THE 3BF THEORY AS A TQFT

T. Radenković, Institute of Physics Belgrade, University of Belgrade, Pregrevica 118, 11000 Belgrade, Serbia, rtijana@ipb.ac.rs M. Vojinović, Institute of Physics Belgrade, University of Belgrade, Pregrevica 118, 11000 Belgrade, Serbia, vmarko@ipb.ac.rs

ABSTRACT

We study the path integral quantization of the topological 3BF theory, whose gauge symmetry is described by a 3-group. This theory is relevant for the quantization of general relativity coupled to Standard Model of elementary particles. We explicitly construct a state sum corresponding to the discretized path integral of a 3BF action. Being a topological invariant of 4-dimensional manifolds with boundary, this state sum gives rise to a topological quantum field theory (TQFT), realized as a functor between the category of cobordisms and the category of Hilbert spaces. After an introduction to appropriate category theory concepts and the construction of the state sum, we provide an explicit proof that it satisfies all Atiyah's axioms, and thus represents a genuine TQFT. The formulation of this TQFT represents a major step in the spinfoam quantization programme for a realistic theory of quantum gravity with matter.

Keywords quantum gravity · topological quantum field theory · higher gauge theory · 3-groups · state sum models

1 Introduction

Quantization of the gravitational field represents one of the main open problems of modern theoretical physics. Over the years, many strategies to tackle this problem have been proposed, and some have grown to vast research areas, such as String Theory (ST) [1, 2, 3] and Loop Quantum Gravity (LQG) [4, 5, 6, 7, 8]. In many of these approaches, the notion of a *topological quantum field theory* (TQFT) became one of the prominent and very useful mathematical tools [9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15]. In addition, TQFT became an object of interest in several areas of mathematics, such as algebraic topology and category theory, one of the main applications being the construction of topological invariants for *D*-dimensional manifolds (for D = 3, 4, 5, ...) [16, 17, 18, 19].

One of the first formal definitions of a TQFT was the collection of axioms put out by Michael Atiyah [20]. With the advent of category theory, these axioms have been reorganized into a very succinct definition of a TQFT — it is a *functor* from the category of cobordisms to the category of vector spaces. In the context of gravity, we are mostly interested in the 4-dimensional cobordisms between 3-dimensional boundary manifolds, since they represent *spacetime* and *spatial hypersurfaces*, respectively. On the other hand, in the context of quantum theory, the *quantum state* of a system is described by a vector from a Hilbert space, while its *evolution* is described by an operator over a Hilbert space. Therefore, when discussing quantum gravity (QG), we are mainly interested in defining a TQFT as a functor between the category 3Cob and the category Hilb:

$$3Cob \xrightarrow{TQFT} Hilb$$
.

In the next Section we will introduce and unpack all details of this definition, in a hopefully pedagogical manner, for a reader who is familiar with quantum theory and smooth manifolds, but maybe not with category theory. Just for a qualitative overview, Table 1 illustrates the correspondence between elements of differential topology and quantum theory in the context of TQFT [21].

| Differential topology | Quantum theory | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| 3D manifold (space) | Hilbert space (states) | | | |
| cobordism between 3-dimensional manifolds (spacetime) | operator (process) | | | |
| composition of cobordisms | composition of operators | | | |
| identity cobordism | identity operator | | | |
| disjoint union of manifolds | tensor product | | | |
| empty 3-dimensional manifold | 1-dimensional Hilbert space | | | |
| orientation reversal of the 4-dimensional manifold | Hermitian adjoint of an operator | | | |
| orientation reversal of the 3-dimensional manifold | dual vector space | | | |

Table 1: Correspondence between differential topology and quantum theory defined by a TQFT functor.

In a recent approach to quantum gravity based on the ideas of *higher category theory* [22], the central starting point for the formulation of the theory is the so-called 3BF action,

$$S_{3BF} = \int_{\mathcal{M}_4} \langle B \wedge \mathcal{F} \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} + \langle C \wedge \mathcal{G} \rangle_{\mathfrak{h}} + \langle D \wedge \mathcal{H} \rangle_{\mathfrak{l}},$$

where B, C, D are Lagrange multipliers, while $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H})$ is the so-called 3-curvature corresponding to a 3-connection of a 3-group based on the three Lie groups G, H and L and their corresponding Lie algebras \mathfrak{g} , \mathfrak{h} and \mathfrak{l} , see [23] for details. The notion of a 3-group represents the generalized gauge symmetry of the theory, so that the 3BF action for a suitable choice of a 3-group, with added simplicity constraint terms, can successfully describe the relevant classical theory for physics — namely general relativity coupled to the Standard Model of elementary particle physics (for the explicit form of the action, see [23, 24], see also [25] for the 4-group approach). The quantization of this action can then be performed using the so-called *spinfoam quantization programme*, which consists of three main steps:

- 1. the classical theory is written in the form of a topological 3BF action with appropriate simplicity constraints,
- 2. the 3-group gauge structure of the 3BF action is leveraged to quantize the topological sector by defining an appropriate state sum (*i.e.*, a discretization of a path integral) and its corresponding TQFT,
- 3. the resulting TQFT is then deformed into a non-topological theory by suitably implementing the simplicity constraints, promoting it into a path integral for a physically relevant theory.

Step 1 of the spinfoam quantization programme has been completed in [23]. A major part of Step 2 has been established in [26], where a path integral for the 3BF action has been rigorously defined via the state sum $\mathcal{Z}_{\varnothing}$:

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\varnothing} = \int \mathcal{D}\phi \; e^{iS_{3BF}[\phi]} \,.$$

The state sum \mathcal{Z}_{\emptyset} represents a topological invariant of compact 4-dimensional manifolds without boundary (hence the subscript \emptyset), for every explicit choice of a 3-group.

The purpose of this paper is to complete Step 2, by extending the definition of the state sum \mathcal{Z}_{\emptyset} to a new state sum \mathcal{Z}_{∂} , corresponding to 4-dimensional manifolds with boundary (hence the subscript ∂), and to demonstrate that this extended definition gives rise to a TQFT, by explicitly verifying the axioms. It inherently defines a functor between two dagger symmetric monoidal categories equipped with a dual, in the sense of the above definition of a TQFT. More explicitly, we provide a detailed construction of the functor

$$3TCob \longrightarrow Hilb$$
,

where 3TCob is the category of triangulations of cobordisms (introduced in detail in the next Section), while Z is the state sum representing the functor between this category and the category of Hilbert spaces. By "forgetting" the explicit choice of a triangulation in 3TCob, the functor Z also induces a similar functor \tilde{Z} between the usual category of cobordisms 3Cob and the category of Hilbert spaces,

$$3Cob \longrightarrow Hilb$$

corresponding to the usual definition of a TQFT.

Our results are important both for physics and mathematics. On the physics side, our construction of the state sum Z_{∂} gives a rigorous definition of a physically relevant path integral — one corresponding to a class of theories which includes general relativity coupled to Standard Model [23]. Thus, it provides a firm mathematical ground for the construction of a physically relevant model of quantum gravity.

On the mathematics side, our construction of the state sum Z_{∂} and functors Z and Z is an explicit realization of Porter's TQFT for d = 4 and n = 3, see [27, 28]. It represents a genuine topological invariant of 4-dimensional manifolds with boundary, defined using the higher categorical structure of a semistrict 3-group. It is the first explicit construction of this kind of invariant.

The layout of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we provide a pedagogical overview of the foundational concepts of category theory required to define a TQFT within this specific framework. The overview is aimed at researchers with a background in physics, so we have accordingly adapted the notation, conventions, and terminology. We begin by introducing the notion of a dagger symmetric monoidal category with a dual, and construct three explicit example categories — 3TCob, 3Cob and Hilb. We then introduce the notion of a functor between two categories, and apply it to our examples in order to explicitly spell out all axioms that define a TQFT. In Section 3 we present the main results of the paper. Namely, we provide an explicit definition of the state sums Z_{\emptyset} and Z_{∂} , and use the latter to construct the functors Z and \tilde{Z} . The functor Z depends on the choice of a triangulation of the boundary manifolds, while \tilde{Z} corresponds to an equivalence class of all possible choices of triangulations. Then, in Section 4, we demonstrate that our definition of Z satisfies all necessary axioms, hence verifying that it is indeed a TQFT. Our concluding remarks are presented in Section 5. Since certain parts of the proofs rely on lengthy calculations, they are presented in the Appendices.

Notations and conventions throughout the paper are as follows. If G is a finite group, with cardinality denoted as |G|, the integral of a function over a group denotes the normalized sum over all group elements, namely:

$$\int_{G} dg f(g) \equiv \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} f(g) \,. \tag{1}$$

On the other hand, if G is a Lie group, the integral is defined using the standard Haar measure. Next, the symbol δ_G denotes the corresponding δ -distribution on G. If G is a finite group, it is defined as:

$$\delta_G(g) = \begin{cases} |G| & \text{if } g = e, \\ 0 & \text{if } g \neq e. \end{cases}$$
(2)

Here e denotes the neutral element of G. On the other hand, if G is a Lie group, δ_G is the usual Dirac delta distribution on G. As a consequence of (1) and (2), we can simply write

$$\int_{G} dg \,\delta_{G}(g) = 1 \,, \qquad \int_{G} dg \,\delta_{G}(gg_{0}^{-1}) \,f(g) = f(g_{0}) \,,$$

for both finite and Lie groups. Next, given a simplicial complex (representing the triangulation of some *d*-dimensional manifold), the set of all *k*-dimensional simplices (where $k \in \{0, ..., d\}$) is denoted by Λ_k , while its cardinality is denoted as $|\Lambda_k|$. The set of vertices of a triangulation, denoted as Λ_0 , is both finite and ordered. Each *k*-simplex is labeled by (k+1)-tuple of vertices, denoted as $(i_0 ... i_k)$, where $i_0, ..., i_k \in \Lambda_0$ and $i_0 < \cdots < i_k$. All other notation is explicitly introduced in the main text, at the place of first appearance.

2 Axioms for a TQFT

Let us begin with a short review of some of the basic concepts of the category theory. Our main aim is to introduce the notion of a *dagger symmetric monoidal category with a dual*, and then the notion of a *functor* between two such categories, as well as three examples, namely the categories 3TCob, 3Cob and Hilb. Having in mind a reader not too familiar with any of these notions, we proceed in several steps:

- we first introduce the notion of a *category*,
- we then equip a category with additional structure, namely symmetric monoidal structure,
- we next equip a category with a *dagger* structure,
- and finally, we equip a category with a *dual* structure.

The relevance of these additional structures will become obvious once we discuss the relevant example categories in the Hilb category, the symmetric monoidal structure enables one to talk about tensor products of vector spaces, the dagger structure introduces the adjoint operator over a vector space, while the dual structure introduces the dual vector space. On the other hand, in 3TCob and 3Cob categories, the symmetric monoidal structure gives rise to a disjoint union of manifolds, while the dagger and the dual represent orientation reversal of cobordisms and their boundaries, respectively. Finally, once all of the above is introduced, we will define the notion of a functor between such categories. An explicit construction of a functor will then be taken up in Section 3.

All these notions have a very rich structure and provide a language which is very expressive. In fact, one of the purposes of the whole framework of category theory is to leverage this expressiveness. In particular, it allows us to define a TQFT in a particularly simple fashion, by saying that it is a functor from 3TCob (or 3Cob) to *Hilb*. This statement then encodes a wealth of properties and axioms that in fact define a TQFT.

2.1 Definitions

The first notion we need to introduce is that of a category. It is a fairly general structure, useful for studying the notion of compositions of abstract maps between abstract objects. There is a lot of literature on categories and their properties, and here we restrict ourselves only to the definition itself.

Definition 2.1. A category C consists of the following components: the objects of the category C, denoted by $A, B, ... \in Obj_{C}$, and the morphisms between objects, denoted by $f, g, ... \in Mor_{C}$. For an ordered pair $C = (Obj_{C}, Mor_{C})$ to be called a category, the following properties must hold:

- There exist maps s, t: Mor_C → Obj_C, called source and target maps that assign to each morphism its source and target object. For each morphism f ∈ Mor_C between objects A and B, denoted f : A → B, one has s(f) = A and t(f) = B.
- For every two morphisms f and g, f : s(f) → t(f) and g : s(g) → t(g), such that the source object of g is equal to the target object of f, s(g) = t(f), the composition of morphisms f and g gives a new morphism g ∘ f : s(f) → t(g).
- For each object A ∈ Obj_C of the category, there exists an *identity morphism* id_A : A → A. Then, for each morphism f : s(f) → t(f), one has id_{t(f)} ∘ f = f and f ∘ id_{s(f)} = f.
- The composition is associative, meaning that $h \circ (g \circ f) = (h \circ g) \circ f$, for any three morphisms f, g, and h which are composable, *i.e.*, when s(g) = t(f) and s(h) = t(g).

At the outset, from the above definition one can see that the main structures in a category are the composition and identities. While this is very general, most of the time we are interested in describing categories with additional structure, primarily the categorical analog of a tensor product. To describe those more special and more rich structures, we introduce the notion of a symmetric monoidal category.

Definition 2.2. A symmetric monoidal category $(\mathcal{C}, \boxtimes, \mathbb{1})$ is a category \mathcal{C} with objects $Obj_{\mathcal{C}}$ and morphisms $Mor_{\mathcal{C}}$, equipped with the following structure:

- The tensor product $\boxtimes : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$ that assigns to each pair of objects $A, B \in Obj_{\mathcal{C}}$ an object $A \boxtimes B$, and to each pair of morphisms $f, g \in Mor_{\mathcal{C}}$, such that $f : A_1 \to B_1$ and $g : A_2 \to B_2$, a morphism $f \boxtimes g : A_1 \boxtimes A_2 \to B_1 \boxtimes B_2$.
- For all objects $A, B, C \in Obj_{\mathcal{C}}$, there exists a natural isomorphism, the associator map,

$$\alpha_{A,B,C} : (A \boxtimes B) \boxtimes C \to A \boxtimes (B \boxtimes C),$$

such that the Pentagon identity is satisfied, i.e., the following diagram commutes:

$$((A \boxtimes B) \boxtimes C) \boxtimes D \xrightarrow{\alpha_{A \boxtimes B, C, D}} (A \boxtimes B) \boxtimes (C \boxtimes D) \xrightarrow{\alpha_{A, B, C \boxtimes D}} A \boxtimes (B \boxtimes (C \boxtimes D))$$

$$\alpha_{A, B, C} \boxtimes \operatorname{id}_{D} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{A, B \boxtimes C, D}} A \boxtimes ((B \boxtimes C) \boxtimes D) \xrightarrow{\alpha_{A, B \boxtimes C, D}} A \boxtimes ((B \boxtimes C) \boxtimes D).$$

$$(3)$$

• There exists an object $1 \in Obj_{\mathcal{C}}$ called the *unit object*, and natural isomorphisms the *left unitor map* λ_A and the *right unitor map* ρ_A ,

$$\lambda_A : \mathbb{1} \boxtimes A \to A \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_A : A \boxtimes \mathbb{1} \to A$$

for all objects $A \in Obj_{\mathcal{C}}$, such that the following *triangle diagram* commutes:

$$(A \boxtimes 1) \boxtimes B \xrightarrow{\rho_A \boxtimes \operatorname{id}_B} A \boxtimes B$$

$$\alpha_{A,1,B} \xrightarrow{id_A \boxtimes \lambda_B} A \boxtimes (1 \boxtimes B).$$

$$(4)$$

• For all objects $A, B \in Obj_{\mathcal{C}}$, there exists a natural isomorphism called the *swap map*,

$$\sigma_{A,B}: A \boxtimes B \to B \boxtimes A,$$

such that for all morphisms $f : A \to C$ and $g : B \to D$, the following diagram commutes:

• The associator and the swap map must satisfy the hexagon identity, i.e., the following diagram commutes,

and, the swap map and left and right unitor maps must satisfy the following diagram:



• Finally, the swap map satisfies the *inverse law*, *i.e.*, for all $A, B \in Obj_{\mathcal{C}}$:

$$\sigma_{B,A} \circ \sigma_{A,B} = \mathrm{id}_{A\boxtimes B} \,. \tag{8}$$

From the above definition we can see that the new introduced notions are the tensor product \boxtimes and the unit object 1. The remaining structures (namely the associator map α , the unitor maps λ and ρ , and the swap map σ) are there to ensure that \boxtimes and 1 behave as we expect them to behave in a category, and to be compatible with the original structures inherited from the category — the composition and the identities.

The notions such as a tensor product are however not enough, and we want to have yet more structure in the category. Hence, we define the notion of a dagger category.

Definition 2.3. A *dagger category* (C, \dagger) is a category equipped with a dagger operation that reverses the directions of the morphisms, and does nothing to objects. The following properties must hold:

- For each morphism $f \in Mor_{\mathcal{C}}$, the target map of the dagger of a morphism is the source of the morphism, *i.e.*, $t(f^{\dagger}) = s(f)$, and the source map of the dagger of a morphism is the target of that morphism $s(f^{\dagger}) = t(f)$. For a morphism $f : A \to B$, the dagger functor gives the morphism $f^{\dagger} : B \to A$.
- For every object A ∈ Obj_C, the dagger of the identity morphism id_A : A → A is equal to the identity morphism itself, i.e., (id_A)[†] = id_A.
- The dagger of the composition of two morphisms $f : A \to B$ and $g : B \to C$ is the composition of their daggers in reverse order, *i.e.*, $(g \circ f)^{\dagger} = f^{\dagger} \circ g^{\dagger}$.
- The dagger of the dagger of a morphism is the original morphism, *i.e.*, $(f^{\dagger})^{\dagger} = f$.
- The dagger of an object is trivial, *i.e.*, it is the object itself, $A^{\dagger} = A$.

Let us note that, formally speaking, a dagger can also be introduced as a functor from an opposite category C^{op} to the category C itself, *i.e.*, $\dagger : C^{op} \to C$, where the opposite category C^{op} is constructed from C by reversing the sources and targets of all morphisms in C.

The only new structure in the dagger category is obviously the dagger itself, and the above rules define its behavior with respect to the original inherited structures of a category, the composition and the identities. In this sense, the above definition holds for any category. However, if we want our category to be simultaneously both a dagger category and a symmetric monoidal category, certain compatibility conditions must be required to hold between the dagger and the tensor product as well. Hence, a *dagger symmetric monoidal category* ($C, \boxtimes, \mathbb{1}, \uparrow$) has to satisfy all requirements of definitions 2.2 and 2.3, and in addition the following compatibility properties must also hold:

For each pair of morphisms f, g ∈ Mor_C, the dagger of a tensor product of morphisms f and g is the tensor product of daggers, *i.e.*,

$$(f \boxtimes g)^{\dagger} = f^{\dagger} \boxtimes g^{\dagger}$$

Since the dagger acts trivially on objects, the analogous identity obviously holds for the tensor product of objects.

• For any objects $A, B, C \in Obj_{\mathcal{C}}$, the dagger of the associator map is equal to the inverse of the associator, *i.e.*,

$$\alpha_{A,B,C}^{\dagger} = \alpha_{A,B,C}^{-1} : A \boxtimes (B \boxtimes C) \to (A \boxtimes B) \boxtimes C \,.$$

• For each object $A \in Obj_{\mathcal{C}}$, the dagger of the left and right unitor maps are the inverses of those maps, *i.e.*,

$$\lambda_A^\dagger = \lambda_A^{-1}: A \to \mathbb{1}\boxtimes A \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_A^\dagger = \rho_A^{-1}: A \to A\boxtimes \mathbb{1}\,.$$

• For any two objects $A, B \in Obj_{\mathcal{C}}$, the dagger of the swap map is equal to the inverse of that map, *i.e.*,

$$\sigma_{A,B}^{\dagger} = \sigma_{A,B}^{-1} : B \boxtimes A {\rightarrow} A \boxtimes B \,.$$

Finally, we introduce one more structure element, namely the dual. In order to keep the definition short, we introduce it on top of a dagger symmetric monoidal category.

Definition 2.4. A dagger symmetric monoidal category with a *dual* $(\mathcal{C}, \boxtimes, \mathbb{1}, \dagger, *)$ is a category where every object has its corresponding dual object. The following properties must hold:

- For every object $A \in Obj_{\mathcal{C}}$ of a monoidal category, there is a dual object $A^* \in Obj_{\mathcal{C}}$.
- There exist the unit map $\eta_A : \mathbb{1} \to A^* \boxtimes A$ and the counit map $\epsilon_A : A \boxtimes A^* \to \mathbb{1}$, such that the following snake diagrams are identity morphisms (compatibility of the dual with the symmetric monoidal structure):



• For all $A \in Obj_{\mathcal{C}}$, the following diagram commutes (compatibility of the dual with the dagger):



• Since the dagger acts trivially on objects, for all objects $A \in Obj_{\mathcal{C}}$, one has the identity $(A^*)^{\dagger} = (A^{\dagger})^*$.

This concludes the category theory definitions necessary for introducing a TQFT. In what follows, we will focus on three relevant categories that satisfy all of the above definitions.

2.2 Examples

We will discuss three examples of a dagger symmetric monoidal category with a dual. These are the category of finitedimensional Hilbert spaces (denoted by Hilb), the category of oriented cobordisms between 3-dimensional manifolds (denoted by 3Cob), and the category of oriented cobordisms between triangulated 3-dimensional manifolds (denoted by 3TCob). The standard notation for the general case and these three examples is shown in Table 2.

| | objects | morphisms | composition | identity morphism | tensor product | unit object | dagger | dual |
|-------|---|---------------------------------------|-------------|------------------------------|-------------------|----------------|--------|-----------------------------|
| С | A, B, \ldots | f, g, \ldots | 0 | id_A | \boxtimes | 1 | † | * |
| Hilb | $\mathcal{H}_A, \mathcal{H}_B, \dots$ | \hat{M}, \hat{N}, \ldots | | $\hat{I}_{\mathcal{H}}$ | \otimes | C | † | * |
| 3Cob | $\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2, \ldots$ | $\mathcal{M}_A, \mathcal{M}_B, \dots$ | # | id_Σ | Ц | Ø | † | $\bar{\Sigma}$ |
| 3TCob | $\mathcal{T}(\Sigma_1), \mathcal{T}(\Sigma_2), \dots$ | $\mathcal{M}_A, \mathcal{M}_B, \dots$ | # | $\operatorname{id}_{\Sigma}$ | | Ø | † | $\mathcal{T}(\bar{\Sigma})$ |

Table 2: The general dagger symmetric monoidal category with a dual and three relevant examples: the categories Hilb, 3Cob and 3TCob.

We now turn to each of these three categories, apply the general categorical definitions, and discuss their individual specific properties. First, let us consider the category Hilb of finite-dimensional Hilbert spaces. The Hilbert spaces are equipped with the additional structure of the *Hermitian form* (also called a scalar product) $\langle _|_\rangle : \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{C}$ that provides a way to define the *dual space* of a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , denoted by \mathcal{H}^* , which consists of all continuous linear functionals $\phi : \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{C}$. The *Riesz representation theorem* establishes a one-to-one correspondence between elements in a finite-dimensional Hilbert space and linear functionals in its dual space. It states that for every linear functional $\phi(|\psi\rangle)$ in the dual space \mathcal{H}^* , there exists a unique vector $|\phi\rangle$ in the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , such that $\phi(|\psi\rangle) = \langle \phi | \psi \rangle$ for all $|\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$. The linear functional ϕ is then commonly denoted as $\langle \phi | \in \mathcal{H}^*$.

Example 2.5. The category *Hilb* of finite-dimensional Hilbert vector spaces is a dagger symmetric monoidal category with a dual, given as follows.

• The objects of the category Hilb are finite-dimensional Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H} \in Obj_{Hilb}$, and the morphisms $\hat{M} \in Mor_{Hilb}$ are linear operators between Hilbert spaces $\hat{M} : \mathcal{H}_A \to \mathcal{H}_B$.

- The source and target maps of morphisms are the domain and the codomain of the operator, *i.e.*, for each $\hat{M} : \mathcal{H}_A \to \mathcal{H}_B$, one has $s(\hat{M}) = \mathcal{H}_A$ and $t(\hat{M}) = \mathcal{H}_B$.
- The composition of morphisms $\hat{M}, \hat{N} \in Mor_{Hilb}$ is the composition of operators $\hat{M} \cdot \hat{N}$ (sometimes called matrix multiplication).
- For each object in the category, $\mathcal{H} \in Obj_{Hilb}$, the identity morphism is the identity operator $\hat{I}_{\mathcal{H}}$ over that Hilbert space.
- The tensor product ⊠ of the category is the ordinary tensor product ⊗ of linear algebra, so that for any two objects H_A, H_B ∈ Obj_{Hilb} we have the tensor product of vector spaces H_A ⊗ H_B, and for any two morphisms M̂, N̂ ∈ Mor_{Hilb} we have the tensor product of operators M̂ ⊗ N̂.
- The tensor product is associative, *i.e.*, the associator is trivial, $(\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B) \otimes \mathcal{H}_C = \mathcal{H}_A \otimes (\mathcal{H}_B \otimes \mathcal{H}_C)$.
- The unit object is the 1-dimensional Hilbert space, namely the set of complex numbers, C.
- The left and right unitor maps are trivial, *i.e.*, for each $\mathcal{H} \in Obj_{Hilb}$ we have $\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathbb{C} = \mathcal{H}$.
- For any two objects $\mathcal{H}_A, \mathcal{H}_B \in Obj_{Hilb}$, the swap map is defined as $\sigma : \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B \to \mathcal{H}_B \otimes \mathcal{H}_A$.
- The dagger is the Hermitian adjoint of the operator $\hat{M} : \mathcal{H}_A \to \mathcal{H}_B$, denoted $\dagger : \hat{M} \to \hat{M}^{\dagger}$, where the Hermitian adjoint $\hat{M}^{\dagger} : \mathcal{H}_B \to \mathcal{H}_A$ is the unique linear map that satisfies the property $\langle \phi | \hat{M} \psi \rangle = \langle \hat{M}^{\dagger} \phi | \psi \rangle$ for all vectors $|\phi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_B$ and $|\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_A$. The dagger acts trivially on objects, $\mathcal{H}^{\dagger} = \mathcal{H}$.
- For every object $\mathcal{H} \in Obj_{Hilb}$ of the category, the dual is just the dual Hilbert space \mathcal{H}^* , defined via the Riesz representation theorem.
- The unit and counit maps $\eta_{\mathcal{H}} : \mathbb{C} \to \mathcal{H}^* \otimes \mathcal{H}$ and $\epsilon_{\mathcal{H}} : \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}^* \to \mathbb{C}$, are realized as

$$z \xrightarrow{\eta_{\mathcal{H}}} z \sum_{i} \langle i | \otimes | i \rangle, \qquad |i\rangle \otimes \langle j | \xrightarrow{\epsilon_{\mathcal{H}}} \langle j | i \rangle, \qquad (12)$$

for any $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and any orthonormal basis $\{ |i\rangle | i = 1, ..., \dim \mathcal{H} \}$ of the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Note that one can always define a function $\epsilon_{\mathcal{H}} \cdot \sigma \cdot \eta_{\mathcal{H}} : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$, and then using (12) to evaluate it on an arbitrary number $z \in \mathbb{C}$ as follows:

$$z \xrightarrow{\eta_{\mathcal{H}}} z \sum_{i} \langle i | \otimes | i \rangle \xrightarrow{\sigma} z \sum_{i} | i \rangle \otimes \langle i | \xrightarrow{\epsilon_{\mathcal{H}}} z \sum_{i} \langle i | i \rangle = z \dim \mathcal{H}.$$

Essentially, $\sigma \cdot \eta_{\mathcal{H}}$ multiplies the number z with an identity operator $\hat{I}_{\mathcal{H}}$, and then $\epsilon_{\mathcal{H}}$ takes its *trace*.

Let us now introduce the category nCob of oriented cobordisms between *n*-dimensional manifolds, specifically for the case n = 3. This category is another example of a dagger symmetric monoidal category with a dual. The category of oriented cobordisms, discussed below, is defined for manifolds with an additional structure of *orientation*. The orientation of the manifold is defined using the notion of an *orientation group* structure. The case when the orientation group is the orthogonal group corresponds to unoriented cobordisms, while the case when the orientation group is the special orthogonal group gives rise to oriented cobordisms.

Example 2.6. The category 3*Cob* of oriented cobordisms between 3-dimensional manifolds is a dagger symmetric monoidal category with a dual, defined as follows.

- The objects $\Sigma \in Obj_{3Cob}$ of the category 3Cob are closed oriented 3-dimensional manifolds.
- For any two objects Σ₁, Σ₂ ∈ Obj_{3Cob}, the set of morphisms Mor_{3Cob}(Σ₁, Σ₂) consists of compact oriented 4-dimensional manifolds M (called cobordisms) with the boundary ∂M = Σ₁ ⊔ Σ₂, where ⊔ denotes the disjoint union, and Σ₁ denotes the manifold Σ₁ with the opposite orientation. The set M \∂M is often called the bulk, distinguishing the interior of M from its boundary ∂M.
- The orientation of the boundary provides a way to understand a 4-dimensional manifold M with the boundary ∂M = Σ
 ∑₁ ⊔ Σ₂ as a morphism from its incoming to its outgoing boundary component, *i.e.*, from Σ₁ to Σ₂, as shown in Figure 1, and to define the source and target maps of the morphism as s(M) = Σ₁ and t(M) = Σ₂.



Figure 1: The oriented 4-dimensional manifold $\mathcal{M}: \Sigma_1 \to \Sigma_2$ with the boundary $\partial \mathcal{M} = \overline{\Sigma}_1 \sqcup \Sigma_2$.

The composition of morphisms is defined by the connected sum — gluing of manifolds along a common boundary. For any two 4-dimensional manifolds M_A ∈ Mor_{3Cob}(Σ₁, Σ) and M_B ∈ Mor_{3Cob}(Σ, Σ₂) that are composable, *i.e.*, that satisfy t(M_A) = s(M_B), the composition M_B#M_A ∈ Mor_{3Cob}(Σ₁, Σ₂) is the connected sum of M_A and M_B along the 3-dimensional manifold Σ, as shown in Figure 2.



Figure 2: The composition of cobordisms $\mathcal{M}_B \# \mathcal{M}_A$.

For each object, a 3-dimensional manifold Σ, there exists an identity morphism id_Σ ∈ Mor_{3Cob}(Σ, Σ) given by a 4-dimensional cylinder [0, 1] × Σ with the boundary ∂([0, 1] × Σ) = Σ ⊔ Σ, as shown in Figure 3.



Figure 3: The identity cobordism, the unit map and the counit map.

- For any two objects Σ₁, Σ₂ ∈ Obj_{3Cob}, the tensor product ⊠ is a disjoint union of manifolds Σ₁ ⊔ Σ₂. For any two cobordisms M_A : Σ₁ → Σ₃ and M_B : Σ₂ → Σ₄, the tensor product gives the disjoint union M_A ⊔ M_B : Σ₁ ⊔ Σ₂ → Σ₃ ⊔ Σ₄.
- The disjoint union of manifolds is associative, *i.e.*, the associator is trivial, $(\Sigma_1 \sqcup \Sigma_2) \sqcup \Sigma_3 = \Sigma_1 \sqcup (\Sigma_2 \sqcup \Sigma_3)$.

- The unit object is the empty manifold, denoted by Ø₃. Note that the empty manifold Ø is a manifold of any dimension, but we have added the dimension in the subscript in order to notationally distinguish between Ø₃ which is an object in the category, and Ø₄ which is a morphism in the category. The latter is in fact the identity morphism for the unit object, id_{Ø₃} = Ø₄. Formally, like all other 4-dimensional manifolds without boundary, Ø₄ can be regarded as a cobordism from Ø₃ to Ø₃.
- The left and right unitor are trivial, *i.e.*, for each $\Sigma \in Obj_{3Cob}$ one has $\emptyset_3 \sqcup \Sigma = \Sigma$ and $\Sigma \sqcup \emptyset_3 = \Sigma$.
- The swap map is trivial, *i.e.*, for any two objects $\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2 \in Obj_{3Cob}$ one has $\Sigma_1 \sqcup \Sigma_2 = \Sigma_2 \sqcup \Sigma_1$.
- For every cobordism $\mathcal{M}: \Sigma_1 \to \Sigma_2$, the dagger is a reversed cobordism $\mathcal{M}^{\dagger}: \Sigma_2 \to \Sigma_1$.
- For every object Σ ∈ Obj_{3Cob} of the category, the dual object is the 3-dimensional manifold of the opposite orientation, denoted by Σ.
- For every object Σ ∈ Obj_{3Cob} of the category, the unit map η_Σ and the counit map ϵ_Σ are realized as η_Σ : Ø₃ → Σ ⊔ Σ and ϵ_Σ : Σ ⊔ Σ → Ø₃, as follows. One starts from the identity cobordism id_Σ for the object Σ, and constructs the unit map η_Σ by "bending" the identity cobordism into a ∪-shape, so that it does not map Σ → Σ, but instead Ø₃ → Σ ⊔ Σ. Similarly, the identity cobordism can be bent into a ∩-shape, so that it maps Σ ⊔ Σ → Ø₃, thereby becoming the counit map ϵ_Σ. The bending procedure reverses the orientation of the "bottom" boundary Σ in the first case, while it reverses the orientation of the "top" boundary Σ in the second case, respectively (see Figure 3).

Finally, we are particularly interested in the third example – the category 3TCob, which differs from the category 3Cob due to its additional structure of *triangulation* of 3-dimensional manifolds (a simplicial complex, technically speaking). Note that we introduce a triangulation on the cobordism boundaries (objects in the category), but not in the 4-dimensional bulks (morphisms in the category). Instead, the equivalence class of all possible triangulations of the bulk of any given 4-dimensional manifold (with fixed triangulation on its boundary) corresponds to a single morphism of the category 3TCob. The reason for this definition is the following. If one tries to define a category of triangulated cobordisms, such that each triangulation of the bulk of 4-dimensional manifold corresponds to a different morphism of the category, it can be seen that the identity morphism of any given object would not be unique, hence this structure would not form a category. The definition of the category 3TCob circumvents this issue by triangulating the boundary, but not the bulk.

Example 2.7. The category 3TCob of cobordisms between triangulated 3-dimensional manifolds is a dagger symmetric monoidal category with a dual, given as follows.

- The objects of the category 3TCob are closed oriented triangulated 3-dimensional manifolds $\mathcal{T}(\Sigma) \in Obj_{3TCob}$.
- For any two objects $\mathcal{T}(\Sigma_1)$ and $\mathcal{T}(\Sigma_2)$ in the category 3TCob, the set of morphisms $Mor_{3TCob}(\mathcal{T}(\Sigma_1), \mathcal{T}(\Sigma_2))$ consists of compact oriented 4-dimensional manifolds \mathcal{M} with the boundary $\partial \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{T}(\Sigma_1) \sqcup \mathcal{T}(\Sigma_2)$, where \sqcup as before denotes the disjoint union, and $\mathcal{T}(\bar{\Sigma}_1)$ denotes the triangulated manifold $\mathcal{T}(\Sigma_1)$ with the opposite orientation of the triangulation simplices. Note that a possible triangulation of the bulk of the cobordism is not fixed, that is, the equivalence class of all possible triangulations of the same 4-dimensional manifold (that have the fixed triangulation on the boundary and that preserve the topology of the manifold) corresponds to a single morphism of the category.
- The orientation of the boundary provides a way to understand a 4-dimensional manifold *M* as a cobordism from its incoming to its outgoing boundary component, *i.e.*, from *T*(Σ₁) to *T*(Σ₂). The source and target maps are defined as s(*M*) = *T*(Σ₁) and t(*M*) = *T*(Σ₂).
- The composition of morphisms is given by the connected sum of manifolds, as in 3Cob, but the difference is that it is defined only if the *triangulation* of their common boundary matches, *i.e.*, for M_A ∈ Mor_{3TCob}(T(Σ₁), T(Σ)) and M_B ∈ Mor_{3TCob}(T'(Σ), T(Σ₂)), the composition is defined only when T(Σ) = T'(Σ), and results in the cobordism M_B#M_A ∈ Mor_{3TCob}(T(Σ₁), T(Σ₂)). After gluing, the triangulation of Σ becomes part of the bulk, and thus irrelevant.
- For each object *T*(Σ) ∈ *Obj_{3TCob}*, there exists an identity morphism id_{*T*(Σ)} ∈ *Mor_{3TCob}*(*T*(Σ), *T*(Σ)) given by a cylinder, *i.e.*, the manifold [0, 1] × *T*(Σ). The boundary ∂([0, 1] × *T*(Σ)) = *T*(Σ) ⊔ *T*(Σ) has a fixed triangulation, while the bulk of the manifold does not.

As stated above, the motivation for introducing the category 3TCob in this manner lies in the uniqueness of the identity morphism for a given object. Namely, if one instead considers cobordisms that have a fixed

triangulation both in bulk and on the boundaries, the identity morphism would not be unique and thus not well defined, and consequently such a structure would not form a category. Introducing the morphisms of the category as an equivalence class of all possible triangulations of the same 4-dimensional manifold (while keeping the triangulation of its boundary and its topology fixed) circumvents this problem and renders the identity morphism unique (for a given object in the category). Thus in the category 3TCob the identity morphisms are well defined.

- The tensor product \boxtimes is the disjoint union of manifolds, like in 3*Cob*. For any two objects $\mathcal{T}(\Sigma_1), \mathcal{T}(\Sigma_2) \in Ob_{j_{3TCob}}$, the product gives the disjoint union of triangulated 3-dimensional manifolds $\mathcal{T}(\Sigma_1) \sqcup \mathcal{T}(\Sigma_2)$. Similarly for morphisms.
- The unit object is the empty manifold \emptyset_3 , like in 3*Cob*. Its triangulation is trivial, and its corresponding identity morphism is \emptyset_4 .
- As in the case of the 3Cob category, the associator, the left and the right unitor, and the swap map are all trivial.
- For every morphism M : T(Σ₁) → T(Σ₂), with a boundary ∂M = T(Σ
 ₁) ⊔ T(Σ₂), the dagger gives the morphism M[†] : T(Σ₂) → T(Σ₁), with the boundary ∂M[†] = T(Σ
 ₂) ⊔ T(Σ₁), namely the reverse cobordism.
- For every object $\mathcal{T}(\Sigma) \in Obj_{3TCob}$ of the category, the dual operation gives the dual object, the triangulated 3-dimensional manifold of opposite orientation $\mathcal{T}(\bar{\Sigma})$, where the orientation reversal of a manifold is manifested in its triangulation by reversing the orientation of all links, triangles, and tetrahedrons.
- For every object T(Σ) ∈ Obj_{3TCob} of the category, the unit map η_{T(Σ)} and the counit map ε_{T(Σ)} are realized as η_{T(Σ)} : Ø → T(Σ) ⊔ T(Σ) and ε_{T(Σ)} : T(Σ) ⊔ T(Σ) → Ø in the same way as for the category 3Cob.

This concludes the definition of the 3TCob category, as the final example of a dagger symmetric monoidal category with a dual. Our next point of interest is to establish relationships between these categories.

2.3 Functors

In category theory, a *functor* is a map between two categories that maps objects and morphisms from one category to objects and morphisms in another category, in a way that preserves all of the structure that is defined within the categories. The formal definition of a functor is as follows.

Definition 2.8. Let $F : C \to D$ be a functor between two dagger symmetric monoidal categories with a dual. The following must hold:

- 1. The functor F maps the objects of one category to the objects of another, such that for each object $A \in Obj_{\mathcal{C}}$, there is an object $F(A) \in Obj_{\mathcal{D}}$, and the morphisms from one category to the morphisms of another, such that for each morphism $f : A \to B$ in $Mor_{\mathcal{C}}$, there is a morphism $F(f) : F(A) \to F(B)$ in $Mor_{\mathcal{D}}$.
- 2. For every morphism $f \in Mor_{\mathcal{C}}$, the image of the source of f under F is equal to the source of the image of f under F, and the image of the target of f under F is equal to the target of the image of f under F, *i.e.*,

$$F(s(f)) = s(F(f)), \qquad F(t(f)) = t(F(f)).$$

3. The functor F must preserve the composition of morphisms, *i.e.*, for every pair of morphisms $f : A \to B$ and $g : B \to C$ in C the following condition is satisfied:

$$F(g \circ f) = F(g) \circ F(f) \,.$$

Note that we denote the composition in C and the composition in D with the same symbol, \circ , for simplicity.

4. The identity morphism in the source category must map to the identity morphism of the corresponding object in the target category, *i.e.*, for every object $A \in Obj_{\mathcal{C}}$ and its identity morphism id_A , the functor F satisfies the condition

$$F(\mathrm{id}_A) = \mathrm{id}_{F(A)}$$
.

5. The functor F must preserve the tensor product structure. For any two objects $A, B \in Obj_{\mathcal{C}}$, the image of the tensor product of A and B under F is equal to the tensor product of the images of A and B under F, the objects $F(A), F(B) \in Obj_{\mathcal{D}}$, *i.e.*,

$$F(A \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} B) = F(A) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}} F(B).$$

Likewise, for any two morphisms $f, g \in Mor_{\mathcal{C}}$, the image of the tensor product of f and g under F is equal to the tensor product of the images of f and g under F, the morphisms $F(f), F(g) \in Mor_{\mathcal{D}}$, *i.e.*,

$$F(f \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} g) = F(f) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}} F(g) \,.$$

6. The functor F maps the unit object in category C to the unit object in category D, *i.e.*,

$$F(\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{C}}) = \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{D}}$$

and as a consequence of property 4 above, it also maps the identity morphism of the unit object in C to the identity morphism of the unit object in D,

$$F(\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{C}}}) = \mathrm{id}_{F(\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{C}})} = \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{D}}}$$

7. The functor F maps the associator map $\alpha_{A,B,C}$, the swap map $\sigma_{A,B}$, and the left and right unitor maps λ_A and ρ_A from the source category, to their corresponding maps in the target category, *i.e.*,

$$F(\alpha_{A,B,C}) = \alpha_{F(A),F(B),F(C)}, \qquad F(\sigma_{A,B}) = \sigma_{F(A),F(B)},$$
$$F(\lambda_A) = \lambda_{F(A)}, \qquad F(\rho_A) = \rho_{F(A)}.$$

8. The functor *F* commutes with the dagger, *i.e.*, for any morphism $f \in Mor_{\mathcal{C}}$ the functor satisfies the condition $F(f^{\dagger}) = F(f)^{\dagger}.$

9. Similarly, the functor F commutes with the dual, *i.e.*, for any object $A \in Obj_{\mathcal{C}}$ the functor satisfies the condition

$$F(A^*) = F(A)^* \, .$$

We keep in mind that the dual acts trivially on morphisms.

10. For every object $A \in Obj_{\mathcal{C}}$ in the source category, the functor F maps the unit map $\eta_A : \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{C}} \to A^* \boxtimes A$ and the counit map $\epsilon_A : A \boxtimes A^* \to \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{C}}$, to the unit and the counit map $\eta_{F(A)} : \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{D}} \to F(A)^* \boxtimes F(A)$ and $\epsilon_{F(A)} : F(A) \boxtimes F(A)^* \to \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{D}}$ of the corresponding object $F(A) \in Obj_{\mathcal{D}}$ in the target category, respectively, *i.e.*,

$$F(\eta_A) = \eta_{F(A)}, \qquad F(\epsilon_A) = \epsilon_{F(A)}.$$

At this point we are finally ready to give a definition of a TQFT — it is a functor, traditionally denoted Z, from the category of cobordisms to the category of vector spaces. In our case, there are two flavors, since we have introduced two different categories of cobordisms:

$$3TCob \longrightarrow Hilb$$
,

and

$$3Cob \longrightarrow Hilb$$
.

One can in principle construct many functors of either flavor, but they all need to satisfy the rules expected from a functor. Let us therefore spell out the axioms for Z. The axioms for \tilde{Z} will be analogous.

Definition 2.9. If $Z : 3TCob \rightarrow Hilb$ is a functor between two dagger symmetric monoidal categories with a dual 3TCob and Hilb, the following axioms must hold:

1. Objects. The functor Z maps objects to objects. Specifically, each triangulated 3-dimensional manifold $\mathcal{T}(\Sigma) \in Obj_{3TCob}$ is mapped to some Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} \in Obj_{Hilb}$:

$$Z(\mathcal{T}(\Sigma)) = \mathcal{H}.$$
(13)

 Morphisms. The functor Z maps morphisms to morphisms. Specifically, each 4-dimensional manifold M (that maps the triangulated manifold T(Σ₁) to the triangulated manifold T(Σ₂)) is mapped to an operator M̂ : H₁ → H₂,

$$Z(\mathcal{M}) = \hat{M}, \tag{14}$$

provided that $Z(\mathcal{T}(\Sigma_1)) = \mathcal{H}_1$ and $Z(\mathcal{T}(\Sigma_2)) = \mathcal{H}_2$.

3. Composition of morphisms — functoriality. The functor of a composition is a composition of functors. Specifically, given two composable manifolds M_A : T(Σ₁) → T(Σ) and M_B : T(Σ) → T(Σ₂), their connected sum M_B#M_A along the common boundary T(Σ) is mapped into the composition of operators corresponding to functors of individual manifolds M_A = Z(M_A) : Z(T(Σ₁)) → Z(T(Σ)) and M_B = Z(M₂) : Z(T(Σ)) → Z(T(Σ₂)):

$$Z(\mathcal{M}_B \# \mathcal{M}_A) = \hat{M}_B \cdot \hat{M}_A \,. \tag{15}$$

Note that the operators \hat{M}_A and \hat{M}_B are often represented as rectangular matrices, and their composition $\hat{M}_B \cdot \hat{M}_A$ is understood to be ordinary matrix multiplication.

4. *Identity morphism*. For each object, the functor Z maps the identity morphism into the identity morphism. Specifically, given a triangulated manifold $\mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$ and its corresponding Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , their identity morphisms are related via the functor Z as:

$$Z(\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{T}(\Sigma)}) = \hat{I}_{\mathcal{H}} \,. \tag{16}$$

5. Tensor product — multiplicativity. The functor of a tensor product is a tensor product of functors, both for objects and morphisms. Specifically, given two triangulated manifolds $\mathcal{T}(\Sigma_1)$ and $\mathcal{T}(\Sigma_2)$, which are mapped by the functor Z to Hilbert spaces \mathcal{H}_1 and \mathcal{H}_2 respectively, we have:

$$Z(\mathcal{T}(\Sigma_1) \sqcup \mathcal{T}(\Sigma_2)) = \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2.$$
(17)

Also, given two 4-dimensional manifolds $\mathcal{M}_A : \mathcal{T}(\Sigma_1) \to \mathcal{T}(\Sigma'_1)$ and $\mathcal{M}_B : \mathcal{T}(\Sigma_2) \to \mathcal{T}(\Sigma'_2)$, we have

$$Z(\mathcal{M}_A \sqcup \mathcal{M}_B) = \hat{M}_A \otimes \hat{M}_B, \qquad (18)$$

where $\hat{M}_A = Z(\mathcal{M}_A)$ and $\hat{M}_B = Z(\mathcal{M}_B)$.

6. Unit object — normalization. The functor maps the unit object into the unit object. Specifically, the empty manifold \emptyset_3 is mapped to the one-dimensional Hilbert space \mathbb{C} :

$$Z(\emptyset_3) = \mathbb{C} \,. \tag{19}$$

Given this, one can see that also the *identity morphism* of the unit object, $\emptyset_4 = id_{\emptyset_3} : \emptyset_3 \to \emptyset_3$, maps to the identity morphism $\hat{I}_{\mathbb{C}} : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$:

$$Z(\mathrm{id}_{\varnothing_3}) = \hat{I}_{\mathbb{C}} \,. \tag{20}$$

The identity operator over a one-dimensional Hilbert space \mathbb{C} is of course simply the function $\hat{I}(z) = z$, for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Therefore, the above equation is sometimes written in the form of a normalization condition,

$$Z(\emptyset_4) = 1, \tag{21}$$

where the number 1 on the right-hand side is understood both as the identity operator over the Hilbert space \mathbb{C} and as the neutral element for multiplication in the field of complex numbers \mathbb{C} .

- 7. Symmetric monoidal maps. The associator map, the swap map, and the left and right unitor maps are all trivial in both categories, so the functor Z maps them in a trivial manner as well.
- Dagger hermitivity. The functor of a dagger is the dagger of a functor. Specifically, given a 4-dimensional manifold M : T(Σ₁) → T(Σ₂) and its corresponding operator M̂ = Z(M), we have that the reverse manifold M[†] maps into a Hermitian adjoint operator M̂[†]:

$$Z(\mathcal{M}^{\dagger}) = \hat{M}^{\dagger} . \tag{22}$$

 Dual — involution. The functor of a dual is the dual of a functor. Specifically, given a triangulated manifold *T*(Σ) and its corresponding Hilbert space *H*, we have that the oppositely oriented manifold *T*(Σ) maps into a dual Hilbert space *H*^{*}:

$$Z(\mathcal{T}(\bar{\Sigma})) = \mathcal{H}^* \,. \tag{23}$$

Note that reversing the orientation of a triangulated manifold twice gives us back the original triangulated manifold, $\mathcal{T}(\bar{\Sigma}) = \mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$. The action of the functor then implies that

$$\mathcal{H}^{**} = \mathcal{H} \,, \tag{24}$$

which is satisfied only if the Hilbert space is finite-dimensional, according to the Riesz representation theorem. Unit and counit maps. For every triangulated manifold T(Σ) and its corresponding Hilbert space H, the unit map η_{T(Σ)}: Ø₃ → T(Σ) ⊔ T(Σ) and the counit map ε_{T(Σ)}: T(Σ) ⊔ T(Σ) → Ø₃ are mapped by the functor Z to their counterparts η_H: C → H^{*} ⊗ H and ε_H: H ⊗ H^{*} → C, respectively:

$$Z(\eta_{\mathcal{T}(\Sigma)}) = \eta_{\mathcal{H}}, \qquad Z(\epsilon_{\mathcal{T}(\Sigma)}) = \epsilon_{\mathcal{H}}.$$
⁽²⁵⁾

From Definition 2.9 one can see that the functorial conditions stated above essentially reflect Atiyah's axioms for topological quantum field theories [20]. That said, one can also appreciate the elegance and expressiveness of the category theory language, naturally encoding all of the above properties into a compact statement that a TQFT is a functor between the category of cobordisms and the category of Hilbert spaces. Let us also note that the entire set of statements in Definition 2.9 can be repeated for the category 3Cob, simply by not mentioning that the 3-dimensional manifolds are equipped with triangulations. In Section 3 we will explore the differences between the functor Z from 3TCob to Hilb, and the functor \tilde{Z} from 3Cob to Hilb.

Obviously, many different functors Z satisfying requirements (13)–(25) can in principle exist, corresponding to different TQFT's. In what follows, we shall explicitly formulate one such functor, discuss its properties, and later demonstrate that it indeed satisfies the requirements (13)–(25).

3 TQFT functor based on a 3-group

3.1 Preliminaries

In our previous work [26], we have constructed a topological invariant for compact closed 4-dimensional manifolds, based on the algebraic structure of a 3-group, within the framework of higher category theory [22]. A semistrict 3-group is most commonly represented as a 2-crossed module $(L \xrightarrow{\delta} H \xrightarrow{\partial} G, \rhd, \{_, _\}_p)$, which consists of three groups G, H and L, and four maps between them — the action \rhd of group G on the other three groups,

$$\triangleright : G \times X \to X, \quad (X = G, H, L)$$

the homomorphisms ∂ and δ between the three groups to make a chain complex,

$$L \xrightarrow{\delta} H \xrightarrow{\partial} G$$
,

and the bracket map

$$\{_, _\}_{\mathbf{p}} : H \times H \to L,$$

called the *Peiffer lifting*. In order to be a valid 2-crossed module, this structure needs to satisfy a certain number of axioms, detailed in Appendix A.

Given a 2-crossed module and a compact closed 4-dimensional manifold \mathcal{M} , one can introduce a triangulation $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{M})$ on \mathcal{M} , which consists of simplices with dimensions from 0 up to 4, respectively:

- vertices, labeled with positive integers j = 1, 2, ...,
- edges, labeled with pairs of vertices (jk),
- triangles, labeled with three vertices $(jk\ell)$,
- *tetrahedra*, labeled with four vertices $(jk\ell m)$, and
- 4-simplices, labeled with five vertices $(jk\ell mn)$.

Then, to each edge one assigns a group element $g_{jk} \in G$, to each triangle one assigns $h_{jk\ell} \in H$, and to each tetrahedron one assigns $l_{jk\ell m} \in L$. Each set of assignments is called a *state* of the triangulation $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{M})$, sometimes also called a *configuration*, or a *coloring*.

One then defines a *state sum* (also called a *configuration integral* or *path integral*) as an integral over all possible configurations of the Dirac delta functions of certain group elements, as follows:

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\varnothing} = |G|^{-|\Lambda_{0}|+|\Lambda_{1}|-|\Lambda_{2}|} |H|^{|\Lambda_{0}|-|\Lambda_{1}|+|\Lambda_{2}|-|\Lambda_{3}|} |L|^{-|\Lambda_{0}|+|\Lambda_{1}|-|\Lambda_{2}|+|\Lambda_{3}|-|\Lambda_{4}|} \\ \times \left[\prod_{(jk)\in\Lambda_{1}} \int_{G} dg_{jk}\right] \left[\prod_{(jk\ell)\in\Lambda_{2}} \int_{H} dh_{jk\ell}\right] \left[\prod_{(jk\ell m)\in\Lambda_{3}} \int_{L} dl_{jk\ell m}\right] \\ \times \left[\prod_{(jk\ell)\in\Lambda_{2}} \delta_{G}(g_{jk\ell})\right] \left[\prod_{(jk\ell m)\in\Lambda_{3}} \delta_{H}(h_{jk\ell m})\right] \left[\prod_{(jk\ell mn)\in\Lambda_{4}} \delta_{L}(l_{jk\ell mn})\right],$$

$$(26)$$

Here Λ_1 is the set of edges, Λ_2 is the set of triangles, Λ_3 is the set of tetrahedrons, and Λ_4 is the set of 4-simplices of the triangulation $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{M})$, and the arguments of the Dirac delta functions are given as:

$$g_{jk\ell} \equiv \partial(h_{jk\ell}) g_{k\ell} g_{jk} g_{j\ell}^{-1},$$

$$h_{jk\ell m} \equiv \delta(l_{jk\ell m}) h_{j\ell m} (g_{\ell m} \rhd h_{jk\ell}) h_{k\ell m}^{-1} h_{jkm}^{-1},$$

$$l_{jk\ell mn} \equiv l_{j\ell mn}^{-1} h_{j\ell n} \rhd' \{h_{\ell mn}, (g_{mn} g_{\ell m}) \rhd h_{jk\ell}\}_{\mathrm{P}} l_{jk\ell n}^{-1} (h_{jkn} \rhd' l_{k\ell mn}) l_{jkmn} h_{jmn} \rhd' (g_{mn} \rhd l_{jk\ell m}),$$

$$(27)$$

where the map $\rhd' : H \times L \to L$ is defined as

$$h \rhd' l = l \{\delta(l)^{-1}, h\}_{\rm p},$$
(28)

see (72) and Appendix A for details.

The expressions (27) have been specially constructed so that the state sum (26) remains invariant under Pachner moves [29], and thus independent of the particular choice of the triangulation $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{M})$. Namely, while \mathcal{Z}_{\emptyset} is defined and can be evaluated for some particular triangulation $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{M})$, its value does not depend on this choice, but depends only on the topology of the underlying manifold \mathcal{M} itself, and thus represents a topological invariant of \mathcal{M} . See [26] for details and proof of invariance.

We now want to extend the definition of the above state sum to include manifolds with boundaries. Given a 4dimensional manifold \mathcal{M} with a possibly nontrivial boundary $\Sigma = \partial \mathcal{M}$, we introduce the state sum \mathcal{Z}_{∂} as follows:

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\partial} = |G|^{-(|\Lambda_{0}|-\frac{|\Lambda_{0,\Sigma}|}{2})+|\Lambda_{1}|-|\Lambda_{2}|} |H|^{(|\Lambda_{0}|-\frac{|\Lambda_{0,\Sigma}|}{2})-(|\Lambda_{1}|-\frac{|\Lambda_{1,\Sigma}|}{2})+|\Lambda_{2}|-|\Lambda_{3}|} \\
|L|^{-(|\Lambda_{0}|-\frac{|\Lambda_{0,\Sigma}|}{2})+(|\Lambda_{1}|-\frac{|\Lambda_{1,\Sigma}|}{2})-(|\Lambda_{2}|-\frac{|\Lambda_{2,\Sigma}|}{2})+|\Lambda_{3}|-|\Lambda_{4}|} \\
\left[\prod_{(jk)\in\Lambda_{1}^{*}}\int_{G}dg_{jk}\right] \left[\prod_{(jk\ell)\in\Lambda_{2}^{*}}\int_{H}dh_{jk\ell}\right] \left[\prod_{(jk\ellm)\in\Lambda_{3}^{*}}\int_{L}dl_{jk\ellm}\right] \\
\left[\prod_{(jk\ell)\in\Lambda_{2}^{*}}\delta_{G}(g_{jk\ell})\right] \left[\prod_{(jk\ellm)\in\Lambda_{3}^{*}}\delta_{H}(h_{jk\ellm})\right] \left[\prod_{(jk\ellmn)\in\Lambda_{4}}\delta_{L}(l_{jk\ellmn})\right] \\
\left[\prod_{(jk\ell)\in\Lambda_{2,\Sigma}}\delta_{G}(g_{jk\ell})\right] \left[\prod_{(jk\ellm)\in\Lambda_{3,\Sigma}}\delta_{H}(h_{jk\ellm})\right],$$
(29)

where $\Lambda_{i,\Sigma}$ are the sets of simplices of dimension i on the boundary Σ (here $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$). The sets Λ_i^* contain simplices in the bulk only, namely $\Lambda_1^* = \Lambda_1 \setminus \Lambda_{1,\Sigma}$ is the set of edges of $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{M})$ that are not the edges of $\mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$, and similarly for Λ_2^* and Λ_3^* .

Compared to (26), one can observe three new features of the state sum (29). First, the exponents in the top two rows have been modified by the presence of the boundary. Second, the integration (third row) is performed only over the group elements living on the simplices in the bulk, but not over the ones living on the simplices on the boundary. And third, the bottom row contains the Dirac delta terms corresponding to the boundary. Since the integration is performed only over the bulk, the state sum Z_{∂} is not a constant like Z_{\emptyset} , but instead a function of the group elements living on the boundary.

When the boundary is empty, one can see that the state sum (29) reduces to (26),

$$\left. \mathcal{Z}_{\partial} \right|_{\partial \mathcal{M} = \varnothing} = \mathcal{Z}_{\varnothing} \,. \tag{30}$$

Therefore, the state sum (29) is also invariant with respect to Pachner moves, provided that they do not modify the triangulation of the boundary, but act only on the bulk. In this sense, the state sum (29) depends on the choice of the triangulation of the boundary, but not on the choice of the triangulation in the bulk, and thus, Z_{∂} is also a topological invariant.

3.2 Construction of the Z functor

Having the definitions of \mathcal{Z}_{\emptyset} and \mathcal{Z}_{∂} in hand, we can proceed to the explicit construction of the functor Z from the category 3TCob to the category Hilb.

According to axiom 1 of Definition 2.9, to each triangulated 3-dimensional manifold $\mathcal{T}(\Sigma) \in Obj_{3TCob}$ the functor Z assigns a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{\Sigma} \in Obj_{Hilb}$. Denoting the numbers of edges, triangles and tetrahedra of the triangulation

 $\mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$ as $|\Lambda_{1,\Sigma}|$, $|\Lambda_{2,\Sigma}|$, and $|\Lambda_{3,\Sigma}|$ respectively, we choose the following Hilbert space of square-integrable functions over the three groups:

$$Z(\mathcal{T}(\Sigma)) = \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{L}^2(G^{|\Lambda_{1,\Sigma}|} \times H^{|\Lambda_{2,\Sigma}|} \times L^{|\Lambda_{3,\Sigma}|}).$$
(31)

If G, H and L are finite groups, the dimensions of their corresponding spaces of square-integrable functions are finite. Namely, in $\mathcal{L}^2(G)$ one can introduce a natural choice of basis vectors $\{ |g\rangle | g \in G \}$, whose components are indicator-functions $\chi_g : G \to \mathbb{C}$,

$$\langle g'|g \rangle \equiv \chi_g(g') = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } g' = g, \\ 0 & \text{if } g' \neq g, \end{cases} \quad \forall g' \in G,$$
(32)

and similarly for $\mathcal{L}^2(H)$ and $\mathcal{L}^2(L)$, so we have

$$\dim \mathcal{L}^2(G) = |G|, \qquad \dim \mathcal{L}^2(H) = |H|, \qquad \dim \mathcal{L}^2(L) = |L|.$$

Then, a basis element of the whole space \mathcal{H} can be written as a tensor product of appropriate number of copies of the basis vectors from these three spaces,

$$|g,h,l\rangle \equiv \bigotimes_{i=1}^{|\Lambda_{1,\Sigma}|} |g_i\rangle \bigotimes_{j=1}^{|\Lambda_{2,\Sigma}|} |h_j\rangle \bigotimes_{k=1}^{|\Lambda_{3,\Sigma}|} |l_k\rangle \in \mathcal{H},$$

and the total dimension of \mathcal{H} is given as:

$$\dim \mathcal{H} = |G|^{|\Lambda_{1,\Sigma}|} |H|^{|\Lambda_{2,\Sigma}|} |L|^{|\Lambda_{3,\Sigma}|}$$

The dual space \mathcal{H}^* can be defined in the usual way, as a space of linear functionals over \mathcal{H} , with a typical basis element denoted as $\langle g, h, l | \in \mathcal{H}^*$.

According to axiom 2 of Definition 2.9, to each 4-dimensional manifold \mathcal{M} with the boundary $\partial \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{T}(\bar{\Sigma}_1) \sqcup \mathcal{T}(\Sigma_2)$, the functor Z assigns the operator $Z(\mathcal{M}) = \hat{M} : \mathcal{H}_1 \to \mathcal{H}_2$, where $\mathcal{H}_1 = Z(\mathcal{T}(\Sigma_1))$ and $\mathcal{H}_2 = Z(\mathcal{T}(\Sigma_2))$ as above. Then, the operator \hat{M} is introduced as follows:

$$\hat{M} = \left[\prod_{(jk)\in\Lambda_{1,\Sigma_{1}}} \int_{G} dg'_{jk}\right] \left[\prod_{(jk\ell)\in\Lambda_{2,\Sigma_{1}}} \int_{H} dh'_{jk\ell}\right] \left[\prod_{(jk\ell m)\in\Lambda_{3,\Sigma_{1}}} \int_{L} dl'_{jk\ell m}\right] \\
\left[\prod_{(jk)\in\Lambda_{1,\Sigma_{2}}} \int_{G} dg_{jk}\right] \left[\prod_{(jk\ell)\in\Lambda_{2,\Sigma_{2}}} \int_{H} dh_{jk\ell}\right] \left[\prod_{(jk\ell m)\in\Lambda_{3,\Sigma_{2}}} \int_{L} dl_{jk\ell m}\right] \mathcal{Z}_{\partial} |g,h,l\rangle \otimes \langle g',h',l'|.$$
(33)

Several comments should be made regarding this definition. First, note that $Z_{\partial} = \langle g, h, l | Z(\mathcal{M}) | g', h', l' \rangle$ is the kernel (*i.e.*, the matrix element) of the operator \hat{M} , while keeping in mind that by its definition (29), Z_{∂} is a function of the group elements living on the boundary. More explicit notation would be $Z_{\partial}(g, h, l, g', h', l')$, but we denote it just as Z_{∂} for brevity.

Second, the definition (29) of the kernel \mathcal{Z}_{∂} assumes that the whole 4-dimensional manifold \mathcal{M} has been assigned some triangulation, $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{M})$, while according to axiom 2, only the boundary $\partial \mathcal{M}$ is assigned a triangulation. Nevertheless, given the fact that \mathcal{Z}_{∂} is in fact invariant with respect to Pachner moves (as long as they do not modify the boundary), it has the same value for any choice of the triangulation in the bulk of \mathcal{M} . Therefore, the operator \hat{M} does not in fact depend on the triangulation in the bulk, and for its explicit evaluation any choice $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{M})$ will do, as long as it is compatible with the fixed triangulation of the boundary, *i.e.*, $\partial \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{T}(\bar{\Sigma}_1) \sqcup \mathcal{T}(\Sigma_2)$.

Finally, note that the boundary $\mathcal{T}(\bar{\Sigma}_1) \sqcup \mathcal{T}(\Sigma_2)$ of the manifold \mathcal{M} has been chosen as a disjoint union because this provides a convenient set of Hilbert spaces \mathcal{H}_1 and \mathcal{H}_2 over which the operator \mathcal{M} is defined. However, no generality is lost in this way, since it is perfectly valid for either disjoint component of the boundary to be empty, or to be a disjoint union of multiple other components. In other words, any boundary $\partial \mathcal{M}$ of any manifold \mathcal{M} can be represented as a disjoint union of two (possibly empty) boundaries. We shall discuss some interesting examples below.

Returning to the axioms of Definition 2.9, once we have satisfied axioms 1 and 2 by the functor Z via (31) and (33), we need to make sure that the remaining axioms 3–10 are also satisfied by this choice. This task is taken up in Section 4, and the result is that all axioms are indeed satisfied, leading to our main result:

Theorem 3.1. The map Z, specified by (29), (31) and (33), is a functor between two dagger symmetric monoidal categories with a dual,

$$3TCob \longrightarrow Hilb$$

and thus represents a TQFT.

3.3 Examples

Let us now look at two specific examples. The first example is the functor Z corresponding to a manifold \mathcal{M} with no boundary, $\partial \mathcal{M} = \emptyset$. This can be understood as a special case of a manifold with the general boundary $\mathcal{T}(\bar{\Sigma}_1) \sqcup \mathcal{T}(\Sigma_2)$, with the choices $\Sigma_1 = \Sigma_2 = \emptyset_3$. The triangulations of both are then trivial, so there are no vertices, edges or tetrahedra living on the boundary. Then, according to (31), we have

$$Z(\mathcal{T}(\Sigma_1)) = \mathcal{H}_1 = \mathcal{L}^2(G^0 \times H^0 \times L^0) = \mathcal{L}^2(\{e\}) = \mathbb{C}.$$
(34)

Namely, the resulting Hilbert space is the space of square-integrable functions over a trivial group, and is therefore just the space of complex numbers, with dim $\mathcal{H}_1 = 1$. The same holds for the $\mathcal{T}(\Sigma_2)$ boundary — we have $\mathcal{H}_2 = \mathbb{C}$ and dim $\mathcal{H}_2 = 1$. Noting that the sole basis vector of \mathbb{C} is the number 1, according to (33) the action of the functor on the whole manifold \mathcal{M} gives the following operator:

$$Z(\mathcal{M}) = \hat{M} = \mathcal{Z}_{\varnothing} \, 1 \otimes 1 \equiv \mathcal{Z}_{\varnothing} \,, \tag{35}$$

taking into account (30). Since the manifold \mathcal{M} is closed, one assigns to it an arbitrary triangulation $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{M})$ and applies (26) to evaluate the state sum \mathcal{Z}_{\emptyset} . The value of \mathcal{Z}_{\emptyset} depends on the topology of \mathcal{M} , but not on the particular choice of the triangulation $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{M})$.

The second example corresponds to the choice $\Sigma_1 = \emptyset_3$, with $\Sigma_2 \equiv \Sigma$ remaining nontrivial and having some particular triangulation $\mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$ (see Figure 4). Then we have the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_1 = \mathbb{C}$, while \mathcal{H}_2 is given by (31). According to



Figure 4: The cobordism $\mathcal{M} : \varnothing \to \mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$.

(33), the action of the functor on the manifold \mathcal{M} gives the "operator" $|\Psi\rangle : \mathbb{C} \to \mathcal{H}_2$ as follows:

$$Z(\mathcal{M}) = |\Psi\rangle = \left[\prod_{(jk)\in\Lambda_{1,\Sigma_{2}}} \int_{G} dg_{jk}\right] \left[\prod_{(jk\ell)\in\Lambda_{2,\Sigma}} \int_{H} dh_{jk\ell}\right] \left[\prod_{(jk\ell m)\in\Lambda_{3,\Sigma}} \int_{L} dl_{jk\ell m}\right] \mathcal{Z}_{\partial} |g,h,l\rangle,$$

where the kernel (*i.e.*, the wavefunction) is:

$$\Psi(g, h, l) = \langle g, h, l | \Psi \rangle = \mathcal{Z}_{\partial}.$$

Here \mathcal{Z}_{∂} can be obtained from (29) by choosing a particular triangulation $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{M})$ such that $\partial \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$. This wavefunction is traditionally called the *Hartle-Hawking* wavefunction for the TQFT defined by the functor Z. There is one such wavefunction for each choice of the manifold \mathcal{M} , its boundary $\partial \mathcal{M} = \Sigma$ and for each particular triangulation $\mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$ of this boundary, but is independent of the choice of the triangulation of the bulk, $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{M})$.

3.4 Construction of the \tilde{Z} functor

We now wish to remove the dependence of the 3-dimensional manifold on the triangulation, that is, to construct a functor $\tilde{Z} : 3Cob \rightarrow Hilb$, from the category 3Cob of oriented cobordisms between 3-dimensional manifolds to the category Hilb of finite-dimensional Hilbert spaces. To this end, the functor $Z : 3TCob \rightarrow Hilb$ introduced above serves as an intermediate step. The construction follows the key ideas by Yetter. However, for the purpose of this work, it is enough to just outline the basic ideas of the construction, while a more rigorous treatment can be found in [9, 30, 31, 32].

The first thing to note is that different triangulations of the same 3-dimensional manifold form an equivalence class. Namely, given two different triangulations $\mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$ and $\mathcal{T}'(\Sigma)$ of the same manifold Σ , one can always transform between them using 3-dimensional Pachner moves (these are to be distinguished from the 4-dimensional Pachner moves acting on the bulk triangulations $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{M})$). Conversely, for any two triangulations $\mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$ and $\mathcal{T}'(\Sigma')$, if Σ' has different topology from Σ , their triangulations cannot be connected using Pachner moves, since the latter conserve the topology of a manifold. Let us therefore denote the set of all possible triangulations of a given manifold Σ as:

$$Trng(\Sigma) = \{ \mathcal{T}(\Sigma) \mid \Sigma \in Obj_{3Cob} \}.$$

This set is infinite, since one can always refine any given triangulation without bound. But it can be subdivided into subsets of triangulations which consist of the same numbers of vertices, edges, triangles and tetrahedra. Specifically, each triangulation $\mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$ can be assigned a 4-tuple of positive integers,

$$\lambda(\mathcal{T}(\Sigma)) = (|\Lambda_{0,\Sigma}|, |\Lambda_{1,\Sigma}|, |\Lambda_{2,\Sigma}|, |\Lambda_{3,\Sigma}|).$$

Finally, one can introduce an order relation over all such 4-tuples (for example a lexicographic order), and since all of its integers are positive, there will exist a *minimal element*. There are possibly several triangulations in $Trng(\Sigma)$ that correspond to this minimal 4-tuple — pick any of those and denote it as $\mathcal{T}_m(\Sigma)$. Such a triangulation can be qualitatively described as the "minimal" triangulation of the manifold Σ , since it contains the smallest number of vertices, edges, triangles and tetrahedra (in that order, based on the choice of lexicographic ordering of 4-tuples).

The purpose of the above construction is to demonstrate that each set $Trng(\Sigma)$ contains at least one such distinguished "simplest" triangulation $\mathcal{T}_m(\Sigma) \in Trng(\Sigma)$. This now enables us to construct the functor $\tilde{Z} : 3Cob \to Hilb$ as follows. Given any object $\Sigma \in Obj_{3Cob}$, find its minimal triangulation $\mathcal{T}_m(\Sigma)$, and then define the action of the functor \tilde{Z} on Σ to be equal to the action of the functor Z on $\mathcal{T}_m(\Sigma) \in Obj_{3TCob}$:

$$Z(\Sigma) = Z(\mathcal{T}_m(\Sigma)). \tag{36}$$

Note that, while there may be several triangulations that can be labeled as $\mathcal{T}_m(\Sigma)$, they are all mapped by \tilde{Z} to the same Hilbert space (see (31)), since they have the same 4-tuple $\lambda(\mathcal{T}_m(\Sigma))$, *i.e.*, they have the same numbers of vertices, edges, triangles and tetrahedra. Next, given any cobordism $\tilde{\mathcal{M}} : \Sigma_1 \to \Sigma_2$ which is a morphism in category 3Cob, one can find minimal triangulations of its source and target $\mathcal{T}_m(\Sigma_1)$ and $\mathcal{T}_m(\Sigma_2)$ respectively, and then define the action of the functor \tilde{Z} on $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ to be equal to the action of the functor Z on a corresponding morphism $\mathcal{M} : \mathcal{T}_m(\Sigma_1) \to \mathcal{T}_m(\Sigma_2)$ from the category 3TCob:

$$\tilde{Z}(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}) = Z(\mathcal{M}), \qquad (37)$$

where $Z(\mathcal{M})$ is given by (33).

The equations (36) and (37) thus define a map

$$3Cob \longrightarrow Hilb$$
,

which is obviously a functor, since Z is a functor.

Regarding the above construction, one should note that the functor \tilde{Z} depends on the choice of the ordering imposed on the 4-tuples $\lambda(\mathcal{T}(\Sigma))$ within the set $Trng(\Sigma)$. Such a choice is not unique. Namely, we have adopted the lexicographic ordering of 4-tuples, which essentially means that a triangulation $\mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$ is called *minimal*, and denoted $\mathcal{T}_m(\Sigma)$ if:

- it has the smallest number of vertices among all triangulations in the set $Trng(\Sigma)$, and given that,
- it has the smallest number of edges in $Trng(\Sigma)$, and given that,
- it has the smallest number of triangles in $Trng(\Sigma)$, and given that,
- it has the smallest number of tetrahedra in $Trng(\Sigma)$.

Alternatively, one could have instead adopted, for example, the reverse lexicographic ordering — a triangulation $\mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$ is called *minimal*, and denoted $\mathcal{T}_m(\Sigma)$ if:

- it has the smallest number of tetrahedra among all triangulations in the set $Trng(\Sigma)$, and given that,
- it has the smallest number of triangles in $Trng(\Sigma)$, and given that,
- it has the smallest number of edges in $Trng(\Sigma)$, and given that,
- it has the smallest number of vertices in $Trng(\Sigma)$.

In general, depending on the topology of Σ , the set of minimal triangulations in the latter case need not coincide with the set of minimal triangulations in the former case. Moreover, they may even be completely disjoint, so that not a single triangulation could be considered minimal with respect to both ordering schemes. In this sense, each choice of the ordering scheme gives rise to a functor \tilde{Z} , and different choices may correspond to different functors.

One should also note that instead of a minimal triangulation $\mathcal{T}_m(\Sigma)$, we could have chosen a completely random triangulation from the set $Trng(\Sigma)$, denoted $\mathcal{T}_r(\Sigma)$, and used that instead of $\mathcal{T}_m(\Sigma)$ in the definitions (36) and (37).

Each such random choice would give rise to one well-defined functor \tilde{Z} . However, this would be way too arbitrary. The intuition behind the requirement of choosing a minimal triangulation lies in the idea that every 3-manifold Σ should be assigned a *smallest possible* Hilbert space (*i.e.*, with the smallest possible dimension), such that a TQFT remains well defined. Namely, enlarging the dimension of a Hilbert space can always accommodate the topological properties of a manifold, but reducing the dimension is not always possible in this sense, so minimizing the sizes of Hilbert spaces can be considered worthwhile.

4 Proof of the TQFT axioms

In this section, we will show that the functor Z defined in Section 3 satisfies the axioms 3-10 given in Definition 2.9. The axioms will be discussed one by one, in order.

4.1 Functoriality axiom

Let us start with the functoriality axiom, equation (15). Consider two 4-manifolds $\mathcal{M}_A : \mathcal{T}(\Sigma_A) \to \mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$ and $\mathcal{M}_B : \mathcal{T}(\Sigma) \to \mathcal{T}(\Sigma_B)$ with a common boundary $\mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$ as shown in Figure 2. According to (33), the corresponding operators are:

$$\hat{M}_{A} = \left[\prod_{(jk)\in\Lambda_{1,\Sigma_{A}}}\int_{G} dg_{jk}^{A}\right] \left[\prod_{(jk\ell)\in\Lambda_{2,\Sigma_{A}}}\int_{H} dh_{jk\ell}^{A}\right] \left[\prod_{(jk\ell m)\in\Lambda_{3,\Sigma_{A}}}\int_{L} dl_{jk\ell m}^{A}\right]
\left[\prod_{(jk)\in\Lambda_{1,\Sigma}}\int_{G} dg_{jk}\right] \left[\prod_{(jk\ell)\in\Lambda_{2,\Sigma}}\int_{H} dh_{jk\ell}\right] \left[\prod_{(jk\ell m)\in\Lambda_{3,\Sigma}}\int_{L} dl_{jk\ell m}\right] \mathcal{Z}_{\partial}^{A} |g,h,l\rangle \otimes \langle g^{A},h^{A},l^{A}|,$$
(38)

and

$$\hat{M}_{B} = \left[\prod_{(jk)\in\Lambda_{1,\Sigma}} \int_{G} dg'_{jk}\right] \left[\prod_{(jk\ell)\in\Lambda_{2,\Sigma}} \int_{H} dh'_{jk\ell}\right] \left[\prod_{(jk\ell m)\in\Lambda_{3,\Sigma}} \int_{L} dl'_{jk\ell m}\right] \\
\left[\prod_{(jk)\in\Lambda_{1,\Sigma_{B}}} \int_{G} dg^{B}_{jk}\right] \left[\prod_{(jk\ell)\in\Lambda_{2,\Sigma_{B}}} \int_{H} dh^{B}_{jk\ell}\right] \left[\prod_{(jk\ell m)\in\Lambda_{3,\Sigma_{B}}} \int_{L} dl^{B}_{jk\ell m}\right] \mathcal{Z}^{B}_{\partial} |g^{B}, h^{B}, l^{B}\rangle \otimes \langle g', h', l'|.$$
(39)

The composition $\hat{M}_B \cdot \hat{M}_A$ features two integrations over the simplices of $\mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$, namely over nonprimed and primed group elements, and a scalar product $\langle g', h', l'|g, h, l \rangle$. Let us look at these integrations for a single given edge in $\Lambda_{1,\Sigma}$, and perform the integration over the primed variable as follows:

$$\begin{split} \int_{G} dg \int_{G} dg' \, \mathcal{Z}^{A}_{\partial}(g, \dots) \mathcal{Z}^{B}_{\partial}(g', \dots) \, \langle g' | g \rangle &= \int_{G} dg \, \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g' \in G} \, \mathcal{Z}^{A}_{\partial}(g, \dots) \mathcal{Z}^{B}_{\partial}(g', \dots) \, \chi_{g}(g') = \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|} \int_{G} dg \, \mathcal{Z}^{A}_{\partial}(g, \dots) \mathcal{Z}^{B}_{\partial}(g, \dots) \, . \end{split}$$

In the above we have assumed that G is a finite group, and we have used (1) and (32). In this way all integrals over primed group elements can be performed, leaving us with the resulting expression for the r.h.s. of (15):

$$\hat{M}_{B} \cdot \hat{M}_{A} = \left[\prod_{(jk)\in\Lambda_{1,\Sigma_{A}}} \int_{G} dg_{jk}^{A}\right] \left[\prod_{(jk\ell)\in\Lambda_{2,\Sigma_{A}}} \int_{H} dh_{jk\ell}^{A}\right] \left[\prod_{(jk\ellm)\in\Lambda_{3,\Sigma_{A}}} \int_{L} dl_{jk\ellm}^{A}\right] \\
\left[\prod_{(jk)\in\Lambda_{1,\Sigma_{B}}} \int_{G} dg_{jk}^{B}\right] \left[\prod_{(jk\ell)\in\Lambda_{2,\Sigma_{B}}} \int_{H} dh_{jk\ell}^{B}\right] \left[\prod_{(jk\ellm)\in\Lambda_{3,\Sigma_{B}}} \int_{L} dl_{jk\ellm}^{B}\right] \\
\frac{1}{\dim\mathcal{H}} \left[\prod_{(jk)\in\Lambda_{1,\Sigma}} \int_{G} dg_{jk}\right] \left[\prod_{(jk\ell)\in\Lambda_{2,\Sigma}} \int_{H} dh_{jk\ell}\right] \left[\prod_{(jk\ellm)\in\Lambda_{3,\Sigma}} \int_{L} dl_{jk\ellm}\right] \\
\mathcal{Z}_{\partial}^{B}(g^{B}, h^{B}, l^{B}; g, h, l) \mathcal{Z}_{\partial}^{A}(g, h, l; g^{A}, h^{A}, l^{A}) |g^{B}, h^{B}, l^{B}\rangle \otimes \langle g^{A}, h^{A}, l^{A}|,$$
(40)

where dim $\mathcal{H} = |G|^{|\Lambda_{1,\Sigma}|} |H|^{|\Lambda_{2,\Sigma}|} |L|^{|\Lambda_{3,\Sigma}|}$ is the dimension of the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} of the joint boundary $\mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$. On the other hand, according to (33), the l.h.s. of (15) gives us the following operator:

$$\hat{M}_{B\#A} = \left[\prod_{(jk)\in\Lambda_{1,\Sigma_{A}}} \int_{G} dg_{jk}^{A}\right] \left[\prod_{(jk\ell)\in\Lambda_{2,\Sigma_{A}}} \int_{H} dh_{jk\ell}^{A}\right] \left[\prod_{(jk\ell m)\in\Lambda_{3,\Sigma_{A}}} \int_{L} dl_{jk\ell m}^{A}\right] \\
\left[\prod_{(jk)\in\Lambda_{1,\Sigma_{B}}} \int_{G} dg_{jk}^{B}\right] \left[\prod_{(jk\ell)\in\Lambda_{2,\Sigma_{B}}} \int_{H} dh_{jk\ell}^{B}\right] \left[\prod_{(jk\ell m)\in\Lambda_{3,\Sigma_{B}}} \int_{L} dl_{jk\ell m}^{B}\right] \\
\mathcal{Z}_{\partial}^{B\#A} |g^{B}, h^{B}, l^{B}\rangle \otimes \langle g^{A}, h^{A}, l^{A}|.$$
(41)

Comparing the two expressions, we see that (15) will be satisfied iff the respective kernels satisfy the following equation:

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\partial}^{B\#A} = \frac{1}{\dim \mathcal{H}} \left[\prod_{(jk)\in\Lambda_{1,\Sigma}} \int_{G} dg_{jk} \right] \left[\prod_{(jk\ell)\in\Lambda_{2,\Sigma}} \int_{H} dh_{jk\ell} \right] \left[\prod_{(jk\ell m)\in\Lambda_{3,\Sigma}} \int_{L} dl_{jk\ell m} \right] \mathcal{Z}_{\partial}^{B} \mathcal{Z}_{\partial}^{A} .$$
(42)

Once we have reformulated the axiom in terms of the state sums, we proceed as follows. Using the equation (29), the state sum Z_{∂}^{A} is given as,

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\partial}^{A} = \mathcal{N}_{A} \bigg[\prod_{(jk)\in\Lambda_{1,A}^{*}} \int_{G} dg_{jk} \bigg] \bigg[\prod_{(jk\ell)\in\Lambda_{2,A}^{*}} \int_{H} dh_{jk\ell} \bigg] \bigg[\prod_{(jk\ell m)\in\Lambda_{3,A}^{*}} \int_{L} dl_{jk\ell m} \bigg] \bigg[\prod_{(jk\ell)\in\Lambda_{2,A}^{*}} \delta_{G}(g_{jk\ell}) \bigg] \bigg[\prod_{(jk\ell m)\in\Lambda_{3,A}} \delta_{H}(h_{jk\ell m}) \bigg] \bigg[\prod_{(jk\ell mn)\in\Lambda_{4,A}^{*}} \delta_{L}(l_{jk\ell mn}) \bigg],$$

$$(43)$$

where $\Lambda_{k,A}^* = \Lambda_{k,A} \setminus \Lambda_{k,\Sigma_A \sqcup \Sigma}$ is the set of bulk k-simplices $(k \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\})$, *i.e.*, those that are not on the boundary $\mathcal{T}(\Sigma_A) \sqcup \mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$. The factor \mathcal{N}_A is defined as:

$$\mathcal{N}_{A} = |G|^{-(|\Lambda_{0,A}| - \frac{|\Lambda_{0,\Sigma_{A} \sqcup \Sigma}|}{2}) + |\Lambda_{1,A}| - |\Lambda_{2,A}|} \\ |H|^{(|\Lambda_{0,A}| - \frac{|\Lambda_{0,\Sigma_{A} \sqcup \Sigma}|}{2}) - (|\Lambda_{1,A}| - \frac{|\Lambda_{1,\Sigma_{A} \sqcup \Sigma}|}{2}) + |\Lambda_{2,A}| - |\Lambda_{3,A}|} \\ |L|^{-(|\Lambda_{0,A}| - \frac{|\Lambda_{0,\Sigma_{A} \sqcup \Sigma}|}{2}) + (|\Lambda_{1,A}| - \frac{|\Lambda_{1,\Sigma_{A} \sqcup \Sigma}|}{2}) - (|\Lambda_{2,A}| - \frac{|\Lambda_{2,\Sigma_{A} \sqcup \Sigma}|}{2}) + |\Lambda_{3,A}| - |\Lambda_{4,A}|}.$$

$$(44)$$

The state sum \mathcal{Z}^B_{∂} is defined similarly. Also, $\mathcal{Z}^{B\#A}_{\partial}$ is given by the connected sum of manifolds \mathcal{M}_A and \mathcal{M}_B along their common boundary $\mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$. Now, let us substitute in the equation (42) the state sums \mathcal{Z}^A_{∂} and \mathcal{Z}^B_{∂} and simplify the resulting expression. We have that the r.h.s. of (42) is equal to:

$$r.h.s. = \frac{\mathcal{N}_{A}\mathcal{N}_{B}}{\dim \mathcal{H}} \bigg[\prod_{(jk)\in\Lambda_{1,\Sigma}} \int_{G} dg_{jk} \bigg] \bigg[\prod_{(jk\ell)\in\Lambda_{2,\Sigma}} \int_{H} dh_{jk\ell} \bigg] \bigg[\prod_{(jk\ell)\in\Lambda_{3,\Sigma}} \int_{L} dl_{jk\ellm} \bigg] \\ \bigg[\prod_{(jk\ell)\in\Lambda_{1,A}^{*}} \int_{G} dg_{jk} \bigg] \bigg[\prod_{(jk)\in\Lambda_{1,B}^{*}} \int_{G} dg_{jk} \bigg] \bigg[\prod_{(jk\ell)\in\Lambda_{2,A}^{*}} \int_{H} dh_{jk\ell} \bigg] \\ \bigg[\prod_{(jk\ell)\in\Lambda_{2,B}^{*}} \int_{H} dh_{jk\ell} \bigg] \bigg[\prod_{(jk\ellm)\in\Lambda_{3,A}^{*}} \int_{L} dl_{jk\ellm} \bigg] \bigg[\prod_{(jk\ellm)\in\Lambda_{3,B}^{*}} \int_{L} dl_{jk\ellm} \bigg] \\ \bigg[\prod_{(jk\ell)\in\Lambda_{2,A}} \delta_{G}(g_{jk\ell}) \bigg] \bigg[\prod_{(jk\ellm)\in\Lambda_{3,A}} \delta_{H}(h_{jk\ellm}) \bigg] \bigg[\prod_{(jk\ellmn)\in\Lambda_{4,A}} \delta_{L}(l_{jk\ellmn}) \bigg] \\ \bigg[\prod_{(jk\ell)\in\Lambda_{2,B}} \delta_{G}(g_{jk\ell}) \bigg] \bigg[\prod_{(jk\ellm)\in\Lambda_{3,B}} \delta_{H}(h_{jk\ellm}) \bigg] \bigg[\prod_{(jk\ellmn)\in\Lambda_{4,B}} \delta_{L}(l_{jk\ellmn}) \bigg] .$$

The integrations over the sets $\Lambda_{k,A}^*$, $\Lambda_{k,B}^*$, and $\Lambda_{k,\Sigma}$ join into the integrations over Λ_k^* of the manifold $\mathcal{M}_A \# \mathcal{M}_B$, for $k \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$. Also, the products over sets $\Lambda_{k,A}$ and $\Lambda_{k,B}$ join into the products over Λ_k and $\Lambda_{k,\Sigma}$, for $k \in \{2, 3\}$, since the simplices of $\mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$ are counted two times. Finally, the products over sets $\Lambda_{4,A}$ and $\Lambda_{4,B}$ join into the products

over the set Λ_4 . The equation (45) thus simplifies to:

$$r.h.s. = \frac{\mathcal{N}_A \mathcal{N}_B}{\dim \mathcal{H}} \bigg[\prod_{(jk) \in \Lambda_1^*} \int_G dg_{jk} \bigg] \bigg[\prod_{(jk\ell) \in \Lambda_2^*} \int_H dh_{jk\ell} \bigg] \bigg[\prod_{(jk\ell m) \in \Lambda_3^*} \int_L dl_{jk\ell m} \bigg] \\ \bigg[\prod_{(jk\ell) \in \Lambda_2} \delta_G(g_{jk\ell}) \bigg] \bigg[\prod_{(jk\ell m) \in \Lambda_3} \delta_H(h_{jk\ell m}) \bigg] \bigg[\prod_{(jk\ell mn) \in \Lambda_4} \delta_L(l_{jk\ell mn}) \bigg] \\ \bigg[\prod_{(jk\ell) \in \Lambda_{2,\Sigma}} \delta_G(g_{jk\ell}) \bigg] \bigg[\prod_{(jk\ell m) \in \Lambda_{3,\Sigma}} \delta_H(h_{jk\ell m}) \bigg].$$
(46)

Note that the squares of delta functions appear in the above expression, since the boundary $\mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$ from manifold \mathcal{M}_A was glued to the identical boundary $\mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$ from the manifold \mathcal{M}_B , and each carried a set of identical delta functions. Nevertheless, for finite groups G and H we have

$$\delta(g)^2 = \delta(e_G)\delta(g) = |G|\,\delta(g)\,,\qquad \delta(h)^2 = \delta(e_H)\delta(h) = |H|\,\delta(h)$$

Using the equation (44) for the factors \mathcal{N}_A and \mathcal{N}_B , and substituting the dimension of the Hilbert space dim \mathcal{H} , we obtain:

$$r.h.s. = \frac{1}{|G|^{|\Lambda_{1,\Sigma}|}|H|^{|\Lambda_{2,\Sigma}|}|L|^{|\Lambda_{3,\Sigma}|}} |G|^{-(|\Lambda_{0,A}| - \frac{|\Lambda_{0,\Sigma_{A} \sqcup \Sigma}|}{2}) + |\Lambda_{1,A}| - |\Lambda_{2,A}|} |G|^{-(|\Lambda_{0,B}| - \frac{|\Lambda_{0,\Sigma_{B} \sqcup \Sigma}|}{2}) + |\Lambda_{1,B}| - |\Lambda_{2,B}|} \\ |H|^{(|\Lambda_{0,A}| - \frac{|\Lambda_{0,\Sigma_{A} \sqcup \Sigma}|}{2}) - (|\Lambda_{1,A}| - \frac{|\Lambda_{1,\Sigma_{A} \sqcup \Sigma}|}{2}) + |\Lambda_{2,A}| - |\Lambda_{3,A}|} \\ |H|^{(|\Lambda_{0,B}| - \frac{|\Lambda_{0,\Sigma_{B} \sqcup \Sigma}|}{2}) - (|\Lambda_{1,B}| - \frac{|\Lambda_{1,\Sigma_{B} \sqcup \Sigma}|}{2}) + |\Lambda_{2,B}| - |\Lambda_{3,B}|} \\ |L|^{-(|\Lambda_{0,A}| - \frac{|\Lambda_{0,\Sigma_{B} \sqcup \Sigma}|}{2}) + (|\Lambda_{1,A}| - \frac{|\Lambda_{1,\Sigma_{B} \sqcup \Sigma}|}{2}) - (|\Lambda_{2,A}| - \frac{|\Lambda_{2,\Sigma_{A} \sqcup \Sigma}|}{2}) + |\Lambda_{3,A}| - |\Lambda_{4,A}|} \\ |L|^{-(|\Lambda_{0,B}| - \frac{|\Lambda_{0,\Sigma_{B} \sqcup \Sigma}|}{2}) + (|\Lambda_{1,B}| - \frac{|\Lambda_{1,\Sigma_{B} \sqcup \Sigma}|}{2}) - (|\Lambda_{2,B}| - \frac{|\Lambda_{2,\Sigma_{B} \sqcup \Sigma}|}{2}) + |\Lambda_{3,B}| - |\Lambda_{4,B}|} \\ \left[\prod_{(jk)\in\Lambda_{1}^{*}} \int_{G} dg_{jk}\right] \left[\prod_{(jk\ell)\in\Lambda_{2}^{*}} \int_{H} dh_{jk\ell}\right] \left[\prod_{(jk\ell m)\in\Lambda_{3}^{*}} \int_{L} dl_{jk\ell m}\right] \\ \left[\prod_{(jk\ell)\in\Lambda_{2}} \delta_{G}(g_{jk\ell})\right] \left[\prod_{(jk\ell m)\in\Lambda_{3}} \delta_{H}(h_{jk\ell m})\right] \left[\prod_{(jk\ell mn)\in\Lambda_{4}} \delta_{L}(l_{jk\ell mn})\right] |G|^{|\Lambda_{2,\Sigma}|} |H|^{|\Lambda_{3,\Sigma}|} .$$

$$(47)$$

Finally, after straightforward calculation of the exponents, the equation (47) reduces to

$$r.h.s. = |G|^{-(|\Lambda_0| - \frac{|\Lambda_{0,\Sigma_A \sqcup \Sigma_B}|}{2}) + |\Lambda_1| - |\Lambda_2|} |H|^{(|\Lambda_0| - \frac{|\Lambda_{0,\Sigma_A \sqcup \Sigma_B}|}{2}) - (|\Lambda_1| - \frac{|\Lambda_{1,\Sigma_A \sqcup \Sigma_B}|}{2}) + |\Lambda_2| - |\Lambda_3|}$$

$$|L|^{-(|\Lambda_0| - \frac{|\Lambda_{0,\Sigma_A \sqcup \Sigma_B}|}{2}) + (|\Lambda_1| - \frac{|\Lambda_{1,\Sigma_A \sqcup \Sigma_B}|}{2}) - (|\Lambda_2| - \frac{|\Lambda_{2,\Sigma_A \sqcup \Sigma_B}|}{2}) + |\Lambda_3| - |\Lambda_4|}$$

$$\left[\prod_{(jk) \in \Lambda_1^*} \int_G dg_{jk}\right] \left[\prod_{(jk\ell) \in \Lambda_2^*} \int_H dh_{jk\ell}\right] \left[\prod_{(jk\ell m) \in \Lambda_3^*} \int_L dl_{jk\ell m}\right]$$

$$\left[\prod_{(jk\ell) \in \Lambda_2} \delta_G(g_{jk\ell})\right] \left[\prod_{(jk\ell m) \in \Lambda_3} \delta_H(h_{jk\ell m})\right] \left[\prod_{(jk\ell mn) \in \Lambda_4} \delta_L(l_{jk\ell mn})\right],$$
(48)

which is equal to the state sum $\mathcal{Z}^{B\#A}_{\partial}$ for the manifold $\mathcal{M}_B \# \mathcal{M}_A$ with the boundary $\mathcal{T}(\Sigma_A) \sqcup \mathcal{T}(\Sigma_B)$, according to the definition (33). In other words, this is precisely the l.h.s. of the equation (42).

This demonstrates that the functor Z satisfies the functoriality axiom (15) in the definition 2.9.

4.2 Identity morphism axiom

Next we turn to the proof of the identity morphism axiom, namely the equation (16) in the definition 2.9. We begin by recalling that, given any triangulated manifold $\mathcal{T}(\Sigma) \in Obj_{3TCob}$, the cylinder cobordism $\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{T}(\Sigma)} = [0, 1] \times \mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$ indeed acts as its identity morphism in the category 3TCob (see Figure 3). Namely, given any cobordisms $\mathcal{M} : \mathcal{T}(\Sigma) \to \mathcal{T}(\Sigma')$ and $\mathcal{M}' : \mathcal{T}(\Sigma') \to \mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$ we have:

$$\operatorname{id}_{\mathcal{T}(\Sigma)} \# \mathcal{M}' = \mathcal{M}', \qquad \mathcal{M} \# \operatorname{id}_{\mathcal{T}(\Sigma)} = \mathcal{M}.$$
 (49)

Assuming that the functor Z assigns operators $\hat{M} = Z(\mathcal{M})$ and $\hat{M'} = Z(\mathcal{M'})$ to the cobordisms \mathcal{M} and $\mathcal{M'}$, and the operator $\hat{N} = Z(\operatorname{id}_{\mathcal{T}(\Sigma)})$ to the cylinder cobordism, one can apply the functor to (49), and employ the functoriality axiom (proved in previous subsection), to obtain

$$\hat{N} \cdot \hat{M}' = \hat{M}', \qquad \hat{M} \cdot \hat{N} = \hat{M},$$

for all possible cobordisms \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{M}' . Specifically, choosing \mathcal{M} to be the cylinder cobordism itself, we obtain

$$\hat{N} \cdot \hat{N} = \hat{N}$$

which means that \hat{N} is a projector. Then, assuming that the kernel of \hat{N} is trivial in the space $\mathcal{H} = Z(\mathcal{T}(\Sigma))$, elementary linear algebra gives us

 $\hat{N} = \hat{I}_{\mathcal{H}} \,,$

demonstrating that equation (16) is satisfied.

Regarding the assumption of the triviality of the kernel, one should note that for any vector $|\psi\rangle \in \ker(\hat{N})$ we have

$$\hat{M}|\psi\rangle = \hat{M}\cdot\hat{N}|\psi\rangle = 0$$
,

which means that $|\psi\rangle \in \ker(\hat{\mathcal{M}})$. Therefore, the kernel of \hat{N} is simultaneously the kernel of all operators from the set

$$\{ \widehat{M} = Z(\mathcal{M}) \mid \forall \mathcal{M} : \mathcal{T}(\Sigma) \to \mathcal{T}(\Sigma'), \, \forall \mathcal{T}(\Sigma') \in Obj_{3TCob} \}.$$

Given that there are infinitely many 4-dimensional and 3-dimensional manifolds, this set should be rather large, and despite the specific form of the functor Z, the common kernel (*i.e.*, the intersection of all kernels) for all operators \hat{M} from this set should be trivial. In the unlikely case that it is not trivial, we have demonstrated that it is equal to ker (\hat{N}) , so one could in principle redefine the functor Z so that the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = Z(\mathcal{T}(\Sigma))$ is not given by (31), but is instead equal to the image of \hat{N} ,

$$Z(\mathcal{T}(\Sigma)) = \operatorname{im}(\hat{N}).$$

With this redefinition, the kernel of \hat{N} becomes trivial, giving us again the result $\hat{N} = \hat{I}_{\mathcal{H}}$ and demonstrating that equation (16) is satisfied.

Let us also note that the identity operator $\hat{I}_{\mathcal{H}}$ can be written in the standard form (33) as

$$\hat{I}_{\mathcal{H}} = \left[\prod_{(jk)\in\Lambda_{1,\Sigma}} \int_{G} dg'_{jk}\right] \left[\prod_{(jk\ell)\in\Lambda_{2,\Sigma}} \int_{H} dh'_{jk\ell}\right] \left[\prod_{(jk\ell m)\in\Lambda_{3,\Sigma}} \int_{L} dl'_{jk\ell m}\right] \\
\left[\prod_{(jk)\in\Lambda_{1,\Sigma}} \int_{G} dg_{jk}\right] \left[\prod_{(jk\ell)\in\Lambda_{2,\Sigma}} \int_{H} dh_{jk\ell}\right] \left[\prod_{(jk\ell m)\in\Lambda_{3,\Sigma}} \int_{L} dl_{jk\ell m}\right] \mathcal{Z}_{\partial}^{\mathrm{id}} |g,h,l\rangle \otimes \langle g',h',l'|,$$
(50)

where the kernel $\mathcal{Z}_{\partial}^{id}$ is given as follows:

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\partial}^{\mathrm{id}} = (\dim \mathcal{H})^2 \prod_{(jk)\in\Lambda_{1,\Sigma}} \chi_{g_{jk}}(g'_{jk}) \prod_{(jk\ell)\in\Lambda_{2,\Sigma}} \chi_{h_{jk\ell}}(h'_{jk\ell}) \prod_{(jk\ell m)\in\Lambda_{3,\Sigma}} \chi_{l_{jk\ell m}}(l'_{jk\ell m}) \,. \tag{51}$$

Namely, for finite groups G, H and L, upon substituting (51) into (50), the normalization terms in (1) will cancel the factor $(\dim \mathcal{H})^2$ and the indicator functions will eliminate sums over primed variables, leaving us with the following expression:

$$\hat{I}_{\mathcal{H}} = \left[\prod_{(jk)\in\Lambda_{1,\Sigma}}\sum_{g_{jk}\in G}\right] \left[\prod_{(jk\ell)\in\Lambda_{2,\Sigma}}\sum_{h_{jk\ell}\in H}\right] \left[\prod_{(jk\ell m)\in\Lambda_{3,\Sigma}}\sum_{l_{jk\ell m}\in L}\right] |g,h,l\rangle \otimes \langle g,h,l|.$$
(52)

This has precisely the form $\hat{I} = \sum_{i} |i\rangle \otimes \langle i|$ of the identity operator in an orthonormal basis $\{|i\rangle | i = 1, ..., \dim \mathcal{H}\}$ of the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} .

4.3 Multiplicativity axiom

Next we turn to the proof of the multiplicativity axiom, namely the equations (17) and (18) in the definition 2.9. Suppose that we are given an object in the category 3TCob that is a disjoint union of two triangulated 3-dimensional manifolds $\mathcal{T}(\Sigma_1) \sqcup \mathcal{T}(\Sigma_2)$. Then, we want to show that its Hilbert space decomposes into a tensor product of subspaces corresponding to $\mathcal{T}(\Sigma_1)$ and $\mathcal{T}(\Sigma_2)$, *i.e.*, that it can be written in terms of the configurations of the edges, triangles, and tetrahedra of the triangulations $\mathcal{T}(\Sigma_1)$ and $\mathcal{T}(\Sigma_2)$.

The proof proceeds by recalling that the triangulations of Σ_1 and Σ_2 can be combined into a common refinement, namely that

$$\mathcal{T}(\Sigma_1) \sqcup \mathcal{T}(\Sigma_2) = \mathcal{T}(\Sigma_1 \sqcup \Sigma_2)$$

Denoting $\Sigma = \Sigma_1 \sqcup \Sigma_2$, we can write the sets of simplices of the common refinement as a disjoint union of the sets of simplices of $\mathcal{T}(\Sigma_1)$ and $\mathcal{T}(\Sigma_2)$, namely $\Lambda_{i,\Sigma} = \Lambda_{i,\Sigma_1} \sqcup \Lambda_{i,\Sigma_2}$, for i = 0, 1, 2, 3. As a consequence, we have that

$$G^{|\Lambda_{1,\Sigma}|} = G^{|\Lambda_{1,\Sigma_{1}}|} \times G^{|\Lambda_{1,\Sigma_{2}}|}, \qquad H^{|\Lambda_{2,\Sigma}|} = H^{|\Lambda_{2,\Sigma_{1}}|} \times H^{|\Lambda_{2,\Sigma_{2}}|}, \qquad L^{|\Lambda_{3,\Sigma}|} = L^{|\Lambda_{3,\Sigma_{1}}|} \times L^{|\Lambda_{3,\Sigma_{2}}|}.$$

Then, according to (31), the space $\mathcal{H} = Z(\mathcal{T}(\Sigma))$ is given as

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{L}^2(G^{|\Lambda_{1,\Sigma_1}|} \times G^{|\Lambda_{1,\Sigma_2}|} \times H^{|\Lambda_{2,\Sigma_1}|} \times H^{|\Lambda_{2,\Sigma_2}|} \times L^{|\Lambda_{3,\Sigma_1}|} \times L^{|\Lambda_{3,\Sigma_2}|}).$$

Rearranging the terms, we have

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{L}^2(G^{|\Lambda_{1,\Sigma_1}|} \times H^{|\Lambda_{2,\Sigma_1}|} \times L^{|\Lambda_{3,\Sigma_1}|} \times G^{|\Lambda_{1,\Sigma_2}|} \times H^{|\Lambda_{2,\Sigma_2}|} \times L^{|\Lambda_{3,\Sigma_2}|})\,,$$

which can then be split into the tensor product as:

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{L}^2(G^{|\Lambda_{1,\Sigma_1}|} \times H^{|\Lambda_{2,\Sigma_1}|} \times L^{|\Lambda_{3,\Sigma_1}|}) \otimes \mathcal{L}^2(G^{|\Lambda_{1,\Sigma_2}|} \times H^{|\Lambda_{2,\Sigma_2}|} \times L^{|\Lambda_{3,\Sigma_2}|}).$$

Again according to (31), the r.h.s. is precisely the tensor product of spaces $\mathcal{H}_1 = Z(\mathcal{T}_1(\Sigma_1))$ and $\mathcal{H}_2 = Z(\mathcal{T}_2(\Sigma_2))$. Therefore, equation (17) is satisfied.

Now we turn to equation (18). Given the cobordisms

$$\mathcal{M}_A: \mathcal{T}(\Sigma_1) \to \mathcal{T}(\Sigma'_1), \qquad \mathcal{M}_B: \mathcal{T}(\Sigma_2) \to \mathcal{T}(\Sigma'_2), \qquad \mathcal{M}_A \sqcup \mathcal{M}_B: \mathcal{T}(\Sigma_1) \sqcup \mathcal{T}(\Sigma_2) \to \mathcal{T}(\Sigma'_1) \sqcup \mathcal{T}(\Sigma'_2),$$

nd their corresponding operators

and their corresponding operators

$$\hat{M}_A = Z(\mathcal{M}_A), \qquad \hat{M}_B = Z(\mathcal{M}_B), \qquad \hat{M}_{A \sqcup B} = Z(\mathcal{M}_A \sqcup \mathcal{M}_B)$$

we can use the definition (33) and the factorization of the respective Hilbert spaces, to rewrite the equation (18) into the following requirement for the kernels of the three operators:

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\partial}^{A\sqcup B} = \mathcal{Z}_{\partial}^{A} \mathcal{Z}_{\partial}^{B} \,. \tag{53}$$

The state sums \mathcal{Z}^A_∂ and \mathcal{Z}^B_∂ are defined using the equation (29), where the boundaries are denoted by $\partial \mathcal{M}_A = \mathcal{T}(\bar{\Sigma}_1) \sqcup \mathcal{T}(\Sigma'_1)$ and $\partial \mathcal{M}_B = \mathcal{T}(\bar{\Sigma}_2) \sqcup \mathcal{T}(\Sigma'_2)$. If $\Lambda_{k,\mathcal{M}}$ denote the set of k-simplices of the triangulated manifold $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_A \sqcup \mathcal{M}_B$, and $\Lambda_{k,\mathcal{M}_A}$ and $\Lambda_{k,\mathcal{M}_B}$ the sets of k-simplices of the triangulated manifolds \mathcal{M}_A and \mathcal{M}_B . respectively, one can decompose the products of delta functions that appear on the left-hand side of the equation (53) to the products of delta functions corresponding to the simplices of \mathcal{M}_A and \mathcal{M}_B ,

$$\prod_{(jk\ell)\in\Lambda_{2,\mathcal{M}}}\delta_G(g_{jk\ell}) = \prod_{(jk\ell)\in\Lambda_{2,\mathcal{M}_A}}\delta_G(g_{jk\ell})\prod_{(jk\ell)\in\Lambda_{2,\mathcal{M}_B}}\delta_G(g_{jk\ell}),\tag{54}$$

$$\prod_{(jk\ell m)\in\Lambda_{3,\mathcal{M}}}\delta_{H}(h_{jk\ell m}) = \prod_{(jk\ell m)\in\Lambda_{3,\mathcal{M}_{A}}}\delta_{H}(h_{jk\ell m})\prod_{(jk\ell m)\in\Lambda_{3,\mathcal{M}_{B}}}\delta_{H}(h_{jk\ell m}),$$
(55)

$$\prod_{(jk\ell mn)\in\Lambda_{4,\mathcal{M}}}\delta_L(l_{jk\ell mn}) = \prod_{(jk\ell mn)\in\Lambda_{4,\mathcal{M}_A}}\delta_L(l_{jk\ell mn})\prod_{(jk\ell mn)\in\Lambda_{4,\mathcal{M}_B}}\delta_L(l_{jk\ell mn}).$$
(56)

Similarly, the integration over the set $\Lambda_{k,\mathcal{M}}^*$ decomposes into the integration over $\Lambda_{k,\mathcal{M}_A}^*$, and $\Lambda_{k,\mathcal{M}_B}^*$, so we have:

$$\prod_{(jk)\in\Lambda_{1,\mathcal{M}}^*}\int dg_{jk} = \prod_{(jk)\in\Lambda_{1,\mathcal{M}_A}^*}\int dg_{jk}\prod_{(jk)\in\Lambda_{1,\mathcal{M}_B}^*}\int dg_{jk}\,,\tag{57}$$

$$\prod_{(jk\ell)\in\Lambda_{2,\mathcal{M}}^*}\int dh_{jk\ell} = \prod_{(jk\ell)\in\Lambda_{2,\mathcal{M}_A}^*}\int dh_{jk\ell}\prod_{(jk\ell)\in\Lambda_{2,\mathcal{M}_B}^*}\int dh_{jk\ell}\,,\tag{58}$$

$$\prod_{(jk\ell m)\in\Lambda_{3,\mathcal{M}}^*}\int dl_{jk\ell m} = \prod_{(jk\ell m)\in\Lambda_{3,\mathcal{M}_A}^*}\int dl_{jk\ell m}\prod_{(jk\ell m)\in\Lambda_{3,\mathcal{M}_B}^*}\int dl_{jk\ell m} \,.$$
(59)

Finally, it is straightforward to verify that the product of constant factors \mathcal{N}_A and \mathcal{N}_B , defined analogously to (44), gives the constant factor $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{M}}$. Having these identities in hand, one obtains that the equation (53) is satisfied.

Thus the functor Z satisfies the multiplicativity axiom given by equations (17) and (18) in the definition 2.9.

4.4 Normalization axiom

Next we need to prove the equations (19) and (20) and equivalently (21), in the definition 2.9. First, according to (31), one can see that the Hilbert space corresponding to an empty manifold \emptyset_3 is:

$$\mathcal{H} = Z(\emptyset_3) = \mathcal{L}^2(G^0 \times H^0 \times L^0) \equiv \mathbb{C}.$$
(60)

In other words, when the boundary is empty, $\Sigma = \emptyset_3$, the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} is just the set of complex numbers \mathbb{C} . This has also already been evaluated in the first example in Subsection 3.3, see (34). Thus, (19) is satisfied.

Next, using the fact that $id_{\varnothing_3} = \varnothing_4$, according to (33) or equivalently (35) the l.h.s. of the equation (20) is equal to $Z(\varnothing_4) = Z_{\varnothing}^{\varnothing_4}$ where $Z_{\varnothing}^{\varnothing_4}$ is the evaluation of (26) over an empty manifold \varnothing_4 . The r.h.s. becomes $\hat{I}_{\mathbb{C}} = 1$, *i.e.*, the equation (20) reduces to:

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\varnothing} = 1, \tag{61}$$

which is yet another way to rewrite (20) that resembles (21). Explicitly evaluating the state sum (26) over an empty 4-manifold \emptyset_4 , one indeed obtains

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\varnothing}^{\varnothing_4} = |G|^0 |H|^0 |L|^0 = 1,$$

thus verifying that

$$Z(\emptyset_4) = 1$$

That is, equation (20) is satisfied.

4.5 Symmetric monoidal maps axiom

Regarding this axiom, we merely note that since all relevant maps (associator, left and right unitors, and swap) are essentially trivial in both categories, the statement that the functor Z maps them into each other is also trivially satisfied.

4.6 Involutory axiom

Next we consider the involutory axiom, given by the equation (23) in the definition 2.9. Let \mathcal{H}_{Σ} denote the Hilbert space associated with the triangulated 3-dimensional manifold $\mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$, namely $\mathcal{H}_{\Sigma} = Z(\mathcal{T}(\Sigma))$, and let $\mathcal{H}_{\overline{\Sigma}}$ denote the Hilbert space associated with the triangulated manifold $\mathcal{T}(\overline{\Sigma})$, namely $\mathcal{H}_{\overline{\Sigma}} = Z(\mathcal{T}(\overline{\Sigma}))$, where $\mathcal{T}(\overline{\Sigma})$ is the triangulation obtained from the triangulation $\mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$ by reversing the orientation of all its links, triangles and tetrahedra. Then, the involutory axiom states that $\mathcal{H}_{\overline{\Sigma}} = \mathcal{H}_{\Sigma}^{*}$, where \mathcal{H}_{Σ}^{*} denotes the dual Hilbert space of \mathcal{H}_{Σ} .

To demonstrate this, note that the triangulation $\mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$ and the triangulation $\mathcal{T}(\bar{\Sigma})$ have equal numbers of simplices, so their corresponding Hilbert spaces \mathcal{H}_{Σ} and $\mathcal{H}_{\bar{\Sigma}}$ are isomorphic, and also both are isomorphic to \mathcal{H}_{Σ}^* ,

$$\mathcal{H}_{\bar{\Sigma}} \cong \mathcal{H}_{\Sigma} \cong \mathcal{H}_{\Sigma}^*$$

Moreover, both the orientation reversal operation and the operation of taking the dual of a Hilbert space are involutions,

$$\mathcal{T}(\bar{\Sigma}) = \mathcal{T}(\Sigma), \qquad \mathcal{H}_{\Sigma}^{**} = \mathcal{H}_{\Sigma}.$$

Therefore, nothing prevents us from making an identification between orientation reversal and taking the dual, so we can basically define that

$$Z(\mathcal{T}(\bar{\Sigma})) = \mathcal{H}^*_{\Sigma}$$

Thus the involutory axiom (23) is satisfied.

4.7 Hermitian axiom

Next we consider the hermitian axiom, given by the equation (22) in the definition 2.9. The dagger in the category 3TCob maps each 4-dimensional manifold $\mathcal{M} : \mathcal{T}(\Sigma_1) \to \mathcal{T}(\Sigma_2)$ to its reverse manifold $\mathcal{M}^{\dagger} : \mathcal{T}(\Sigma_2) \to \mathcal{T}(\Sigma_1)$, while the dagger in the category Hilb maps each operator \hat{M} to its Hermitian adjoint operator \hat{M}^{\dagger} . Then, the hermitian axiom requires that the functor Z commutes with the dagger, *i.e.*, $Z(\mathcal{M}^{\dagger}) = \hat{M}^{\dagger}$, where $\hat{M} = Z(\mathcal{M})$. Using the equation (33), one can rewrite this condition in terms of the state sums as:

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\partial} = \mathcal{Z}_{\partial}^{\dagger}. \tag{62}$$

Here, according to (29), the state sum \mathcal{Z}_{∂} corresponding to the manifold \mathcal{M} is

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\partial} = \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{M}} \bigg[\prod_{(jk) \in \Lambda_{1}^{*}} \int_{G} dg_{jk} \bigg] \bigg[\prod_{(jk\ell) \in \Lambda_{2}^{*}} \int_{H} dh_{jk\ell} \bigg] \bigg[\prod_{(jk\ell m) \in \Lambda_{3}^{*}} \int_{L} dl_{jk\ell m} \bigg] \bigg[\prod_{(jk\ell) \in \Lambda_{2}} \delta_{G}(g_{jk\ell}) \bigg] \bigg[\prod_{(jk\ell m) \in \Lambda_{3}} \delta_{H}(h_{jk\ell m}) \bigg] \bigg[\prod_{(jk\ell mn) \in \Lambda_{4}} \delta_{L}(l_{jk\ell mn}) \bigg],$$

$$(63)$$

while the state sum $\mathcal{Z}^{\dagger}_{\partial}$ corresponding to the reversed manifold \mathcal{M}^{\dagger} is

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\partial}^{\dagger} = \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{M}^{\dagger}} \left[\prod_{(jk) \in \Lambda_{1}^{*}} \int_{G} dg_{jk} \right] \left[\prod_{(jk\ell) \in \Lambda_{2}^{*}} \int_{H} dh_{jk\ell} \right] \left[\prod_{(jk\ell m) \in \Lambda_{3}^{*}} \int_{L} dl_{jk\ell m} \right] \left[\prod_{(jk\ell) \in \Lambda_{2}} \delta_{G}(\overline{g_{jk\ell}}) \right] \left[\prod_{(jk\ell m) \in \Lambda_{3}} \delta_{H}(\overline{h_{jk\ell m}}) \right] \left[\prod_{(jk\ell mn) \in \Lambda_{4}} \delta_{L}(\overline{l_{jk\ell mn}}) \right].$$

$$(64)$$

Note that in the reversed manifold all simplices in the triangulation are reversed (both in the bulk and on the boundary), which implies that the group elements associated to those simplices are replaced with their corresponding group inverses. This is denoted by bars over the group elements inside the delta functions.

The orientation reversal does not change the number of simplices of a triangulation, so we have $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{M}} = \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{M}^{\dagger}}$. However, the consequences of orientation reversal on the group elements are not trivial, so in Lemmas B.1, B.2 and B.3, we prove that

$$\delta_G(g_{jk\ell}) = \delta_G(\overline{g_{jk\ell}}), \qquad \delta_H(h_{jk\ell m}) = \delta_H(\overline{h_{jk\ell m}}), \qquad \delta_L(l_{jk\ell m n}) = \delta_L(\overline{l_{jk\ell m n}}),$$

see Appendices B and C. Therefore, we have that (63) and (64) are indeed equal, so the hermitian axiom (22) is satisfied.

4.8 Unit and counit axiom

Finally, we consider the unit and counit axiom, given by the equations (25) in the definition 2.9.

Looking at Figure 3, we can first observe that cobordisms id_{Σ} , η_{Σ} and ϵ_{Σ} are formally different since they have different sources and targets, namely

$$\operatorname{id}_{\Sigma}: \mathcal{T}(\Sigma) \to \mathcal{T}(\Sigma) , \qquad \eta_{\Sigma}: \varnothing_3 \to \mathcal{T}(\bar{\Sigma}) \sqcup \mathcal{T}(\Sigma) , \qquad \epsilon_{\Sigma}: \mathcal{T}(\Sigma) \sqcup \mathcal{T}(\bar{\Sigma}) \to \varnothing_3 .$$

Nevertheless, they are all described by the same manifold \mathcal{M} (the cylinder) that has the same boundary $\partial \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{T}(\bar{\Sigma}) \sqcup \mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$. Therefore, we have

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\partial}^{\mathrm{id}} = \mathcal{Z}_{\partial}^{\eta} = \mathcal{Z}_{\partial}^{\epsilon} \,, \tag{65}$$

which can be trivially verified by explicitly writing down all three state sums according to the definition (29). This means that the kernels of the operators $Z(id_{\Sigma})$, $Z(\eta_{\Sigma})$ and $Z(\epsilon_{\Sigma})$ are identical, so the operators themselves differ only in the structure of their domain and codomain. Denoting the Hilbert space of $\mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$ as $\mathcal{H} \equiv Z(\mathcal{T}(\Sigma))$, the Hilbert space of $\mathcal{T}(\bar{\Sigma})$ is equal to \mathcal{H}^* according to the involutory axiom. Then, since $\partial \mathcal{M} = \bar{\Sigma} \sqcup \Sigma$, using the multiplicativity axiom we can see that the domains and codomains of the three operators are given as:

$$Z(\mathrm{id}_{\Sigma}):\mathcal{H}\to\mathcal{H}\,,\qquad Z(\eta_{\Sigma}):\mathbb{C}\to\mathcal{H}^*\otimes\mathcal{H}\,,\qquad Z(\epsilon_{\Sigma}):\mathcal{H}\otimes\mathcal{H}^*\to\mathbb{C}$$

In Subsection 4.2 we have already seen that the identity operator has the form (50) with the kernel (51). Regarding the unit operator, given its source and target, we can write it as:

$$Z(\eta_{\Sigma}) = \left[\prod_{(jk)\in\Lambda_{1,\Sigma}} \int_{G} dg'_{jk}\right] \left[\prod_{(jk\ell)\in\Lambda_{2,\Sigma}} \int_{H} dh'_{jk\ell}\right] \left[\prod_{(jk\ell m)\in\Lambda_{3,\Sigma}} \int_{L} dl'_{jk\ell m}\right] \\ \left[\prod_{(jk)\in\Lambda_{1,\Sigma}} \int_{G} dg_{jk}\right] \left[\prod_{(jk\ell)\in\Lambda_{2,\Sigma}} \int_{H} dh_{jk\ell}\right] \left[\prod_{(jk\ell m)\in\Lambda_{3,\Sigma}} \int_{L} dl_{jk\ell m}\right] \mathcal{Z}^{\eta}_{\partial} \langle g, h, l| \otimes |g', h', l' \rangle \otimes 1,$$

$$(66)$$

where the tensor multiplication with the number 1 indicates that the domain of the operator is the Hilbert space \mathbb{C} . To see that it has precisely the form as in the definition (12), we can act with it on an arbitrary number $z \in \mathbb{C}$, and remembering (65), perform the following calculation:

$$\begin{split} Z(\eta_{\Sigma})z \ &= z \bigg[\prod_{(jk)\in\Lambda_{1,\Sigma}} \int_{G} dg'_{jk} \bigg] \bigg[\prod_{(jk\ell)\in\Lambda_{2,\Sigma}} \int_{H} dh'_{jk\ell} \bigg] \bigg[\prod_{(jk\ell m)\in\Lambda_{3,\Sigma}} \int_{L} dl'_{jk\ell m} \bigg] \\ & \bigg[\prod_{(jk)\in\Lambda_{1,\Sigma}} \int_{G} dg_{jk} \bigg] \bigg[\prod_{(jk\ell)\in\Lambda_{2,\Sigma}} \int_{H} dh_{jk\ell} \bigg] \bigg[\prod_{(jk\ell m)\in\Lambda_{3,\Sigma}} \int_{L} dl_{jk\ell m} \bigg] \mathcal{Z}_{\partial}^{\mathrm{id}} \langle g,h,l| \otimes |g',h',l' \rangle \,. \end{split}$$

For the choice of finite groups G, H and L the integrals become normalized sums according to (1), and substituting (51) normalizations from the sums cancel the term $(\dim \mathcal{H})^2$ while the indicator functions eliminate the sums over primed variables. The r.h.s. then reduces to the form

$$Z(\eta_{\Sigma})z = z \left[\prod_{(jk)\in\Lambda_{1,\Sigma}}\sum_{g_{jk}\in G}\right] \left[\prod_{(jk\ell)\in\Lambda_{2,\Sigma}}\sum_{h_{jk\ell}\in H}\right] \left[\prod_{(jk\ell m)\in\Lambda_{3,\Sigma}}\sum_{l_{jk\ell m}\in L}\right] \langle g,h,l|\otimes |g,h,l\rangle,$$

which has precisely the same form $\eta_{\mathcal{H}}(z) = z \sum_{i} \langle i | \otimes | i \rangle$ as the unit map in the definition (12). This concludes the proof of the first equation in (25) for the unit and counit axiom of Definition 2.9.

Regarding the counit operator, given its source and target, we can write it as:

$$Z(\epsilon_{\Sigma}) = \left[\prod_{(jk)\in\Lambda_{1,\Sigma}} \int_{G} dg'_{jk}\right] \left[\prod_{(jk\ell)\in\Lambda_{2,\Sigma}} \int_{H} dh'_{jk\ell}\right] \left[\prod_{(jk\ell m)\in\Lambda_{3,\Sigma}} \int_{L} dl'_{jk\ell m}\right] \left[\prod_{(jk)\in\Lambda_{1,\Sigma}} \int_{G} dg_{jk}\right] \left[\prod_{(jk\ell)\in\Lambda_{2,\Sigma}} \int_{H} dh_{jk\ell}\right] \left[\prod_{(jk\ell m)\in\Lambda_{3,\Sigma}} \int_{L} dl_{jk\ell m}\right] \mathcal{Z}_{\partial}^{\epsilon} \ 1 \otimes |g,h,l\rangle \otimes \langle g',h',l'|,$$

$$(67)$$

where the tensor multiplication with the number 1 indicates that the codomain of the operator is the Hilbert space \mathbb{C} . To see that it has precisely the form as in the definition (12), we can act with it on an arbitrary basis operator

$$|g^A,h^A,l^A
angle\otimes\langle g^B,h^B,l^B|$$
 .

Acting with $|g, h, l\rangle \otimes \langle g', h', l'|$ on this operator is defined as a double scalar product of corresponding terms, namely $\langle g^B, h^B, l^B|g, h, l\rangle$ times $\langle g', h', l'|g^A, h^A, l^A\rangle$.

The action of the whole operator $Z(\epsilon_{\Sigma})$ is then given as follows:

$$Z(\epsilon_{\Sigma})|g^{A}, h^{A}, l^{A}\rangle \otimes \langle g^{B}, h^{B}, l^{B}| = \left| \prod_{(jk)\in\Lambda_{1,\Sigma}} \int_{G} dg'_{jk} \right| \left| \prod_{(jk\ell)\in\Lambda_{2,\Sigma}} \int_{H} dh'_{jk\ell} \right| \left| \prod_{(jk\ell m)\in\Lambda_{3,\Sigma}} \int_{L} dl'_{jk\ell m} \right| \\ \left[\prod_{(jk)\in\Lambda_{1,\Sigma}} \int_{G} dg_{jk} \right] \left[\prod_{(jk\ell)\in\Lambda_{2,\Sigma}} \int_{H} dh_{jk\ell} \right] \left[\prod_{(jk\ell m)\in\Lambda_{3,\Sigma}} \int_{L} dl_{jk\ell m} \right]$$
(68)
$$\mathcal{Z}_{\partial}^{\epsilon} \langle g^{B}, h^{B}, l^{B}|g, h, l\rangle \langle g', h', l'|g^{A}, h^{A}, l^{A}\rangle.$$

Now, remembering (65), substituting (51) and employing (1) for the case of finite groups G, H and L, we perform the calculation similar to the one for $Z(\eta_{\Sigma})$ above, to obtain that the r.h.s. is equal to:

$$r.h.s. = \left[\prod_{(jk)\in\Lambda_{1,\Sigma}}\sum_{g_{jk}\in G}\right] \left[\prod_{(jk\ell)\in\Lambda_{2,\Sigma}}\sum_{h_{jk\ell}\in H}\right] \left[\prod_{(jk\ell m)\in\Lambda_{3,\Sigma}}\sum_{l_{jk\ell m}\in L}\right] \langle g^B, h^B, l^B|g, h, l\rangle \langle g, h, l|g^A, h^A, l^A\rangle.$$

Looking at the form (52) of the unit operator $\hat{I}_{\mathcal{H}}$, one can observe that by moving the vector $\langle g^B, h^B, l^B |$ to the far left in front of all the sums, the r.h.s. in fact becomes

$$r.h.s. = \langle g^B, h^B, l^B | \hat{I}_{\mathcal{H}} | g^A, h^A, l^A \rangle,$$

which finally leads us to conclude that

$$Z(\epsilon_{\Sigma}) |g^{A}, h^{A}, l^{A}\rangle \otimes \langle g^{B}, h^{B}, l^{B}| = \langle g^{B}, h^{B}, l^{B}|g^{A}, h^{A}, l^{A}\rangle$$

This has precisely the same form $\epsilon_{\mathcal{H}}|i\rangle \otimes \langle j| = \langle j|i\rangle$ as the counit map in the definition (12). Thus, we have proved the second equation in (25) of the unit and counit axiom of Definition 2.9.

At this point, the proof that Z satisfies all axioms of Definition 2.9 is complete, and we can say that Z represents a genuine functor between categories 3TCob and Hilb.

5 Conclusion

Let us summarize the results of the paper. In Section 2, we provided an overview of the foundational concepts of category theory required to define Topological Quantum Field Theory within this specific framework. Specifically, we gave a detailed description of the categories Hilb, 3Cob, and 3TCob as three relevant examples of a dagger symmetric monoidal category with a dual. Given these, we have seen that Atiyah's axioms, initially given as abstract

requirements for a TQFT, seamlessly translate into the criteria for a functor between the category of cobordisms and the category of vector spaces. In Section 3, we presented our main results — we introduced functors Z and \tilde{Z} , using an extension of the 3BF state sum defined in [26], discussed two illustrative examples, and formulated a theorem that Z is a genuine functor from 3TCob to Hilb, and consequently that \tilde{Z} is a genuine functor from 3Cob to Hilb. The resulting TQFT should coincide with Porter's TQFT [27, 28] for d = 4 and n = 3. In Section 4, we gave a detailed proof of our theorem, demonstrating that the functor Z satisfies all axioms. Since certain parts of the proofs rely on lengthy calculations, they are presented in the Appendices.

The results presented in this paper complete the Step 2 of the spinfoam quantization programme and provide the necessary mathematical foundations underlying the physically relevant quantum gravity model, namely the one based on the constrained 3BF action for gravity coupled to matter fields, as introduced in [23]. We should mention that this is part of a wider research program of building a physically realistic quantum gravity model using the framework of higher gauge theory, and several other interesting results have been obtained so far [33, 23, 34, 35, 26, 24, 25]. Investigation is underway regarding the Step 3 of the spinfoam quantization programme, as well as the study of the various properties of the resulting class of quantum gravity models.

As a final note, we should emphasize that the state sum Z_{∂} and the functor Z, as introduced in this work, have been rigorously defined (and the TQFT axioms have been rigorously verified) only for the choice of finite groups G, H and L that make up a 3-group. Extending the TQFT to the choice of Lie groups, while formally possible, is more problematic due to the following issues:

- In the case of Lie groups, the cardinalities |G|, |H|, and |L| of the three groups become infinite. This implies that the state sum Z_{∂} becomes divergent, a well known property of many other such manifold invariants (such as the Ponzano-Regge invariant [10], and so on).
- The Hilbert spaces, which are objects in *Hilb*, become infinite-dimensional. While this is not a problem in itself, it does break the involution axiom of a TQFT, since the dual *H*^{*} of an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space *H* is not isomorphic to *H* anymore, and *H*^{**} ≠ *H*, as per the Riesz representation theorem.
- One of the steps in the verification of the functoriality axiom involved squares of Dirac delta functions over a group. While for finite groups such squares are well-defined and can be evaluated, for Lie groups these are in general not well defined, or at least are more delicate to handle and would require special attention.

One possible strategy to deal with the above issues is to consider a Lie group as some form of a limit of a finite group. For example, the group SO(2) of arbitrary rotations in a plane could be regarded as the finite cyclic group C_n of rotations by integer multiples of the angle $\theta = 2\pi/n$, in the limit $n \to \infty$. If such limits can be made well defined and rigorous, one could expect that the state sum Z_{∂} and the functor Z could also be understood as a corresponding limit. However, these ideas require further research, if they are to be made more rigorous.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Tim Porter, João Faria Martins and Aleksandar Miković for fruitful discussions.

This work was supported by the Ministry of Science, Technological development and Innovations of the Republic of Serbia. In addition, the authors were supported by the Science Fund of the Republic of Serbia, grant 7745968, "Quantum Gravity from Higher Gauge Theory 2021" — QGHG-2021. The contents of this publication are the sole responsibility of the authors and can in no way be taken to reflect the views of the Science Fund of the Republic of Serbia.

A 3-groups

In the framework of the category theory, a 2-group is defined as a 2-category consisting of only one object, where all the morphisms and 2-morphisms are invertible. It is demonstrated that every strict 2-group can be conveniently encoded by a crossed module structure, denoted by $(H \xrightarrow{\partial} G, \rhd)$.

A pre-crossed module $(H \xrightarrow{\partial} G, \triangleright)$ of groups G and H, is given by a group map $\partial : H \to G$, together with a left action \triangleright of G on both groups, by automorphisms, such that the group G acts on itself via conjugation, *i.e.*, for each $g_1, g_2 \in G$,

$$g_1 \triangleright g_2 = g_1 g_2 g_1^{-1}$$
,
and for each $h_1, h_2 \in H$ and $g \in G$ the following identity holds:
 $g\partial h g^{-1} = \partial(g \triangleright h)$.

In a pre-crossed module the Peiffer commutator is defined as:

$$\langle h_1, h_2 \rangle_{\mathsf{p}} = h_1 h_2 h_1^{-1} \partial(h_1) \triangleright h_2^{-1} .$$
 (69)

A pre-crossed module is a crossed module if all of its Peiffer commutators are trivial, *i.e.*, the Peiffer identity is satisfied:

$$(\partial h_1) \triangleright h_2 = h_1 h_2 h_1^{-1} \,. \tag{70}$$

Within the category theory formalism, one can continue the generalization one step further, and generalize the notion of a 2-group to the notion of a 3-group. The study of 3-groups within the category theory provides a versatile framework to explore and generalize notions of symmetry. Similar to the definitions of a group and a 2-group, a 3-group is defined as a 3-category with only one object, where all morphisms, 2-morphisms, and 3-morphisms are invertible. Drawing parallels to the equivalence between a strict 2-group and a crossed-module, one can show that a 2-crossed module encodes a semistrict 3-group — Gray group [36, 37].

A 2-crossed module $(L \xrightarrow{\delta} H \xrightarrow{\partial} G, \triangleright, \{_, _\}_p)$ is a chain complex of three groups G, H, and L,

 $L \xrightarrow{\delta} H \xrightarrow{\partial} G$,

together with maps ∂ and δ (such that $\partial \delta = 1_G$), an action \triangleright of the group G on all three groups, and a map $\{_,_\}_p$ called the Peiffer lifting:

$$\{_,_\}_{\mathrm{p}}: H \times H \to L$$

The maps ∂ and δ , and the Peiffer lifting are *G*-equivariant, *i.e.*, for each $g \in G$ and $h \in H$

 $g \rhd \partial(h) = \partial(g \rhd h) \,, \qquad \qquad g \rhd \delta(l) = \delta(g \rhd l) \,,$

and for each $h_1, h_2 \in H$ and $g \in G$:

$$g \triangleright \{h_1, h_2\}_{\mathbf{p}} = \{g \triangleright h_1, g \triangleright h_2\}_{\mathbf{p}}.$$

The action of the group G on the groups H and L is a smooth left action by automorphisms, *i.e.*, for each $g, g_1, g_2 \in G$, $h_1, h_2 \in H$, $l_1, l_2 \in L$ and $k \in H, L$,

 $g_1 \rhd (g_2 \rhd k) = (g_1g_2) \rhd k$, $g \rhd (h_1h_2) = (g \rhd h_1)(g \rhd h_2)$, $g \rhd (l_1l_2) = (g \rhd l_1)(g \rhd l_2)$. The action of the group G on itself is again via conjugation. Further, the following identities are satisfied:

$$\delta(\{h_1, h_2\}_{\mathbf{p}}) = \langle h_1, h_2 \rangle_{\mathbf{p}}, \qquad \forall h_1, h_2 \in H;$$
(71a)

$$[l_1, l_2] = \{\delta(l_1), \delta(l_2)\}_{\mathbf{p}}, \qquad \forall l_1, l_2 \in L, \quad \text{where } [l, k] = lkl^{-1}k^{-1}; \tag{71b}$$

$$\{h_1h_2, h_3\}_{\mathbf{p}} = \{h_1, h_2h_3h_2^{-1}\}_{\mathbf{p}}\partial(h_1) \triangleright \{h_2, h_3\}_{\mathbf{p}}, \qquad \forall h_1, h_2, h_3 \in H;$$
(71c)

$$\{h_1, h_2 h_3\}_{\mathbf{p}} = \{h_1, h_2\}_{\mathbf{p}} \{h_1, h_3\}_{\mathbf{p}} \{\langle h_1, h_3 \rangle_{\mathbf{p}}^{-1}, \partial(h_1) \rhd h_2\}_{\mathbf{p}}, \quad \forall h_1, h_2, h_3 \in H;$$
(71d)

$$\{\delta(l),h\}_{\mathbf{p}}\{h,\delta(l)\}_{\mathbf{p}} = l(\partial(h) \triangleright l^{-1}), \qquad \forall h \in H, \quad \forall l \in L.$$
(71e)

In a 2-crossed module the structure $(L \xrightarrow{\delta} H, \rhd')$ is a crossed module, where the action \rhd' of the group H on the group L is defined for each $h \in H$ and $l \in L$ as:

$$h \triangleright' l = l \{\delta(l)^{-1}, h\}_{p}.$$
 (72)

As $(L \xrightarrow{\delta} H, \rhd')$ is a crossed module, it follows that the Peiffer identity is satisfied for each $l_1, l_2 \in L$:

$$\delta(l_1) \vartriangleright' l_2 = l_1 \, l_2 \, l_1^{-1} \,. \tag{73}$$

However, the structure $(H \xrightarrow{\partial} G, \rhd)$ in the general case does not form a crossed module, but a pre-crossed module, and for each $h, h' \in H$ the Peiffer commutator does not necessarily vanish.

The following identities hold, for each $h_1, h_2, h_3 \in H$ [37]:

$$[h_1h_2, h_3]_{\mathbf{p}} = (h_1 \triangleright' \{h_2, h_3\}_{\mathbf{p}})\{h_1, \partial(h_2) \triangleright h_3\}_{\mathbf{p}},$$
(74)

$$\{h_1, h_2h_3\}_{\mathbf{p}} = \{h_1, h_2\}_{\mathbf{p}}(\partial(h_1) \rhd h_2) \rhd' \{h_1, h_3\}_{\mathbf{p}}.$$
(75)

Using the condition (71e) in the definition of a 2-crossed module, it follows that for each $h \in H$ and $l \in L$ one has the identity:

$$\{h, \delta(l)^{-1}\}_{\mathbf{p}} = (h \rhd' l^{-1})(\partial(h) \rhd l).$$
(76)

Moreover, using the previous identities, one can derive the following. For each $h_1, h_2 \in H$,

$$\{h_1, h_2\}_{p}^{-1} = h_1 \rhd' \{h_1^{-1}, \partial(h_1) \rhd h_2\}_{p},$$

$$(77)$$

$$\{h_1, h_2\}_{p}^{-1} = \partial(h_1) \triangleright \{h_1^{-1}, h_1 h_2 h_1^{-1}\}_{p},$$

$$\{h_1, h_2\}_{-1}^{-1} = (h_1 h_2 h_2^{-1}) \triangleright' \{h_1, h_2^{-1}\}_{p}$$
(78)

$$\{h_1, h_2\}_{p} = (h_1 h_2 h_1) \triangleright \{h_1, h_2\}_{p},$$

$$\{h_1, h_2\}_{p}^{-1} = (\partial(h_1) \triangleright h_2) \triangleright' \{h_1, h_2^{-1}\}_{p}.$$

$$(80)$$

A reader interested in more details about 3-groups is referred to [38].

B Three Lemmas

Lemma B.1 (Orientation reversal for a triangle). Given the first equation in (27), we have

$$\delta_G(g_{jk\ell}) = \delta_G(\overline{g_{jk\ell}}) \,,$$

where $\overline{g_{jk\ell}}$ is the orientation reversal of $g_{jk\ell}$, namely:

$$\overline{g_{jk\ell}} = g_{jl}g_{jk}^{-1}g_{kl}^{-1}\partial(h_{jkl})^{-1}$$

To prove this, let us consider a triangle, $(jk\ell)$. The edges (jk), j < k, are labeled by group elements $g_{jk} \in G$ and the triangle $(jk\ell)$, $j < k < \ell$, by element $h_{jk\ell} \in H$. Consider the diagram (81).



The curve $\Sigma_1 = g_{k\ell}g_{jk}$ is the source and the curve $\Sigma_2 = g_{j\ell}$ is the target of the surface morphism $\Sigma : \Sigma_1 \to \Sigma_2$, labeled by the group element $h_{jk\ell}$, *i.e.*,

$$g_{j\ell} = \partial(h_{jk\ell})g_{k\ell}g_{jk} , \qquad (82)$$

which gives rise to the δ -function corresponding to the triangle

$$\delta_G(g_{j\ell}^{-1}\partial(h_{jk\ell})g_{k\ell}g_{jk}). \tag{83}$$

Let us reverse the orientation of the curves, edges of the triangle, and surface of the triangle. The reversal is shown on the diagram (84),



where $\tilde{h}_{jkl} = (g_{kl}g_{jk})^{-1} \triangleright h_{jkl}$. Similarly as in the previous diagram, one can write that

$$g_{j\ell}^{-1} = \partial(\tilde{h}_{jk\ell})^{-1} g_{jk}^{-1} g_{k\ell}^{-1} .$$
(85)

In other words, the δ -function for the reversed orientation triangle is given as

$$\delta_G(g_{jl}\partial(\tilde{h}_{jkl})^{-1}g_{jk}^{-1}g_{kl}^{-1}) = \delta_G(g_{jl}g_{jk}^{-1}g_{kl}^{-1}\partial(h_{jkl})^{-1}g_{kl}g_{jk}g_{jk}^{-1}g_{kl}^{-1}) = \delta_G(g_{jl}g_{jk}^{-1}g_{kl}^{-1}\partial(h_{jkl})^{-1}).$$
(86)

This expression is equivalent to the δ -function given by the equation (83).

Lemma B.2 (Orientation reversal for a tetrahedron). Given the second equation in (27), we have

$$\delta_H(h_{jk\ell m}) = \delta_H(\overline{h_{jk\ell m}}) \,,$$

where $\overline{h_{jk\ell m}}$ is the orientation reversal of $h_{jk\ell m}$.

We cut the tetrahedron surface along the edge (jm), which determines the ordering of the vertical composition of the constituent surfaces.

To prove this, let us consider a tetrahedron, $(jk\ell m)$. The edges (jk), j < k, are labeled by group elements $g_{jk} \in G$ and the triangles $(jk\ell)$, $j < k < \ell$, by elements $h_{jk\ell} \in H$, and the tetrahedron $(jk\ell m)$, $j < k < \ell < m$ by the group element $l_{jk\ell m} \in L$. The triangles $(jk\ell)$ are oriented so that the source curve is $g_{k\ell}g_{jk}$ and the target curve is $g_{j\ell}$, *i.e.* $g_{j\ell} = \partial(h_{jk\ell})g_{k\ell}g_{jk}$.

Consider the diagram (87). We first move the curve from $g_{k\ell}g_{jk}$ to the curve $g_{j\ell}$. To compose the result with the triangle $(j\ell m)$, one first has to whisker it from the left by $g_{\ell m}$. Then, the two morphisms are vertically composable, and the resulting 2-morphism moves the curve to g_{jm} . The following 2-morphism is obtained,



or equivalently,



which gives the interchanging 2-arrow identity 3-morphism:

$$(1_G, h_{j\ell m}) \#_1 \left(g_{\ell m} \#_1(g_{k\ell} g_{jk}, h_{jk\ell}) \right) = \left(g_{\ell m} g_{k\ell} g_{jk}, h_{j\ell m}(g_{\ell m} \rhd h_{jk\ell}), 1_L \right).$$
(88)

Let us then consider the diagram (89). We first move the curve from $g_{\ell m}g_{k\ell}$ to the curve g_{km} . To compose the result with the triangle (jkm), one first has to whisker it from the right by g_{jk} . Now the two morphisms are vertically composable, and the resulting 2-morphism moves the curve to g_{jm} . The following 2-morphism is obtained,



or, equivalently,



which gives the interchanging 2-arrow identity 3-morphism:

$$(1_G, h_{jkm}) \#_1(g_{\ell m} g_{k\ell} g_{jk}, h_{k\ell m}) = (g_{\ell m} g_{k\ell} g_{jk}, h_{jkm} h_{k\ell m}).$$
(90)

The two surfaces Σ_1 and Σ_2 , depicted on the diagrams (87) and (89), have the same source and target, $\Sigma_1 : g_{\ell m} g_{k\ell} g_{jk} \to g_{jm}$ and $\Sigma_2 : g_{\ell m} g_{k\ell} g_{jk} \to g_{jm}$. Now, moving from surface shown on the diagram (87) to the surface shown on the diagram (89) is given by the volume morphism $\mathcal{V} : \Sigma_1 \to \Sigma_2$ determined by the group element $l_{jk\ell m}$, i.e.,

$$(g_{\ell m}g_{k\ell}g_{jk}, h_{jkm}h_{k\ell m}) = \left(g_{\ell m}g_{k\ell}g_{jk}, \delta(l_{jk\ell m})h_{j\ell m}(g_{\ell m} \triangleright h_{jk\ell})\right), \tag{91}$$

that gives the relation,

$$h_{jkm}h_{k\ell m} = \delta(l_{jk\ell m})h_{j\ell m}(g_{\ell m} \triangleright h_{jk\ell}), \qquad (92)$$

giving rise to the δ -function corresponding to the tetrahedron $(jk\ell m)$:

$$\delta_H(\delta(l_{jk\ell m})h_{j\ell m}(g_{\ell m} \triangleright h_{jk\ell})h_{k\ell m}^{-1}h_{jkm}^{-1}).$$

$$\tag{93}$$

Now, let us reverse the orientation of the curves, surfaces, and volume of a tetrahedron $(jk\ell m)$. The first diagram (87) now reduces to,



giving rise to the interchanging 2-arrow 3-morphism with the source surface $\Sigma_1 : (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{jk\ell}(g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{j\ell m}$ and the target surface is given as $\Sigma_2 : (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{j\ell m}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{jk\ell}$,

$$\left(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk\ell}(g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell m}, \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk\ell}, (g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell m}\}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}\right).$$
(94)

The next step is to calculate the 3-morphism obtained by reversing the orientation of the curves and surfaces of the diagram (89),



giving rise to the interchanging 2-arrow 3-morphism with the source surface $\Sigma_1 : (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}$ and the target surface is given as $\Sigma_2 : (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m},$

$$\left(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}, \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}\}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}\right).$$
(95)

The morphisms given by the equations (94) and (95) are connected by the 3-morphism $(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) > l_{jk\ell m}^{-1}$, which gives the relation

$$(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \triangleright \delta(l_{jk\ell m})^{-1}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{jkm}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{k\ell m} = (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{j\ell m}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{jk\ell} .$$
(96)

This gives the δ -function,

$$\delta_{H} \left((g_{jk}^{-1} g_{k\ell}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd \delta(l_{jk\ell m})^{-1} (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{k\ell}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm} (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{k\ell}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m} (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{k\ell}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk\ell}^{-1} (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{k\ell}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell m}^{-1} \right),$$

$$(97)$$

which when multiplied with $g_{\ell m}g_{k\ell}g_{jk}$ reduces to

$$\delta_H \left(\delta(l_{jk\ell m})^{-1} h_{jkm} h_{k\ell m} g_{\ell m} \rhd h_{jk\ell}^{-1} h_{j\ell m}^{-1} \right), \tag{98}$$

which is the same as the δ -function (93) for a tetrahedron before the orientation reversal.

Lemma B.3 (Orientation reversal for a 4-simplex). Given the third equation in (27), we have

$$\delta_L(l_{jk\ell mn}) = \delta_L(\overline{l_{jk\ell mn}})$$

where $\overline{l_{jk\ell mn}}$ is the orientation reversal of $l_{jk\ell mn}$.

To prove this, let us consider a 4-simplex, $(jk\ell mn)$. The edges (jk), j < k, are labeled by group elements $g_{jk} \in G$, the triangles $(jk\ell)$, $j < k < \ell$, by elements $h_{jk\ell} \in H$, and the tetrahedrons $(jk\ell m)$, $j < k < \ell < m$, by the group

element $l_{jk\ell m} \in L$. The triangles $(jk\ell)$ are oriented so that the source curve is $g_{k\ell}g_{jk}$ and the target curve is $g_{j\ell}$, *i.e.*, $g_{j\ell} = \partial(h_{jk\ell})g_{k\ell}g_{jk}$, and the tetrahedrons $(jk\ell m)$ are oriented so that the source surface is $h_{j\ell m}(g_{\ell m} \triangleright h_{jk\ell})$ and the target surface is $h_{jkm}h_{k\ell m}$, *i.e.*, $h_{jkm}h_{k\ell m} = \delta(l_{jk\ell m})h_{j\ell m}(g_{\ell m} \triangleright h_{jk\ell})$. Now let us consider the diagrams from the lemma III.3 in [26] where all the morphisms, 2-morphisms and 3-morphisms have the inverted orientation.

Let us first cut the 4-simplex volume along the surface $\Sigma_1 : (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell\ell}(g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell m}(g_{jm}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn}$. We want to construct the 3-morphisms with the target surface Σ_1 and the source surface $\Sigma_2 : (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}(g_{jm}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn}$. Let us consider the diagram (100). We first move the surface from $(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm} (0) = h_{jkm} (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}$ to surface $(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \succ h_{k\ell m}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}) = h_{jkm}$ to surface $(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \succ h_{j\ell m}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \succ h_{jk\ell}(g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \succ h_{j\ell m}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \succ h_{j\ell m})$ one moves to the surface $(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \succ h_{j\ell m}$. The obtained 3-morphism can be whiskered from below with this 2-morphism, and the resulting 3-morphism is $(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \succ h_{\ell m}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \succ h_{\ell m}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_$

$$\tilde{l}_{jk\ell m}^{-1} = \{ (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk\ell}, (g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell m} \}_{\mathbf{P}} (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd l_{jk\ell m}^{-1} \{ (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm} \}_{\mathbf{P}}^{-1},$$
(99)



Let us now consider the diagram (101). We want to construct the 3-morphism with the source surface Σ_3 : $(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{k\ell m}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{kmn}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{kn}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{jkn}$ and the target surface Σ_2 : $(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{k\ell m}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{kn}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{jkn}(g_{jm}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{jmn}$. To do this, one again, similarly as it was done on the first diagram, passes from the surface $(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{kmn}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{kn}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{jkn}$ to the surface $(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{jmn}$ using the 3arrow \tilde{l}_{jkmn}^{-1} . This 3-morphism can be whiskered from above with the 2-morphism $(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{k\ell m}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \models h_{k\ell m}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}$

$$\tilde{l}_{jkmn}^{-1} = \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}, (g_{jm}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn}\}_{\mathbf{P}}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd l_{jkmn}^{-1}\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{kmn}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{kn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn}\}_{\mathbf{P}}^{-1}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}\}_{\mathbf{P}}^{-1}\}$$



Next, we want to make the 3-morphism with the source surface $\Sigma_4 : (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{\ell mn}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell n}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{\ell mn}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell n}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{k\ell n}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{kn}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{k\ell n}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{kn}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{k\ell n}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{kn}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{k\ell n}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{kn}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \geq h_{k\ell n}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{kn}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \geq h_{k\ell n}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{kn}^{-1}) \geq h_{k\ell n}$, as shown on the diagram (102). First, to move the surface from $(g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{\ell mn}(g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell n}^{-1}) \geq h_{k\ell n}$ to the surface $(g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \geq h_{k\ell m}(g_{km}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \geq h_{k\ell m}$ with the 3-arrow $\tilde{l}_{k\ell mn}^{-1}$. To whisker this 3-morphism with the surface $(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{kn}^{-1}) \geq h_{jkn}$ from below, one first needs to whisker it from the left with g_{jk}^{-1} . Finally, the obtained 3-morphism is $(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \geq h_{\ell mn}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell n}^{-1}) \geq h_{\ell mn}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell n}^{-1}) \geq h_{k\ell n}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{kn}^{-1}) \geq h_{jkn}$, where the 3-arrow

is given as



The mapping of the surface Σ_5 : $(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell\ell}^{-1}g_{\ellm}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{\ell m n}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell\ell}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{jk\ell}(g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\elln}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{j\ell n}$ to the surface $\Sigma_4: (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ellm}^{-1}g_{mn}) \triangleright h_{\ell m n}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell\ell}^{-1}g_{\elln}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{k\ell n}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{kn}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{jkn}$ in shown on the diagram (103). Moving of the surface from $(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{jk\ell}(g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell n}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{j\ell n}$ to the surface $(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell n}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{\ell m n}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell n}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{k\ell n}$ is done with the 3-arrow $\tilde{l}_{jk\ell n}$. This 3-morphism can now be whiskered from above with the surface $(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{\ell m n}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{\ell m n}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell n}^{-1}g_{\ell n}^{-1}g_{\ell n}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{\ell m n}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell n}^{-1}g_{\ell n}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{\ell m n}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell n}^{-1}g_{\ell n}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{\ell m n}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell n}^{-1}g_{\ell n}^{-1}) \geq h$



Next, we map the surface Σ_6 : $(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}) > h_{jk\ell}(g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) > h_{\ell mn}(g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell n}^{-1}) > h_{j\ell n}$ to the surface Σ_5 : $(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) > h_{\ell mn}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}) > h_{jk\ell}(g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell n}^{-1}) > h_{j\ell n}$, see the diagram (104). We use the interchanging 2-arrow composition to map the surface $(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}) > h_{jk\ell}(g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) > h_{\ell mn}$ to the surface $(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) > h_{\ell mn}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}) > h_{jk\ell},$ resulting in the 3-arrow $\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}) > h_{jk\ell}, (g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) > h_{\ell mn}\}_p^{-1}$. Next, we whisker the obtained 3-morphism with the 2-morphism $(g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell n}^{-1}) > h_{j\ell n}$ from below. The obtained 3-morphism with the appropriate source and target surfaces is $(g_{jn}^{-1}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell n}^{-1}) > h_{jk\ell}(g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) > h_{\ell mn}(g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell n}^{-1}) > h_{j\ell n}, \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell n}^{-1}) > h_{j\ell n}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell n}^{-1}) > h_{j\ell n}, \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}) > h_{j\ell n}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell n}^{-1}) > h_{j\ell n}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}) > h_{jk\ell}, (g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell n}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) > h_{\ell mn}(g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell n}^{-1}) > h_{j\ell n}, \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}) > h_{jk\ell}, (g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell n}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) > h_{\ell mn}(g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell n}^{-1}) > h_{j\ell n}, \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}) > h_{jk\ell}, (g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell n}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) > h_{\ell mn}(g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell n}^{-1}) > h_{j\ell n}, \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}) > h_{jk\ell}, (g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell n}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) > h_{\ell mn}(g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell n}^{-1}) > h_{\ell mn}(g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell n}^{-1}) > h_{j\ell n}, \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}) > h_{jk\ell}, (g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell n}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) > h_{\ell mn}(g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell n}^{-1}) > h_{\ell mn}(g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell n}^{-1}) > h_{\ell mn}(g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell n}^{-1}) > h_{\ell mn}(g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell n}^{-1}) > h_{\ell mn}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell n}^{-1}) > h_{\ell mn}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell n}^{-1}) > h_{\ell mn}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell n}^{-1}) > h_{\ell mn}(g_{jk}^{-1}g$



Finally, we construct the 3-morphism that maps the starting surface $\Sigma_1 : (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{jk\ell}(g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{j\ell m}(g_{jm}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \geq h_{j\ell m}(g_{jm}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{j\ell m}$. To obtain the 3-morphism with the appropriate source and target surfaces we first move the surface $(g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{j\ell m}(g_{jm}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{jmn}$ to the surface $(g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{j\ell m}(g_{jm}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{jmn}$ to the surface $(g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{j\ell m}(g_{jm}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{jmn}$ to the surface $(g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{j\ell m}(g_{jm}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{jmn}$ to the surface $(g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{j\ell m}(g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{j\ell m}(g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{j\ell m}(g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{j\ell m}(g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{jmn}$ to the surface $(g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{j\ell m}(g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{j\ell m}(g_{j\ell}^{$



The obtained 3-morphism is the identity morphism with source and target surface $\Sigma_1 : (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk\ell}(g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell m}(g_{jm}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn}$, and gives the δ -function:

$$\delta_{L}(\tilde{l}_{jk\ellm}^{-1}((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ellm}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}) \rhd' \tilde{l}_{jkmn}^{-1}g_{jk}^{-1} \rhd \tilde{l}_{k\ell mn}^{-1}((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ellm}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn}) \rhd' \tilde{l}_{jk\ell n}$$

$$\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk\ell}, (g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn}\}_{p}^{-1}((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk\ell}) \rhd' \tilde{l}_{j\ell mn}).$$

$$(106)$$

One can explicitly check that this is equivalent to the δ -function obtained for the 4-simplex before the inversion of all morphisms, 2-morphisms, and 3-morphisms,

$$\delta_L(l_{j\ell m n}^{-1} h_{j\ell n} \rhd' \{h_{\ell m n}, (g_{m n} g_{\ell m}) \rhd h_{jk\ell}\}_{\mathrm{P}} l_{jk\ell n}^{-1} (h_{jkn} \rhd' l_{k\ell m n}) l_{jkm n} h_{jm n} \rhd' (g_{m n} \rhd l_{jk\ell m})).$$
(107)

The proof that the δ -function given by the equation (106) is equal to the δ -function given by the equation (107) is given in the Appendix C.

C Proof of Lemma B.3

Let us prove that the $\delta(\tilde{l}_{jk\ell mn})$,

$$\delta_{L}(\tilde{l}_{jk\ell mn}) = \delta_{L}(\tilde{l}_{jk\ell m}^{-1}((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}) \rhd' \tilde{l}_{jkmn}^{-1}g_{jk}^{-1} \rhd \tilde{l}_{k\ell mn}^{-1}((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn}) \rhd' \tilde{l}_{jk\ell n}$$

$$\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk\ell}, (g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn}\}_{p}^{-1}((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk\ell}) \rhd' \tilde{l}_{j\ell mn}),$$

$$(108)$$

obtained for a 4-simplex after reversing the orientation of its edges, triangles, and tetrahedrons, is equal to the δ -function $\delta(l_{jk\ell mn})$ before the orientation reversal,

$$\delta_L(l_{jk\ell mn}) = \delta_L(l_{jk\ell n}^{-1}(h_{jkn} \triangleright' l_{k\ell mn}) l_{jkmn}(h_{jmn} \triangleright' (g_{mn} \triangleright l_{jk\ell m})) h_{j\ell mn}^{-1} h_{j\ell n} \nu' \{h_{\ell mn}, (g_{mn}g_{\ell m}) \triangleright h_{jk\ell}\}_{\mathbf{p}}).$$
(109)

Substituting the definition of \tilde{l} given in (99) one obtains,

$$\begin{split} \delta_{L}(\tilde{l}_{jk\ell mn}) &= & \delta_{L}\left(\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk\ell}, (g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell m}\}_{P}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd l_{jk\ell m}^{-1}\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}\}_{P}^{-1} \\ & & ((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}) \rhd' \left(\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}, (g_{jm}^{-1}g_{m n}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn}\}_{P}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k m}^{-1}g_{m n}^{-1}) \rhd l_{jkmn}^{-1} \\ & & \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k m}^{-1}g_{m n}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn}\}_{P}^{-1}\right) \\ & & & g_{jk}^{-1} \rhd \left(\{(g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{m n}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}\}_{P}(g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m n}^{-1}) \rhd l_{k\ell mn}, (g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell n}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell n}\}_{P}^{-1}\right) \\ & & & & (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}, (g_{k m}^{-1}g_{m n}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m})_{P}(g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m n}^{-1}) \rhd l_{jkm}}_{R}(g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m n}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn})_{P}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m n}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell m n}, (g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell n}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell n})_{P}^{-1}\right) \\ & & & ((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m n}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell m n}) \rhd' \left(\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell n}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell n}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k n}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk n}, (g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell n}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell n})_{P}^{-1}\right) \\ & & & ((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m n}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell n}) \rhd' \left(\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell n}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk \ell}, (g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m n}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell m n}\right)_{P}^{-1}\right) \\ & & & ((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell \ell}) \wp' \left(\{(g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell n}\right)_{P}(g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m n}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell m n}\right)_{P}^{-1}\right) \\ & & & & ((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell m}) (g_{jm}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell m}\right)_{P}^{-1}\right) \\ \end{pmatrix}_{k}$$

Using the identity (75),

$$(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn} \rhd' \{ (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk\ell}, (g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell n}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell n} \}_{\mathbf{p}}^{-1} \{ (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk\ell}, (g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn} \}_{\mathbf{p}}^{-1}$$

$$= \{ (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk\ell}, (g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn} (g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell n}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell n} \}_{\mathbf{p}}^{-1},$$

$$(111)$$

(110)

one obtains:

$$\begin{split} \delta_{L}(\tilde{l}_{jk\ell mn}) &= & \delta_{L}\left(\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk\ell}, (g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell m}\}_{\mathbf{p}}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd l_{jk\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd l_{jk\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1} \rangle \bowtie l_{jk\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1} \rangle \rhd h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}\}_{\mathbf{p}}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}\}_{\mathbf{p}}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell m m}, (g_{jm}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jm m})_{\mathbf{p}}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}) \wp' (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}, (g_{jm}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jm m})_{\mathbf{p}}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}) \wp' (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}, (g_{jm}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jm n})_{\mathbf{p}}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}) \wp' (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{km n}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk n}\}_{\mathbf{p}}^{-1} \\ & \left\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell \ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell m n}\right\}_{\mathbf{p}}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell m n}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell m n}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \succ h_{\ell m n}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \succ h_{\ell m n}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \succ h_{\ell m n}, g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \succ h_{jk n}\}_{\mathbf{p}}((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \succ h_{\ell m n}) \succ' \left\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \succ h_{\ell m n}, (g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \succ h_{j\ell n}\}_{\mathbf{p}}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \succ h_{\ell m n}) \lor' \left\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \succ h_{\ell m n}, (g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \succ h_{j\ell n}\}_{\mathbf{p}}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \succ h_{jk \ell}) \succ' \left(g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \succ h_{\ell m n}, (g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \succ h_{jk n}\}_{\mathbf{p}}^{-1}\right). \end{cases}$$

Then, using the identity (76) one writes,

 $((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk\ell}) \rhd' \{(g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn}, (g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell n}\}_{\mathbf{p}}$

 $= (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{j\ell}) \rhd \{(g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn}, (g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell n}\}_{\mathbf{p}} \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk\ell}), \delta \{(g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn}, (g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell n}\}_{\mathbf{p}}^{-1}\}_{\mathbf{p}}^{-1},$

(112)

(113)

(115)

and by commuting the elements one obtains:

$$\begin{split} \delta_{L}(\tilde{l}_{jk\ell mn}) &= \delta_{L}(\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk\ell}, (g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell m}\}_{P}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}] \rhd h_{jk} h_{\ell}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk} h_{\ell}) \varsigma'(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell} h_{\ell}) \varsigma'(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk} h_{\ell}) \varsigma'(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk} h_{\ell}) \varsigma'(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k} h_{k}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk} h_{\ell}) \varsigma'(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k} h_{k} h_{\ell}) \varsigma'(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k} h_{\ell} h_{\ell} h_{\ell}) \varsigma'(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k} h_{k} h_{\ell} h_{\ell}$$

Now, using the identity (75), and then the identity (71a), one can write

- $$\begin{split} (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{j\ell}) & \succ \delta(\{(g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m n}^{-1}) \succ h_{\ell m n}, (g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \succ h_{j\ell n}\}_{\mathbf{p}}^{-1}) \succ \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}) \succ h_{jk\ell}, (g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m n}^{-1}) \succ h_{\ell m n}(g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell n}^{-1}) \succ h_{j\ell n}\}_{\mathbf{p}}^{-1} \\ \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}) \succ h_{jk\ell}), \delta\{(g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m n}^{-1}) \succ h_{\ell m n}, (g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \succ h_{j\ell n}\}_{\mathbf{p}}^{-1} \}_{\mathbf{p}}^{-1} \end{split}$$
- $= \{(g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk\ell}, \delta\{(g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m n}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell m n}, (g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell n}\}_{\mathbf{p}}^{-1}(g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m n}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell m n}(g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell n}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell n}\}_{\mathbf{p}}^{-1}(g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m n}^{-1}) \varsigma h_{j\ell n}\}_{\mathbf{p}}^{-1}(g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \varsigma h_{j\ell n}\}_{\mathbf{p}}^{-1}(g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \varsigma h_{j\ell n}\}_{\mathbf{p}}^{-1}(g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{$
- $= \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk\ell}, (g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell n}(g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn}\}_{\mathbf{p}}^{-1}.$

Substituting this expression in (114) one obtains,

$$\begin{split} \delta_{L}(\tilde{l}_{jk\ell mn}) &= \delta_{L}(\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk\ell}, (g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell m}\}_{P}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell \ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd l_{jk\ell m}\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}\}_{P}^{-1} \\ & ((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}) \rhd' \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}, (g_{jm}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn}\}_{P}((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}) \rhd' (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd l_{jkmn} \\ & ((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}) \wp' \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}) \rhd h_{kmn}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{kn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn}\}_{P}^{-1} \\ & \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}) \wp' \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{kmn}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{kn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn}\}_{P}^{-1} \\ & \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{kmn})_{P}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn}\}_{P}^{-1} \\ & \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{kmn})_{P}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn})_{P}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn})_{P}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn})_{P}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \succ h_{\ell mn})_{P}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \succ h_{\ell mn})_{P}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \succ h_{\ell mn})_{P}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \succ h_{\ell mn})_{P}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \succ h_{\ell mn}, (g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \succ h_{\ell mn})_{P}) \\ \\ & \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell \ell}^{-1}) \succ h_{jk\ell}) \wp' (g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \succ h_{\ell mn}((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \succ h_{\ell mn})_{P}) \\ \\ & \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell \ell}^{-1}) \succ h_{jk\ell}) \wp' (g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \succ h_{\ell mn}((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \succ h_{jk\ell}) \succ' \{(g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \succ h_{j\ell m})_{P}) \\ \\ & \{(g_{jk}^{$$

$$((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk\ell}) \rhd' (g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd l_{j\ell mn} = (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd l_{j\ell mn} \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk\ell}), (g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd \delta(l_{j\ell mn})^{-1}\}_{p}^{-1}.$$
(117)
Substituting this expression in (116) one obtains,

$$\begin{split} \delta_{L}(\tilde{l}_{jk\ell mn}) &= \delta_{L}(\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk\ell}, (g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell m}\}_{P}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd l_{jk\ell m}^{-1}\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}\}_{P}^{-1} \\ & ((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}) \rhd' \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}, (g_{jm}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn}\}_{P}((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}) \rhd' (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}g_{mn}) \rhd h_{jmn}\}_{P}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}) \varsigma' (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}g_{mn}) \rhd l_{jkm}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn}\}_{P}^{-1} \\ & ((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}) \varsigma' \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{kmn}\}_{P}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn}\}_{P}^{-1} \\ & \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{kmn}\}_{P}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn}\}_{P}^{-1} \\ & ((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn}) \varsigma' \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \succ h_{\ell mn}\}_{P}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn}\}_{P}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \succ h_{\ell mn}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \succ h_{\ell mn}\}_{P}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \succ h_{\ell mn}) \varsigma' (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \succ h_{\ell mn}) \varsigma' (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \succ h_{\ell mn}) \\ & \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \succ h_{\ell m}(g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \succ h_{\ell mn}) \varsigma' \{(g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \succ h_{\ell mn}\}_{P}^{-1} \\ & ((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \succ h_{j\ell m}, (g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \succ h_{jmn}\}_{P}^{-1}). \end{split}$$

Commuting the elements and then using the identities (75) and (71a) one writes:

$$\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk\ell}, (g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell n}(g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn}\}_{\mathbf{p}}^{-1}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell \ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd l_{j\ell mn}\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk\ell}), (g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd \delta(l_{j\ell mn})^{-1}\}_{\mathbf{p}}^{-1}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd l_{j\ell mn}\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk\ell}), (g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd \delta(l_{j\ell mn})^{-1}\}_{\mathbf{p}}^{-1}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd l_{j\ell mn}\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \varsigma h_{jk\ell}), (g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd \delta(l_{j\ell mn})^{-1}\}_{\mathbf{p}}^{-1}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell mn}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \varsigma h_{jk\ell}\}$$

$$= (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd l_{j\ell mn}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd \delta(l_{j\ell mn})^{-1} \rhd' \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk\ell}, (g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell n}(g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn}\}_{\mathbf{p}}^{-1} \\ \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk\ell}), (g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd \delta(l_{j\ell mn})^{-1}\}_{\mathbf{p}}^{-1}$$

- $= (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell k \ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd l_{j\ell mn} \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk\ell}), (g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd \delta(l_{j\ell mn})^{-1}(g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell n}(g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn}\}_{\mathbf{p}}^{-1}$
- $= \quad (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd l_{j\ell mn} \{ (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk\ell}, (g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd (h_{jmn}(g_{mn} \rhd h_{j\ell m})) \}_{\mathbf{p}}^{-1}.$

Then, substituting this expression in the equation (118) one obtains:

$$\begin{split} \delta_{L} \left(\tilde{l}_{jk\ell mn} \right) &= \delta_{L} \left(\left\{ (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{k\ell}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk\ell}, (g_{j\ell}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell m} \right\}_{\mathbf{p}} (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell \ell}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk\ell} (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell \ell}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk\ell} (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell \ell}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk\ell m} \right\}_{\mathbf{p}} (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell \ell}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}) \right\}_{\mathbf{p}} (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{k\ell}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}) \rhd' \left\{ (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{km}^{-1} g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn} \right\}_{\mathbf{p}} (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{k\ell}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}) \rhd' \left\{ (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{km}^{-1} g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn} \right\}_{\mathbf{p}} (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{k\ell}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}) \rhd' \left\{ (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{km}^{-1} g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{kmn}, (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{km}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn} \right\}_{\mathbf{p}}^{-1} \\ & \left\{ (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{k\ell}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{mm}^{-1} g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{kmn} \right\}_{\mathbf{p}} (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{k\ell}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn}, (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{k\ell}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn}, (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn}, (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn} \right\}_{\mathbf{p}} \\ & \left\{ (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{k\ell}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn} \right\}_{\mathbf{p}} \left\{ (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{km}^{-1} g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn}, (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{mn}^{-1}) \succ h_{\ell mn}, (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{mn}^{-1}) \succ h_{\ell mn} \right\}_{\mathbf{p}} \\ & \left((g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn}, (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{mn}^{-1}) \succ h_{\ell mn} \right\}_{\mathbf{p}} \\ & \left(g_{jk}^{-1} g_{k\ell}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{mn}^{-1} \right) \succ h_{\ell mn}, (g_{j\ell}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{mn}^{-1}) \succ h_{\ell mn} \right\}_{\mathbf{p}} \\ & \left((g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn}, (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{mn}^{-1}) \succ h_{\ell mn} \right\}_{\mathbf{p}} \\ \\ & \left((g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{mn}^{-1}) \succ h_{\ell mn}, (g_{j\ell}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{mn}^{-1}) \succ h_{\ell mn} \right\}_{\mathbf{p}} \\ \\ & \left((g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{mn}^{-1}) \succ h_{\ell mn}, (g_{j\ell}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{mn}^{-1}) \succ h_{\ell mn} \right\}_{\mathbf{p}} \\ \\ & \left((g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \succ h_{\ell mn}, (g_{jm}^{-1} g_{mm}^{-1}) \succ h_{\ell mn} \right)$$

Using the identity (76) again has

 $((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk\ell}) \rhd' \{(g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell m}, (g_{jm}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn}\}_{\mathbf{p}}^{-1}$

 $= \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk\ell}, \delta(\{(g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell m}, (g_{jm}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn}\}_{\mathbf{p}}^{-1})\}_{\mathbf{p}}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{j\ell}) \rhd \{(g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell m}, (g_{jm}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn}\}_{\mathbf{p}}^{-1},$ (121)

(120)

(119)

so that the δ -function $\delta_L(\tilde{l}_{jk\ell mn})$ becomes:

$$\begin{split} \delta_{L}(\bar{l}_{jk\ell mn}) &= \delta_{L}(\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk\ell}, (g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell m}\}_{P}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd l_{jk\ell m}^{-1}\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk\ell m}\}_{P}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}\}_{P}^{-1} \\ & ((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}) \rhd' \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}, (g_{jm}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn}\}_{P}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}) \rhd' (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}\}_{P}^{-1} \\ & ((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}) \wp' \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{kmn}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn}\}_{P}^{-1} \\ & \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{mm}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \succ h_{kmn}\}_{P}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn}\}_{P}^{-1} \\ & \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{mm}^{-1}) \rhd h_{kmn}\}_{P}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn}\}_{P}^{-1} \\ & ((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn}) \wp' \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell n}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \succ h_{k\ell n}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn}\}_{P}^{-1} \\ & ((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn}) \wp' \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell k\ell n}, (g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell m}\}_{P} \\ & (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn}, (g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell m})\}_{P} \\ & (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn}, (g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn}})_{P} \\ & (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \succ h_{\ell \ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \succ h_{\ell m m}, (g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd (h_{\ell m m}(g_{m m} \rhd h_{\ell \ell m})))\}_{P}^{-1} \\ & \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \succ h_{jk\ell}, \delta(\{(g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell m}, (g_{jm}^{-1}g_{mm}^{-1}) \succ h_{\ell m m}, (g_{jm}^{-1}g_{m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell m m})_{P}^{-1})\}_{P} \\ \\ & (122) \\ \end{array}$$

Now, again using the identities (80) and (75) one has:

$$\{ (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk\ell}, (g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m n}^{-1}) \rhd (h_{jmn}(g_{mn} \rhd h_{j\ell m})) \}_{\mathbf{p}}^{-1} \{ (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk\ell}, \delta(\{(g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell m}, (g_{jm}^{-1}g_{m n}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn}\}_{\mathbf{p}}^{-1}) \}_{\mathbf{p}} = ((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk\ell}, \delta(\{(g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell m}, (g_{jm}^{-1}g_{m n}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell m}, (g_{jm}^{-1}g_{m n}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn}\}_{\mathbf{p}}^{-1})) \\ \geq ((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk\ell}, (g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell m}, (g_{jm}^{-1}g_{m n}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn}\}_{\mathbf{p}}^{-1})) \\ \geq (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk\ell}, (g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell m}, (g_{jm}^{-1}g_{m n}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn}\}_{\mathbf{p}}^{-1} .$$

Substituting this expression and commuting the elements, one obtains:

Then, using the identity (75) again, one has:

$$\{ (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk\ell}, (g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell m} (g_{jm}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn} \}_{p}^{-1} \{ (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk\ell}, (g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell m} \}_{p}$$

$$= (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell m} \rhd' \{ (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk\ell}, (g_{jm}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn} \}_{p}^{-1} .$$

$$(125)$$

Substituting this expression and commuting the elements, one obtains:

$$\begin{split} \delta_L \big(\tilde{l}_{jk\ell mn} \big) &= \delta_L \big((g_{jk}^{-1} g_{k\ell}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd l_{jk\ell m}^{-1} \{ (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{k\ell}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{km}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm} \}_p^{-1} \\ & ((g_{jk}^{-1} g_{k\ell}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}) \rhd' \{ (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{km}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}, (g_{jm}^{-1} g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn} \}_p ((g_{jk}^{-1} g_{k\ell}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}) \rhd' (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{km}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}) \rhd' \{ (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{km}^{-1} g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{kmn}, (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{kn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn} \}_p^{-1} \\ & ((g_{jk}^{-1} g_{k\ell}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}) \rhd' \{ (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{mn}^{-1} g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{kmn}, (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{km}^{-1} g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn} \}_p^{-1} \\ & \{ (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{k\ell}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{km}^{-1} g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{kmn} \}_p (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{k\ell}^{-1} g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn} \}_p^{-1} \\ & ((g_{jk}^{-1} g_{k\ell}^{-1} g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{km}^{-1} g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{kmn})_p (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{k\ell}^{-1} g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn}, (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{k\ell}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn})_p (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn}, (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn})_p (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn}, (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn})_p (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn}, (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn})_p (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn})_p (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn}, (g_{j\ell}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn})_p h_{\ell mn})_p h_{\ell mn} \rangle_p^{-1} \\ & (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell m} (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell m}, (g_{jm}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn} \rangle_p^{-1}). \end{split}$$

(126)

(123)

(124)

Then, using the identity (74),

 $(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{j\ell}) \triangleright \{ (g_{j\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{j\ell m}, (g_{jm}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{jmn} \}_{\mathbf{p}}^{-1} (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{j\ell m} \triangleright' \{ (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{jk\ell}, (g_{jm}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{jmn} \}_{\mathbf{p}}^{-1} \\ = \{ (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{j\ell m} (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{jk\ell}, (g_{jm}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{jmn} \}_{\mathbf{p}}^{-1},$

and substituting this in the above expression one obtains:

$$\begin{split} \delta_{L} \big(\bar{l}_{jk\ell mn} \big) &= \delta_{L} \big((g_{jk}^{-1} g_{k\ell}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd l_{jk\ell m}^{-1} \{ (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{k\ell}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{km}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm} \}_{p}^{-1} \\ & ((g_{jk}^{-1} g_{k\ell}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}) \rhd' \{ (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{km}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}, (g_{jm}^{-1} g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn} \}_{p} ((g_{jk}^{-1} g_{k\ell}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}) \rhd' (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{km}^{-1} g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm} \big) \\ & ((g_{jk}^{-1} g_{k\ell}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}) \wp' \{ (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{m}^{-1} g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}, (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn} \}_{p}^{-1} \\ & \{ (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{k\ell}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}) \wp' \{ (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{mm}^{-1} g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{kmn}, (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn} \}_{p}^{-1} \\ & \{ (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{k\ell}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}) \wp' \{ (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{mn}^{-1} g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m} \}_{p} (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{k\ell}^{-1} g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn} \rangle \\ & ((g_{jk}^{-1} g_{k\ell}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn}) \wp' \{ (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{k\ell}^{-1} g_{\ell n}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell n}, (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{k\ell}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn} \rangle \\ & (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn} \rangle \wp' \{ (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{\ell n}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell n}, (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn} \}_{p} ((g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn}) \wp' (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{\ell n}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn} \rangle \\ & (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn} (g_{j\ell}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell \ell m} \}_{p} \\ & (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd l_{j\ell mn} \{ (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell m} \}_{p} \\ & (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd l_{j\ell mn} \{ (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell m} \}_{p} \\ & (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd l_{j\ell mn} \{ (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell m} \}_{p} \\ & (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd l_{j\ell mn} \{ (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell m} \}_{p} \\ & (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd l_{j\ell mn} \}_{p} \\ & (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell m$$

Next, one can write:

 $((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn}) \rhd' (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell n}^{-1}) \rhd l_{jk\ell n}$

- $= (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd l_{jk\ell n} \{ (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell \ell}^{-1}g_{\ell n}^{-1}) \rhd \delta(l_{jk\ell n})^{-1} \}_{\mathbf{p}}^{-1} \}$
- $= (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd l_{jk\ell n} \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell n}^{-1}g_{\ell n}^{-1}) \rhd (h_{j\ell n}g_{\ell n} \rhd h_{jk\ell}h_{k\ell n}^{-1}h_{jkn}^{-1})\}_{\mathbf{p}}^{-1}$
- $= (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd l_{jk\ell n} \left((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell n} \right) \rhd' \left\{ (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell n}^{-1}) \rhd (g_{\ell n} \rhd h_{jk\ell}h_{k\ell n}^{-1}h_{jkn}^{-1}) \right\}_{\mathbf{p}}^{-1} \\ \left\{ (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell n}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell n} \right\}_{\mathbf{p}}^{-1} \right\}^{-1}$

Using the previous expression in equation (128) one can write:

$$\begin{split} \delta_{L}(\hat{l}_{jk\ell mn}) &= & \delta_{L}\left((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd l_{jk\ell m}^{-1}\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jm}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}\}_{P}^{-1} \\ & ((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}) \rhd' \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}, (g_{jm}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn}\}_{P}((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}) \rhd' (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \rhd l_{jkmn} \\ & ((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}) \rhd' \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{kmn}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn}\}_{P}^{-1} \\ & \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}) \wp' \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{kmn}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn}\}_{P}^{-1} \\ & \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{kmn}\}_{P}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn}\}_{P}^{-1} \\ & ((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn}) \wp' \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell \ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn}\}_{P}^{-1} \\ & (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn}) \wp' \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell \ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell m})\}_{P} \\ & (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn}) \wp' \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \succ h_{\ell \ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell m}), (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell m}), (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell m}) \\ & (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \succ l_{j\ell mn} \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \succ h_{j\ell m}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \succ h_{jmn}\}_{P}^{-1}). \\ \end{cases}$$

Using the identity (76) one has:

- $((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn}) \rhd' \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell n}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell n}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{kn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn}\}_{\mathbf{P}}$
- $= \{((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell n}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}g_{\ell m}g_{\ell n}g_{k\ell}g_{kn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn}\}_{\mathbf{p}} \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn}, \delta(\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell n}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{kn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn}\}_{\mathbf{p}}^{-1})\}_{\mathbf{p}}^{-1}.$

Then, substituting this expression in (130) one obtains:

(132)

(127)

(128)

(129)

(130)

(131)

After commuting the elements the δ -function $\delta_L(\tilde{l}_{jk\ell mn})$ becomes:

$$\begin{split} \delta_{L}(\tilde{l}_{jk\ell mn}) &= \delta_{L}((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \triangleright l_{jk\ell m}^{-1}\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{jkm}\}_{p}^{-1} \\ & ((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{k\ell m}) \triangleright' \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{jkm}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{m}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{jmn}\}_{p}((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{k\ell m}) \triangleright' (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{jkm}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{jkm}\}_{p}^{-1} \\ & ((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{k\ell m}) \triangleright' \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{kmn}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{kn}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{jkn}\}_{p}^{-1} \\ & \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{kmn}\}_{p}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{\ell mn}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{k\ell n}\}_{p}^{-1} \\ & \{((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{k\ell n}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}g_{\ell n}g_{\ell n}g_{\ell n}g_{\ell m}g_{\ell m}g_{\ell n}g_{\ell n}g_{\ell m}g_{\ell m}g_{\ell n}g_{\ell n}g_{\ell m}g_{\ell m}g_{\ell m}g_{\ell n}g_{\ell m}g_{\ell m}g_{\ell m}g_{\ell m}g_{\ell n}g_{\ell m}g_{\ell m}$$

Using the identity (75) one writes:

=

$$\left(\left(g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell\ell}^{-1} g_{\ellm}^{-1} g_{mn}^{-1} \right) \rhd \left(h_{j\ell n} g_{\ell n} \rhd h_{jk\ell} h_{k\ell n}^{-1} h_{jkn}^{-1} \right) \right) \rhd \left\{ \left(g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell n}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{mn}^{-1} \right) \rhd h_{\ell m n}, \delta \left(\left\{ \left(g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell n}^{-1} g_{\ell n}^{-1} g_{\ell n}^{-1} \right) \rhd h_{jkn} \right\}_{p}^{-1} \right) \right\}_{p}^{-1} \\ \left(\left(g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell n}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{mn}^{-1} \right) \rhd h_{j\ell n} \right) \rhd' \left\{ \left(g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{mn}^{-1} \right) \rhd h_{\ell m n}, \left(g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell n}^{-1} g_{\ell n}^{-1} \right) \rhd h_{jkn} \right\}_{p}^{-1} \right) \right\}_{p}^{-1} \\ \left(\left(g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell n}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{mn}^{-1} \right) \rhd h_{j\ell n} \right) \rhd' \left\{ \left(g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{mn}^{-1} \right) \rhd h_{\ell m n}, \left(g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell n}^{-1} g_{\ell n}^{-1} \right) \rhd h_{jk\ell} \left(g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell n}^{-1} g_{\ell n}^{-1} \right) \rhd h_{j\ell n} \right) \right\}_{p}^{-1} \\ \left(\left(g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell n}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{mn}^{-1} \right) \rhd h_{j\ell n} \right) \rhd' \left\{ \left(g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{mn}^{-1} \right) \rhd h_{\ell m n}, \left(g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell n}^{-1} \right) \rhd h_{jk\ell} \left(g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell n}^{-1} \right) \rhd h_{jk\ell} \left(g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell n}^{-1} g_{\ell n}^{-1} \right) \rhd h_{\ell \ell n} \right) \right\}_{p}^{-1} \\ \left(\left(g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} \right) \rhd h_{j\ell n} \right) \rhd' \left\{ \left(g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} \right) \rhd h_{\ell m n}, \left(g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell n}^{-1} \right) \rhd h_{jk\ell} \left(g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell n}^{-1} \right) \rhd h_{jk\ell} \left(g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell n}^{-1} \right) \rhd h_{jk\ell} \left(g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell n}^{-1} \right) \rhd h_{\ell \ell n} \right) \right\}_{p}^{-1} \right)$$

Then, substituting the previous expression in the equation (133) and commutating the elements one obtains:

$$\begin{split} \delta_{L}(\tilde{l}_{jk\ell mn}) &= \delta_{L}((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \triangleright l_{jk\ell m}^{-1}\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell \ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{jkm}\}_{p}^{-1} \\ & ((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{k\ell m}) \triangleright' \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{jkm}, (g_{jm}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{jkm}\}_{p}((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{k\ell m}) \triangleright' (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \triangleright l_{jkmn} \\ & ((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{k\ell m}) \triangleright' \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{kmn}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{kn}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{jkn}\}_{p}^{-1} \\ & \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{kmn}\}_{p}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \triangleright l_{jkmn}\}_{p}^{-1} \\ & \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{kmn}\}_{p}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \triangleright l_{k\ell mn}\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{\ell mn}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \triangleright l_{k\ell m}\}_{p}^{-1} \\ & \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}g_{mn}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{kmn}\}_{p}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \triangleright l_{k\ell mn}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \triangleright l_{k\ell m}\}_{p}^{-1} \\ & \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{jkm}\}_{p}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \triangleright l_{j\ell m} \\ & ((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{\ell m m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{jk\ell m}]_{p}^{-1}). \\ \\ & (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \triangleright l_{j\ell mn} \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{j\ell m}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{jk\ell }, (g_{jm}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{jmn}\}_{p}^{-1}). \\ \\ \\ \end{split}$$

After commuting the elements one obtains:

$$\begin{split} \delta_{L}(\tilde{l}_{jk\ell mn}) &= \delta_{L}\left((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd l_{jk\ell m}^{-1}\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}\}_{p}^{-1} \\ & ((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}) \rhd' \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}, (g_{jm}^{-1}g_{mm}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn}\}_{p}((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}) \rhd' (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}g_{mm}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}, (g_{jm}^{-1}g_{mm}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}\}_{p}^{-1} \\ & ((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}) \rhd' \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}g_{mm}^{-1}) \rhd h_{kmn}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn}\}_{p}^{-1} \\ & \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}g_{mm}^{-1}) \rhd h_{kmn}\}_{p}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn}\}_{p}^{-1} \\ & \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mm}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn}\}_{p}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd l_{j\ell m} \\ & \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell n}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mm}^{-1}g_{mn}g_{\ell n}g_{k\ell}g_{k\ell}g_{k}g_{m}^{-1}) \succ h_{jkn}\}_{p}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \succ l_{j\ell mn} \\ & ((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell n}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}g_{mn}g_{mn}g_{\ell n}g_{k\ell}g_{k\ell}g_{m}^{-1}) \succ h_{jkn}h_{\ell n}) \right) \varsigma' \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}g_{\ell m}g_{mn}g_{mn}) \succ h_{\ell mn}, (g_{jk}g_{\ell m}g_{\ell m}g_{mn}g_{mn}) \succ h_{\ell mn}, g_{jk}g_{\ell m}g_{\ell m}g_{mn}g_{mn}) \succ h_{jmn}\}_{p}^{-1}). \\ \end{cases}$$

(136)

Then, using the identity (75) one obtains:

$$\begin{split} \delta_{L} \big(\tilde{l}_{jk\ell mn} \big) &= \delta_{L} \big((g_{jk}^{-1} g_{k\ell}^{-1} g_{\ell}^{-1} g_{\ell}^{-1}$$

One can use the identity,

$$\{h_1, h_2h_3\}_{\mathbf{p}}^{-1} = (\partial h_1 \rhd h_2) \rhd' \{h_1, h_3\}_{\mathbf{p}}^{-1} \{h_1, h_2\}_{\mathbf{p}}^{-1},$$
(138)

(137)

(139)

(140)

to obtain

 $\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk\ell}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{kn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn}^{-1}\}_{\mathbf{p}}^{-1}$

 $= (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}g_{ln}) \triangleright h_{jk\ell} \succ' \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{\ell mn}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{kn}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{jkn}^{-1}\}_{\mathbf{p}}^{-1} \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{\ell mn}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{jk\ell}\}_{\mathbf{p}}^{-1} .$

Substituting in (137) one obtains:

$$\begin{split} \delta_{L}(\tilde{l}_{jk\ell mn}) &= & \delta_{L}\left((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd l_{jk\ell m}^{-1}\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}\}_{p}^{-1} \\ & & ((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}) \rhd' \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}, (g_{jm}^{-1}g_{mm}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn}\}_{p}((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}) \rhd' (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd l_{jkmn} \\ & & ((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}) \rhd' \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{kmn}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{kn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn}\}_{p}^{-1} \\ & & \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{kmn}\}_{p}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd l_{k\ell mn} \\ & & \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{kmn}\}_{p}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd l_{k\ell mn} \\ & & & \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell n}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}g_{\ell m}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn}\}_{p}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd l_{jk\ell n} \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\$$

Then, commutating the elements one obtains:

$$\begin{split} \delta_{L}(\tilde{l}_{jk\ell mn}) &= \delta_{L}((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell}^{-1}) \rhd l_{jk\ell m}^{-1}\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}\}_{p}^{-1} \\ & ((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}) \rhd' \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}, (g_{jm}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn}\}_{p}((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}) \rhd' (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}\}_{p}^{-1} \\ & ((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}) \rhd' \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{mm}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{kmn}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{kn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn}\}_{p}^{-1} \\ & \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{kmn}\}_{p}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd l_{k\ell mn}} \\ & \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{kmn}\}_{p}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd l_{k\ell mn} \\ & \{((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell n}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}g_{\ell m}g_{\ell m}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{kmn}\}_{p}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd l_{k\ell mn} \\ & \{((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell n}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}g_{\ell m}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn}\}_{p} \\ & ((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd (h_{jkn}h_{k\ell n})) \wp' \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn}\}_{p} \\ & ((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \succ (h_{jkn}h_{k\ell n})) \wp' \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \succ h_{\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \succ h_{\ell mn}\}_{p}^{-1}) \\ \\ & (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \succ l_{\ell mn}\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \succ h_{\ell \ell m}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \succ h_{\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \succ h_{\ell mn}\}_{p}^{-1}) \\ \\ \end{array}$$

Using the identity (80) one can rewrite the term as

$$\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell\ell}^{-1}g_{\ellm}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell m n}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{kn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn}^{-1}\}_{\mathbf{p}}^{-1} = (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}g_{\ell n}g_{k\ell}g_{kn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn}^{-1} \rhd' \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell m n}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{kn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn}\}_{\mathbf{p}},$$

$$(142)$$

so that after commuting the elements one obtains:

$$\begin{split} \delta_{L}(\tilde{l}_{jk\ell mn}) &= \delta_{L}((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd l_{j\ell m}^{-1} \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}\}_{p}^{-1} \\ & ((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}) \rhd' \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}, (g_{jm}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn}\}_{p}((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}) \rhd' (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}\}_{p}((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}) \rhd' (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{kn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}\}_{p}^{-1} \\ & ((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}) \wp' \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{kmn}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn}\}_{p}^{-1} \\ & \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{kmn}\}_{p}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn}\}_{p} \\ & ((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell n}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \succ h_{jkn}\}_{p} \\ & \{((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell n}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn}\}_{p} \\ & (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell n}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn}}) \wp' \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \succ h_{j\ell n}) \wp' \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \succ h_{jkn}\}_{p} \\ & (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \succ h_{jk\ell n}((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell n}) \wp' \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \succ h_{jk\ell}\}_{p}^{-1} \\ & (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \succ h_{j\ell mn}\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \succ h_{j\ell m}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \succ h_{jk\ell}, (g_{jm}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \succ h_{jmn}\}_{p}^{-1}). \end{split}$$

Using the identity (74) one has the following equation:

$$\begin{split} & \left(\left(g_{jk}^{-1} g_{k\ell}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{m n}^{-1} \right) \rhd h_{k\ell n} \right) \rhd' \left\{ \left(g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell \ell}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{m n}^{-1} \right) \rhd h_{\ell m n}, \left(g_{jk}^{-1} g_{k n}^{-1} \right) \rhd h_{jk n} \right\}_{\mathbf{P}} \left\{ \left(\left(g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell \ell}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{m n}^{-1} \right) \rhd h_{k\ell n} \left(g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{m n}^{-1} \right) \rhd h_{k\ell n} \left(g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{m n}^{-1} \right) \rhd h_{k\ell n} \left(g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{m n}^{-1} \right) \rhd h_{k\ell n} \left(g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{m n}^{-1} \right) \rhd h_{\ell m n}, \left(g_{jk}^{-1} g_{k n}^{-1} \right) \rhd h_{jk n} \right\}_{\mathbf{P}} . \end{split}$$

(144)

$$\begin{split} \delta_{L}(\tilde{l}_{jk\ell mn}) &= \delta_{L}((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd l_{j\ell m}^{-1} \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}\}_{p}^{-1} \\ & ((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}) \rhd' \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}, (g_{jm}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn}\}_{p} ((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}) \rhd' (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}, (g_{jm}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn}\}_{p} ((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}) \rhd' (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm})_{p} \\ & ((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}) \wp' \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{kmn}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{kn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn}\}_{p}^{-1} \\ & \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{kmn}\}_{p} (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn}\}_{p} \\ & (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell n} (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell n}) \wp' \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell n}\}_{p} \\ & (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell n} ((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell n}) \wp' \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell n}) \wp h_{\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk\ell}\}_{p}^{-1} \\ & (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell mn} \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \succ h_{j\ell m} (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \succ h_{j\ell m}}\}_{p}^{-1} \\ & (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell mn} \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell m} (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \succ h_{jmn}\}_{p}^{-1}) . \end{split}$$

One has, using the identity (76) the equations

$$((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}) \rhd' (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd l_{jkmn}^{-1} = \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd \delta(l_{jkmn})^{-1}\}_{\mathsf{P}}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd l_{jkmn}^{-1},$$
(146) and

$$((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}) \rhd' \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}, (g_{jm}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn}\}_{\mathrm{p}}$$

$$= \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{km}g_{jk}g_{jm}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn}\}_{\mathrm{p}}\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}, (g_{jm}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn}\}_{\mathrm{p}}^{-1}\}_{\mathrm{p}}^{-1}.$$

$$(147)$$

Using the identity,

$$\{h_1, h_2 h_3\}_{\mathbf{p}} = (\partial h_1 \rhd h_2) \rhd' \left(\{h_1, h_2^{-1}\}_{\mathbf{p}}^{-1} \{h_1, h_3\}_{\mathbf{p}}\right),$$
(148)

and the equations (146) and (147) one can write:

Then, using the identity (71a):

$$\begin{split} \delta_{L}(\tilde{l}_{jk\ell mn}) &= \delta_{L}\left((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd l_{j\ell m}^{-1} \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}\}_{p}^{-1} \\ & \left\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{km}g_{jk}g_{jm}^{-1}g_{mm}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn}\}_{p} \\ & \left((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}g_{km}g_{jk}) \rhd \delta\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}, (g_{jm}^{-1}g_{mm}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn}\}_{p}^{-1}\right) \rhd' \\ & \left\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}, (g_{jm}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn}\}_{p}^{-1}\right) \rhd' \\ & \left\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}, (g_{jm}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}\}_{p}^{-1} \\ & \left\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{kmn}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn}\}_{p}^{-1} \\ & \left\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{kmn}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn}\}_{p}^{-1} \\ & \left\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn}\}_{p} \\ & \left(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}\right) \rhd h_{k\ell n}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \succ h_{\ell mn}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \succ h_{\ell mn}\}_{p}^{-1} \\ & \left(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}\right) \succ l_{j\ell mn} \left\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m}^{-1}) \succ h_{j\ell m}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \succ h_{\ell m}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \succ h_{\ell mn}\}_{p}^{-1}\right) \\ & \left(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}\right) \succ l_{j\ell mn} \left\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m}^{-1}) \succ h_{j\ell m}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \succ h_{j\ell m}} \right\}_{p}^{-1} \\ & \left(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mm}^{-1}\right) \succ$$

Commutating the elements one obtains:

$$\begin{split} \delta_{L}(\tilde{l}_{jk\ell mn}) &= \delta_{L}((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd l_{j\ell\ell m}^{-1} \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}\}_{p}^{-1} \\ &\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd (h_{kmn}^{-1}h_{jkn}^{-1}))\}_{p} \\ &\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell \ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn}\}_{p}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd l_{jkmn}^{-1} \\ &\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell \ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}) \rhd' \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{kmn}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{kn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn}\}_{p}^{-1} \\ &\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell \ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{kmn}\}_{p}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd l_{jkmn}^{-1} \\ &\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell \ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{kmn}\}_{p}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd l_{jkmn}^{-1} \\ &\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell \ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell n}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \succ h_{\ell mn}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \succ h_{jkn}\}_{p} \\ &(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell n}((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \succ h_{j\ell n}) \wp' \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \succ h_{\ell mn}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \succ h_{jk\ell}\}_{p}^{-1} \\ &(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \succ l_{j\ell mn}\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \succ h_{j\ell m}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \succ h_{j\ell m}\}_{p}^{-1}). \end{split}$$

$$(151)$$

Then, by using the identities (76) and (75) one has,

$$\{ (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}(g_{jm}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd (h_{kmn}^{-1}h_{jkn}^{-1})) \}_{\mathrm{P}} \\ \{ (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{km}g_{jk}g_{jm}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn} \}_{\mathrm{P}} (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd l_{jkmn}^{-1} \\ ((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}) \rhd' \{ (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{kmn}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{kn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn} \}_{\mathrm{P}}^{-1} \\ = \{ (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}(g_{jm}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{kn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn}^{-1} g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn} \}_{\mathrm{P}} \\ \{ (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{kn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn}^{-1} \rho_{mn}^{-1} \rangle \rho h_{jkn}^{-1} \\ \{ (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{km}g_{jk}g_{jm}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \succ h_{jmn} \rangle_{\mathrm{P}} (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{kmn}^{-1} \rangle \rho h_{jkm}^{-1} \\ \{ (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{km}g_{jk}g_{jm}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn} \rangle_{\mathrm{P}} (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkmn}^{-1} \rangle h_{jkmn}^{-1} \\ \{ (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}g_{km}g_{jk}g_{jm}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn} \rangle_{\mathrm{P}} (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd l_{jkmn}^{-1} \\ \{ (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{kmn}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}g_{km}g_{kn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn} \rangle_{\mathrm{P}}^{-1} \end{cases} \right\}_{\mathrm{P}}$$

so that substituting this in the equation (151) one obtains:

$$\begin{split} \delta_{L}(\tilde{l}_{jk\ell mn}) &= \delta_{L}((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \triangleright l_{jk\ell m}^{-1} \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k m}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{jkm}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k m}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{jmn}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k m}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{jkm}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{jmn}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \triangleright l_{jkmn}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k m}^{-1}g_{k m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{jmn}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \geq h_{jkm}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \geq h_{jmn}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \geq h_{jkm}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \geq h_{jkm}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \geq h_{kmn}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \geq h_{jkm}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \geq h_{j\ell mn}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \geq h_{j\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k m}^{-1}) \geq h_{jkm}, (g_{jm}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \geq h_{jmn}, [g_{jk}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}] \}. \end{split}$$

Using the identity (75), and after the cancellation of terms, one obtains:

$$\begin{split} \delta_{L}(\tilde{l}_{jk\ell mn}) &= \delta_{L}(((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}) \rhd' \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jm}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn}^{-1}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{kmn})\}_{P} \\ &\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m}^{-1}g_{m}g_{m}g_{jk}g_{jm}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn}\}_{P}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}) \rhd l_{jkmn}^{-1} \\ &\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{kmn}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mm}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn}\}_{P}^{-1} \\ &\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{kmn}\}_{P}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \rhd l_{k\ell mn} \\ &\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{kmn}\}_{P}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \rhd l_{jk\ell m} \\ &\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell n}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn}\}_{P} \\ &(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell n}((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell m}) \varsigma' \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk\ell}}) \\ &(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \rhd l_{j\ell mn}\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell m}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \succ h_{jmn}\}_{P}^{-1}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk\ell m}\}_{P} \\ &(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \rhd l_{j\ell mn}\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell m}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \succ h_{j\ell m}) \varsigma' \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk\ell}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \varsigma h_{j\ell m}\beta_{P}^{-1}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell m}) \right\}_{P} \\ \\ &(f_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \rhd l_{j\ell mn}\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell m}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \varsigma h_{j\ell m}\beta_{P}^{-1}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell m}) \varsigma^{-1}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \varsigma h_{jk\ell m}) \right\}_{P} \\ \\ \\ \\ &(f_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \varsigma h_{j\ell mn}\{(g_{jk}^{-$$

Commutating the elements, one obtains:

$$\delta_{L}(\bar{l}_{jk\ell mn}) = \delta_{L}((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell}^{-1}) \triangleright l_{jk\ell m}((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \vdash h_{jkm}) \triangleright' \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jm}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \vdash h_{jmn}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \vdash h_{kmm})\}_{P} \\ \left((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \vdash h_{jkm}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}g_{k m}^{-1}g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}) \vdash h_{jmm})_{P}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \vdash h_{jkm})\right) \wedge' \\ \left\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \vdash h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}g_{k m}^{-1}g_{j m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}) \vdash h_{kmn}\right)_{P}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \vdash h_{kmn})\right) \wedge' \\ \left\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \vdash h_{kmn}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \vdash h_{kmn}\right)_{P}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \vdash h_{kmn})\right) \wedge' \\ \left\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \vdash h_{kmn}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \vdash h_{k\ell m}\right) \vdash l_{jkmn} \\ \left\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \vdash h_{kmn}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \vdash h_{kmn}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \vdash h_{k\ell m}\right) \vdash l_{jkmn} \\ \left\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \vdash h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \vdash h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \vdash h_{k\ell m}\right) \vdash l_{jkmn} \\ \left\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \vdash h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \vdash h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \vdash h_{k\ell m}\right) \vdash l_{jkmn} \\ \left\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \vdash h_{j\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}\right) \vdash h_{jkm} \\ \left\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \vdash h_{j\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}\right) \vdash h_{jk\ell}, (g_{jm}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}\right) \vdash h_{jk\ell} \\ \left\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \vdash h_{j\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}\right) \vdash h_{jk\ell}, (g_{jm}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}\right) \vdash h_{jk\ell} \\ \left\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{$$

Using the identities (75) and (80) one can write,

$$\begin{pmatrix} (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell\ell}^{-1}g_{\ellm}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm} \end{pmatrix} \rhd' \{ (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ellm}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jm}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{kn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn}^{-1}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{kmn}^{-1}) \}_{\mathbf{p}} \\ \begin{pmatrix} (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ellm}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm} (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ellm}^{-1}g_{km}g_{jk}g_{jm}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ellm}^{-1}g_{km}g_{kn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn} \}^{-1} (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ellm}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{kmn} \end{pmatrix} \rhd' \\ \{ (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ellm}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{kmn} \}_{\mathbf{p}} \end{cases}$$

$$= \quad \left((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm} \right) \rhd' \left\{ (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jm}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{kn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn}) \right\}_{\mathbf{P}},$$

which leads to the result:

$$\delta_{L}(\bar{l}_{jk\ell mn}) = \delta_{L}((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd l_{j\ell\ell m}^{-1}((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm})) \rhd' \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{m n}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm})\}_{p}$$

$$\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell \ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m n}) \rhd h_{jmn}\}_{p}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell \ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m n}) \rhd l_{jkm}]\}_{p}$$

$$\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m n}) \rhd h_{km n}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell \ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m n}) \rhd h_{jkn}\}^{-1}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell \ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m n}) \rhd l_{jkm}]\}_{p}$$

$$\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m n}) \rhd h_{km n}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m n}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn}\}^{-1}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m n}^{-1}) \rhd l_{k\ell m n}$$

$$\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m n}) \rhd h_{k\ell n}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m n}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell m n}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k n}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn}\}_{p}$$

$$(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m n}^{-1}) \succ h_{j\ell n}((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m n}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell n}) \rhd' \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m n}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell m n}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell n}) \rhd' \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m n}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell m n}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell n})\}_{p}^{-1})$$

$$(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m n}^{-1}) \succ l_{j\ell m n} \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m n}^{-1}) \succ h_{j\ell m}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk\ell}, (g_{jm}^{-1}g_{m n}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn}\}_{p}^{-1}).$$

$$(157)$$

Then, using again the identity (75),

 $\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jm}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{kn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn}^{-1})\}_{\mathbf{P}}$

$$= \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jm}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn}\}_{\mathsf{P}} ((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{km}g_{jk}g_{jm}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn}) \rhd' \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn}\}_{\mathsf{P}} ((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}g_{\ell m}$$

one obtains:

$$\begin{split} \delta_{L}\big(\tilde{l}_{jk\ell mn}\big) &= & \delta_{L}\big(\big(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}\big) \rhd l_{jk\ell m}\big(\big(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}\big) \rhd h_{jkm}\big) \rhd' \\ & & \left(\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}\big) \rhd h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jm}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}\big) \rhd h_{jmn}\}_{\mathsf{p}}\big((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{km}g_{jk}g_{jm}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}\big) \rhd h_{jmn}\big) \rhd' \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}\big) \rhd h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{kn}^{-1}\big) \rhd h_{jkn}\big) \wp \right) \\ & & \left\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}\big) \rhd h_{jkm}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{km}g_{jk}g_{jm}g_{mn}^{-1}\big) \rhd h_{jmn}\right\}_{\mathsf{p}}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}g_{mn}^{-1}\big) \rhd h_{jkm}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}g_{kn}g_{jk}g_{jm}g_{mn}^{-1}\big) \rhd h_{jmn}\big) \wp \left(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}g_{mn}^{-1}\big) \rhd h_{jkn}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}g_{mn}^{-1}\big) \rhd h_{jkn}\big) \right) \\ & & \left\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}\big) \rhd h_{kmn}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}\big) \rhd h_{jkn}\big) \neg \left(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}g_{mn}^{-1}\big) \rhd h_{jkn}\right) \circ \left(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}g_{mn}^{-1}\big) \succ h_{k\ell mn}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}g_{mn}^{-1}\big) \succ h_{jkn}\big) \right) \\ & & \left\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}g_{mn}^{-1}\big) \rhd h_{kmn}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}g_{mn}^{-1}\big) \rhd h_{kmn}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}g_{mn}^{-1}\big) \succ h_{\ell mn}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}g_{mn}^{-1}\big) \succ h$$

Commuting the elements and using the identity (71a) one obtains:

 $\delta_L \left(\tilde{l}_{jk\ell mn} \right) \ = \ \delta_L \left((g_{jk}^{-1} g_{k\ell}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd l_{jk\ell m}^{-1} \left((g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm} \right) \rhd'$

 $\begin{pmatrix} \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ellm}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jm}^{-1}g_{mm}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn}\}_{\mathbf{p}} ((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ellm}^{-1}g_{mm}) \rhd h_{jmn}) \rhd h_{jmn}) \rhd h_{jmn} \rangle (f_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ellm}^{-1}g_{mm}) \rhd h_{jmn}) \rhd h_{jmn} \rangle (f_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ellm}^{-1}g_{mm}) \rhd h_{jmn}) \rhd h_{jmn} \rangle (f_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ellm}^{-1}g_{mn}) \rhd h_{jmn}) \rhd h_{jmn} \rangle (f_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ellm}^{-1}g_{mn}) \rhd h_{jmn}) \rhd h_{jmn} \rangle (f_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ellm}^{-1}g_{mn}) \rhd h_{jmn}) \rangle (f_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ellm}^{-1}g_{mn}) \rhd h_{jmn}) \rangle h_{jmn} \rangle (f_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ellm}^{-1}g_{mn}) \rhd h_{jmn}) \rangle h_{jkn} \rangle (f_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell}^{-1}g_{\ellm}^{-1}g_{mn}) \rhd h_{jmn}) \rangle h_{jmn} \rangle (f_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ellm}^{-1}g_{mn}) \rangle h_{kmn} \rangle (f_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ellm}^{-1}g_{mn}) \rangle h_{jkn} \rangle (f_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell}^{-1}g_{\ellm}^{-1}g_{mn}) \rangle h_{jkn} \rangle (f_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell}^{-1}g_{\ellm}^{-1}g_{mn}) \rangle h_{jmn} \rangle (f_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell}^{-1}g_{\ellm}^{-1}g_{mn}) \rangle h_{jkn} \rangle (f_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ellm}^{-1}g_{\ellm}) \rangle h_{jmn} \rangle h_{jmn} \rangle (f_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ellm}^{-1}g_{\ellm}^{-1}g_{\ellm}) \rangle h_{jkn} \rangle (f_{\ell}g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ellm}^{-1}g_{\ellm}) \rangle h_{\ell} h_{\ell} \rangle \rangle (f_{\ell}g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ellm}^{-1}g_{\ellm}^{-1}g_{\ellm}) \rangle h_{\ell} h_{\ell} \rangle \rangle \rangle (f_{\ell}g_{\ell}g_{\ell}^{-1}g_{\ellm}^{-1}g_{\ellm}) \rangle h_{\ell} h_{\ell} \rangle \rangle (f_{\ell}g_{\ell}g_{\ell}g_{\ell}^{-1}g_{\ellm}^{-1}g_{\ellm}) \rangle h_{\ell} h_{\ell} \rangle \rangle \rangle (f_{\ell}g_{\ell}g_{\ell}g_{\ellm}^{-1}g_{\ellm}^{-1}) \rangle h_{\ell} h_{\ell} \rangle \rangle \rangle (f_{\ell}g_{\ell}g_{\ell}g_{\ellm}^{-1}g_{\ellm}^{-1}g_{\ellm}) \rangle h_{\ell}$

 $(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd l_{j\ell mn} \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell m}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell\ell}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk\ell}, (g_{jm}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn}\}_{\mathbf{p}}^{-1}\}.$

Using the identity (74) one writes,

 $\begin{pmatrix} \left(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell n}^{-1}\right) \rhd h_{jkm}\left(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell n}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{km}g_{jk}g_{jm}^{-1}g_{m}^{-1}\right) \rhd h_{jmn}\right) \rhd' \left\{\left(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{km}^{-1}\right) \rhd h_{k\ell m}, \left(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{kn}^{-1}\right) \rhd h_{jkn}^{-1}\right)\right\}_{\mathbf{P}} \\ \begin{pmatrix} \left(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell n}^{-1}g_{\ell n}^{-1}\right) \rhd h_{jkm}\left(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell n}^{-1}g_{\ell m}g_{k\ell}g_{jm}^{-1}g_{m}^{-1}\right) \rhd h_{jmn}\left(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m}^{-1}\right) \rhd h_{k\ell m}, \left(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m}^{-1}\right) \rhd h_{km n}, \left(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m}^{-1}\right) \rhd h_{km n}\right) \right\}$

 $= \left(\left(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell n}^{-1}\right) \rhd h_{jkm} \left(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell n}^{-1}g_{\ell m}g_{jk}g_{jm}g_{jm}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}\right) \rhd h_{jmn} \left(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell n}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}\right) \rhd h_{kmn} \right) \rhd' \left\{ \left(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell n}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}\right) \rhd h_{kmn} \left(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell n}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}\right) \rhd h_{kmn} \left(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}\right) \rhd h_{kmn} \right) \rhd' \left\{ \left(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}\right) \rhd h_{k\ell m} \right) \rhd' \left(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}\right) \rhd h_{k\ell m} \right) \left(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}\right) \rhd h_{k\ell m} \right) \left(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}$

(161)

(160)

(156)

(158)

(159)

which gives:

$$\begin{split} \delta_{L}(\tilde{l}_{jk\ell mn}) &= \delta_{L}\left((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell}^{-1}) \rhd l_{jk\ell m}^{-1}((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}) \rhd' \left\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell}^{-1}\right) \rhd h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jm}^{-1}g_{m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn}\right\}_{p} \\ & \left((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell}^{-1}g_{m}) \rhd h_{jkm}\right) \rhd' \left\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell}^{-1}g_{m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}\right) \wp' \left\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell}^{-1}g_{m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell}^{-1}g_{m}) \rhd h_{jmn}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell}^{-1}g_{m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{kmn}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell}^{-1}g_{m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{kmn}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell}^{-1}g_{m}) \rhd h_{jkm}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell}^{-1}g_{m}^{-1}g_{m}) \rhd h_{jmn}) \wp l_{jkm}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell}^{-1}g_{m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell}^{-1}g_{m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn}) \wp l_{jkm}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell}^{-1}g_{m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell}^{-1}g_{m}^{-1}g_{m}) \rhd h_{jmn}) \wp l_{jkm}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell}^{-1}g_{m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell}^{-1}g_{m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn}) \wp l_{jkm}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell}^{-1}g_{m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell}^{-1}g_{m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn}) \wp l_{jkm}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell}^{-1}g_{m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell}^{-1}g_{m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn}) \wp l_{j\ell}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell}^{-1}g_{m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell}^{-1}g_{m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn}) \wp l_{j\ell}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell}^{-1}g_{m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn}) \wp l_{j\ell}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell}^{-1}g_{m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell}^{-1}g_{m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk\ell}) \wp l_{j\ell}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell}^{-1}g_{m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell}) \wp l_{j\ell}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell}^{-1}g_{m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jm}) \wp l_{j\ell}) \varsigma l_{j\ell}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jm}) \wp l_{j\ell}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk\ell}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk\ell}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jm}) \wp l_{j}) \varsigma l_{j\ell}) \varsigma l_{j\ell}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell}) \varsigma l_{jm}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk\ell}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jm}) \varsigma l_{j\ell}) \varsigma l_{j\ell}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell}^{-1}) \varsigma h_{jk\ell}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell}^{-1}) \varsigma h_{jk\ell}) \varsigma l_{j\ell}) \varsigma l_{j\ell}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell}^{-1}) \varsigma h_{jk\ell}) \varsigma l_$$

Commuting the elements one obtains:

$$\begin{split} \delta_{L}(\tilde{l}_{jk\ell mn}) &= \delta_{L}((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd l_{jk\ell m}^{-1}((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}) \rhd' \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jm}^{-1}g_{m n}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn}\}_{\mathrm{p}} \\ &\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell \ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell \ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m n}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn}\}_{\mathrm{p}}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell \ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m n}^{-1}) \rhd l_{jkmn}^{-1}\}_{kmn} \\ &((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m n}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}) \rhd' \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m n}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn}\}_{\mathrm{p}}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell \ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m n}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn}\}_{\mathrm{p}} \\ &((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m n}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn}) \rhd' \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m n}^{-1}) \rhd h_{kmn}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m n}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn}\}_{\mathrm{p}} \\ &(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m n}^{-1}) \rhd l_{k\ell mn}^{-1} \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m n}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell n}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m n}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn}\}_{\mathrm{p}} \\ &(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m n}^{-1}) \rhd l_{jk\ell n}((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m n}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell n}) \rhd' \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m n}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn}\}_{\mathrm{p}} \\ &(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m n}^{-1}) \rhd l_{j\ell mn}\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m n}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell n}) \wp' \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m n}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk\ell}\}_{\mathrm{p}}^{-1} \\ &(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m n}^{-1}) \rhd l_{j\ell mn}\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m n}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell m}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk\ell}, (g_{jm}^{-1}g_{m n}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn}\}_{\mathrm{p}}^{-1}). \end{split}$$

$$\tag{163}$$

Using the identity (80) one obtains:

$$\begin{split} \delta_{L}(\tilde{l}_{jk\ell mn}) &= \delta_{L}((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd l_{jk\ell m}^{-1}((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell \ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}) \rhd' \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jm}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn}\}_{\mathrm{p}} \\ &\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell \ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell \ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{km}g_{jk}g_{jm}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn}\}_{\mathrm{p}}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell \ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd l_{jkmn} \\ &\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell \ell}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{kkm}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell \ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn}\}_{\mathrm{p}}^{-1} \\ &\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd l_{k\ell mn}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn}\}_{\mathrm{p}}^{-1} \\ &(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell \ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd l_{k\ell mn}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell n}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \succ h_{jkn}\}_{\mathrm{p}} \\ &(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell \ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd l_{j\ell \ell n}((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell n}) \rhd' \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \succ h_{\ell mn}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}) \succ h_{jk\ell}\}_{\mathrm{p}}^{-1} \\ &(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell \ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd l_{j\ell mn}\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \succ h_{j\ell m}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \succ h_{jk\ell}, (g_{jm}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \succ h_{jmn}\}_{\mathrm{p}}^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Then, by calculating the inverse of the Peiffer lifting by using the identity (77),

 $\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{kmn}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{kn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn}\}\}_{\mathbf{p}}^{-1}$

$$= \left(\left(g_{jk}^{-1} g_{k\ell}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{mn}^{-1} \right) \rhd h_{kmn} \left(g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} \right) \rhd h_{k\ell m} \right) \rhd \left\{ \left(g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell k}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} \right) \rhd h_{k\ell m}^{-1} \right) \rhd h_{k\ell m} \right\}_{\mathsf{P}},$$

(165)

(162)

and commutating the elements one has:

$$\begin{split} \delta_{L} \big(\tilde{l}_{jk\ell mn} \big) &= \delta_{L} \big((g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell \ell \ell}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd l_{jk\ell m}^{-1} ((g_{jk}^{-1} g_{k\ell}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}) \rhd ' \{ (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{k\ell}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jm}^{-1} g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn} \}_{\mathbf{p}} \\ & \{ (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}, (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{km} g_{jk} g_{jm}^{-1} g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn} \}_{\mathbf{p}} (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{k\ell}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd l_{jkmn} (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{mm}^{-1}) \rhd l_{jkmn}) \rhd l_{jkmn}^{-1} \otimes l_{jkmn}^{-1} g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{mm}^{-1}) \rhd l_{k\ell mn} \\ & ((g_{jk}^{-1} g_{k\ell}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd (h_{k\ell n} h_{\ell mn})) \rhd' \{ (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{k\ell}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}^{-1} (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn} \}_{\mathbf{p}} \\ & \{ (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell n} (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn}, (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn} \}_{\mathbf{p}} \\ & \{ (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell n} (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell m}) \land' \{ (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn} \}_{\mathbf{p}} \\ & (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk\ell n} ((g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell m}) (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn} \}_{\mathbf{p}} \\ & (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd l_{j\ell mn} \{ (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell m}) \rhd' \{ (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn}, (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk\ell} \}_{\mathbf{p}}^{-1} \\ & (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{mn}^{-1}) \succ l_{j\ell mn} \{ (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell m} g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} \rangle \rhd h_{j\ell m} g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} \rangle \rhd h_{jk\ell} (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn} \}_{\mathbf{p}}^{-1}) . \end{aligned}$$

(166)

Then, using the identity (74) one obtains:

$$\delta_{L}(\tilde{l}_{jk\ell mn}) = \delta_{L}((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell\ell}^{-1}g_{\ellm}^{-1}) \rhd l_{j\ell\ell m}^{-1}((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell\ell}^{-1}g_{\ellm}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}) \rhd' \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell\ell}^{-1}g_{\ellm}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jm}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn}\}_{p} \\ \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell}^{-1}g_{\ellm}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell\ell}^{-1}g_{\ellm}^{-1}g_{mm}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn}\}_{p} (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ellm}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd l_{jkmn}^{-1}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell}^{-1}g_{\ellm}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd l_{jkmn}^{-1}) \rhd l_{jkmn}^{-1}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ellm}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd l_{jkmn}^{-1}) \rhd l_{k\ell m}^{-1} \\ \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd \delta(l_{k\ell mn}), (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn}\}_{p} \\ (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell \ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd l_{j\ell\ell n}((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell n}) \rhd' \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell mn}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk\ell}\}_{p}^{-1} \\ (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd l_{j\ell mn}\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell m}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell m}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell m}) \rho h_{j\ell m}\}_{p}^{-1}).$$

$$(167)$$

Using (72) one obtains:

$$\delta_{L}(\tilde{l}_{jk\ell mn}) = \delta_{L}((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \triangleright l_{jk\ell m}((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \geq h_{jkm}) \triangleright' \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \geq h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jm}^{-1}g_{m}^{-1}) \geq h_{jmn}\}_{p} \\ \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \geq h_{jkm}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{km}g_{jk}g_{jm}^{-1}g_{m}^{-1}) \geq h_{jmn}\}_{p}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell \ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \geq l_{jkm}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \geq h_{jmn}\}_{p}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell \ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \geq l_{jkm}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \geq h_{jmn}\}_{p}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell \ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \geq l_{jkm}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \geq h_{j\ell m}) \geq \ell_{j\ell m}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \geq h_{j\ell m}) \geq \ell_{j\ell m}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \geq h_{j\ell m}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \geq h_{$$

Then, using the identity (74) one can write,

 $\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm} \, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jm}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn}\}_{\mathbf{P}}$

 $= \left((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm} \right) \rhd' \{ (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jm}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn} \}_{\mathbf{P}} \{ (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{km}g_{jk}g_{jm}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn} \}_{\mathbf{P}},$

(169)

which leads to the equation:

$$\delta_{L}(\tilde{l}_{jk\ell mn}) = \delta_{L}((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd l_{jk\ell m}^{-1}\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell \ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell \ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}, (g_{jm}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn}\}_{p} \\ (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell \ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd l_{jkmn}^{-1}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell \ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn} \rhd' (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell \ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn}\}_{p} \\ (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell \ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd l_{jk\ell n}((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell \ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn}) \rhd' (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell \ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk\ell})_{p} \\ (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell \ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd l_{j\ell \ell m}((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell \ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell m}) \rhd' \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell \ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk\ell})_{p}^{-1}) \\ (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell \ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \succ l_{j\ell mn}\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell m}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk\ell}, (g_{jm}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn}\}_{p}^{-1}). \\ (170)$$

Then, using the identity (77) one writes

$$\{ (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell m}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk\ell}, (g_{jm}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn} \}_{p}^{-1} = \left((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell m}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk\ell} \right) \rhd' \\ \{ (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk\ell}^{-1}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn} \}_{p},$$

$$(171)$$

and substituting this expression in the equation (170) obtains:

$$\begin{split} \delta_{L}(\tilde{l}_{jk\ell mn}) &= \delta_{L}((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \triangleright l_{jk\ell m}^{-1}\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{jkm}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jm}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{jmn}\}_{\mathrm{P}} \\ & (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{m}^{-1}g_{m}^{-1}) \triangleright l_{jkmn}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mm}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{jkn} \triangleright' (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \triangleright l_{jk\ell m}) \geq h_{jkn} \vee (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \triangleright l_{jk\ell m}) \geq l_{jk\ell m} \\ & ((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{j\ell n}) \succ' \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{jk\ell}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \geq h_{jk\ell}\}_{\mathrm{P}}^{-1}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \triangleright l_{j\ell mn} \\ & ((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{j\ell m}) \wp' \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{jk\ell}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \geq h_{j\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \geq h_{j\ell mn} \\ & ((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{j\ell m}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \triangleright h_{jk\ell}) \wp' \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \geq h_{jk\ell}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \geq h_{j\ell m}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \geq h_{jmn}\}_{\mathrm{P}}) . \end{aligned}$$

By commutating the elements, one obtains:

$$\delta_{L}(\tilde{l}_{jk\ell mn}) = \delta_{L}\left(\left((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}\right) \rhd' \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell m}^{-1}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn}\}_{P} \\ \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}, (g_{jm}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn}\}_{P} \\ (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \rhd l_{jkmn}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn}) \rhd' \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \rhd l_{jk\ell m}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn}) \succ l_{jk\ell m}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \rhd l_{jk\ell m}) \\ ((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \rhd l_{jk}) \rhd' \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell m n}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk\ell}\}_{P}^{-1}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \rhd l_{j\ell m n}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m m}^{-1}) \rhd l_{jk\ell m}) \\ (173)$$

Then, using the identity (74) one obtains,

$$\{ (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell\ell}^{-1}g_{\ellm}^{-1}) \rhd \delta(l_{jk\ell m}), (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn} \}_{\mathbf{p}}$$

$$= \{ (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm} (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m} (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk\ell}^{-1} (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell m}^{-1}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn} \}_{\mathbf{p}}$$

$$= \left((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm} (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}) \rhd' \{ (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk\ell}^{-1} (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell m}^{-1}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn} \}_{\mathbf{p}}$$

$$= \left((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkm} (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{k\ell m}) \wp' \{ (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk\ell}^{-1} (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell m}^{-1} (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn} \}_{\mathbf{p}}$$

(174)

(177)

which gives:

$$\delta_{L}(\tilde{l}_{jk\ell mn}) = \delta_{L}((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd l_{jk\ell m}^{-1}\{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}) \rhd \delta(l_{jk\ell m}), (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m n}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn}\}_{P} \\ (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m n}^{-1}) \rhd l_{jkmn}^{-1}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m n}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jkn}) \succ (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m n}^{-1}) \rhd l_{jk\ell n}^{-1}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m n}^{-1}) \rhd l_{jk\ell n}) \\ ((g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m n}^{-1}) \rhd h_{j\ell n}) \rhd' \{(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m n}^{-1}) \rhd h_{\ell m n}, (g_{jk}^{-1}g_{k\ell}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jk\ell}\}_{P}^{-1}(g_{jk}^{-1}g_{\ell m}^{-1}g_{m n}^{-1}) \rhd l_{j\ell m}) .$$
(175)

Finally, using the identity (72),

$$\left(\left(g_{jk}^{-1} g_{k\ell}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{mn}^{-1} \right) \rhd h_{jmn} \right) \rhd' \left(g_{jk}^{-1} g_{k\ell}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} \right) \rhd l_{jk\ell m}^{-1} = \left(g_{jk}^{-1} g_{k\ell}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} \right) \rhd l_{jk\ell m}^{-1} \left\{ \left(g_{jk}^{-1} g_{k\ell}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} \right) \rhd h_{jmn} \right\}_{\mathrm{p}},$$

$$(176)$$
one obtains:

$$\begin{split} \delta_L \big(\tilde{l}_{jk\ell mn} \big) &= \delta_L \big(\big((g_{jk}^{-1} g_{k\ell}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{mn}^{-1}) \rhd h_{jmn} \big) \rhd' (g_{jk}^{-1} g_{k\ell}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{m}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{m}^{-1} g_{m}^{-1} g_{\ell m}^{-1} g_{\ell m}$$

Acting on the expression with $g_{mn}g_{\ell m}g_{k\ell}g_{jk}$ gives the δ -function:

$$\delta_L(\tilde{l}_{jk\ell mn}) = l_{j\ell mn}^{-1} h_{j\ell n} \rhd' \{h_{\ell mn}, (g_{mn}g_{\ell m}) \rhd h_{jk\ell}\}_{\mathbf{p}} l_{jk\ell n}^{-1} h_{jkn} \rhd' l_{k\ell mn} l_{jkmn} h_{jmn} \rhd' (g_{mn} \rhd l_{jk\ell m}).$$
(178)

This expression is exactly equal to $\delta_L(l_{jk\ell mn})$. This concludes the proof.

References

- K. Becker, M. Becker, and J. Schwarz, *String Theory and M-Theory: A Modern Introduction*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2006).
- [2] B. Zwiebach, A First Course in String Theory, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2004).
- [3] J. Polchinski, String theory Volume II, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1998).
- [4] A. Ashtekar, New variables for classical and quantum gravity, Phys. Rev. Lett. 57, 2244-2247 (1986).
- [5] T. Thiemann, Modern Canonical Quantum General Relativity, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2007).
- [6] C. Rovelli, Quantum Gravity, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2004).
- [7] C. Rovelli and F. Vidotto, Covariant Loop Quantum Gravity, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2014).
- [8] C. Rovelli, Zakopane lectures on loop gravity, arxiv:1102.3660.
- [9] D. N. Yetter, Triangulations and TQFT's, Quantum Topology, 354-369, (1993).
- [10] G. Ponzano and T. Regge, Spectroscopic and Group Theoretical Methods in Physics: Racah Memorial Volume, 75–103 (1968).
- [11] J. Baez, 4-Dimensional BF Theory as a Topological Quantum Field Theory, Lett.Math.Phys. 38, 129-143 (1996), arxiv:q-alg/9507006.
- [12] F. Girelli, H. Pfeiffer and E. M. Popescu, Topological Higher Gauge Theory from BF to BFCG theory, Jour. Math. Phys. 49, 032503 (2008), arxiv:0708.3051.
- [13] E. Witten, Topological quantum field theory, Comm. Math. Phys. 117 (3), 353-386 (1988), MR 0953828.
- [14] E. Witten, Quantum field theory and the Jones polynomial, Comm. Math. Phys. 121 (3), 351-399 (1989), MR 0990772.

- [15] S. Cordes, G. Moore, and S. Ramgoolam, Lectures on 2D Yang-Mills Theory, Equivariant Cohomology and Topological Field Theories, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. 41, 184-244 (1995), arxiv:hep-th/9411210.
- [16] F. Quinn, Lectures on axiomatic topological quantum field theory, (1995).
- [17] K. Sozer and A. Virelizier, *3d TQFTs and 3-manifold invariants*, in Encyclopedia of Mathematical Physics 2nd ed, Elsevier (2024).
- [18] L. Crane, L. Kauffman, and D. Yetter, State-Sum invariants of 4-manifolds I, J. Knot Theory Ramifications 6 (2), 177-234 (1997), arxiv:hep-th/9409167.
- [19] J. Baez and J. Dolan, Higher dimensional algebra and Topological Quantum Field Theory, J.Math.Phys. 36 6073-6105 (1995), arxiv:q-alg/9503002.
- [20] M. F. Atiyah, *Topological Quantum Field Theory*, *Publications Mathématiques de l'IHÉS* **68**, 175-186 (1988), MR 1001453.
- [21] J. Baez, Higher-Dimensional Algebra and Planck-Scale Physics, Physics Meets Philosophy at the Planck Length, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 177-195 (2001), arxiv:gr-qc/9902017.
- [22] J. Baez and J. Huerta, An Invitation to Higher Gauge Theory, Gen. Relativ. Gravit. 43, 2335 (2011), arxiv:1003.4485.
- [23] T. Radenković and M. Vojinović, Higher Gauge Theories Based on 3-groups, J. High Energy Phys. 10, 222 (2019), arxiv: 1904.07566.
- [24] P. Stipsić and M. Vojinović, Symmetry breaking mechanisms of the 3BF action for the Standard Model coupled to gravity, (2024), arxiv: 2402.17675.
- [25] A. Miković and M. Vojinović, Standard Model and 4-groups, Europhys. Lett. 133, 61001 (2021), arxiv:2008.06354.
- [26] T. Radenković and M. Vojinović, Topological invariant of 4-manifolds based on a 3-group, J. High Energy Phys. 07, 105 (2022), arxiv: 2201.02572.
- [27] T. Porter, *Topological quantum field theories from homotopy n-types, J. London Math. Soc.* (2) **58**, No.3 (1998) 723–732, MR 1678163.
- [28] T. Porter, *Interpretations of Yetter's notion of G-coloring: simplicial fibre bundles and non-abelian cohomology, J. Knot Th. Ramif.* **5**, No. 5 (1996) 687–720, MR 1414095.
- [29] U. Pachner, *PL homeomorphic manifolds are equivalent by elementary shellings, Europ. J. Combinat.* **12**, No. 2 (1991) 129–145, MR 1095161.
- [30] D. N. Yetter, *Topological quantum field theories associated to finite groups and crossed G-sets, J. Knot Theory Ramifications* **1**, 1 (1992).
- [31] D. N. Yetter, TQFT's from homotopy 2-types, J. Knot Theory Ramifications 2, 113 (1993).
- [32] Timothy Porter, *The Crossed Menagerie: an introduction to crossed gadgetry and cohomology in algebra and topology*, Notes initially prepared for the XVI Encuentro Rioplatense de Algebra y Geometria Algebraica, (2006), https://ncatlab.org/timporter/files/HQFT-XMenageriev2.pdf.
- [33] A. Miković and M. Vojinović, Poincaré 2-group and quantum gravity, Class. Quant. Grav. 29, 165003 (2012), arxiv:1110.4694.
- [34] T. Radenković and M. Vojinović, Hamiltonian Analysis for the Scalar Electrodynamics as 3BF Theory, Symmetry 12, 620 (2020), arxiv: 2004.06901.
- [35] T. Radenković and M. Vojinović, *Gauge symmetry of the 3BF theory for a generic Lie 3-group, Class. Quantum Grav.* **39**, 135009 (2022), arxiv: 2101.04049.
- [36] D. Conduché, Modules croisés généralisés de longueur 2, Proceedings of the Luminy conference on algebraic K-theory (Luminy, 1983), J. PureAppl. Algebra 34 no. 2-3, 155–178, (1984).
- [37] J. F. Martins and R. Picken, *The fundamental Gray 3-groupoid of a smooth manifold and local 3-dimensional holonomy based on a 2-crossed module, Differ. Geom. Appl. Journal* **29**, 179-206 (2011), arxiv:0907.2566.
- [38] W. Wang, On 3-gauge transformations, 3-curvatures and Gray-categories, Jour. Math. Phys. 55 (4), 043506 (2014).