

DUALITY OF SHUFFLE HOPF ALGEBRAS RELATED TO MULTIPLE ZETA VALUES

HONGYU XIANG AND BIN ZHANG

ABSTRACT. The symmetry between of Riemann ζ -function at positive integers and nonpositive integers is generalized to the symmetry of positive integer points and nonpositive integer points of multiple zeta functions algebraically, in terms of the duality of Hopf algebras.

As we know, the shuffle product in the algebra of positive integer points can be extended to all integer points, and there is a Hopf algebra structure in the algebra of positive integer points. It is showed in this paper that the algebra of nonpositive integer points also has a Hopf algebra structure for the extended shuffle product. By characterizing the coproducts in the Hopf algebras of positive integer points and nonpositive integer points, we prove that the Riemann dual maps gives duality between the Hopf algebras of positive integer points and nonpositive integer points.

Keywords: multiple zeta function; shuffle product; functional equation; Hopf algebra; duality;

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
1.1. Motivation	2
1.2. Notations	3
1.3. Outline of the paper	3
2. Preliminary	4
2.1. The extended shuffle product in $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}$	4
2.2. Hopf algebra of multiple zeta values	5
3. Induced coproduct on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}}^*$	7
3.1. Graded duals	7
3.2. The induced coproduct on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}}^*$	8
3.3. Induction structure	10
4. Dual coproduct on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}$	15
4.1. Riemann dual map	15
4.2. Dual coproduct on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}$	16

Date: December 31, 2024.

5.	Hopf algebra $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}}$	21
5.1.	Coproduct on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}}$	21
5.2.	Induced operators	25
5.3.	Induced coproduct	28
	References	30

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. **Motivation.** The multiple zeta series (MZS):

$$(1) \quad \sum_{n_1 > \dots > n_k > 0} \frac{1}{n_1^{s_1} \cdots n_k^{s_k}}$$

is a natural generalization of Riemann ζ -series:

$$(2) \quad \sum_{n > 0} \frac{1}{n^s}.$$

They attract much attention because their values at convergent points with positive integer arguments, so-called **multiple zeta values** (MZVs), appear in arithmetic geometry, mathematical physics, quantum groups and knot theory, and have been studied extensively [2, 3, 7, 9, 10, 12, 14, 15, 17] from 1990s.

This series converges in the region

$$(3) \quad \{\vec{s} \in \mathbb{C}^k \mid \Re(s_1) + \cdots + \Re(s_i) > i, \ i = 1, \dots, k\},$$

thus defines a holomorphic function in this region. It is showed [1], [18] that this holomorphic function in the region given in (3) can be analytically extended to a meromorphic function $\zeta(\vec{s})$ in \mathbb{C}^k , so called **multiple zeta function** on \mathbb{C}^k , with simple poles at

$$s_1 = 1; \text{ or } s_1 + s_2 = 2, 1, 0, -2, -4, \dots; \text{ or } \sum_{i=1}^j s_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq j} \ (3 \leq j \leq k).$$

It is well-known that the Riemann ζ -function satisfies the functional equation:

$$(4) \quad \zeta(1-s) = 2(2\pi)^{-s} \Gamma(s) \cos\left(\frac{\pi s}{2}\right) \zeta(s).$$

So a natural question about multiple zeta functions is the existence of functional equations. This is a challenging question.

The process to derive the functional equation for Riemann ζ -function has two steps:

- Desingularize of the meromorphic function;
- Find symmetry of the desingularized function.

So we try to mimic these steps to study functional equations for multiple zeta functions. Progress has been made in step one [5] for possible desingularization.

Our focus is in step two, to find possible symmetry. Since our aim is the symmetry of desingularized functions, which, when back to multiple zeta functions, is the symmetry involving their poles, we study the symmetry for multiple zeta functions directly.

Since a multiple zeta function $\zeta(\vec{s})$ is a meromorphic function on \mathbb{C}^k , in principle, the symmetry we try to find should have impact in the lattice \mathbb{Z}^k like in the case of Riemann ζ -function. Thus our goal is to study the symmetry for the multiple zeta function $\zeta(\vec{s})$ at \mathbb{Z}^k , which can be combined with the study of MZVs, especially with the algebraic study of them.

1.2. Notations. Let us give a summary of notations in this paper.

For $G = \mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, and $\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$,

I_G	$\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} G^k,$
J_G	$\bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}, \vec{s} \in G^k} \mathbb{Q}[\vec{s}]$
H_G	$\{\mathbf{1}\} \oplus \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} G^k,$
\mathcal{H}_G	$\mathbb{Q}\mathbf{1} \oplus \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}, \vec{s} \in G^k} \mathbb{Q}[\vec{s}]$

Coproducts:

$\Delta_{\geq 1}$	coproduct in $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{>1}}$
$\tilde{\Delta}_{\geq 1}$	induced coproduct in $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{>1}}$
$\Delta_{\leq 0}$	coproduct in $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{<0}}$
$\tilde{\Delta}_{\leq 0}$	induced coproduct in $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{<0}}$

Vectors in \mathbb{Z}^k ,

c_k	$(c, \dots, c) \in \mathbb{Z}^k$
$\vec{1} - \vec{s}$	$(1 - s_1, \dots, 1 - s_k)$
$d[\vec{s}]$	depth, =k if $\vec{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^k$
$w[\vec{s}]$	weight, = $s_1 + \dots + s_k$, if $\vec{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^k$

1.3. Outline of the paper. The purpose of this paper is to algebraic study the symmetry of the multiple zeta function $\zeta(\vec{s})$ at $\vec{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^k$, modelled by the study for Riemann ζ -function at $s \in \mathbb{Z}$.

In Section 2, we recall some structures related to MZVs, which are need for our study: the extended shuffle product \mathfrak{m} on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}$, and the graded Hopf algebra $(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{>1}}, \mathfrak{m}, \Delta_{\geq 1})$. A differential operator $J_{\geq 1}$ is also introduced to make $(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{>1}}, \mathfrak{m}, J_{\geq 1})$ a differential algebra.

Section 3 is devoted to the study of the induced coproduct \mathfrak{m}^* on the graded dual $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{<0}}^*$ of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{<0}}$, its properties and its inductive formula. Based on the symmetry for Riemann ζ -function, we introduce

the Riemann dual map in Section 4:

$$\varphi : \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}}^*$$

$$\varphi([s_1, \dots, s_k]) := [1 - s_1, \dots, 1 - s_k]^*,$$

and define a new coproduct 15 on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}$ by

$$\tilde{\Delta}_{\geq 1} := (\varphi^{-1} \otimes \varphi^{-1})_{\text{III}^*} \varphi.$$

Then it is proved that $\tilde{\Delta}_{\geq 1} = \tilde{\Delta}_{\geq 1}$, so the Riemann dual map gives an coalgebra isomorphism.

In Section 5 we build up the similar picture for $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}}$. By requiring the commutativity between the coproduct $\Delta_{\leq 0}$ and the differential operator J , it is easy to introduce a graded bialgebra structure thus a Hopf algebra structure on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}}$ as in Proposition 5.5. Then we carry out a similar treatment for $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}}$ by using the dual map $\varphi^* : \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}^*$ of the Riemann dual map φ , and the induced coproduct $\text{III}^* : \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}^* \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}^*$, and prove that $\varphi^* : \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}^*$ is coalgebra isomorphism. Thus we reach the main theorem 5.14 of this paper:

Main Theorem: *The Riemann dual map φ gives duality between Hopf algebras $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}}$.*

The implication of this result is our ongoing project, on functional equations, on motivic MZVs, on desingularized values and so on.

2. PRELIMINARY

2.1. The extended shuffle product in $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}$. The shuffle product III in $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}$ is extended to $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ in [8]. The space $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is a graded vector space with the depth grading. Let

$$D_{\mathbb{Z},0} = \{\mathbf{1}\}, \quad D_{\mathbb{Z},n} = \{[\vec{s}] \mid \vec{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1},$$

then

$$(5) \quad \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{Q} D_{\mathbb{Z},n}.$$

For the linear map

$$I : \mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{Z}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{Z}}, [s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k] \mapsto [s_1 + 1, s_2, \dots, s_k],$$

let $J : \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ be the linear map which is the inverse on $\mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $J(\mathbf{1}) = 0$, that is

$$J : \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}} : \mathbf{1} \mapsto 0, [s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n] \mapsto [s_1 - 1, s_2, \dots, s_n].$$

Then the extended shuffle product is characterized by the following theorem:

Theorem 2.1 ([16]). *There is a unique graded (with respect to the depth grading (5)) associative product \mathbb{III} in $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}$, with the unit $\mathbf{1}$, such that*

- $[0]_{\mathbb{III}}[\vec{s}] = [0, \vec{s}]$ for $\vec{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^k$;
- $[\vec{s}]_{\mathbb{III}}[0] = [0, \vec{s}]$ for $\vec{s} \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \times \mathbb{Z}^{k-1}$;
- J is a differential operator with respect to \mathbb{III} .

The extended shuffle product for the elements of positive depth in the basis is given inductively:

$$[s, \vec{s}']_{\mathbb{III}}[t, \vec{t}'] = \begin{cases} [0, \vec{s}']_{\mathbb{III}}[t, \vec{t}'], & s = 0; \\ [0, [s, \vec{s}']_{\mathbb{III}}\vec{t}'], & s > 0, t = 0; \\ J([s, \vec{s}']_{\mathbb{III}}I([t, \vec{t}'])) - J([s, \vec{s}']_{\mathbb{III}})I([t, \vec{t}']), & s > 0, t < 0; \\ I([s, \vec{s}']_{\mathbb{III}}J([t, \vec{t}'])) + I(J([s, \vec{s}']_{\mathbb{III}})[t, \vec{t}']), & s > 0, t > 0; \\ J(I([s, \vec{s}']_{\mathbb{III}})[t, \vec{t}']) - I([s, \vec{s}']_{\mathbb{III}})J([t, \vec{t}']), & s < 0. \end{cases}$$

Inside the algebra $(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathbb{III})$, there are two subalgebras $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}$, and $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}}$, they are main objects in this paper. For the shuffle product in $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}$, by induction, we know

Lemma 2.2. *For $(r_1, \vec{r}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \times \mathbb{Z}^k, [t_1, \vec{t}] \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \times \mathbb{Z}^\ell, k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$,*

$$\begin{aligned} [r_1, \vec{r}]_{\mathbb{III}}[t_1, \vec{t}] &= \sum_{i=0}^{t_1-1} C_{r_1-1+i}^i I^{r_1+i}([0, \vec{r}]_{\mathbb{III}}[t_1 - i, \vec{t}]) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=0}^{r_1-1} C_{t_1-1+i}^i I^{t_1+i}([0, [r_1 - i, \vec{r}]_{\mathbb{III}}\vec{t}]) \end{aligned}$$

2.2. Hopf algebra of multiple zeta values. A coproduct $\Delta_{\geq 1}$ on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}$ is constructed in [11], which makes $(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}, \mathbb{III}, \mathbf{1}, \Delta_{\geq 1}, \varepsilon_{\geq 1})$ a bialgebra, where the counit $\varepsilon_{\geq 1} : \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ on a basis element x is

$$\varepsilon_{\geq 1}(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x = \mathbf{1}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

The space $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}$ is graded by

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}} = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{Q}H_k$$

with

$$H_0 := \mathbf{1}, H_k := \{[s_1, \dots, s_m] \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}^m \mid s_1 + \dots + s_m = k\},$$

which makes $(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}, \mathbb{III}, \mathbf{1}, \Delta_{\geq 1}, \varepsilon_{\geq 1})$ a bialgebra. Then by the following Proposition, we know $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}$ is a Hopf algebra.

Proposition 2.3. ([4]) *Any connected filtered bialgebra $(A, m, u, \Delta, \varepsilon)$ is a filtered Hopf algebra.*

There is a family operators $\delta_i : \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}} (i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1})$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_i(\mathbf{1}) &= 0, \\ (6) \quad \delta_i([s_1, \dots, s_k]) &= \begin{cases} \sum_{j=1}^i s_j [s_1, \dots, s_j + 1, \dots, s_k], & i \leq k \\ 0, & i > k \end{cases}. \end{aligned}$$

And the shifted tensor \textcircled{S} for graded linear operators $A : \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}$ and $\delta_j : \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}$,

$$A \textcircled{S} \delta_j : \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}$$

is defined as

$$(A \textcircled{S} \delta_j)([s_1, \dots, s_k] \otimes [\vec{t}]) = A([s_1, \dots, s_k]) \otimes \delta_{j-k}([\vec{t}]).$$

Proposition 2.4. *The coproduct $\Delta_{\geq 1}$ is the unique linear operator $\Delta_{\geq 1} : \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}$ such that*

- (1) $\Delta_{\geq 1}(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1}$;
- (2) $\Delta_{\geq 1}([1_k]) := \sum_{j=0}^k [1_j] \otimes [1_{k-j}]$;
- (3) for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, δ_i commutes with $\Delta_{\geq 1}$ in the following sense

$$(id \textcircled{S} \delta_i + \delta_i \otimes id) \Delta_{\geq 1} = \Delta_{\geq 1} \delta_i.$$

As we already know, $(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}, \textcircled{M}}, J)$ is a differential algebra, but $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}$ is not closed under the action of J , so we modify it to be an operator in $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}$.

Let $J_{\geq 1} : \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}$ be the linear operator such that

$$J_{\geq 1}(\mathbf{1}) = 0,$$

$$J_{\geq 1}([s_1, \vec{s}]) = \begin{cases} [s_1 - 1, \vec{s}], & s_1 > 1; \\ 0, & s_1 = 1. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 2.5. $(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}, \textcircled{M}}, J_{\geq 1})$ is a differential algebra.

Proof. It is enough to prove the conclusion for nonempty basis elements. For $(s_1, \vec{s}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}^k$, $(t_1, \vec{t}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}^\ell$, we have:

- $s_1 > 1, t_1 > 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\geq 1}([s_1, \vec{s}] \textcircled{M} [t_1, \vec{t}]) &= J_{\geq 1} I([s_1 - 1, \vec{s}] \textcircled{M} [t_1, \vec{t}] + [s_1, \vec{s}] \textcircled{M} [t_1 - 1, \vec{t}]) \\ &= [s_1 - 1, \vec{s}] \textcircled{M} [t_1, \vec{t}] + [s_1, \vec{s}] \textcircled{M} [t_1 - 1, \vec{t}] \\ &= J_{\geq 1}([s_1, \vec{s}] \textcircled{M} [t_1, \vec{t}]) + [s_1, \vec{s}] \textcircled{M} J_{\geq 1}([t_1, \vec{t}]). \end{aligned}$$

- $s_1 = 1, t_1 > 1,$

$$J_{\geq 1}([1, \vec{s}]_{\text{m}}[t_1, \vec{t}]) = J_{\geq 1}([1, \vec{s}]_{\text{m}}[t_1, \vec{t}]) + J_{\geq 1}I([1, \vec{s}]_{\text{m}}[t_1 - 1, \vec{t}])$$

$$= JI([1, \vec{s}]_{\text{m}}[t_1 - 1, \vec{t}]) = [1, \vec{s}]_{\text{m}}([t_1 - 1, \vec{t}])$$

$$= [1, \vec{s}]_{\text{m}}J_{\geq 1}([t_1, \vec{t}]) = J_{\geq 1}([1, \vec{s}]_{\text{m}}[t_1, \vec{t}]) + [1, \vec{s}]_{\text{m}}J_{\geq 1}([t_1, \vec{t}])$$
- $s_1 > 1, t_1 = 1,$ similarly,

$$J_{\geq 1}([s_1, \vec{s}]_{\text{m}}[1, \vec{t}]) = J_{\geq 1}([s_1, \vec{s}]_{\text{m}}[1, \vec{t}]) + [s_1, \vec{s}]_{\text{m}}J_{\geq 1}([1, \vec{t}])$$
- $s_1 = 1, t_1 = 1,$

$$J_{\geq 1}([1, \vec{s}]_{\text{m}}[1, \vec{t}]) = J_{\geq 1}([1, \vec{s}]_{\text{m}}[1, \vec{t}]) + [1, [1, \vec{s}]_{\text{m}}\vec{t}] = 0$$

$$= J_{\geq 1}([1, \vec{s}]_{\text{m}}[1, \vec{t}]) + [1, \vec{s}]_{\text{m}}J_{\geq 1}([1, \vec{t}])$$

□

3. INDUCED COPRODUCT ON $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}}^*$

3.1. Graded duals. For the graded algebra $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}$, let $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}^*$ be the graded dual of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}$, that is,

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}^* = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} (\mathbb{Q}H_k)^*.$$

For any basis element $a \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}$, let a^* be the linear function which takes value 1 on a and 0 on other basis elements, then $\{a^* \mid a \in \bigcup_{k \geq 0} H_k\}$ is a basis of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}^*$, let

$$H_k^* = \{a^* \mid a \in H_k\},$$

then

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}^* = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \mathbb{Q}H_k^*.$$

Remark 3.1. By definition, we know the counit $\varepsilon_{\geq 1}$ in the Hopf algebra $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}$ is

$$\varepsilon_{\geq 1} = \mathbf{1}^*.$$

Similarly, for the space $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}}$, and any $k \geq 1$, let

$$G_k = \{(s_1, \dots, s_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}^n \mid n - (s_1 + \dots + s_n) = k\},$$

and $G_0 = \{\mathbf{1}\}$, it is easy to see that G_k is finite for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Then $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}}$ is graded as

$$(7) \quad \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}} = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \mathbb{Q}G_k.$$

In [16], we proved that $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}}$ is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ for the extended shuffle product. In fact $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}}$ is a graded algebra for the extended

shuffle product with respect to the grading (7), we always use this grading for the space $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{<0}}$.

Lemma 3.2. $(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{<0}}, \mathfrak{m})$ is a graded algebra w.r.t. the grading (7).

Proof. Since for $[\vec{s}] \in G_k (k \geq 0)$, $[\vec{s}]\mathfrak{m}\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}\mathfrak{m}[\vec{s}] = [\vec{s}] \in G_k$, so we only need prove for $\vec{s} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}^m (m \geq 1)$, $[\vec{s}] \in G_k$, $\vec{t} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}^n (n \geq 1)$, $[\vec{t}] \in G_\ell$, $[\vec{s}]\mathfrak{m}[\vec{t}] \in G_{k+\ell}$, which we prove by induction on the grade k of the first factor.

For $[\vec{s}] \in G_1 = \{[0]\}$, that is $[\vec{s}] = [0]$, so $[0]\mathfrak{m}[\vec{t}] = [0, \vec{t}] \in \mathbb{Q}G_{\ell+1}$ for $[\vec{t}] \in G_\ell$.

Assume for $k = 1, \dots, p$, any $[\vec{s}] \in G_k$, and for any $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, $[\vec{t}] \in G_\ell$, $[\vec{s}]\mathfrak{m}[\vec{t}] \in \mathbb{Q}G_{k+\ell}$, then for $k = p+1$, $[\vec{s}] = [s_1, \dots, s_m] = [s_1, \vec{s}'] \in G_{p+1}$, that is $m - (s_1 + \dots + s_m) = p+1$,

- If $s_1 = 0$, then $[\vec{s}'] \in G_p$, so

$$[0, \vec{s}']\mathfrak{m}[\vec{t}] = [0, [\vec{s}']\mathfrak{m}[\vec{t}]] \in \mathbb{Q}G_{p+\ell+1};$$

- If $s_1 < 0$, then $[s_1 + 1, \vec{s}'] \in G_p$,

$$[s_1, \vec{s}']\mathfrak{m}[\vec{t}] = J([s_1 + 1, \vec{s}']\mathfrak{m}[\vec{t}]) - [s_1 + 1, \vec{s}']\mathfrak{m}J([\vec{t}]) \in \mathbb{Q}G_{p+\ell+1}.$$

So $[\vec{s}]\mathfrak{m}[\vec{t}] \in G_{k+\ell}$ for any k, ℓ , $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{<0}}$ is a graded algebra. \square

Let $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{<0}}^*$ be the graded dual of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{<0}}$, that is,

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{<0}}^* = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} (\mathbb{Q}G_k)^*.$$

For any basis element b of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{<0}}$, as for the case $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}$, let b^* be the linear function which takes value 1 on b and 0 on other basis element, then $\{b^* \mid b \in \bigcup_{k \geq 0} G_k\}$ is a basis of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{<0}}^*$, let

$$G_k^* = \{b^* \mid b \in G_k\},$$

which is a finite set, then

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{<0}}^* = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \mathbb{Q}G_k^*.$$

3.2. The induced coproduct on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{<0}}^*$. For the graded algebra $(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{<0}}, \mathfrak{m})$, the shuffle product \mathfrak{m} induces a coassociative coproduct $\mathfrak{m}^* : \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{<0}}^* \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{<0}}^* \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{<0}}^*$, (see for example [13]), which makes $(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{<0}}^*, \mathfrak{m}^*)$ a graded coalgebra. We study this coproduct in detail in this part.

Since in the grading (7), for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $\mathbb{Q}G_k$ is finite dimensional, and $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}}$ has a unit $\mathbf{1}$, so for every element $x \in G_k$,

$$\mathfrak{M}^*(x^*) = \mathbf{1}^* \otimes x^* + x^* \otimes \mathbf{1}^* + \sum_{[\vec{u}], [\vec{v}] \in \cup_{\ell \geq 1} G_\ell} a_{[\vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^x [\vec{u}]^* \otimes [\vec{v}]^*,$$

with only finite many $a_{[\vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^x$ nonzero for a fixed x .

Example 3.3. *By direct calculation, we have*

- $\mathfrak{M}^*(\mathbf{1}^*) = \mathbf{1}^* \otimes \mathbf{1}^*$;
- $\mathfrak{M}^*([0]^*) = \mathbf{1}^* \otimes [0]^* + [0]^* \otimes \mathbf{1}^*$;
- $\mathfrak{M}^*([0, 0]^*) = \mathbf{1}^* \otimes [0, 0]^* + [0]^* \otimes [0]^* + [0, 0]^* \otimes \mathbf{1}^*$;
- $\mathfrak{M}^*([-1]^*) = \mathbf{1}^* \otimes [-1]^* + [-1]^* \otimes \mathbf{1}^*$;
- $\mathfrak{M}^*([-1, -1]^*) = \mathbf{1}^* \otimes [-1, -1]^* + [-1]^* \otimes [-1]^* - 2[-2]^* \otimes [0]^* + [-1, -1]^* \otimes \mathbf{1}^*$;

The subspace $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}}$ is stable under the linear operator $J : \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}$, the restriction of J to the subspace $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}}$, which we still denote by J , induces a linear operator $J_{\leq 0}^* : \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}}^*$, which is

$$J_{\leq 0}^*(x^*)(y) = x^*(J(y)),$$

for $x^* \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}}^*$, $y \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}}$.

Lemma 3.4. *We have*

$$J_{\leq 0}^*(\mathbf{1}^*) = 0$$

$$J_{\leq 0}^*([s_1, \vec{s}]^*) = \begin{cases} [s_1 + 1, \vec{s}]^*, & s_1 < 0; \\ 0, & s_1 = 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Since $J_{\leq 0}^*(\mathbf{1}^*)(y) = \mathbf{1}^*(J(y))$,

- $y = \mathbf{1}$, $J_{\leq 0}^*(\mathbf{1}^*)(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{1}^*(J(\mathbf{1})) = \mathbf{1}^*(0) = 0$;
- $y = [\vec{t}]$, $J_{\leq 0}^*(\mathbf{1}^*)(y) = \mathbf{1}^*(J([\vec{t}])) = 0$.

so $J_{\leq 0}^*(\mathbf{1}^*) = 0$.

For a basis element $[\vec{s}]^* = [s_1, \vec{s}']^*$ of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}}^*$, and a basis element $[\vec{t}]$ of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}}$,

$$J_{\leq 0}^*([\vec{s}]^*)([\vec{t}]) = [\vec{s}]^*(J([\vec{t}])) = \begin{cases} 1, & J([\vec{t}]) = [\vec{s}]; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

so

$$J_{\leq 0}^*([s_1, \vec{s}]^*) = \begin{cases} [s_1 + 1, \vec{s}]^*, & s_1 < 0; \\ 0, & s_1 = 0. \end{cases}$$

□

The operators \mathfrak{M}^* and $J_{\leq 0}^*$ commute in the following sense:

Lemma 3.5. $(id \otimes J_{\leq 0}^* + J_{\leq 0}^* \otimes id)\mathfrak{M}^* = \mathfrak{M}^* J_{\leq 0}^*$.

Proof. For $\mathbf{1}^*$, it is obvious, $(id \otimes J_{\leq 0}^* + J_{\leq 0}^* \otimes id) \mathfrak{M}^*(\mathbf{1}^*) = 0 = \mathfrak{M}^* J_{\leq 0}^*(\mathbf{1}^*)$. For a basis element $[\vec{s}]^*$ of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}}^*$, let

$$\mathfrak{M}^*([\vec{s}]^*) = \mathbf{1}^* \otimes [\vec{s}]^* + [\vec{s}]^* \otimes \mathbf{1}^* + \sum a_{[\vec{u}], [\vec{v}]} [\vec{u}]^* \otimes [\vec{v}]^*,$$

then for basis elements $\mathbf{1}$ and $[\vec{x}]$ of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}}$,

$$\begin{aligned} ((id \otimes J_{\leq 0}^* + J_{\leq 0}^* \otimes id) \mathfrak{M}^*([\vec{s}]^*))(\mathbf{1} \otimes [\vec{x}]) &= \begin{cases} 1, J([\vec{x}]) = [\vec{s}]; \\ 0, \text{otherwise}; \end{cases} \\ &= ((id \otimes J_{\leq 0}^* + J_{\leq 0}^* \otimes id) \mathfrak{M}^*([\vec{s}]^*))([\vec{x}] \otimes \mathbf{1}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(\mathfrak{M}^* J_{\leq 0}^*([\vec{s}]^*))(\mathbf{1} \otimes [\vec{x}]) = (\mathfrak{M}^* J_{\leq 0}^*([\vec{s}]^*))([\vec{x}] \otimes \mathbf{1}) = \begin{cases} 1, J([\vec{x}]) = [\vec{s}]; \\ 0, \text{otherwise}; \end{cases}$$

Further, for basis elements $[\vec{x}]$, $[\vec{y}]$ of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}}$,

$$\begin{aligned} &((id \otimes J_{\leq 0}^* + J_{\leq 0}^* \otimes id) \mathfrak{M}^*([\vec{s}]^*))([\vec{x}] \otimes [\vec{y}]) \\ &= \sum (a_{[\vec{u}], [\vec{v}]} J_{\leq 0}^*([\vec{u}]^* \otimes [\vec{v}]^* + a_{[\vec{u}], [\vec{v}]} [\vec{u}]^* \otimes J_{\leq 0}^*([\vec{v}]^*))([\vec{x}] \otimes [\vec{y}]) \\ &+ (\mathbf{1}^* \otimes J_{\leq 0}^*([\vec{s}]^*))([\vec{x}] \otimes [\vec{y}]) + (J_{\leq 0}^*([\vec{s}]^* \otimes \mathbf{1}^*))([\vec{x}] \otimes [\vec{y}]) \\ &= a_{J([\vec{x}], [\vec{y}]} + a_{[\vec{x}], J([\vec{y}])}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &(\mathfrak{M}^* J_{\leq 0}^*([\vec{s}]^*))([\vec{x}] \otimes [\vec{y}]) = (J_{\leq 0}^*([\vec{s}]^*))([\vec{x}] \mathfrak{M}[\vec{y}]) = [\vec{s}]^* J([\vec{x}] \mathfrak{M}[\vec{y}]) \\ &= [\vec{s}]^* (J([\vec{x}]) \mathfrak{M}[\vec{y}] + [\vec{x}] \mathfrak{M} J([\vec{y}])) = (\mathfrak{M}^*([\vec{s}]^*)) (J([\vec{x}]) \otimes [\vec{y}] + [\vec{x}] \otimes J([\vec{y}])) \\ &= \sum (a_{[\vec{u}], [\vec{v}]} [\vec{u}]^* \otimes [\vec{v}]^* (J([\vec{x}]) \otimes [\vec{y}] + [\vec{x}] \otimes J([\vec{y}])) + (\mathbf{1}^* \otimes [\vec{s}]^*) (J([\vec{x}]) \otimes [\vec{y}]) \\ &+ (\mathbf{1}^* \otimes [\vec{s}]^*) ([\vec{x}] \otimes J([\vec{y}])) + ([\vec{s}]^* \otimes \mathbf{1}^*) (J([\vec{x}]) \otimes [\vec{y}]) + ([\vec{s}]^* \otimes \mathbf{1}^*) ([\vec{x}] \otimes J([\vec{y}])) \\ &= a_{J([\vec{x}], [\vec{y}]} + a_{[\vec{x}], J([\vec{y}])}, \end{aligned}$$

so we have the conclusion. \square

3.3. Induction structure. For fixed $\vec{s} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}^k$, let

$$(8) \quad \mathfrak{M}^*([\vec{s}]^*) = \mathbf{1}^* \otimes [\vec{s}]^* + [\vec{s}]^* \otimes \mathbf{1}^* + \sum a_{[\vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[\vec{s}]} [\vec{u}]^* \otimes [\vec{v}]^*,$$

with only finitely many $a_{[\vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[\vec{s}]}$ nonzero, then we have

Lemma 3.6. For $\vec{u} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}^m$, $\vec{v} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}^n$,

$$a_{[\vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[\vec{s}]} = a_{J([\vec{u}], [\vec{v}])}^{J([\vec{s}])} + a_{[\vec{u}], J([\vec{v}])}^{J([\vec{s}])}.$$

Proof. Let $\vec{s} = (s_1, \vec{s}')$, by definition, we have

$$\mathfrak{M}^*([s_1 - 1, \vec{s}'^*])(J([\vec{u}]) \otimes [\vec{v}]) = a_{J([\vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{J([\vec{s}])},$$

on the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathfrak{M}^*([s_1 - 1, \vec{s}'^*])(J([\vec{u}]) \otimes [\vec{v}]) = ([s_1 - 1, \vec{s}'^*])(J([\vec{u}])\mathfrak{M}[\vec{v}]) \\ & = [s_1 - 1, \vec{s}'^*](J([\vec{u}]\mathfrak{M}[\vec{v}])) - [s_1 - 1, \vec{s}'^*](\mathfrak{M}[\vec{u}]J([\vec{v}])) \\ & = (J^*([s_1 - 1, \vec{s}'^*])([\vec{u}]\mathfrak{M}[\vec{v}]) - (\mathfrak{M}^*([s_1 - 1, \vec{s}'^*])([\vec{u}] \otimes J([\vec{v}])) \\ & = (\mathfrak{M}^*([s_1, \vec{s}'^*])([\vec{u}] \otimes [\vec{v}]) - (\mathfrak{M}^*([s_1 - 1, \vec{s}'^*])([\vec{u}] \otimes J([\vec{v}])) \\ & = a_{[\vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[\vec{s}]} - a_{[\vec{u}], J([\vec{v}])}^{J([\vec{s}])}. \end{aligned}$$

So we have the conclusion. \square

Lemma 3.7. For fixed $[s_1, \vec{s}] \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}}$, if $u_1 > s_1$,

$$a_{[u_1, \vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[s_1, \vec{s}]} = 0.$$

Proof. The proof is by induction on $-s_1$. If $s_1 = 0$, there is no term $[u_1, \vec{u}]^*$ in $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}}^*$ with $u_1 > 0$, so $a_{[u_1, \vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[0, \vec{s}]} = 0$ when $u_1 > 0$.

Assume this lemma holds for $s_1 = -k \leq 0$, that is for $u_1 > -k$,

$$a_{[u_1, \vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[-k, \vec{s}]} = 0.$$

Then for $s_1 = -k - 1$, which is less than 0, since

$$(\mathfrak{M}^*([-k - 1, \vec{s}'^*]))([0, \vec{u}] \otimes [\vec{v}]) = [-k - 1, \vec{s}'^*]([0, \vec{u}\mathfrak{M}\vec{v}]) = 0,$$

which means $a_{[0, \vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[-k-1, \vec{s}]} = 0$. Assume that $a_{[u_1, \vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[-k-1, \vec{s}]} = 0$ for $u_1 > -\ell$, ($1 \leq \ell \leq k$), by Lemma 3.6,

$$a_{[u_1, \vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[-k, \vec{s}]} = a_{[u_1-1, \vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[-k-1, \vec{s}]} + a_{[u_1, \vec{u}], J([\vec{v}])}^{[-k-1, \vec{s}]}.$$

so

$$a_{[-\ell, \vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[-k-1, \vec{s}]} = a_{[-\ell+1, \vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[-k, \vec{s}]} - a_{[-\ell+1, \vec{u}], J([\vec{v}])}^{[-k-1, \vec{s}]} = 0$$

by inductive hypothesis. That is $a_{[u_1, \vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[-k-1, \vec{s}]} = 0$ for $u_1 > -\ell - 1$, ($\ell \leq k$). \square

Lemma 3.8. For $[s_1, \vec{s}] \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}^k$, if $u_1 \leq s_1$,

$$(s_1 - u_1)a_{[u_1, \vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[s_1, \vec{s}]} = u_1a_{[u_1+1, \vec{u}], J([\vec{v}])}^{[s_1, \vec{s}]} , \quad a_{[u_1, \vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[s_1, \vec{s}]} = \frac{1 - s_1}{1 - u_1}a_{[u_1-1, \vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[s_1-1, \vec{s}]}.$$

Proof. We prove it by double induction on $-s_1$ and $-u_1$.

If $s_1 = 0$, we need prove

$$(9) \quad (0 - u_1)a_{[u_1, \vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[0, \vec{s}]} = u_1 a_{[u_1+1, \vec{u}], J([\vec{v}])}^{[0, \vec{s}]},$$

which is obvious for $u_1 = 0$. By Equation (8),

$$\mathfrak{III}^*([0, \vec{s}^*]) = \mathbf{1}^* \otimes [0, \vec{s}^*] + [0, \vec{s}^*] \otimes \mathbf{1}^* + \sum a_{[u_1, \vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[0, \vec{s}]} [u_1, \vec{u}]^* \otimes [\vec{v}]^*,$$

then for $(u_1, \vec{u}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}^m$, $u_1 \leq -1$, $\vec{v} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}^n$,

$$(\mathfrak{III}^*([0, \vec{s}^*]))([u_1, \vec{u}] \otimes [\vec{v}]) = a_{[u_1, \vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[0, \vec{s}]},$$

on the other hand, by definition,

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathfrak{III}^*([0, \vec{s}^*]))([u_1, \vec{u}] \otimes [\vec{v}]) = [0, \vec{s}^*](J([u_1 + 1, \vec{u}])\mathfrak{III}([\vec{v}])) \\ & = [0, \vec{s}^*](J([u_1 + 1, \vec{u}])\mathfrak{III}([\vec{v}])) - [0, \vec{s}^*]([u_1 + 1, \vec{u}]\mathfrak{III}([\vec{v}])) \\ & = -(\mathfrak{III}^*([0, \vec{s}^*]))([u_1 + 1, \vec{u}] \otimes J([\vec{v}])) \\ & = -a_{[u_1+1, \vec{u}], J([\vec{v}])}^{[0, \vec{s}]}, \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$(0 - u_1)a_{[u_1, \vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[0, \vec{s}]} = u_1 a_{[u_1+1, \vec{u}], J([\vec{v}])}^{[0, \vec{s}]},$$

we have the first equality (9) for $s_1 = 0$.

By Lemma 3.7, $a_{[0, \vec{u}], J([\vec{v}])}^{[-1, \vec{s}]} = 0$, so by Lemma 3.6,

$$a_{[-1, \vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[-1, \vec{s}]} = a_{[0, \vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[0, \vec{s}]} - a_{[0, \vec{u}], J([\vec{v}])}^{[-1, \vec{s}]} = a_{[0, \vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[0, \vec{s}]},$$

this is the second equality for $s_1 = 0$, $u_1 = 0$. Assume for $u_1 = -\ell$, we have

$$(10) \quad a_{[-\ell, \vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[0, \vec{s}]} = \frac{1}{1 + \ell} a_{[-\ell-1, \vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[-1, \vec{s}]},$$

then by hypothesis and Equations (9), (10),

$$\begin{aligned} a_{[-\ell-1, \vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[0, \vec{s}]} & = a_{[-\ell-2, \vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[-1, \vec{s}]} + a_{[-\ell-1, \vec{u}], J([\vec{v}])}^{[-1, \vec{s}]} \\ & \stackrel{(10)}{=} a_{[-\ell-2, \vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[-1, \vec{s}]} + (1 + \ell)a_{[-\ell, \vec{u}], J([\vec{v}])}^{[0, \vec{s}]} \\ & \stackrel{(9)}{=} a_{[-\ell-2, \vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[-1, \vec{s}]} - (1 + \ell)a_{[-\ell-1, \vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[0, \vec{s}]}, \end{aligned}$$

then hence

$$a_{[-\ell-1, \vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[0, \vec{s}]} = \frac{1}{\ell + 2} a_{[-\ell-2, \vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[-1, \vec{s}]}.$$

So this lemma holds for $s_1 = 0$.

Assume for $s_1 = -m$, $u_1 \leq -m$, the lemma holds, that is
 (11)

$$(-m - u_1)a_{[u_1, \vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[-m, \vec{s}]} = u_1 a_{[u_1+1, \vec{u}], J([\vec{v}])}^{[-m, \vec{s}]} \quad a_{[u_1, \vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[-m, \vec{s}]} = \frac{1+m}{1-u_1} a_{[u_1-1, \vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[-m-1, \vec{s}]}.$$

Now for $s_1 = -m - 1$, (obviously $s_1 \leq -1$),

- $u_1 = 0$. by Lemma 3.7, $a_{[0, \vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[-m-1, \vec{s}]} = 0$, $a_{[-1, \vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[-m-2, \vec{s}]} = 0$. So the Lemma holds for $s_1 = -m - 1$, $u_1 = 0$.
- Assume for $u_1 = -\ell$,

(12)

$$(-m - 1 + \ell)a_{[-\ell, \vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[-m-1, \vec{s}]} = -\ell a_{[-\ell+1, \vec{u}], J([\vec{v}])}^{[-m-1, \vec{s}]} \quad a_{[-\ell, \vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[-m-1, \vec{s}]} = \frac{2+m}{1+\ell} a_{[-\ell-1, \vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[-m-2, \vec{s}]}.$$

Then for $u_1 = -\ell - 1$, by Lemma 3.6 and Equation (11),

$$\begin{aligned} a_{[-\ell-1, \vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[-m-1, \vec{s}]} &= a_{[-\ell, \vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[-m, \vec{s}]} - a_{[-\ell, \vec{u}], J([\vec{v}])}^{[-m-1, \vec{s}]} \\ &\stackrel{(11)}{=} \frac{1+m}{1+\ell} a_{[-\ell-1, \vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[-m-1, \vec{s}]} - a_{[-\ell, \vec{u}], J([\vec{v}])}^{[-m-1, \vec{s}]} \end{aligned}$$

so

$$(13) \quad (\ell - m)a_{[-\ell-1, \vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[-m-1, \vec{s}]} = -(\ell + 1)a_{[-\ell, \vec{u}], J([\vec{v}])}^{[-m-1, \vec{s}]}.$$

By Lemma 3.6 and Equations (12), (13),

$$\begin{aligned} a_{[-\ell-1, \vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[-m-1, \vec{s}]} &= a_{[-\ell-2, \vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[-m-2, \vec{s}]} + a_{[-\ell-1, \vec{u}], J([\vec{v}])}^{[-m-2, \vec{s}]} \\ &\stackrel{(12)}{=} a_{[-\ell-2, \vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[-m-2, \vec{s}]} + \frac{1+\ell}{2+m} a_{[-\ell, \vec{u}], J([\vec{v}])}^{[-m-1, \vec{s}]} \\ &\stackrel{(13)}{=} a_{[-\ell-2, \vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[-m-2, \vec{s}]} + \frac{m-\ell}{(2+m)} a_{[-\ell-1, \vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[-m-1, \vec{s}]} \end{aligned}$$

then

$$a_{[-\ell-1, \vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[-m-1, \vec{s}]} = \frac{m+2}{\ell+2} a_{[-\ell-2, \vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[-m-2, \vec{s}]},$$

which finishes the induction. \square

Lemma 3.9. For $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, $(0_i, \vec{s}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}^{k+i}$, $\forall \vec{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_m) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}^m$, $\forall (v_1, \vec{v}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}^n$,

- $m < i$,

$$a_{[\vec{u}], [v_1, \vec{v}]}^{[0_i, \vec{s}]} = \begin{cases} 1, & [\vec{u}] = [0_m], [v_1, \vec{v}] = [0_{i-m}, \vec{s}], \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- $m = i$,

$$a_{[\vec{u}], [v_1, \vec{v}]}^{[0_i, \vec{s}]} = \begin{cases} (-1)^{u_1 + \dots + u_i}, & [v_1 + u_1 + \dots + u_i, \vec{v}] = [\vec{s}] \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

• $m > i$, let $\vec{u}' = (u_{i+1}, \dots, u_m)$, then

$$(14) \quad a_{[u_1, \dots, u_i, \vec{u}'], [v_1, \vec{v}]}^{[0_i, \vec{s}]} = (-1)^{u_1 + \dots + u_i} a_{[\vec{u}'], [v_1 + u_1 + \dots + u_i, \vec{v}]}^{[\vec{s}]}.$$

Proof. If $u_1 < 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathbb{I}^*([0_i, \vec{s}]^*))([u_1, \dots, u_m] \otimes [v_1, \vec{v}]) = [0_i, \vec{s}]^*([u_1, \dots, u_m]_{\mathbb{I}}[v_1, \vec{v}]) \\ & = [0_i, \vec{s}]^*(J([u_1 + 1, \dots, u_m]_{\mathbb{I}}[v_1, \vec{v}])) \\ & = [0_i, \vec{s}]^*(J([u_1 + 1, \dots, u_m]_{\mathbb{I}}[v_1, \vec{v}])) - [0_i, \vec{s}]^*([u_1 + 1, \dots, u_m]_{\mathbb{I}}J([v_1, \vec{v}])) \\ & = 0 - [0_i, \vec{s}]^*([u_1 + 1, \dots, u_m]_{\mathbb{I}}J([v_1, \vec{v}])) = \dots \\ & = (-1)^{u_1} [0_i, \vec{s}]^*([0, u_2, \dots, u_m]_{\mathbb{I}}[v_1 + u_1, \vec{v}]) \\ & = (-1)^{u_1} [0_i, \vec{s}]^*([0, [u_2, \dots, u_m]_{\mathbb{I}}[v_1 + u_1, \vec{v}]]) \end{aligned}$$

which is also true for $u_1 = 0$.

If $m < i$,

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathbb{I}^*([0_i, \vec{s}]^*))([u_1, \dots, u_m] \otimes [v_1, \vec{v}]) \\ & = (-1)^{u_1 + \dots + u_m} [0_i, \vec{s}]^*([0_m, v_1 + u_1 + \dots + u_m, \vec{v}]) \end{aligned}$$

since $u_1, \dots, u_m \leq 0$, $v_1 \leq 0$, so $[0_i, \vec{s}]^*([0_m, v_1 + u_1 + \dots + u_m, \vec{v}]) \neq 0$ only if $u_1 = \dots, u_m = v_1 = 0$, thus

$$a_{[\vec{u}'], [v_1, \vec{v}]}^{[0_i, \vec{s}]} = \begin{cases} 1, & [\vec{u}] = [0_m], [v_1, \vec{v}] = [0_{i-m}, \vec{s}], \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

If $m = i$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathbb{I}^*([0_i, \vec{s}]^*))([u_1, \dots, u_i] \otimes [v_1, \vec{v}]) \\ & = (-1)^{u_1 + \dots + u_i} [0_i, \vec{s}]^*([v_1 + u_1 + \dots + u_i, \vec{v}]) \end{aligned}$$

so

$$a_{[\vec{u}'], [v_1, \vec{v}]}^{[0_i, \vec{s}]} = \begin{cases} (-1)^{u_1 + \dots + u_i}, & [v_1 + u_1 + \dots + u_i, \vec{v}] = [\vec{s}] \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

If $m > i$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathbb{I}^*([0_i, \vec{s}]^*))([u_1, \dots, u_i, \vec{u}'] \otimes [v_1, \vec{v}]) \\ & = (-1)^{u_1} [0_i, \vec{s}]^*([0, [u_2, \dots, u_i, \vec{u}']_{\mathbb{I}}[v_1 + u_1, \vec{v}]]) \\ & = (-1)^{u_1 + \dots + u_i} [0_i, \vec{s}]^*([0_i, [\vec{u}']_{\mathbb{I}}[v_1 + u_1 + \dots + u_i, \vec{v}]]) \\ & = (-1)^{u_1 + \dots + u_i} a_{[\vec{u}'], [v_1 + u_1 + \dots + u_i, \vec{v}]}^{[\vec{s}]} \end{aligned}$$

hence we have Equation (14). \square

Corollary 3.10. For $(0_k) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}^k$,

$$\mathbb{I}^*([0_k]^*) = \sum_{j=0}^k [0_j]^* \otimes [0_{k-j}]^*,$$

4. DUAL COPRODUCT ON $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}$

4.1. Riemann dual map. Because of the functional equation for Riemann ζ -function, we define

Definition 4.1. *The Riemann dual map $\varphi : \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}}^*$ is a linear map, which is defined on basis elements as*

$$\varphi(\mathbf{1}) := \mathbf{1}^*,$$

$$\varphi([s_1, \dots, s_k]) := [1 - s_1, \dots, 1 - s_k]^*.$$

It is easy to check that

Lemma 4.2. *The linear map φ is an isomorphism between graded vector spaces.*

Dual to the linear operators defined in (6), for $i \geq 1$, we define $\tilde{\delta}_i : \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}}^*$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\delta}_i(\mathbf{1}^*) &= 0, \\ \tilde{\delta}_i([s_1, \dots, s_k]^*) &= \begin{cases} \sum_{j=1}^i (1 - s_j) [s_1, \dots, s_j - 1, \dots, s_k]^*, & k \geq i, \\ 0, & i > k. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

And for $i \geq 1$, $\tilde{A} = id$ or $\tilde{\delta}_j$, the **shifted tensor**

$$\tilde{A} \circledast \tilde{\delta}_i : \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}}^* \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}}^* \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}}^*$$

is defined as

$$(\tilde{A} \circledast \tilde{\delta}_i)([s_1, \dots, s_k]^* \otimes [t]^*) = \tilde{A}([s_1, \dots, s_k]^*) \otimes \tilde{\delta}_{i-k}([t]^*).$$

We also take $\tilde{\delta}_i = 0$ when $i \leq 0$ for convenience.

By direct calculation, we have

Lemma 4.3. *For $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$,*

- $\tilde{\delta}_i$ and δ_i satisfy the following commutativity

$$\tilde{\delta}_i \circ \varphi = \varphi \circ \delta_i.$$

- $(A \circledast \delta_i)$ and $(\tilde{A} \circledast \tilde{\delta}_i)$ satisfy the following commutativity

$$(\varphi \otimes \varphi)(A \circledast \delta_i) = (\tilde{A} \circledast \tilde{\delta}_i)(\varphi \otimes \varphi),$$

for $(A, \tilde{A}) = (id, id)$ or $(\delta_j, \tilde{\delta}_j)$.

4.2. **Dual coproduct on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}$.** Now we define a linear map

$$\tilde{\Delta}_{\geq 1} : \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}$$

by using the Riemann dual map φ :

$$(15) \quad \tilde{\Delta}_{\geq 1} := (\varphi^{-1} \otimes \varphi^{-1})_{\text{III}^*} \varphi.$$

Proposition 4.4. *The coproduct $\tilde{\Delta}_{\geq 1} : \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}$ commutes with δ_1 :*

$$(id \otimes \delta_1 + \delta_1 \otimes id) \tilde{\Delta}_{\geq 1} = \tilde{\Delta}_{\geq 1} \delta_1.$$

By Lemma 4.3, this proposition is equivalent to the following proposition.

Proposition 4.5. *$\tilde{\delta}_1$ commute with III^* ,*

$$(id \otimes \tilde{\delta}_1 + \tilde{\delta}_1 \otimes id)_{\text{III}^*} = \text{III}^* \tilde{\delta}_1.$$

Proof. It is obvious that

$$((id \otimes \tilde{\delta}_1 + \tilde{\delta}_1 \otimes id)_{\text{III}^*})(\mathbf{1}^*) = 0 = (\text{III}^* \tilde{\delta}_1)(\mathbf{1}^*).$$

For $(s_1, \vec{s}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}^k$, following notations in Equation (8), by Lemma 3.8,

$$\begin{aligned} & (id \otimes \tilde{\delta}_1 + \tilde{\delta}_1 \otimes id)_{\text{III}^*}([s_1, \vec{s}]^*) \\ &= (id \otimes \tilde{\delta}_1 + \tilde{\delta}_1 \otimes id)(\mathbf{1}^* \otimes [s_1, \vec{s}]^* + [s_1, \vec{s}]^* \otimes \mathbf{1}^*) \\ &+ \sum a_{[u_1, \vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[s_1, \vec{s}]} (id \otimes \tilde{\delta}_1 + \tilde{\delta}_1 \otimes id)([u_1, \vec{u}]^* \otimes [\vec{v}]^*) \\ &= (1 - s_1)(\mathbf{1}^* \otimes [s_1 - 1, \vec{s}]^* + [s_1 - 1, \vec{s}]^* \otimes \mathbf{1}^*) \\ &+ \sum (1 - u_1) a_{[u_1, \vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[s_1, \vec{s}]} ([u_1 - 1, \vec{u}]^* \otimes [\vec{v}]^*) \\ &= (1 - s_1)(\mathbf{1}^* \otimes [s_1 - 1, \vec{s}]^* + [s_1 - 1, \vec{s}]^* \otimes \mathbf{1}^*) \\ &+ (1 - s_1) \sum a_{[u_1 - 1, \vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[s_1 - 1, \vec{s}]} ([u_1 - 1, \vec{u}]^* \otimes [\vec{v}]^*) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{III}^* \tilde{\delta}_1([s_1, \vec{s}]^*) &= (1 - s_1) \text{III}^*([s_1 - 1, \vec{s}]^*) \\ &= (1 - s_1)(\mathbf{1}^* \otimes [s_1 - 1, \vec{s}]^* + [s_1 - 1, \vec{s}]^* \otimes \mathbf{1}^*) \\ &+ (1 - s_1) \sum a_{[u_1, \vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[s_1 - 1, \vec{s}]} ([u_1, \vec{u}]^* \otimes [\vec{v}]^*) \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.7, we know

$$a_{[0, \vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[s_1 - 1, \vec{s}]} = 0,$$

so

$$\sum a_{[u_1 - 1, \vec{u}], [v_1, \vec{v}]}^{[s_1 - 1, \vec{s}]} ([u_1 - 1, \vec{u}]^* \otimes [\vec{v}]^*) = \sum a_{[u_1, \vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[s_1 - 1, \vec{s}]} ([u_1, \vec{u}]^* \otimes [\vec{v}]^*).$$

So we have the conclusion. \square

Theorem 4.6. For $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, the linear operator $\tilde{\delta}_i$ commute with \mathfrak{M}^* ,

$$(id \circledast \tilde{\delta}_i + \tilde{\delta}_i \otimes id)_{\mathfrak{M}^*} = \mathfrak{M}^* \tilde{\delta}_i.$$

Proof. We prove the theorem by induction on i which is true for $i = 1$ by Proposition 4.5. Assume that

$$(id \circledast \tilde{\delta}_j + \tilde{\delta}_j \otimes id)_{\mathfrak{M}^*} = \mathfrak{M}^* \tilde{\delta}_j.$$

for $1 \leq j \leq i-1$ ($i \geq 2$), and now we prove the case $j = i$.

For $(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, s_1, \dots, s_k) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}^{k+i-1}$, we will do induction on $n = -(a_1 + \dots + a_{i-1})$ to prove

$$(16) \quad (id \circledast \tilde{\delta}_i + \tilde{\delta}_i \otimes id)_{\mathfrak{M}^*}([a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, s_1, \dots, s_k]^*) \\ = \mathfrak{M}^* \tilde{\delta}_i([a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, s_1, \dots, s_k]^*).$$

We first prove the case $n = 0$, that is

$$(17) \quad (id \circledast \tilde{\delta}_i + \tilde{\delta}_i \otimes id)_{\mathfrak{M}^*}([0_{i-1}, s_1, \dots, s_k]^*) = \mathfrak{M}^* \tilde{\delta}_i([0_{i-1}, s_1, \dots, s_k]^*).$$

Following notations in Equation (8), since

$$(id \circledast \tilde{\delta}_i + \tilde{\delta}_i \otimes id)_{\mathfrak{M}^*}([0_{i-1}, s_1, \dots, s_k]^*) \\ = \mathbf{1}^* \otimes \tilde{\delta}_i([0_{i-1}, s_1, \dots, s_k]^*) + \tilde{\delta}_i([0_{i-1}, s_1, \dots, s_k]^*) \otimes \mathbf{1}^* \\ + \sum a_{[\vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[0_{i-1}, s_1, \dots, s_k]} \tilde{\delta}_i([\vec{u}]^*) \otimes [\vec{v}]^* + \sum a_{[\vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[0_{i-1}, s_1, \dots, s_k]} (id \circledast \tilde{\delta}_i)([\vec{u}]^* \otimes [\vec{v}]^*)$$

and by induction

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathfrak{M}^* \tilde{\delta}_i([0_{i-1}, s_1, \dots, s_k]^*) \\ &= \mathfrak{M}^*(\tilde{\delta}_{i-1}([0_{i-1}, s_1, \dots, s_k]^*)) + (1 - s_1) \mathfrak{M}^*([0_{i-1}, s_1 - 1, \dots, s_k]^*) \\ &= (id \circledast \tilde{\delta}_{i-1} + \tilde{\delta}_{i-1} \otimes id)_{\mathfrak{M}^*}([0_{i-1}, s_1, \dots, s_k]^*) \\ &+ (1 - s_1) \mathfrak{M}^*([0_{i-1}, s_1 - 1, \dots, s_k]^*) \\ &= \mathbf{1}^* \otimes \tilde{\delta}_{i-1}([0_{i-1}, s_1, \dots, s_k]^*) + \tilde{\delta}_{i-1}([0_{i-1}, s_1, \dots, s_k]^*) \otimes \mathbf{1}^* \\ &+ \sum a_{[\vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[0_{i-1}, s_1, \dots, s_k]} \tilde{\delta}_{i-1}([\vec{u}]^*) \otimes [\vec{v}]^* + \sum a_{[\vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[0_{i-1}, s_1, \dots, s_k]} (id \circledast \tilde{\delta}_{i-1})([\vec{u}]^* \otimes [\vec{v}]^*) \\ &+ (1 - s_1) \mathbf{1}^* \otimes [0_{i-1}, s_1 - 1, \dots, s_k]^* + (1 - s_1) [0_{i-1}, s_1 - 1, \dots, s_k]^* \otimes \mathbf{1}^* \\ &+ (1 - s_1) \sum a_{[\vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[0_{i-1}, s_1 - 1, \dots, s_k]} [\vec{u}]^* \otimes [\vec{v}]^* \\ &= \mathbf{1}^* \otimes \tilde{\delta}_i([0_{i-1}, s_1, \dots, s_k]^*) + \tilde{\delta}_i([0_{i-1}, s_1, \dots, s_k]^*) \otimes \mathbf{1}^* \\ &+ \sum a_{[\vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[0_{i-1}, s_1, \dots, s_k]} \tilde{\delta}_{i-1}([\vec{u}]^*) \otimes [\vec{v}]^* + \sum a_{[\vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[0_{i-1}, s_1, \dots, s_k]} (id \circledast \tilde{\delta}_{i-1})([\vec{u}]^* \otimes [\vec{v}]^*) \\ &+ (1 - s_1) \sum a_{[\vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[0_{i-1}, s_1 - 1, \dots, s_k]} [\vec{u}]^* \otimes [\vec{v}]^*, \end{aligned}$$

so

$$(id \otimes \tilde{\delta}_i + \tilde{\delta}_i \otimes id)(\mathbb{I}^*([0_{i-1}, s_1, \dots, s_k]^*)) = \mathbb{I}^* \tilde{\delta}_i([0_{i-1}, s_1, \dots, s_k]^*)$$

is equivalent to

$$(18) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum a_{[\vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[0_{i-1}, s_1, \dots, s_k]} \tilde{\delta}_i([\vec{u}]^*) \otimes [\vec{v}]^* + \sum a_{[\vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[0_{i-1}, s_1, \dots, s_k]} (id \otimes \tilde{\delta}_i)([\vec{u}]^* \otimes [\vec{v}]^*) \\ = & \sum a_{[\vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[0_{i-1}, s_1, \dots, s_k]} \tilde{\delta}_{i-1}([\vec{u}]^*) \otimes [\vec{v}]^* + \sum a_{[\vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[0_{i-1}, s_1, \dots, s_k]} (id \otimes \tilde{\delta}_{i-1})([\vec{u}]^* \otimes [\vec{v}]^*) \\ & + (1 - s_1) \sum a_{[\vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[0_{i-1}, s_1-1, \dots, s_k]} [\vec{u}]^* \otimes [\vec{v}]^*. \end{aligned}$$

Let us consider terms in Equation (18) with subindexes $[\vec{u}]$ part of depth m . For $m < i - 1$, Equation (18) reduces to

$$(19) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum a_{[\vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[0_{i-1}, s_1, \dots, s_k]} ([\vec{u}]^* \otimes \tilde{\delta}_{i-m}([\vec{v}]^*)) &= \sum a_{[\vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[0_{i-1}, s_1, \dots, s_k]} [\vec{u}]^* \otimes \tilde{\delta}_{i-1-m}([\vec{v}]^*) \\ &+ (1 - s_1) \sum a_{[\vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[0_{i-1}, s_1-1, \dots, s_k]} [\vec{u}]^* \otimes [\vec{v}]^*. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.9, the left hand side of Equation (19) equals to

$$[0_m]^* \otimes \tilde{\delta}_{i-m}([0_{i-1-m}, s_1, \dots, s_k]^*),$$

similarly, the right hand side of Equation (19) equals to

$$\begin{aligned} & [0_m]^* \otimes \tilde{\delta}_{i-1-m}([0_{i-1-m}, s_1, \dots, s_k]^*) \\ & + (1 - s_1)[0_m]^* \otimes [0_{i-1-m}, s_1 - 1, \dots, s_k]^*, \end{aligned}$$

so by the definition of $\tilde{\delta}_{i-m}$, Equation (19) holds in this case.

For $m = i - 1$, let $\vec{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_m)$, $\vec{v} = (v_1, \vec{v}')$, then by Lemma 3.9, $a_{[\vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[0_{i-1}, s_1, \dots, s_k]} = (-1)^{u_1 + \dots + u_m}$ if $[v_1 + u_1 + \dots + u_m, \vec{v}'] = [s_1, \dots, s_k]$, and 0 otherwise, so Equation (18) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{[\vec{u}]} (1 + |\vec{u}| - s_1) (-1)^{|\vec{u}|} [\vec{u}]^* \otimes [s_1 - 1 - |\vec{u}|, s_2, \dots, s_k]^* \\ = & \sum_{[\vec{u}]} (-1)^{|\vec{u}|} \tilde{\delta}_{i-1}([\vec{u}]^*) \otimes [s_1 - |\vec{u}|, s_2, \dots, s_k]^* \\ & + (1 - s_1) \sum_{[\vec{u}]} (-1)^{|\vec{u}|} [\vec{u}]^* \otimes [s_1 - 1 - |\vec{u}|, s_2, \dots, s_k]^* \\ = & \sum_{[\vec{u}]} \sum_{\ell=1}^{i-1} (1 - u_\ell) (-1)^{|\vec{u}|} [u_1, \dots, u_\ell - 1, \dots, u_{i-1}]^* \otimes [s_1 - |\vec{u}|, s_2, \dots, s_k]^* \\ & + (1 - s_1) \sum_{[\vec{u}]} (-1)^{|\vec{u}|} [\vec{u}]^* \otimes [s_1 - 1 - |\vec{u}|, s_2, \dots, s_k]^*. \end{aligned}$$

with $|\vec{u}| = u_1 + \cdots + u_m$. By a change of variables,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{[\vec{u}]} (1 - u_\ell) (-1)^{|\vec{u}|} [u_1, \cdots, u_\ell - 1, \cdots, u_{i-1}]^* \otimes [s_1 - |\vec{u}|, s_2, \cdots, s_k]^* \\ = & \sum_{[\vec{u}], u_\ell \leq -1} (-u_\ell) (-1)^{|\vec{u}|+1} [u_1, \cdots, u_\ell, \cdots, u_{i-1}]^* \otimes [s_1 - |\vec{u}| - 1, s_2, \cdots, s_k]^* \\ = & \sum_{[\vec{u}]} u_\ell (-1)^{|\vec{u}|} [u_1, \cdots, u_\ell, \cdots, u_{i-1}]^* \otimes [s_1 - |\vec{u}| - 1, s_2, \cdots, s_k]^* \end{aligned}$$

So Equation (18) holds for $m = i - 1$.

If $m \geq i$, the Equation (18) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} (20) \quad & \sum a_{[\vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[0_{i-1}, s_1, \cdots, s_k]} \tilde{\delta}_i([\vec{u}]^*) \otimes [\vec{v}]^* \\ = & \sum a_{[\vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[0_{i-1}, s_1, \cdots, s_k]} \tilde{\delta}_{i-1}([\vec{u}]^*) \otimes [\vec{v}]^* + (1 - s_1) \sum a_{[\vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[0_{i-1}, s_1-1, \cdots, s_k]} [\vec{u}]^* \otimes [\vec{v}]^*, \end{aligned}$$

Let $\vec{u} = (u_1, \cdots, u_{i-1}, u_i, \vec{u}')$, since

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum a_{[\vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[0_{i-1}, s_1, \cdots, s_k]} \tilde{\delta}_i([\vec{u}]^*) \otimes [\vec{v}]^* \\ = & \sum a_{[\vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[0_{i-1}, s_1, \cdots, s_k]} \tilde{\delta}_{i-1}([\vec{u}]^*) \otimes [\vec{v}]^* \\ & + \sum (1 - u_i) a_{[\vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[0_{i-1}, s_1, \cdots, s_k]} [u_1, \cdots, u_{i-1}, u_i - 1, \vec{u}']^* \otimes [\vec{v}]^*. \end{aligned}$$

Equation (20) can be reduced to

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum (1 - u_i) a_{[u_1, \cdots, u_{i-1}, u_i, \vec{u}'], [\vec{v}]}^{[0_{i-1}, s_1, \cdots, s_k]} [u_1, \cdots, u_{i-1}, u_i - 1, \vec{u}']^* \otimes [\vec{v}]^* \\ = & (1 - s_1) \sum a_{[u_1, \cdots, u_{i-1}, u_i, \vec{u}'], [\vec{v}]}^{[0_{i-1}, s_1-1, \cdots, s_k]} [u_1, \cdots, u_{i-1}, u_i, \vec{u}']^* \otimes [\vec{v}]^*. \end{aligned}$$

By a change of variables, it reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum (-u_i) a_{[u_1, \cdots, u_{i-1}, u_i+1, \vec{u}'], [\vec{v}]}^{[0_{i-1}, s_1, \cdots, s_k]} [u_1, \cdots, u_{i-1}, u_i, \vec{u}']^* \otimes [\vec{v}]^* \\ = & (1 - s_1) \sum a_{[u_1, \cdots, u_{i-1}, u_i, \vec{u}'], [\vec{v}]}^{[0_{i-1}, s_1-1, \cdots, s_k]} [u_1, \cdots, u_{i-1}, u_i, \vec{u}']^* \otimes [\vec{v}]^*, \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 3.9, we only need prove

$$(-u_i) a_{[u_i+1, \vec{u}'], [v_1+u_1+\cdots+u_{i-1}, \vec{v}']}^{[s_1, \cdots, s_k]} = (1 - s_1) a_{[u_i, \vec{u}'], [v_1+u_1+\cdots+u_{i-1}, \vec{v}']}^{[s_1-1, \cdots, s_k]},$$

which is true by Lemma 3.8. So we have the Equation (17).

In general, assume for $n = -(a_1 + \cdots + a_{i-1}) \leq \ell$, the Equation (16) is true, then for $n = -(a_1 + \cdots + a_{i-1}) = \ell + 1$, assume j ($1 \leq j \leq i-1$)

is the least number such that $a_j \neq 0$, by inductive hypothesis,

$$\begin{aligned}
& (id \circledast \tilde{\delta}_i + \tilde{\delta}_i \otimes id)(\text{III}^*([a_1, \dots, a_j, \dots, a_{i-1}, s_1, \dots, s_k]^*)) \\
&= (id \circledast \tilde{\delta}_i + \tilde{\delta}_i \otimes id)(\text{III}^*\left(\frac{\tilde{\delta}_j - \tilde{\delta}_{j-1}}{-a_j}\right)([a_1, \dots, a_j + 1, \dots, a_{i-1}, s_1, \dots, s_k]^*)) \\
&= \frac{(id \circledast \tilde{\delta}_i + \tilde{\delta}_i \otimes id)(id \circledast (\tilde{\delta}_j - \tilde{\delta}_{j-1}) + (\tilde{\delta}_j - \tilde{\delta}_{j-1}) \otimes id)}{-a_j} \text{III}^*([\dots, a_j + 1, \dots]^*) \\
&= \frac{(id \circledast (\tilde{\delta}_j - \tilde{\delta}_{j-1}) + (\tilde{\delta}_j - \tilde{\delta}_{j-1}) \otimes id)(id \circledast \tilde{\delta}_i + \tilde{\delta}_i \otimes id)}{-a_j} \text{III}^*([\dots, a_j + 1, \dots]^*) \\
&= \frac{id \circledast (\tilde{\delta}_j - \tilde{\delta}_{j-1}) + (\tilde{\delta}_j - \tilde{\delta}_{j-1}) \otimes id}{-a_j} \text{III}^*(\tilde{\delta}_i([\dots, a_j + 1, \dots]^*)) \\
&= \text{III}^*\left(\frac{\tilde{\delta}_j - \tilde{\delta}_{j-1}}{-a_j} \tilde{\delta}_i([a_1, \dots, a_j + 1, \dots, a_{i-1}, s_1, \dots, s_k]^*)\right) \\
&= \text{III}^*(\tilde{\delta}_i([a_1, \dots, a_j, \dots, a_{i-1}, s_1, \dots, s_k]^*)),
\end{aligned}$$

whence we finished the proof of Theorem 4.6. \square

Proposition 4.7. *The coproduct $\tilde{\Delta}_{\geq 1} : \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}$ commutes with δ_i ($i \geq 1$)*

$$(id \circledast \delta_i + \delta_i \otimes id)\tilde{\Delta}_{\geq 1} = \tilde{\Delta}_{\geq 1}\delta_i.$$

Proof. By definition of $\tilde{\Delta}_{\geq 1}$, Lemma 4.3, Theorem 4.6,

$$\begin{aligned}
(id \circledast \delta_i + \delta_i \otimes id)\tilde{\Delta}_{\geq 1} &= (id \circledast \delta_i + \delta_i \otimes id)(\varphi^{-1} \otimes \varphi^{-1})\text{III}^*\varphi \\
&= (\varphi^{-1} \otimes \varphi^{-1})(id \circledast \tilde{\delta}_i + \tilde{\delta}_i \otimes id)\text{III}^*\varphi \\
&= (\varphi^{-1} \otimes \varphi^{-1})\text{III}^*\tilde{\delta}_i\varphi \\
&= (\varphi^{-1} \otimes \varphi^{-1})\text{III}^*\varphi\delta_i \\
&= \tilde{\Delta}_{\geq 1}\delta_i
\end{aligned}$$

\square

Theorem 4.8. *On $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}$, $\Delta_{\geq 1} = \tilde{\Delta}_{\geq 1}$.*

Proof. To prove the result, we only need check that $\tilde{\Delta}_{\geq 1}$ satisfies conditions in Proposition 2.4.

- It is easy to see that $\tilde{\Delta}_{\geq 1}(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1}$.
- For $(1_k) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}^k$, by Corollary 3.10,

$$\tilde{\Delta}_{\geq 1}([1_k]) = \sum_{j=0}^k [1_j] \otimes [1_{k-j}].$$

- By Proposition 4.7,

$$(id \circledast \delta_i + \delta_i \otimes id) \tilde{\Delta}_{\geq 1} = \tilde{\Delta}_{\geq 1} \delta_i.$$

So by Proposition 2.4,

$$\Delta_{\geq 1} = \tilde{\Delta}_{\geq 1}.$$

□

Therefore,

$$(\varphi \otimes \varphi) \tilde{\Delta}_{\geq 1} = \mathfrak{H}^* \varphi,$$

which means the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}}^* \\ \tilde{\Delta}_{\geq 1} \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathfrak{H}^* \\ \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}} & \xrightarrow{\varphi \otimes \varphi} & \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}}^* \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}}^* \end{array},$$

so we have

Corollary 4.9. *The Riemann dual map $\varphi : \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}}^*$ is an isomorphism for coalgebras.*

5. HOPF ALGEBRA $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}}$

In this section, we study the Hopf algebra structure in $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}}$, which we know from Lemma 3.2, is a graded algebra.

5.1. Coproduct on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}}$. By a simple induction, we know

Proposition 5.1. *There is a unique linear map $\Delta_{\leq 0} : \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}}$ such that*

- $\Delta_{\leq 0}(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1}$;
- $\Delta_{\leq 0}([0]) = \mathbf{1} \otimes [0] + [0] \otimes \mathbf{1}$;
- $\Delta_{\leq 0}J = (id \otimes J + J \otimes id) \Delta_{\leq 0}$;
- $\Delta_{\leq 0}([0, \vec{s}]) = \Delta_{\leq 0}([0]) \mathfrak{H} \Delta_{\leq 0}([\vec{s}])$ for $\vec{s} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}^\ell$ ($\ell \geq 1$).

Lemma 5.2. *The linear map $\Delta_{\leq 0}$ in Proposition 5.1 is coassociative.*

Proof. It is obvious that $(id \otimes \Delta_{\leq 0}) \Delta_{\leq 0}(\mathbf{1}) = (\Delta_{\leq 0} \otimes id) \Delta_{\leq 0}(\mathbf{1})$, so we only need prove the coassociativity for basis element $[s]$ with $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}^m$, for which we prove by induction on depth m .

For $m = 1$, let

$$Z_n = \{s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0} \mid s = -n\},$$

$$T = \{s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0} \mid (id \otimes \Delta_{\leq 0}) \Delta_{\leq 0}([s]) = (\Delta_{\leq 0} \otimes id) \Delta_{\leq 0}([s])\},$$

by definition of $\Delta_{\leq 0}$, $Z_0 \subset T$, assume $Z_n \subset T$ with $n > 0$, then for $s \in Z_{n+1}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& (id \otimes \Delta_{\leq 0})\Delta_{\leq 0}([s]) = (id \otimes \Delta_{\leq 0})\Delta_{\leq 0}(J([s+1])) \\
& = (id \otimes \Delta_{\leq 0})(id \otimes J + J \otimes id)\Delta_{\leq 0}([s+1]) \\
& = (id \otimes \Delta_{\leq 0}J + J \otimes \Delta_{\leq 0})\Delta_{\leq 0}([s+1]) \\
& = (id \otimes id \otimes J + id \otimes J \otimes id + J \otimes id \otimes id)(id \otimes \Delta_{\leq 0})\Delta_{\leq 0}([s+1]) \\
& = (id \otimes id \otimes J + id \otimes J \otimes id + J \otimes id \otimes id)(\Delta_{\leq 0} \otimes id)\Delta_{\leq 0}([s+1]) \\
& = (\Delta_{\leq 0} \otimes id)(id \otimes J + J \otimes id)\Delta_{\leq 0}([s+1]) \\
& = (\Delta_{\leq 0} \otimes id)\Delta_{\leq 0}(J([s+1])) = (\Delta_{\leq 0} \otimes id)\Delta_{\leq 0}([s]),
\end{aligned}$$

so $Z_{n+1} \subset T$, hence $T = \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$.

Assume the conclusion is true for $m = k$, and for $m = k + 1$, let

$$Z_n = \{(s_1, \vec{s}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}^{k+1} \mid s_1 = -n\},$$

$$T = \{(s_1, \vec{s}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}^{k+1} \mid (id \otimes \Delta_{\leq 0})\Delta_{\leq 0}([s_1, \vec{s}]) = (\Delta_{\leq 0} \otimes id)\Delta_{\leq 0}([s_1, \vec{s}])\},$$

then for $(0, \vec{s}) \in Z_0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(id \otimes \Delta_{\leq 0})\Delta_{\leq 0}([0, \vec{s}]) & = ((id \otimes \Delta_{\leq 0})\Delta_{\leq 0}([0]))_{\text{III}}((id \otimes \Delta_{\leq 0})\Delta_{\leq 0}([\vec{s}])) \\
& = ((\Delta_{\leq 0} \otimes id)\Delta_{\leq 0}([0]))_{\text{III}}((\Delta_{\leq 0} \otimes id)\Delta_{\leq 0}([\vec{s}])) \\
& = (\Delta_{\leq 0} \otimes id)\Delta_{\leq 0}([0, \vec{s}]),
\end{aligned}$$

so $Z_0 \subset T$. Assume that $Z_n \subset T$ with $n \geq 0$, then for $(s_1, \vec{s}) \in Z_{n+1}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& (id \otimes \Delta_{\leq 0})\Delta_{\leq 0}([s_1, \vec{s}]) = (id \otimes \Delta_{\leq 0})\Delta_{\leq 0}(J([s_1 + 1, \vec{s}])) \\
& = (id \otimes \Delta_{\leq 0})(id \otimes J + J \otimes id)\Delta_{\leq 0}([s_1 + 1, \vec{s}]) \\
& = (id \otimes id \otimes J + id \otimes J \otimes id + J \otimes id \otimes id)(id \otimes \Delta_{\leq 0})\Delta_{\leq 0}([s_1 + 1, \vec{s}]) \\
& = (id \otimes id \otimes J + id \otimes J \otimes id + J \otimes id \otimes id)(\Delta_{\leq 0} \otimes id)\Delta_{\leq 0}([s_1 + 1, \vec{s}]) \\
& = (\Delta_{\leq 0} \otimes id)\Delta_{\leq 0}(J([s_1 + 1, \vec{s}])) \\
& = (\Delta_{\leq 0} \otimes id)\Delta_{\leq 0}([s_1, \vec{s}]),
\end{aligned}$$

so $Z_{n+1} \subset T$, $T = \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}^{k+1}$, hence $\Delta_{\leq 0}$ is coassociative. \square

By direct calculation, we know

Lemma 5.3. *The linear operator $id \otimes J + J \otimes id : \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}}$ is a derivation with respect to the shuffle product III on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}}$: $(a \otimes b)_{\text{III}}(b \otimes d) = (a_{\text{III}}b) \otimes (b_{\text{III}}d)$ for $a, b, c, d \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}}$.*

Lemma 5.4. *The linear map $\Delta_{\leq 0}$ in Proposition 5.1 is a homomorphism with respect to product III .*

Proof. We only need prove for $\vec{s} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}^m$, $\vec{t} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}^p$,

$$\Delta_{\leq 0}([\vec{s}] \mathfrak{M} [\vec{t}]) = \Delta_{\leq 0}([\vec{s}]) \mathfrak{M} \Delta_{\leq 0}([\vec{t}])$$

which we prove by induction on $m + p$.

For $m + p = 2$, so $m = 1, p = 1$, let

$$Z_n = \{s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0} \mid s = -n\},$$

$$T = \{s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0} \mid \Delta_{\leq 0}([s] \mathfrak{M} [t]) = \Delta_{\leq 0}([s]) \mathfrak{M} \Delta_{\leq 0}([t])\}.$$

By definition, $\Delta_{\leq 0}([0] \mathfrak{M} [t]) = \Delta_{\leq 0}([0]) \mathfrak{M} \Delta_{\leq 0}([t])$, so $Z_0 \subset T$. Assume $Z_n \subset T$ for $n \geq 0$, then for $s \in Z_{n+1}$, by Lemma 5.3,

$$\begin{aligned} & \Delta_{\leq 0}([s] \mathfrak{M} [t]) \\ &= \Delta_{\leq 0}(J([s+1]) \mathfrak{M} [t]) = \Delta_{\leq 0}J([s+1] \mathfrak{M} [t]) - \Delta_{\leq 0}([s+1] \mathfrak{M} [t-1]) \\ &= (id \otimes J + J \otimes id) \Delta_{\leq 0}([s+1] \mathfrak{M} [t]) - \Delta_{\leq 0}([s+1]) \mathfrak{M} \Delta_{\leq 0}([t-1]) \\ &= (id \otimes J + J \otimes id) (\Delta_{\leq 0}([s+1]) \mathfrak{M} \Delta_{\leq 0}([t])) - \Delta_{\leq 0}([s+1]) \mathfrak{M} \Delta_{\leq 0}([t-1]) \\ &= ((id \otimes J + J \otimes id) \Delta_{\leq 0}([s+1])) \mathfrak{M} \Delta_{\leq 0}([t]) \\ &\quad + \Delta_{\leq 0}([s+1]) \mathfrak{M} ((id \otimes J + J \otimes id) \Delta_{\leq 0}([t])) \\ &\quad - \Delta_{\leq 0}([s+1]) \mathfrak{M} \Delta_{\leq 0}([t-1]) \\ &= \Delta_{\leq 0}([s]) \mathfrak{M} \Delta_{\leq 0}([t]), \end{aligned}$$

so $Z_{n+1} \subset T$, so the conclusion hold in this case.

Assume the conclusion is true for $m + p = k$, then for $m + p = k + 1$, for $(s_1, \vec{s}') \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}^m$, $\vec{t} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}^p$, let

$$Z_n = \{s_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0} \mid s_1 = -n\},$$

$$T = \{s_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0} \mid \Delta_{\leq 0}([s_1, \vec{s}'] \mathfrak{M} [\vec{t}]) = \Delta_{\leq 0}([s_1, \vec{s}']) \mathfrak{M} \Delta_{\leq 0}([\vec{t}])\}.$$

By a similar argument as the case $m = p = 1$, we have the conclusion in this case. Hence $\Delta_{\leq 0}$ is an algebra homomorphism w.r.t \mathfrak{M} . \square

Since $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}}$ is a graded space,

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}} = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \mathbb{Q}G_k,$$

with $G_0 = \{\mathbf{1}\}$, $G_k = \{(s_1, \dots, s_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}^n \mid n - (s_1 + \dots + s_n) = k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}$. Let $\varepsilon_{\leq 0} := \mathbf{1}^* : \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$, then then

Proposition 5.5. $(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}}, \mathfrak{M}, \mathbf{1}, \Delta_{\leq 0}, \varepsilon_{\leq 0})$ is a graded bialgebra, thus a graded Hopf algebra.

Proof.

$$\Delta_{\leq 0}(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} \in G_0 \otimes G_0,$$

assume $\Delta_{\leq 0}(G_k) \subset \bigoplus_{i+j=k} \mathbb{Q}(G_i \otimes G_j)$, then for $[s_1, \dots, s_m] \in G_{k+1}$, where $m - (s_1 + \dots + s_m) = k + 1$,

- $s_1 < 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\leq 0}([s_1, \dots, s_m]) &= \Delta_{\leq 0}J([s_1 + 1, \dots, s_m]) \\ &= (id \otimes J + J \otimes id)\Delta_{\leq 0}([s_1 + 1, \dots, s_m]) \end{aligned}$$

$[s_1 + 1, \dots, s_m] \in G_k$, by hypothesis,

$$\Delta_{\leq 0}([s_1 + 1, \dots, s_m]) \in \bigoplus_{i+j=k} \mathbb{Q}(G_i \otimes G_j),$$

then

$$(id \otimes J + J \otimes id)\Delta_{\leq 0}([s_1 + 1, \dots, s_m]) \in \bigoplus_{i+j=k+1} \mathbb{Q}(G_i \otimes G_j).$$

- $s_1 = 0$,

$$\Delta_{\leq 0}([0, s_2, \dots, s_m]) = \Delta_{\leq 0}([0])\mathfrak{m}\Delta_{\leq 0}([s_2, \dots, s_m]),$$

where $[s_2, \dots, s_m] \in G_k$, by hypothesis,

$$\Delta_{\leq 0}([s_2, \dots, s_m]) \in \bigoplus_{i+j=k} \mathbb{Q}(G_i \otimes G_j),$$

$$\Delta_{\leq 0}([0]) = \mathbf{1} \otimes [0] + [0] \otimes \mathbf{1} \in (G_0 \otimes G_1 \oplus G_1 \otimes G_0),$$

so

$$\Delta_{\leq 0}([0])\mathfrak{m}\Delta_{\leq 0}([s_2, \dots, s_m]) \in \bigoplus_{i+j=k+1} \mathbb{Q}(G_i \otimes G_j).$$

For basis element $[\vec{s}] \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}}$,

$$(\varepsilon_{\leq 0} \otimes id)\Delta_{\leq 0}([\vec{s}]) = \varepsilon_{\leq 0}(\mathbf{1})[\vec{s}] = [\vec{s}],$$

$$(id \otimes \varepsilon_{\leq 0})\Delta_{\leq 0}([\vec{s}]) = [\vec{s}]\varepsilon_{\leq 0}(\mathbf{1}) = [\vec{s}],$$

whence $\varepsilon_{\leq 0}$ is counit. By Lemma 5.4, $(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}}, \mathfrak{m}, \Delta_{\leq 0})$ is a graded bialgebra. Then by Proposition 2.3, $(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}}, \mathfrak{m}, \mathbf{1}, \Delta_{\leq 0}, \varepsilon_{\leq 0})$ is a graded Hopf algebra. □

Since $(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}}, \mathfrak{m}, \mathbf{1}, \Delta_{\leq 0}, \varepsilon_{\leq 0})$ is a graded bialgebra, its graded dual $(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}}^*, \Delta_{\leq 0}^*, \varepsilon_{\leq 0}^*, \mathfrak{m}^*, \mathbf{1})$ is also a graded bialgebra, where $\mathbf{1}$ is the unit in $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}}^*$, viewed as a linear function $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$.

5.2. Induced operators. The Riemann dual map $\varphi : \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}}^*$ induces a linear map $\varphi^* : \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}^*$. It is easy to check that

Lemma 5.6. *For the linear map $\varphi^* : \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}^*$,*

$$\varphi^*(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{1}^*,$$

$$\varphi^*([s_1, \dots, s_k]) = [1 - s_1, \dots, 1 - s_k]^*.$$

The linear operator $J_{\geq 1} : \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}$ induces a linear operator $J_{\geq 1}^* : \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}^*$:

$$J_{\geq 1}^*(x^*)(y) = x^*(J_{\geq 1}(y)),$$

for $x^* \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}^*$, $y \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}$.

Lemma 5.7. *For the linear operator $J_{\geq 1}^* : \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}^*$,*

$$J_{\geq 1}^*(\mathbf{1}^*) = 0;$$

$$J_{\geq 1}^*([s_1, \vec{s}^*]) = [s_1 + 1, \vec{s}^*].$$

Proof. By definition, $J_{\geq 1}^*(\mathbf{1}^*)(y) = \mathbf{1}^*(J_{\geq 1}(y))$,

- $y = \mathbf{1}$, $J_{\geq 1}^*(\mathbf{1}^*)(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{1}^*(J_{\geq 1}(\mathbf{1})) = \mathbf{1}^*(0) = 0$;
- $y = [\vec{t}]$, $J_{\geq 1}^*(\mathbf{1}^*)(y) = \mathbf{1}^*(J_{\geq 1}([\vec{t}])) = 0$.

So $J_{\geq 1}^*(\mathbf{1}^*) = 0$. In general, for a basis element $[\vec{s}^*]$ of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}^*$, and a basis element $[\vec{t}]$ of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}$,

$$J_{\geq 1}^*([\vec{s}^*])([\vec{t}]) = [\vec{s}^*](J_{\geq 1}([\vec{t}])) = \begin{cases} 1, & [\vec{t}] = I([\vec{s}^*]); \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

So

$$J_{\geq 1}^*([s_1, \vec{s}^*]) = [s_1 + 1, \vec{s}^*].$$

□

Lemma 5.8. *As operators from $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}}$ to $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}^*$,*

$$J_{\geq 1}^* \circ \varphi^* = \varphi^* \circ J.$$

Proof. The equality is obvious when applying to the basis element $\mathbf{1}$. For a basis element $[s_1, \dots, s_k]$ of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}}$,

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\geq 1}^* \circ \varphi^*([s_1, \dots, s_k]) &= J_{\geq 1}^*([1 - s_1, \dots, 1 - s_k]^*) \\ &= [2 - s_1, 1 - s_2, \dots, 1 - s_k]^* = \varphi^*([s_1 - 1, s_2, \dots, s_k]) \\ &= \varphi^* \circ J([s_1, \dots, s_k]). \end{aligned}$$

□

For the graded algebra $(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}, \mathfrak{m})$, the shuffle product $\mathfrak{m} : \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}} \times \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}$ induces a coassociative coproduct $\mathfrak{m}^* : \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}^* \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}^* \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}^*$, which makes $(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}^*, \mathfrak{m}^*)$ a graded coalgebra.

Example 5.9. *By direct calculation,*

- $\mathfrak{m}^*(\mathbf{1}^*) = \mathbf{1}^* \otimes \mathbf{1}^*$,
- $\mathfrak{m}^*([1]^*) = \mathbf{1}^* \otimes [1]^* + [1]^* \otimes \mathbf{1}^*$.

The operators \mathfrak{m}^* and $J_{\geq 1}^*$ commute in the following sense:

Lemma 5.10. $(id \otimes J_{\geq 1}^* + J_{\geq 1}^* \otimes id)\mathfrak{m}^* = \mathfrak{m}^*J_{\geq 1}^*$.

Proof. For the basis element $\mathbf{1}^*$,

$$(id \otimes J_{\geq 1}^* + J_{\geq 1}^* \otimes id)\mathfrak{m}^*(\mathbf{1}^*) = 0 = \mathfrak{m}^*J_{\geq 1}^*(\mathbf{1}^*),$$

so the equality holds.

For a basis element $[\vec{s}]^*$ of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}^*$, let

$$\mathfrak{m}^*([\vec{s}]^*) = \mathbf{1}^* \otimes [\vec{s}]^* + [\vec{s}]^* \otimes \mathbf{1}^* + \sum a_{[\vec{u}], [\vec{v}]} [\vec{u}]^* \otimes [\vec{v}]^*,$$

with only finitely many $a_{[\vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}$ not 0, then

$$\begin{aligned} & (id \otimes J_{\geq 1}^* + J_{\geq 1}^* \otimes id)\mathfrak{m}^*([\vec{s}]^*) \\ &= \mathbf{1}^* \otimes J_{\geq 1}^*([\vec{s}]^*) + J_{\geq 1}^*([\vec{s}]^* \otimes \mathbf{1}^* \\ & \quad + \sum (a_{[\vec{u}], [\vec{v}]} J_{\geq 1}^*([\vec{u}]^*) \otimes [\vec{v}]^* + a_{[\vec{u}], [\vec{v}]} [\vec{u}]^* \otimes J_{\geq 1}^*([\vec{v}]^*)). \end{aligned}$$

So for a basis element $[\vec{x}]$ of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & ((id \otimes J_{\geq 1}^* + J_{\geq 1}^* \otimes id)\mathfrak{m}^*([\vec{s}]^*))(\mathbf{1} \otimes [\vec{x}]) \\ &= \begin{cases} 1, & [\vec{x}] = I([\vec{s}]); \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= ((id \otimes J_{\geq 1}^* + J_{\geq 1}^* \otimes id)\mathfrak{m}^*([\vec{s}]^*))([\vec{x}] \otimes \mathbf{1}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(\mathfrak{m}^*J_{\geq 1}^*([\vec{s}]^*))(\mathbf{1} \otimes [\vec{x}]) = (\mathfrak{m}^*J_{\geq 1}^*([\vec{s}]^*))([\vec{x}] \otimes \mathbf{1}) = \begin{cases} 1, & [\vec{x}] = I([\vec{s}]); \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

and for basis elements $[\vec{x}]$, $[\vec{y}]$ of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}$, let $\vec{x} = (x_1, \vec{x}')$, $\vec{y} = (y_1, \vec{y}')$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & ((id \otimes J_{\geq 1}^* + J_{\geq 1}^* \otimes id)\mathfrak{m}^*([\vec{s}]^*))([\vec{x}] \otimes [\vec{y}]) \\ &= \begin{cases} a_{J_{\geq 1}([\vec{x}], [\vec{y}]} + a_{[\vec{x}], J_{\geq 1}([\vec{y}])}, & x_1 > 1, y_1 > 1, \\ a_{J_{\geq 1}([\vec{x}], [\vec{y}]}}, & x_1 > 1, y_1 = 1, \\ a_{[\vec{x}], J_{\geq 1}([\vec{y}])}, & x_1 = 1, y_1 > 1, \\ 0, & x_1 = 1, y_1 = 1, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\mathfrak{m}^* J_{\geq 1}^*([\vec{s}^*])([\vec{x}] \otimes [\vec{y}]) = (J_{\geq 1}^*([\vec{s}^*])([\vec{x}] \mathfrak{m}[\vec{y}]) = [\vec{s}^*]^*(J_{\geq 1}([\vec{x}] \mathfrak{m}[\vec{y}])) \\
 & = [\vec{s}^*]^*(J_{\geq 1}([\vec{x}]) \mathfrak{m}[\vec{y}] + [\vec{x}] \mathfrak{m} J_{\geq 1}([\vec{y}])) \\
 & = (\mathfrak{m}^*([\vec{s}^*])(J_{\geq 1}([\vec{x}]) \otimes [\vec{y}] + [\vec{x}] \otimes J_{\geq 1}([\vec{y}])) \\
 & = \begin{cases} a_{J_{\geq 1}([\vec{x}], [\vec{y}]} + a_{[\vec{x}], J_{\geq 1}([\vec{y}])}, & x_1 > 1, y_1 > 1, \\ a_{J_{\geq 1}([\vec{x}], [\vec{y}])}, & x_1 > 1, y_1 = 1, \\ a_{[\vec{x}], J_{\geq 1}([\vec{y}])}, & x_1 = 1, y_1 > 1, \\ 0, & x_1 = 1, y_1 = 1, \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

so for a basis element $[\vec{s}^*]^*$ of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}^*$,

$$(id \otimes J_{\geq 1}^* + J_{\geq 1}^* \otimes id) \mathfrak{m}^*([\vec{s}^*]^*) = 0 = \mathfrak{m}^* J_{\geq 1}^*([\vec{s}^*]^*),$$

we have the conclusion. \square

Lemma 5.11. *For basis element $[\vec{s}] \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}}$, let*

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathfrak{m}^*([\vec{1} - \vec{s}^*]) & = \sum A_{[\vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[\vec{1} - \vec{s}]} [\vec{u}]^* \otimes [\vec{v}]^* + \mathbf{1}^* \otimes [\vec{1} - \vec{s}^*] + [\vec{1} - \vec{s}^*] \otimes \mathbf{1}^*, \\
 \mathfrak{m}^*([1, \vec{1} - \vec{s}^*]) & = \sum A_{[\vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[1, \vec{1} - \vec{s}]} [\vec{u}]^* \otimes [\vec{v}]^* + \mathbf{1}^* \otimes [1, \vec{1} - \vec{s}^*] + [1, \vec{1} - \vec{s}^*] \otimes \mathbf{1}^*.
 \end{aligned}$$

then

$$A_{[\vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[1, \vec{1} - \vec{s}]} = \begin{cases} 0, & u_1 > 1, v_1 > 1; \\ A_{[\vec{u}'], [\vec{v}]}^{[\vec{1} - \vec{s}]}, & u_1 = 1, v_1 > 1; \\ A_{[\vec{u}], [\vec{v}']}^{[\vec{1} - \vec{s}]}, & u_1 > 1, v_1 = 1; \\ A_{[\vec{u}'], [1, \vec{v}']}^{[\vec{1} - \vec{s}]} + A_{[1, \vec{u}'], [\vec{v}]}^{[\vec{1} - \vec{s}]}, & u_1 = v_1 = 1. \end{cases}$$

with $\vec{u} = (u_1, \vec{u}')$, $\vec{v} = (v_1, \vec{v}')$.

Proof. Since $\mathfrak{m}^*([1, \vec{1} - \vec{s}^*]) \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}^* \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}^*$, for $[x_1, \vec{x}]$, $[y_1, \vec{y}] \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}$, by Lemma 2.2,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\mathfrak{m}^*([1, \vec{1} - \vec{s}^*])([x_1, \vec{x}] \otimes [y_1, \vec{y}]) = [1, \vec{1} - \vec{s}^*]^*([x_1, \vec{x}] \mathfrak{m}[y_1, \vec{y}]) \\
 & = [1, \vec{1} - \vec{s}^*]^* \left(\sum_{i=0}^{y_1-1} C_{x_1-1+i}^i I^{x_1+i}([0, \vec{x} \mathfrak{m}[y_1 - i, \vec{y}]])) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + [1, \vec{1} - \vec{s}^*]^* \left(\sum_{i=0}^{x_1-1} C_{y_1-1+i}^i I^{y_1+i}([0, [x_1 - i, \vec{x}] \mathfrak{m} \vec{y}]) \right) \right) \\
 & = \begin{cases} 0, & x_1 > 1, y_1 > 1; \\ A_{[\vec{x}], [y_1, \vec{y}]}^{[\vec{1} - \vec{s}]}, & x_1 = 1, y_1 > 1; \\ A_{[x_1, \vec{x}], [\vec{y}]}^{[\vec{1} - \vec{s}]}, & x_1 > 1, y_1 = 1; \\ A_{[\vec{x}], [1, \vec{y}]}^{[\vec{1} - \vec{s}]} + A_{[1, \vec{x}], [\vec{y}]}^{[\vec{1} - \vec{s}]}, & x_1 = 1, y_1 = 1. \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$(\mathfrak{m}^*([1, \vec{1} - \vec{s}^*]))([x_1, \vec{x}] \otimes [y_1, \vec{y}]) = A_{[x_1, \vec{x}], [y_1, \vec{y}]}^{[1, \vec{1} - \vec{s}^*]},$$

so by a change of variables, we have the conclusion. \square

5.3. Induced coproduct. The Riemann dual map $\varphi : \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}}^*$, which is a graded vector space isomorphism, induces a dual isomorphism $\varphi^* : \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}^*$, let $\psi = (\varphi^*)^{-1} : \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}}$. Now we define a linear map from $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}}$ to $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}}$ by

$$(21) \quad \tilde{\Delta}_{\leq 0} := (\psi \otimes \psi)_{\mathfrak{m}^*} \varphi^* : \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}}.$$

Proposition 5.12. *The linear map $\tilde{\Delta}_{\leq 0}$ satisfies conditions*

- $\tilde{\Delta}_{\leq 0}(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1}$;
- $\tilde{\Delta}_{\leq 0}([0]) = \mathbf{1} \otimes [0] + [0] \otimes \mathbf{1}$;
- $\tilde{\Delta}_{\leq 0}J = (id \otimes J + J \otimes id)\tilde{\Delta}_{\leq 0}$;
- $\tilde{\Delta}_{\leq 0}([0, \vec{s}]) = \tilde{\Delta}_{\leq 0}([0])_{\mathfrak{m}^*} \tilde{\Delta}_{\leq 0}([\vec{s}])$ for $\vec{s} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}^\ell$ ($\ell \geq 0$).

Proof. We check the equalities one by one. By definition,

$$\tilde{\Delta}_{\leq 0}(\mathbf{1}) = (\psi \otimes \psi)_{\mathfrak{m}^*} \varphi^*(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Delta}_{\leq 0}([0]) &= (\psi \otimes \psi)_{\mathfrak{m}^*} \varphi^*([0]) = (\psi \otimes \psi)_{\mathfrak{m}^*}([1]^*) \\ &= (\psi \otimes \psi)(\mathbf{1}^* \otimes [1]^* + [1]^* \otimes \mathbf{1}^*) = \mathbf{1} \otimes [0] + [0] \otimes \mathbf{1}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemmas 5.8 and 5.10,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Delta}_{\leq 0}J &= (\psi \otimes \psi)_{\mathfrak{m}^*} \varphi^*J = (\psi \otimes \psi)_{\mathfrak{m}^*} J_{\geq 1}^* \varphi^* \\ &= (\psi \otimes \psi)(id \otimes J_{\geq 1}^* + J_{\geq 1}^* \otimes id)_{\mathfrak{m}^*} \varphi^* \\ &= (id \otimes J + J \otimes id)(\psi \otimes \psi)_{\mathfrak{m}^*} \varphi^* = (id \otimes J + J \otimes id)\tilde{\Delta}_{\leq 0}. \end{aligned}$$

To study the relation between $\tilde{\Delta}_{\leq 0}([0, \vec{s}])$ and $\tilde{\Delta}_{\leq 0}([\vec{s}])$, let

$$\tilde{\Delta}_{\leq 0}([\vec{s}]) = \sum a_{[\vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[\vec{s}]} [\vec{u}] \otimes [\vec{v}] + \mathbf{1} \otimes [\vec{s}] + [\vec{s}] \otimes \mathbf{1},$$

and

$$\tilde{\Delta}_{\leq 0}([0, \vec{s}]) = \sum a_{[\vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[0, \vec{s}]} [\vec{u}] \otimes [\vec{v}] + \mathbf{1} \otimes [0, \vec{s}] + [0, \vec{s}] \otimes \mathbf{1}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\tilde{\Delta}_{\leq 0}([0])_{\mathfrak{m}^*} \tilde{\Delta}_{\leq 0}([\vec{s}]) \\ &= (\mathbf{1} \otimes [0] + [0] \otimes \mathbf{1})_{\mathfrak{m}^*} \left(\sum a_{[\vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[\vec{s}]} [\vec{u}] \otimes [\vec{v}] + \mathbf{1} \otimes [\vec{s}] + [\vec{s}] \otimes \mathbf{1} \right) \\ &= \sum a_{[\vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[\vec{s}]} [\vec{u}] \otimes [0, \vec{v}] + \sum a_{[\vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[\vec{s}]} [0, \vec{u}] \otimes [\vec{v}] + \mathbf{1} \otimes [0, \vec{s}] \\ &\quad + [0] \otimes [\vec{s}] + [\vec{s}] \otimes [0] + [0, \vec{s}] \otimes \mathbf{1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\tilde{\Delta}_{\leq 0}([0, \vec{s}]) = (\psi \otimes \psi)(\text{III}^*([1, \vec{1} - \vec{s}]^*))$, by Lemma 5.11, so

$$a_{[\vec{u}], [\vec{v}]}^{[0, \vec{s}]} = A_{\varphi([\vec{u}], \varphi([\vec{v}])}^{[1, \vec{1} - \vec{s}]}.$$

Hence

$$a_{[u_1, \vec{u}'], [v_1, \vec{v}']}^{[0, \vec{s}]} = \begin{cases} 0, & u_1 < 0, v_1 < 0 \\ a_{[\vec{u}'], [v_1, \vec{v}']}^{[\vec{s}]}, & u_1 = 0, v_1 < 0 \\ a_{[u_1, \vec{u}'], [\vec{v}']}^{[\vec{s}]}, & u_1 < 0, v_1 = 0 \\ a_{[\vec{u}'], [0, \vec{v}']}^{[\vec{s}]} + a_{[0, \vec{u}'], [\vec{v}']}^{[\vec{s}]}, & u_1 = 0, v_1 = 0 \end{cases}$$

with $\vec{u} = (u_1, \vec{u}')$, $\vec{v} = (v_1, \vec{v}')$, and since $a_{[0], [\vec{s}]}^{[0, \vec{s}]} = a_{\mathbf{1}, [\vec{s}]}^{[\vec{s}]} = 1$, $a_{[\vec{s}], [0]}^{[0, \vec{s}]} = a_{[\vec{s}], \mathbf{1}}^{[\vec{s}]} = 1$, so

$$\tilde{\Delta}_{\leq 0}([0, \vec{s}]) = \tilde{\Delta}_{\leq 0}([0]) \text{III} \tilde{\Delta}_{\leq 0}([\vec{s}]).$$

□

By Proposition 5.1,

Corollary 5.13. *As linear maps from $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{<0}}$ to $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{<0}} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{<0}}$,*

$$\Delta_{\leq 0} = \tilde{\Delta}_{\leq 0},$$

which means

$$\varphi^* : \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{<0}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}^*$$

is a graded coalgebra isomorphism.

Theorem 5.14. *The Riemann dual map φ gives a duality between Hopf algebras $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{<0}}$.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{<0}}$ is a graded Hopf algebra, so is its graded dual $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{<0}}^*$, this result is equivalent to $\varphi : \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{<0}}^*$ is a Hopf algebra isomorphism.

By Corollary 4.9, we know φ is a homomorphism for coalgebras $\Delta_{\geq 1}$ on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}$, and Corollary 5.13 means φ is also an algebra isomorphism, and it is obvious that φ preserves unit and counit. So φ is a graded bialgebra isomorphism, by Proposition 2.3, thus it is a graded Hopf algebra isomorphism. □

Acknowledgments. This research is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (12471062).

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Akiyama, S. Egami and Y. Tanigawa, Analytic continuation of multiple zeta-functions and their values at non-positive integers, *Acta Arith.* **98** (2001), 107–116.
- [2] J. M. Borwein, D. J. Broadhurst, D. M. Bradley, and P. Lisonek, Special values of multiple polylogarithms, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, (2001), no. 3, 907-941. math. CA/ 9910045.
- [3] D.J. Broadhurst D. Kreimer, Association of multiple zeta values with positive knots via Feynman diagrams up to 9 loops, *Phys. Lett. B*, **393**, (1997), no. 3-4, 403-412.
- [4] D. Manchon, Renormalization in connected graded Hopf algebras: an introduction, Clay Math. Proc., 12 American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2010, 73–95.
- [5] H. Furusho, Y. Komori, K. Matsumoto, H. Tsumura: Desingularization of complex multiple zeta-functions, *Amer. J. Math.* 139 (2017), no. 1, 147–173.
- [6] A. G. Goncharov, Periods and mixed motives, preprint: Feb. 2002, math.AG/ 0202154.
- [7] A. Goncharov and Y. Manin, Multiple ζ -motives and moduli spaces $M_{0,n}$, *Comp. Math.* **140** (2004), 1-14.
- [8] L. Guo, B. Zhang, Polylogarithms and multiple zeta values from free Rota-Baxter algebras. *Sci. China Math.* 53 (2010), no. 9, 2239-2258
- [9] L. Guo, B. Zhang, Renormalization of multiple zeta values, *J. Algebra* **319** (2008), 3770-3809.
- [10] M. E. Hoffman, Algebraic aspects of multiple zeta values, in "Zeta Functions, Topology and Quantum Physics" T. Aoki et. al. (Eds.), Springer, (2005), 51-73, arXiv: math.QA/0309425
- [11] W. Hu, H. Xiang, B. Zhang, Shuffle Hopf algebra of Multiple zeta values, arxiv preprint arXiv:2401.01372(2023).
- [12] K. Ihara, M. Kaneko and D. Zagier, Derivation and double shuffle relations for multiple zeta values.(English summary) *Compos. Math.*, **142**(2006), no.2, 307-338.
- [13] C. Kassel, Quantum groups, GTM 155, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
- [14] D. Kreimer, Knots and Feynman Diagrams,Cambridge Lecture Notes in Physics, **13**. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (2000). xii+259 pp.
- [15] T. Terasoma, Mixed Tate motives and multiple zeta values, *Invent. Math.*, **149**, (2002), no.2, 339-369. math. AG/ 0104231
- [16] H. Xiang, B. Zhang, Extended shuffle product for multiple zeta values, arXiv:2411.08536
- [17] D. Zagier, Values of zeta functions and their applications, First European Congress of Mathematics, Vol. II(Pairs, 1992), 497-512, *Progr. Math.*, **120**, Birkhuser, Basel, 1994.
- [18] J. Zhao, Analytic continuation of multiple zeta functions. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **128** (2000), 1275-1283.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, SICHUAN UNIVERSITY, CHENGDU, 610064, P. R.
CHINA

Email address: mathxhy@aliyun.com

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, SICHUAN UNIVERSITY, CHENGDU, 610064, P. R.
CHINA

Email address: zhangbin@scu.edu.cn