this paper we present a QUBO formulation for the Takuzu game (or Binairo), for the most recent LinkedIn game, Tango, and for its generalizations. We optimize the number of variables needed to solve the combinatorial problem, making it suitable to be solved by quantum devices with fewer resources.

1 Introduction

Combinatorial problems are known for their practical application in academic and industrial contexts, but also for their usefulness in creating entertaining games. Some of these are the Akari, the KenKen, the Takuzu or the N-queens, which led to different combinatorial games, among which is the LinkedIn Queens.

Starting an interesting line of combinatorial games, LinkedIn has recently announced its new game called Tango, a version of the Takuzu game (also named Binairo or 0h h1) for a 6×6 board, which consists of placing a set of suns and moons on a board following a series of constraints. This game can be visualized as a single-player version of tic-tac-toe, and has some applications such as the modeling of quantum agents [1]. This problem has been solved previously using genetic algorithms [2].

Quantum computing has gained popularity in the field of combinatorial optimization, especially thanks to problems that can be expressed with a Quadratic unconstrained binary optimization (QUBO) formulation [3], since they can be tackled naturally by algorithms such as the Quantum

Alejandro Mata Ali: alejandro.mata@itcl.es

Edgar Mencia: edmenciab@gmail.com

Approximate Optimization Algorithm (QAOA) [4] or with Quantum Annealers [5].

Due to the popularity of Takuzu as a combinatorial problem and its connection to quantum computing, it is interesting to propose a QUBO formulation for Takuzu, Tango and generalizations of it that we want to formulate, following the line of the previous work where we presented the QUBO formulation of the Queens [6].

2 Takuzu and LinkedIn's Tango Problems

The problem to be solved in Takuzu is the following:

Given a square board of dimension $N \times M$ cells, where each cell can contain only one 0 or 1, initially with N_{I0} zeros placed at positions A_{I0} and N_{I1} ones placed at positions A_{I1} , we have to determine for each cell whether there should be a zero or a one. The constraints are as follows:

- In each row and column there must be as many zeros as ones.
- There cannot be more than two zeros or ones in a row vertically or horizontally.
- No two rows and no two columns can have the same combination of zeros and ones.

A solution to this problem can be seen in Fig. 1 a.

The Tango problem is very similar, but adding additional constraints and removing the restriction of repeating rows or columns. It is expressed as follows:

Given a square board of dimension 6×6 cells, where each cell can contain only one sun icon or




Figure 1: Solution for a problem a) Takuzu/0h h1 6 x 6, b) Tango

one moon icon, initially with N_{IS} suns placed at positions A_{IS} and N_{IM} moons placed at positions A_{IM} , we have to determine for each cell whether there should be a sun or a moon. The constraints are as follows:

- In each row and column there must be as many moons as suns.
- There cannot be more than two suns or moons in a row vertically or horizontally.
- There are cells between which there is an '=' symbol, which indicates that in both cells there must be the same icon.
- There are cells between which there is an 'x' symbol, which indicates that there must be a different icon in both cells.

A solution to this problem can be seen in Fig. 1 b.

In this case, we can see that if we replace the suns by zeros and the moons by ones, and allow the board to be $N \times M$, we will have a generalization of Takuzu. We call this problem the Takuzu/Tango Problem (TTP).

To formulate this problem, the state of a board is given by a set of variables x_{ij} , which indicate the value in the cell of row i and column j . Therefore, the constraints of the problem can be expressed as:

- Row regularity: there must be as many zeros as ones in each row:

$$\sum_{j=1}^M x_{ij} = \frac{M}{2} \quad \forall i \in [1, N]. \quad (1)$$

- Column regularity: there must be as many zeros as ones in each column:

$$\sum_{i=1}^N x_{ij} = \frac{N}{2} \quad \forall j \in [1, M]. \quad (2)$$

- Vertical repetition condition: there cannot be more than two zeros or ones in a row vertically. Therefore, for each group of 3 vertically consecutive cells, there can only be 1 or 2 ones, which implies that their associated variables can only add up to 1 or 2:

$$\sum_{k=i}^{i+2} x_{kj} \in \{1, 2\} \quad \forall i \in [1, N-2], j \in [1, M]. \quad (3)$$

- Horizontal repetition condition: there cannot be more than two zeros or ones in a row horizontally. Therefore, for each group of 3 horizontally consecutive cells, there can only be 1 or 2 ones, which implies that their associated variables can only add up to 1 or 2:

$$\sum_{k=j}^{j+2} x_{ik} \in \{1, 2\} \quad \forall i \in [1, N], j \in [1, M-2]. \quad (4)$$

- Equal sign condition: If there is an '=' symbol between two cells, both cells must have the same value. These symbols can be between two cells horizontally or between two cells vertically. There are N_{He} horizontal symbols and N_{Ve} vertical symbols. The k -th horizontal '=' is between positions (I_k^{He}, J_k^{He}) and $(I_k^{He}, J_k^{He}+1)$, while the k -th vertical '=' is between positions (I_k^{Ve}, J_k^{Ve}) and $(I_k^{Ve}+1, J_k^{Ve})$. Therefore, for the horizontals, the following is satisfied

$$x_{I_k^{He}, J_k^{He}} = x_{I_k^{He}, J_k^{He}+1} \quad \forall k \in [1, N_{He}], \quad (5)$$

and for the verticals

$$x_{I_k^{Ve}, J_k^{Ve}} = x_{I_k^{Ve}+1, J_k^{Ve}} \quad \forall k \in [1, N_{Ve}]. \quad (6)$$

- Cross sign condition: If there is an 'x' symbol between two cells, both cells must have different value. These symbols can be between two cells horizontally or between two cells vertically. There are N_{Hc} horizontal symbols and N_{Vc} vertical symbols. The k -th

horizontal 'x' is between positions (I_k^{Hc}, J_k^{Hc}) and $(I_k^{Hc}, J_k^{Hc} + 1)$, while the k -th vertical 'x' is between positions (I_k^{Vc}, J_k^{Vc}) and $(I_k^{Vc} + 1, J_k^{Vc})$. Therefore, for the horizontals, the following is satisfied

$$x_{I_k^{Hc}, J_k^{Hc}} \neq x_{I_k^{Hc}, J_k^{Hc}+1} \quad \forall k \in [1, N_{Hc}], \quad (7)$$

and for the verticals

$$x_{I_k^{Vc}, J_k^{Vc}} \neq x_{I_k^{Vc}+1, J_k^{Vc}} \quad \forall k \in [1, N_{Vc}]. \quad (8)$$

- Global non-repetition constraint: no two rows can have the same combination of zeros and ones. As the positions of the ones condition those of the zeros, we will only have to consider those of the ones. If two cells in the same row are at one, the product of their values will be one. In any other case it will be zero. Therefore, all the elements of two rows will coincide if the sum of their products is equal to the number of ones in each row.

$$\sum_{j=1}^M x_{aj}x_{bj} < \frac{M}{2} \quad \forall a, b \in [1, N] \mid a \neq b. \quad (9)$$

Neither can there be two columns with the same combination of zeros and ones.

$$\sum_{i=1}^N x_{ia}x_{ib} < \frac{N}{2} \quad \forall a, b \in [1, M] \mid a \neq b. \quad (10)$$

3 QUBO Formulation

The QUBO formulation of this problem is composed of different terms that are responsible for imposing each of the constraints. Before starting to formulate each term, we will discuss how to optimize the number of variables to be determined by fixing trivial values for some variables given an initialization. This way, some variables will have a fixed value in the QUBO terms, but they will not be introduced as variables to be optimized in algorithms such as QAOA or Quantum Annealers, but will be part of the coefficients of the cost matrix. This makes it possible to reduce the resources, e.g. qubits, to be used in quantum devices.

If we have a set of zeros and ones placed in their positions A_{I_0} and A_{I_1} , the variables associated with those cells do not need to be determined. This will reduce the number of variables to optimize to $NM - N_{I_0} - N_{I_1}$.

In case any of these already fixed variables is adjacent to an '=' symbol, we will trivially fix the adjacent variable to its same value. If the adjacent symbol is an 'x', we will fix the adjacent variable to the opposite value. This allows us to remove those variables from the optimization in the same way.

In case a row or column has already fixed as many zeros or ones as it should have by the regularity condition, the rest of the variables are set to the opposite value, as this is the only way to satisfy this constraint. With this we can eliminate even more variables trivially.

In case there are 2 adjacent variables fixed with the same value, we will automatically know that the ones adjacent to both of them that follow in their line have to have the opposite value, which allows us to fix some more variables.

Once the number of variables to be determined has been optimized, we proceed with the creation of the QUBO terms. The first term we need to create is the horizontal repetition term, which is

$$Q_{HR} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{N,M-2} \left(\frac{3}{2} - \sum_{k=j}^{j+2} x_{ik} \right)^2 \quad (11)$$

where we have that the minimum is centered between 1 and 2 using a fractional QUBO term [7], so that in each horizontal group of 3 variables the minimum value of the energy ($\frac{1}{4}$) is given when 1 or 2 variables have the value 1. For the vertical condition, we have an analogous term

$$Q_{VR} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{N-2,M} \left(\frac{3}{2} - \sum_{k=i}^{i+2} x_{kj} \right)^2. \quad (12)$$

For the regularization conditions, we only need a term that imposes that the sum of the variables per row or column results in a specific value. These terms are for each case

$$Q_{Hr} = \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{M}{2} - \sum_{j=1}^M x_{ij} \right)^2, \quad (13)$$

$$Q_{Vr} = \sum_{j=1}^M \left(\frac{N}{2} - \sum_{i=1}^N x_{ij} \right)^2. \quad (14)$$

Finally, we will have the 4 terms necessary to impose the constraints of '=' horizontal, '=' vertical, 'x' horizontal and 'x' vertical. For the '=' terms, we need the energy when they are equal

to be zero. We achieve this by knowing that, for a binary case $x(1-y) + (1-x)y$ is null if $x = y$ and 1 if $x \neq y$. We need the second summand so that there is no null if $x = 0$ and $y = 1$. Thus, the terms for equals are

$$Q_{He} = \sum_{k=1}^{N_{He}} \left(x_{I_k^{He}, J_k^{He}} \left(1 - x_{I_k^{He}, J_k^{He}+1} \right) + \left(1 - x_{I_k^{He}, J_k^{He}} \right) x_{I_k^{He}, J_k^{He}+1} \right), \quad (15)$$

$$Q_{Ve} = \sum_{k=1}^{N_{Ve}} \left(x_{I_k^{Ve}, J_k^{Ve}} \left(1 - x_{I_k^{Ve}+1, J_k^{Ve}} \right) + \left(1 - x_{I_k^{Ve}, J_k^{Ve}} \right) x_{I_k^{Ve}+1, J_k^{Ve}} \right). \quad (16)$$

For the case 'x' we need a term that is null only when both values are different. To do so, we will take advantage of the fact that $xy + (1-x)(1-y)$ is equal to 0 if $x \neq y$ and 1 if $x = y$. Thus, the terms are

$$Q_{Hc} = \sum_{k=1}^{N_{Hc}} \left(x_{I_k^{Hc}, J_k^{Hc}} x_{I_k^{Hc}, J_k^{Hc}+1} + \left(1 - x_{I_k^{Hc}, J_k^{Hc}} \right) \left(1 - x_{I_k^{Hc}, J_k^{Hc}+1} \right) \right), \quad (17)$$

$$Q_{Vc} = \sum_{k=1}^{N_{Vc}} \left(x_{I_k^{Vc}, J_k^{Vc}} x_{I_k^{Vc}+1, J_k^{Vc}} + \left(1 - x_{I_k^{Vc}, J_k^{Vc}} \right) \left(1 - x_{I_k^{Vc}+1, J_k^{Vc}} \right) \right). \quad (18)$$

Given this formulation, we can perform the following optimization of variables by taking advantage of the symbols '=' and 'x'. As we know, if there is one of these symbols between two cells, both variables must have the same or different value. In this case in which we can only have two possible values, this implies that these two variables are going to be completely dependent, so that if we determine one, the other is automatically determined. Therefore, these last four terms of the formulation are unnecessary if we reduce the number of variables as follows.

In our optimization, we optimize only one of the variables of the pair affected by the symbols, and in case we have a chain of variables all affected by each other, we optimize only one of them. The rule we follow is that in each horizontal pair, we optimize the variable on the left,

and in each vertical pair, the one on top. In case of having whole regions affected, we choose the variable that is more to the left, and in case of having several in the same column, the one that is higher among them.

To take this dependence into account, we will make the following substitution in the first four terms:

- In the case of having an '=' between (i, j) and $(i, j+1)$

$$x_{i,j+1} = x_{i,j}. \quad (19)$$

- In the case of having an '=' between (i, j) and $(i+1, j)$

$$x_{i+1,j} = x_{i,j}. \quad (20)$$

- In the case of having an 'x' between (i, j) and $(i, j+1)$

$$x_{i,j+1} = (1 - x_{i,j}). \quad (21)$$

- In the case of having an 'x' between (i, j) and $(i+1, j)$

$$x_{i+1,j} = (1 - x_{i,j}). \quad (22)$$

In this way, we are able to impose this constraint automatically, without having to optimize it, reducing the number of variables to optimize. Thus, the number of variables to be optimized will be, at the most

$$NM - N_{I0} - N_{I1} - N_{He} - N_{Hc} - N_{Ve} - N_{Vc}.$$

Finally, the global non-repetition condition cannot be written as a QUBO term, so we will have to be confident that the solutions to the problem do not conflict with this constraint.

Therefore, the QUBO formulation of the TTP is

$$\begin{aligned} Q &= Q_{HR} + Q_{VR} + Q_{Hr} + Q_{Vr} = \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^{N,M-2} \left(\frac{3}{2} - \sum_{k=j}^{j+2} x_{ik} \right)^2 + \\ &+ \sum_{i,j=1}^{N-2,M} \left(\frac{3}{2} - \sum_{k=i}^{i+2} x_{kj} \right)^2 + \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{M}{2} - \sum_{j=1}^M x_{ij} \right)^2 + \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^M \left(\frac{N}{2} - \sum_{i=1}^N x_{ij} \right)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Thus, a solution to the problem that satisfies the constraints will have an energy of $\frac{1}{2}(NM - N - M)$.

4 Generalizations of the TTP

Having solved the original problem, we will present several generalizations of TTP. The first generalization we propose is to allow for each row or column to have a different number of zeros than ones. Since we know that the number of ones determines the number of zeros, we can say that if there are N cells in that direction, we want there to be N_1 ones and $N - N_1$ zeros. This can also change between rows and columns. We say that in row i we want $M_{1,i}$ ones, and in column j we want $N_{1,j}$ ones. These generalized terms, for both horizontal and vertical, are

$$Q_{Hr} = \sum_{i=1}^N \left(M_{1,i} - \sum_{j=1}^N x_{ij} \right)^2, \quad (24)$$

$$Q_{Vr} = \sum_{j=1}^M \left(N_{1,j} - \sum_{i=1}^N x_{ij} \right)^2. \quad (25)$$

The second generalization we propose is that, in addition to not being able to have more than two ones or zeros in a row vertically or horizontally, it should not be possible to have more than two ones or zeros diagonally. For this, we define 2 new terms, exactly the same as the original ones for this, but changing the second summation, so that they evaluate the lower diagonals for each cell. We have Q_{DLR} for the left diagonals and Q_{DRR} for the right diagonals:

$$Q_{DLR} = \sum_{i,j=1,3}^{N-2,M} \left(\frac{3}{2} - \sum_{k=0}^2 x_{i+k,j-k} \right)^2, \quad (26)$$

$$Q_{DRR} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{N-2,M-2} \left(\frac{3}{2} - \sum_{k=0}^2 x_{i+k,j+k} \right)^2, \quad (27)$$

being $x_{a,b} = 0 \quad \forall b < 1, a \in [1, N]$, and $\forall b > M, a \in [0, N - 1]$

Another possible generalization is the imposition of a specific number of ones in certain colored regions. That is, for a set of N_R regions, in the k -th region R_k there must be m_k ones. This condition is imposed with a term

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N_R} \left(m_k - \sum_{(i,j) \in R_k} x_{ij} \right)^2. \quad (28)$$

5 Conclusions

We have presented a mathematical formulation for the Takuzu and the LinkedIn Tango games, shown a generalization of it, and created the QUBO formulation that solves it. We have seen that this formulation requires at most $NM - N_{I0} - N_{I1} - N_{He} - N_{Hc} - N_{Ve} - N_{Vc}$ variables, being greatly optimized thanks to the initial conditions and equal/cross terms. We have also presented several generalizations of the problem and their corresponding QUBO formulations.

Further lines of research may be the creation of QUDO or HOBQ formulations for the problem, the creation of a Grover's [8] oracle to solve the problem, its use for benchmarking quantum devices and quantum optimization algorithms, or addressing how to efficiently enforce the row and column non-repetition constraint.

Acknowledgment

This work is framed within the Quipucamayocs, the activities of the QuantumQuipu community.

References

- [1] Alain-Jérôme Fougères. Agent having quantum properties: The superposition states and the entanglement. In Ngoc Thanh Nguyen, George A. Papadopoulos, Piotr Jędrzejowicz, Bogdan Trawiński, and Gottfried Vossen, editors, *Computational Collective Intelligence*, pages 389–398, Cham, 2017. Springer International Publishing. ISBN 978-3-319-67074-4.
- [2] Rachel Anne B. Balagbis and Orven E. Llantos. Solving the binary puzzle with genetic algorithm. *Procedia Computer Science*, 234:954–961, 2024. ISSN 1877-0509. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2024.03.084>. URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1877050924004423>. Seventh Information Systems International Conference (ISICO 2023).
- [3] Fred Glover, Gary Kochenberger, and Yu Du. A tutorial on formulating and using qubo models, 2019.
- [4] Edward Farhi, Jeffrey Goldstone, and Sam

- Gutmann. A quantum approximate optimization algorithm, 2014.
- [5] Atanu Rajak, Sei Suzuki, Amit Dutta, and Bikas K. Chakrabarti. Quantum annealing: an overview. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 381 (2241), December 2022. ISSN 1471-2962. DOI: [10.1098/rsta.2021.0417](https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2021.0417). URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2021.0417>.
- [6] Alejandro Mata Ali and Edgar Mencia. A qubo formulation for the generalized linkedin queens game, 2024. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2410.06429>.
- [7] Iñigo Perez Delgado, Beatriz García Markaida, Alejandro Mata Ali, and Aitor Moreno Fdez. de Leceta. Qubit number optimization for restriction terms of qubo hamiltonians, 2023. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2306.06943>.
- [8] Lov K. Grover. A fast quantum mechanical algorithm for database search. In *Proceedings of the Twenty-Eighth Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing*, STOC '96, page 212–219, New York, NY, USA, 1996. Association for Computing Machinery. ISBN 0897917855. DOI: [10.1145/237814.237866](https://doi.org/10.1145/237814.237866). URL <https://doi.org/10.1145/237814.237866>.