

PHARMACOMETRICS MODELING VIA PHYSICS-INFORMED NEURAL NETWORKS: INTEGRATING TIME-VARIANT ABSORPTION RATES AND FRACTIONAL CALCULUS FOR ENHANCING PREDICTION ACCURACY

Nazanin Ahmadi¹, Shupeng Wang^{2,3}, and George Karniadakis³

¹Center for Biomedical Engineering, Brown University, Providence, RI, USA, Nazanin@Brown.edu

²School of Mathematics, Shandong University, Jinan, China, 201911826@mail.sdu.edu.cn

³Division of Applied Mathematics, Brown University, Providence, RI, USA,

George_karniadakis@Brown.edu

SUMMARY

We present a novel method to improve pharmacokinetics modeling, an essential step of drug development. Conventional models frequently fail to fully represent the intricacies of drug absorption and distribution, which limits their predictive abilities required for personalized treatment strategies. Our methodology introduces two innovations to enhance modeling accuracy: 1. Time-varying parameters: this approach is designed to accommodate the dynamic nature of drug absorption rates. 2. Fractional calculus in representing delayed drug response. This approach effectively captures anomalous diffusion phenomena, surpassing traditional models in describing drug delayed response without the need for extensive compartmentalization.

Key words: *Pharmacokinetics, PINNs, fPINNs, Compartment models*

1 INTRODUCTION

Pharmacokinetic models are essential in drug discovery and clinical development, optimizing dose regimens and validating therapeutic responses. Traditional approaches typically utilize integer-order differential equations with constant parameters, which may not capture the memory effects prevalent in many drug delivery scenarios. In our study, we employ a three-compartment model for canines by Uno et al. [1], which includes plasma, interstitial, and cellular compartments to detail drug dynamics.

Recent advancements in Physics-Informed Neural Networks (PINNs) [2] have revolutionized parameter estimation for systems exhibiting nonlinear dynamics, numerous undetermined parameters, and a scarcity of experimental data. The introduction of fractional PINNs (fPINNs) in [3] extends these capabilities to fractional differential equations, enhancing modeling flexibility further. The AI-Aristotle framework [4] first integrated PINNs into pharmacokinetic modeling, setting a precedent for using these models to solve complex inverse problems. Our study builds on this foundation, using PINNs and fPINNs to improve accuracy and yield new insights in pharmacokinetic modeling.

1.1 Three-Compartment Mathematical Modeling of Pharmacokinetics

The system of Equation 1-3 introduces a three-compartment model for pharmacokinetics that includes compartments for plasma, interstitial space, and cells. Here, 0 is used to represent areas outside the model, while 1, 2, and 3 refer to the plasma, interstitial, and cell compartments, respectively. The model uses differential equations (1)–(3) to express the drug concentration within these compartments. For each compartment, represented by “i”, where i equals 1, 2, or 3, V_i and C_i stand for the volume and concentration of the compartment, respectively. The model also defines the rate of drug excretion from the plasma compartment as k_{10} , along with the transfer rates between compartments, denoted as k_{12} , k_{21} , k_{23} , and k_{32} .

$$V_1 \frac{dC_1}{dt} = -(k_{10} + k_{12})V_1C_1 + k_{21}V_2C_2, \quad (1)$$

$$V_2 \frac{dC_2}{dt} = k_{12}V_1C_1 - (k_{21} + k_{23})V_2C_2 + k_{32}V_3C_3, \quad (2)$$

$$V_3 \frac{dC_3}{dt} = k_{23}V_2C_2 - k_{32}V_3C_3. \quad (3)$$

2 METHODOLOGY

In this study, we aim to refine the estimation of parameters within a system governed by ordinary differential equations (ODEs). Traditionally, numerical solvers like the `fmincon` toolbox in MATLAB are utilized for this purpose. Our methodology differs by integrating two novel strategies to improve model accuracy: (i) the introduction of time-varying parameters to capture the dynamic nature of drug absorption rates, and (ii) the adoption of fractional calculus to better represent the lag in drug response. We explore the PINNs as an innovative solver. We compare the effectiveness of these methodologies, including PINNs and fPINNs, in accurately modeling the system of ODEs for Talaporfin sodium PK model.

The constants $[v_1, v_2, v_3]$ are maintained at $[394, 251, 970]$ mL, based on histological evaluations cited in [5], with supplementary data from [1]. Within the PINNs framework, the Fractional Finite Difference Method (FFDM) is applied to generate numerical solutions, particularly for two versions of Fractional Pharmacokinetics Models introduced in [6].

2.1 PINNs with Time-varying parameter

Herein, we address the dynamic nature of drug absorption rates by treating k_{12} , the primary rate constant, as a time-dependent function. This approach allows us to account for the time-delayed response of the drug. We tackle the inverse problem of simultaneously determining the values of all unknown system parameters, including k_{10} , k_{21} , k_{23} , and k_{32} , in conjunction with $k_{12}(t)$.

2.2 fPINNs

fPINNs is a variant of PINNs used to solve PDEs or ODEs. We incorporate numerical differentiation formulas from fractional calculus for representing fractional operators, while leveraging automatic differentiation for integer-order operators. This hybrid approach introduces a composite effect where discretization, sampling, Neural Network approximation, and optimization errors collectively influence the convergence properties of fPINNs. The foundation of this approach lies in Caputo's definition of the fractional derivatives operator, denoted as ${}^C D_t^\alpha$, where α represents the fractional order. We infer the fractional order α along with the constant values of the unknown parameters k_{10} , k_{12} , k_{21} , k_{23} , and k_{32} .

- a) The commensurate fractional three-compartmental pharmacokinetic (PK) model is described by the following set of fractional differential equations, where ${}^C D_t^\alpha$ denotes the Caputo fractional derivative of order α :

$$V_1 {}^C D_t^\alpha C_1 = -(k_{10} + k_{12})V_1C_1 + k_{21}V_2C_2, \quad (4)$$

$$V_2 {}^C D_t^\alpha C_2 = k_{12}V_1C_1 - (k_{21} + k_{23})V_2C_2 + k_{32}V_3C_3, \quad (5)$$

$$V_3 {}^C D_t^\alpha C_3 = k_{23}V_2C_2 - k_{32}V_3C_3. \quad (6)$$

- b) The implicit non-commensurate fractional three-compartmental PK model introduces a different fractional order in one of the compartments. The model equations are written as:

$$V_1 \frac{dC_1}{dt} = -(k_{10} + k_{12})V_1C_1 + k_{21}V_2C_2, \quad (7)$$

$$V_2 \frac{dC_2}{dt} = k_{12}V_1C_1 - (k_{21} + k_{23})V_2C_2 + k_{32}V_3 {}^C D_t^{1-\alpha} C_3, \quad (8)$$

$$V_3 \frac{dC_3}{dt} = k_{23}V_2C_2 - k_{32}V_3 {}^C D_t^{1-\alpha} C_3. \quad (9)$$

3 RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS

We evaluated the effectiveness of photodynamic therapy in the myocardial interstitial space by developing a three-compartment pharmacokinetic model. This model aims to predict the interstitial concentration of talaporfin sodium in the canine, based on variations in its plasma, interstitial, and cellular levels. We integrate fractional calculus and PINNs with conventional pharmacokinetic modeling, employing three distinct approaches and the results for inferred values of parameters in each model are shown in Table 1.

Parameter	PINNs (Mean \pm Std Dev)	fPINNs (a)	fPINNs (b)
α	-	0.99168	0.67429
k_{10}	2.332 ± 0.019	2.43033	2.37014
k_{12}	Time variant	4.34626	4.74927
k_{21}	9.272 ± 0.585	10.01725	10.85011
k_{23}	7.941 ± 0.070	3.42174	5.83796
k_{32}	4.112 ± 0.026	1.32857	3.44299

Table 1: Comparison of parameters for fPINNs (a), fPINNs (b), and PINNs for 5 runs.

Figure 1 shows the solution using different approaches, as well as the data points we had for each compartment. Meanwhile, Figure 2 illustrates the mean and standard deviation of k_{12} as a function of time using the PINNs method. We can observe that the value of k_{12} does not change significantly. However, even this minor variation is sufficient to represent the delay in the model.




Figure 1: Comparison of the solutions to the system of ODEs using different approaches.

We evaluated the fitting quality of three approaches using the R^2 score and compared the Mean Absolute Error (MAE) values for three concentrations as shown in Table 2. PINNs showed lower MAE for concentrations C2 and C3, while fPINNs yielded better results for C1. The final R^2 scores were similar across all methods. Further systematic studies are required to explain this observed sensitivity in solution approximation between the fractional- and integer-order model.




Figure 2: Variation of k_{12} as a function of time (mean and standard deviation).

Approach	MAE (C1)	MAE (C2)	MAE (C3)	R^2 Score
PINNs	1.281	1.695	0.438	0.98
fPINNs (a)	0.640	1.872	0.987	0.98
fPINNs (b)	0.604	1.795	0.896	0.98

Table 2: The comparison of Mean Absolute Error (MAE) values for each approach and different compartments.

A significant contribution of our work is the introduction of fPINNs to pharmacokinetics, marking its inaugural application in this field. By leveraging neural networks, we automated the optimization of parameters, including fractional orders, during training. This streamlined the process of adapting models for optimal empirical data fit across all methods. Our results show a more precise model fit than previous studies using the same dataset, underscoring the potential of our methods for enhancing complex pharmacokinetic models, contributing to the evolution of pharmacokinetic modeling and the refinement of therapeutic strategies.

REFERENCES

- [1] Y. Uno, E. Ogawa, and T. Arai. *3-compartment pharmacokinetic model for estimation of talaporfin sodium concentration in interstitial space*. *Trans. Jpn. Soc. Med. Biol. Eng.*, 55:550–551, 2017. [CrossRef]
- [2] M. Raissi, P. Perdikaris, and G.E. Karniadakis. *Physics-informed neural networks: A deep learning framework for solving forward and inverse problems involving nonlinear partial differential equations*. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 378:686–707, 2019.
- [3] G. Pang, L. Lu, and G.E. Karniadakis. *fPINNs: Fractional Physics-Informed Neural Networks*. *Methods and Algorithms for Scientific Computing*, <https://doi.org/10.1137/18M1229845>
- [4] N. Ahmadi Daryakenari, M. De Florio, K. Shukla, and G.E. Karniadakis. *AI-Aristotle: A physics-informed framework for systems biology gray-box identification*. *PLOS*, March 12, 2024. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1011916>
- [5] Y. Uno, E. Ogawa, E. Aiyoshi, and T. Arai. *A Three-Compartment Pharmacokinetic Model to Predict the Interstitial Concentration of Talaporfin Sodium in the Myocardium for Photodynamic Therapy: A Method Combining Measured Fluorescence and Analysis of the Compartmental Origin of the Fluorescence*. *Bioengineering (Basel)*, 6(1):1, 2018. <https://doi.org/10.3390/bioengineering6010001>.
- [6] R. N. Borkor, A. Sakyi, and P. Amoako-Yirenkyi. *Investigation of Fractional Compartmental Models with Application to Amiodarone Drug Diffusion in Pharmacokinetics*. arXiv:2306.08015, 2023. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2306.08015>.