

Length-Aware DETR for Robust Moment Retrieval

Seojeong Park¹ Jiho Choi¹ Kyungjune Baek² Hyunjung Shim¹

¹Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology (KAIST) ²Twelve Labs

seojeong.park@kaist.ac.kr, jihochoi@kaist.ac.kr, kyungjune23@gmail.com, kateshim@kaist.ac.kr

Abstract

Video Moment Retrieval (MR) aims to localize moments within a video based on a given natural language query. Given the prevalent use of platforms like YouTube for information retrieval, the demand for MR techniques is significantly growing. Recent DETR-based models have made notable advances in performance but still struggle with accurately localizing short moments. Through data analysis, we identified limited feature diversity in short moments, which motivated the development of MomentMix. MomentMix employs two augmentation strategies: ForegroundMix and BackgroundMix, each enhancing the feature representations of the foreground and background, respectively. Additionally, our analysis of prediction bias revealed that short moments particularly struggle with accurately predicting their center positions of moments. To address this, we propose a Length-Aware Decoder, which conditions length through a novel bipartite matching process. Our extensive studies demonstrate the efficacy of our length-aware approach, especially in localizing short moments, leading to improved overall performance. Our method surpasses state-of-the-art DETR-based methods on benchmark datasets, achieving the highest R1 and mAP on QVHighlights and the highest R1@0.7 on TACoS and CharadesSTA (such as a 2.46% gain in R1@0.7 and a 2.57% gain in mAP average for QVHighlights). The code is available at <https://github.com/sjpark5800/LA-DETR>.

1. Introduction

As vast amounts of video content are created and shared on the internet daily [7], the need for effective filtering has become more critical. Text-based search algorithms [3] have emerged as one of the most effective solutions, enabling rapid and accurate retrieval of videos that match user queries. To improve user experience and search efficiency, moment retrieval (MR) [1, 11] has gained significant attention. MR identifies the specific moments within a video that best align with a given query. Specifically, this task involves localizing the start and end points in the video relevant to the

Table 1. Mean Average Precision (mAP) of previous moment retrieval (MR) DETR-based methods on QVHighlights *val* set by the lengths of the moment. Notice that the mAP drops significantly in capturing short-moment, where MR can be best utilized.

Method	Short	Middle	Long	All
	(seconds) (000,010]	(010,030]	(030,150]	(000,150]
QD-DETR (CVPR 2023)	8.34	43.54	47.80	41.24
TR-DETR (AAAI 2024)	9.93	46.91	51.71	45.09
UVCOM (CVPR 2024)	12.65	49.04	49.39	45.80

textual query, offering a more fine-grained understanding of video content.

For the MR task, previous approaches leveraging DETR for its efficiency and flexibility [19, 26, 32, 38, 44] have proposed methods to improve video-text feature representation, achieving impressive performance. However, our empirical findings indicate that these DETR-based models suffer from a significant drop in performance when handling short moments as highlighted in Table 1. For example, UVCOM [38] shows an average mAP of 49.04 for middle-length moments (10–30 seconds), while achieving only 12.65 for short moments (less than 10 seconds), revealing a substantial gap. As illustrated in Figure 1, moments are uniformly distributed across different lengths. However, due to the inherently short duration of short moments, they appear more frequently within a single video sample. Performance metrics are calculated by averaging the performance across all moments within a sample and then averaging these sample performances to obtain the overall performance. Therefore, the overall performance does not sufficiently reveal the performance on short moments, leading to a lack of attention to the challenges associated with short moment retrieval.

Retrieving short moments within videos is a crucial task because videos often contain a significant amount of redundant or irrelevant information, while essential content is frequently condensed in short moments. This aligns with the importance of MR, where improving the accuracy of short-moment retrieval enables the precise extraction of the most relevant information. Such improvements can significantly reduce the time and effort required for video explo-




Figure 1. In QVHIGHLIGHTS dataset, a single video can contain multiple moments of varying lengths. The yellow bars represent the count of each **moment** length across all videos. In contrast, the blue bars categorize a **video sample** by a specific length if it includes at least one moment of that length.

ration. For example, highlights in sports and news, as well as key scenes in movies and dramas, often involves short moments. This emphasizes the importance of accurately retrieving short moments in practical scenarios.

In this study, we analyzed the challenges associated with existing methods in short-moment retrieval from both data and model perspectives. From a data perspective, we examined the feature distribution of short moments compared to other moments. As shown in Figure 2, the features of short moments tend to be more concentrated around the mean feature, with 42.9% of samples falling within one standard deviation. In contrast, for other moments, 26.6% of samples fall within one standard deviation of the mean. These observations show that short moments exhibit relatively simple and less diverse feature distributions. On the model side, we analyzed the trends in prediction accuracy by breaking down the model’s final output into center and length components, as illustrated in Figure 3. Although conceptually, moments are defined by (start, end), existing models predict them in the format of (center, length). Interestingly, we found that the accuracy of center predictions for short moments was significantly lower than for other moment types.

Based on the above analysis, we propose a new DETR-based MR framework that addresses the performance degradation when retrieving short moments. Our framework consists of two novel techniques: a data augmentation technique called **MomentMix** and a length-aware decoder (**LAD**). Through data analysis, we identified a key limitation in the feature diversity of short moments. It prompts us to design MomentMix, which comprises two types of mix-based data augmentation strategies: **ForegroundMix** and **BackgroundMix**. In a video sample, we define the temporal moments relevant to the text query as the foreground and the unrelated moments as the background. **ForegroundMix** enhances the diversity of foreground features by combining rich foreground elements from different moments to create new short foregrounds. These newly created short foregrounds enable the model to detect short moments more robustly. Conversely, **BackgroundMix** utilizes portions of other videos as backgrounds, forming varied foreground-

background combinations. This enables the model to better differentiate between foreground and background, ultimately improving the quality of video features across all moment lengths, not just short ones.

Our analysis of model outputs revealed that center prediction errors significantly contribute to performance drops in short-moment retrieval. To address this, we introduce a length-aware decoder, which structures the decoder to focus more effectively on center prediction by conditioning it on length. Specifically, we predefine length classes (e.g., short, middle, long) and uniformly assign each decoder query to these length-specific classes. Additionally, we modify the bipartite matching process so that queries are matched with ground-truth moments within the same length class. This approach improves the accuracy of both center and length predictions for short moments.

In this work, we tackled the significant challenge of short-moment retrieval by proposing **MomentMix** augmentation and **Length-Aware Decoder**. Our approach significantly improved performance across various datasets, including QVHIGHLIGHTS, TACOS, and CHARADES-STA. Our contributions are summarized as follows:

1. We identify the root causes of performance degradation in short-moment retrieval for MR from both data and model perspectives.
2. To address the issue of limited feature diversity in short moments, we propose a novel augmentation strategy based on two types of mixing methods, specifically tailored for video moment retrieval.
3. To enhance both center and length prediction for short moments, we introduced length conditioning into DETR-based MR methods for the first time, effectively creating “length-wise expert” queries with length-wise matching.
4. Our approach notably enhanced performance on the various MR datasets, resulting in significant improvement of mAP in QVHIGHLIGHTS (9.36%; 41.22 \rightarrow 45.08) and across other datasets.

2. Related Work

2.1. Moment Retrieval

The moment retrieval (MR) task involves identifying the start and end timestamps of a specific moment within a video given a text query. Traditional methods approach MR through either **two-stage** [2, 9, 13, 45, 47] or **one-stage** [5, 14, 22, 27, 34, 41, 46] framework, which often rely on cumbersome processes such as proposal generation, non-maximum suppression (NMS), and human priors. A significant paradigm shift was introduced with **Moment-DETR** [19], which framed MR as a direct set prediction task using **Detection Transformer** (DETR) [4]. This approach eliminates these dependencies, thereby making MR




Figure 2. **Data perspective analysis.** t-SNE [33] visualization of visual features for 50 sampled short moments and 50 non-short moments from QVHIGHLIGHTS *train* set. Each sampled moment is taken from a distinct video. This plot demonstrates that short moments exhibit fewer and significantly sparser visual features compared to non-short moments, highlighting the limited visual information inherent to their short duration.

more efficient and flexible. Subsequent models, including QD-DETR [26], and MESM [25], have further optimized DETR-based MR by addressing cross-modal alignment and refining prediction accuracy. Recent works, such as TR-DETR [32], TaskWeave [44], and UVCOM [38], leverage task-specific features to bridge Moment Retrieval and Highlight Detection, enhancing robustness across both tasks. Additionally, there are researches to develop boundary-aware notations for moments [18] and to explore advanced feature in MR [24, 37]. Considering the efficiency and advancements of DETR-based architectures, our research specifically targets the challenges within DETR-based methods.

Different from the previous works, to the best of our knowledge, we are the first to address the critical limitation of performance degradation on short moments, a common challenge across existing DETR-based methods. Our approach specifically targets the accurate retrieval of short-duration moments, thereby filling a significant gap in the current landscape of DETR-based MR research.

2.2. Mixing-based Augmentation

Mixing-based augmentations have been explored in both image and video tasks, each adapting spatial or temporal mixing based on task requirements. In image classification, Mixup [42] and CutMix [39] create new image samples by interpolating or combining patches, promoting diverse feature representations. Copy-Paste [12] augments data for detection and segmentation by inserting objects from one image into another, increasing object and scene variety. In video understanding tasks, VideoMix [40] inserts randomly selected video cuboid patches from one video into another, thereby introducing both spatial and temporal diversity. Similarly, VIPriors [16] extends traditional image-based mixing augmentations to the temporal dimension, which strengthens temporal feature representations and improves model robustness against temporal fluctuations.

However, these approaches primarily focus on modify-




Figure 3. **Model perspective analysis.** We analyze the top-1 predictions of QD-DETR on the QVHIGHLIGHTS *val* set. [Left] Percentage of predictions where the predicted center falls within the ground truth. Only 37% of center predictions for short moments have their centers within the ground truth, indicating substantial errors in center prediction. [Right] Confusion matrix displaying predicted moment lengths (x-axis) versus ground-truth moment lengths (y-axis) across various durations. Short moments (highlighted in red) show a high rate of length prediction errors.

ing spatial features and are not directly applicable to the Moment Retrieval framework, which relies solely on frame-level features without spatial dimensions. To address this limitation, we introduce a novel data augmentation technique specifically designed for moment retrieval that enhances the diversity of frame-level features.

3. Method

3.1. Motivation

Background. Suppose that a video consists of \mathcal{N}_v clips, $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^{\mathcal{N}_v}$, and a text query of \mathcal{N}_t words, $\{t_i\}_{i=1}^{\mathcal{N}_t}$. The objective of moment retrieval (MR) is to predict a set of \mathcal{N}_m moments, $\{m_i\}_{i=1}^{\mathcal{N}_m}$, corresponding to video clips relevant to the text query. Each moment m_i is defined by its center coordinate c_i and length (span) σ_i , representing a contiguous subset of video clips. In this paper, we classify moments based on the following criteria: 1) A temporal moment within the video is defined as *foreground* if it is relevant to the text query, and as *background* if it is not. 2) Moments are categorized as short (less than 10 seconds), middle (10 to 30 seconds), or long (over 30 seconds) based on their temporal duration, consistent with the classification used in the previous method [19].

Performance limit of DETR-based methods. Recent approaches actively employ DETR for the MR task and achieve impressive performances. We selected representative DETR-based models and analyzed their performance according to the length of target moments. Despite achieving strong performance, these models exhibited significant performance drops in retrieving short moments, as shown in Table 1. Specifically, QD-DETR, TR-DETR, and UVCOM experienced mAP declines of -79.8%, 78.0%, and 72.4% for short moments. These results indicate a consistently sig-




Figure 4. **Overview.** In Section 3.2, we propose MomentMix, which comprises two types of mix-based data augmentations: ForegroundMix and BackgroundMix. ForegroundMix implementation involves cutting a long moment into shorter segments, which are then shuffled to generate new short-moment data. The BackgroundMix preserves the foregrounds unchanged and replaces the backgrounds with temporal segments randomly cropped from other video samples. In Section 3.3, We introduce a Length-Aware Decoder, which utilizes a length-wise bipartite matching process to create length-wise expert decoder queries.

nificant decrease relative to overall performance. To address this degradation, we investigated the underlying causes from both data-centric and model-centric perspectives.

For the data-centric analysis, we examined the statistical characteristics of short moments. As shown in Figure 1, while the total number of short moments is comparable to that of other types of moments, the number of videos containing short moments is clearly limited. This led us to hypothesize that short moments might lack diverse contextual representation and could exhibit a narrow distribution in the training data. To test this hypothesis, we compared the feature distribution of short moments to that of other moments using feature visualization. We randomly sampled 50 short moments and 50 non-short moments from the training set and applied t-SNE [33] to visualize their visual features. As shown in Figure 2, the distribution of visual features for short moments was concentrated, indicating a significant lack of diversity. This observation suggests that the training data for short moments does not capture a wide range of visual features, leading to suboptimal generalization performance during testing.

For the model-centric analysis, we evaluated the model’s prediction tendencies by separately assessing the center and length predictions of short moments compared to other types of moments. As illustrated in Figure 3, only 37% of center predictions for short moments have their center within the ground truth, while 74% for middle moment and 82% for long moments. This revealed that inaccuracies in center prediction are a significant source of overall error.

To overcome these limitations, we propose two novel techniques, MomentMix and Length-Aware Decoder that can be easily integrated into other DETR-based models. Our overall architecture is illustrated in Figure 4, following the design of the common baseline, QD-DETR.

3.2. MomentMix: Leveraging ForegroundMix and BackgroundMix

We propose MomentMix, a data augmentation strategy designed to address the issue of low feature diversity for short moments. MomentMix consists of two components: (1) ForegroundMix, which enhances the diversity of foreground features, and (2) BackgroundMix, which increases the variety of background features. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first data augmentation approach specifically tailored for video moment retrieval.

ForegroundMix augmentation. The goal of ForegroundMix is to increase the visual diversity of foreground features in short moments, enabling more generalized prediction. To achieve this, we randomly extract and mix rich foreground features from longer samples to create augmented short moments. Visual features within a single video naturally exhibit higher similarity compared to those from different videos. By exploiting features from other video clips, our method allows the model to generalize diverse contexts, ensuring it can detect short moments reliably even in challenging or ambiguous frames.

Given an existing video training sample $X = \{v_i\}_{i=0}^{\mathcal{N}_v}$ that contains a long foreground (moment) $f_{\text{source}} = \{v_i\}_{i=s}^e$, this foreground can be divided into sub-foregrounds f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n as follows:

$$f_{\text{source}} = \bigcup_{i=1}^n f_i, \text{ where } f_i \cap f_j = \emptyset \text{ for all } i \neq j. \quad (1)$$

Here, $n = \frac{\text{len}(f_{\text{source}})}{\varepsilon_{\text{cut}}}$, where ε_{cut} is a hyperparameter determining the extent to which each sub-foreground is shortened relative to the original long foreground.

These sub-regions represent segments of the foreground, uniformly sampled as $f_i^s, f_i^e \sim \text{Unif}(s, e)$, where s and e indicate start and end of f_{source} . Similarly, the background region, $b_{\text{source}} = b_{\text{front}} \cup b_{\text{back}}$, is divided into $n + 1$ sub-regions denoted as b_0, b_1, \dots, b_n , representing the segments of the background as:

$$b_{\text{source}} = \bigcup_{i=0}^n b_i \text{ where } b_i \cap b_j = \emptyset \text{ for all } i \neq j. \quad (2)$$

The original foreground, $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^n$, and backgrounds, $\{b_i\}_{i=0}^n$, are then shuffled as

$$\begin{aligned} \pi : \{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\} &\rightarrow \{f'_1, f'_2, \dots, f'_n\}, \\ \pi : \{b_0, b_1, \dots, b_n\} &\rightarrow \{b'_0, b'_1, \dots, b'_n\}, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where π be a random permutation function.

Each shuffled foregrounds $\{f'_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is then paired with backgrounds $\{b'_i\}_{i=0}^n$ to form the following augmented samples:

$$X' = b'_0 \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^n (f'_i \cup b'_i). \quad (4)$$

BackgroundMix augmentation. The goal of BackgroundMix is to improve the diversity of the visual background features, thereby strengthening the association between foreground visual features and the text query. To achieve this, we keep the original foreground features while replacing the background with features from different videos. This method provides the model with richer training signals, allowing it to learn various boundaries more effectively.

A given the k -th video training sample X^k , consists of \mathcal{N}_f^k foreground segments $f^k = \{f_i^k\}_{i=1}^{\mathcal{N}_f^k}$ and \mathcal{N}_b^k background segments $b^k = \{b_i^k\}_{i=1}^{\mathcal{N}_b^k}$. All segments within the video are defined as follows:

$$a^k = f^k \cup b^k = \{a_i^k\}_{i=1}^{\mathcal{N}_a^k}, \text{ where } \mathcal{N}_a^k = \mathcal{N}_f^k + \mathcal{N}_b^k. \quad (5)$$

To increase feature diversity, we replace each background segment b_i^k of the k -th sample with a randomly cropped segment from a different training sample X^m ($m \neq k$). Specifically, for each b_i^k , a segment a_j^m is randomly selected from X^m and cropped to match the duration of b_i^k . The replacement is performed as follows:

$$b_i^k \leftarrow \text{Crop}(a_j^m, |b_i^k|)$$

This approach ensures that while the backgrounds of the k -th sample are augmented with diverse background features, the original foreground remains intact.




Figure 5. [Left] Group-DETR [6] employs one-to-many matching, where the same labels are utilized across all groups. [Right] Our length-wise matching is one-to-one, and it operates within each length class. By matching only the predictions and ground truths that belong to the same class, this approach enables the creation of length-wise expert queries.

3.3. Length-Aware Decoder

In our previous analysis, we identified that the model struggles to accurately predict both the center and the length of short moments. To address this issue, we propose a length-aware decoder that conditions the moment length, enabling the model to focus more effectively on center prediction. We categorize moment lengths into distinct classes—such as short, middle, and long—by analyzing a cumulative mAP graph and identifying inflection points as boundaries. (Detailed information can be found in the supplementary materials.) The decoder queries are trained using a length-wise matching approach based on these length categories. This categorization creates length-wise expert queries that better handle the specific characteristics of different moment lengths.

Decoder queries with class-pattern. We define \mathcal{N}_c as the number of length classes for assigning roles to decoder queries. Drawing inspiration from Anchor-DETR [36], we interpret *pattern* in pattern embedding as a length category and create *class-pattern embeddings* Q_c :

$$Q_c = \text{Embedding}(\mathcal{N}_c, d) \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{N}_c \times d}. \quad (6)$$

By replicating each class-pattern embedding \mathcal{N}_q times (the number of queries per length), we obtain class-specific queries $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{N}_c \mathcal{N}_q \times d}$. This approach ensures that decoder queries share the same class embedding within each length category, enabling each query to perform roles tailored to its specific length class.

Length-wise matching. To create the length-wise expertise within class-pattern embeddings, we revised the bipartite matching approach to operate on a per-class basis. This method ensures that class-specific queries are matched and trained only with ground truth moments of the corresponding length class. By categorizing ground truth moments into length classes and performing length-class-wise matching,

we ensure precise alignment. Although this may resemble group-wise matching in object detection [6], it differs significantly. As shown in Figure 5, existing methods use the same labels across all groups, resulting in one-to-many label assignments. In contrast, our approach assigns a unique subset of labels to each length class, enabling one-to-one assignments and effectively creating a "length-wise expert" for matching.

We denote $\hat{y} = \{\hat{y}_i\}_{i=1}^{\mathcal{N}_c \mathcal{N}_q}$ as all the predicted moments from the decoder head, where \mathcal{N}_c and \mathcal{N}_q are a number of classes and a number of queries for each class $k \in \text{length-classes}$, respectively. Then, the predictions belonging to class k can be denoted as:

$$\hat{y}^{(k)} = \{\hat{y}_i \mid i^{\text{th}} \text{ query} \in \text{class } k\}, \quad (7)$$

When all ground truth moments are denoted as $y = \{y_i\}_{i=1}^{\mathcal{N}_y}$, ground truth moments belonging to a specific class k can be defined as:

$$y^{(k)} = \{y_i \mid \text{length}(y_i) \in \text{class } k\}. \quad (8)$$

For bipartite matching, by applying background \emptyset padding to make each set size \mathcal{N}_q , the final ground truth set becomes $\tilde{y}^{(k)} = \{y_i^{(k)}\}_{i=1}^{\mathcal{N}_q}$. The bipartite matching for each class k is determined by finding the lowest cost among permutations of \mathcal{N}_q elements, denoted as $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{N}_q}$.

$$\hat{\sigma}^{(k)} = \arg \min_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{N}_q}} \sum_i^{\mathcal{N}_q} \{\mathbb{C}_{\text{match}}(\tilde{y}_i^{(k)}, \hat{y}_{\sigma(i)}^{(k)})\}, \quad (9)$$

where $\mathbb{C}_{\text{match}}$ is a *matching cost* between ground truth and prediction. The matching cost function is set identically to that of the previous method [19].

This approach of class-wise matching aids in explicitly determining the length classes that were implicitly carried by the moment queries. By combining all the results from the bipartite matching for each class, we achieve an efficient matching that considers moment length.

4. Experiments

4.1. Experimental Setup

Datasets. We utilized three datasets, QVHIGHLIGHTS [19], CHARADES-STA [10], and TACoS, [30]) for evaluation. QVHIGHLIGHTS consists of over 10k YouTube videos covering various topics such as everyday activities, travel, social activities, and political activities. It contains moments of various lengths distributed evenly and allows for testing our intended aspects effectively, as multiple moments appear within a single video. Considering the diversity and complexity of the dataset, it covers the most realistic and challenging scenario. CHARADES-STA focuses on daily indoor activities, comprising 9,848 videos with 16,128 annotated queries. The lengths of moments are mostly below 20

seconds. TACoS primarily features activities in the cooking domain, consisting of 127 videos with 18,818 queries. The video lengths vary from very short to nearly 800 seconds, with most moments being shorter than 30 seconds. Unlike QVHIGHLIGHTS and TACoS, which encompass moments of varying lengths, CHARADES-STA is predominantly composed of shorter samples (under 30 seconds), thus it does not fully align with the problem we aim to address. Nevertheless, we include it in our evaluation to assess the model’s generalization performance.

Evaluation metrics. Following the metrics of existing methods, we use mean average precision (mAP) with Intersection of Union (IoU) thresholds of 0.5 and 0.75, as well as the average mAP over multiple IoU thresholds [0.5: 0.05: 0.95]. Additionally, we report the standard metric Recall@1 (R1) metric, commonly used in single-moment retrieval, with IoU thresholds of 0.5 and 0.7. Also, we report the average R1 over multiple IoU thresholds [0.5: 0.05: 0.95].

Implementation details. We divided the classes based on the point where the change in performance was most significant in the validation results of each baseline model. To achieve this, we plotted the cumulative mAP graph with respect to length and identified the inflection points. The thresholds for class division were then determined by calculating the k-means centers of these inflection points. As a result, we set the thresholds for QVHIGHLIGHTS as [12, 36, 65, inf], for CHARADES-STA as [5.67, 14, inf], and for TACoS as [10, 19, 38, inf], using UVCOM as baseline.

In ForegroundMix, we set $\varepsilon_{\text{cut}} = 5$ for QVHIGHLIGHTS and TACoS and $\varepsilon_{\text{cut}} = 10$ for CHARADES-STA to create shorter moments. In Length-Aware Decoder, the number of queries per class \mathcal{N}_q was set to 10.

For a fair comparison, we utilize the same features that previous works used. On QVHIGHLIGHTS and TACoS, the video features are extracted from SlowFast [8] and CLIP visual encoder [29]. On CHARADES-STA, we use two feature types as in previous works. The first type is the video features from SlowFast and CLIP visual encoder, and text features extracted from the CLIP text encoder. The second type is video features extracted from VGG [31] and text features extracted from GloVe [28].

The model is trained for 200 epochs on all datasets with learning rates $1e-4$. The batch size is 32 for QVHIGHLIGHTS, 8 for CHARADES-STA, and 16 for TACoS, following previous methods. We kept all the baseline parameters.

4.2. Results

We applied our method to QD-DETR [26], a common baseline in many studies. However, since our method can be easily added to other models, we further validated our method on three recent methods (TR-DETR [32], and UVCOM [38]) to demonstrate its effectiveness. We com-

Table 2. Performance gains of our method on the QVHIGHLIGHTS *test* set across different moment lengths.

Method	Short		Middle		Long		All	
	R1	mAP	R1	mAP	R1	mAP	R1	mAP
QD-DETR [26]	3.95	6.98	37.39	41.12	42.86	46.95	40.01	39.84
+Ours	13.47	15.36	39.57	44.93	46.15	52.75	45.03	46.03
	+9.52	+8.38	+2.18	+3.81	+3.29	+5.80	+5.03	+6.19
TR-DETR [32]	4.95	8.22	40.08	43.27	47.63	50.80	43.70	42.62
+Ours	13.40	15.45	41.59	46.42	47.51	53.01	46.76	47.23
	+8.44	+7.23	+1.51	+3.15	-0.12	+2.21	+3.06	+4.61
UVCOM [38]	5.28	10.67	41.81	44.90	44.95	48.37	43.85	43.18
+Ours	12.54	16.36	42.40	48.30	46.08	52.22	46.51	47.93
	+7.26	+5.69	+0.59	+3.40	+1.13	+3.85	+2.66	+4.75

Table 3. Performance comparison on QVHIGHLIGHTS *test* set. † indicates training with additional audio features.

Method	MR			HD				
	R1		mAP	≥ Very Good				
	@0.5	@0.7	Avg.	@0.5	@0.75	Avg.		
M-DETR [19]	52.89	33.02	-	54.82	29.40	30.73	35.69	55.60
UMT † [23]	56.23	41.18	-	53.83	37.01	36.12	38.18	59.99
EaTR [15]	57.98	42.41	-	59.95	39.29	39.00	-	-
UniVTG [21]	58.86	40.86	-	57.60	35.59	35.47	38.20	60.96
MomentDiff [20]	57.42	39.66	-	54.02	35.73	35.95	-	-
MESM [25]	62.78	45.20	-	62.64	41.45	40.68	-	-
TaskWeave [44]	61.87	46.24	42.45	63.75	43.63	43.33	37.87	59.08
BAM-DETR [18]	62.71	48.64	-	64.57	46.33	45.36	-	-
QD-DETR [26]	61.22	44.49	40.01	62.31	39.45	39.84	39.01	62.13
+Ours	64.01	48.90	45.03	64.69	47.23	46.03	40.32	66.99
	+2.79	+4.41	+5.03	+2.38	+7.78	+6.19	+1.31	+4.86
TR-DETR [32]	64.66	48.96	43.70	63.98	43.73	42.62	39.91	63.42
+Ours	65.43	50.13	46.76	65.66	47.89	47.23	41.41	65.63
	+0.77	+1.17	+3.06	+1.68	+4.16	+4.61	+1.50	+2.21
UVCOM [38]	63.55	47.47	43.85	63.37	42.67	43.18	39.74	64.20
+Ours	63.94	51.10	46.51	65.65	49.44	47.93	40.39	65.50
	+0.39	+3.63	+2.66	+2.28	+6.77	+4.75	+0.65	+1.30

pared our approach against existing moment retrieval methods, including the latest DETR-based models. While existing models report only overall performance, we also analyze the performance of each length.

Performance with respect to moment length on QVHIGHLIGHTS. In Table 2, our method significantly improves short-moment performance across all baselines. Specifically, for QD-DETR, the R1 average and mAP average for short moments increased by +9.52% and +8.38%, respectively. Moreover, our approach consistently outperforms all baselines in mAP average across all lengths.

Overall performance on QVHIGHLIGHTS. In Table 3, our method yields significant improvements across all metrics, indicating enhanced overall performance across all baselines. Notably, while our primary objective was to improve the short moment performance in Moment Retrieval (MR) by enhancing feature diversity, we also observed sub-

Table 4. Results on CHARADES-STA and TACOS *test* set. ‡ indicates training with VGG features and GloVe features.

Method	CHARADES-STA		TACOS		CHARADES-STA ‡	
	R1@0.5	R1@0.7	R1@0.5	R1@0.7	R1@0.5	R1@0.7
SAP [9]	-	-	-	-	27.42	13.36
SM-RL [35]	-	-	-	-	24.36	11.17
MAN [41]	-	-	-	-	41.24	20.54
2D-TAN [46]	46.02	27.50	27.99	12.92	40.94	22.85
VSLNet [43]	42.69	24.14	23.54	13.15	-	-
M-DETR [19]	53.63	31.37	24.67	11.97	-	-
QD-DETR [26]	57.31	32.55	-	-	52.77	31.13
UniVTG [21]	58.01	35.65	34.97	17.35	-	-
MESM [25]	<u>61.24</u>	38.04	-	-	56.69	35.99
TR-DETR [32]	57.61	33.52	-	-	53.47	30.81
TaskWeave [44]	56.51	33.66	-	-	<u>56.51</u>	33.66
BAM-DETR [18]	59.83	<u>39.83</u>	<u>41.54</u>	<u>26.77</u>	-	-
UVCOM [38]	59.25	36.64	36.39	23.32	54.57	34.13
+Ours	61.45	40.22	42.31	28.09	56.16	36.10
	+2.20	+3.58	+5.92	+4.77	+1.59	+1.97

stantial performance gains in Highlight Detection (HD). This demonstrates that enhancing feature diversity is an effective strategy that can positively impact other tasks as well.

Overall performance on CHARADES-STA and TACOS.

As shown in Table 4, our method achieved a substantial performance improvement of +5.92% in R1@0.5 on TACOS, which encompasses moments with a broader range of lengths compared to QVHIGHLIGHTS. This significant enhancement demonstrates the superior generalization capabilities of our length-aware approach.

In contrast to other datasets, CHARADES-STA contains moments with highly restricted lengths, making it less representative of the problem we aim to address. Nevertheless, we included CHARADES-STA in our evaluation to ensure the robustness of our approach. The results show that our method improved R1@0.7 by +3.58% when using SlowFast and CLIP features, +1.97% using VGG features.

4.3. Ablation Studies and Discussions

Component analysis. In Table 5, we examined the impact of MomentMix and the Length-Aware Decoder on enhancing performance for short moments, observing overall gains. While each component individually improves performance, their combined application leads to even greater improvements. This suggests that our two components, MomentMix and Length-Aware Decoder, each contribute effectively without redundancy, making their combined use the effective approach for tackling the challenge of short-moment retrieval.

Evaluation in few-shot scenarios. To validate the effectiveness of MomentMix as a data augmentation technique, we conducted experiments using 50%, 20%, and 10% of

Table 5. Performance comparison with baseline(QD-DETR) on QVHIGHLIGHTS *val* set. FGMix, BGMix, and LAD indicate ForeGround Mix, BackGround Mix and Length-Aware Decoder, respectively.

Method			Short		Middle		Long		All					
			R1	mAP	R1	mAP	R1	mAP	R1			mAP		
FGMix	BGMix	LAD	Avg.		Avg.		Avg.		@0.5	@0.7	Avg.	@0.5	@0.75	Avg.
✗	✗	✗	4.57	7.77	38.89	43.10	42.62	47.44	61.39	46.18	41.06	61.68	41.57	41.00
✓	✗	✗	7.86	12.21	41.42	45.28	43.45	47.69	64.06	48.97	43.84	64.15	43.96	43.32
✗	✓	✗	6.27	11.07	42.74	46.51	44.55	48.57	63.87	49.03	44.62	63.64	44.23	43.86
✗	✗	✓	6.99	10.26	39.48	44.68	43.34	51.23	60.77	46.71	42.36	62.89	45.47	43.91
✓	✓	✗	7.60	13.35	42.95	47.20	45.23	48.42	63.87	50.19	45.37	63.96	46.21	44.95
✓	✓	✓	12.26	16.44	42.89	47.69	45.78	52.75	64.32	50.90	46.83	65.49	48.92	47.84

Table 6. Results on the QVHIGHLIGHTS *val* set using 50%, 20%, and 10% of the original training data.

Method	R1			mAP		
	@0.5	@0.7	Avg.	@0.5	@0.75	Avg.
100% train data	61.39	46.18	41.06	61.68	41.57	41.00
50% train data	57.23	40.26	36.10	57.51	35.63	35.98
+ MomentMix	63.16	47.74	43.36	61.91	41.90	41.73
	+5.93	+7.48	+7.26	+4.40	+6.27	+5.75
20% train data	46.84	30.45	26.58	48.27	25.35	26.88
+ MomentMix	52.45	37.68	33.69	52.66	34.25	33.72
	+5.61	+7.23	+7.11	+4.39	+8.90	+6.84
10% train data	32.45	16.84	15.90	37.10	15.37	18.17
+ MomentMix	43.10	28.71	25.61	44.97	26.12	26.62
	+10.65	+11.87	+9.71	+7.87	+10.75	+8.45

the training data. As shown in Table 6, our method significantly outperformed the baseline (QD-DETR) with substantial performance gains. Specifically, utilizing only half of the training samples with our augmentation surpassed the baseline performance that employed the entire training dataset. Additionally, even in the extreme scenario of using just 10% of the training samples, our method achieved remarkable improvements of +9.71% in R1 average and +8.45% in mAP. These results indicate that MomentMix effectively generates new training samples by enhancing feature diversity.

Qualitative results. We visualized predictions with confidence scores exceeding 0.7, using an alpha value of 0.5. As shown in Figure 6, by applying our method, short moments predicted as background in other methods can now be accurately captured. Also, predictions that merged multiple short instances into a single long instance can now be segmented into precise, fine-grained predictions.

5. Limitation and Conclusion

Limitation. While we have incorporated length-awareness in the decoder, further investigation into enhancing the encoder is necessary. Moreover, our novel data augmentation method for MR improves performance but also increases




Figure 6. A qualitative result on QVHIGHLIGHTS *val* set. Existing models often fail to accurately distinguish between foreground and background, leading to unsuccessful predictions or missed detections of short moments. In contrast, our model is capable of predicting short moments with greater accuracy and robustness.

training time. Thus, future research should focus on developing more efficient approaches.

Conclusion. In this study, we addressed the limitations of short-moment retrieval in existing DETR-based approaches from both data and model perspectives. To overcome the data-centric issue of limited feature diversity in short moments, we introduced MomentMix, which leverages two mix-based data augmentation strategies: ForegroundMix and BackgroundMix. These strategies enhance the feature representations of both foreground and background elements. On the model side, we identified inaccuracies in center predictions for short moments and proposed a Length-Aware Decoder with a novel bipartite matching process conditioned on moment length. This approach leverages length expert queries to improve center prediction accuracy. Extensive experiments demonstrate that our method surpasses state-of-the-art DETR-based moment retrieval models in terms of R1 and mAP on benchmark datasets. Furthermore, our methodology can be seamlessly integrated with other DETR-based models, paving the way for future advancements in the field.

Bibliography

- [1] Lisa Anne Hendricks, Oliver Wang, Eli Shechtman, Josef Sivic, Trevor Darrell, and Bryan Russell. Localizing moments in video with natural language. In *Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision*, pages 5803–5812, 2017. 1
- [2] Lisa Anne Hendricks, Oliver Wang, Eli Shechtman, Josef Sivic, Trevor Darrell, and Bryan Russell. Localizing moments in video with natural language. In *Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision*, pages 5803–5812, 2017. 2
- [3] Robert S Boyer and J Strother Moore. A fast string searching algorithm. *Communications of the ACM*, 20(10):762–772, 1977. 1
- [4] Nicolas Carion, Francisco Massa, Gabriel Synnaeve, Nicolas Usunier, Alexander Kirillov, and Sergey Zagoruyko. End-to-end object detection with transformers. In *European conference on computer vision*, pages 213–229. Springer, 2020. 2
- [5] Jingyuan Chen, Xinpeng Chen, Lin Ma, Zequn Jie, and Tat-Seng Chua. Temporally grounding natural sentence in video. In *Proceedings of the 2018 conference on empirical methods in natural language processing*, pages 162–171, 2018. 2
- [6] Qiang Chen, Xiaokang Chen, Jian Wang, Shan Zhang, Kun Yao, Haocheng Feng, Junyu Han, Errui Ding, Gang Zeng, and Jingdong Wang. Group detr: Fast detr training with group-wise one-to-many assignment. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 6633–6642, 2023. 5, 6, 1
- [7] Xu Cheng, Jiangchuan Liu, and Cameron Dale. Understanding the characteristics of internet short video sharing: A youtube-based measurement study. *IEEE transactions on multimedia*, 15(5):1184–1194, 2013. 1
- [8] Christoph Feichtenhofer, Haoqi Fan, Jitendra Malik, and Kaiming He. Slowfast networks for video recognition. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*, pages 6202–6211, 2019. 6
- [9] Junyu Gao and Changsheng Xu. Fast video moment retrieval. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 1523–1532, 2021. 2, 7
- [10] Jiyang Gao, Chen Sun, Zhenheng Yang, and Ram Nevatia. Tall: Temporal activity localization via language query. In *Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision*, pages 5267–5275, 2017. 6
- [11] Jiyang Gao, Chen Sun, Zhenheng Yang, and Ram Nevatia. Tall: Temporal activity localization via language query. In *Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision*, pages 5267–5275, 2017. 1
- [12] Golnaz Ghiasi, Yin Cui, Aravind Srinivas, Rui Qian, Tsung-Yi Lin, Ekin D Cubuk, Quoc V Le, and Barret Zoph. Simple copy-paste is a strong data augmentation method for instance segmentation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 2918–2928, 2021. 3
- [13] Lisa Anne Hendricks, Oliver Wang, Eli Shechtman, Josef Sivic, Trevor Darrell, and Bryan Russell. Localizing moments in video with temporal language. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1809.01337*, 2018. 2
- [14] Yupeng Hu, Meng Liu, Xiaobin Su, Zan Gao, and Liqiang Nie. Video moment localization via deep cross-modal hashing. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 30:4667–4677, 2021. 2
- [15] Jinhyun Jang, Jungin Park, Jin Kim, Hyeongjun Kwon, and Kwanghoon Sohn. Knowing where to focus: Event-aware transformer for video grounding. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 13846–13856, 2023. 7
- [16] Taeh Kim, Hyeongmin Lee, MyeongAh Cho, Ho Seong Lee, Dong Heon Cho, and Sangyoun Lee. Learning temporally invariant and localizable features via data augmentation for video recognition. In *Computer Vision—ECCV 2020 Workshops: Glasgow, UK, August 23–28, 2020, Proceedings, Part II 16*, pages 386–403. Springer, 2020. 3
- [17] Qiuqiang Kong, Yin Cao, Turab Iqbal, Yuxuan Wang, Wenwu Wang, and Mark D Plumbley. Panns: Large-scale pretrained audio neural networks for audio pattern recognition. *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing*, 28:2880–2894, 2020. 3
- [18] Pilhyeon Lee and Hyeran Byun. Bam-detr: Boundary-aligned moment detection transformer for temporal sentence grounding in videos. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 220–238. Springer, 2025. 3, 7
- [19] Jie Lei, Tamara L Berg, and Mohit Bansal. Detecting moments and highlights in videos via natural language queries. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 34: 11846–11858, 2021. 1, 2, 3, 6, 7
- [20] Pandeng Li, Chen-Wei Xie, Hongtao Xie, Liming Zhao, Lei Zhang, Yun Zheng, Deli Zhao, and Yongdong Zhang. Momentdiff: Generative video moment retrieval from random to real. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 36, 2024. 7
- [21] Kevin Qinghong Lin, Pengchuan Zhang, Joya Chen, Shraman Pramanick, Difei Gao, Alex Jinpeng Wang, Rui Yan, and Mike Zheng Shou. Univtg: Towards unified video-language temporal grounding. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 2794–2804, 2023. 7
- [22] Bingbin Liu, Serena Yeung, Edward Chou, De-An Huang, Li Fei-Fei, and Juan Carlos Niebles. Temporal modular networks for retrieving complex compositional activities in videos. In *Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer Vision (ECCV)*, pages 552–568, 2018. 2
- [23] Ye Liu, Siyuan Li, Yang Wu, Chang-Wen Chen, Ying Shan, and Xiaohu Qie. Umt: Unified multi-modal transformers for joint video moment retrieval and highlight detection. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 3042–3051, 2022. 7
- [24] Ye Liu, Jixuan He, Wanhua Li, Junsik Kim, Donglai Wei, Hanspeter Pfister, and Chang Wen Chen. R2-tuning: Efficient image-to-video transfer learning for video temporal grounding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.00801*, 2024. 3
- [25] Zhihang Liu, Jun Li, Hongtao Xie, Pandeng Li, Jiannan Ge, Sun-Ao Liu, and Guoqing Jin. Towards balanced alignment: Modal-enhanced semantic modeling for video moment retrieval. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pages 3855–3863, 2024. 3, 7

- [26] WonJun Moon, Sangeek Hyun, SangUk Park, Dongchan Park, and Jae-Pil Heo. Query-dependent video representation for moment retrieval and highlight detection. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 23023–23033, 2023. 1, 3, 6, 7
- [27] Mayu Otani, Yuta Nakashima, Esa Rahtu, and Janne Heikkilä. Uncovering hidden challenges in query-based video moment retrieval. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2009.00325*, 2020. 2
- [28] Jeffrey Pennington, Richard Socher, and Christopher D Manning. Glove: Global vectors for word representation. In *Proceedings of the 2014 conference on empirical methods in natural language processing (EMNLP)*, pages 1532–1543, 2014. 6
- [29] Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Chris Hallacy, Aditya Ramesh, Gabriel Goh, Sandhini Agarwal, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Pamela Mishkin, Jack Clark, et al. Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision. In *International conference on machine learning*, pages 8748–8763. PMLR, 2021. 6
- [30] Michaela Regneri, Marcus Rohrbach, Dominikus Wetzel, Stefan Thater, Bernt Schiele, and Manfred Pinkal. Grounding action descriptions in videos. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 1:25–36, 2013. 6
- [31] Karen Simonyan and Andrew Zisserman. Very deep convolutional networks for large-scale image recognition. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1409.1556*, 2014. 6
- [32] Hao Sun, Mingyao Zhou, Wenjing Chen, and Wei Xie. Trdetr: Task-reciprocal transformer for joint moment retrieval and highlight detection. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pages 4998–5007, 2024. 1, 3, 6, 7
- [33] Laurens Van der Maaten and Geoffrey Hinton. Visualizing data using t-sne. *Journal of machine learning research*, 9(11), 2008. 3, 4
- [34] Jingwen Wang, Lin Ma, and Wenhao Jiang. Temporally grounding language queries in videos by contextual boundary-aware prediction. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pages 12168–12175, 2020. 2
- [35] Weining Wang, Yan Huang, and Liang Wang. Language-driven temporal activity localization: A semantic matching reinforcement learning model. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 334–343, 2019. 7
- [36] Yingming Wang, Xiangyu Zhang, Tong Yang, and Jian Sun. Anchor detr: Query design for transformer-based object detection. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2109.07107*, 3(6), 2021. 5
- [37] Yi Wang, Kunchang Li, Xinhao Li, Jiashuo Yu, Yinan He, Guo Chen, Baoqi Pei, Rongkun Zheng, Jilan Xu, Zun Wang, et al. Internvideo2: Scaling video foundation models for multimodal video understanding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.15377*, 2024. 3
- [38] Yicheng Xiao, Zhuoyan Luo, Yong Liu, Yue Ma, Hengwei Bian, Yatai Ji, Yujiu Yang, and Xiu Li. Bridging the gap: A unified video comprehension framework for moment retrieval and highlight detection. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 18709–18719, 2024. 1, 3, 6, 7
- [39] Sangdoon Yun, Dongyoon Han, Seong Joon Oh, Sanghyuk Chun, Junsuk Choe, and Youngjoon Yoo. Cutmix: Regularization strategy to train strong classifiers with localizable features. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*, pages 6023–6032, 2019. 3
- [40] Sangdoon Yun, Seong Joon Oh, Byeongho Heo, Dongyoon Han, and Jinhyung Kim. Videomix: Rethinking data augmentation for video classification. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2012.03457*, 2020. 3
- [41] Da Zhang, Xiyang Dai, Xin Wang, Yuan-Fang Wang, and Larry S. Davis. Man: Moment alignment network for natural language moment retrieval via iterative graph adjustment. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, 2019. 2, 7
- [42] Hongyi Zhang. mixup: Beyond empirical risk minimization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1710.09412*, 2017. 3
- [43] Hao Zhang, Aixin Sun, Wei Jing, and Joey Tianyi Zhou. Span-based localizing network for natural language video localization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.13931*, 2020. 7
- [44] Jiahao Zhang, Frederic Z Zhang, Cristian Rodriguez, Yizhak Ben-Shabat, Anoop Cherian, and Stephen Gould. Temporally grounding instructional diagrams in unconstrained videos. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.12066*, 2024. 1, 3, 7
- [45] Songyang Zhang, Jinsong Su, and Jiebo Luo. Exploiting temporal relationships in video moment localization with natural language. In *Proceedings of the 27th ACM International Conference on Multimedia*, pages 1230–1238, 2019. 2
- [46] Songyang Zhang, Houwen Peng, Jianlong Fu, and Jiebo Luo. Learning 2d temporal adjacent networks for moment localization with natural language. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pages 12870–12877, 2020. 2, 7
- [47] Zhu Zhang, Zhijie Lin, Zhou Zhao, and Zhenxin Xiao. Cross-modal interaction networks for query-based moment retrieval in videos. In *Proceedings of the 42nd International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*, pages 655–664, 2019. 2

Length-Aware DETR for Robust Moment Retrieval

Supplementary Material

Contents

A Additional Ablation Studies	1
B Moment Length Class Selection	2
C Evaluation with Diverse Feature Types	3
D More Qualitative Results	3

A. Additional Ablation Studies

Effect of MomentMix. We propose MomentMix, a novel mixing-based augmentation for moment retrieval (MR). To validate its effectiveness, we compared MomentMix with three naive augmentations: (1) Random Crop: randomly crops foreground regions. (2) Random Drop: masks a random $\alpha\%$ of frame features by setting them to zero. (3) Gaussian Noise: adds Gaussian noise with mean=0 and std= β to all frame features.

Table A1. Performance comparison of MomentMix and naive augmentations—Random Crop, Random Drop (α , drop rates), and Gaussian Noise (β , noise levels)—on the QVHIGHLIGHTS *val* set with QD-DETR as the baseline. Naive augmentations fail to improve performance, while MomentMix achieves significant gains in short moments and overall robustness in moment retrieval tasks.

Method	Short		Middle		Long		All	
	R1	mAP	R1	mAP	R1	mAP	R1	mAP
Baseline	4.57	7.77	38.89	43.10	42.62	47.44	41.06	41.00
Random Crop	5.31	8.99	39.34	43.03	41.01	46.00	40.95	41.00
Random Drop (0.1)	4.41	7.47	39.06	44.37	43.05	47.58	41.28	41.44
Random Drop (0.3)	3.52	7.99	38.16	41.74	43.62	46.93	40.69	40.14
Random Drop (0.5)	4.15	7.24	39.87	44.05	42.44	47.24	41.48	40.95
Gaussian Noise (0.01)	4.38	5.99	38.45	42.18	44.65	48.77	41.49	40.53
Gaussian Noise (0.05)	3.05	6.40	38.39	42.84	41.13	46.26	39.78	40.32
Gaussian Noise (0.1)	2.66	5.01	36.22	40.64	42.94	48.74	39.00	39.35
MomentMix	12.26	16.44	42.89	47.69	45.78	52.75	46.83	47.84

The results in Table A1 show that while Random Crop slightly enhances performance on short moments, it degrades mAP for other lengths, diminishing overall effectiveness. Similarly, Random Drop and Gaussian Noise fail to produce meaningful performance gains. In contrast, MomentMix achieved notable improvements for short moments while also enhancing performance across all lengths, leading to substantial gains in overall metrics. These results demonstrate the effectiveness of MomentMix augmentation compared with other naive augmentations.

Effect of Length-Aware Decoder. We present the Length-Aware Decoder (LAD), a novel framework designed to improve moment center predictions by conditioning on moment length. Unlike Group-DETR [6] in object detection, which employs a *group-wise one-to-many* matching strategy without explicit group definitions, LAD utilizes *length class-wise one-to-one* matching to generate length-wise expert queries. To validate LAD’s effectiveness as a specialized and robust solution for MR, we applied both LAD and Group-DETR to a shared baseline (QD-DETR).

Table A2. Performance comparison of LAD (Length-Aware Decoder) and Group-DETR on the QVHIGHLIGHTS *val* set.

Method	Short		Middle		Long		All	
	R1	mAP	R1	mAP	R1	mAP	R1	mAP
Baseline	4.57	7.77	38.89	43.10	42.62	47.44	41.06	41.00
Group-DETR	4.90	3.84	40.24	40.72	43.14	43.79	42.17	37.97
LAD	6.99	10.26	39.48	44.68	43.34	51.23	42.36	43.91

As shown in Table A2, while Group-DETR improves R1, it suffers a significant drop in mAP. In contrast, LAD achieves substantial gains in both R1 and mAP, effectively addressing performance degradation in short moments through its length-aware mechanisms. These results underscore LAD as a more effective and task-specific approach for MR.

Effect of number of queries. Our method employs 40 queries, with 10 queries allocated to each length class. In comparison, QD-DETR and TR-DETR originally use 10 queries, while UVCOM uses 30. To ensure that the observed performance improvements with our method are not simply due to the increased number of queries, we re-trained the baselines with 40 queries for a fair comparison.

Table A3. Results on QVHIGHLIGHTS *val* set. † indicates training with the number of queries the same as ours.

Method	Short		Middle		Long		All	
	R1	mAP	R1	mAP	R1	mAP	R1	mAP
QD-DETR	4.45	8.34	39.54	43.54	43.89	47.80	41.90	41.24
QD-DETR†	5.48	8.88	40.17	43.95	40.70	44.17	41.39	40.29
QD-DETR+Ours	12.26	16.44	42.89	47.69	45.78	52.75	46.83	47.84
TR-DETR	5.80	9.91	44.01	46.95	47.35	51.70	46.32	45.10
TR-DETR†	3.66	7.32	39.44	43.01	47.39	50.07	42.91	41.83
TR-DETR+Ours	12.40	17.05	45.17	50.66	49.06	54.47	49.49	50.33
UVCOM	5.97	12.65	45.97	49.04	45.19	49.39	46.77	45.80
UVCOM†	5.48	10.39	40.17	47.82	40.70	47.79	41.39	43.87
UVCOM+Ours	13.17	18.23	45.35	51.12	46.01	53.42	48.68	50.32

The results, presented in Table A3, clearly demonstrate that increasing the number of queries in the baselines does not

guarantee performance gains and can even lead to performance drops, as observed with TR-DETR. This indicates that the performance gains achieved by our method are not trivial or merely due to an increased number of queries.

Effect of cut criteria in ForegroundMix. We propose ForegroundMix, which cuts a long foreground into shorter sub-foregrounds, shuffles them, and generates new short-moment data. We analyze the effect of ε_{cut} , which determines sub-foreground shortening relative to the original long foreground, with QD-DETR as the baseline.

Table A4. Performance comparison on QVHIGHLIGHTS *val* set. ε_{cut} controls sub-foreground shortening in ForegroundMix. Across all values, ε_{cut} consistently improving overall performance, with smaller values excelling in short-moment enhancement.

Method	Short		Middle		Long		All	
	R1	mAP	R1	mAP	R1	mAP	R1	mAP
Baseline	4.57	7.77	38.89	43.10	42.62	47.44	41.06	41.00
$\varepsilon_{\text{cut}} = 5$	7.86	12.21	41.42	45.28	43.45	47.69	43.84	43.32
$\varepsilon_{\text{cut}} = 10$	6.78	10.87	42.31	46.07	44.16	48.11	44.35	43.45
$\varepsilon_{\text{cut}} = 15$	5.45	8.68	41.35	44.78	44.34	48.37	43.46	42.48

As shown in Table A4, smaller values of ε_{cut} (more aggressive cutting and greater shortening) lead to improved performance on shorter moments. Regardless of the value, ε_{cut} consistently enhances overall performance. Since our primary objective is to improve short-moment performance, we adopt the smallest value, $\varepsilon_{\text{cut}} = 5$, as our default setting.

B. Moment Length Class Selection

Defining length class. To define multiple length classes, we select corresponding length thresholds using the cumulative mAP graph with respect to length, as shown in Figure A1. We chose cumulative mAP because it effectively highlights lengths where the model underperforms. Initially, we compute the cumulative mAP for each moment length based

on an existing moment retrieval baseline, UVCOM. Subsequently, we identify the inflection points on the graph and cluster them using K-means. These clustered points determine the length class thresholds.

Performance comparison based on the number of classes. The number of classes, \mathcal{N}_c , is determined by the value of k in K-means. To determine the optimal k , we experimented with different class numbers with QD-DETR as the baseline. As shown in Table A5, using four classes resulted in the highest length-awareness in the model.

Table A5. Performance comparison on QVHIGHLIGHTS *val* set. \mathcal{N}_c indicates the number of length classes in LAD.

Method	Short		Middle		Long		All	
	R1	mAP	R1	mAP	R1	mAP	R1	mAP
Baseline	4.57	7.77	38.89	43.10	42.62	47.44	41.06	41.00
$\mathcal{N}_c = 2$	6.95	9.01	38.19	44.95	43.36	49.80	41.56	42.99
$\mathcal{N}_c = 3$	7.20	9.64	38.54	44.70	41.29	49.13	41.08	43.03
$\mathcal{N}_c = 4$	6.99	10.26	39.48	44.68	43.34	51.23	42.36	43.91

Effect of consistent class definition. We investigated the performance when using fixed thresholds [10, 30, 70, inf], deviating from the aforementioned approach. As depicted in Tab. A6, this also led to improved performance across all the datasets. This results demonstrates robust performance regardless of class definitions and holds the promise for further enhancement through precise tuning tailored to dataset characteristics.

Table A6. Performance when applying a consistent class definition across all datasets. The performance consistently improves.

Method	QVHIGHLIGHTS		TACoS		CHARADES-STA	
	R1 avg.	mAP avg.	R1@0.5	R1@0.7	R1@0.5	R1@0.7
UVCOM	46.77	45.80	36.39	23.32	59.25	36.64
+ Ours	49.03	50.27	42.01	27.24	59.78	40.48
	+2.26	+4.47	+5.62	+3.92	+0.53	+3.84




Figure A1. We defined length class based on inflection points in the cumulative mAP graph with respect to length.

Table A7. Performance comparison on the QVHIGHLIGHTS *val* set using additional audio modality. UVCOM results are reproduced from the original repository.

Method	Short		Middle		Long		All					
	R1	mAP	R1	mAP	R1	mAP	R1			mAP		
	Avg.		Avg.		Avg.		@0.5	@0.7	Avg.	@0.5	@0.75	Avg.
UVCOM	4.45	11.00	44.18	48.03	43.89	48.84	64.26	49.42	44.76	64.92	45.29	44.70
+ Ours	13.38	17.77	45.51	51.12	45.84	53.51	66.71	52.97	48.77	68.04	51.52	50.10
	+8.93	+6.77	+1.33	+3.09	+1.95	+4.67	+2.45	+3.55	+4.01	+3.12	+6.23	+5.40

Table A8. Performance comparison on the QVHIGHLIGHTS *val* set using the InternVideo2_{s2}-6B features for both video and text modalities. UVCOM results are reproduced from the original repository.

Method	Short		Middle		Long		All					
	R1	mAP	R1	mAP	R1	mAP	R1			mAP		
	Avg.		Avg.		Avg.		@0.5	@0.7	Avg.	@0.5	@0.75	Avg.
UVCOM	5.64	10.83	48.96	51.12	49.16	51.71	70.13	54.97	49.99	67.95	47.88	47.56
+ Ours	14.83	19.49	49.23	54.60	49.56	55.67	71.10	58.00	52.85	71.45	54.84	53.25
	+9.19	+8.66	+0.27	+3.48	+0.40	+3.96	+0.97	+3.03	+2.86	+3.50	+6.96	+5.69

C. Evaluation with Diverse Feature Types

We conducted experiments using various feature types to demonstrate that our methods—MomentMix augmentation and the Length-Aware Decoder—are robust and not limited to specific features.

Evaluation with additional audio features. Following prior work, we incorporated additional audio features extracted from PANNs [17] to evaluate our method’s performance. As shown in Table A7, compared to the baseline UVCOM trained with the additional audio modality, our method significantly outperforms the baseline, indicating its effectiveness.

Evaluation with InternVideo2 features. To further validate the robustness of our method across different feature types, we utilized features from InternVideo2 [37], a recent foundational model for multimodal video understanding, for both video and text modalities. We re-trained the baseline UVCOM and our method using these richer and more powerful features. As shown in Table A8, despite the enhanced feature quality, the baseline still suffers from performance degradation in short moments. In contrast, our method significantly improves short-moment performance, achieving gains of 9.19% in R1 and 8.66% in mAP, along with overall performance improvements. These results demonstrate that our method effectively addresses the short-moment performance issues.

D. More Qualitative Results

We provide comparisons with other models across a broader range of samples. Through these examples in Figure A2, we

can reaffirm that our method exhibits superior accuracy in predicting short moments.



Figure A2. We visualized predictions with confidence scores exceeding 0.7, using an alpha value of 0.5 on QVHIGHLIGHT *val* set. "Ours" refers to UVCOM with our proposed method applied. Existing models frequently struggle to effectively distinguish between foreground and background, resulting in inaccurate predictions or missed detections of short moments. In contrast, our model excels in accurately and robustly predicting short moments.