

# Cosmological Signatures of Neutrino Seesaw

Chengcheng Han,<sup>1,\*</sup> Hong-Jian He,<sup>2,3,†</sup> Linghao Song,<sup>2,‡</sup> and Jingtao You<sup>2,§</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*School of Physics, Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou 510275, China;*

*Asia Pacific Center for Theoretical Physics, Pohang 37673, Korea*

<sup>2</sup>*Tsung-Dao Lee Institute & School of Physics and Astronomy,*

*Key Laboratory for Particle Astrophysics and Cosmology,*

*Shanghai Key Laboratory for Particle Physics and Cosmology,*

*Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, China*

<sup>3</sup>*Department of Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China;*

*Center for High Energy Physics, Peking University, Beijing, China*

The tiny neutrino masses are most naturally explained by the seesaw mechanism through singlet right-handed neutrinos, which can further explain the matter-antimatter asymmetry in the universe. In this work, we propose a new approach to study cosmological signatures of neutrino seesaw through the interaction between inflaton and right-handed neutrinos. After inflation the inflaton predominantly decays into right-handed neutrinos and its decay rate is modulated by the fluctuations of Higgs field which act as the source of curvature perturbations. We demonstrate that this modulation produces primordial non-Gaussian signatures, which can be measured by the forthcoming large-scale structure surveys. We find that these surveys have the potential to probe a large portion of the neutrino seesaw parameter space, opening up a new window for testing the high scale seesaw mechanism.

## 1. Introduction

Understanding the origin of tiny neutrino masses of  $O(0.1\text{eV})$  poses a major challenge to the standard model (SM) of particle physics. Neutrino seesaw provides the most natural explanation of tiny neutrino masses by including singlet right-handed neutrinos [1][2], and it further explains the matter-antimatter asymmetry (baryon asymmetry) in the universe via leptogenesis [3]. But, the natural scale of the seesaw mechanism is around  $10^{14}\text{GeV}$  for the Higgs-neutrino Yukawa couplings of  $O(1)$ . Probing such high scale of neutrino seesaw is extremely difficult and far beyond the reach of current particle colliders.

In contrast, inflation provides the most appealing mechanism for the dynamics of the early universe, under which the universe underwent a short period of rapid exponential expansion that resolves the flatness and horizon problems as well as simultaneously generating the primordial fluctuations for generating large-scale structures of the universe. The energy scale of inflation could be as high as  $10^{16}\text{GeV}$ , characterized by a nearly constant Hubble parameter  $H_{\text{inf}}$  around  $10^{14}\text{GeV}$ , that coincides with the scale of neutrino seesaw. Inflation is typically driven by a scalar field known as inflaton. The primordial fluctuations arise from the inflaton's quantum fluctuations and can be directly measured through their contributions to the Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB). The current CMB data indicate that these fluctuations are predominantly adiabatic and Gaussian. However, during inflation the primordial perturbations could also exhibit non-Gaussianity (NG) [4][5], especially for multi-field inflation models. The NG is sensitive to new physics effects at high energy scales. Although the current CMB observations only set a weak limit on the NG parameter  $f_{\text{NL}} \sim O(10)$ , the upcoming experiments will improve detection sensitivity to the level of  $f_{\text{NL}} \sim O(0.01)$  [5–7],

opening up an important window for probing the high-scale new physics.

Given the striking coincidence between the inflation scale and neutrino seesaw scale, we expect that the seesaw mechanism can leave distinctive imprints in the cosmological evolution. Since both right-handed neutrinos and inflaton are pure SM gauge singlets, they can directly couple together so that inflaton would predominantly decay into right-handed neutrinos after inflation. Then these right-handed neutrinos further decay into the SM particles via Yukawa interactions, completing the reheating process. Moreover, during inflation the Higgs field acquires a value near the Hubble scale, varying across different horizon patches. This variation leads to space-dependent right-handed neutrino masses via the seesaw mechanism, which modulate the inflaton decay rate into right-handed neutrinos. This modulated reheating scenario serves as a source of primordial curvature perturbations [8]. In this work, we investigate the effects of Higgs-modulated reheating and the associated non-Gaussian signatures, with which we demonstrate the potential to probe the high-scale neutrino seesaw.

## 2. Dynamics of Higgs Field During and After Inflation

During inflation, the universe is effectively de Sitter spacetime. The dynamics of a spectator Higgs field in this de Sitter spacetime can be described through the stochastic inflation approach [9][10]. In the unitary gauge, the Higgs field is given by  $\mathbb{H} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(0, h)^T$ . The potential of the SM Higgs field during inflation is  $V(h) = \frac{1}{4}\lambda h^4$ , where its mass term can be neglected and the Higgs self-coupling  $\lambda$  could have a value of  $O(0.001)$  at the inflation scale within the  $3\sigma$  range of the current top mass measurement [11][12]. During inflation, the long-wave mode

of the Higgs field value  $h$  can be described as a classical motion with a stochastic noise:

$$\dot{h}(\mathbf{x}, t) = -\frac{1}{3H_{\text{inf}}} \frac{\partial V}{\partial h} + f(\mathbf{x}, t), \quad (1)$$

where  $f(\mathbf{x}, t)$  is a stochastic background and has the two-point correlation function,

$$\langle f(\mathbf{x}_1, t_1) f(\mathbf{x}_2, t_2) \rangle = \frac{H_{\text{inf}}^3}{4\pi^2} j_0(\epsilon a(t_1) H_{\text{inf}} |\mathbf{x}_{12}|) \delta(t_1 - t_2), \quad (2)$$

where  $j_0(z) = (\sin z)/z$  and  $\mathbf{x}_{12} = \mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_2$ . If inflation lasts long enough, the distribution of Higgs field would eventually reach an equilibrium with a probability function:

$$\rho_{\text{eq}}(h) = \frac{2\lambda^{1/4}}{\Gamma(1/4)} \left( \frac{2\pi^2}{3} \right)^{1/4} \exp\left( -\frac{2\pi^2 \lambda h^4}{3H_{\text{inf}}^4} \right). \quad (3)$$

The root-mean-square value of the Higgs field  $\bar{h} = \sqrt{\langle h^2 \rangle}$  is derived as follows:

$$\bar{h} = \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dh h^2 \rho_{\text{eq}}(h) \right]^{1/2} \simeq 0.363 \left( \frac{H_{\text{inf}}}{\lambda^{1/4}} \right). \quad (4)$$

After inflation, we consider the inflaton potential as quadratic near its minimum, the inflaton oscillates and behaves like a matter component ( $w=0$ ). Consequently, the universe expands as  $a \sim t^{2/3}$ , with the Hubble parameter given by  $H = 2/(3t)$ . The evolution of the super-horizon mode of the Higgs field  $h$  after inflation is governed by the Klein-Gordon equation:

$$\ddot{h}(t) + \frac{2}{t} \dot{h}(t) + \lambda h^3(t) = 0. \quad (5)$$

Thus, for  $t \gg (\sqrt{\lambda} h_{\text{inf}})^{-1}$  and  $h_{\text{inf}} > 0$ , we derive the evolution of  $h(t)$  as follows [56]:

$$h(t) = A \left( \frac{h_{\text{inf}}}{\lambda} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} t^{-\frac{2}{3}} \cos\left( \lambda^{\frac{1}{6}} h_{\text{inf}}^{\frac{1}{3}} \omega t^{\frac{1}{3}} + \theta \right), \quad (6)$$

where the parameters  $(A, \omega, \theta)$  are given by

$$A = \left( \frac{2}{9} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} 5^{\frac{1}{4}} \simeq 0.9, \quad \omega = \frac{\Gamma^2(3/4)}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left( \frac{2}{3} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} 5^{\frac{1}{4}} \simeq 2.3, \\ \theta = -3^{-\frac{1}{3}} 2^{\frac{1}{6}} \omega - \arctan 2 \simeq -2.9. \quad (7)$$

The solution can be readily generalized to the case of  $h_{\text{inf}} < 0$ . Eq.(6) shows that after inflation, the Higgs field oscillates in its quartic potential  $\frac{1}{4}\lambda h^4$ , but its oscillation amplitude will gradually decrease over time.

### 3. Inflaton-Neutrino Interaction and Inflaton Decay

Both inflaton  $\phi$  and right-handed neutrino  $N_R$  are the SM gauge singlets, so they can couple together through a unique dimension-5 operator which has a cutoff  $\Lambda$  and

respects the inflaton's shift symmetry [14]. Thus, we construct the minimal model incorporating both inflation and neutrino seesaw with the relevant Lagrangian:

$$\Delta\mathcal{L} = \sqrt{-g} \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi - V(\phi) + \bar{N}_R i \not{\partial} N_R \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{\Lambda} \partial_\mu \phi \bar{N}_R \gamma^\mu \gamma^5 N_R + \left( -\frac{1}{2} M \bar{N}_R^c N_R - y_\nu \bar{\ell}_L \tilde{\mathbb{H}} N_R + \text{H.c.} \right) \right], \quad (8)$$

where  $V(\phi)$  is the inflaton potential and its concrete form is irrelevant to the following discussion. After inflation the potential  $V(\phi)$  is assumed to be dominated by the inflaton mass term under which the inflaton  $\phi$  will oscillate. The perturbative unitarity imposes a lower bound  $\Lambda \gtrsim 60 H_{\text{inf}}$ . In our setup, the above dimension-5 operator makes inflaton dominantly decay into right-handed neutrinos after inflation. Although inflaton could couple to other non-singlet SM particles via higher-dimensional operators, we assume that their cutoff scales are much higher and thus highly suppressed.

For simplicity, we focus on analyzing the case of one family of fermions. For the neutrino seesaw with  $|y_\nu h| \ll M$ , the two mass eigenstates  $\nu$  and  $N$  have masses:

$$m_\nu = -\frac{y_\nu^2 h^2}{2M}, \quad M_N = M + \frac{y_\nu^2 h^2}{2M}. \quad (9)$$

The rotation angle  $\theta$  for this mass-diagonalization is given by  $\tan\theta \simeq y_\nu h / (\sqrt{2} M)$ . In Eq.(9), the heavy neutrino mass  $M_N$  has a shift  $y_\nu^2 h^2 / (2M)$  from  $M$ , which is crucial for our mechanism as we are really probing the seesaw effect on the heavy neutrino mass eigenvalue.

For  $|y_\nu h| \ll M$ , the inflaton decay rate into neutrinos is given by

$$\Gamma \simeq \frac{m_\phi M^2}{4\pi \Lambda^2} \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{y_\nu h}{M} \right)^2 \right]. \quad (10)$$

where kinetic factors are ignored for simplicity, but  $m_\phi > 2M_N$  is always required to ensure that the inflaton decay channel  $\phi \rightarrow NN$  is kinematically open. We see that the inflaton decay rate depends on the Higgs field value  $h$  [18]. We note that the derivative coupling between  $\phi$  and  $N_R$  can also induce cosmological collider signals during inflation [20][21]. In this study, our primary focus is on the local-type non-Gaussianity  $f_{\text{NL}}$ , which differs from the conventional cosmological collider signals.

### 4. Curvature Perturbation from Higgs Modulated Reheating

In our approach, the inflaton decay rate is affected by the value of the SM Higgs field. The variation of the Higgs field  $h(\mathbf{x}, t_{\text{reh}})$  leads to a spatial variation of the decay rate  $\Gamma_{\text{reh}}(\mathbf{x})$ . It perturbs the local expansion history, seeding large-scale inhomogeneity and anisotropy. These fluctuations can be described by the  $\delta N$  formalism [22–30]. The number of e-folds of the cosmic expansion after

inflation can be computed as [31]:

$$\begin{aligned}
N(\mathbf{x}) &= \int d \ln a(t) = \int_{t_{\text{end}}}^{t_{\text{reh}}(\mathbf{x})} dt H(t) + \int_{t_{\text{reh}}(\mathbf{x})}^{t_f} dt H(t) \\
&= \int_{\rho_{\text{end}}}^{\rho_{\text{reh}}(h(\mathbf{x}))} d\rho \frac{H}{\dot{\rho}} + \int_{\rho_{\text{reh}}(h(\mathbf{x}))}^{\rho_f} d\rho \frac{H}{\dot{\rho}}, \quad (11)
\end{aligned}$$

where  $a(t)$  is the scale factor and  $\rho(t)$  is the total energy density of the universe at the time  $t$ . The curvature perturbation during reheating,  $\zeta(\mathbf{x}, t)$ , is equal to the  $\delta N(\mathbf{x}, t)$  of cosmic expansion among different Hubble patches in the uniform energy density gauge:

$$\zeta_h(\mathbf{x}, t) = \delta N(\mathbf{x}, t) = N(\mathbf{x}, t) - \langle N(\mathbf{x}, t) \rangle. \quad (12)$$

For this study, we describe the universe as a perfect fluid both before and after the completion of reheating. During the period  $t_{\text{end}} < t < t_{\text{reh}}$ , we consider the inflaton potential is dominated by its mass term. Thus, when the inflaton oscillates near the minimum of the potential, the universe is matter-dominated ( $w=0$ ). (Our approach also applies to the general case of  $w \neq 1/3$ .) For the period  $t > t_{\text{reh}}$ , consider the right-handed neutrinos decay fast enough after being produced, so the universe transitions to a radiation-dominated phase ( $w = 1/3$ ). Using the equation of state  $\dot{\rho} + 3H(1+w)\rho = 0$ , the locally expanded e-folding number can be expressed as follows:

$$N(\mathbf{x}) = -\frac{1}{3} \ln \frac{\rho_{\text{reh}}(h(\mathbf{x}))}{\rho_{\text{inf}}} - \frac{1}{4} \ln \frac{\rho_f}{\rho_{\text{reh}}(h(\mathbf{x}))}. \quad (13)$$

Using first Friedmann equation  $3H^2 M_p^2 = \rho$ , and noting that reheating completes when  $H(t_{\text{reh}}) = \Gamma_{\text{reh}}$  (where we take the sudden reheating approximation), we determine the curvature perturbation after reheating ( $t > t_{\text{reh}}$ ):

$$\begin{aligned}
\zeta_h(\mathbf{x}, t > t_{\text{reh}}) &= \delta N(\mathbf{x}) = N(\mathbf{x}) - \langle N(\mathbf{x}) \rangle \\
&= -\frac{1}{12} \left[ \ln \rho_{\text{reh}}(\mathbf{x}) - \langle \ln \rho_{\text{reh}}(\mathbf{x}) \rangle \right] \\
&= -\frac{1}{6} \left[ \ln(\Gamma_{\text{reh}}) - \langle \ln(\Gamma_{\text{reh}}) \rangle \right]. \quad (14)
\end{aligned}$$

Combined with the inflaton fluctuation  $\delta\phi$  during inflation, the total comoving curvature perturbation is given by  $\zeta = \zeta_\phi + \zeta_h$ , where  $\zeta_\phi$  is generated by the inflaton fluctuation  $\delta\phi$ ,

$$\zeta_\phi \simeq -\frac{H_{\text{inf}}}{\dot{\phi}_0} \delta\phi(\mathbf{x}), \quad (15)$$

and  $\zeta_h$  originates from the effect of Higgs-modulated reheating. Because these two components are generated at different times and are independent of each other, the power spectrum of  $\zeta$  contains both contributions:

$$\mathcal{P}_\zeta = \mathcal{P}_\zeta^{(\phi)} + \mathcal{P}_\zeta^{(h)}, \quad (16)$$

where  $\mathcal{P}_\zeta^{(\phi)}$  is the contribution of inflaton fluctuations,

$$\mathcal{P}_\zeta^{(\phi)} = \left( \frac{H_{\text{inf}}}{\dot{\phi}} \right)^2 \mathcal{P}_\phi = \left( \frac{H_{\text{inf}}}{\dot{\phi}} \right)^2 \frac{H_{\text{inf}}^2}{4\pi^2}. \quad (17)$$

We further define  $R$  as square root of the ratio between the power spectra of Higgs-modulated reheating and of the comoving curvature perturbation  $\zeta$ ,

$$R \equiv \left( \frac{\mathcal{P}_\zeta^{(h)}}{\mathcal{P}_\zeta} \right)^{1/2}. \quad (18)$$

In this work, we always require  $R < 1$ .

Modulated reheating can also provide a source of primordial NG. The primordial NG from the three-point correlation function of  $\zeta$  is known as the bispectrum  $\langle \zeta_{\mathbf{k}_1} \zeta_{\mathbf{k}_2} \zeta_{\mathbf{k}_3} \rangle$ . To compute the  $n$ -point correlation function of  $\zeta_h$ , we expand the curvature perturbation:

$$\zeta_h = \delta N = N' \delta h_{\text{inf}} + \frac{1}{2} N'' (\delta h_{\text{inf}})^2 + \dots, \quad (19)$$

where  $N'$  and  $N''$  denote the first and second derivatives of the e-folding number  $N$  with respect to  $h_{\text{inf}}$ . The expansion allows us to determine the amplitude of the curvature perturbations as  $\mathcal{P}_\zeta^{(h)} = N'^2 \mathcal{P}_{h_{\text{inf}}}$  and the primordial local NG  $f_{\text{NL}}^{\text{local}}$  [32–36].

We note that when reheating occurs, the value of the Higgs field is an oscillatory function of its initial value,

$$h(t_{\text{reh}}, h_{\text{inf}}) \propto h_{\text{inf}}^{\frac{1}{3}} \cos(\omega_{\text{reh}} h_{\text{inf}}^{\frac{1}{3}} + \theta), \quad (20)$$

where the oscillating frequency is given by

$$\omega_{\text{reh}} = \lambda^{\frac{1}{6}} t_{\text{reh}}^{\frac{1}{3}} \omega. \quad (21)$$

When  $t_{\text{reh}}$  is large, the oscillation frequency can become very high. Note that  $\zeta_h$  can be expanded into the form  $A + B h^2 / M^2 + O(h^4 / M^4)$ , which includes a factor  $\cos^2(\omega_{\text{reh}} h_{\text{inf}}^{1/3} + \theta)$ . Since  $h_{\text{inf}}$  varies across different Hubble volumes and  $\zeta_h$  is highly sensitive to  $h_{\text{inf}}$ , averaging over a sufficiently large volume makes the factor  $\cos^2(\omega_{\text{reh}} h_{\text{inf}}^{1/3} + \theta)$  be effectively as  $1/2$  [37]. Thus, for the following analysis we set  $\cos^2(\omega_{\text{reh}} h_{\text{inf}}^{1/3} + \theta) \rightarrow 1/2$  in the expression of  $\zeta_h$ .

## 5. Bispectrum from Higgs Fluctuations

We expand the curvature perturbation in terms of the Higgs fluctuation as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\zeta_h(\mathbf{x}) &= -\frac{1}{6} \left[ \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma} \delta h_{\text{inf}}(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{\Gamma\Gamma'' - \Gamma'\Gamma'}{2\Gamma^2} \delta h_{\text{inf}}^2(\mathbf{x}) \right] \\
&\equiv z_1 \delta h_{\text{inf}}(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{1}{2} z_2 \delta h_{\text{inf}}^2(\mathbf{x}), \quad (22)
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\Gamma'$  ( $\Gamma''$ ) is the first (second) derivative of  $\Gamma$  respect to  $h_{\text{inf}}$ , and the coefficients  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  are given by

$$z_1 = -\frac{1}{6} \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}, \quad z_2 = -\frac{1}{6} \left[ \frac{\Gamma\Gamma'' - \Gamma'\Gamma'}{\Gamma^2} \right]. \quad (23)$$

In the following, we abbreviate the Hubble scale during inflation  $H_{\text{inf}}$  as  $H$  and the Higgs field value during inflation  $h_{\text{inf}}$  as  $h$  which should differ from the Higgs field value  $h(t)$  after inflation. The three-point correlation function of  $\zeta$  from modulated reheating  $\langle \zeta_{\mathbf{k}_1} \zeta_{\mathbf{k}_2} \zeta_{\mathbf{k}_3} \rangle_h$  consists of two parts:

$$\langle \zeta_{\mathbf{k}_1} \zeta_{\mathbf{k}_2} \zeta_{\mathbf{k}_3} \rangle_h = z_1^3 \langle \delta h_{\mathbf{k}_1} \delta h_{\mathbf{k}_2} \delta h_{\mathbf{k}_3} \rangle + z_1^2 z_2 \langle \delta h^4 \rangle(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3). \quad (24)$$

On the right-hand side of the equality in Eq.(24), the first term is the three-point correlation function of the Higgs fluctuation  $\delta h(\mathbf{k})$  generated by the self-interactions of Higgs field, whereas the second term arises from replacing one  $\delta h(\mathbf{k})$  by the nonlinear term  $\frac{1}{2} z_2 \delta h^2$ , which exists even if the Higgs fluctuation  $\delta h(\mathbf{k})$  is purely Gaussian.

During inflation, the Higgs fluctuation  $\delta h$  could be treated as a nearly massless scalar. Due to the SM Higgs self-coupling term  $\Delta \mathcal{L} = -(\lambda \bar{h}) \delta h^3$  and according to the Schwinger-Keldysh (SK) path integral formalism [38][39], the three-point correlation function of the Higgs fluctuation  $\delta h$ ,  $\langle \delta h_{\mathbf{k}_1} \delta h_{\mathbf{k}_2} \delta h_{\mathbf{k}_3} \rangle'$  [40], can be computed through the following integral:

$$\langle \delta h_{\mathbf{k}_1} \delta h_{\mathbf{k}_2} \delta h_{\mathbf{k}_3} \rangle' = 12 \lambda \bar{h} \text{Im} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\tau_f} d\tau a^4 \prod_{i=1}^3 G_{\pm}(\mathbf{k}_i, \tau) \right), \quad (25)$$

where  $G_{\pm}(\mathbf{k}_i, \tau)$  is the bulk-to-boundary propagator of massless scalar in the SK path integral [39]. In Eq.(25), we denote the integral part  $\text{Im}(\dots) \equiv A$  and compute it to the leading order of  $k_i \tau_f$ :

$$A = \frac{H^2}{24 k_1^3 k_2^3 k_3^3} \left\{ (k_1^3 + k_2^3 + k_3^3) \left[ \ln(k_i |\tau_f|) + \gamma - \frac{4}{3} \right] + k_1 k_2 k_3 - \sum_{a \neq b} k_a^2 k_b \right\}, \quad (26)$$

where  $\gamma \simeq 0.577$  is the Euler-Mascheroni constant, and the wavenumber  $k_i = k_1 + k_2 + k_3$  is around the scale of the present observable universe. For the second term on the right-hand side of Eq.(24), it can be expressed as a 4-point correlation function of  $\delta h$ , and to the leading order it is given by the product of two 2-point correlation functions:

$$z_1^2 z_2 \langle \delta h^4 \rangle(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3) = (2\pi)^3 \delta^3(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3) z_1^2 z_2 \left( \frac{H^4}{4 k_1^3 k_2^3} + 2 \text{perm.} \right). \quad (27)$$

For this study, we mainly focus on the magnitude of local NG predicted by our model, which can be approximated as follows:

$$f_{\text{NL}}^{\text{local}} \simeq -\frac{10}{3} \frac{z_1^3 H^3}{(2\pi)^4 \mathcal{P}_{\zeta}^2} \left( \frac{\lambda \bar{h}}{2H} N_e - \frac{z_2 H}{4z_1} \right). \quad (28)$$

where  $N_e$  is the e-folding number corresponding to the present universe,

$$N_e = \ln \frac{a_{\text{end}}}{a_k} = \ln \frac{-(H\tau_f)^{-1}}{k_t/H} = -\ln(k_t |\tau_f|) \sim 60. \quad (29)$$




Figure 1. Prediction of the non-Gaussianity (NG)  $f_{\text{NL}}^{\text{local}}$  from the seesaw parameter space of the heavy neutrino mass scale  $M$  versus Yukawa coupling  $y_{\nu}$ .

We find that the contributions from the Higgs self-interaction and nonlinear term are both important since they are comparable for the relevant parameter space.

## 6. Probing Seesaw Using Non-Gaussianity

In our numerical analysis, the amplitude of the comoving curvature perturbation power spectrum  $\mathcal{P}_{\zeta}$  is taken as,  $\ln(10^{10} \mathcal{P}_{\zeta}) \simeq 3.047$ , according to the Planck-2018 data [41][42]. We set the SM Higgs self-coupling  $\lambda = 0.001$ , and the Hubble parameter  $H = 10^{13}$  GeV, or,  $3 \times 10^{13}$  GeV. We set the inflaton mass  $m_{\phi} = 30 H_{\text{inf}}$ , and the cutoff scale  $\Lambda = 60 H_{\text{inf}}$ . With these inputs, we present our findings in Fig. 1.

In Fig. 1, the colored region obeys the condition  $R < 1$  and the uncolored region in the upper-right corner corresponds to  $R \geq 1$ . The region with blue color corresponds to  $f_{\text{NL}}^{\text{local}} > 0$ , whereas the red regions represent  $f_{\text{NL}}^{\text{local}} < 0$ . The green curve describes the  $2\sigma$  bounds on  $f_{\text{NL}}^{\text{local}}$  from Planck-2018 data,  $-11.1 \leq f_{\text{NL}}^{\text{local}} \leq 9.3$ . We further present contours for  $f_{\text{NL}} = \pm 1, \pm 0.1, \pm 0.01$ , which are plotted as yellow, orange, and white curves, respectively. These contours represent sensitivity reaches by the future experiments, such as those from the 21 cm tomography. We set two benchmarks for the light neutrino mass  $m_{\nu} = 0.1$  eV and  $0.05$  eV [43], shown as the pink and purple curves respectively, for the Hubble parameter  $H = 10^{13}$  GeV (solid curves) and  $3 \times 10^{13}$  GeV (dashed curves). We see that a larger Hubble parameter shifts the pink and purple curves towards the regions with larger Yukawa coupling  $y_{\nu}$ .

In Fig. 1, for the case with the local-type NG  $f_{\text{NL}}^{\text{local}} > 0$ , we see that for a light neutrino mass  $m_{\nu} \lesssim 0.1$  eV [43] and  $H = 10^{13}$  GeV, the predicted local NG remains consistent with the current Planck-2018 data. Future measure-

ments with improved sensitivity are crucial for probing the seesaw parameter space with the light neutrino mass  $m_\nu \lesssim 0.1\text{eV}$ . Especially, probing the parameter space for a light neutrino mass of  $m_\nu = 0.05\text{eV}$  requires a sensitivity reach  $f_{\text{NL}}^{\text{local}} \sim 0.1$  or even  $f_{\text{NL}}^{\text{local}} \sim 0.01$ , depending on the right-handed neutrino mass scale  $M$ . In contrast, the case of  $m_\nu = 0.1\text{eV}$  appears more promising for the future detection. For a larger Hubble parameter  $H = 3 \times 10^{13}\text{GeV}$ , the current measurements of Planck-2018 already exclude part of the parameter space for  $m_\nu = (0.05 - 0.1)\text{eV}$ . Fig. 1 shows that for the other case of  $f_{\text{NL}}^{\text{local}} < 0$ , we can probe a relatively larger seesaw scale than that of  $f_{\text{NL}}^{\text{local}} > 0$ . We anticipate that future measurements of  $f_{\text{NL}}^{\text{local}}$  will provide more sensitive probe on the seesaw mechanism. For a larger  $m_\phi$  or a smaller  $\Lambda$ , inflaton decays earlier, allowing less time for Higgs field value to decrease in Eq.(6) and causing larger fluctuations, thereby increasing the non-Gaussianity. Thus, further understanding on the dynamics of inflation is important for ensuring a definitive probe on the neutrino seesaw.

Note that the neutrino oscillation data provide  $\Delta m_{13}^2 \simeq 2.5 \times 10^{-3}\text{eV}^2$  and  $\Delta m_{12}^2 \simeq 7.4 \times 10^{-5}\text{eV}^2$ , requiring at least one of the light neutrinos has mass  $m_\nu \gtrsim 0.05\text{eV}$ . On the other hand, cosmological measurements impose upper limits on the sum of the light neutrino masses. For instance, the eBOSS Collaboration [46] has set an upper bound  $\sum m_\nu \lesssim 0.10\text{eV}$  at 95% C.L., whereas the DES Collaboration [47] constrains  $\sum m_\nu \lesssim 0.13\text{eV}$  at 95% C.L. For the normal mass ordering of light neutrinos, the light neutrino mass could be around  $0.1\text{eV}$ , while for the inverted mass ordering, two neutrinos would have masses close to  $0.05\text{eV}$ . The forthcoming oscillation experiments such as JUNO [48] and DUNE [49] are expected to determine the neutrino mass ordering, giving stronger constraints on the allowed light neutrino masses.

On the other hand, the on-going and forthcoming measurements on the non-Gaussianity (such as those from DESI [50], CMB-S4 [51], Euclid [52], SPHEREx [53], LSST [54], and SKA [55] experiments) will further probe the origin of neutrino mass generation through the seesaw mechanism around the inflation scale. A systematic expansion of this Letter is presented in the companion long paper of Ref. [56].

### Acknowledgments

We thank Misao Sasaki, Zhong-Zhi Xianyu and Yi Wang for useful discussions. The research of HJH, LS and JY was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under grants 12175136 and 11835005. C. H. acknowledges supports from the Sun Yat-Sen University Science Foundation, the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities at Sun Yat-sen University under Grant No. 24qnp117, the National Key R&D Program of China under grant 2023YFA1606100, and the Key Laboratory of Particle Astrophysics and Cosmology (MOE) of Shanghai Jiao Tong University.

\* hanchch@mail.sysu.edu.cn

† hjhe@sjtu.edu.cn

‡ lh.song@sjtu.edu.cn

§ 119760616yjt@sjtu.edu.cn

- [1] P. Minkowski,  $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$  at a Rate of One Out of  $10^9$  Muon Decays? Phys. Lett. B 67 (1977) 421–428.
- [2] M. Gell-Mann, P. Ramond, and R. Slansky, *Complex Spinors and Unified Theories*, Conf. Proc. C 790927 (1979) 315; T. Yanagida, *Horizontal gauge symmetry and masses of neutrinos*, Conf. Proc. C 7902131 (1979) 95; S. L. Glashow, *The Future of Elementary Particle Physics*, NATO Sci. Ser. B 61 (1980) 687; R. N. Mohapatra and G. Senjanovic, *Neutrino Mass and Spontaneous Parity Nonconservation*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 44 (1980) 912; J. Schechter and J. W. F. Valle, *Neutrino Masses in  $SU(2) \times U(1)$  Theories*, Phys. Rev. D 22 (1980) 2227.
- [3] M. Fukugita and T. Yanagida, *Baryogenesis Without Grand Unification*, Phys. Lett. B 174 (1986) 45.
- [4] J. M. Maldacena, *Non-Gaussian features of primordial fluctuations in single field inflationary models*, JHEP 05 (2003) 013, [[astro-ph/0210603](#)].
- [5] For a review, P. D. Meerburg et al., *Primordial Non-Gaussianity*, Bull. Am. Astron. Soc. 51 (2019) 107 [[arXiv:1903.04409](#)] [[astro-ph.CO](#)].
- [6] J. B. Munoz, Y. Ali-Haïmoud, and M. Kamionkowski, *Primordial non-gaussianity from the bispectrum of 21-cm fluctuations in the dark ages*, Phys. Rev. D 92 (2015) no.8, 083508 [[arXiv:1506.04152](#)] [[astro-ph.CO](#)].
- [7] P. D. Meerburg, M. Munchmeyer, J. B. Munoz, and X. Chen, *Prospects for Cosmological Collider Physics*, JCAP 03 (2017) 050 [[arXiv:1610.06559](#)] [[astro-ph.CO](#)].
- [8] G. Dvali, A. Gruzinov, M. Zaldarriaga, *A new mechanism for generating density perturbations from inflation*, Phys. Rev. D 69 (2004) 023505, [[arXiv:astro-ph/0303591](#)].
- [9] A. Starobinsky, *Dynamics of phase transition in the new inflationary universe scenario and generation of perturbations*, Phys. Lett. B 117 (1982), no.3, 175–178.
- [10] A. A. Starobinsky and J. Yokoyama, *Equilibrium state of a self-interacting scalar field in the de Sitter background*, Phys. Rev. D 50 (1994) 6357, no.10, [[arXiv:astro-ph/9407016](#)].
- [11] S. Navas et al. [Particle Data Group], *Top Quark*, Phys. Rev. D 110 (2024) 030001.
- [12] Adding additional light scalar particle(s) to the Higgs sector at weak scale could lift the Higgs self-coupling to the level of  $O(0.1)$  at the inflation scale [13]. For the current study we will just adopt the minimal SM Higgs sector.
- [13] H.-J. He and Z.-Z. Xianyu, *Extending Higgs Inflation with TeV Scale New Physics*, JCAP 10 (2014) 019 [[arXiv:1405.7331](#)].
- [14] The shift symmetry plays a vital role for ensuring slow-roll of the inflaton potential during inflation, which holds for many inflation models such as the natural inflation [15] and axion monodromy inflation [16][17], or other models with inflation driven by pseudo-Nambu-Goldstone bosons.
- [15] K. Freese, J. A. Frieman and A. V. Olinto, *Natural inflation with pseudo-Nambu-Goldstone bosons*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 65 (1990) 3233-3236.
- [16] E. Silverstein and A. Westphal, *Monodromy in the CMB: Gravity Waves and String Inflation*, Phys. Rev. D 78

- (2008) 106003 [[arXiv:0803.3085](#) [hep-th]].
- [17] L. McAllister, E. Silverstein, A. Westphal, *Gravity Waves and Linear Inflation from Axion Monodromy*, Phys. Rev. D 82 (2010) 046003 [[arXiv:0808.0706](#) [hep-th]].
- [18] We note that our model differs from the literature [19], where a right-handed neutrino is also introduced and its mass is modulated solely due to the Dirac mass term and the curvature perturbation is from the kinematic blocking of inflaton decays. In our model, the modulation arises from neutrino seesaw mechanism. Besides, the model of [19] has inflaton couple to the right-handed neutrino via a dimension-4 operator without shift symmetry, which would induce a large Planck-scale Majorana mass term for the right-handed neutrino and could make the inflaton decay difficult. In our model, the inflaton has derivative coupling with the right-handed neutrinos, so it does not directly contribute to the Majorana mass of the right-handed neutrinos.
- [19] A. Karam, T. Markkanen, L. Marzola, S. Nurmi, M. Raidal, and A. Rajantie, *Novel mechanism for primordial perturbations in minimal extensions of the standard model*, JHEP 11 (2020) 153 [[arXiv:2006.14404](#) [hep-ph]].
- [20] X. Chen, Y. Wang and Z.-Z. Xianyu, *Neutrino Signatures in Primordial Non-Gaussianities*, JHEP 09 (2018) 022, [[arXiv:1805.02656](#) [hep-ph]].
- [21] A. Hook, J. Huang, and D. Racco, *Searches for other vacua. Part II. A new Higgstory at the cosmological collider*, JHEP 01 (2020) 105 [[arXiv:1907.10624](#) [hep-ph]].
- [22] A. A. Starobinsky, *Dynamics of Phase Transition in the New Inflationary Universe Scenario and Generation of Perturbations*, Phys. Lett. B 117 (1982) 175–178.
- [23] D. S. Salopek and J. R. Bond, *nonlinear evolution of long wavelength metric fluctuations in inflationary models*, Phys. Rev. D 42 (1990) 3936–3962.
- [24] G. L. Comer, N. Deruelle, D. Langlois, and J. Parry, *Growth or decay of cosmological inhomogeneities as a function of their equation of state*, Phys. Rev. D 49 (1994) 2759–2768.
- [25] M. Sasaki and E. D. Stewart, *A General analytic formula for the spectral index of the density perturbations produced during inflation*, Prog. Theor. Phys. 95 (1996) 71–78 [[astro-ph/9507001](#)].
- [26] M. Sasaki and T. Tanaka, *Superhorizon scale dynamics of multiscalar inflation*, Prog. Theor. Phys. 99 (1998) 763–782, [[gr-qc/9801017](#)].
- [27] D. Wands, K. A. Malik, D. H. Lyth, and A. R. Liddle, *A New approach to the evolution of cosmological perturbations on large scales*, Phys. Rev. D 62 (2000) 043527 [[astro-ph/0003278](#)].
- [28] D. H. Lyth and D. Wands, *Conserved cosmological perturbations*, Phys. Rev. D 68 (2003) 103515 [[astro-ph/0306498](#)].
- [29] G. I. Rigopoulos and E. P. S. Shellard, *The separate universe approach and the evolution of nonlinear superhorizon cosmological perturbations*, Phys. Rev. D 68 (2003) 123518 [[astro-ph/0306620](#)].
- [30] D. H. Lyth, K. A. Malik, and M. Sasaki, *A General proof of the conservation of the curvature perturbation*, JCAP 05 (2005) 004 [[astro-ph/0411220](#)].
- [31] Here  $t_{\text{inf}}$  is the physical time at the end of inflation,  $t_{\text{reh}}$  is the physical time at which reheating occurs,  $\rho_f$  is a reference energy density and  $t_f$  is the reference time where the energy density  $\rho = \rho_f$  after the completion of reheating.
- [32] D. Wands, *Local non-Gaussianity from inflation*, Class. Quant. Grav. 27 (2010) 124002 [[arXiv:1004.0818](#) [astro-ph.CO]].
- [33] K. Ichikawa, T. Suyama, T. Takahashi, and M. Yamaguchi, *Primordial Curvature Fluctuation and Its Non-Gaussianity in Models with Modulated Reheating*, Phys. Rev. D 78 (2008) 063545 [[arXiv:0807.3988](#) [astro-ph]].
- [34] A. De Simone, H. Perrier, and A. Riotto, *Non-Gaussianities from the Standard Model Higgs*, JCAP 01 (2013) 037 [[arXiv:1210.6618](#)].
- [35] A. Karam, T. Markkanen, L. Marzola, S. Nurmi, M. Raidal, and A. Rajantie, *Higgs-like spectator field as the origin of structure*, Eur. Phys. J. C 81 (2021) 620, no.7, [[arXiv:2103.02569](#) [hep-ph]].
- [36] A. Litsa, K. Freese, E. I. Sfakianakis, P. Stengel, and L. Visinelli, *Primordial non-Gaussianity from the effects of the Standard Model Higgs during reheating after inflation*, JCAP 03 (2023) 033 [[arXiv:2011.11649](#)].
- [37] T. Suyama and S. Yokoyama, *Statistics of general functions of a Gaussian field-application to non-Gaussianity from preheating*, JCAP 06 (2013) 018 [[arXiv:1303.1254](#)].
- [38] S. Weinberg, *Quantum contributions to cosmological correlations*, Phys. Rev. D 72 (2005) 043514, no.4 [[hep-th/0506236](#)]; *Quantum contributions to cosmological correlations II. can these corrections become large?* Phys. Rev. D 74 (2006) 023508, no.2 [[hep-th/0605244](#)].
- [39] X. Chen, Y. Wang, and Z. Z. Xianyu, *Schwinger-Keldysh diagrammatics for primordial perturbations*, JCAP 12 (2017) 006 [[arXiv:1703.10166](#)].
- [40] Here  $\langle \delta h_{\mathbf{k}_1} \delta h_{\mathbf{k}_2} \delta h_{\mathbf{k}_3} \rangle'$  is defined as the 3-point correlation function without momentum delta function:  $\langle \delta h_{\mathbf{k}_1} \delta h_{\mathbf{k}_2} \delta h_{\mathbf{k}_3} \rangle = (2\pi)^3 \delta^3(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3) \langle \delta h_{\mathbf{k}_1} \delta h_{\mathbf{k}_2} \delta h_{\mathbf{k}_3} \rangle'$ .
- [41] Planck Collaboration, N. Aghanim et al., *Planck 2018 Results I. Overview and the cosmological legacy of Planck*, Astron. Astrophys. 641 (2020) A1, [[arXiv:1807.06205](#) [astro-ph.CO]].
- [42] Planck Collaboration, N. Aghanim et al., *Planck 2018 Results. VI. Cosmological Parameters*, Astron. Astrophys. 641 (2020) A6 [[arXiv:1807.06209](#) [astro-ph.CO]]; Astron. Astrophys. 652 (2021) C4 (Erratum).
- [43] These inputs correspond to the light neutrino mass  $m_\nu$  at the low-energy electroweak scale. The value of  $m_\nu$  at the seesaw scale or Hubble scale is connected to its low energy value via renormalization-group (RG) running and is enlarged by about 30% relative to its low energy value [44][45]. The RG running effects are included in our present analysis.
- [44] S. Antusch, J. Kersten, M. Lindner, and M. Ratz, *Running neutrino masses, mixings and CP phases: Analytical results and phenomenological consequences*, Nucl. Phys. B 674 (2003) 401 [[arXiv:hep-ph/0305273](#)].
- [45] H.-J. He and F.-R. Yin, *Common Origin of  $\mu$ - $\tau$  and CP Breaking in Neutrino Seesaw, Baryon Asymmetry, and Hidden Flavor Symmetry*, Phys. Rev. D 84 (2011) 033009 [[arXiv:1104.2654](#)]; S.-F. Ge, H.-J. He, F.-R. Yin, *Common origin of soft  $\mu$ - $\tau$  and CP breaking in neutrino seesaw and the origin of matter*, JCAP 05 (2010) 017 [[arXiv:1001.0940](#)].
- [46] S. Alam et al. [eBOSS Collaboration], *Completed SDSS-IV extended Baryon Oscillation Spectroscopic Survey: Cosmological implications from two decades of spectroscopic surveys at the Apache Point Observatory*, Phys. Rev. D 103 (2021) 083533, no.8 [[arXiv:2007.08991](#)].
- [47] T. M. C. Abbott et al. [DES Collaboration], *Dark Energy Survey Year 3 results: Cosmological constraints from*

- galaxy clustering and weak lensing*, Phys. Rev. D 105 (2022) 023520, no.2 [[arXiv:2105.13549](#)].
- [48] F. An *et al.* [JUNO Collaboration], *Neutrino Physics with JUNO*, J. Phys. G 43 (2016) no.3, 030401 [[arXiv:1507.05613](#)].
- [49] R. Acciarri *et al.* [DUNE Collaboration], *Long-Baseline Neutrino Facility (LBNF) and Deep Underground Neutrino Experiment (DUNE): Conceptual Design Report, Volume 1: The LBNF and DUNE Projects*, [[arXiv:1601.05471](#)].
- [50] A. Aghamousa *et al.* [DESI Collaboration], *The DESI Experiment Part I: Science, Targeting, and Survey Design*, [[arXiv:1611.00036](#) [astro-ph.IM]].
- [51] K. Abazajian, *et al.* [CMB-S4 Collaboration], *CMB-S4 Science Case, Reference Design, and Project Plan*, [[arXiv:1907.04473](#) [astro-ph.IM]].
- [52] L. Amendola, *et al.* [Euclid Collaboration], *Cosmology and fundamental physics with the Euclid satellite*, Living Rev. Rel. **21** (2018) no.1 [[arXiv:1606.00180](#) [astro-ph.CO]].
- [53] O. Dore *et al.* [SPHEREx Collaboration], *Cosmology with the SPHEREx All-Sky Spectral Survey*, [[arXiv:1412.4872](#) [astro-ph.CO]].
- [54] R. Acciarri *et al.* [LSST Science Collaboration], *LSST Science Book, Version 2.0*, [[arXiv:0912.0201](#)].
- [55] A. Weltman, *et al.* *Fundamental physics with the Square Kilometre Array*, Publ. Astron. Soc. Austral. **37** (2020), [[arXiv:1810.02680](#) [astro-ph.CO]].
- [56] J. You, L. Song, H.-J. He, and C. Han, *Cosmological Non-Gaussianity from Neutrino Seesaw*, [[arXiv:2412.16033](#)].