

Strange-antistrange and charm-anticharm asymmetries of pion in 't Hooft model

Mingliang Zhu ^{*},¹ Siwei Hu [†],^{2,3} Yu Jia [‡],^{2,3} Zhewen Mo [§],^{4,2} and Xiaonu Xiong [¶]¹

¹*School of Physics, Central South University, Changsha 410012, China*

²*Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China*

³*School of Physical Sciences, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China*

⁴*Institute of Theoretical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China*

(Dated: December 31, 2024)

As a sequel of our preceding work [S. Hu *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D 108 (2023) 9, 094040], we investigate the strange-antistrange and charm-anticharm asymmetries in the parton distribution functions (PDFs) of a light flavored meson, exemplified by the first excited pion in the 't Hooft model, *viz.*, QCD in two spacetime dimensions with infinite number of colors. Counted as an $\mathcal{O}(1/N_c)$ effect, the intrinsic strange content necessarily originates from the higher Fock component of the light flavored meson, which entails infinite towers of K and \bar{K} mesons. Numerical studies reveal that, with $m_u/m_d = 1/2$, the s - \bar{s} and c - \bar{c} asymmetries of the first excited π^- can reach per cents level. While the s - \bar{s} asymmetry predicted from the meson cloud model (MCM) grossly align with the rigorous approach, there exists severe discrepancy between two approaches on the c - \bar{c} asymmetry.

I. INTRODUCTION

The parton distribution functions (PDFs) encode the key characteristic of the internal nucleon structure, which provide crucial nonperturbative inputs for all the QCD factorization theorem based predictions in the high energy pp , ep collision experiments. Our current knowledge on the proton PDFs has entered the precision era, largely facilitated by the data-driven global fitting recipe [1–5], and by the lattice calculation [6–8]. To date we have already gleaned a wealth of robust knowledge about the valence quark distributions inside nucleon. In contrast, our understanding about the sea quark content of a nucleon, say, the s and c quarks, still remains elusive.

Stimulated by the anomalous value of the Weinberg angle extracted from the νN collision experiment by NuTeV Collaboration [9–11], a flurry of theoretical work has emerged that speculate on the possible s - \bar{s} asymmetries in proton PDF [12–16]. The sea quarks like s , \bar{s} can either arise from the gluon splitting, or from the higher Fock component of the proton, *viz.*, $|uuds\bar{s}\rangle$. Through some careful pQCD analysis, the gluon splitting mechanism was estimated to merely generate very tiny s - \bar{s} asymmetry [17]. Hence the potential s - \bar{s} asymmetry is widely believed to be largely attributed to the higher Fock state of the proton. A popular phenomenological model dubbed the meson cloud model (MCM)[18], in which the the dominant higher Fock component of the proton may be approximated as the Λ baryon surrounded by a kaon cloud, allows one to make some quantitative predictions to the s - \bar{s} asymmetry inside the proton.

The intrinsic heavy quark content of nucleon, such as the intrinsic charm, has also been conjectured to be non-negligible by Brodsky and Hoyer long ago [19, 20]. Nevertheless, in contrast to intrinsic strange, it is widely believed that the intrinsic charm content is highly suppressed, roughly with a speed $\propto 1/m_c^2$ [21]. Recently there have been revived interests toward the intrinsic charm PDF, largely propelled by the $Z + c$ jet measurement by LHC [22] and the latest nucleon PDF released by NNPDF Collaboration [23, 24].

To deepen our knowledge about the nucleon structure, it is compulsive to build some robust understanding toward the intrinsic strange and charm PDFs. While lattice QCD will definitely play a vital role to eventually pin down the intrinsic sea quark distributions and the pertaining asymmetries, it is also desirable to look at this problem from some alternative perspective, in which some transparent physical picture can be drawn and some analytical understanding may be achieved.

It turns out to be useful to learn some lessons about hadron structure from the solvable field theory models. The 't Hooft model [25], the two-dimensional QCD in the $N_c \rightarrow \infty$ limit, has proven to be a fruitful laboratory to meet such

* lightzhu@csu.edu.cn

† husw@ihep.ac.cn

‡ jjiay@ihep.ac.cn

§ mozw@itp.ac.cn

¶ xnxiang@csu.edu.cn

a purpose, since it shares some common features as the realistic QCD in four dimensions, such as color confinement and “spontaneous” chiral symmetry breaking. During the past decades, a plethora of works have been devoted to explore various hadronic phenomena in ’t Hooft model [26–31].

Employing the light-front quantization and working at the $\mathcal{O}(1/N_c)$ accuracy, recently we have investigated the intrinsic charm PDF in a light flavor neutral meson in the ’t Hooft model [32]. It is found that the MCM predictions for intrinsic charm PDF in QCD₂ significantly differs from the rigorous field-theoretical predictions. Since the QCD₂ considered in [32] only entails a single flavor of light quark, thus there is no $c-\bar{c}$ asymmetry in the light flavor neutral meson owing to charge conjugation symmetry.

In this work, we extend the preceding work [32] to consider a situation closer to the realistic QCD₄, in which two lightest quarks u , and d satisfy $m_u/m_d \approx 1/2$, and the lightest meson is the pseudo-Goldstone particle, “pion”. The strange and charm quarks are assumed to be much heavier than the u and d . It is conceivable that the $s-\bar{s}$ and $c-\bar{c}$ asymmetries would be generated by the isospin breaking effect once including the higher Fock component of pion. We compare the numerical predictions from the rigorous field-theoretical calculation and MCM for both $s-\bar{s}$ and $c-\bar{c}$ asymmetries inside the π^- .

As a caveat, it should be warned that the underlying mechanism for color confinement and spontaneous symmetry breaking is utterly different between ’t Hooft model and realistic QCD₄. In particular, the gluon fields in the former are secretly non-dynamical degrees of freedom, due to the absence of transverse space. However, it is still rewarding to use this solvable model to critically test the reliability of some influential phenomenological models, otherwise hardly feasible in the realistic QCD₄. Concretely speaking, the central goal of this work is to examine to which extent, the MCM predictions for $s-\bar{s}$ and $c-\bar{c}$ asymmetries in a pion align with the first principle predictions.

The rest of the paper is distributed as follows. In Sec. II we set up the stage by briefly reviewing the Hamiltonian approach in light-front quantization in QCD₂. In Sec. III we present a rigorous derivation of the strange and antistrange PDFs of a light flavored meson in ’t Hooft model, accurate at $\mathcal{O}(1/N_c)$. The respective PDFs are expressed in terms of the convolution of the mesonic light-cone wave functions. In Sec. IV, we present a derivation of the s and \bar{s} PDFs of a light flavored meson in the spirit of the meson cloud model. In Sec. V, we first explain the recipe of setting the light quark masses, then present the numerical results for the intrinsic s and \bar{s} PDFs of the first excited π^- and the respective asymmetries. To gauge how the asymmetry depends on the sea quark mass, we also investigate the $c-\bar{c}$ asymmetry of the first excited π^- . Finally we summarize in Sec. VI.

II. SET UP THE STAGE

Let us concentrate on the situation $m_u \sim m_d \ll m_s$, where the corresponding QCD₂ Lagrangian reads

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{QCD}_2} = \sum_{q=u,d,s} \bar{q} (i\not{D} - m_q) q - \frac{1}{4} F^{a,\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}^a, \quad (1)$$

where $D_\mu = \partial_\mu - ig_s A_\mu^a T^a$ signifies the color covariant derivative and T^a denotes the generators of the $SU(N_c)$ group in the fundamental representation. The gluon field strength tensor is defined as $F_{\mu\nu}^a \equiv \partial_\mu A_\nu^a - \partial_\nu A_\mu^a + g_s f^{abc} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c$. For convenience, the chiral-Weyl representation for the Dirac γ matrices is used:

$$\gamma^0 = \sigma_1, \quad \gamma^1 = -i\sigma_2, \quad \gamma_5 \equiv \gamma^0\gamma^1 = \sigma_3, \quad (2)$$

where $\sigma_i (i = 1, 2, 3)$ are the Pauli matrices.

The quark spinor field can be decomposed into $q = 2^{-\frac{1}{4}} \begin{pmatrix} q_R \\ q_L \end{pmatrix}$, with $q_{R/L} = \frac{1 \pm \gamma_5}{2} q$ indicating the right-handed and left-handed components.

In this work we are also interested in the $N_c \rightarrow \infty$ limit, specified by the condition

$$N_c \rightarrow \infty, \quad \lambda \equiv \frac{g^2 N_c}{4\pi} \text{ fixed}. \quad (3)$$

The ’t Hooft coupling λ sets the characteristic hadronic scale in QCD₂, analogous to Λ_{QCD} in the realistic QCD₄.

Adopting the light-cone coordinates $x^\pm = x_\mp = (x^0 \pm x^1)/\sqrt{2}$ and impose the light-cone gauge $A^{+a} = 0$, one finds that q_L and A^{-a} are non-propagating auxiliary fields upon using Euler-Lagrangian equation, and the light-front Hamiltonian can be built out of the canonical variables q_R^\dagger and q_R . After equal light-front time quantization, the canonical variable q_R can be expanded in terms of quark annihilation and creation operators:

$$q_R^i = \int_0^\infty \frac{dk^+}{2\pi} \left[b^i(k^+) e^{-ik^+ x^-} + d^{i\dagger}(k^+) e^{ik^+ x^-} \right], \quad (4)$$

with $i = 1, \dots, N_c$ indicating the color index.

The technique of bosonization [33–40] turns out to be useful to diagonalize the light-front Hamiltonian. One can define a set of color-singlet compound operators M , B and D from the quark/antiquark creation and annihilation operators. For example, one defines M as

$$M^{\bar{f}_1 f_2}(k^+, p^+) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_c}} \sum_i d_i^{f_1}(k^+) b_i^{f_2}(p^+), \quad (5)$$

which is subject to the commutation relation:

$$[M^{\bar{f}_1 f_2}(k_1^+, p_1^+), M^{\dagger \bar{f}_3 f_4}(k_2^+, p_2^+)] = (2\pi)^2 \delta_{f_1 f_3} \delta_{f_2 f_4} \delta(k_1^+ - k_2^+) \delta(p_1^+ - p_2^+) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N_c}\right). \quad (6)$$

In a color confining theory, it is the color-singlet quark-antiquark pair, rather than the isolated quark or antiquark, that can be created or annihilated in a physical process. As a consequence, the compound operators B and D are not independent, instead can be expressed as the convolution of the $M^\dagger M$. Henceforth one reaches a LF Hamiltonian build out of the integral over $M^\dagger M$.

In order to diagonalize the LF Hamiltonian, one further trade the compound operators M and M^\dagger for the mesonic annihilation and creation operators m_n and m_n^\dagger (n signifies the n -th excited meson) through the relation:

$$M^{\bar{f}_1 f_2}((1-x)P^+, xP^+) = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{P^+}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \varphi_n^{f_2 \bar{f}_1}(x) m_n^{f_2 \bar{f}_1}(P^+), \quad (7)$$

where the coefficient function $\varphi_n^{f_1 \bar{f}_2}(x)$ is interpreted as the light-cone wave function (LCWF) of the n -th excited meson with the flavor content $f_1 \bar{f}_2$.

It is natural to demand that the mesonic annihilation and creation operators obey the standard commutation relation:

$$\left[m_n^{f_i \bar{f}_j}(P_1^+), m_r^{\dagger f_k \bar{f}_l}(P_2^+) \right] = 2\pi \delta_{f_i f_k} \delta_{f_j \bar{f}_l} \delta_{nr} \delta(P_1^+ - P_2^+) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N_c}\right). \quad (8)$$

To comply with (8), the LCWFs must satisfy the following orthogonality and completeness conditions:

$$\int_0^1 dx \varphi_n^{f_1 \bar{f}_2}(x) \varphi_m^{f_1 \bar{f}_2}(x) = \delta_{nm}, \quad (9a)$$

$$\sum_n \varphi_n^{f_1 \bar{f}_2}(x) \varphi_n^{f_1 \bar{f}_2}(y) = \delta(x-y). \quad (9b)$$

At the lowest order in $1/N_c$, the light-front Hamiltonian is expected to consist of all possible free mesons:

$$H_{\text{LF}} = P^- = \sum_{n, f_1 \bar{f}_2} \int \frac{dP^+}{2\pi} P_{n, f_1 \bar{f}_2}^- m_n^{\dagger f_1 \bar{f}_2}(P^+) m_n^{f_1 \bar{f}_2}(P^+) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N_c}}\right), \quad (10)$$

where for simplicity we have suppressed the irrelevant vacuum energy piece.

In order to reach such a diagonalized form, the mesonic LCWF must obey the celebrated 't Hooft equation [25]:

$$\left(\frac{m_1^2 - 2\lambda}{x} + \frac{m_2^2 - 2\lambda}{1-x} \right) \varphi_n^{f_1 \bar{f}_2}(x) - 2\lambda \int_0^1 dy \frac{\varphi_n^{f_1 \bar{f}_2}(y)}{(x-y)^2} = \mu_{n, f_1, \bar{f}_2}^2 \varphi_n^{f_1 \bar{f}_2}(x), \quad (11)$$

where m_1, m_2 are the current quark masses affiliated with flavor f_1 and f_2 , respectively, μ_{n, f_1, \bar{f}_2} is the meson mass of the n -th excited mesonic state in the $f_1 \bar{f}_2$ family. The symbol \int denotes the principal value (PV) prescription for an integral,

$$\int dy \frac{f(y)}{(x-y)^2} = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int dy \Theta(|x-y| - \epsilon) \frac{f(y)}{(x-y)^2} - \frac{2f(x)}{\epsilon}. \quad (12)$$

Since the intrinsic strange represents an $\mathcal{O}(1/N_c)$ correction, in the following we must extend (10) to include the $\mathcal{O}(1/\sqrt{N_c})$ piece in the light-front Hamiltonian, which entails three meson coupling. Consequently, we also need to numerically solve the 't Hooft equation for three distinct species of mesonic states: $\pi^-(d\bar{u})$, $K^0(d\bar{s})$, and $K^-(s\bar{u})$.

III. RIGOROUS EXPRESSIONS FOR s AND \bar{s} PDFS OF π^- IN 'T HOOFT MODEL

We start from the standard gauge-invariant operator definition for the s quark PDF of a π^- [41]:

$$f_{s/\pi^-}(x) = \int \frac{dz^-}{4\pi} e^{-ixP^+z^-} \langle \pi_n^-(P^+) | \bar{s}(z^-) \gamma^+ \mathcal{P} \left[\exp \left(-ig_s \int_0^{z^-} d\eta^- A^{+,a}(\eta^-) \right) T^a \right] s(0) | \pi_n^-(P^+) \rangle_C, \quad (13)$$

where only the connected part is retained. The light-like Wilson line, whose role is to ensure gauge invariance, can be simply dropped since we are working with the light-cone gauge $A^{+,a} = 0$.

Analogous to what is done in Ref. [32], one can simplify the light-cone separated s quark bilinear (13) as $\bar{s}(z^-) \gamma^+ s(0) = s_R^\dagger(z^-) s_R(0)$. Expanding the s_R field in terms of s annihilation and \bar{s} creation operator as in (4), and applying the bosonization procedure outlined in Sec. II, we can express the nonlocal strange quark bilinear in terms of mesonic annihilation and creation operators m_n, m_n^\dagger :

$$\begin{aligned} s_R^\dagger(z^-) s_R(0) &= \int \frac{dk_1^+ dk_2^+}{2\pi} N_c \delta(k_1^+ - k_2^+) e^{-ik_1^+ z^-} \\ &+ \sum_n \int \frac{dk_1^+ dk_2^+}{(4\pi)^{3/2}} \frac{\sqrt{N_c}}{\sqrt{k_1^+ + k_2^+}} e^{ik_1^+ z^-} m_n^\dagger s \bar{s}(k_1^+ + k_2^+) \varphi_n^{s\bar{s}} \left(\frac{k_1^+}{k_1^+ + k_2^+} \right) \\ &+ \sum_n \int \frac{dk_1^+ dk_2^+}{(4\pi)^{3/2}} \frac{\sqrt{N_c}}{\sqrt{k_1^+ + k_2^+}} e^{-ik_1^+ z^-} m_n^{s\bar{s}}(k_1^+ + k_2^+) \varphi_n^{s\bar{s}} \left(\frac{k_2^+}{k_1^+ + k_2^+} \right) \\ &+ \sum_{f, n_1, n_2} \int \frac{dk_1^+ dk_2^+ dq^+}{(2\pi)^2} e^{ik_1^+ z^-} m_{n_1}^\dagger s \bar{f}(k_1^+ + q^+) m_{n_2}^{s\bar{f}}(k_2^+ + q^+) \frac{\varphi_{n_1}^{s\bar{f}} \left(\frac{k_1^+}{k_1^+ + q^+} \right)}{\sqrt{k_1^+ + q^+}} \frac{\varphi_{n_2}^{s\bar{f}} \left(\frac{k_2^+}{k_2^+ + q^+} \right)}{\sqrt{k_2^+ + q^+}} \\ &- \sum_{f, n_1, n_2} \int \frac{dk_1^+ dk_2^+ dq^+}{(2\pi)^2} e^{-ik_1^+ z^-} m_{n_1}^\dagger f \bar{s}(k_2^+ + q^+) m_{n_2}^{f\bar{s}}(k_1^+ + q^+) \frac{\varphi_{n_1}^{f\bar{s}} \left(\frac{q^+}{k_2^+ + q^+} \right)}{\sqrt{k_2^+ + q^+}} \frac{\varphi_{n_2}^{f\bar{s}} \left(\frac{q^+}{k_1^+ + q^+} \right)}{\sqrt{k_1^+ + q^+}}. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

As will be seen shortly, the first three lines, *viz.*, the $\mathcal{O}(N_c)$ and $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{N_c})$ pieces, do not contribute to the intended intrinsic strange PDF.

Next we turn to the higher Fock component of the physical π^- . As mentioned before, in order to detect its intrinsic strange content, one has to extend the QCD₂ light-front Hamiltonian to next-leading order in $1/N_c$. The full light-front Hamiltonian can be split into $H_{\text{LF}} = H_{\text{LF},0} + V$, where the free mesonic Hamiltonian $H_{\text{LF},0}$ is given in (10), and the V term encompasses all possible $\mathcal{O}(1/\sqrt{N_c})$ three-meson interactions. Incorporating the first-order quantum-mechanical correction, the physical π^- state can be expanded into

$$|\pi^{-\prime}\rangle \approx |\pi^-\rangle + \frac{1}{P^- - H_{\text{LF},0} + i\epsilon} V |\pi^-\rangle. \quad (15)$$

$|\pi^{-\prime}\rangle$ denotes the eigenstate of the full LF Hamiltonian, and $|\pi^-\rangle$ signifies the eigenstate of $H_{\text{LF},0}$, which can be generated by

$$|\pi_n^-(P^+)\rangle = \sqrt{2P^+} m_n^\dagger d \bar{u}(P^+) |0\rangle, \quad (16)$$

here n denotes the principle quantum number.

The interaction potential V accounts for the the three-meson coupling, which scales as $\mathcal{O}(1/\sqrt{N_c})$ [26]. To our concern, the most relevant parts in V are those coupling π^- with all possible excited $s\bar{u}$ and $d\bar{s}$ mesons:

$$V_{\text{strange}} = \mathcal{V} + \bar{\mathcal{V}} + \text{h.c.}, \quad (17)$$

where

$$\mathcal{V} = \frac{-\lambda}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}\sqrt{N_c}} \sum_{n_1 n_2 n_3} \int_0^\infty dq^+ dk_1^+ dk_2^+ dk_3^+ dk_4^+ \delta(k_1^+ - k_2^+ + k_3^+ + k_4^+) m_{n_1}^{\dagger s \bar{u}}(k_1^+ + q^+) m_{n_2}^{d \bar{u}}(k_2^+ + q^+) m_{n_3}^{\dagger d \bar{s}}(k_3^+ + k_4^+) \\ \times \frac{1}{(k_3^+ - k_2^+)^2} \frac{\varphi_{n_1}^{s \bar{u}}\left(\frac{k_1^+}{k_1^+ + q^+}\right) \varphi_{n_2}^{d \bar{u}}\left(\frac{k_2^+}{k_2^+ + q^+}\right) \varphi_{n_3}^{d \bar{s}}\left(\frac{k_3^+}{k_3^+ + k_4^+}\right)}{\sqrt{k_1^+ + q^+} \sqrt{k_2^+ + q^+} \sqrt{k_3^+ + k_4^+}}, \quad (18a)$$

$$\bar{\mathcal{V}} = \frac{\lambda}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}\sqrt{N_c}} \sum_{n_1 n_2 n_3} \int_0^\infty dq^+ dk_1^+ dk_2^+ dk_3^+ dk_4^+ \delta(k_1^+ - k_2^+ + k_3^+ + k_4^+) m_{n_1}^{\dagger d \bar{s}}(k_1^+ + q^+) m_{n_2}^{d \bar{u}}(k_2^+ + q^+) m_{n_3}^{\dagger s \bar{u}}(k_3^+ + k_4^+) \\ \times \frac{1}{(k_3^+ - k_2^+)^2} \frac{\varphi_{n_1}^{d \bar{s}}\left(\frac{k_1^+}{k_1^+ + q^+}\right) \varphi_{n_2}^{d \bar{u}}\left(\frac{k_2^+}{k_2^+ + q^+}\right) \varphi_{n_3}^{s \bar{u}}\left(\frac{k_3^+}{k_3^+ + k_4^+}\right)}{\sqrt{k_1^+ + q^+} \sqrt{k_2^+ + q^+} \sqrt{k_3^+ + k_4^+}}. \quad (18b)$$




FIG. 1: Pictorial illustration of the $\pi_n^- \rightarrow K_{n_1}^- + K_{n_2}^0$ transition mediated by the triple meson vertex. The dots represent arbitrary numbers of planar gluon exchanges.

To identify the next-to-leading order Fock component of π_n^- , we insert a complete set of intermediate states in (15), which are necessarily composed of the infinite towers of K^0 and K^- . The encountered transition matrix element for $\pi_n^- \rightarrow K_{n_1}^- + K_{n_2}^0$ can be expressed as

$$\langle K_{n_1}^-(x_1 P^+) K_{n_2}^0(x_2 P^+) | V_{\text{strange}} | \pi_n^-(P^+) \rangle = \frac{2\pi}{P^+} \delta(x_1 + x_2 - 1) \Gamma_{n, n_1, n_2}(x_1, x_2), \quad (19)$$

where x_1, x_2 denote the light-cone momentum fractions of $K_{n_1}^-$ and $K_{n_2}^0$ with respect to π_n^- , subject to the constraint $x_1 + x_2 = 1$. The triple-meson vertex function Γ was first given by Callan, Coote and Gross [26]. In our case, it can be expressed in terms of the convolution of the LCWFs of $\pi_n, K_{n_1}^-$ and $K_{n_2}^0$:

$$\Gamma_{n, n_1, n_2}(x_1, x_2) = 4\lambda \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{N_c}} \left[\int_{x_1}^1 dy_1 \int_0^{x_1} dy_2 \frac{1}{(y_2 - y_1)^2} \varphi_n^{d \bar{u}}(1 - y_1) \varphi_{n_1}^{s \bar{u}}\left(1 - \frac{y_2}{x_1}\right) \varphi_{n_2}^{d \bar{s}}\left(\frac{1 - y_1}{x_2}\right) \right. \\ \left. - \int_{x_2}^1 dy_1 \int_0^{x_2} dy_2 \frac{1}{(y_2 - y_1)^2} \varphi_n^{d \bar{u}}(y_1) \varphi_{n_2}^{d \bar{s}}\left(\frac{y_2}{x_2}\right) \varphi_{n_1}^{s \bar{u}}\left(\frac{y_1 - x_2}{x_1}\right) \right]. \quad (20)$$

The corresponding Feynman diagrams are shown in Fig. 1. We stress that this is a nonperturbative result, since all possible planar gluon exchange diagrams have been resummed.

Substituting (14), (15) and (19) into (13), and repeatedly using (8) to compute the vacuum matrix elements of the product of mesonic creation and annihilation operators, we can work out the functional form of the s and \bar{s} PDF

inside the π_n^- ¹:

$$f_{s/\pi_n^-}(x) = \sum_{n_1, n_2, n_3} \int_x^1 dx_1 \frac{\Gamma_{n, n_1, n_2}(x_1, 1-x_1) \Gamma_{n, n_3, n_2}(x_1, 1-x_1)}{16\pi x_1(1-x_1)} \left(\frac{\mu_{s\bar{u}, n_1}^2}{2x_1} + \frac{\mu_{d\bar{s}, n_2}^2}{2(1-x_1)} - \frac{\mu_{d\bar{u}, n}^2}{2} \right)^{-1} \\ \times \left(\frac{\mu_{s\bar{u}, n_3}^2}{2x_1} + \frac{\mu_{d\bar{s}, n_2}^2}{2(1-x_1)} - \frac{\mu_{d\bar{u}, n}^2}{2} \right)^{-1} \left[\frac{1}{x_1} \varphi_{n_1}^{s\bar{u}}\left(\frac{x}{x_1}\right) \varphi_{n_3}^{s\bar{u}}\left(\frac{x}{x_1}\right) \right], \quad (21a)$$

$$f_{\bar{s}/\pi_n^-}(x) = \sum_{n_1, n_2, n_3} \int_0^{1-x} dx_1 \frac{\Gamma_{n, n_1, n_2}(x_1, 1-x_1) \Gamma_{n, n_1, n_3}(x_1, 1-x_1)}{16\pi x_1(1-x_1)} \left(\frac{\mu_{s\bar{u}, n_1}^2}{2x_1} + \frac{\mu_{d\bar{s}, n_2}^2}{2(1-x_1)} - \frac{\mu_{d\bar{u}, n}^2}{2} \right)^{-1} \\ \times \left(\frac{\mu_{s\bar{u}, n_1}^2}{2x_1} + \frac{\mu_{d\bar{s}, n_3}^2}{2(1-x_1)} - \frac{\mu_{d\bar{u}, n}^2}{2} \right)^{-1} \left[\frac{1}{1-x_1} \varphi_{n_2}^{d\bar{s}}\left(1-\frac{x}{1-x_1}\right) \varphi_{n_3}^{d\bar{s}}\left(1-\frac{x}{1-x_1}\right) \right], \quad (21b)$$

The analytical forms of $f_{s/\pi^-}(x)$ and $f_{\bar{s}/\pi^-}(x)$ do differ from each other.

Equation (21) represents the major new result of this work, which represents the rigorous expressions of the strange/antistrange PDF in a light flavored meson (π^-) in 't Hooft model. A schematic diagram to illustrate this formula is shown in the left panel of Fig. 2. Infinite towers of the excited K^- and K^0 mesons have to be included in the sum. Note the principal quantum numbers n_1, n_2, n_3 are summed independently. We will discuss the connection between this rigorous result and the prediction made in MCM.

IV. STRANGE-ANTISTRANGE PDFS OF π^- IN MCM

Meson cloud model[18] provides a convenient and intuitive platform to estimate the intrinsic strange content inside the nucleon, assuming that the nucleon has a non-negligible pentaquark component characterized by a stranded meson and a stranded baryon due to inevitable quantum fluctuation [42–45]. In line with the gist of the MCM, the strange content of π^- in QCD₂ originates from the non-negligible probability for the π^- to quantum fluctuate into the infinite towers of K^- and K^0 pair. Specifically, the strange quark PDF of π_n^- can be expressed in terms of the convolution between the transition probability $\pi_n^- \rightarrow K_{n_1}^- + K_{n_2}^0$, $\mathcal{F}_{n, n_1, n_2}(x)$, and the strange quark PDF of the $K^-(s\bar{u})$ mesons [32]:

$$f_{s/\pi_n^-}(x) = \sum_{n_1, n_2} \int_x^1 \frac{dy}{y} \mathcal{F}_{n, n_1, n_2}(y) f_{s/K_{n_1}^-}\left(\frac{x}{y}\right). \quad (22)$$

Similarly, one can obtain the \bar{s} PDF provided that the strange quark PDF of the $K^-(s\bar{u})$ is replaced with the antistrange PDF of the $K^0(d\bar{s})$ meson. A schematic Feynman diagram to picturise the MCM is shown in the right panel of Fig. 2.

¹ More technical details about this sort of derivation can be found in our preceding work on intrinsic charm PDF of a flavor neutral meson [32].




FIG. 2: Schematic Feynman diagrams to illustrate the strange and antistrange PDFs of π^- , deduced from the first principle (left) and from meson cloud model.

The transition probability is linked with the triple-meson vertex via [32]

$$\mathcal{F}_{n,n_1 n_2}(x_1) = \frac{[\Gamma_{n,n_1,n_2}(x_1, 1-x_1)]^2}{16\pi x_1(1-x_1)} \left(\frac{\mu_{K_{n_1}^-}^2}{2x_1} + \frac{\mu_{K_{n_2}^0}^2}{2(1-x_1)} - \frac{\mu_{\pi_n^-}^2}{2} \right)^{-2}. \quad (23)$$

The strange PDF of the K^- meson in (22) is simply the square of the LCWF of the K^- . After some manipulation, we obtain the MCM predictions to the strange/antistrange PDFs of π_n^- in 't Hooft model:

$$f_{s/\pi_n^-}^{\text{MCM}}(x) = \sum_{n_1, n_2} \int_x^1 dx_1 \frac{[\Gamma_{n,n_1,n_2}(x_1, 1-x_1)]^2}{16\pi x_1(1-x_1)} \left(\frac{\mu_{s\bar{u},n_1}^2}{2x_1} + \frac{\mu_{d\bar{s},n_2}^2}{2(1-x_1)} - \frac{\mu_{d\bar{u},n}^2}{2} \right)^{-2} \left[\frac{1}{x_1} \left(\varphi_{n_1}^{s\bar{u}} \left(\frac{x}{x_1} \right) \right)^2 \right], \quad (24a)$$

$$f_{\bar{s}/\pi_n^-}^{\text{MCM}}(x) = \sum_{n_1, n_2} \int_0^{1-x} dx_1 \frac{[\Gamma_{n,n_1,n_2}(x_1, 1-x_1)]^2}{16\pi x_1(1-x_1)} \left(\frac{\mu_{s\bar{u},n_1}^2}{2x_1} + \frac{\mu_{d\bar{s},n_2}^2}{2(1-x_1)} - \frac{\mu_{d\bar{u},n}^2}{2} \right)^{-2} \left[\frac{1}{1-x_1} \left(\varphi_{n_2}^{d\bar{s}} \left(1 - \frac{x}{1-x_1} \right) \right)^2 \right], \quad (24b)$$

Interestingly, these MCM predictions can be obtained from the rigorous results (21) by keeping only the diagonal terms in the sum ². Concretely speaking, the MCM prediction for strange PDF can be obtained by setting $n_3 = n_1$ in (21a), while the MCM prediction for antistrange PDF can be obtained by setting $n_3 = n_2$ in the sum in (21b).

V. NUMERICAL RESULTS

As is evident in (21) and (24), the key ingredients of the intrinsic strange PDF are various LCWFs of the π^- and the excited K^- and K^0 states. The precise knowledge about these LCWFs are mandatory to make a trustworthy numerical predictions.

We follow the numerical recipe outlined in Ref. [46] to numerically solve the 't Hooft equation (11) with high precision. To handle with the hadrons made of the light quarks, we adopt the following parametrization for the mesonic LCWFs [25]

$$\varphi_n^{u/d}(x) = c_0^{u/d} x^{\beta^{u/d}} (1-x)^{2-\beta^{u/d}} + c_1^{u/d} x^{2-\beta^{d/u}} (1-x)^{\beta^{d/u}} + \sum_{n=1}^N c_n^{u/d} \sin(n\pi x), \quad (25)$$

where $\beta^{u/d} (0 < \beta^{u/d} < \pi/2)$ are determined by the boundary condition $\pi\beta^{u/d} \cot \pi\beta^{u/d} = 1 - m_{u/d}^2/2\lambda$.

² In the phenomenological practice in real world, the term MCM is often interchangeably used with the *naive* MCM, where only the lowest-lying strange mesons are kept in the sum.

A. Quark mass setting in QCD₂

Meson	$m_q/\sqrt{2\lambda}$	$\mu_0/\sqrt{2\lambda}$	$\mu_1/\sqrt{2\lambda}$	$\mu_2/\sqrt{2\lambda}$	$\mu_3/\sqrt{2\lambda}$	$\mu_4/\sqrt{2\lambda}$	$\mu_5/\sqrt{2\lambda}$
π^-	$m_u = 0.0285$ $m_d = 0.0570$	0.402	2.489	3.816	4.850	5.723	6.491
K^-	$m_u = 0.0285$ $m_s = 0.791$	1.452	3.155	4.357	5.321	6.148	6.882
K^0	$m_d = 0.057$ $m_s = 0.791$	1.484	3.178	4.374	5.335	6.160	6.893

TABLE I: The masses of the u , d , s , as well as the mass spectra of the first six low-lying states in the π^- , K^- and K^0 families.

The 't Hooft coupling is chosen as $\sqrt{2\lambda} = 340$ MeV, in conformity with the string tension in realistic four-dimensional QCD [47].

To fathom the nonvanishing s - \bar{s} asymmetry inside a pion, we must implement the isospin breaking effect, *viz.*, consider the case with unequal u and d masses. We assume $m_u/m_d = 1/2$, the same as in realistic QCD₄ determined by the chiral perturbation theory. Requiring $m_{\pi^-} = 137$ MeV = $0.402\sqrt{2\lambda}$, we obtain $m_u = 0.0285\sqrt{2\lambda}$ by solving 't Hooft equation. With such light u and d quark masses, we have checked that the Gell-Mann-Oakes-Renner relation is decently satisfied for the ground-state pion.

We proceed to set the strange quark mass. Taking $m_{K^-} = 494$ MeV = $1.451\sqrt{2\lambda}$ as input, we determine $m_s = 0.791\sqrt{2\lambda}$ upon solving 't Hooft equation. The quark masses together with the first few low-lying states in π^- , K^- , and K^0 families are enumerated in Table I.

B. Strange-antistrange asymmetry in the first excited π^-

We follow (21) and (24) to calculate intrinsic strange and antistrange PDFs in π^- in QCD₂, deduced from both the first principle and the MCM, respectively. It is found that retaining the first 60 excited states in the sum in (21) and (24) already exhibit satisfactory convergence behavior. To quantify the extent of the s - \bar{s} asymmetry, we define the following dimensionless ratio:

$$A_{\pi^-}^{s\bar{s}} \equiv \frac{\delta s(x)}{\langle s \rangle} \equiv \frac{f_{s/\pi^-}(x) - f_{\bar{s}/\pi^-}(x)}{\int_0^1 dx f_{s/\pi^-}(x)}. \quad (26)$$

Since the net strangeness in π^- must vanish, so that $\langle s \rangle = \langle \bar{s} \rangle$ and $\int_0^1 dx \delta s(x) = 0$.




FIG. 3: Profiles of LCWFs of various mesons, exemplified by the chiral and physical π^- (left), the first excited π^- (middle), and the lowest-lying K^- and K^0 (right).

The intrinsic strange distribution in the ground-state π^- turns out to be extremely small and very challenging to accurately calculate. The reason is somewhat accidental, mainly attributed to a peculiar feature of the 't Hooft




FIG. 4: Strange and antistrange PDFs of the first excited π^- (left), together with the corresponding s - \bar{s} asymmetry (right). For the sake of comparison, we juxtapose the predictions from the first principle of QCD and from the MCMs.

model, that the triple meson interaction strength strictly vanishes when one of the mesons is the chiral pion π_χ , irrespective the magnitude of its momentum [48]. This can be verified by substituting the LCWF of the chiral pion, $\varphi_0^{\pi_\chi}(x) = \Theta(x)\Theta(1-x)$, into the triple meson vertex function (20). With very light u and d quark masses as specified in Table I, the profile of the LCWF of a “physical” π is quite close to that of the chiral π (see the left panel of Fig. 3), so that the triple meson interaction strength gets severely suppressed, which leads to exceedingly small strange PDF.

Therefore we choose to analyze the intrinsic strange and antistrange PDFs in the first excited π^- , whose LCWF is shown in the middle panel of Fig. 3).

The seed of the s - \bar{s} asymmetry in our case is the isospin breaking due to the u - d mass difference. As can be seen from the right panel of Fig. 3), though the LCWFs of ground-state K^- and K^0 resemble with each other, there still exists some slight difference. In the left panel of Fig. 4, we juxtapose various s and \bar{s} PDFs in the first excited π^- , predicted both from the first principle and two variants of MCM. One observes the predictions from the first principle and the MCMs grossly agree, despite with some moderate difference. The difference between rigorous results and MCM predictions is reflected in the interference terms in (21), *e.g.*, those terms in the sum with $n_1 \neq n_3$ or $n_2 \neq n_3$. Curiously, we also notice that the results from the full MCM and naive MCM seem indistinguishable, which implies that the sum over the highly excited strange meson does not yield a significant contribution.

In the right panel of Fig. 4, we also plot the s - \bar{s} asymmetry as a function of x . The profiles predicted from the first principle and MCM bear a similar shape. It is interesting to see that the asymmetry can reach per cents level. There is a sign change near $x \approx 0.4$. There appear to have more \bar{s} quarks in the low x interval, and more s quark in the high x interval. This pattern is likely strongly correlated with the fact $m_u < m_d$, as well as the parent hadron chosen as the first excited π^- .

C. Charm-anticharm asymmetry in the first excited π^-

It has been observed that the intrinsic charm content in a flavor-neutral light meson roughly scales as $1/m_c^6$ in QCD₂ [32], in stark contrast with the $1/m_c^2$ decrease in the realistic QCD₄. One may naturally wonder how the sea quark-antiquark asymmetry depends on the sea quark mass. In this subsection, we investigate the c - \bar{c} asymmetry in the first excited π^- , simply repeating the preceding analysis with the strange quark replaced by the charm quark.

To match the mass of the lowest-lying charmonium in realistic world, we assume $m_c = 4.19\sqrt{2}\lambda$ [46]. We again specialize to the intrinsic charm and anticharm PDFs in the light flavored meson, the first excited π^- . We follow the same numerical recipe as expounded in [32], by imposing some convergence criteria about the infinite summation in (21). A slower convergence pace is observed relative to the strange case.

In Fig. 5, we present the intrinsic c and \bar{c} PDFs of the first excited π^- . We adopt the same numerical strategy as in Ref. [32] to present the numerical results, *i.e.*, by treating the upper/lower envelopes of data as uncertainty band, while taking the average of the envelope as the central value.

From the left panel of Fig. 6, one clearly sees that the intrinsic charm content in the first excited π^- become several orders of magnitude smaller than the intrinsic strange content. Curiously, as can be seen in the right panel of Fig. 6,




FIG. 5: Intrinsic charm PDF (left) and anticharm PDF (right) in the first excited π^- . There is some technical nuisance in the small x region, reflected by the oscillatory behavior due to truncation error.




FIG. 6: The c and \bar{c} PDFs (left) and c - \bar{c} asymmetry (right) for the first-excited state π^- . The predictions from the rigorous approach and two variants of MCM are juxtaposed together. The data processing for δc is presented in the middle panel.

the magnitude of the c - \bar{c} asymmetry can still reach the per cent level. In contrast to the case of the intrinsic strange, the discrepancy between rigorous results and MCM predictions for intrinsic charm becomes pronounced. In particular, we notice that the sign of $\delta c(x)$ have become opposite in the entire support of x .

VI. SUMMARY

In this work, we extend our preceding analysis of the intrinsic charm PDF in a flavor neutral light meson in 't Hooft model to the strange-antistrange asymmetry in a light flavored meson such as charged pion. The intrinsic strange content in π^- has to stem from its higher Fock component, which is composed of the infinite towers of excited K^- and K^0 states, thus characterizing an $\mathcal{O}(1/N_c)$ correction. The analytical expressions for the s and \bar{s} PDFs are derived using the Hamiltonian approach within light-front quantization, in terms of the convolution of mesonic light-cone wave functions. For comparison, we also present the analytical forms of the strange and antistrange PDFs predicted by the meson cloud model.

In numerical analysis, we have attempted to mimic the realistic QCD by tuning the u , d masses such that the physical pion is recovered by fixing $m_u/m_d = 1/2$. Due to some peculiarity of chiral pion in two-dimensional QCD, the strange content of the ground-state pion is exceedingly small, so we choose to investigate the s - \bar{s} asymmetries inside the first excited π^- . With $m_u/m_d = 1/2$, the s - \bar{s} and c - \bar{c} asymmetries inside the first excited π^- in QCD₂ can reach per cents level. It is also found that the predicted s - \bar{s} asymmetry from MCM largely agree with that from the first principle calculation for s - \bar{s} asymmetries. But severe discrepancy is observed for the c - \bar{c} asymmetry in the first excited π^- .

Acknowledgements

This work was supported in part by the High Performance Computing Center of Central South University. The work of S. H., Y. J. and Z. M. is supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grants No. 11925506. Z. M. is also supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grants No. 12347145, No. 12022514. The work of X.-N. X. and M. L. Z. is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grants No. 12275364.

-
- [1] A. D. Martin, W. J. Stirling, R. S. Thorne and G. Watt, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **63**, 189-285 (2009) doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-009-1072-5 [arXiv:0901.0002 [hep-ph]].
- [2] T. J. Hou, J. Gao, T. J. Hobbs, K. Xie, S. Dulat, M. Guzzi, J. Huston, P. Nadolsky, J. Pumplin and C. Schmidt, *et al. Phys. Rev. D* **103**, no.1, 014013 (2021) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.103.014013 [arXiv:1912.10053 [hep-ph]].
- [3] A. Ablat, A. Courtoy, S. Dulat, M. Guzzi, T. J. Hobbs, T. J. Hou, J. Huston, K. Mohan, H. W. Lin and P. Nadolsky, *et al. Eur. Phys. J. Plus* **139**, no.12, 1063 (2024) doi:10.1140/epjp/s13360-024-05865-x [arXiv:2408.04020 [hep-ph]].
- [4] R. D. Ball *et al.* [NNPDF], *Eur. Phys. J. C* **82**, no.5, 428 (2022) doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-022-10328-7 [arXiv:2109.02653 [hep-ph]].
- [5] R. D. Ball *et al.* [NNPDF], *Eur. Phys. J. C* **84**, no.5, 517 (2024) doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-024-12772-z [arXiv:2401.10319 [hep-ph]].
- [6] T. J. Hobbs, B. T. Wang, P. M. Nadolsky and F. I. Olness, *Phys. Rev. D* **100**, no.9, 094040 (2019) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.100.094040 [arXiv:1904.00022 [hep-ph]].
- [7] M. Constantinou, A. Courtoy, M. A. Ebert, M. Engelhardt, T. Giani, T. Hobbs, T. J. Hou, A. Kusina, K. Kutak and J. Liang, *et al. Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.* **121**, 103908 (2021) doi:10.1016/j.pnpnp.2021.103908 [arXiv:2006.08636 [hep-ph]].
- [8] X. Ji, Y. S. Liu, Y. Liu, J. H. Zhang and Y. Zhao, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **93**, no.3, 035005 (2021) doi:10.1103/RevModPhys.93.035005 [arXiv:2004.03543 [hep-ph]].
- [9] G. P. Zeller *et al.* [NuTeV], *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88**, 091802 (2002) [erratum: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90**, 239902 (2003)] doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.88.091802 [arXiv:hep-ex/0110059 [hep-ex]].
- [10] M. Goncharov *et al.* [NuTeV], *Phys. Rev. D* **64**, 112006 (2001) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.64.112006 [arXiv:hep-ex/0102049 [hep-ex]].
- [11] G. P. Zeller *et al.* [NuTeV], *Phys. Rev. D* **65**, 111103 (2002) [erratum: *Phys. Rev. D* **67**, 119902 (2003)] doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.65.111103 [arXiv:hep-ex/0203004 [hep-ex]].
- [12] S. J. Brodsky and B. Q. Ma, *Phys. Lett. B* **381**, 317-324 (1996) doi:10.1016/0370-2693(96)00597-7 [arXiv:hep-ph/9604393 [hep-ph]].
- [13] X. G. Wang, C. R. Ji, W. Melnitchouk, Y. Salamu, A. W. Thomas and P. Wang, *Phys. Rev. D* **94**, no.9, 094035 (2016) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.94.094035 [arXiv:1610.03333 [hep-ph]].
- [14] X. Du and B. Q. Ma, *Phys. Rev. D* **95**, no.1, 014029 (2017) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.95.014029 [arXiv:1701.04945 [hep-ph]].
- [15] M. Salajegheh, *Phys. Rev. D* **92**, no.7, 074033 (2015) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.92.074033 [arXiv:1602.00154 [hep-ph]].
- [16] A. Vega, I. Schmidt, T. Gutsche and V. E. Lyubovitskij, *Phys. Rev. D* **93**, no.5, 056001 (2016) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.93.056001 [arXiv:1511.06476 [hep-ph]].
- [17] S. Catani, D. de Florian, G. Rodrigo and W. Vogelsang, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 152003 (2004) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.152003 [arXiv:hep-ph/0404240 [hep-ph]].
- [18] J. D. Sullivan, *Phys. Rev. D* **5**, 1732-1737 (1972) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.5.1732
- [19] S. J. Brodsky, P. Hoyer, C. Peterson and N. Sakai, *Phys. Lett. B* **93**, 451-455 (1980) doi:10.1016/0370-2693(80)90364-0
- [20] S. J. Brodsky, C. Peterson and N. Sakai, *Phys. Rev. D* **23**, 2745 (1981) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.23.2745
- [21] M. Franz, M. V. Polyakov and K. Goeke, *Phys. Rev. D* **62**, 074024 (2000) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.62.074024 [arXiv:hep-ph/0002240 [hep-ph]].
- [22] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb], *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **128**, no.8, 082001 (2022) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.128.082001 [arXiv:2109.08084 [hep-ex]].
- [23] R. D. Ball *et al.* [NNPDF], *Nature* **608**, no.7923, 483-487 (2022) doi:10.1038/s41586-022-04998-2 [arXiv:2208.08372 [hep-ph]].
- [24] R. D. Ball *et al.* [NNPDF], *Phys. Rev. D* **109**, no.9, L091501 (2024) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.109.L091501 [arXiv:2311.00743 [hep-ph]].
- [25] G. 't Hooft, *Nucl. Phys. B* **75**, 461-470 (1974) doi:10.1016/0550-3213(74)90088-1
- [26] C. G. Callan, Jr., N. Coote and D. J. Gross, *Phys. Rev. D* **13**, 1649 (1976) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.13.1649
- [27] M. B. Einhorn, *Phys. Rev. D* **14**, 3451 (1976) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.14.3451
- [28] M. B. Einhorn, S. Nussinov and E. Rabinovici, *Phys. Rev. D* **15**, 2282 (1977) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.15.2282
- [29] R. C. Brower, J. R. Ellis, M. G. Schmidt and J. H. Weis, *Nucl. Phys. B* **128**, 131-174 (1977) doi:10.1016/0550-3213(77)90303-0
- [30] R. C. Brower, J. R. Ellis, M. G. Schmidt and J. H. Weis, *Nucl. Phys. B* **128**, 175-203 (1977) doi:10.1016/0550-3213(77)90304-2

- [31] R. C. Brower, W. L. Spence and J. H. Weis, *Phys. Rev. D* **19**, 3024 (1979) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.19.3024
- [32] S. Hu, Y. Jia, Z. Mo, X. Xiong and M. Zhu, *Phys. Rev. D* **108**, no.9, 094040 (2023) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.108.094040 [arXiv:2211.16489 [hep-ph]].
- [33] K. Kikkawa, *Annals Phys.* **135**, 222 (1981) doi:10.1016/0003-4916(81)90154-8
- [34] A. Nakamura and K. Odaka, *Phys. Lett. B* **105**, 392-396 (1981) doi:10.1016/0370-2693(81)90786-3
- [35] S. G. Rajeev, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **9**, 5583-5624 (1994) doi:10.1142/S0217751X94002284 [arXiv:hep-th/9401115 [hep-th]].
- [36] A. Dhar, G. Mandal and S. R. Wadia, *Phys. Lett. B* **329**, 15-26 (1994) doi:10.1016/0370-2693(94)90511-8 [arXiv:hep-th/9403050 [hep-th]].
- [37] A. Dhar, P. Lakdawala, G. Mandal and S. R. Wadia, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **10**, 2189-2224 (1995) doi:10.1142/S0217751X95001066 [arXiv:hep-th/9407026 [hep-th]].
- [38] M. Cavicchi, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **10**, 167-198 (1995) doi:10.1142/S0217751X95000097 [arXiv:hep-th/9401086 [hep-th]].
- [39] J. L. F. Barbon and K. Demeterfi, *Nucl. Phys. B* **434**, 109-138 (1995) doi:10.1016/0550-3213(94)00442-H [arXiv:hep-th/9406046 [hep-th]].
- [40] K. Itakura, *Phys. Rev. D* **54**, 2853-2862 (1996) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.54.2853 [arXiv:hep-th/9604032 [hep-th]].
- [41] J. C. Collins and D. E. Soper, *Nucl. Phys. B* **194**, 445-492 (1982) doi:10.1016/0550-3213(82)90021-9
- [42] W. Koepf, E. M. Henley and M. A. Alberg, doi:10.1142/9789812831408_0024 [arXiv:nucl-th/9403014 [nucl-th]].
- [43] S. Paiva, M. Nielsen, F. S. Navarra, F. O. Duraes and L. L. Barz, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **13**, 2715-2724 (1998) doi:10.1142/S0217732398002886 [arXiv:hep-ph/9610310 [hep-ph]].
- [44] T. J. Hobbs, J. T. Londergan and W. Melnitchouk, *Phys. Rev. D* **89**, no.7, 074008 (2014) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.89.074008 [arXiv:1311.1578 [hep-ph]].
- [45] W. Melnitchouk and A. W. Thomas, *Phys. Lett. B* **414**, 134-139 (1997) doi:10.1016/S0370-2693(97)01150-7 [arXiv:hep-ph/9707387 [hep-ph]].
- [46] Y. Jia, S. Liang, L. Li and X. Xiong, *JHEP* **11**, 151 (2017) doi:10.1007/JHEP11(2017)151 [arXiv:1708.09379 [hep-ph]].
- [47] M. Burkardt, *Phys. Rev. D* **62**, 094003 (2000) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.62.094003 [arXiv:hep-ph/0005209 [hep-ph]].
- [48] Y. S. Kalashnikova and A. V. Nefediev, *Phys. Lett. B* **487**, 371-378 (2000) doi:10.1016/S0370-2693(00)00828-5 [arXiv:hep-ph/0006070 [hep-ph]].