

Inflation after Curvature Bounce

Rinat Kagirov^{1,2,*}

¹*Institute for Nuclear Research of the Russian Academy of Sciences,
60th October Anniversary Prospect, 7a, 117312 Moscow, Russia*

²*Department of Particle Physics and Cosmology, Physics Faculty, M.V.
Lomonosov Moscow State University, Vorobjevy Gory, 119991 Moscow, Russia*

We present a stable cosmological model of a closed universe in the presence of conventional scalar field. The stability of the model and the absence of singularity is ensured by spatial curvature without the need for additional peculiar matter. We reconstruct the Lagrangian and numerically compute observational predictions, including the number of e-folds, the spectral index n_s , and the tensor-to-scalar ratio. We present several sets of parameters that satisfy the current observational data.

I. INTRODUCTION

Observations indicate that the universe is nearly flat, but they cannot rule out a closed universe. The role of a positive curvature is negligible at late times, but can be crucial in the early universe. In particular, positive curvature allows for cosmologies that originate as Einstein static universe [1, 2] or bounce cosmology [3–8], inflate, and later reheat to a hot big bang era. These cosmologies have no singularity, no 'beginning of time', and no horizon problem. If the initial radius (bounce radius) is chosen to be above the Planck scale, they also have no quantum gravity era, so such models can be considered classically.

Models of inflation in a closed universe have been proposed before, e.g. model on potential in GR [9, 10]. More recently, many different cosmological models, such as Genesis or bounce [11–20], have been proposed in the framework of Horndeski theories [21] and its generalizations. As we are interested in a closed universe, we consider a generalization of Horndeski theory to the case with non-zero curvature [22].

The principal characteristic of our model is the absence of gradient and tachyon instabilities, meanwhile there is no NEC(Null Energy Condition)-violating matter throughout its evolution [23], facilitated by the presence of non-zero curvature. Furthermore, the model eliminates the initial singularity, thereby rendering the universe's evolution analogous to a bounce scenario within a closed universe framework. This curvature permits circumvention of the singularity theorems' conditions, leading to a transition from a contraction to an inflationary era. Consequently, curvature serves to avoid both instabilities and initial singularity, but without NEC violation.

One of the distinctions from previously proposed models lies in the consideration of the k-essence subclass of Horndeski theories, which in our case can be reduced by a field redefinition to a scalar field with a nontrivial potential and a standard kinetic term. In this case, the action takes the form of General Relativity (GR) with an additional conventional scalar field, which is appropriate to construct different single-filed cosmology scenarios. The idea to use spatial curvature to avoid singularity is very old, but up to day inspires a lot of interesting works (see [24, 25] for example). We too consider a simple type of Lagrangian for a scalar field in a homogeneous Universe, but ideologically we do a backward study. We analytically reconstruct the Lagrangian for an explicit behavior of the scale factor. Additionally we numerically calculate the parameters of the spectrum.

In this way, we introduce a set of parameters in the scale factor that retain all the key features of our model while influencing observable data, such as the r-ratio, spectral index n_s and the number of e-foldings. This allows us to construct a stable model with adjustable parameters, enabling adaptation to current or future observations.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section II, we provide the basic background on k-essence theory in a closed universe. In Section III, we introduce the way to reconstruct the Lagrangian and present our model. In Section IV, we compare the predictions of our model with current observations. We conclude in Section V.

II. K-ESSENCE THEORY IN OPEN AND CLOSED UNIVERSE

We examine cosmology in the \mathcal{L}_2 subclass of Horndeski theory, commonly referred to as k-essence [26, 27], with non-zero curvature [22], specified by the following action:

* kagirov.rr19@physics.msu.ru

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \mathcal{L}_k, \quad (1)$$

with

$$\mathcal{L}_k = G_2(\phi, X) + G_4(\phi)R, \quad (2)$$

where $X := -g^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu \phi \nabla_\nu \phi / 2$ and we denote $\partial G / \partial X$ by G_X .

We use FLRW metric with spatial curvature,

$$ds^2 = -N^2(t)dt^2 + a^2(t)\gamma_{ij}dx^i dx^j, \quad (3)$$

where γ_{ij} is the metric of maximally symmetric spatial hypersurfaces. It can be written explicitly as $\gamma_{ij}dx^i dx^j = d\chi^2 + S_{\mathcal{K}}^2(\chi)d\Omega^2$ with

$$S_{\mathcal{K}}(\chi) := \begin{cases} \sin(\sqrt{\mathcal{K}}\chi)/\sqrt{\mathcal{K}} & (\text{closed : } \mathcal{K} > 0), \\ \chi & (\text{flat : } \mathcal{K} = 0), \\ \sinh(\sqrt{-\mathcal{K}}\chi)/\sqrt{-\mathcal{K}} & (\text{open : } \mathcal{K} < 0), \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

and $d\Omega^2 := d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\varphi^2$.

We substitute metric to the action, vary it with respect to $N(t)$ and $a(t)$, take $N = 1$, and obtain the background equations of motion (Friedmann equations) in the following form,

$$2XG_{2X} - G_2 - 6H^2G_4 - 3\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{T}}\frac{\mathcal{K}}{a^2} = 0, \quad (5)$$

$$G_2 + 2(3H^2 + 2\dot{H})G_4 + \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{T}}\frac{\mathcal{K}}{a^2} = 0, \quad (6)$$

where $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{T}}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{T}}$ are coefficients from second order action for tensor perturbations, which are both equal to 1 in our subclass. Further we assume $G_4(\phi) = \frac{1}{2}(M_{pl} = 1)$, so the only non-trivial term is $G_2(\phi, X)$, which gives the most general k-essence.

It is important to mention that the effect of curvature becomes negligible for large values of a , which is already true after several e-folds.

Below we study the quadratic action for scalar and tensor perturbations which is necessary to obtain Power spectra.

A. Scalar perturbations

Let us first consider the Lagrangian of scalar perturbations. After integration out the constraints [28] quadratic Lagrangian in the scalar sector reduces to one degree of freedom.

$$S_{\zeta}^{(2)} = \int dt d^3x a^3 \left[\mathcal{G}_S \dot{\zeta}^2 - \frac{\mathcal{F}_S}{a^2} (\partial\zeta)^2 \right] \quad (7)$$

where $\mathcal{F}_S, \mathcal{G}_S$ in our subclass are as follows:

$$\mathcal{F}_S = -\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2} + \frac{\mathcal{K}}{H^2 a^2}, \quad (8)$$

$$\mathcal{G}_S = \frac{XG_{2X} + 2X^2G_{2XX}}{H^2}. \quad (9)$$

Using equations of motion (5) and (6) it is easy to obtain \mathcal{F}_S in this form:

$$\mathcal{F}_S = \frac{XG_{2X}}{H^2}, \quad (10)$$

so we can get expression for the speed of scalar perturbation:

$$c_S^2 = \frac{\mathcal{F}_S}{\mathcal{G}_S} = \frac{G_{2X}}{G_{2X} + 2XG_{2XX}}. \quad (11)$$

Note, that there is no explicit dependence on the curvature in this form. But due to \mathcal{K} term in the background EOM the dynamics of perturbation is different in flat, close and open Universe.

The form of action (7) dictates stability conditions,

$$\mathcal{G}_S \geq \mathcal{F}_S > \epsilon > 0, \quad (12)$$

and equation of motion for the Fourier modes:

$$\frac{1}{a^3 \mathcal{G}_S} \frac{d}{dt} \left(a^3 \mathcal{G}_S \frac{d\zeta}{dt} \right) + \frac{k^2 c_S^2}{a^2} \zeta = 0 \quad (13)$$

For a given Lagrangian of the theory, this equation can be solved numerically with vacuum initial conditions for canonically normalized scalar perturbations:

$$\zeta(k, -\infty) = \frac{1}{a(t)(4\mathcal{G}_S \mathcal{F}_S)^{\frac{1}{4}}} \frac{\exp -ik\eta(t)}{\sqrt{2c_S k}}, \quad (14)$$

where conformal time is defined as

$$\eta(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t \frac{dt'}{a(t')}. \quad (15)$$

B. Tensor perturbations

The same analysis can be performed for tensor perturbations [28]. Starting from the action:

$$S_T^{(2)} = \frac{1}{8} \int dt d^3x a^3 \left[\mathcal{G}_T \dot{h}_{ij}^2 - \frac{\mathcal{F}_T}{a^2} (\partial h_{ij})^2 \right] \quad (16)$$

It is possible to get an EOM in the general case and it will have a form similar to scalar perturbations (13), but provided that in our theory (2):

$$\mathcal{F}_T = \mathcal{G}_T = 1, \quad (17)$$

thus for any moment of time:

$$c_T^2 = 1, \quad (18)$$

and EOM takes standard form:

$$\ddot{h}_{ij} + 3H\dot{h}_{ij} + \frac{k^2 c_T^2}{a^2} h_{ij} = 0, \quad (19)$$

where \dot{h}_{ij} is a derivative of h_{ij} with respect to cosmic time t . Note that tensor perturbations contain two polarizations and can be decomposed as:

$$h_{ij} = h_+ e_{ij}^+ + h_\times e_{ij}^\times. \quad (20)$$

Because of the factor in front of the action, canonically normalized initial conditions will have this form:

$$h_{ij}(k, -\infty) = \frac{2}{a(t)(\mathcal{G}_T \mathcal{F}_T)^{\frac{1}{4}}} \frac{\exp -ik\eta(t)}{\sqrt{2c_T k}} = \frac{2}{a(t)} \frac{\exp -ik\eta(t)}{\sqrt{2k}}. \quad (21)$$

Thus we have equations of motion for scalars and tensors which can be solved for different momentums k .

III. LAGRANGIAN RECONSTRUCTION

In this section we proceed to the construction of the model without initial singularity. Let us remind, that we consider a special case of the Horndeski theory in which the only non-zero functions in the action (1) are G_2 and G_4 . As we already took $G_4 = \frac{1}{2}$, the result is GR with an additional scalar field.

A. Scale factor

The first step is to choose a scale factor that corresponds to an evolution without an initial singularity. We want to construct a scale factor that will describe a bounce universe with positive curvature, followed by an inflationary stage with subsequent slower expansion (graceful exit), allowing a transition to a hot stage. A principal form of such a scale factor is presented in Fig.1.




Figure 1: Principal form of scale factor.

We propose an analytical formulation for the scale factor, defined by four free parameters – α , β , b , and n – that influence its behavior and affect key cosmological parameters, including the scalar spectral index (n_s) and the tensor-to-scalar ratio (r). Additionally, there is one more free parameter, a_0 – characteristic size of the universe, which we assume to be significantly larger than the Planck scale. However, variations in a_0 do not impact the power spectrum parameters. A comparison of scalar perturbation spectra for different values of a_0 is provided in the Appendix C.

$$a(t) = \frac{10^\alpha t^2 + a_0}{\exp(bt) + 1} + \frac{10^\beta (t - t_b)^n + a_0}{\exp(-bt) + 1}. \quad (22)$$

It is noteworthy that within the scale factor (22), there is no transition to slower expansion. However, this transition can be seamlessly incorporated by employing an additional sigmoid function, facilitating a shift to phases such as kination, with the scale factor being proportional to $t^{\frac{1}{3}}$. Although the expression for the scale factor and the corresponding Lagrangian would be considerably extensive, the reconstruction technique remains the same. As our primary focus is on the parameters of the power spectra, we will concentrate solely on the initial two phases. The comprehensive expression of the scale factor is provided in the Appendix A. We also note that the contraction rate is chosen such that the curvature grows faster than the energy of the scalar field, in our case $a_{con}(t) \propto t^2$ for simplicity.

B. Why do we need kinetic terms and curvature?

First, it is important to note that for the chosen scale factor, during the transition from the prebounce stage to inflation, there is a period when $\dot{H} > 0$. In a flat universe, this requires the presence of NEC-violating matter [23], but one way to bypass this is by considering positive spatial curvature, which compensates for \dot{H} in the bounce stage.

This can be straightforwardly observed from the form of the Friedmann equations for a classical scalar field:

$$\begin{aligned} 3\dot{H} + 3H^2 &= V(\phi) - \dot{\phi}^2 \\ 3H^2 + 3\frac{1}{a^2} &= \frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 + V(\phi) \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

thus,

$$\dot{\phi}^2(t) = 2 \left(\frac{1}{a^2} - \dot{H} \right) \quad (24)$$

without the $\frac{1}{a^2}$ term there is no real stable solution. Similarly, it can be observed that potential term $V(\phi)$ is growing up before bounce stage, and then slowly decreases on inflation stage:

$$V(\phi) = \dot{H} + 3H^2 + \frac{2}{a^2} \quad (25)$$

One might question the necessity of considering non-trivial kinetic terms when constructing the Lagrangian for such a theory. According to [29], the potential is enough for a wide range of desirable behaviors of the scale factor. The key feature of our approach lies in the absence of the need to solve differential equations to obtain the Lagrangian. Instead, we derive the Lagrangian by solving algebraic equations, allowing us to find its exact analytical form without a necessity to use numerical approaches. This method requires at least two functions in the ansatz: the potential $V(\phi)$ and a non-trivial kinetic term.

C. Reconstruction

We are now prepared to reconstruct the Lagrangian. Let us consider this ansatz:

$$G_2(\phi, X) = g_0(\phi) + g_1(\phi)X + g_2(\phi)X^2. \quad (26)$$

As previously introduced $G_4 = \frac{1}{2}$, so $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{T}} = \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{T}} = 1$, and we require $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{S}} = \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{S}}$, which leads to

$$c_{\mathcal{S}}^2 = c_{\mathcal{T}}^2 = 1. \quad (27)$$

It is obvious from (11) that $c_{\mathcal{S}}^2 = 1$ results in $G_{2XX} = 2g_2 = 0$, hence our ansatz simplifies to $G_2 = g_0(\phi) + g_1(\phi)X$. We substitute it into equations of motion (5), (6) and solve the system of algebraic equations to obtain expressions for the functions in the Lagrangian. Here we consider $\phi(t) = t, X = \frac{1}{2}$ on shell without loss of generality.

Note that by redefining the fields, our ansatz can be reduced to a conventional scalar field with a non-trivial potential and a standard kinetic term. Thus, there is no necessity to consider k-essence theory; however, this freedom in choosing the function for the kinetic term allows for an easy reconstruction of the Lagrangian.




Figure 2: Components of G_2 function throughout the whole evolution.

The explicit forms of the functions g_i for this ansatz, with arbitrary coefficients in the scale factor (22), are presented in Appendix B. However, the explicit expressions for g_i corresponding to the scale factor with a graceful exit (A1) are exceedingly lengthy, even for the appendix. Instead, we provide the characteristic behavior of g_i associated with (A1) in Fig. 2. It is worth noting that the asymptotic behavior at $t \rightarrow +\infty$ is such that $g_0 \propto -\frac{1}{t^4}$ and $g_1 \propto \frac{1}{t^2}$. We demonstrate that both functions, representing the potential and kinetic terms, converge to zero at $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$, corresponding to a regime dominated by General Relativity with a massless scalar field in the future.

D. No fine tuning

It is important to note that the solution obtained for the scale factor is not fine-tuned. Consequently, when attempting to resolve the equations of motion derived from the reconstructed Lagrangian under alternative initial conditions, one obtains solutions of the same nature.

Let us examine it. Using the obtained expressions for g_i , we can analyze the solutions of the Friedmann equations (5) and (6):

$$\frac{1}{2}g_1(\phi)\dot{\phi}^2 - g_0(\phi) - 3\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 - \frac{3}{a^2} = 0, \quad (28)$$

$$\frac{1}{2}g_1(\phi)\dot{\phi}^2 + g_0(\phi) + \left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 + 2\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} + \frac{1}{a^2} = 0. \quad (29)$$

If we slightly change the initial conditions for the scale factor in the past we obtain a slightly different solution, nevertheless with the same behavior, which indicates that the solution is stable with respect to the initial conditions. Numerical solutions for slightly different initial conditions are represented in Fig. 3. It is essential that despite the different initial conditions this has almost no effect on the inflation period and thus on the observable parameters.

It is worth noting that the absence of fine-tuning is a key distinction from models that exhibit an Einstein universe asymptotic behavior in the past [1, 9]. We have also conducted numerical analyses of models with initial conditions corresponding to an Einstein universe, that is, a universe with constant curvature. However, such solutions are unstable with respect to changes in initial conditions: even a small perturbation leads to a fundamental alteration in the behavior of the scale factor, resulting either in a future singularity or in a bounce. This is precisely why the bounce solution is of particular interest, as it represents a model with the most natural initial conditions, deviations from which do not alter the characteristic behavior of the scale factor.




Figure 3: Numerical solution of (28) and (29) for slightly different initial conditions for $a(t)$.

IV. OBSERVABLES

Now that we have established the Lagrangian, we proceed to the analysis of the Power spectrum. Equations (13) and (19) are solved numerically, employing vacuum initial conditions for a range of momentum values k . To calculate the spectrum we find the value of the solutions at the time of inflationary expansion, i.e. before the graceful exit. Technically, this means that we solve the equation for a Fourier mode in some time interval, setting the initial conditions at the moment long before the bounce, when H is small and the vacuum initial conditions can be employed, and find its value at the end of this interval before the graceful exit.

The scalar power spectrum $\mathcal{P}_\zeta(k)$ is obtained by evaluating the two-point correlation function of ζ in Fourier space:

$$\mathcal{P}_\zeta(k) = \frac{k^3}{2\pi^2} |\zeta_k|^2. \quad (30)$$

Mathematically, we calculate the square of the module for the value of ζ at a specific point related to inflation. Similar reasoning can be done for tensor modes, but it is necessary to keep in mind two polarization states:

$$\mathcal{P}_h^{(s)}(k) = \frac{k^3}{2\pi^2} |h_s(k)|^2, \quad (31)$$

where s represents each polarization state, $s = +, \times$. Since there are two independent polarization states, the total power spectrum is the sum of the power spectra of the individual polarization, which are the same in our case:

$$\mathcal{P}_h(k) = \mathcal{P}_h^{(+)}(k) + \mathcal{P}_h^{(\times)}(k) = 2\mathcal{P}_h^s(k) \quad (32)$$

Then we calculate the spectral index n_s , which is defined as:

$$\mathcal{P}_\zeta(k) = A_S \left(\frac{k}{k_0} \right)^{n_S-1}, \quad (33)$$

and for tensor modes:

$$\mathcal{P}_h(k) = A_T \left(\frac{k}{k_0} \right)^{n_T}, \quad (34)$$

where k_0 corresponds to the comoving wave number of the perturbation mode which exits horizon around the beginning of inflation.

The ratio between tensor and scalar perturbations is characterized by the tensor-to-scalar ratio r , defined as:

$$r = \frac{\mathcal{P}_h(k)}{\mathcal{P}_\zeta(k)} \Big|_{k=k_0}. \quad (35)$$

It is important to note that by varying the parameters of the scale factor it is possible to achieve almost any values of r and n_s , so we find several sets that satisfy both stability conditions (12) and current restrictions [30] for r and n_s .

The characteristic behavior of the functions \mathcal{G}_S and \mathcal{F}_S is shown in the Fig. 4. While they may appear to approach zero, they actually converge to a constant determined by the growth rate of the scale factor. Let us note that near to the bounce the so-called γ -crossing occurs in our model. It was discussed in details in [31], where it was shown to be an artifact of the gauge fix, so despite the singularity in the coefficients of second order action, the solutions for perturbations remain smooth. We emphasize that the scalar speed of sound is unity everywhere.




Figure 4: Stability conditions for $\mathcal{G}_S, \mathcal{F}_S$.

We show several sets of parameters that are consistent with the observational data¹ in Table I. Let us note, that the presented points are merely an examples, as the model admits wide range of experimental signatures for different parameters. Figure 5 is a combined plot of the current observational data and the points from Table I.

Table I: Examples of parameters satisfying the current observational data.

α	β	b	n	t_b	n_s	r
-3.57	-162.56	0.023	50	-1850	0.959	0.0023
-2.37	-168.00	0.020	52	-1790	0.960	0.0018
-2.69	-168.00	0.060	52	-1750	0.960	0.0026
-2.69	-180.00	0.080	56	-1675	0.962	0.0023
-2.69	-190.00	0.010	60	-1525	0.965	0.0024
-2.22	-189.52	0.040	60	-1495	0.966	0.0108

¹ Other spectral parameters, such as the running of the spectral index and the tensor spectral index n_T , can also be calculated. However, we do not provide specific values for these parameters, since there are currently no stringent constraints on them.




Figure 5: Table 1 and marginalized joint 68% and 95% CL regions for n_s and $r_{0.05}$ from Planck alone (hlp+lowT+lolEB) and in combination with BK15. The solid lines correspond to hlp TT+lowT+lolEB, while the filled regions include TE and correspond to hlp TTTE+lowT+lolEB [30].

V. CONCLUSIONS

We have constructed an inflationary model in a closed Universe without an initial singularity, which remains stable without the necessity to incorporate NEC-violated matter. The model is free from pathologies such as gradient or tachyonic instabilities. A key distinction from other models is the explicit form of the scale factor and possibility to reconstruct the Lagrangian of a scalar field in the exact form.

Furthermore, it has been demonstrated that a broad range of observational data can be obtained by varying the parameters of the scale factor. We have presented several examples that satisfy the current constraints on n_s and r . However, it is important to note that the future observations may allow for the identification of new parameters, which can be easily calculated within this theoretical framework.

In summary, we have described a stable cosmological model without an initial singularity, capable of predicting different sets of observational data.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author is deeply grateful to Sergey Mironov for his original idea, valuable remarks throughout the work and careful reading of the manuscript.

The work on Section III of this paper has been supported by the Foundation for the Advancement of Theoretical Physics and Mathematics "BASIS", the work on Section IV has been supported by Russian Science Foundation grant № 24-72-10110, <https://rscf.ru/project/24-72-10110/>.

Appendix A: Full form of the scale factor

Here is the full form of the scale factor with exit to the kination phase, dominated by a massless scalar field:

$$a(t) = \left(\frac{10^\alpha x^2 + a_0}{\exp(bx) + 1} + \frac{10^\beta (x - x_b)^n + a_0}{\exp(-bx) + 1} \right) \frac{1}{\exp(dx - N) + 1} + \frac{10^\gamma (x^2 - x_k^2)^{\frac{1}{6}}}{\exp(-dx + N) + 1}. \quad (\text{A1})$$

Note that N and d are responsible for inflation stage duration (number of e-folds).

Appendix B: Lagrangian functions

In this Appendix, we collect the expressions for the Lagrangian functions g_i in the following ansatz:

$$\begin{aligned} G_4 &= \frac{1}{2}, \\ G_2 &= g_0 + g_1 X. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B1})$$

Note that this functions represent only first two stages in scale factor (A1): contraction and inflation, because expressions for full Lagrangian are huge even for Appendix.

$$\begin{aligned} g_0(\phi) &= \left((e^{b\phi(t)} + 1)^2 \left(-\frac{1}{e^{b\phi(t)} + 1} \left(\frac{2^{\alpha+1} \times 5^\alpha + 10^\beta (n-1) n e^{b\phi(t)} (\phi(t) - x_b)^{n-2}}{e^{b\phi(t)} + 1} + \right. \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + (b e^{b\phi(t)} (10^\beta (\phi(t) - x_b)^n (e^{b\phi(t)} (bx_b - b\phi(t) + 2n) - bx_b + b\phi(t) + 2n) + \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + 10^\alpha \phi(t) + (-b\phi(t) + e^{b\phi(t)} (b\phi(t) - 4) - 4) (\phi(t) - x_b) \right) \right) / \left((e^{b\phi(t)} + 1)^3 (\phi(t) - x_b) \right) \right) \\ &\quad \left(a_0 e^{b\phi(t)} + a_0 + 10^\beta e^{b\phi(t)} (\phi(t) - x_b)^n + 10^\alpha \phi(t)^2 \right) - \frac{1}{(e^{b\phi(t)} + 1)^4} \\ &\quad 2 \left(10^\beta e^{b\phi(t)} (\phi(t) - x_b)^{n-1} \left(-bx_b + n e^{b\phi(t)} + b\phi(t) + n \right) - 10^\alpha \phi(t) \left(e^{b\phi(t)} (b\phi(t) - 2) - 2 \right) \right)^2 - 2 \Big) \Big) \times \\ &\quad \times \frac{1}{(a_0 e^{b\phi(t)} + a_0 + 10^\beta e^{b\phi(t)} (\phi(t) - x_b)^n + 10^\alpha \phi(t)^2)^2} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B2})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
g_1(\phi) = & \left(2 \left(10^\beta e^{b\phi(t)} \left(10^\beta e^{2b\phi(t)} (b^2 x_b^2 + 2n + 2n \cosh(b\phi(t))) (\phi(t) - x_b)^n - (1 + e^{b\phi(t)}) \left(a_0(n-1)n (1 + e^{b\phi(t)})^2 - \right. \right. \right. \right. \\
& 2a_0 b x_b n (1 + e^{b\phi(t)}) + \left. \left. \left. \left(2^{\alpha+1} \times 5^\alpha (1 + e^{b\phi(t)}) - a_0 b^2 (-1 + e^{b\phi(t)}) \right) x_b^2 \right) \right) (\phi(t) - x_b)^n + \right. \\
& 100^\alpha b^2 e^{b\phi(t)} \phi(t)^6 - 2^{2\alpha+1} \times 25^\alpha b^2 e^{b\phi(t)} x_b \phi(t)^5 + \left. \left(1 + e^{b\phi(t)} \right)^3 \left(-2^{\alpha+1} \times 5^\alpha a_0 + e^{b\phi(t)} + 1 \right) x_b^2 + \right. \\
& 2^{\alpha+1} \times 5^\alpha \phi(t)^3 \left(2^{\beta+1} \times 5^\beta b e^{2b\phi(t)} (-n + (bx_b - n + 2) \cosh(b\phi(t)) + 2) (\phi(t) - x_b)^n + \right. \\
& \left. \left(1 + e^{b\phi(t)} \right) \left(a_0 b e^{b\phi(t)} (-bx_b + e^{b\phi(t)}(bx_b + 2) + 2) - 2^{\alpha+1} \times 5^\alpha (1 + e^{b\phi(t)}) x_b \right) \right) + \\
& \phi(t)^2 \left(10^\beta e^{b\phi(t)} \left(a_0 e^{2b\phi(t)} b^2 - a_0 b^2 - 2^{\alpha+1} \times 5^\alpha e^{b\phi(t)} (-2bx_b(n-4) + (n-5)n + \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. (n^2 - 2bx_b n - 5n + bx_b(bx_b + 8) + 2) \cosh(b\phi(t)) + 2 \right) \right) (\phi(t) - x_b)^n + 100^\beta b^2 \\
& e^{3b\phi(t)} (\phi(t) - x_b)^{2n} + \left. \left(1 + e^{b\phi(t)} \right) \left(\left(1 + e^{b\phi(t)} \right) \left(2^{2\alpha+1} \times 25^\alpha x_b^2 + 2e^{b\phi(t)} + e^{2b\phi(t)} + 1 \right) - \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. 10^\alpha a_0 \left(e^{b\phi(t)} (bx_b(8 - bx_b) + e^{b\phi(t)}(bx_b(bx_b + 8) + 2) + 4) + 2 \right) \right) \right) + \\
& 2\phi(t) \left(10^\beta e^{b\phi(t)} (1 + e^{b\phi(t)}) \left(-a_0 (-1 + e^{b\phi(t)}) x_b b^2 + 2^{\alpha+1} \times 5^\alpha (1 + e^{b\phi(t)}) x_b^2 b - a_0 (1 + e^{b\phi(t)}) \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. nb - 2^{\alpha+1} \times 5^\alpha (1 + e^{b\phi(t)}) x_b (n-1) \right) (\phi(t) - x_b)^n - 100^\beta b^2 e^{3b\phi(t)} x_b (\phi(t) - x_b)^{2n} + \right. \\
& \left. \left(1 + e^{b\phi(t)} \right)^2 x_b \left(2^{\alpha+1} \times 5^\alpha a_0 \left(e^{b\phi(t)} (bx_b + 1) + 1 \right) - \left(1 + e^{b\phi(t)} \right)^2 \right) \right) + 10^\alpha \phi(t)^4 \\
& \left. \left(\left(1 + e^{b\phi(t)} \right)^2 (x_b - \phi(t))^2 \left(10^\beta e^{b\phi(t)} (\phi(t) - x_b)^n + a_0 e^{b\phi(t)} + 10^\alpha \phi(t)^2 + a_0 \right)^2 \right) \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{B3}$$

Appendix C: Comparison of power spectra for different universe sizes

As we have already noted, the characteristic size of the universe does not affect on power spectra observables. Here is the representation of scalar power spectra for all the same parameters in scale factors, except of a_0 .




Figure 6: Scalar power spectra for different a_0 . Red squares correspond to a scale factor 10 times larger than the scale factor corresponding to blue dots.

-
- [1] G. F. R. Ellis and R. Maartens, “The emergent universe: inflationary cosmology with no singularity,” *Classical and Quantum Gravity*, vol. 21, no. 1, p. 223–232, Nov. 2003. [Online]. Available: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/21/1/015>
- [2] C. O’Raifeartaigh, M. O’Keefe, W. Nahm, and S. Mitton, “Einstein’s 1917 static model of the universe: a centennial review,” *Eur. Phys. J. H*, vol. 42, no. 3, pp. 431–474, 2017.
- [3] G. L. Murphy, “Big-bang model without singularities,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 8, pp. 4231–4233, 1973.
- [4] A. A. Starobinsky, “On a nonsingular isotropic cosmological model,” *Sov. Astron. Lett.*, vol. 4, p. 82, 1978, [*Pis’ma Astron. Zh.* 4, 155 (1978)].
- [5] R. Durrer and J. Laukenmann, “The oscillating universe: An alternative to inflation,” *Class. Quant. Grav.*, vol. 13, pp. 1069–1088, 1996. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/pdf/gr-qc/9510041>
- [6] F. T. Falciano, M. Lilley, and P. Peter, “A classical bounce: Constraints and consequences,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 77, p. 083513, 2008. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/pdf/0802.1196>
- [7] M. NOVELLO and S. BERGLIAFFA, “Bouncing cosmologies,” *Physics Reports*, vol. 463, no. 4, p. 127–213, Jul. 2008. [Online]. Available: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2008.04.006>
- [8] D. Battfeld and P. Peter, “A critical review of classical bouncing cosmologies,” *Physics Reports*, vol. 571, p. 1–66, Apr. 2015. [Online]. Available: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2014.12.004>
- [9] G. F. R. Ellis, J. Murugan, and C. G. Tsagas, “The emergent universe: an explicit construction,” *Classical and Quantum Gravity*, vol. 21, no. 1, p. 233–249, Nov. 2003. [Online]. Available: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/21/1/016>
- [10] C. Renevey, A. Barrau, K. Martineau, and S. Touati, “Curvature bounce in general relativity: background and primordial spectrum,” *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics*, vol. 2021, no. 01, p. 018–018, Jan. 2021. [Online]. Available: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2021/01/018>
- [11] P. Creminelli, A. Nicolis, and E. Trincherini, “Galilean genesis: An alternative to inflation,” *JCAP*, vol. 11, p. 021, 2010. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1007.0027>
- [12] D. A. Easson, I. Sawicki, and A. Vikman, “G-bounce,” *JCAP*, vol. 11, p. 021, 2011. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1109.1047>
- [13] T. Qiu, J. Evslin, Y.-F. Cai, M. Li, and X. Zhang, “Bouncing galileon cosmologies,” *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics*, vol. 2011, no. 10, p. 036–036, Oct. 2011. [Online]. Available: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2011/10/036>
- [14] Y.-F. Cai, D. A. Easson, and R. Brandenberger, “Towards a nonsingular bouncing cosmology,” *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics*, vol. 2012, no. 08, p. 020–020, Aug. 2012. [Online]. Available: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2012/08/020>
- [15] M. Osipov and V. Rubakov, “Galileon bounce after ekpyrotic contraction,” *JCAP*, vol. 11, p. 031, 2013. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1303.1221>
- [16] R. Kolevatov, S. Mironov, N. Sukhov, and V. Volkova, “Cosmological bounce and Genesis beyond Horndeski,” *JCAP*, vol. 08, p. 038, 2017.
- [17] Y. Cai and Y.-S. Piao, “A covariant lagrangian for stable nonsingular bounce,” *Journal of High Energy Physics*, vol. 2017, no. 9, Sep. 2017. [Online]. Available: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09\(2017\)027](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09(2017)027)
- [18] V. E. Volkova, S. A. Mironov, and V. A. Rubakov, “Cosmological scenarios with bounce and genesis in horndeski theory and beyond,” *Journal of Experimental and Theoretical Physics*, vol. 129, no. 4, pp. 553–565, Oct 2019. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1134/S1063776119100236>
- [19] S. Mironov, V. Rubakov, and V. Volkova, “Subluminal cosmological bounce beyond horndeski,” *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics*, vol. 2020, no. 05, p. 024–024, May 2020. [Online]. Available: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2020/05/024>
- [20] S. Mironov and A. Shtennikova, “Stable cosmological solutions in horndeski theory,” *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics*, vol. 2023, no. 06, p. 037, Jun. 2023. [Online]. Available: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2023/06/037>
- [21] G. W. Horndeski, “Second-order scalar-tensor field equations in a four-dimensional space,” *International Journal of Theoretical Physics*, vol. 10, no. 6, pp. 363–384, 1974.
- [22] S. Akama and T. Kobayashi, “Generalized multi-Galileons, covariantized new terms, and the no-go theorem for nonsingular cosmologies,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 95, no. 6, p. 064011, 2017.
- [23] V. A. Rubakov, “The null energy condition and its violation,” *Physics-Uspekhi*, vol. 57, no. 2, p. 128–142, Feb. 2014. [Online]. Available: <http://dx.doi.org/10.3367/UFNe.0184.201402b.0137>
- [24] H. Matsui, F. Takahashi, and T. Terada, “Non-singular bouncing cosmology with positive spatial curvature and flat scalar potential,” *Physics Letters B*, vol. 795, p. 152–159, Aug. 2019. [Online]. Available: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2019.06.013>
- [25] R. Daniel, M. Campbell, C. van de Bruck, and P. Dunsby, “Transitioning from a bounce to r^2 inflation,” *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics*, vol. 2023, no. 06, p. 030, Jun. 2023. [Online]. Available: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2023/06/030>
- [26] E. Babichev, V. Mukhanov, and A. Vikman, “k-essence, superluminal propagation, causality and emergent geometry,” *Journal of High Energy Physics*, vol. 2008, no. 02, p. 101–101, Feb. 2008. [Online]. Available: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2008/02/101>
- [27] C. Deffayet, X. Gao, D. A. Steer, and G. Zahariade, “From k-essence to generalised Galileons,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 84, p. 064039, 2011.
- [28] T. Kobayashi, “Horndeski theory and beyond: a review,” *Rept. Prog. Phys.*, vol. 82, no. 8, p. 086901, 2019.

- [29] G. F. R. Ellis and M. S. Madsen, “Exact scalar field cosmologies,” *Class. Quant. Grav.*, vol. 8, pp. 667–676, 1991.
- [30] M. Tristram, A. J. Banday, K. M. Górski, R. Keskitalo, C. R. Lawrence, K. J. Andersen, R. B. Barreiro, J. Borrill, H. K. Eriksen, R. Fernandez-Cobos, T. S. Kisner, E. Martínez-González, B. Partridge, D. Scott, T. L. Svalheim, H. Thommesen, and I. K. Wehus, “Planck constraints on the tensor-to-scalar ratio,” *Astronomy; Astrophysics*, vol. 647, p. A128, Mar. 2021. [Online]. Available: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202039585>
- [31] S. Mironov, V. Rubakov, and V. Volkova, “Bounce beyond Horndeski with GR asymptotics and γ -crossing,” *JCAP*, vol. 10, p. 050, 2018.