All toric Kähler surfaces with twistor 2-forms

Sergei G. Ovchinnikov •

Institute of Theoretical and Mathematical Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow 119991, Russia

Abstract

We complete the classification of all smooth 4-dimensional Kähler geometries admitting a twistor (conformal Killing-Yano) 2-form invariant under a 2-torus action. We establish that there are six geometrically distinct families, and we provide them in a simple form amenable to calculations and compute their curvature. We also find that for toric geometries the square norm of the twistor 2-form is quadratic in moment maps, and we are led to conjecture that this holds when less symmetry is present.

1 Introduction

Kähler geometries are of great interest to mathematical physics. For instance, they naturally arise in string theory and supergravities as a structural part of gravitational backgrounds that are supersymmetric in the sense of admitting a real Killing spinor [1-6]. In such settings, their curvature is typically further additionally constrained by a class of geometric PDEs which are usually too complicated to be solved both explicitly or numerically. Naturally the subclasses of Kähler geometries that are amenable to calculations are in great demand, and the primary candidates are those that carry additional twistor structures because of their intrinsic relation to integrability and separability of differential equations. In fact, in many cases, the only progress was achieved precisely with such subclasses. For d = 5 minimal gauged supergravity, it has been observed [3,7] that 4d Kähler geometries of all known (time-like) supersymmetric solutions possess hamiltonian 2-forms, which led to a progress in showing uniqueness of certain classes of gravitational backgrounds [8–10]. More generally, one considers backgrounds of the form $AdS_n \times Y_m$ where Y_m is Kähler or Sasaki depending on dimension m, whose curvature obeys a PDE expressing the integrability of a Killing spinor equation; these correspond to brane-like configurations in different supergravities, and the search for such solutions is a rapidly developing field [11–15]. Another application are various extremisation principles put forward in mathematical [16–18] and physical literature [19–23]. In the latter setting, these principles generally¹ provide necessary but not sufficient conditions for the existence of supersymmetric solutions, and geometries with twistor structures naturally provide testable grounds for the validity and completeness of these principles.

The first type major step in classification of Kähler geometries with twistor structures was the introduction of hamiltonian 2-forms [24], which are J-invariant closed forms constructed

^{*}sovchinnikov@itmp.msu.ru

¹With the exception of volume extremisation for Sasaki-Einstein manifolds [19].

on Kähler manifolds by adding a multiple of the Kähler form to a twistor 2-form. These forms are closely related with Killing vector fields and their properties have been extensively studied in the literature² in various dimensions [29–32]. Importantly, in [30] it was shown that all 4d geometries admitting hamiltonian 2-forms break into three distinct families — the bikähler, the Calabi type and the orthotoric, each defined up to two arbitrary functions of a single variable. As it turns out, not all twistor 2-forms allow for hamiltonian 2-forms, and the necessary conditions in terms of curvature were found in [29]. For toric Kähler surfaces, we show that the necessary conditions are also sufficient:

Lemma 1. Let (M, g, J) be a 4d toric Kähler geometry with a SD twistor 2-form ϕ^{tw} that is invariant under the torus symmetry and $\iota_{m_1}\iota_{m_2}\phi^{tw} = 0$. Then (M, g, J) admits a hamiltonian 2-form $\phi = \phi^{tw} + \sigma J$ for some σ if and only if the SD part of the Ricci form is a multiple of the twistor 2-form

$$\phi^{tw} \propto \mathcal{R}^+ = \mathcal{R} - \frac{R}{4}J.$$

Kähler surfaces admitting additional twistor 2-forms can be studied in the framework of ambikähler structures [33, 34]. Recall that a twistor 2-form is equivalent to a locally conformally Kähler structure [35]. Ambikähler structures are then defined as pairs of 4d Kähler metrics in the same conformal class whose Kähler structures introduce opposite orientations. If both Kähler geometries in a pair are invariant under a common torus action, the geometry is known as ambitoric, and in the same paper, they were shown to belong to one of the five types, each parameterised by two functions of a single variable and a number of real constants. These types are pairs of toric Kähler products and toric Calabi geometries as well as three regular ambitoric structures: elliptic, parabolic and hyperbolic. A priori, however, it is not clear whether individual Kähler metrics in the pairs are geometrically distinct, in the sense of being diffeomorphic, perhaps up to a redefinition of free functions and constants. By providing an alternative construction for ambitoric geometries, we show that in all pairs except the parabolic type, the geometries can indeed be obtained by such an isomorphism, while for the parabolic type, the geometries are distinct for any parameters; we then suggest a convenient chart for each geometry. We further show that that if the conformally Kähler structure associated with a twistor 2-form does not have a common torus action, then the geometry cannot not smooth. Our main result is the following theorem.

Theorem 1. Let (M, g, J) be a smooth toric Kähler surface with non-empty axis, and $\phi^{tw} = e^{\mu}I$ an invariant under the toric symmetry SD twistor 2-form on it. Then locally the geometry is of the form

$$ds^{2} = \frac{e^{\mu}}{F}d\xi^{2} + \frac{e^{\mu}}{G}d\eta^{2} + \frac{F}{e^{\mu}}(x_{\xi}d\Psi + y_{\xi}d\Phi)^{2} + \frac{G}{e^{\mu}}(x_{\eta}d\Psi + y_{\eta}d\Phi)^{2}$$

where $F = F(\xi)$, $G = G(\eta)$ are two arbitrary functions, and x, y are moment maps wrt axial Killing fields $m_{\Psi} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \Psi}$, $m_{\Phi} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \Psi}$. The geometric data (μ, x, y) belongs to one of six independent families: product-toric (54), Calabi-toric (58), orthotoric (64), elliptic (78), conformally orthotoric (parabolic) (67) and hyperbolic (80).

²Geometries with hamiltonian 2-forms were also widely used for construction of new Kähler and Sasaki geometries, see, e.g., [25–28].

Finally, the explicit form of the geometries allows us to make an interesting observation — we notice that for all families, the square norm of the twistor 2-form is at most quadratic in moment maps. We therefore put forward a conjecture that this should hold in general, which would allow for the generalisation of our result to geometries outside of the toric class.

Conjecture 1. Let (M, g, J) be a 4d Kähler geometry with a twistor 2-form $\phi^{tw} = e^{\mu}I$ invariant under an effective action of a Lie group G. Then the square norm $e^{2\mu}$ of the twistor 2-form is at most quadratic in moment maps corresponding to the maximal torus algebra of G.

The paper is organised as follows: in Section 2 we present necessary background material which includes some basics on toric Kähler surfaces and twistor 2-forms, and show that for smooth Kähler geometries the twistor 2-form must generate the same torus action. In Section 3 we first provide an alternative construction for ambitoric geometries and then prove our classification result with the help of our observation Proposition 4. Section 4 introduces an important class of geometries that admit a twistor 2-form. A novel result are the necessary and sufficient conditions on curvature from the existence of hamiltonian 2-forms for toric Kähler geometries. Finally, in Appendix A we provide the Ricci and scalar curvature for new geometries and in Appendix B we give detailed solutions of the ODEs from Section 3.

2 Twistor 2-forms and Kähler geometries

2.1 Kähler geometry

A Kähler surface (M, g, J) is an orientable Riemannian four-dimensional manifold together with an *anti-self-dual* complex structure J, such that $\nabla J = 0$ where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection wrt g. With a certain abuse of notation, we are denoting the almost complex structures and their respective 2-forms by the same letter.

A toric Kähler surface is a Kähler surface together with an effective Hamiltonian action of the real torus $T^2 \cong U(1)^2$; we are interested in smooth Kähler geometries, and for these we include a non-empty axis as part of the definition. This means that the geometry admits two Killing vector fields m_i , i = 1, 2, normalised to have 2π periodic orbits, and the Kähler form is invariant under their action $\mathcal{L}_{m_i}J = 0$. The Hamiltonian action allows us to introduce moment maps x_i

$$\iota_{m_i} J = -\mathrm{d} x_i \,. \tag{1}$$

The moment maps define a canonical coordinate system (x_i, φ^i) where $m_i = \partial_{\varphi^i}$. In this chart [36],

$$g = G^{ij} dx_i dx_j + G_{ij} d\varphi^i d\varphi^j,$$

$$G^{ij} = \partial^i \partial^j g,$$

$$J = d (x_i d\varphi^i),$$
(2)

where g = g(x) is the symplectic potential, G_{ij} is the inverse matrix of the Hessian G^{ij} and the derivatives stand for $\partial^i := \partial/\partial x_i$. The axes are defined by $\{p \in M | \det g(m_i, m_j)|_p = 0\}$, and we assume it to be non-empty. Note from (2), that this Gram determinant is

$$\det g(m_i, m_j) = \det G_{ij} =: G_{gr}.$$
(3)

Toric geometries naturally admit an involution given by the inversion of angles

$$i_{\varphi}: \quad \varphi^i \mapsto -\varphi^i \,, \tag{4}$$

and it is convenient to introduce a basis of anti-self-dual (ASD) and self-dual (SD) forms with respect to it. For ASD forms we pick $(J^1 = J, J^2, J^3)$

$$J^2 = G_{gr}^{-1/2} \operatorname{d} x_1 \wedge \operatorname{d} x_2 - G_{gr}^{1/2} \operatorname{d} \varphi^1 \wedge \operatorname{d} \varphi^2, \qquad (5)$$

$$J^{3} = -2G_{ar}^{1/2} G^{i[1} \mathrm{d}x_{i} \wedge \mathrm{d}\varphi^{2]}, \qquad (6)$$

and for SD

$$I^{1} = \mathrm{d}x_{1} \wedge \mathrm{d}\varphi^{1} + \frac{2G^{12}}{G^{11}}\mathrm{d}x_{2} \wedge \mathrm{d}\varphi^{1} - \mathrm{d}x_{2} \wedge \mathrm{d}\varphi^{2}, \qquad (7)$$

$$I^{2} = G_{gr}^{-1/2} \,\mathrm{d}x_{1} \wedge \mathrm{d}x_{2} + G_{gr}^{1/2} \,\mathrm{d}\varphi^{1} \wedge \mathrm{d}\varphi^{2} \,, \tag{8}$$

$$I^{3} = G_{gr}^{1/2} (G^{12} dx_{1} \wedge d\varphi^{1} - G^{11} dx_{1} \wedge d\varphi^{2}$$
(9)

$$-\frac{G_{gr}^{-1}-(G^{12})^2}{G^{11}}\mathrm{d}x_2\wedge\mathrm{d}\varphi^1-G^{12}\mathrm{d}x_2\wedge\mathrm{d}\varphi^2)\,.$$

Then the positive eigenspace of i_{φ} is spanned by J^2 , I^2 and the negative eigenspace includes the Kähler form. One can show that any smooth closed 2-form Ω on M invariant under the toric symmetry must belong to the negative eigenspace. Indeed, from closure and toric invariance $\iota_{m_1}\iota_{m_2}\Omega$ is a constant, which, further, must vanish on the axis. Hence $\iota_{m_1}\iota_{m_2}\Omega = 0$ for any such smooth 2-form.

Finally, let us discuss the behaviour at the axis. In [9] the following lemma was proven.

Lemma 2. Consider a neighbourhood of a component of the axis defined by the vanishing of $v := v^i m_i$, where $(v^i) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ are coprime integers, and let

$$\ell_v(x) := v^i x_i + c_v , \qquad (10)$$

where c_v is a constant. Then:

1. The axis component corresponds to a straight line $\ell_v(x) = 0$ in symplectic coordinates and away from the axis

$$\ell_v(x) > 0 \tag{11}$$

2. The symplectic potential can be written as

$$g = \frac{1}{2}\ell_v(x)\log\ell_v(x) + \tilde{g} , \qquad (12)$$

where \tilde{g} is smooth at $\ell_v(x) = 0$.

2.2 Twistor forms and Kähler metrics

Twistor 2-forms, also known as conformal Killing-Yano 2-forms, are a generalisation of conformal Killing vectors (seen as twistor 1-forms). Namely, on a Riemannian manifold (M, g)the orthogonal decomposition of a covariant derivative of a general *p*-form is

$$\nabla \phi \in \Lambda^1 M \otimes \Lambda^p M = \Lambda^{p+1} M \oplus \Lambda^{p-1} M \oplus \mathcal{T}^{p,1} M \tag{13}$$

where the first two terms in the sum are the exterior derivative and the co-differential bundles, and the last term $\mathcal{T}^{p,1}M$ is the *Cartan product*. For 1-forms, $\mathcal{T}^{1,1}M \cong S_0^2M$ is isomorphic to the bundle of trace-free symmetric 2-tensors, and the conformal Killing vector equation is equivalent to stating that the projection on it vanishes. Similarly for general p, the *twistor* p-form is the form ϕ such that

$$\nabla \phi \in \Lambda^{p+1} M \oplus \Lambda^{p-1} M \,. \tag{14}$$

It is convenient for us to reformulate this definition more explicitly.

Definition. Let (M, g) be a 4d Riemannian manifold with a Levi-Civita connection ∇ . The twistor 2-form is a non-trivial solution to the following equation³ $\forall X \in TM$

$$\nabla_X \phi^{tw} = \frac{1}{3} \iota_X \mathrm{d}\phi^{tw} + \frac{1}{3} X \wedge \delta \phi^{tw} \,. \tag{15}$$

Twistor 2-forms form a linear space which further decomposes under any involution of $\Lambda^2 M$ that commutes with the connection into a direct sum of its eigenspaces. One such involution is the Hodge duality itself, which allows to consider SD and ASD twistor forms separately. In the following, we will write twistor forms as $\phi^{tw} = e^{\mu}I$ where I is a unit SD (ASD) form, i.e. $I \wedge I = \pm 2 \operatorname{vol}_g$, and μ is a continuously differentiable function.

Twistor 2-forms are linked to existence of a locally conformally Kähler structure [35] (see also [24, Lemma 2]).

Lemma 3. [35] The SD (ASD) form $\phi^{tw} = e^{\mu}I$ is a 2-form on (M,g) if and only if the almost-Hermitian pair $(e^{-2\mu}g, e^{-2\mu}I)$ is Kähler.

This gives an alternative form of the twistor 2-form equation.

Corollary 1.1. [24] The SD (ASD) form $\phi^{tw} = e^{\mu}I$ on (M,g) is twistor if and only if the following equation holds

$$\nabla I = \gamma \otimes I^2 - \beta \otimes I^3, \qquad (16)$$

$$\beta = I^2 \mathrm{d}\mu, \qquad \gamma = I^3 \mathrm{d}\mu$$

where $(I^1 = I, I^2, I^3)$ is an orthonormal basis of SD (ASD) forms which satisfies standard quaternionic relations: $I^1I^2 = I^3$, etc.

Besides Hodge duality, Kähler geometries have another natural involution. One can define a J-conjugation $i_J\phi(X,Y) := \phi(JX,JY)$ which further decomposes ASD subspace⁴ into a direct sum of a one-dimensional space generated by the Kähler form and its orthogonal complement, anti-J-invariant 2-forms. The Kähler form itself is a twistor form, and if a geometry admits a twistor form belonging to the latter subspace, then it is hyperkähler.

Proposition 1. Let (M, g, J) a Kähler surface with ASD Kähler form J. Then the geometry admits an ASD twistor 2-form which is not a multiple of Kähler form if and only if the geometry is hyperkähler.

$$\nabla_m \phi_{np}^{tw} = \partial_{[m} \phi_{np]}^{tw} + \frac{2}{3} g_{m[n} (\nabla \phi^{tw})_{p]} \,.$$

³In index notation this read as

⁴SD subspace does not decompose because all SD forms are J-invariant by construction.

Proof. Write an anti-J-invariant twistor 2-form as $\phi^{tw} = e^{\mu}J^{tw}$, $J^{tw} \wedge J^{tw} = -2\mathrm{vol}_g$, and pick an orthonormal basis of ASD forms $(J^1 = J, J^2 = J^{tw}, J^3 = J^1J^2)$. By the property of Kähler geometry $\nabla J^{tw} = P \otimes J^3$ where P is the Ricci potential, and by Corollary 1.1 it follows that P = 0, i.e. the geometry is Ricci-flat.

Conversely, since any Kähler form is a twistor form, a hyperkähler geometry admits multiple twistor forms. $\hfill \Box$

Finally, for toric Kähler geometries, the inversion of angles (4) is the third involution that commutes with a connection (and other involutions), which for toric invariant twistor forms allows to consider the case $\phi^{tw} \propto I^2$ separately. One can show that the geometries admitting such a SD twistor form cannot be smooth.

Proposition 2. Let (M, g, J) be a smooth toric Kähler surface. Then it does not admit a toric invariant SD twistor 2-form that belongs to the positive eigenspace of i_{φ} .

Proof. Let $\phi^{tw} = e^{\mu}I^2$ be a toric invariant twistor form. Firstly, by Lemma 3 $e^{-2\mu}I^2$ is a closed form, hence, using (8),

$$\mu = \frac{1}{4} \log G_{gr} + \text{const.}$$
(17)

Furthermore, adapting the Corollary 1.1, it follows that

$$\nabla I^2 = \alpha \otimes I^3 - \gamma \otimes I^1, \qquad (18)$$

$$\alpha = I^1 d\mu, \qquad \gamma = I^3 d\mu.$$

Notice that this must hold for any choice of local basis forms I^1 , I^3 which are unit and satisfy the quaternionic relations, and it is convenient for us to check twistor conditions in the basis (7)-(9).

Secondly, observe that 1-forms α,γ as well as β define a connection on the bundle of SD forms

$$\nabla I^{1} = \gamma \otimes I^{2} - \beta \otimes I^{3}, \qquad (19)$$
$$\nabla I^{3} = \beta \otimes I^{1} - \alpha \otimes I^{2}$$

where we have used their orthonormality, and for any toric Kähler geometry in the symplectic chart, they read as

$$\tilde{\alpha} := -I^1 \alpha = \partial^1 \log G_{qr}^{1/2} G^{11} \mathrm{d}x_1 + \tilde{\alpha}^2 \mathrm{d}x_2 \,, \tag{20}$$

$$\tilde{\gamma} := -I^3 \gamma = -\partial^1 \log G_{gr} \ G^{11} \mathrm{d}x_1 + \tilde{\gamma}^2 \mathrm{d}x_2 \tag{21}$$

where $\tilde{\alpha}^2, \tilde{\gamma}^2$ are some functions irrelevant to discussion.

To obtain a contradiction, consider a smooth toric Kähler geometry in the neighbourhood of the axis given by vanishing of $v := v^i m_i$. By Lemma 2 the axes correspond to straight lines in symplectic coordinates, and by a suitable $GL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ transformation we can always arrange $v = m_1 \partial_{\varphi^1}$ and define new symplectic coordinates so that the axis corresponds to the line $\ell_v(x) = x_1 = 0$. As it was further shown in this lemma, to the leading order the geometry around the axis is

$$G^{11} = \frac{1}{2x_1} + O(1), \qquad G^{12}, \ G^{22} = O(1), \qquad G_{gr} = 2x_1 + O(x_1^2).$$
 (22)

Substituting into the twistor 2-form condition $\tilde{\alpha} = \tilde{\gamma} = d\mu$ and using (20) and (21), we arrive at the contradiction

$$\tilde{\alpha} = \frac{1}{2x_1} + O(1), \qquad \tilde{\gamma} = O(1).$$
 (23)

The case of twistor forms in the positive eigenspace of i_{φ} is, therefore, excluded from our classification, and we consider the case of negative eigenspace in the next section.

3 Toric Kähler geometries with twistor 2-forms

In this section we solve the twistor 2-form equation on toric Kähler geometries by providing an alternative construction of ambitoric geometries [33] an appropriate chart. We will show that the geometries fall into separate families each containing two arbitrary functions F, G of a single variable. We are interested in classifying them up to family automorphisms, that is up to diffeomorphisms and a change of metric functions $F, G \to \tilde{F}, \tilde{G}$.

3.1 Construction of a diagonalised chart

1. Let (M, g, J) be a toric Kähler surface, and x, y are moment maps wrt commuting Killing vector fields $m_{\Psi} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \Psi}$, $m_{\Phi} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \Phi}$ whose periods are left arbitrary. The Kähler form is then

$$J = d \left(x d\Psi + y d\Phi \right) \,. \tag{24}$$

Let the geometry admit a twistor 2-form $\phi^{tw} = e^{\mu}I$ invariant under the toric symmetry, and which belongs to the negative eigenspace of i_{φ} , that is $\iota_{m\Psi}\iota_{m\Phi}\phi^{tw} = 0$, where I is the unit SD form $I \wedge I = 2\mathrm{vol}_q$, and μ is an unknown function. We can further write it as

$$I = -J + \omega_{\Psi} \mathrm{d}\Psi + \omega_{\Phi} \mathrm{d}\Phi \tag{25}$$

for some non-zero 1-forms $\omega_{\Psi}, \omega_{\Phi}$ normal to the orbits of m_{Ψ}, m_{Φ} . Notice that I + J is necessarily degenerate

$$(I+J) \wedge (I+J) = I \wedge I + J \wedge J = 2\mathrm{vol}_g - 2\mathrm{vol}_g = 0,$$

hence

$$\omega_{\Psi} \wedge \omega_{\Phi} = 0, \qquad (26)$$

and we can write them as $\omega_{\Psi} = k_{\Psi} d\xi$, $\omega_{\Phi} = k_{\Phi} d\xi$ for some functions k_{Ψ}, k_{Φ}, ξ defined up to the reparameterisations of the latter.

2. Next, consider the 2 by 2 block of symplectic coordinates inside the metric. Recall, that we can⁵ always diagonalise a two-dimensional Riemannian metric wrt any given function being one of the coordinates, provided that it has a non-vanishing gradient. Since $d\xi \neq 0$, it is convenient to pick ξ as one, and denote the second coordinate as η .

3. Let us introduce the frame. We pick the orientation $e^{\xi} \wedge e^{\eta} \wedge e^{\Psi} \wedge e^{\Phi} = \operatorname{vol}_{g}$. Without loss of generality, we can take $e^{\xi} \propto d\xi$ and choose the Kähler form as $J = e^{\xi} \wedge e^{\Psi} + e^{\eta} \wedge e^{\Phi}$.

⁵See, for example, [37, Appendix C].

This leaves the SO(2) freedom in the choice of e^{η} , e^{ϕ} which we can use to set $\iota_{\partial_{\phi}}e^{\eta} = 0$. Then the rest of the frame functions are fixed from checking the scalar products and the Kähler form (24). In total, we can parameterise the geometry by two arbitrary functions $F = F(\xi, \eta)$, $G = G(\xi, \eta)$, and the derivatives of the moment maps wrt new coordinates $x_{\xi}, x_{\eta}, y_{\xi}, y_{\eta}$:

$$e^{\xi} = \frac{e^{\mu/2}}{\sqrt{F}} \mathrm{d}\xi , \quad e^{\Psi} = \frac{\sqrt{F}}{e^{\mu/2}} \left(x_{\xi} \mathrm{d}\Psi + y_{\xi} \mathrm{d}\Phi \right) ,$$

$$e^{\eta} = \frac{e^{\mu/2}}{\sqrt{G}} \mathrm{d}\eta , \quad e^{\Phi} = \frac{\sqrt{G}}{e^{\mu/2}} \left(x_{\eta} \mathrm{d}\Psi + y_{\eta} \mathrm{d}\Phi \right) .$$
(27)

The metric is then

$$ds^{2} = \frac{e^{\mu}}{F}d\xi^{2} + \frac{e^{\mu}}{G}d\eta^{2} + \frac{F}{e^{\mu}}(x_{\xi}d\Psi + y_{\xi}d\Phi)^{2} + \frac{G}{e^{\mu}}(x_{\eta}d\Psi + y_{\eta}d\Phi)^{2}.$$
 (28)

We can choose an orthonormal basis of SD forms

$$I^{1} = e^{\xi} \wedge e^{\Psi} - e^{\eta} \wedge e^{\Phi} ,$$

$$I^{2} = e^{\xi} \wedge e^{\eta} + e^{\Psi} \wedge e^{\Phi} ,$$

$$I^{3} = e^{\xi} \wedge e^{\Phi} + e^{\eta} \wedge e^{\Psi} ,$$

(29)

whose almost complex structures satisfy the standard quaternionic relations: $I^1 I^2 = I^3$, etc.

4. Next, we must check that I is the unit self-dual 2-form. The (25) is then

$$I = -e^{\xi} \wedge e^{\Psi} - e^{\eta} \wedge e^{\Phi} + \frac{\sqrt{F}}{e^{\mu/2}} e^{\xi} \wedge (k_{\Psi} \mathrm{d}\Psi + k_{\Phi} \mathrm{d}\Phi) .$$
(30)

Comparing to the basis (29), we immediately have $k_{\Psi} = 2x_{\xi}$, $k_{\Phi} = 2y_{\xi}$, and

$$I = I^{1} = x_{\xi} d\xi \wedge d\Psi + y_{\xi} d\xi \wedge d\Phi - x_{\eta} d\eta \wedge d\Psi - y_{\eta} d\eta \wedge d\Phi.$$
(31)

5. We now turn to the twistor 2-form equation. First of all, by Lemma 3 it follows that $e^{-2\mu}I$ is a closed form. This is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} x_{\xi\eta} &= \mu_{\xi} x_{\eta} + \mu_{\eta} x_{\xi} ,\\ y_{\xi\eta} &= \mu_{\xi} y_{\eta} + \mu_{\eta} y_{\xi} . \end{aligned}$$
(32)

The remaining components of the twistor equation can then be written as

$$x_{\xi\xi} = x_{\xi}\partial_{\xi}\log y_{\xi} + \frac{F_{\eta}G}{F^2 y_{\xi}}\sqrt{\det g},$$

$$x_{\eta\eta} = x_{\eta}\partial_{\eta}\log y_{\eta} - \frac{FG_{\xi}}{F^2 y_{\eta}}\sqrt{\det g}$$
(33)

where $\sqrt{\det g} = x_{\xi} y_{\eta} - x_{\eta} y_{\xi}$ is the square root of the determinant of the metric (28).

6. We will now check that (M, g, J) is a Kähler geometry. By construction, J is a closed unit ASD form. To check that it is an integrable complex structure, it is sufficient to show that the algebra of holomorphic fields is closed under standard commutator. Let χ_0, χ_1 be a basis of (1, 0)-forms, i.e. $J\chi_{0,1} = i\chi_{0,1}$. We then require that $[\chi_0, \chi_1]$ is also a (1, 0)-form. We choose

$$\chi_0 = e_{\xi} - iJe_{\xi} = e_{\xi} + ie_{\Psi}, \qquad \chi_1 = e_{\eta} - iJe_{\eta} = e_{\eta} + ie_{\Phi}$$
(34)

where $e_{\xi}, e_{\eta}, e_{\Psi}, e_{\Phi}$ are basis vector fields dual to the frame (27). We find that

$$[\chi_0, \chi_1] = \chi^{\xi} e_{\xi} + \chi^{\eta} e_{\eta} + \chi^{\Psi} e_{\Psi} + \chi^{\Phi} e_{\Phi}$$
(35)

where

$$\chi^{\xi} = \frac{e^{-\mu/2}\sqrt{G}}{2F} (F\mu_{\eta} - F_{\eta}), \qquad \chi^{\eta} = \frac{e^{-\mu/2}\sqrt{F}}{2G} (G_{\xi} - G\mu_{\xi}), \qquad (36)$$

and the other two components, after substituting the higher derivatives from the twistor equation (32) and (33),

$$\chi^{\Psi} = i \frac{e^{-\mu/2}\sqrt{G}}{2F} (F\mu_{\eta} + 3F_{\eta}), \qquad \chi^{\Phi} = -i \frac{e^{-\mu/2}\sqrt{F}}{2G} (3G_{\xi} + G\mu_{\xi}).$$
(37)

The integrability condition $[\chi_0, \chi_1] = iJ[\chi_0, \chi_1]$ in terms of components reads as

$$\chi^{\Psi} = i\chi^{\xi}, \qquad \chi^{\Phi} = i\chi^{\eta}, \qquad (38)$$

from which immediately follows that the functions F, G are functions of a single variable only

$$F_{\eta} = G_{\xi} = 0. \tag{39}$$

7. We now turn back to the twistor equation, which we have so far solved algebraically for the higher derivatives. Using the solution of the Kähler condition (39), the system (33) simplifies to

$$\frac{x_{\xi\xi}}{x_{\xi}} = \frac{y_{\xi\xi}}{y_{\xi}}, \qquad \frac{x_{\eta\eta}}{x_{\eta}} = \frac{y_{\eta\eta}}{y_{\eta}}, \qquad (40)$$

which is solved by

$$y = c_1(\xi)x + c_2(\xi) = c_3(\eta)x + c_4(\eta), \qquad (41)$$

for $c_{1,2,3,4}$ arbitrary functions. The moment maps x, y are then

$$x = \frac{c_4 - c_2}{c_1 - c_3}, \qquad y = \frac{c_1 c_4 - c_2 c_3}{c_1 - c_3}.$$
 (42)

This section can be summed up in a following lemma.

Lemma 4. Let (M, g, J) be a toric Kähler geometry with axial Killing fields m_{Ψ}, m_{ϕ} , admitting a toric-invariant twistor 2-form ϕ^{tw} , such that $\iota_{m_{\Psi}}\iota_{m_{\Phi}}\phi^{tw} = 0$. Then the metric can be locally written as

$$ds^{2} = \frac{e^{\mu}}{F}d\xi^{2} + \frac{e^{\mu}}{G}d\eta^{2} + \frac{F}{e^{\mu}}(x_{\xi}d\Psi + y_{\xi}d\Phi)^{2} + \frac{G}{e^{\mu}}(x_{\eta}d\Psi + y_{\eta}d\Phi)^{2}$$
(43)

where $F = F(\xi)$, $G = G(\eta)$, and x, y are moment maps wrt axial Killing fields $m_{\Psi} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \Psi}$, $m_{\Phi} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \Psi}$ given by

$$x = \frac{c_4(\eta) - c_2(\xi)}{c_1(\xi) - c_3(\eta)}, \qquad y = \frac{c_1(\xi)c_4(\eta) - c_2(\xi)c_3(\eta)}{c_1(\xi) - c_3(\eta)}.$$
(44)

The Kähler form is given by

$$J = d \left(x d\Psi + y d\Phi \right) \,, \tag{45}$$

and the twistor 2-form is (up to a constant factor)

$$\phi^{tw} = e^{\mu}I^{1} = e^{\mu}\left(x_{\xi}\mathrm{d}\xi \wedge \mathrm{d}\Psi + y_{\xi}\mathrm{d}\xi \wedge \mathrm{d}\Phi - x_{\eta}\mathrm{d}\eta \wedge \mathrm{d}\Psi - y_{\eta}\mathrm{d}\eta \wedge \mathrm{d}\Phi\right) \,. \tag{46}$$

The pre-factor μ , as well as the functions $c_{1,2,3,4}$ are constrained by the system

$$\begin{aligned} x_{\xi\eta} &= \mu_{\xi} x_{\eta} + \mu_{\eta} x_{\xi} ,\\ y_{\xi\eta} &= \mu_{\xi} y_{\eta} + \mu_{\eta} y_{\xi} . \end{aligned}$$
(47)

We will proceed with solution of the final constraints in the next section. To simplify them we will use the remaining freedom in the definition of the chart. Firstly, notice that the coordinates ξ, η are defined up to their reparameterisations. Secondly, the Killing fields m_{Ψ}, m_{Φ} are defined up to the action of constant $GL(2, \mathbb{R})$ coupled to an appropriate affine transformation of the moment maps x, y

$$\Psi^{i} \to \Lambda^{i}{}_{j}\Psi^{j}, \qquad x_{i} \to x_{j} \left(\Lambda^{-1}\right)^{j}{}_{i} + x_{(0)i}$$

$$\tag{48}$$

where Ψ^i, x_i collectively stand for Ψ, Φ and $x, y, \Lambda \in GL(2, \mathbb{R})$, and $x_{(0)i}$ is a constant shift of origin of moment maps. Under these transformations the functions $c_{1,2,3,4}$ change as

$$c_{1} \rightarrow \frac{a_{21} + a_{11}c_{1}}{a_{22} + a_{12}c_{1}}, \qquad c_{2} \rightarrow \frac{(a_{12}x_{0} - a_{11}y_{0})c_{1} + \det\Lambda^{-1}c_{2} + a_{22}x_{0} - a_{21}y_{0}}{a_{22} + a_{12}c_{1}}, c_{3} \rightarrow \frac{a_{21} + a_{11}c_{3}}{a_{22} + a_{12}c_{3}}, \qquad c_{4} \rightarrow \frac{(a_{12}x_{0} - a_{11}y_{0})c_{3} + \det\Lambda^{-1}c_{4} + a_{22}x_{0} - a_{21}y_{0}}{a_{22} + a_{12}c_{3}},$$

$$(49)$$

where $\Lambda^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}$ and $x_{(0)i} = (x_0, y_0)$. In particular, a following proposition follows.

Proposition 3. In any local chart of Lemma 4, one can always arrange for c_1 (or c_3) to have a zero by an appropriate rotation Λ .

Next, notice that ξ , η enter the construction on an equal basis: indeed, instead of I + J in the first step, we could have defined the coordinate η wrt I - J, obtaining the same geometry. Therefore, we have an additional duality

$$\xi \leftrightarrow \eta, \quad F \leftrightarrow G, \quad \Psi \leftrightarrow \Phi, \quad x \leftrightarrow y$$
 (50)

which allows for reduction of the number of special cases in the next section.

3.2 Construction of the twistor form. Special cases

The system (47) contains an integrability constraint

$$\mu_{\xi\eta} = \mu_{\eta\xi} , \qquad \mu_{\xi} = \frac{x_{\xi\eta}y_{\xi} - x_{\xi}y_{\xi\eta}}{x_{\xi}y_{\eta} - \xi_{\eta}y_{\xi}} , \qquad \mu_{\eta} = \frac{x_{\xi\eta}y_{\eta} - x_{\eta}y_{\xi\eta}}{x_{\xi}y_{\eta} - \xi_{\eta}y_{\xi}} .$$
(51)

It is useful to fix the freedom in ξ, η by making two of the functions $c_{1,2,3,4}$ linear. Let us consider several important cases first.

3.2.1 Product-toric geometries

These geometries correspond to constant functions $c_{1,3}$. Let $c_{1,3} = c_{01,03} = \text{const.}$ Notice that from (42) the constants are non-equal $c_{01} \neq c_{03}$, and the functions c_2, c_4 are non-constant. We can therefore choose

$$c_2 = \xi, \quad c_4 = \eta.$$
 (52)

The system (47) has the solution

$$\mu = \text{const}, \qquad (x, y) = \frac{1}{c_{01} - c_{03}} \left(c_{01} \eta - c_{03} \xi, \, \eta - \xi \right) \,. \tag{53}$$

This is in fact the *product-toric* geometry. The standard form is recovered by an affine transformation

 $x = \xi, \qquad y = \eta, \qquad \mu = \text{const.}$ (54)

The twistor form $\phi^{tw} = I$ then defines a second Kähler structure on the geometry.

3.2.2 Calabi-toric geometries

This case corresponds to only one of the functions $c_{1,3}$ being constant. By the duality (50) it is sufficient to consider the case $c_1 = c_{01} = \text{const.}$ From (42) it follows that c_2 is non-constant, and it is then convenient to fix the ξ, η coordinates by

$$c_2 = \xi + c_{02}, \qquad c_3 = c_{01} + \frac{1}{\eta}$$
(55)

where c_{02} is a constant to be fixed later. The system (47) then dictates

$$c_4 = -\frac{c_{04}}{\eta} + c_{03}, \qquad \mu = \log \xi + \text{const},$$
(56)

for some constants c_{03}, c_{04} , and we have fixed $c_{02} = c_{03}$. The symplectic coordinates are given by

$$x = c_{03} + c_{01}c_{04} + \xi + c_{01}\xi\eta, \qquad y = c_{04} + \xi\eta.$$
(57)

The geometry is *Calabi-toric*, with the standard form recovered by an affine transformation

$$x = \xi, \qquad y = \xi \eta, \qquad \mu = \log \xi + \text{const.}$$
 (58)

For Calabi geometries $(g, J, \phi^{tw} = e^{\mu}I)$, their conformal dual $(e^{-2\mu}g, e^{-2\mu}I, e^{-3\mu}J)$ is also Calabi, with $\tilde{\xi} = 1/\xi$ and $\tilde{F}(\tilde{\xi}) = \tilde{\xi}^4 F(1/\tilde{\xi})$.

3.2.3 Orthotoric geometries

This case corresponds to the functions $c_{1,3}$ are non-constant, but c_4 diverges as $1/c_3$ at a zero of c_3 . Let us set $c_1 = \xi$ and $c_3 = \eta$. The integrability constraint (51) is

$$c_2'' \left(\left(\xi - \eta\right) c_4' + c_4 - c_2 \right)^3 + c_4'' \left(\left(\xi - \eta\right) c_2' + c_4 - c_2 \right)^3 = 0.$$
(59)

It is convenient to decompose c_4 into a divergent \hat{c} and a regular part $\tilde{c} \in C^2(M)$. Note that from (49), we can still use the translation of moment maps to make

$$\tilde{c}(0) = \tilde{c}'(0) = 0.$$
 (60)

Let⁶ $\hat{c} = \Theta(1/c_3) = \Theta(\eta^{-1})$. The equation diverges as $\Theta(\eta^{-6})$, and the leading order is the ODE for c_2

$$c_2''\xi^3 + c_{01} = 0 \tag{61}$$

for some constant c_{01} related to the behaviour of \hat{c} at this order. The solution is

$$c_2 = \frac{c_{01}}{\xi} + c_{02} + c_{03}\xi \tag{62}$$

for some constants $c_{02,03}$. Substituting back into the integrability constraint, we find

$$c_4 = \frac{c_{01}}{\eta}, \qquad c_{02} = c_{03} = 0,$$
 (63)

since we have used the shift of origin to remove the linear part (60).

The system (47) then gives

$$x = \xi + \eta, \qquad y = \xi \eta, \qquad \mu = \log |\eta - \xi| + \text{const}$$
 (64)

where we have used the remaining affine freedom and coordinate inversion $(\xi, \eta) \rightarrow (1/\xi, 1/\eta)$ to recover the standard form of the *orthotoric* geometry.

The conformal duals of orthotoric geometries are not orthotoric and in fact form a separate family of solutions, the *conformally orthotoric* or *parabolic* geometries.

3.2.4 Conformally orthotoric (parabolic) geometries

This case corresponds to non-constant functions $c_{1,3}$, and c_4 behaving as a linear function of c_3 . We can again fix the coordinates by $c_1 = \xi$, $c_3 = \eta$. The integrability constraint (51) then gives

$$c_2'' = 0,$$
 (65)

i.e. c_2 is also a linear function. We then find that the symplectic coordinates are given by

$$x = \frac{c_{00} + c_{01}\xi}{\eta - \xi}, \qquad y = \frac{(c_{00} + c_{01}\xi)\eta}{\eta - \xi}.$$
(66)

For $c_{01} \neq 0$ the parameters $c_{00,01}$ can be removed by a coordinate inversion $(\xi, \eta) \rightarrow (1/\xi + c_{02}, 1/\eta + c_{02})$ together with another affine transformation. The full solution is then

$$x = \frac{1}{\eta - \xi}, \qquad y = \frac{\eta}{\eta - \xi}, \qquad \mu = -\log|\eta - \xi| + \text{const.}$$
(67)

For a conformally orthotoric geometry $(g, J, \phi^{tw} = e^{\mu}I)$ its conformal dual $(e^{-2\mu}g, e^{-2\mu}I, e^{-3\mu}J)$ is orthotoric, and we have chosen the parameterisation to make it manifest.

3.3 Construction of the twistor form. General case

In this section we will solve the remaining constraints (47) in general. It is convenient to set

$$c_1 = \xi, \qquad c_3 = \eta, \tag{68}$$

 $^{^{6}}$ We are using the asymptotic notation defined in the (83) in the next section.

which simplify the integrability constraint (51) to

$$c_2'' \left(\left(\xi - \eta\right) c_4' + c_4 - c_2 \right)^3 + c_4'' \left(\left(\xi - \eta\right) c_2' + c_4 - c_2 \right)^3 = 0.$$
(69)

By Proposition 3, we can assume that our chart covers at least one zero of c_3 . The process of solution splits into two different branches depending on whether c_4 is regular at zero up to its second derivatives or not. If the former is true, then the parameterisation we are using for the ODE will separate a further case when c_4 is a linear function, which is covered by Section 3.2.4.

3.3.1 c_4 is regular at a zero of c_3

Now let c_4 be regular at zero up to the second derivative. For the moment we fix the origin of symplectic coordinates so that

$$c_4|_0 = c_4'|_0 = 0. (70)$$

The leading order of the constraint (69) at zero is then

$$c_2''c_2^3 + c_{04}\left(c_2 - \xi \, c_2'\right)^3 = 0 \tag{71}$$

where we have defined $c_4 = \frac{1}{2}c_{04}\eta^2 + o(\eta^2)$. If $c_{04} = 0$, we have c_2 a linear function of ξ , and substituting it back into (69) gives us $c''_2 = c''_4 = 0$, i.e. the case of Section 3.2.4.

Let us then assume that c_{04} is non-zero, and we can introduce a new function $f(\xi)$ by $c_2 := c_{04}f$, so that the ODE simplifies to

$$f''f^3 + (f - \xi f')^3 = 0.$$
(72)

This equation is solved in the Appendix B.1. Its solutions are

$$c_2 = \hat{c}_{04}\sqrt{\xi^2 + c_{01}^2} + \text{ linear terms}, \qquad (73)$$

$$c_2 = \hat{c}_{04} \sqrt{|c_{01}\xi^2 + 2c_{02}\xi + c_{02}^2|} + \text{ linear terms}$$
(74)

where $c_{01,02}$ and \hat{c}_{04} are constants, and $c_{01} \neq 0$ by regularity. Shifting and rescaling (ξ, η) , and using the affine transformations again, the two branches can be written collectively as

$$c_1 = \xi$$
 $c_2 = \sqrt{|\xi^2 + \alpha|}, \quad c_3 = \eta, \quad \alpha = \{0, \pm 1\}.$ (75)

Substituting back into (69), we then find that the solution has two branches

$$c_1 = \xi$$
, $c_2 = \sqrt{|\xi^2 + \alpha|}$, $c_3 = \eta$, $(c_4)_{\pm} = \pm \sqrt{|\eta^2 + \alpha|}$. (76)

In the full solution the two branches persist

$$(x,y)_{\pm} = \frac{1}{\eta - \xi} (|R(\xi)|^{1/2} \pm |R(\eta)|^{1/2}, \ \eta |R(\xi)|^{1/2} \pm \xi |R(\eta)|^{1/2}), \tag{77}$$
$$\mu_{\pm} = \log \left| \frac{|R(\xi)|^{1/2} |R(\eta)|^{1/2} \pm R(\xi, \eta)}{\eta - \xi} \right| + \text{const},$$

where $R(\xi, \eta) = \xi \eta + \alpha$ is a polarisation of a quadratic polynomial $R(z) = z^2 + \alpha$. The geometries break into *hyperbolic*, *parabolic* and *elliptic* classes depending if R(z) has two,

one or zero real roots. For parabolic family $\alpha = 0$ the two branches are isomorphic to the conformally orthotoric family (67). In the elliptic case $\alpha = 1$, the two branches are locally isomorphic and can be collectively written as

$$x = \frac{\eta + \xi}{\eta - \xi}, \qquad y = \frac{\eta \xi - 1}{\eta - \xi}, \qquad \mu = \log \left| \frac{\eta \xi + 1}{\eta - \xi} \right| + \text{const}$$
 (78)

where the transformation to $(\xi, \eta)_{\pm}$ coordinates of two branches of (77) can be found from

$$(\xi \,\xi_{\pm} + 1)^2 = \xi_{\pm}^2 + 1 \,, \qquad (\eta \,\eta_{\pm} + 1)^2 = \eta_{\pm}^2 + 1 \,. \tag{79}$$

The conformal dual of elliptic geometries is also elliptic with $(\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta}) = (-\xi, 1/\eta)$ and reparameterisation $\tilde{F}(\tilde{\xi}) = F(-\tilde{\xi})$ and $\tilde{G}(\tilde{\eta}) = \tilde{\eta}^4 G(1/\tilde{\eta})$.

The hyperbolic branches $\alpha = -1$ are also locally isomorphic and can be conveniently expressed as

$$x = \frac{1}{\eta - \xi}, \qquad y = \frac{\xi \eta}{\eta - \xi}, \qquad \mu = \log \left| \frac{\eta + \xi}{\eta - \xi} \right| + \text{const.}$$
 (80)

where where the transformation to $(\xi, \eta)_{\pm}$ coordinates of two branches of (77) can be found from

$$\xi^{2}(\xi_{\pm}+1)^{2} = |\xi_{\pm}^{2}-1|, \qquad \eta^{2}(\eta_{\pm}+1)^{2} = |\eta_{\pm}^{2}-1|.$$
(81)

The conformal dual of hyperbolic geometries is also hyperbolic with the transformation given by inversion of sign of ξ .

This completes the list of independent 4d toric Kähler geometries with a twistor 2-form. In the following subsection we will recover the known families again.

3.3.2 c_4 is irregular at a zero of c_3

We can decompose $c_4 = \hat{c} + \tilde{c}$ where \hat{c} is divergent and \tilde{c} is C^2 at a zero of c_3 . Again from (49), we use the shift of origin of symplectic coordinates x, y to set

$$\tilde{c}(0) = \tilde{c}'(0) = 0.$$
 (82)

Let us study the asymptotic behaviour of the equation (69) at zero. For convenience, we introduce a standard asymptotic notation

$$f = o(g) \text{ if } \lim_{\eta \to 0} f/g = 0, \qquad f = \omega(g) \text{ if } \lim_{\eta \to 0} g/f = 0, \tag{83}$$
$$f = \Theta(g) \text{ if } 0 < \left| \lim_{\eta \to 0} f/g \right| < \infty.$$

• Let $\hat{c} = \omega(\eta^{-1})$. Then the leading divergent order of equation is

$$\hat{c}''\,\hat{c}^3 = 0 \tag{84}$$

which implies that such divergences vanish.

• For $\hat{c} = \Theta(\eta^{-1})$, we have the orthotoric case, see Section 3.2.3.

• For $\hat{c} = o(\eta^{-1})$, $\hat{c} = \omega(\eta^{1/2})$, the leading divergence is

$$c_2''\xi^3\hat{c}'^3 = 0. (85)$$

The c_2 is therefore a linear function, and we recover the parabolic case.

• Let $\hat{c} = o(\eta^{1/2})$. Then the only divergent term is

$$c_4'' \left(\xi c_2' - c_2\right)^3 = 0 \tag{86}$$

which again implies that c_2 is a linear function as in the previous case.

• Finally, let $\hat{c} = \Theta(\eta^{1/2})$. We can parameterise it as $\hat{c} = \frac{1}{c_{01}}\eta^{1/2} + \hat{c}_o$ where c_{01} is a non-zero constant and $\hat{c}_o = o(\eta^{1/2})$. The equation diverges as $\Theta(\eta^{-3/2})$, and the leading order is the ODE for c_2

$$c_2''\xi^3 - 2c_{01}^2 \left(\xi c_2' - c_2\right)^3 = 0.$$
(87)

This equation is solved in Appendix B.2, and its relevant solutions are

$$c_{2} = \pm \frac{\sqrt{\xi}}{c_{01}} \left[1 + \left(c_{03}^{-1} + \frac{1}{4} c_{02}^{2} c_{03} \right) \xi + c_{02} \sqrt{\xi(\xi + c_{03})} \right]^{1/2}, \quad c_{03} \neq 0,$$
(88)

$$c_{2} = \pm \frac{\sqrt{\xi}}{c_{01}} \left[1 - \left(c_{03}^{-1} - \frac{1}{4} c_{02}^{2} c_{03} \right) \xi + c_{02} \sqrt{\xi(c_{03} - \xi)} \right]^{1/2}, \quad c_{03} > 0, \quad (89)$$

$$c_2 = \pm \frac{1}{c_{01}} \sqrt{\xi(1 + c_{02}\xi)} \,. \tag{90}$$

The function c_4 can now be obtained by substituting these solutions into (69) and expanding it in the powers of ξ at zero. We find that these solutions are isomorphic to the above. First of all using our choice of origin (82), solutions (88) and (89) reduce to the last one (90)

$$c_2 = \pm \frac{1}{c_{01}} \sqrt{\xi (1 + c_{03}^{-1} \xi)}, \qquad c_4 = \pm \frac{1}{c_{01}} \sqrt{\eta (1 + c_{03}^{-1} \eta)}, \qquad c_{02} = 0, \ c_{03} \neq 0.$$
(91)

For the last branch (90) we find $c_4 = \frac{1}{c_{01}}\sqrt{\eta(1+c_{02}\eta)}$, i.e. for $c_{02} \neq 0$ the solution is isomorphic to the cases of the previous section. The case $c_{02} = 0$ corresponds to the hyperbolic branch, and after a coordinate transformation $(\xi, \eta) \rightarrow (\xi^2, \eta^2)$ it takes the form (80).

3.4 Non-isomorphism of the families

In the previous subsection we have completed the list of families of toric Kähler geometries with a SD twistor 2-form in the negative eigenspace of i_{φ} ; the positive eigenspace is incompatible with smooth axis by Proposition 2, and to finish the proof of Theorem 1 we only need to prove that the families are independent up to *family isomorphisms*, that is up to diffeomorphisms and a change of metric functions $(F, G) \to (\tilde{F}, \tilde{G})$.

Firstly, three of these families, the product-toric, the Calabi-toric and the orthotoric, are well-known to the literature and together comprise a class of all toric Kähler geometries with a hamiltonian 2-form; their non-isomorphism follows from the construction [24]. In Lemma 1

of the next section, we show that the existence of a hamiltonian 2-form is equivalent to a constraint on the Ricci form whose SD part must be a multiple of a twistor 2-form. We can check this condition for each geometry in the given basis of SD forms (29). Firstly, for any toric geometry, the contraction of \mathcal{R} on I^2 is zero by the symmetry. For new geometries we then find

$$\frac{1}{4}I^{3\ mn}\mathcal{R}_{mn} = \frac{2\sqrt{FG}}{\eta - \xi} \neq 0 \tag{92}$$

for hyperbolic, elliptic and parabolic (conformally orthotoric) geometries in the parameterisation (77). Notice in particular, that the orthotoric geometry is not isomorphic to its conformal dual, which happens for all other families.

To show that the new geometries are mutually distinct, we consider how a twistor 2-form relates to symmetries. In a similar setting, a hamiltonian 2-form generates two hamiltonian potentials for the Killing vector fields (which can happen to be linearly dependent, or, in a product-toric case, zero). For twistor 2-forms we make a following observation.

Proposition 4. For 4d toric Kähler geometries, the square norm $e^{2\mu}$ of the twistor 2-form is at most quadratic in moment maps.

Proof. This can be checked directly. Using explicit expressions (54), (58), (64), (67), (78) and (80) for our geometries we find

Family	$e^{2\mu}$	Family	$e^{2\mu}$
Product-toric	const	Elliptic	$ x^2 + y^2 - 1 $
Calabi-toric	x^2	Parabolic	x^2
Orthotoric	$ x^2 - 4y $	Hyperbolic	4xy+1

Since there is no such an affine transformation of moment maps x, y that can bring the square norm of, e.g., elliptic family to parabolic or hyperbolic form, we deduce that the families are indeed independent. This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.

Some comments are in order. Firstly, we have observed the Proposition 4 rather than derived it. At the same time, the simple algebraic form of the square norm in terms of moment maps suggests that this result can be more naturally derived using the tools of algebraic geometry. Secondly, since toric geometries form a sufficiently broad class, one can expect that this form holds generally for all Kähler geometries with a twistor 2-form. We therefore formulate Conjecture 1. It is interesting to put this conjecture to test for SU(2)-symmetric geometries.

4 Hamiltonian 2-forms on toric geometries

Hamiltonian 2-forms have been introduced in [24] as a special construction based on twistor 2-forms.

Definition. A hamiltonian 2-form on a Kähler surface (M, g, J) is a closed J-invariant 2-form ϕ^{ham} whose self-dual part $\phi^+ \neq 0$ is a twistor 2-form.

We added the assumption of non-vanishing SD part to exclude the Kähler form itself and its multiples. This definition can be equivalently rewritten as a differential equation⁷ $\forall X \in TM$

$$\nabla_X \phi^{ham} = \frac{2}{3} X(\sigma) - \frac{1}{3} \mathrm{d}\sigma \wedge JX - \frac{1}{3} X \wedge J \mathrm{d}\sigma \tag{94}$$

where $\sigma = \frac{1}{4}\phi_{mn}^{ham}J^{mn}$, $(JX)_m = J_{mn}X^n$. Not all twistor forms give rise to hamiltonian 2-forms, and we study necessary and sufficient conditions in Section 4.1.

To justify the name, hamiltonian 2-forms generate hamiltonian potentials for commuting Killing vector fields. This can be summarised in the following proposition [24].

Proposition 5. Let (M, g, J) be a Kähler surface and let $\phi^{ham} = \phi^{tw} + \sigma J$ be a hamiltonian 2-form.

Then the trace σ and the pfaffian⁸ π of the normalised hamiltonian 2-form $\phi^{norm} = \frac{1}{2}\phi^{tw} + \frac{1}{6}\sigma J$ are either constants or hamiltonian potentials for commuting Killing vector fields $K_1 = J d\sigma$ and $K_2 = J d\pi$.

This means that geometries with a hamiltonian 2-form fall into three distinct families:

- 1. Bikähler: $K_1 = K_2 = 0$,
- 2. Calabi: K_1 is non-vanishing identically, but $K_1 \wedge K_2 = 0$,
- 3. Orthotoric: $K_1 \wedge K_2 \neq 0$ on a dense open set.

For toric geometries one can further show that bikähler geometries necessarily take the form of a Cartesian product of two axisymmetric Riemannian surfaces (hence the "product-toric" name).

Finally, in the physics literature, the search for geometric ansatzes led to an introduction of "separable geometries" [10], which were defined metrics of the form (28) together with an assumption that moment maps x, y are at most quadratic in the coordinates (ξ, η) .

4.1 Curvature constraints on existence of the Hamiltonian 2-forms

Hamiltonian 2-forms pose the following constraint on the curvature

Proposition 6. If (M, g, J) admits a hamiltonian 2-form then the SD part of the Ricci tensor is proportional to twistor 2-form.

This proposition follows as a simple corollary from Proposition 4 in [29]. We discover that there is a partial converse at least for toric geometries.

Lemma 1. Let (M, g, J) be a 4d toric Kähler geometry with a SD twistor 2-form ϕ^{tw} that is invariant under the torus symmetry and $\iota_{m_1}\iota_{m_2}\phi^{tw} = 0$.

Then (M, g, J) admits a hamiltonian 2-form $\phi = \phi^{tw} + \sigma J$ for some σ if and only if the SD part of the Ricci form is a multiple of the twistor 2-form

$$\phi^{tw} \propto \mathcal{R}^+ = \mathcal{R} - \frac{R}{4}J.$$
(95)

 7 In coordinates

$$\nabla_m \phi_{np}^{ham} = \frac{2}{3} \sigma_m J_{np} - \frac{2}{3} J_{m[n} \sigma_{p]} - \frac{2}{3} g_{m[n} J_{p]q} \sigma^q \tag{93}$$

where $\sigma_m = \partial_m \sigma$.

⁸Recall that the *pfaffian* $pf(\psi)$ of a 2-form ψ is defined by $pf(\psi) = 2 \star (\psi \land \psi)$.

Proof. We only need to prove the "if" direction. As usual, write the twistor form as $\phi^{tw} = e^{\mu}I$ where I is a unit SD form and e^{μ} its norm. Notice that from Corollary 1.1 for any orthonormal basis $(I^1 = I, I^2, I^3)$ one deduces the connection on the bundle of SD forms

$$\nabla I^2 = \alpha \otimes I^3 - I^3 \mathrm{d}\mu \otimes I^1, \qquad (96)$$

$$\nabla I^3 = I^2 \mathrm{d}\mu \otimes I^1 - \alpha \otimes I^2 \tag{97}$$

for some 1-form α which depends on a Levi-Civita connection.

The closure condition for the Hamiltonian 2-form

$$d(\phi^{tw} + \sigma J) = 0 \tag{98}$$

can be always solved for σ

$$d\sigma = -3(IJ)de^{\mu} \tag{99}$$

provided that the RHS is closed, which is the integrability condition

$$d\left(\iota_{de^{\mu}}IJ\right) = 0\tag{100}$$

To simplify it further we will assume the symplectic chart (x_i, φ^i) where x_i are moment maps wrt $m_i = \partial_{\varphi^i}$ Killing fields. By our assumption $\mathcal{L}_{m_i} \phi^{tw} = 0$. Introduce an orthonormal basis on $\Lambda^2 M$ as $\{J^1 = J, J^2, J^3, I^1 = I, I^2, I^3 = II^2\}$ where

Introduce an orthonormal basis on $\Lambda^2 M$ as $\{J^1 = J, J^2, J^3, I^1 = I, I^2, I^3 = II^2\}$ where the former are anti-self-dual and the latter are self-dual 2-forms, and the positive eigenspace of i_{φ} is spanned by J^2, I^2 .

From the toric invariance of a twistor form, the integrability constraint is then a 2-form with a single component proportional to $dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \propto (I^2 + J^2)$, and, consequently, it is sufficient to show that contraction of (100) on I^2 vanishes. We find that

$$\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} \left(I^2 \to \mathrm{d} \left(\iota_{\mathrm{d} e^{\mu}} I J \right) \right) = I^{2 \ mn} J_m^{\ p} \nabla_n \left(I_{pq} \nabla^q e^{\mu} \right) = \left(J I^3 \right)^{mn} \nabla_m \nabla_n e^{\mu}.$$
(101)

Now consider the twistor form equation (16). Acting with a second covariant derivative it follows that

$$2J^{mn}I^{2\ pq}\nabla_m\nabla_n I_{pq} = 4\mathcal{R}_{pq}I^{3\ pq} = 0.$$
 (102)

where last equality follows from our assumption. On the other hand, using (16), (96) and (97)

$$2J^{mn}I^{2\ pq}\nabla_m\nabla_n I_{pq} = 8(JI^3)^{mn}\left(\nabla_m\nabla_n\mu + \nabla_m\mu\nabla_n\mu\right) = 8e^{-\mu}(JI^3)^{mn}\nabla_m\nabla_n e^{\mu}$$
(103)

which is exactly (101).

This proposition can be also seen as a generalisation of [24, Lemma 4], which was proven for weakly self-dual surfaces, a class of geometries whose Ricci form is the hamiltonian 2-form.

Acknowledgements

SO acknowledges support by the "BASIS" Foundation Leader Grant 24-1-1-82-5.

A Ricci curvature for geometries with twistor 2-form

For toric geometries the Ricci curvature is most conveniently found from the determinant of Gram matrix of Killing fields of toric symmetry.

Proposition 7. Let (M, g, J) be a toric Kähler geometry. Then the Ricci form and the scalar curvature are expressed through the Gram determinant of the toric Killing vector fields $G_{gram} := \det g(m_i, m_j)$ as

$$\mathcal{R} = \mathrm{d}P, \qquad P = \frac{1}{2} J\mathrm{d}(\log G_{gr}), \qquad R = -\Delta(\log G_{gr}).$$
 (104)

Proof. This is most clearly seen in the complex chart [36]:

$$g = F_{ij} du^{i} du^{j} + F_{ij} d\varphi^{i} d\varphi^{j},$$

$$F_{ij}(u) = \frac{\partial}{\partial u^{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^{i}} f,$$

$$J(d\varphi^{i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial u^{j}}) = -J(du^{i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi^{j}}) = \delta^{i}_{j}$$
(105)

where f is the Kähler potential and $m_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi^i}$ are toric Killing fields. In this chart the determinant of the metric is the square of the determinant of the Gram matrix

$$\det g = (\det F_{ij})^2 \,,$$

Using standard definitions $\mathcal{R} = -i\partial\bar{\partial}\log g$ and $R = \mathcal{R}_{mn}J^{mn}$, we deduce the result.

We now provide the values of Gram determinant for all geometries

Family	$G_{gr}^{1/2}$	Family	$G_{gr}^{1/2}$
Product-toric	$(FG)^{1/2}$	Elliptic	$2\frac{(FG)^{1/2}}{(\eta-\xi)^2}$
Calabi-toric	$(FG)^{1/2}$	Parabolic	$\frac{(FG)^{1/2}}{(\eta - \xi)^2}$
Orthotoric	$(FG)^{1/2}$	Hyperbolic	$\frac{(FG)^{1/2}}{(\eta - \xi)^2}$

The scalar curvature can be found by taking the laplacian, and the determinant of the metric in (28) chart can be found from the above table by

$$(\det g)^{1/2} = e^{\mu} (FG)^{-1/2} G_{gr}^{1/2} .$$
(106)

For three hamiltonian 2-form families (product-toric, Calabi-toric and orthotoric) the scalar curvature can be collectively written as

$$R = -e^{-\mu}(F'' + G''), \qquad (107)$$

while for the elliptic, parabolic and hyperbolic geometries the collective formula stands as

$$R = -e^{-\mu}(F'' + G'') - \frac{6e^{-\mu}}{\eta - \xi}(F' - G') - \frac{12e^{-\mu}}{(\eta - \xi)^2}(F + G).$$
(108)

B Solutions of the ODEs

B.1 Solution of the ODE (72)

The equation $f''f^3 + (f - \xi f')^3 = 0$ is generalised homogeneous of degree 2, that is, it is invariant under a symmetry

$$\xi \to t\xi, \qquad f \to t^2 f, \qquad f^{(n)} \to t^{2-n} f^{(n)}.$$
 (109)

Such a symmetry allows the reduction of order by a following procedure. Introduce a substitution

$$f = z(t)e^{2t}$$
 where $\xi = e^t \ (\xi > 0)$ or $\xi = -e^t \ (\xi < 0)$. (110)

The derivatives then transform as

$$f'(\xi) = (z'+2z)e^t$$
, $f''(\xi) = (z''+3z'+2z)$. (111)

Under this substitution the ODE becomes autonomous

$$z^{3} (z'' + 3z' - 1) - z'^{3} - 3z'^{2} z - 3z'z^{2} + 2z^{4} = 0, \qquad (112)$$

and can be further reduced by the substitution p(z) := z' to a first order ODE

$$(p'p + 3p + 2z) z^3 - (p + z)^3 = 0.$$
(113)

This equation can be solved explicitly

$$p = \frac{z(2z-1)}{1+z\left(\frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{1+(2z-1)\hat{c}_{01}}}-1\right)}$$
(114)

where \hat{c}_{01} is an integration constant and $\epsilon = \{0, \pm 1\}$. The function z is then found from solving p(z) = z'. We find that $\epsilon = \pm 1$ branches merge, and

$$f = z e^{2t} = \hat{c}_{02} \left(\xi + \hat{c}_{01} \hat{c}_{02} \pm \sqrt{(1 - \hat{c}_{01})\xi^2 + 2\hat{c}_{01} \hat{c}_{02} \xi + \hat{c}_{01}^2 \hat{c}_{02}^2} \right).$$
(115)

The case $\hat{c}_{01} = 0$ would correspond to f, hence, c_2 being a linear function, which is the linear solution of Section 3.2.4. We therefore assume otherwise, and introduce

 $c_{01} = 1 - \hat{c}_{01}, \qquad c_{02} = \hat{c}_{01}\hat{c}_{02}, \qquad \hat{c}_{04} = c_{04}\,\hat{c}_{02}$ (116)

to match the notation of (74). Finally, for the branch $\epsilon = 0$ we find

$$f = z e^{2t} = -\alpha^2 \left(1 \pm \sqrt{\xi^2 + \alpha^2} \right)$$
 (117)

where $\alpha := e^{\hat{c}_{01}}$. To match the notation of (73), define $\hat{c}_{04} = -c_{04}\alpha^2$.

B.2 Solution of the ODE (87)

For brevity denote $f := c_2$. The ODE is then recast as

$$f''\xi^3 - 2c_{01}^2(\xi f' - f)^3 = 0.$$
(118)

It is invariant under a generalised homogeneous symmetry of degree 1/2

$$\xi \to t\xi, \qquad f \to t^{1/2}f, \qquad f^{(n)} \to t^{1/2-n}f^{(n)}.$$
 (119)

Introduce a substitution

$$f = z(t)e^{t/2}$$
 where $\xi = e^t (\xi > 0)$ or $\xi = -e^t (\xi < 0)$. (120)

The derivatives then transform as

$$f'(\xi) = \frac{1}{2}(z+2z')e^{-t/2}, \qquad f''(\xi) = -\frac{1}{4}(z-4z'')e^{-3t/2}.$$
 (121)

Under this substitution the ODE becomes autonomous

$$4z'' - z + c_{01}^2 \left(z - 2z'\right)^3 = 0, \qquad (122)$$

and its order can be reduced via a substitution p(z) := z'

$$4pp' - z + c_{01}^2 (z - 2p)^3 = 0.$$
(123)

The solutions to this ODE are

$$p = \frac{z}{2} + \frac{1}{2c_{01}} \frac{-\hat{c}_{02} c_{01} z \pm \sqrt{\hat{c}_{02} (c_{01}^2 z^2 - 1) + 1}}{1 + \hat{c}_{02} c_{01}^2 z^2}$$
(124)

for some constant \hat{c}_{01} . The special cases that correspond to $\hat{c}_{02} = 1$ are

$$p = \frac{z}{2}, \qquad p = \frac{z}{2} \frac{c_{01}^2 z^2 - 1}{c_{01}^2 z^2 + 1}.$$
 (125)

The function z is found from solving the ODE p(z) := z'. Assuming $\hat{c}_{02} \neq 1$, one has two branches

$$f = ze^{t/2} = \pm \frac{\sqrt{\xi}}{c_{01}} \left[1 + \left(c_{03}^{-1} + \frac{1}{4} c_{02}^2 c_{03} \right) \xi + c_{02} \sqrt{\xi(\xi + c_{03})} \right]^{1/2}, \quad c_{03} \neq 0$$
(126)

$$f = ze^{t/2} = \pm \frac{\sqrt{\xi}}{c_{01}} \left[1 - \left(c_{03}^{-1} - \frac{1}{4} c_{02}^2 c_{03} \right) \xi + c_{02} \sqrt{\xi(c_{03} - \xi)} \right]^{1/2}, \quad c_{03} > 0$$
(127)

where we introduce new parameters c_{02}, c_{03} which satisfy

$$c_{02} c_{03} = -2|\hat{c}_{02}|^{-1/2}, \qquad (128)$$

to match the notation of (88) and (89). For $\hat{c}_{02} = 1$, the first solution gives f a linear function of ξ , i.e. the case of Section 3.2.4. The second solution gives

$$f = \pm \frac{1}{c_{01}} \sqrt{\xi (1 + c_{02} \xi)} \tag{129}$$

where c_{02} is a constant of integration.

References

- Jerome P. Gauntlett, Jan B. Gutowski, Christopher M. Hull, Stathis Pakis, and Harvey S. Reall. All supersymmetric solutions of minimal supergravity in five dimensions. *Class. Quantum Grav.*, 20(21):4587–4634, November 2003. http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0209114.
- [2] Jerome P. Gauntlett and Jan B. Gutowski. All supersymmetric solutions of minimal gauged supergravity in five dimensions. *Phys. Rev. D*, 70(8):089901, October 2004. http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0304064.
- [3] Davide Cassani, Jakob Lorenzen, and Dario Martelli. Comments on supersymmetric solutions of minimal gauged supergravity in five dimensions. *Class. Quantum Grav.*, 33(11):115013, June 2016. http://arxiv.org/abs/1510.01380.
- [4] Jerome P. Gauntlett and Stathis Pakis. The Geometry of D = 11 Killing Spinors. J. High Energy Phys., 2003(04):039–039, April 2003. http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0212008.
- [5] Jerome P. Gauntlett and Nakwoo Kim. Geometries with Killing Spinors and Supersymmetric AdS Solutions. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 284(3):897–918, December 2008. http://arxiv.org/abs/0710.2590.
- [6] Jerome P. Gauntlett, Dario Martelli, James Sparks, and Daniel Waldram. Supersymmetric AdS(5) solutions of M theory. *Class. Quant. Grav.*, 21:4335–4366, 2004. https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0402153.
- [7] Jose Luis Blázquez-Salcedo, Jutta Kunz, Francisco Navarro-Lérida, and Eugen Radu. New black holes in D = 5 minimal gauged supergravity: Deformed boundaries and frozen horizons. *Phys. Rev. D*, 97(8):081502, 2018.
- [8] James Lucietti and Sergei G. Ovchinnikov. Uniqueness of supersymmetric AdS₅ black holes with SU(2) symmetry. Class. Quantum Grav., 38(19):195019, October 2021. http://arxiv.org/abs/2105.08542.
- [9] James Lucietti, Praxitelis Ntokos, and Sergei G. Ovchinnikov. On the uniqueness of supersymmetric AdS₅ black holes with toric symmetry. *Class. Quant. Grav.*, 39(24):245006, November 2022. http://arxiv.org/abs/2208.00896.
- [10] James Lucietti, Praxitelis Ntokos, and Sergei G. Ovchinnikov. All separable supersymmetric AdS₅ black holes. JHEP, 05(5):062, May 2024. http://arxiv.org/abs/2311.06124.
- [11] Jerome P. Gauntlett, Dario Martelli, James F. Sparks, and Daniel Waldram. A New infinite class of Sasaki-Einstein manifolds. Adv. Theor. Math. Phys., 8(6):987–1000, December 2004. https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0403038.
- [12] Dario Martelli and James Sparks. Toric geometry, Sasaki-Einstein manifolds and a new infinite class of AdS/CFT duals. Commun. Math. Phys., 262:51–89, 2006. https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0411238.
- [13] Jerome P. Gauntlett, Dario Martelli, and James Sparks. Fibred GK geometry and supersymmetric AdS solutions. *JHEP*, 11:176, November 2019. https://arxiv.org/abs/1910.08078.

- [14] Pietro Ferrero, Jerome P. Gauntlett, and James Sparks. Supersymmetric spindles. J. High Energ. Phys., 2022(1):102, January 2022. http://arxiv.org/abs/2112.01543.
- [15] Federico Faedo, Alessio Fontanarossa, and Dario Martelli. Branes wrapped on quadrilaterals. arXiv:2402.08724 [hep-th], February 2024. https://arxiv.org/abs/2402.08724.
- [16] Akito Futaki, Hajime Ono, and Guofang Wang. Transverse Kahler geometry of Sasaki manifolds and toric Sasaki-Einstein manifolds. J. Diff. Geom., 83(3):585–636, November 2009. https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0607586.
- Li [17] Chi and Yuchen Liu. Kähler–Einstein metrics and vol-Adv.minimization. Math., 341:440-492, January 2019.ume https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0001870818304328.
- [18] Akito Futaki and Hajime Ono. Volume minimization and conformally Kähler, Einstein-Maxwell geometry. J. Math. Soc. Jap., 70(4):1493–1521, October 2018. https://arxiv.org/abs/1706.07953.
- [19] D. Martelli, J. Sparks, and Shing-Tung Yau. The Geometric dual of a-maximisation for Toric Sasaki-Einstein manifolds. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 268:39–65, 2006. https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0503183.
- [20] Christopher Couzens, Jerome P. Gauntlett, Dario Martelli, and James Sparks. A geometric dual of *c*-extremization. J. High Energ. Phys., 2019(1):212, January 2019. http://arxiv.org/abs/1810.11026.
- [21] Jerome P. Gauntlett, Dario Martelli, and James Sparks. Toric geometry and the dual of \cal I-extremization. JHEP, 06:140, June 2019. https://arxiv.org/abs/1904.04282.
- [22] Andrea Boido, Jerome P. Gauntlett, Dario Martelli, and James Sparks. Gravitational Blocks, Spindles and GK Geometry. November 2022.
- [23] Dario Martelli and Alberto Zaffaroni. Equivariant localization and holography. http://arxiv.org/abs/2306.03891, June 2023.
- [24] Vestislav Apostolov, David M. J. Calderbank, and Paul Gauduchon. The geometry of weakly selfdual Kähler surfaces. Compos. Math., (135):279, 2003. http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0104233.
- [25] Dario Martelli and James Sparks. Toric Sasaki–Einstein met- S^3 S^2 rics on \times Phys. Lett. B,621(1):208-212,2005.https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0370269305008609.
- [26] Eveline Legendre. Toric geometry of convex quadrilaterals. Journal of Symplectic Geometry, 9(3):343–385, September 2011. https://projecteuclid.org/journals/journalof-symplectic-geometry/volume-9/issue-3/Toric-geometry-of-convexquadrilaterals/jsg/1310388900.full.
- [27] Dmitri Bykov. Ricci-flat metrics on the cone over CP²#CP². arXiv:1712.07227 [hep-th], December 2017. https://arxiv.org/abs/1712.07227.

- [28] Vestislav Apostolov and Charles Cifarelli. Hamiltonian 2-forms and new explicit Calabi–Yau metrics and gradient steady Kähler–Ricci solitons on \mathbb{C}^n . http://arxiv.org/abs/2305.15626, December 2024.
- [29] Vestislav Apostolov, David M. J. Calderbank, and Paul Gauduchon. Hamiltonian 2-forms in Kahler geometry, I General Theory. http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0202280, January 2004.
- [30] Vestislav Apostolov, David M. J. Calderbank, Paul Gauduchon, and Christina W. Tonneson-Friedman. Hamiltonian 2-forms in Kahler geometry, II Global Classification. http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0401320, January 2004.
- [31] Vestislav Apostolov, David M.J. Calderbank, Paul Gauduchon, and Christina W. Tønnesen-Friedman. Hamiltonian 2-forms in Kähler geometry, III extremal metrics and stability. *Invent. math.*, 173(3):547–601, September 2008. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00222-008-0126-x.
- [32] Farid Madani, Andrei Moroianu, and Mihaela Pilca. Conformally related Kähler metrics and the holonomy of lcK manifolds. *Journal of the European Mathematical Society*, 22(1):119–149, September 2019. https://ems.press/journals/jems/articles/16474.
- М. J. Calderbank. [33] Vestislav Apostolov, David and Paul Gauduchon. I: metrics ambikähler Ambitoric geometry Einstein and extremal struc-J. Reine Angew. Math., 2016(721):109-147,2014.tures. August https://www.degruyter.com/document/doi/10.1515/crelle-2014-0060/html.
- [34] Vestislav Apostolov, David M. J. Calderbank, and Paul Gauduchon. Ambitoric geometry II: Extremal toric surfaces and Einstein 4-orbifolds. arXiv:1302.6979 [math.DG], (48):1075, 2015. arxiv.org/abs/1302.6979.
- [35] Massimiliano Pontecorvo. On twistor spaces of anti-self-dual Hermitian surfaces. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 331(2):653–661, 1992. https://www.ams.org/tran/1992-331-02/S0002-9947-1992-1050087-0/.
- [36] Miguel Abreu. Kähler geometry of toric manifolds in symplectic coordinates. Symplectic and contact topology: interactions and perspectives, 3, 2001. http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0004122.
- [37] Troels Harmark. Stationary and Axisymmetric Solutions of Higher-Dimensional General Relativity. Phys. Rev. D, 70(12):124002, 2004. http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0408141.