

A 6-functor formalism for solid quasi-coherent sheaves on the Fargues–Fontaine curve

Johannes Anschütz Arthur-César Le Bras Lucas Mann

December 31, 2024

We develop a 6-functor formalism $\mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}(-)$ with \mathbb{Z}_p -linear coefficients on small v -stacks, and discuss consequences for duality and finiteness for pro-étale cohomology of rigid-analytic varieties of general pro-étale \mathbb{Q}_p -local systems as well as first examples motivated by a potential p -adic analog of Fargues–Scholze’s geometrization program of the local Langlands correspondence.

Contents

1. Introduction	2
1.1. Pro-étale cohomology of rigid-analytic varieties: finiteness and duality	2
1.2. Main results	5
2. Supplements on $\mathcal{D}_{\hat{\Delta}}(-)$	9
2.1. Definition of $\mathcal{D}_{\hat{\Delta}}(-)$ for adic rings	9
2.2. Definition of $\mathcal{D}_{\hat{\Delta}}(-)$ for stably uniform analytic adic spaces	10
2.3. Boundedness conditions	11
3. A 6-functor formalism for \mathcal{O}^+-cohomology on untilted small v-stacks	13
3.1. Definition of $\mathcal{D}_{\hat{\Delta}}^a(-)$ for untilted small v -stacks	13
3.2. Six functors for $\mathcal{D}_{\hat{\Delta}}^a(-)$	16
3.3. Cohomological smoothness and cohomological properness	20
4. The 6-functor formalism $\mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}(-)$	22
4.1. Fargues–Fontaine curves	22
4.2. v -descent for $\mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}(-)$	24
4.3. Six functors for $\mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}(-)$	27
4.4. Geometric examples of cohomologically smooth morphisms	31
4.5. The case of $\mathrm{Spd}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$	36
5. Quasi-coherent sheaves on Fargues–Fontaine curves and categories of \mathbb{Z}_p- and \mathbb{Q}_p-sheaves	40
5.1. The Riemann–Hilbert functors	41
5.2. Supplements on the primitive comparison theorem	44
5.3. Relation to v -sheaves: the functor σ	48
5.4. Relation to v -sheaves: the \mathbb{Q}_p -case	51
5.5. Relation to v -sheaves: the mod p -case	59
6. Applications and examples	62
6.1. Around excision	62

6.2. Pro-étale cohomology of smooth rigid spaces	64
6.3. Examples coming from geometric local Langlands	69

A. Appendix: Supplements on R-linear 6-functor formalisms	79
---	-----------

References	85
-------------------	-----------

1. Introduction

1.1. Pro-étale cohomology of rigid-analytic varieties: finiteness and duality

Let p be a prime and let C be a complete algebraically closed non-archimedean extension of \mathbb{Q}_p . Let X be a smooth rigid space over C . One main object of interest of this paper is the pro-étale cohomology of X with coefficients the “constant” sheaf \mathbb{Q}_p^1 or more generally a finite rank pro-étale \mathbb{Q}_p -local system \mathbb{L} on X . When X is also assumed to be proper and of pure dimension d , the cohomology groups $H^i(X_{\text{proet}}, \mathbb{Q}_p)$, $i \geq 0$, are finite dimensional \mathbb{Q}_p -vector spaces and one has for all $i \geq 0$ a perfect pairing

$$H^i(X_{\text{proet}}, \mathbb{Q}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} H^{2d-i}(X_{\text{proet}}, \mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p(-d).$$

Indeed, in this case,

$$R\Gamma(X_{\text{proet}}, \mathbb{Q}_p) = \left(\varprojlim_n R\Gamma(X_{\text{proet}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^n) \right) [1/p]$$

and so the statement follows from the results of Gabber-Zavalyov and Mann ([54], [38]). The same arguments apply when \mathbb{Q}_p is replaced by any pro-étale \mathbb{Q}_p -local system \mathbb{L} of the form $\mathbb{L} = \mathbb{L}_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p$, with \mathbb{L}_0 a finite rank pro-étale \mathbb{Z}_p -local system.

However, these nice properties break down completely when one removes the assumption that X is proper or the assumption that the pro-étale \mathbb{Q}_p -local system \mathbb{L} admits a stable lattice, as illustrated by the following two examples.

Example 1.1.1. Let $X = \mathbb{A}_C^1$ be the analytic affine line over $\text{Spa}(C)$. The cohomology groups $H^i(X_{\text{proet}}, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ can be computed explicitly ([31, Théorème 1.5], [17, Theorem 1]). They are zero in degrees $i \geq 2$, and

$$H^0(X_{\text{proet}}, \mathbb{Q}_p) = \mathbb{Q}_p, \quad H^1(X_{\text{proet}}, \mathbb{Q}_p) = \mathcal{O}(X)/C(-1).$$

Since X is Stein, one can also make a natural guess for what compactly supported pro-étale \mathbb{Q}_p -cohomology is. Set:

$$R\Gamma_c(X_{\text{proet}}, \mathbb{Q}_p) := \text{colim}_U \text{fib}(R\Gamma(X_{\text{proet}}, \mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow R\Gamma((X \setminus \overline{U})_{\text{proet}}, \mathbb{Q}_p)),$$

where the colimit runs over quasi-compact open subspaces U of X . Moreover, one can again compute the cohomology groups $H_c^i(X_{\text{proet}}, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ in this case ([13, Example A.2]). They vanish for $i \neq 2$ and

$$H_c^2(X_{\text{proet}}, \mathbb{Q}_p) = \mathbb{Q}_p(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_C^1, \infty}(-1).$$

This example shows that pro-étale \mathbb{Q}_p -cohomology is much bigger than pro-étale \mathbb{Z}_p -cohomology (which vanishes in positives degrees for the affine line). The shape of the cohomology groups with and without support also does not seem compatible with the existence of a perfect duality pairing between them (in one case, we see cohomology in two degrees, but only in one degree in the other case).

¹This sheaf sends $S \in X_{\text{proet}}$ to $C(|S|, \mathbb{Q}_p)$. It would be more appropriate to denote it $\underline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ rather than \mathbb{Q}_p , but we will not do so, to keep the notation easy on the eye.

Example 1.1.2. Let $X = \mathbb{P}_C^1$ be the projective line over $\mathrm{Spa}(C)$. In this example (that we learnt from David Hansen) we will, to avoid confusion, ignore Tate twists and reserve the notation $(-)$ for twists by the usual ample line bundle on X . The Gross-Hopkins period map from the infinite-level Lubin-Tate space of a height 2 and dimension 1 connected p -divisible group H over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ is a pro-étale $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -torsor over X , along which we can descend the rank 2 trivial local system, equipped with the standard action of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, to a rank 2 pro-étale \mathbb{Q}_p -local system \mathbb{L} on X . We sketch the computation of its cohomology. The local system \mathbb{L} lives in a short exact sequence of abelian sheaves on X_{proet} :

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}^{\varphi^2=p} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X(1) \rightarrow 0,$$

where \mathbb{B} denotes the period sheaf from [31, Définition 8.2] and $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X$ the completed structure sheaf on X_{proet} (the existence of this exact sequence is essentially a rephrasing of the étale-crystalline comparison between the Tate module and the Dieudonné crystal of the universal p -divisible group). The cohomology groups of the middle and right terms can be explicitly computed. For the middle term, an application of Scholze’s primitive comparison theorem ([47, Theorem 1.3]) implies that

$$R\Gamma(X_{\mathrm{proet}}, \mathbb{B}^{\varphi^2=p}) = R\Gamma(X_{\mathrm{proet}}, \mathbb{Q}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} B^{\varphi^2=p}$$

while for the right term one can use Scholze’s computation $R^i \nu_* \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X = \Omega_{X/C}^i$, $i \geq 0$. One deduces from this that $H^i(X_{\mathrm{proet}}, \mathbb{L})$ vanishes in degrees $i \neq 1, 2$ and that

$$H^1(X_{\mathrm{proet}}, \mathbb{L}) \cong C^2/B^{\varphi^2=p} \quad ; \quad H^2(X_{\mathrm{proet}}, \mathbb{L}) \cong B^{\varphi^2=p}.$$

This example shows that the cohomology of a finite rank pro-étale \mathbb{Q}_p -local system can be highly infinite-dimensional over \mathbb{Q}_p , even for a smooth proper rigid variety. It also illustrates, like the previous example was already, the fact that (compactly supported) pro-étale cohomology is not invariant by change of the complete algebraically closed base field C , hence does not satisfy base change.

However, contemplating these at first confusing examples reveals some interesting features. While both of them deal with the cohomology of pro-étale local systems, the computations show that the cohomology groups appearing have some “coherent” flavor. This in particular leads to contributions which are most naturally seen as C -vector spaces rather than \mathbb{Q}_p -vector spaces. Thinking of these cohomology groups as a mixture of \mathbb{Q}_p -vector spaces and C -vector spaces restores some patterns. In the first example, one observes that the two copies of \mathbb{Q}_p appearing match with each other, while the two other pieces could correspond to each other by C -linear duality, resembling Grothendieck-Serre duality. In the second example, some finiteness does hold, as long as one allows finite-dimensional C -vector spaces in addition to \mathbb{Q}_p -vector spaces: indeed, $B^{\varphi^2=p}$ is an extension of C by \mathbb{Q}_p^2 .

Such combinations of finite-dimensional \mathbb{Q}_p -vector spaces and C -vector spaces (informally speaking) are not unfamiliar in p -adic Hodge theory: they are the C -points of Banach-Colmez spaces. What makes the latter ubiquitous is their close relation to the fundamental geometric object of p -adic Hodge theory, the Fargues–Fontaine curve: Banach-Colmez spaces are (relative) cohomology groups of coherent sheaves on the Fargues–Fontaine curve. The sheaf \mathbb{Q}_p is the Banach-Colmez space associated to the structure sheaf on the Fargues–Fontaine curve, while the sheaf $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}$ is the Banach-Colmez space of the skyscraper sheaf at the closed point of the curve corresponding to the tilt C^\flat of C . From these two, one cooks up other Banach-Colmez spaces and the computation from the second example, more specifically the exact sequence used in it, comes from a simple short exact sequence of coherent sheaves on

the (relative) Fargues–Fontaine curve. The same can be said of the first example, where the cohomology can be computed by using another exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p(1) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}^{\varphi=p} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X \rightarrow 0$$

(the “fundamental exact sequence” of p -adic Hodge theory), which also has a simple description in terms of the Fargues–Fontaine curve.

The Fargues–Fontaine curve therefore appears in disguise in both situations. It also suggests an explanation for them. A Banach–Colmez space, infinite-dimensional as it may look, comes from a *coherent* sheaf on the Fargues–Fontaine curve, i.e. a “finite type” object. Also, the (derived) linear dual of the structure sheaf is itself, while the (derived) linear dual of a skyscraper sheaf is itself put in cohomological degree 1, displaying a degree shift similar to what happens in the first example².

Finally, the first example also shows that one needs to remember some topological structure on the cohomology groups: if one wants the C -linear duality mentioned above to function, one has to understand it in some suitable topological sense.

This paper pushes all these observations to their natural end, leading to a very general framework in which these two examples do not appear as pathological. Roughly speaking, we upgrade everything to solid quasi-coherent sheaves on relative Fargues–Fontaine curves and propose to formulate and prove all statements at this level. The previous examples hopefully provide justification for this change of perspective. It is also sensible from a more abstract point of view: according to Scholze’s vision on global shtukas and cohomology ([49]), p -adic cohomology of a space X should be viewed as quasi-coherent cohomology on a space “ $X \times \mathrm{Spf}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ ”, where the product is taken over a (heuristic) deeper base. If $X = \mathrm{Spa}(R, R^+)$ is a perfectoid space of characteristic p , then a convincing candidate of the hypothetical space “ $X \times \mathrm{Spf}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ ” is the space

$$\mathcal{Y}_{[0,\infty),X} := \mathrm{Spa}(W(R^+)) \setminus V([\pi]),$$

where $W(-)$ denotes the p -typical Witt vectors and $\pi \in R$ a pseudo-uniformizer. Moreover, solid mathematics is the natural language to deal with quasi-coherent sheaves on analytic spaces and their six operations.

Remark 1.1.3. The phenomena discussed above are reminiscent of what happens for flat cohomology of μ_p on schemes over a perfect field k of characteristic p , where one sees both \mathbb{F}_p -vector spaces and k -vector spaces in the cohomology. Milne has shown that Poincaré duality works well in this setup, if one upgrades this cohomology to a functor valued in the derived category of perfect unipotent group schemes rather than mere \mathbb{F}_p -vector spaces. This perspective has been revisited and generalized to syntomic cohomology of characteristic p schemes or p -adic formal schemes in the recent work of Bhatt–Lurie, where they phrase everything as coherent duality on a certain formal stack X^{syn} , called the syntomification of X , attached to the (formal) scheme X . Our approach is very parallel, replacing the syntomification by the relative Fargues–Fontaine curve and perfect unipotent group schemes by Banach–Colmez spaces.

Remark 1.1.4. Besides understanding in a conceptual manner the properties of pro-étale \mathbb{Q}_p -cohomology of rigid spaces, another important motivation for this work was the desire to find a suitable category of coefficients for a p -adic version of Fargues–Scholze. See Remark 1.2.7 below.

²If one takes into account the Galois equivariant structure, a Tate twist by -1 also occurs in the latter case, explaining as well the Tate twists in the first example.

1.2. Main results

We now explain the main results proved in this paper. Scholze has introduced in [50] the category of small v -stacks as a geometric framework in p -adic geometry, and most notably he has developed a powerful 6-functor formalism on them for ℓ -adic coefficients for a prime $\ell \neq p$, which is based on étale cohomology. We provide a p -adic analogue.

Theorem 1.2.1. *There exists a 6-functor formalism $S \mapsto \mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}(S)$ on the category $v\text{Stack}$ of small v -stacks with the following properties:*

- (i) *The implicit class of distinguished morphisms is given by the $!$ -able maps in the sense of Definition 4.3.6.*
- (ii) *The underlying functor $v\text{Stack}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Pr}^L$, $S \mapsto \mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}(S)$ satisfies hypercomplete v -descent.*
- (iii) *If $Y = S$ is a perfectoid space which admits a morphism of finite $\dim.\text{trg}$ to a totally disconnected perfectoid space, then $\mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}(S) \cong \mathcal{D}_{\hat{\square}}(\mathcal{Y}_{[0,\infty),S})$ is the category of (modified in the sense of Definition 2.2.1) solid quasi-coherent sheaves on the stably uniform analytic adic space $\mathcal{Y}_{[0,\infty),S}$.*
- (iv) *If $f: X' \rightarrow X$ is a smooth morphism of analytic adic spaces over \mathbb{Q}_p , then f^* is $\mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}$ -smooth, with explicit dualizing complex.*
- (v) *The morphism $\text{Spd}(\mathbb{Q}_p)/\varphi^{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \text{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is cohomologically smooth and proper, with explicit dualizing complex.*

The 6-functor formalism $\mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}(-)$ is constructed in Theorem 4.3.3, which also proves (i), (ii) and (iii). Part (iv) is proved in Theorem 4.4.4 and Theorem 4.4.6. Part (v) is proved in Theorem 4.5.1 and Corollary 4.5.2.

Remark 1.2.2. Similarly, there exists a six-functor formalism $S \mapsto \mathcal{D}_{(0,\infty)}(S)$ in which $\mathcal{Y}_{[0,\infty),S}$ gets replaced by $\mathcal{Y}_{(0,\infty),S}$. Its existence and properties follow formally from the statements for $\mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}(-)$.

In words: the category of (modified) solid quasi-coherent sheaves on $\mathcal{Y}_{[0,\infty),S}$ considered on (a nice enough class of) perfectoid spaces satisfies strong descent properties, allowing to define it for any small v -stack, and comes equipped with a full 6-functor formalism. In [4], the first and third authors have established strong descent results for (modified) solid quasi-coherent sheaves on perfectoid spaces and we rely critically on these results. More precisely, rather formal arguments in Appendix A and Section 4.2 reduce the assertion to the construction of a suitable 6-functor formalism

$$S \mapsto \text{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}_p^{\text{cycl}}}(\mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}(S)) \quad \text{if } S \text{ is nice} \quad \mathcal{D}_{\hat{\square}}(\mathcal{Y}_{[0,\infty),S} \times_{\text{Spa}(\mathbb{Z}_p)} \text{Spa}(\mathbb{Z}_p^{\text{cycl}}))$$

using Lemma 4.2.1. Now, if S is a perfectoid space of characteristic p , then $\mathcal{Y}_{[0,\infty),S} \times_{\text{Spa}(\mathbb{Z}_p)} \text{Spa}(\mathbb{Z}_p^{\text{cycl}})$ is a perfectoid space over \mathbb{Z}_p . As $\mathcal{D}_{\hat{\square}}(-)$ is referring here to (a slight modification of) solid quasi-coherent sheaves, it suffices to construct a 6-functor formalism for solid quasi-coherent sheaves on arbitrary perfectoid spaces or small v -stacks over $\text{Spd}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ (we call small v -stacks with a morphism to $\text{Spd}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ *untilted small v -stacks*). In fact, we develop a stronger 6-functor formalism for solid quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}^{+a} -cohomology on untilded small v -stacks in Section 3.2, where \mathcal{O} is the untilded structure sheaf. We note that our formalism extends the one in [38], but in fact it relies on it as we commonly reduce statements for \mathcal{O}^{+a} to statements for \mathcal{O}^{+a}/π .

³At least in this introduction, we won't distinguish in notation between a morphism of adic spaces and the associated morphism of diamonds.

Remark 1.2.3. As made visible by the statement of Theorem 1.2.1, we make heavy use of higher categories, higher algebra and condensed mathematics. This is unavoidable if one wants to formulate the results in their natural generality. We refer to the introduction of [38] for an introduction to this circle of ideas and the advantages and necessity to use this machinery for the kind of questions studied in this paper.

As consequences of Theorem 1.2.1 and formal properties of 6-functor formalisms, we can derive the following consequences for pro-étale cohomology of rigid-analytic varieties.

Theorem 1.2.4. For $X \in \mathbf{vStack}$, denote $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{FF}}(X, \mathbb{Q}_p) = \mathcal{D}_{(0, \infty)}(X/\varphi^{\mathbb{Z}})$.

(i) For any small v -stack X , the category $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{FF}}(X, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ contains fully faithfully and compatibly with colimits and symmetric monoidal structures, the category of nuclear overconvergent \mathbb{Q}_p -sheaves on X , in the sense of Lemma 5.1.3 (in particular, it contains fully faithfully pro-étale \mathbb{Q}_p -local systems).

(ii) Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of analytic adic spaces over \mathbb{Q}_p . If f is smooth of dimension d , then

$$f^! = f^*(d)[2d]: \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{FF}}(Y, \mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{FF}}(X, \mathbb{Q}_p).$$

(iii) If f is as in the previous point and also assumed to be proper, then

$$f_*: \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{FF}}(X, \mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{FF}}(Y, \mathbb{Q}_p)$$

sends dualizable objects to dualizable objects.

Part (i) is proved in Theorem 5.1.7, part (ii) is a reformulation of Theorem 1.2.1.(iv) and part (iii) is formal in any 6-functor formalism (cf. Theorem 6.2.2).

Remark 1.2.5. An analogous result holds integrally, using $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{FF}}(-, \mathbb{Z}_p) := \mathcal{D}_{[0, \infty)}(-/\varphi^{\mathbb{Z}})$ instead.

Let C be a complete algebraically closed non-archimedean extension of \mathbb{Q}_p . The first point of Theorem 1.2.4 tells us that in particular we can compute the cohomology of a pro-étale \mathbb{Q}_p -local system \mathbb{L} on a rigid variety X over C as the pushforward of the object corresponding to \mathbb{L} in $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{FF}}(X, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ and point (iii) together with Theorem 1.2.1.(iii) show that these cohomology groups are naturally the C -points of Banach-Colmez spaces if f is proper and smooth.

Point (ii) of Theorem 1.2.4 gives Poincaré duality for the pro-étale cohomology of X . For partially proper smooth rigid spaces over a geometric point⁴, we make this rather abstract Poincaré duality result more explicit, at the level of the v -site, see Section 6.2. Hence the two examples stated at the beginning of this introduction appear as a special case of our general results.

Using the cohomological smoothness and identification of the dualizing sheaf for $\mathrm{Spd}(\mathbb{Q}_p)/\varphi^{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ (by Theorem 1.2.1.(v)), one also gets “arithmetic duality” theorems for the pro-étale cohomology of smooth rigid spaces over a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p .

Remark 1.2.6 (Related work). The fact that the cohomology groups of a (finite rank) pro-étale \mathbb{Q}_p -local system on a smooth proper rigid space over C are Banach-Colmez spaces seems to have been known to experts in the field since [29], but we are not aware of a written reference.

⁴Although that should not be necessary, we will assume for this that the base complete algebraically closed field is the completed algebraic closure of a complete discretely valued non-archimedean extension of \mathbb{Q}_p with perfect residue field.

Poincaré duality for the \mathbb{F}_p -cohomology of proper smooth rigid analytic varieties has been established independently by Zavyalov [54], building on previous work of Gabber, and the third author [38]. See also [32] for another approach (in the setting of Zariski-constructible étale sheaves). Our approach in this paper is modeled on [38], which not only proves duality in the proper smooth case, but provides a full 6-functor formalism.

Less has been known about duality for \mathbb{Z}_p - or \mathbb{Q}_p -coefficients. [30] proves duality for the cohomology of an étale \mathbb{Q}_p -local system with a stable lattice on Zariski open subspaces of proper smooth rigid varieties over a discretely valued field (these results are generalized in [32]). More recently, Colmez, Gilles and Nizioł have obtained and announced arithmetic and geometric duality theorems for the pro-étale \mathbb{Q}_p -cohomology of smooth Stein rigid spaces over \mathbb{C}_p [15], [16]. The duality results we obtain for the pro-étale \mathbb{Q}_p -cohomology of these spaces at the level of the v -site in Section 6.2 are very parallel to their formulation (see Remark 6.2.12.(i)).

Remark 1.2.7. From the applications to finiteness and duality results for pro-étale \mathbb{Q}_p -cohomology, one may be tempted to believe that the 6-functor formalism $S \mapsto \mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(S, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ (or its \mathbb{Z}_p -version) is the most important one. But we rather think of $S \mapsto \mathcal{D}_{[0, \infty)}(S)$ (from which one formally obtains $S \mapsto \mathcal{D}_{(0, \infty)}(S)$ and $S \mapsto \mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(S, \mathbb{Q}_p)$) as the fundamental one. In contrast to [38] the 6-functor formalism $S \mapsto \mathcal{D}_{[0, \infty)}(S)$ from Theorem 1.2.1 does not require the choice of a pseudo-uniformizer. In particular, it makes sense to evaluate it on interesting small v -stacks like $\text{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_p)$, Div^1 or Bun_G for a reductive group G over \mathbb{Q}_p . This is explored in Section 6.3, where we analyze a few examples which show that this 6-functor formalism might be useful in investigating p -adic analogues of Fargues' conjecture. Rather than developing the theory in general, we tried to highlight interesting analogies and differences with the ℓ -adic case. In particular, it is a highly interesting question whether the spectral action from [19] (or variants of it) can also be constructed in this context.

Plan of the paper. In Section 2, we recall the crucial $\mathcal{D}_{\square}(-)$ - and $\mathcal{D}_{\square}^a(\mathcal{O}_{(-)}^+)$ -formalisms, developed in [4]. Furthermore, we establish in Section 3 a full 6-functor formalism for $\mathcal{D}_{\square}^a(\mathcal{O}_{(-)}^+)$ on v -stacks on all perfectoid spaces and briefly discuss cohomological smoothness and cohomological properness for $\mathcal{D}_{\square}^a(\mathcal{O}_{(-)}^+)$ in relation to the corresponding notions for $\mathcal{D}_{\square}^a(\mathcal{O}^+/\pi)$. This 6-functor formalism for \mathcal{O}^{+a} -cohomology is only used in this paper as a tool to define and study the 6-functor formalism $\mathcal{D}_{[0, \infty)}$, but it should be of independent interest.

In Section 4, we introduce the main players $\mathcal{D}_{[0, \infty)}(-)$ and $\mathcal{D}_{(0, \infty)}(-)$ (and their variants with Frobenius $\mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(-, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(-, \mathbb{Q}_p)$) and prove their hypercomplete v -descent. We prove Theorem 1.2.1 by a combination of Appendix A and Section 3, and Theorem 1.2.4 follows as a (rather) formal consequence. Regarding cohomological smoothness, in addition to smooth morphisms of analytic adic spaces over \mathbb{Q}_p and $\text{Spd}(\mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow \text{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_p)$, mentioned in Theorem 1.2.1, we also discuss examples of classifying stacks of p -adic Lie groups and Banach-Colmez spaces.

The categories $\mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(-, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(-, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ are defined by v -descent of quasi-coherent sheaves on Fargues–Fontaine curves and may not look like what the naive guess for categories of \mathbb{Z}_p - or \mathbb{Q}_p -sheaves on small v -stacks could have been: one would at first perhaps rather be tempted to look at sheaves of \mathbb{Z}_p - or \mathbb{Q}_p -modules on the (small) pro-étale or (big) v -site. There is no good 6-functor formalism for these naive guesses. Nevertheless, the categories we define are related to them. Roughly speaking, the relation comes from the fact that the relative Fargues–Fontaine curve for a perfectoid space lives over S (as a diamond), the pushforward of the structure sheaf being the sheaf \mathbb{Q}_p , and that this gives pull and push functors in both directions. The actual constructions are more involved and we discuss them in more detail in Section 5.

Finally, in Section 6 we establish our desired applications, which come in two guises. First, we make more concrete, using the results of Section 5, the abstract finiteness and duality results coming out of the 6-functor formalism from Section 4, in the particular case where we consider a smooth partially proper (e.g., Stein) rigid space over a geometric point. Second, we discuss examples coming from Fargues-Scholze’s geometrization of the Langlands correspondence. We do not try to set up a p -adic version of their work, but rather to highlight through simple examples new interesting features (and bugs) of our formalism for this kind of questions. Instead of reproducing our findings in the introduction, we invite the reader to consult Section 6.3.

Acknowledgements. We thank Dustin Clausen, David Hansen, Wiesława Nizioł, Juan Esteban Rodríguez Camargo, Peter Scholze and Felix Zillinger for discussions during the preparation of this paper. We thank David Hansen, Wiesława Nizioł and Felix Zillinger for their comments on a preliminary draft. We especially thank Wiesława Nizioł for pointing out a gap in an earlier version of the paper. The results of this paper were first discussed among the authors after an interesting conference in Oberwolfach on non-archimedean geometry in Oberwolfach in early 2022, and the authors thank its organizers. This paper is part of the DFG-Sachbeihilfe 534205068. The first author thanks the IHÉS for its hospitality during a stay where parts of this paper were written. Moreover, the third author was partially supported by ERC Consolidator Grant 770936:NewtonStrat.

Notations and conventions. We will use the following notation.

- ω_1 denotes the first uncountable cardinal.
- If X and Y are topological spaces, $C(X, Y)$ denotes the set of continuous maps from X to Y .
- $\text{Perf}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ is the category of perfectoid spaces over \mathbb{F}_p .
- Small v -stacks on $\text{Perf}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ together with a map to $\text{Spd}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ are usually identified with small v -stacks on Perfd . To emphasize this, we call the latter “untilted small v -stacks”. Similarly, we identify diamonds over $\text{Spd}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ with suitable v -sheaves on Perfd and if so call the latter “untilted diamonds”. This terminology follows [38, Definition 3.2.1] and [4, Definition 4.13]. We denote by $v\text{Stack}^\sharp$ the category of untilted small v -stacks. We equip untilted small v -stacks with the untilted structure sheaf, which sends an arbitrary perfectoid space T over \mathbb{Z}_p to $\mathcal{O}(T)$.
- We work fully derived, hence each functor $f^*, f_*, \underline{\text{Hom}}, \otimes, \dots$ is always assumed to be the derived one (unless mentioned otherwise). In the same spirit, in the context of $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z})$ -linear categories, Hom will usually denote the $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z})$ -enriched version (i.e. what is classically denoted “ RHom ”).
- We work completely in the ∞ -categorical framework. In particular we refer to ∞ -categories simply as “categories” and so on.

For technical convenience we fix an implicit cut-off cardinal κ (in the sense of [50, Section 4]), and assume all our perfectoid spaces, and condensed sets to be κ -small. In particular, for a Huber pair (A, A^+) its associated category $\mathcal{D}_{\square}(A, A^+)$ ([1, Theorem 3.28]) is generated by a *set* of compact objects. Passing to the filtered colimit over all κ ’s implies the statements in general.

2. Supplements on $\mathcal{D}_{\hat{\square}}(-)$

In this section we collect necessary results from [4] on the category of (modified) solid quasi-coherent modules. Moreover, we supplement the theory by establishing several results which are necessary to develop the six functor formalism $S \mapsto \mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}(S)$ for solid quasi-coherent sheaves on the Fargues–Fontaine curve.

2.1. Definition of $\mathcal{D}_{\hat{\square}}(-)$ for adic rings

Let $(A, A^+)_{\square}$ be an adic analytic ring in the sense of [4, Definition 2.1], i.e., A is a condensed, animated ring A , which is I -complete for some finitely generated ideal $I \subseteq \pi_0(A)(*)$ and the derived quotient A/I is discrete, while the analytic ring structure is obtained by requiring that the elements in a subring $A^+ \subseteq \pi_0(A)(*)$ are solid.

We note that A^+ is not required in this section to be integrally closed in $\pi_0(A)(*)$ or that it contains the topologically nilpotent elements (for the I -adic topology). Consequently, $(A, A^+)_{\square} \cong (A, \widetilde{A^+})_{\square}$ where $\widetilde{A^+} \subseteq \pi_0(A)(*)$ is the integral closure of $A^+ + A^{\circ\circ}$, where $A^{\circ\circ} \subseteq \pi_0(A)(*)$ denotes the ideal of topologically nilpotent elements.

In [4, Definition 2.4] we introduced “modified solid quasi-coherent sheaves” for $(A, A^+)_{\square}$, which recall now. In the following let $\mathcal{D}_{\square}(A, A^+)$ denote the category of $(A, A^+)_{\square}$ -complete (derived) A -modules.

Definition 2.1.1 ([4, Definition 2.4]). We set $\mathcal{D}_{\hat{\square}}(A, A^+) := \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})$ for $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{\square}(A, A^+)$ the full stable subcategory spanned by the I -adic completions P_I^{\wedge} for $P \in \mathcal{D}_{\square}(A, A^+)$ compact.

The natural completion functor $\mathcal{D}_{\square}(A, A^+)^{\omega} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, $P \mapsto P_I^{\wedge}$ on compact objects extends to a symmetric monoidal functor $\alpha^*: \mathcal{D}_{\square}(A, A^+) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\hat{\square}}(A, A^+)$, which admits a colimit-preserving, conservative right adjoint α_* ([4, Lemma 2.5]). By the same lemma, there exists a natural t -structure on $\mathcal{D}_{\hat{\square}}(A, A^+)$ for which α_* is t -exact and commutes with truncations.

Proposition 2.1.2. *Let $(A, A^+)_{\square} \rightarrow (B, B^+)_{\square}$ be a morphism of adic analytic rings.*

- (i) *If A is discrete or A^+ is a finitely generated \mathbb{Z} -algebra, then the functor $\alpha^*: \mathcal{D}_{\square}(A, A^+) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\hat{\square}}(A, A^+)$ is an equivalence.*
- (ii) *The functors α^*, α_* induce a symmetric monoidal equivalence*

$$\mathcal{D}_{\square}^{\text{nuc}}(A, A^+) = \mathcal{D}_{\hat{\square}}^{\text{nuc}}(A, A^+)$$

*on nuclear objects. Moreover, $M \in \mathcal{D}_{\hat{\square}}(A, A^+)$ is nuclear if α_*M is nuclear.*

- (iii) *If B^+ is a finitely generated A^+ -algebra, then the natural functor*

$$\mathcal{D}_{\square}(B, B^+) \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_{\square}(A, A^+)} \mathcal{D}_{\hat{\square}}(A, A^+) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}_{\hat{\square}}(B, B^+)$$

is an equivalence.

Proof. These statements are [4, Lemma 2.9], [4, Proposition 2.17] and [4, Proposition 2.21] except for the second assertion in (ii). Assume that α_*M is nuclear, and let $P \in \mathcal{D}_{\hat{\square}}(A, A^+)$ be compact. Then we may assume $P = \alpha^*Q$ for a compact object $Q \in \mathcal{D}_{\square}(A, A^+)$. Now, $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_{\hat{\square}}(A, A^+)}(P, M) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_{\square}(A, A^+)}(Q, \alpha_*M) = (Q^{\vee} \otimes_{(A, A^+)_{\square}} \alpha_*M)(*)$ because α_*M is nuclear. But this implies that each morphism $P \rightarrow M$ is trace-class as it can be factored as $P = \alpha^*Q \xrightarrow{\alpha^*(f)} \alpha^*\alpha_*M \rightarrow M$ for a trace class morphism $f: Q \rightarrow \alpha_*M$. \square

A serious advantage of $\mathcal{D}_{\hat{\square}}$ over \mathcal{D}_{\square} is the adic descent theorem [4, Theorem 2.30], which is crucial for the strong descent results in [4]. Another advantage is the preservation of completeness under base change ([4, Lemma 2.12.(iv)]). Although crucial for the technical backbone of this paper, we invite the reader to not take the difference between $\mathcal{D}_{\hat{\square}}$ and \mathcal{D}_{\square} as very important for this paper.

2.2. Definition of $\mathcal{D}_{\square}(-)$ for stably uniform analytic adic spaces

The following definitions are taken from [4, Definition 2.34, Definition 2.39].

Definition 2.2.1. Let Z be a stably uniform analytic adic space.

- (a) If $Z = \mathrm{Spa}(A, A^+)$ is affinoid, then we set

$$\mathcal{D}_{\square}(Z) := \mathrm{Mod}_A(\mathcal{D}_{\square}(A^{\circ}, A^+)),$$

where the right hand side refers to A -modules in the category from Definition 2.1.1.

- (b) In general, $\mathcal{D}_{\square}(Z)$ is defined as the limit in Cat of the categories $\mathcal{D}_{\square}(U)$ for $U \subseteq Z$ open affinoid.
- (c) If $f: Z' \rightarrow Z$ is a morphism of stably uniform analytic adic spaces, then we denote by $f^*: \mathcal{D}_{\square}(Z) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\square}(Z')$ the natural induced base change functor ([4, Lemma 2.7]), and by $f_*: \mathcal{D}_{\square}(Z') \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\square}(Z)$ its right adjoint.

By [4, Theorem 2.38] the functor $Z \mapsto \mathcal{D}_{\square}(Z)$ satisfies descent for the analytic topology, and in particular Definition 2.2.1 is unambiguous.

Remark 2.2.2. By Proposition 2.1.2.(ii), $\mathcal{D}_{\square}^{\mathrm{nuc}}(Z) = \mathcal{D}_{\square}^{\mathrm{nuc}}(Z)$ if $Z = \mathrm{Spa}(A, A^+)$ is an affinoid and stably uniform analytic adic space. By [1, Theorem 5.42] we can conclude that nuclear objects in $\mathcal{D}_{\square}(Z)$ satisfy analytic descent and hence globalize to the category

$$\mathcal{D}_{\square}^{\mathrm{nuc}}(Z) \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{\square}(Z)$$

on any stably uniform analytic adic space Z . As dualizable objects in $\mathcal{D}_{\square}(Z)$ are automatically nuclear, we can conclude that the category of dualizable objects in $\mathcal{D}_{\square}(Z)$ is equivalent to the category of perfect complexes on Z , i.e., perfect complexes over A ([1, Corollary 5.51.1]).

As fiber products of uniform analytic adic spaces are not necessarily stably uniform ([4, Example 2.43]), we do not develop a full six functor formalism for $\mathcal{D}_{\square}(-)$ on them. In the following, we nevertheless discuss classes of “proper maps” and “open immersions” that will be used later.

Lemma 2.2.3. *Let $f: Z' = \mathrm{Spa}(A', A'^+) \rightarrow Z = \mathrm{Spa}(A, A^+)$ be a morphism of stably uniform affinoid analytic adic spaces. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) A'^+ is the completed integral closure of $A^+ + A'^{\circ\circ}$ in A' ,
- (ii) $f_*: \mathcal{D}_{\square}(Z') \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\square}(Z)$ satisfies the projection formula,
- (iii) f is proper in the sense of [50, Definition 18.1] (if f is a morphism of adic spaces over $\mathrm{Spa}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$).

If these conditions are satisfied, then $f_: \mathcal{D}_{\square}(Z') \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\square}(Z)$ satisfies the projection formula and for any morphism $g: W \rightarrow Z$ with W stably uniform such that $W' := W \times_Z Z'$ is stably uniform with projections $f': W' \rightarrow W, g': W' \rightarrow Z'$, the base change morphism $g^* f_* \rightarrow f'_* g'^*$ of functors $\mathcal{D}_{\square}(Z') \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\square}(W)$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Note that by [51, Proposition 13.16] (i) is equivalent to the statement that the analytic ring $(A', A'^+)_{\square}$ has the induced analytic ring structure from $(A, A^+)_{\square}$. With this replacement, the equivalence of (i) and (ii) is a general property of morphisms of analytic rings. We have that (i) implies (iii) using the valuative criterion [50, Proposition 18.3]. Assume (iii). By [50, Lemma 15.6] we have $|Z'^{\circ} \cong |Z'|$. Now (iii) implies that the natural morphism $Z' \rightarrow \overline{Z}^{\prime/Z}$

is a homeomorphism. This implies (i) and hence finishes the proof of the equivalence of (i), (ii) and (iii).

Assume now that (i), (ii) hold. Then by Proposition 2.1.2.(iii) the natural functor $\mathcal{D}_{\square}(Z) \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_{\square}(Z)} \mathcal{D}_{\square}(Z') \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\square}(Z')$ is an equivalence, i.e., $\mathcal{D}_{\square}(Z') = \text{Mod}_{A'}(\mathcal{D}_{\square}(Z))$. This easily implies the projection formula. Moreover, the proof of [44, Lemma 3.2.5] shows that this satisfies base change for \mathcal{D}_{\square} . Using Proposition 2.1.2.(iii) again, we can deduce base change for \mathcal{D}_{\square} . \square

Lemma 2.2.4. *Let $j: U \rightarrow Z$ be an open immersion of stably uniform analytic adic spaces. Then the natural functor $\mathcal{D}_{\square}(U) \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_{\square}(Z)} \mathcal{D}_{\square}(Z) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\square}(U)$ is an equivalence, and j^* is an open immersion in the category Sym of presentably symmetric monoidal categories ([11, Definition 6.3]). In particular, j^* admits a fully faithful left adjoint $j_!$ satisfying the projection formula. If $f: W \rightarrow Z$ is a morphism with W stably uniform, then for $V := U \times_Z W$ with projections $j': V \rightarrow W$, $f': V \rightarrow U$ the natural morphism $j'_! f'^* \rightarrow f^* j_!$ of functors $\mathcal{D}_{\square}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\square}(W)$ is an equivalence. If f satisfies the equivalent conditions of Lemma 2.2.3, then furthermore the natural morphism $j_! f'_* \rightarrow f_* j'_!$ of functors $\mathcal{D}_{\square}(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\square}(Z)$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. The first assertion is implied by [4, Proposition 2.37] and analytic descent [4, Theorem 2.38]. The second follows from [4, Lemma 2.35]. The last assertions follow from the first by base change along $\mathcal{D}_{\square}(Z) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\square}(Z)$ and the proof of [44, Lemma 3.2.5]. \square

Remark 2.2.5. If Z, W in Lemma 2.2.4 are sousperfectoid, then the same assertions hold true if $j: U \rightarrow Z$ is only assumed to be étale (except for fully faithfulness of $j_!$). In fact, the assertions are local on Z and thus j can be assumed to be a composition of an open immersion and of a finite étale map. The case of a finite étale map follows now from Lemma 2.2.3.

2.3. Boundedness conditions

In this short paragraph, we recall a few definitions regarding boundedness that will be frequently used in the sequel. We recall from Section 1.2 that we will usually view small v-stacks on $(\text{Perf}_{\mathbb{F}_p})/\text{Spd}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ as v-stacks on the site Perfd of all perfectoid spaces over \mathbb{Z}_p . We will call the latter “untilted small v-stacks”.

Remark 2.3.1. Given an untilted small v-stack T , we can forget the structure morphism to $\text{Spd}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ to define the tilt T^{\flat} of T , which is a small v-stack on $\text{Perf}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$. When referring to geometric properties of untilted small v-stacks (like properness, étaleness, and p -boundedness as in Definition 2.3.2), these are understood to be properties of the tilt. We stress that in general T and T^{\flat} are equipped with different structure sheaves (e.g. the one on T could be of characteristic 0).

In the next section, we will introduce a category $\mathcal{D}_{\square}^a(\mathcal{O}_T^+)$ for any untilted small v-stack T . Following [38, Section 3.6] it would be natural to try to prove qcqs base change or the projection formula for proper, p -bounded morphisms in this context (p -bounded being defined below). We would however face a problem here: we don’t have a good control of $\mathcal{D}_{\square}^a(\mathcal{O}_T^+)$ without T being close to a spatial untilted diamond. Hence, it seems natural to ask morphisms to be representable in spatial diamonds. This however has the drawback that it is not known if compactifications of spatial diamonds are again spatial. A similar issue arises for ℓ -adic étale sheaves and has been solved in that setting: Following [20] (and [37, Proposition 5.6]) we will make use of so-called *prespatial diamonds*. We recall that a qcqs untilted diamond X is prespatial if there exists a spatial subdiamond $X_0 \subseteq X$ such that $X_0(K, \mathcal{O}_K) = X(K, \mathcal{O}_K)$ for all perfectoid fields K ([20, Definition 3.1]), and that (among other properties) prespatial untilted diamonds are stable under fiber products ([20, Lemma 3.4]).

Using the notion of prespatial diamonds, we introduced in [4, Definition 3.7] the following class of morphisms of small v-stacks, providing a notion of “cohomological boundedness”.

Definition 2.3.2. A morphism $f: T' \rightarrow T$ of small v-stacks is called *p-bounded* if

- (i) f is locally separated and representable in prespatial diamonds,
- (ii) after pullback to any prespatial diamond, f has finite $\dim.\text{trg}$,
- (iii) for each strictly totally disconnected perfectoid space Z over T , there exists some $d \geq 0$ such that for each maximal point z' in the prespatial diamond $T' \times_T Z$ the p -cohomological dimension of z' is bounded by d .

As a warning we mention that in [38, Definition 3.5.5.(ii)] a different (more complicated) notion of “ p -boundedness” is introduced, which we will call $+$ -boundedness in the following. The relation between both notions is clarified by the next theorem.

Theorem 2.3.3. *Let $f: T' \rightarrow T$ be a locally separated morphism of small v-stacks which is representable in prespatial diamonds. Then f is $+$ -bounded if and only if f is p -bounded.*

Proof. This is proven in [4, Proposition 4.24]. □

Let us note that p -boundedness of a morphism is a condition which is easier to check in practice than $+$ -boundedness. For example it is satisfied for morphisms of finite $\dim.\text{trg}$ between qcqs perfectoid spaces, and stable under quotients by profinite groups of finite p -cohomological dimension as long as the quotient is representable in prespatial diamonds. In particular, weakly perfectly finite type morphisms between perfectoid spaces are p -bounded, as are morphisms between untilted diamonds of rigid-analytic varieties. Therefore Theorem 2.3.3 simplifies parts of the discussion in [38, Section 3.5].

Remarks 2.3.4. (i) By [4, Lemma 3.13] p -bounded morphisms are stable under composition and base change.

- (ii) Every qcqs quasi-pro-étale morphism $f: T' \rightarrow T$ is p -bounded. Indeed, [50, Proposition 9.6] implies that f is representable in prespatial diamonds while $\dim.\text{trg}(f) = 0$ and, if T is strictly totally disconnected, then each maximal point of T' is the adic spectrum of an algebraically closed perfectoid field.
- (iii) Assume that T is a p -bounded prespatial untilted diamond, i.e., there exists some $d \geq 0$ such that for each $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{et}}(T, \mathbb{F}_p)$ which is concentrated in degree 0 we have $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_{\text{et}}(T, \mathbb{F}_p)}(\mathbb{F}_p, \mathcal{F}) \in \mathcal{D}^{\leq d}$. If $f: T' \rightarrow T$ is a p -bounded morphism, then T' is a p -bounded prespatial untilted diamond ([4, Definition 3.11]).
- (iv) If $f: Y \rightarrow X$, $g: Z \rightarrow Y$ are morphisms of untilted small v-stacks, and f , $f \circ g$ are p -bounded, then g is p -bounded. Indeed, quasi-compact injections are quasi-pro-étale by [50, Lemma 7.19], and thus p -bounded. Factoring g over the graph of g as $Z \rightarrow Z \times_X Y \rightarrow Y$ shows that g is p -bounded as $Z \rightarrow Z \times_X Y$ is (and p -bounded morphisms are stable under composition and base change by (i)).
- (v) Let $f: T' \rightarrow T$ be a locally separated morphism of untilted small v-stacks which is representable in prespatial diamonds and which has locally bounded dimension. Then by Theorem 2.3.3 and [38, Lemma 3.5.10.(ii)] p -boundedness of f can be checked v-locally on T . (One can also avoid the use of the (difficult) Theorem 2.3.3 and directly check condition (iii) in Definition 2.3.2.)

3. A 6-functor formalism for \mathcal{O}^+ -cohomology on untilted small v-stacks

In this section we define the category $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{h}}^a(-)$ on untilted small v-stacks and establish a 6-functor formalism for it. The discussion relies on [38, Section 3.6] and [24].

The results proved here provide a 6-functor formalism for solid quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}^{+a} -cohomology (and thus also for \mathcal{O} -cohomology) on untilted small v-stacks. In terms of definitions, only the \mathcal{O} -linear version is used in the next section of the paper, which builds the theory on the Fargues–Fontaine curve. But, as already mentioned in the introduction, the proofs of many results require to work integrally, to be able to reduce modulo a pseudo-uniformizer and invoke the results of [38]. We develop the $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{h}}^a(-)$ -formalism a bit further than what will be strictly necessary for the results of the next sections, since we believe it is also interesting in its own right. However, in the interest of brevity, we discuss essentially no examples; they will be discussed for the 6-functor formalism $\mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}(-)$.

3.1. Definition of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{h}}^a(-)$ for untilted small v-stacks

For perfectoid spaces, one can define a well-behaved almost category of modified solid \mathcal{O}^+ -modules. From now on we assume that for a Huber pair (A, A^+) the ring A^+ is open and integrally closed in A (contrary to Section 2.1). Moreover, we view A^+ as a condensed ring through its natural topology.

Definition 3.1.1. Let $Z = \mathrm{Spa}(A, A^+)$ be an affinoid perfectoid space. We set

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{h}}^a(A^+) := \mathcal{D}^a(A^+) \otimes_{\mathcal{D}(A^+)} \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{h}}(A^+, A^+),$$

where $\mathcal{D}^a(A^+)$ is the almost category for the ideal $A^{\circ\circ} \subseteq A^+$ of topologically nilpotent elements, and $\mathcal{D}(A^+)$ the usual derived category of A^+ -modules. Moreover, $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{h}}$ refers to Section 2.1.

This construction satisfies very strong descent results. We recall that the v-topology on the category Perfd of perfectoid spaces over \mathbb{Z}_p is generated by disjoint unions and surjections of affinoid perfectoid spaces (see [50, Definition 8.1.(iii)]).

Theorem 3.1.2. *There exists a unique hypercomplete v-sheaf of categories*

$$\mathrm{Perfd}^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cat}, \quad Z \mapsto \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{h}}^a(\mathcal{O}_Z^+),$$

such that for each affinoid perfectoid space $Z = \mathrm{Spa}(A, A^+)$, whose tilt admits a morphism of finite $\dim.\mathrm{trg}$ to a totally disconnected perfectoid space, we have $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{h}}^a(\mathcal{O}_Z^+) \cong \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{h}}^a(A^+)$ compatibly with pullback.

Proof. This is the main result of [4]. More precisely, it is [4, Theorem 1.1]. \square

Definition 3.1.3. Let $f: T' \rightarrow T$ be a morphism of untilted small v-stacks.

- (a) We set $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{h}}^a(\mathcal{O}_T^+)$ as the value of the sheaf of categories from Theorem 3.1.2 on T . This is a stable presentably symmetric category (recall that we have fixed some cut-off cardinal κ in Section 1.2). We denote the symmetric monoidal structure by \otimes .
- (b) We set $f^*: \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{h}}^a(\mathcal{O}_T^+) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{h}}^a(\mathcal{O}_{T'}^+)$ as the restriction functor for this sheaf.
- (c) We set $f_*: \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{h}}^a(\mathcal{O}_{T'}^+) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{h}}^a(\mathcal{O}_T^+)$ as the right adjoint to f^* , which exists by the adjoint functor theorem.

Remarks 3.1.4. (i) If $Z = \mathrm{Spa}(A, A^+)$ is affinoid perfectoid and $\pi \in A$ a pseudo-uniformizer, then the functor $\mathrm{Spa}(B, B^+) \mapsto B^+/\pi$ on affinoid perfectoid spaces over Z defines a ring object $\mathcal{O}_Z^+/\pi \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{h}}^a(\mathcal{O}_Z^+)$ and by [4, Remark 4.5] we have $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_Z^+/\pi}(\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{h}}^a(\mathcal{O}_Z^+)) \cong \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{h}}^a(\mathcal{O}_Z^+/\pi)$, where the latter is defined in [38, Definition 3.1.3]. This property (together with the generation of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{h}}^a(\mathcal{O}_Z^+)$ by complete objects if Z is sufficiently nice, see Proposition 3.1.8.(i) below) will allow us to reduce many questions to results in [38].

(ii) Let T be an untilted small v-stack. Following [38, Definition 3.3.1] we can define (left/right) bounded objects in $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{h}}^a(\mathcal{O}_T^+)$ as those which are (left/right) bounded when pulled back to $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{h}}^a(A^+)$ for any totally disconnected space $Z = \mathrm{Spa}(A, A^+)$ over T . We note that thanks to [38, Proposition 3.1.20], [4, Corollary 2.14], [38, Proposition 3.2.13.(ii)] the proofs of [38, Lemma 3.3.2, Proposition 3.3.3] apply and show that (left/right) boundedness can be checked v-locally on T . We note that there does not seem to be a good t-structure on $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{h}}^a(\mathcal{O}_T^+)$ in general, as the pullback functors are not t-exact.

In the spirit of Remark 3.1.4.(i) we next introduce the notion of (adically) complete objects in $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{h}}^a(\mathcal{O}_T^+)$:

Definition 3.1.5. Let T be an untilted small v-stack. An object $M \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{h}}^a(\mathcal{O}_T^+)$ is called *complete* if for any morphism $f: T' = \mathrm{Spa}(A, A^+) \rightarrow T$ with T' a totally disconnected perfectoid space the pullback $f^*M \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{h}}^a(\mathcal{O}_{T'}^+) = \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{h}}^a(A^+)$ is π -adically complete for some (equivalently any) pseudo-uniformizer $\pi \in A$.

For properties of π -adic completeness in $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{h}}^a(A^+)$ we refer to [4, Lemma 2.12]. We check that Definition 3.1.5 is unambiguous in the following sense:

Lemma 3.1.6. (i) *If $f: T' \rightarrow T$ is a morphism of small v-stacks and $M \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{h}}^a(\mathcal{O}_{T'}^+)$, then f^*M is complete if M is complete. The converse holds if f is a v-cover.*

(ii) *If $g: T \rightarrow Z = \mathrm{Spa}(A, A^+)$ is a morphism with Z affinoid perfectoid and $M \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{h}}^a(\mathcal{O}_T^+)$, then M is complete if and only if for some (equivalently any) pseudo-uniformizer $\pi \in A$ the object M is π -adically complete in the $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{h}}^a(A^+)$ -linear category $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{h}}^a(\mathcal{O}_T^+)$, i.e., the inverse limit of the diagram $(\dots \xrightarrow{\pi} M \xrightarrow{\pi} M)$ vanishes.*

Proof. The first part of (i) is clear by definition. Assume that f is a v-cover and f^*M is complete. Then we may reduce to the case that $T' = \mathrm{Spa}(B, B^+), T = \mathrm{Spa}(A, A^+)$ are totally disconnected. We note that [38, Proposition 3.1.20] and [4, Corollary 2.14] imply that the pullback f^* commutes with π -adic completions for any pseudo-uniformizer $\pi \in A$ (this does not need that f is a v-cover). Since f^* is also conservative, we deduce that M is π -adically complete if f^*M is so.

Let us prove (ii). Let $f_{\bullet}: T_{\bullet} \rightarrow T$ be the v-hypercover by a disjoint union of totally disconnected spaces. As each $f_{n,*}$ preserves π -adic completeness, and $M \cong \varprojlim_{\Delta} f_{n,*}f_n^*M$, we see that M complete implies that M is π -adically complete. Assume conversely that M is π -adically complete. We already checked that pullback for morphisms between (disjoint unions of) totally disconnected spaces preserves π -adic completions. This implies that if $\widehat{(-)}$ denotes π -adic completion, then $(f_n^*\widehat{M})$ defines a descent datum, i.e., a cartesian section, and that there is a natural morphism $M \rightarrow N := \varprojlim_{\Delta} f_{n,*}(\widehat{f_n^*M})$ with N π -adically complete in $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{h}}^a(\mathcal{O}_T^+)$. As $f_n^*N \cong \widehat{f_n^*M}$ by descent, it suffices to check that $M \rightarrow N$ is an isomorphism. By π -adic completeness of M, N this can be checked mod π , and then after pullback along f^* , where it is clear. This finishes the proof. \square

Corollary 3.1.7. *Complete objects in $\mathcal{D}_{\square}^a(\mathcal{O}_{(-)}^+)$ form a hypercomplete sub- v -sheaf and if the tilt of an affinoid perfectoid space $T = \mathrm{Spa}(A, A^+)$ admits a morphism of finite $\dim.\mathrm{trg}$ to a totally disconnected perfectoid space, then for any pseudo-uniformizer π on T completeness in $\mathcal{D}_{\square}^a(\mathcal{O}_T^+) = \mathcal{D}_{\square}^a(A^+)$ agrees with π -adic completeness.*

Proof. The claim about v -descent follows immediately from v -descent for $\mathcal{D}_{\square}^a(\mathcal{O}_{(-)}^+)$ and Lemma 3.1.6.(i). The second claim is a special case of Lemma 3.1.6.(ii). \square

We remark that in contrast to π -adic completeness, completeness in $\mathcal{D}_{\square}^a(\mathcal{O}_T^+)$ in the sense of Definition 3.1.5 is absolute, i.e. does not need the existence of a base. For example, it makes sense to speak about completeness in $\mathcal{D}_{\square}^a(\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_p)}^+)$, which might be surprising at first glance. The following important result, taken from [4], allows to make many reductions to the case of complete sheaves:

Proposition 3.1.8. *Let $f: Y \rightarrow Z$ be a separated, p -bounded morphism of prespatial untilted diamonds. Assume that $Z = \mathrm{Spa}(A, A^+)$ is an affinoid perfectoid space whose tilt admits a morphism of finite $\dim.\mathrm{trg}$ to a totally disconnected perfectoid space, and let $\pi \in A$ be a pseudo-uniformizer. Then the following hold true:*

(i) $\mathcal{D}_{\square}^a(\mathcal{O}_Y^+)$ is generated under colimits by right-bounded π -complete objects.

(ii) $f_*: \mathcal{D}_{\square}^a(\mathcal{O}_Y^+) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\square}^a(\mathcal{O}_Z^+)$ commutes with colimits.

Proof. This is proven in [4, Proposition 4.33] (use Lemma 3.1.6.(ii) to identify completeness with π -adic completeness). \square

With the basic functors f^* , f_* , \otimes and a good notion of completeness at hand, we can now prove some basic compatibilities between all of these notions. The following result shows a very general base-change and colimit-preservation property for the pushforward (although it is slightly weaker than [38, Proposition 3.5.15] as we have to assume representability in prespatial diamonds):

Proposition 3.1.9. *Let $f: T' \rightarrow T$ be a morphism of untilted small v -stacks. Assume that f is p -bounded (and in particular qcqs).*

(i) The functor $f_*: \mathcal{D}_{\square}^a(\mathcal{O}_{T'}^+) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\square}^a(\mathcal{O}_T^+)$ preserves colimits and right-bounded objects.

(ii) Let

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W' & \xrightarrow{g'} & T' \\ \downarrow f' & & \downarrow f \\ W & \xrightarrow{g} & T \end{array}$$

be a cartesian diagram of untilted small v -stacks. Then the natural transformation

$$g^* f_* \rightarrow f'_* g'^*: \mathcal{D}_{\square}^a(\mathcal{O}_{T'}^+) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\square}^a(\mathcal{O}_W^+)$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. The assertion reduces by v -descent formally to the case that T and W are strictly totally disconnected. As p -bounded morphisms are assumed to be locally separated, we may assume that T' is separated (using that it is qcqs). By Proposition 3.1.8 we can conclude that all categories in question are generated by right-bounded, complete objects and that all functors in question commute with colimits and preserve completeness of right-bounded objects. Hence, we can reduce modulo a pseudo-uniformizer and apply [38, Proposition 3.5.15] to conclude (implicitly we used Theorem 2.3.3 to assure that f is $+$ -bounded). \square

We can also derive the following restricted version of the projection formula that holds for general (not necessarily proper) morphisms f . In that result, recall that for an affinoid perfectoid space $T = \mathrm{Spa}(A, A^+)$ we denote by $\mathrm{Nuc}(\mathcal{D}_{\square}(A^+))$ the category of nuclear objects in $\mathcal{D}_{\square}(A^+)$, which by Proposition 2.1.2.(ii) agrees with nuclear objects in $\mathcal{D}_{\square}(A^+)$. By [4, Lemma 2.18] these are exactly the $(A^+)_{\square}$ -modules that can be written as the colimit of bounded objects which are π -adically complete and discrete mod π for a pseudo-uniformizer $\pi \in A$.

Lemma 3.1.10. *Let $f: T' \rightarrow T$ be a qcqs p -bounded morphism of untilted small v -stacks. Assume that $T = \mathrm{Spa}(A, A^+)$ is an affinoid perfectoid space. Then for every $M \in \mathrm{Nuc}(\mathcal{D}_{\square}(A^+))$ and $N \in \mathcal{D}_{\square}^a(\mathcal{O}_{T'}^+)$ the natural morphism*

$$M^a \otimes f_*(N) \rightarrow f_*(f^*(M^a) \otimes N)$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. Note that $f^*: \mathcal{D}_{\square}^a(\mathcal{O}_T^+) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\square}^a(\mathcal{O}_{T'}^+)$ is (by symmetric monoidality) a $\mathcal{D}_{\square}(A^+)$ -linear, colimit preserving functor of $\mathcal{D}_{\square}(A^+)$ -module categories. By [4, Lemma 3.32] and [4, Lemma 2.18] the category $\mathrm{Nuc}(\mathcal{D}_{\square}(A^+))$ is a rigid symmetric monoidal category. Hence, the assertion follows from [4, Lemma 3.33(ii)] because f_* preserves colimits by Proposition 3.1.9.(i). \square

3.2. Six functors for $\mathcal{D}_{\square}^a(-)$

In the following we will introduce the 6-functor formalism for $\mathcal{D}_{\square}^a(\mathcal{O}_{(-)}^+)$. Using the general construction techniques of 6-functor formalisms (see e.g. [24, §3]) we are reduced to verifying the base-change property and the projection formula for étale and proper maps. Let us start with the étale case:

Lemma 3.2.1. *Let $j: U \rightarrow T$ be an étale morphism of untilted small v -stacks. Then the functor $j^*: \mathcal{D}_{\square}^a(\mathcal{O}_T^+) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\square}^a(\mathcal{O}_U^+)$ admits a left adjoint*

$$j_!: \mathcal{D}_{\square}^a(\mathcal{O}_U^+) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\square}^a(\mathcal{O}_T^+),$$

which satisfies the projection formula. Moreover, for every morphism $f: T' \rightarrow T$ of untilted small v -stacks with base change $f': U' := T' \times_T U \rightarrow U$, $j': U' \rightarrow T'$ the natural morphism

$$j'_! f'^{*} \rightarrow f^* j_!$$

of functors $\mathcal{D}_{\square}^a(\mathcal{O}_U^+) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\square}^a(\mathcal{O}_{T'}^+)$ is an isomorphism. Finally, if j is qcqs then $j_!$ preserves right-bounded complete objects.

Proof. Regarding base-change the formal arguments in the proof of [38, Lemma 3.6.2] can be applied here as well and reduce the assertion to the case that T, U, T' are strictly totally disconnected. In particular, f, j are quasi-compact and separated. We note that if $j_!, j'_!$ exist, then necessarily base change holds. Namely, as in [38, Lemma 3.6.2] it suffices to show that $j^* f_* \cong f'_* j'^{*}$ (via the natural map). Both sides commute however with colimits (as f_*, f'_* are just forgetful functors) and send compact objects to complete objects (using [4, Corollary 2.14]). By Remark 3.1.4.(i) we can therefore reduce to the base change claim in the proof of [38, Lemma 3.6.2].

Now we prove existence of $j_!$. For this it is sufficient to show that j^* commutes with limits. We note that [4, Corollary 2.14] implies that j^* preserves completions and that inverse limits of complete objects are again complete. From [38, Lemma 3.6.2] we can therefore conclude that j^* commutes with inverse limits of complete objects. Let $M_i, i \in I$, be a diagram in $\mathcal{D}_{\square}^a(\mathcal{O}_T^+)$, and let M_i^\wedge be the completion of M_i and $N_i := \mathrm{fib}(M_i \rightarrow M_i^\wedge)$. Set $M := \varprojlim_{i \in I} M_i$

and $N := \varprojlim_{i \in I} N_i$. As we have argued above, $j^*(\varprojlim_{i \in I} M_i^\wedge) \cong \varprojlim_{i \in I} j^*(M_i^\wedge)$. Hence, it suffices to see that

$$j^*(N) \cong \varprojlim_{i \in I} j^*(N_i).$$

Note that we have a fiber sequence $N \rightarrow M \rightarrow M^\wedge \cong \varprojlim_{i \in I} M_i^\wedge$. Now, $N_i \in \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_T}(\mathcal{D}_\square^a(\mathcal{O}_T^+)) = \mathcal{D}_\square(T)$ for each i and the full subcategory $\mathcal{D}_\square(T) \subseteq \mathcal{D}_\square^a(\mathcal{O}_T^+)$ of \mathcal{O} -modules is stable under limits and colimits (because \mathcal{O} is idempotent). Hence, the assertion follows from Remark 2.2.5.

To show that $j_!$ satisfies the projection formula we can first reduce to the case that X, U are strictly totally disconnected perfectoid spaces (by the arguments in [38, Lemma 3.6.4]). In fact, we may assume that $U = \text{Spa}(B, B^+) \rightarrow X = \text{Spa}(A, A^+)$ is a qcqs open immersion. Let $M \in \mathcal{D}_\square^a(B^+)$ and $N \in \mathcal{D}_\square^a(A^+)$. If M (resp. N) is a B (resp. A)-module, then both sides depend only on $M, N \otimes_{A^+} A$ (resp. $M \otimes_{B^+} B, N$) and the projection formula holds as $j^*: \text{Mod}_A(\mathcal{D}_\square^a(A^+)) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_B(\mathcal{D}_\square^a(B^+))$ is a categorical open immersion (cf. Lemma 2.2.4). Hence, we may assume (by commuting colimits through both sides of the projection formula) that M, N are π -torsion for some pseudo-uniformizer $\pi \in A$. In particular, both sides of the projection formula are complete in this case (as they are torsion), and hence it suffices to check the statement after $A^+/\pi \otimes_{A^+} (-)$ on both sides. Then the assertion follows from [38, Lemma 3.6.4].

For the last statement we can reduce to the case that $j: U = \text{Spa}(B, B^+) \hookrightarrow T = \text{Spa}(A, A^+)$ is an open immersion of strictly totally disconnected spaces. By [38, Lemma 3.6.1] each quasi-compact open subset of a totally disconnected space is a finite union of rational open subsets of the form $\{|f| \geq 1\}$ for some $f \in A^+$. Hence, we may assume that $U = \{|f| \geq 1\}$ is of this form. By [38, Lemma 3.6.1] we can conclude that $\mathcal{O}_T^+/\pi(U) \cong A^+/\pi[1/f]$, and by [50, Theorem 3.24] we see that B^+/π is almost isomorphic to $(\mathcal{O}_T^+/\pi)(U)$. Altogether, we get that $A^+\langle 1/f \rangle \rightarrow B^+$ is an almost isomorphism (where $A^+\langle 1/f \rangle$ is potentially animated).

We now claim that the pullback $\tilde{j}^*: \mathcal{D}_\square(A^+) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_\square(A^+\langle 1/f \rangle)$ is a categorical open immersion. Namely, for a pseudo-uniformizer $\pi \in A$ we have

$$(A^+\langle 1/f \rangle)_\square \cong A_\square^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p[[\pi]][T]_\square} (\mathbb{Z}_p[[\pi]][T^{\pm 1}], \mathbb{Z}_p[[\pi]][T^{-1}])_\square,$$

where the morphism $\mathbb{Z}_p[[\pi]][T]_\square \rightarrow A_\square^+$ sends T to f , by [1, Proposition 4.11]. In particular, $D_\square(A^+) \rightarrow D_\square(A^+\langle 1/f \rangle)$ is a categorical open immersion defined by the π -adically complete idempotent solid A^+ -algebra $\mathbb{Z}_p[[\pi]][[T]] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p[[\pi]][T]_\square} A_\square^+$. Using [4, Lemma 2.35] and [4, Proposition 2.21.(ii)] this implies the same for \tilde{j}^* . In particular \tilde{j}^* admits a left adjoint $\tilde{j}_!$ whose almostification agrees with $j_!: \mathcal{D}_\square^a(B^+) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_\square^a(A^+)$. Hence $j_!B^{+a} = (\tilde{j}_!A^+\langle 1/f \rangle)^a$, and since $\tilde{j}_!A\langle 1/f \rangle^+$ is compact, we deduce that $j_!B^{+a}$ is (right-)bounded and complete. Thus, the projection formula and [4, Lemma 2.12(iii)] imply that $j_!$ preserves right-bounded complete objects. \square

Next we discuss proper p -bounded morphisms of untilted small v -stacks. We already get base-change from Proposition 3.1.9.(ii), so it only remains to check the projection formula:

Lemma 3.2.2. *Let $f: T' \rightarrow T$ be a proper p -bounded morphism of untilted small v -stacks. Then f_* satisfies the projection formula, i.e., for $\mathcal{M} \in \mathcal{D}_\square^a(\mathcal{O}_{T'}^+)$ and $\mathcal{N} \in \mathcal{D}_\square^a(\mathcal{O}_T^+)$ the natural morphism*

$$\mathcal{M} \otimes f_*(\mathcal{N}) \rightarrow f_*(f^*\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N})$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. By Proposition 3.1.9.(ii) we may assume that T is totally disconnected. By Proposition 3.1.8 we can conclude that f_* commutes with colimits and that $\mathcal{D}_\square^a(\mathcal{O}_{T'}^+)$ is generated by complete objects. Therefore we can reduce to [38, Lemma 3.6.5]. \square

Now we are in the position to establish the 6-functor formalism for $\mathcal{D}_{\square}^a(\mathcal{O}_{(-)}^+)$. Following more or less [38, Section 3.6] (and [37]) we do this in two steps: first, we handle morphisms between locally spatial diamonds, and then we pass to stacky maps between untilted small v-stacks.

A convenient class of morphisms of untilted small v-stacks which will admit !-functors is the following class of maps.

Definition 3.2.3. Let $f: T' \rightarrow T$ be a morphism of small v-stacks. Then f is called *lpbc*⁵ if for all locally spatial diamonds Y and maps $Y \rightarrow T$ the v-stack $Y' := T' \times_T Y$ is a locally spatial diamond and locally in the analytic topology on Y' the map $Y' \rightarrow Y$ is p -bounded and compactifiable.

Remark 3.2.4. We note that qcqs lpbc morphisms are representable in *spatial* diamonds. In particular not every p -bounded compactifiable morphism is lpbc. We furthermore note that following Remark 2.3.1 a morphism of untilted small v-stacks is called lpbc if its tilt is.

The lpbc maps from Definition 3.2.3 are closely related to the bdc maps from [38, Definition 3.6.9] (recall that the notions of p -boundedness differ!). In fact, bdc maps are lpbc (see Lemma 3.2.6 below), and the definitions differ only for a technical reason: we required p -bounded maps to be qcqs and representable in prespatial diamonds, however asking the existence of an analytic cover by subspaces with a qcqs morphism to the target is unnecessarily restrictive. In the construction of the 6-functor formalism below, the class of lpbc maps falls out quite naturally as well. This explains why we deviate from [38] here.

Before we come to the construction of the 6-functor formalism, we establish some basic properties of lpbc maps. The following criteria are useful for checking that a morphism is lpbc:

Lemma 3.2.5. *Let $f: T' \rightarrow T$ be a morphism of untilted small v-stacks. Assume that f is representable in (untilted) locally spatial diamonds, compactifiable and locally of bounded dimension ([38, Definition 3.5.3]). Assume furthermore that after base change along any morphisms $Y \rightarrow T$ with Y any (untilted) strictly totally disconnected perfectoid space $Y \rightarrow T$, the morphism f is analytic locally p -bounded. Then f is lpbc.*

Proof. Let $X \rightarrow T$ be a morphism from a spatial diamond X , and let $Y \rightarrow X$ be a quasi-pro-étale surjection from a strictly totally disconnected perfectoid space. Then $X' := X \times_T T'$ is a compactifiable locally spatial diamond. Let $X' = \bigcup_{i \in I} V_i$ be an open analytic cover by spatial diamonds. It suffices to see that for each $i \in I$ the morphism $V_i \rightarrow X$ is p -bounded. This follows from point (v) in Remarks 2.3.4 because the base change of V_i to Y is p -bounded. \square

Lemma 3.2.6. *Let $f: T' \rightarrow T$ be a bdc map of small v-stacks (in the sense of [38, Definition 3.6.9]). Then f is lpbc.*

Proof. The property of being lpbc is analytically local on the source (as follows directly from the definition). By definition of bdc, we may therefore assume that f is compactifiable (and in particular separated). Moreover, bdc maps are representable in locally spatial diamonds, locally of bounded dimension and the class of bdc maps is stable under base change. By Lemma 3.2.5, we may therefore assume that T is a strictly totally disconnected perfectoid space. Then T' is a locally spatial diamond, and we may furthermore assume that f is qcqs. Then Theorem 2.3.3 implies that f is p -bounded, and hence f is lpbc. \square

We record the following stability properties of lpbc maps which are both useful in practice and necessary for setting up the 6-functor formalism.

⁵=Locally P -Bounded and Compactifiable

Lemma 3.2.7. (i) *lpbc maps are stable under composition and base change.*

(ii) *The property of being lpbc is analytically local on source and target.*

(iii) *Every étale morphism is lpbc.*

(iv) *Let $f: Y \rightarrow X$, $g: Z \rightarrow Y$ be morphisms of untilted small v-stacks. If $f, f \circ g$ are lpbc, then g is lpbc.*

Proof. Part (i) and (ii) are clear. Because quasi-compact open subdiamonds form a basis for the analytic topology of a locally spatial diamond, it suffices to show (iii) under the additional assumption that the étale morphism is quasi-compact. As étale morphisms are by definition locally separated, we may as well assume it to be separated. Now, each quasi-compact, separated étale morphism is p -bounded (Remarks 2.3.4) and compactifiable ([50, Proposition 22.3.(vi)]). For part (iv) we may reduce to the case X, Y, Z are spatial diamonds. Then g is p -bounded by Remarks 2.3.4 and compactifiable ([50, Proposition 22.3.(viii)]). \square

With the above preparations we can now construct the 6-functor formalism for $\mathcal{D}_{\square}^a(\mathcal{O}_{(-)}^+)$. As mentioned above, we can employ recent advances in the abstract theory of 6-functor formalisms to make the construction rather formal:

Theorem 3.2.8. *There exist a class E of maps in the category vStack^{\sharp} of untilted small v-stacks, and a 6-functor formalism $\mathcal{D}_{\square}^a(\mathcal{O}_{(-)}^+)$ on $(\text{vStack}^{\sharp}, E)$ with the following properties:*

- (i) *When restricted to $\text{vStack}^{\sharp, \text{op}}$ the 6-functor formalism $\mathcal{D}_{\square}^a(\mathcal{O}_{(-)}^+)$ coincides with the functor $\mathcal{D}_{\square}^a(\mathcal{O}_{(-)}^+): \text{vStack}^{\sharp, \text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Cat}$ from Theorem 3.1.2.*
- (ii) *The pair $(\text{vStack}^{\sharp}, E)$ is a geometric setup in the sense of [24, Remark 2.1.2], i.e. E is stable under pullback and composition and if f and g are composable maps such that $f, f \circ g \in E$ then $g \in E$.*
- (iii) *E contains all lpbc maps. Moreover, if $j: U \rightarrow X$ is étale then $j_!$ agrees with the functor from Lemma 3.2.1, and if $f: Y \rightarrow X$ is lpbc and proper then $f_! = f_*$.*
- (iv) *E is $!$ -local on source and target, i.e. a map $f: Y \rightarrow X$ lies in E as soon as there is some universal $!$ -cover for Y and X on which f lies in E (cf. [24, Definition 3.4.6]).*
- (v) *E is $*$ -local on the target in the following sense: if $f: Y \rightarrow X$ is a morphism of small v-stacks, such that for any spatial diamond X' over X which admits a morphism to a strictly totally disconnected perfectoid space the base change $Y \times_X X' \rightarrow X'$ lies in E , then f in E .*

Proof. Let \mathcal{C} be the category of prespatial diamonds, which admit a morphism to a strictly totally disconnected perfectoid space and let bdc be the class of p -bounded compactifiable maps in \mathcal{C} . Let furthermore $I, P \subseteq bdc$ denote the classes of open immersions and proper maps, respectively. Then I and P forms a suitable decomposition of bdc (in the sense of [24, Definition 3.3.2]) and we can thus employ [24, Proposition 3.3.3] to construct a 6-functor formalism $\mathcal{D}_{\square}^a(\mathcal{O}_{(-)}^+)$ on the geometric setup (\mathcal{C}, bdc) . Here condition (a) is satisfied by Lemma 3.2.1, condition (b) is satisfied by Lemma 3.2.2 and Proposition 3.1.9.(ii) and condition (c) is automatic by [24, Corollary 3.3.5].

We restrict the 6-functor formalism on \mathcal{C} to the full subcategory spanned by the spatial diamonds. Since spatial diamonds (admitting a morphism to a strictly totally disconnected perfectoid space) form a basis of the v-site of small v-stacks, we can use [24, Theorem 3.4.11] to extend $\mathcal{D}_{\square}^a(\mathcal{O}_{(-)}^+)$ to a 6-functor formalism on $(\text{vStack}^{\sharp}, E)$ satisfying (i), where E is a collection

of morphisms satisfying (ii) and (iv). Moreover, containment in E can be checked after every pullback to a spatial diamond admitting a morphism to a strictly totally disconnected perfectoid space (thus (v) holds) and E contains all compactifiable p -bounded maps of spatial diamonds (using Remarks 2.3.4 to get rid of the assumption that there exists a morphism to a strictly totally disconnected perfectoid space). Together with the fact that by construction every qcqs open immersion is cohomologically étale and hence open covers form universal $!$ -covers (see [24, Lemma 4.7.1]) we easily deduce that every lpbc map lies in E .

We now show that étale maps $j: U \rightarrow X$ are cohomologically étale, i.e. satisfy $j^! = j^*$. By [24, Lemma 4.6.3.(ii)] étaleness is local on the target, so we may assume that X is a strictly totally disconnected space. By loc. cit. étaleness is also cohomologically étale local on the source, but locally on U , the map j becomes a qcqs open immersion and is thus cohomologically étale by construction.

The only statement left to be proven is the claim that a proper, lpbc morphism $f: Y \rightarrow X$ is cohomologically proper and hence satisfies $f_! = f_*$. If X is a spatial diamond, which admits a morphism to a strictly totally disconnected perfectoid space, then the statement follows by construction of the 6-functor formalism. To show the claim we apply now [24, Lemma 4.6.4] twice. Assume first that f is a monomorphism, i.e., that the diagonal Δ_f is an isomorphism. Then we need to see that the natural map $f_! \rightarrow f_*$ is an isomorphism of functors. This however can be checked after base change to a spatial diamond admitting a morphism to a strictly totally disconnected perfectoid space. But as remarked before this case is settled. If f is again a general proper, lpbc morphism, then Δ_f is a proper, lpbc monomorphism and hence cohomologically proper. Now the same argument as before proves that f is cohomologically proper. \square

We recall that a morphism $g: Z \rightarrow Y$ in E is of $!$ -descent if the natural functor $\mathcal{D}(Y) \rightarrow \varprojlim_n \mathcal{D}(Z^{\times n+1}/Y)$ is an equivalence, where the implicit functors are given by $!$ -pullback. We note that this limit is formed in Pr^R , which is antiequivalent to Pr^L ([36, Corollary 5.5.3.4]). Thus, the condition is equivalent to $\mathcal{D}(Y)$ being the colimit (in Pr^L) of the $\mathcal{D}(Z^{\times n+1}/Y)$ along $!$ -pushforwards. The condition for a morphism $f: Y \rightarrow X$ of untilted small v-stacks to be of universal $!$ -descent may look restrictive as $!$ -descent has to be checked for the pullback of f to any untilted small v-stack over X . We will nevertheless show in Section 4.3 that many (non-lpbc) morphisms occurring in practice are \mathcal{D}^a - $!$ -able in the sense below.

Definition 3.2.9. A morphism $f: T' \rightarrow T$ of untilted small v-stacks is called \mathcal{D}^a - $!$ -able if it lies in the minimal class of morphisms E in Theorem 3.2.8.

Remark 3.2.10. The proof of [24, Theorem 3.4.11] gives a rather concrete recipe for constructing a class E satisfying the conditions in Theorem 3.2.8 by enlarging the class of compactifiable p -bounded morphisms of spatial diamonds (admitting a morphism to a strictly totally disconnected perfectoid space) to be $*$ - and $!$ -local in the sense in Theorem 3.2.8. Moreover, the recipe gives a class which is tame in the sense that each morphism in E (with target a spatial diamond, which admits a morphism to a strictly totally disconnected perfectoid space) is $!$ -locally on the source given by a compactifiable p -bounded morphism of spatial diamonds.

3.3. Cohomological smoothness and cohomological properness

Having established the full 6-functor formalism for $\mathcal{D}_{\square}^a(-)$ in Theorem 3.2.8 we now aim to provide discussions of f -suave and f -prim objects (as introduced in [24, Definition 1.3.5]).

We recall that [38, Definition 3.2.2] has introduced the notion of a pseudo-uniformizer π on an untilted small v-stack. If π is fixed on some base untilted small v-stack T , then from

Theorem 3.2.8 one obtains the 6-functor formalism

$$T' \mapsto \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}^{+a}/\pi}(\mathcal{D}_{\square}^a(T')),$$

which by Remark 3.1.4.(i) agrees with the one in [38, Theorem 3.6.12] resp. [22, Proposition 2.6], up to restricting the class of p -fine maps to the \mathcal{D}^a -!-able ones. Given $M \in \mathcal{D}_{\square}^a(\mathcal{O}_{T'}^+)$ we will write $M/\pi \in \mathcal{D}_{\square}^a(\mathcal{O}_{T'}^+/\pi)$ for its reduction.

Proposition 3.3.1. *Let $f: T' \rightarrow T$ be a \mathcal{D}^a -!-able morphism of untilted small v -stacks, let π be a pseudo-uniformizer on T . Let $M \in \mathcal{D}_{\square}^a(\mathcal{O}_{T'}^+)$ be an object.*

- (i) *If M is f -suave, then M is complete and M/π is f -suave in the 6-functor formalism on $\mathcal{D}_{\square}^a(\mathcal{O}_{(-)}^+/\pi)$.*
- (ii) *Assume that M is complete, of finite Tor-amplitude and that M/π is bounded and f -suave in $\mathcal{D}_{\square}^a(\mathcal{O}_{T'}^+/\pi)$. Then M is f -suave.*

Proof. Assume that M is f -suave. Then M is complete as $M = \text{SD}_f(\text{SD}_f(M))$ is Verdier self dual by [24, Lemma 4.4.4(i)] (here $\text{SD}_f(-) = \underline{\text{Hom}}(-, f^! \mathcal{O}^{+a})$ by [24, Lemma 4.4.5]). The f -suaveness of M/π is formally implied by f -suaveness of M , e.g. by [24, Corollary 4.4.13]. This finishes the proof of (i).

To prove (ii), assume that M is complete and that M/π is bounded, discrete and f -suave for $\mathcal{D}_{\square}^a(\mathcal{O}_{(-)}^+/\pi)$. Then $\text{SD}_f(M)$ is complete (as \mathcal{O}^{+a} is). By [24, Lemma 4.4.5] it suffices to see that the natural morphism

$$\alpha: p_1^* M \otimes p_2^* \text{SD}_f(M) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}(p_2^* M, p_1^! M)$$

is an isomorphism, where $p_1, p_2: T' \times_T T' \rightarrow T'$ are the two projections. By completeness of M the object $\underline{\text{Hom}}(p_2^* M, p_1^! M)$ is complete. By Lemma 3.1.6.(i) $p_1^* M, p_2^* \text{SD}_f(M)$ are complete. As M/π is bounded and M of finite Tor-amplitude, we can conclude that M is bounded and that $p_1^* M \otimes p_2^* \text{SD}_f(M)$ is complete (using [4, Lemma 2.12]). Hence, checking that α is an isomorphism can be done modulo π , where it follows from f -suaveness of M/π and Lemma A.0.3. \square

As a consequence of Proposition 3.3.1 the f -suaveness of \mathcal{O}^{+a} can be checked modulo π . We can derive the following consequence for cohomological smoothness.

Lemma 3.3.2. *Let $f: T' \rightarrow T$ be a \mathcal{D}^a -!-able morphism of untilted small v -stacks. Assume that π is a pseudo-uniformizer on T . Then f is cohomologically smooth for $\mathcal{D}_{\square}^a(\mathcal{O}_{(-)}^+/\pi)$ if and only if f is cohomologically smooth for $\mathcal{D}_{\square}^a(\mathcal{O}_{(-)}^+)$.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.3.1 \mathcal{O}^{+a} is f -suave if and only if \mathcal{O}^{+a}/π is. Thus it suffices to check that $f^! \mathcal{O}^+$ is invertible in $\mathcal{D}_{\square}^a(\mathcal{O}_{T'}^+)$ if and only if $f^!(\mathcal{O}^{+a}/\pi)$ is invertible in $\mathcal{D}_{\square}^a(\mathcal{O}_{T'}^+/\pi)$. The “only if” part is clear. Assume now that $f^! \mathcal{O}^{+a}/\pi$ is invertible in $\mathcal{D}_{\square}^a(\mathcal{O}_{T'}^+/\pi)$. This holds then for any choice of π , and hence we may assume that $\pi|p$. By the existence of a φ -module structure on $f^! \mathcal{O}^{+a}/\pi$ and [38, Theorem 3.9.23] we may conclude that $f^! \mathcal{O}^{+a}/\pi$ is bounded and discrete. From here we can conclude by completeness of $f^! \mathcal{O}^{+a}$ that $M := f^! \mathcal{O}^{+a}$ is invertible. Indeed, $M \otimes \underline{\text{Hom}}(M, \mathcal{O}^{+a})$ is complete by the same argument as in Proposition 3.3.1 (note that M and $\underline{\text{Hom}}(M, \mathcal{O}^{+a})$ are bounded as this can be checked mod π where M is invertible) and the natural morphism $M \otimes \underline{\text{Hom}}(M, \mathcal{O}^{+a}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{+a}$ is an isomorphism mod π . This finishes the proof. \square

Remark 3.3.3. Another consequence of Lemma 3.3.2 is that cohomological étaleness for $\mathcal{D}_{\square}^a(\mathcal{O}_{(-)}^+)$ is equivalent to cohomological étaleness for $\mathcal{D}_{\square}^a(\mathcal{O}^+/\pi)$ (here cohomological étaleness refers to [24, Definition 4.6.1(a)]).

Unfortunately, the situation is not as good for f -prim objects and cohomologically proper morphisms. Namely, let $f: T' \rightarrow T$ be a \mathcal{D}^a -!-able morphism of untilted small v-stacks. Then $M \in \mathcal{D}_{\square}^a(\mathcal{O}_{T'}^+)$ is f -prim if and only if the natural morphism

$$f_!(M \otimes p_{2,*} \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(p_1^* M, \Delta_!(\mathcal{O}_{T'}^+))) \rightarrow f_*(\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(M, M))$$

is an isomorphism after applying $\Gamma(T, -)$ (see [24, Lemma 4.4.6]). However, tracing completeness through such a statement is more difficult because the !-pushforwards $f_!$ and $\Delta_!$ are involved. In fact, preservations of completeness under !-pushforward seems to be quite seldom. We do have the following positive results:

Lemma 3.3.4. *Let $f: T' \rightarrow T$ be a \mathcal{D}^a -!-able morphism of untilted small v-stacks. Let π be a pseudo-uniformizer on T . Let $M \in \mathcal{D}_{\square}^a(\mathcal{O}_{T'}^+)$ be right-bounded, complete and discrete modulo π . Assume that f is p -bounded and compactifiable. Then $f_! M$ is complete.*

Proof. We may assume that T is a strictly totally disconnected space. Factoring f over its canonical compactification reduces to the case that f is a qcqs open immersion (but T no longer a strictly totally disconnected space), because for any morphism of untilted small v-stacks $*$ -pushforward over a perfectoid space preserves completeness (using Lemma 3.1.6.(ii) to identify completeness with adic completeness here). If f is a qcqs open immersion then the claim follows from the last part of Lemma 3.2.1. \square

Corollary 3.3.5. *A p -bounded and compactifiable morphism is cohomologically proper for the $\mathcal{D}_{\square}^a(\mathcal{O}_{(-)}^+)$ -formalism if and only if it is for the $\mathcal{D}_{\square}^a(\mathcal{O}^+/\pi)$ -formalism.*

Proof. This follows from Lemma 3.3.4 and [24, Lemma 4.4.6] by arguing as in Proposition 3.3.1. \square

It would be interesting to extend Lemma 3.3.4 to stacky maps in order to analyze f -primness on classifying stacks.

4. The 6-functor formalism $\mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}(-)$

In this section we will define the categories $\mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}(S)$ and $\mathcal{D}_{(0,\infty)}(S)$, for a small v-stack S over \mathbb{F}_p . We also establish a full 6-functor formalism (in the sense of [38, Definition A.5.7]) for them.

4.1. Fargues–Fontaine curves

We recall the relevant Fargues–Fontaine curves for this paper.

Definition 4.1.1. Let S be a perfectoid space over \mathbb{F}_p .

- (a) We let $\mathcal{Y}_{[0,\infty),S}$ be the analytic adic space over $\mathrm{Spa}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ constructed in [19, II.1.1] for S (and \mathbb{Q}_p). We let $\varphi: \mathcal{Y}_{[0,\infty),S} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_{[0,\infty),S}$ be the Frobenius on $\mathcal{Y}_{[0,\infty),S}$.
- (b) We set $\mathcal{Y}_{(0,\infty),S} := \mathcal{Y}_{[0,\infty),S} \times_{\mathrm{Spa}(\mathbb{Z}_p)} \mathrm{Spa}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, and $\mathrm{FF}_S := \mathcal{Y}_{(0,\infty),S}/\varphi^{\mathbb{Z}}$.

More explicitly, if $S = \mathrm{Spa}(R, R^+)$ is an affinoid perfectoid space and $\varpi \in R$ a pseudo-uniformizer, then we have

$$\mathcal{Y}_{[0,\infty),S} = \mathrm{Spa}(W(R^+)) \setminus V([\varpi])$$

where the Witt vectors are endowed with the $(p, [\varpi])$ -adic topology, the morphism φ is induced by the Frobenius of R^+ , and

$$\mathcal{Y}_{(0,\infty),S} = \mathrm{Spa}(W(R^+)) \setminus V([\varpi]p).$$

In the following we recall several basic properties of the relative Fargues–Fontaine curve, as developed in [45].

Lemma 4.1.2. *Let S be a perfectoid space over \mathbb{F}_p .*

- (i) *The action of φ on $\mathcal{Y}_{(0,\infty),S}$ is properly discontinuous. In particular, the morphism $\mathcal{Y}_{(0,\infty),S} \rightarrow \mathrm{FF}_S$ admits local sections.*
- (ii) *If $S' \rightarrow S$ is an open immersion, then $\mathcal{Y}_{[0,\infty),S'} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_{[0,\infty),S}$, $\mathcal{Y}_{(0,\infty),S'} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_{(0,\infty),S}$, $\mathrm{FF}_{S'} \rightarrow \mathrm{FF}_S$ are open immersions.*
- (iii) *If $S' \rightarrow S$ is proper, then $\mathcal{Y}_{[0,\infty),S'} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_{[0,\infty),S}$, $\mathcal{Y}_{(0,\infty),S'} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_{(0,\infty),S}$, $\mathrm{FF}_{S'} \rightarrow \mathrm{FF}_S$ induce proper morphisms on the associated diamonds.*

Proof. The first statement is [19, Proposition II.1.16]. The second statement is implied by [19, Proposition II.1.3]. The third statement follows from the formula $\mathcal{Y}_{[0,\infty),S}^\circ \cong S \times_{\mathrm{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_p)} \mathrm{Spd}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ ([19, Proposition II.1.2]) because proper morphisms of small v-stacks are stable under base change. \square

We recall that the first assertion of Lemma 4.1.2 is proven in the case that $S = \mathrm{Spa}(R, R^+)$ affinoid perfectoid by constructing a continuous morphism

$$\kappa_\varpi: |\mathcal{Y}_{[0,\infty),S}| \rightarrow [0, \infty)$$

of topological spaces, which intertwines φ with multiplication by p and sends the Cartier divisor $(p - [\varpi])$ for a chosen pseudo-uniformizer $\varpi \in R$ to 1. If $I \subseteq [0, \infty)$ is a compact interval ($I \neq [0, 0]$), then the interior $\mathcal{Y}_{I,S}$ of $\kappa_\varpi^{-1}(I)$ is open affinoid ([19, Proposition II.1.16]). More concretely, assuming $I = [0, r]$ with $r = \frac{a}{b}$, $a, b \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$, then

$$\mathcal{Y}_{I,S} = \mathrm{Spa}(A_I, A_I^+)$$

with $A_I = A_I^+[1/[\varpi]]$ and A_I^+ the $[\varpi]$ -adic completion of $W(R^+)[\frac{p}{[\varpi]^{1/a}b}]$. An important property of the (relative) Fargues–Fontaine curve is that it is close to being perfectoid. More precisely, it has the following canonical cover by a perfectoid space:

Lemma 4.1.3. *Let $S \in \mathrm{Perf}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$. Let $\mathbb{Q}_{p,\infty}$ be the completion of $\bigcup_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{Q}_p(p^{1/p^n})$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{p,\infty}$ its ring of integers. Then the spaces*

$$\mathcal{Y}_{[0,\infty),S} \times_{\mathrm{Spa}(\mathbb{Z}_p)} \mathrm{Spa}(\mathbb{Z}_{p,\infty}), \quad \mathcal{Y}_{(0,\infty),S} \times_{\mathrm{Spa}(\mathbb{Q}_p)} \mathrm{Spa}(\mathbb{Q}_{p,\infty}), \quad \mathrm{FF}_S \times_{\mathrm{Spa}(\mathbb{Q}_p)} \mathrm{Spa}(\mathbb{Q}_{p,\infty})$$

are perfectoid.

Proof. The first assertion follows from [19, Proposition II.1.1] and the other assertions follow easily from that. \square

Remark 4.1.4. The fiber products in Lemma 4.1.3 agree with their derived version in the sense of solid mathematics, i.e. locally the occurring (derived) solid tensor products of solid rings is concentrated in degree 0. Indeed, this follows from p -complete flatness of $\mathbb{Z}_{p,\infty}$ over \mathbb{Z}_p , the fact that the occurring derived p -completions are already p -adically separated in this case and the preservation of p -completeness for the solid tensor product (see [38, Proposition 2.12.10]).

In a similar vein, we can use Lemma 4.1.3 to show the following compatibility of fiber products.

Lemma 4.1.5. *The functor $S \mapsto \mathcal{Y}_{[0,\infty),S}$ from perfectoid spaces in characteristic p to analytic adic spaces commutes with fiber products.*

Proof. To simplify notation, we write $Z_S := \mathcal{Y}_{[0,\infty),S}$ in this proof, and $(-)_\infty := (-) \times_{\mathrm{Spa}(\mathbb{Z}_p)} \mathrm{Spa}(\mathbb{Z}_{p,\infty})$. Let $S_1 \rightarrow S, S_2 \rightarrow S$ be morphisms of perfectoid spaces in characteristic p . We need to see that the natural morphism $\Phi: Z_{S_1 \times_S S_2} \rightarrow Z_{S_1} \times_{Z_S} Z_{S_2}$ is an isomorphism as analytic adic spaces. As $\mathbb{Z}_{p,\infty}$ is a descendable algebra in $\mathcal{D}_\square(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ the base change functor $(-)_\infty$ is conservative on analytic adic spaces. Hence, it suffices to show that Φ_∞ is an isomorphism. Now, Φ_∞ is a morphism between the perfectoid spaces $(Z_{S_1} \times_{Z_S} Z_{S_2})_\infty \cong Z_{S_1,\infty} \times_{Z_{S,\infty}} Z_{S_2,\infty}$ and $(Z_{S_1 \times_S S_2})_\infty$. Passing to the associated diamond $(-)^\diamond$ is a conservative functor on perfectoid spaces which commutes with fiber products, and $Z_{S_i,\infty}^\diamond \cong S_i \times \mathrm{Spd}(\mathbb{Z}_{p,\infty})$. Thus, it suffices to see that the natural morphism $(S_1 \times_S S_2) \times \mathrm{Spd}(\mathbb{Z}_{p,\infty}) \rightarrow (S_1 \times \mathrm{Spd}(\mathbb{Z}_{p,\infty})) \times_{(S \times \mathrm{Spd}(\mathbb{Z}_{p,\infty}))} (S_2 \times \mathrm{Spd}(\mathbb{Z}_{p,\infty}))$ is an isomorphism, which is clear. \square

4.2. v-descent for $\mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}(-)$

Let $S \in \mathrm{Perf}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$. By Lemma 4.1.3 the adic spaces $\mathcal{Y}_{[0,\infty),S}, \mathcal{Y}_{(0,\infty),S}$ and FF_S are sousperfectoid and hence stably uniform (see [52, Proposition 6.3.4]). Thus, given $S \in \mathrm{Perf}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ the categories

$$\mathcal{D}_{\hat{\square}}(\mathcal{Y}_{[0,\infty),S}), \mathcal{D}_{\hat{\square}}(\mathcal{Y}_{(0,\infty),S}), \mathcal{D}_{\hat{\square}}(\mathrm{FF}_S)$$

are defined in Section 2.2. In the following we show that these categories satisfy v-descent. We start with the following preliminary observation. As in the previous subsection, we denote by $\mathbb{Q}_{p,\infty}$ the completion of $\bigcup_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{Q}_p(p^{1/p^n})$ and by $\mathbb{Z}_{p,\infty}$ its ring of integers.

Lemma 4.2.1. *Let $S \in \mathrm{Perf}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$. Then the pushforward along $\mathcal{Y}_{[0,\infty),S} \times_{\mathrm{Spa}(\mathbb{Z}_p)} \mathrm{Spa}(\mathbb{Z}_{p,\infty}) \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_{[0,\infty),S}$ induces an equivalence*

$$\mathcal{D}_{\hat{\square}}(\mathcal{Y}_{[0,\infty),S} \times_{\mathrm{Spa}(\mathbb{Z}_p)} \mathrm{Spa}(\mathbb{Z}_{p,\infty})) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p,\infty}}(\mathcal{D}_{\hat{\square}}(\mathcal{Y}_{[0,\infty),S})).$$

Similarly, we have equivalences

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_{\hat{\square}}(\mathcal{Y}_{(0,\infty),S} \times_{\mathrm{Spa}(\mathbb{Q}_p)} \mathrm{Spa}(\mathbb{Q}_{p,\infty})) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p,\infty}}(\mathcal{D}_{\hat{\square}}(\mathcal{Y}_{(0,\infty),S})), \\ \mathcal{D}_{\hat{\square}}(\mathrm{FF}_S \times_{\mathrm{Spa}(\mathbb{Q}_p)} \mathrm{Spa}(\mathbb{Q}_{p,\infty})) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p,\infty}}(\mathcal{D}_{\hat{\square}}(\mathrm{FF}_S)). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.1.2.(ii) and [4, Theorem 2.38] both sides satisfy analytic descent in S . Hence, we may assume that $S = \mathrm{Spa}(R, R^+)$ is affinoid, and by a further analytic descent we can replace $\mathcal{Y}_{[0,\infty),S}$ by $\mathcal{Y}_{I,S}$ for some compact interval $I \subseteq [0, \infty)$ (for the radius function associated with some pseudo-uniformizer $\varpi \in R$). In particular, we may assume that $\mathcal{Y}_{I,S} = \mathrm{Spa}(A_I, A_I^+)$ is affinoid. Then $\mathrm{Spa}(\mathbb{Z}_{p,\infty}) \times_{\mathrm{Spa}(\mathbb{Z}_p)} \mathcal{Y}_{I,S}$ is affinoid perfectoid (by the proof of [19, II.1.1]), and

$$\mathrm{Spa}(\mathbb{Z}_{p,\infty}) \times_{\mathrm{Spa}(\mathbb{Z}_p)} \mathcal{Y}_{I,S} = \mathrm{Spa}(A_{I,\infty}, A_{I,\infty}^+)$$

with $A_{I,\infty} \cong A_I \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Z}_{p,\infty}$ the completed tensor product (equivalently the solid tensor product) and $A_{I,\infty}^+$ the completed integral closure of A_I^+ . By [4, Proposition 2.21] we can now conclude the result. We note that the tensor product agrees here with the derived version (see Remark 4.1.4). \square

Using Lemma 4.2.1 we can now deduce v-descent of the assignment $S \mapsto \mathcal{D}_{\hat{\square}}(\mathcal{Y}_{[0,\infty),S})$ by reducing it to the v-descent for $S \mapsto \mathcal{D}_{\hat{\square}}^g(\mathcal{O}_S^+)$:

Theorem 4.2.2. *There exists a unique hypercomplete v-sheaf $S \mapsto \mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}(S)$ of categories on $\text{Perf}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ such that for $S \in \text{Perf}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$, which admits a morphism of finite dim.trg to a totally disconnected perfectoid space, we have $\mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}(S) \cong \mathcal{D}_{\hat{\square}}(\mathcal{Y}_{[0,\infty),S})$ compatibly with pullback.*

Proof. The results of [4] recalled in Section 3 give a hypercomplete v-sheaf $Z \mapsto \mathcal{D}_{\hat{\square}}^a(\mathcal{O}_Z^+)$ on the category Perfd of all perfectoid spaces over \mathbb{Z}_p . This formally implies that $Z \mapsto \mathcal{D}_{\hat{\square}}(\mathcal{O}_Z) = \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_Z}(\mathcal{D}_{\hat{\square}}^a(\mathcal{O}_Z^+))$ is also a hypercomplete v-sheaf on the category Perfd of all perfectoid spaces over \mathbb{Z}_p . Composing with the functor

$$\text{Perf}_{\mathbb{F}_p} \rightarrow \text{Perfd}, \quad S \mapsto \mathcal{Y}_{[0,\infty),S} \times_{\text{Spa}(\mathbb{Z}_p)} \text{Spa}(\mathbb{Z}_{p,\infty}),$$

which preserves v-covers, yields a hypercomplete v-sheaf on $\text{Perf}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ sending S to

$$\mathcal{D}_{\hat{\square}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}_{[0,\infty),S} \times_{\text{Spa}(\mathbb{Z}_p)} \text{Spa}(\mathbb{Z}_{p,\infty})}).$$

If S admits a morphism of finite dim.trg to a totally disconnected perfectoid space, then by Theorem 3.1.2 (using analytic descent for $\mathcal{D}_{\hat{\square}}$ and that $\text{Spd}(\mathbb{Z}_{p,\infty}) \times S \rightarrow S$ has finite dim.trg)

$$\mathcal{D}_{\hat{\square}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}_{[0,\infty),S} \times_{\text{Spa}(\mathbb{Z}_p)} \text{Spa}(\mathbb{Z}_{p,\infty})}) \cong \mathcal{D}_{\hat{\square}}(\mathcal{Y}_{[0,\infty),S} \times_{\text{Spa}(\mathbb{Z}_p)} \text{Spa}(\mathbb{Z}_{p,\infty})),$$

which agrees with $\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p,\infty}}(\mathcal{D}_{\hat{\square}}(\mathcal{Y}_{[0,\infty),S}))$ by Lemma 4.2.1. Now, $\mathbb{Z}_{p,\infty}$ is a descendable algebra object in $\mathcal{D}_{\square}(\text{Spa}(\mathbb{Z}_p))$ because the map $\mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p,\infty}$ splits. Letting P_n denote the n -fold (solid) tensor product of $\mathbb{Z}_{p,\infty}$ over \mathbb{Z}_p , then by descendability of $\mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p,\infty}$ the functor

$$\mathcal{D}_{\hat{\square}}(\mathcal{Y}_{[0,\infty),S}) \rightarrow \varprojlim_{n \in \Delta} \text{Mod}_{P_n}(\mathcal{D}_{\hat{\square}}(\mathcal{Y}_{[0,\infty),S}))$$

is an equivalence. Note that each term in the limit satisfies descent for $S \in \text{Perf}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ (admitting a morphism of finite dim.trg to a totally disconnected perfectoid space), cf. the argument in [4, Lemma 2.40] (here we use that P_n is a nuclear $P_0 = \mathbb{Z}_{p,\infty}$ -algebra for each map $[0] \rightarrow [n]$ in Δ). We can conclude that for each v-hypercover $S_{\bullet} \rightarrow S$ with $S, S_n, n \in \Delta$, admitting morphisms of finite dim.trg to totally disconnected perfectoid spaces the canonical functor

$$\mathcal{D}_{\hat{\square}}(\mathcal{Y}_{[0,\infty),S}) \rightarrow \varprojlim_{n \in \Delta} (\mathcal{D}_{\hat{\square}}(\mathcal{Y}_{[0,\infty),S_n}))$$

is an equivalence. This finishes the proof. \square

Definition 4.2.3. (a) Using Theorem 4.2.2 we let

$$\text{Perf}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Cat}, \quad S \mapsto \mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}(S)$$

be the unique hypercomplete v-sheaf on the category of small v-stacks over $\text{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ such that $\mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}(S) \cong \mathcal{D}_{\hat{\square}}(\mathcal{Y}_{[0,\infty),S})$, compatibly with pullback, for any perfectoid space $S \in \text{Perf}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ which admits a morphism of finite dim.trg to a totally disconnected perfectoid space.

(b) If $f: S' \rightarrow S$ is a morphism of small v-stacks, then we denote by

$$f^*: \mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}(S) \rightleftarrows \mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}(S') : f_*$$

the restriction morphism f^* for the v-sheaf $\mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}(-)$ and its its right adjoint f_* .

Remark 4.2.4. Replacing in Definition 4.2.3 (and Theorem 4.2.2) the space $\mathcal{Y}_{[0,\infty),S}$ by $\mathcal{Y}_{(0,\infty),S}$ leads to the variant

$$\text{Perf}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Cat}, \quad S \mapsto \mathcal{D}_{(0,\infty)}(S).$$

Similarly to Remark 4.2.4 one could also introduce a version of the sheaf categories where we replace S by FF_S . We will however take a slightly different approach to this category:

Definition 4.2.5. For S a small v-stack, we define

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{FF}}(S, \mathbb{Z}_p) := \mathcal{D}_{[0, \infty)}(S/\varphi^{\mathbb{Z}}), \quad \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{FF}}(S, \mathbb{Q}_p) := \mathcal{D}_{(0, \infty)}(S/\varphi^{\mathbb{Z}}).$$

Here φ denotes the canonical Frobenius on S .

Remarks 4.2.6. (i) If S is a perfectoid space which admits a morphism of finite dim.trg to a totally disconnected perfectoid space, then $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{FF}}(S, \mathbb{Q}_p) = \mathcal{D}_{\square}(\mathcal{Y}_{(0, \infty), S})^\varphi = \mathcal{D}_{\square}(\mathrm{FF}_S)$ by analytic descent of $\mathcal{D}_{\square}(-)$.

(ii) For a small v-stack S the quotient $S/\varphi^{\mathbb{Z}}$ does no longer take values in groupoids (but 2-groupoids), hence falls outside the applicability of Definition 4.2.3. But in fact, we can extend $\mathcal{D}_{[0, \infty)}(-)$ to small v-stacks of anima without substantial problems, and so we gloss over this technical point. In practice, we only use the quotient $S/\varphi^{\mathbb{Z}}$ in cases where S is a small v-sheaf.

(iii) In all the above, it would have been possible without any extra difficulty to replace the Fargues–Fontaine curves for the local field \mathbb{Q}_p by Fargues–Fontaine curves for the local field L , if L is a given finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p (or a local field of positive characteristic!). This entails to replacing Witt vectors by ramified Witt vectors and would lead to \mathcal{O}_L - or L -linear categories $\mathcal{D}_{[0, \infty), L}(-)$, $\mathcal{D}_{(0, \infty), L}(-)$, $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{FF}}(-, \mathcal{O}_L)$, $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{FF}}(-, L)$. In order to keep the notation simple we stick to the case $L = \mathbb{Q}_p$.

Using the results for the pushforward functors in the $\mathcal{D}_{\square}^a(\mathcal{O}_{(-)}^+)$ formalism we can formally deduce similar properties for f_* in the setting of sheaves on the Fargues–Fontaine curve. It is convenient to allow coefficients in an arbitrary nuclear \mathbb{Z}_p -algebra Λ .

Proposition 4.2.7. *Let $f: S' \rightarrow S$ be a morphism of small v-stacks. Assume that f is p -bounded (and in particular qcqs). Let Λ be a nuclear \mathbb{Z}_p -algebra.*

(i) *The functor $f_*: \mathrm{Mod}_{\Lambda} \mathcal{D}_{[0, \infty)}(S') \rightarrow \mathrm{Mod}_{\Lambda} \mathcal{D}_{[0, \infty)}(S)$ preserves colimits and is $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{nuc}}(\Lambda)$ -linear.*

(ii) *If*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T' & \xrightarrow{g'} & S' \\ \downarrow f' & & \downarrow f \\ T & \xrightarrow{g} & S \end{array}$$

is a cartesian diagram of small v-stacks, then the natural transformation

$$g^* f_* \rightarrow f'_* g'^*: \mathrm{Mod}_{\Lambda} \mathcal{D}_{[0, \infty)}(S') \rightarrow \mathrm{Mod}_{\Lambda} \mathcal{D}_{[0, \infty)}(T)$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. Let $\Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda'$ be a morphism of nuclear \mathbb{Z}_p -algebras. If both assertions are true for Λ , then they are true for Λ' as well. Indeed, if $f_* = f_*^\Lambda: \mathrm{Mod}_{\Lambda} \mathcal{D}_{[0, \infty)}(S') \rightarrow \mathrm{Mod}_{\Lambda} \mathcal{D}_{[0, \infty)}(S)$ commutes with colimits, then it is $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{nuc}}(\Lambda)$ -linear (by rigidity of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{nuc}}(\Lambda)$, [4, Lemma 3.33.]) and hence the base change $f_*^\Lambda \otimes_{\Lambda} \Lambda'$ agrees with $f_*^{\Lambda'}$. Conversely, if $\Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda'$ is a descendable morphism of nuclear \mathbb{Z}_p -algebras then we claim that the assertion for Λ' implies the one for Λ . Let $[n] \mapsto \Lambda'_n$ be the Čech nerve for $\Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda'$. Then the functor

$$\mathrm{Mod}_{\Lambda} \mathcal{D}_{[0, \infty)}(-) \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_{[n] \in \Delta} \mathrm{Mod}_{\Lambda'_n} \mathcal{D}_{[0, \infty)}(-)$$

is an equivalence. Now the right adjoints $f_*^{\Lambda_n}$ satisfy base-change by the above argument, and hence descend to the right adjoint f_*^Λ . In particular, f_*^Λ commutes with colimits and base change.

Altogether the above arguments allow us to reduce the claim to the case $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}_{p,\infty}$. In this case we may by Lemma 4.2.1 reduce the assertion to Proposition 3.1.9. \square

An important subcategory of $\mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}(S)$ is formed by the nuclear objects, which are roughly the “ind-Banach sheaves”. In practice almost all of the relevant objects one deals with are of this form and they enjoy some particularly nice properties. Let us therefore introduce a corresponding notation.

Definition 4.2.8. Let S be a small v -stack. An object $M \in \mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}(S)$ (or $\mathcal{D}_{(0,\infty)}(S)$) is nuclear if its pullback to any perfectoid space which admits a morphism of finite dimension to a totally disconnected perfectoid space, is nuclear in the sense of Remark 2.2.2. We add a superscript $(-)^{\text{nuc}}$ to denote the respective full subcategories of nuclear objects.

Lemma 4.2.9. *The functors $S \mapsto \mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}^{\text{nuc}}(S)$ and $S \mapsto \mathcal{D}_{(0,\infty)}^{\text{nuc}}(S)$ are hypercomplete v -sheaves.*

Proof. We first note that Proposition 2.1.2, [4, Theorem 2.38] and the argument of [4, Lemma 3.30] imply analytic descent of nuclear modules on stably uniform analytic adic spaces. Then the argument of [4, Lemma 3.30] shows hypercomplete v -descent of $\mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}^{\text{nuc}}(-)$ on perfectoid spaces (similarly for $\mathcal{D}_{(0,\infty)}^{\text{nuc}}(-)$). We additionally note that nuclearity can be checked by pullback to a single cover by perfectoid spaces which admit morphisms of finite dimension to totally disconnected perfectoid spaces. \square

4.3. Six functors for $\mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}(-)$

In this section we will establish a full 6-functor formalism (in the sense of [38, Definition A.5.7]) for the functor $S \mapsto \mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}(S)$ on small v -stacks S . It will be convenient to allow coefficients in an arbitrary nuclear \mathbb{Z}_p -algebra Λ .

As in Section 3.2 we first need to establish base-change and projection formula for étale and proper maps and then use the formal construction results in [24, §3] to get the desired 6-functor formalism. In Proposition 4.2.7 we already proved qcqs base-change. The following results provide the missing properties:

Lemma 4.3.1. *Let $j: U \rightarrow S$ be an étale morphism of small v -stacks and Λ a nuclear \mathbb{Z}_p -algebra. Then the functor $j^* = j_\Lambda^* = \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} j^*: \text{Mod}_\Lambda \mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}(S) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_\Lambda \mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}(U)$ admits a left adjoint*

$$j_! = j_!^\Lambda: \text{Mod}_\Lambda \mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}(U) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_\Lambda \mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}(S),$$

which satisfies the projection formula. Moreover, for every morphism $f: S' \rightarrow S$ of small v -stacks with base change $f': U' := S' \times_S U \rightarrow U$, $j': U' \rightarrow S'$ the natural morphism

$$j_! f'^* \rightarrow f^* j_!$$

of functors $\text{Mod}_\Lambda \mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}(U) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_\Lambda \mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}(S')$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. Base change reduces to the case that $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}_p$ (note that the projection formula for $j_!$ implies that $j_!$ is $\mathcal{D}_{\text{nuc}}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ -linear). Then the assertion follows from Remark 2.2.5. \square

Lemma 4.3.2. *Let $f: S' \rightarrow S$ be a proper p -bounded morphism of small v -stacks and let Λ be a nuclear \mathbb{Z}_p -algebra. Then $f_*: \text{Mod}_\Lambda \mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}(S') \rightarrow \text{Mod}_\Lambda \mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}(S)$ satisfies the projection formula, i.e. for $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Mod}_\Lambda \mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}(S)$ and $\mathcal{N} \in \text{Mod}_\Lambda \mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}(S')$ the natural morphism*

$$\mathcal{M} \otimes f_*(\mathcal{N}) \rightarrow f_*(f^* \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N})$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. By Proposition 4.2.7.(i) we know that f_* is Λ -linear. Using base change and descent in Λ as in the proof of Proposition 4.2.7 we can reduce to the case that $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}_{p,\infty}$. Then the assertion follows from Lemma 3.2.2. \square

We can now construct the 6-functor formalism for $\text{Mod}_\Lambda \mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}(S)$. The formulation including “change-of-coefficients” is taken from [37, Proposition 5.14].

Theorem 4.3.3. *There exists a class E of morphisms in $\text{vStack} \times \text{CAlg}(\mathcal{D}_{\text{nuc}}(\mathbb{Z}_p))^{\text{op}}$ and a $\mathcal{D}_{\square}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ -linear 6-functor formalism*

$$\mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}(-, -): \text{Corr}(\text{vStack} \times \text{CAlg}(\mathcal{D}_{\text{nuc}}(\mathbb{Z}_p))^{\text{op}}, E) \rightarrow \text{Pr}_{\mathcal{D}_{\square}(\mathbb{Z}_p)}^L$$

satisfying the following properties:

(i) *The underlying functor*

$$\text{vStack}^{\text{op}} \times \text{CAlg}(\mathcal{D}_{\text{nuc}}(\mathbb{Z}_p)) \rightarrow \text{Pr}_{\mathcal{D}_{\square}(\mathbb{Z}_p)}^L$$

sends $(S, \Lambda) \in \text{vStack} \times \text{CAlg}(\mathcal{D}_{\text{nuc}}(\mathbb{Z}_p))$ to $\text{Mod}_\Lambda \mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}(S)$ and morphisms to pullback/base change functors.

(ii) *E is stable under pullback and composition, and if f, g are composable maps such that $f, f \circ g \in E$, then $g \in E$.*

(iii) *Let $f: (S', \Lambda') \rightarrow (S, \Lambda)$ be given. If $S' \rightarrow S$ is lpbc and $\Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda'$ an isomorphism, then $f \in E$. If $f \in E$, then the morphism $\Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda'$ is an isomorphism.*

(iv) *Every étale map $j: S' \rightarrow S$ of small v-stacks is cohomologically étale, and thus $j_!$ agrees with the functor $j_!$ from Lemma 4.3.1.*

(v) *Every proper lpbc map of small v-stacks is cohomologically proper, and thus $f_! = f_*$.*

(vi) *E is $*$ -local on the target in the sense that if $f: (S', \Lambda') \rightarrow (S, \Lambda)$ is a morphism with $\Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda'$ an isomorphism, then f lies in E if it does so after pullback to any spatial diamond over S which admits a morphism to a strictly totally disconnected perfectoid space.*

(vii) *E is $!$ -local on the source and target.*

Proof. Using Proposition 4.2.7.(ii) and Lemmas 4.3.1 and 4.3.2 the proof of Theorem 3.2.8 applies here as well and shows the existence of the 6-functor formalism. The extra functoriality on nuclear \mathbb{Z}_p -algebras can be obtained analogously to the construction in [24, Proposition 3.5.22]. Moreover, note that the $\mathcal{D}_{\square}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ -linearity of the 6-functor formalism is automatic by [24, Lemma 3.2.5]. \square

Definition 4.3.4. A morphism $f: S' \rightarrow S$ of small v-stacks is called $\mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}!$ -able if $(f, \text{Id}_{\mathbb{Z}_p})$ lies in the minimal class E satisfying the conditions in Theorem 4.3.3.

We note that Definition 4.3.4 and Definition 3.2.9 are probably not comparable as the involved notions of universal $!$ -descent differ. However, we’d like to have a stronger notion of a $!$ -able map than $\mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}!$ -able maps, in order to compare the $\mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}$ - and the \mathcal{D}_{\square}^a -formalism after base change to $\mathbb{Z}_{p,\infty}$. We develop such a notion in the following.

We note that for any small v-stack S there exists by v-descent an untilted small v-stack, written $\mathcal{Y}_{[0,\infty),S} \times_{\text{Spa } \mathbb{Z}_p} \text{Spa}(\mathbb{Z}_{p,\infty})$, extending the case that S is a perfectoid space (but only in the perfectoid case it is associated with an analytic space over $\text{Spa}(\mathbb{Z}_{p,\infty})$).

Lemma 4.3.5. *There exists a class E of morphisms of small v-stacks with the following properties:*

- (i) E is stable under pullback and composition, and if f, g are composable maps such that $f, f \circ g \in E$, then $g \in E$.
- (ii) Each lpbic-morphism in the sense of Definition 3.2.3 lies in E .
- (iii) E is $*$ -local on the target in the sense that if $f: S' \rightarrow S$ is a morphism of small v-stacks whose base change to every spatial diamond over S which admits a morphism to a strictly totally disconnected perfectoid space lies in E , then f lies in E .
- (iv) E is $!$ -local on the source in the following sense: assume $f: S' \rightarrow S, g: S'' \rightarrow S'$ are morphisms of small v-stacks, such that $f \circ g, g$ lie in E and the morphism $\mathcal{Y}_{[0, \infty), S'' \times_{\mathrm{Spa} \mathbb{Z}_p} \mathrm{Spa}(\mathbb{Z}_{p, \infty})} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_{[0, \infty), S'} \times_{\mathrm{Spa} \mathbb{Z}_p} \mathrm{Spa}(\mathbb{Z}_{p, \infty})$ of untilted small v-stacks is \mathcal{D}^a - $!$ -able and satisfies universal $!$ -descent for \mathcal{D}_{\square}^a . Then $f \in E$.
- (v) E is $!$ -local on the target in the following sense: assume $f: S' \rightarrow S, g: S'' \rightarrow S$ are morphisms of small v-stacks such that $\mathcal{Y}_{[0, \infty), S'' \times_{\mathrm{Spa} \mathbb{Z}_p} \mathrm{Spa}(\mathbb{Z}_{p, \infty})} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_{[0, \infty), S} \times_{\mathrm{Spa} \mathbb{Z}_p} \mathrm{Spa}(\mathbb{Z}_{p, \infty})$ is \mathcal{D}^a - $!$ -able and satisfies universal $!$ -descent for \mathcal{D}_{\square}^a . If g and the base change of f along g lie in E , then f lies in E .
- (vi) If $f: S' \rightarrow S$ lies in E , then f is $\mathcal{D}_{[0, \infty)}$ - $!$ -able, and the morphism $\mathcal{Y}_{[0, \infty), S'} \times_{\mathrm{Spa}(\mathbb{Z}_p)} \mathrm{Spa}(\mathbb{Z}_{p, \infty}) \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_{[0, \infty), S} \times_{\mathrm{Spa}(\mathbb{Z}_p)} \mathrm{Spa}(\mathbb{Z}_{p, \infty})$ is \mathcal{D}_{\square}^a - $!$ -able.
- (vii) The two 6-functor formalisms

$$\mathrm{Corr}(\mathrm{vStack}, E) \rightarrow \mathrm{Corr}(\mathrm{vStack}, \mathcal{D}_{[0, \infty)}\text{-!-able}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}_p, \infty} \mathcal{D}_{[0, \infty)}(-)} \mathrm{Cat}$$

and

$$\mathrm{Corr}(\mathrm{vStack}, E) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{Y}_{[0, \infty), (-) \times_{\mathrm{Spa}(\mathbb{Z}_p, \infty)}}} \mathrm{Corr}(\mathrm{vStack}^{\sharp}, \mathcal{D}_{\square}^a\text{-!-able}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Mod}_{\square} \mathcal{D}_{\square}^a(-)} \mathrm{Cat}$$

are uniquely isomorphic if the restrictions to $\mathrm{vStack}^{\mathrm{op}}$ are identified through the natural isomorphism Lemma 4.2.1.

Proof. As in Theorem 4.3.3 and Theorem 3.2.8 we use [24, Theorem 3.4.11], but with the following modifications: the $!$ -locality condition in (iii) and (iv) in loc. cit. is replaced by the stronger notion as used in (iv) and (v) stated here, i.e. universal $!$ -descent is asked for maps $f: S' \rightarrow S$ such that $\mathcal{Y}_{[0, \infty), S' \times_{\mathrm{Spa} \mathbb{Z}_p} \mathrm{Spa}(\mathbb{Z}_{p, \infty})} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_{[0, \infty), S} \times_{\mathrm{Spa} \mathbb{Z}_p} \mathrm{Spa}(\mathbb{Z}_{p, \infty})$ satisfies universal $!$ -descent for \mathcal{D}_{\square}^a (note that this involves requiring $!$ -descent on the $\mathcal{D}_{\square}^{a,+}$ -categories after base change to *any* untilted small v-stack over $\mathcal{Y}_{[0, \infty), S} \times_{\mathrm{Spa} \mathbb{Z}_p} \mathrm{Spa}(\mathbb{Z}_{p, \infty})$, not just those coming from a morphism $T \rightarrow S$ of small v-stacks). With similar modifications, the proof of [24, Theorem 3.4.11] goes through and provides us with a class satisfying (i)-(v). We may also assume that condition (vi) is satisfied because a morphism $f: S' \rightarrow S$ satisfying the stronger universal $!$ -descent as above in particular satisfies universal $!$ -descent for $\mathcal{D}_{[0, \infty)}$ (using that $\mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p, \infty}$ is descendable in $\mathcal{D}_{\square}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$). The final assertion (vii) follows from [24, Proposition 3.4.8], and the construction of $\mathcal{D}_{[0, \infty)}$ - resp. \mathcal{D}_{\square}^a - $!$ -able maps through [24, Theorem 3.4.11]: namely, both 6-functor formalisms agree by construction on compactifiable p -bounded morphisms (using Λ -linearity of pushforward, see Proposition 4.2.7.(i), to handle the proper case), and this propagates by [24, Proposition 3.4.8] to the minimal class E satisfying (i)-(vi), and which is tame in the above stronger sense (the existence of such a class follows from the proof of [24, Theorem 3.4.11]). \square

Definition 4.3.6. We call a map of small v-stacks $!$ -able if it lies in the minimal class of morphisms E satisfying the conditions in Lemma 4.3.5.

Whenever there can arise confusion we clarify if we consider a $!$ -able, a $\mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}$ - $!$ -able, or a $\mathcal{D}_{\square}^{\alpha}$ - $!$ -able map in the sense of Definition 4.3.6, Definition 4.3.4 or Definition 3.2.9.

In practice, the strongest form (as in Definition 4.3.6) is usually satisfied. In fact, in the following we list several useful examples of $!$ -able maps.

Proposition 4.3.7. *Let R be a perfect \mathbb{F}_p -algebra which is perfectly of finite type over \mathbb{F}_p . Then the morphism $f: \mathrm{Spd}(R) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is lpbc, and hence $!$ -able. Similarly, for any finite set $R_0 \subseteq R$ the morphism $\mathrm{Spd}(R, R_0) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is lpbc, and hence $!$ -able.*

Proof. We use Lemma 3.2.5. Namely, f is representable in locally spatial diamonds, compactifiable and of locally bounded dimension (the last two properties use that R is of perfectly finite type). After base change to a strictly totally disconnected space S we can embed $S \times \mathrm{Spd}(R)$ as a Zariski-closed subspace into some affine space \mathbb{A}_S^n . Zariski-closed immersions are qcqs quasi-pro-étale, and hence p -bounded (see Remark 2.3.4.(ii)), so it suffices to see that $\mathbb{A}_S^n \rightarrow S$ is p -bounded, but this is clear. As R_0 is a finite set, the morphism $\mathrm{Spd}(R, R_0) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spd}(R)$ is an open immersion, and hence lpbc by Lemma 3.2.7. Thus, $\mathrm{Spd}(R, R_0) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is lpbc as desired. \square

Proposition 4.3.8. *Let H be a locally profinite group with virtually finite p -cohomological dimension.⁶ Then the morphism $f: \mathrm{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_p)/H \rightarrow \mathrm{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is $!$ -able.*

Proof. By the $*$ -locality of $!$ -able maps as in Theorem 3.2.8, we may base change to a strictly totally disconnected perfectoid space S over $\mathrm{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_p)$. We claim that the morphism

$$\begin{aligned} g: \mathcal{Y}_{[0,\infty),S} \times_{\mathrm{Spa}(\mathbb{Z}_p)} \mathrm{Spa}(\mathbb{Z}_{p,\infty}) &\rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_{[0,\infty),S/H} \times_{\mathrm{Spa}(\mathbb{Z}_p)} \mathrm{Spa}(\mathbb{Z}_{p,\infty}) \\ &= (\mathcal{Y}_{[0,\infty),S} \times_{\mathrm{Spa}(\mathbb{Z}_p)} \mathrm{Spa}(\mathbb{Z}_{p,\infty}))/H \end{aligned}$$

is of universal $!$ -descent for $\mathcal{D}_{\square}^{\alpha}$. In fact, we claim this for $\mathcal{Y}_{[0,\infty),S} \times_{\mathrm{Spa}(\mathbb{Z}_p)} \mathrm{Spa}(\mathbb{Z}_{p,\infty})$ replaced by any untilted small v-stack T , which admits a pseudo-uniformizer π . We may assume that H is compact and of finite p -cohomological dimension (because étale covers satisfy $!$ -descent, cf. [24, Lemma 4.7.1]). We note that $g: T \rightarrow T/H$ is proper and lpbc. Now, the chosen pseudo-uniformizer π on T yields a symmetric monoidal functor $\mathcal{D}_{\square}(\mathbb{Z}_p[[\pi]]) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\square}^{\alpha}(\mathcal{O}_T^+)$ and similarly to the proof of [22, Lemma 3.11], it suffices to see that the morphism $1 \rightarrow C(H, \mathbb{Z}_p[[\pi]])$ has descent in the category of $C(H, \mathbb{Z}_p[[\pi]])$ -comodules in $\mathcal{D}_{\square}(\mathbb{Z}_p[[\pi]])$. This can be checked modulo (p^n, π^n) for $n \geq 0$ (provided that the bound is uniform in n). As in [22, Lemma 3.11] this follows from the assumption that H has finite p -cohomological dimension. \square

Another source of examples of $!$ -able maps comes from the theory of Banach-Colmez spaces. Fix a finite extension E of \mathbb{Q}_p . Following Fargues-Scholze's notation, if S is a perfectoid space over the residue field k_E of E , and $\mathcal{E}_0, \mathcal{E}_1$ are vector bundles on $\mathrm{FF}_{S,E}$, with a map $\mathcal{E}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_0$, we denote by

$$\mathcal{BC}([\mathcal{E}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_0]) : T \in \mathrm{Perf}_S \mapsto \mathbb{H}^0(\mathrm{FF}_{T,E}, [\mathcal{E}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_0]_{\mathrm{FF}_{T,E}}).$$

We will assume that \mathcal{E}_1 has only negative Harder-Narasimhan slopes.

Proposition 4.3.9. *With the above notations, the morphism $f: \mathcal{BC}([\mathcal{E}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_0]) \rightarrow S$ is $!$ -able.*

Proof. By [19, Proposition II.3.5.(i)] the morphism f is representable in locally spatial diamonds and partially proper (hence compactifiable). Using Lemma 3.2.5 it suffices to see that f is p -bounded, which is easy: one can reduce to the case that $S = \mathrm{Spa}(C)$ for an algebraically closed, non-archimedean field and show that the cohomological dimension of points on $\mathcal{BC}([\mathcal{E}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_0])$ is bounded in terms of the ranks and degrees of $\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_0$. \square

⁶By this we mean that there exists an open subgroup with finite p -cohomological dimension.

Remark 4.3.10. In Section 4.4 we will also discuss classifying stacks of Banach-Colmez spaces.

Having discussed many examples of $!$ -able maps, we finish this subsection with the following useful criterion for checking cohomological properness and smoothness in $\mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}(-)$ by reducing the question modulo a pseudo-uniformizer.

Lemma 4.3.11. *Let $f: S' \rightarrow S$ be a $!$ -able morphism of small v -stacks. Assume that π is a pseudo-uniformizer on S . If f is cohomologically smooth (resp. cohomologically proper) for $\mathcal{D}_{\square}^a(\mathcal{O}_{(-)}^+/\pi)$, then f is cohomologically smooth (resp. cohomologically proper) for $\mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}(-)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.3.5 and Proposition A.0.8 we may work with $S \mapsto \text{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}_p, \infty}(\mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}(S))$. Then the assertion follows by Lemma 3.3.2 and Corollary 3.3.5 together with Lemma A.0.3. \square

Remark 4.3.12. The results of this subsection work verbatim for $\mathcal{D}_{(0,\infty)}(-)$, i.e., for $\mathcal{Y}_{[0,\infty),S}$ replaced by $\mathcal{Y}_{(0,\infty),S}$, and yield a $\mathcal{D}_{\square}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -linear 6-functor formalism $\mathcal{D}_{(0,\infty)}(-)$ on small v -stacks. Alternatively, set $R := \mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}(\text{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_p))$. As $\text{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is the terminal v -stack, the 6-functor formalism $S \mapsto \mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}(S)$ is R -linear. In R one can now by descent define an idempotent algebra A , which has the property that its pullback A_S to $\mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}(S) = \mathcal{D}_{\square}(\mathcal{Y}_{[0,\infty),S})$ is the integral Robba ring for any perfectoid space S , which admits a morphism of finite dim.trg to a totally disconnected space, i.e., A_S is the ring of functions converging in a neighborhood of the locus $\{p = 0\}$ in $\mathcal{Y}_{[0,\infty),S}$. We can then set $R' := R/\text{Mod}_A(R)$, and consider the base change $R' \otimes_R \mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}(-)$ as in Lemma A.0.3. This base change is then $\mathcal{D}_{(0,\infty)}(-)$. Here, the implicit class of $!$ -able maps is still the one from Definition 4.3.6.

4.4. Geometric examples of cohomologically smooth morphisms

In this subsection we provide examples of cohomological smooth morphisms arising from geometry. The next subsection will discuss the arithmetic example of $\text{Spd}(\mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow \text{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_p)$.

We will make use of the following abstract criterion for checking cohomological smoothness based on the results in [24]. We make use of the solid 6-functor formalism on schemes (see e.g. [38, §2.9]) which we implicitly extend to stacks via [24, Theorem 3.4.11] (using the \mathcal{D}_{\square} -topology, i.e. where covers are universal $!$ - and $*$ -covers; this includes smooth covers by [24, Lemma 4.7.1]). Also, in the following result we implicitly identify a profinite group Γ with the group scheme $\text{Spec } C(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z})$.

Lemma 4.4.1. *Let Γ be a profinite group. Let A be a (classical) ring such that Γ has finite cohomological dimension over A , and let \tilde{A} be an A -algebra with smooth action by Γ . Then $f: \text{Spec}(\tilde{A})/\Gamma \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$ is $\mathcal{D}_{\square}(-)$ -suave if and only if for every compact open subgroup $H \subseteq \Gamma$ the invariants $\Gamma(H, \tilde{A}) \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ are dualizable.*

Proof. Set $X := \text{Spec}(\tilde{A})/\Gamma$ and $S := \text{Spec}(A)$. For $H \subseteq \Gamma$ we set $Q_H := \text{Ind}_H^{\Gamma} \tilde{A}$, or more precisely, $Q_H = g_{H,*} 1$, where $g_H: X_H := \text{Spec}(\tilde{A})/H \rightarrow X$ is the base change of the proper, smooth morphism $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})/H \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})/\Gamma$. It suffices to check that the collection Q_H for $H \subseteq \Gamma$ running through the compact subgroups, satisfies the assumptions of [24, Lemma 4.4.14]. As g_H is proper and smooth, the object Q_H is f -prim if 1 is $(X_H \rightarrow S)$ -prim (see [24, Lemma 4.5.16]). By the finite cohomological dimension assumption, the latter follows from [24, Corollary 4.7.5(i)] using the prim cover $\text{Spec}(\tilde{A}) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\tilde{A})/\Gamma$ (see [24, Proposition 5.2.5] and the proof of [24, Proposition 5.3.2]). Next, we have to see that the collection of functors

$$\pi_{2,*} \underline{\text{Hom}}(\pi_1^* Q_H, -): \mathcal{D}_{\square}(X \times_S X) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\square}(X)$$

is conservative. This may be checked after base change along morphisms $\mathrm{Spec}(B) \rightarrow X$ (as $\pi_1^* Q_H$ is π_2 -prim), and then reduces by renaming B to A to the assertion that the collection of functors $f_*(\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(Q_H, -)): \mathcal{D}_\square(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_\square(S)$ is conservative. Let $h: X \rightarrow S/\Gamma$ be the natural map. Then h_* is conservative and $Q_H = h^*(\mathrm{Ind}_H^\Gamma 1)$. This reduces the assertion of conservativity to the case that that $\tilde{A} = A$ with trivial Γ -action. But any $M \in \mathcal{D}_\square(S/\Gamma)$ is isomorphic to $\varinjlim_H M^H$ with $M^H = \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathrm{Ind}_H^\Gamma 1, M)$ because $C(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes A = \varinjlim_H C(\Gamma/H, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes A$. This shows the desired conservativity. Thus we may conclude by [24, Lemma 4.4.14]. \square

Remark 4.4.2. (i) Lemma 4.4.1 extends easily to the setting of analytic rings in the sense of Clausen–Scholze, as the argument is mostly formal.

(ii) Given an almost setup (in the sense of [38, Definition 2.2.1]), then the assertion of Lemma 4.4.1 also holds true for $\mathcal{D}_\square^a(-)$.

The following result might be surprising at first – it shows that the almost world can be rather subtle.

Lemma 4.4.3. *Let A be a (classical) ring and let $(a_1) \subseteq (a_2) \subseteq \dots \subseteq I = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} (a_n) \subseteq A$ be an idempotent ideal, i.e., the derived tensor product of I with itself is again I . Assume that K_n are perfect complexes over A such that $a_n \cdot H^i(K) = 0$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $K := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} K_n$ is dualizable in $\mathcal{D}^a(A)$.*

Here, $\mathcal{D}^a(A)$ denotes the classical derived category of almost A -modules, with almost referring to I .

Proof. We note that the morphism $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} K_n \rightarrow \prod_{n \geq 0} K_n$ is an almost isomorphism. Indeed, for fixed $m \geq 0$, the objects

$$\bigoplus_{k > m} K_k, \quad \prod_{k > m} K_k$$

are killed by a_m . From here, we see that the natural morphism

$$K^\vee \otimes K \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(K, K)$$

in $\mathcal{D}^a(A)$ is an isomorphism as each K_n is dualizable. This implies that K is dualizable by [37, Lemma 6.2]. \square

With the above preparations at hand, we can now come to the promised discussion of cohomological smoothness in our 6-functor formalisms. We start with the cohomological smoothness of smooth morphisms of analytic adic spaces over \mathbb{Q}_p .

Theorem 4.4.4. *Let $g: Y \rightarrow X$ be a smooth morphism of analytic adic spaces over \mathbb{Q}_p . Then $f := g^\diamond: Y^\diamond \rightarrow X^\diamond$ is $\mathcal{D}_{[0, \infty)}$ -smooth.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.3.11 the claim follows immediately from [38, Theorem 3.10.17], but we decided to provide a new and more conceptual proof here, using Lemma 4.4.1 to streamline the argument.

Using that étale morphisms are cohomologically smooth (by Theorem 4.3.3), and descent of cohomologically smoothness (see [24, Lemma 4.4.9]), we may reduce to the case of the torus $Y = \mathbb{T}_C \rightarrow X = \mathrm{Spa}(C)$ for a non-archimedean, algebraically closed extension C of \mathbb{Q}_p . We fix a pseudo-uniformizer $\pi \in C$ and set $R := \mathcal{O}_C/\pi$. As mentioned above, by Lemma 4.3.11 it is sufficient to check that f is $\mathcal{D}_\square^a(\mathcal{O}_{(\cdot)}^+/\pi)$ -smooth (note that f is lpbc, and hence !-able). We set $A := R[T^{\pm 1}] = \mathcal{O}_C\langle T^{\pm 1} \rangle/\pi$ and $\tilde{A} := R[T^{\pm 1/p^\infty}]$. Fix $\varepsilon := (1, \zeta_p, \dots) \in C^\flat$ with $\zeta_p \neq 1$, and let $\Gamma := \mathbb{Z}_p \cdot \sigma$ act on \tilde{A} as usual, i.e., $\sigma(T^{a/p^j}) = \varepsilon^{a/p^j} \# T^{a/p^j}$. Here, $\#: C^\flat \cong \varprojlim_{x \rightarrow x^p} C \rightarrow C$

is the projection to the first component. Unraveling the definitions, it is sufficient to check that the morphism

$$\mathrm{Spec}(\tilde{A})/\Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(R)$$

of stacks over $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$ is \mathcal{D}_\square^a -smooth. By smoothness of $\mathrm{Spec}(A) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ it suffices to check that $h: \mathrm{Spec}(\tilde{A})/\Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(A)$ is \mathcal{D}_\square^a -smooth. Let us first check that this map is \mathcal{D}_\square^a -suave, for which by Lemma 4.4.1 it is sufficient to see that for any open subgroup Γ' the invariants $\Gamma(\Gamma', \tilde{A})$ (a priori a solid A -module) are a dualizable object in $\mathcal{D}^a(A)$. We may reduce to the case that $\Gamma' = \Gamma$. Then

$$\Gamma(\Gamma, \tilde{A}) = [\tilde{A} \xrightarrow{\gamma^{-1}} \tilde{A}] = \bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{Z}[1/p]} [R \cdot T^a \xrightarrow{\varepsilon^{a\#-1}} R \cdot T^a],$$

which as an A -module is the direct sum of the complexes

$$K_b := \bigoplus_{a \in b + \mathbb{Z}} [R \cdot T^a \xrightarrow{\varepsilon^{a\#-1}} R \cdot T^a]$$

over $b \in \mathbb{Z}[1/p]/\mathbb{Z}$. Writing $b = c/p^j$ with $c \in \mathbb{Z}$, the complex K_b is isomorphic to $[A \cdot T^c/p^j \xrightarrow{\zeta_{p^j}^c - 1} A \cdot T^c/p^j]$ as a complex of A -modules, and hence perfect over A . Moreover, K_b is killed by $\zeta_{p^j}^c - 1$. This implies by Lemma 4.4.3 that $\bigoplus_{b \in \mathbb{Z}[1/p]/\mathbb{Z}} K_b$ is again dualizable in \mathcal{D}^a . This finishes the proof that h is \mathcal{D}_\square^a -suave.

$$\Gamma(\Gamma, \tilde{A}) = [\tilde{A} \xrightarrow{\gamma^{-1}} \tilde{A}] = \bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{Z}[1/p]} [R \cdot T^a \xrightarrow{\varepsilon^{a\#-1}} R \cdot T^a],$$

which as an A -module is the direct sum of the complexes

$$K_b := \bigoplus_{a \in b + \mathbb{Z}} [R \cdot T^a \xrightarrow{\varepsilon^{a\#-1}} R \cdot T^a]$$

over $b \in \mathbb{Z}[1/p]/\mathbb{Z}$. Writing $b = c/p^j$ with $c \in \mathbb{Z}$, the complex K_b is isomorphic to $[A \cdot T^c/p^j \xrightarrow{\zeta_{p^j}^c - 1} A \cdot T^c/p^j]$ as a complex of A -modules, and hence perfect over A . Moreover, K_b is killed by $\zeta_{p^j}^c - 1$. This implies by Lemma 4.4.3 that $\bigoplus_{b \in \mathbb{Z}[1/p]/\mathbb{Z}} K_b$ is again dualizable in $\mathcal{D}^a(A)$. This finishes the proof that h is \mathcal{D}^a -suave.

It remains to show that the dualizing complex $\omega_h = h^!1$ is invertible. By construction ω_h is an object in $\mathcal{D}_\square^a(\mathrm{Spec}(\tilde{A})/\Gamma)$, i.e. it is a (solid) almost \tilde{A} -module equipped with a smooth Γ -action. To prove its invertibility, it is enough to check the invertibility of the underlying \tilde{A} -module. By [38, Lemma 3.4.19] this underlying module is computed as $\omega_h = \varinjlim_{H \subseteq \Gamma} \omega_h^H$. For every compact open subgroup $H \subseteq \Gamma$ we denote $h_H: \mathrm{Spec}(\tilde{A})/H_m \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(A)$ and observe

$$\omega_h^H = h_{H*} h_H^! 1 = \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(h_{H!} 1, 1) = \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_A(\tilde{A}^H, A) =: (\tilde{A}^H)^\vee,$$

where we used that h_H is \mathcal{D}_\square^a -prim with trivial codualizing complex. The right-hand side can be explicitly computed as above, from which we deduce that $\omega_h \cong \tilde{A}[1]$, as desired. \square

We now turn to the identification of the dualizing complex that was omitted in the proof of Theorem 4.4.4. We will mostly follow the proof of [38, Theorem 3.10.20] (see [54, Theorem 1.2.18] for a similar approach) applied to the 6-functor formalism $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{FF}}(-, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ on small v -stacks over \mathbb{Q}_p . We will use results on a Riemann-Hilbert functor, that will be proven in Section 5.1.

Lemma 4.4.5. *The 6-functor formalism $X \mapsto \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{FF}}(X, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is geometric in the sense of [54, Definition 4.2.9], i.e., smooth morphisms of analytic adic spaces over \mathbb{Q}_p are cohomologically smooth and for any small v -stack X each invertible object in $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{FF}}(\mathbb{P}_X^1, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is pulled back from $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{FF}}(X, \mathbb{Z}_p)$.*

Proof. Cohomologically smoothness of smooth morphisms was established in Theorem 4.4.4. Let X be a small v -stack and $\mathbb{L} \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(\mathbb{P}_X^1, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ be invertible. By the \mathbb{Z}_p -Riemann-Hilbert functor constructed in Theorem 5.1.7, $\mathbb{L} = \text{RH}_{\mathbb{Z}_p, X^\circ}(L)$ for a dualizable object $L \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{nuc}}(X, \mathbb{Z}_p)$. We have a natural morphism $f^{\text{nuc},*} f_*^{\text{nuc}}(L) \rightarrow L$ and using (after pullback to a strictly totally disconnected space) the t -structure on ω_1 -solid sheaves [4, Proposition 3.16] (that preserves dualizable objects as can be checked in this case) we can check that it induces an isomorphism $f^{\text{nuc},*} \mathcal{H}^0(f_*^{\text{nuc}}(L)) \rightarrow L$. This finishes the proof. \square

Theorem 4.4.6. *Let $g: Y \rightarrow X$ be a smooth morphism of analytic adic spaces over \mathbb{Q}_p , which is equidimensional of dimension d . Then, in $\mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(Y, \mathbb{Z}_p)$, $(g^\circ)!(1) \cong 1(d)[2d]$ with $-(d)$ referring to the Tate twist.*

As the morphism $Z \rightarrow Z/\varphi^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is étale for any small v -stack Z , Theorem 4.4.6 implies that the same formula holds for the $\mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}(-)$ -formalism (and therefore also for $\mathcal{D}_{(0,\infty)}(-)$).

Proof. Given Lemma 4.4.5 the proof of [38, Theorem 3.10.20] works in this case as well, and reduces the assertion to the calculation of $s^1 1[2d]$ for the unit section $\text{Spd}(\mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{d,\diamond}$, which has the expected form (by Theorem 5.1.7 and the known \mathbb{Z}_p -cohomology of $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}_p}^1$). \square

Another important example for smooth morphisms comes from classifying stacks of p -adic Lie groups.

Proposition 4.4.7. *Let H be a p -adic Lie group (or more generally a locally profinite group which has locally finite p -cohomological dimension and is virtually p -Poincaré, in the sense of [22, Definition 3.15]), then $\text{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_p)/H \rightarrow \text{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is $\mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}$ -smooth.*

Proof. We already proved $!$ -ability in Proposition 4.3.8. Cohomological smoothness can be checked after base change to a perfectoid field K (see [24, Lemma 4.5.7]). It then follows from Lemma 4.3.11 and [22, Theorem 3.16, Theorem 3.18]. \square

Finally, we discuss examples coming from the theory of Banach-Colmez spaces. Fix a finite extension E of \mathbb{Q}_p . If S is a perfectoid space over the residue field k_E of E , and $\mathcal{E}_0, \mathcal{E}_1$ are vector bundles on $\text{FF}_{S,E}$, with a map $\mathcal{E}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_0$, we denote by

$$\mathcal{BC}([\mathcal{E}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_0]) : T \in \text{Perf}_S \mapsto \mathbb{H}^0(\text{FF}_{T,E}, [\mathcal{E}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_0]_{\text{FF}_{T,E}}).$$

Recall that for ℓ -adic coefficients, $\ell \neq p$, if \mathcal{E}_1 only has negative Harder-Narasimhan slopes and \mathcal{E}_0 only has positive slopes, $\mathcal{BC}([\mathcal{E}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_0])$ is cohomologically smooth (cf. [19, Proposition II.3.5]). This is not true in $\mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}(-)$: for $E = \mathbb{Q}_p$, we have $\mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{O}(1)) = \text{Spd} \mathbb{F}_p[[t]]$ and hence

$$\mathcal{D}_{(0,\infty)}(\mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{O}(1))) = \mathcal{D}_{\square}(\tilde{\mathbb{D}}_{\mathbb{Q}_p})$$

with $\tilde{\mathbb{D}}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ the (pre)perfectoid open unit disk over \mathbb{Q}_p (this will be justified later, see Remark 6.3.2 and the discussion in Example 6.3.10), which is not cohomologically smooth (as the dualizing complex of $\tilde{\mathbb{D}}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ over the unit disc $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is not invertible).

Proposition 4.4.8. *In the above situation, assume that \mathcal{E}_1 only has negative Harder-Narasimhan slopes and that \mathcal{E}_0 only has non-negative slopes. Then the classifying stack*

$$S/\mathcal{BC}([\mathcal{E}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_0])$$

is $\mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}$ -smooth over S .

Proof. We first check !-ability for the morphism $S/\mathcal{BC}([\mathcal{E}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_0]) \rightarrow S$. We may check this statement locally in the analytic topology on S . By the assumption on the slopes, we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{E}_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{BC}([\mathcal{E}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_0]) \rightarrow \mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{E}_1[1]) \rightarrow 0.$$

This reduces the assertion to the following statements: 1) $S/\mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{E}_0) \rightarrow S$ is !-able, and 2) the morphism $h: S \rightarrow S/\mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{E}_1[1])$ is of universal !-descent (in the strong form as required in Lemma 4.3.5). Namely, given 2) the morphism $S/\mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{E}_1[1]) \rightarrow S$ is !-able, and by pullback along h the desired !-ability of $S/\mathcal{BC}([\mathcal{E}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_0]) \rightarrow S$ reduces to 1).

We first show that 1) holds. Let d be the degree of \mathcal{E}_0 . From the proof of [19, Proposition II.3.1] we see that there exists (locally in the analytic topology on S) a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^d \mathcal{O}_{S_i^\sharp} \rightarrow 0,$$

where $S_i^\sharp, i = 1, \dots, d$, are untilts of S over E , and \mathcal{E}_2 is semi-stable of slope 0. Theorem 4.4.4 shows that the morphism $\mathcal{A}_{S_i^\sharp}^{1, \diamond} \rightarrow S$ is cohomologically smooth. This implies that the morphism $h_i: S \rightarrow S/\mathbb{A}_{S_i^\sharp}^{1, \diamond}$ satisfies universal !-descent for any $i = 1, \dots, d$. Pulling back along the product of the h_i 's, we can reduce to the case $\mathcal{E}_0 = \mathcal{E}_2$, i.e., that \mathcal{E}_2 is semi-stable of slope 0. Let r be the rank of \mathcal{E}_2 . By the proof of Proposition 4.3.8 the morphism $S \rightarrow S/\mathrm{GL}_r(E)$ is of universal !-descent, and thus there exists a morphism $S' \rightarrow S$ of universal !-descent, such that the E -local system $\mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{E}_2)$ on S is trivial on S' . As we may check !-ability of $S/\mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{E}_2) \rightarrow S$ after pullback to S' , this reduces to the case that \mathcal{E}_2 is trivial, where we can apply Proposition 4.3.8.

Let us now check statement 2). It suffices to see that $\mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{E}_1[1]) \rightarrow S$ is $\mathcal{D}_{[0, \infty)}$ -smooth. Arguing for \mathcal{E}_1^\vee as in the previous assertion there exists a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_3 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1, \dots, d'} \mathcal{O}_{S_j^\sharp} \rightarrow 0$$

for untilts $S_j^\sharp, j = 1, \dots, d' := -\deg(\mathcal{E}_1)$ and \mathcal{E}_3 semistable of slope 0. This yields the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{L} := \mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{E}_3) \rightarrow Z := \bigoplus_{j=1, \dots, d'} \mathbb{A}_{S_j^\sharp}^{1, \diamond} \rightarrow \mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{E}_1[1]) \rightarrow 0.$$

The morphism $Z \rightarrow S$ is cohomologically smooth by Theorem 4.4.4, and the morphism $g: S/\mathbb{L} \rightarrow S$ is cohomologically smooth by Proposition 4.3.8 (in fact we already know !-ability of g from the previous case, and hence the claim is v-local on S , which reduces to the case that \mathbb{L} is trivial). The factorization $\mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{E}_1[1]) \cong Z/E \rightarrow S/E \rightarrow S$ yields the desired smoothness. This finishes the proof.

Finally, we prove cohomological smoothness. The short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{E}_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{BC}([\mathcal{E}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_0]) \rightarrow \mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{E}_1[1]) \rightarrow 0$$

reduces us to prove cohomological smoothness for the classifying stacks of $\mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{E}_0)$ and $\mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{E}_1[1])$. We already established that $\mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{E}_1[1])$ is $\mathcal{D}_{[0, \infty)}$ -smooth, so a fortiori its classifying stack is. So it only remains to prove that the classifying stack of $\mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{E}_0)$ is $\mathcal{D}_{[0, \infty)}$ -smooth. The statement to be proven being local on S , we can apply [19, Proposition II.3.1] and assume that \mathcal{E}_0 sits in a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{FF}_{S,E}}(-1)^r \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0$$

for some $r \geq 0$ and some vector bundle \mathcal{F} semi-stable of degree 0 at every geometric point. Localizing further for the pro-étale topology, we can assume that $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_{S,E}}^s$ is trivial. We get a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \underline{E}^s \rightarrow \mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{E}_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_{S,E}}(-1)[1])^r \rightarrow 0.$$

The classifying stack of \underline{E}^s is $\mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}$ -smooth by Proposition 4.4.7 and we already proved that $\mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_{S,E}}(-1)[1])$ is $\mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}$ -smooth, and thus so also is its classifying stack. This finishes the proof. \square

4.5. The case of $\mathrm{Spd}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$

We now discuss the (surprisingly subtle) arithmetic example $\mathrm{Spd}(\mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_p)$. Let us first establish smoothness of this map. Afterwards we will determine the dualizing complex.

Theorem 4.5.1. *The map $\mathrm{Spd} \mathbb{Q}_p \rightarrow \mathrm{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is $\mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}$ -smooth and the dualizing complex is concentrated in cohomological degree -2 .*

Proof. Fix some algebraically closed non-archimedean field C of characteristic p . Then the $\mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}$ -cohomological smoothness of $\mathrm{Spd} \mathbb{Q}_p \rightarrow \mathrm{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ can be checked after pullback along the v-cover $\mathrm{Spa} C \rightarrow \mathrm{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ (see [24, Lemma 4.5.7]). As in the proof of [50, Proposition 24.5] we have

$$\mathrm{Spd} \mathbb{Q}_p \times \mathrm{Spa} C = \widetilde{\mathbb{D}}_C^\times / \Gamma,$$

where $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_p$ and $\widetilde{\mathbb{D}}_C^\times$ is the punctured perfectoid open unit disc over C , so we reduce to showing that the map $\widetilde{\mathbb{D}}_C^\times / \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{Spa} C$ is $\mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}$ -cohomologically smooth. We proceed in a similar way as in the torus case.

If t is the coordinate on $\widetilde{\mathbb{D}}_C$ then $\gamma \in \Gamma$ acts on t by $\gamma \cdot t = (1+t)^{1+p\gamma} - 1$. In particular, for fixed γ the action is given by a power series in t which starts with $t + \dots$, which shows that for all rational radii $0 < r < s < 1$ the Γ -action on $\widetilde{\mathbb{D}}_C^\times$ restricts to a Γ -action on the perfectoid annulus $\widetilde{\mathbb{B}}_C^{r,s} \subseteq \widetilde{\mathbb{D}}_C$ where $r \leq |t| \leq s$. Since these annuli form an open cover of $\widetilde{\mathbb{D}}_C^\times$, it is enough to show that each map $\widetilde{\mathbb{B}}_C^{r,s} / \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{Spa} C$ is p -cohomologically smooth. We now fix r and s . After rescaling the coordinate on $\widetilde{\mathbb{B}}_C^{r,s}$ we have $\widetilde{\mathbb{B}}_C^{r,s} = \mathrm{Spa}(C\langle T^{1/p^\infty}, \frac{\varpi}{T}^{1/p^\infty} \rangle)$ for some pseudo-uniformizer $\varpi \in \mathfrak{m}_C$ depending on $\frac{s}{r}$, and there is a pseudo-uniformizer $\pi \in \mathfrak{m}_C$ (depending on r) such that $\gamma \in \Gamma$ acts on T by $T \mapsto \frac{1}{\pi}((1+\pi T)^{1+p\gamma} - 1)$. We set

$$\begin{aligned} R &:= \mathcal{O}_C / \pi, \\ A &:= R[T, S], \\ A_n &:= R[T^{1/p^n}, S^{1/p^n}] / (S^{1/p^n} T^{1/p^n} - \varpi^{1/p^n}), \\ \widetilde{A} &:= \varinjlim_n A_n. \end{aligned}$$

One checks that $\widetilde{A} = \mathcal{O}^+(\widetilde{\mathbb{B}}_C^{r,s}) / \pi$ (e.g. observe that the version of \widetilde{A} without modding out by π is a perfectoid ring). In order to shorten notation we will from now on abbreviate $T_n = T^{1/p^n}$, $\pi_n = \pi^{1/p^n}$ and so on. The element $\gamma = 1 \in \Gamma$ acts on T_n and S_n as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma T_n &= T_n + \pi_n^{p-1} T_n^p + \pi_n^p T_n^{p+1}, \\ \gamma S_n &= S_n \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\pi_n^{p-1} T_n^{p-1} + \pi_n^p T_n^p)^k. \end{aligned}$$

As in the proof of Theorem 4.4.4, the claim reduces to showing that the map

$$\mathrm{Spec}(\tilde{A})/\Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(R)$$

is \mathcal{D}_\square^a -smooth. Since $\mathrm{Spec}(A_\square)$ is cohomologically smooth over $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$ and Γ acts trivially on A , it suffices to check that the map $h: \mathrm{Spec}(\tilde{A})/\Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(A)$ is \mathcal{D}_\square^a -smooth. We make the following preliminary observations, for each $n \geq 0$:

- (a) A_n is perfect as an A -module⁷ and $A_n^\vee := \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_A(A_n, A) \cong A_n[-1]$ as A_n -modules.
- (b) The map $A_n \hookrightarrow A_{n+1}$ is split injective, where the splitting $A_{n+1} \rightarrow A_n$ is given by forgetting the coefficients of T_{n+1}^k and S_{n+1}^k for all k coprime to p .

With these preparations at hand, we now prove the following crucial claim:

- (*) For every $\varepsilon \in \mathfrak{m}_C$ there is an integer $k \geq 0$ such that for every integer $m \geq 0$ the A_m -module

$$\mathrm{cofib}(A_{m+k} \hookrightarrow \tilde{A})^{H_m}$$

is killed by ε , where $H_m := p^m \mathbb{Z}_p \subseteq \Gamma$.

To prove claim (*) we will first prove an intermediate claim: For all integers $n, m \geq 0$ all the cohomologies of the complex $(A_n/A_{n-1})^{H_m}$ are killed by $\varepsilon_{n,m} := (\varpi_n \pi_n)^{p^{m+1}+p}$. Let us first argue why the intermediate claim implies (*). By using the long exact cohomology sequence of the fiber sequence

$$(A_{n+\ell}/A_{n-1})^{H_m} \rightarrow (A_{n+\ell+1}/A_{n-1})^{H_m} \rightarrow (A_{n+\ell+1}/A_{n+\ell})^{H_m}$$

for $\ell \geq 0$, we inductively deduce that all cohomologies of the complex $(A_{n+\ell}/A_{n-1})^{H_m}$ are killed by $\varepsilon_{n,m,\ell} := \varepsilon_{n,m} \varepsilon_{n+1,m} \dots \varepsilon_{n+\ell,m}$. In particular all cohomologies are killed by $\varepsilon_{n,m}^2$ and by passing to the colimit over ℓ we deduce that all cohomologies of $(\tilde{A}/A_{n-1})^{H_m}$ are killed by $\varepsilon_{n,m}^2$. Since this complex lives in only two degrees, we deduce that $(\tilde{A}/A_{n-1})^{H_m}$ is killed by $\varepsilon_{n,m}^4$ (see [53, Lemma 05QP]). This easily implies (*).

We now prove the intermediate claim, so let $n, m \geq 0$ be given. We denote from now on $q := p^{m+1}$ and $\gamma_m := p^m \in \Gamma$. Then

$$(A_n/A_{n-1})^{H_m} = \mathrm{fib}(A_n/A_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\gamma_m - \mathrm{id}} A_n/A_{n-1}),$$

i.e. the two cohomologies of $(A_n/A_{n-1})^{H_m}$ are given by the kernel and cokernel of the map $\gamma_m - \mathrm{id}$. We will separately show that both are killed by $\varepsilon_n := \varepsilon_{n,m} = (\varpi_n \pi_n)^{q+p}$. To this end, we first make the following preliminary computations: For an integer $k > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\gamma_m - \mathrm{id})T_n^k &= k\pi_n^{q-1}T_n^{q+k-1} + k\pi_n^q T_n^{q+k} + \pi_n^{2q-2}T_n^{2q+k-2}r(T_n), \\ (\gamma_m - \mathrm{id})S_n^k &= S_n^k(k\pi_n^{q-1}T_n^{q-1} + k\pi_n^q T_n^q + \pi_n^{2q-2}T_n^{2q-2}r'(T_n)), \end{aligned}$$

for certain polynomials $r(T_n)$ and $r'(T_n)$ in T_n .

We now handle the kernel of $\gamma_m - \mathrm{id}$ on A_n/A_{n-1} , so fix some element f in there. The element f has a unique representation of the form $f = \sum_{k>0} b_k S_n^k + \sum_{k>0} a_k T_n^k$, where we only need to consider k that are coprime to p (otherwise S_n^k and T_n^k lie in A_{n-1} and are thus killed in A_n/A_{n-1}) and of course only finitely many b_k and a_k are non-zero. We need to show that $\varepsilon_n f = 0$. Suppose this is not the case and suppose that there is some $k > 0$ such that $\varepsilon_n b_k \neq 0$. We consider the maximal such k . First assume $k > q$ and let $k_0 < k$ be the largest

⁷We warn the reader that A_n is not perfect as an A_0 -module, although it is of course finitely presented.

integer such that $p \mid k_0$. Then by the above formulas and the assumption on k , for every $\ell = k_0, \dots, k-1$ the coefficient of S_n^{l-q+1} in $(\gamma_m - \text{id})f$ is

$$b_\ell \ell \pi_n^{q-1} \varpi_n^{q-1} + b_{\ell+1}(\ell+1) \pi_n^q \varpi_n^q. \quad (4.5.1.1)$$

All of these coefficients must be 0 because $l - q + 1$ is not divisible by p and hence S_n^{l-q+1} does not lie in A_{n-1} . For $\ell = k_0$ this shows $\pi_n^q \varpi_n^q b_{k_0+1} = 0$. By induction on ℓ we deduce that $\pi_n^{q+\ell-k_0} \varpi_n^{q+\ell-k_0} b_{\ell+1} = 0$ for $\ell = k_0, \dots, k-1$. In particular $\varepsilon_n b_k = 0$, contradiction! This shows that $k < q$. Take k_0 as before. Then for $\ell = k_0, \dots, k-1$ the coefficient of $T_n^{q-1-\ell}$ in $\varpi_n^{q-\ell-1}(\gamma_m - \text{id})f$ is as in Eq. (4.5.1.1), so we can argue as before. This altogether shows that $\varepsilon_n b_k = 0$ for all k . Now suppose that $\varepsilon_n a_k \neq 0$ for some k and pick the smallest such k . Let $k_0 > k$ be the smallest integer such that $p \mid k$. For $\ell = k+1, \dots, k_0$ we consider the coefficient of $T_n^{q+\ell-1}$ in $\varpi_n^{q+p}(\gamma_m - \text{id})f$ and argue again as above in order to deduce $\varepsilon_n a_k = 0$. We conclude that $\varepsilon_n f = 0$, finishing the proof that $H^0((A_n/A_{n-1})^H)$ is killed by ε_n .

It remains to show that the cokernel of $\gamma_m - \text{id}$ on A_n/A_{n-1} is killed by $\varepsilon_n = \varpi_n^{q+p} \pi_n^{q+p}$. For this we need to see that $\varepsilon_n S_n^k = 0$ and $\varepsilon_n T_n^k = 0$ in the cokernel, for each integer $k > 0$ that is coprime to p . In fact it is enough to show that $\varepsilon_n S_n^k$ and $\varepsilon_n T_n^k$ are multiples of $\pi_n \varepsilon_n$ in the cokernel—then inductively they are multiples of $\pi_n^\ell \varepsilon_n$ for all $\ell \geq 1$ and hence they must be 0. Let us first consider $\varepsilon_n T_n^k$ for $k > q$ coprime to p . By the above computation of $(\gamma_m - \text{id})T_n^{k-q}$ we deduce that in the cokernel we have

$$\varepsilon_n T_n^k = \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\pi_n} T_n^{k-1} + \pi_n^{q-2} \varepsilon_n k^{-1} r(T_n).$$

If $k-1$ is divisible by p then T_n^{k-1} lies in A_{n-1} and hence vanishes in the cokernel, and we are done. If not, we apply the same procedure to $k-1$ and so on. After at most $p-1$ steps we arrive at the desired conclusion. One can similarly handle $\varepsilon_n T_n^k$ for $k < q$ and $\varepsilon_n S_n^k$ for $k > 0$ by employing the above computation of $(\gamma_m - \text{id})S_n^k$. This finally finishes the proof of the intermediate claim and hence the proof of claim (*) above.

We now come back to the proof of the \mathcal{D}_\square^a -smoothness of the map $h: \text{Spec}(\tilde{A})/\Gamma \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$. Let us first check that h is \mathcal{D}_\square^a -suave. By Lemma 4.4.1 this reduces to showing that for all $m \geq 0$ the A -module \tilde{A}^{H_m} is dualizable in $\mathcal{D}_\square^a(A)$. By [38, Proposition 3.7.5(iii)] this reduces to showing that \tilde{A}^{H_m} is weakly almost perfect i.e. for every $\varepsilon \in \mathfrak{m}_C$ it is an ε -retract of a perfect A -module. But from (*) it follows easily that \tilde{A}^{H_m} is an ε -retract of $A_{m+k}^{H_m}$ and the latter is indeed a perfect A -module (by observation (a) above and the explicit formula for H_m -cohomology).

To finish the proof, it remains to show that the dualizing complex $\omega_h = h^!1$ of h is invertible and concentrated in degree 0 (as the dualizing complex of A over R is concentrated in cohomological degree -2). As in the proof of Theorem 4.4.4 we compute

$$\omega_h = \varinjlim_{m \geq 0} (\tilde{A}^{H_m})^\vee = \varinjlim_{m \geq 0} [\tilde{A}^\vee \xrightarrow{\gamma_m^\vee - \text{id}} \tilde{A}^\vee][1] = \varinjlim_{m \geq 0} (\tilde{A}^\vee)^{H_m}[1].$$

Here the \tilde{A} -module structure on the colimit comes as the colimit of the A_m -module structures on \tilde{A}^{H_m} (where we observe that H_m acts trivially on A_m). Note that using observation (a) we have for all $n \geq 0$

$$\tilde{A}^\vee = \underline{\text{Hom}}_A(\tilde{A}, A) = \underline{\text{Hom}}_{A_n}(\tilde{A}, \underline{\text{Hom}}_A(A_n, A)) = \underline{\text{Hom}}_{A_n}(\tilde{A}, A_n)[-1]$$

Using observations (a) and (b) we further note that $\tilde{A}^\vee = \prod_n \underline{\text{Hom}}_A((A_n/A_{n-1}), A)$ and this complex is concentrated in cohomological degree 1. Altogether we see that \tilde{A}^\vee is up to a shift by -1 simply the (classical) A_n -module of A_n -linear maps $\tilde{A} \rightarrow A_n$. In particular ω_h is

a priori concentrated in degrees 0 and 1. We claim that ω_h is concentrated in degree 0 and invertible in $\mathcal{D}^a(\tilde{A})$.

We first show that $H^1(\omega_h) = 0$. By the above description of ω_h we see that $H^1(\omega_h) = \varinjlim_m H^1(\omega_{h,m})$, where $H^1(\omega_{h,m}) = \text{coker}(\gamma_m^\vee - \text{id}: \tilde{A}^\vee[1] \rightarrow \tilde{A}^\vee[1])$. Here the transition maps are given by

$$\alpha_m := (\gamma_m^\vee - \text{id})^{p-1}: H^1(\omega_{h,m}) \rightarrow H^1(\omega_{h,m+1})$$

Fix some $m \geq 0$, some $f \in H^1(\omega_{h,m})$ (which we identify with an A_m -linear map $\tilde{A} \rightarrow A_m$) and some $\varepsilon \in \mathfrak{m}_C$. We need to see that the image of εf in $H^1(\omega_{h,m'})$ is 0 for $m' \gg m$. We fix some $k \geq 0$ as in claim (*) and we claim that $\alpha_m(\varepsilon f)$ is divisible by $\varepsilon \pi_{k+1}$. This is enough to conclude, because then we can run the same argument for $m+1$ in place of m to deduce that $\alpha_{m+1}(\alpha_m(\varepsilon f))$ is divisible by $\varepsilon \pi_{k+1}^2$ and so on.

Let $f' := \alpha_m f$ and write $f' = f'_1 + f'_2$, where $f'_1 := f' \circ i_{m+k}$, with i_{m+k} being the composition $\tilde{A} \rightarrow A_{m+k} \hookrightarrow \tilde{A}$; in other words, f'_1 is obtained from f' by forgetting the values of f' on S_n and T_n for $n > m+k$. By (*) the fiber of $\text{pr}: (\tilde{A}^\vee)^{H_{m+1}} \rightarrow (A_{m+k}^\vee)^{H_{m+1}}$ is killed by ε . Since $\text{pr}(f'_2) = \text{pr}(f' - f'_1) = 0$, we deduce that $\varepsilon f'_2 = 0$. Thus it only remains to prove that f'_1 is divisible by π_{k+1} . Since α_m is an iterated composition of $(\gamma_m^\vee - \text{id})$, it is now enough to show that the restriction of $(\gamma_m^\vee - \text{id})f$ to A_{m+k} is divisible by π_{k+1} . But for all $\ell \geq 0$ we have

$$((\gamma_m^\vee - \text{id})f)(T_{m+k}^\ell) = f((\gamma_m - \text{id})T_{m+k}^\ell), \quad ((\gamma_m^\vee - \text{id})f)(S_{m+k}^\ell) = f((\gamma_m - \text{id})S_{m+k}^\ell),$$

so the claim reduces to the observation that the formulas for $(\gamma_m - \text{id})T_{m+k}^\ell$ and $(\gamma_m - \text{id})S_{m+k}^\ell$ are divisible by π_{k+1} .

We have shown that $H^1(\omega_h) = 0$, so in particular $\omega_h = H^0(\omega_h)$ is concentrated in degree 0. We now show that ω_h is invertible in $\mathcal{D}^a(\tilde{A})$. For $n \geq 0$ let $B_n := C\langle T_n \rangle [T_n^{-1}]$. Then for $k \geq 0$ the ring B_{n+k} is free as a B_n -module with basis given by T_{n+k}^ℓ for $\ell = 0, \dots, p^k - 1$. There is a different basis of B_{n+k} over B_n given by the elements $(1 + \pi_{n+k} T_{n+k})^\ell$ for $\ell = 0, \dots, p^k - 1$. In particular, projecting to the coefficient of 1 yields a splitting $B_{n+k} \rightarrow B_n$ of the canonical inclusion. Now let $B_n^+ \subseteq B_n$ be the \mathcal{O}_C -subalgebra generated by T_n and $\frac{\pi_n}{T_n}$, i.e. $B_n^+ \cong \mathcal{O}_C\langle T_n \rangle [\frac{\pi_n}{T_n}]$ and $B_n^+/\pi = A_n$. We observe that the splitting $B_{n+k} \rightarrow B_n$ restricts to a B_n^+ -linear map $\pi_n B_{n+k}^+ \rightarrow B_n^+$ (because the relevant base-change matrices have a maximum of π_n in the denominator) and hence to an A_n -linear map $\pi_n A_{n+k} \rightarrow A_n$. These maps are compatible for varying k and thus induce an A_n -linear map $\pi_n \tilde{A} \rightarrow A_n$. Now note that for an integer $m \geq 0$ we have

$$\gamma_m(1 + \pi_{n+k} T_{n+k})^\ell = (1 + \pi_{n+k} T_{n+k})^{\ell(p^m+1)}.$$

We deduce that for $m \geq n$ (so that H_m acts trivially on A_n) the map $\pi_n \tilde{A} \rightarrow A_n$ from above is H_m -equivariant. We thus obtain a map $(A_n^\vee)^{H_m} \rightarrow (\tilde{A}^\vee)^{H_m}$ and by evaluating this on H^1 and passing to the colimit over m we obtain an A_n -linear map $A_n \rightarrow \omega_h$ and hence an induced \tilde{A} -linear map $s_n: \tilde{A} \rightarrow \omega_h$. Pick some $\varepsilon \in \mathfrak{m}_C$ and let $n \geq 0$ be big enough so that $\pi_n \mid \varepsilon$. We claim that $\text{fib}(s_n)$ is killed by ε^2 , or equivalently that both $\ker(s_n)$ and $\text{coker}(s_n)$ are killed by ε .

To handle the kernel of s_n , suppose that $s_n(a) = 0$ for some $a \in \tilde{A}$. Pick $n' \geq n$ big enough so that $a \in A_{n'}$. Then $s_n(a) = 0$ implies that the A_n -linear map

$$A_{n'} \xrightarrow{\pi_n a} \pi_n A_{n'} \rightarrow A_n \tag{4.5.1.2}$$

is zero, where the second map is the section from above. By writing $\pi_n a$ in coordinates for the basis $(1 + \pi_{n'} T_{n'})^\ell$ from above (more precisely, we lift $\pi_n a$ to $B_{n'}^+$ and then use the above basis for $B_{n'}$) and plugging $(1 + \pi_{n'} T_{n'})^{-\ell}$ into the above zero map, we deduce that $\pi_n a = 0$; hence also $\varepsilon a = 0$. This shows that $\ker(s_n)$ is killed by ε .

To handle the cokernel of s_n , fix some $f \in \omega_h$. We have to show that εf lies in the image of s_n . Pick $m \geq n$ big enough so that $f \in \omega_{h,m}$ and pick $k \geq 0$ as in (*) for the given ε . We may view f as an A_n -linear H_m -equivariant map $\tilde{A} \rightarrow A_n$ and by our choice of k we know that εf factors over the canonical projection $\tilde{A} \rightarrow A_{m+k}$. Thus in order to show that εf lies in the image of s_n , it is enough to show the same claim after restricting to A_{m+k} in place of \tilde{A} . Thus for $n' := m+k$ we have reduced the claim to showing that for an A_n -linear map $f: A_{n'} \rightarrow A_n$ there is some $a \in A_{n'}$ such that εf is equal to the composition in Eq. (4.5.1.2). But the appropriate coordinates for a in terms of the basis $(1 + \pi_{n'} T_{n'})^\ell$ are given by evaluating $\frac{\varepsilon}{\pi_n} f$ on $(1 + \pi_{n'} T_{n'})^{-\ell}$. This finishes the proof that $\text{coker}(s_n)$ is killed by ε .

Altogether we have shown that for every $\varepsilon \in \mathfrak{m}_C$ there is a \tilde{A} -linear map $\tilde{A} \rightarrow \omega_h$ whose fiber is killed by ε . This shows that ω_h is an ε -retract of \tilde{A} for every ε , hence ω_h is weakly almost perfect. By [38, Proposition 3.7.11] we conclude that ω_h is dualizable in $\mathcal{D}^a(\tilde{A})$. Thus by [24, Remark 4.5.12] we deduce that ω_h is invertible, as desired. \square

Corollary 4.5.2. *The map $\text{Spd } \mathbb{Q}_p / \varphi^{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \text{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is $\mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}$ -smooth and $\mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}$ -proper, with dualizing complex $\omega \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(\text{Spd } \mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ given by*

$$\omega = \text{RH}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\chi_{\text{cycl}})[2],$$

where χ_{cycl} denotes the cyclotomic character.

Proof. Cohomological smoothness immediately follows from Theorem 4.5.1. From Theorem 5.1.7 proved below we conclude that $\omega = \text{RH}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathbb{L}_\chi)[2]$ for a \mathbb{Z}_p -local system on $\text{Spd}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ associated with a continuous character $\chi: \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}/\mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$. It suffices to identify the \mathbb{Q}_p -local system $\mathbb{L}_\chi[1/p]$, and hence to identify the image of ω in $\mathcal{D}_{(0,\infty)}(\text{Spd}(\mathbb{Q}_p)/\varphi^{\mathbb{Z}})$. Here, we can invoke the classical calculation of cohomology of rank 1 (φ, Γ) -modules (e.g., [27, Proposition 6.2.8]) to see that χ must be given by the cyclotomic character χ_{cycl} . More precisely, it is sufficient to know which characters have non-trivial H^0, H^2 , and then one sees that only the cyclotomic character can yield the duality. The $\mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}$ -properness follows from Theorem 4.3.3 as $\text{Spd}(\mathbb{Q}_p)/\varphi^{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \text{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is proper and lpbcc. \square

Remark 4.5.3. Let $A \in \text{CAlg}(\mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}(\text{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_p)))$, e.g., A could be pulled back from $\mathcal{D}_{\square}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. Using the results of Appendix A we obtain the 6-functor formalism $S \mapsto \text{Mod}_A \mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}(S)$ on small v-stacks. For suitable A , dualizable objects in $\text{Mod}_A \mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}(\text{Spd}(\mathbb{Q}_p)/\varphi^{\mathbb{Z}})$ should identify with (perfect complexes) of (φ, Γ) -modules with coefficients in A (see Proposition 6.3.15 for the case $A = \mathbb{Q}_p$). Hence, Theorem 4.5.1 should imply duality and finiteness statements for families of (φ, Γ) -modules. See [34] and the recent paper [40].

5. Quasi-coherent sheaves on Fargues–Fontaine curves and categories of \mathbb{Z}_p - and \mathbb{Q}_p -sheaves

One of the main objectives of this text is to find a nice conceptual framework for understanding properties, such as finiteness or duality, of the pro-étale \mathbb{Q}_p -cohomology of rigid analytic spaces (or with \mathbb{Z}_p -coefficients, but for this short introduction we stick to the case of \mathbb{Q}_p). We just achieved the construction of a 6-functor formalism for $\mathcal{D}_{(0,\infty)}(-)$. The goal of this section is therefore twofold. First, we need to see how to interpret the pro-étale cohomology of \mathbb{Q}_p , or of more general pro-étale \mathbb{Q}_p -local systems, inside this 6-functor formalism. This is realized by the construction, valid for any small v-stack S , of a fully faithful Riemann–Hilbert functor from a certain category of nuclear \mathbb{Q}_p -sheaves on S to $\mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(S, \mathbb{Q}_p) = \mathcal{D}_{(0,\infty)}(S/\varphi^{\mathbb{Z}})$, similar to the results of [38, §3.9]. This in particular tells us that we compute pro-étale \mathbb{Q}_p -cohomology

inside our 6-functor formalism $\mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(-, \mathbb{Q}_p)$. Coupled with appropriate cohomological smoothness and properness results, this leads to finiteness and duality statements, but formulated at the level of $\mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(-, \mathbb{Q}_p)$. To deduce more familiar looking statements, we therefore need to “go back” to the world of \mathbb{Q}_p -sheaves and interpret the results obtained there. The second objective of this section is to make this precise. Here, we do not obtain the most general, desirable results, but rather statements tailored to the applications we need.

5.1. The Riemann–Hilbert functors

In this subsection we want to construct \mathbb{Z}_p - and \mathbb{Q}_p -versions of a Riemann–Hilbert functor for overconvergent sheaves. The discussion is similar to the one leading to [38, Theorem 3.9.23]. We note that for \mathbb{F}_p -coefficients our Riemann–Hilbert functor takes values in φ -modules for the tilted structure sheaf \mathcal{O}^b rather than for the sheaf $\mathcal{O}^{+,b,a}/\pi$, see the end of this paragraph for a short related discussion.

Definition 5.1.1. Let S be a diamond. We denote by $\mathcal{D}_{\text{nuc}}(S, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ the value of the hypercomplete quasi-pro-étale sheaf from [4, Lemma 4.17], i.e., if S is a p -bounded spatial diamond, then $\mathcal{D}_{\text{nuc}}(S, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is equivalent to the category of nuclear objects in the category of ω_1 -solid sheaves on S_{qproet} (see [4, Definition 4.13]). For a nuclear \mathbb{Z}_p -algebra Λ we set $\mathcal{D}_{\text{nuc}}(S, \Lambda) := \text{Mod}_{\Lambda} \mathcal{D}_{\text{nuc}}(S, \mathbb{Z}_p)$.

If S is a strictly totally disconnected perfectoid space, then [4, Lemma 4.16] implies that $\mathcal{D}_{\text{nuc}}(S, \mathbb{Z}_p) \cong \mathcal{D}_{\text{nuc}}(C(S, \mathbb{Z}_p))$, where $C(S, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ denotes the p -complete and nuclear \mathbb{Z}_p -algebra of continuous functions $|S| \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ (equivalently, of continuous functions $\pi_0(S) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$).

Remark 5.1.2. We see that $\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_p} \mathcal{D}_{\text{nuc}}(S, \mathbb{Z}_p) \cong \mathcal{D}_{\text{et}}(S, \mathbb{F}_p)^{\text{oc}}$ is equivalent to the category of overconvergent étale \mathbb{F}_p -sheaves constructed in [38, Definition 3.9.17]. Hence we think of $\mathcal{D}_{\text{nuc}}(S, \Lambda)$ as a category of “overconvergent étale Λ -sheaves”. It embeds into the category of pro-étale sheaves of Λ -modules and contains all pro-étale Λ -local systems. We stress that $\mathcal{D}_{\text{nuc}}(S, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is very different to the category $\mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(S, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ (or to the category of nuclear objects in the latter).

Lemma 5.1.3. *The functor $S \mapsto \mathcal{D}_{\text{nuc}}(S, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is a hypercomplete v -sheaf on the category of diamonds. In particular, it extends uniquely to a hypercomplete v -sheaf $S \mapsto \mathcal{D}_{\text{nuc}}(S, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ on the category of small v -stacks.*

Proof. By quasi-pro-étale descent, we are reduced to showing v -hyperdescent on strictly totally disconnected spaces, so let $f_{\bullet}: S_{\bullet} \rightarrow S$ be a v -hypercover consisting of strictly totally disconnected perfectoid spaces. Then $g_{\bullet} := \pi_0(f_{\bullet}): T_{\bullet} := \pi_0(S_{\bullet}) \rightarrow T := \pi_0(S)$ is a v -hypercover of profinite sets. As $\mathcal{D}_{\text{nuc}}(S_n, \mathbb{Z}_p) \cong \mathcal{D}_{\text{nuc}}(C(T_n, \mathbb{Z}_p)) \cong \text{Mod}_{C(T_n, \mathbb{Z}_p)}(\mathcal{D}_{\text{nuc}}(\mathbb{Z}_p))$ it suffices to show that $T \mapsto \mathcal{D}_{\text{nuc}}(C(T, \mathbb{Z}_p))$ is a hypercomplete v -sheaf on the pro-étale site of profinite sets. This is implied by [37, Theorem 3.9.(ii)] (and [37, Remark 3.10] to identify the two different notions of nuclear sheaves). \square

Before we can come to the promised construction of the Riemann–Hilbert functors, we need the following preparations.

Lemma 5.1.4. *Let S be a qcqs perfectoid space, which is of characteristic p and which admits a morphism of finite $\dim.\text{trg}$ to a totally disconnected perfectoid space. Then each dualizable object in $\mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(S, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ or $\mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(S, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ is compact, even compact in the enriched sense over $\mathcal{D}_{\square}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$.*

Proof. We may reduce to the case that S is affinoid. By assumption, $\mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(S, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ resp. $\mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(S, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ identify with the category of φ -modules on $\mathcal{Y}_{[0, \infty), S}$ resp. $\mathcal{Y}_{(0, \infty), S}$. Fix a pseudo-uniformizer π on S with associated coordinate function $\kappa: \mathcal{Y}_{[0, \infty), S} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$. We know that

$\kappa(\varphi(-)) = p\kappa(-)$. For an interval $I \subseteq [0, \infty)$ let U_I be (the interior of) $\kappa^{-1}(I)$. By analytic descent for $\mathcal{D}_{\hat{\Delta}}$ (see Section 2.2) we can conclude that

$$\mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(S, \mathbb{Z}_p) \cong \text{eq}(\mathcal{D}_{\hat{\Delta}}(U_{[0,1]}) \rightrightarrows \mathcal{D}_{\hat{\Delta}}(U_{[0,1/p]})),$$

where the two morphisms are induced by the inclusion $U_{[0,1/p]} \rightarrow U_{[0,1]}$ and the inverse of the Frobenius $U_{[0,1/p]} \xrightarrow{\varphi} U_{[0,1/p]} \rightarrow U_{[0,1]}$ (followed by restriction). As $U_{[0,1]}, U_{[0,1/p]}$ are affinoid, their structure sheaf is compact in $\mathcal{D}_{\hat{\Delta}}$. As the above equalizer is a finite limit we can conclude that $1 \in \mathcal{D}_{\hat{\Delta}}(\mathcal{Y}_{[0,\infty),S/\varphi^{\mathbb{Z}}})$ is compact. This implies that each dualizable object in $\mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(S, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is compact. The argument for $\mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(S, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ is similar by glueing $\mathcal{Y}_{(0,\infty),S/\varphi^{\mathbb{Z}}}$ from $U_{[1,p]}$ along the Frobenius on the “boundary” $U_{[1]} \cup U_{[p]}$. The compactness in the enriched sense, i.e., that the enriched homomorphisms out of a dualizable object preserve colimits, follows similarly. \square

Remark 5.1.5. We note that Lemma 5.1.4 is wrong for $\mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}(S)$ or $\mathcal{D}_{(0,\infty)}(S)$.

Lemma 5.1.6. *Let $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ be a morphism in $\text{CAlg}(\text{Pr}_{\text{Sp}}^L)$. Assume that \mathcal{C} is rigid and that $1 \in \mathcal{R}$ is compact. Then F is fully faithful if and only if the natural morphism $1_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}^{\mathcal{C}}(1, 1)$ of the unit in \mathcal{C} to the \mathcal{C} -linear endomorphism object of $1 \in \mathcal{R}$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Let G be the right adjoint of F . By [4, Lemma 4.20.(iii)] the functor G commutes with colimits. By [4, Lemma 4.20.(ii)] the functor G is therefore \mathcal{C} -linear. Now, F is fully faithful if and only if for all $X \in \mathcal{C}$ the natural morphism $X \rightarrow GF(X)$ is an isomorphism. Now, $GF(X) \cong G(F(X) \otimes 1) \cong X \otimes GF(1)$, and hence F is fully faithful if and only if the natural morphism $1 \rightarrow GF(1) = G(1)$ is an isomorphism. If $X \in \mathcal{C}$, then

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, GF(1)) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(F(X) \otimes 1, 1),$$

i.e. $GF(1)$ is the \mathcal{C} -linear endomorphism object of $1 \in \mathcal{R}$. This finishes the assertion. \square

We can now come to the construction of our Riemann–Hilbert functors, relating nuclear \mathbb{Z}_p - and \mathbb{Q}_p -sheaves to the 6-functor formalism of quasi-coherent sheaves on the Fargues–Fontaine curve.

Theorem 5.1.7. *Let S be a small v-stack. There exist symmetric monoidal, colimit preserving, fully faithful functors*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{RH}_{S, \mathbb{Z}_p} &: \mathcal{D}_{\text{nuc}}(S, \mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(S, \mathbb{Z}_p), \\ \text{RH}_{S, \mathbb{Q}_p} &: \mathcal{D}_{\text{nuc}}(S, \mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(S, \mathbb{Q}_p), \end{aligned}$$

such that the following hold true:

- (i) *Both Riemann–Hilbert functors are compatible with pullback, i.e. they upgrade to natural transformations of functors from $\text{vStack}^{\text{op}}$ to the category of symmetric monoidal categories.*
- (ii) *The functor $\text{RH}_{S, \mathbb{Z}_p}$ identifies dualizable objects on both sides.*
- (iii) *The functors $\text{RH}_{S, \mathbb{Z}_p}$ and $\text{RH}_{S, \mathbb{Q}_p}$ are compatible via the natural functors $\mathcal{D}_{\text{nuc}}(S, \mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\text{nuc}}(S, \mathbb{Q}_p)$, $\mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(S, \mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(S, \mathbb{Q}_p)$.*

Proof. By v-descent on both sides (see Lemma 5.1.3 and Theorem 4.2.2) we may reduce to the case that S is strictly totally disconnected. To simplify notation we set $\mathcal{D} := \mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(S, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ and $\mathcal{D}' := \mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}(S)$. Note that \mathcal{D} is the category of φ -modules in \mathcal{D}' . Via pullback \mathcal{D} is naturally a $\mathcal{D}_{\square}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ -linear category, and thus enriched over $\mathcal{D}_{\square}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. Let $1 \in \mathcal{D}$ be the unit

object, and $A := \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{D}_{\square}(\mathbb{Z}_p)}(1, 1) \in \mathcal{D}_{\square}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ its enriched endomorphism object. Thus, for $M \in \mathcal{D}_{\square}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ there exists a natural isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_{\square}(\mathbb{Z}_p)}(M, A) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(M \otimes 1, 1),$$

where $M \otimes (-)$ denotes the $\mathcal{D}_{\square}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ -action on \mathcal{D} . We claim that there exists a natural isomorphism $\Phi: C(S, \mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow A$ of solid \mathbb{Z}_p -algebras. Let T be a profinite set. Choose a pseudo-uniformizer on S with corresponding radius function $\kappa: \mathcal{Y}_{[0, \infty), S} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$. Choose a sequence $r_n \in [0, \infty)$ with $r_n \rightarrow \infty$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$, and set $U_n := \mathcal{Y}_{[0, r_n], S} = \text{Spa}(B_n, B_n^+)$. We calculate

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_{\square}(\mathbb{Z}_p)}(\mathbb{Z}_{p, \square}[T], A) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbb{Z}_{p, \square}[T] \otimes 1, 1) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}'}(\mathbb{Z}_{p, \square}[T] \otimes 1_{\mathcal{D}'}, 1_{\mathcal{D}'})^{\varphi=1}, \end{aligned}$$

and by writing $\mathcal{Y}_{[0, \infty), S} = \bigcup_n U_n$ and unraveling the definitions,

$$= \left(\varprojlim_n \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_{\square}(U_n)}(\mathbb{Z}_{p, \square}[T] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{p, \square}} \widehat{(B_n^+)_{\square}} \otimes_{B_n^+} B_n, B_n) \right)^{\varphi=1},$$

and using adjunction, nuclearity of B_n and [4, Proposition 2.17],

$$\begin{aligned} &= \left(\varprojlim_n \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_{\square}(\mathbb{Z}_p)}(\mathbb{Z}_{p, \square}[T], B_n) \right)^{\varphi=1} \\ &= \left(\varprojlim_n \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_{\square}(\mathbb{Z}_p)}(\mathbb{Z}_p, \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{D}_{\square}(\mathbb{Z}_p)}(\mathbb{Z}_{p, \square}[T], B_n)) \right)^{\varphi=1}, \end{aligned}$$

and by nuclearity of B_n in $\mathcal{D}_{\square}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$,

$$\begin{aligned} &= \left(\varprojlim_n \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_{\square}(\mathbb{Z}_p)}(\mathbb{Z}_p, C(T, \mathbb{Z}_p) \otimes B_n) \right)^{\varphi=1} \\ &= \left(\varprojlim_n \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_{\square}(\mathbb{Z}_p)}(\mathbb{Z}_p, B'_n) \right)^{\varphi=1}, \end{aligned}$$

where $U'_n := \underline{T} \times U_n = \text{Spa}(B'_n, B_n'^+)$,

$$= \Gamma(\mathcal{Y}_{[0, \infty), \underline{T} \times S}, \mathcal{O})^{\varphi=1},$$

and by Artin–Schreier–Witt theory over the integral Robba ring,

$$\cong C(T \times \pi_0(S), \mathbb{Z}_p),$$

as desired. This shows that $C(S, \mathbb{Z}_p) \cong A$ as solid \mathbb{Z}_p -algebras, which are concentrated in degree 0. This morphism is natural in S and independent of the choice of the radius function (by a cofinality argument); here we use the fact that both sides of the isomorphism are concentrated in degree 0, hence the desired functoriality is really a *1-categorical* statement and can thus easily be checked by hand. Furthermore, we note that replacing $\mathcal{Y}_{[0, \infty), S}$ by $\mathcal{Y}_{(0, \infty), S}$, the use of Artin–Schreier–Witt theory by [19, Proposition II.2.5] and the U_n by increasing annuli, the same calculation shows that $C(S, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ identifies with the $\mathcal{D}_{\square}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -linear endomorphism object of $1 \in \mathcal{D}_{\square}(\mathcal{Y}_{(0, \infty), S/\varphi^{\mathbb{Z}}})$. The existence of $\text{RH}_{S, \mathbb{Z}_p}, \text{RH}_{S, \mathbb{Q}_p}$ is now formal: given a $C(S, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ -module in $\mathcal{D}_{\text{nuc}}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$, we can form the tensor product $M \otimes_{C(S, \mathbb{Z}_p)} 1_{\mathcal{D}}$ using the morphism of \mathbb{E}_{∞} -rings $C(S, \mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow A$ to make $1_{\mathcal{D}}$ into a $C(S, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ -module.⁸

⁸More precisely, for any nuclear \mathbb{Z}_p - \mathbb{E}_{∞} -algebra R , $\mathcal{D}_{\text{nuc}}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ -linear symmetric monoidal functors $\text{Mod}_R(\mathcal{D}_{\text{nuc}}(\mathbb{Z}_p)) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ correspond to \mathbb{E}_{∞} -algebra morphisms from R into the $\mathcal{D}_{\text{nuc}}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ -enriched endomorphisms of $1_{\mathcal{D}}$. In fact, [35, Section 4.8.5], more specifically [35, Remark 4.8.5.12, Corollary 4.8.5.21] provide the symmetric monoidal functor $\text{CAlg}(\mathcal{D}_{\text{nuc}}(\mathbb{Z}_p)) \rightarrow \text{CAlg}(\text{Pr}_{\mathcal{D}_{\text{nuc}}(\mathbb{Z}_p)}^L)$, $R \mapsto \text{Mod}_R(\mathcal{D}_{\text{nuc}}(\mathbb{Z}_p))$ with right adjoint sending \mathcal{D} to $\text{End}^{\mathcal{D}_{\text{nuc}}(\mathbb{Z}_p)}(1_{\mathcal{D}})$.

Now, fully faithfulness of $\mathrm{RH}_{S, \mathbb{Z}_p}$ and $\mathrm{RH}_{S, \mathbb{Q}_p}$ follow from Lemma 5.1.6 and Lemma 5.1.4. We are left with showing that $\mathrm{RH}_{S, \mathbb{Z}_p}$ identifies dualizable objects. By Remark 2.2.2 dualizable objects in $\mathcal{D}_{[0, \infty)}(S)$ are exactly the perfect complexes on $\mathcal{Y}_{[0, \infty), S}$. By [3, Proposition 2.6] (and the presentation in Lemma 5.1.4) we can then conclude that each perfect complex with φ -module structure is actually strictly perfect, i.e., represented by a complex of vector bundles with φ -module structures (and differential respecting φ). This reduces the assertion to the case of vector bundles where it follows from Artin–Schreier–Witt theory over the integral Robba ring, [28, Theorem 8.5.3]. \square

Remark 5.1.8. The classification of vector bundles on the Fargues–Fontaine curve implies that even for $S = \mathrm{Spa}(C, \mathcal{O}_C)$ with C a non-archimedean algebraically closed extension of \mathbb{F}_p , the functor $\mathrm{RH}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} : \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{nuc}}(S, \mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{FF}}(S, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ is not an equivalence on dualizable objects: each vector bundle, which is not semi-stable of slope 0, does not lie in the essential image.

Finally, we want to relate the Riemann–Hilbert functor $\mathrm{RH}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ to the one considered in [38, Section 3.9]. To fix notation assume that S admits a pseudo-uniformizer π in the sense of [38, Definition 3.2.2] dividing p . Let

$$\mathrm{RH}_{\mathbb{F}_p} : \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{et}}(S, \mathbb{F}_p)^{\mathrm{oc}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\square}^a(\mathcal{O}_S^+/\pi)^\varphi$$

be the functor constructed in [38, Definition 3.9.21]. We want to relate $\mathrm{RH}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ to a mod- p -version of $\mathrm{RH}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$. As noted before

$$\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{nuc}}(S, \mathbb{Z}_p)) \cong \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{et}}(S, \mathbb{F}_p)^{\mathrm{oc}}.$$

Moreover, we have

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{FF}}(S, \mathbb{F}_p) := \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{FF}}(S, \mathbb{Z}_p)) \cong \mathcal{D}_{\hat{\square}}(\mathcal{O}_{S/\varphi^z}),$$

which receives a functor from $\mathcal{D}_{\hat{\square}}^a(\mathcal{O}_{S/\varphi^z}^+)$ and this last category maps to $\mathcal{D}_{\square}^a(\mathcal{O}_S^+/\pi)^\varphi$. From [38, Lemma 3.9.4] we can conclude that the functor

$$\mathrm{RH}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} : \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{et}}(S, \mathbb{F}_p)^{\mathrm{oc}} \cong \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_p} \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{nuc}}(S, \mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{FF}}(S, \mathbb{F}_p)$$

factors naturally over a functor $\widetilde{\mathrm{RH}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ with values in $\mathcal{D}_{\hat{\square}}^a(\mathcal{O}_{S/\varphi^z}^+)$. We can derive that there exists the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{et}}(S, \mathbb{F}_p)^{\mathrm{oc}} & \\ \mathrm{RH}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \swarrow & \downarrow \widetilde{\mathrm{RH}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} & \searrow \mathrm{RH}_{\mathbb{F}_p} \\ \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{FF}}(S, \mathbb{F}_p) & \mathcal{D}_{\hat{\square}}^a(\mathcal{O}_{S/\varphi^z}^+) & \mathcal{D}_{\square}^a(\mathcal{O}_S^+/\pi)^\varphi \end{array}$$

As a warning we note that $\widetilde{\mathrm{RH}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ does not take values in π -complete modules (e.g., on strictly totally disconnected perfectoid spaces), and hence does not agree with the composition of $\mathrm{RH}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ with the equivalence constructed in [38, Lemma 3.9.2].

5.2. Supplements on the primitive comparison theorem

We now want to provide some supplements to the “primitive comparison theorem” ([46, Theorem 3.13], [47, Theorem 5.1], [38, Corollary 3.9.24]). Let $f : Y' \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of small v-stacks and $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{nuc}}(Y, \mathbb{Z}_p)$. In the language of this paper, the primitive comparison theorem can be formulated as the question whether the natural morphism

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{F}, f} : \mathrm{RH}_{\mathbb{Z}_p, Y}(f_*^{\mathrm{nuc}}(\mathcal{F})) \rightarrow f_* \mathrm{RH}_{\mathbb{Z}_p, Y'}(\mathcal{F})$$

is an isomorphism, where $f_*^{\text{nuc}}: \mathcal{D}_{\text{nuc}}(Y', \mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\text{nuc}}(Y, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ and $f_*: \mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(Y', \mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(Y, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ are the natural pushforwards. If this is the case then this tells us that the (derived) pushforward of \mathcal{F} along f can be computed by the pushforward in $\mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(-, \mathbb{Z}_p)$. If one takes \mathbb{F}_p -coefficients then $\mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(-, \mathbb{F}_p) = \mathcal{D}_{\hat{\square}}(\mathcal{O}_{(-)}^b)^\varphi$ and hence the pushforward on the FF-side computes \mathcal{O}^{+a}/p -cohomology, resulting in the more classical formulations of the primitive comparison theorem.

Our first result towards the primitive comparison theorem simplifies the problem slightly by observing that $\Phi_{\mathcal{F}, f}$ is automatically an isomorphism if the right-hand side lies in the image of the Riemann–Hilbert functor:

Lemma 5.2.1. *In the above setup, the morphism $\Phi_{\mathcal{F}, f}$ is an isomorphism if and only if $f_*(\text{RH}_{\mathbb{Z}_p, Y'}(\mathcal{F}))$ lies in the essential image of $\text{RH}_{\mathbb{Z}_p, Y}$.*

Proof. Necessity is clear, so assume that conversely $f_*(\text{RH}_{\mathbb{Z}_p, Y'}(\mathcal{F}))$ lies in the essential image of $\text{RH}_{\mathbb{Z}_p, Y}$. Let $\text{Sol}_{\mathbb{Z}_p, -}$ be the right adjoint to $\text{RH}_{\mathbb{Z}_p, -}$ (which exists for formal reasons). By fully faithfulness of $\text{RH}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ (see Theorem 5.1.7) we see that

$$\begin{aligned} f_*(\text{RH}_{\mathbb{Z}_p, Y'}(\mathcal{F})) &= \text{RH}_{\mathbb{Z}_p, Y} \text{Sol}_{\mathbb{Z}_p, Y} f_*(\text{RH}_{\mathbb{Z}_p, Y'}(\mathcal{F})) \\ &= \text{RH}_{\mathbb{Z}_p, Y} (f_*^{\text{nuc}} \circ \text{Sol}_{\mathbb{Z}_p, Y'} \circ \text{RH}_{\mathbb{Z}_p, Y'}(\mathcal{F})) \\ &= \text{RH}_{\mathbb{Z}_p, Y} f_*^{\text{nuc}}(\mathcal{F}). \end{aligned}$$

Here, we used that Sol commutes with $*$ -pushforward as RH commutes with $*$ -pullback. \square

The cases in which $\Phi_{\mathcal{F}, f}$ is an isomorphism require special assumptions. The next lemma is a \mathbb{Z}_p -version of [38, Corollary 3.9.24] (which in turn implies [47, Theorem 3.13]).

Proposition 5.2.2. *Assume that f is $\mathcal{D}_{[0, \infty)}$ -proper and $\text{RH}_{\mathbb{Z}_p, Y'}(\mathcal{F})$ is f -suave. Then $\Phi_{\mathcal{F}, f}$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. By [24, Lemma 4.5.16(ii)] the object $\mathcal{F}' := f_* \text{RH}_{\mathbb{Z}_p, Y'}(\mathcal{F})$ is suave over Y and hence dualizable (see [24, Example 4.4.3]). Since $\text{RH}_{\mathbb{Z}_p, Y}$ induces an equivalence on dualizable objects (see Theorem 5.1.7.(ii)) we deduce that \mathcal{F}' lies in the image of $\text{RH}_{\mathbb{Z}_p, Y}$, hence the claim follows from Lemma 5.2.1. \square

Example 5.2.3. The assumption of Proposition 5.2.2 is for example satisfied if f is $\mathcal{D}_{[0, \infty)}$ -smooth and $\mathcal{D}_{[0, \infty)}$ -proper and \mathcal{F} is dualizable. By Theorem 4.4.4 this is satisfied if f comes from a smooth proper map of adic spaces over \mathbb{Q}_p , resulting in the usual formulation of the primitive comparison theorem. The same assertion holds modulo p , i.e. for $\text{RH}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$.

We will now investigate in what generality (beyond the smooth proper case) one can expect a primitive comparison. The next result is useful to localize the question on the source via an excision argument:

Lemma 5.2.4. *(i) Assume that $f = j: Y' \rightarrow Y$ is a partially proper étale morphism. Then the natural morphism*

$$j_! \circ \text{RH}_{\mathbb{Z}_p, Y'} \rightarrow \text{RH}_{\mathbb{Z}_p, Y} \circ j_!^{\text{nuc}}$$

is an isomorphism. Here, $j_!^{\text{nuc}}: \mathcal{D}_{\text{nuc}}(Y', \mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\text{nuc}}(Y, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is the left adjoint to j^ (which exists by partial properness of j^{\flat}). If Y admits a pseudo-uniformizer π , the analogous assertion holds for $\text{RH}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$.*

⁹Indeed, the existence of $j_!^{\text{nuc}}$, and that it is compatible with base change, can be checked in the strictly totally disconnected case, where j is a union of clopen immersions.

(ii) Assume that $f = i: Y' \rightarrow Y$ is a closed immersion and that π is a pseudo-uniformizer on Y . Then the natural morphism

$$\mathrm{RH}_{\mathbb{F}_p, Y'} \circ i_*^{\mathrm{et}} \rightarrow i_* \circ \mathrm{RH}_{\mathbb{F}_p, Y}$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. Part (ii) follows from (i) by excision (the proof of [54, Lemma 6.2.1] applies as well as the complementary open immersion j is partially proper). We first note that (i) is clear if j is a clopen immersion. The general case reduces to this by base changing to a strictly totally disconnected perfectoid space and writing j as a filtered colimit of disjoint unions of clopen immersions as in [54, Lemma 6.2.1, Step 1]. \square

We now give some negative examples, showing that in general the primitive comparison theorem should only be asked for $\mathrm{RH}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ and f a proper morphism of rigid-analytic varieties.

Examples 5.2.5. (a) In general, $\mathrm{RH}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ does not commute with proper, smooth pushforward. Indeed, Example 1.1.2 yields a counterexample as there the pushforward does not lie in the essential image of $\mathrm{RH}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. Similarly, Example 1.1.1 shows that if $f: (\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}_p}^1)^\diamond \rightarrow S := \mathrm{Spd}(\mathbb{C}_p)$, then $f_* \mathrm{RH}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathcal{F}) \not\cong \mathrm{RH}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} j_*^{\mathrm{nuc}}(\mathcal{F})$ for $\mathcal{F} = j_!^{\mathrm{nuc}} \mathbb{Z}_p$ for the open immersion $(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{C}_p}^{1, \mathrm{an}})^\diamond \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}_p}^1)^\diamond$. Namely, otherwise, then $f_* \circ j_!(\mathcal{O}) \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{FF}}(S, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ would be $\mathrm{RH}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(f_*^{\mathrm{nuc}} \circ j_!^{\mathrm{nuc}}(\mathbb{Q}_p))$, which is not true. Thus, we see that Proposition 5.2.2 only works for $\mathrm{RH}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ and some restriction on \mathcal{F} .

(b) The primitive comparison theorem should only be considered for proper morphisms of *rigid-analytic varieties*, and not general proper morphisms of analytic adic spaces. Indeed, by a direct calculation the primitive comparison theorem fails for $\mathcal{F} = \mathbb{F}_p$ on the canonical compactification of the torus $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{C}_p}$ over \mathbb{C}_p (as the H^1 with \mathcal{O}^+/π -coefficients is not free). From here one can deduce from excision (see Lemma 5.2.4) that the primitive comparison fails for the open unit disc by considering the complement of $\overline{\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{C}_p}}$ in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}_p}^1$.

The main case where the primitive comparison theorem holds is provided by the next assertion. To simplify notation, let us first introduce some terminology:

Definition 5.2.6. Given a $!$ -able map $f: Y \rightarrow X$ of small v-stacks, we say that a sheaf $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{et}}(Y, \mathbb{F}_p)^{\mathrm{oc}}$ is f -ULA if $\mathrm{RH}_{\mathbb{F}_p, Y}(\mathcal{F})$ is f -suave. In the case where $X = \mathrm{Spd} K$ for some non-archimedean extension of \mathbb{Q}_p and f is the structure map, we abbreviate f -ULA as ULA.

In the following result we use the notation of Zariski-constructible sheaves from [7, Definition 3.1], and their bounded derived category $\mathcal{D}_{z\mathrm{c}}^b(-, \mathbb{F}_p) \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{et}}((-)^\diamond, \mathbb{F}_p)^{\mathrm{oc}}$. The following result is based on the proof of [46, Theorem 3.13].

Theorem 5.2.7. *Let K be a non-archimedean field over \mathbb{Q}_p , let $f: Y \rightarrow X$ be a proper morphism of rigid-analytic varieties over K and let $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{D}_{z\mathrm{c}}^b(Y, \mathbb{F}_p)$ be given. Then \mathcal{F} is ULA and the natural map*

$$\mathrm{RH}_{\mathbb{F}_p, X^\diamond} f_*^{\mathrm{et}}(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow f_* \mathrm{RH}_{\mathbb{F}_p, Y^\diamond}(\mathcal{F})$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. By base change to an algebraic closure (using [24, Lemma 4.4.9]) we may assume that K is algebraically closed. We prove the assertion by induction on the dimension d of the support of the Zariski-constructible complex (with support defined as the union of the supports of the cohomology objects). The assertion is local on X , so we may assume that X (and therefore Y) is qcqs, even separated. We may moreover assume that X, Y are reduced. Assume that $d = 0$. Then $\mathcal{F} = i_*^{\mathrm{et}} \mathcal{G}$ for a dualizable object $\mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{D}_{z\mathrm{c}}^b(Z, \mathbb{F}_p)$ for a finite set Z

of (reduced) K -rational points. In particular, \mathcal{G} is ULA. From Lemma 5.2.4 and étaleness of $Z^\circ \rightarrow \mathrm{Spd}(K)$ we can conclude that \mathcal{F} is ULA as the proper pushforward i_* preserves ULA objects (see [24, Lemma 4.5.16]). Furthermore, we see from Lemma 5.2.1 and Lemma 5.2.4 that

$$\mathrm{RH}_{\mathbb{F}_p, X^\circ} f_*^{\mathrm{et}}(i_*^{\mathrm{et}} \mathcal{G}) \cong f_* i_* \mathrm{RH}_{\mathbb{F}_p, Z^\circ}(\mathcal{G}) \cong f_* \mathrm{RH}_{\mathbb{F}_p, Y^\circ}(i_*^{\mathrm{et}} \mathcal{G}),$$

which implies the second assertion. Now assume $d > 0$ and that the claim is proven for all Zariski-constructible \mathcal{F} with support of dimension $< d$. Let $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{D}_{zc}^b(Y, \mathbb{F}_p)$. From the reasoning above we see that we may assume that $\mathrm{supp}(\mathcal{F}) = Y$. By [7, Proposition 3.6] we may assume that $\mathcal{F} = g_*^{\mathrm{et}} \mathbb{F}_p$ for a finite morphism $g: Y' \rightarrow Y$. Using the above reasoning for $f \circ g$ shows that it is sufficient to handle the case $\mathcal{F} = \mathbb{F}_p$ (by replacing Y by Y'). We may again reduce to the case that Y is reduced. By resolution of singularities for rigid-analytic varieties in characteristic 0, we may find a proper morphism $g: Y' \rightarrow Y$ with Y' smooth and an isomorphism over a Zariski-open subset $j: U \subseteq Y$ with (reduced) complement $i: Z \subseteq Y$. We obtain a short distinguished triangle

$$\mathbb{F}_p \rightarrow g_*^{\mathrm{et}}(\mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$$

with \mathcal{G} Zariski-constructible (see [21, 2.4.iii], [7, Theorem 3.10]) and $\mathrm{supp}(\mathcal{G}) < d$. To see that \mathbb{F}_p is ULA it is therefore by induction enough to see that $g_*(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is ULA. This in turn is implied by Theorem 4.4.4 as Y' is smooth and being ULA is stable under proper pushforward. We are left with checking that

$$\mathrm{RH}_{\mathbb{F}_p, X^\circ} f_*^{\mathrm{et}}(\mathbb{F}_p) = f_* \mathrm{RH}_{\mathbb{F}_p, Y^\circ}(\mathbb{F}_p),$$

or equivalently (by induction and the above distinguished triangle) with \mathbb{F}_p replaced by $g_*^{\mathrm{et}}(\mathbb{F}_p)$. We may therefore reduce to the case that Y is smooth. By [7, Theorem 2.29] there exists a Zariski-open $j: V \rightarrow X$ with $f_V: W := Y \times_X V \rightarrow V$ proper and smooth. Let $j': W \rightarrow Y$ be the given open immersion. Using Lemma 5.2.4 and Proposition 5.2.2 we see that

$$\mathrm{RH}_{\mathbb{F}_p, X^\circ}(f_*^{\mathrm{et}}(j'_!{}^{\mathrm{et}} \mathbb{F}_p)) = \mathrm{RH}_{\mathbb{F}_p, X^\circ}(j'_!{}^{\mathrm{et}}(f_{V,*}^{\mathrm{et}}(\mathbb{F}_p))) = j'_! f_{V,*}(\mathrm{RH}_{\mathbb{F}_p, Y^\circ}(\mathbb{F}_p)),$$

which equals $f_*(\mathrm{RH}_{\mathbb{F}_p, Y^\circ}(j'_!{}^{\mathrm{et}}(\mathbb{F}_p)))$ as desired. Thus, we may replace \mathbb{F}_p on Y by the cone of $j'_!{}^{\mathrm{et}} \mathbb{F}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$, which we also may assume to be supported in dimension $< d$ (e.g., by reducing to Y, X Zariski-irreducible). Now, we apply induction and finish the proof. \square

Example 5.2.8. The following example was communicated to us by David Hansen. Let $\ell \neq p$ be a prime. Then there exist a proper, smooth rigid-analytic variety X over \mathbb{Q}_p and an object $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{et}}(X^\circ, \mathbb{F}_\ell)$, which is ULA, but not Zariski-constructible. In fact, let $(G, b, \{\mu\})$ be a local Shimura datum with $G = \mathrm{GL}_n$, b basic and μ minuscule. Concretely, b corresponds to a semistable vector bundle $\mathcal{E}_b = \mathcal{O}(\frac{d}{n})$ with $d = \kappa(b)$. Let $X = \mathcal{F}l(G, \mu)$ be the flag variety of type μ , which we view as parametrizing modifications of the bundle \mathcal{E}_b at infinity that are of type μ . By [48, Proposition A.12], [48, Lemma A.11] X agrees with the full weakly admissible locus if and only if G_b is the group of units in a division algebra, i.e., if d is coprime to n . However, X rarely agrees with its admissible locus ([48, Corollary A.16]), and for example $d = 2, n = 5$ and $\mu = (1, 1, 0, 0, 0)$ yield a case with $X = \mathrm{Gr}(2, 5)$ the Grassmannian of planes in \mathbb{Q}_p^5 , where the admissible locus $U \subseteq X$ is strictly smaller than the weakly admissible locus. By “weakly admissible implies admissible” for p -adic fields, the complement Z of U in X does not contain any K -valued points for K a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p . In particular, U is not Zariski-constructible. If $d = 2, n = 5$, one checks that Z is ℓ -cohomologically smooth (being a union of quotients of a positive slope Banach–Colmez space by a p -adic Lie group). In particular, the pushforward to X of the constant sheaf on Z is ULA, but not Zariski-constructible. It is likely that a similar counterexample also exists for \mathbb{F}_p -coefficients.

5.3. Relation to v-sheaves: the functor σ

In this subsection and the next one, we investigate a relation between $\mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(S, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ (resp. $\mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(S, \mathbb{Q}_p)$) and v-sheaves of \mathbb{Z}_p -modules (resp. of \mathbb{Q}_p -modules) on a small v-stack S , which later will bring us closer to the formulation of p -adic Poincaré duality in the work of Colmez–Gilles–Nizioł. The results presented here benefited a lot from conversations with Wiesława Nizioł.

Lemma 5.3.1. *There exists a unique natural transformation*

$$\sigma = \sigma_{\mathbb{Z}_p} : \mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(-, \mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}((-)_v, \mathbb{Z}_p)$$

of functors $\text{vStack}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Cat}$, such that for any $S \in \text{vStack}$ we have (naturally in S, M)

$$\Gamma(S_v, \sigma(M)) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(S, \mathbb{Z}_p)}(1, M)$$

for any $M \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(S, \mathbb{Z}_p)$.

Proof. Uniqueness is implied by the formula. For existence, we first note that Theorem 4.2.2 implies that for a given M the formula

$$S' \mapsto \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(S', \mathbb{Z}_p)}(1, M_{S'})$$

defines a v-sheaf on small v-stacks over S , where $M_{S'}$ denotes the pullback of M to S' . It remains to construct the desired functoriality of σ in order to establish it as a natural transformation as in the claim. By the above sheafness, we do not need to worry about the sheaf property and can instead construct it as a map to v-presheaves. We can now perform a standard argument with straightening and unstraightening. To abbreviate notation, denote $\mathcal{C} := \text{vStack}$ and let $\mathcal{E}_{\text{FF}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}$ be the cocartesian unstraightening of the functor $\mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(-, \mathbb{Z}_p)$. Let $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}$ be the cartesian unstraightening of the functor $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Cat}$, $S \mapsto (\mathcal{C}/_S)^{\text{op}}$. By [23, Remark 3.78] this map is flat, hence the base-change $- \times_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}} \mathcal{E}$ admits a right adjoint

$$\text{Fun}^{\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}}(\mathcal{E}, -) : \text{Cat} \rightarrow \text{Cat}/_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}}.$$

We observe that $\text{Fun}^{\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}_p)) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}$ is the cocartesian unstraightening of the functor $\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Cat}$, $S \mapsto \text{Fun}((\mathcal{C}/_S)^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}_p))$. Therefore, the natural transformation σ must be a map of cocartesian fibrations

$$\mathcal{E}_{\text{FF}} \rightarrow \text{Fun}^{\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}_p))$$

over \mathcal{C}^{op} . By adjointness, this map is equivalently a map $\mathcal{E}_{\text{FF}} \times_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}} \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. Now note that $\mathcal{E} = \text{Fun}([1], \mathcal{C})^{\text{op}}$. Moreover, it is enough to construct the composition of the above map with the Yoneda embedding of $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. Altogether the construction of σ reduces to the construction of the functor

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{\text{FF}} \times_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}} \text{Fun}([1], \mathcal{C})^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}_p)^{\text{op}} &\rightarrow \text{Ani}, \\ (M, [S' \rightarrow S], N) &\mapsto \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(S', \mathbb{Z}_p)}(N \otimes 1, M_{S'}). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathcal{E}_{\text{FF}}^{\vee} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}$ be the cocartesian fibration classifying the functor $\mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(-, \mathbb{Z}_p)^{\text{op}}$. Then by [6, §5] there is a pairing $\mathcal{E}_{\text{FF}} \times_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}} \mathcal{E}_{\text{FF}}^{\vee} \rightarrow \text{Ani}$, given informally by $(M, N) \mapsto \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(S, \mathbb{Z}_p)}(N, M)$. This reduces the construction to the following two functors over \mathcal{C}^{op} :

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha : \mathcal{E}_{\text{FF}} \times_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}} \text{Fun}([1], \mathcal{C})^{\text{op}} &\rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\text{FF}}, & (M, [S' \rightarrow S]) &\mapsto M_{S'}, \\ \beta : \text{Fun}([1], \mathcal{C})^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}_p)^{\text{op}} &\rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\text{FF}}^{\vee}, & ([S' \rightarrow S], N) &\mapsto N \otimes 1_{S'}. \end{aligned}$$

Here in both cases the structure map to \mathcal{C}^{op} is given by the source map on $\text{Fun}([1], \mathcal{C})^{\text{op}}$. Now α falls directly out of the definition of cartesian fibrations (as in [24, Definition A.2.4(b)]), which tells us that the opposite of the fiber product on the left is just the category of cartesian edges in $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{F}\mathbb{F}}^{\text{op}}$ —then simply take α to be the source map. To construct β , we first take the source map $\text{Fun}([1], \mathcal{C})^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}$ in order to reduce the construction of β to the functor $\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}_p)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{F}\mathbb{F}}^{\vee}$. This functor is then the map of cocartesian fibrations associated to the natural transformation of functors $\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}$ from the constant functor $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}_p)^{\text{op}}$ to the functor $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}\mathbb{F}}(-, \mathbb{Z}_p)^{\text{op}}$, sending $N \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}_p)^{\text{op}}$ to $N \otimes 1$. \square

Remarks 5.3.2. (i) More precisely, we used here the notation $\mathcal{D}(S_v, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ for the category of v -sheaves with values in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. By v -hyperdescent (Theorem 4.2.2) the image of σ lands even in hypercomplete v -sheaves, and we will be implicitly use the notation $\mathcal{D}(S_v, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ also for the full subcategory of hypercomplete v -sheaves (as the difference between the two is not important for the results in this paper). By repleteness of S_v and [41, Theorem A] hypercomplete v -sheaves are Postnikov complete and as a consequence the category of hypercomplete v -sheaves agrees with the derived category of static \mathbb{Z}_p -modules on S_v .

(ii) Similarly, one can construct for a small v -stack S a natural functor

$$\sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p} : \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}\mathbb{F}}(S, \mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(S_v, \mathbb{Q}_p).$$

By restricting Lemma 5.3.1 to modules over \mathbb{F}_p , we moreover obtain the functor

$$\sigma_{\mathbb{F}_p} : \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}\mathbb{F}}(S, \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(S_v, \mathbb{F}_p),$$

where $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}\mathbb{F}}(S, \mathbb{F}_p) = \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_b} \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}\mathbb{F}}^a(\mathcal{O}_S^+)^{\varphi}$ refers to the category defined in Definition 3.1.3.(a). Here S is viewed as an untilted small v -stack via $S \rightarrow \text{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow \text{Spd}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$, in particular $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}\mathbb{F}}(S, \mathbb{F}_p)$ is \mathbb{F}_p -linear. If we want to stress that \mathcal{O} refers to the structure sheaf in characteristic p , then we write \mathcal{O}^b .

(iii) In [3, Corollary 3.11] the functor $\sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ was denoted by $R\tau_*$ and it was shown that it is fully faithful on perfect complexes. The notation $R\tau_*$ was used in its relation to taking relative cohomology. We changed the notation because σ is not a right adjoint as it does not commute with limits (because of the occurring pullbacks to small v -stacks over S).

(iv) For each small v -stack S the functor $\sigma : \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}\mathbb{F}}(S, \mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(S_v, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ commutes with colimits. Namely, this can be checked locally on S_v , and thus in the case that S is a qcqs perfectoid space which admits a morphism of finite $\dim.\text{trg}$ to a totally disconnected perfectoid space. Then Lemma 5.1.4 and the formula for σ in Lemma 5.3.1 show that σ commutes with colimits. The same argument applies to $\sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ or $\sigma_{\mathbb{F}_p}$.

Lemma 5.3.3. *Let S be a small v -stack. Then*

$$\sigma : \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}\mathbb{F}}(S, \mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(S_v, \mathbb{Z}_p)$$

is lax symmetric monoidal.

Proof. To show that σ is lax symmetric monoidal, we upgrade the construction in Lemma 5.3.1 in order to define σ as a natural transformation of functors from $v\text{Stack}^{\text{op}}$ to the category of operads. As before, we can reduce to constructing a functor to $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ -valued v -presheaves instead of v -sheaves, as even on the level of operads, sheaves embed fully faithfully into presheaves. Let again $\mathcal{C} = v\text{Stack}$ and let $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{F}\mathbb{F}}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \times \text{Comm}^{\otimes}$ be the cocartesian fibration

classifying the functor $S \mapsto \mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(S, \mathbb{Z}_p)^\otimes$; here Comm^\otimes is the commutative operad (given by the category of finite pointed sets). We let $\mathcal{E}^\otimes := \mathcal{E} \times \text{Comm}^\otimes$ with \mathcal{E} as in the proof of Lemma 5.3.1. Then

$$\text{Fun}_{\text{Comm}^\otimes}^{\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \times \text{Comm}^\otimes}(\mathcal{E}^\otimes, \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}_p)^\otimes) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \times \text{Comm}^\otimes$$

is the cocartesian fibration corresponding to the functor $S \mapsto \text{Fun}((\mathcal{C}/S)^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}_p)^\otimes)$, where the decorations on Fun are understood in an operadic sense as introduced (in the non-symmetric case) right before [23, Theorem 11.23]. More explicitly, by unraveling the definitions one sees that the fiber of the above functor category over some $S \in \mathcal{C}$ is given by the Day convolution operad on $\text{Fun}((\mathcal{C}/S)^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}_p)^\otimes)$, where the first argument is the generalized operad $(\mathcal{C}/S)^{\text{op}} \times \text{Comm}^\otimes$. Similarly to the proof of Lemma 5.3.1 we can use the universal property of the Fun -construction above in order to reduce the construction of σ_\square (with its lax symmetric monoidal structure) to constructing the map

$$\mathcal{E}_{\text{FF}}^\otimes \times_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \times \text{Comm}^\otimes} \mathcal{E}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}_p)^\otimes$$

over Comm^\otimes . By expanding \mathcal{E}^\otimes and using the Yoneda embedding for $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}_p)^\otimes$ (relative over Comm^\otimes) we are reduced to constructing the functor

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}_p)^{\text{op}, \otimes} \times_{\text{Comm}^\otimes} \mathcal{E}_{\text{FF}}^\otimes \times_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}} \text{Fun}([1], \mathcal{C})^{\text{op}} &\rightarrow \text{Ani}, \\ (N_\bullet, M_\bullet, [S' \rightarrow S]) &\mapsto \prod_i \text{Hom}(N_i \otimes 1, (M_i)_{S'}). \end{aligned}$$

As in the proof of Lemma 5.3.1, using the fibration $\mathcal{E}^{\otimes, \vee} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \times \text{Comm}^\otimes$ corresponding to the functor $S \mapsto \mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(S, \mathbb{Z}_p)^{\text{op}, \otimes}$, we can reduce the construction to the following two functors over $\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \times \text{Comm}^\otimes$:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha: \mathcal{E}_{\text{FF}}^\otimes \times_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}} \text{Fun}([1], \mathcal{C})^{\text{op}} &\rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\text{FF}}^\otimes, & ((M_i)_i, [S' \rightarrow S]) &\mapsto ((M_i)_{S'})_i, \\ \beta: \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}_p)^{\otimes, \text{op}} \times \text{Fun}([1], \mathcal{C})^{\text{op}} &\rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\text{FF}}^{\otimes, \vee}, & ((N_i)_i, [S' \rightarrow S]) &\mapsto (N_i \otimes 1_{S'})_i. \end{aligned}$$

These can be constructed as in the proof of Lemma 5.3.1. □

The next result concerns the compatibility of σ with pushforwards.

Lemma 5.3.4. *Let $f: X \rightarrow S$ be a p -bounded morphism of small v -stacks, and let $f_{v,*}: \mathcal{D}(X_v, \mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(S_v, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ be the pushforward. Then the natural transformation*

$$\sigma f_* \rightarrow f_{v,*} \sigma$$

of functors $\mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(X, \mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(S_v, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. Let $M \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(X, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ and $g: S' \rightarrow S$ a morphism. Let $X' := X \times_S S'$ with projections $g': X' \rightarrow X, f': X' \rightarrow S'$. Using qcqs base-change (see Proposition 4.2.7.(ii)) we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(S', \sigma(f_*(M))) &= \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(S', \mathbb{Z}_p)}(1, g^* f_* M) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(S', \mathbb{Z}_p)}(1, f'_* g'^* M) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(X', \mathbb{Z}_p)}(1, g'^* M) \\ &= \Gamma(X', \sigma(M)) \\ &= \Gamma(S', f_{v,*}(\sigma(M))). \end{aligned}$$

This shows the claim. □

Remark 5.3.5. One a priori drawback of σ as defined above is the lack of a $\mathcal{D}_\square(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ -linear structure on its target making it $\mathcal{D}_\square(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ -linear. We will however see in the next subsection a way to circumvent this problem when restricting to nuclear objects on the source. Another way, which was our original approach and which would not entail such a restriction, would be to enrich σ into a natural transformation of functors

$$\sigma_\square = \sigma_{\square, \mathbb{Z}_p} : \mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(-, \mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}((-)_v, \mathcal{D}_\square(\mathbb{Z}_p))$$

of functors $v\text{Stack}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Cat}$, uniquely characterized by the fact that for any $S \in v\text{Stack}$ and any profinite set T we have (naturally in S, T, M)

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_\square(\mathbb{Z}_p)}(\mathbb{Z}_{p, \square}[T], \Gamma(S_v, \sigma_\square(M))) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(S, \mathbb{Z}_p)}(\mathbb{Z}_{p, \square}[T] \otimes 1, M)$$

for any $M \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(S, \mathbb{Z}_p)$. (Then σ would be recovered as the composition of σ_\square with $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_\square(\mathbb{Z}_p)}(\mathbb{Z}_p, -)$.)

All the properties of σ discussed above hold for σ_\square . Since we do not need σ_\square in the rest of the paper, we do not discuss it further, but believe it could be useful for other purposes.

5.4. Relation to v -sheaves: the \mathbb{Q}_p -case

In order to relate duality on the Fargues–Fontaine curve with duality for pro-étale cohomology, one needs to compare suitable internal duals via $\sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. More precisely, we aim at proving the following result.

Theorem 5.4.1. *Let S be a small v -stack, and let $M, N \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(S, \mathbb{Q}_p)$. Assume that $M \cong \text{colim}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} V_n \otimes P_n$ for basic nuclear objects V_n in $\mathcal{D}_\square(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and dualizable objects P_n , and that N is dualizable. Then the natural morphism*

$$\sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(S, \mathbb{Q}_p)}(M, N) \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{D}(S_v, \mathbb{Q}_p)}(\sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(M), \sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(N))$$

is an isomorphism.

This statement will only be proved at the end of this subsection. The case where M is dualizable was, as we will recall below, already proved in [3], essentially as a consequence of Breen’s computation of the (derived) endomorphisms of the structure sheaf on the (big) perfect site of \mathbb{F}_p . To obtain the theorem, one would like to first pass from the case where M is dualizable to the case where M is the tensor product of a dualizable object by a basic nuclear \mathbb{Q}_p -vector space, and then pass to colimits of such, but we need to overcome two issues:

- $\sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is not symmetric monoidal if we endow $\mathcal{D}(S_v, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ with the “naive” tensor product of sheaves of \mathbb{Q}_p -modules on the v -site: on the source, the tensor product is a tensor product in solid quasi-coherent sheaves on the Fargues-Fontaine curve, but the one on the target is rather an “algebraic”/“condensed” tensor product. This is a problem when trying to pass from the case where M is dualizable to the case where M is the tensor product of a dualizable object by a basic nuclear \mathbb{Q}_p -vector space.
- $\sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ does not commute with arbitrary limits. Since by assumption, M is presented as a colimit and $\sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ commutes with colimits, the right-hand side in Theorem 5.4.1 is naturally presented as a limit, but it is a priori not clear how to write the left-hand side in the same way due to the lack of commutation of $\sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ with limits.

Before getting to the proof of Theorem 5.4.1, we therefore develop the necessary preliminary considerations to resolve these issues. Roughly:

- We introduce, based on the notion of solid sheaves introduced in [19, §VII], a category of *big solid sheaves* of \mathbb{Z}_p -modules or \mathbb{Q}_p -modules on the *big* quasi-pro-étale site of any spatial diamond S with a solid tensor product, into which the restriction of $\sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ to nuclear objects in $\mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(S, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ naturally factors. This restriction of $\sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ commutes then with the tensor product with a nuclear \mathbb{Q}_p -vector space (understood as the solid tensor product on the target); in other words, this provides a $\mathcal{D}_{\text{nuc}}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -linear replacement of $\sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, rectifying the inconvenience mentioned in Remark 5.3.5.
- Even if $\sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ does not commute with arbitrary limits, we show that it commutes with countable limits of nuclear objects.

We start by the discussion of (big) solid sheaves. Let S be a spatial diamond. We denote by S_{qproet} the small quasi-pro-étale site of S , and by S_{Qproet} the big quasi-pro-étale of all spatial diamonds over S with the quasi-pro-étale topology. The category $\mathcal{D}(S_{\text{qproet}}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ admits the full subcategory $\mathcal{D}_{\square}(S, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ of solid sheaves as defined in [19, Definition VII.1.10] (and the paragraph following it), or [4, Definition 3.14].

We recall some properties of $\mathcal{D}_{\square}(S, \mathbb{Z}_p)$.

Lemma 5.4.2. *Let $f: S' \rightarrow S$ be a morphism of spatial diamonds.*

- (i) *The subcategory $\mathcal{D}_{\square}(S, \mathbb{Z}_p) \subseteq \mathcal{D}(S_{\text{qproet}}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is stable under all colimits, all limits, all truncations and contains all étale sheaves, and $f_{\text{qproet}}^*(\mathcal{D}_{\square}(S, \mathbb{Z}_p)) \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{\square}(S', \mathbb{Z}_p)$.*
- (ii) *The pushforward $f_{\text{qproet},*}: \mathcal{D}(S'_{\text{qproet}}, \mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(S_{\text{qproet}}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ restricts to a functor*

$$f_*: \mathcal{D}_{\square}(S', \mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\square}(S, \mathbb{Z}_p).$$

Proof. For the first assertion see [4, Proposition 3.15] and [19, Proposition VII.1.8]. As in [19, Proposition VII.2.1] one can reduce the second assertion to the assertion that $f_{\text{qproet},*}\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{D}_{\square}(S, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ if $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{D}^+(S'_{\text{qproet}}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is pulled back from the small étale site of S' and killed by some p^n , $n \geq 0$. Then [50, Corollary 16.7] implies that $f_{\text{qproet},*}\mathcal{F}$ is solid (even pulled back from the étale site) as desired. \square

We want to define a version of solid sheaves in $\mathcal{D}(S_{\text{Qproet}}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$, i.e., on the big quasi-pro-étale site over S . We note that this full subcategory will be different from the pullback of solid sheaves along $S_{\text{Qproet}} \rightarrow S_{\text{qproet}}$. Given a spatial diamond $S' \in S_{\text{Qproet}}$, we let

$$\varepsilon_{S'}: S_{\text{Qproet}}/S' \rightarrow S'_{\text{qproet}}$$

be the natural projection of sites.

Definition 5.4.3. We call $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{D}(S_{\text{Qproet}}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ a *big solid sheaf* if for all spatial diamonds $S' \in S_{\text{Qproet}}$, the sheaf $\varepsilon_{S',*}(\mathcal{F}|_{S'}) \in \mathcal{D}(S'_{\text{qproet}}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ lies in $\mathcal{D}_{\square}(S', \mathbb{Z}_p)$. Given a solid \mathbb{Z}_p -algebra Λ , e.g., $\Lambda = \mathbb{Q}_p$, we let $\mathcal{D}_{\square}(S_{\text{Qproet}}, \Lambda) \subseteq \mathcal{D}(S_{\text{Qproet}}, \Lambda)$ be the full subcategory of sheaves whose underlying \mathbb{Z}_p -sheaf is big solid.

Here $\mathcal{D}(S_{\text{Qproet}}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ denotes the usual derived category of static \mathbb{Z}_p -modules on S_{Qproet} . As S_{Qproet} is replete, countable products are exact on static \mathbb{Z}_p -modules on S_{Qproet} , and as a consequence $\mathcal{D}(S_{\text{Qproet}}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is left-complete.

Lemma 5.4.4. *Let $f: S' \rightarrow S$ be a morphism of spatial diamonds and let Λ be a solid \mathbb{Z}_p -algebra.*

- (i) *The subcategory $\mathcal{D}_{\square}(S_{\text{Qproet}}, \Lambda) \subseteq \mathcal{D}(S_{\text{Qproet}}, \Lambda)$ is stable under all limits, all colimits and all truncations. In particular, the inclusion $\mathcal{D}_{\square}(S_{\text{Qproet}}, \Lambda) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(S_{\text{Qproet}}, \Lambda)$ admits a left adjoint $M \mapsto M_{\square}$.*

(ii) The pullback $f_{\mathbb{Q}\text{proet}}^*$ sends $\mathcal{D}_\square(S_{\mathbb{Q}\text{proet}}, \Lambda)$ to $\mathcal{D}_\square(S'_{\mathbb{Q}\text{proet}}, \Lambda)$. Moreover, if f is surjective and $M \in \mathcal{D}(S_{\mathbb{Q}\text{proet}}, \Lambda)$ satisfies $f_{\mathbb{Q}\text{proet}}^* M \in \mathcal{D}_\square(S'_{\mathbb{Q}\text{proet}}, \Lambda)$, then $M \in \mathcal{D}_\square(S_{\mathbb{Q}\text{proet}}, \Lambda)$.

(iii) The pushforward $f_{\mathbb{Q}\text{proet},*}: \mathcal{D}(S'_{\mathbb{Q}\text{proet}}, \Lambda) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(S_{\mathbb{Q}\text{proet}}, \Lambda)$ preserves big solid sheaves.

(iv) If $M \in \mathcal{D}(S_{\mathbb{Q}\text{proet}}, \Lambda)$ and $N \in \mathcal{D}_\square(S_{\mathbb{Q}\text{proet}}, \Lambda)$, then

$$\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{D}(S_{\mathbb{Q}\text{proet}}, \Lambda)}(M, N) \in \mathcal{D}_\square(S_{\mathbb{Q}\text{proet}}, \Lambda).$$

In particular, $\mathcal{D}_\square(S_{\mathbb{Q}\text{proet}}, \Lambda)$ admits a unique symmetric monoidal structure $- \otimes_\Lambda^\square -$ making the left adjoint $(-)_\square$ and the pullback $f_{\mathbb{Q}\text{proet}}^*$ symmetric monoidal.

Proof. The first assertion follows from Lemma 5.4.2.(i) and the fact that for any spatial diamond S' over S the restriction functor along $S_{\mathbb{Q}\text{proet}/S'} \rightarrow S_{\mathbb{Q}\text{proet}}$ and the pushforward along $\varepsilon_{S'}: S_{\mathbb{Q}\text{proet}/S'} \rightarrow S'_{\mathbb{Q}\text{proet}}$ commute with limits, colimits and truncations (we note that the functor $\varepsilon_{S',*}$ is t-exact). For the second assertion, preservation of big solid sheaves under pullback is clear and the second part follows from the corresponding statement for solid sheaves ([19, Proposition VII.1.8]). Preservation under pushforward follows from Lemma 5.4.2.(ii) because $\varepsilon_{S'',*} \circ f_{\mathbb{Q}\text{proet},*} = g_{\mathbb{Q}\text{proet},*} \circ \varepsilon_{S'' \times_S S',*}$ if S'' is a spatial diamond over S with base change $g: S'' \times_S S' \rightarrow S''$ of f . Given M, N as in the fourth assertion, we may write M as a colimit of $\Lambda[U]$ with $h: U \rightarrow S$ a morphism from a spatial diamond. By stability of $\mathcal{D}_\square(S_{\mathbb{Q}\text{proet}}, \Lambda)$ under limits, we may assume that $M = \Lambda[U]$. Then $\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{D}(S_{\mathbb{Q}\text{proet}}, \Lambda)}(M, N) \cong h_{\mathbb{Q}\text{proet},*}(N|_{U_{\mathbb{Q}\text{proet}}})$ is solid by stability of big solid sheaves under pullback and pushforward. The existence of the symmetric monoidal structure making $(-)_\square$ symmetric monoidal is formal, cf. [19, Proposition VII.1.14]. It is clear that the pullback $f_{\mathbb{Q}\text{proet}}^*$ is naturally symmetric monoidal. \square

Lemma 5.4.5. *Let S be a spatial diamond. Then $\varepsilon_S^*: \mathcal{D}(S_{\mathbb{q}\text{proet}}, \mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(S_{\mathbb{Q}\text{proet}}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ maps solid sheaves to big solid sheaves. Moreover, ε_S^* commutes with solidification (i.e. the left adjoint $(-)_\square$ on both sides) and is naturally symmetric monoidal for the solid tensor product.*

Proof. Preservation of solid sheaves follows from [19, Proposition VII.1.8]. The commutation with solidification can be checked on adjoints, where it is clear. Symmetric monoidality for the solid tensor product follows from symmetric monoidality for the usual tensor product and the commutation with solidification. \square

The relevant examples for us of big solid, even “big nuclear”, sheaves are the ones coming from quasi-coherent sheaves on the Fargues–Fontaine curve, as follows.

Lemma 5.4.6. *Let S be a spatial diamond. Let $M \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(S, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ be nuclear. Then $\sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(M) \in \mathcal{D}(S_{\mathbb{Q}\text{proet}}, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ is big solid.*

Proof. As $\sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is compatible with pullback we may assume by Lemma 5.4.4.(ii) that S is strictly totally disconnected. Fix a pseudo-uniformizer π on S with radius function $\kappa: \mathcal{Y}_{[0, \infty), S} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$. As in Lemma 5.1.4 we use the notation $\mathcal{Y}_{I, S}$ for $I \subseteq [0, \infty)$ a finite union of compact intervals. For each quasi-pro-étale morphism $S' \rightarrow S$ with S' affinoid we can write

$$\Gamma(S', \sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(M)) = \text{eq}(\Gamma(\mathcal{Y}_{[1, p], S'}, M) \rightrightarrows \Gamma(\mathcal{Y}_{[1] \cup [1/p], S'}, M)).$$

We claim that $\varepsilon_{S,*}(\sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(M)) \in \mathcal{D}(S_{\mathbb{q}\text{proet}}, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ is even nuclear in the sense of [4, Section 3.5], and hence solid (even ω_1 -solid). By [4, Lemma 3.29] the nuclear objects in $(\omega_1$ -solid objects in) $\mathcal{D}(S_{\mathbb{q}\text{proet}}, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ are equivalent to $\mathcal{D}_{\text{nuc}}(C(S, \mathbb{Q}_p))$ because S is strictly totally disconnected. More precisely, to $N \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{nuc}}(C(S, \mathbb{Q}_p))$ is associated the sheaf $S' \mapsto (C(S', \mathbb{Q}_p) \otimes_{C(S, \mathbb{Q}_p)} N)(*)$ (the tensor product refers to solid tensor product in $\mathcal{D}_{\text{nuc}}(C(S, \mathbb{Q}_p))$) on strictly totally disconnected spaces $S' \in S_{\mathbb{q}\text{proet}}$. We note that there exists a natural continuous map $\pi_0(\mathcal{Y}_{I, S}) \rightarrow$

$\pi_0(S)$, and thus a natural morphism $C(S, \mathbb{Q}_p) = C(\pi_0(S), \mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{Y}_{I,S})$ of (solid) Banach algebras over \mathbb{Q}_p . We furthermore note that $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{Y}_{I,S'}) \cong C(S', \mathbb{Q}_p) \otimes_{C(S, \mathbb{Q}_p)} \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{Y}_{I,S}) \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{nuc}}(C(S, \mathbb{Z}_p))$ for $S' \in S_{\text{qproet}}$ strictly totally disconnected. Given $I \subseteq (0, \infty)$ a finite union of compact intervals, we let M_I be the nuclear $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{Y}_{I,S})$ -module given by the restriction of M to $\mathcal{Y}_{I,S}$. It follows easily from the above equalizer diagram that $\varepsilon_{S,*}(\sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(M))$ is associated with the nuclear $C(S, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ -module $\text{eq}(M_{[1,p]} \rightrightarrows M_{[1] \cup [1/p]})$, where the two arrows are induced by restriction respectively Frobenius (we note that we use here that $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{Y}_{I,S})$ is an adic, hence nuclear, $C(S, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ -algebra, and thus the $C(S, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ -module M_I is nuclear). \square

We note that for any spatial diamond S the site S_{qproet} (and hence $S_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$) lives naturally over the pro-étale site $*_{\text{proet}}$ of a point. Indeed, given a profinite set T and $S' \in S_{\text{qproet}}$, we can form $T \times S' \in S_{\text{qproet}}$. In particular, $\mathcal{D}(S_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is naturally linear over $\mathcal{D}(*_{\text{proet}}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$. From Lemma 5.4.5 we can conclude that the $\mathcal{D}(*_{\text{proet}}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ -linear structure on $\mathcal{D}_{\square}(S_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ factors canonically through the quotient $\mathcal{D}(*_{\text{proet}}, \mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\square}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. We note that by rigidity of $\mathcal{D}_{\text{nuc}}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ the functor $\varepsilon_{S,*} : \mathcal{D}_{\square}(S_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\square}(S_{\text{qproet}}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is $\mathcal{D}_{\text{nuc}}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ -linear as it commutes with colimits (see [4, Lemma 3.33]).

Lemma 5.4.7. *Let S be a spatial diamond. Then the functor*

$$\sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p} : \mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}^{\text{nuc}}(S, \mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\square}(S_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \mathbb{Q}_p)$$

is $\mathcal{D}_{\text{nuc}}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -linear.

Proof. Let $V \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{nuc}}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and $M \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}^{\text{nuc}}(S, \mathbb{Q}_p)$. By construction of $\sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, we have a natural map $V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} 1 \rightarrow \sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(V \otimes 1)$ of v -sheaves on S , because by adjunction such a map is the same as a map $V \rightarrow \Gamma(S, \sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(V \otimes 1))$, which by definition of $\sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is the same as a map $V \otimes 1 \rightarrow V \otimes 1$ in $\mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(S, \mathbb{Q}_p)$. Thus by the lax symmetric monoidal structure on $\sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ (Lemma 5.3.3), we obtain a natural map

$$\begin{aligned} V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(M) &= (V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} 1) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(M) \rightarrow \sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(V \otimes 1) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(M) \rightarrow \\ &\rightarrow \sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}((V \otimes 1) \otimes M) = \sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(V \otimes M). \end{aligned}$$

Since the target is big solid, this supplies us with a natural morphism

$$\Phi : V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\square} \sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(M) \rightarrow \sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(V \otimes M)$$

(which is compatible with pullback). It is sufficient to check that $\varepsilon_{S',*}(\Phi)$ is an isomorphism for any strictly totally disconnected perfectoid spaces S' over S . As noted before this lemma, $\varepsilon_{S',*}$ is $\mathcal{D}_{\text{nuc}}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ -linear. But from the proof of Lemma 5.4.6 (more precisely, the equalizer diagram), it follows easily that $\varepsilon_{S',*} \circ \sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is $\mathcal{D}_{\text{nuc}}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -linear as desired. \square

Remark 5.4.8. Lemma 5.4.7 solves the problem of the missing $\mathcal{D}_{\text{nuc}}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -linear structure on the target $\mathcal{D}(S_v, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ of the functor $\sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. As mentioned in Remark 5.3.5 another solution would have been to add an “external condensed direction” and to consider the functor $\sigma_{\square, \mathbb{Q}_p} : \mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(S, \mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(S_v, \mathcal{D}_{\square}(\mathbb{Q}_p))$ and the pointwise $\mathcal{D}_{\square}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -linear structure on $\mathcal{D}(S_v, \mathcal{D}_{\square}(\mathbb{Q}_p))$. One can check that $\sigma_{\square, \mathbb{Q}_p}$ is $\mathcal{D}_{\text{nuc}}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -linear (though probably not $\mathcal{D}_{\square}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -linear). As brought to our attention by Wiesława Nizioł it is however difficult to apply the Breen–Deligne resolution in $\mathcal{D}(S_v, \mathcal{D}_{\square}(\mathbb{Q}_p))$. For this reason, we work with the “internal condensed direction” in $\mathcal{D}(S_v, \mathbb{Q}_p)$. If $M \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(S, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ is nuclear one can check that the internal condensed structure $T \mapsto \Gamma(S \times T, \sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(M))$ on $\Gamma(S, \sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(M)) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_{\square}(\mathbb{Q}_p)}(\mathbb{Q}_p, \Gamma(S, \sigma_{\square, \mathbb{Q}_p}(M)))$ is naturally equivalent to the external condensed structure $T \mapsto \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_{\square}(\mathbb{Q}_p)}(\mathbb{Q}_p, \Gamma(S, \sigma_{\square, \mathbb{Q}_p}(M)))$ provided by $\sigma_{\square, \mathbb{Q}_p}$. On a heuristic level, this may explain why the functor $\sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ seems to have nice properties only when restricted to nuclear objects in $\mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(S, \mathbb{Q}_p)$.

Proposition 5.4.9. *Let S be a small v -stack, and let $P, N \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(S, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ be nuclear objects. Let $V \in \mathcal{D}_{\square}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ be nuclear. Then there is a natural isomorphism*

$$\begin{aligned} & \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{D}(S_v, \mathbb{Q}_p)}(\sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(V \otimes P), \sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(N)) \\ &= \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{D}(S_v, \mathbb{Q}_p)}(\sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(P), \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{D}(S_v, \mathbb{Q}_p)}(V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} 1, \sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(N))) \end{aligned}$$

in $\mathcal{D}(S_v, \mathbb{Q}_p)$.

Proof. Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 5.4.7, we obtain a natural map

$$V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(P) \rightarrow \sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(V \otimes P).$$

in $\mathcal{D}(S_v, \mathbb{Q}_p)$. Together with the natural isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} & \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{D}(S_v, \mathbb{Q}_p)}(V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(P), \sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(N)) \\ &= \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{D}(S_v, \mathbb{Q}_p)}(\sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(P), \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{D}(S_v, \mathbb{Q}_p)}(V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} 1, \sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(N))) \end{aligned}$$

this yields a natural morphism from the left to the right in the claim. To check that this morphism is an isomorphism, we may assume that S is a spatial diamond. Moreover, the internal Hom's do not change if we pass to the big quasi-pro-étale site S_{Qproet} (as their second argument is a v -sheaf). By Lemma 5.4.4 and Lemma 5.4.6 we may pass to $\mathcal{D}_{\square}(S_{\text{Qproet}}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ (implicitly solidifying all tensor products in $\mathcal{D}(S_{\text{Qproet}}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$). Then the assertion follows from Lemma 5.4.7. \square

We have seen how big solid sheaves relate to nuclear sheaves on the Fargues–Fontaine curve. We now investigate the behavior of $\sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ with respect to countable limits of nuclear sheaves. We start with some fundamental results on solid functional analysis over \mathbb{Q}_p .

Proposition 5.4.10. *(i) If $V \in \mathcal{D}_{\square}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is quasi-separated, then V is flat for the non-derived solid tensor product $H_0(- \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p \square} -)$. In particular, Fréchet spaces and Smith spaces are flat.¹⁰*

(ii) The category $\mathcal{D}_{\text{nuc}}(\mathbb{Q}_p) \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{\square}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is stable under countable products, and an object in $\mathcal{D}_{\square}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is nuclear if and only if its cohomology objects are nuclear.

(iii) If $W \in \mathcal{D}_{\square}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is nuclear, then for any compact object $V \in \mathcal{D}_{\square}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, the morphism $V^ \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p \square} W \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{D}_{\square}(\mathbb{Q}_p)}(V, W)$ is an isomorphism.*

(iv) Let $W \in \mathcal{D}_{\square}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ be a finite complex of Fréchet spaces. Then W is nuclear and the functor

$$- \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p \square} W : \mathcal{D}_{\text{nuc}}(\mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\text{nuc}}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$$

commutes with countable limits.

Proof. The first statement is [9, Corollary A.28]. The second assertion follows from [9, Theorem A.43] and the first statement. Indeed, it suffices to observe that if T is a profinite set with associated Smith space $\mathbb{Q}_{p, \square}[T]$, then the functor $((\mathbb{Q}_{p, \square}[T])^{\vee} \otimes (-))(\ast)$ is exact on the heart of the natural t -structure on $\mathcal{D}_{\square}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. The third assertion is proven in [9, Proposition A.55], or follows from the assertion that compact objects in $\mathcal{D}_{\square}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ are stable under tensor products. The nuclearity of W in the fourth assertion is [9, Proposition A.64]. The claim on commutation with countable limits follows from [9, Proposition A.66], the flatness of Fréchet spaces, and the stability of $\mathcal{D}_{\text{nuc}}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ under countable limits. \square

¹⁰We use the terminology from [42, Definition 3.2, Definition 3.22].

The commutation of the solid tensor product of a Fréchet space with countable inverse limits is specific to \mathbb{Q}_p , for example it fails for $- \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Z}_p \langle U \rangle$ for the Tate algebra $\mathbb{Z}_p \langle U \rangle$ as it fails for $- \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} \mathbb{F}_p[U]$. Similarly, the stability of nuclear objects under countable limits is specific to \mathbb{Q}_p and fails for \mathbb{Z}_p or \mathbb{F}_p . We now extend Proposition 5.4.10 to Huber pairs (A, A^+) over $(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbb{Z}_p)$.

Proposition 5.4.11. *Let $(A, A^+) \rightarrow (A', A'^+)$ be a morphism of Huber pairs over $(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbb{Z}_p)$.*

(i) *If $K \in \mathcal{D}_\square(A, A^+)$ is ω_1 -compact and $N \in \mathcal{D}_\square(A, A^+)$ nuclear, then $\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{D}_\square(A, A^+)}(K, N)$ is nuclear and its formation commutes with the base change $(A', A'^+)_\square \otimes_{(A, A^+)_\square} (-)$ when the latter has finite Tor dimension.*

(ii) *$\mathcal{D}_{\text{nuc}}(A) \subseteq \mathcal{D}_\square(A, A^+)$ is stable under countable limits, and*

$$(A', A'^+)_\square \otimes_{(A, A^+)_\square} - : \mathcal{D}_{\text{nuc}}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\text{nuc}}(A')$$

commutes with right-bounded countable products. If $(A', A'^+)_\square \otimes_{(A, A^+)_\square} -$ has finite Tor dimension then it commutes with all countable limits of nuclear modules.

If A, A' are stably uniform, the same assertions holds with \mathcal{D}_\square replaced by $\mathcal{D}_\hat{\square}$.

Proof. For part (i), if K is compact, we can moreover assume that $K = (A, A^+)_\square[T]$ for some profinite set T . In this case,

$$\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{D}_\square(A, A^+)}(K, N) \cong (C(T, \mathbb{Z}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p, \square} (A, A^+)_\square) \otimes_{(A, A^+)_\square} N,$$

which is nuclear and whose formation commutes with base change in (A, A^+) .

The case that K is ω_1 -compact, i.e., a countable colimit of compact objects, follows from this case and part (ii). For (ii), we may assume that A^+ is minimal (because the inclusion $\mathcal{D}_\square(A, A^+) \subseteq \mathcal{D}_\square(A, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \text{Mod}_A \mathcal{D}_\square(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ preserves products). Then $\mathcal{D}_{\text{nuc}}(A) \cong \text{Mod}_A \mathcal{D}_{\text{nuc}}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ by nuclearity of A in $\mathcal{D}_\square(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and the first part of (ii) follows from Proposition 5.4.10.(ii). For the second part of (ii) we first note that $(A', A'^+)_\square \otimes_{(A, A^+)_\square} - = A' \otimes_A -$ on nuclear modules, because the right-hand side lands in nuclear A' -modules, which are automatically solid over A'^+ (e.g. by the explicit description of nuclear modules in [4, Lemma 2.18]) Now note that for every nuclear $(A, A^+)_\square$ -module M we have

$$A' \otimes_A M = \varinjlim_{n \in \Delta^{\text{op}}} A' \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \square} A^{\otimes n} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \square} M.$$

By Proposition 5.4.10.(iv) it follows that $A' \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \square} A^{\otimes n} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p, \square} -$ preserves countable products for each n , and clearly this functor is right-bounded. This implies that $A' \otimes_A -$ preserves right-bounded countable products, because a uniformly right-bounded colimit over Δ^{op} commutes with products (as it is computed by a spectral sequence, cf. [35, Proposition 1.2.4.5]). To prove the last part of the claim, we note that in the case that $A' \otimes_A -$ has finite Tor dimension we can formally deduce that this functor preserves all countable products (and hence all countable limits) by passing through the canonical isomorphism $M = \varinjlim_n \tau^{\leq n} M$.

It remains to settle the statements for $\mathcal{D}_\hat{\square}$ if A, A' are stably uniform. The argument for the first assertion is the same. For the second assertion it suffices to show that a countable product in $\mathcal{D}_\hat{\square}(A, A^+)$ of nuclear objects $N_n \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{nuc}}(A) \subseteq \mathcal{D}_\hat{\square}(A, A^+)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is again nuclear. This assertion follows from the second point in Proposition 2.1.2 because the functor $\alpha_* : \mathcal{D}_\hat{\square}(A, A^+) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_\square(A, A^+)$ preserves and reflects products and nuclearity. \square

From the above results on p -adic functional analysis we can deduce the following consequence for nuclear sheaves on the Fargues–Fontaine curve.

Proposition 5.4.12. *Let S be a small v -stack. The category $\mathcal{D}_{(0,\infty)}^{\text{nuc}}(S)$ is stable under countable limits, and the functor $\sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}: \mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(S, \mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(S_v, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ commutes with countable limits of nuclear objects.*

Proof. By v -descent of both sides, we can assume that S is strictly totally disconnected. By definition of $\sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ and Proposition 5.4.11.(ii), the claim is implied if we can show that for any $S' \in S_v$ and any compact interval $I \in [0, \infty)$ with rational ends, the morphism $\mathcal{Y}_{I,S'} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_{I,S}$ has finite Tor dimension for $\mathcal{D}_{\hat{\square}}$. Using [4, Corollary 2.26] one can check that the property of having finite Tor dimension is stable under base change, e.g., base change to rational opens by shrinking I . Moreover, having finite Tor dimension can be checked after base change to $\mathbb{Z}_{p,\infty}$ because $\mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p,\infty}$ is p -completely faithfully flat and descendable in $\mathcal{D}_{\square}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. Write $I = [r, s]$, $S = \text{Spa}(R, R^+)$, $S' = \text{Spa}(R', R'^+)$. As noted above, we may assume $r = 0$. Let ϖ be a pseudo-uniformizer of S . Replacing ϖ , we may assume $s = 1$. Then one has an almost isomorphism ([19, Proposition II.1.1])

$$\mathcal{O}^+(\mathcal{Y}_{I,S,\infty}) \stackrel{a}{\cong} A_{\text{inf}}(R^+) \left[\left(\frac{p}{[\varpi]} \right)^{1/p^\infty} \right]^{\wedge_{[\varpi]}}.$$

and similarly for $\mathcal{O}^+(\mathcal{Y}_{I,S',\infty})$. It suffices to show that the morphism of adic analytic rings (in the sense of [4, Definition 2.1] for the ideal generated by $[\varpi]$)

$$\mathcal{O}^+(\mathcal{Y}_{I,S,\infty})_{\square} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^+(\mathcal{Y}_{I,S',\infty})_{\square}$$

has finite Tor dimension in the almost version of $\mathcal{D}_{\hat{\square}}$. By [4, Corollary 2.14], this can be checked modulo a power of $[\varpi]$. Thanks to the explicit presentation above, one sees that one has an almost isomorphism

$$\mathcal{O}^+(\mathcal{Y}_{I,S,\infty})_{\square}/[\varpi] \stackrel{a}{\cong} (R^+ / [\varpi])[T^{1/p^\infty}]_{\square},$$

and similarly for S' . Hence the desired finite Tor dimension follows by base change from [38, Proposition 3.1.20] (which uses that S is totally disconnected). \square

Proposition 5.4.13. *Let S be a small v -stack, and let $N \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(S, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ be a nuclear object. Let $V \in \mathcal{D}_{\square}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ be basic nuclear. Then the natural morphism*

$$\sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(S, \mathbb{Q}_p)}(V \otimes 1, N)) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{D}(S_v, \mathbb{Q}_p)}(V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} 1, \sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(N))$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. We may assume that S is a spatial diamond, and replace S_v by S_{Qproet} . By Proposition 5.4.12, the functor $\sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ commutes with countable limits. We write $V = \varprojlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} W_n$ with $W_n \in \mathcal{D}_{\square}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ compact and each $W_n \rightarrow W_{n+1}$ of trace class (in $\mathcal{D}_{\square}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$). We can conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(S, \mathbb{Q}_p)}(V \otimes 1, N)) \\ &= \varprojlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(S, \mathbb{Q}_p)}(W_n \otimes 1, N)) \\ &= \varprojlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(W_n^{\vee} \otimes N) \\ &= \varprojlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} W_n^{\vee} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\square} \sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(N), \end{aligned}$$

using that N and W_n^{\vee} are nuclear and Lemma 5.4.7. Let $S' \in S_{\text{Qproet}}$ be a spatial diamond. By Proposition 5.4.10 and the $\mathcal{D}_{\text{nuc}}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -linearity of $\varepsilon_{S',*}$ we can conclude that this last term has sections $\varprojlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} W_n^{\vee} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\square} \Gamma(S', \sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(N))$ over S' .

For the right-hand side of the claim of this lemma, we first note that $V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} 1$ denotes the naive tensor product on $\mathcal{D}(S_{\mathbb{Q}_p\text{proet}}, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ (and, by abuse of notation, V denotes the pullback of $V \in \mathcal{D}_{\square}(\mathbb{Q}_p) \subseteq \mathcal{D}(*_{\text{proet}}, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ along $S_{\mathbb{Q}_p\text{proet}} \rightarrow *_{\text{proet}}$). Let $S' \in S_{\mathbb{Q}_p\text{proet}}$ be a strictly totally disconnected perfectoid space. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \Gamma(S', \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{D}(S_{\mathbb{Q}_p\text{proet}}, \mathbb{Q}_p)}(V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} 1, \sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(N))) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(S'_{\mathbb{Q}_p\text{proet}}, \mathbb{Q}_p)}(\varepsilon_{S'}^*(V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} 1), \sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(N)) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(S'_{\text{qproet}}, \mathbb{Q}_p)}(V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} 1, \varepsilon_{S', *}\sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(N)) \end{aligned}$$

with $V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} 1$ now denoting the naive tensor product on S'_{qproet} . As $\varepsilon_{S', *}\sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(N)$ is solid (even nuclear), we may replace $V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} 1$ by the solid tensor product $V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\square} 1$, which is nuclear. We can conclude that the last Hom identifies with

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_{\text{nuc}}(C(S', \mathbb{Q}_p))}(V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\square} C(S', \mathbb{Q}_p), \Gamma(S', \sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(N))) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_{\text{nuc}}(\mathbb{Q}_p)}(V, \Gamma(S', \sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(N))).$$

Here we abuse notation and identify denote by $\Gamma(S', \sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(N))$ the nuclear $C(S', \mathbb{Q}_p)$ -module associated to the nuclear sheaf $\varepsilon_{S', *}\sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(N)$ on S'_{qproet} . Now the above expression identifies with

$$\varprojlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} W_n^{\vee} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\square} \Gamma(S', \sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(N))$$

as desired. \square

We can now put everything together and finally prove the main result of this subsection, providing a relation between $\sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ and internal Hom's.

Proof of Theorem 5.4.1. Assume first that M is dualizable. Then the assertion follows from [3, Corollary 3.10]. Next, assume that M is of the form $M = V \otimes P$ with $V \in D_{\square}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ basic nuclear and $P \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(S, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ dualizable. Using Proposition 5.4.9, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{D}(S_v, \mathbb{Q}_p)}(\sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(M), \sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(N)) \\ &= \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{D}(S_v, \mathbb{Q}_p)}(\sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(P), \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{D}(S_v, \mathbb{Q}_p)}(V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} 1, \sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(N))) \\ &= \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{D}(S_v, \mathbb{Q}_p)}(V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} 1, \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{D}(S_v, \mathbb{Q}_p)}(\sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(P), \sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(N))). \end{aligned}$$

By the dualizable case just treated, this can be rewritten as

$$\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{D}(S_v, \mathbb{Q}_p)}(V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} 1, \sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(S, \mathbb{Q}_p)}(P, N))),$$

which by Proposition 5.4.13 is naturally isomorphic to

$$\sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(S, \mathbb{Q}_p)}(V \otimes 1, \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(S, \mathbb{Q}_p)}(P, N)) = \sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(S, \mathbb{Q}_p)}(V \otimes P, N),$$

as desired.

Finally we come to the general case. Write $M_n = V_n \otimes P_n$ for all n . Since $\sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ commutes with (arbitrary) colimits, the right-hand side in the statement of Theorem 5.4.1 identifies with

$$\varprojlim_n \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{D}(S_v, \mathcal{D}_{\square}(\mathbb{Q}_p))}(\sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(M_n), \sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(N)).$$

The left-hand side can trivially be rewritten as

$$\sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\varprojlim_n \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(S, \mathbb{Q}_p)}(M_n, N)).$$

Hence, because by the previous step we already know the statement for M_n and N for every n , it suffices to show that we can pull out the limit in the above expression. But we already noticed that $\sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ commutes with countable limits of nuclear objects (Proposition 5.4.12), and each $\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(S, \mathbb{Q}_p)}(M_n, N)$ is nuclear (cf. Proposition 5.4.11.(i)). This finishes the proof. \square

5.5. Relation to v-sheaves: the mod p -case

Although it will not be necessary for the rest of this paper, we briefly pause in this subsection and restrict our attention to the mod p -case and analyze the functor

$$\sigma_{\mathbb{F}_p} : \mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(S, \mathbb{F}_p) = \mathcal{D}_{\hat{\square}}(\mathcal{O}_{S/\varphi^z}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(S_v, \mathbb{F}_p)$$

or variants thereof.

We start by calculating some examples.

Example 5.5.1. Let $S = \text{Spa}(R, R^+)$ be an affinoid perfectoid space over \mathbb{F}_p which admits a morphism of finite dim.trg to a totally disconnected perfectoid space. Then $\mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(S, \mathbb{F}_p) \cong \text{Mod}_{R[F^{\pm 1}]} \mathcal{D}_{\hat{\square}}(R, R^+)$ identifies with the category of (solid) φ -modules over R , i.e. with pairs (M, φ_M) with $M \in \mathcal{D}_{\hat{\square}}(R, R^+)$ and $\varphi_M: \varphi^* M \rightarrow M$ an isomorphism, or equivalently with $R[F^{\pm 1}]$ -modules in $\mathcal{D}_{\hat{\square}}(R, R^+)$. Here, $R[F^{\pm 1}]$ denotes the non-commutative R -algebra with $Fr = \varphi(r)F$ for $r \in R$. In the following we compute $\sigma_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ in several examples:

- (a) Assume $(M, \varphi_M) = (R, \varphi)$. Then we have

$$\text{Hom}_{\varphi}(1, M) = [R \xrightarrow{\varphi^{-1}} R] = \Gamma(S_{\text{et}}, \mathbb{F}_p).$$

Varying S this implies that $\sigma_{\mathbb{F}_p}(R, \varphi) = \mathbb{F}_p$ is the constant sheaf \mathbb{F}_p on S_v .

- (b) Assume $(M, \varphi_M) = (R[F^{\pm 1}], F \cdot)$. Then

$$\text{Hom}_{\varphi}(1, M) = [R[F^{\pm 1}] \xrightarrow{F^{-1}} R[F^{\pm 1}]] \cong R[-1]$$

by the map $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} r_n F^n \mapsto \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \varphi^{-n}(r_n)$ in cohomological degree 1 (here $r_n \in R$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$). This implies that $\sigma_{\mathbb{F}_p}(R[F^{\pm 1}], F)$ is the structure sheaf $S' \mapsto \mathcal{O}(S')$.

- (c) Assume $(M, \varphi_M) = (R\langle F^{\pm 1} \rangle, F \cdot)$, where $R\langle F^{\pm 1} \rangle = R^+[F^{\pm 1}]_{\pi}^{\wedge}[1/\pi]$ for a pseudo-uniformizer $\pi \in R$ (and the π -adic completion resp. localization is as a left module over R^+). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{\varphi}(1, M) &= [R\langle F^{\pm 1} \rangle \xrightarrow{F^{-1}} R\langle F^{\pm 1} \rangle] \\ &\cong R/R^{\circ\circ}[-1] \end{aligned}$$

by [3, Lemma 3.10]. This implies that $\sigma_{\mathbb{F}_p}(R\langle F^{\pm 1} \rangle, F)$ is the quotient sheaf $\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{O}^{\circ\circ}$.

We now recall the following calculation on the v-site. It might look surprising at first, but we warn the reader that $R\langle F^{\pm 1} \rangle$ is not a ring.¹¹

Proposition 5.5.2. *Let $S = \text{Spa}(R, R^+)$ be an affinoid perfectoid space of characteristic p . Consider $\mathcal{O}^+, \mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{D}(S_v, \mathbb{F}_p)$. Then $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(S_v, \mathbb{F}_p)}(\mathcal{O}^+, \mathcal{O})$ is given by $R\langle F^{\pm 1} \rangle$. Here, the element F maps to the natural inclusion $\mathcal{O}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$.*

Proof. By [3, Proposition 3.7] the natural map

$$R^+\langle F^{\pm 1} \rangle \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(S_v, \mathbb{F}_p)}(\mathcal{O}^+, \mathcal{O}^+)$$

is an almost isomorphism, where R^+ acts on the right-hand side through the right \mathcal{O}^+ . This implies that

$$R\langle F^{\pm 1} \rangle \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(S_v, \mathbb{F}_p)}(\mathcal{O}^+, \mathcal{O})$$

as in [3, Corollary 3.8]. □

¹¹For example, one cannot multiply $\sum_{n \geq 0} \pi^n F^n$ with π^{-1} : the product ought to be $\sum_{n \geq 0} \pi^n \varphi^n(\pi^{-1}) F^n = \sum_{n \geq 0} \pi^{n-p^n} F^n$, which does not lie in $R\langle F^{\pm 1} \rangle$.

We get the following consequence. Abusing notation, we denote by $\sigma_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ also the composition

$$\mathcal{D}_{\square}^a(\mathcal{O}_{S/\varphi\mathbb{Z}}^+)_{\pi\text{-compl}}^{\text{nuc}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\square}^{\text{nuc}}(\mathcal{O}_{S/\varphi\mathbb{Z}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(S_v, \mathbb{F}_p),$$

where the first morphism is given by inverting a pseudo-uniformizer $\pi \in R$ (where $S = \text{Spa}(R, R^+)$ is an affinoid perfectoid space of characteristic p). We note that $\mathcal{D}_{\square}^a(\mathcal{O}_{S/\varphi\mathbb{Z}}^+)_{\pi\text{-compl}}^{\text{nuc}}$ is just the classical almost derived category of $R^+[F^{\pm 1}]$ -modules in $\mathcal{D}^a(R^+)$, whose underlying almost R^+ -module is π -adically complete.

Lemma 5.5.3. *Let $S = \text{Spa}(R, R^+)$ be an affinoid perfectoid space of characteristic p . Let I be a set. Then $\sigma_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\widehat{\bigoplus}_I R^+\langle F^{\pm 1} \rangle) \cong \bigoplus_I \mathcal{O}/\mathcal{O}^{\circ\circ}[-1]$ and the natural map*

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_{\square}^a(\mathcal{O}_{S/\varphi\mathbb{Z}}^+)_{\pi\text{-compl}}} (R^+\langle F^{\pm 1} \rangle, \widehat{\bigoplus}_I R^+\langle F^{\pm 1} \rangle) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(S_v, \mathbb{F}_p)} (\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{O}^{\circ\circ}, \bigoplus_I \mathcal{O}/\mathcal{O}^{\circ\circ})$$

is an isomorphism in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{F}_p)$.

Proof. The claim $\sigma_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\widehat{\bigoplus}_I R^+\langle F^{\pm 1} \rangle) \cong \bigoplus_I \mathcal{O}/\mathcal{O}^{\circ\circ}[-1]$ follows from Example 5.5.1 and [8, Lemma 9.2]. For the other statement we calculate both sides. On the one hand we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_{\square}^a(\mathcal{O}_{S/\varphi\mathbb{Z}}^+)_{\pi\text{-compl}}^{\text{nuc}}} (R^+\langle F^{\pm 1} \rangle, \widehat{\bigoplus}_I R^+\langle F^{\pm 1} \rangle) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^a(R^+)} (R^+, \widehat{\bigoplus}_I R^+\langle F^{\pm 1} \rangle) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(R^+)} (R^{\circ\circ}, \widehat{\bigoplus}_I R^+\langle F^{\pm 1} \rangle) \\ &= \varprojlim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0, i \rightarrow \infty} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(R^+)} (\pi^\varepsilon R^+, \bigoplus_I R^+/\pi^i[F^{\pm 1}]) \\ &= \varprojlim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0, i \rightarrow \infty} \bigoplus_I \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(R^+)} (\pi^\varepsilon R^+, R^+/\pi^i[F^{\pm 1}]) \\ &= \varprojlim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0, i \rightarrow \infty} \bigoplus_I \pi^{-\varepsilon} R^+/\pi^{i-\varepsilon} R^+[F^{\pm 1}] \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(S_v, \mathbb{F}_p)} (\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{O}^{\circ\circ}, \bigoplus_I \mathcal{O}/\mathcal{O}^{\circ\circ}) \\ &= \varprojlim_{i \rightarrow \infty, \varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(S_v, \mathbb{F}_p)} (\pi^{-i} \mathcal{O}^+/\pi^\varepsilon \mathcal{O}^+, \bigoplus_I \mathcal{O}/\mathcal{O}^{\circ\circ}) \\ &= \varprojlim_{i \rightarrow \infty, \varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \bigoplus_I \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(S_v, \mathbb{F}_p)} (\pi^{-i} \mathcal{O}^+/\pi^\varepsilon \mathcal{O}^+, \mathcal{O}/\mathcal{O}^{\circ\circ}) \end{aligned}$$

using that the v -sheaf $\pi^{-i} \mathcal{O}^+/\pi^\varepsilon \mathcal{O}^+$ is pseudo-coherent ([3, Corollary 3.8]) in the last step. Now, similarly to the proof of Proposition 5.5.2 we have

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(S_v, \mathbb{F}_p)} (\mathcal{O}^+, \mathcal{O}/\mathcal{O}^{\circ\circ}) \cong R/R^{\circ\circ}[F^{\pm 1}],$$

and thus

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(S_v, \mathbb{F}_p)} (\pi^{-i} \mathcal{O}^+/\pi^\varepsilon \mathcal{O}^+, \mathcal{O}/\mathcal{O}^{\circ\circ}) \cong \pi^{-i+\varepsilon} R^{\circ\circ}/R^{\circ\circ}[F^{\pm 1}].$$

Now, the pro-systems $\{\bigoplus_I \pi^{-\varepsilon} R^+/\pi^{i-\varepsilon} R^+[F^{\pm 1}]\}_{\varepsilon, i}$ and $\{\bigoplus_I \pi^{-i+\varepsilon} R^{\circ\circ}/R^{\circ\circ}[F^{\pm 1}]\}_{\varepsilon, i}$ are isomorphic, which implies the lemma. \square

With the above computations we can now easily deduce the main result of this subsection, showing that the $+$ -version of $\sigma_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ is fully faithful:

Theorem 5.5.4. *Let S be a small v-stack. Then the functor*

$$\sigma_{\mathbb{F}_p} : \mathcal{D}_{\square}^a(\mathcal{O}_{S/\varphi^{\mathbb{Z}}}^+)_{\pi\text{-compl}}^{\text{nuc}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(S_v, \mathbb{F}_p)$$

is fully faithful.

Proof. We note that both sides satisfy v-descent in S , and hence we may reduce to the case that $S = \text{Spa}(R, R^+)$ is a totally disconnected perfectoid space of characteristic p . Then the category $\mathcal{D}_{\square}^a(\mathcal{O}_{S/\varphi^{\mathbb{Z}}}^+)_{\pi\text{-compl}}^{\text{nuc}} \cong \text{Mod}_{R^+[F^{\pm 1}]}(\mathcal{D}^a(R^+))_{\pi\text{-compl}}^{\text{nuc}}$ is generated under (completed) colimits by the objects $\widehat{\bigoplus_I R^+ \langle F^{\pm 1} \rangle}$ for varying sets I . Now, Lemma 5.5.3 implies that $\sigma_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ is fully faithful on the category generated by these objects under colimits. \square

We now discuss difficulties that occur if one tries to get a version of Theorem 5.5.4 for $\mathcal{O}_{S/\varphi^{\mathbb{Z}}}$ instead of $\mathcal{O}_{S/\varphi^{\mathbb{Z}}}^+$. The same kind of difficulties arise if one tries to prove fully faithfulness for $\sigma_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ or $\sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. If $S = \text{Spa}(R, R^+)$ is an affinoid perfectoid space in characteristic p , we set

$$\mathcal{A} := \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(S_v, \mathbb{F}_p)}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}).$$

By Proposition 5.5.2 we have

$$\mathcal{A} \cong \varprojlim (\dots \xrightarrow{\pi} R \langle F^{\pm 1} \rangle \xrightarrow{\pi} R \langle F^{\pm 1} \rangle)$$

for a pseudo-uniformizer $\pi \in R$, where the action of π is from the right. Equivalently, the transition maps are given by $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} r_n F^n \mapsto \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} r_n \pi^{p^n} F^n$. Using topological Mittag-Leffler (see [11, Lemma 4.8]) we see that \mathcal{A} is concentrated in degree 0, and given by the ring of power series $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} r_n F^n \in R \langle F^{\pm 1} \rangle$ such that $|r_n| s^{p^n} \rightarrow 0$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$ and any $s > 0$ while $|r_n| \rightarrow 0$ for $n \rightarrow -\infty$. We note that the functor

$$\sigma_{\mathbb{F}_p} : \mathcal{D}_{\square}^{\text{nuc}}(S/\varphi^{\mathbb{Z}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(S_v, \mathbb{F}_p)$$

is not fully faithful (assuming S admits a morphism of finite dim.trg to a totally disconnected perfectoid space, to make the objects well-defined):

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_{\square}^{\text{nuc}}(S/\varphi^{\mathbb{Z}})}(R[F^{\pm 1}], R[F^{\pm 1}]) = R[F^{\pm 1}] \not\cong \mathcal{A} \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(S_v, \mathbb{F}_p)}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}),$$

but $\sigma_{\mathbb{F}_p}(R[F^{\pm 1}]) = \mathcal{O}$ by Example 5.5.1.

We expect that the non-trivial commutation of solid tensor products with countable inverse limits of Fréchet spaces (cf. [9, Corollary A.24]) hold true in our situation and that they show that \mathcal{A} is an idempotent $R[F^{\pm 1}]$ -algebra, which is compatible with base change. Moreover, we expect that $\sigma_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{O}$, which then implies that $\sigma_{\mathbb{F}_p} : \mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(S, \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(S_v, \mathbb{F}_p)$ is fully faithful when restricted to the full subcategory generated by \mathcal{A} under *finite* colimits. The fully faithfulness does not extend to infinite colimits. Namely, the problem is caused by the fact that \mathcal{O} is not a pseudo-coherent sheaf on the v-site.

Remark 5.5.5. The situation seems reminiscent of what happens for analytic Riemann-Hilbert over the complex numbers. If X is a complex manifold, Clausen and Scholze prove that the base change to \mathbb{C} of the Betti stack of X is isomorphic to the analytic de Rham stack of X . In this analogy, quasicoherent sheaves on (the base change of) the Betti stack function as an analog of nuclear objects in $\mathcal{D}(S_v, \mathbb{F}_p)$ and the sheaf of analytic differential operators \mathcal{D}_{∞} on X as an analogue of \mathcal{A} : note that, similar to the definition of \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{D}_{∞} can be identified with the derived internal endomorphisms of the structure sheaf of X over the “constant” sheaf \mathbb{C} ([26]). But quasicoherent sheaves on the analytic de Rham aren’t the whole category of \mathcal{D}_{∞} -modules in quasicoherent sheaves on X ; rather, !-pullback identifies

them with a full subcategory thereof, killed by a certain idempotent algebra. Hence, following this analogy, an expectation would be that a (to be found) category of nuclear objects in $\mathcal{D}(S_v, \mathbb{F}_p)$ identifies with a certain full subcategory of $\mathcal{D}_{\square}^{\text{nuc}}(S/\varphi^{\mathbb{Z}})$, formed by objects killed by a certain idempotent algebra B in $\mathcal{D}_{\square}^{\text{nuc}}(S/\varphi^{\mathbb{Z}})$. This would explain why $\sigma_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ is not fully faithful: the correct statement would then be that it factors through the category on the “complementary, non-commutative open subspace” of the idempotent algebra B and gives a fully faithful functor on this quotient. But it seems to us that this expectation is too naive and that the situation is more complicated. At least we do not know how to formulate a precise and reasonable guess.

6. Applications and examples

In this section we want to present some examples and applications of our theory.

6.1. Around excision

Excision is a useful tool for cohomology computations. It does not work in the naive sense for pro-étale \mathbb{Q}_p - (or \mathbb{Z}_p -)cohomology. We explain here what one correct version of excision is in our setting, and more precisely how one extracts it from the general excision result at the level of categorified locales ([11, Lecture V]). This will be used in the next subsection.

Definition 6.1.1. Let \mathcal{C} be a presentably symmetric monoidal stable category. The *smashing spectrum* of \mathcal{C} is the poset $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{C})$ of idempotent algebras in \mathcal{C} . In other words, $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{C})$ is the category of objects A in \mathcal{C} endowed with an arrow

$$\mu: 1_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow A$$

such that the natural map

$$\mu \otimes \text{id}_A: A \rightarrow A \otimes A$$

is an equivalence (any such A is automatically equipped with a unique and functorial \mathbb{E}_{∞} -algebra structure). This poset is a locale, whose closed subsets correspond to idempotent algebras.

Definition 6.1.2. Let $Z \subseteq \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{C})$ be a closed subspace corresponding to the idempotent algebra $A = A_Z$. Write U for its formal open complement. We define

$$\mathcal{C}(Z) := \text{Mod}_A(\mathcal{C}),$$

which, by idempotency of A , is a full subcategory of \mathcal{C} , and

$$\mathcal{C}(U) = \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{C}(Z).$$

Moreover, we define the following functors:

- (a) $i_Z^*: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(Z)$ is the natural base change and $j_U^*: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(U)$ is the natural localization functor.
- (b) $i_{Z,*}$ and $j_{U,*}$ are the (fully faithful) right adjoints of i_Z^* and j_U^* respectively.
- (c) $i_{Z,!} = i_{Z,*}$ and $j_{U,!}$ is the (fully faithful) left adjoint of j_U^* .
- (d) $j_U^! = j_U^*$ and $i_Z^!$ is the right adjoint of $i_{Z,*}$.

One has, for any $X \in \mathcal{C}$,

$$j_{U,*}j_U^*X = \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{C}}([1_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow A], X)$$

and

$$j_{U,!}j_U^*X = [X \rightarrow X \otimes A],$$

so that in particular one has excision triangles

$$j_{U,!}j_U^* \rightarrow \text{id} \rightarrow i_{U,*}i_U^*, \quad i_{U,*}i_U^! \rightarrow \text{id} \rightarrow j_{U,*}j_U^*.$$

The above formalism can be applied to the category of modified solid quasicoherent sheaves on relative Fargues–Fontaine curves. What makes it useful is the following statement.

Proposition 6.1.3. *Let X be a small v -stack. There is a unique morphism of locales*

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}(X)) \rightarrow |X|,$$

sending an open sub- v -stack $j: U \subseteq X$ to $j_!(\mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}(U)) \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}(X)$.

Proof. This follows from Lemma 4.3.1, which shows that open immersions give rise to categorical open immersions for $\mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}$. More concretely, for $j: U \subseteq X$ open, set $I_U := j_!(1)$, which is a coideempotent coalgebra in $\mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}$ ([5, Definition 2.9], we note that by [5, Proposition 2.14] the smashing spectrum is here equivalently given by coideempotent algebras). Then $j_!(\mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}(U))$ identifies with the full subcategory of I_U -comodules in $\mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}(X)$. Now we have to check that $U \mapsto I_U$ is a morphism of locales. Let $U_1, \dots, U_n \subseteq X$ be a (possibly empty) collection of open substacks, with intersection U . Then $I_U = I_{U_1} \otimes \dots \otimes I_{U_n}$ by the projection formula, and the tensor product of coideempotent coalgebras defines the intersection in $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}(X))$. Now, let $(U_j)_{j \in J}$ be an arbitrary diagram of open substacks with union U . If J is filtered, then $I_U = \varinjlim_{j \in J} I_{U_j}$ (as follows formally from the $*$ -descent $\varinjlim_{j \in J} \mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}(U_j) \cong \mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}(U)$). Similarly, we can check that $I_{U_1 \cup U_2} = \text{cone}(I_{U_1 \cap U_2} \rightarrow I_{U_1} \oplus I_{U_2})$ for open substacks $U_1, U_2 \subseteq X$. Using $I_{U_1 \cap U_2} \cong I_{U_1} \otimes I_{U_2}$, this shows that $U \mapsto I_U \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}(U))$ is compatible with finite unions. This finishes the proof. \square

We note that for $U = U_1 \cup U_2$ the category of I_U -comodules is not simply the union of the categories of I_{U_1} - or I_{U_2} -comodules.

Corollary 6.1.4. *Let X be a small v -stack, and let $Z \subseteq |X|$ be a closed subset. Assume that $Z = \bigcap_{i \in J} |U_i|$ for a cofiltered system of open substacks $j_i: U_i \rightarrow X$, such that for each $i \in J$ there exists $i' \geq i$ and a closed subset $Z_i \subseteq |X|$ with a factorization $|U_{i'}| \subseteq Z_i \subseteq |U_i|$. Then the idempotent algebra $A_Z \in \mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}(X)$ associated with the closed subset $|Z|$ is isomorphic to the colimit $\varinjlim_{i \in J} j_{i,*}1$.*

Proof. For $Z' \subseteq |X|$ closed, let $A_{Z'} \in \mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}$ be the corresponding idempotent algebra. Then $A_Z = \varinjlim_{i \in J} A_{Z_i}$ because a morphism of locales preserves infinite intersections of closed subspaces (as it preserves arbitrary unions of opens). Given $i \in J$, the given factorization $|U_{i'}| \subseteq Z_i \subseteq |U_i|$ implies that the morphism $j_{i',*}(1) \rightarrow j_{i,*}(1)$ factors over A_{Z_i} . Thus by cofinality, we can conclude the statement. \square

In particular, in the situation and notations of Corollary 6.1.4, if $j: U = X \setminus Z \rightarrow X$ is the open complement of Z , we get an exact triangle in $\mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}(-)$ of the form

$$j_!j^* \rightarrow \text{id} \rightarrow \text{colim}_{i \in J} j_{i,*}j_i^*.$$

This is what we will make use of in the next subsections.

Remark 6.1.5. By [2, Section 2] closed sub-v-stacks are in bijection with closed weakly generalizing subsets on $|X|$. In the case that X is a spatial diamond, the closed weakly generalizing subsets are exactly the closed subsets that are stable under generalization. Only these closed subsets have the chance to satisfy the assumptions in Corollary 6.1.4.

Remark 6.1.6. A different version for excision on the curve gives a new viewpoint on why the passage from pro-étale \mathbb{Z}_p - to \mathbb{Q}_p -cohomology is more complicated than just inverting p : if S is a small v-stack, then the pullback of the idempotent algebra A from Remark 4.3.12 to $S/\varphi^{\mathbb{Z}}$ defines an idempotent algebra $A_S \in \mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}(S/\varphi^{\mathbb{Z}})$ with complementary open $\mathcal{D}_{(0,\infty)}(S/\varphi^{\mathbb{Z}})$. Now, excision yields for each $M \in \mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}(S/\varphi^{\mathbb{Z}})$ a fiber sequence

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}(S/\varphi^{\mathbb{Z}})}(A_S, M) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}(S/\varphi^{\mathbb{Z}})}(1, M) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_{(0,\infty)}(S/\varphi^{\mathbb{Z}})}(1, M_{(0,\infty)}),$$

where $M_{|(0,\infty)}$ is the restriction of M to $\mathcal{D}_{(0,\infty)}(S/\varphi^{\mathbb{Z}})$. If $M = f_*(1)$, for a morphism $f: S'/\varphi^{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow S/\varphi^{\mathbb{Z}}$, then the middle term of this fiber sequence is given by pro-étale cohomology with \mathbb{Z}_p -coefficients of S' , while the right term by pro-étale \mathbb{Q}_p -cohomology. Hence, their difference is governed by the object $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}(S/\varphi^{\mathbb{Z}})}(A_S, M)$ (which might be difficult to access).

6.2. Pro-étale cohomology of smooth rigid spaces

An immediate corollary of Theorem 4.4.4 and Theorem 4.4.6 is a version of Poincaré duality:

Theorem 6.2.1. *Let $g: Y \rightarrow X$ be a smooth morphism of analytic adic spaces over \mathbb{Q}_p , which is equidimensional of dimension d . There is a canonical isomorphism in $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{FF}}(X, \mathbb{Q}_p)$*

$$\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{FF}}(X, \mathbb{Q}_p)}(g^!M, 1) = g_* \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{FF}}(Y, \mathbb{Q}_p)}(M, 1)(d)[2d].$$

Proof. This is formally implied by $g^!(-) = g^*(-) \otimes g^!(1) = g^*(-)(d)[2d]$. \square

As a first consequence, we get finiteness results for proper, smooth pushforward of \mathbb{Q}_p -local systems. A similar assertion has been announced by Kedlaya–Liu in relation with [29]. Recall that in [3, Remark 3.12] a theory of relative Banach–Colmez spaces over a small v-stack S is introduced, namely, as the essential image in $\mathcal{D}(S_v, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ under the functor $\sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ (Section 5.3) of the category of dualizable objects in $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{FF}}(S, \mathbb{Q}_p)$.

Theorem 6.2.2. *Let $f: Y \rightarrow X$ be a proper smooth morphism of analytic adic spaces over \mathbb{Q}_p , equidimensional of dimension d , and let \mathbb{L} be a \mathbb{Q}_p -local system on Y (or more generally a relative Banach–Colmez space). Then the derived pushforward $f_{v,*}\mathbb{L} \in \mathcal{D}(X_v, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ is a relative Banach–Colmez space. Moreover, if f is of constant relative dimension d then there is natural isomorphism*

$$\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{D}(X_v, \mathbb{Q}_p)}(f_{v,*}\mathbb{L}, \mathbb{Q}_p) = f_{v,*}(\mathbb{L}^{\vee}(d)[2d]).$$

Proof. By Lemma 5.3.4, the definition of relative Banach–Colmez spaces, the general property that pushforward along proper and smooth morphisms preserves dualizable objects in any 6-functor formalism and Theorem 5.4.1 (applied here only for M dualizable and N being the unit), we may argue for the pushforward of dualizable objects along $f_*: \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{FF}}(Y, \mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{FF}}(X, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ instead. Then the assertion follows from Theorem 6.2.1. \square

Remark 6.2.3. If in the previous discussion $X = \mathrm{Spa}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and the morphism $Y \rightarrow X$ is replaced by the morphism $Y^{\diamond}/\varphi^{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Spd}(\mathbb{Q}_p)/\varphi^{\mathbb{Z}}$, one could also consider the composition $Y^{\diamond}/\varphi^{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Spd}(\mathbb{Q}_p)/\varphi^{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ and use in addition Corollary 4.5.2. This would give Poincaré duality for “arithmetic” pro-étale cohomology. Such results have been proved independently of ours by Zhenghui Li [33].

For the rest of this subsection, we fix once and for all a complete algebraically closed non-archimedean extension C of \mathbb{Q}_p . We want to make Theorem 6.2.1 more explicit for certain partially proper smooth rigid spaces over $\mathrm{Spa}(C)$. Unless the notation makes it clear, six functors always refer to the 6-functor formalism for $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{FF}}(-, \mathbb{Q}_p)$. We will also abuse notation and not distinguish between a morphism of rigid spaces and the induced morphism of diamonds.

Definition 6.2.4. A partially proper rigid space X over $\mathrm{Spa}(C)$ is said to be *countable at infinity* if one can write $X = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n$ as a countable increasing union of qcqs open subspaces X_n , such that for each n the inclusion $X_n \subseteq X_{n+1}$ factors through the adic compactification Y_n of X_n over $\mathrm{Spa}(C)$.

Examples of Definition 6.2.4 are proper rigid varieties and Stein rigid varieties (for which by definition the qcqs rigid spaces in the countable union can be taken to be affinoid). Local Shimura varieties conjecturally provide examples of arithmetic and representation-theoretic interest.

Let us first observe that one can rewrite compactly supported cohomology (in the sense of the 6-functor formalism $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{FF}}(-, \mathbb{Q}_p)$) of a partially proper rigid space X over $\mathrm{Spa}(C)$ that is countable at infinity using excision. Namely, by definition, we can find an increasing open cover $X = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n$ by qcqs rigid spaces X_n , such that for each n the inclusion $X_n \subseteq X_{n+1}$ factors through the adic compactification Y_n of X_n over $\mathrm{Spa}(C)$. We set $f_n: X_n \rightarrow \mathrm{Spa}(C)$, $h_n: X \setminus Y_n \rightarrow \mathrm{Spa}(C)$.

Proposition 6.2.5. *Let $f: X \rightarrow \mathrm{Spa}(C)$ be a partially proper countable at infinity rigid space. Using the notations introduced above, we have*

$$f_!1 = \varinjlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f_{n,!}1 = \mathrm{fib}(f_*1 \rightarrow \mathrm{colim}_n h_{n,*}1),$$

Proof. Set $Z_n := Y_n \setminus X_n$. Then $Z_{n+1} \cap X_n = \emptyset$ (as $X_n \subseteq X_{n+1}$) and Z_{n+1} is quasi-compact (being closed in Y_{n+1}). This implies that there exists a quasi-compact open subset $V_{n+1} \subseteq Y_{n+1}$ such that $Z_{n+1} \subseteq V_{n+1}$ and $Y_n \cap V_{n+1} = \emptyset$. As X_n is open in Y_{n+1} we get that $X_n \cap \overline{V_{n+1}} = \emptyset$, and thus $X_n \subseteq U_n := Y_{n+1} \setminus \overline{V_{n+1}} \subseteq X_{n+1}$. As V_{n+1} is quasi-compact (and hence pro-constructible in the spectral space Y_{n+1}), $\overline{V_{n+1}}$ is the set of specializations of V_{n+1} in Y_{n+1} , and as specializations of analytic adic spaces don't change the residue field we see that $\overline{V_{n+1}}$ is stable under generalizations. Thus, $U_n \subseteq X_{n+1}$ is a partially proper open subspace containing X_n . Set $g_n: U_n \rightarrow \mathrm{Spa}(C)$ as the natural morphism, and $j_n: U_n \hookrightarrow X$. Then (using that X_n, U_n are open subspaces of X)

$$f_!1 = \varinjlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f_{n,!}1 = \varinjlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} g_{n,!}1.$$

By Proposition 6.1.3, the closed subset $X \setminus U_n \subseteq X$ defines an idempotent algebra $A_n \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{FF}}(X, \mathbb{Q}_p)$. We see that

$$j_{n,!}1 = \mathrm{fib}(1 \rightarrow A_n).$$

As $Y_n \subseteq U_n \subseteq Y_{n+1}$, we see that the systems $\{A_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ and $\{\iota_{n,*}(1)\}_{n \geq 0}$ are cofinal among each other, where $\iota_n: X \setminus Y_n \rightarrow X$. Applying f_* and passing to the colimit over n , we therefore get by cofinality

$$\mathrm{colim}_n f_*j_{n,!}1 = \mathrm{fib}(f_*1 \rightarrow \mathrm{colim}_n h_{n,*}1).$$

Since the morphism $j_n: U_n \rightarrow X$ factors through the adic compactification Y_n we have $f_*j_{n,!}1 = g_{n,!}1$. Hence, we finally deduce that

$$f_!1 = \varinjlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} g_{n,!}1 = \mathrm{fib}(f_*1 \rightarrow \mathrm{colim}_n h_{n,*}1),$$

as desired. □

Based on the description in Proposition 6.2.5, our next goal, Proposition 6.2.10, is to show that compactly supported cohomology of smooth partially proper countable at infinity rigid spaces is not an arbitrary solid quasi-coherent complex on the Fargues–Fontaine curve for C , but has a rather specific shape. We start with some basic results about nuclear sheaves and pushforward.

Lemma 6.2.6. *Let $f: S' \rightarrow S$ be a quasi-separated morphism of small v -stacks. Assume that $S' = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} S'_n$ is a countable union in the analytic topology with $f_n: S'_n \rightarrow S$ p -bounded. Let $M \in \mathcal{D}_{(0,\infty)}^{\text{nuc}}(S')$ be a nuclear object. Then $f_*(M)$ is nuclear. Moreover, in this case the formation of $f_*(M)$ commutes with base change in S .*

Proof. By Proposition 4.2.7.(ii) and Proposition 5.4.12 we may reduce to the case that S is a totally disconnected space and that f is qcqs and p -bounded. Indeed, the compatibility with base change follows by the fact that base change of nuclear modules commutes with the countable limit $f_*(M) = \varprojlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f_{n,*}(M|_{S'_n})$. By stability of $\mathcal{D}_{(0,\infty)}^{\text{nuc}}(S)$ under countable limits (this is proven in Proposition 5.4.12) and by picking a quasi-pro-étale hypercover of S' by totally disconnected spaces, we see that we may reduce to the case that S' is a totally disconnected space. In this case, the claim is clear. \square

Using Lemma 6.2.6 we can obtain the following strengthening of Lemma 5.3.4 for \mathbb{Q}_p -coefficients.

Corollary 6.2.7. *In the situation of Lemma 6.2.6, the natural morphism*

$$\sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p} f_*(M) \xrightarrow{\sim} f_{v,*} \sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(M)$$

is an isomorphism for every nuclear $M \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(S', \mathbb{Q}_p)$.

Proof. The proof is identical to the one of Lemma 5.3.4 except that Proposition 4.2.7 is replaced by Lemma 6.2.6 (the critical assertion is the compatibility with base change). \square

Remark 6.2.8. Let $f: S' \rightarrow S$ be a p -bounded morphism between small v -stacks. Assume that S is an affinoid perfectoid space. Then the choice of a pseudo-uniformizer on S defines a “radius” function rad on $|Y_{(0,\infty),S}|$, and for a closed interval $I \subseteq (0, \infty)$ we denote by $\mathcal{Y}_{I,S} = \text{Spa}(B_{S,I}, B_{S,I}^+)$ the corresponding quasi-compact open subspace (cf. [19, Proposition II.1.16]). If $N \in \mathcal{D}_{\hat{\square}}^{\text{nuc}}(B_{S,I}, B_{S,I}^+)$, then we obtain by $*$ -pushforward to $\mathcal{Y}_{(0,\infty),S}$ a nuclear object $\tilde{N} \in \mathcal{D}_{(0,\infty)}(S)$. Moreover, for a perfectoid space T with a morphism $g: T \rightarrow S'$, we set N_T as the $B_{T,I}$ -module corresponding to $g^* f^*(\tilde{N})$, in other words, $N_T = B_{T,I} \otimes_{B_{S,I}} N$, with the tensor product happening in $\mathcal{D}_{\square}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ (we use here that N is nuclear). Calculating the pushforward $f_*(N_{S'})$ via a Čech nerve for a quasi-pro-étale surjection $T \rightarrow S'$ by an affinoid perfectoid space, we can conclude that $f_*(N_{S'}) \in \mathcal{D}_{(0,\infty)}(S)$ lies in the full subcategory $\mathcal{D}_{\hat{\square}}^{\text{nuc}}(B_{S,I}, B_{S,I}^+)$ (embedded via $*$ -pushforward) and is given by the $B_{S,I}$ -module $M_I := \Gamma(S'_{\text{proet}}, \mathcal{F}_N)$, where \mathcal{F}_N is the pro-étale sheaf over S' sending an affinoid perfectoid space $T \rightarrow S'$ to N_T . As $f_*(N_{S'})$ is a sheaf on $\mathcal{Y}_{(0,\infty),S}$, the collection $\{M_I\}_{I \subseteq (0,\infty) \text{ compact}}$ is a “coadmissible” $B_S = \mathcal{O}(Y_{(0,\infty),S})$ -module in the terminology of [10, Construction 6.5]. In the special cases that $S = \text{Spa}(C)$ and $N = B_{S,I}, B_{\text{dR}}, B_{\text{dR}}^+$ (the latter two are nuclear by Proposition 5.4.11.(ii)) we therefore recover objects considered by Bosco in [10], [9], e.g. [9, Corollary 6.17].

We now come back to the problem of computing the cohomology with compact support. Before addressing the general case, we illustrate the situation by computing the example of the analytic affine line.

Lemma 6.2.9. *Let $X := \mathbb{A}_C^{1,\text{an}} \subseteq \overline{X} := \mathbb{P}_C^{1,\text{an}}$ with complement the point at infinity ∞ . Let $f : X \rightarrow \text{Spa}(C)$ be the structure morphism, and $\overline{f} : \overline{X} \rightarrow \text{Spa}(C)$. For an open quasi-compact subset $U \subseteq \overline{X}$, we let $f_U : U \rightarrow \text{Spa}(C)$ be the induced morphism. Then*

$$f_!1_X = \text{fib}(\overline{f}_*(1_{\overline{X}}) \rightarrow \varinjlim_{U \ni \infty} f_{U,*}(1_U)) \cong i_{x_C,*}(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X},\infty})[-2] \oplus 1[-2].$$

Here, $i_{x_C} : \text{Spa}(C) \hookrightarrow \text{FF}_{C^b}$ is the closed Cartier divisor defined by the untilt C , and this is a closed Cartier divisor on the Fargues–Fontaine curve FF_{C^b} for C^b .

The proof is very similar to calculating the compactly supported pro-étale cohomology of $\mathbb{A}_C^{1,\text{an}}$. However, we want to argue for the compactly supported cohomology in the $\mathcal{D}_{(0,\infty)}$ -formalism, i.e., on the curve, and this makes our life a bit more difficult. This is why we first had to add Lemma 6.2.6 and Remark 6.2.8 before we could invoke the results of [9].

Proof. The first isomorphism has been discussed in Proposition 6.2.5. For the second, we use Remark 6.2.8 with N running through the (non-zero) terms of the fundamental exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p \rightarrow B_e \rightarrow B_{\text{dR}}/B_{\text{dR}}^+ \rightarrow 0.$$

To avoid confusion, the corresponding objects in $\mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(\text{Spa}(C), \mathbb{Q}_p)$ are denoted in this proof by 1 (the monoidal unit), $\mathcal{O}(\infty \cdot x_C) := \varinjlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{O}(n \cdot x_C)$ (with transition maps given by multiplication by Fontaine’s element t) and \mathcal{F} , and similarly for the corresponding objects in $\mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(U, \mathbb{Q}_p)$, with a subscript U added. Then from [9, Proposition 7.17] we can conclude that the natural morphism

$$\mathcal{O}(\infty \cdot x_C) \rightarrow \varinjlim_{U \ni \infty} f_{U,*}(\mathcal{O}(\infty \cdot x_C)_U)$$

is an isomorphism, because the colimit of the higher de Rham cohomologies of U vanishes. Similarly, [9, Corollary 6.17] implies that the cofiber M of the natural morphism

$$\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \varinjlim_{U \ni \infty} f_{U,*}(\mathcal{F}_U)$$

is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X},\infty}$. By the fundamental exact sequence, we deduce that

$$H^0(\varinjlim_{U \ni \infty} f_{U,*}(1_U)) = 1, \quad H^1(\varinjlim_{U \ni \infty} f_{U,*}(1_U)) = i_{x_C,*}(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X},\infty}),$$

and the other cohomology groups vanish. Since $\overline{f}_*(1_{\overline{X}}) \cong 1 \oplus 1[-2]$ (this is implied by Proposition 5.2.2 and the classical calculation of pro-étale \mathbb{Z}_p -cohomology on \overline{X}), the result now follows from the first isomorphism of the lemma. \square

This example suggests the following generalization, which is based on results of Bosco ([9], [10]). Note that in the statement of his results, Bosco assumes that the rigid spaces are paracompact. This is satisfied for partially proper rigid spaces that are countable at infinity.

Proposition 6.2.10. *Let C be the completed algebraic closure of a complete discretely valued non-archimedean extension of \mathbb{Q}_p with perfect residue field. Let $f : X \rightarrow \text{Spa}(C)$ be a smooth partially proper countable at infinity rigid space. Then $f_!1$ belongs to the full subcategory $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(\text{Spa}(C), \mathbb{Q}_p)$ generated under countable colimits by tensor products of dualizable objects with basic nuclear solid \mathbb{Q}_p -vector spaces.*

Proof. We will make use again of the fundamental exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p \rightarrow B_e \rightarrow B_{\text{dR}}/B_{\text{dR}}^+ \rightarrow 0.$$

As in the previous proof, let x_C be the point on the Fargues–Fontaine curve of C^b corresponding to the untilt C , and let $\mathcal{O}(\infty \cdot x_C) := \varinjlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{O}(n \cdot x_C) \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(\text{Spa}(C), \mathbb{Q}_p)$, $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(\text{Spa}(C), \mathbb{Q}_p)$ be the objects corresponding to the middle and right terms. Note that $\mathcal{O}(\infty \cdot x_C) \in \mathcal{C}$ (it even belongs to the subcategory generated by countable colimits of perfect complexes). We now make the following claim:

(*) We have $f_! f^*(\mathcal{O}(\infty \cdot x_C)) \in \mathcal{C}$.

For the proof of this claim, we make use of the notation introduced in the proof of Proposition 6.2.5. We have

$$f_! f^*(\mathcal{O}(\infty \cdot x_C)) = \varinjlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f_{n,!} f_n^*(\mathcal{O}(\infty \cdot x_C)) = \varinjlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} g_{n,!} g_n^*(\mathcal{O}(\infty \cdot x_C)),$$

and thus it suffices to show that $g_{n,!} g_n^*(\mathcal{O}(\infty \cdot x_C)) \in \mathcal{C}$. By Proposition 6.1.3 the closed subsets $Y_{n+1}, \overline{V_{n+1}} \subseteq X_{n+2}$ define idempotent algebras $A_{Y_{n+1}}, A_{\overline{V_{n+1}}} \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(X_{n+2}, \mathbb{Q}_p)$. Using Corollary 6.1.4 and [10, Theorem 4.1] we see that $f_{n+2,!}(A_{Y_{n+1}} \otimes f_{n+2}^* \mathcal{O}(\infty \cdot x_C))$ is calculated by overconvergent Hyodo-Kato cohomology of X_{n+1} , and thus $f_{n+2,!}(A_{Y_{n+1}} \otimes f_{n+2}^* \mathcal{O}(\infty \cdot x_C)) \in \mathcal{C}$ as overconvergent Hyodo-Kato cohomology of the qcqs rigid-analytic variety X_{n+1} is finite dimensional ([10, Theorem 3.29]). Similarly, $f_{n+2,!}(A_{\overline{V_{n+1}}} \otimes f_{n+2}^* \mathcal{O}(\infty \cdot x_C))$ is calculated by overconvergent Hyodo-Kato cohomology of V_{n+1} . As V_{n+1} is as well qcqs, we also get $f_{n+2,!}(A_{\overline{V_{n+1}}} \otimes f_{n+2}^* \mathcal{O}(\infty \cdot x_C)) \in \mathcal{C}$. By excision, $g_{n,!} g_n^*(\mathcal{O}(\infty \cdot x_C))$ is the fiber of the natural morphism

$$f_{n+2,!}(A_{Y_{n+1}} \otimes f_{n+2}^* \mathcal{O}(\infty \cdot x_C)) \rightarrow f_{n+2,!}(A_{\overline{V_{n+1}}} \otimes f_{n+2}^* \mathcal{O}(\infty \cdot x_C)),$$

and thus also in \mathcal{C} . This finishes the proof of (*). We note that the proof even shows that $f_! f^*(\mathcal{O}(\infty \cdot x_C))$ belongs to the subcategory generated under countable colimits by perfect complexes. We next prove the following claim:

(**) We have $f_! f^*(\mathcal{F}) \in \mathcal{C}$.

Arguing like in the proof of (*), we see that it is enough to prove that the overconvergent pro-étale $\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}}/\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}}^+$ -cohomology of a smooth affinoid rigid space is in \mathcal{C} (where we slightly abuse notation, following Remark 6.2.8). It follows from [9, Corollary 6.17] that it is a basic nuclear solid $B_{\text{dR}}^+/t^k B_{\text{dR}}^+$ -module, for some $k \geq 1$. But the compact generators in $\mathcal{D}_{\square}(B_{\text{dR}}^+/t^k B_{\text{dR}}^+)$ are the base change of the solid generators in $\mathcal{D}_{\square}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ to $B_{\text{dR}}^+/t^k B_{\text{dR}}^+$, hence we get objects which are tensor products of an basic nuclear solid \mathbb{Q}_p -vector space with a perfect complex supported at x_C . This finishes the proof of (**).

By putting together the claims (*) and (**) together with the distinguished triangle (coming from the fundamental exact sequence)

$$f_! 1 \rightarrow f_!(f^* \mathcal{O}(\infty \cdot x_C)) \rightarrow f_!(f^* \mathcal{F}),$$

we deduce that $f_! 1 \in \mathcal{C}$. □

Now we finally can come back to Poincaré duality. Let $f : X \rightarrow \text{Spa}(C)$ be a smooth rigid space, of pure dimension d , with C as in Proposition 6.2.10. By Theorem 6.2.1 we have

$$f_* 1(d)[2d] = \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(X, \mathbb{Q}_p)}(f_! 1, 1).$$

We now want to translate this statement to a statement about v-sheaves on $\text{Spa}(C)$. The results of this subsection and Section 5 culminate in the following duality statement:

Theorem 6.2.11. *Let C be the completed algebraic closure of a complete discretely valued non-archimedean extension of \mathbb{Q}_p with perfect residue field. Let $f: X \rightarrow \mathrm{Spa}(C)$ be a smooth partially proper rigid space over $\mathrm{Spa}(C)$ of pure dimension $d \geq 0$. Write X as a filtered colimit of qcqs open subspaces X_i , indexed by a filtered partially ordered set I , such that if $i < i'$, the morphism $X_i \rightarrow X_{i'}$ factors through the adic compactification Y_i of X_i . For each $i \in I$ denote $h_i: X \setminus Y_i \rightarrow \mathrm{Spa}(C)$. Then there is a natural isomorphism*

$$f_{v,*}\mathbb{Q}_p(d)[2d] = \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathrm{fib}(f_{v,*}\mathbb{Q}_p \rightarrow \mathrm{colim}_{i \in I} h_{i,v*}\mathbb{Q}_p), \mathbb{Q}_p).$$

Here the $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}$ is computed in $\mathcal{D}(\mathrm{Spa}(C)_v, \mathbb{Q}_p)$.

Proof. Let J be the filtered partially ordered set of functors $j: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow I$ (one such functor is smaller than another one if it so pointwise). For $j \in J$, let $X_j = \mathrm{colim}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} X_{j(k)}$. By passing to the limit over J on both sides of the claimed isomorphism, we can reduce to the case that $X = X_j$ for some j , i.e. we can assume that X is countable at infinity and that $I = \mathbb{N}$. Now note that Corollary 6.2.7 and Theorem 6.2.1 imply

$$f_{v,*}\mathbb{Q}_p(d)[2d] = \sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(f_*1(d)[2d]) = \sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{FF}}(X, \mathbb{Q}_p)}(f!1, 1).$$

Applying Proposition 6.2.5 and using again Corollary 6.2.7, we see that

$$\sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(f!1) = \mathrm{fib}(f_{v,*}\mathbb{Q}_p \rightarrow \mathrm{colim}_n h_{n,v*}\mathbb{Q}_p)$$

By Proposition 6.2.10, $M := f!1$ is in \mathcal{C} , i.e. of the form of Theorem 5.4.1. Then we conclude from this theorem (taking $N = 1$) that

$$\sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{FF}}(X, \mathbb{Q}_p)}(f!1, 1) = \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{D}(\mathrm{Spa}(C)_v, \mathbb{Q}_p)}(\sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(f!1), \mathbb{Q}_p).$$

Combining the above identities results in the claim. \square

Remarks 6.2.12. (i) Theorem 6.2.11 in particular gives a proof of [15, Conjecture 1.20]. Recently, Colmez, Gilles and Nizioł have announced a proof of this conjecture as well, see [16]. As far as we understand, their strategy is similar: 1) prove a duality statement on the curve, and 2) use (a version of) $\sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ to pass to v-sheaves. The difference of the two approaches lies in the proof of 1). Namely, we obtain the duality by abstract means, while they use comparison theorems and duality for Hyodo–Kato/de Rham cohomology.

(ii) We stress that our Poincaré duality result at the level of the Fargues–Fontaine curve, i.e. Theorem 6.2.1, works for any smooth morphism of analytic adic spaces. It is only in the translation into a duality statement on the v-site that we were forced to restrict to the case of a partially proper rigid variety over a geometric point that is countable at infinity, and had to make use of some non-trivial input from p -adic Hodge theory.

Remark 6.2.13. The hypothesis that C is the completed algebraic closure of a complete discretely valued non-archimedean extension of \mathbb{Q}_p with perfect residue field is here to be able to quote [10], but should not be necessary (one should replace the comparison with Hyodo–Kato cohomology with motivic arguments to get the desired finiteness). We do not pursue this further.

6.3. Examples coming from geometric local Langlands

While the 6-functor formalism $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{FF}}(-, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ is the relevant one for questions related to pro-étale cohomology of rigid spaces, as the previous subsections illustrated, we believe that, as far as \mathbb{Q}_p -coefficients are concerned, the fundamental one is $\mathcal{D}_{(0, \infty)}(-)$. In particular, we hope

that it can be useful to the investigation of the p -adic aspects of the work of Fargues–Scholze. In this final subsection, we would like to provide a few examples in this direction.

We start with the simplest possible example, $\mathrm{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_p)$. Due to the abstract definition of $\mathcal{D}_{(0,\infty)}(-)$, the following theorem is not obvious, even for $\mathrm{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_p)$. The argument in the proof is due to Felix Zillinger.

Theorem 6.3.1. *Let R be perfect \mathbb{F}_p -algebra which is integral over an \mathbb{F}_p -algebra of finite type. Then the natural functor*

$$\mathcal{D}_{\square}(W(R)[1/p]) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{(0,\infty)}(\mathrm{Spd}(R))$$

is an equivalence.

Proof. Set $S := \mathrm{Spd}(R)$ and $S' := \mathrm{Spd}(R((t^{1/p^\infty})))$. Then S' is a perfectoid space, and the assumptions on R imply that the natural morphism $S' \rightarrow \mathrm{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_p((t^{1/p^\infty})))$ is of finite dim.trg. We can conclude that

$$\mathcal{D}_{(0,\infty)}(S') \cong \mathcal{D}_{\hat{\square}}(\mathcal{Y}_{(0,\infty),S'}).$$

Now the critical observation is that

$$\mathcal{Y}_{(0,\infty),S' \times_S S'} \cong \mathcal{Y}_{(0,\infty),S'} \times_{\mathrm{Spa}(W(R)[1/\pi])} \mathcal{Y}_{(0,\infty),S'}$$

in analytic stacks, as one checks similarly to the proof of Lemma 4.1.5, i.e. after base change to $\mathbb{Q}_{p,\infty}$ and then on tilts (and similarly for the products $S' \times_S \dots \times_S S'$), cf. as well [56, Proposition 1.1]. It is therefore sufficient to see that $\mathcal{D}_{\square}(-)$ satisfies descent for the morphism $\mathcal{Y}_{(0,\infty),S'} \rightarrow \mathrm{Spa}(W(R)[1/\pi])$ (note that $\mathcal{D}_{\hat{\square}}(-) = \mathcal{D}_{\square}(-)$ here by Proposition 2.1.2). Picking an untilt T' of S' , this reduces to the assertion that $\mathcal{D}_{\square}(-)$ satisfies descent for the morphism $T' \rightarrow \mathrm{Spa}(W(R)[1/\pi])$. This is easy (e.g. by producing a section as modules). \square

Remark 6.3.2. The result of [56] is more general, since it also applies to open sub- v -sheaves of v -sheaves attached to perfect schemes. For example, consider $\mathrm{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_p[[t]])$, where $\mathbb{F}_p[[t]]$ is endowed with its t -adic topology. It is an open subsheaf of $\mathrm{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_p[[t]]^{\mathrm{triv}})$, where $\mathbb{F}_p[[t]]^{\mathrm{triv}}$ is $\mathbb{F}_p[[t]]$ endowed with the discrete topology (namely, for any affinoid perfectoid space $\mathrm{Spa}(R, R^+)$ of characteristic p with an element $t \in R$, the locus where t is topologically nilpotent is open in $\mathrm{Spa}(R, R^+)$). Moreover, if

$$A := W(\mathbb{F}_p[[t^{1/p^\infty}]]) = \mathbb{Z}_p[q^{1/p^\infty}]^{\wedge_{(p,q)}}$$

with the (p, q) -adic topology, where $q = [t^b]$, then the open subspace of $\mathcal{Y}_{(0,\infty),\mathrm{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_p[[t]]^{\mathrm{triv}})} := \mathrm{Spa}(A')$ (here $A' := A$ with the p -adic topology), which corresponds on the tilt to $\mathrm{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_p[[t]]) \times \mathrm{Spd}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, is

$$\mathcal{Y}_{(0,\infty),\mathrm{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_p[[t]])} := \mathrm{Spa}(A) \setminus V(p),$$

and thus, by the argument of the proof of Theorem 6.3.1,

$$\mathcal{D}_{(0,\infty)}(\mathrm{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_p[[t]])) = \mathcal{D}_{\square}(\mathrm{Spa}(A) \setminus V(p)).$$

As an analytic stack, $\mathrm{Spa}(A) \setminus V(p)$ is an open substack of $\mathrm{AnSpec}(A[1/p])$ obtained by analytically inverting p and thus, it has complementary idempotent algebra given by the perfect bounded Robba ring

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}^b = \mathrm{colim}_{r>0} A\langle p/q^{1/r} \rangle[1/q, 1/p].$$

By excision, this for example implies that if $f: \mathrm{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_p[[t]]) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is the structure morphism, then in the 6-functor formalism $\mathcal{D}_{(0,\infty)}(-)$,

$$f_! 1 = \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}^b / A[1/p] [-1].$$

Remark 6.3.3. Let T be a profinite set. One can apply the theorem to $\underline{T} = \mathrm{Spd}(R)$, with $R = C(T, \mathbb{F}_p)$. Since $W(R) = C(T, \mathbb{Z}_p)$, we obtain that

$$\mathcal{D}_{(0,\infty)}(T) \cong D_{\square}(C(T, \mathbb{Q}_p)).$$

If H is a locally profinite group, denote by H^{cont} the group object in analytic stacks obtained as the colimit of $K^{\mathrm{cont}} = \mathrm{AnSpec}(C(K, \mathbb{Q}_p))$ over compact open subgroups K of H . As another consequence of Theorem 6.3.1, we obtain:

Corollary 6.3.4. *Let H be a locally profinite group. Then*

$$\mathcal{D}_{(0,\infty)}(\mathrm{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_p)/H) \cong \mathcal{D}(\mathrm{AnSpec}(\mathbb{Q}_{p^{\square}})/H^{\mathrm{cont}})$$

Proof. Both sides are obtained via the same descent, using Remark 6.3.3. \square

Therefore, $\mathcal{D}_{(0,\infty)}(\mathrm{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_p)/H)$ is a reasonable candidate for a “derived category of continuous H -representations on solid \mathbb{Q}_p -vector spaces”. In particular, it contains fully faithfully the category of continuous representations of H on \mathbb{Q}_p -Banach spaces, as well as the category of solid locally analytic representations of H , when H is a p -adic Lie group ([43, Proposition 6.1.5]).

Remark 6.3.5. Although most of the discussion of this section makes good sense for the \mathbb{Z}_p -linear category $\mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}(-)$ (and in particular its mod p variant $\mathcal{D}_{[0,0]}(-)$), the previous statements are the reason we restricted to $\mathcal{D}_{(0,\infty)}(-)$. Indeed, in contrast with Theorem 6.3.1, the natural functor $\Phi: \mathcal{D}_{\square}(\mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{[0,0]}(\mathrm{Spd} \mathbb{F}_p)$ is not an equivalence (and hence one also does not deduce an analogue of Corollary 6.3.4). Namely, assume that Φ is an equivalence, and consider the morphism $f: \mathrm{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_p[T], \mathbb{F}_p[T]) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_p)$. Note that f is qcqs and p -bounded, so that by Proposition 3.1.9.(ii) the formation of $f_*(1)$ commutes with base change. Base changing to $\mathrm{Spd}(C)$ with $C := \mathbb{F}_p((t^{1/p^\infty}))$ shows that $f_*(1)$ is nuclear, and in fact this base change is given by $C\langle T^{1/p^\infty} \rangle$. If Φ were an equivalence, $f_*(1)$ would therefore be nuclear, i.e., discrete and thus a colimit of copies of \mathbb{F}_p . But this expresses $C\langle T^{1/p^\infty} \rangle$ as a colimit of relatively discrete solid C -modules, which is not possible. It is conceivable that Φ is fully faithful, at least when restricting to ω_1 -compact objects in $\mathcal{D}_{\square}(\mathbb{F}_p)$. We thank Dustin Clausen and Peter Scholze for related discussions.

Let now E be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p ¹² of degree d , with residue field \mathbb{F}_q , let G be a reductive group over E , and let Bun_G be the small v -stack of G -bundles on the Fargues–Fontaine curve relative to E . It contains as an open substack the classifying stack

$$\mathrm{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_q)/G(E)$$

which is $\mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}$ -smooth, by Proposition 4.4.7. In fact, this holds true for the whole stack Bun_G :

Proposition 6.3.6. *The small v -stack Bun_G is $\mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}$ -smooth over $\mathrm{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ of (cohomological) dimension $\dim \mathrm{Res}_{E/\mathbb{Q}_p}(G)$.*

Proof. The claim (including the implicit claim of $!$ -ability of $\mathrm{Bun}_G \rightarrow \mathrm{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_q)$) can be checked after base change to a suitable perfectoid field K , and we can take $K = C^b$, with C a completed algebraic closure of E . Recall Beauville–Laszlo uniformization, which gives a v -cover

$$\bigsqcup_{\mu \in X^*(T)} G(E) \backslash Gr_{G,\mu,K} \rightarrow \mathrm{Bun}_{G,K}.$$

¹²Since both the geometry and the coefficients are p -adic, one should keep in mind which is which. Here, the geometry is over $\mathrm{Spd}(E)$ (in the sense that the moduli stacks appearing such as Bun_G , Div^1 , etc. are defined using Fargues–Fontaine curves for the local field E), while the coefficients are \mathbb{Q}_p (in the sense of Remark 4.2.6.(iii)), since the definition of $\mathcal{D}_{(0,\infty)}$ involves Fargues–Fontaine curves for the local field \mathbb{Q}_p .

This morphism is l -able and $\mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}$ -smooth: this reduces as in the proof of [19, Proposition IV.1.19] to the cohomological smoothness of open Schubert cells, which in turn reduces by the argument of [19, Proposition VI.2.4] to Theorem 4.4.4. [19, Proposition V.2.4] and Theorem 4.4.4 also prove that for each μ ,

$$G(E)\backslash Gr_{G,\mu,K} \rightarrow \mathrm{Spd}(K)/G(E)$$

is $\mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}$ -smooth. Moreover, by Proposition 4.4.7,

$$\mathrm{Spd}(K)/G(E) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spd}(K)$$

is also $\mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}$ -smooth. Altogether this shows that Bun_G admits a cohomologically smooth atlas by a cohomologically smooth small v -stack. Hence by [24, Lemma 4.5.8(i)] we deduce that $\mathrm{Bun}_G \rightarrow \mathrm{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is $\mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)}$ -smooth. The cohomological dimension of Bun_G (i.e. the degree in which the invertible dualizing complex is concentrated) must agree with the cohomological dimension $\dim \mathrm{Res}_{E/\mathbb{Q}_p} G$ of $\mathrm{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_q)/G_b(E)$ for any $b \in B(G)$ basic because this degree is locally constant on Bun_G (as can be checked by pullback to strictly totally disconnected spaces). \square

Remark 6.3.7. Note that contrary to what happens l -adically, Bun_G does not have dimension 0, but (cohomological) dimension $\dim \mathrm{Res}_{E/\mathbb{Q}_p} G$. In the case $G = \mathrm{GL}_n$, this matches the expected dimension of the moduli stack of (φ, Γ) -modules over the Robba ring (see [18, Conjecture 5.1.18]).

The small v -stack Bun_G is endowed with its Harder-Narasimhan filtration, with strata Bun_G^b indexed by elements $b \in B(G)$. Semi-stable strata are classifying stacks of locally profinite groups (which are the E -points of certain inner forms G_b of G), for which the category $\mathcal{D}_{(0,\infty)}$ can be described thanks to Corollary 6.3.4. Other strata are also classifying stacks, but of more complicated group diamonds. To understand $\mathcal{D}_{(0,\infty)}(\mathrm{Bun}_G)$, one therefore needs to understand $\mathcal{D}_{(0,\infty)}(-)$ for such classifying stacks and how the resulting categories “glue” together. It seems interesting to understand both aspects in details. Rather than developing this here, we provide two instructive examples.

Example 6.3.8. If $G = \mathrm{GL}_2$, Beauville–Laszlo uniformization gives a morphism

$$f: \mathbb{P}_E^{1,\diamond} \rightarrow \mathrm{Bun}_2$$

factoring through the natural $\mathrm{GL}_2(E)$ -action on the source, with image $\mathrm{Bun}_2^{\leq b_1}$, the union of the stratum $j: \mathrm{Bun}_2^{b_0} \rightarrow \mathrm{Bun}_2^{\leq b_1}$ corresponding to $\mathcal{E}_{b_0} = \mathcal{O}(1/2)$ and $i: \mathrm{Bun}_2^{b_1} \rightarrow \mathrm{Bun}_2^{\leq b_1}$ corresponding to $\mathcal{E}_{b_1} = \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)$. The inverse image of $\mathrm{Bun}_2^{b_0}$ by f is Drinfeld’s plane Ω_E^\diamond , and the inverse image of $\mathrm{Bun}_2^{b_1}$ is $\mathbb{P}^1(E)$. To get an idea for what

$$\mathrm{cofib}(j_i j^* \rightarrow \mathrm{id})$$

on $\mathrm{Bun}_2^{\leq b_1}$ looks like, we can describe it after pullback along f . Let $j': \Omega_E^\diamond \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_E^{1,\diamond}$ be the open immersion. By proper base-change, it is enough to describe $\mathrm{cofib}(j' j'^* \rightarrow \mathrm{id})$. But we know by Corollary 6.1.4 that

$$\mathrm{cofib}(j' j'^* \rightarrow \mathrm{id}) = \mathrm{colim}_U j_{U,*} j_U^*,$$

the colimit running over all open neighborhoods $j_U: U \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_E^1$ of $\mathbb{P}^1(E)$ in \mathbb{P}_E^1 . Note that actually f factors through the quotient

$$\mathbb{P}_E^{1,\diamond} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_E^{1,\diamond}/\varphi^\mathbb{Z},$$

so for instance we deduce that after pullback $\mathrm{cofib}(j_1 \rightarrow 1)$ is described as the overconvergent pro-étale \mathbb{Q}_p -cohomology of $\underline{\mathbb{P}^1(E)}$ in \mathbb{P}_E^1 (after applying $\sigma_{\square, \mathbb{Q}_p}$ for $\mathbb{P}_E^{1,\diamond}/\varphi^\mathbb{Z}$).

Remark 6.3.9. We warn the reader that Fargues–Scholze’s remarkable atlas $(\mathcal{M}_b)_{b \in B(G)}$ (see [19, §V.3]) does not give a $\mathcal{D}_{(0,\infty)}$ -smooth atlas of Bun_G .

Example 6.3.10. Assume that $E = \mathbb{Q}_p$, $G = \text{GL}_2$ and consider the stratum $\text{Bun}_2^{b_1}$ of Bun_2 associated to $\mathcal{E}_{b_1} = \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)$. This stratum is the classifying stack of the automorphism group $\underline{\text{Aut}}(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1))$, which is an extension

$$1 \rightarrow \mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{O}(1)) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Aut}}(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \rightarrow 1.$$

The quotient is a locally profinite group. The mysterious part is the unipotent part, and hence one can first try to describe

$$\mathcal{D}_{(0,\infty)}(\text{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_p)/\mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{O}(1))).$$

We would like to explain the construction of a “Fourier–Mukai” functor

$$\mathcal{F}_{\text{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_p)/\mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{O}(1))}: \mathcal{D}_{(0,\infty)}(\text{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_p)/\mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{O}(1))) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{(0,\infty)}(\mathbb{Q}_p).$$

First, observe that $\text{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_p)/\mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{O}(1))$ is a \mathbb{Q}_p -module in v-stacks (in the terminology of [3], it is a stack in \mathbb{Q}_p -vector spaces), hence there is a natural action map

$$\alpha: \mathbb{Q}_p \times \text{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_p)/\mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{O}(1)) \rightarrow \text{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_p)/\mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{O}(1)).$$

We have $\mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{O}(1)) = \text{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_p[[t]])$ and therefore, cf. Theorem 6.3.1, Remark 6.3.2,

$$\mathcal{D}_{(0,\infty)}(\text{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_p[[t]])) = \mathcal{D}_{\hat{\square}}(\mathcal{Y}_{(0,\infty),\mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{O}(1))}).$$

Moreover, by [52, Example 10.1.8],

$$\mathcal{Y}_{(0,\infty),\mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{O}(1))} = (\widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_m)_\eta$$

the generic fiber of the universal cover of \mathbb{G}_m , represented by the (pre)perfectoid open unit disk (centered at 1) over \mathbb{Q}_p . Thus, arguing as in the proof of Theorem 4.2.2, we see that

$$\mathcal{D}_{(0,\infty)}(\text{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_p)/\mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{O}(1))) = \mathcal{D}_{\hat{\square}}(\text{Spa}(\mathbb{Q}_p)/(\widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_m)_\eta).$$

Let \mathcal{L} be the universal line bundle on $\text{Spa}(\mathbb{Q}_p)/\mathbb{G}_m^{\text{an}}$, and let us also denote by \mathcal{L} the object of $\mathcal{D}_{(0,\infty)}(\text{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_p)/\mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{O}(1)))$ obtained by pullback along the morphism $(\widetilde{\mathbb{G}}_m)_\eta \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m^{\text{an}}$ obtained by composing the first projection with the morphism $\mathbb{G}_{m,\eta} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m^{\text{an}}$, which on R -points corresponds to the inclusion $1 + R^{\circ\circ} \rightarrow R^\times$. Let also

$$\begin{aligned} \pi: \mathbb{Q}_p \times \text{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_p)/\mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{O}(1)) &\rightarrow \text{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_p)/\mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{O}(1)), \\ \pi^\vee: \mathbb{Q}_p \times \text{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_p)/\mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{O}(1)) &\rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p \end{aligned}$$

be the two projections. We define:

$$\mathcal{F}_{\text{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_p)/\mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{O}(1))}(-) := \pi_1^\vee(\pi^*(-) \otimes \alpha^* \mathcal{L}).$$

In fact, the above construction can be done over the base $\text{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_p)/(\mathbb{Q}_p^\times \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times)$, and gives a $\mathcal{D}_{(0,\infty)}(\text{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_p)/(\mathbb{Q}_p^\times \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times))$ -linear functor

$$\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{D}_{(0,\infty)}(\text{Bun}_2^{b_1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{(0,\infty)}(\mathbb{Q}_p/(\mathbb{Q}_p^\times \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times)).$$

For an interesting geometric example inspired by [25], consider the compact modular curve \mathcal{X}_∞ with infinite level at p and finite unspecified level away from p and the Hodge–Tate period map

$$\pi_{\text{HT}}: \mathcal{X}_\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^1.$$

By properness of π_{HT} and [55, Theorem 1.3], the object $\pi_{\text{HT},*}(1) \in \mathcal{D}_{(0,\infty)}(\text{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_p)/(\mathbb{Q}_p^\times \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times))$ descends along the Beauville–Laszlo map to an object of $\mathcal{D}_{(0,\infty)}(\text{Bun}_2)$, supported on $\text{Bun}_2^{\leq b_1}$, in the notations of Example 6.3.8. Its pullback A along $i: \text{Bun}_2^{b_1} \rightarrow \text{Bun}_2^{\leq b_1}$ descends $(\pi_{\text{HT}|_{\mathcal{X}_{\text{ord}}}})_*(1)$, since the (closure of the) ordinary locus is the inverse image of $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. Pick C a complete algebraically closed non-archimedean extension of \mathbb{Q}_p . The base-change

$$\mathcal{F}_C(A) \in \mathcal{D}_{(0,\infty)}((\mathbb{Q}_p/(\mathbb{Q}_p^\times \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times))_C)$$

of $\mathcal{F}(A)$ to C can be pulled back to an object of $\mathcal{D}_{\square}((\mathbb{Q}_p/(\mathbb{Q}_p^\times \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times))_C)$, which one should be able to describe explicitly as in [25].

Remark 6.3.11. Exchanging the roles of π and π^\vee , one can define as well

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}: \mathcal{D}_{(0,\infty)}(\mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{(0,\infty)}(\text{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_p)/\mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{O}(1))).$$

We warn the reader that despite the terminology and notation used, $\mathcal{F}_{\text{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_p)/\mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{O}(1))}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ do *not* seem to satisfy the usual (almost) involutivity of a Fourier transform, and thus probably are *not* equivalences of categories. Indeed, denoting $f: \mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{O}(1)) \rightarrow \text{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ the structure morphism, such an equivalence would enforce an isomorphism

$$f_! 1 \cong C_c(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbb{Q}_p).$$

We have computed the left-hand side in Remark 6.3.2. The right-hand side can also be described using the Amice transform ([12]) but the two descriptions do not seem to match up.

Example 6.3.10 is a particular case of a more general picture which we will briefly discuss in the following remarks.

Remark 6.3.12. If \mathbb{Q}_p gets replaced by a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p , the discussion of Example 6.3.10 remains valid, as long as one replaces $\mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{O}(1))$ by $\mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{O}(d))$, with $d = [E : \mathbb{Q}_p]$. Indeed, it is $\mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{O}(d))$ which comes from the formal group \mathbb{G}_m .

Remark 6.3.13. Even more generally, for E a finite extension of degree d of \mathbb{Q}_p with residue field \mathbb{F}_q , and $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}$, one can construct “Fourier–Mukai” functors¹³

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{O}(\lambda))}: \mathcal{D}_{(0,\infty)}(\mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{O}(\lambda))) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{(0,\infty)}(\text{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_q)/\mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{O}(d-\lambda)))$$

and

$$\mathcal{F}_{\text{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_q)/\mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{O}(\lambda))}: \mathcal{D}_{(0,\infty)}(\text{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_q)/\mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{O}(\lambda))) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{(0,\infty)}(\mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{O}(d-\lambda)))$$

if $\lambda \in [0, d]$ and¹⁴

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{O}(\lambda))}: \mathcal{D}_{(0,\infty)}(\mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{O}(\lambda))) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{(0,\infty)}(\mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{O}(d-\lambda)))$$

if $\lambda \notin [0, d]$. Indeed, if for a stack in E -vector spaces \mathcal{G} (in the terminology of [3]), one defines¹⁵

$$\mathcal{G}^\vee = \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{G}, \text{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_q)/\mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{O}(d))),$$

one has a natural pairing

$$\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G}^\vee \rightarrow \text{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_q)/\mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{O}(d))$$

and hence as in the example an associated Fourier–Mukai functor. Moreover, for \mathcal{G} any of the examples above on the left, \mathcal{G}^\vee is seen to be what appears on the right, using [3, Corollary 3.10].

¹³Strictly speaking, the !-ability of the necessary maps is not part of Proposition 4.3.9, but it can be checked to hold here as well (by similar methods and descent from the perfectoid case).

¹⁴Note that if λ , resp. $d - \lambda$, is negative, $\mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{O}(\lambda))$, resp. $\mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{O}(d - \lambda))$, is what we denoted $\mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{O}(\lambda)[1])$, resp. $\mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{O}(d - \lambda)[1])$ in Section 4.

¹⁵Note that this notation differs from the notation of loc.cit.

Remark 6.3.14. This series of remarks highlights an interesting difference between the ℓ -adic case and the p -adic case. With ℓ -adic coefficients, the unipotent part of the automorphism groups of G -bundles plays a minor role: e.g., for $b \in B(G)$, pullback gives an equivalence $\mathcal{D}_{\text{lis}}(\text{Bun}_G^b, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \cong \mathcal{D}_{\text{lis}}(\text{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_q)/G_b(E), \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$. This is not the case with p -adic coefficients, as illustrated above for $E = \mathbb{Q}_p$, $G = \text{GL}_2$ and b the isocrystal corresponding to $\mathcal{E}_b = \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)$. For general E , we would expect that pullback gives an equivalence

$$\mathcal{D}_{(0,\infty)}(\text{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_q)/\mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{O}(\lambda))) \cong \mathcal{D}_{(0,\infty)}(\text{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_q))$$

whenever $\lambda \notin [0, d]$. This is in line with expectations of Emerton–Gee–Hellmann, [18, §7.5.3].

The above considerations give a partial picture of what the p -adic sheaf theory on Bun_G looks like. On the Galois side, as advocated by the work of Colmez and Emerton–Gee, the objects one would like to see are not representations of the Galois or Weil group, but (φ, Γ) -modules. Recall that if E is a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p , its (cyclotomic) Robba ring is

$$\mathcal{R}_E = \text{colim}_{r \rightarrow 1} \lim_{s \rightarrow 1} B_E^{[r,s]},$$

where $B_E^{[r,s]}$ stands for the ring of rigid-analytic functions on the affinoid annulus of radius $[r, s]$ over the maximal unramified extension of E in its cyclotomic extension E^{cycl} . It is endowed with continuous commuting actions of φ and $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(E^{\text{cycl}}/E)$. A (φ, Γ) -module over \mathcal{R}_E is then a finite projective \mathcal{R}_E -module, endowed with commuting continuous semi-linear actions of φ and Γ , such that the linearization of φ is an isomorphism.

In the work of Fargues–Scholze, ℓ -adic representations of the Weil group arise as a full subcategory in (the category of dualizable objects of) ℓ -adic sheaves on (the base change to $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$) of Div_E^1 . Here, the same phenomenon happens (except that we do not base change to $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p!$):

Proposition 6.3.15. *Let E be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p . The category of (φ, Γ) -modules over \mathcal{R}_E embeds fully faithfully into the category of dualizable objects of $\mathcal{D}_{(0,\infty)}(\text{Div}_E^1)$.*

Proof. Since

$$\text{Div}_E^1 = (\text{Spd}(E^{\text{cycl}})/\Gamma)/\varphi^{\mathbb{Z}}$$

and $\mathcal{D}_{(0,\infty)}(\text{Spd}(E^{\text{cycl}})) = \mathcal{D}_{\hat{\square}}(Y_{E^{\text{cycl}},b})$, the category $\mathcal{D}_{(0,\infty)}(\text{Div}_E^1)$ contains fully faithfully the category of (φ, Γ) -equivariant vector bundles on the analytic adic space $Y_{E^{\text{cycl}},b}$, which is known by results of Berger and Kedlaya to be equivalent to the category of (φ, Γ) -modules over \mathcal{R}_E , see e.g. [18, Theorem 5.1.5]. \square

Continuing the above remarks, we next point out that it is also interesting from the perspective of p -adic local Langlands to consider $\mathcal{D}_{(0,\infty)}$ for Banach–Colmez spaces rather than their classifying stacks, in relation to Proposition 6.3.15.

Remark 6.3.16. For $E = \mathbb{Q}_p$, as a special case of Remark 6.3.13 we can produce a natural functor

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{O}(1))}: \mathcal{D}_{(0,\infty)}(\mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{O}(1))) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{(0,\infty)}(\text{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_p)/\mathbb{Q}_p).$$

Precomposing by $!$ -pushforward along

$$j: \text{Div}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^1 = (\mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{O}(1)) \setminus \{0\})/\mathbb{Q}_p^\times \hookrightarrow \mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{O}(1))/\mathbb{Q}_p^\times$$

and taking into account \mathbb{Q}_p^\times -equivariance, this gives rise to a functor

$$\mathcal{D}_{(0,\infty)}(\text{Div}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^1) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{(0,\infty)}(\text{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_p)/M(\mathbb{Q}_p)) \cong \mathcal{D}_{\square}(\text{AnSpec}(\mathbb{Q}_p)/M(\mathbb{Q}_p)^{\text{cont}})$$

where $M \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_2$ is the mirabolic subgroup. (This construction is a very special case of the Drinfeld-Laumon construction in the geometric Langlands program, specialized to this setting.) In particular, by Proposition 6.3.15, this produces a functor from (φ, Γ) -modules over the Robba ring to continuous representations of the mirabolic subgroup. It can be made explicit, via the same kind of arguments as in Remark 6.3.2. We expect this functor to be related to, but not the same as Colmez' functor.

Remark 6.3.17. Note, following Remark 6.3.12, that for E a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p , one cannot go so directly from (Lubin-Tate) (φ, Γ) -modules to representations of the mirabolic: one first needs to produce an object in $\mathcal{D}_{(0, \infty)}(\mathrm{Div}_E^d)$ out of a (φ, Γ) -module (in more classical terms, this is related to the passage from Lubin-Tate (φ, Γ) -modules to multivariable (φ, Γ) -modules). Such a construction has been investigated recently for mod p coefficients by Fargues and (partly) “explains” the fact that p -adic local Langlands is more complicated for a general p -adic field E than it is for \mathbb{Q}_p .

Remark 6.3.18. In the setting of Remark 6.3.16, Colmez' construction furnishes, from a (φ, Γ) -module over the Robba ring, not just a continuous representation of the mirabolic, but a locally analytic one. This is not directly visible from the previous geometric construction. In fact, we consider this, together with Remark 6.3.11, as a drawback of $\mathcal{D}_{(0, \infty)}(-)$. In forthcoming work with Rodríguez Camargo and Scholze, the first two authors aim at formulating a different geometrization of p -adic Langlands, which is tailored to capture locally analytic representations and in which these drawbacks disappear.

Finally, we briefly discuss Hecke functors. We note that the Hecke diagram consists of lpbcs maps, if the modification is bounded, hence the Hecke functors are well-defined. Being able to consider these general Hecke functors was a major motivation for developing our formalism in the general context of small v-stacks. Again, rather than studying them in general, we discuss them through an example.

Example 6.3.19. We consider the restriction JL to the degree 1 semi-stable stratum of the standard Hecke functor for $G = \mathrm{GL}_2$ for sheaves supported on the neutral stratum (JL stands for Jacquet–Langlands). Let us make this explicit.

Let \mathcal{M}_∞ be the small v-stack parametrizing injective \mathcal{O} -linear maps from \mathcal{O}^2 to $\mathcal{O}(1/2)$ on the Fargues–Fontaine curve associated to E . Taking the cofiber of such a map gives a morphism

$$\mathcal{M}_\infty \rightarrow \mathrm{Div}_E^1$$

Moreover, \mathcal{M}_∞ is a D^\times -torsor over Ω_E^\diamond and a $\mathrm{GL}_2(E)$ -torsor over X_D^\diamond , where D is the non-split quaternion algebra over E and X_D its Brauer-Severi variety. Hence we have an isomorphism of small v-stacks

$$X_D^\diamond/D^\times \cong \Omega_E^\diamond/\mathrm{GL}_2(E).$$

If Π is a continuous $\mathrm{GL}_2(E)$ -representation on a solid \mathbb{Q}_p -vector space, let \mathcal{F}_Π be the object of $\mathcal{D}_{(0, \infty)}(X_D^\diamond/D^\times)$ coming via pullback along the morphism

$$X_D^\diamond/D^\times \rightarrow \mathrm{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_p)/\mathrm{GL}_2(E)$$

induced by the above isomorphism, together with Corollary 6.3.4. If f denotes the natural morphism

$$f: X_D^\diamond/D^\times \rightarrow \mathrm{Div}_E^1 \times \mathrm{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_p)/D^\times,$$

we set

$$\mathrm{JL}(\Pi) := f_*\mathcal{F}_\Pi$$

(note that f is proper). Fix C a complete algebraic closure of E , and let \mathbb{F}_q be the residue field of E , with $q = p^d$. We have a surjective map

$$g: \mathrm{Spd}(C)/\varphi^{d\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Div}_E^1 \times \mathrm{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_p)/D^\times$$

(factoring through $\mathrm{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ on the second factor), and we can describe $g^*\mathrm{JL}(\Pi)$ using proper base change: it is given by pushforward of (the pullback of) \mathcal{F}_Π along

$$X_D^\circ/\varphi^{d\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Spd}(C)/\varphi^{d\mathbb{Z}},$$

i.e. by pushforward in $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{FF}}(-, \mathbb{Q}_p)$. In other words, this is computing the Π -isotypic component of the pro-étale \mathbb{Q}_p^d -cohomology of the Lubin-Tate tower (after applying the functor $\sigma_{\square, \mathbb{Q}_p}$).

Remark 6.3.20. The functor JL preserves dualizable objects, since it is obtained as pullback along a smooth morphism of rigid spaces followed by pushforward along a proper morphism of rigid spaces in characteristic 0. As an illustration, take $E = \mathbb{Q}_p$ and Π to be the standard representation of GL_2 , which we see as a representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. Then, in the notations of the last example, $g^*\mathrm{JL}(\Pi)$ is given by the computation of Example 1.1.2, which was seen to be dualizable by a direct computation. In fact, if G is a reductive group over \mathbb{Q}_p , then there exists a natural tensor functor

$$\Phi: \mathrm{Rep}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\mathrm{alg}} G \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{(0, \infty)}(\mathrm{Bun}_G)$$

from the category of finite dimensional algebraic representations of G towards $\mathcal{D}_{(0, \infty)}(\mathrm{Bun}_G)$. Indeed, let $V \in \mathrm{Rep}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\mathrm{alg}} G$ and pick a perfectoid space T with a morphism to Bun_G , i.e., a φ -equivariant G -torsor \mathcal{P} on the space $\mathcal{Y}_{(0, \infty), T}$. Then $\mathcal{P} \times^G V$ defines a vector bundle on $\mathcal{Y}_{(0, \infty), T}$, i.e., an object $\Phi(V)_T \in \mathcal{D}_{(0, \infty)}(T)$. As $T \mapsto \Phi(V)_T$ is compatible with pullback, descent yields the desired object $\Phi(V) \in \mathcal{D}_{(0, \infty)}(\mathrm{Bun}_G)$ and it is clear that Φ is a tensor functor. It is conceivable that Hecke functors associated with suitable kernels, e.g., to-be-defined Satake kernels, preserve dualizable objects. By applying this to $\Phi(V)$, we obtain an intriguing generalization of Example 1.1.2.

Remark 6.3.21. The functor JL also preserves suave objects. The functor defined as JL but reversing the role of the groups $\mathrm{GL}_2(E)$ and D^\times (i.e. using the dual Hecke functor) preserves prim objects. It seems interesting to investigate further suaveness and primness on classifying stacks of p -adic Lie groups, to deduce representation-theoretic consequences of these facts (see e.g. [48] or [14, Théorème 0.5]).

Remark 6.3.22. The discussion of this subsection highlights some drawbacks of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{FF}}(-, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ compared to $\mathcal{D}_{(0, \infty)}(-)$:

- (i) In the case of a classifying stack, if we had used $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{FF}}(-, \mathbb{Q}_p)$, we would not have obtained continuous G -representations on solid \mathbb{Q}_p -vector spaces, but such objects endowed with a Frobenius automorphism, which sounds artificial and inconvenient from the perspective of p -adic local Langlands. This is to be contrasted with (for example) [22]: in [22], the 6-functor formalism $\mathcal{D}_{\square}^a(\mathcal{O}_-^+/\varpi)$ of the third author is used, which depends on the choice of a pseudo-uniformizer ϖ . Hence one cannot plug it in the classifying stack of a p -adic Lie group over $\mathrm{Spd}(\mathbb{F}_p)$, but only over a perfectoid base field. In this context, one must add a Frobenius in the picture to see a category of (smooth) representations on \mathbb{F}_p -vector spaces. Here, the formalism $\mathcal{D}_{(0, \infty)}(-)$ makes sense absolutely, and in the absolute case one sees representations on \mathbb{Q}_p -vector spaces, as desired.

- (ii) One might be worried that what seems relevant to the geometric realization of p -adic local Langlands is p -adic (pro)-étale \mathbb{Q}_p -cohomology of Rapoport-Zink spaces and their generalizations, which, as was exploited in previous subsections, is naturally computed in $\mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(-, \mathbb{Q}_p)$, not in $\mathcal{D}_{(0, \infty)}(-)$. But, as we just saw in Example 6.3.19, this is not an issue: indeed, Div^1 appears naturally when applying Hecke functors, and

$$\mathcal{D}_{(0, \infty)}(\text{Div}^1) = \mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(\text{Spd}(\mathbb{Q}_p), \mathbb{Q}_p)$$

so we still have the relation to (φ, Γ) -modules over the Robba ring and to pro-étale \mathbb{Q}_p -cohomology of local Shimura varieties (in other words, even if we do not put the quotient by Frobenius in the sheaf theory, it is forced on us here, by the geometry).

- (iii) Finally, the construction of the Fourier–Mukai functors discussed above only makes sense in $\mathcal{D}_{(0, \infty)}(-)$, since the kernel does not come from $\mathcal{D}_{\text{FF}}(-, \mathbb{Q}_p)$. This is relevant for categorical aspects of p -adic local Langlands.

A. Appendix: Supplements on R -linear 6-functor formalisms

In the following we collect some abstract results on 6-functor formalisms. Let us recall from [24, §2.1] that a geometric setup (\mathcal{C}, E) is a pair consisting of a category \mathcal{C} with a class of morphisms E stable under isomorphisms, compositions and diagonals and such that pullbacks of morphisms in E exist and stay in E . We will additionally assume that \mathcal{C} has all finite limits.

Given a geometric setup (\mathcal{C}, E) the symmetric monoidal category $\text{Corr}(\mathcal{C}, E)$ is defined in [24, Definition 2.2.10]. Most 6-functor formalisms, in particular all the ones considered in this paper, are of the following form.

Definition A.0.1. Let $R \in \text{CAlg}(\text{Pr}^L)$ be a presentably symmetric monoidal category and let (\mathcal{C}, E) be a geometric setup. An R -linear 6-functor formalism is a lax-symmetric monoidal functor

$$\mathcal{D}: \text{Corr}(\mathcal{C}, E) \rightarrow \text{Pr}_R^L.$$

Here Pr_R^L denotes the category of R -linear presentable categories and is equipped with the relative Lurie tensor product \otimes_R .

The above definition is made so that the right adjoints f_* , $f^!$, $\underline{\text{Hom}}$ do not necessarily commute with colimits, or are even R -linear, as this would impose severe constraints. If we want to highlight that the functors f^* , f_* etc. depend on the 6-functor formalism \mathcal{D} , we write $f_{\mathcal{D}}^*$, $f_{\mathcal{D}}^!$, etc.

Remark A.0.2. By [24, Lemma 3.2.5] every presentable 6-functor formalism \mathcal{D} is automatically $\mathcal{D}(\ast)$ -linear, where \ast is the final object in \mathcal{C} .

The probably most common example is the case that $R = \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z})$ is the derived category of abelian groups, or variants like $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}/n)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. As is visible in this example, it is quite common to base change the coefficients. In abstract terms this amounts to the following construction.

Lemma A.0.3. Let $R \rightarrow R'$ be a morphism in $\text{CAlg}(\text{Pr}^L)$, i.e. a symmetric monoidal functor of presentably symmetric monoidal categories. Let $\mathcal{D}: \text{Corr}(\mathcal{C}, E) \rightarrow \text{Pr}_R^L$ be an R -linear 6-functor formalism and let $f: Y \rightarrow X$ be a morphism in \mathcal{C} .

(i) The composition

$$\mathcal{D}' := R' \otimes_R \mathcal{D}: \text{Corr}(\mathcal{C}, E) \rightarrow \text{Pr}_R^L \xrightarrow{R' \otimes_R -} \text{Pr}_{R'}^L$$

is an R' -linear 6-functor formalism.

(ii) The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{D}'(Y) & \xrightarrow{\text{res}} & \mathcal{D}(Y) \\ f_{\mathcal{D}'}^* \downarrow & & \downarrow f_{\mathcal{D}}^* \\ \mathcal{D}'(X) & \xrightarrow{\text{res}} & \mathcal{D}(X) \end{array}$$

commutes naturally, where $\text{res}: \mathcal{D}'(-) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(-)$ denotes the right adjoint of the functor $F: \mathcal{D}(-) = R \otimes_R \mathcal{D}(-) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'(-)$. Similarly, with f_* replaced by $f^!$ if $f \in E$.

(iii) If $f_{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{D}}$ commutes with colimits and is R -linear¹⁶, then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{D}(Y) & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathcal{D}'(Y) \\ f_{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{D}} \downarrow & & \downarrow f_{\mathcal{D}'}^{\mathcal{D}'} \\ \mathcal{D}(X) & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathcal{D}'(X) \end{array}$$

¹⁶More precisely, this means that for $A \in R$ and $M \in \mathcal{D}(Y)$ the natural morphism $A \otimes f_{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{D}}(M) \rightarrow f_{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{D}}(A \otimes M)$ coming from R -linearity of $f_{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{D}}$ is an isomorphism.

commutes naturally. Similarly, with f_* replaced by $f^!$ if $f \in E$.

(iv) Let $X \in \mathcal{C}$ and $M \in \mathcal{D}'(X)$. Then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{D}'(X) & \xrightarrow{\text{res}} & \mathcal{D}(X) \\ \underline{\text{Hom}}(F(M), -) \downarrow & & \downarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}(M, -) \\ \mathcal{D}'(X) & \xrightarrow{\text{res}} & \mathcal{D}(X) \end{array}$$

commutes naturally.

(v) If $M \in \mathcal{D}(Y)$ is f -suave (resp. f -prim, resp. dualizable, resp. invertible) for \mathcal{D} , then $F(M) \in \mathcal{D}'(X)$ is f -suave (resp. f -prim, resp. dualizable, resp. invertible) for \mathcal{D}' . Moreover, F preserves the respective (f -suave, resp. f -prim, resp. usual) duals.

(vi) If f is \mathcal{D} -smooth, then f is \mathcal{D}' -smooth and $f_{\mathcal{D}'}^!(1) \cong F(f_{\mathcal{D}}^!(1))$.

(vii) If f is \mathcal{D} -prim, then f is \mathcal{D}' -prim and F preserves the prim dual of 1.

Proof. Part (i) is clear, as $R' \otimes_R - : \text{Pr}_R^L \rightarrow \text{Pr}_{R'}^L$ is a symmetric monoidal functor. To prove the other claims, note that composing \mathcal{D}' with the (lax symmetric monoidal) forgetful functor $\text{Pr}_{R'}^L \rightarrow \text{Pr}_R^L$ produces another R -linear 6-functor formalism (which we still denote \mathcal{D}') and the unit of the adjunction between $R' \otimes_R -$ and the forgetful functor induces the morphism $F: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'$ of R -linear 6-functor formalisms. This immediately proves (ii) and (iv) by passing to left adjoints. For (iii) note that by the assumption on $f_*^{\mathcal{D}}$ the adjunction between $f_{\mathcal{D}}^*$ and $f_{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{D}}$ is an adjunction in Pr_R^L (see [35, Remark 7.3.2.9]) and is hence preserved by the 2-functor $R' \otimes_R -$.

For the remaining claims we note that by [24, Proposition 4.2.1(i)] for every $S \in \mathcal{C}$ the morphism $F: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'$ induces a 2-functor $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{D}, S} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{D}', S}$ which induces F on the morphism categories. This immediately implies the claims about suave and prim objects in (v), from which (vi) and (vii) follow. Finally, for fixed $X \in \mathcal{C}$ the functor $F: \mathcal{D}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'(X)$ is symmetric monoidal (this follows again from the fact that F is a morphism of 6-functor formalisms), which immediately implies that it preserves dualizable and invertible objects. \square

Remark A.0.4. The R -linearity condition in Lemma A.0.3, which amounts to commutation with colimits and projection formulae, might be conditions which can be difficult to guarantee in practice. If R is rigid, then by [4, Lemma 4.20] the right adjoint of an R -linear functor is R -linear if and only if it commutes with colimits.

Some of our constructions can be conceptually viewed as a “descent from R' to R ”. Instead of discussing inverse limits of 6-functor formalisms in general we therefore focus on this case.

Definition A.0.5. Let $R \rightarrow R'$ be a morphism in $\text{CAlg}(\text{Pr}^L)$. We say that it satisfies 2-descent if the natural functor

$$\text{Pr}_R^L \rightarrow \varprojlim_{n \in \Delta} \text{Pr}_{R'^{\otimes_R n}}^L$$

is an equivalence.

More concretely, we see that 2-descent along $R \rightarrow R'$ implies that for every $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Pr}_R^L$ the natural functor

$$\mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_{n \in \Delta} R'^{\otimes_R n} \otimes_R \mathcal{M}$$

is an equivalence.

Example A.0.6. Assume that $R \in \text{CAlg}(\text{Pr}^L)$ is stable. If $R' = \text{Mod}_A(R)$ for some \mathbb{E}_∞ -algebra $A \in R$, then by [39, Proposition 3.43, Proposition 3.45] $R \rightarrow R'$ admits 2-descent if and only if A is a descendable algebra object in R . We note that there exist a lot of examples for descendable algebra objects, e.g., $\mathbb{Z}/4 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2$ is descendable or any countably generated faithfully flat morphism of \mathbb{E}_∞ -rings.

We can use 2-descent along $R \rightarrow R'$ in order to deduce properties of an R -linear 6-functor formalism from its base-change to R' . This observation plays a crucial role in this paper. Before we come to the actual result, we first need a certain functoriality claim:

Lemma A.0.7. *Let \mathcal{V} be a symmetric monoidal category. Then there is a natural functor*

$$\text{CAlg}(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}^{\text{lax}, \otimes}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}), \quad A \mapsto - \otimes A.$$

Here $\text{Fun}^{\text{lax}, \otimes}$ denotes the category of lax symmetric monoidal functors.

Proof. We equip the category $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V})$ with the Day symmetric monoidal structure from [35, Example 2.2.6.9] (which is in general only an operad, as the necessary colimits may not exist in \mathcal{V}). There is a map of operads $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V})$ given by sending $X \in \mathcal{V}$ to the endofunctor $- \otimes X: \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$. Indeed, by the universal property of Day convolution the desired map of operads is equivalently given a map of operads $\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ sending $(X, Y) \mapsto X \otimes Y$; but this functor exists and is even (strictly) symmetric monoidal. Passing to commutative algebra objects, we obtain a functor

$$\text{CAlg}(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow \text{CAlg}(\text{Fun}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V})) = \text{Fun}^{\otimes, \text{lax}}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}),$$

where the identity on the right follows again from the universal property of Day convolution (see [35, Example 2.2.6.9]). \square

Proposition A.0.8. *Let $R \rightarrow R'$ be a morphism in $\text{CAlg}(\text{Pr}_{\text{Sp}}^L)$ satisfying 2-descent. Let \mathcal{D} be an R -linear 6-functor formalism on a geometric setup (\mathcal{C}, E) , let $f: Y \rightarrow X$ be a morphism in E and $M \in \mathcal{D}(Y)$. Let $F: \mathcal{D}(-) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'(-) := R' \otimes_R \mathcal{D}(-)$ be the natural transformation.*

(i) *M is f -suave for \mathcal{D} (resp. f -prim for \mathcal{D} , resp. dualizable, resp. invertible) if and only if $F(M) \in \mathcal{D}'(Y)$ has the same property.*

(ii) *f is \mathcal{D} -smooth (resp. \mathcal{D} -prim) if and only if f is \mathcal{D}' -smooth (resp. \mathcal{D}' -prim).*

Proof. The map $R \rightarrow R'$ induces a functor $\Delta \rightarrow \text{CAlg}(\text{Pr}^L)$, $n \mapsto R'^{\otimes_R n}$ by the usual Čech nerve construction. Composing this functor with the one from Lemma A.0.7 and then pointwise precomposing the result with \mathcal{D} produces a functor from Δ to R -linear 6-functor formalisms which sends $n \in \Delta$ to $\mathcal{D}'_n := R'^{\otimes_R n} \otimes_R \mathcal{D}$. By the functoriality of the category of kernels (more precisely, [24, Lemma C.3.3]) we obtain the functor

$$\Delta \rightarrow \text{Cat}_2, \quad n \mapsto \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{D}'_n}.$$

In particular there is a natural 2-functor $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{D}} \rightarrow \varprojlim_{n \in \Delta} \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{D}'_n}$ and from 2-descent it follows that this 2-functor is 2-fully faithful: This amounts to the observation that for any $Z \in \mathcal{C}$ the natural functor

$$\mathcal{D}(Z) \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_{n \in \Delta} \mathcal{D}'_n(Z)$$

is an equivalence. Thus by [24, Proposition D.2.16] we deduce that a morphism in $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{D}}$ is left adjoint if and only if its image in each $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{D}'_n}$ is left adjoint, which in turn is equivalent to its image in $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{D}'}$ being left adjoint. The same works relative to X , i.e. for $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{D}, X}$ (in the sense of [24, Definition 4.1.3.(b)]). This immediately implies the claims about suave and prim objects.

It only remains to verify the claim about dualizable and invertible objects in (i). But this immediately follows from the above limit description for $\mathcal{D}(Z)$, because dualizable and invertible objects descend along any limit (e.g. by applying [24, Proposition D.2.16(ii)] to the associated diagram of 2-categories with single object and endomorphisms given by the symmetric monoidal categories). \square

Remark A.0.9. The proof of Proposition A.0.8 might be a bit intimidating, so let us sketch a more direct approach to proving it (albeit less rigorous). In the setup of the result, suppose we are given an object $M \in \mathcal{D}(Y)$ such that $F(M) \in \mathcal{D}'(Y)$ is f -suave, where $F: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'$ is the natural morphism of 6-functor formalisms considered above. We wish to show that M is f -suave, which by [24, Lemma 4.4.5] amounts to showing that the natural map

$$\pi_1^* \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(M, f^! 1) \otimes \pi_2^* M \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\pi_1^* M, \pi_2^! M)$$

is an isomorphism in $\mathcal{D}(Y \times_X Y)$, where $\pi_i: Y \times_X Y \rightarrow Y$ are the projections. By 2-descent, the functor $F: \mathcal{D}(Y \times_X Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'(Y \times_X Y)$ is conservative and by assumption on M , the above isomorphism holds for $F(M)$ in place of M . Thus it is enough to show that the above map for M gets transformed to the analogous map for $F(M)$ under F . Since F commutes naturally with pullback and tensor products, the claim then essentially reduces to showing that for all $N \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ the natural map

$$F(\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(M, f^! N)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(F(M), f^! F(N)) \tag{A.0.9.1}$$

is an isomorphism (then apply the same also to $\pi_1^* M$, which is π_2 -suave). Now we observe that if M is f -suave then Eq. (A.0.9.1) is indeed an isomorphism, which one can deduce by writing $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(F(M), f^!) = \mathrm{SD}_f(M) \otimes f^*$ (see [24, Lemma 4.4.17(i)]) and using Lemma A.0.3.(v). In particular the analogous statement of Eq. (A.0.9.1) is true for M replaced by $F(M)$ and F replaced by the analog for $\mathcal{D}' \rightarrow R'^{\otimes_{R^n}} \otimes_R \mathcal{D}$. By 2-descent one can then deduce that Eq. (A.0.9.1) holds as well (see the proof of [37, Corollary 7.8(ii)] for a similar argument).

While the above sketch is more down-to-earth (and in particular avoids 2-categories), it is also less clean than the original proof of Proposition A.0.8, because it contains a lot of implicit claims about certain “natural maps” being the expected ones. In fact, the proof of [24, Proposition D.2.16] (which was used in Proposition A.0.8) is essentially the abstract version of the same argument!

References

- [1] G. Andreychev. Pseudocoherent and perfect complexes and vector bundles on analytic adic spaces. 2021. Preprint. Available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2105.12591>.
- [2] J. Anschütz, I. Gleason, J. Lourenço, and T. Richarz. On the p -adic theory of local models. 2022. Preprint. Available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2201.01234>.
- [3] J. Anschütz and A.-C. Le Bras. A Fourier transform for Banach-Colmez spaces. 2021. Preprint. Available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2111.11116>.
- [4] J. Anschütz and L. Mann. Descent for solid quasi-coherent sheaves on perfectoid spaces. 2024. Preprint. Available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2403.01951>.
- [5] K. Aoki. The sheaves-spectrum adjunction. 2023. Preprint. Available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2302.04069>.
- [6] C. Barwick, S. Glasman, and D. Nardin. Dualizing cartesian and cocartesian fibrations. *Theory & Applications of Categories*, 33(4):67–94, 2018.

- [7] B. Bhatt and D. Hansen. The six functors for Zariski-constructible sheaves in rigid geometry. *Compositio Mathematica*, 158(2):437–482, 2022.
- [8] B. Bhatt and P. Scholze. Prisms and prismatic cohomology. *Annals of Mathematics*, 196(3):1135–1275, 2022.
- [9] G. Bosco. On the p -adic pro-étale cohomology of Drinfeld symmetric spaces. 2021. Preprint. Available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2110.10683>.
- [10] G. Bosco. Rational p -adic Hodge theory for rigid-analytic varieties. 2023. Preprint. Available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2306.06100>.
- [11] D. Clausen and P. Scholze. Lectures on Complex Geometry, 2022. <https://people.mpim-bonn.mpg.de/scholze/Complex.pdf>.
- [12] P. Colmez. Fonctions d’une variable p -adique. *Astérisque*, 330(13-59):9–29, 2010.
- [13] P. Colmez, G. Dospinescu, J. Hausaux, and W. Nizioł. p -adic étale cohomology of period domains. *Mathematische Annalen*, 381(1):105–180, 2021.
- [14] P. Colmez, G. Dospinescu, and W. Nizioł. Factorisation de la cohomologie étale p -adique de la tour de Drinfeld. In *Forum of Mathematics, Pi*, volume 11, page e16. Cambridge University Press, 2023.
- [15] P. Colmez, S. Gilles, and W. Nizioł. Arithmetic duality for p -adic pro-étale cohomology of analytic curves. 2023. Preprint. Available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2308.07712>.
- [16] P. Colmez, S. Gilles, and W. Nizioł. Duality for p -adic geometric pro-étale cohomology I: a Fargues-Fontaine avatar. 2024. Preprint. Available at <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2411.12163>.
- [17] P. Colmez and W. Nizioł. On the cohomology of the affine space. In *p -adic Hodge Theory*, pages 71–80. Springer, 2020.
- [18] M. Emerton, T. Gee, and E. Hellmann. An introduction to the categorical p -adic Langlands program. 2022. Preprint. Available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2210.01404>.
- [19] L. Fargues and P. Scholze. Geometrization of the local Langlands correspondence. 2021. Preprint. Available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2102.13459>.
- [20] D. Gulotta, D. Hansen, and J. Weinstein. An enhanced six-functor formalism for diamonds and v -stacks. 2022. Preprint. Available at <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2202.12467.pdf>.
- [21] D. Hansen. Vanishing and comparison theorems in rigid analytic geometry. *Compositio Mathematica*, 156(2):299–324, 2020.
- [22] D. Hansen and L. Mann. p -adic sheaves on classifying stacks, and the p -adic Jacquet-Langlands correspondence. 2022. Preprint. Available at <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2207.04073.pdf>.
- [23] H. Heine. An equivalence between enriched ∞ -categories and ∞ -categories with weak action. *Advances in Mathematics*, 417:108941, 2023.
- [24] C. Heyer and L. Mann. Six functor formalisms and representations, 2024. Preprint. Available at <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2410.13038>.

- [25] S. Howe. A unipotent circle action on p -adic modular forms. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, Series B*, 7(6):186–226, 2020.
- [26] R. Ishimura. Homomorphismes du faisceau des germes de fonctions holomorphes dans lui-même et opérateurs différentiels. *Memoirs of the Faculty of Science, Kyushu University. Series A, Mathematics*, 32(2):301–312, 1978.
- [27] K. Kedlaya, J. Pottharst, and L. Xiao. Cohomology of arithmetic families of (φ, Γ) -modules. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 27(4):1043–1115, 2014.
- [28] K. S. Kedlaya and R. Liu. Relative p -adic Hodge theory: foundations. *Astérisque*, (371):239, 2015.
- [29] K. S. Kedlaya and R. Liu. Finiteness of cohomology of local systems on rigid analytic spaces. 2016. Preprint. Available at <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1611.06930>.
- [30] K.-W. Lan, R. Liu, and X. Zhu. de Rham comparison and Poincaré duality for rigid varieties. *Peking Mathematical Journal*, 6(1):143–216, 2023.
- [31] A.-C. Le Bras. Espaces de Banach–Colmez et faisceaux cohérents sur la courbe de Fargues–Fontaine. *Duke Math. J.*, 167(18):3455–3532, 2018.
- [32] S. Li, E. Reinecke, and B. Zavyalov. Relative Poincaré duality in nonarchimedean geometry. 2024. Preprint. Available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2410.08200>.
- [33] Z. Li. Duality for arithmetic p -adic pro-étale cohomology of analytic spaces. 2024. Preprint. Available at <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2412.11786>.
- [34] R. Liu. Cohomology and duality for (φ, Γ) -modules over the Robba ring. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2007(9):rnm150, 2007.
- [35] J. Lurie. Higher Algebra. Available at <https://people.math.harvard.edu/~lurie/papers/HA.pdf>.
- [36] J. Lurie. *Higher Topos Theory (AM-170)*. Princeton University Press, 2009.
- [37] L. Mann. The 6-Functor Formalism for \mathbb{Z}_ℓ - and \mathbb{Q}_ℓ -sheaves on Diamonds. *Preprint*. Available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2209.08135>, 2022.
- [38] L. Mann. A p -Adic 6-Functor Formalism in Rigid-Analytic Geometry. 2022. Preprint. Available at <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2206.02022.pdf>.
- [39] A. Mathew. The Galois group of a stable homotopy theory. *Advances in Mathematics*, 291, 2016.
- [40] Y. Mikami. (φ, Γ) -modules over relatively discrete algebras. 2024. Preprint. Available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2409.14145>.
- [41] S. Mondal and E. Reinecke. On postnikov completeness for replete topoi. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.14146*, 2022.
- [42] J. Rodrigues Jacinto and J. Rodríguez Camargo. Solid locally analytic representations of p -adic lie groups. *Representation Theory of the American Mathematical Society*, 26(31):962–1024, 2022.
- [43] J. Rodrigues Jacinto and J. E. Rodríguez Camargo. Solid locally analytic representations. 2023. Preprint. Available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2305.03162>.

- [44] J. E. Rodríguez Camargo. The analytic de Rham stack in rigid geometry. 2024. Preprint. Available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2401.07738>.
- [45] P. Scholze. Geometrization of the real local Langlands correspondence (draft version, used for argos seminar).
- [46] P. Scholze. Perfectoid spaces: A survey. In *Current Developments in Mathematics*, 2012.
- [47] P. Scholze. p -adic Hodge theory for rigid-analytic varieties. *Forum of Mathematics, Pi*, 1:e1, 2013.
- [48] P. Scholze. On the p -adic cohomology of the Lubin-Tate tower. In *Annales Scientifiques de l'E.N.S.*, volume 51, pages 811–863, 2018.
- [49] P. Scholze. p -adic geometry. In *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians: Rio de Janeiro 2018*, pages 899–933. World Scientific, 2018.
- [50] P. Scholze. Étale cohomology of diamonds. Preprint. Available at <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1709.07343>, 2018.
- [51] P. Scholze. Lectures on Analytic Geometry, 2020. <https://www.math.uni-bonn.mpg.de/people/scholze/Analytic.pdf>.
- [52] P. Scholze and J. Weinstein. Berkeley lectures on p -adic geometry. *Annals of Math Studies*, 207, 2020.
- [53] The Stacks Project Authors. *Stacks Project*. <http://stacks.math.columbia.edu>, 2018.
- [54] B. Zavyalov. Mod- p Poincaré duality in p -adic analytic geometry. 2021. Preprint. Available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2111.01830>.
- [55] M. Zhang. A PEL-type Igusa stack and the p -adic geometry of Shimura varieties. 2023. Preprint. Available at <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2309.05152>.
- [56] F. Zillinger. Schematic descent for the analytic stack of isocrystals. 2024. Master Thesis, University of Bonn.