

# BRAIDINGS FOR NON-SPLIT TAMBARA-YAMAGAMI CATEGORIES OVER THE REALS

DAVID GREEN, YOYO JIANG, AND SEAN SANFORD

ABSTRACT. Non-split Real Tambara-Yamagami categories are a family of fusion categories over the real numbers that were recently introduced and classified by Plavnik, Sanford, and Sconce. We consider which of these categories admit braidings, and classify the resulting braided equivalence classes. We also prove some new results about the split real and split complex Tambara-Yamagami Categories.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In [PSS23], Plavnik, Sconce and our third author introduced and classified three infinite families of fusion categories over the real numbers. These categories are analogues of the classical Tambara-Yamagami fusion categories introduced and classified in [TY98]. This new version of Tambara-Yamagami (TY) categories allowed for non-split simple objects: simples whose endomorphism algebras are division algebras, and not just  $\mathbb{R}$ . These non-split TY categories generalize classical examples such as  $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{R}}(Q_8)$  and  $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})$ , but also include many new fusion categories that fail to admit a fiber functor, i.e. they are not even  $\text{Rep}(H)$  for a semisimple Hopf-algebra. This paper provides a classification of all possible braidings that exist on these new non-split TY categories.

Since their introduction, TY categories have been studied and generalized extensively (including the closely related notion of *near-group* categories) [Tam00; ENO10; IT21; Gal22; Sch23; GLM24]. Their complexity lies just above the pointed fusion categories, and well below that of general fusion categories. This intermediate complexity allows for deep analysis of their structure, while simultaneously providing examples of interesting properties that cannot be observed in the more simplistic pointed categories. For example, in [Nik07] Nikshych showed that some TY categories provide examples of non-group-theoretical (not even Morita equivalent to pointed) fusion categories that admit fiber functors.

The physical motivation for extending this theory of TY categories to the real numbers comes from time reversal symmetry. A time reversal symmetry on a fusion category  $\mathcal{C}$  over  $\mathbb{C}$  is a categorical action of  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  by  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear monoidal functors on  $\mathcal{C}$ , that behaves as complex conjugation on  $\text{End}(\mathbb{1})$ . Real fusion categories then arise as the equivariantization  $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}}$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  with respect to such a time reversal action. In condensed matter terminology, fusion categories describe the topological field theory that arises in the low-energy limit of a gapped quantum field theory in (1+1)D. Thus

real fusion categories describe time reversal symmetric topological quantum field theories (TQFTs) in (1+1)D. In the (2+1)D setting, time reversal symmetric TQFTs should be described by *braided* fusion categories over the reals.

With an eye toward time reversal symmetry in (2+1)D, in this paper we classify all possible braidings admitted by non-split TY categories over  $\mathbb{R}$ . We proceed in the style of Siehler [Sie00], by distilling invariants of a braiding that follow from the hexagon equations. Next, we leverage the description of monoidal equivalences given in [PSS23] in order to determine which braiding invariants produce braided equivalent categories, thus establishing a classification. Along the way we describe all braided classifications for split real and split complex TY categories as well.

In Section 8, we observe that the complex/complex (see section for terminology) TY categories can never admit a braiding, due to the presence of Galois-nontrivial objects. In spite of this, these categories can carry a related structure known as a  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -crossed braiding, and we fully classify all such structures by using techniques analogous to those outlined above.

**1.1. Results.** For all the split and non-split real Tambara-Yamagami categories over  $\mathbb{R}$ , there turns out to be a unique family of bicharacters  $\chi$  such that the associated Tambara-Yamagami category can possibly admit a braiding. As has appeared previously in the literature, the classification is in terms of  $\text{Aut}(A, \chi)$  orbits of  $\chi$ -*admissible forms*, these are quadratic forms with coboundary  $\chi$ . The results are summarized below, under the assumption that the group of invertible objects is not trivial (see the theorem statements for precise results in these cases).

Case:	Split Real	$\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{C}, \text{id}$	$\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{C}, \bar{\cdot}$	$\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{H}$	$\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{C}^*$
$\chi$ -admissible orbits	2	2	2	2	2
Orbits extending to braidings	1	2	2	1	2
Braidings per orbit	2	Varies	2	2	1
Total braidings	2	3	4	2	2
Is $\tau$ an invariant?	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No
Is $\sigma_3(1)$ an invariant?	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No

The entries in the  $\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{C}^*$  column refer to  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -crossed braidings. In contrast to the real case, there are three families of bicharacters (not all of which are defined on a given 2-group) on the split complex Tambara-Yamagami categories. These are distinguished by the multiplicity (mod 3) in  $\chi$  of the form  $\ell$  on  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  with  $\ell(g, g) = -1$ . We write  $|\ell|$  for this number. In this case all orbits of quadratic forms extend to braidings. The results are summarized below, under the assumption that the group of invertibles is not too small (see the theorem statements for precise results in these cases).

$ \ell $	0	1	2
$\chi$ -admissible orbits	2	4	4
Braidings per orbit	2	2	2
Total braidings	4	8	8

Here  $\tau$  and  $\sigma_3(1)$  are always invariants, and the classification is up to *complex*-linear functors.

Next, we collect a table describing when the various braidings we define are symmetric or non-degenerate (notation conventions can be found in the relevant sections).

Case	Symmetric?	Nondegenerate?
Split Real	Always	Never
Real/Quaternionic	Always	Never
Real/Complex, $g = \text{id}_{\mathbb{C}}$ , $\text{sgn}(\sigma) = \text{sgn}(\tau)$	Never	Never
Real/Complex, $g = \text{id}_{\mathbb{C}}$ , $\text{sgn}(\sigma) = -\text{sgn}(\tau)$	Never	Only when $A_0 = *$
Real/Complex, $g = \bar{\cdot}$	Always	Never
Split Complex, $ \ell  = 0$	Only when $\text{sgn}(\sigma) = \text{sgn}(\tau)$	Only when $A = *$ and $\text{sgn}(\sigma) = -\text{sgn}(\tau)$
Split Complex, $ \ell  = 1$	Never	Never
Split Complex, $ \ell  = 2$	Never	Never

Some cases include multiple equivalence classes of braidings, but in all cases, the results in the table above are immediate from the classifications of braidings we give. The nondegenerate split complex categories are the well-known semion and reverse semion categories respectively.

**1.2. Acknowledgements.** This project began during Summer 2023 as part of the Research Opportunities in Mathematics for Underrepresented Students, supported by NSF grants DMS CAREER 1654159 and DMS 2154389. DG would like to thank the Isaac Newton Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Cambridge, for support and hospitality during the *Topology, Representation theory and Higher Structures* programme where work on this paper was undertaken. This work was supported by EPSRC grant no EP/R014604/1. YJ was supported by the Woodrow Wilson Research Fellowship at Johns Hopkins University. DG, SS, and YJ would all like to thank David Penneys for his guidance and support.

## 2. BACKGROUND

We refer the reader to [EGNO15] for the basic theory of fusion categories and to [PSS23] and [San25] for the basics of (non-split) fusion categories over non-algebraically closed fields.

**Definition 2.1.** A braiding on a monoidal category  $\mathcal{C}$  is a set of isomorphisms

$$\{\beta_{x,y}: x \otimes y \rightarrow y \otimes x\}_{x,y \in \mathcal{C}}$$

such that the following diagrams commute (omitting  $\otimes$ )

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & x(yz) & \xrightarrow{\beta_{x,yz}} & (yz)x \\
 \alpha_{x,y,z} \nearrow & & & \searrow \alpha_{y,z,x} \\
 (xy)z & & & y(zx) \\
 \beta_{x,y} \otimes \text{id}_z \searrow & & & \nearrow \text{id}_y \otimes \beta_{x,z} \\
 & (yx)z & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{y,x,z}} & y(xz)
 \end{array} \tag{1}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & (xy)z & \xrightarrow{\beta_{xy,z}} & z(xy) \\
 \alpha_{x,y,z}^{-1} \nearrow & & & \searrow \alpha_{z,x,y}^{-1} \\
 x(yz) & & & (zx)y \\
 \text{id}_x \otimes \beta_{y,z} \searrow & & & \nearrow \beta_{x,z} \otimes \text{id}_y \\
 & x(zy) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{x,z,y}^{-1}} & (xz)y
 \end{array} \tag{2}$$

for all objects  $x, y, z \in \mathcal{C}$ , where  $\alpha_{x,y,z}$  is the associator. We will refer to the commutativity of the top diagram as the hexagon axiom and of the bottom diagram as the inverse hexagon axiom. Note that these encode commutative diagrams of natural transformations.

Our goal is to classify braiding structures on a fusion category  $\mathcal{C}$  with a fixed monoidal structure. To do this, we will use the Yoneda lemma to show that the data defining abstract braiding isomorphisms is given by a finite set of linear maps between Hom-spaces, which we can then specify by their values on basis vectors.

Specifically, a braiding on  $\mathcal{C}$  is given by a natural transformation  $\beta: (-) \otimes (-) \Rightarrow (-) \otimes (-)$ , a morphism in the category of linear functors from  $\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ . By semisimplicity, it suffices to consider the components of  $\beta$  on simple objects, and by the Yoneda lemma, this data is given by a natural transformation in  $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{C}}, \text{Vect}_k^{\text{fd}})$ , i.e. a finite set of linear maps

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(s \otimes t, u) \xrightarrow{\beta_{t,s}^*} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(t \otimes s, u)$$

natural in simple objects  $s, t, u \in \mathcal{C}$ . Furthermore, by Schur's lemma, it suffices to check naturality on endomorphisms of  $s, t$  and  $u$ , which is in particular vacuous if

the category is split. After fixing a set of basis vectors for the Hom sets, this reduces to a set of matrix coefficients, which we will refer to as the braiding coefficients.

Similarly, to check that  $\beta$  satisfies the hexagon axioms, it suffices to check that for any  $s, t, u, v \in \mathcal{C}$  simple, the two linear maps

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(t(us), v) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}((st)u, v)$$

obtained by precomposing the top and bottom paths of (1) are equal, and similarly for the inverse hexagon axiom. With the choice of a basis for Hom-sets, this condition is given by the set of polynomial equations in terms in the braiding coefficients, which we will refer to as the braiding equations.

### 3. QUADRATIC FORMS ON ELEMENTARY ABELIAN 2-GROUPS

Given a field  $\mathbb{K}$ , a quadratic form on a finite abelian group  $A$  is a function  $\sigma : A \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^\times$  such that  $\sigma(x^{-1}) = \sigma(x)$ , and

$$(\delta\sigma)(a, b) := \frac{\sigma(ab)}{\sigma(a)\sigma(b)}$$

is a bicharacter. When equipped with a quadratic form  $\sigma$ , the pair  $(A, \sigma)$  is called a pre-metric group, and is called a metric group in the case where  $\delta\sigma$  is nondegenerate.

Pointed braided fusion categories  $(\mathcal{C}, \{\beta_{X,Y}\}_{X,Y})$  over  $\mathbb{K}$  are determined up to equivalence by their group of invertible objects  $\mathrm{Inv}(\mathcal{C})$  and the quadratic form  $\sigma : \mathrm{Inv}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^\times$  given by the formula

$$\beta_{g,g} = \sigma(g) \cdot \mathrm{id}_{g^2}.$$

In fact, this classification arises from an equivalence of categories, and is due to Joyal and Street in [JS93, §3] (their terminology differs from ours). This equivalence of categories implies that two pointed braided fusion categories are equivalent if and only if their corresponding pre-metric groups are isometric.

Any braided TY category contains a pointed braided subcategory, and thus gives rise to a pre-metric group. Our analysis in the non-split TY cases will mirror that of the split cases, and it is interesting to note that the quadratic form that gives rise to a braiding on a TY category is a square root of the quadratic form on its own pointed subcategory.

**Definition 3.1.** Given a bicharacter  $\chi : A \times A \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^\times$ , a quadratic form  $\sigma : A \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^\times$  is said to be  $\chi$ -admissible if  $\delta\sigma = \chi$ . The collection of all  $\chi$ -admissible quadratic forms will be denoted  $\mathrm{QF}_{\mathbb{K}}(\chi)$ . For the majority of the paper, we are concerned with  $\mathrm{QF}_{\mathbb{R}}(\chi)$ , and so we simply write  $\mathrm{QF}(\chi)$  when  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ .

**Remark 3.2.** In the literature the coboundary  $\delta\sigma$  is often referred to as the associated bicharacter of the quadratic form  $\sigma$  (see e.g. [DGN010, §2.11.1]). Thus “ $\sigma$  is  $\chi$ -admissible” is synonymous with “the associated bicharacter of  $\sigma$  is  $\chi$ ”.

We caution that our coboundary is inverted in order to align with the hexagon equations that appear later, though this is immaterial from a formal standpoint. Furthermore, in some conventions the phrase “associated bicharacter” or “associated bilinear form” refers to the square root of  $\delta\sigma$  (see e.g. [Wal63, §7]). Our general

feeling is that while this square root is irrelevant for odd groups, it complicates the analysis unnecessarily for 2-groups, which are the main application in this paper.

The group  $\text{Aut}(A, \chi)$  of automorphisms preserving the bicharacter acts on  $\text{QF}(\chi)$  by the formula  $(f.\sigma)(g) := \sigma(f^{-1}(a))$ . We will be particularly concerned with the Klein four-group  $K_4 := (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$  and powers  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n$  generally. We will occasionally think of  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n$  as an  $\mathbb{F}_2$  vector space in order to refer to a basis, but we will still write the group multiplicatively.

**Lemma 3.3.** Given a bicharacter  $\chi$  on  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n$ , any set of values for  $\sigma$  on a basis extends to a unique  $\chi$ -admissible quadratic form.

*Proof.* Begin with the tentative definition that  $\sigma(ab) := \sigma(a)\sigma(b)\chi(a, b)$ . By the generalized associativity theorem,  $\sigma$  will be well-defined on arbitrary products so long as it satisfies  $\sigma((ab)c) = \sigma(a(bc))$ . This property holds if and only if  $\chi$  is a 2-cocycle, and since  $\chi$  is actually a bicharacter, the result follows.  $\square$

A key tool in the analysis of quadratic forms is the Gauss sum.

**Definition 3.4.** Given a quadratic form  $\sigma : A \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^\times$ , the Gauss sum  $\Sigma(\sigma) \in \mathbb{K}$  of  $\sigma$  is the sum  $\sum_{a \in A} \sigma(a)$ . Occasionally we will write this as  $\Sigma(A)$ , when the quadratic form can be inferred.

Recall that a subgroup  $H \leq A$  is said to be *isotropic* if  $\sigma|_H = 1$ . Isotropic subgroups automatically satisfy  $H \leq H^\perp$ , where  $H^\perp$  is the orthogonal complement of  $H$  with respect to  $\delta\sigma$ . A metric group  $(A, \sigma)$  is said to be *anisotropic* if  $\sigma(x) = 1$  implies  $x = 1$ . An isotropic subgroup is said to be *Lagrangian* if  $H = H^\perp$ , and a pre-metric group is said to be *hyperbolic* if it contains a Lagrangian subgroup. The following lemma records some important properties of Gauss sums with respect to isotropic subgroups.

**Lemma 3.5** ([[DGNO10](#), cf. Sec 6.1]). Let  $(A, \sigma)$  be a pre-metric group.

- (i) For any isotropic subgroup  $H \leq A$ ,  $\Sigma(A) = |H| \cdot \Sigma(H^\perp/H)$ .
- (ii) If  $A$  is hyperbolic, then  $\Sigma(A)$  is a positive integer.
- (iii) If  $\Sigma(A)$  is a positive integer, and  $|A|$  is a prime power, then  $A$  is hyperbolic.
- (iv) The Gauss sum is multiplicative with respect to orthogonal direct sums, i.e.  $\Sigma(\bigoplus_i A_i) = \prod_i \Sigma(A_i)$ .

The following pre-metric groups will appear throughout this article, and so we give them some notation

**Definition 3.6.** The *standard hyperbolic* pairing on  $K_4 = \langle a, b \rangle$  is the nondegenerate bicharacter  $h(a^i b^j, a^k b^\ell) = (-1)^{i\ell}$ . There are two isometry classes of  $h$ -admissible quadratic forms over  $\mathbb{R}$ , and they are distinguished by the rules:

- $q_+(x) = -1$  for exactly 1 element  $x \in K_4$ , or
- $q_-(x) = -1$  for all  $x \in K_4 \setminus \{1\}$ .

We will call the corresponding metric groups  $K_{4,\pm} = (K_4, q_\pm)$  respectively. Note that  $K_{4,+}$  is hyperbolic, whereas  $K_{4,-}$  is anisotropic.

**Remark 3.7.** The terms hyperbolic, (an)isotropic, and Lagrangian all have analogues for bilinear forms, but the connection between the bilinear form terminology and the quadratic form terminology can be subtle. For example, an element  $a \in A$  is called isotropic with respect to  $\chi$  if  $\chi(a, -)$  is trivial, and this does not imply that  $\sigma(a) = 1$  in the case that  $\chi = \delta\sigma$ . The use of the word *hyperbolic* in Definition 3.6 refers to the fact that  $h$  has a Lagrangian subgroup *as a bilinear form* (bicharacter). Note in particular that non-hyperbolic quadratic forms can give rise to hyperbolic bicharacters.

Observe that for any pre-metric group  $(A, \sigma)$ , its ‘norm-square’  $(A, \sigma) \oplus (A, \sigma^{-1})$  is hyperbolic via the diagonal embedding, so in particular  $(K_{4,-})^2$  is hyperbolic. In fact, more can be said. The isomorphism that sends the ordered basis  $(a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2)$  to  $(a_1, b_1 b_2, a_1 a_2, b_2)$  preserves  $h^2$ , and provides an isometry  $(K_{4,-})^2 \cong (K_{4,+})^2$ . This observation leads to the following result.

**Proposition 3.8.** Suppose  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ , and that there is some basis for  $K_4^n$  with respect to which  $\delta\sigma = h^n$ . The metric group  $(K_4^n, \sigma)$  is hyperbolic if and only if  $\Sigma(\sigma) = 2^n$ , and in this case,  $(K_4^n, \sigma) \cong (K_{4,+})^n$ . If not, then  $\Sigma(\sigma) = -2^n$  and  $(K_4^n, \sigma) \cong K_{4,-} \oplus (K_{4,+})^{n-1}$ .

*Proof.* By hypothesis, we can choose some basis for which  $\delta\sigma = h^n$ , and in this way, establish an isometry  $(K_4^n, \sigma) \cong (K_{4,-})^k \oplus (K_{4,+})^{n-k}$ . By our previous observation,  $(K_{4,-})^2 \cong (K_{4,+})^2$ , and so copies of  $(K_{4,-})$  can be canceled out in pairs until there is at most one copy left. The Gauss sum condition then follows from Lemma 3.5 parts (ii) and (iii) and (iv).  $\square$

Because the sign of the Gauss sum of the pre-metric group  $(K_4^n, \sigma)$  determines its isometry class (assuming  $\delta\sigma = h^n$ ), it will be convenient to establish some notation.

**Notation 3.9.** For any  $\sigma \in \text{QF}(h^n)$ , the sign  $\text{sgn}(\sigma)$  of the quadratic form  $\sigma: K_4^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\times$  is

$$\text{sgn}(\sigma) := \frac{\Sigma(\sigma)}{|\Sigma(\sigma)|}.$$

We write  $\text{QF}_+^n$  and  $\text{QF}_-^n$  for the sets of  $h^n$ -admissibles with positive and negative sign, respectively.

**Proposition 3.10.** For all  $n \geq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{QF}_+^n| &= 2^{n-1}(2^n + 1) \\ |\text{QF}_-^n| &= 2^{n-1}(2^n - 1) = 2^{2n} - |\text{QF}_+^n| \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, let  $H_\pm^n$  be the stabilizers in  $\text{Aut}(K_4^n, h^n)$  of elements in  $\text{QF}_\pm^n$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} |H_+^n| &= 2^{n^2-n+1}(2^n - 1) \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (2^{2i} - 1) \\ |H_-^n| &= 2^{n^2-n+1}(2^n + 1) \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (2^{2i} - 1) \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* We begin with the first part of the theorem. Evaluation on the ordered basis  $(a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2, \dots, a_n, b_n)$  induces a map  $V: \text{QF}(\chi) \rightarrow (\{\pm 1\} \times \{\pm 1\})^n$ . By Lemma 3.3,

$V$  is a bijection. The proof of Proposition 3.8 shows that  $(K_4^n, \sigma)$  is hyperbolic if and only if the parity of  $(-1, -1)$  in the sequence  $V(\sigma)$  is even. We obtain a formula for the number of such sequences from the OEIS ([Inc24, A007582]). Subtracting from this number from the total number of quadratic forms gives the second equation. By Theorem 6.18 of [Jac09],

$$|\text{Aut}(A, \chi)| = 2^{n^2} \prod_{i=1}^n (2^{2i} - 1)$$

The second part then follows by the orbit stabilizer theorem.  $\square$

Let  $\ell$  be the bicharacter which takes the value  $-1$  on the non-trivial element of  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ . Observe that  $\text{QF}_{\mathbb{R}}(\ell^2) = \emptyset$ , whereas  $|\text{QF}_{\mathbb{C}}(\ell^2)| = 4$ .

Two of these forms over  $\mathbb{C}$  are isometric to one another, so we find that there are exactly three isometry classes of quadratic forms on  $K_4$  inducing  $\ell^2$ .

**Proposition 3.11.** Let  $n > 0$ . Then there are exactly four equivalence classes of complex-valued quadratic forms on  $K_4^n \times K_4$  inducing  $h^n \oplus \ell^2$ . When  $n = 0$ , there are three.

*Proof.* By the remark preceding the proof, we may assume  $n > 0$ . A quadratic form on  $K_4^n \times K_4$  with coboundary  $h^n \oplus \ell^2$ , determines and is uniquely determined by a pair of quadratic forms on  $K_4^n$  and  $K_4$  with coboundaries  $h^n$  and  $\ell^2$  respectively. So there are at most six equivalence classes of quadratic forms with coboundary  $h^n \oplus \ell^2$ . We claim there are exactly four. Let us fix some notation.

We label the elements of the first factor  $K_4^n$  by  $a_k$  and  $b_k$  respectively, and we let  $g_1, g_2$  be the two elements of the second factor with self-pairing  $-1$ . Given a triple of signs  $(\kappa, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2)$  we denote by  $\sigma(\kappa, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2)$  the quadratic form with

$$\text{sgn}(\sigma|_{K_4^n}) = \kappa, \quad q(g_k) = i\epsilon_k.$$

Using the multiplicativity of the Gauss sum from in Lemma 3.5, the Gauss sums of these forms are given by the formula

$$\Sigma(\sigma(\kappa, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2)) = (\kappa \cdot 2^n) \cdot (1 + i\epsilon_1) \cdot (1 + i\epsilon_2).$$

We collect the various values  $\Sigma(\sigma(\kappa, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2))$  into a table:

$(\kappa, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2)$	$(+ - -)$	$(+ + +)$	$(+ - +)$	$(- - -)$	$(- + +)$	$(- - +)$
$\Sigma(\sigma(\kappa, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2))$	$-2^{n+1}i$	$2^{n+1}i$	$2^{n+1}$	$2^{n+1}i$	$-2^{n+1}i$	$-2^{n+1}$

Now let  $f$  be the automorphism with

$$f(a_1) = a_1 g_1 g_2, f(b_1) = b_1 g_1 g_2, f(g_1) = a_1 b_1 g_1, f(g_2) = a_1 b_1 g_2$$

and which fixes  $a_j, b_j$  for  $j > 1$ . Direct computations show that  $f$  interchanges the forms  $(- - -)$  and  $(+ + +)$ , as well as  $(+ - -)$  and  $(- + +)$ , fixes the remaining two equivalence classes, and preserves  $h^n \oplus \ell^2$ . The calculations of the Gauss sums in the above table show the resulting equivalence classes are indeed distinct.  $\square$



We conclude with a recognition theorem for the powers of the standard hyperbolic pairing  $h^n$  due to Wall [Wal63] (see [Mir84] for another exposition).

**Theorem 3.12.** Let  $\chi$  be a symmetric nondegenerate bilinear form on  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n$ . Suppose moreover that  $\chi(a, a) = 1$  for all  $a \in (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n$ . Then  $((\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n, \chi)$  is isomorphic to a power of the standard hyperbolic pairing. In particular,  $n$  must be even.

#### 4. BRAIDINGS ON SPLIT REAL TAMBARA-YAMAGAMI CATEGORIES

In this section we examine the split real case with the primary purpose of setting a foundation for the non-split cases and illustrating the method. We obtain some new results, but much of the analysis in this section is originally due to Siehler [Sie00], with a more contemporary perspective on the results due to Galindo [Gal22]. We begin by recalling the classification of monoidal structures on split Tambara-Yamagami categories in [TY98]:

**Theorem 4.1** ([TY98, Theorem 3.2]). Let  $A$  be a finite group, let  $\tau = \frac{\pm 1}{\sqrt{|A|}}$ , and let  $\chi: A \times A \rightarrow k^\times$  be a symmetric nondegenerate bicharacter. We define a split fusion category  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}}(A, \chi, \tau)$  by taking the underlying fusion ring to be  $\text{TY}(A)$ , the unitor isomorphisms to be identity, and the associators to be

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{a,b,c} &= 1_{abc}, \\ \alpha_{a,b,m} &= \alpha_{m,a,b} = 1_m, \\ \alpha_{a,m,b} &= \chi(a, b) \cdot 1_m, \\ \alpha_{a,m,m} &= \alpha_{m,m,a} = \bigoplus_{b \in A} 1_b, \\ \alpha_{m,a,m} &= \bigoplus_{b \in A} \chi(a, b) \cdot 1_b, \\ \alpha_{m,m,m} &= (\tau \chi(a, b))^{-1} \cdot 1_{m)_{a,b}}. \end{aligned}$$

All split fusion categories over  $k$  with fusion ring  $\text{TY}(A)$  arise this way, and two fusion categories  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}}(A, \chi, \tau)$  and  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}}(A', \chi', \tau')$  are equivalent if and only if  $\tau = \tau'$  and there exists group isomorphism  $\phi: A \rightarrow A'$  such that  $\chi(\phi(a), \phi(b)) = \chi'(a, b)$  for all  $a, b \in A$ .

In the split case,  $\text{End}(X) \cong \mathbb{R}$  for all simple objects  $X \in \mathcal{C}$ , and each Hom space is spanned by a single non-zero vector. The associators are computed in [TY98] using a set of fixed normal bases, denoted in string diagrams by trivalent vertices:

$$\begin{aligned} [a, b] &= \begin{array}{c} ab \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ a \quad b \end{array} & [a, m] &= \begin{array}{c} m \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ a \quad m \end{array} \\ [m, a] &= \begin{array}{c} m \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ m \quad a \end{array} & [a] &= \begin{array}{c} a \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ m \quad m \end{array} \end{aligned}$$

Using the basis vectors, our set of non-trivial linear isomorphisms  $(\beta_{x,y}^*)_z \in \text{GL}_1(\mathbb{R})$  can be written as a set of coefficients in  $\mathbb{R}^\times$

$$\begin{aligned} (\beta_{a,b}^*)_{ab}([b, a]) &:= \sigma_0(a, b)[a, b] \\ (\beta_{a,m}^*)_m([m, a]) &:= \sigma_1(a)[a, m] \\ (\beta_{m,a}^*)_m([a, m]) &:= \sigma_2(a)[m, a] \\ (\beta_{m,m}^*)_a([a]) &:= \sigma_3(a)[a] \end{aligned}$$

thus defining coefficient functions  $\sigma_i$  that take inputs in  $A$  and produce outputs in  $\mathbb{R}^\times$ .

**Remark 4.2.** Since  $\chi: A \times A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\times$  is a bicharacter and  $A$  is a finite group, the image of  $\chi$  is a finite subgroup of  $\mathbb{R}^\times$ , so it is a subset of  $\{\pm 1\}$ . This implies that for all  $a \in A$ , we have

$$\chi(a^2, -) = \chi(a, -)^2 = 1,$$

and by nondegeneracy we have  $a^2 = 1_A$ . Thus,  $A$  is an elementary abelian 2-group with  $A \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^m$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . In particular, we have  $a^{-1} = a$  for all  $a \in A$ , so we may freely drop inverse signs on group elements and on  $\chi$ .

**4.1. The hexagon equations.** After fixing bases for the Hom spaces, we obtain a set of real valued equations by performing precomposition on our chosen basis vectors using graphical calculus. The resulting unsimplified hexagon equations are as follows: (hexagon equations)

$$\sigma_0(c, ab) = \sigma_0(c, a)\sigma_0(c, b), \quad (3)$$

$$\sigma_2(ab) = \sigma_2(a)\chi(a, b)\sigma_2(b), \quad (4)$$

$$\sigma_0(b, a)\sigma_1(b) = \sigma_1(b)\chi(a, b), \quad (5)$$

$$\sigma_1(b)\sigma_0(b, a) = \chi(b, a)\sigma_1(b), \quad (6)$$

$$\chi(a, b)\sigma_3(b) = \sigma_2(a)\sigma_3(a^{-1}b), \quad (7)$$

$$\sigma_3(b)\chi(a, b) = \sigma_3(ba^{-1})\sigma_2(a), \quad (8)$$

$$\sigma_0(a, ba^{-1}) = \sigma_1(a)\chi(a, b)\sigma_1(a), \quad (9)$$

$$\sigma_3(a)\tau\chi(a, b)^{-1}\sigma_3(b) = \sum_{c \in A} \tau\chi(a, c)^{-1}\sigma_2(c)\tau\chi(c, b)^{-1}, \quad (10)$$

(inverse hexagon equations)

$$\sigma_0(c, a)\sigma_0(b, a) = \sigma_0(bc, a), \quad (11)$$

$$\chi(b, a)^{-1}\sigma_2(a) = \sigma_2(a)\sigma_0(b, a), \quad (12)$$

$$\sigma_0(b, a)\sigma_2(a) = \sigma_2(a)\chi(a, b)^{-1}, \quad (13)$$

$$\sigma_1(b)\chi(a, b)^{-1}\sigma_1(a) = \sigma_1(ab), \quad (14)$$

$$\sigma_0(a^{-1}b, a) = \sigma_2(a)\chi(a, b)^{-1}\sigma_2(a), \quad (15)$$

$$\sigma_3(a^{-1}b)\sigma_1(a) = \sigma_3(b)\chi(a, b)^{-1}, \quad (16)$$

$$\sigma_1(a)\sigma_3(ba^{-1}) = \chi(a, b)^{-1}\sigma_3(b), \quad (17)$$

$$\sigma_3(a)\tau\chi(a, b)\sigma_3(b) = \sum_{c \in A} \tau\chi(a, c)\sigma_1(c)\tau\chi(c, b). \quad (18)$$

**4.2. Reduced hexagon equations.** The following six equations are algebraically equivalent to the sixteen unsimplified hexagon equations:

$$\sigma_0(a, b) = \chi(a, b), \quad (19)$$

$$\sigma_1(a)^2 = \chi(a, a), \quad (20)$$

$$\sigma_1(ab) = \sigma_1(a)\sigma_1(b)\chi(a, b), \quad (21)$$

$$\sigma_2(a) = \sigma_1(a), \quad (22)$$

$$\sigma_3(1)^2 = \tau \sum_{c \in A} \sigma_1(c), \quad (23)$$

$$\sigma_3(a) = \sigma_3(1)\sigma_1(a)\chi(a, a). \quad (24)$$

The process of eliminating redundancies is as follows. First, we may eliminate any term that appears on both sides of any equation, as all functions are valued in the  $\{\pm 1\}$ . Then, we have the following implications:

(5) $\implies$ (19)	(14) $\implies$ (21)	(18), $a = b = 1 \implies$ (23)
(9) $\implies$ (20)	(8), (17) $\implies$ (22)	(16), $a = b \implies$ (24)

To check that the reduced equations are indeed equivalent to the original sixteen, first note that the equality  $\sigma_2 = \sigma_1$  from equation (22) identifies each of (11)-(18) with one of (3)-(10), so it suffices to prove the first eight hexagons from the reduced equations. Equations (3), (5) and (6) follows from equation (19) which identifies  $\sigma_0 = \chi$  to be a bicharacter. Equation (4) follows from (21) and (22). Equation (9) follows from (20). Equations (7) and (8) can be derived by expanding both sides in terms of  $\sigma_1$  and  $\chi$  using equations (22) and (24).

It remains to derive equation (10). First, equation (21) implies

$$\sigma_1(a)\sigma_1(b)\sigma_1(d) = \frac{\sigma_1(abd)}{\chi(a, bd)\chi(b, d)} \quad (25)$$

Finally we derive an equivalent form of (10) from the reduced equations, along with the fact that  $\chi$  is a  $\{\pm 1\}$ -valued symmetric bicharacter.

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_3(a)\chi(a,b)^{-1}\sigma_3(b) &\stackrel{(24)}{=} \sigma_3(1)^2\sigma_1(a)\sigma_1(b)\chi(a,a)\chi(b,b)\chi(a,b)^{-1} \\
&\stackrel{(23)}{=} \tau \sum_{d \in A} \sigma_1(d)\sigma_1(a)\sigma_1(b)\chi(a,a)\chi(b,b)\chi(a,b)^{-1} \\
&\stackrel{(25)}{=} \tau \sum_{d \in A} \sigma_1(abd) \frac{\chi(a,a)\chi(b,b)}{\chi(a,b)\chi(a,bd)\chi(b,d)} \\
&\stackrel{c:=abd}{=} \tau \sum_{c \in A} \sigma_1(c) \frac{\chi(a,a)\chi(b,b)}{\chi(a,b)\chi(a,a^{-1}c)\chi(b,b^{-1}a^{-1}c)} \\
&\stackrel{(22)}{=} \tau \sum_{c \in A} \chi(a,c)^{-1}\sigma_2(c)\chi(c,b)^{-1}
\end{aligned}$$

**4.3. Classification of Braiding.** By equation (20) and the fact that all coefficients are real, we have the restriction that  $\chi(a,a) > 0$  for all  $a \in A$ . We conclude using Theorem 3.12:

**Proposition 4.3.** If  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}}(A, \chi, \tau)$  admits a braiding, then  $A \cong K_4^n$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  and  $\chi$  is the hyperbolic pairing  $h^n$ .

From the simplified hexagon equations, we have the following classification of braidings on a split TY category over  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Theorem 4.4.** A braiding on  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}}(K_4^n, h^n, \tau)$  is given by a  $\chi$ -admissible function  $\sigma$  with  $\text{sgn } \sigma = \text{sgn } \tau$  and a coefficient  $\epsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$ . In other words, the set of braidings on  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}}(K_4^n, h^n, \tau)$  is in bijection with  $\text{QF}_{\text{sgn } \tau}^n \times \{\pm 1\}$ .

*Proof.* Given a braiding on  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}}(K_4^n, h^n, \tau)$ , we deduce from the reduced hexagon equations (namely 21) that  $\sigma_1 \in \text{QF}(h^n)$ . Equation (23) gives the constraint

$$\tau \sum_{c \in A} \sigma_1(c) = 2^n \tau \text{sgn } \sigma_1 > 0,$$

which tells us that  $\sigma_1 \in \text{QF}_{\text{sgn}(\tau)}^n$ . We may also extract a sign  $\epsilon$  which is defined by the equation

$$\sigma_3(1) = \epsilon \sqrt{2^n \tau \text{sgn } \sigma_1}. \quad (26)$$

We thus obtain an element  $(\sigma_1, \epsilon) \in \text{QF}_{\text{sgn}(\tau)}^n \times \{\pm 1\}$ .

Conversely, given an element  $(\sigma, \epsilon) \in \text{QF}_{\text{sgn}(\tau)}^n \times \{\pm 1\}$ , we let  $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = \sigma$ ,  $\sigma_0 = h^n$  and  $\sigma_3(1)$  by Equation (26). We can then extend  $\sigma_3(1)$  to a function  $\sigma_3(a)$  by equation (24). Equations (19)-(22) and (24) hold by our definitions along with that fact that  $\sigma \in \text{QF}(h^n)$ . The remaining constraint (23) holds by Proposition 3.8, our choice of  $\sigma_3(1)$  and the definition of  $\text{QF}_{\text{sgn}(\tau)}^n$ . Finally, we observe that these procedures are, by construction, mutually inverse.  $\square$

Note that when  $n = 0$ ,  $\text{sgn}(\sigma)$  is automatically equal to 1. In the proof above, this would force  $\sigma_3(1)$  to be purely imaginary, and thus such categories can only exist over

fields containing a square root of  $-1$ . Over  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $\sigma_3(1) = i$  gives the semion category, and  $\sigma_3(1) = -i$  gives the reverse semion.

Over  $\mathbb{R}$ , (26) cannot be satisfied when  $n = 0$  and  $\tau < 0$ , and so this category admits no braidings (i.e.  $\text{QF}_-^0 = \emptyset$ ).

As a consequence of Theorem 4.4, the following braidings are coherent.

**Definition 4.5.** Given an element  $(\sigma, \epsilon)$  of  $\text{QF}_{\text{sgn } \tau}^n \times \{\pm 1\}$ , we define a braided structure  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}}(K_4^n, h^n, \tau, \sigma, \epsilon)$  on  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}}(K_4^n, h^n, \tau)$  by:

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_{a,b} &= \chi(a, b) \cdot \text{id}_{ab}, \\ \beta_{a,m} &= \beta_{m,a} = \sigma(a) \cdot \text{id}_m, \\ \beta_{m,m} &= \sum_{a \in K_4^n} \epsilon \sigma(a) [a]^\dagger [a].\end{aligned}$$

Since the group  $K_4^n$ , bicharacter  $h^n$ , and coefficient  $\tau$  are determined from context, we will abbreviate  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}}(K_4^n, h^n, \tau, \sigma, \epsilon) := \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}}(\sigma, \epsilon)$ .

We next analyze when  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}}(\sigma, \epsilon)$  is braided equivalent to  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}}(\sigma', \epsilon')$ , by analyzing the properties of certain categorical groups attached to these categories.

**Notation 4.6.** The autoequivalences of any ( $\star =$  plain, monoidal, braided, etc.) category  $\mathcal{C}$  form a categorical group  $\text{Aut}_\star(\mathcal{C})$ . The objects of  $\text{Aut}_\star(\mathcal{C})$  are  $\star$ -autoequivalences of  $\mathcal{C}$ , and the morphisms are  $\star$ -natural isomorphisms. For any categorical group  $\mathcal{G}$ , the group of isomorphism classes of objects is denoted by  $\pi_0 \mathcal{G}$ , and the automorphisms of the identity are denoted by  $\pi_1 \mathcal{G}$ .

**Lemma 4.7.**

$$\pi_0 \text{Aut}_\otimes \left( \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}}(K_4^n, h^n, \tau) \right) \cong \text{Aut}(K_4^n, h^n)$$

*Proof.* This fact appears in several places in the literature (for instance [Tam00, Proposition 1], [Nik07, Proposition 2.10], and [Edi22, Lemma 2.16]) and is proved with arguments that do not depend on the algebraic closure of the field in question. They do, however, assume that the underlying semisimple category is split. We will see in future sections that this does affect the validity of the conclusion.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.8.** The monoidal functor  $F(f)$  determined by an automorphism  $f \in \text{Aut}(K_4^n, h^n)$  forms a braided monoidal equivalence  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}}(\sigma, \epsilon) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}}(\sigma', \epsilon')$  if and only if  $f \cdot \sigma = \sigma'$  and  $\epsilon = \epsilon'$ .

*Proof.* Using Definition 4.5, the required constraints for  $F(f)$  to be braided are

$$\begin{aligned}h^n(f(a), f(b)) &= h^n(a, b) \\ \sigma'(f(a)) &= \sigma(a) \\ \epsilon' &= \epsilon.\end{aligned}$$

These equations are indeed equivalent to  $f \cdot \sigma = \sigma'$  and  $\epsilon = \epsilon'$ .  $\square$

The following theorem strengthens [Gal22] in the split real case.

**Theorem 4.9.** There is a braided equivalence  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}}(\sigma, \epsilon) \sim \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}}(\sigma', \epsilon')$  if and only if  $\epsilon = \epsilon'$ . In particular, there are exactly two equivalence classes of braidings on  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}}(K_4^n, h^n, \tau)$  when  $n > 0$ , or when  $n = 0$  and  $\tau > 0$ , and zero otherwise.

*Proof.* By Lemma 4.7, the functors  $F(f)$  form a complete set of representatives for  $\pi_0(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}}(K_4^n, h^n, \tau)))$ . Therefore it suffices to check when some  $F(f)$  is a braided equivalence  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}}(\sigma, \epsilon) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}}(\sigma', \epsilon')$ . By Proposition 4.8, this occurs exactly when  $\epsilon = \epsilon'$  and  $\sigma$  is orbit equivalent to  $\sigma'$ . This last condition always holds by Proposition 3.8 since the sign of  $\sigma$  is determined by  $\tau$  (part of the underlying monoidal structure).  $\square$

Taking  $\epsilon = \epsilon'$  and  $\sigma = \sigma'$  in Proposition 4.8, we obtain:

**Proposition 4.10.**

$$\pi_0(\text{Aut}_{\text{br}}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}}(\sigma, \epsilon))) \cong H_{\text{sgn } \sigma}^n,$$

where  $H_{\text{sgn } \sigma}^n$  is the stabilizer of  $\sigma$  in  $\text{Aut}(K_4^n, h^n)$ .

Note that by Proposition 4.10,  $|\pi_0 \text{Aut}_{\text{br}}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}}(\sigma, \epsilon))|$  depends on  $\tau$ , while Lemma 4.7 shows that  $|\pi_0 \text{Aut}_{\otimes}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}}(K_4^n, h^n, \tau))|$  does not.

**Remark 4.11.** When  $n = 1$  (but  $\tau$  is not fixed), braidings on the split complex Tambara-Yamagami categories were classified in [Sch23, Example 2.5.2, Figures 3-5]. We can see that the four symmetrically braided categories appearing in Figure 3 are defined over the reals, and our results here show that these are in fact the only possibilities.

We conclude with a lemma on twist morphisms for these braidings.

**Lemma 4.12.** There are exactly two families of twist morphisms for any  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}}(\sigma, \epsilon)$ , corresponding to a sign  $\rho \in \{\pm 1\}$ . These twists are indeed ribbon structures (in the sense of [EGNO15, Definition 8.10.1]).

*Proof.* The first part of the remark is due to [Sie00], who gives the components  $\theta_x$  of the twist as  $\theta_a = 1, \theta_m = \rho \sigma_3(1)^{-1}$ . Since every simple object is self dual, the required axiom is simply  $\theta_m = \theta_m^*$ . But this holds as a result of the linearity of composition.  $\square$

## 5. BRAIDINGS ON REAL/QUATERNIONIC TAMBARA-YAMAGAMI CATEGORIES

We will now examine the case where  $\text{End}(\mathbb{1}) \cong \mathbb{R}$  and  $\text{End}(m) \cong \mathbb{H}$ . We first note that the four dimensional  $\mathbb{R}$  vector spaces  $\text{Hom}(a \otimes m, m)$ ,  $\text{Hom}(m \otimes a, m)$  and  $\text{Hom}(m \otimes m, a)$  can be endowed with the structure of  $(\mathbb{H}, \mathbb{H})$ -bimodules under pre- and postcomposition with quaternions. By naturality, the effect of precomposing with braiding isomorphisms for each of these hom-spaces is determined on an  $(\mathbb{H}, \mathbb{H})$ -basis. A preferred system of basis vectors (over  $\mathbb{R}$  for  $\text{Hom}(a \otimes b, ab)$  and over  $\mathbb{H}$  for the

others) is chosen in [PSS23, Section 5.1], depicted again as trivalent vertices:

$$\begin{aligned}
 [a, b] &= \begin{array}{c} ab \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ a \quad b \end{array} & [a, m] &= \begin{array}{c} m \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ a \quad m \end{array} \\
 [m, a] &= \begin{array}{c} m \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ m \quad a \end{array} & [a] &= \begin{array}{c} a \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ m \quad m \end{array}
 \end{aligned}$$

Splittings to each  $[a]$  is chosen in [PSS23, Proposition 4.4] and will be denoted by

$$[a]^\dagger = \begin{array}{c} m \quad m \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ a \end{array}$$

such that

$$\text{id}_{m \otimes m} = \left| \begin{array}{c} \phantom{m} \\ \phantom{m} \\ \phantom{m} \end{array} \right| = \sum_{\substack{a \in A \\ s \in S}} \begin{array}{c} s \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \phantom{m} \quad \phantom{m} \\ \phantom{m} \quad \phantom{m} \\ \bar{s} \end{array} = \sum_{\substack{a \in A \\ s \in S}} (\text{id}_m \otimes s)[a]^\dagger[a](\text{id}_m \otimes \bar{s})$$

where  $S := \{1, i, j, k\}$ . By [PSS23, Proposition 5.1], the basis vectors satisfy the convenient property that they commute

$$\begin{array}{c} m \\ \circ v \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ a \quad m \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} m \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ a \quad \circ v \\ m \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} m \\ \circ v \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ m \quad a \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} m \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \circ v \quad a \end{array},$$

or conjugate-commute

$$\begin{array}{c} a \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \circ v \quad m \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} a \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ m \quad \circ \bar{v} \\ m \end{array}$$

with all quaternions  $v \in \mathbb{H}$ . We can now recall the classification of associators on these categories using the chosen bases.

**Theorem 5.1** ([PSS23, Theorem 5.4]). Let  $A$  be a finite group, let  $\tau = \frac{\pm 1}{\sqrt{4|A|}}$ , and let  $\chi : A \times A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\times$  be a nondegenerate symmetric bicharacter on  $A$ . A triple of such data gives rise to a non-split Tambara-Yamagami category  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{H}}(A, \chi, \tau)$ , with  $\text{End}(\mathbb{1}) \cong \mathbb{R}$


and  $\text{End}(m) \cong \mathbb{H}$ , whose associators for  $a, b, c \in A$  are given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{a,b,c} &= \text{id}_{abc}, \\ \alpha_{a,b,m} &= \alpha_{m,b,c} = \text{id}_m, \\ \alpha_{a,m,c} &= \chi(a, c) \cdot \text{id}_m, \\ \alpha_{a,m,m} &= \alpha_{m,m,c} = \text{id}_{m \otimes m}, \\ \alpha_{m,b,m} &= \bigoplus_{a \in A} \chi(a, b) \cdot \text{id}_{a \oplus 4}, \\ \alpha_{m,m,m} &= \tau \cdot \sum_{\substack{a,b \in A \\ s,t \in S}} \chi(a, b)^{-1} \cdot (s \otimes (\text{id}_m \otimes \bar{t}))(\text{id}_m \otimes [a]^\dagger)([b] \otimes \text{id}_m)((\text{id}_m \otimes s) \otimes t), \end{aligned}$$

where  $S := \{1, i, j, k\} \subseteq \mathbb{H}$ . Furthermore, all equivalence classes of such categories arise in this way. Two categories  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{H}}(A, \chi, \tau)$  and  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{H}}(A', \chi', \tau')$  are equivalent if and only if  $\tau = \tau'$  and there exists an isomorphism  $f : A \rightarrow A'$  such that for all  $a, b \in A$ ,

$$\chi'(f(a), f(b)) = \chi(a, b).$$

We can now write down our braiding coefficients, some of which are a priori quaternions:




It is clear that if the braiding coefficients are natural if they are real-valued. It turns out the the converse is true, in that naturality forces all braiding coefficients to be real.

**Lemma 5.2.** The functions  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  are real-valued.



*Proof.* For any  $v \in \mathbb{H}$  and any  $a \in A$ , consider the following diagram:




The middle diagram commutes by the naturality of the braiding, while the top and bottom quadrangles commute by the definition of  $\sigma_1$ . As our chosen basis vector  $[a, m]$  commutes with quaternions, we have

$$v \circ f_1 = v \triangleright [a, m] = [a, m] \triangleleft v = f_1 \otimes (\text{id}_a \otimes v),$$


so the left quadrangle commutes, and the same argument can be made for the right quadrangle using the vector  $[m, a]$ . Since both  $[a, m]$  and  $[m, a]$  are isomorphisms, we have the commutativity of the outer rectangle, and thus we have that

$$(\forall v \in \mathbb{H}) \quad \sigma_1(a) \circ v = v \circ \sigma_1(a)$$


or that  $\sigma_1(a)$  lies in the center of  $\mathbb{H}$ . Alternatively, we can present the proof using graphical calculus. We first introduce a ‘‘bubble’’ by precomposing with our basis vector and its inverse, and commute the quaternion through the trivalent vertex:



Then, by the definition of  $\sigma_1$  and naturality, we have



and we can pass  $\sigma_1(a)$  through the trivalent vertex to get




as desired. A similar argument using either method can be applied to show that  $\sigma_2$  is also real-valued.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.3.** The function  $\sigma_3$  is real-valued.


*Proof.* Let  $a \in A$ . We want to show that  $\sigma_3(a)$  is in the center of  $\mathbb{H}$ . First, we will use the naturality of the braiding to show that

$$(\forall v \in \mathbb{H}) \quad [a] \triangleleft (\sigma_3(a) \cdot v) = [a] \triangleleft (v \cdot \sigma_3(a)).$$

First, we use naturality and the property of the trivalent vertex to get



By self duality of  $m$ , we may “rotate” the diagram up to a non-zero quaternionic constant by composing with the coevaluation map on the left strand, yielding



which we may compose with the inverse to the trivalent vertex to conclude the desired result.  $\square$

**5.1. The Hexagon Equations.** Since all the braiding coefficients are real, the only difference in the braiding equations arises from the fact that  $m \otimes m \cong 4 \bigoplus_{a \in A} a$  rather than  $\bigoplus_{a \in A} a$ . The graphical computations remain mostly the same except for the hexagon diagrams involving  $\alpha_{m,m,m}$ . The resulting braiding equations are equations (3) through (9), (11) through (17), and the following two, which differ from (10) and (18) by a coefficient of  $-2$ :

$$\sigma_3(a)\tau\chi(a,b)^{-1}\sigma_3(b) = -2 \sum_{c \in A} \tau\chi(a,c)^{-1}\sigma_2(c)\tau\chi(c,b)^{-1}, \quad (8')$$

$$\sigma_3(a)\tau\chi(a,b)\sigma_3(b) = -2 \sum_{c \in A} \tau\chi(a,c)\sigma_1(c)\tau\chi(c,b). \quad (16')$$

The presence of the  $-2$  does not affect the algebraic reduction process, and the reduced hexagon equations are thus

$$\sigma_0(a,b) = \chi(a,b), \quad (27)$$

$$\sigma_1(a)^2 = \chi(a,a), \quad (28)$$

$$\sigma_1(ab) = \sigma_1(a)\sigma_1(b)\chi(a,b), \quad (29)$$

$$\sigma_2(a) = \sigma_1(a), \quad (30)$$

$$\sigma_3(1)^2 = -2\tau \sum_{c \in A} \sigma_1(c), \quad (31)$$

$$\sigma_3(a) = \sigma_3(1)\sigma_1(a)\chi(a,a), \quad (32)$$

which coincide with (19) through (24) except for the added  $-2$  in (31).

**5.2. Classification.** With the notation of Proposition 3.8, we have:

**Theorem 5.4.** Braidings on  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{H}}(K_4^n, h^n, \tau)$  are in bijection with  $\text{QF}_{-\text{sgn}(\tau)}^n \times \{\pm 1\}$ .

*Proof.* The argument is exactly parallel to the proof of Theorem 4.4, except that the extra factor of  $-2$  in (31) gives  $\text{sgn}(\sigma_1) = -\text{sgn}(\tau)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 5.5.** A real/quaternionic Tambara-Yamagami category  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{H}}(A, \chi, \tau)$  admits a braiding if and only if either  $(A, \chi) \cong (K_4^n, h^n)$  for  $n > 0$  or  $(A, \chi)$  is trivial and  $\tau < 0$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.12, we know  $(A, \chi) \cong (K_4^n, h^n)$ . The conclusion then follows from the previous theorem, observing that  $\text{QF}_{-\text{sgn}(\tau)}^n$  is always nonempty except when  $n = 0$  and  $\tau > 0$ .  $\square$

Since the group  $K_4^n$ , bicharacter  $h^{\oplus n}$  and scaling coefficient  $\tau$  are determined by context, we denote the braiding on  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{H}}(K_4^n, h^n, \tau)$  corresponding to  $(\sigma, \epsilon) \in \text{QF}_{-\text{sgn}(\tau)}^n \times \{\pm 1\}$  by  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{H}}(\sigma_1, \epsilon)$ .

**Definition 5.6.** Given an element  $(\sigma, \epsilon)$  of  $\text{QF}_{-\text{sgn } \tau} \times \{\pm 1\}$ , we define a braided structure  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{H}}(\sigma, \epsilon)$  on  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{H}}(K_4^n, h^n, \tau)$  by:

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_{a,b} &= \chi(a, b) \cdot \text{id}_{ab}, \\ \beta_{a,m} &= \beta_{m,a} = \sigma(a) \cdot \text{id}_m, \\ \beta_{m,m} &= \sum_{\substack{s \in S \\ a \in K_4^n}} \epsilon \sigma(a) (\text{id}_m \otimes \bar{s}) [a]^\dagger [a] (s \otimes \text{id}_m).\end{aligned}$$

As before, we now turn to the question of when  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{H}}(\sigma, \epsilon)$  and  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{H}}(\sigma', \epsilon')$  are braided equivalent.

**Definition 5.7.** Let  $f \in \text{Aut}(A, \chi)$  and  $\kappa \in \{\pm 1\}$ . We let  $F(f, \kappa)$  be the monoidal endofunctor of  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{H}}(K_4^n, h^n, \tau)$  whose underlying action on grouplike simples is  $f$  and fixes  $m$  and  $\text{End}(m)$ . The tensorator coefficients are:

$$J_{a,b} = \text{id}_{f(a)f(b)}, \quad J_{a,m} = \text{id}_{f(a)} \otimes \text{id}_m, \quad J_{m,a} = \text{id}_m \otimes \text{id}_{f(a)}, \quad J_{m,m} = \kappa \cdot \text{id}_m \otimes \text{id}_m.$$

**Lemma 5.8.** For any  $A, \chi, \tau$ ,

$$\pi_0 \text{Aut}_{\otimes}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{H}}(A, \chi, \tau)) \cong \text{Aut}(A, \chi) \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z},$$

with representatives given by  $F(f, \kappa)$ .

*Proof.* We first remark that every functor in  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{H}}(A, \chi, \tau))$  is naturally equivalent to one which fixes  $\text{End}(m)$ ; the action of  $F$  on  $\text{End}(m)$  must be conjugation by some quaternion, and this same quaternion forms the desired natural transformation together with the identity on the invertible objects.

Let  $\psi$  and  $\omega$  be functions  $A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\times$  with  $\phi(a)\omega(a)$  constant. We define  $F(f, \psi, \omega)$  to be the monoidal functor whose underlying homomorphism is  $f$  and has

$$\begin{aligned}J_{a,b} &= \delta\psi(a, b) \cdot \text{id}_{f(a)f(b)}, & J_{a,m} &= \psi(a) \cdot \text{id}_{f(a)} \otimes \text{id}_m, \\ J_{m,a} &= \psi(a) \cdot \text{id}_m \otimes \text{id}_{f(a)}, & J_{m,m} &= \text{id}_m \otimes \omega(a)\text{id}_m.\end{aligned}$$

The proof of Theorem 5.4 of [PSS23] shows us that  $F(f, \psi, \omega)$  is a monoidal functor and every monoidal functor with underlying homomorphism  $f$  is monoidally isomorphic to  $F(f, \psi, \omega)$  for some  $\psi, \omega$ .

The consistency equations for a monoidal natural isomorphism  $\mu: F(f, \psi, \omega) \rightarrow F(f, \psi', \omega')$  are:

$$\begin{aligned}\phi'(a) &= \phi(a)\mu_a \\ \omega'(a) &= \frac{\overline{\mu_m}\mu_m}{\mu_a}\omega(a)\end{aligned}$$

By setting  $\mu_a = \phi(a)^{-1}$ , and using that  $\phi(a)\omega(a)$  is constant, we see that  $\mu$  defines a natural isomorphism to  $F(f, \text{sgn}(\omega(1)))$ .

Moreover, these same consistency conditions rule out any natural isomorphisms  $F(f, 1) \rightarrow F(f, -1)$ ; we must have  $\mu_1 = 1$  and so would obtain  $-1 = |\mu_m|^2$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

The proofs of the following proposition and theorem are identical to those of Proposition 4.8 and Theorem 4.9 upon replacing Lemma 4.7 with Lemma 5.8.

**Proposition 5.9.** The monoidal functor  $F(f, \kappa)$  forms a braided monoidal equivalence  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{H}}(\sigma, \epsilon) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{H}}(\sigma', \epsilon')$  if and only if  $f \cdot \sigma = \sigma'$  and  $\epsilon = \epsilon'$ .

**Theorem 5.10.** There is a braided monoidal equivalence  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{H}}(\sigma, \epsilon) \sim \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{H}}(\sigma', \epsilon')$  if and only if  $\epsilon = \epsilon'$ . In particular, there is no braiding on  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{H}}(K_4^n, h^{\oplus n}, \tau)$  when  $n = 0$  and  $\tau > 0$ , and in all other cases there are exactly two equivalence classes of braidings.

**Remark 5.11.** In the split real case, the  $\text{Aut}(A, \chi)$  orbit which extends to a braiding has the same sign as  $\tau$ . Here, the sign is reversed. In both cases the scalar  $\sigma_3(1)$  is a braided invariant, and indeed determines the equivalence class.

**Example 5.12.** Let  $\mathcal{Q}_{\pm} := \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{H}}(K_4^0, h^{\oplus 0}, \pm \frac{1}{2})$ . It can be shown by direct computation<sup>1</sup> that as a fusion category,  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{Q}_+) \simeq \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, \text{id}_{\mathbb{C}}, \text{triv}, \frac{1}{2})$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{Q}_+)$  contains no quaternionic object, and therefore cannot contain  $\mathcal{Q}_+$  as a fusion subcategory. This is equivalent to the observation that  $\mathcal{Q}_+$  cannot have a braiding, as indicated by Theorem 5.10. This is directly analogous to the fact that  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}}(K_4^0, h^{\oplus 0}, -1)$  also admits no braiding.

Here is yet another way to see why there cannot be a braiding in this case. The category  $\mathcal{Q}_+$  can be realized as the time reversal equivariantization of  $\text{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\omega}(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ , where  $0 \neq [\omega] \in H^3(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}; \mathbb{C}^{\times})$  (see [EG12] for further details on categorical Galois descent). The time reversal symmetry that produces  $\mathcal{Q}_+$  is anomalous in the sense that it uses a nontrivial tensorator  $T_1 \circ T_1 \cong T_0 = \text{id}$ . This anomaly is what causes the presence of a quaternionic object, because without it, equivariantization would just produce  $\text{Vect}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\omega}(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ . If  $\mathcal{Q}_+$  were to admit a braiding, then by base extension it would produce one of the two braidings on the category  $\text{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\omega}(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$  — either the semion or reverse semion. However, the time reversal functor  $T_1$  is not braided (it swaps these two braidings), and so neither of these braidings could have come from  $\mathcal{Q}_+$ .

Taking  $\sigma = \sigma'$  and  $\epsilon = \epsilon'$  in Proposition 5.9, we obtain:

**Corollary 5.13.**

$$\pi_0 \text{Aut}_{br}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{H}}(K_4^n, h^{\oplus n}, \tau, \sigma, \epsilon)) \cong H_{\text{sgn}(\sigma)}^n \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$$

**Lemma 5.14.** There are exactly two families of twist morphisms for any  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{H}}(\sigma, \epsilon)$ , corresponding to a sign  $\rho \in \{\pm 1\}$ . These twists are ribbon structures.

*Proof.* Denoting the components of the twist by  $\theta_x$ , the required equations can be derived identically to [Sie00, §3.7], and algebraically reduced in an identical way using that  $\mathbb{H}$  is a division algebra and  $\sigma$  is real valued and so the values  $\sigma(a)$  commute with  $\theta_m$ . The results are (still):

<sup>1</sup>The direct computation referenced here is analogous to our analysis of hexagons, but where only forward hexagons are analyzed for the sake of finding half-braidings instead of full braidings.

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_{ab} &= \theta_a \theta_b \\ \theta_a &= \sigma(a)^2 = 1 \\ \theta_a &= \theta_m^2 \sigma_3(a)^2\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the square root required to define  $\theta_m$  is always of a positive real number and therefore still determined by a sign. Since every simple object is self dual, the required axiom is simply  $\theta_m = \theta_m^*$ . But this holds as a result of the (real) linearity of composition.  $\square$

## 6. BRAIDINGS ON REAL/COMPLEX TAMBARA-YAMAGAMI CATEGORIES

In the case where the invertibles are real and  $m$  is complex, the analysis in [PSS23] was much more involved than in the other cases. Part of this complexity arises due to the fact that  $m$  can be either directly or conjugately self dual, and this property is a monoidal invariant, necessitating some degree of casework.

**Theorem 6.1** ([PSS23, Thm 6.10]). Let  $\tau = \pm 1/\sqrt{2|A|}$ , let  $(-)^g \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R})$ , and let  $\chi : A \times A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_*^\times$  be a symmetric bicocycle on  $A$  with respect to  $(-)^g$ , whose restriction  $\chi|_{A_0 \times A_0}$  is a nongedegenerate bicharacter. A quadruple of such data gives rise to a non-split Tambara-Yamagami category  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{C}}(A, g, \chi, \tau)$ , with  $\text{End}(\mathbb{1}) \cong \mathbb{R}$  and  $\text{End}(m) \cong \mathbb{C}$ . Furthermore, all equivalence classes of such categories arise in this way. More explicitly, two categories  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{C}}(A, g, \chi, \tau)$  and  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{C}}(A', g', \chi', \tau')$  are equivalent if and only if  $g = g'$ , and there exists the following data:

- i) an isomorphism  $f : A \rightarrow A'$ ,
- ii) a map  $(-)^h : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , either the identity or complex conjugation,
- iii) a scalar  $\lambda \in S^1 \subset \mathbb{C}$ ,

satisfying the following conditions for all  $a, b \in A$

$$\chi'(f(a), f(b)) = \frac{\lambda \cdot \lambda^{ab}}{\lambda^a \cdot \lambda^b} \cdot \chi(a, b)^h, \quad (33)$$

$$\frac{\tau'}{\tau} = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda^g}. \quad (34)$$

**Lemma 6.2.** Suppose  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{C}}(A, g, \tau, \chi)$  admits a braiding, with  $A \cong A_0 \rtimes (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})\langle w \rangle$ . Then,  $A_0 \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}^n$  is an elementary abelian 2-group with  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , and the symmetric bicocycle  $\chi$  satisfies the following:

- (i) For all  $a \in A_0$  and all  $x \in A$ ,  $\chi(a, x)$  is real-valued;
- (ii)  $\chi$  is symmetric;
- (iii)  $\chi(x, y) = \chi(x, y)^{gxy} = \chi(x, y)^g$  for all  $x, y \in A$ .

*Proof.* If  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{C}}(A, g, \tau, \chi)$  admits a braiding, then  $A$  is an abelian generalized dihedral group, so for any  $x \in A$  we have

$$x = ww^{-1}x = wxw^{-1} = x^{-1} \implies x^2 = 1.$$

Now we use the cocycle condition to see that for all  $x \in A$ ,

$$\chi(1, x) = \chi(1, x)^2 \implies \chi(1, x) = 1,$$

and by the same argument in the other coordinate we have  $\chi(x, 1) = 1$ . Then, since  $a^2 = 1$ , we have

$$1 = \chi(a^2, x) = \chi(a, x)^a \chi(a, x) = \chi(a, x)^2,$$

which tells us that  $\chi(a, x) \in \{\pm 1\}$  (and similarly  $\chi(x, a) \in \{\pm 1\}$ ). Note that this gives us symmetry on  $(A \times A_0) \cup (A_0 \times A)$  using the symmetric cocycle condition, on which  $\chi$  is fixed by conjugation.

For condition (ii), we check that for any  $a, b \in A_0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(aw, bw) &= \chi(a, bw)^w \chi(w, bw) \\ &= \chi(a, b) \chi(a, w)^b \chi(w, b) \chi(w, w)^b \\ &= \chi(a, b) \chi(a, w) \chi(w, b) \chi(w, w), \end{aligned}$$

which gives us symmetry of  $\chi$ . Note that in particular  $\chi(aw, aw) = \chi(a, a) \chi(w, w)$ .

It suffices to check conditions (iii) on  $A_0 w \times A_0 w$ , since  $\chi$  is real-valued on the rest. We use the symmetric cocycle and symmetric conditions to get that  $\chi(x, y) = \chi(x, y)^{gxy}$ , and since  $|xy| = 0$  we have the desired result.  $\square$

At this point, we have been using a choice of isomorphism  $A \cong A_0 \rtimes (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})\langle w \rangle$ , which amounts to choosing an element  $w \in A \setminus A_0$ . It turns out that there is a canonical way to choose this element.

**Lemma 6.3.** There is a unique  $w \in A \setminus A_0$  with the property that  $\chi(w, -)$  is trivial when restricted to  $A_0$ . Moreover restriction to  $A_0$  gives an isomorphism  $\text{Aut}(A, \chi)$  to  $\text{Aut}(A_0, \chi|_{A_0 \times A_0})$ .

*Proof.* At first, let  $w \in A \setminus A_0$  be any element. Since  $\chi_{A_0 \times A_0}$  is nondegenerate, there exists a unique  $c \in A_0$  such that  $\chi(w, a) = \chi(c, a)$  for every  $a \in A_0$ . It follows that  $w' = cw \in A \setminus A_0$  is an element that satisfies

$$\chi(w', a) = \chi(c, a) \chi(w, a) = \chi(w, a)^2 = 1,$$

where the last equality follows from Lemma 6.2 parts (i) and (ii).

Any other choice is of the form  $bw'$  for  $b \in A_0$ . This implies that  $\chi(bw', a) = \chi(b, a) \chi(w', a) = \chi(b, a)$  for every  $a \in A_0$ . Again by nondegeneracy,  $\chi(bw', -)$  can only be trivial when  $b = 1$ , so this  $w'$  is unique. For the second part of the lemma, the defining property of  $w$  implies  $w$  is fixed by every  $f \in \text{Aut}(A, \chi)$ , so that  $f$  is completely determined by the homomorphism property together with its restriction to  $A_0$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 6.4.** Up to monoidal equivalence,  $\chi(w, w)$  can be taken to be 1 when  $|g| = 0$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem 6.1, for any  $\lambda \in S^1 \subset \mathbb{C}^\times$  there exists an equivalence  $(\text{id}_{\mathcal{C}}, \text{id}_{\mathbb{C}}, \lambda) : \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{C}}(A, \text{id}, \chi, \tau) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{C}}(A, \text{id}, \chi', \tau)$ , where  $\chi'$  is the bicocycle defined by the equation

$$\chi'(a, b) = \frac{\lambda \cdot \lambda^{ab}}{\lambda^a \cdot \lambda^b} \cdot \chi(a, b).$$

Whenever  $|a| = 0$  or  $|b| = 0$ , it follows that  $\chi' = \chi$ . When both arguments conjugate, the bicocycles are related by  $\chi' = \lambda^4 \chi$ . In particular, by setting  $\lambda^4 = \chi(w, w)^{-1}$ , we can force  $\chi'(w, w) = 1$ .  $\square$

**6.1. Hexagon Equations.** From the graphical calculus computations, we get the following equations from the forward hexagon diagrams:

$$\sigma_0(x, y)\sigma_0(x, z) = \sigma_0(x, yz) \quad (35)$$

$$\sigma_1(x)\sigma_0(x, y) = \chi(y, x)\sigma_1(x)^y \quad (36)$$

$$\sigma_0(x, y)\sigma_1(x) = \sigma_1(x)^y\chi(x, y) \quad (37)$$

$$\sigma_2(y)\chi(x, y)\sigma_2(x) = \sigma_2(xy) \quad (38)$$

$$\chi(x, y)^y\sigma_1(x)^{gxy}\sigma_1(x) = \sigma_0(x, xy) \quad (39)$$

$$\sigma_2(x)^{gxy}\sigma_3(xy) = \sigma_3(y)^x\chi(x, y)^y \quad (40)$$

$$\sigma_3(xy)\sigma_2(x)^{gxy} = \sigma_3(y)^x\chi(x, y)^{gx} \quad (41)$$

$$\chi(x, y)^{-g}\sigma_3(x)^y\sigma_3(y)^x = 2\tau \sum_{|z|=|gxy|} \chi(x, z)^{-g}\chi(z, y)^{-g}\sigma_2(z)^z \quad (42)$$

and the following from the backward hexagon diagrams:

$$\sigma_0(xy, z) = \sigma_0(x, z)\sigma_0(y, z) \quad (43)$$

$$\sigma_1(xy) = \sigma_1(x)\sigma_1(y)\chi(x, y)^{-1} \quad (44)$$

$$\sigma_2(y)^x\chi(x, y)^{-1} = \sigma_0(x, y)\sigma_2(y) \quad (45)$$

$$\sigma_2(y)^x\chi(y, x)^{-1} = \sigma_2(y)\sigma_0(x, y) \quad (46)$$

$$\sigma_3(y)\chi(x, y)^{-gx} = \sigma_1(x)\sigma_3(xy) \quad (47)$$

$$\sigma_3(y)\chi(x, y)^{-y} = \sigma_1(x)\sigma_3(xy) \quad (48)$$

$$\sigma_0(xy, x) = \sigma_2(x)^{gxy}\chi(x, y)^{-y}\sigma_2(x) \quad (49)$$

$$\sigma_3(x)\sigma_3(y)\chi(x, y)^{xy} = 2\tau \sum_{|z|=|gxy|} \chi(x, z)^{gz}\chi(z, y)^{gz}\sigma_1(z) \quad (50)$$

We first obtain a few useful equations through algebraic simplification. Evaluating at  $y = x$  in (44) we get

$$\sigma_1(x)^2 = \chi(x, x). \quad (51)$$

Rearranging (37) we get

$$\sigma_0(x, y) = \chi(x, y)\frac{\sigma_1(x)^y}{\sigma_1(x)}, \quad (52)$$

which we combine with evaluating (39) at  $y = 1$  to get

$$\sigma_1(x)^g = \sigma_1(x). \quad (53)$$

Lastly, evaluating (50) at  $x = y = 1$  yields

$$\sigma_3(1)^2 = 2\tau \sum_{|z|=|g|} \sigma_1(z). \quad (54)$$



Using these, we will prove a few lemmas which we will use to reduce the hexagon equations down to a equivalent set of simpler equations.

**Lemma 6.5.** For all  $a \in A_0$ , we have  $\chi(a, a) = 1$ .

*Proof.* Using equations (37) and (45), we can write

$$\sigma_0(x, y) = \chi(x, y) \frac{\sigma_1(x)^y}{\sigma_1(x)} = \chi(x, y)^{-1} \frac{\sigma_2(y)^x}{\sigma_2(y)}.$$

Setting  $x = a$  and  $y = w$ , we get

$$\chi(a, w)^2 = \frac{\sigma_1(a)}{\sigma_1(a)^w} \cdot \frac{\sigma_2(w)^a}{\sigma_2(w)}.$$

Since  $|a| = 0$ , we have

$$1 = \chi(a, w)^2 = \frac{\sigma_1(a)}{\sigma_1(a)^w} \implies \sigma_1(a) = \overline{\sigma_1(a)}.$$

This tells us that  $\sigma_1(a) \in \mathbb{R}$ , which gives us that  $\chi(a, a) > 0$  by (51).  $\square$

**Corollary 6.6.** The bicharacter  $\chi|_{A_0 \times A_0}$  is hyperbolic, and thus for some choice of basis for  $A_0$ , is equal to the standard hyperbolic pairing  $h^n$  on  $A_0 \cong K_4^n$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ .

**Corollary 6.7.** If  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{C}}(A, g, \tau, \chi)$  admits a braiding, then up to monoidal equivalence,  $\chi$  is a real-valued symmetric bicharacter with  $\chi(x, x) = 1$  for all  $x \in A$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 6.2 and Lemma 6.5, it suffices to check that  $\chi(w, w) = 1$  and use the cocycle condition. When  $g$  is trivial, this follows from Lemma 6.4. When  $g$  is nontrivial, this is implied by (51) and (53) which show us that  $\chi(w, w)$  is the square of a real number.  $\square$

**Remark 6.8.** In particular, this tells us that  $\sigma_1$  is always  $\{\pm 1\}$ -valued by (51), and hence that  $\sigma_0 = \chi$  by (52). Note also that  $\chi = \chi^{-1}$  is  $\{\pm 1\}$ -valued, since  $\chi(x, y)^2 = \chi(x^2, y) = \chi(1, y) = 1$  for all  $x, y \in A$ .

**Remark 6.9.** Note that although we know that  $\chi$  is nondegenerate on  $A_0 \times A_0$ , it is necessarily degenerate on the whole of  $A$ , thanks to Lemma 6.3. Hence the classification results for bilinear forms used previously to show that certain forms are hyperbolic do not apply here.

**Lemma 6.10.** The scalar  $\sigma_3(1)^2$  is real, and it can be computed by the formula

$$\sigma_3(1)^2 = 2^{n+1} \tau \sigma_1(w)^{|g|} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma_1|_{A_0}).$$

Consequently,  $\sigma_3(1)^4 = 1$ .

*Proof.* Recall that we have

$$\sigma_3(1)^2 = 2\tau \sum_{|z|=|g|} \sigma_1(z).$$

from (54). When  $g$  is nontrivial, each summand is of the form

$$\sigma_1(aw) = \sigma_1(a)\sigma_1(w)\chi(a, w) = \sigma_1(a)\sigma_1(w),$$

for some unique  $a \in A_0$ . After possibly factoring out the term  $\sigma_1(w)$ , both cases for  $g$  then follow from Proposition 3.8.  $\square$

**Corollary 6.11.** The function  $\sigma_2$  is real-valued on all of  $A$ .

*Proof.* Comparing (40) and (47) at  $y = 1$  we get

$$\sigma_2(x) = \sigma_1(x)^{gx} \frac{\sigma_3(1)^g}{\sigma_3(1)^{gx}} = \sigma_1(x) \frac{\sigma_3(1)^g}{\sigma_3(1)^{gx}}. \quad (55)$$

By Lemma 6.10,  $\sigma_3(1)$  is purely real or imaginary, so  $\frac{\sigma_3(1)^g}{\sigma_3(1)^{gx}} \in \{\pm 1\}$ .  $\square$

In summary, we have:

**Proposition 6.12.** The braiding coefficients  $\sigma_0$ ,  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  in the real-complex category admitting a braiding are necessarily real-valued. The hexagon equations are equivalent to the following:

$$\sigma_0(x, y) = \chi(x, y) \quad (56)$$

$$\sigma_1(x)^2 = \chi(x, x) \quad (57)$$

$$\sigma_1(xy) = \sigma_1(x)\sigma_1(y)\chi(x, y) \quad (58)$$

$$\sigma_3(1)^2 = 2\tau \sum_{|z|=|g|} \sigma_1(z) \quad (59)$$

$$\sigma_3(x) = \sigma_3(1)\sigma_1(x) \quad (60)$$

$$\sigma_3(x) = \sigma_3(x)^g \quad (61)$$

$$\sigma_2(x) = \sigma_1(x) \frac{\sigma_3(1)}{\sigma_3(1)^x} \quad (62)$$

*Proof.* First, it remains to check that (60), (61) and (62) follow from the hexagon equations. The first and last equations follow from setting  $y = 1$  in (48) and (41), respectively. We postpone the derivation of (61).

For the converse, we wish to derived the original hexagon equations from the reduced ones. We may rewrite (38) as

$$\sigma_1(y)\chi(x, y)\sigma_1(x) \frac{\sigma_3(1)^2}{\sigma_3(1)^x \sigma_3(1)^y} \stackrel{?}{=} \sigma_1(xy) \frac{\sigma_3(1)}{\sigma_3(1)^{xy}},$$

and that it holds in each of the cases  $|x| = 0$ ,  $|y| = 0$  and  $|x| = |y| = 1$  (in the last case using Lemma 6.10). Similarly (40) and (41) follow from the fact that  $\sigma_3(1)^2$  is conjugate invariant. The derivation of (50) is exactly the same as in the split real case.

The rest, except for (42), follow from straightforward algebraic checks. We now show that (42) is equivalent to (61) in the presence of the other reduced hexagon equations. To begin, we can expand both sides of (42) using the definition of  $\sigma_2$  and  $\sigma_3$  and the properties of  $\chi$  to arrive at the equivalent form:

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(x, y)\sigma_3(1)^x\sigma_3(1)^y\sigma_1(x)\sigma_1(y) &= 2\tau \sum_{|z|=|gxy|} \chi(x, z)\chi(z, y)\sigma_1(z) \frac{\sigma_3(1)^{gxy}}{\sigma_3(1)} \\ &\stackrel{(50)}{=} \sigma_3(x)\sigma_3(y)\chi(x, y) \frac{\sigma_3(1)^{gxy}}{\sigma_3(1)} \end{aligned}$$

Canceling terms we arrive at

$$\sigma_3(1)^x\sigma_3(1)^y = \sigma_3(1)\sigma_3(1)^{gxy}$$

Since  $\sigma_3(1)$  is a 4th root of unity, we have  $(\sigma_3(1)^x\sigma_3(1)^y)/(\sigma_3(1)\sigma_3(1)^{xy}) = 1$ , so that  $\sigma_3(1)^{xy}$  is  $g$ -fixed for all  $x, y$ , and thus  $\sigma_3(1)$  and  $\sigma_3(x)$  are as well.  $\square$

**6.2. Classification of Braidings in the Real/Complex Case.** Recalling Corollary 6.6, we know that any real/complex Tambara-Yamagami category admitting a braiding has  $A \cong K_4^n \rtimes (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})\langle w \rangle$ . Moreover, in all cases we can assume  $\chi(x, x) = 1$ .

**Theorem 6.13.** Braidings on  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{C}}(K_4^n \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, \text{id}, \chi, \tau)$  are in bijection with pairs  $(\sigma, \epsilon) \in \text{QF}(\chi) \times \{\pm 1\}$ .

*Proof.* In this case, since  $g = \text{id}$  is trivial, the constraints of Proposition 6.12 are the same as in the split real case. The proof of this theorem is therefore the same as Theorem 4.4 (without the requirement that  $\sigma_3(1)$  is real).  $\square$

**Theorem 6.14.** Braidings on  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{C}}(K_4^n \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, \bar{\cdot}, \chi, \tau)$  are in bijection with pairs  $(\sigma, \epsilon) \in \text{QF}(\chi) \times \{\pm 1\}$  satisfying

$$\text{sgn}(\sigma|_{K_4^n}) \text{sgn}(\tau)\sigma(w) = 1.$$

*Proof.* We produce the data  $(\sigma, \epsilon)$  in an identical way to the previous classification theorems. In this case, there is an extra constraint, namely that  $\sigma_3$  is real, which holds if and only if  $\sigma_3(1)$  is real. By Lemma 6.10 and the definition of  $\epsilon$ , we have

$$\sigma_3(1) = \epsilon \sqrt{2^{n+1}\tau\sigma_1(w) \text{sgn}(\sigma|_{K_4^n})},$$

which shows the constraint  $\text{sgn}(\sigma|_{K_4^n}) \text{sgn}(\tau)\sigma(w) = 1$  is necessary and sufficient for  $\sigma_3$  to be real.  $\square$

**Notation 6.15.** We denote a braiding on  $\mathcal{C}(A, g, \chi, \tau)$  by  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{C}, g}(\sigma, \epsilon)$ . Note that  $\tau$  is not necessarily determined by context, and the constraint  $\text{sgn}(\sigma|_{K_4^n}) \text{sgn}(\tau)\sigma(w)$  is also suppressed when  $g$  is nontrivial. Moreover, we write  $\text{sgn}(\sigma) := \text{sgn}(\sigma|_{K_4^n})$ . No confusion should arise, since the sign of a quadratic form on  $G$  is not defined.

The remainder of this section is dedicated to determining which of these braidings are equivalent, and some corollaries of this process.

**Definition 6.16.** Let  $f \in \text{Aut}(A)$ ,  $\xi \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R})$  and  $\lambda \in S^1$ . We let  $F(f, \xi, \lambda)$  be the candidate monoidal endofunctor of  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{C}}(A, g, \chi, \tau)$  whose underlying action on grouplike simples is  $f$ , fixes  $m$  and applies  $\xi$  to  $\text{End}(m)$ . The tensorator coefficients are:

$$J_{a,b} = \text{id}_{f(a)f(b)}, \quad J_{a,m} = \text{id}_{f(a) \otimes m}, \quad J_{m,a} = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda^a} \text{id}_m \otimes \text{id}_{f(a)}, \quad J_{m,m} = \text{id}_m \otimes \lambda \text{id}_m.$$

We stress that in general,  $F(f, \xi, \lambda)$  is not a monoidal functor. The consistency equations (simplified for our context from [PSS23, Theorem 6.10]) are

$$\chi(f(a), f(b)) = \frac{\lambda \cdot \lambda^{ab}}{\lambda^a \cdot \lambda^b} \cdot \chi(a, b) \tag{63}$$

$$\lambda^g = \lambda. \tag{64}$$

Still, in the cases where  $F(f, \xi, \lambda)$  is monoidal, the composition rule can be seen to be

$$F(f, \xi, \lambda) \circ F(f', \xi', \lambda') \cong F(f \circ f', \xi \circ \xi', \lambda \cdot \xi(\lambda'))$$

**Remark 6.17.** The proof of [PSS23] Theorem 6.10, shows that the functors  $F(f, \xi, \lambda)$  satisfying the two consistency equations (63), (64) are a complete set of representatives for  $\pi_0 \text{Aut}_\otimes(\mathcal{C}_\mathbb{C}(A, g, \chi, \tau))$ .

**Lemma 6.18.** We have

$$\pi_0 \text{Aut}_\otimes(\mathcal{C}_\mathbb{C}(A, g, \chi, \tau)) \cong \text{Aut}(A, \chi) \times K_4$$

whenever  $\chi$  is real-valued. When  $g$  is nontrivial, the functors  $F(f, \xi, \pm 1)$  form a complete set of representatives. When  $g$  is trivial, we instead take  $F(f, \xi, 1)$  and  $F(f, \xi, i)$  as representatives.

*Proof.* We first observe the function  $f$  and automorphism  $\xi$  are invariants of the underlying functor. We next extract the consistency equations from [PSS23, p. 35] for a monoidal equivalence  $\mu: F(f, \xi, \lambda) \rightarrow F(f, \xi, \lambda')$ . In the notation used in *loc. cit.*, our assumptions are that  $\theta, \theta', \varphi, \varphi'$  are identically 1. The consistency equations thus trivialize to:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_a &= \frac{\mu_m^a}{\mu_m} \\ \frac{\lambda'}{(\lambda')^a} &= \frac{\lambda}{\lambda^a} \\ \lambda' &= \frac{\mu_m^{ga} \mu_m}{\mu_a} \lambda \end{aligned}$$

We begin with the case when  $g$  is nontrivial. In this case, the monoidal functor consistency equations (63), (64) imply  $\lambda$  is real and  $f \in \text{Aut}(A, \chi)$ . Substituting the first consistency equation for  $\mu$  into the third (with  $a = w$ ) shows that  $F(f, \xi, 1)$  is not monoidally isomorphic to  $F(f, \xi, -1)$ .

When  $g$  is trivial, we can set  $a = b = w$  in (64) and use that  $\chi(f(w), f(w)) = \chi(w, w) = 1$  (Corollary 6.7) to conclude  $\lambda^4 = 1$ . The second of the three consistency conditions implies that whether or not  $\lambda$  is real is a monoidal invariant. It remains to show that the two functors  $F(f, \xi, \pm 1)$  are isomorphic, and likewise for  $F(f, \xi, \pm i)$ .

This can be achieved by setting  $\mu_m = i$  and then defining  $\mu_a$  according to the first consistency equation. The last equation holds since  $g$  is trivial. Equation (63), together with the restrictions on  $\lambda$  now implies  $f \in \text{Aut}(A, \chi)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 6.19.** The monoidal functor  $F(f, \xi, \lambda)$  is a braided equivalence  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{C},g}(\sigma, \epsilon) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{C},g}(\sigma', \epsilon')$  if and only if  $f \cdot \sigma|_{K_4^n} = \sigma'|_{K_4^n}$ , and

$$\sigma'(w) = \lambda^2 \sigma(w) \quad (65)$$

$$\sigma'_3(1) = \sigma_3(1)^\xi. \quad (66)$$

*Proof.* The conditions for  $F(f, \xi, \lambda)$  to be a braided equivalence  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{C},g}(\sigma, \epsilon) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{C},g}(\sigma', \epsilon')$  are:

$$\chi(f(a), f(b)) = \chi(a, b)^\xi \quad (67)$$

$$\sigma'_1(f(a)) = \frac{\lambda^a}{\lambda} \sigma_1(a)^\xi \quad (68)$$

$$\sigma'_2(f(a)) = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda^a} \sigma_2(a)^\xi \quad (69)$$

$$\sigma'_3(f(a)) = \sigma_3(a)^\xi. \quad (70)$$

The first of these equations always holds since  $f \in \text{Aut}(A, \chi)$ . Additionally, since  $f$  fixes  $w$ ,  $f$  must take conjugating elements to conjugating elements. We may also assume  $\lambda^4 = 1$ , so that  $\lambda/\lambda^a = \lambda^a/\lambda$ . These facts allow the derivation of Equation (69) from Equations (68) and (70). Finally, using that  $\sigma_1$  is real, we can drop the  $\xi$  in (68), as well as prove that (70) holds for all  $a$  if and only if it holds at 1, which is exactly (66). Evaluating (68) on elements in  $A$  gives  $f \cdot \sigma = \sigma'$ , and evaluating at  $w$  gives (65). These conditions are indeed equivalent to (68), as

$$\sigma'_1(f(aw)) = \sigma'_1(f(a))\sigma'_1(w) = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda^{aw}} \sigma_1(a)\sigma_1(w) = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda^{aw}} \sigma_1(aw).$$

$\square$

As with the rest of this section, the case when  $|g| = 1$  is significantly easier since the structure constants are  $g$  fixed.

**Theorem 6.20.** When  $n > 0$ , there are exactly three equivalence classes of braidings on  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{C}}(K_4^n \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, \text{id}, \chi, \tau)$ . When  $n = 0$  and  $\tau < 0$ , there is a unique equivalence class, and when  $n = 0$  and  $\tau > 0$ , there are precisely two. These braidings are distinguished as follows:

- The braidings  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{C},\text{id}}(\sigma, \epsilon)$  are all equivalent if  $\text{sgn}(\sigma) = -\text{sgn}(\tau)$ .
- If  $\text{sgn}(\sigma) = \text{sgn}(\tau)$ , then there are exactly two equivalence classes of braidings, distinguished by  $\epsilon$ .

*Proof.* First, observe that only one of the two distinguished cases can occur when  $n = 0$ . We begin with the first case. Suppose we are given  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{C},\text{id}}(\sigma, \epsilon)$  and  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{C},\text{id}}(\sigma', \epsilon')$  with  $\text{sgn}(\sigma) = \text{sgn}(\sigma') = -\text{sgn}(\tau)$ . In this case  $\sigma_3(1)$  and  $\sigma'_3(1)$  are square roots of negative reals, and are thus purely imaginary. So, we can choose an  $\xi \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R})$

such that  $\sigma_3(1)^\xi = \sigma'_3(1)$ . Moreover, we can also find a 4th root of unity  $\lambda$  such that  $\lambda^2\sigma(w) = \sigma'(w)$ . Finally, since the restrictions of  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma'$  to  $K_4^n$ , have the same sign, they are orbit equivalent and thus there exists an  $f \in \text{Aut}(K_4^n, \chi|_{K_4^n})$  with  $f \cdot \sigma = \sigma'$  on  $K_4^n$ . By Lemma 6.3,  $f$  has a unique extension (also denoted  $f$ ) to  $\text{Aut}(A, \chi)$ . Then  $F(f, h, \lambda)$  is a braided equivalence  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{C}, \text{id}}(\sigma, \epsilon) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{C}, \text{id}}(\sigma', \epsilon')$  by Proposition 6.19.

In the second case, the value  $\sigma_3(1)$  is real and thus fixed by all braided functors, and thus  $\epsilon$  is a braided invariant. It remains to show that the value of  $\sigma(w)$  can be changed. We choose  $\lambda$  with  $\lambda^2\sigma(w) = \sigma'(w)$ , and  $f$  satisfying  $f \cdot \sigma = \sigma'$  on  $K_4^n$ , extend  $f$  to  $A$ , and deduce that  $F(f, h, \lambda)$  is the desired equivalence using Proposition 6.19.  $\square$

If we let  $(\sigma, \epsilon) = (\sigma', \epsilon')$  in Proposition 6.19, we conclude:

**Corollary 6.21.** Suppose  $\text{sgn}(\sigma) = -\text{sgn}(\tau)$ . Then

$$\pi_0 \text{Aut}_{\text{br}}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{C}, \text{id}}(\sigma, \epsilon)) \cong H_{\text{sgn}(\sigma)}.$$

If  $\text{sgn}(\sigma) = \text{sgn}(\tau)$ , then

$$\pi_0 \text{Aut}_{\text{br}}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{C}, \text{id}}(\sigma, \epsilon)) \cong H_{\text{sgn}(\sigma)} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}.$$

**Theorem 6.22.** When  $n \geq 0$ , there are exactly four equivalence classes of braidings on  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{C}}(K_4^n \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, \bar{\cdot}, \chi, \tau)$ . When  $n = 0$ , there are two. Two braidings  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{C}, \bar{\cdot}}(\sigma, \epsilon)$  and  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{C}, \bar{\cdot}}(\sigma', \epsilon')$  are equivalent if and only if  $\text{sgn}(\sigma) = \text{sgn}(\sigma')$  and  $\epsilon = \epsilon'$ .

*Proof.* The ‘‘only if’’ direction follows from Proposition 6.19, noting that in this case all  $F(f, \xi, \lambda)$  have  $\lambda^2 = 1$ , and moreover that  $\sigma_3(1)$  is real and so  $\epsilon$  is fixed. Note that in this case the value  $\sigma(w)$  is determined by the sign of  $\sigma$  (restricted to  $K_4^n$ ) and so is automatically preserved.

The functor required for the converse can be constructed from any  $f$  such that  $f \cdot \sigma = \sigma'$  as the monoidal functor  $F(f, \text{id}, 1)$ , again by Proposition 6.19.  $\square$

Again choosing  $(\sigma, \epsilon) = (\sigma', \epsilon')$  in Proposition 6.19:

**Corollary 6.23.**

$$\pi_0 \text{Aut}_{\text{br}}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{C}, \bar{\cdot}}(\sigma, \epsilon)) \cong H_{\text{sgn}(\sigma)} \times K_4$$

**Lemma 6.24.** There are exactly two families of twist morphisms for any  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{C}, \bar{\cdot}}(\sigma, \epsilon)$ , corresponding to a sign  $\rho \in \{\pm 1\}$ . These twists are indeed ribbon structures (in the sense of [EGNO15, Definition 8.10.1]).

## 7. BRAIDINGS ON SPLIT COMPLEX TAMBARA-YAMAGAMI CATEGORIES

In this section, we use the results of sections 3 and 4 to determine the number of braidings on split complex Tambara-Yamagami categories. While the classification in terms of equivalence classes of quadratic forms was determined by Galindo ([Gal22]) already, the precise number of equivalence classes was not. Moreover, most previous computations were done in the case when the rank of the underlying group is small. We show here there are fewer equivalence classes of Tambara-Yamagami categories in these cases than in general.

This process does not require any new computations. We begin by recalling the discussion of [Sch23, §2.5], which computes the number of equivalence classes of split complex Tambara-Yamagami categories with underlying group of rank  $\leq 2$ .

Let  $\ell$  be the nontrivial bicharacter on  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ . There are two quadratic forms with coboundary  $\ell$ ; these are inequivalent. Moreover, there are exactly three equivalence classes of quadratic forms on  $K_4$  inducing  $\ell^2$ . Now let  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{C}}((\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n, \chi, \tau)$  be a split complex Tambara-Yamagami category. Due to the fact that  $\chi$  is symmetric, we can use the results of Wall [Wal63, §5] to deduce that if  $n$  is even, there are exactly two choices for  $\chi$  and if  $n$  is odd there is exactly one. Indeed, when  $n > 0$  is even, the representatives are  $h^{n/2}$  and  $h^{(n-2)/2} \oplus \ell^2$ . When  $n$  is odd, the representative is  $h^{(n-1)/2} \oplus \ell$ .

The following theorem both relies on, and strengthens the results of Galindo ([Gal22]).

**Theorem 7.1.** Let  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{C}}((\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n, \chi, \tau)$  be a split complex Tambara-Yamagami category ( $\chi$  and  $\tau$  are fixed). Then

- If  $n > 0$  is even and  $\chi \cong h^{n/2}$ , there are exactly four equivalence classes of braidings on  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{C}}((\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n, \chi, \tau)$ . When  $n = 0$ , there are two. These are classified precisely by a free choice of a quadratic form  $\sigma$  inducing  $\chi$ , together with a sign  $\epsilon$ . The formulas for the braidings are identical to Definition 4.5. These categories are symmetric if and only if they are defined over the reals, which occurs precisely when  $\text{sgn}(\sigma) = \text{sgn}(\tau)$ . Moreover, in this case

$$\pi_0 \text{Aut}_{\text{br}} \left( \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{C}}((\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n, \chi, \tau, \sigma, \epsilon) \right) \cong H_{\text{sgn} \sigma}^{n/2}.$$

- If  $n \geq 4$  is even and  $\chi \cong h^{(n-2)/2} \oplus \ell^2$ , there are exactly eight equivalence classes of braidings on  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{C}}((\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n, \chi, \tau)$ . When  $n = 2$ , there are six. These are classified precisely by a free choice of a quadratic form  $\zeta$  inducing  $h^{(n-2)/2} \oplus \ell^2$ , together with a sign  $\epsilon$ . These categories are never symmetric and are never defined over the reals. In this case,

$$\pi_0 \text{Aut}_{\text{br}} \left( \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{C}}((\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n, \chi, \tau, \zeta, \epsilon) \right) \cong \text{Stab}_{\text{Aut}((\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n, \chi)}(\zeta).$$

- If  $n \geq 3$  is odd and  $\chi \cong h^{(n-1)/2} \oplus \ell$ , there are exactly eight equivalence classes of braidings on  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{C}}((\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n, \chi, \tau)$ . If  $n = 1$ , then there are four. These are classified precisely by a free choice of a quadratic form  $\sigma$  inducing  $h^{(n-2)/2}$ , a quadratic form  $\nu$  inducing  $\ell$ , and a sign  $\epsilon$ . These categories are never symmetric and are never defined over the reals. In this case

$$\pi_0(\text{Aut}_{\text{br}}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{C}}((\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n, \chi, \tau, \sigma, \nu, \epsilon))) \cong H_{\text{sgn} \sigma}^{(n-1)/2}.$$

**Corollary 7.2.** A split complex braided Tambara-Yamagami category is symmetric if and only if it is defined over the reals.

*Proof.* By [Gal22, Theorem 4.9], we are reduced to calculating the number of orbits of quadratic forms inducing the three possible bicharacters, together with their stabilizers. We have already done this for  $\chi = h^n$  in Proposition 3.8 which gives most of the

claims in this case. Indeed if  $\chi = h^n$ , the braiding coefficients  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  are always real. Thus, the braiding is symmetric if and only if the function  $\sigma_3(x) = \sigma_3(1)\sigma_1(x)$  is pointwise a sign. This occurs exactly when  $\sigma_3(1)$  is real (so that the braiding is defined over the reals), which is again equivalent to  $\text{sgn}(\sigma) = \text{sgn}(\tau)$ .

We tackle the case when  $n$  is odd next. It is not too hard to see that extension by the identity of  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  gives an isomorphism

$$\text{Aut}(K_4^{(n-1)/2}, h^{(n-1)/2}) \cong \text{Aut}(K_4^{(n-1)/2} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, h^{(n-1)/2} \oplus \ell).$$

In particular, the quadratic forms inducing  $h^{(n-1)/2} \oplus \ell$  decompose as products of quadratic forms on  $K_4^{(n-1)/2}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  inducing  $h^{(n-1)/2}$  and  $\ell$  respectively, and this decomposition is respected by  $\text{Aut}(K_4^{(n-1)/2} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, h^{(n-1)/2} \oplus \ell)$ . This implies the results in the odd case, noting that any quadratic form inducing  $\ell$  is complex valued and therefore not pointwise self-inverse.

The last case is when the multiplicity of  $\ell$  in  $\chi$  is 2. This case follows from Proposition 3.11 and the arguments above.

To conclude the statements about the groups of braided autoequivalences, observe that Proposition 4.8 remains valid over the complex numbers, and all endofunctors of the split Tambara-Yamagami categories in question are still of the form  $F(f)$ . When the multiplicity of  $\ell$  in  $\chi$  is 2, the sign of  $\sigma$  is not (in general) well defined and so we choose not to pursue a better description of its stabilizer.  $\square$

## 8. $G$ -CROSSED BRAIDINGS ON COMPLEX/COMPLEX TAMBARA-YAMAGAMI CATEGORIES

In this section we analyze possible braidings in the complex/complex case, where the endomorphism algebra of every simple object is isomorphic to the complex numbers. The argument at the beginning of section 4 of [PSS23] shows that we need only focus on the case when  $m$  is the only Galois nontrivial simple object, otherwise the classification theorems in the previous section remain valid (as the category under consideration is in fact fusion over the complex numbers).

The following lemma is initially disheartening:

**Lemma 8.1.** There are no braidings on any complex/complex Tambara-Yamagami category where  $m$  is the only Galois nontrivial simple object.

*Proof.* Let  $a$  be a Galois trivial simple object (such as the monoidal unit). By naturality of the braiding and Galois nontriviality of  $m$ , we have

$$i c_{a,m} = \begin{array}{c} \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \text{red} \quad \text{black} \\ \text{blue } i \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \text{black} \quad \text{red} \\ \text{blue } i \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{black} \quad \text{red} \\ \text{blue } i \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{red} \quad \text{black} \\ \text{blue } i \end{array} = -i c_{a,m}$$

which proves that the braiding is zero, a contradiction.  $\square$



In light of this lemma, we expand our focus to  $G$ -crossed braidings.  $G$ -crossed braidings are generalizations of braidings (indeed, a  $G$ -crossed braiding for  $G$  trivial is a braiding) which play an important role in extension theory ([ENO10]) and also appear in physics [Kir02; BBCW19].  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -crossed braidings on the split complex Tambara-Yamagami categories were studied in [Edi22; Gal22]. The former article used techniques similar to the ones we employ here, whereas the latter article primarily leveraged extension theory. We begin with [EGNO15, Definition 8.24.1]:

**Definition 8.2.** A braided  $G$ -crossed fusion category is a fusion category  $\mathcal{C}$  equipped with the following structures:

- (1) a (not necessarily faithful) grading  $\mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \mathcal{C}_g$ ,
- (2) an action  $(T_\bullet, \gamma): G \rightarrow \text{Aut}_\otimes(\mathcal{C})$  such that  $T_g(\mathcal{C}_h) \subset \mathcal{C}_{ghg^{-1}}$ ,
- (3) a natural collection of isomorphisms, called the  $G$ -braiding:

$$c_{a,b}: a \otimes b \simeq T_g(b) \otimes a, \quad a \in \mathcal{C}_g, g \in G, \text{ and } b \in \mathcal{C}.$$

Let  $\mu_g$  be the tensor structure of the monoidal functor  $T_g$ . Then the above structures are required to satisfy the following three axioms. First, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 T_g(x) \otimes T_g(y) & \xrightarrow{c_{T_g(x), T_g(y)}} & T_{ghg^{-1}}(T_g(y) \otimes T_g(x)) \\
 (\mu_g)_{x,y}^{-1} \uparrow & & \downarrow (\gamma_{ghg^{-1}, g})_y \otimes \text{id}_{T_g(x)} \\
 T_g(x \otimes y) & & T_{gh}(y) \otimes T_g(x) \\
 T_g(c_{x,y}) \downarrow & & \uparrow (\gamma_{g,h})_y \otimes \text{id}_{T_g(x)} \\
 T_g(T_h(y) \otimes x) & \xrightarrow{(\mu_g)_{T_g(y), x}^{-1}} & T_g(T_h(y)) \otimes T_g(x)
 \end{array} \tag{71}$$

commutes for all  $g, h \in G$  and  $x \in \mathcal{C}_h, y \in \mathcal{C}$ .

Second, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & (x \otimes y) \otimes z & \\
 \alpha_{x,y,z} \swarrow & & \searrow c_{x,y} \otimes \text{id}_z \\
 x \otimes (y \otimes z) & & (T_g(y) \otimes x) \otimes z \\
 c_{x,y} \otimes z \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha_{T_g(y), x, z} \\
 T_g(y \otimes z) \otimes x & & T_g(y) \otimes (x \otimes z) \\
 (\mu_g)_{y,z}^{-1} \otimes \text{id}_x \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{id}_{T_g(y)} \otimes c_{x,z} \\
 (T_g(y) \otimes T_g(z)) \otimes x & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{T_g(y), T_g(z), x}} & T_g(y) \otimes (T_g(z) \otimes x)
 \end{array} \tag{72}$$

commutes for all  $g \in G, x \in \mathcal{C}_g$  and  $y, z \in \mathcal{C}$ .

Finally, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & x \otimes (y \otimes z) \\
 & \nearrow^{\alpha_{x,y,z}} & \\
 (x \otimes y) \otimes z & & \\
 \uparrow c_{x \otimes y, z}^{-1} & & \\
 T_g h(z) \otimes (x \otimes y) & & x \otimes (T_h(z) \otimes y) \\
 \uparrow (\gamma_{g,h})_z \otimes \text{id}_{x \otimes y} & & \downarrow \alpha_{x, T_h(z), y}^{-1} \\
 T_g T_h(z) \otimes (x \otimes y) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{T_g T_h(z), x, y}^{-1}} & (x \otimes T_h(z)) \otimes y \\
 & & \downarrow c_{x, T_h(z)} \otimes \text{id}_y \\
 & & (T_g T_h(z) \otimes x) \otimes y
 \end{array} \tag{73}$$

commutes for all  $g, h \in G$  and  $x \in \mathcal{C}_g, y \in \mathcal{C}_h$  and  $z \in \mathcal{C}$ .

The first axiom gives the compatibility between  $T_g(c_{x,y})$  and  $c_{T_g x, T_g y}$ . The latter two axioms generalize the familiar hexagon axioms by adding an additional coherence, but have the same graphical interpretation.

Note that if we assume the  $G$ -grading on  $\mathcal{C}_{\overline{\mathbb{C}}}(A, \chi)$  is faithful, then it can be proved immediately from the fusion rules that  $G \leq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ , and there is a unique grading when  $G = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ . A  $G$ -crossed braiding for  $G$  trivial is equivalent to a braiding. Since  $\mathcal{C}_{\overline{\mathbb{C}}}(A, \chi)$  does not admit a braiding by Lemma 8.1, when classifying faithfully graded  $G$ -crossed braidings on  $\mathcal{C}_{\overline{\mathbb{C}}}(A, \chi)$  we may assume  $G$  is  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  and the grading (1) in Definition 8.2 is the Galois grading. Without loss of generality, we further assume  $\mathcal{C}_{\overline{\mathbb{C}}}(A, \chi)$  is *skeletal*, i.e isomorphism classes are all singletons and the scaling coefficient  $\tau$  is positive.

Before seriously involving the braiding coherences, we will constrain possible actions. We first analyze  $T_1$  using Theorem 7.1 of [PSS23].

**Proposition 8.3.** The functor  $T_1$ :

- Coincides on invertible simple objects with some order 2 group automorphism  $f$  of  $A$ , and fixes  $m$ .
- For a simple object  $X$ , the map

$$\epsilon_X : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \text{End}(X) \rightarrow \text{End}(T_1 X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

is always either the identity or complex conjugation, and is the same for every simple. We write  $\epsilon$  for this map.

- Satisfies

$$\chi(f(a), f(b)) = \epsilon(\chi(a, b))$$

**Definition 8.4.** An endofunctor of  $\mathcal{C}_{\overline{\mathbb{C}}}(A, \chi)$  is called *conjugating* if  $\epsilon$  is conjugation.

**Lemma 8.5.** If  $T_\bullet$  underlies a  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -crossed braiding, then  $T_1$  is conjugating.

*Proof.* This proof follows the same reasoning as Lemma 8.1. Let  $a$  be a Galois trivial simple object (such as the monoidal unit). By naturality of the braiding and Galois

nontriviality of  $m$ , we have

$$\epsilon(i)c_{m,a} = \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \diagup \text{---} \\ \diagdown \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ m \quad a \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \diagup \text{---} \\ \diagdown \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ m \quad a \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \diagup \text{---} \\ \diagdown \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ m \quad a \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \diagup \text{---} \\ \diagdown \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ m \quad a \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \diagup \text{---} \\ \diagdown \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ m \quad a \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \diagup \text{---} \\ \diagdown \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ m \quad a \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \diagup \text{---} \\ \diagdown \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ m \quad a \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \diagup \text{---} \\ \diagdown \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ m \quad a \end{array} = \bar{i}c_{m,a}.$$

Therefore  $\epsilon(i) = \bar{i}$ .  $\square$

We are thus justified in thinking of  $T$  as the Galois action of  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  on  $\mathcal{C}_{\overline{\mathbb{C}}}(A, \chi)$ , twisted by some automorphism of  $A$ . This automorphism is in fact trivial:

**Proposition 8.6.** Let  $\mathcal{C}_{\overline{\mathbb{C}}}(A, \chi)$  be a complex/complex Tambara-Yamagami category. Suppose  $\mathcal{C}_{\overline{\mathbb{C}}}(A, \chi)$  admits a conjugating monoidal endofunctor  $(T, J)$  whose underlying group homomorphism  $f$  is an involution. Then:

- $T$  fixes all objects (i.e  $f$  is the identity),
- $\chi$  is real valued,
- and  $A \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n$ .

*Proof.* We begin by examining the hexagon axiom for  $T$ , at  $a, m, c$  where  $a$  and  $c$  are invertible. The diagram is (using  $Tm = m$ ):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (T(a) \otimes m) \otimes T(c) & \xrightarrow{\chi^{(T(a), T(c)) \cdot \text{id}_m}} & T(a) \otimes (m \otimes T(c)) \\ \downarrow J_{a,m} \otimes 1 & & \downarrow 1 \otimes J_{m,c} \\ T(a \otimes m) \otimes T(c) & & T(a) \otimes T(m \otimes c) \\ \downarrow J_{a \otimes m, c} & & \downarrow J_{a, m \otimes c} \\ T((a \otimes m) \otimes c) & \xrightarrow{\overline{\chi(a,c)} \cdot \text{id}_m} & T(a \otimes (m \otimes c)) \end{array} \quad (74)$$

Since  $a$  is Galois trivial and  $a \otimes m = m = m \otimes c$ , the vertical legs of the diagram are multiplication by the same scalar in  $\text{End}(m)$ , and so

$$\chi(T(a), T(c)) = \overline{\chi(a, c)} \quad (75)$$

We then consider two cases to show that  $T$  acts by inversion, i.e  $cT(c) = 1$  for all  $c$ .

- Suppose  $T$  has a nontrivial fixed point  $a$ . Then for all  $c$ , we have

$$1 = \chi(a, c)\chi(a, T(c)) = \chi(a, cT(c))$$

Since  $a$  is not the identity, non-degeneracy of  $\chi$  gives  $cT(c) = 1$ .

- Suppose  $T$  has no nontrivial fixed points, and let  $c \in A$ . Then  $T(cT(c)) = cT(c)$  since  $T$  is an involution and  $A$  is abelian. Since  $cT(c)$  is fixed, it must be the identity.

Since  $\chi$  is a skew-symmetric bicharacter, we can use equation (75) to manipulate

$$\chi(a, c) = \chi(a^{-1}, c^{-1}) = \chi(T(a), T(c)) = \overline{\chi(a, c)} = \chi(c, a).$$

Thus  $\chi$  is symmetric, skew symmetric, and real valued. Consequently  $A \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n$  by non-degeneracy and we conclude  $T$  fixes all objects.  $\square$

**Lemma 8.7.** Let  $\chi$  be a real valued, nondegenerate bicharacter on  $A$ . Then isomorphism classes of monoidal autoequivalences of  $\mathcal{C}_{\overline{\mathbb{C}}}(A, \chi)$  are determined by

- An element  $f$  of  $\text{Aut}(A, \chi)$ ,
- An element  $\xi$  of  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R})$ ,
- A sign  $\kappa \in \{\pm 1\}$ .

As a consequence,

$$\pi_0 \text{Aut}_{\otimes}(\mathcal{C}_{\overline{\mathbb{C}}}(A, \chi)) \cong \text{Aut}(A, \chi) \times K_4.$$

*Proof.* We begin by constructing some chosen representatives of each equivalence class. Given  $(f, \xi, \kappa)$  as above, let  $F(f, \xi, \kappa)$  be the monoidal functor which

- fixes  $m$ , and acts on grouplikes by  $f$ ,
- applies  $\xi$  on endomorphism algebras of simple objects,
- has  $J_{a,b}$ ,  $J_{a,m}$ , and  $J_{m,a}$  the appropriate identity morphism,
- has  $J_{m,m} = \kappa \cdot \text{id}_{m \otimes m}$ .

It is clear that  $F(f, \xi, \kappa)$  is a monoidal functor and that

$$F(f, \xi, \kappa) \circ F(f', \xi', \kappa') = F(f \circ f', \xi\xi', \kappa\kappa').$$

That every monoidal autoequivalence of  $\mathcal{C}_{\overline{\mathbb{C}}}(A, \chi)$  is monoidally isomorphic to some  $F(f, \xi, \kappa)$  follows from the statement and proof of Theorem 7.1 in [PSS23]. Finally, we must show that if  $F(f, \xi, \kappa)$  is monoidally isomorphic to  $F(f', \xi', \kappa')$  then  $f = f'$ ,  $\xi = \xi'$  and  $\kappa = \kappa'$ . That  $f = f'$  and  $\xi = \xi'$  is clear from the underlying natural isomorphism of plain functors, and that  $\kappa = \kappa'$  follows from the monoidality axiom at  $(m, m)$ .  $\square$

We now turn to classifying the braiding. As in the analysis in the un-crossed case, we will employ a fixed set of normal bases and the Yoneda embedding to produce equations. By Lemma 8.5 and Proposition 8.6 we may assume  $T = F(\text{id}, \bar{\cdot}, \kappa)$ . Without loss of generality we may further assume that  $\gamma_{0,0}, \gamma_{1,0}$  and  $\gamma_{0,1}$  have identity components. We denote  $\gamma := \gamma_{1,1}$ . Since  $T$  fixes objects we may define as before the  $\mathbb{C}^\times$  valued functions:

$$\begin{aligned} (c_{a,b}^*)_{ab}([b, a]) &:= \sigma_0(a, b)[a, b] \\ (c_{a,m}^*)_m([m, a]) &:= \sigma_1(a)[a, m] \\ (c_{m,a}^*)_m([a, m]) &:= \sigma_2(a)[m, a] \\ (c_{m,m}^*)_a([a]) &:= \sigma_3(a)[a] \end{aligned}$$

We begin the analysis with the braiding compatibility hexagon (71). When  $g = 1$ , the constraints are trivial as  $T_0$  is the identity monoidal functor and the natural transformations  $\gamma_{1,-}$  and  $\gamma_{-,1}$  have identity components. When  $g = \xi$  we obtain that the  $\sigma_i$  must all be real functions.

We now examine the heptagon equations. The eight unsimplified families of equations arising from the constraint (72) are (using that the  $\sigma_i$  are real to omit conjugations):

$$\sigma_0(a, bc) = \sigma_0(a, b)\sigma_0(a, c) \quad (76)$$

$$\sigma_0(a, b)\sigma_1(a) = \chi(b, a)\sigma_1(a) \quad (77)$$

$$\chi(a, b)\sigma_1(a) = \sigma_1(a)\sigma_0(a, b) \quad (78)$$

$$\sigma_0(a, a^{-1}b) = \chi(b, a)^{-1}\sigma_1(a)\sigma_1(a) \quad (79)$$

$$\sigma_2(ab) = \chi(a, b)\sigma_2(a)\sigma_2(b) \quad (80)$$

$$\chi(b, a)^{-1}\sigma_3(b) = \sigma_2(a)\sigma_3(a^{-1}b) \quad (81)$$

$$\chi(ba, a)^{-1}\sigma_3(ab) = \sigma_3(b)\sigma_2(a) \quad (82)$$

$$\chi(a, b)\sigma_3(a)\sigma_3(b) = \tau\kappa \sum_{c \in A} \chi(c, b)\chi(a, c)\sigma_2(c) \quad (83)$$

The first four equations correspond to  $g = 1$  and the last four to  $g = \xi$ . Next we have the sets of equations arising from the final heptagon axiom (73):

$$\sigma_0(bc, a)^{-1}\sigma_0(c, a)\sigma_0(b, a) = 1 \quad (84)$$

$$\chi(a, b)^{-1}\sigma_1(ab)^{-1}\sigma_1(b)\sigma_1(a) = 1 \quad (85)$$

$$\chi(b, a)^{-1}\sigma_2(a)^{-1}\sigma_2(a)\sigma_0(b, a) = 1 \quad (86)$$

$$\sigma_3(b)^{-1}\sigma_3(a^{-1}b)\sigma_1(a) = \chi(b, a) \quad (87)$$

$$\sigma_2(a)\sigma_0(b, a)\sigma_2(a)^{-1} = \chi(a, b)^{-1} \quad (88)$$

$$\chi(a, b)^{-1}\sigma_3(a)^{-1}\sigma_1(b)\sigma_3(ab^{-1}) = 1 \quad (89)$$

$$\gamma_a\sigma_0(b, a)^{-1}\sigma_2(a)\chi(ba, a)\sigma_2(a) = 1 \quad (90)$$

$$\tau\gamma_m\sigma_3(b) \sum_{c \in A} \chi(a, c)\chi(c, b)\sigma_3(c)\sigma_1(a)^{-1} = \chi(a, b) \quad (91)$$

The first pair arise from  $g = h = 1$ , the second and third pairs are from  $g = 1, h = \xi$  and  $g = \xi, h = 1$  respectively, and the final two are  $g = h = \xi$ . There are two families of constraints left. First,  $\gamma$  must be monoidal, which is equivalent to:

$$\gamma_a = 1 \quad (92)$$

$$|\gamma_m|^2 = 1. \quad (93)$$

Next,  $\gamma = \gamma_{1,1}$  must satisfy the hexagon axiom together with  $\gamma_{0,0}, \gamma_{1,0}$  so that  $T_\bullet$  is a monoidal functor. The constraint is trivially satisfied except at  $(1, 1, 1)$  where the requisite equality is:

$$(\gamma_{1,1})_{Tx} = T((\gamma_{1,1})_x).$$

Since  $T = F(\text{id}, \bar{\cdot}, \kappa)$  fixes objects, we see  $\gamma_{i,j}$  satisfies the hexagon axiom if and only if  $\gamma$  is pointwise real valued.

**Remark 8.8.** Since  $\chi$  is real valued,  $\chi(a, b) = \chi(a, b)^{-1}$ , and the expressions for the associator in the complex / complex case are equivalent to those originally studied by Tambara and Yamagami. As a consequence, the forward (backward) heptagon equations are very similar to the forward (backward) hexagon equations of Siehler. In particular, they are the same after omitting any occurrences of the symbols  $\gamma$  and  $\rho$ .

As a consequence, the algebraic reduction step is only a slight modification to those in the previous sections.

**Lemma 8.9.** The following eight equations, together with the assertions that  $\kappa^2 = 1$  and  $\sigma_3(1)$  is real, are algebraically equivalent to the unsimplified heptagon equations along with the monoidality and coherence equations for  $\gamma$ :

$$\sigma_0(a, b) = \chi(a, b) = \chi(b, a) \quad (94)$$

$$\sigma_1(ab) = \chi(a, b)\sigma_1(a)\sigma_1(b) \quad (95)$$

$$\sigma_1(a)^2 = \chi(a, a) = 1 \quad (96)$$

$$\sigma_3(a) = \sigma_3(1)\sigma_1(a) \quad (97)$$

$$\sigma_1(a) = \sigma_2(a) \quad (98)$$

$$\gamma_a = 1 \quad (99)$$

$$\gamma_m = \kappa \quad (100)$$

$$\kappa\sigma_3(1)^2 = \tau \sum_{a \in G} \sigma_1(a), \quad (101)$$

We are now in a position to prove the first theorem of this section.

**Theorem 8.10.** The complex/complex Tambara-Yamagami categories  $\mathcal{C}_{\overline{\mathbb{C}}}(A, \chi)$  admit faithfully graded  $G$ -crossed braidings only if  $G \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  and  $(A, \chi) \cong (K_4^n, h^n)$ . With our standing assumptions on the monoidal functor  $T_{\bullet}$  and natural transformations  $\gamma_{i,j}$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -crossed braidings are in bijection with pairs  $(\sigma, \epsilon) \in \text{QF}(\chi) \times \{\pm 1\}$ .

*Proof.* The first statement follows immediately from the previous results in this section, Theorem 3.12, and equation (96). As in the previous sections,  $\sigma$  corresponds to  $\sigma_1$  and  $\epsilon$  to the choice of square root needed to define  $\sigma_3(1)$ . The new data is the tensorator  $\kappa$  of  $T$ , but equation (101) shows  $\kappa = \text{sgn}(\sigma)$  since  $\sigma_3(1)$  is real.  $\square$

**Remark 8.11.** In the previous classifications, the space of braidings up to bijection was identified and was discrete. In this case, the data of the monoidal functor  $T$  means the space of  $G$ -crossed braidings (up to bijection) has nontrivial topology despite being homotopy equivalent to a discrete space. Our strictification assumptions essentially perform the referenced homotopy, allowing us to give a bijection from the resulting space.

**Notation 8.12.** Given a pair  $(\sigma, \epsilon) \in \text{QF}(\chi) \times \{\pm 1\}$ , we denote the resulting  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -crossed category by  $\mathcal{C}(\sigma, \epsilon)$ . We will still refer to the monoidal functor  $T$  and the natural transformation  $\gamma$  with the understanding that their data is determined by the pair  $(\sigma, \epsilon)$ .

We now turn to the question of when two  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -crossed braidings on  $\mathcal{C}_{\overline{\mathbb{C}}}(K_4^n, h^n)$  are equivalent. We begin with the definition of a  $G$ -crossed braided equivalence from [Gal17, pp. 6, 16] specialized to our case. A  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -crossed braided equivalence  $\mathcal{C}(\sigma, \epsilon) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\sigma', \epsilon')$  consists of:

- A monoidal autoequivalence  $F := F(f, \xi, \kappa)$  of  $\mathcal{C}_{\overline{\mathbb{C}}}(K_4^n, h^n)$  with its distinguished identity morphism  $\eta^0$ .
- A monoidal natural transformation  $\eta: T'F \rightarrow FT$  such that the diagrams (3.4) and (5.4) of [Gal17] commute.

Note that our conventions for the direction of  $\gamma$  are different than that of [Gal17]. Simplifying the referenced commutative diagrams, the constraints on  $\eta_x$  reduce to

$$\eta_a = 1 \tag{102}$$

$$\kappa' = \kappa \tag{103}$$

$$|\eta_m|^2 = 1 \tag{104}$$

$$\chi(f(a), f(b)) = \chi(a, b) \tag{105}$$

$$\sigma'_1(f(a)) = \sigma_1(a) \tag{106}$$

$$\sigma'_3(f(a))\eta_m = \sigma_3(a) \tag{107}$$

We have used that the structure constants  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3(a)$  are real so that the action of  $\xi$  does not appear. Algebraically reducing these equations, we observe:

**Corollary 8.13.**

- (1) A pair  $(F(f, \xi, \kappa), \eta)$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -crossed braided equivalence  $\mathcal{C}(\sigma, \epsilon) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\sigma', \epsilon')$  if and only if  $f \cdot \sigma = \sigma'$ ,  $\eta_a = 1$  and  $\eta_m = \epsilon\epsilon'$ .
- (2) If  $(F(f, \xi, \kappa), \eta)$  and  $(F(f', \xi', \kappa'), \eta')$  are two equivalences  $\mathcal{C}(\sigma, \epsilon) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\sigma', \epsilon')$ , then  $\eta_x = \eta'_x$  for all  $x$ .
- (3) If  $(F(f, \xi, \kappa), \eta)$  satisfies the consistency equations, then so does  $(F(f, \xi', \kappa'), \eta)$ . This notation is slightly abusive since the two natural transformations labeled  $\eta$  have different (co)domains; we mean they have the same components.

**Theorem 8.14.** The  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -crossed braided categories  $\mathcal{C}(\sigma, \epsilon)$  and  $\mathcal{C}(\sigma', \epsilon')$  are equivalent if and only if  $\text{sgn}(\sigma) = \text{sgn}(\sigma')$ . In particular, when the underlying group of invertible objects is nontrivial, there are exactly two braided equivalence classes, and one otherwise.

*Proof.* The only if follows from the first statement of Corollary 8.13. Conversely if  $\text{sgn}(\sigma) = \text{sgn}(\sigma')$ , then  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma'$  are orbit equivalent by Proposition 3.8, and thus there exists an  $f$  in  $\text{Aut}(A, \chi)$  with  $f \cdot \sigma = \sigma'$ . Corollary 8.13 implies there exists a unique  $\eta$  such that  $(F(f, 1, 1), \eta)$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -crossed braided equivalence  $\mathcal{C}(\sigma, \epsilon) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\sigma', \epsilon')$ .  $\square$

**Definition 8.15.** [Gal17, p. 6] A *strong equivalence*  $\mathcal{C}(\sigma, \epsilon) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\sigma', \epsilon')$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -crossed braided equivalence of the form  $(\text{id}_{\mathcal{C}_{\overline{\mathbb{C}}}(K_4^n, h^n)}, \eta)$ .

**Corollary 8.16.** There is a unique strong equivalence  $\mathcal{C}(\sigma, \epsilon) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\sigma', \epsilon')$  if and only if  $\sigma = \sigma'$ .

**Lemma 8.17.**  $K$ -crossed braided natural transformations  $(F, \eta) \rightarrow (F', \eta')$  are in bijection with real-valued monoidal natural transformations  $F \rightarrow F'$ .

*Proof.* The second part of Corollary 8.13 shows that  $\eta$  and  $\eta'$  have the same components, so that the diagram defining a  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -crossed braided natural transformation [Gal17, Diagram 3.5]  $\lambda$  becomes simply  $\lambda_x = \overline{\lambda_x}$  by Lemma 8.5.  $\square$

Combining Lemma 8.7 and Corollary 8.13 we have (in the notation of §3):

**Corollary 8.18.**

$$\pi_0 \text{Aut}_{\text{br}}(\mathcal{C}(\sigma, \epsilon)) \cong H_{\text{sgn}(\sigma)} \times K_4,$$



## REFERENCES

- [BBCW19] Maissam Barkeshli, Parsa Bonderson, Meng Cheng, and Zhenghan Wang. “Symmetry Fractionalization, Defects, and Gauging of Topological Phases”. In: *PHYSICAL REVIEW B* 100 (2019). [arXiv:1410.4540](https://arxiv.org/abs/1410.4540) DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.100.115147](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.100.115147), p. 115147.
- [DGNO10] Vladimir Drinfeld, Shlomo Gelaki, Dmitri Nikshych, and Victor Ostrik. “On braided fusion categories. I”. In: *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* 16.1 (2010), pp. 1–119. DOI: [10.1007/s00029-010-0017-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00029-010-0017-z).
- [Edi22] Cain Edie-Michell. “Auto-equivalences of the modular tensor categories of type A, B, C and G”. In: *Advances in Mathematics* 402 (2022), p. 108364. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2022.108364>.
- [EG12] Pavel Etingof and Shlomo Gelaki. “Descent and forms of tensor categories”. In: *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* 13 (2012), pp. 3040–3063. DOI: [10.1093/imrn/rnr119](https://doi.org/10.1093/imrn/rnr119).
- [EGNO15] Pavel Etingof, Shlomo Gelaki, Dmitri Nikshych, and Victor Ostrik. *Tensor categories*. Vol. 205. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs. MR3242743 DOI: [10.1090/surv/205](https://doi.org/10.1090/surv/205). American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2015, pp. xvi+343.
- [ENO10] Pavel Etingof, Dmitri Nikshych, and Victor Ostrik. “Fusion categories and homotopy theory”. In: *Quantum Topol.* 1.3 (2010). With an appendix by Ehud Meir, 2677836 DOI: [10.4171/QT/6](https://doi.org/10.4171/QT/6) [arXiv:0909.3140](https://arxiv.org/abs/0909.3140), pp. 209–273. DOI: [10.4171/QT/6](https://doi.org/10.4171/QT/6).
- [Gal17] César Galindo. “Coherence for monoidal G-categories and braided G-crossed categories”. In: *Journal of Algebra* 487 (2017), pp. 118–137. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2017.05.027>.
- [Gal22] César Galindo. “Trivializing group actions on braided crossed tensor categories and graded braided tensor categories”. In: *Journal of the Mathematical Society of Japan* 74.3 (July 2022). DOI: [10.2969/jmsj/85768576](https://doi.org/10.2969/jmsj/85768576).
- [GLM24] César Galindo, Simon Lentner, and Sven Möller. *Modular  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -Crossed Tambara-Yamagami-like Categories for Even Groups*. 2024. [arXiv: 2411.12251](https://arxiv.org/abs/2411.12251) [math.QA].
- [Inc24] OEIS Foundation Inc. *The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences*. Published electronically at <http://oeis.org>. 2024.
- [IT21] Masaki Izumi and Henry Tucker. “Algebraic realization of noncommutative near-group fusion categories”. In: *Algebra & Number Theory* 15.5 (June 2021), pp. 1077–1093. DOI: [10.2140/ant.2021.15.1077](https://doi.org/10.2140/ant.2021.15.1077).
- [Jac09] N. Jacobson. *Basic Algebra I: Second Edition*. Basic Algebra. Dover Publications, 2009.
- [JS93] André Joyal and Ross Street. “Braided tensor categories”. In: *Adv. Math.* 102.1 (1993), pp. 20–78. DOI: [10.1006/aima.1993.1055](https://doi.org/10.1006/aima.1993.1055).
- [Kir02] Alexander Kirillov Jr. “Modular categories and orbifold models”. In: *Comm. Math. Phys.* 229.2 (2002). MR1923177, pp. 309–335. DOI: [10.1007/s002200200650](https://doi.org/10.1007/s002200200650).

- [Mir84] Rick Miranda. “Nondegenerate symmetric bilinear forms on finite abelian 2-groups”. In: *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 284.2 (1984), pp. 535–542. DOI: [10.2307/1999094](https://doi.org/10.2307/1999094).
- [Nik07] Dmitri Nikshych. “Non-group-theoretical semisimple Hopf algebras from group actions on fusion categories”. In: *Selecta Mathematica* 14 (2007), pp. 145–161.
- [PSS23] Julia Plavnik, Sean Sanford, and Dalton Sconce. *Tambara-Yamagami Categories over the Reals: The Non-Split Case*. 2023. arXiv: [2303.17843](https://arxiv.org/abs/2303.17843) [math.QA].
- [San25] Sean Sanford. “Fusion categories over non-algebraically closed fields”. In: *J. Algebra* 663 (2025), pp. 316–351. DOI: [10.1016/j.jalgebra.2024.09.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2024.09.010).
- [Sch23] Andrew Schopieray. “Nondegenerate extensions of near-group braided fusion categories”. In: *Revista de la Unión Matemática Argentina* (July 2023), pp. 413–438. DOI: [10.33044/revuma.2866](https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.2866).
- [Sie00] Jacob A. Siehler. *Braided Near-group Categories*. 2000. arXiv: [math/0011037](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0011037) [math.QA].
- [Tam00] D. Tambara. “Representations of tensor categories with fusion rules of self-duality for abelian groups”. In: *Israel Journal of Mathematics* 118.1 (2000), pp. 29–60. DOI: [10.1007/BF02803515](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02803515).
- [TY98] Daisuke Tambara and Shigeru Yamagami. “Tensor categories with fusion rules of self-duality for finite abelian groups”. In: *Journal of Algebra* 209.2 (1998), pp. 692–707. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1006/jabr.1998.7558>.
- [Wal63] C. T. C. Wall. “Quadratic forms on finite groups, and related topics”. In: *Topology* 2 (1963), pp. 281–298. DOI: [10.1016/0040-9383\(63\)90012-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-9383(63)90012-0).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY  
Email address: [green.2116@osu.edu](mailto:green.2116@osu.edu)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY  
Email address: [yjiang70@jhu.edu](mailto:yjiang70@jhu.edu)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY  
Email address: [sanford.189@osu.edu](mailto:sanford.189@osu.edu)