Trimeron ordering, bandgap and polaron hopping in magnetite

Nikita Fominykh^{1, 2, *} and Vladimir Stegailov^{1, 2, 3, [†](#page-0-1)}

¹ Joint Institute for High Temperatures of Russian Academy of Sciences,

²Moscow Center for Advanced Studies, Kulakova str. 20, Moscow, 123592, Russia

³HSE University, Myasnitskaya Ulitsa 20, Moscow 101000, Russia

(Dated: December 31, 2024)

In this work, we apply the DFT+U approach for a detailed ab initio study of the refined structure of the low-temperature phase of magnetite [M. S. Senn et al., Nature 481, 173 (2012)]. We compare the electronic properties of this structure and several alternatives with respect to the presence of trimeron ordering and the bandgap properties. The connection of the trimeron arrangement with site-selective doping of magnetite is discussed. Calculations of the polaron hopping energy allow us to make one step forward toward understanding the complex interplay of polaronic and bandgap contributions to electronic properties of the magnetite.

I. INTRODUCTION

The physics of magnetite has been an enigmatic puzzle for nearly a century [\[1,](#page-7-0) [2\]](#page-7-1) with its pinnacle represented by the Verwey transition [\[3,](#page-7-2) [4\]](#page-7-3) which is one of the fundamental problems of condensed matter physics and involves a complex interplay of crystal structure and lattice vibrations with electronic structure and strong electron correlations [\[5,](#page-7-4) [6\]](#page-7-5). The physics of the Verwey transition illustrates the modern level of consistency among experimental methods, theoretical approaches, and computational tools available for understanding the properties of materials. The significant confusion associated with magnetite physics stems from the fact that magnetite was the first substance where the metal-insulator transition was detected: in fact, as a generalization of the Verwey transition. At the same time, modern experimental studies describe this transition undoubtedly as a semiconductorsemiconductor transition (see, e.g. [\[7,](#page-7-6) [8\]](#page-8-0)).

The development of ab initio electronic structure methods follows several complementary avenues [\[9,](#page-8-1) [10\]](#page-8-2) and materials with strong electronic correlations represent one of the most complicated classes that has progressed during the last decades through many stages of rethinking (see, e.g., [\[11–](#page-8-3)[13\]](#page-8-4)). Subtle nuances of electronic structure methods were shown to be crucial for understanding magnetite properties [\[14–](#page-8-5)[17\]](#page-8-6).

The question of the crystal structure of magnetite imposes fundamental limitations on the theoretical understanding of its electronic structure. On the one hand, high-temperature (HT) phase has a well established cubic $Fd\overline{3}m$ lattice. However, this lattice is not stable at low temperatures and therefore can not be applied for static zero-temperature ab initio electronic structure calculations. On the other hand, the crystal structure of the low-temperature (LT) phase has been the subject of debate for a long time. Presumably, the first attempt

to apply the ab initio theory taking into account strong correlations was made in 1996 by Anisimov et al. [\[18\]](#page-8-7) using the DFT+U method. Szoteck et al. [\[19\]](#page-8-8) applied the SIC-DFT approach for strong correlations and considered cubic, $Pmc2_1$ and $P2/c$ candidate structures. After the next major refinement of the LT phase structure in 2001-2002 by Wright, Attfield, and Radaelli [\[20,](#page-8-9) [21\]](#page-8-10), Leonov et al. [\[22\]](#page-8-11) and Jeng et al. [\[23\]](#page-8-12) presented the DFT+U calculations for the $P2/c$ structure. It was shown [\[22,](#page-8-11) [24\]](#page-8-13) that the resulting orbital ordering obeys the Kugel-Khomskii model [\[25–](#page-8-14)[27\]](#page-8-15).

Subsequent refinement of the LT phase structure was published in 2012 by Senn, Wright, and Attfield [\[28\]](#page-8-16) showing that the Cc structure was the best variant. Electronic structure calculations for the Cc structure [\[29](#page-8-17)[–32\]](#page-8-18) provided systematically higher bandgap values than predicted by previous studies. To our knowledge, these systematic changes in bandgap values have not received any appropriate attention yet that would be very desirable in the context of the significant complexity of interpretations proposed for high-precision experimental data on magnetite electronic properties [\[7,](#page-7-6) [8,](#page-8-0) [16,](#page-8-19) [17,](#page-8-6) [33](#page-8-20)[–36\]](#page-8-21).

The key feature of the Verwey transition is a sharp decrease in conductivity about two orders of magnitude below $T_V \sim 125$ K. It was this transition that stimulated the concept of metal-insulator transition [\[37\]](#page-8-22) frequently used to describe the differences between the LT and HT phases of magnetite. The closure of the bandgap at temperatures above T_V is usually implied. Infrared optical conductivity data [\[33,](#page-8-20) [34\]](#page-8-23), the photoemission spectroscopy (PES) results [\[38–](#page-8-24)[43\]](#page-9-0) and scanning tunneling spectroscopy (STS) results [\[35,](#page-8-25) [44\]](#page-9-1) could be interpreted as the manifestation of a bad metallic state above T_V . However, these methods can be quite sensitive to surface states, and at the same time no clear Fermi edge is observed. Moreover, the conductivity value itself is much lower than the minimum Ioffe-Regel conductivity for metals [\[35\]](#page-8-25). For the HT phase, the dc conductivity follows the Arrhenius law with an activation energy $E_a^{HT} \sim 50$ meV. In the LT phase, the conductivity follows the Arrhenius law also with a slightly higher activation energy $E_a^{LT} \sim 100 \text{ meV } [3, 8, 45, 46]$ $E_a^{LT} \sim 100 \text{ meV } [3, 8, 45, 46]$ $E_a^{LT} \sim 100 \text{ meV } [3, 8, 45, 46]$ $E_a^{LT} \sim 100 \text{ meV } [3, 8, 45, 46]$ $E_a^{LT} \sim 100 \text{ meV } [3, 8, 45, 46]$, similar values

Izhorskaya st. 13 bldg. 2, Moscow, 125412, Russia

[∗] fominykh.na@phystech.edu

[†] stegailov@jiht.ru

are also observed for terahertz conductivity [\[47\]](#page-9-4).

There are several models that describe charge transport in magnetite. The bandlike model with delocalized electron transport proposed by Cullen and Callen [\[48\]](#page-9-5). In contrast, other models suggest localized charge transport via polarons formed because of strong electron-phonon interactions, such as small polarons [\[49\]](#page-9-6), bipolarons [\[50\]](#page-9-7) and molecular polarons [\[51\]](#page-9-8). Later, Ihle and Lorenz assumed the superposition of the small polaron band and the hopping conductivity [\[52\]](#page-9-9). The conductivity behavior shows a clear semiconductor-semiconductor type of Verwey transition [\[8\]](#page-8-0).

The concept of a semiconductor-semiconductor transition is further supported by a series of papers, in which the bandgap E_g is observed both above and below T_V . Using temperature-dependent high-resolution PES data, Park et al. [\[53\]](#page-9-10) showed that the bandgap does not vanish above the Verwey transition but undergoes a jump decrease from $E_g^{LT} \sim 150 \text{ meV}$ to $E_g^{HT} \sim 100 \text{ meV}$. Later, similar results were obtained using two less surfacesensitive methods: the soft X-ray PES [\[7\]](#page-7-6) and the hard X-ray PES [\[54\]](#page-9-11) gave slightly lower values $E_g^{LT} \sim 100 \text{ meV}$ and $E_g^{HT} \sim 50 \text{ meV}$, which are in a good agreement with the conductivity activation energies. In all of these works, the possible role of polarons on electronic properties is emphasized. In addition, a STS study by Jordan et al. is reported in which a constant $E_g^{LT} \approx E_g^{HT} \sim 200$ meV surface gap is observed below and above the Verwey transition [\[55\]](#page-9-12). Later, with a single magnetite nanocrystal, Hevroni et al. observed a decrease in the bandgap just above the transition to $E_g^{HT} \sim 75$ meV [\[36\]](#page-8-21) and in another study of single magnetite nanocrystal a higher value for low temperature phase $E_g^{LT} \sim 320$ meV and $E_g^{HT} \sim 70$ meV were observed [\[56\]](#page-9-13).

In the context of such complex experimental data, ab initio calculations could provide a complementary point of view on electronic and optical properties. Despite the fact that strongly correlated iron oxides are very challenging systems for density functional theory calculations [\[70\]](#page-9-14), a considerable progress has been made in this field. There are cubic $Fd\bar{3}m$ phase calculations that describe magnetite as a half-metal [\[57–](#page-9-15) [61,](#page-9-16) [63,](#page-9-17) [64,](#page-9-18) [68,](#page-9-19) [71\]](#page-9-20). Liu and Di Valentin showed that charge separation leads to a small bandgap in the cubic phase [\[15\]](#page-8-26). Orbital ordering and trimerons structural properties in the LT phase have also been thoroughly studied [\[18,](#page-8-7) [22,](#page-8-11) [24,](#page-8-13) [29,](#page-8-17) [31,](#page-8-27) [32,](#page-8-18) [72,](#page-9-21) [73\]](#page-9-22). Furthermore, nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) and Mössbauer spectrs were successfully explained within the framework of ab initio calculations [\[30,](#page-8-28) [74,](#page-10-0) [75\]](#page-10-1). Later, within the DFT+U framework, the Frenkel pair formation energy and the bandgap together were described in the cubic $Fd\bar{3}m$ phase [\[65\]](#page-9-23). The essential results of the selected ab initio studies of HT and LT phases relevant to this work are presented in Table [I.](#page-2-0)

Recently, polaron excitations and trimeron ordering have attracted a great deal of attention. Resonant inelastic X-ray scattering revealed spin-orbital excitations

driven by polaronic distortion that persist even in the HT phase [\[76\]](#page-10-2). Terahertz spectroscopy and ab initio calculations have been used to study the interplay between trimeron order and specific phonon modes [\[16,](#page-8-19) [17\]](#page-8-6). Spin transport properties in two-dimensional magnetite nanosheets were associated with the Verwey transition [\[77\]](#page-10-3). Another complementary topic is pressure effects on the Verwey transition [\[78](#page-10-4)[–80\]](#page-10-5). In addition, trimerons and polaronic transport have been examined in a similar iron oxide $Fe₄O₅$ [\[81,](#page-10-6) [82\]](#page-10-7). Significant progress has been also made for hematite $Fe₂O₃$ in the description of polaronic transport properties [\[83–](#page-10-8)[85\]](#page-10-9) and polaronic excitons [\[86,](#page-10-10) [87\]](#page-10-11).

In this paper, we will focus on the LT phase of magnetite and make an attempt to reveal a comprehensive interplay of trimeron, orbital, and charge orderings, considering together the atomic structure, band structure, and polaron hopping in the framework of a DFT+U model. The reminder of the paper is organized as follows. In Section II, the general model and computational details are given. In Section III, the orbital-charge orderings together with the band structures and the trimeron orderings are considered. In Section IV, we present small polaron hopping calculations. In Section V, we discuss our results in the context of possible interpretations of polaron and bandgap features detected in optical conductivity data. The paper is concluded in Section VI.

II. COMPUTATIONAL DETAILS

Spin-polarized DFT calculations are performed using the projector-augmented wave (PAW) method [\[90,](#page-10-12) [91\]](#page-10-13) implemented in VASP [\[92,](#page-10-14) [93\]](#page-10-15). The energy cut-off of the plane wave basis is set to 550 eV. The exchange correlation energy in the generalized gradient approximation by Perdew, Burke, and Ernzerhof (GGA-PBE [\[94\]](#page-10-16)) is used. Γ-centered k-meshes from 2×2×2 to 8×8×8 with respect to supercell size are used, employing the Blöchl tetrahedron corrections [\[95\]](#page-10-17). The k-mesh convergence obtained is of the order of one meV per functional unit. Optimal strategies are used to parallelize the computations [\[96\]](#page-10-18). Strong electron correlations are taken into account with a Hubbard $U_{\text{eff}} = U - J$ correction applied to 3d electrons of Fe based on the rotation-invariant Dudarev ap-proach [\[97\]](#page-10-19). $U_{\text{eff}} = 3.8 \text{ eV}$ is used that is consistent with previous studies on magnetite (see Table [I\)](#page-2-0) and was used in previous works on cubic phase of magnetite [\[15,](#page-8-26) [65\]](#page-9-23), on chromite [\[98\]](#page-10-20) and on nickel ferrite [\[99\]](#page-10-21). There are some approaches to obtain U_{eff} entirely ab initio [\[100–](#page-10-22) [103\]](#page-10-23), however, a fine-tuned U_{eff} value for a certain property could be not optimal for taking into account simultaneously all ground state properties of a system (see, e.g. $[104]$.

TABLE I. The review of the selected previous ab initio studies of magnetite that provided the bandgap E_q values. Selected key properties of previous ab initio magnetite studies. The Fe_B column show if charge separation was detected in the model. Approaches for strong electron correlations, lattice symmetry groups and maximum numbers of atoms are shown. The comments column contains either the quantity used for comparison with experimental data or a unique aspect of a particular study.

E_g , eV	FeB state	Strong correlations	Lattice	\boldsymbol{N}	Reference	Comment						
Cubic high temperature phase												
$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\mathrm{Fe}^{2.5+}_{B}$	$\overline{}$	$Fd\bar{3}m$	14	Yanase and Siratori, 1984 [57]	Exp.: $E_g = 0$ eV [38]						
$\overline{0}$	$\text{Fe}_{B}^{2.5+}$		$Fd\bar{3}m$	14	Zhang and Satpathy, 1991 [58]	Exp.: $E_g = 0$ eV [38]						
$\overline{0}$	$\mathrm{Fe}^{2.5+}_{B}$		$Fd\bar{3}m$	14	Yanase and Hamada, 1999 [59]	Exp.: $E_g = 0$ eV [40]						
$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\text{Fe}_{B}^{2.5+}$		$Fd\bar{3}m$	$56\,$	Hendy et al., 2003 [60]	Point defects						
$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\text{Fe}_{B}^{2.5+}$	$U = 4.0, J = 0.8$	$Fd\bar{3}m$	56	Piekarz et al., 2007 [61]	Exp.: phonon spectra $[62]$						
$\overline{0}$	$\mathrm{Fe}^{2.5+}_{B}$	$U_{eff} = 3.94$	$Fd\bar{3}m$	56	Arras et al., 2013 [63]	Cationic defects						
$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\mathrm{Fe}_{B}^{2.5+}$	$U_{eff} = 4.0$	$Fd\bar{3}m$	$56\,$	Noh et al., 2014 [64]							
${\sim}0.4$	$\mathrm{Fe}_{B}^{2+/3+}$	$HSE06$	$Fd\bar{3}m$	56								
$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\mathrm{Fe}^{2.5+}_{B}$	$HSE(15\%)$	$Fd\bar{3}m$	56								
0.18	$\text{Fe}_{B}^{2+/3+}$	$U_{eff} = 3.5$	$Fd\bar{3}m$	14	Liu and Di Valentin, 2017 [15]	Exp.: $E_g = 0.1{\text -}0.2 \text{ eV}$						
0.42	$\text{Fe}_{B}^{2+/3+}$	$HSE06$	$Fd\bar{3}m$	14		[53, 55]						
$0.34\,$	$\text{Fe}_{B}^{2+/3+}$	B3LYP	$Fd\bar{3}m$	14								
$0.06\,$	$\mathrm{Fe}_{B}^{2+/3+}$	$U_{eff} = 3.5\,$	$Fd\bar{3}m$	448	Shutikova and Stegailov, 2022 [65]	Exp.: defect energies [66]						
Monoclinic low temperature phase												
$0.34\,$	$FeB2+/3+$	$U = 4.51, V = 0.18$	Imma	14	Anisimov et al., 1996 [18]	Exp.: $E_g = 0.14$ eV [40]						
$0.1\,$	$\text{Fe}_{B}^{2+/3+}$	SIC-LSD	P2/c	56	Szotek et al., 2003 [19]							
$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\mathrm{Fe}^{2.5+}_{B}$		P2/c	56	Leonov et al., 2004 $[22]$	Exp.: $E_g = 0.14$ eV [33]						
$0.18\,$	$\text{Fe}_{B}^{2+/3+}$	$U = 5, J = 1$	P2/c	56								
$\rm 0.2$	$\text{Fe}_{B}^{2+/3+}$	$U = 4.5, J = 0.8$	P2/c	56	Jeng et al., 2004 [23]	Exp.: $E_g = 0.1$ eV [33]						
0.075		$LSDA+DMFT$			Craco et al., 2006 [67]							
$0.33\,$	$\mathrm{Fe}_{B}^{2+/3+}$	$U_{eff}=5$	C2/c	14	Pinto and Elliot, 2006 [68]	Exp.: $E_g = 0.14$ eV [33]						
0.33	$Fe_{B}^{2+/3+}$	$U = 4.0, J = 0.8$	P2/c	56	Piekarz et al., 2007 [61]	Exp.: $E_g = 0.14$ eV [33]						
0.87	$\mathrm{Fe}_{B}^{2+/3+}$	B3LYP	P2/c	56	Rowan et al., 2009 [14]	Exp.: Raman modes [34]						
$\rm 0.32$	$\mathrm{Fe}_{B}^{2+/3+}$	$B3LYP(15\%)$	P2/c	56								
$\rm 0.5$	$\text{Fe}_{B}^{2+/3+}$	$U = 4.5, J = 0.9$	$\mathbb{C}c$	112	Senn et al., 2012 [29]	Exp.: $E_g = 0.1$ eV [34]						
$0.5\,$	$\mathrm{Fe}_{B}^{2+/3+}$	$B3LYP(10\%)$	$\mathbb{C}c$	$112\,$	Patterson, 2014 [30]	Exp.: NMR resonance [69]						
$\rm 0.9$	$\mathrm{Fe}_{B}^{2+/3+}$	$B3LYP(15\%)$	$\mathbb{C}c$	$112\,$								
$0.51\,$	$\text{Fe}_{B}^{2+/3+}$	$U = 4.5, J = 0.89$	P2/c	$112\,$	Liu et al, 2017 [31]	Biaxial strain						
$1.0\,$	$\text{Fe}_{B}^{2+/3+}$	$U = 4.5, J = 0.89$	$\mathbb{C}c$	$112\,$								
${\sim}1.0$	$\text{Fe}_{B}^{2+/3+}$	$U_{eff} = 4.1$	Cc	448	Srivastava et al., 2023 [32]	Oxygen vacancies						

III. ORBITAL-CHARGE ORDERING AND TRIMERONS

In order to study the complex interplay of charge, orbital, and trimeron orderings, we consider various charge orderings within one DFT+U framework. We consider 4 types of probable initial charge ordering with the following symmetry space groups: the Verwey-like Imma ordering, the experimentally suggested Cc and $P2/c$ orderings, as well as the $P4₁22$ ordering predicted for nickel

ferrite [\[105\]](#page-10-25) possessing a similar structure of B-sublattice of Ni^{2+}/Fe^{3+} ions.

We perform our ground-state calculations in the threestage approach:

- 1. The initial coordinate relaxation of the distorted cubic phase with a symmetry group of interest to obtain desired $\text{Fe}^{2+}/\text{Fe}^{3+}$ distribution (the struc-ture from [\[28,](#page-8-16) [106\]](#page-10-26) is used in the case of Cc .
- 2. The full coordinates relaxation with the possibility of changing the shape and volume of the supercell.

FIG. 1. Orbital-charge ordering and band structure. Charge density isosurfaces are visualized in VESTA [\[88\]](#page-10-27). Iron atoms in tetrahedral and octahedral sites are shown in blue and brown, oxygen atoms are red. Gray dashed lines represent periodic boundaries for primitive lattice. Yellow isosurfaces show occupied t_{2g} orbitals of octahedral Fe^{2+} atoms which energy bands lie just below the Fermi level. Band structures are plotted using sumo [\[89\]](#page-10-28): orange lines show spin-up bands and blue dashed lines show spin-down bands. For the Cc structure the t_{2q} bands forming "bad" trimerons are also highlighted in red.

TABLE II. DFT+U results obtained for various charge-orbital orderings. Calculations are performed from supercells with an initial symmetry group which contains N atoms. The final symmetry shows the symmetry group obtained after cell and ion relaxations determined by sumo [\[89\]](#page-10-28). ΔE represents the difference in energy per one functional unit with respect to the Cc structure [\[28\]](#page-8-16). E_q is the bandgap calculated as the difference of the HOMO and LUMO bands energies. The bandgap type is obtained for the primitive cell that corresponds to the final symmetry. We assume that trimerons are linear 3-site distortions, which, in general, consist of one Fe^{2+} atom in the middle and two Fe^{3+} atoms at the edges. We define the trimeron ordering as some structured arrangement of trimerons (see Figure [2\)](#page-4-0).

Initial symmetry	Ν					Final symmetry ΔE , meV/f.u. E_q , eV Bandgap type Trimeron ordering
Imma	14/56	Imma	33	0.55	indirect	\mathbf{n}
Imma	112/224	Pnma	20	0.72	direct	yes
P2/c	112/224	P2/c	5	0.86	indirect	yes
$P_{41}22$	224	$P_{{4_1}2_12}$		1.12	indirect	no
$P_{41}22$	112	P_{21}	$<$ 1	1.09	indirect	\mathbf{no}
Cc	112/224	Cc	Ω	1.03	direct	yes

3. The determination of the final symmetry groups and the calculations of the band structure in the corresponding primitive cells.

The results obtained are provided in Table [II.](#page-3-0) In agreement with the experiment, the structure with the Cc symmetry group turned out to be the lowest in energy. Considering the different sizes of the initial supercells in the case of the Cc and $P2/c$ symmetry groups, there are no noticeable differences. Quite interesting results are obtained in the case of relaxation of the $Imma$ and P_{12} ? orderings. So in the case of Imma symmetry group, we

see a transition to $Pnma$ symmetry group after relaxation for supercells of 112 and 224 atoms. Furthermore, we obtaine $P2_1$ and $P4_12_12$ symmetry groups after relaxation in the case of 112 and 224 atoms initially with the $P4₁22$ symmetry group. For all six final symmetries, we obtain the quasi-cubic lattice parameter of $\bar{a}_0 = 8.49 \text{ Å},$ which agrees with experimental $\bar{a}_0^{\text{exp}} = 8.39$ Åreasonable well. The difference in the energy of different orderings is rather small, especially in the case of structures with symmetry groups $P_1^2_1^2_2$ and P_2^1 . This may indicate the existence of inhomogeneous ordering inclusions of this type in crystals at finite temperature.

FIG. 2. Trimeron ordering in the charge-orbital orderingsconsidered. Yellow isosurfaces show occupied t_{2g} orbitals of octahedral $Fe²⁺$ atoms. Oxygen atoms are shown in gray, while iron atoms are hidden. Green lines show shortening of $Fe²⁺-Fe³⁺$ bonds which form trimerons. For the case of the Cc structure, we assume similar trimeron ordering with two long bonds and a "bad" trimeron as it was proposed by Senn et al. [\[28\]](#page-8-16). The "bad" trimeron is shown as a bold red line. For other cases we show $Fe^{2+}-Fe^{3+}$ bonds that are shorter than 2.98 Å. Thus, for the orbital-charge orderings with the symmetry group $P2/c$ and Pnma a well identified trimeron order can be seen. In the $Imma$ structure there is no shortening of $Fe^{2+} - Fe^{3+}$ bonds and therefore no trimerons, while in the $P2₁$ and $P4₁2₁2$ structures in addition to linear distortions which could be referred to as trimerons, there is another type of some angle-like distortions.

An intriguing result that should be highlighted is the case of the transition from $Imma$ to $Pnma$ symmetry, in which the charge ordering in the B sublattice does not change, but at the same time the orbital ordering changes and forms chains of trimerons. This clearly shows the importance of understanding not only the charge distribution but also the finer ordering of the orbitals. Moreover,

this change in orbital ordering is accompanied by a transition from an indirect to a direct bandgap, which could be seen in the band structures shown in Figure [1.](#page-3-1)

After relaxation of our model with Cc ordering, we observe two off-centered distorted trimerons with a longer bond and the so-called "bad" trimeron, which is a Fe^{2+} -Fe²⁺-Fe³⁺ complex (Figure [2\)](#page-4-0). The "bad" trimeron is

FIG. 3. The energy profiles for polarons hopping (in the center) and the electronic structure pictures of an electron polaron localized states (the left and right pictures). The activation energy E_a and the reorganization energy λ for electron polaron hopping are marked by arrows. The dash-dotted horizontal lines show the experimental data on the onset energy (E_{on1}) and the first peak energy (E_{peak}) from the optical conductivity experiments [\[33,](#page-8-20) [34\]](#page-8-23).

a really fascinating feature, it is one of the main differences with the $P2/c$ symmetry structure which has a bit higher energy and also has some sort of trimeron ordering (Figure [2\)](#page-4-0), the indirect bandgap with a bit smaller width (Table [II\)](#page-3-0). Moreover, the obtained band structure allows us to distinguish the band with quite small dispersion formed by t_{2g} orbitals on B42 sites (we use a similar notation of non-equivalent sites as [\[28,](#page-8-16) [29\]](#page-8-17)), which is the constituent of the "bad" trimerons.

A recent study of Zn-doped magnetite [\[106\]](#page-10-26) showed selective oxidation of the "bad" trimeron B42 site, despite the fact that orbital order is usually suppressed by chemical doping.

Since the "bad" trimeron band lie higher in energy than the others, this could be a suitable explanation for the mechanism for the experimentally observed phenomenon. Thus, Zn^{2+} cations randomly substitute Fe^{3+} ions in the A sublattice, which does not distort the charge ordering much, leading to oxidation of iron cations at the B42 site. This experimentally observed "bad" trimeron feature further confirms that the ground state of the LT phase has structures with the Cc symmetry group, and can also serve as an indication of the importance of the properties of "bad" trimerons in the destruction of the trimeron order at the Verwey transition.

IV. SMALL POLARON HOPPING

We threat small polaron hopping within the Holstein-Mott theory [\[107–](#page-11-0)[109\]](#page-11-1), implementing the Marcus approach [\[110,](#page-11-2) [111\]](#page-11-3) in our first-principle DFT+U model. We use the linear interpolation of atomic coordinates between two localized states to consider the process of nonadiabatic electron transport by transfer of a small electron or hole polaron representing an excess charge self-trapped by a local lattice distortion (the illustration of a localized electron polaron in the initial and final states is presented in Figure [3\)](#page-5-0). This approach was shown to be effective in a number of previous works on titanium dioxide [\[112\]](#page-11-4), hematite [\[83\]](#page-10-8), chromite [\[98\]](#page-10-20), and nickel ferrite [\[99\]](#page-10-21).

In the first step, the localized polaron is obtained by ionic relaxation with a unity change in the number of electrons. To reduce convergence problems, ion relaxation is performed in such a way that only the nearest oxygen atoms are optimized first, and then the full relaxation of all atoms is performed. Since electron transfer in the polaron hopping process can occur only if there is sufficient local lattice distortion, the atom coordinates could be a suitable reaction coordinate. In the second step, the energy barrier is obtained from the calculated energy surface along the linearly interpolated coordinates beyond the localized state $\mathbf{R}_{q} = (1 - q)\mathbf{R}_{q=0} + q\mathbf{R}_{q=1}$.

There are a large number of possible elementary paths in the Cc ground state caused by a high number of nonequivalent localized polaron sites. Therefore, for the first approximation of the polaron activation energy, we use the simplest $Pnma$ LT structure with trimeron ordering, where we can estimate a path-averaged activation energy E_a with the nearest hopping energy barrier. In this case, we have two possible nearest hopping paths in the octahedral sublattice: these are the electron polaron hopping along Fe^{3+} chains and the hole polaron hopping along $\rm Fe^{2+}$ chains.

Thus, from the energy profiles (Figure [3\)](#page-5-0) we see a completely nonadiabatic behavior of the transfer processes without an explicit transition state. The profiles have a parabolic form and are symmetric with respect to the

FIG. 4. The schematic interpretation of optical conductivity. The blue circles show the onset energies and peak energies from the experiments [\[33,](#page-8-20) [34\]](#page-8-23). The red range shows the bandgap interpretations from various experiments [\[7,](#page-7-6) [33,](#page-8-20) [34,](#page-8-23) [36,](#page-8-21) [40,](#page-8-29) [42,](#page-9-31) [44,](#page-9-1) [53–](#page-9-10) 56. The violet, yellow and green boxes show our calculated polaron hopping activation energy E_a , polaron reorganization energy λ and bandgap E_q .

transition state $q = 0.5$. Thus, according to Marcus theory, the activation energies E_a of electron and hole polaron migration are equal to 133 and 160 meV that agree with those of other iron oxides [\[83,](#page-10-8) [98,](#page-10-20) [99,](#page-10-21) [112\]](#page-11-4) and provide new insights into the interpretation of the experimentally observed electronic properties of magnetite.

V. DISCUSSION

Trimeron ordering has a significant effect on the ground state orbital and charge ordering. Polaron excitations probably lead to the vanishing of the trimeron ordering above T_V . However, our calculations for the $Pnma$ structure show that a single polaron distorts the surrounding trimeron ordering *only slightly*.

In our $Pnma$ model of polaron transport in the LT phase, the activation energies obtained $E_a = 0.13{\text -}0.16 \text{ eV}$ are quite close to the experimentally observed values of \sim 0.1-0.2 eV from conductivity measurements [\[3,](#page-7-2) [8,](#page-8-0) [45,](#page-9-2) [46\]](#page-9-3) and to the calculated values of 87-200 meV obtained within the coherent polaron tunneling model by Baldini et al. [\[16\]](#page-8-19), which describes low energy electronic collective modes of trimeron ordering. Moreover, these values are well aligned with the characteristic activation energies of diabatic polaron hopping in other iron oxides of 0.09-0.19 eV for $Fe₂O₃$ [\[83\]](#page-10-8), 0.16 eV for $FeCr₂O₄$ [\[98\]](#page-10-20), and 0.12-0.18 eV for NiFe₂O₄ [\[99\]](#page-10-21). When considering polaron transport in more detail, together with taking into account all possible elementary transport pathways in the Cc structure, it makes sense to consider adiabatic transport as well. For hematite Ahart et al. [\[83\]](#page-10-8) showed that explicit consideration of electron coupling leads to

a decrease in activation energies to 0.07-0.08 eV and this reduction is more pronounced in the case of hole polarons.

The complex interplay of polaron transport and interband transitions gives a freedom of interpretation of the electronic structure properties obtained in the experiment. Thus, the early works within the framework of ab initio calculations gave the bandgap values of 0.18- 0.34 eV [\[18,](#page-8-7) [22,](#page-8-11) [23,](#page-8-12) [61,](#page-9-16) [68\]](#page-9-19) that seemed to be only slightly overestimated in comparison with the then accepted interpretation of experimental values of 0.1-0.2 eV. The use of a more correct structure of the LT phase with the Cc symmetry group should lead to a better agreement with experiment. However, in recent studies, much larger bandgap values of 0.5-1.0 eV were observed [\[29–](#page-8-17)[32\]](#page-8-18). Our calculations for various orbital and charge orderings fit well in this range with $E_q=0.55$ -1.12 eV (see Table [II\)](#page-3-0).

For the most stable structure with the Cc symmetry group, we obtian the bandgap of 1.03 eV that agrees well with the previous calculations [\[30–](#page-8-28)[32\]](#page-8-18). The discrepancy with the value of 0.5 eV obtained by Senn et al. [\[29\]](#page-8-17) is presumably due to the absence of relaxation of the structure in that study. Thus, we conclude that the bandgap in the LT phase is not described by the available interpretations of the experimental PES, STS and optical conductivity data. A difficult question of separating the contributions of bandgap charge transport and activated polaron mobility was raised earlier: for example, Gasparov et al. in addition to the bandgap interpretation also discuss the polaronic interpretation of the gaplike feature in optical conductivity [\[34\]](#page-8-23).

For nonadiabatic polaron hopping, the energy for reorganization could be expressed as $\lambda = 4E_a$. This energy is required for optical electron transport between sites without moving ions [\[109\]](#page-11-1). In optical conductivity and in PES measurements, there is a peak at ~ 0.6 eV, which is commonly assumed to be the polaronic peak [\[7,](#page-7-6) [33,](#page-8-20) [34\]](#page-8-23). Thus, it gives an estimated activation energy for polaron hopping of $E_a = \lambda/4 \sim 0.15$ eV. Three characteristic energies can be distinguished in the optical conductiv-ity data [\[33,](#page-8-20) [34\]](#page-8-23): two onset energies $E_{on1} \sim 0.14$ eV, $E_{\text{on2}} \sim 1.0 \text{ eV}$ and the first peak energy $E_{\text{peak}} \sim 0.6 \text{ eV}$ (see Figure [4\)](#page-6-0). These values perfectly correspond to our calculated polaron hopping activation energies $E_a=0.13$ -0.16 eV, the reorganization energies $\lambda = 0.52$ -0.64 eV and the Cc bandgap value $E_g=1.03$ eV. We consider this agreement as a support for the proposed polaronic interpretation of the electronic properties of the LT phase.

More studies are required to understand the nature of the experimentally detected signatures of a bandgap at $T > T_V$. Most likely, the current 'tiny-gap' experimental interpretations for the HT phase should be changed to a kind of phonon-assisted polaron hopping mechanism. The experiments that were interpreted as a gap closure probably showed some special properties of polaron transport. Our DFT+U results show that changes in orbital ordering can change the band gap between direct and indirect types. Experimental studies of the bandgap type in the LT and HT phases of magnetite might give new interesting information.

The effects of pressure on iron oxides provide new interesting phenomena (see, e.g., [\[113\]](#page-11-5)). The increase in pressure decreases the temperature of the Verwey transition, and there is some critical pressure around 8 GPa at which the Verwey transition vanishes [\[78](#page-10-4)[–80\]](#page-10-5). In addition, it should be mentioned that, for example, in the work of Môri et al. [\[78\]](#page-10-4) at a pressure of 9 GPa not only the transition disappears, but the resistance increases throughout the temperature range, which clearly indicates that the LT phase shows metallic behavior in contrast to the semiconductor behaviour under normal pressure. Polaron hopping in the LT phase under pressure deserves further study.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

Within the DFT+U model of the magnetite LT phase, we have considered its experimentally proven Cc struc-

- [1] P. Weiss and K. Renger, Die anfängliche Permeabilität von Eisen und Magnetit in Funktion der Temperatur und die Abhängigkeit der Umwandlungspunkte von der Feldstärke, Archiv für Elektrotechnik 2, 406 (1914).
- [2] G. S. Parks and K. K. Kelley, The heat capacities of some metallic oxides, [The Journal of Physical Chem](https://doi.org/10.1021/j150259a005)istry 30[, 47 \(1926\).](https://doi.org/10.1021/j150259a005)
- [3] E. Verwey, Electronic conduction of magnetite (Fe3O4) and its transition point at low temperatures, Nature 144, 327 (1939).

ture together with several alternatives $P2_1$, $P4_12_12$, $P2/c$, Pnma and Imma. The resulting bandgap values lie in the range $E_q=0.55$ -1.12 eV with the value for the Cc structure being $E_g=1.03$ eV.

The trimeron order is found in the Cc , $P2/c$ and $Pnma$ structures. For the Cc structure we have reproduced a unique "bad" trimeron and have shown that its energy band is located just below the bandgap, which is in reasonable agreement with the site-selective doping detected experimentally for magnetite. Direct bandgaps are found for Cc and $Pnma$ while for other structures considered the bandgaps are indirect.

We have calculated typical electron and hole polaron hopping energies in the LT phase using the $Pnma$ model within the Holstein-Mott theory and the Marcus approach $(E_a= 0.13 \text{ eV}$ and $E_a= 0.16 \text{ eV}$ correspondingly). The model shows that adding a polaron to the LT structure does not have much influence on the trimeron order.

Our results suggest a harmonizing interpretation of the optical conductivity measurements of Park et al. [\[33\]](#page-8-20) and Gasparov et al. [\[34\]](#page-8-23), in which the contributions from small-polaron hopping and bandgap charge transfer are not considered as alternatives, but as two separate mechanisms manifested in optical conductivity spectra at different energies. This interpretation is supported by a remarkable agreement of our DFT+U results and experimental data [\[33,](#page-8-20) [34\]](#page-8-23) that can shed new light on the nature of the Verwey transition.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was prepared with the support of the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of the Russian Federation (State Assignment No. 075-00270-24-00) and, in part, within the framework of the HSE University Basic Research Program. The authors gratefully acknowledge the access to the resources of the Supercomputer Centre of JIHT RAS, the Joint Supercomputer Centre of RAS and the HPC facilities at HSE University [\[114\]](#page-11-6).

- [4] E. Verwey and P. Haayman, Electronic conductivity and transition point of magnetite ("Fe3O4"), Physica 8, 979 (1941).
- [5] F. Walz, The Verwey transition-a topical review, Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter 14, R285 (2002).
- [6] J. García and G. Subías, The Verwey transition—a new perspective, Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter 16, R145 (2004).
- [7] D. Schrupp, M. Sing, M. Tsunekawa, H. Fujiwara, S. Kasai, A. Sekiyama, S. Suga, T. Muro, V. Brabers,

and R. Claessen, High-energy photoemission on Fe3O4: Small polaron physics and the Verwey transition, Europhysics letters 70, 789 (2005).

- [8] R. Prozorov, M. A. Tanatar, E. I. Timmons, M. Kończykowski, and T. Prozorov, Response of the Verwey transition in magnetite to controlled point-like disorder induced by 2.5 MeV electron irradiation, Materials Research Bulletin 167, 112442 (2023).
- [9] N. Marzari, A. Ferretti, and C. Wolverton, Electronicstructure methods for materials design, Nature materials 20, 736 (2021).
- [10] E. Bosoni, L. Beal, M. Bercx, P. Blaha, S. Blügel, J. Bröder, M. Callsen, S. Cottenier, A. Degomme, V. Dikan, K. Eimre, E. Flage-Larsen, M. Fornari, A. Garcia, L. Genovese, M. Giantomassi, S. P. Huber, H. Janssen, G. Kastlunger, M. Krack, G. Kresse, T. D. Kühne, K. Lejaeghere, G. K. H. Madsen, M. Marsman, N. Marzari, G. Michalicek, H. Mirhosseini, T. M. A. Müller, G. Petretto, C. J. Pickard, S. Poncé, G.-M. Rignanese, O. Rubel, T. Ruh, M. Sluydts, D. E. P. Vanpoucke, S. Vijay, M. Wolloch, D. Wortmann, A. V. Yakutovich, J. Yu, A. Zadoks, B. Zhu, and G. Pizzi, How to verify the precision of density-functional-theory implementations via reproducible and universal workflows, Nature Reviews Physics 6, 45 (2024).
- [11] F. Tran, P. Blaha, K. Schwarz, and P. Novák, Hybrid exchange-correlation energy functionals for strongly correlated electrons: Applications to transition-metal monoxides, Physical Review B 74, 155108 (2006).
- [12] T. Chiarotti, A. Ferretti, and N. Marzari, Energies and spectra of solids from the algorithmic inversion of dynamical hubbard functionals, Physical Review Research 6, L032023 (2024).
- [13] A. Carta, I. Timrov, P. Mlkvik, A. Hampel, and C. Ederer, Explicit demonstration of the equivalence between DFT+U and the Hartree-Fock limit of DFT+DMFT, arXiv preprint [arXiv:2411.03937](http://arxiv.org/abs/2411.03937) (2024).
- [14] A. D. Rowan, C. H. Patterson, and L. Gasparov, Hybrid density functional theory applied to magnetite: Crystal structure, charge order, and phonons, Physical Review B 79, 205103 (2009).
- [15] H. Liu and C. Di Valentin, Band gap in magnetite above Verwey temperature induced by symmetry breaking, The Journal of Physical Chemistry C 121 , 25736 (2017).
- [16] E. Baldini, C. A. Belvin, M. Rodriguez-Vega, I. O. Ozel, D. Legut, A. Kozłowski, A. M. Oleś, K. Parlinski, P. Piekarz, J. Lorenzana, et al., Discovery of the soft electronic modes of the trimeron order in magnetite, Nature Physics 16, 541 (2020).
- [17] P. Piekarz, D. Legut, E. Baldini, C. A. Belvin, T. Kołodziej, W. Tabiś, A. Kozłowski, Z. Kakol, Z. Tarnawski, J. Lorenzana, et al., Trimeron-phonon coupling in magnetite, Physical Review B 103, 104303 (2021).
- [18] V. Anisimov, I. Elfimov, N. Hamada, and K. Terakura, Charge-ordered insulating state of $Fe₃O₄$ from firstprinciples electronic structure calculations, Physical Review B 54, 4387 (1996).
- [19] Z. Szotek, W. M. Temmerman, A. Svane, L. Petit, G. M. Stocks, and H. Winter, Ab initio study of charge order in Fe₃O₄, Physical Review B 68 , 054415 (2003).
- [20] J. P. Wright, J. Attfield, and P. Radaelli, Long range charge ordering in magnetite below the Verwey transition, Physical review letters 87, 266401 (2001).
- [21] J. P. Wright, J. P. Attfield, and P. G. Radaelli, Charge

ordered structure of magnetite Fe3O4 below the Verwey transition, Physical Review B 66, 214422 (2002).

- [22] I. Leonov, A. Yaresko, V. Antonov, M. Korotin, and V. Anisimov, Charge and orbital order in $Fe₃O₄$, Physical review letters 93, 146404 (2004).
- [23] H.-T. Jeng, G. Guo, and D. Huang, Charge-orbital ordering and Verwey transition in magnetite, Physical review letters 93, 156403 (2004).
- [24] F. Zhou and G. Ceder, First-principles determination of charge and orbital interactions in $Fe₃O₄$, Physical Review B 81, 205113 (2010).
- [25] K. I. Kugel and Khomskiı̆, The Jahn-Teller effect and magnetism: transition metal compounds, .
- [26] P. A. Igoshev, S. V. Streltsov, and K. I. Kugel, Multiorbital exchange hamiltonians: Derivation and examples, Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials 587, 171315 (2023).
- [27] V. E. Valiulin, A. V. Mikheyenkov, N. M. Chtchelkatchev, and K. I. Kugel, The resistance of quantum entanglement to temperature in the Kugel-Khomskii model, SciPost Physics Core 6, 025 (2023).
- [28] M. S. Senn, J. P. Wright, and J. P. Attfield, Charge order and three-site distortions in the Verwey structure of magnetite, Nature 481, 173 (2012).
- [29] M. S. Senn, I. Loa, J. P. Wright, and J. P. Attfield, Electronic orders in the Verwey structure of magnetite, Physical Review B 85, 125119 (2012).
- [30] C. Patterson, Hybrid DFT calculation of Fe 57 NMR resonances and orbital order in magnetite, Physical Review B 90, 075134 (2014).
- [31] X. Liu, L. Yin, and W. Mi, Biaxial strain effect induced electronic structure alternation and trimeron recombination in Fe3O4, Scientific reports 7, 43403 (2017).
- [32] S. Srivastava, B. P. Uberuaga, and M. Asta, Density functional theory study of local environment effects on oxygen vacancy properties in magnetite, The Journal of Physical Chemistry C 127, 17460 (2023).
- [33] S. Park, T. Ishikawa, and Y. Tokura, Charge-gap formation upon the Verwey transition in $Fe₃O₄$, Physical Review B 58, 3717 (1998).
- [34] L. Gasparov, D. Tanner, D. Romero, H. Berger, G. Margaritondo, and L. Forro, Infrared and Raman studies of the Verwey transition in magnetite, Physical Review B 62, 7939 (2000).
- [35] Q. Yu, A. Mottaghizadeh, H. Wang, C. Ulysse, A. Zimmers, V. Rebuttini, N. Pinna, and H. Aubin, Verwey transition in single magnetite nanoparticles, Physical Review B 90, 075122 (2014).
- [36] A. Hevroni, M. Bapna, S. Piotrowski, S. A. Majetich, and G. Markovich, Tracking the Verwey transition in single magnetite nanocrystals by variable-temperature scanning tunneling microscopy, The Journal of Physical Chemistry Letters 7, 1661 (2016).
- [37] N. F. Mott, Metal-insulator transition, Reviews of Modern Physics 40, 677 (1968).
- [38] S. Bishop and P. Kemeny, Photoemission study of the electronic structure of magnetite, Solid State Communications 15, 1877 (1974).
- [39] K. Shiratori, S. Suga, M. Taniguchi, K. Soda, S. Kimura, and A. Yanase, Photoemission study of Fe3O4, Journal of the Physical Society of Japan 55, 690 (1986).
- [40] A. Chainani, T. Yokoya, T. Morimoto, T. Taka-

hashi, and S. Todo, High-resolution photoemission spectroscopy of the Verwey transition in $Fe₃O₄$, Physical Review B 51, 17976 (1995).

- [41] W. Wang, J.-M. Mariot, M. C. Richter, O. Heckmann, W. Ndiaye, P. De Padova, A. Taleb-Ibrahimi, P. Le Fevre, F. Bertran, F. Bondino, et al., Fe t_{2g} band dispersion and spin polarization in thin films of $Fe₃O₄$ $(0\ 0\ 1)/MgO$ $(0\ 0\ 1)$: Half-metallicity of magnetite revisited, Physical Review B 87, 085118 (2013).
- [42] M. Taguchi, A. Chainani, S. Ueda, M. Matsunami, Y. Ishida, R. Eguchi, S. Tsuda, Y. Takata, M. Yabashi, K. Tamasaku, et al., Temperature dependence of magnetically active charge excitations in magnetite across the Verwey transition, Physical review letters 115, 256405 (2015).
- [43] M. Schmitt, O. Kirilmaz, S. Chernov, S. Babenkov, D. Vasilyev, O. Fedchenko, K. Medjanik, Y. Matveyev, A. Gloskovskii, C. Schlueter, et al., Bulk spin polarization of magnetite from spin-resolved hard x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy, Physical Review B 104, 045129 (2021).
- [44] T. K. Shimizu, J. Jung, H. S. Kato, Y. Kim, and M. Kawai, Termination and Verwey transition of the (111) surface of magnetite studied by scanning tunneling microscopy and first-principles calculations, Physical Review B 81, 235429 (2010).
- [45] M. Matsui, S. Todo, and S. Chikazumi, Specific heat and electrical conductivity of low temperature phase of magnetite, Journal of the Physical Society of Japan 42, 1517 (1977).
- [46] A. Kuipers and V. Brabers, Electrical transport in magnetite near the Verwey transition, Physical Review B 20, 594 (1979).
- [47] A. Pimenov, S. Tachos, T. Rudolf, A. Loidl, D. Schrupp, M. Sing, R. Claessen, and V. Brabers, Terahertz conductivity at the Verwey transition in magnetite, Physical Review B 72, 035131 (2005).
- [48] J. R. Cullen and E. Callen, Collective electron theory of the metal-semiconductor transition in magnetite, Journal of Applied Physics 41, 879 (1970).
- [49] N. Mott, Materials with mixed valency that show a Verwey transition, Philosophical Magazine B 42, 327 (1980).
- [50] B. Chakraverty, Verwey ordering on magnetite as a cooperative Jahn-Teller transition, Solid State Communications 15, 1271 (1974).
- [51] Y. Yamada, Molecular polarons and valence fluctuations in Fe3O4, Philosophical Magazine B 42, 377 (1980).
- [52] D. Ihle and B. Lorenz, Small-polaron conduction and short-range order in Fe3O4, Journal of Physics C: Solid State Physics 19, 5239 (1986).
- [53] J.-H. Park, L. Tjeng, J. Allen, P. Metcalf, and C. Chen, Single-particle gap above the Verwey transition in Fe3O4, Physical Review B 55, 12813 (1997).
- [54] M. Kimura, H. Fujiwara, A. Sekiyama, J. Yamaguchi, K. Kishimoto, H. Sugiyama, G. Funabashi, S. Imada, S. Iguchi, Y. Tokura, et al., Polaronic behavior of photoelectron spectra of Fe3O4 revealed by both hard Xray and extremely low energy photons, Journal of the Physical Society of Japan 79, 064710 (2010).
- [55] K. Jordan, A. Cazacu, G. Manai, S. Ceballos, S. Murphy, and I. Shvets, Scanning tunneling spectroscopy study of the electronic structure of $Fe₃O₄$ surfaces, Physical Review B 74, 085416 (2006).
- [56] A. Banerjee and A. J. Pal, Track the bands: Verwey phase transition in single magnetite nanocrystals, Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter 32, 055701 (2019).
- [57] A. Yanase and K. Siratori, Band structure in the high temperature phase of Fe3O4, Journal of the Physical Society of Japan 53, 312 (1984).
- [58] Z. Zhang and S. Satpathy, Electron states, magnetism, and the Verwey transition in magnetite, Physical Review B 44, 13319 (1991).
- [59] A. Yanase and N. Hamada, Electronic structure in high temperature phase of $Fe₃O₄$, Journal of the Physical Society of Japan 68, 1607 (1999).
- [60] S. Hendy, B. Walker, N. Laycock, and M. Ryan, Ab initio studies of the passive film formed on iron, Physical Review B 67, 085407 (2003).
- [61] P. Piekarz, K. Parlinski, and A. M. Oles, Origin of the Verwey transition in magnetite: Group theory, electronic structure, and lattice dynamics study, Physical Review B 76, 165124 (2007).
- [62] E. Samuelsen and O. Steinsvoll, Low-energy phonons in magnetite, physica status solidi (b) 61, 615 (1974).
- [63] R. Arras, B. Warot-Fonrose, and L. Calmels, Electronic structure near cationic defects in magnetite, Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter 25, 256002 (2013).
- [64] J. Noh, O. I. Osman, S. G. Aziz, P. Winget, and J.-L. Brédas, A density functional theory investigation of the electronic structure and spin moments of magnetite, Science and technology of advanced materials 15, 044202 (2014)
- [65] M. I. Shutikova and V. V. Stegailov, Frenkel pair formation energy for cubic $Fe₃O₄$ in DFT+U calculations, Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter 34, 475701 (2022).
- [66] R. Dieckmann and H. Schmalzried, Defects and cation diffusion in magnetite (VI): point defect relaxation and correlation in cation tracer diffusion, Berichte der Bunsengesellschaft für physikalische Chemie 90, 564 (1986).
- [67] L. Craco, M. Laad, and E. Müller-Hartmann, Verwey transition in $Fe₃O₄$ investigated using lda+dmft, Physical Review B 74, 064425 (2006).
- [68] H. Pinto and S. Elliott, Mechanism of the Verwey transition in magnetite: Jahn–Teller distortion and charge ordering patterns, Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter 18, 10427 (2006).
- [69] M. Mizoguchi, Charge and orbital ordering structure of $Fe₃O₄$ in the low-temperature phase as deduced from NMR study, Journal of the Physical Society of Japan 70, 2333 (2001).
- [70] Y. Meng, X.-W. Liu, C.-F. Huo, W.-P. Guo, D.-B. Cao, Q. Peng, A. Dearden, X. Gonze, Y. Yang, J. Wang, et al., When density functional approximations meet iron oxides, Journal of chemical theory and computation 12, 5132 (2016).
- [71] N. Naveas, R. Pulido, C. Marini, P. Gargiani, J. Hernandez-Montelongo, I. Brito, and M. Manso-Silván, First-principles calculations of magnetite (Fe3O4) above the Verwey temperature by using selfconsistent DFT+U+V, Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation 19, 8610 (2023).
- [72] K. Yamauchi, T. Fukushima, and S. Picozzi, Ferroelectricity in multiferroic magnetite $Fe₃O₄$ driven by noncentrosymmetric Fe2+/Fe3+ charge-ordering: Firstprinciples study, Physical Review B 79, 212404 (2009).
- [73] K. Yamauchi and S. Picozzi, Orbital degrees of free-

dom as origin of magnetoelectric coupling in magnetite, Physical Review B 85, 085131 (2012).

- [74] R. Řezníček, V. Chlan, H. Štěpánková, and P. Novák, Hyperfine field and electronic structure of magnetite below the Verwey transition, Physical Review B 91, 125134 (2015).
- [75] R. Řezníček, V. Chlan, H. Štěpánková, P. Novák, J. Żukrowski, A. Kozłowski, Z. Kakol, Z. Tarnawski, and J. Honig, Understanding the Mössbauer spectrum of magnetite below the Verwey transition: Ab initio calculations, simulation, and experiment, Physical Review B 96, 195124 (2017).
- [76] H.-Y. Huang, Z.-Y. Chen, R.-P. Wang, F. M. de Groot, W.-B. Wu, J. Okamoto, A. Chainani, A. Singh, Z.-Y. Li, J.-S. Zhou, et al., Jahn-Teller distortion driven magnetic polarons in magnetite, Nature Communications 8, 15929 (2017).
- [77] Z. Jia, M. Zhao, Q. Chen, R. Sun, L. Cao, K. Ye, T. Zhu, L. Liu, Y. Tian, Y. Wang, et al., Spin transport modulation of 2D Fe3O4 nanosheets driven by Verwey phase transition, Advanced Science , 2405945 (2024).
- [78] N. Môri, S. Todo, N. Takeshita, T. Mori, and Y. Akishige, Metallization of magnetite at high pressures, Physica B: Condensed Matter 312, 686 (2002).
- [79] G. K. Rozenberg, M. Pasternak, W. Xu, Y. Amiel, M. Hanfland, M. Amboage, R. Taylor, and R. Jeanloz, Origin of the Verwey transition in magnetite, Physical review letters 96, 045705 (2006).
- [80] D. Kozlenko, L. Dubrovinsky, S. Kichanov, E. V. Lukin, V. Cerantola, A. I. Chumakov, and B. Savenko, Magnetic and electronic properties of magnetite across the high pressure anomaly, Scientific Reports 9, 4464 (2019).
- [81] S. Layek, E. Greenberg, S. Chariton, M. Bykov, E. Bykova, D. M. Trots, A. V. Kurnosov, I. Chuvashova, S. V. Ovsyannikov, I. Leonov, et al., Verwey-type charge ordering and site-selective Mott transition in Fe4O5 under pressure, Journal of the American Chemical Society 144, 10259 (2022).
- [82] S. V. Ovsyannikov, A. E. Karkin, I. V. Korobeinikov, N. V. Morozova, M. Bykov, E. Bykova, and L. Dubrovinsky, Electronic properties of singlecrystalline Fe₄O₅, Dalton Transactions 52 , 5563 (2023).
- [83] C. S. Ahart, K. M. Rosso, and J. Blumberger, Electron and hole mobilities in bulk hematite from spinconstrained density functional theory, Journal of the American Chemical Society 144, 4623 (2022).
- [84] J. L. Shelton and K. E. Knowles, Polaronic optical transitions in hematite (α -fe2o3) revealed by first-principles electron–phonon coupling, The Journal of Chemical Physics 157 (2022).
- [85] J. Redondo, M. Reticcioli, V. Gabriel, D. Wrana, F. Ellinger, M. Riva, G. Franceschi, E. Rheinfrank, I. Sokolović, Z. Jakub, et al., Real-space investigation of polarons in hematite Fe2O3, Science Advances 10, eadp7833 (2024).
- [86] L. Rassouli and M. Dupuis, Electronic structure of excitons in hematite Fe2O3, The Journal of Physical Chemistry C 128, 743 (2024).
- [87] L. Rassouli, M. Shakiba, A. V. Akimov, X. Ma, and M. Dupuis, Excitons in hematite Fe2O3: Short-Time dynamics from TD-DFT and non-adiabatic dynamics theories, The Journal of Physical Chemistry C 128,

13681 (2024).

- [88] K. Momma and F. Izumi, VESTA 3 for threedimensional visualization of crystal, volumetric and morphology data, Journal of applied crystallography 44, 1272 (2011).
- [89] A. M. Ganose, A. J. Jackson, and D. O. Scanlon, sumo: Command-line tools for plotting and analysis of periodic ab initio calculations, Journal of Open Source Software 3, 717 (2018).
- [90] P. E. Blöchl, Projector augmented-wave method, Physical review B 50, 17953 (1994).
- [91] G. Kresse and D. Joubert, From ultrasoft pseudopotentials to the projector augmented-wave method, Physical review b 59, 1758 (1999).
- [92] G. Kresse and J. Hafner, Ab initio molecular dynamics for liquid metals, Physical review B 47, 558 (1993).
- [93] G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller, Efficient iterative schemes for ab initio total-energy calculations using a plane-wave basis set, Physical review B 54, 11169 (1996).
- [94] J. P. Perdew, K. Burke, and M. Ernzerhof, Generalized gradient approximation made simple, Physical review letters 77, 3865 (1996).
- [95] P. E. Blöchl, O. Jepsen, and O. K. Andersen, Improved tetrahedron method for brillouin-zone integrations, Physical Review B 49, 16223 (1994).
- [96] V. Stegailov, G. Smirnov, and V. Vecher, VASP hits the memory wall: Processors efficiency comparison, Concurrency and Computation: Practice and Experience 31, e5136 (2019).
- [97] S. L. Dudarev, G. A. Botton, S. Y. Savrasov, C. Humphreys, and A. P. Sutton, Electron-energy-loss spectra and the structural stability of nickel oxide: An LSDA+U study, Physical Review B 57, 1505 (1998).
- [98] N. Fominykh and V. Stegailov, Polarons and charge transfer in FeCr2O4 chromite treated by the DFT+U method, JETP Letters 117, 849 (2023).
- [99] N. A. Fominykh, J. Situmeang, V. V. Stegailov, and C.-C. Kaun, Influence of point defects on charge transport in nickel ferrite NiFe2O4, Computational Materials Science 246, 113326 (2025).
- [100] M. Cococcioni and S. De Gironcoli, Linear response approach to the calculation of the effective interaction parameters in the LDA+U method, Physical Review B 71, 035105 (2005).
- [101] F. Aryasetiawan, K. Karlsson, O. Jepsen, and U. Schönberger, Calculations of hubbard U from firstprinciples, Physical Review B 74, 125106 (2006).
- [102] S. Falletta and A. Pasquarello, Hubbard U through polaronic defect states, npj Computational Materials 8, 263 (2022).
- [103] S. Falletta and A. Pasquarello, Polaron hopping through piecewise-linear functionals, Physical Review B 107, 205125 (2023).
- [104] C. Franchini, M. Reticcioli, M. Setvin, and U. Diebold, Polarons in materials, Nature Reviews Materials 6, 560 (2021).
- [105] K. Sharma, L. Calmels, D. Li, A. Barbier, and R. Arras, Influence of the cation distribution, atomic substitution, and atomic vacancies on the physical properties of CoFe_2O_4 and NiFe_2O_4 spinel ferrites, Physical Review Materials 6, 124402 (2022).
- [106] E. Pachoud, J. Cumby, G. Perversi, J. Wright, and J. Attfield, Site-selective doping of ordered charge states in magnetite, Nature Communications 11, 1671 (2020).
- [107] D. Emin and T. Holstein, Studies of small-polaron motion IV. Adiabatic theory of the hall effect, Annals of Physics 53, 439 (1969).
- [108] T. Holstein, Studies of polaron motion: Part II. The "small" polaron, Annals of Physics 281, 725 (2000).
- [109] I. G. Austin and N. F. Mott, Polarons in crystalline and non-crystalline materials, Advances in physics 18, 41 (1969).
- [110] R. A. Marcus and N. Sutin, Electron transfers in chemistry and biology, Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA)-Reviews on Bioenergetics 811, 265 (1985).
- [111] R. A. Marcus, Electron transfer reactions in chemistry. Theory and experiment, Reviews of modern physics 65,

599 (1993).

- [112] N. A. Deskins and M. Dupuis, Electron transport via polaron hopping in bulk $TiO₂$: A density functional theory characterization, Physical Review B 75, 195212 (2007).
- [113] V. S. Zhandun, N. V. Kazak, I. Kupenko, D. M. Vasiukov, X. Li, E. Blackburn, and S. G. Ovchinnikov, Orthogonal magnetic structures of fe₄o₅: representation analysis and DFT calculations, Dalton Transactions 53, 2242 (2024).
- [114] P. Kostenetskiy, R. Chulkevich, and V. Kozyrev, HPC resources of the Higher School of Economics, in Journal of Physics: Conference Series, Vol. 1740 (IOP Publishing, 2021) p. 012050.