

Aging behavior of the scale-free network

Ryuhō Sekikawa and Hiroshi Watanabe*

Department of Applied Physics and Physico-Informatics, Faculty of Science and Technology, Keio University, Yokohama 223-8522, Japan

The number of users on social media continues to increase during the growth phase. Still, eventually, the number of new users balances out the number of departing users, and the number of users becomes almost constant. To investigate how network properties change under these conditions, we study the aging behavior of the network. We first prepared the Bianconi-Barabási model as the initial network and observed the time evolution of its properties by repeatedly deleting and adding nodes. We defined α as the node deletion parameter and β as the node addition parameter. The larger α yields, the higher the probability of deleting a node with a larger degree, and the larger β yields, the higher the probability of connecting to a node with a larger degree and fitness. We found that the network cannot reach a steady state in some parameter regions. We investigated the degree distribution of the network that reached a steady state. The scale-free nature of the network broke down in regions where α is large and β is small, and the degree distribution became exponential. We also performed percolation analyses. While the phase transition point was zero in the scale-free network, the phase transition point changed finitely in regions with large α and small β . The phase diagram of whether the degree distribution is power-law or exponential and whether the phase transition point of percolation is zero or finite exhibits a good agreement between the phase boundaries. This study is expected to help control network structure in mature networks.

1. Introduction

Network structures appear ubiquitously in the systems we see.¹⁾ A network is defined by its components (nodes) and the interactions between them (edges). Networks in the real world are generally complex, but they are not random networks and have some universal properties. One of such properties is the small-worldness.²⁾ A small-world network is one in which a relatively short path connects any given network node. It is known that networks in the real

*hwatanabe@appi.keio.ac.jp

world are often also scale-free networks.³⁾ Scale-free networks have the property that a few nodes are connected to many other nodes. In contrast, many nodes have only a few links, and the degree distribution asymptotically follows a power law. Various networks found in reality, such as the World Wide Web and metabolic networks, are known to be scale-free networks.⁴⁻⁷⁾ Many methods have been studied to construct networks that can reproduce the properties of such networks found in reality. The Watts-Strogatz (WS) model is one such algorithm to generate a network. Although the WS model successfully reproduces a small-world nature, the network constructed with this algorithm is not scale-free. The Barabási Albert (BA) model based on preferential selection growth is widely known as an algorithm for generating scale-free networks.³⁾ In the BA model, the network grows from a small number of initial nodes, and at each time step, new nodes with m links are added to the network. These links are connected to existing nodes with probability proportional to the degree of the existing nodes. This preferential growth is a key to generating a scale-free network. As its extension of the BA model, the Bianconi-Barabási (BB) model was proposed.⁸⁾ In the BB model, each node is assigned a value called fitness. A new node is connected to an existing node with a probability proportional to the degree and fitness product. Therefore, nodes with a higher fitness are connected to more nodes with a higher probability. In the BA model, nodes added later cannot acquire more links than those already in the network. However, in the BB model, nodes added later may also increase the possibility of gaining more links than the nodes already existing in the network with the introduction of the fitness parameter.

These network generation models focus on how networks grow. On the other hand, as time passes after the network is constructed, nodes and edges may lose their activity. This effect is called aging.⁹⁾ The impact of aging on the network has been actively investigated. Dorogovtsev and Mendes studied the evolution of networks with site aging, where the probability of connecting to older nodes decreases as $t^{-\alpha}$, where t is the age of the network.¹⁰⁾ They found that the shape of the degree distribution depends on the parameter α . When $\alpha < 1$, the degree distribution follows the power-law, i.e., the network is scale-free, but the degree distribution becomes exponential for $\alpha > 1$. Zhu et al. studied the effect of aging on network structures by introducing aging factors like exponential and power-law decay into evolving models.¹¹⁾ Aging changed network properties significantly, such as clustering, hierarchy, degree correlation, and average node distance, often leading to structural transformations.

These studies investigated changes over time when the same node is on the same network for an extended period of time. However, social media platforms change over time as old users leave and new users enter. This change can be viewed as the network changing over

time as nodes are replaced, although the nature of the nodes remains the same. For example, the number of users on Facebook is still increasing,¹²⁾ but the total number of users on X (Twitter) has stopped growing since around 2022,¹³⁾ suggesting that the number of new users and users leaving the site are roughly balanced. In such a mature service, it is more appropriate to treat it as a dynamic state where the number of new and leaving users are balanced, and the total number of users is almost constant, rather than a non-steady state such as a growing process. This process can be modeled as a state in which nodes are repeatedly added and deleted in a network once constructed. In general, networks exhibit scale-free characteristics, but there is no knowledge of what kind of network will be formed when nodes are repeatedly added and deleted in a mature network. From the service management perspective, it is also important to know how to maintain or induce the desired network structure by adding and deleting nodes appropriately. To investigate the properties of matured networks, we studied the aging process of the network itself instead of the aging processes of nodes. We defined two tunable parameters, addition, and deletion, and investigated the structure of the mature network obtained by repeatedly adding and deleting nodes according to these parameters. We have known the properties of a network that has reached a steady state due to repeated node additions and deletions, with X (Twitter) in mind. Analysis of how word-of-mouth information is transmitted in social media is also important.¹⁴⁾ Therefore, we also performed a percolation analysis to examine how information diffuses across mature networks.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we describe the method. The results are described in Sec. 3. Section 4 is devoted to the summary and discussion.

2. Method

2.1 Construction and aging of networks

We adopt the Bianconi-Barabási (BB) model as the initial network.⁸⁾ The construction of the BB model is illustrated in Fig. 1. First, we prepare the perfect network of size m in which the nodes are fully connected. The degree of node i is denoted by k_i , which is the number of connected nodes to the node i . Each node is assigned a value called the fitness. The fitness of the node i is denoted by η_i . We add a new node j with m edges and a fitness η_j to the network. The probability Π_i to connect an existing node i and the new node j is given by

$$\Pi_i = \frac{\eta_i k_i}{\sum_j \eta_j k_j}. \quad (1)$$

As indicated in the above equation, the node with higher fitness has a higher chance of acquiring new edges. The adding step is repeated until the number of nodes reaches N . Finally,

we obtain a scale-free network with N nodes.

After the initial network is constructed, we repeatedly delete and add nodes to represent the aging process of the network (Fig. 2). This study considers the deleting parameter α and adding parameter β . A node to be deleted is chosen in proportion to k_i^α . When α is large, the node with more degree is more likely to be removed. If this removal results in isolated nodes with no edges, they are also deleted. After the node deletion, we add a new node j with fitness η_j . The new node is connected to m existing nodes. The probability of connecting a node i and j is given by

$$\Pi_i = \frac{(\eta_j k_j)^\beta}{\sum_j (\eta_j k_j)^\beta}, \quad (2)$$

where β is the adding parameter. This algorithm is reduced to the BB algorithm for $\beta = 1$. This adding process is repeated until the total number of nodes reaches N .

We repeat the deleting and adding processes until the network reaches a steady state. A mature network is then considered a mature network, and we investigate its properties. Throughout this study, we chose $m = 4$ and $N = 10000$.




Fig. 1. Schematic illustration of the construction of the initial network. We adopt the BB model as the initial network. (1) We first prepare the complete graph with m vertices. (2) Then, we add a node with m vertices. The edge connecting the newly added node to the existing node is chosen in proportion to the product of the number of edges and the fitness of the existing node.

2.2 Percolation analysis

On social media, the diffusion of information among users, so-called word of mouth, is critical. While users with many followers are called influencers, and their statements greatly influence the networks, the influence of “ordinary influencers” who do not have a huge number of followers cannot be ignored.¹⁴⁾ How such word-of-mouth information diffuses on social media is expected to strongly depend on the distribution of the number of users’ followers, i.e., the network’s degree distribution. Therefore, we performed a percolation analysis to investigate how information diffuses on the matured network. The percolation analysis is a




Fig. 2. Schematic illustration of the aging process after constructing the initial network. (1) Removing process. Delete a node i with a probability proportional to k_i^α for its degree k_i . If this deletion results in the appearance of isolated nodes, those nodes are also deleted. (2) Adding process. Add nodes in a process similar to the BB model until the total number of nodes reaches N . Here, the probability of adding a node is modified by the parameter β . This process of deletion and addition is repeated until the network reaches a steady state.

method used to study propagation and robustness within networks.^{15,16)} In particular, complex networks such as scale-free networks are characterized by distinctive critical phases in bond percolation.^{17,18)}

On social media platforms, information is transmitted when one user posts information and another user forwards it to their followers in some way, for example, reposting on X (Twitter). In a network, nodes are users, edges connecting nodes are follower and followee relationships, and information is transmitted through edges so that the bond percolation can describe word-of-mouth in a network. Therefore, we considered the bond percolation as follows. First, each edge in the network is defined as active with probability p and inactive with probability $1 - p$. Note that we interpret probability p as the ease of conveying information, and therefore, unlike previous studies,¹⁹⁾ p is the activation probability rather than the delete probability.

The activation of an edge corresponds to the user reposting some user's posts to the user's followers. Nodes connected by an activated edge are defined to the same cluster. Here, the ratio of the largest cluster size to the entire network is denoted by P_∞ , and the behavior of the giant connected component as a function of p , and analyze how this changes depending on the parameters.

3. Results

3.1 Typical Configurations

The typical configurations of the networks are shown in Fig 3. The networks are visualized by using Cosmograph.²⁰⁾ As shown in Fig. 3 (a), in regions where both α and β are small, most nodes belong to a single giant component. On the other hand, when α is increased, as shown in Fig. 3 (b), there is a giant component at the center of the network, and most of the




Fig. 3. Typical configurations of the networks. The shape of the network varies significantly depending on the value of the deletion parameter α and the value of the addition parameter β . (a) When α is small and β is large. (b) When α and β are both large. (c) When α and β are both small. (d) When α is large, and β is small.

nodes belong to it, but there are small connected components scattered in the surrounding area. When β is increased, as depicted in Fig. 3 (c), although the giant component remains dominant, multiple connected components with non-negligible scales emerge. Furthermore, in regions where both α and β are large, as shown in Fig. 3 (d), most nodes are dispersed into small connected components, indicating a structural transition. In some cases, the networks did not reach their steady states, which will be discussed later.

3.2 Degree distribution

We observed the complementary cumulative distribution function (CCDF) of the degree distribution $P(k)$, where $P(k)$ is the fraction of nodes with k or fewer edges. The dependence




Fig. 4. (Color online) The deletion parameter α dependence of the degree distribution. The value of the addition parameter is fixed to be $\beta = 2.0$. (a) The log-log plots. The solid line is the eye guide of the exponential decay. (b) The semi-log plots. The solid line is the eye guide of the power-law decay. As the value of α increases, the degree distribution changes from a power-law distribution to an exponential distribution.




Fig. 5. (Color online) The addition parameter β dependence of the degree distribution. The value of the deletion parameter is fixed to be $\alpha = 1.5$. (a) The log-log plots. The solid line is the eye guide of the exponential decay. (b) The semi-log plots. The solid line is the eye guide of the power-law decay. As the value of β increases, the degree distribution changes from a power-law distribution to an exponential distribution.

of the degree distributions on the deleting parameter α for fixing the adding parameter β are shown in Fig. 4. When the value of the deleting parameter α is small, a degree distribution follows the power-law. However, the distribution deviates from the power-law distribution as α increases. Figure 4 (b) shows the semi-log plots of the degree distributions. This figure shows that the degree distribution follows an exponential distribution for a large deleting parameter α value. This behavior indicates that preferential removal of nodes with many edges destroys the scale-free nature of the degree distribution.

We also investigated the adding parameter dependence of the degree distribution by fixing the deleting parameter. The results are shown in Fig. 5. While the degree distribution follows a power-law distribution for large values of the adding parameter, it changed to an exponential distribution for small values. This behavior suggests that even when nodes are preferentially added to those that are not hubs, the scale-free nature of the network is disrupted.

3.3 Percolation analysis




Fig. 6. Parameter dependence of percolation probability behavior. (a) When the deletion parameter α is small and the addition parameter β is large, The percolation probability is always finite, which means that the critical point is zero. (b) When both α and β are large, the percolation probability is approximately proportional to p , suggesting a situation where most of the nodes are connected to one node, i.e., the shape of the network is star-shaped. (c) When both α and β are small, the percolation probability has two inflection points, although the phase transition point is zero. (d) When α is large, and β is small, the system exhibits phase transition at a finite value of p .

When both α and β are large, the network divides into a cluster resembling a star graph, where all other nodes are connected to a central hub node and many smaller clusters. In such

a network, percolation analysis of the largest cluster reveals that, due to the star-like structure, the percolation cluster size can be expressed as $c_{\max} \sim Np$. Indeed, the analysis confirms that c_{\max} varies linearly with p . Conversely, when α is large, and β is small, high-degree nodes are preferentially removed, leading to a tendency toward equalizing the degrees of all nodes. As a result, the network approaches a regular lattice structure, exhibiting two-dimensional percolation behavior. In contrast, when α is small, and β is large, nodes with high degrees dominate, resulting in a densely connected network. In this case, the percolation probability shows a sharp increase near $p = 0$ and converges to 1 as p increases.

3.4 Phase Diagram

The obtained phase diagram is shown in Fig. 7. This diagram classifies the characteristics of each network based on whether the degree distribution follows a power law and whether the percolation transition point is near $p = 0$. The classification of transition points is determined by whether the transition point exceeds the threshold $p = 0.2$. To determine whether the degree distribution is power-law or exponential, we observe the following value,

$$\kappa = \frac{\langle k \rangle}{\langle k^2 \rangle},$$

where $\langle k \rangle$ and $\langle k^2 \rangle$ are the first and the second moment of the degree distribution. If the distribution is the exponential distribution with the exponent λ , then $\kappa = 2/\lambda$. If the distribution follows the power-law, the value of κ becomes zero. Therefore, we can use this value κ to discriminate between exponential and power-law distributions. We adopt the threshold $\kappa = 0.1$, i.e., the network with $\kappa > 0.1$ was classified as exponential distribution.

The analysis reveals that the phase with a finite transition point corresponds to the phase where the degree distribution exhibits exponential decay. To understand this behavior, we adopt the Molloy–Reed criterion for the percolation on a network.²¹⁾ If a network is close to a tree, i.e., if there is little loop structure in the network, the conditions for the appearance of a giant component are given as follows.

$$\frac{\langle k^2 \rangle}{\langle k \rangle} > 2.$$

Suppose a degree distribution function of a network follows a power-law with an exponent γ , i.e., $P(k) \sim k^{1-\gamma}$. While the system has a non-zero transition point when $\gamma > 3$, the phase transition point becomes zero for $\gamma < 3$, and a giant component always exists in the system for any positive probability p .¹⁹⁾ Since we adopt the BB model as the initial network, the initial value of γ is 2.255. Therefore, the phase transition point will always be zero unless it

changes significantly from that value due to the aging processes.

On the other hand, suppose the degree distribution follows an exponential distribution with parameter λ , i.e., the CCDF of the degree distribution is $P(k) \sim \exp(-\lambda k)$. Since $\langle k \rangle = 1/\lambda$ and $\langle k^2 \rangle = 2/\lambda^2$, the Molloy–Reed criterion leads to $p_c \sim \lambda$, where p_c is the critical points where the system has a giant component. That is, the system always has a finite phase transition point. However, in regions with small values of λ , it is difficult to determine whether the phase transition point is finite or zero. In this study, we adopt the finite threshold of $p = 0.2$, so there are regions on the phase diagram where the phase transition point is determined to be zero, even in the case of an exponential distribution.

When the deleting parameter α is small, and the adding parameter β is large, the network does not reach a stable state (see Appendix A). The region where the networks did not get their steady state is shown as the area enclosed by the dotted line in Fig. 7. When the deleting parameter α is small, nodes with fewer edges are preferentially deleted. When the adding parameter β is large, newly added nodes are preferentially connected to nodes with more edges. Therefore, repeating this process will result in feedback that nodes with fewer edges will be removed, and nodes with more edges will have more and more edges. Thus, due to the rich-get-richer effect, a node with many edges acquires more and more edges, resulting in an ever-increasing degree variance. The relaxation time becomes extremely long, and the network does not reach its steady state.

4. Summary and Discussion

To investigate the network structure of a mature social media, we studied the aging processes of networks where nodes are continuously being deleted and added. We introduced two tunable parameters, α and β , to control deletions and additions of nodes. When the deleting parameter α is large, nodes with more edges are preferentially deleted. This represents a situation where users with many followers are more likely to leave the service. When the adding parameter β is large, newly added nodes are more likely to be connected to nodes with more edges and fitness. This represents a situation in which new users to the service are more likely to follow the more popular users. We found that the scale-free nature of the network was destroyed in areas where α is large and β is small. When one parameter was fixed, and the other parameter was varied, the degree distribution changed from a power-law distribution to an exponential distribution. This behavior is also seen in the aging process, where nodes lose activity over time.¹⁰⁾ In our study, however, node activity does not change over time, and the structure of the network itself changes over time by repeating the aging procedure with fixed




Fig. 7. (Color online) Phase diagram of the network. Those with power-law distributions are marked with crosses, those with exponential distributions are marked with circles, those with finite percolation phase transitions are marked with red, and those with zero percolation phase transitions are marked with blue. The regions where the degree distribution is power-law and the phase transition point is zero almost overlap. The networks did not reach their steady state in the area enclosed by the dotted line.

parameters. In this sense, we have investigated the aging process of the network itself, not the nodes or edges.

On social media, spreading word of mouth is also essential. We investigated the percolation transition to consider the diffusion of the matured networks. The parameter regions where the degree distribution is exponential, i.e., where the network was not scale-free and where the percolation transition point is finite, were almost overlapped. We performed an analysis based on the Molloy–Reed criterion and showed that the relationship between the percolation transition point and the degree distribution can be explained consistently. The percolation on the network represents how some post goes viral. The fact that the percolation transition point is finite means that the post does not diffuse at all unless the impact of the post exceeds a certain threshold. As soon as the threshold is exceeded, it diffuses explosively. On the other hand, if the percolation transition point is zero, the diffusion of information will be more moderate and will spread according to the impact of the post. Our research suggests that the aging of the network can control word-of-mouth diffusion. While it is difficult to control leaving users, the properties of the network of joining users can be controlled to some extent. Possible applications include, for example, estimating the value of the deletion parameter by examining the nature of users who leave, determining the desired value of the addition parameter from the phase diagram, and deciding how to connect new users with existing users.

One further issue is to examine the nature of social networks that have been in place long enough since launch to have reached a steady state and compare them to this study.

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank N. Ito and Y. Murase for fruitful discussions. This research was supported by JSPS KAKENHI, Grant No. JP21K11923, and in part by RIKEN R-CCS HPC Computational Science Internship Program interns (2023).

Appendix A: Time evolutions of aging processes

In this study, we have prepared a scale-free network as the initial state, followed by repeated addition and deletion of nodes to represent the aging processes of the network. To confirm whether a network reaches a steady state, we observed the time evolutions of the variance of the degrees distribution. Suppose the i -th node has $k_i(t)$ vertices at step t . The degrees variance $v(t)$ is defined by

$$v(t) \equiv \frac{1}{N} \sum_i (k_i(t) - \bar{k})^2,$$

where N is the total number of nodes and \bar{k} is the average degree, respectively. If the degree distribution exhibits a power-law decay with exponent γ , and in the limit where the number of nodes goes to infinity, the variance diverges when γ is less than 3. However, since we study finite systems, the variance remains at a finite value even when $\gamma < 3$. In this study, we adopt the BB model as the initial network and the value of γ is 2.255 which is less than 3. Therefore, the variance of the degree distribution in the BB model diverges in the limit of infinite number of nodes, but for $N = 10000$ the variance is a finite value of about 277. The variance changes from this value due to the aging process.

As shown in Fig. A·1, while the variances reach their steady value for most cases, the variance diverges for some values of the parameters. Especially, the variance increases. Especially, as shown in Figure Fig. A·1 (a), the variance continued to increase with the aging process in cases where the deleting parameter was small and the adding parameter was large. It is expected that if the aging process continues, it will eventually converge to some value, but this figure suggests that the relaxation time is very slow. This result suggests that in some parameter regions the network does not reach a steady state in a realistic number of steps. Otherwise, the variances relaxed to the values of their steady state, suggesting that the systems reached their steady state. We show the parameter sets for which the system did not have a steady state in the region enclosed by the dotted line in Fig. 7.

In the region where the deleting and adding parameters are negatively large, the relax-

ation of variance exhibited a significant slowing down in some parameter regions, as shown in Fig. A-1 (c). Even in that case, however, we confirmed that the system converges after sufficient aging steps and that the degree distribution and percolation probability change little during the convergence process. We, therefore, observed the degree distribution and percolation probability at the 10,000 steps for comparison.




Fig. A-1. Time evolutions of the variance of the degree distribution. (a) When α is small and β is large. The variance increases monotonically in each step, and the system does not reach a steady state. (b) When α and β are both large. (c) When α and β are both small. (d) When α is large, and β is small.

Appendix B: Finite-size effects on percolation

We investigated the finite-size effects of percolation on the matured networks. The percolation probabilities of mature networks for the number of nodes $N = 2500, 5000, 7500,$ and 10000 are shown in Fig B-1. The figures show no significant system size dependence was observed in most parameter regions. While the phase transition point was finite when the N was small in regions where both the deleting and adding parameters are negatively large

(Fig. B-1 (c)), the percolation probability quickly converged to some curve as the number of nodes increased and the phase transition point becomes zero. From these results, we conclude that finite size effects are sufficiently negligible at $N = 10000$.




Fig. B-1. (Color online) Finite size effects on the percolation. (a) When α is small and β is large. The variance increases monotonically in each step, and the system does not reach a steady state. (b) When α and β are both large. (c) When α and β are both small. (d) When α is large, and β is small.

References

- 1) A.-L. Barabasi: *Network Science* (Cambridge Univ. Press, 2016).
- 2) D. J. Watts and S. H. Strogatz: *Nature* **393** (1998) 440.
- 3) A.-L. Barabási and R. Albert: *Science* **286** (1999) 509.
- 4) B. A. Huberman and L. A. Adamic: *Nature* **401** (1999) 131.
- 5) M. Faloutsos, P. Faloutsos, and C. Faloutsos: (1999).
- 6) H. Jeong, B. Tombor, R. Albert, Z. N. Oltvai, and A.-L. Barabási: *Nature* **407** (2000) 651.
- 7) L. A. Adamic and B. A. Huberman: *Science* **287** (2000) 2115.
- 8) G. Bianconi and A.-L. Barabási: *Europhysics Letters* **54** (2001) 436.
- 9) L. A. N. Amaral, A. Scala, M. Barthélemy, and H. E. Stanley: *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **97** (2000) 11149.
- 10) S. N. Dorogovtsev and J. F. F. Mendes: *Phys. Rev. E* **62** (2000) 1842.
- 11) H. Zhu, X. Wang, and J.-Y. Zhu: *Phys. Rev. E* **68** (2003) 056121.
- 12) Facebook Revenue and Usage Statistics (2024). <https://www.businessofapps.com/data/facebook-statistics/>. (Accessed 27 Dec. 2024).
- 13) X (Twitter) Statistics: How Many People Use X? <https://backlinko.com/twitter-users> (Accessed 27 Dec. 2024).
- 14) E. Bakshy, J. M. Hofman, W. A. Mason, and D. J. Watts: *Proceedings of the fourth ACM international conference on Web search and data mining*, February 2011.
- 15) S. V. Buldyrev, R. Parshani, G. Paul, H. E. Stanley, and S. Havlin: *Nature* **464** (2010) 1025.
- 16) M. Li, R.-R. Liu, L. Lü, M.-B. Hu, S. Xu, and Y.-C. Zhang: *Physics Reports* **907** (2021) 1.
- 17) T. Nogawa, K. Nemoto, and T. Hasegawa: *The Brain & Neural Networks* **21** (2014) 182.
- 18) F. Radicchi and S. Fortunato: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103** (2009) 168701.
- 19) R. Cohen, K. Erez, D. ben Avraham, and S. Havlin: *Physical Review Letters* **85** (2000) 4626.
- 20) N. Rokotyan, O. Stukova, D. Kolmakova, and D. Ovsyannikov. *Cosmograph: GPU-accelerated Force Graph Layout and Rendering*, 2022. <https://cosmograph.app/>.
- 21) M. Molloy and B. Reed: *Random Structures & Algorithms* **6** (1995) 161.

This figure "G10000_a-1.5_b2.0-edges-records.png" is available in "png" format from

<http://arxiv.org/ps/2412.20904v1>

This figure "G10000_a2.0_b-1.0-edges-records.png" is available in "png" format from

<http://arxiv.org/ps/2412.20904v1>

This figure "G10000_a-1.5_b-1.5-edges-records.png" is available in "png" format from

<http://arxiv.org/ps/2412.20904v1>

This figure "G10000_a3.0_b2.5-edges-records.png" is available in "png" format from

<http://arxiv.org/ps/2412.20904v1>