

Lattice random walks and quantum A-period conjecture

Li Gan *

December 31, 2024

Abstract

We derive explicit closed-form expressions for the generating function $C_N(A)$, which enumerates classical closed random walks on square and triangular lattices with N steps and a signed area A , characterized by the number of moves in each hopping direction. This enumeration problem is mapped to the trace of powers of anisotropic Hofstadter-like Hamiltonian and is connected to the cluster coefficients of exclusion particles: exclusion strength parameter $g = 2$ for square lattice walks, and a mixture of $g = 1$ and $g = 2$ for triangular lattice walks. By leveraging the intrinsic link between the Hofstadter model and high energy physics, we propose a conjecture connecting the above signed area enumeration $C_N(A)$ in statistical mechanics to the quantum A-period of associated toric Calabi–Yau threefold in topological string theory: square lattice walks correspond to local \mathbb{F}_0 geometry, while triangular lattice walks are associated with local \mathcal{B}_3 .

* Galileo Galilei Institute for Theoretical Physics, INFN, 50125 Firenze, Italy
li.gan92@gmail.com

1 Introduction

After half a century, the Hofstadter model [1] remains a vibrant subject of research in condensed matter physics and beyond. It describes the motion of a charged particle hopping on a square lattice in the presence of a perpendicular magnetic field. Its energy spectrum, known as the “Hofstadter butterfly,” showcases fractal structures that have intrigued both mathematicians (see, e.g., [2, 3]) and physicists, especially in the contexts of the quantum Hall effect [4, 5] and topological quantum numbers [6]. The Hofstadter butterfly has been experimentally observed [7], and similar butterfly structures have been extensively studied on various lattices, including 2D lattices such as the triangular lattice [8, 9, 10, 11], honeycomb lattice [12, 13, 14], and kagome lattice [15, 16]; 3D lattices such

as the cubic lattice [17, 18, 19, 20], tetragonal monoatomic and double-atomic lattices [21]; 4D lattices [22]; and non-Euclidean hyperbolic lattices [23].

In statistical physics, the Hofstadter model is closely related to classical lattice random walks, where counting problems related to the signed area [24] (also known as the algebraic area) of closed lattice random walks in 2D can be mapped [25] onto to moments of the Hofstadter Hamiltonian. Recent advances have greatly improved our ability to solve these problems, yielding closed-form expressions for $C_N(A)$, which enumerate the number of closed lattice walks with N steps and a signed area A on various lattice types, such as square [26, 27], triangular (both standard [28] and chiral walks [27, 29]), and honeycomb [30]. The signed area of a planar closed walk is defined as the area enclosed by the walk, weighted by the winding number in each winding sector. By convention, the area is positive if the walk moves counterclockwise around the winding sector (see Figure 1). Significant connections have been established between the signed area enumeration, exclusion statistics, and combinatorics of generalized Dyck and Motzkin paths. Exclusion statistics, originally proposed in [31, 32], generalize Bose–Einstein statistics and Fermi–Dirac statistics, and play an important role in these connections. As a result, the signed area enumeration is no longer a purely mathematical problem but is now closely related to the Hofstadter model and statistical mechanics.




Figure 1: A closed random walk of length 18 on the square (left), triangular (middle), and honeycomb lattice (right), starting and ending at the bullet point, with signed area -1 , -14 and 2 , respectively. The region inside the walk, i.e., winding sector, is colored green if its area is positive, otherwise it is colored red.

Additionally, recent studies [33, 34, 35, 36, 37] in high energy physics have revealed a profound connection between the Hofstadter model and toric Calabi–Yau threefolds in topological string theory. Specifically, the Hofstadter model on a square lattice corresponds to local \mathbb{F}_0 geometry (i.e., local $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$), the triangular lattice corresponds to local \mathcal{B}_3 (i.e., three-point blow-up of the local \mathbb{P}^2), and the honeycomb lattice also corresponds to local \mathcal{B}_3 , albeit with different complex moduli parameters. These connections tie the model to a range of fields, including Chern–Simons theory, mirror symmetry, enumerative geometry, integrable systems, and random matrix theory [38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44]. An immediate question arises: Given the relation between the Hofstadter model, lattice random walks, and topological string theory, is there a direct correspondence that links quantities in lattice random walks to those in topological string theory? If such a correspondence exists, it would open the door to applying statistical mechanics methods to

investigate toric Calabi–Yau geometries and their spectra, while also enabling techniques from topological string theory to shed light on lattice models. In this paper, we present an initial conjectural response to this intriguing question.

In this paper, we address two related aspects of lattice random walks. First, in Section 2, we tackle the enumeration problem for lattice random walks, deriving granular results, where $C_N(A)$ also accounts for the number of moves in each direction, regardless of their sequence. By calculating the trace of powers of *anisotropic* Hofstadter-like Hamiltonians, we derive counting formulae for square and triangular lattice walks and show their connection to exclusion statistics through Kreft coefficients obtained from the secular determinant. Second, in Section 3, we propose a conjecture based on the shared link between lattice random walks and topological string theory through the Hofstadter model. This conjecture posits a direct relation between the refined enumeration counts and the quantum A-period of the corresponding toric Calabi–Yau threefold, which we validate using established results from the literature.

2 Signed area enumeration of lattice random walks

In this section, we first review the connection between signed area enumeration and the Hofstadter model. We then focus on the specific cases of square and triangular lattices and calculate their signed area enumeration.

2.1 Hofstadter-like Hamiltonians

For square lattice walks, we introduce the four lattice hopping operators u , u^{-1} , v , and v^{-1} , corresponding to moves to the right, left, up, and down, respectively. These operators satisfy the commutation relation

$$vu = Quv, \tag{1}$$

which amounts to saying that the 4-step closed walk “right-up-left-down” around a unit lattice cell in a counterclockwise direction encloses an area 1, i.e., $v^{-1}u^{-1}vu = Q^1$ (writing the hopping operators from right to left). Here, the variable Q is a placeholder for the signed area A and commutes with all the hopping operators. The signed area A enclosed by a walk can thus be computed by reducing the corresponding hopping operators to Q^A using the relation (1). To count moves in each direction, we introduce variables to the operators: a and a' for u and u^{-1} , and b and b' for v and v^{-1} . These variables commute with each other and serve as placeholders to track the walk. For example, the walk that goes right-left-left-right corresponds to $(au)(a'u^{-1})(a'u^{-1})(au) = a^2a'^2$, showing two moves to the right and two to the left. As a result, the u - and v -independent part of the expansion $(au + a'u^{-1} + bv + b'v^{-1})^N = \sum_A C_N(A)Q^A + \dots$ yields $C_N(A)$, which enumerates closed square lattice walks of (necessarily even) length N enclosing a signed area A and specifies the moves in each direction.

A similar approach can be extended to random walks on a triangular lattice (see Figure 2, left). Considering the connection between the deformed triangular lattice (see Figure 2, right) and the square lattice, we define the area of a unit triangular lattice cell as $1/2$. Consequently, the signed area A of triangular lattice walks take half-integer values: $0, \pm 1/2, \pm 1, \dots$. In addition to the four hopping operators $u, u^{-1}, v,$ and v^{-1} , along with their corresponding variables $a, a', b,$ and b' as in the square case, we introduce two additional hopping operators $Q^{-1/2}vu$ and $Q^{1/2}u^{-1}v^{-1}$. These are associated with the variables c and c' , respectively, to account for diagonal moves “lower-left” and “upper-right”. Similarly, the u - and v -independent part in $(au+a'u^{-1}+bv+b'v^{-1}+cQ^{1/2}u^{-1}v^{-1}+c'Q^{-1/2}vu)^N = \sum_A C_N(A)Q^A + \dots$ yields $C_N(A)$.




Figure 2: Six hopping operators on the standard (left) and deformed (right) triangular lattice.

By interpreting $Q = e^{2\pi i\Phi/\Phi_0}$, where Φ is the flux of an external magnetic field through a unit lattice cell and Φ_0 the flux quantum, the operator

$$H_{\text{tri}} = au + a'u^{-1} + bv + b'v^{-1} + cQ^{1/2}u^{-1}v^{-1} + c'Q^{-1/2}vu \quad (2)$$

becomes the Hamiltonian of a charged particle hopping on a triangular lattice in the presence of a perpendicular magnetic field, with $a, a', b, b', c, c' \in \mathbb{R}^+$ representing general transition amplitudes. Similar to the standard Hofstadter model on the square lattice, this Hamiltonian H describes the Hofstadter model on a triangular lattice, with its spectrum analyzed in [8, 9, 10].

When the magnetic flux is rational, $Q = e^{2\pi ip/q}$ with p, q coprime integers, the lattice hopping operators u and v can be represented by the $q \times q$ “clock” and “shift” matrices

$$u = e^{ik_x} \begin{pmatrix} Q & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & Q^2 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & Q^3 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & Q^{q-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad v = e^{ik_y} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3)$$

where k_x and k_y are quasimomenta in the x and y directions. Thus, in the quantum world, selecting the u - and v -independent part of H_{tri}^N translates into computing the “full” trace of H_{tri}^N defined by

$$\mathbf{Tr} H_{\text{tri}}^N = \frac{1}{q} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{dk_x}{2\pi} \frac{dk_y}{2\pi} \text{tr} H_{\text{tri}}^N,$$

where tr denotes the matrix trace. Due to the fact that $\mathbf{Tr} u^m v^n = \delta_{m,0} \delta_{n,0}$, only terms with an equal number of u and u^{-1} , v and v^{-1} survive, corresponding to all closed walks. The integration over k_x and k_y eliminates the unwanted terms containing u^{qm} and v^{qn} with $m, n \neq 0$, which correspond to open walks but can be closed by q -periodicity. Using the full trace, we obtain the full generating function for the signed area enumeration, i.e.,

$$\mathbf{Tr} H_{\text{tri}}^N = \sum_A C_N(A) Q^A. \quad (4)$$

Therefore, this counting problem is related to the Hofstadter model and is reformulated as calculating the full trace $\mathbf{Tr} H_{\text{tri}}^N$. In prior work, two general approaches have been proposed. The first approach relies on the computation of the secular determinant $\det(I - zH')$ of an equivalent Hamiltonian H' , which yields the Kreft coefficients [45] and reveals connections to exclusion statistics [27]. The second approach is to directly calculate the matrix trace, $\text{tr} H'^N$, which can be mapped to the combinatorics of generalized periodic Dyck or Motzkin paths [46]. Both approaches yield the same result. In the following subsections, we will extend the first approach to anisotropic Hamiltonians and derive the signed area enumeration $C_N(A)$ of both square and triangular lattice walks.

2.2 Signed area enumeration of square lattice walks

Before analyzing the triangular lattice, we first consider the square lattice as a special case by setting $a = a' = 0$ in (2). Although a and a' are positive in the general formulation, this simplification corresponds to random walks on a deformed square lattice. By symmetry, setting $b = b' = 0$ or $c = c' = 0$ yields equivalent enumeration results.

For the square lattice case, the Hamiltonian

$$H_{\text{sq}} = bv + b'v^{-1} + cQ^{1/2}u^{-1}v^{-1} + c'Q^{-1/2}vu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & f_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & g_q \\ g_1 & 0 & f_2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & g_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & f_{q-1} \\ f_q & 0 & 0 & \cdots & g_{q-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

¹By setting $c = c' = 0$, the Hamiltonian becomes the standard Hofstadter one. The transformation $u \rightarrow -vu, v \rightarrow v$, which leaves their commutation relation invariant, is necessary to vanish the spurious term in the secular determinant. See [27]. This is essentially equivalent to directly considering the random walks on a deformed square lattice, as will be shown in this subsection.

is written as a $q \times q$ matrix, where $f_k = (b + c' e^{ik_x} Q^{k+\frac{1}{2}}) e^{ik_y}$ and $g_k = (b' + c e^{-ik_x} Q^{-k-\frac{1}{2}}) e^{-ik_y}$. Its spectrum follows from the zeros of the secular determinant

$$\det(I - zH_{\text{sq}}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\lfloor q/2 \rfloor} (-1)^n Z_n z^{2n} - \left(\prod_{k=1}^q f_k + \prod_{k=1}^q g_k \right) z^q,$$

where $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ denotes the floor function. As we shall see, the k_x - and k_y -independent coefficient Z_n , known as the Kreft coefficient, is at the core of the lattice walks signed area enumeration. To determine Z_n , we need to eliminate the spurious ‘‘Wilson loop’’ contribution term $-(\prod_{k=1}^q f_k + \prod_{k=1}^q g_k) z^q$. This term arises from the effects of momentum periodicity on the Hofstadter model and will disappear if the upper right and lower left corners of H vanish. To achieve this, we set $e^{ik_x} = \frac{b}{c'} Q^{-1/2}$, $k_y = 0$ and ignore g_q . Denote this matrix H_2 , where the subdiagonal elements become

$$f_k = b(1 - Q^k), \quad g_k = b' - \frac{cc'}{b} Q^{-k}.$$

Its secular determinant $\det(I - zH_2) = \sum_{n=0}^{\lfloor q/2 \rfloor} (-1)^n Z_n z^{2n}$ do not have the spurious term anymore. Therefore, H_2 can be regarded as an equivalent Hofstadter Hamiltonian in the sense that its matrix trace $\text{tr} H_2^N$ equals the full trace $\mathbf{Tr} H_{\text{sq}}^N$ up to a scaling factor:

$$\mathbf{Tr} H_{\text{sq}}^N = \frac{1}{q} \text{tr} H_2^N, \quad \text{for } N < q.$$

For $N \geq q$, $\frac{1}{q} \text{tr} H_2^N$ includes additional terms corresponding to open walks, which, as mentioned in Section 1, are treated as closed due to the q -periodicity, and is therefore no longer equal to $\mathbf{Tr} H_{\text{sq}}^N$.

The recursion arising from the expansion of $\det(I - zH_2)$ yields the Kreft coefficient, as derived in Appendix 1 of Ref. [30]:

$$Z_0 = 0, \quad Z_n = \sum_{k_1=1}^{q-2n+1} \sum_{k_2=1}^{k_1} \cdots \sum_{k_n=1}^{k_{n-1}} s_{k_1+2n-2} s_{k_2+2n-4} \cdots s_{k_{n-1}+2} s_{k_n} = \sum_{\substack{k_{i+1}-k_i \geq 2 \\ k_1 \geq 1, k_n \leq q-1}} s_{k_1} s_{k_2} \cdots s_{k_n}$$

with the spectral function

$$s_k = f_k g_k = (1 - Q^{-k})(cc' - bb'Q^k).$$

In statistical mechanics, Z_n can be interpreted as the n -body partition function for n particles with one-body spectrum ϵ_k with Boltzmann factor $e^{-\beta\epsilon_k} = s_k$. The $+2$ shifts indicate that these particles obey $g = 2$ exclusion statistics ($g = 0$ for bosons, $g = 1$ for fermions, $g \geq 2$ for stronger exclusion than fermions), i.e., no two particles can occupy two adjacent quantum states. These particles are thus referred to as ‘‘exclusons’’.

By introducing the cluster coefficients b_n via $\log \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\lfloor q/2 \rfloor} Z_n x^n \right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n x^n$ with fugacity $x = -z^2$ and using the identity $\log \det(I - zH_2) = \text{tr} \log(I - zH_2) = - \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^N}{N} \text{tr} H_2^N$,

we establish a connection between the full generating function for signed area enumeration of square lattice walks and the cluster coefficient b_n associated with $g = 2$ exclusion statistics, that is, for $N < q$,

$$\mathbf{Tr} H_{\text{sq}}^N = \frac{1}{q} \text{tr} H_2^N = N(-1)^{n+1} \frac{1}{q} b_n = N \sum_{\substack{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_j \\ \text{composition of } N/2}} c_2(l_1, l_2, \dots, l_j) \frac{1}{q} \sum_{k=1}^{q-j} s_k^{l_1} s_{k+1}^{l_2} \cdots s_{k+j-1}^{l_j}, \quad (5)$$

where $N = 2n$ and the combinatorial coefficients

$$c_2(l_1, l_2, \dots, l_j) = \frac{1}{l_1} \prod_{i=2}^j \binom{l_{i-1} + l_i - 1}{l_i}$$

are labeled by the compositions l_1, l_2, \dots, l_j of n , i.e., ordered partitions of n . See [46] for a combinatorial interpretation of $c_2(l_1, l_2, \dots, l_j)$ in terms of counting periodic Dyck paths.

To compute the trigonometric sum $\frac{1}{q} \sum_{k=1}^{q-j} s_k^{l_1} s_{k+1}^{l_2} \cdots s_{k+j-1}^{l_j}$ in (5), we use the isotropic Hofstadter Hamiltonian result [26, 29], where the standard spectral function reads

$$S_k = s_k|_{b=b'=c=c'=1} = (1 - Q^{-k})(1 - Q^k) = 4 \sin^2(k\pi p/q),$$

leading to

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{q} \sum_{k=1}^{q-j} s_k^{l_1} s_{k+1}^{l_2} \cdots s_{k+j-1}^{l_j} &= \sum_{A=-\lfloor (l_1+l_2+\dots+l_j)^2/4 \rfloor}^{\lfloor (l_1+l_2+\dots+l_j)^2/4 \rfloor} Q^A \sum_{k_3=-l_3}^{l_3} \sum_{k_4=-l_4}^{l_4} \cdots \sum_{k_j=-l_j}^{l_j} \binom{2l_1}{l_1 + A + \sum_{i=3}^j (i-2)k_i} \\ &\times \binom{2l_2}{l_2 - A - \sum_{i=3}^j (i-1)k_i} \prod_{i=3}^j \binom{2l_i}{l_i + k_i}. \end{aligned}$$

Replacing all binomials of the form $\binom{2l}{k}$ with $\sum_{m=0}^l \binom{l}{m} \binom{l}{k-m} (bb')^m (cc')^{l-m}$ for the anisotropic case, we obtain the generating function

$$\begin{aligned} C_N(A) &= N \sum_{\substack{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_j \\ \text{composition of } N/2}} \frac{1}{l_1} \prod_{i=2}^j \binom{l_{i-1} + l_i - 1}{l_i} \sum_{k_3=-l_3}^{l_3} \sum_{k_4=-l_4}^{l_4} \cdots \sum_{k_j=-l_j}^{l_j} \left[\sum_{m=0}^{l_1} \binom{l_1}{m} \binom{l_1}{l_1 + A + \sum_{i=3}^j (i-2)k_i - m} \right. \\ &\times (bb')^m (cc')^{l_1 - m} \left. \right] \left[\sum_{m=0}^{l_2} \binom{l_2}{m} \binom{l_2}{l_2 - A - \sum_{i=3}^j (i-1)k_i - m} (bb')^m (cc')^{l_2 - m} \right] \prod_{i=3}^j \sum_{m=0}^{l_i} \binom{l_i}{m} \\ &\times \binom{l_i}{l_i + k_i - m} (bb')^m (cc')^{l_i - m}. \quad (6) \end{aligned}$$

for the enumeration of square lattice walks with N steps and signed area A (bounded by $\lfloor N^2/16 \rfloor$), characterized by the number of moves in the four directions (b, b' for right and left, c, c' for up and down). At first glance, the explicit expression for $C_N(A)$ in (6) may

seem complicated. However, its three-part structure provides a clear interpretation: the summation indexed by the composition, the combinatorial factor that counts specific configurations, and the nested multiple sum that corresponds to the single trigonometric sum at the core of the cluster coefficients. Note that the special case $(b, b', c, c') = (1, 1, \lambda, \lambda)$ was also obtained in [47].

2.3 Signed area enumeration of triangular lattice walks

For general $a, a', b, b', c, c' \in \mathbb{R}^+$, the Hamiltonian

$$H_{\text{tri}} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{s}_1 & f_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & g_q \\ g_1 & \tilde{s}_2 & f_2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & g_2 & \tilde{s}_3 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \tilde{s}_{q-1} & f_{q-1} \\ f_q & 0 & 0 & \cdots & g_{q-1} & \tilde{s}_q \end{pmatrix}$$

becomes a tridiagonal matrix with nonvanishing corners. The main diagonal elements, arising from the operators u and u^{-1} , read $\tilde{s}_k = a e^{ik_x} Q^k + a' e^{-ik_x} Q^{-k}$. Again, by setting $e^{ik_x} = \frac{b}{c'} Q^{-1/2}$, $k_y = 0$ and ignoring g_q , as in Section 2.2, both corners vanish. Denote this matrix as $H_{1,2}$. We have

$$\det(I - zH_{1,2}) = \sum_{n=0}^q (-z)^n Z_n$$

with the Kreft coefficient

$$Z_0 = 1, \quad Z_n = \sum_{\substack{g_1 + \cdots + g_j = n \\ g_i \in \{1,2\}}} \sum_{\substack{k_{i+1} - k_i \geq g_i \\ k_1 \geq 1, k_j \leq q - g_j + 1}} s_{k_1}^{(g_1)} s_{k_2}^{(g_2)} \cdots s_{k_j}^{(g_j)}, \quad s_k^{(g)} = \begin{cases} \tilde{s}_k, & g = 1 \\ -s_k, & g = 2 \end{cases}$$

where $\tilde{s}_k = -\frac{ab}{c'} Q^{k-\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{a'c'}{b} Q^{\frac{1}{2}-k}$ and $s_k = (1 - Q^{-k})(cc' - bb'Q^k)$. The coefficient Z_n can be interpreted as the n -body partition function for particles in a one-body spectrum ϵ_k ($k = 1, \dots, q$) obeying a mixture of two statistics: fermions with Boltzmann factor $e^{-\beta\epsilon_k} = \tilde{s}_k$, and two-fermion bound states occupying one-body energy levels k and $k+1$ with Boltzmann factor $e^{-\beta\epsilon_{k,k+1}} = -s_k$ behaving effectively as $g = 2$ exclusions. Following the same reasoning as in Section 2.2, the associated cluster coefficient b_n , defined by $\log(\sum_{n=0}^q Z_n z^n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n$, can be expressed in terms of \tilde{s}_k and s_k and the full generating function for signed area enumeration of triangular lattice walks can be derived from the cluster coefficient, that is, for $N < q$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr } H_{\text{tri}}^N &= \frac{1}{q} \text{tr } H_{1,2}^N = N(-1)^{N+1} \frac{1}{q} b_N \\ &= N \sum_{\substack{\tilde{l}_1, \dots, \tilde{l}_{j+1}; l_1, \dots, l_j \\ (1,2)\text{-composition of } N}} c_{1,2}(\tilde{l}_1, \dots, \tilde{l}_{j+1}; l_1, \dots, l_j) \frac{1}{q} \sum_{k=1}^{q-j} \tilde{s}_k^{\tilde{l}_1} s_k^{l_1} \tilde{s}_{k+1}^{\tilde{l}_2} s_{k+1}^{l_2} \cdots \tilde{s}_{k+j}^{\tilde{l}_{j+1}}, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where the combinatorial coefficient

$$c_{1,2}(\tilde{l}_1, \dots, \tilde{l}_{j+1}; l_1, \dots, l_j) = \frac{(\tilde{l}_1 + l_1 - 1)!}{\tilde{l}_1! l_1!} \prod_{k=2}^{j+1} \binom{l_{k-1} + \tilde{l}_k + l_k - 1}{l_{k-1} - 1, \tilde{l}_k, l_k}$$

processes a combinatorial interpretation of periodic Motzkin path counting (See [46]). By convention, $l_k = 0$ for $k > j$. The sequence of integers $\tilde{l}_1, \dots, \tilde{l}_{j+1}; l_1, \dots, l_j$, $j \geq 0$, labeling $c_{1,2}$ in (7) is defined as a $(1, 2)$ -composition of the integer N if they satisfy the conditions $N = (\tilde{l}_1 + \tilde{l}_2 + \dots + \tilde{l}_{j+1}) + 2(l_1 + l_2 + \dots + l_j)$ with $\tilde{l}_i \geq 0$ and $l_i > 0$. That is, the l_i 's are the usual compositions of integers $1, 2, \dots, \lfloor N/2 \rfloor$, while the \tilde{l}_i 's are additional nonnegative integers (for $j = 0$, we have the trivial composition $\tilde{l}_1 = N$). For example, there are six compositions of 4: (4) , $(2,0;1)$, $(1,1;1)$, $(0,2;1)$, $(0,0;2)$, $(0,0,0;1,1)$.

For the trigonometric sum $\frac{1}{q} \sum_{k=1}^{q-j} \tilde{s}_k^{\tilde{l}_1} s_k^{l_1} \tilde{s}_{k+1}^{\tilde{l}_2} s_{k+1}^{l_2} \dots \tilde{s}_{k+j}^{\tilde{l}_{j+1}}$, we consider a special case where $a' = ab^2/c'^2$, $b' = cc'/b$, which includes the specific choices $(a, a', b, b', c, c') = (a, a, b, b, b, b)$ and $(1, a', 1, a'^{-1/2}, 1, a'^{-1/2})$. Let $ab/c' = a'c'/b = \lambda_1$ and $bb' = cc' = \lambda_2$. The two spectral functions are expressed as

$$\tilde{s}_k = \lambda_1 (S_{k-\frac{1}{2}} - 2), \quad s_k = \lambda_2 S_k$$

with $S_k = 4 \sin^2(k\pi p/q)$ the standard Hofstadter spectral function. By expanding the trigonometric sum using the binomial theorem, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Tr} H_{\text{tri}}^N = N & \sum_{\substack{\tilde{l}_1, \dots, \tilde{l}_{j+1}; l_1, \dots, l_j \\ (1,2)\text{-composition of } n=0,1,2,\dots,N}} c_{1,2}(\tilde{l}_1, \dots, \tilde{l}_{j+1}; l_1, \dots, l_j) (-2)^{N-n} \lambda_1^{N-2(l_1+\dots+l_j)} \lambda_2^{l_1+\dots+l_j} \\ & \times \binom{N-1}{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{q-j} S_{k-\frac{1}{2}}^{\tilde{l}_1} S_k^{l_1} S_{k+\frac{1}{2}}^{\tilde{l}_2} S_{k+1}^{l_2} \dots S_{k+j-\frac{1}{2}}^{\tilde{l}_{j+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

By convention, for $(1, 2)$ -composition of $n = 0$, $c_{1,2}(0) \binom{N-1}{n-1}$ is understood to be $\frac{1}{N}$. Thanks to the identity

$$\sum_{k=1}^{q-j} S_{2k-1}^{\tilde{l}_1} S_{2k}^{l_1} S_{2k+1}^{\tilde{l}_2} S_{2k+2}^{l_2} \dots S_{2k+2j-1}^{\tilde{l}_{j+1}} = \sum_{k=1}^{q-2j-1} S_k^{\tilde{l}_1} S_{k+1}^{l_1} \dots S_{k+2j}^{\tilde{l}_{j+1}},$$

the generating function $C_N(A)$ for the enumeration of closed triangular lattice walks of length N enclosing a signed area² A ($A = 1/2$ for a unit lattice cell), and characterized

²The area $2A = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$ is bounded by $\text{round}(N^2/6) - (0 \text{ if } N \equiv 0 \pmod{6}, 1 \text{ otherwise})$ [48]. The function $\text{round}(x)$ returns the integer that is closest to x and rounds half-integers towards the nearest even integer.

by the number of moves in the six directions, is derived to be

$$\begin{aligned}
C_N(A) = N & \sum_{\substack{\tilde{l}_1, \dots, \tilde{l}_{j+1}; l_1, \dots, l_j \\ (1,2)\text{-composition of } n=0,1,2,\dots,N}} \frac{(\tilde{l}_1 + l_1 - 1)!}{\tilde{l}_1! l_1!} \prod_{k=2}^{j+1} \binom{l_{k-1} + \tilde{l}_k + l_k - 1}{l_{k-1} - 1, \tilde{l}_k, l_k} (-2)^{N-n} \lambda_1^{N-2(l_1+\dots+l_j)} \lambda_2^{l_1+\dots+l_j} \\
& \times \binom{N-1}{n-1} \sum_{k_3=-\tilde{l}_2}^{\tilde{l}_2} \sum_{k_4=-l_2}^{l_2} \cdots \sum_{k_{2j+1}=-\tilde{l}_{j+1}}^{\tilde{l}_{j+1}} \binom{2\tilde{l}_1}{\tilde{l}_1 + 2A + \sum_{i=3}^{2j+1} (i-2)k_i} \binom{2l_1}{l_1 - 2A - \sum_{i=3}^{2j+1} (i-1)k_i} \\
& \times \prod_{i=2}^{j+1} \binom{2\tilde{l}_i}{\tilde{l}_i + k_{2i-1}} \prod_{i=2}^j \binom{2l_i}{l_i + k_{2i}}. \tag{8}
\end{aligned}$$

Similar to the square lattice case, (8) retains a three-part structure: a summation indexed by the (1, 2)-composition, an associated combinatorial factor, and a nested multiple sum derived from two spectral functions. For $a = a' = 0$ or $\lambda_1 = 0$, the terms in $C_N(A)$ are nonzero only if $N - 2(l_1 + \dots + l_j) = 0$. This implies that all \tilde{l}_i 's are zero, and we recover the $g = 2$ square lattice case.

The general case with arbitrary variables a, a', b, b', c, c' can be treated in a similar approach. However, the resulting expression is cumbersome and will not be presented in this paper. A few examples of $\mathbf{Tr} H_{\text{tri}}^N$ are listed below, with the corresponding $C_N(A)$ values for $a = a' = b = b' = c = c' = 1$ listed in Table 2 in Appendix B.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{Tr} H_{\text{tri}}^2 &= 2(aa' + bb' + cc'), \\
\mathbf{Tr} H_{\text{tri}}^3 &= 3(Q^{1/2} + Q^{-1/2})(abc + a'b'c'), \\
\mathbf{Tr} H_{\text{tri}}^4 &= 6[(aa')^2 + (bb')^2 + (cc')^2] + [16 + 4(Q + Q^{-1})](aa'bb' + bb'cc' + cc'aa'), \\
\mathbf{Tr} H_{\text{tri}}^5 &= [25(Q^{1/2} + Q^{-1/2}) + 5(Q^{3/2} + Q^{-3/2})](abc + a'b'c')(aa' + bb' + cc'), \\
\mathbf{Tr} H_{\text{tri}}^6 &= 20[(aa')^3 + (bb')^3 + (cc')^3] + [36 + 21(Q + Q^{-1}) + 6(Q^2 + Q^{-2})][(abc)^2 + (a'b'c')^2] \\
& \quad + [96 + 36(Q + Q^{-1}) + 6(Q^2 + Q^{-2})][(aa')^2(bb' + cc') + (bb')^2(cc' + aa') + (cc')^2 \\
& \quad \times (aa' + bb')] + [312 + 162(Q + Q^{-1}) + 36(Q^2 + Q^{-2}) + 6(Q^3 + Q^{-3})]aa'bb'cc', \\
\mathbf{Tr} H_{\text{tri}}^7 &= 7\{[22(Q^{1/2} + Q^{-1/2}) + 7(Q^{3/2} + Q^{-3/2}) + (Q^{5/2} + Q^{-5/2})][(aa')^2 + (bb')^2 + (cc')^2] \\
& \quad + [60(Q^{1/2} + Q^{-1/2}) + 24(Q^{3/2} + Q^{-3/2}) + 5(Q^{5/2} + Q^{-5/2}) + (Q^{7/2} + Q^{-7/2})] \\
& \quad \times (aa'bb' + bb'cc' + cc'aa')\}(abc + a'b'c').
\end{aligned}$$

When $a = a' = 0$ (or equivalently $b = b' = 0$ or $c = c' = 0$), the enumeration reduces to the case of square lattice walks. When $a' = b' = c' = 0$ (or equivalently $a = b = c = 0$), it simplifies to the enumeration of *chiral* walks on a triangular lattice, which has been proven to be related to $g = 3$ exclusion statistics for the isotropic case $a = b = c = 1$ [27, 29]. It can be further shown that for the anisotropic case $g = 3$ exclusion statistics still hold, but now with the spectral function $s_k = 4abc \sin(\pi k p/q) \sin(\pi(k+1)p/q)$.

3 Quantum A-period conjecture

Quantum periods, expressed as formal power series in \hbar^2 , emerge from the all-orders WKB method and encapsulate quantum corrections to classical periods on complex curves. They play a pivotal role in the study of quantum curves, appearing in various settings such as Schrödinger operators and mirror curves of toric Calabi–Yau geometries. Their significance lies in their role in exact quantization conditions, mirror symmetry, resurgence theory, and connections to BPS state counting in supersymmetric and string theory contexts. See, e.g., [49, 50] for comprehensive reviews. Specifically, the quantum A-period, in the limit $E \rightarrow \infty$ (i.e., around $z = 1/E = 0$), can be obtained by a residue calculation [51, 52, 34].

Following the procedure in [53, 34], we promote canonically conjugate variables x and y in the mirror curve of local \mathcal{B}_3 geometry

$$e^x + e^y + e^{-x-y} + m_1 e^{-x} + m_2 e^{-y} + m_3 e^{x+y} = E,$$

where m_1, m_2 , and m_3 are complex moduli parameters, to two operators x and y with the commutation relation $[x, y] = i\hbar$. This leads to the Hamiltonian

$$H_{\mathcal{B}_3} = e^x + e^y + e^{-x-y} + m_1 e^{-x} + m_2 e^{-y} + m_3 e^{x+y},$$

which can be seen as a non-compact version of H_{tri} in (2). Applying the Baker–Campbell–Hausdorff formula, the Schrödinger equation $H_{\mathcal{B}_3}\psi(x) = E\psi(x)$ leads the quantized mirror curve

$$e^x\psi(x) + \psi(x - i\hbar) + Q^{-1/2}e^{-x}\psi(x + i\hbar) + m_1 e^{-x}\psi(x) + m_2\psi(x + i\hbar) + m_3 Q^{-1/2}e^x\psi(x - i\hbar) = E\psi(x), \quad (9)$$

where $Q = e^{i\hbar}$. Introducing $V(X) = \frac{\psi(x - i\hbar)}{\psi(x)}$ with $X = e^x$, (9) becomes

$$X + V(X) + \frac{Q^{-1/2}}{XV(QX)} + \frac{m_1}{X} + \frac{m_2}{V(QX)} + m_3 Q^{-1/2}XV(X) = \frac{1}{z}, \quad z = \frac{1}{E}.$$

Express $V(X) = v_{-1}(X)/z + v_0(X) + v_1(X)z + \dots$. The quantum A-period is given by [34]

$$\begin{aligned} t &= -\log(z) + \text{Res}_{X=0} \frac{\log V(X) - \log(v_{-1}(X)/z)}{X} \\ &= -\log(z) - z^2(m_1 + m_2 + m_3) - z^3(Q^{1/2} + Q^{-1/2})(1 + m_1 m_2 m_3) \\ &\quad - z^4 \left[\frac{3}{2}(m_1^2 + m_2^2 + m_3^2) + (4 + Q + Q^{-1})(m_1 m_2 + m_2 m_3 + m_3 m_1) \right] + \mathcal{O}(z^5). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

We observe that (10) is related to the signed area enumeration of triangular lattice walks, namely

$$t = -\log(z) - \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} z^N \frac{1}{N} \mathbf{Tr} H_{\text{tri}}^N = -\log(z) - \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} z^N \frac{1}{N} \sum_A C_N(A) Q^A, \quad (11)$$

where $C_N(A)$ is the generating function for the enumeration of closed random walks on a triangular lattice with N steps, enclosing a signed area A ($A = 1/2$ for a unit lattice cell), and characterized by the variables $(a, a', b, b', c, c') = (1, m_1, 1, m_2, 1, m_3)$ for counting the moves. The conjecture (11) has been numerically verified up to z^{12} . For $Q \rightarrow 1$, the quantum A-period reduces to the classical A-period $-\log(z) - \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} z^N \frac{1}{N} [x^0 y^0] (x + m_1 x^{-1} + y + m_2 y^{-1} + x^{-1} y^{-1} + m_3 xy)^N$. From (7), we obtain

$$t = (1/q) \log \det(1/z - H_{1,2}) + \mathcal{O}(z^q),$$

where $H_{1,2}$ is the $q \times q$ tridiagonal matrix representing the effective Hofstadter Hamiltonian for triangular lattice walks, as defined in Section 2.3.

The conjecture (11) establishes a compelling connection between quantities in toric Calabi–Yau threefolds and lattice random walks. To the best of the author’s knowledge, the statistical mechanics methods arising from Hofstadter-like models have not yet been applied to the study of the toric Calabi–Yau geometries. This novel perspective has the potential to bridge toric string theory, condensed matter physics, and statistical mechanics, fostering deeper cross-disciplinary understanding and illuminating potential applications across these fields.

We also observe that (11) holds for other toric Calabi–Yau threefolds, such as local \mathbb{F}_0 geometry, which has been shown to be related to the Hofstadter model [33] and thus square lattice walks, where H_{tri} becomes H_{sq} and $C_N(A)$ represents the generating function for enumeration of closed random walks on a square lattice with N steps and enclosing a signed area A ($A = 1$ for a unit lattice cell), characterized by the number of moves in each direction. We can check that the quantum A-period derived from the Hamiltonian associated with local \mathbb{F}_0

$$H_{\mathbb{F}_0} = e^x + e^{-x} + R^2(e^y + e^{-y}), \quad (12)$$

where R is a parameter, reads

$$t = -\log(z) - z^2(1 + R^4) - z^4 \left[\frac{3}{2} + 4R^4 + \frac{3}{2}R^8 + R^4(Q + Q^{-1}) \right] - z^6 \left[\frac{10}{3} + 16R^4 + 16R^8 + \frac{10}{3}R^{12} + 6R^4(1 + R^4)(Q + Q^{-1}) + R^4(1 + R^4)(Q^2 + Q^{-2}) \right] + \mathcal{O}(z^8),$$

which is consistent with (11) for $(b, b', c, c') = (1, 1, R^2, R^2)$ in H_2 .

In the square lattice case, we can go a step further by deriving the right-hand side of (11). By applying the method in [54, 47] and using the identity $[x^0 y^0](bx + b'x^{-1} + cy + c'y^{-1})^{2n} = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{2n}{n} \binom{n}{k}^2 (bb')^{n-k} (cc')^k$, where x and y are scalars, we find

$$G(z) = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} z^N \sum_A C_N(A) Q^A = -\frac{z \partial_z \det(1/z - H_2)}{2\pi q (bb'cc')^{q/4}} \frac{K(1/f(z))}{\sqrt{f(z)}},$$

where

$$f(z) = \frac{(\det(1/z - H_2))^2 - 4[(bb')^{q/2} - (cc')^{q/2}]^2}{16(bb'cc')^{q/2}},$$

and K denotes the complete elliptic integral of the first kind. By convention, there is one walk with signed area 0 for $N = 0$. From (11), the quantum A-period is given by

$$t = -\log(z) - \int_0^z \frac{G(u) - 1}{u} du,$$

and its derivative, by replacing $z = 1/E$, reads

$$\frac{\partial t}{\partial E} = \frac{1}{E} G\left(\frac{1}{E}\right) = \frac{\partial_E \det(E - H_2) K(1/F)}{2\pi q (bb'cc')^{q/4} \sqrt{F}}$$

with

$$F = f\left(\frac{1}{E}\right) = \frac{(\det(E - H_2))^2 - 4[(bb')^{q/2} - (cc')^{q/2}]^2}{16(bb'cc')^{q/2}}.$$

For $(b, b', c, c') = (1, 1, R^2, R^2)$, we recover Eq. (3.22) in [33], up to a factor 2 arising from differing definitions of the classical period³. Note that the polynomial $P_{p/q}(E, R)$ in Eq. (2.21) of [33] is nothing but $\det(E - H_2)$, leading to the strong-weak coupling energy relation⁴

$$\det(E_n - H_2) = \det(\tilde{E}_n - \tilde{H}_2), \quad (13)$$

where E_n and \tilde{E}_n are the n -th eigenenergy of the Hamiltonian $H_{\mathbb{F}_0}$ in (12) and its dual $e^{2\pi x/\hbar} + e^{-2\pi x/\hbar} + R^{4\pi/\hbar}(e^{2\pi y/\hbar} + e^{-2\pi y/\hbar})$ with $[x, y] = i\hbar$ and $\hbar = 2\pi p/q$. The Hamiltonians H_2, \tilde{H}_2 are the $q \times q$ and $p \times p$ matrices, as defined in Section 2.2, with $Q = e^{2i\pi p/q}$ and $e^{2i\pi q/p}$, $(b, b', c, c') = (1, 1, R^2, R^2)$ and $(1, 1, R^{2q/p}, R^{2q/p})$, respectively. In Section 2.2, the secular determinant $\det(I - zH_2)$ is expanded in terms of the Kreft coefficients, which can be interpreted as the grand partition function of a system of $g = 2$ exclusions. Consequently, the same coefficients emerge in the expansion of $\det(E_n - H_2) = E_n^q \det(I - E_n^{-1}H_2)$ in (13). These coefficients have recently been recovered and proved in [55] for the case $R = 1$ using quantum group theory. However, the role of the strong-weak energy relation (13) within the framework of statistical mechanics remains unclear. Uncovering its connection to statistical mechanics could provide valuable new insights into understanding the symmetric property of the quantization condition for local \mathbb{F}_0 [56, 33].

³We thank Yasuyuki Hatsuda for clarifying this point.

⁴To numerically calculate the eigenenergies E_n and \tilde{E}_n , we can choose $x = \sqrt{\hbar/2} (a^\dagger + a)$ and $y = i\sqrt{\hbar/2} (a^\dagger - a)$, where a^\dagger and a are creation and annihilation operators, represented by the matrices

$$a^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & \sqrt{2} & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{3} & 0 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}, \quad a = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{2} & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{3} & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix},$$

respectively, which are of large, truncated finite dimension, ensuring sufficient accuracy.

4 Conclusion

In this paper, by calculating the trace of powers of anisotropic Hofstadter-like Hamiltonians, we obtain closed-form expressions (6) and (8) for the enumeration of square and triangular lattice walks with a given length and signed area. These results generalize the previous counting formulae for the isotropic case in [26, 27, 28] by also accounting for the number of moves in each hopping direction. Similar to the isotropic case, both square and triangular lattice walks relate to exclusion statistics: the former corresponds to $g = 2$, while the latter is a mixture of $g = 1$ and $g = 2$. Note that the enumeration can also be computed recursively, as detailed in the Appendix C. Nevertheless, our method offers a perspective that connects lattice random walks with exclusion statistics, providing novel mathematical insights into Hofstadter-like spectral structures and serving as a useful analytical tool for the study of complex systems in statistical and polymer physics.

The relation (11) between $C_N(A)$ and the quantum A-period in toric Calabi–Yau threefolds is observed. While this connection is not entirely unexpected, as both originate from the same quantum curve, the simple and concise form of this relation suggests an underlying structure. We have verified several implications of this relation using established results in the literature. Similar verification could be pursued for closed random walks on other planar lattices—such as the honeycomb lattice, Lieb lattice, king’s lattice, and kagome lattice—and their associated toric Calabi–Yau threefolds. The proof of this conjecture, along with its broader consequences, stands as an open question inviting deeper exploration. One natural approach would be to verify the conjecture (11) by demonstrating that both sides satisfy the same difference equation. It is well known that the classical period satisfies the Picard–Fuchs differential equation, whose quantization yields a corresponding difference equation. This same difference equation should also govern the generating function for the signed area enumeration, which acts as a recurrence relation for $C_N(A)$ up to a factor.

Furthermore, the concept of signed area has recently been extended to three dimensional walks, such as closed cubic lattice walks [57], where the signed area is defined as the sum of signed areas obtained from the walk’s projection onto the three Cartesian planes. The enumeration formula can also be mapped onto cluster coefficients, now involving three types of particles. Investigating its potential connection to a toric Calabi–Yau *four-fold* represents another promising direction for future work. Finally, the potential relation between lattice random walks and the quantum B-period poses an intriguing challenge for further investigation.

Acknowledgments

L.G. would like to thank Stéphane Ouvry, Alexios P. Polychronakos, Hai-Long Shi, and Masahito Yamazaki for insightful discussions. L.G. also acknowledges the hospitality of LPTMS, CNRS at Université Paris-Saclay, during the early stages of this work. L.G. was supported by the GGI Boost Postdoctoral Fellowship (No. 25768/2023).

A Examples of signed area enumeration of square lattice walks

	$N = 2$	4	6	8	10	12	14	16	18
$A = 0$	4	28	232	2156	21944	240280	2787320	33820044	424925872
± 1		8	144	2016	26320	337560	4337088	56267456	739225296
± 2			24	616	11080	174384	2582440	37139616	526924440
± 3				96	3120	67256	1220464	20255488	319524480
± 4				16	840	23928	525224	10030216	176290488
± 5					160	7272	203952	4579520	90612576
± 6					40	2400	80752	2072736	45522456
± 7						528	27440	870080	21840912
± 8						144	9800	368208	10416744
± 9						24	3024	146112	4797504
± 10							840	56128	2171448
± 11							224	20672	956016
± 12							56	7520	417456
± 13								2176	168624
± 14								704	69120
± 15								192	26784
± 16								32	9576
± 17									3168
± 18									1080
± 19									288
± 20									72
Total	4	36	400	4900	63504	853776	11778624	165636900	2363904400

Table 1: $C_N(A)$ up to $N = 18$ for closed N -step square lattice walks with signed area A ($A = 1$ for a unit lattice cell) and $b = b' = c = c' = 1$.

We note that, since

$$N \sum_{\substack{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_j \\ \text{composition of } N/2}} c_2(l_1, l_2, \dots, l_j) = \binom{N}{N/2}$$

and in the limit $q \rightarrow \infty$, i.e., $Q \rightarrow 1$ [26, 29],

$$\frac{1}{q} \sum_{k=1}^{q-j} S_k^{l_1} S_{k+1}^{l_2} \cdots S_{k+j-1}^{l_j} \rightarrow \binom{2(l_1 + l_2 + \cdots + l_j)}{l_1 + l_2 + \cdots + l_j}, \quad (14)$$

the total number of closed square lattice walks of length N is recovered to be

$$N \sum_{\substack{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_j \\ \text{composition of } N/2}} c_2(l_1, l_2, \dots, l_j) \binom{2(l_1 + l_2 + \cdots + l_j)}{l_1 + l_2 + \cdots + l_j} = \binom{N}{N/2}^2,$$

as expected⁵.

B Examples of signed area enumeration of triangular lattice walks

	$N=2$	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
$2A = 0$	6		66		1020		19890		449976		11177244
± 1		12		300		6888		164124		4124340	
± 2			24		840		23904		654840		18038232
± 3				60		2604		85944		2617428	
± 4					168		8568		317940		10572216
± 5						504		29628		1215456	
± 6					12		1968		114360		4919592
± 7						84		8496		475200	
± 8							432		37560		2058096
± 9								1980		167244	
± 10							48		10380		785976
± 11								432		55308	
± 12									2700		288276
± 13									36		15972
± 14										540	96840
± 15											4356
± 16									60		30312
± 17										924	
± 18											8544
± 19										132	
± 20											2088
± 22											336
± 24											24
Total	6	12	90	360	2040	10080	54810	290640	1588356	8676360	47977776

Table 2: $C_N(A)$ up to $N = 12$ for closed N -step triangular lattice walks with signed area A ($A = 1/2$ for a unit lattice cell) and $a = a' = b = b' = c = c' = 1$.

We note that, from

$$n \sum_{\substack{\tilde{l}_1, \dots, \tilde{l}_{j+1}; l_1, \dots, l_j \\ (1,2)\text{-composition of } n \\ l_1 + \dots + l_j = n'}} c_{1,2}(\tilde{l}_1, \dots, \tilde{l}_{j+1}; l_1, \dots, l_j) = \binom{n}{2n'} \binom{2n'}{n'},$$

⁵By setting $Q = 1$, the total number of N -step closed square lattice walks is obtained from the u, v -independent part in the expansion of $(u + u^{-1} + v + v^{-1})^N$. Since $vu = uv$, the binomial theorem gives $(u + u^{-1} + v + v^{-1})^N = (u + v)^N (1 + u^{-1}v^{-1})^N = \sum_{i=0}^N \sum_{j=0}^N \binom{N}{i} \binom{N}{j} u^i v^{N-i} (vu)^{-j}$. Taking $i = j = N/2$, the count simplifies to $\binom{N}{N/2}^2$.

the total number of closed triangular lattice walks of length N is recovered to be

$$\begin{aligned}
& N \sum_{\substack{\tilde{l}_1, \dots, \tilde{l}_{j+1}; l_1, \dots, l_j \\ (1,2)\text{-composition of } n=0,1,2,\dots,N}} c_{1,2}(\tilde{l}_1, \dots, \tilde{l}_{j+1}; l_1, \dots, l_j) (-2)^{N-n} \binom{N-1}{n-1} \binom{2(\tilde{l}_1 + \dots + \tilde{l}_{j+1} + l_1 + \dots + l_j)}{\tilde{l}_1 + \dots + \tilde{l}_{j+1} + l_1 + \dots + l_j} \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^N \sum_{n'=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \left(N \sum_{\substack{\tilde{l}_1, \dots, \tilde{l}_{j+1}; l_1, \dots, l_j \\ (1,2)\text{-composition of } n \\ l_1 + \dots + l_j = n'}} c_{1,2}(\tilde{l}_1, \dots, \tilde{l}_{j+1}; l_1, \dots, l_j) (-2)^{N-n} \binom{N-1}{n-1} \binom{2n-2n'}{n-n'} \right) \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^N \sum_{n'=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} (-2)^{N-n} \binom{N}{n} \binom{n}{2n'} \binom{2n'}{n'} \binom{2n-2n'}{n-n'} \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^N \sum_{k=0}^n (-2)^{N-n} \binom{N}{n} \binom{n}{k}^3,
\end{aligned}$$

as expected⁶.

C Recurrence relation for enumeration of triangular lattice walks

Consider an N -step random walk (not necessarily closed) on the deformed triangular lattice (Figure 2, right) with m_1 steps right, m_2 steps left, l_1 steps up, l_2 steps down, r_1 steps down-left, and r_2 steps up-right with $m_1 + m_2 + l_1 + l_2 + r_1 + r_2 = N$. If the walk is open, we can close it by adding a straight line that connects the endpoint to the starting point. By convention, the area of a unit lattice cell is $1/2$. Let $C_{m_1, m_2, l_1, l_2, r_1, r_2}(A)$ denote the generating function that counts N -step walks with signed area A , characterized by the number of moves in each possible direction. The full generating function $Z_{m_1, m_2, l_1, l_2, r_1, r_2}(\mathbb{Q}) = \sum_A C_{m_1, m_2, l_1, l_2, r_1, r_2}(A) \mathbb{Q}^A$ can be computed by the recurrence relation

$$\begin{aligned}
& Z_{m_1, m_2, l_1, l_2, r_1, r_2}(\mathbb{Q}) \\
&= a \mathbb{Q}^{(l_2 + r_1 - l_1 - r_2)/2} Z_{m_1 - 1, m_2, l_1, l_2, r_1, r_2}(\mathbb{Q}) + a' \mathbb{Q}^{(l_1 + r_2 - l_2 - r_1)/2} Z_{m_1, m_2 - 1, l_1, l_2, r_1, r_2}(\mathbb{Q}) \\
&\quad + b \mathbb{Q}^{(m_1 + r_2 - m_2 - r_1)/2} Z_{m_1, m_2, l_1 - 1, l_2, r_1, r_2}(\mathbb{Q}) + b' \mathbb{Q}^{(m_2 + r_1 - m_1 - r_2)/2} Z_{m_1, m_2, l_1, l_2 - 1, r_1, r_2}(\mathbb{Q}) \\
&\quad + c \mathbb{Q}^{(m_2 - m_1 + l_1 - l_2)/2} Z_{m_1, m_2, l_1, l_2, r_1 - 1, r_2}(\mathbb{Q}) + c' \mathbb{Q}^{(m_1 - m_2 + l_2 - l_1)/2} Z_{m_1, m_2, l_1, l_2, r_1, r_2 - 1}(\mathbb{Q})
\end{aligned}$$

⁶By setting $\mathbb{Q} = 1$, the total number of N -step closed triangular lattice walks can also be derived from the u, v -independent part in the expansion of $(u + u^{-1} + v + v^{-1} + u^{-1}v^{-1} + vu)^N = [(1+u)(1+v)(1+u^{-1}v^{-1}) - 2]^N = \sum_{n=0}^N (-2)^{N-n} \binom{N}{n} \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{i} \binom{n}{j} \binom{n}{k} u^i v^j (vu)^{-k}$. Setting $i = j = k$ yields the desired number.

with $Z_{0,0,0,0,0}(Q) = 1$ and $Z_{m_1, m_2, l_1, l_2, r_1, r_2}(Q) = 0$ whenever $\min(m_1, m_2, l_1, l_2, r_1, r_2) < 0$. For closed walks of length N , we have

$$\sum_A C_N(A) Q^A = \sum_{\substack{m_1+m_2+l_1+l_2+r_1+r_2=N \\ m_1+r_2=m_2+r_1 \\ l_1+r_2=l_2+r_1}} Z_{m_1, m_2, l_1, l_2, r_1, r_2}(Q).$$

References

- [1] D. R. Hofstadter, “Energy levels and wave functions of Bloch electrons in rational and irrational magnetic fields,” *Phys. Rev. B* **14**, 2239 (1976).
- [2] I. I. Satija, “A tale of two fractals: The Hofstadter butterfly and the integral Apollonian gaskets,” *Eur. Phys. J. Special Topics* **225**, 2533 (2016).
- [3] I. I. Satija, M. Wilkinson, “Nests and chains of Hofstadter butterflies,” *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **53**, 085703 (2020).
- [4] K. v. Klitzing, G. Dorda, M. Pepper, “New Method for High-Accuracy Determination of the Fine-Structure Constant Based on Quantized Hall Resistance,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **45**, 494 (1980).
- [5] D. J. Thouless, M. Kohmoto, M. P. Nightingale, and M. den Nijs, “Quantized Hall Conductance in a Two-Dimensional Periodic Potential,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **9**, 405 (1982).
- [6] M. Kohmoto, “Topological invariant and the quantization of the Hall conductance,” *Ann. Phys.* **160**, 343 (1985).
- [7] P. Roushan, C. Neill, J. Tangpanitanon, *et al.*, “Spectroscopic signatures of localization with interacting photons in superconducting qubits,” *Science* **358**, 1175 (2017).
- [8] F. H. Claro and G. H. Wannier, “Magnetic subband structure of electrons in hexagonal lattices,” *Phys. Rev. B* **19**, 6068 (1979).
- [9] Y. Hatsugai and M. Kohmoto, “Energy spectrum and the quantum Hall effect on the square lattice with next-nearest-neighbor hopping,” *Phys. Rev. B* **42**, 8282 (1990).
- [10] J. Bellissard, C. Kreft, and R. Seiler, “Analysis of the spectrum of a particle on a triangular lattice with two magnetic fluxes by algebraic and numerical methods,” *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **24**, 2329 (1991).
- [11] J. E. Avron, O. Kenneth, and G. Yehoshua, “A study of the ambiguity in the solutions to the Diophantine equation for Chern numbers,” *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **47**, 185202 (2014).

- [12] R. Rammal, “Landau level spectrum of Bloch electrons in a honeycomb lattice,” *J. Phys. France* **46**, 1345 (1985).
- [13] C. Kreft and R. Seiler, “Models of the Hofstadter-type,” *J. Math. Phys.* **37**, 5207 (1996).
- [14] A. Agazzi, J.-P. Eckmann, and G. M. Graf, “The colored Hofstadter butterfly for the honeycomb lattice,” *J. Stat. Phys.* **156**, 417 (2014).
- [15] Y. Xiao, V. Pelletier, P. M. Chaikin, and D. A. Huse, “Landau levels in the case of two degenerate coupled bands: Kagomé lattice tight-binding spectrum,” *Phys. Rev. B* **67**, 104505 (2003).
- [16] J.-M. Hou, “Light-induced Hofstadter’s butterfly spectrum of ultracold atoms on the two-dimensional kagomé lattice,” *Chinese Phys. Lett.* **26**, 123701 (2009).
- [17] G. Montambaux and M. Kohmoto, “Quantized Hall effect in three dimensions,” *Phys. Rev. B* **41**, 11417 (1990).
- [18] Y. Hasegawa, “Generalized flux states on 3-dimensional lattice,” *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **59**, 4384 (1990).
- [19] M. Koshino, H. Aoki, K. Kuroki, S. Kagoshima, and T. Osada, “Hofstadter butterfly and integer quantum Hall effect in three dimensions,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **86**, 1062 (2001)
- [20] M. Koshino and H. Aoki, “Integer quantum Hall effect in isotropic three-dimensional crystals,” *Phys. Rev. B* **67**, 195336 (2003).
- [21] J. Brüning, V. V. Demidov, and V. A. Geyler, “Hofstadter-type spectral diagrams for the Bloch electron in three dimensions,” *Phys. Rev. B* **69**, 033202 (2004).
- [22] F. Di Colandrea, A. D’Errico, M. Maffei, H. M. Price, M. Lewenstein, L. Marrucci, F. Cardano, A. Dauphin, and P. Massignan, “Linking topological features of the Hofstadter model to optical diffraction figures,” *New J. Phys.* **24**, 013028 (2022).
- [23] A. Stegmaier, L. K. Upreti, R. Thomale, and I. Boettcher, “Universality of Hofstadter Butterflies on Hyperbolic Lattices,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **128**, 166402 (2022).
- [24] S. Ouvry and A. P. Polychronakos, “Signed area enumeration for lattice paths,” *Sémin. Lothar. Combin.* **87B**, 4 (2023).
- [25] J. Bellissard, C. J. Camacho, A. Barello, and F. Claro, “Exact random walk distributions using noncommutative geometry,” *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **30**, L707 (1997).
- [26] S. Ouvry and S. Wu, “The algebraic area of closed lattice random walks,” *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **52**, 255201 (2019).

- [27] S. Ouvry and A. P. Polychronakos, “Exclusion statistics and lattice random walks,” Nucl. Phys. B **948**, 114731 (2019).
- [28] L. Gan, “Algebraic area of Lattice Random Walks and Exclusion Statistics,” Université Paris-Saclay, 2023 (PhD thesis).
- [29] S. Ouvry and A. P. Polychronakos, “Lattice walk area combinatorics, some remarkable trigonometric sums and Apéry-like numbers,” Nucl. Phys. B **960**, 115174 (2020).
- [30] L. Gan, S. Ouvry, and A. P. Polychronakos, “Algebraic area enumeration of random walks on the honeycomb lattice,” Phys. Rev. E **105**, 014112 (2022).
- [31] F. D. M. Haldane, “‘Fractional statistics’ in arbitrary dimensions: A generalization of the Pauli principle,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, 937 (1991).
- [32] Y.-S. Wu, “Statistical Distribution for Generalized Ideal Gas of Fractional-Statistics Particles,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **73**, 922 (1994); Erratum Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 3906 (1995).
- [33] Y. Hatsuda, H. Katsura, and Y. Tachikawa, “Hofstadter’s butterfly in quantum geometry,” New J. Phys. **18**, 103023 (2016).
- [34] Y. Hatsuda, Y. Sugimoto, and Z. Xu, “Calabi–Yau geometry and electrons on 2d lattices,” Phys. Rev. D **95**, 086004 (2017).
- [35] Z. Duan, J. Gu, Y. Hatsuda, and T. Sulejmanpasic, “Instantons in the Hofstadter butterfly: difference equation, resurgence and quantum mirror curves,” J. High Energ. Phys. **2019**, 79 (2019).
- [36] M.-X. Huang, “Recent developments in topological string theory,” Sci. China Phys. Mech. Astron. **62**, 990001 (2019).
- [37] Y. Hatsuda and Y. Sugimoto, “Bloch electrons on honeycomb lattice and toric Calabi–Yau geometry,” J. High Energ. Phys. **2020**, 26 (2020).
- [38] M. Mariño, “Chern-Simons theory and topological strings,” Rev. Mod. Phys. **77**, 675 (2005).
- [39] M. Mariño, “Spectral theory and mirror symmetry,” Proc. Symp. Pure Math. **98**, 259 (2018).
- [40] M. Alim, “Lectures on mirror symmetry and topological string theory,” arXiv:1207.0496 (2012).
- [41] R. J. Szabo, “Instantons, topological strings, and enumerative geometry,” Adv. math. phys. **2010**, 107857 (2010).
- [42] M. Aganagic, R. Dijkgraaf, A. Klemm, M. Mariño, and C. Vafa, “Topological Strings and Integrable Hierarchies,” Commun. Math. Phys. **261**, 451 (2006).

- [43] M. Mariño, “Les Houches lectures on matrix models and topological strings,” arXiv:hep-th/0410165 (2005).
- [44] R. M. Kashaev and S. M. Sergeev, “Spectral equations for the modular oscillator,” *Rev. Math. Phys.* **30**, 1840009 (2018).
- [45] C. Kreft, “Explicit computation of the discriminant for the Harper equation with rational flux,” SFB 288 Preprint No. 89, TU-Berlin, 1993 (unpublished).
- [46] L. Gan, S. Ouvry, and A. P. Polychronakos, “Combinatorics of generalized Dyck and Motzkin paths,” *Phys. Rev. E* **106**, 044123 (2022).
- [47] S. Ouvry, S. Wagner, and S. Wu, “Hofstadter point spectrum trace and the almost Mathieu operator,” *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **59**, 073504 (2018).
- [48] W. C. Yang and R. R. Meyer, “Maximal and minimal polyiamonds,” *Math Prog Technical Reports*, University of Wisconsin–Madison (2002).
- [49] F. Fischbach, A. Klemm and C. Nega, “WKB method and quantum periods beyond genus one,” *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **52**, 075402 (2019).
- [50] J. Gu, M. Mariño, “On the resurgent structure of quantum periods,” *SciPost Phys.* **15**, 035 (2023).
- [51] M. Aganagic, M. C. N. Cheng, R. Dijkgraaf, D. Krefl, and C. Vafa, “Quantum geometry of refined topological strings,” *J. High Energ. Phys.* **2012**, 19 (2012).
- [52] M.-x. Huang and X.-f. Wang, “Topological strings and quantum spectral problems,” *J. High Energ. Phys.* **2014**, 150 (2014).
- [53] A. Grassi, Y. Hatsuda, and M. Mariño, “Topological strings from quantum mechanics,” *Ann. Henri Poincaré* **17**, 3177 (2016).
- [54] S. Ouvry, S. Wagner, and S. Wu, “On the algebraic area of lattice walks and the Hofstadter model,” *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **49**, 495205 (2016).
- [55] P. Marra, V. Proietti, and X. Sheng, “Hofstadter-Toda spectral duality and quantum groups,” *J. Math. Phys.* **65**, 071903 (2024).
- [56] Y. Hatsuda and M. Mariño, “Exact quantization conditions for the relativistic Toda lattice,” *J. High Energ. Phys.* **2016**, 133 (2016).
- [57] L. Gan, “Algebraic area of cubic lattice walks,” *Phys. Rev. E* **108**, 054104 (2023).