

On the Algebraic Connectivity of Token Graphs and Graphs under Perturbations*

Dedicated to Professor Fuji Zhang on the occasion of his 88th birthday.

X. Song^{a,b}, C. Dalfo^b, M. A. Fiol^c, S. Zhang^a

^aSchool of Mathematics and Statistics, Northwestern Polytechnical University

Xi'an-Budapest Joint Research Center for Combinatorics, Northwestern Polytechnical University

Xi'an, Shaanxi, P.R. China, songxd@mail.nwpu.edu.cn, sgzhang@nwpu.edu.cn

^bDepartament de Matemàtica, Universitat de Lleida

Igualada (Barcelona), Catalonia, crisrina.dalfo@udl.cat

^cDepartament de Matemàtiques, Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya

Barcelona Graduate School, Institut de Matemàtiques de la UPC-BarcelonaTech (IMTech)

Barcelona, Catalonia, miguel.angel.fiol@upc.edu

Abstract

Given a graph $G = (V, E)$ on n vertices and an integer k between 1 and $n - 1$, the k -token graph $F_k(G)$ has vertices representing the k -subsets of V , and two vertices are adjacent if their symmetric difference is the two end-vertices of an edge in E . Using the theory of Markov chains of random walks and the interchange process, it was proved that the algebraic connectivities (second smallest Laplacian eigenvalues) of G and $F_k(G)$ coincide, but a combinatorial/algebraic proof has been shown elusive. In this paper, we use the latter approach and prove that such equality holds for different new classes of graphs under perturbations, such as extended cycles, extended complete bipartite graphs, kite graphs, and graphs with a cut clique. Kite graphs are formed by a graph (head) with several paths (tail) rooted at the same vertex and with exciting properties. For instance, we show that the different eigenvalues of a kite graph are also

*This research has been supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 12471334, No. 12131013), and Shaanxi Fundamental Science Research Project for Mathematics and Physics (No. 22JSZ009). C. Dalfo and M. A. Fiol are funded by AGAUR from the Catalan Government under project 2021SGR00434 and MICINN from the Spanish Government under project PID2020-115442RB-I00. M. A. Fiol's research is also supported by a grant from the Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, reference AGRUPS-2024.

eigenvalues of its perturbed graph obtained by adding edges. Moreover, as a particular case of one of our theorems, we generalize a recent result of Barik and Verma [3] about graphs with a cut vertex of degree $n - 1$. Along the way, we give conditions under which the perturbed graph $G + uv$, with $uv \in E$, has the same algebraic connectivity as G .

Keywords: Token graph, Laplacian spectrum, Algebraic connectivity, Binomial matrix, Kite graph, Cut clique.

MSC2010: 05C15, 05C10, 05C50.

1 Introduction

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph on $n = |V|$ vertices, with Laplacian matrix $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{L}(G)$. This is a symmetric positive semidefinite and singular matrix with eigenvalues $\lambda_1 (= 0) \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$. Since its introduction by Fiedler [9], the algebraic connectivity of G , $\alpha(G) = \lambda_2$, together with its eigenvector \mathbf{x} (or Fiedler vector), has deserved much attention in the literature. See, for instance, the comprehensive survey by Abreu [1]. Moreover, the behavior of $\alpha(G)$ and \mathbf{x} under graph perturbations has been considered. Recently, some papers have dealt with the algebraic connectivity of token graphs. The k -token graph $F_k(G)$ has vertices representing the different configurations of k indistinguishable tokens in different vertices of G . Two configurations are adjacent if one can be obtained from the other by moving a token along an edge from its current position to an unoccupied vertex. It was shown that the Laplacian spectrum of a graph G is contained in the spectrum of its k -token graph; see Dalfó, Duque, Fabila-Monroy, Fiol, Huemer, Trujillo-Negrete, and Zaragoza Martínez [6]. Moreover, the authors proved that $\alpha(F_k(G)) = \alpha(G)$ for some families of graphs, such as the complete graphs and the paths. From their results, they conjectured that this was always the case. Later, they realized that this corresponded to the so-called ‘Aldous spectral graph conjecture’ already proved by Caputo, Liggett, and Richthammer [4]. The proof was based on Markov chains of random walks and the interchange process. However, until now, a combinatorial/algebraic proof is elusive. Some advances towards such a proof have been recently made; see, for instance, Barik and Verma [3], Dalfó and Fiol [7], and Reyes, Dalfó, Fiol, and Messegué [15]. In this article, our contribution is a further step in this direction by proving the equality $\alpha(F_k(G)) = \alpha(G)$ for different new infinite families of graphs. Besides, along the way, we give the conditions under which the perturbed graph $G + uv$ (where uv is a new edge) has the same algebraic connectivity as G .

This paper is structured as follows. The following section gives the preliminary results and notations used in this work. The new results are presented in the further sections. Thus, in Section 3, we derive results about the algebraic connectivities of a graph and the same graph after adding one or more new edges. In Section 4, we give some results about the so-called kite graphs, that is, with a ‘head’, which is a general graph, and a ‘tail,’ which is a starlike tree (roughly speaking, a tree with paths). In the same section, we provide conditions under which the algebraic connectivity does not change when kite graphs are ‘perturbed’ by adding some edges. In Section 5, we compute the algebraic connectivity of graphs with a cut clique K_r with maximum degree. This result generalizes a theorem of Barik and Verma [3] about the algebraic connectivity and cut vertices.

2 Preliminaries

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph on n vertices. Let $V' \subset V$. Denote by $G[V']$ the *induced subgraph* of G whose vertex set is V' and whose edge set consists of all edges of G with both ends in V' . If $G' = (V', E')$ is an induced subgraph of G , the *degree* of G' , denoted by $d_G(G')$, is the number of edges between V' and $V \setminus V'$. In particular, the degree of a vertex v is denoted by $d_G(v)$. We denote by $G_1 \cup G_2$ the *union* of two simple graphs $G_1 = (V_1, E_1)$ and $G_2 = (V_2, E_2)$, with vertex set $V_1 \cup V_2$ and edge set $E_1 \cup E_2$. If G_1 and G_2 are disjoint, we refer to their union as a *disjoint union*. Given an integer k such that $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$, the *k-token graph* of G , denoted by $F_k(G)$, has $\binom{n}{k}$ vertices representing the configurations of k indistinguishable tokens placed at distinct vertices of G . Moreover, two configurations are adjacent whenever one configuration can be reached from the other by moving one token along an edge of G from its current position to an unoccupied vertex, see Fabila-Monroy, Flores-Peñaloza, Huemer, Hurtado, Urrutia, and Wood [8]. As an example, Figure 1 shows the graph Y on 5 vertices, and its 2-token graph $F_2(Y)$ with $\binom{5}{2} = 10$ vertices.

For a matrix \mathbf{M} with order n , let $\lambda_1(\mathbf{M}) \leq \lambda_2(\mathbf{M}) \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n(\mathbf{M})$ be its eigenvalues. Denote by $\Phi(\mathbf{M})$ the characteristic polynomial of \mathbf{M} . Let $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{L}(G)$ be the Laplacian matrix of G . This matrix is positive semidefinite and singular, with eigenvalues $(0 =) \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$. Its second smallest eigenvalue λ_2 is known as the *algebraic connectivity* of G (see Fiedler [9]), and we denote it by $\alpha(G)$. An eigenvector corresponding to the algebraic connectivity is called a *Fiedler vector*.

Let \mathbf{j} be the all-1 (column) vector. Given a graph G of order n , we define a vector \mathbf{x} to be an *embedding* of G if $\mathbf{x} \in W_n$, where $W_n := \{\mathbf{y} : \mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{j} = 0\}$. For a vertex $v \in V$,




Figure 1: The graph Y and its 2-token graph $F_2(Y)$.

the entry of \mathbf{x} corresponding to v is denoted by \mathbf{x}_v . The value $\frac{\mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{L}(G) \mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{x}}$ is known as the *Rayleigh quotient*, and

$$\alpha(G) \leq \frac{\mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{L}(G) \mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{x}} = \frac{\sum_{uv \in E(G)} (\mathbf{x}_u - \mathbf{x}_v)^2}{\mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{x}} \quad (2.1)$$

for any vector $\mathbf{x} \in W_n$ and $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}$, where equality holds if and only if \mathbf{x} is a Fiedler vector of G .

Given some integers n and k (with $k \in [n] = \{1, 2, \dots\}$), the (n, k) -binomial matrix \mathbf{B} is a $\binom{n}{k} \times n$ matrix whose rows are the characteristic vectors of the k -subsets of $[n]$ in a given order. Thus, if the i -th k -subset is A , then

$$(\mathbf{B})_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j \in A, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Denote by \mathbf{O} the all-0 matrix with the appropriate dimension. Let \mathbf{I}_n and $\mathbf{J}_n = \mathbf{j}\mathbf{j}^\top$ be the identity matrix and the all-1 matrix with order n , respectively.

Now, let us describe a pair of results on the interlacing of graph eigenvalues.

Lemma 2.1 (Horn and Johnson [12]). *Let \mathbf{M}' be a Hermitian square matrix of order $n - 1$, $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$, and $a \in \mathbb{R}$, and let*

$$\mathbf{M} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{M}' & \mathbf{z} \\ \mathbf{z}^* & a \end{pmatrix},$$

where \mathbf{z}^* is the conjugate transpose of \mathbf{z} . Then, the eigenvalues of \mathbf{M}' interlace the eigenvalues of \mathbf{M} . That is, we have the inequalities

$$\lambda_1(\mathbf{M}) \leq \lambda_1(\mathbf{M}') \leq \lambda_2(\mathbf{M}) \leq \dots \leq \lambda_{n-1}(\mathbf{M}) \leq \lambda_{n-1}(\mathbf{M}') \leq \lambda_n(\mathbf{M}),$$

in which $\lambda_i(\mathbf{M}') = \lambda_{i+1}(\mathbf{M})$ if and only if there is a nonzero vector $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ such that $\mathbf{M}'\mathbf{w} = \lambda_i(\mathbf{M}')\mathbf{w}$, with $\mathbf{z}^*\mathbf{w} = 0$, and $\mathbf{M}'\mathbf{w} = \lambda_{i+1}(\mathbf{M})\mathbf{w}$.

Lemma 2.2 (Horn and Johnson [12]). *Let \mathbf{M}_1 and \mathbf{M}_2 be two Hermitian matrices of order n . Then,*

$$\lambda_i(\mathbf{M}_1) + \lambda_1(\mathbf{M}_2) \leq \lambda_i(\mathbf{M}_1 + \mathbf{M}_2) \leq \lambda_i(\mathbf{M}_1) + \lambda_n(\mathbf{M}_2)$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$, with equality in the upper bound if and only if there is nonzero vector \mathbf{x} such that $\mathbf{M}_1\mathbf{x} = \lambda_i(\mathbf{M}_1)\mathbf{x}$, $\mathbf{M}_2\mathbf{x} = \lambda_n(\mathbf{M}_2)\mathbf{x}$, and $(\mathbf{M}_1 + \mathbf{M}_2)\mathbf{x} = \lambda_i(\mathbf{M}_1 + \mathbf{M}_2)\mathbf{x}$; equality in the lower bound occurs if and only if there is a nonzero vector \mathbf{x} such that $\mathbf{M}_1\mathbf{x} = \lambda_i(\mathbf{M}_1)\mathbf{x}$, $\mathbf{M}_2\mathbf{x} = \lambda_1(\mathbf{M}_2)\mathbf{x}$, and $(\mathbf{M}_1 + \mathbf{M}_2)\mathbf{x} = \lambda_i(\mathbf{M}_1 + \mathbf{M}_2)\mathbf{x}$.

Let us now show some results concerning a graph after adding a new edge uv to it.

Lemma 2.3 (Cvetković, Doob, and Sachs [5]). *Let G be a graph and $G' = G + uv$. Then, the Laplacian eigenvalues of G and G' interlace, that is,*

$$0 = \lambda_1(G) = \lambda_1(G') \leq \lambda_2(G) \leq \lambda_2(G') \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n(G) \leq \lambda_n(G').$$

Lemma 2.4 (Xue, Lin, and Shu [17]). *Let G be a graph and $G' = G + uv$. If $\alpha(G') = \alpha(G)$, then there exists a Fiedler vector \mathbf{x} of G such that $\mathbf{x}_u = \mathbf{x}_v$.*

Lemma 2.5 (Merris [14]). *Let G be a graph and $G' = G + uv$ or $G' = G - uv$. Let λ be a Laplacian eigenvalue of G corresponding to an eigenvector \mathbf{x} . If $\mathbf{x}_u = \mathbf{x}_v$, then λ is also a Laplacian eigenvalue of G' with eigenvector \mathbf{x} , where G' is the graph obtained from G by deleting or adding an edge uv depending on whether or not it is an edge of G .*

From Lemma 2.5, we get that $\lambda = \alpha(G)$ is also a Laplacian eigenvalue of $G + uv$ corresponding to \mathbf{x} if $\mathbf{x}_u = \mathbf{x}_v$. Then, it must be $\alpha(G + uv) \leq \alpha(G)$ and, since $\alpha(G + uv) \geq \alpha(G)$, we conclude that $\alpha(G) = \alpha(G + uv)$. Combining Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5, we can obtain the following lemma, which gives a **necessary and sufficient condition** for $\alpha(G) = \alpha(G + uv)$.

Lemma 2.6. *Let G be a graph on n vertices. Then, $\alpha(G) = \alpha(G + uv)$ if and only if there exists a Fiedler vector \mathbf{x} of G such that $\mathbf{x}_u = \mathbf{x}_v$.*

Of course, by applying repeatedly Lemma 2.6, if G' is obtained from G by adding r edges $u_i v_i$, we have that $\alpha(G) = \alpha(G')$ if and only if there exists a Fiedler vector \mathbf{x} of G such that $\mathbf{x}_{u_i} = \mathbf{x}_{v_i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$.

Some preliminary results related to token graphs are presented below.

Lemma 2.7 (Dalfó, Duque, Fabila-Monroy, Fiol, Huemer, Trujillo-Negrete, and Zaragoza Martínez [6]). *Consider a graph $G(\cong F_1(G))$ and its k -token graph $F_k(G)$, with corresponding Laplacian matrices $\mathbf{L}(G)$ and $\mathbf{L}(F_k(G))$, and the (n, k) -binomial matrix \mathbf{B} . The following statements hold:*

(i) If \mathbf{x} is a λ -eigenvector of $\mathbf{L}(G)$, then $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{x}$ is a λ -eigenvector of $\mathbf{L}(F_k(G))$.

(ii) If \mathbf{w} is a λ -eigenvector of $\mathbf{L}(F_k(G))$ and $\mathbf{B}^\top \mathbf{w} \neq 0$, then $\mathbf{B}^\top \mathbf{w}$ is a λ -eigenvector of $\mathbf{L}(G)$.

As a consequence of Lemma 2.7, we have the following result.

Theorem 2.8 (Dalfó, Duque, Fabila-Monroy, Fiol, Huemer, Trujillo-Negrete, and Zaragoza Martínez [6]). *Let G be a graph on n vertices and let k be an integer such that $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$. Then, the spectrum of G is contained in the spectrum of its k -token graph $F_k(G)$.*

Theorem 2.9 (Dalfó and Fiol [7], Dalfó, Duque, Fabila-Monroy, Fiol, Huemer, Trujillo-Negrete, and Zaragoza Martínez [6], Reyes, Dalfó, Fiol, and Messegué [15]). *For each of the following classes of graphs, the algebraic connectivity of a token graph $F_k(G)$ satisfies the following statements.*

(i) *Let T_n be a tree on n vertices. Then, $\alpha(F_k(T_n)) = \alpha(T_n)$ for every n and $k = 1, \dots, n - 1$.*

(ii) *Let G be a graph such that $\alpha(F_k(G)) = \alpha(G)$. Let T_G be a graph in which each vertex of G is the root vertex of some (possibly empty) tree. Then, $\alpha(F_k(T_G)) = \alpha(T_G)$.*

(iii) *Let $G = K_{n_1, n_2}$ be a complete bipartite graph on $n = n_1 + n_2$ vertices, with $n_1 \leq n_2$. Then, $\alpha(F_k(G)) = \alpha(G) = n_1$ for every n_1, n_2 and $k = 1, \dots, n - 1$.*

(iv) *Let $G = K_n$ be a complete graph on n vertices. Then, $\alpha(F_k(G)) = \alpha(G) = n$ for every $k = 1, \dots, n - 1$.*

(v) *Let C_n be a cycle on n vertices. Then, $\alpha(F_k(C_n)) = \alpha(C_n)$ for $k = 1, 2$.*

Lemma 2.10 (Barik and Verma [3]). *Let G be a graph on $n \geq 4$ vertices, and H be the graph formed by adding a pendant vertex (say v , with the corresponding edge) to graph G . Then, for any integer k such that $2 \leq k \leq n/2$,*

$$\alpha(F_k(H)) \leq \min\{\alpha(F_{k-1}(G)) + 1, \alpha(F_k(G)) + 1\}.$$

Theorem 2.11 (Barik and Verma [3]). *Let G be a graph on n vertices and v be a cut vertex in G such that $d_G(v) = n - 1$. Then, for any integer k such that $2 \leq k \leq \frac{n}{2}$,*

$$\alpha(F_k(G)) = \alpha(G) = 1.$$

3 Adding edges

In this section and the following ones, we present the new results of the paper. We begin by deriving results about the algebraic connectivities of a graph and the same graph after adding a new edge uv .

3.1 A basic result

Theorem 3.1. *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph with order n such that, for some k with $2 \leq k \leq n/2$, we have $\alpha(F_k(G)) = \alpha(G)$. Consider adding an edge uv , for $u, v \in V$, getting the new graph $G + uv$. If $\alpha(G + uv) = \alpha(G)$, then $\alpha(F_k(G + uv)) = \alpha(G + uv)$.*

Proof. Note that $F_k(G)$ is a spanning subgraph of $F_k(G + uv)$ with

$$E(F_k(G + uv)) \setminus E(F_k(G)) = \{A_r A_s : A_r = \{u, u_1, \dots, u_{k-1}\}, A_s = \{v, u_1, \dots, u_{k-1}\}\},$$

where $u_1, \dots, u_{k-1} \in V(G) \setminus \{u, v\}$. Then, by Lemma 2.3,

$$\alpha(F_k(G + uv)) \geq \alpha(F_k(G)). \quad (3.1)$$

Since $\alpha(G + uv) = \alpha(G)$, by Lemma 2.6, there exists a Fiedler vector \mathbf{x} of G such that $\mathbf{x}_u = \mathbf{x}_v$. Let $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{x}$, where \mathbf{B} is the (n, k) -binomial matrix. It follows from the hypothesis $\alpha(F_k(G)) = \alpha(G)$ and Lemma 2.7(i) that \mathbf{y} is a Fiedler vector of $F_k(G)$. Moreover, observe that

$$\mathbf{y}_{A_r} - \mathbf{y}_{A_s} = \mathbf{x}_u + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \mathbf{x}_{u_i} - \left(\mathbf{x}_v + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \mathbf{x}_{u_i} \right) = \mathbf{x}_u - \mathbf{x}_v = 0.$$

Hence, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(F_k(G)) &= \frac{\mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{L}(F_k(G)) \mathbf{y}}{\mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{y}} = \frac{\sum_{A_r A_s \in E(F_k(G))} (\mathbf{y}_{A_r} - \mathbf{y}_{A_s})^2}{\mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{y}} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{A_r A_s \in E(F_k(G+uv))} (\mathbf{y}_{A_r} - \mathbf{y}_{A_s})^2}{\mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{y}} \\ &\geq \alpha(F_k(G + uv)). \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Thus, from (3.1), (3.2), and the hypothesis, we conclude that $\alpha(F_k(G + uv)) = \alpha(F_k(G)) = \alpha(G) = \alpha(G + uv)$.

Alternatively, we have

$$\alpha(F_k(G + uv)) \geq \alpha(F_k(G)) = \alpha(G) = \alpha(G + uv).$$

Then, the result follows since, by Theorem 2.8, it must be $\alpha(F_k(G + uv)) \leq \alpha(G + uv)$. \square




Figure 2: The graph $Y + 12$ and its 2-token graph $F_2(Y + 12)$.

Example 3.2. The graph $Y = (V, E)$ of Figure 1 has algebraic connectivity $\alpha(Y) = 0.5188$ with corresponding eigenvector $\mathbf{x} = (-0.5969, -0.5969, -2.8772, 0.4812, 1)^\top$. Then, since $\mathbf{x}_1 = \mathbf{x}_2$, its ‘extended’ graph $Y + 12$ has the same algebraic connectivity $\alpha(Y + 12) = \alpha(Y)$, with the same eigenvector \mathbf{x} . This graph is shown in Figure 2 together with its 2-token graph $F_2(Y + 12)$. Notice that $F_2(Y)$ is a spanning subgraph of $F_2(Y + 12)$, where the ‘new’ edges induced by 12 are $A_r A_s \in \{13 \sim 23, 14 \sim 24, 15 \sim 25\}$. Since $\alpha(F_2(Y)) = \alpha(Y)$, Theorem 3.1 implies that $\alpha(F_2(Y + 12)) = \alpha(Y + 12) = \alpha(Y) = 0.5188$.

3.2 Extended graphs with pendant vertices

From the result in Theorem 3.1, it is natural to consider graphs satisfying $\alpha(G) = \alpha(G + uv)$ for some edge uv . A family of such graphs is given in the following Lemma 3.3, whose statement can be made more explicit by first computing the value of a particular eigenvalue. With this aim, given a vertex subset $V' \subseteq V$, let $\mathbf{L}_{[V']}(G)$ denote the principal submatrix of $\mathbf{L}(G)$ whose rows and columns correspond to V' . When G is a path P_{r+1} with vertices u_0, u_1, \dots, u_r and $V_r = \{u_1, \dots, u_r\}$, $\mathbf{L}_{[V_r]}(G)$ is the $r \times r$ tridiagonal matrix

$$\mathbf{L}_{[V_r]}(P_{r+1}) = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & \ddots & \ddots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

with eigenvalues

$$\theta_k = 2 + 2 \cos\left(\frac{2k\pi}{2r+1}\right), \quad \text{for } k = 1, \dots, r. \quad (3.3)$$

r	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
θ_r	1	0.3820	0.1981	0.1206	0.0810	0.0581	0.0437	0.0341	0.0273	0.0223

Table 1: The values of $\theta_r = \lambda_1(\mathbf{L}_{[V_r]}(P_{r+1}))$ for different values of r .




Figure 3: An example of G^+ where $H = K_3$ and $s = r = 3$ in Lemma 3.3.

(See Yueh [18, Th. 1]). The minimum eigenvalue is obtained when $k = r$ (since θ_k is decreasing with k), so we have that $\lambda_1(\mathbf{L}_{[V_r]}(P_{r+1})) = \theta_r$, and the following result of Shao, Guo, and Shan [16] reads as follows.

Lemma 3.3 (Shao, Guo, and Shan [16]). *Let v be a vertex in a connected graph H and suppose that $s(\geq 2)$ new paths (with equal length r) $vv_1v_2 \cdots v_{ir}$ (for $i = 1, \dots, s$ and $r \geq 1$) are attached to H at v to form a new graph G . Let G^+ be the graph obtained from G by arbitrarily adding edges among the vertices $v_{1j}, v_{2j}, \dots, v_{sj}$ for any given $j = 1, \dots, r$. If $\alpha(G) \neq 2 + 2 \cos\left(\frac{2r\pi}{2r+1}\right)$, then $\alpha(G^+) = \alpha(G)$.*

Example 3.4. *A simple example of Lemma 3.3 is obtained when $G = Y$, the graph of Figure 1, where $s = 2$ and $r = 1$. Then, as $\alpha(Y) = 0.5188 \neq \theta_1 = 1$, the graph $G^+ = Y+12$ of Figure 2 satisfies $\alpha(G^+) = \alpha(G)$. Moreover, Figure 3 is an example when the statement of Lemma 3.3 does not hold because the necessary conditions fail. In the latter graph, we have $\alpha(G^+) = 0.2679$, which is different from $\alpha(G^+ - v_{13}v_{23}) = 0.1981$. This is because $\alpha(G) = \alpha(G^+ - \{v_{13}v_{23}, v_{11}v_{31}\}) = \lambda_1(\mathbf{L}_{[V_r]}(P_{r+1})) = \theta_r = 0.1981$ (see Table 1 for $r = 3$).*

It has been shown in Kirland [13] that, for a connected graph G with n vertices and a cut vertex v , we have $\alpha(G) \leq 1$ and the equality holds if and only if $d_G(v) = n - 1$. We get the following theorem by combining this with previous results.

Theorem 3.5. *Let G be a graph on n vertices. Suppose that v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s (for $s \geq 2$) are s pendant vertices of G adjacent to a common vertex v with $d_G(v) \neq n - 1$. Let G^+ be a*

graph obtain from G by adding any t (for $0 \leq t \leq \frac{s(s-1)}{2}$) edges among v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s . If $\alpha(F_k(G)) = \alpha(G)$, then $\alpha(F_k(G^+)) = \alpha(G^+)$.

Proof. Note that v is a cut vertex of G . Since $d_G(v) \neq n-1$, we have $\alpha(G) < 1 = \theta_1$. It follows from Lemma 3.3 and Theorem 3.1 that the results hold. \square

The following corollary holds directly from Theorems 2.9(i) and 3.5.

Corollary 3.6. *Let T be a tree on n vertices. Suppose that v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s (for $s \geq 2$) are s pendant vertices of T adjacent to a common vertex v with $d_T(v) \neq n-1$. Let T^+ be a graph obtain from T by adding any t (for $0 \leq t \leq \frac{s(s-1)}{2}$) edges among v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s . Then, $\alpha(F_k(T^+)) = \alpha(T^+)$.*

3.3 Extended cycles

Let $G = (V, E) = C_n$ be a cycle with n vertices, v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1} , and let $C_n^+ = (V, E^+)$ be a graph obtained from C_n by adding some edges in $E_0 = \{v_i v_j : i + j = \nu\}$, where $\nu = n$ if n is odd and $\nu \in \{n, n-1\}$ otherwise, so that $E^+ = E \cup E_0$. Guo, Zhang, and Yu [11] showed that there exists a Fiedler vector \mathbf{x} of C_n such that $\mathbf{x}_{v_i} = \mathbf{x}_{v_{n-i}}$ (for $i = 1, \dots, (n-1)/2$), and an integer s (with $1 \leq s \leq (n-3)/2$) such that $\mathbf{x}_{v_0} > \mathbf{x}_{v_1} > \dots > \mathbf{x}_{v_s} > 0 \geq \mathbf{x}_{v_{s+1}} > \dots > \mathbf{x}_{v_{\frac{n-1}{2}}}$ for odd n . (For even n , there is a similar result). Consequently, they proved that adding the edges in E_0 does not change the algebraic connectivity: $\alpha(C_n) = \alpha(C_n^+)$. Combining this fact with Theorems 3.1 and 2.9(v), we obtain the following result.

Theorem 3.7. *Let C_n be a cycle with n vertices, and C_n^+ a graph obtained from C_n by adding some edges in $E_0 = \{v_i v_j : i + j = \nu\}$. Then, $\alpha(F_k(C_n^+)) = \alpha(C_n^+)$ for $k = 1, 2$.*

3.4 Extended complete bipartite graphs

Now, we consider adding new edges to complete bipartite graphs.

Theorem 3.8. *Let K_{n_1, n_2} be a complete bipartite graph on $n = n_1 + n_2$ vertices, with bipartition (X, Y) such that $|X| = n_1 \leq n_2 = |Y|$. Let K_{n_1, n_2}^+ be a graph obtained from K_{n_1, n_2} by adding any t (for $0 \leq t \leq \frac{n_1(n_1-1)}{2}$) edges among X . Then, $\alpha(F_k(K_{n_1, n_2}^+)) = \alpha(K_{n_1, n_2}^+) = n_1$ for every n_1, n_2 and $k = 1, \dots, n-1$.*

Proof. Denote by K_{n_1, n_2}^* the graph obtained from K_{n_1, n_2} by adding all edges among X , that is, $G[X] \cong K_{n_1}$. It can be shown that the spectrum of K_{n_1, n_2}^* is $\{0, n_1^{n_2-1}, n_1^{n_1}\}$. Thus, $\alpha(K_{n_1, n_2}^*) = n_1$. It follows from Lemma 2.3 that

$$\alpha(K_{n_1, n_2}^*) \geq \alpha(K_{n_1, n_2}^+) \geq \alpha(K_{n_1, n_2}).$$

Note that $\alpha(K_{n_1, n_2}^*) = \alpha(K_{n_1, n_2}) = n_1$. We obtain $\alpha(K_{n_1, n_2}^+) = n_1 = \alpha(K_{n_1, n_2})$. Then, the result follows from Theorems 2.9(iii) and 3.1. \square

How about adding edges among the vertices in Y in the complete bipartite graph? We consider adding all edges among Y based on the following lemma. For a vertex $v \in V(G)$, let $S_v := \{A \in V(F_k(G)) : v \in A\}$ and $S'_v := \{B \in V(F_k(G)) : v \notin B\}$. Let H_v and H'_v be the subgraphs of $F_k(G)$ induced by S_v and S'_v , respectively. Note that $H_v \cong F_{k-1}(G - v)$ and $H'_v \cong F_k(G - v)$.

Lemma 3.9 (Dalfó and Fiol [7]). *Given a vertex $v \in V(G)$ and an eigenvector \mathbf{w} of $F_k(G)$ such that $\mathbf{B}^\top \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{0}$, let $\mathbf{y}_v := \mathbf{w}|_{S_v}$ and $\mathbf{y}'_v := \mathbf{w}|_{S'_v}$. Then, \mathbf{y}_v and \mathbf{y}'_v are embeddings of H_v and H'_v , respectively.*

Theorem 3.10. *Let $G = K_{n_1, n_2}$ be a complete bipartite graph on $n = n_1 + n_2$ vertices, with bipartition (X, Y) such that $2 \leq n_1 \leq n_2$, where $|X| = n_1$ and $|Y| = n_2$. Let K_{n_1, n_2}^* be a graph obtained from K_{n_1, n_2} by adding all edges among Y . Then, $\alpha(F_k(K_{n_1, n_2}^*)) = \alpha(K_{n_1, n_2}^*) = n_2$ for every n_1, n_2 and $k = 1, \dots, n - 1$.*

Proof. Note that the spectrum of K_{n_1, n_2}^* is $\{0, n_2^{n_1-1}, n^{n_2}\}$. Thus, $\alpha(K_{n_1, n_2}^*) = n_2$. Recall that $\alpha(F_k(K_{n_1, n_2}^*)) \leq \alpha(K_{n_1, n_2}^*) = n_2$. Let \mathbf{w} be a Fiedler vector of $F_k(K_{n_1, n_2}^*)$. Without loss of generality, suppose that $\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{w} = 1$. It follows from Lemma 2.7(ii) that, if $\mathbf{B}^\top \mathbf{w} \neq \mathbf{0}$, then $\alpha(F_k(K_{n_1, n_2}^*))$ is also an eigenvalue of K_{n_1, n_2}^* , so that $\alpha(F_k(K_{n_1, n_2}^*)) = \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{L}(F_k(K_{n_1, n_2}^*)) \mathbf{w} \geq \alpha(K_{n_1, n_2}^*) = n_2$. Then, it suffices to show $\alpha(F_k(K_{n_1, n_2}^*)) \geq n_2$ when $\mathbf{B}^\top \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{0}$. We prove it by induction on $n_1 (\geq 2)$ and k . For $k = 1$, the claim holds, since $F_1(K_{n_1, n_2}^*) \cong K_{n_1, n_2}^*$. For $n_1 = 2$, note that the claim is true if $k = 1$. Then, we assume that $\alpha(F_{k-1}(K_{n_1, n_2}^*)) \geq n_2$. Let v be a vertex in X . Thus, $G - v \cong K_{n_2+1}$ is a complete graph. Let $\mathbf{y}_v := \mathbf{w}|_{S_v}$ and $\mathbf{y}'_v := \mathbf{w}|_{S'_v}$ such that, by Lemma 3.9, are embeddings of $H_v \cong F_{k-1}(G - v)$ and $H'_v \cong F_k(G - v)$. Then, from (2.1), the hypothesis, and Lemma 2.9(iv), we have

$$\frac{\sum_{(A,B) \in E(H_v)} ((\mathbf{y}_v)_A - (\mathbf{y}_v)_B)^2}{\sum_{A \in V(H_v)} ((\mathbf{y}_v)_A)^2} \geq \alpha(H_v) = \alpha(F_{k-1}(G - v)) \geq n_2$$

and

$$\frac{\sum_{(A,B) \in E(H'_v)} ((\mathbf{y}'_v)_A - (\mathbf{y}'_v)_B)^2}{\sum_{A \in V(H'_v)} ((\mathbf{y}'_v)_A)^2} \geq \alpha(H'_v) = \alpha(F_k(G - v)) = n_2 + 1.$$

Thus,

$$\alpha(F_k(K_{n_1, n_2}^*)) = \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{L}(F_k(K_{n_1, n_2}^*)) \mathbf{w}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{(A,B) \in E(F_k(K_{n_1, n_2}^*))} (\mathbf{w}_A - \mathbf{w}_B)^2 \\
&\geq \sum_{(A,B) \in E(H_v)} ((\mathbf{y}_v)_A - (\mathbf{y}_v)_B)^2 + \sum_{(A,B) \in E(H'_v)} ((\mathbf{y}'_v)_A - (\mathbf{y}'_v)_B)^2 \\
&\geq \alpha(F_{k-1}(G-v)) \sum_{A \in V(H_v)} ((\mathbf{y}_v)_A)^2 + \alpha(F_k(G-v)) \sum_{A \in V(H'_v)} ((\mathbf{y}'_v)_A)^2 \\
&\geq n_2 \left[\sum_{A \in V(H_v)} (\mathbf{w}_A)^2 + \sum_{A \in V(H'_v)} (\mathbf{w}_A)^2 \right] \\
&= n_2.
\end{aligned}$$

For $n_1 > 2$ and $k > 1$, we obtain the claim using a similar approach as above. Together with $\alpha(F_k(K_{n_1, n_2}^*)) \leq n_2$, the result holds. \square

4 Kite graphs

The graphs in Lemma 3.3 suggest the following definition.

Definition 4.1. A kite $K(H, T)$ is a graph consisting of a head H that is a general graph, and a tail T consisting of $s \geq 2$ paths of equal length r with the common end-vertex $v \in V(H)$.

Examples of kite graphs are shown in Figures 4, 5, and 6. Thus, general kite graphs appear in Lemma 3.3, whereas the graphs in Theorem 3.5 correspond to kite graphs with tail length $r = 1$. Before proving the main theorems about kite graphs, we first need some results.

Lemma 4.2 (Bapat and Pati [2]). *Let G be a connected graph. Let W be a set of vertices of G such that $G - W$ is disconnected. Let G_1 and G_2 be two components of $G - W$. Suppose that $\lambda_1(\mathbf{L}_{[V(G_1)]}(G)) \leq \lambda_1(\mathbf{L}_{[V(G_2)]}(G))$. Then, one of the two following conditions holds:*

- (i) $\alpha(G) < \lambda_1(\mathbf{L}_{[V(G_2)]}(G))$;
- (ii) $\alpha(G) = \lambda_1(\mathbf{L}_{[V(G_1)]}(G)) = \lambda_1(\mathbf{L}_{[V(G_2)]}(G))$.

The following result gives a sufficient condition for having the equality in Lemma 4.2(ii).

Lemma 4.3. *Let G be a connected graph with a cut vertex v . Let G_1, G_2, \dots, G_r be r components of $G - v$ with $\lambda_1(\mathbf{L}_{[V(G_1)]}(G)) \leq \lambda_1(\mathbf{L}_{[V(G_2)]}(G)) \leq \dots \leq \lambda_1(\mathbf{L}_{[V(G_r)]}(G))$. If $\lambda_1(\mathbf{L}_{[V(G_1)]}(G)) = \lambda_1(\mathbf{L}_{[V(G_2)]}(G))$, then $\alpha(G) = \lambda_1(\mathbf{L}_{[V(G_1)]}(G)) = \lambda_1(\mathbf{L}_{[V(G_2)]}(G))$.*

Proof. It follows from Lemma 2.1 that

$$\lambda_1(\mathbf{L}(G)) \leq \lambda_1(\mathbf{L}_{[V(G-v)]}(G)) \leq \lambda_2(\mathbf{L}(G)) \leq \lambda_2(\mathbf{L}_{[V(G-v)]}(G)). \quad (4.1)$$

Since $\lambda_1(\mathbf{L}_{[V(G_1)]}(G)) = \lambda_1(\mathbf{L}_{[V(G_2)]}(G))$, we have $\lambda_1(\mathbf{L}_{[V(G-v)]}(G)) = \lambda_2(\mathbf{L}_{[V(G-v)]}(G))$. This is because $\mathbf{L}_{[V(G-v)]}(G)$ is a block diagonal matrix with blocks $\mathbf{L}_{[V(G_i)]}(G)$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$ and, hence, the spectrum of the former is the union of the spectra of the latter. Then, (4.1) gives $\alpha(G) = \lambda_2(\mathbf{L}(G)) = \lambda_1(\mathbf{L}_{[V(G_1)]}(G)) = \lambda_1(\mathbf{L}_{[V(G_2)]}(G))$. \square

We next give a sufficient and necessary condition for $\alpha(G) = 2 + 2 \cos(\frac{2r\pi}{2r+1})$ if G is a kite graph.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $G = K(H, T)$ be a kite graph with a tail of length r formed with $s(\geq 2)$ paths. Then, $\alpha(G) = 2 + 2 \cos(\frac{2r\pi}{2r+1})$ if and only if $\lambda_1(\mathbf{L}_{[V(H-v)]}(H)) \geq 2 + 2 \cos(\frac{2r\pi}{2r+1})$.*

Proof. Note that

$$\mathbf{L}_{[V(G-v)]}(G) = \text{diag}(\mathbf{L}_{[V(H-v)]}(H), \mathbf{L}_{[V_r]}(P_{r+1}), \dots, \mathbf{L}_{[V_r]}(P_{r+1})),$$

and $\lambda_1(\mathbf{L}_{[V_r]}(P_{r+1})) = 2 + 2 \cos(\frac{2r\pi}{2r+1})$. If $\lambda_1(\mathbf{L}_{[V(H-v)]}(H)) \geq \lambda_1(\mathbf{L}_{[V_r]}(P_{r+1}))$, then it follows from Lemma 4.3 that $\alpha(G) = \lambda_1(\mathbf{L}_{[V_r]}(P_{r+1})) = 2 + 2 \cos(\frac{2r\pi}{2r+1})$.

Conversely, suppose, by way of contradiction, that $\lambda_1(\mathbf{L}_{[V(H-v)]}(H)) < \lambda_1(\mathbf{L}_{[V_r]}(P_{r+1}))$. Then, it follows from Lemma 4.2 that $\alpha(G) < \lambda_1(\mathbf{L}_{[V_r]}(P_{r+1})) = 2 + 2 \cos(\frac{2r\pi}{2r+1})$, a contradiction. Hence, we obtain $\lambda_1(\mathbf{L}_{[V(H-v)]}(H)) \geq 2 + 2 \cos(\frac{2r\pi}{2r+1})$. \square

Proposition 4.5. *Let G be a kite graph $K(H, T)$ with head H and tail T with $s(\geq 2)$ paths of length r , with vertices $v_{i1}, v_{i2}, \dots, v_{ir}$ for $i = 1, \dots, s$. Let G^+ be a graph obtained from G by adding some edges among the vertices of each set $U_j = \{v_{2j}, v_{3j}, \dots, v_{sj}\}$ for each given $j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$. Let \mathbf{L} be the Laplacian matrix of G . Let $\mathbf{S} = (s_{ij})$ be the matrix, indexed also by the vertices of G , with entries*

$$s_{uv} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } u = v \in V(H) \cup \{v_{11}, v_{12}, \dots, v_{1r}\}, \\ \frac{1}{s-1} & \text{if } u, v \in U_j \text{ for every } j = 1, \dots, r, \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$

Then, the following statements hold.

- (i) The matrices \mathbf{L} and \mathbf{S} commute: $\mathbf{LS} = \mathbf{SL}$.
- (ii) For every eigenvalue λ of G , there exists a corresponding eigenvector \mathbf{x} of G such that $\mathbf{x}_{v_{2j}} = \mathbf{x}_{v_{3j}} = \dots = \mathbf{x}_{v_{sj}}$ for $1 \leq j \leq r$.

(iii) Every different eigenvalue of G appears also as an eigenvalue of G^+ (not necessarily including multiplicities). In particular, $\alpha(G^+) = \alpha(G)$.

Proof. (i) For simplicity, assume that $H = \{v\}$ (if not, the proof is basically the same).

Then, the block matrices \mathbf{L} and \mathbf{S} are

$$\mathbf{L} = \begin{pmatrix} d_v & -\mathbf{j}^\top & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} \\ -\mathbf{j} & 2\mathbf{I} & -\mathbf{I} & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & -\mathbf{I} & \ddots & \ddots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \ddots & \ddots & -\mathbf{I} & \mathbf{0} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & -\mathbf{I} & 2\mathbf{I} & -\mathbf{I} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} & -\mathbf{I} & \mathbf{I} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{S} = \text{diag}(1, \mathbf{S}_{11}, \dots, \mathbf{S}_{rr})$$

where $\mathbf{S}_{11} = \cdots = \mathbf{S}_{rr} = \text{diag}\left(1, \frac{1}{s-1}\mathbf{J}\right)$. Then, the computation of \mathbf{LS} and \mathbf{SL} shows that the commutativity comes from $\mathbf{j}^\top \mathbf{S}_{11} = \mathbf{j}^\top$ and $\mathbf{S}_{11}\mathbf{j} = \mathbf{j}$.

(ii) Let \mathbf{y} be a λ -eigenvector of G so that $\mathbf{Ly} = \lambda\mathbf{y}$. First, notice that the vector $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{Sy}$ satisfy the condition since

$$\mathbf{x}_v = \mathbf{y}_v, \quad \text{if } u \in V(H) \cup \{v_{11}, v_{12}, \dots, v_{1r}\}; \quad (4.2)$$

$$\mathbf{x}_{v_{2j}} = \mathbf{x}_{v_{3j}} = \cdots = \mathbf{x}_{v_{sj}} = \frac{\mathbf{y}_{v_{2j}} + \cdots + \mathbf{y}_{v_{sj}}}{s-1}, \quad \text{if } j = 1, \dots, r. \quad (4.3)$$

Moreover, since $\mathbf{y} \neq \mathbf{0}$ and all the paths of the tail are isomorphic, there is no loss of generality if we assume that $\mathbf{y}_u \neq 0$ for some u in (4.2). Then, $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}$, and

$$\mathbf{Lx} = \mathbf{LSy} = \mathbf{SLy} = \lambda\mathbf{Sy} = \lambda\mathbf{x},$$

so that \mathbf{x} is also a λ -eigenvector of G .

(iii) As happens for the algebraic connectivity in Lemma 2.6, if a λ -eigenvector \mathbf{x} of G has equal components $\mathbf{x}_u = \mathbf{x}_v$, as in (4.3), the graph G^+ , obtained by adding the edge uv , has the same eigenvector \mathbf{x} and eigenvalue λ . \square

Example 4.6. The kite graph G of Figure 4 (left) has Laplacian matrix




Figure 4: A kite graph $G = (H, T)$ with head $H = C_4$ and tail T with $s = 3$ paths of length $r = 3$, and its extended graph G^+ .

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & -1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

with algebraic connectivity $\alpha(G) = 0.19086$. Then, we can add the edges $2 \sim 3$, $5 \sim 6$, or $8 \sim 9$ to obtain G^+ , shown in the same figure (right), keeping the same value of $\alpha(G)$, as shown in Proposition 4.5. Thus, a Field vector is $\mathbf{y} = (0, 0, 0, 0, -0.44504, 0, 0.44504, -0.80193, 0, 0.80193, -1, 0, 1)^\top$. If we multiply it by the matrix \mathbf{S}

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix},$$

then $LS = SL$ and we get

$$\mathbf{x} = S\mathbf{y} =$$

$$(0, 0, 0, 0, -0.44504, 0.22252, 0.22252, -0.80193, 0.40096, 0.40096, -1, 0.5, 0.5)^\top.$$

In fact, every eigenvalue in

$$\text{sp } G = \{6.271, 3.342, 3.246^2, 2.810, 2, 1.554^2, 1.211, 0.364, 0.198^2, 0\}$$

appears (in boldface) in

$$\text{sp } G^+ = \{\mathbf{6.271}, 5.246, 3.554, \mathbf{3.342}, \mathbf{3.246}, \mathbf{2.810}, 2.198, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1.554}, \mathbf{1.211}, \mathbf{0.364}, \mathbf{0.198}, \mathbf{0}\}.$$

Now, we are ready to obtain the result on the token graph of kite graphs.

Theorem 4.7. *Let $G = K(H, T)$ be a kite graph with a tail of length r formed with $s (\geq 2)$ paths $v, v_{i1}, v_{i2}, \dots, v_{ir}$ for $i = 1, \dots, s$. Let $K(H, T^+)$ be the graph obtained from G by adding some edges between the vertices $v_{1j}, v_{2j}, \dots, v_{sj}$, for each j of T , and $K^+(H, T)$ be the graph obtained from G by adding some edges to H , and between the vertices v_{2j}, \dots, v_{sj} with $1 \leq j \leq r$. Suppose that $\alpha(H) = \alpha(F_k(H))$, then the following statements hold.*

- (i) *If $\alpha(G) \neq 2 + 2 \cos(\frac{2r\pi}{2r+1})$, then $\alpha(F_k(K(H, T^+))) = \alpha(K(H, T^+)) = \alpha(G)$.*
- (ii) *If $\alpha(G) = 2 + 2 \cos(\frac{2r\pi}{2r+1})$, then $\alpha(F_k(K^+(H, T))) = \alpha(K^+(H, T)) = \alpha(G) = 2 + 2 \cos(\frac{2r\pi}{2r+1})$.*

Proof. (i) Note that the result by Shao, Guo, and Shan [16] in Lemma 3.3 reads as $\alpha(K(H, T^+)) = \alpha(G)$. Combining it with Theorems 3.1 and 2.9(ii), and the hypothesis, we obtain $\alpha(F_k(K(H, T^+))) = \alpha(K(H, T^+)) = \alpha(G)$.

(ii) Let $G' \cong K(H^+, T)$ be the graph obtained from G by adding some edges to H , where $|V(H)| = h$. We first prove that $\alpha(K(H^+, T)) = \alpha(K(H, T))$. From Lemma 4.4, we get that $\lambda_1(\mathbf{L}_{[V(H-v)]}(H)) \geq \lambda_1(\mathbf{L}_{[V_r]}(P_{r+1})) = 2 + 2 \cos(\frac{2r\pi}{2r+1})$. Besides, observe that G' is the graph obtained by adding some edges in H . Denote these additional edges by E' if they are between the vertices of $H - v$, and by E'' if they have the end-vertex v . Let H' be the graph with vertex set $V(H') = V(H)$ and edge set E' . Then, $\mathbf{L}_{[V(H-v)]}(G') = \mathbf{L}_{[V(H-v)]}(H) + \mathbf{L}(H') + \mathbf{I}''$, where \mathbf{I}'' is a diagonal matrix of order $h - 1$, with $|E''|$ ones and $h - 1 - |E''| (\geq 1)$ zeros. It follows from Lemma 2.2 with $i = 1$ and the hypothesis that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1(\mathbf{L}_{[V(H-v)]}(G')) &\geq \lambda_1(\mathbf{L}_{[V(H-v)]}(H)) + \lambda_1(\mathbf{L}(H')) + \lambda_1(\mathbf{I}'') \\ &\geq \lambda_1(\mathbf{L}_{[V(H-v)]}(H)) \geq 2 + 2 \cos\left(\frac{2r\pi}{2r+1}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$




Figure 5: A kite graph $G = K(H, T)$ and its extended graph G^+ .

Then, from (4.4) and Lemma 4.3, we have that

$$\alpha(G) = 2 + 2 \cos\left(\frac{2r\pi}{2r+1}\right) = \alpha(G').$$

Next, let $G^+ \cong K^+(H, T)$ be the graph obtained from G' by adding some edges between the vertices v_{2j}, \dots, v_{sj} with $1 \leq j \leq r$. It follows from Proposition 4.5(iii) that $\alpha(G^+) = \alpha(G') = \alpha(G)$. Together with Theorem 2.9(ii) (since $\alpha(H) = \alpha(F_k(H))$ implies $\alpha(G) = \alpha(F_k(G))$) and Theorem 3.1, we obtain $\alpha(F_k(K^+(H, T))) = \alpha(K^+(H, T)) = \alpha(G) = 2 + 2 \cos\left(\frac{2r\pi}{2r+1}\right)$. \square

Example 4.8. Figure 6 is an example of (4.4) with $E' = \{36, 46\}$ and $E'' = \{13, 14\}$.

Note that $\mathbf{L}_{[V(H-v)]}(G^+) = \mathbf{L}_{[V(H-v)]}(H) + \mathbf{L}(H') + \mathbf{I}''$ with

$$\mathbf{L}_{[V(H-v)]}(H) = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 & 3 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{L}(H') = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and } \mathbf{I}'' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Moreover, $\lambda_1(\mathbf{L}_{[V(H-v)]}(G^+)) = 0.747 > 0.284 = \lambda_1(\mathbf{L}_{[V(H-v)]}(H))$.

This theorem and the following ones in this section can be generalized for superkite graphs. A superkite graph $K(H, \mathcal{T})$ has head H and supertail $\mathcal{T} = Q^s$ formed by $s (\geq 2)$ trees isomorphic to Q with the same root $v \in V(H)$. Then, basically, the same proof of Theorem 4.7 leads to the following result.




Figure 6: A example for Theorem 4.7.

Theorem 4.9. Let G be a superkite graph $K(H, \mathcal{T})$ with tail $\mathcal{T} = Q^s$, such that H is not a complete graph with $h = |V(H)|$, with $\alpha(H) = \alpha(F_k(H))$, and

$$\lambda_1(\mathbf{L}_{[V(H-v)]}(H)) \geq \lambda_1(\mathbf{L}_{[V(Q-v)]}(Q)).$$

Let G^+ be the graph obtained from G by adding some edges to H . Then, $\alpha(G^+) = \alpha(F_k(G^+))$.

The following two corollaries deal with the cases in which the head of a kite graph is a cycle and a complete bipartite graph, respectively.

Corollary 4.10. Let G be a kite graph $K(H, \mathcal{T})$ with tail length r , such that $H \cong C_h$ is a cycle with order h (for $h \leq 2r + 1$) and $\alpha(H) = \alpha(F_k(H))$. Let G^+ be the graph obtained from G by adding some edges to H , and between the vertices v_{2j}, \dots, v_{sj} with $1 \leq j \leq r$. Then, $\alpha(G^+) = \alpha(F_k(G^+))$.

Proof. It is known that the characteristic polynomials of $\mathbf{L}_{[V']}(C_h)$, where $V' = V(C_h - v)$, and $\mathbf{L}(P_h)$ are related as $x \cdot \Phi(\mathbf{L}_{[V']}(C_h)) = \Phi(\mathbf{L}(P_h))$ (see Guo [10]). Hence, $\lambda_i(\mathbf{L}_{[V']}(C_h)) = \lambda_{i+1}(\mathbf{L}(P_h))$ for $i = 1, \dots, h - 1$. Then, since the Laplacian eigenvalues of the path P_ℓ of length ℓ are $\tau_k = 2 - 2 \cos(\frac{k\pi}{\ell})$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots, \ell$, we have, with $H = C_h$,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1(\mathbf{L}_{[V(H-v)]}(H)) &= \lambda_2(\mathbf{L}(P_h)) \geq \lambda_2(\mathbf{L}(P_{2r+1})) = 2 - 2 \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2r+1}\right) \\ &= 2 + 2 \cos\left(\frac{2r\pi}{2r+1}\right) = \lambda_1(\mathbf{L}_{[V_r]}(P_{r+1})). \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 4.4 and Theorem 4.7, the result holds. \square

Corollary 4.11. Let G be a kite graph $K(H, \mathcal{T})$ with root vertex v , tail length r , and head $H \cong K_{h_1, h_2}$ being a complete bipartite graph with vertex set $V = V_1 \cup V_2$, on $h = h_1 + h_2$ vertices, where $h_i = |V_i|$. Let G^+ be the graph obtained from G by adding some edges to H , and between the vertices v_{2j}, \dots, v_{sj} with $1 \leq j \leq r$. If $v \in V_i$ for some $i \in \{1, 2\}$, and

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(h - \sqrt{h^2 - 4h_i} \right) \geq 2 + 2 \cos\left(\frac{2r\pi}{2r+1}\right),$$




Figure 7: The example in Corollary 4.10 (left) and Corollary 4.11 (right) with $r = 3$ and $s = 3$.

then $\alpha(G^+) = \alpha(F_k(G^+))$.

Proof. It follows from Theorem 2.9(iii) that $\alpha(F_k(K_{h_1, h_2})) = \alpha(K_{h_1, h_2})$. Moreover, it can be shown that, if $v \in V_i$, then $\lambda_1(\mathbf{L}_{V(H-v)}(H)) = \frac{1}{2}(h - \sqrt{h^2 - 4h_i})$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then, we have $\lambda_1(\mathbf{L}_{V(H-v)}(H)) \geq 2 + 2 \cos(\frac{2r\pi}{2r+1}) = \lambda_1(\mathbf{L}_{[V_r]}(P_{r+1}))$. Therefore, the result holds by Lemma 4.4 and Theorem 4.7. \square

5 Graphs with a cut clique

The notion of cut clique generalizes the idea of cut vertex. Thus, a clique K_r of a graph G is a *cut clique* if the removal of (the vertices and edges of) K_r disconnects G in two or more components. Then, the following result is a natural generalization of Kirland's result [13] for cut vertices.

Proposition 5.1. *For a connected graph G with n vertices and a cut clique K_r , we have $\alpha(G) \leq r$ and the equality holds if and only if $d_G(K_r) = r(n - r)$.*

Proof. It follows from Fiedler [9, §3.12] that

$$\alpha(G) \leq \min\{\alpha(G - K_r) + |V(K_r)|, \alpha(K_r) + |V(G - K_r)|\}.$$

Then, since $G - K_r$ is disconnected, we have $\alpha(G) \leq r$.

We next show that, if $d_G(K_r) = r(n - r)$, then $\alpha(G) = r$. Let G_1, \dots, G_s be all components of $G - K_r$. Notice that $\mathbf{L}(G) = \mathbf{L}_1 + \mathbf{L}_2$, where \mathbf{L}_1 is the Laplacian matrix of the graph with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $E(G_1 \cup \dots \cup G_s)$, and \mathbf{L}_2 is the Laplacian

matrix of G removing all the edges of $G_1 \cup \dots \cup G_s$. That is,

$$\mathbf{L}(G) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{L}(G_1) & & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ & \ddots & & & \\ & & \mathbf{L}(G_s) & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ & & \ddots & & & \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} r\mathbf{I} & & -1 & \dots & -1 \\ & \ddots & & & \\ & & r\mathbf{I} & -1 & \dots & -1 \\ -1 & \dots & -1 & n-1 & \dots & -1 \\ & & \ddots & & & \\ -1 & \dots & -1 & -1 & \dots & n-1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since the spectrum of \mathbf{L}_2 is $\{0^1, r^{n-r-1}, n^r\}$, we have that $\lambda_2(\mathbf{L}_2) = r$. It follows from Lemma 2.2 with $i = 2$ that $\alpha(G) = \lambda_2(\mathbf{L}(G)) \geq \lambda_1(\mathbf{L}_1) + \lambda_2(\mathbf{L}_2) = r$. Together with $\alpha(G) \leq r$, we conclude that $\alpha(G) = r$.

Otherwise, if $d_G(K_r) \neq r(n-r)$, then there exists $u \in V(K_r)$ and $v \in V(G_1 \cup \dots \cup G_s)$ such that $uv \notin E(G)$. Denote by n_1 and n_2 the order of $G_1 \cup \dots \cup G_{s-1}$ and G_s , respectively. Let G^* be a graph obtained from G by adding edges such that $G^*[V(G_1 \cup \dots \cup G_{s-1})]$ and $G^*[V(G_s)]$ are complete graphs, and $d_{G^*}(K_r) = r(n-r)$. Note that

$$\mathbf{L}(G^*) = \begin{pmatrix} (n_1 + r)\mathbf{I}_{n_1} - \mathbf{J}_{n_1} & \mathbf{O} & -\mathbf{J}_{n_1 \times r} \\ \mathbf{O} & (n_2 + r)\mathbf{I}_{n_2} - \mathbf{J}_{n_2} & -\mathbf{J}_{n_2 \times r} \\ -\mathbf{J}_{r \times n_1} & -\mathbf{J}_{r \times n_2} & n\mathbf{I}_r - \mathbf{J}_r \end{pmatrix}.$$

It can be shown that $\Phi(\mathbf{L}(G^*)) = x(x-r)(x-r-n_1)^{n_1-1}(x-r-n_2)^{n_2-1}(x-n)^r$. Thus, the spectrum of $\mathbf{L}(G^*)$ is $\{0^1, r^1, (r+n_1)^{n_1-1}, (r+n_2)^{n_2-1}, n^r\}$. Moreover, it is easily checked that

$$\mathbf{x} = \left(\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{n_1}, \underbrace{-\frac{n_1}{n_2}, \dots, -\frac{n_1}{n_2}}_{n_2}, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_r \right)^\top$$

is a Fiedler vector of G^* . Furthermore, since r has multiplicity 1, any eigenvector \mathbf{y} of G^* with eigenvalue $\alpha(G^*)$ must be a multiple of \mathbf{x} . It follows from Lemma 2.3 that $\alpha(G^*) \geq \alpha(G^* - uv)$. If the equality holds and \mathbf{w} is a Fiedler vector of $G^* - uv$, we have $\mathbf{w}_u = \mathbf{w}_v$ from Lemma 2.6. Moreover, \mathbf{w} also is a Fiedler vector of G^* with $\mathbf{w}_u = \mathbf{w}_v$, a contradiction. Hence, the inequality must be strict. Finally, since $G^* - uv$ can be obtained by adding edges in G , we conclude that $\alpha(G) \leq \alpha(G^* - uv) < \alpha(G^*) = r$. \square

Proposition 5.2. *Let G_1 and G_2 be two edge-disjoint graphs with the same n vertices. Let $G = G_1 \cup G_2$. Then, $F_k(G) = F_k(G_1) \cup F_k(G_2)$.*

Proof. It suffices to consider that there is exactly one edge uv in G_2 , that is, $G_1 = G - uv$. The result holds since $F_k(G - uv)$ is a spanning subgraph of $F_k(G)$ with

$$\begin{aligned} E(F_k(G)) \setminus E(F_k(G - uv)) &= \{A_r A_s : A_r = \{u, u_1, \dots, u_{k-1}\}, A_s = \{v, u_1, \dots, u_{k-1}\}\} \\ &= E(F_k(G_2)). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Theorem 5.3. *Let G be a graph on n vertices, with a cut clique K_r such that $G - K_r$ results in some disjoint graphs G_1, \dots, G_s , for $s \geq 2$, and degree $d_G(K_r) = r(n - r)$ (so that there are **all** the edges between the vertices of K_r and the vertices of each G_i). Then, $\alpha(G) = \alpha(F_k(G)) = r$.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.8 and Proposition 5.1, we get

$$\alpha(F_k(G)) \leq \alpha(G) = r. \quad (5.1)$$

Let H_1 be a graph with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $E(H_1) = \{uv : uv \in G_1 \cup \dots \cup G_s\}$, and let H_2 be a graph obtained from G by removing all the edges of $E(H_1)$. Note that H_1 and H_2 are the graphs with Laplacian matrices \mathbf{L}_1 and \mathbf{L}_2 , respectively, given in the proof of Proposition 5.1, and H_2 is the graph obtained from a complete bipartite graph by adding all edges in the partition with order r . By Theorems 3.8, and 3.10, we obtain $\alpha(F_k(H_2)) = r$. From Proposition 5.2, we have $\mathbf{L}(F_k(G)) = \mathbf{L}(F_k(H_1)) + \mathbf{L}(F_k(H_2))$. Then, it follows from Lemma 2.2 with $i = 2$ that

$$\alpha(F_k(G)) = \lambda_2(F_k(G)) \geq \lambda_1(F_k(H_1)) + \lambda_2(F_k(H_2)) = \alpha(F_k(H_2)) = r.$$

Combining it with (5.1), this completes the proof. \square

In particular, with $r = 1$, the vertex of K_1 is a cut vertex of degree $n - 1$, and we reobtain a result of Barik and Verma [3] (see Theorem 2.11 in the Preliminaries).

References

- [1] N. M. M. de Abreu, Old and new results on algebraic connectivity of graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **423** (2007) 53–73.
- [2] R. B. Bapat and S. Pati, Algebraic connectivity and the characteristic set of a graph, *Linear Multilinear Algebra* **45** (1998) 247–273.
- [3] S. Barik and P. Verma, Spectral properties of token graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **687** (2024) 181–206.
- [4] P. Caputo, T. M. Liggett, T. Richthammer, Proof of Aldous’ spectral gap conjecture, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **23(3)** (2010) 831–851.
- [5] D. M. Cvetković, M. Doob, and H. Sachs, *Spectra of Graphs*, Academic Press, New York, 1980.

- [6] C. Dalfó, F. Duque, R. Fabila-Monroy, M. A. Fiol, C. Huemer, A. L. Trujillo-Negrete, and F. J. Zaragoza Martínez, On the Laplacian spectra of token graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **625** (2021) 322–348.
- [7] C. Dalfó and M. A. Fiol, On the algebraic connectivity of some token graphs, *J. Algebraic Combin.* **60** (2024) 45–56.
- [8] R. Fabila-Monroy, D. Flores-Peñaloza, C. Huemer, F. Hurtado, J. Urrutia, and D. R. Wood, Token graphs, *Graphs Combin.* **28(3)** (2012) 365–380.
- [9] M. Fiedler, Algebraic connectivity of graphs, *Czech. Math. J.* **23(2)** (1973) 298–305.
- [10] J. Guo, A conjecture on the algebraic connectivity of connected graphs with fixed girth, *Discrete Math.* **308** (2008) 5702–5711.
- [11] S. Guo, R. Zhang, and G. Yu, Hamiltonian graphs of given order and minimum algebraic connectivity, *Linear Multilinear Algebra* **66(3)** (2018) 459–468.
- [12] R. A. Horn and C. R. Johnson, *Matrix Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2013.
- [13] S. Kirkland, A bound on the algebraic connectivity of a graph in terms of the number of cutpoints, *Linear Multilinear Algebra* **47** (2000) 93–103.
- [14] R. Merris, Laplacian graph eigenvectors, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **278** (1998) 221–236.
- [15] M. A. Reyes, C. Dalfó, M. A. Fiol, and A. Messegué, A general method to find the spectrum and eigenspaces of the k -token graph of a cycle, and 2-token through continuous fractions, *Discrete Appl. Math.* **360** (2025) 353–365.
- [16] J. Shao, J. Guo, and H. Shan, The ordering of trees and connected graphs by algebraic connectivity, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **428** (2008) 1421–1438.
- [17] J. Xue, H. Lin, and J. Shu, The algebraic connectivity of graphs with given circumference, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **772** (2019) 123–131.
- [18] W.-C. Yueh, Eigenvalues of several tridiagonal matrices, *Appl. Math. E-Notes* **5** (2005) 66–74.