

TROPICAL INTERSECTION HOMOLOGY

RYOTA MIKAMI

ABSTRACT. For smooth complex proper toric varieties, algebraic cycles with rational coefficients modulo numerical equivalence are isomorphic to singular cohomology. We generalize this to suitable pairs of smooth proper varieties and simple normal crossing divisors by introducing a tropical analog of Goresky-MacPherson's intersection homology.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Tropical varieties	5
3. Geometric tropical intersection homology	7
4. Derived categories of locally graded sheaves	21
5. Sheaf-theoretic construction and Poincaré-Verdier duality	41
6. Comparisons	52
7. Algebraicity	62
8. Appendix. Six functors for graded sheaves	69
References	80

1. INTRODUCTION

Numerical equivalence \sim_{Num} of algebraic cycles $Z^p(Y)$ of smooth irreducible proper varieties Y over a field is defined by intersection numbers: $\alpha \sim_{\text{Num}} \beta$ ($\alpha, \beta \in Z^p(Y)$) if and only if $\deg(\alpha \cdot \gamma) = \deg(\beta \cdot \gamma) \in \mathbb{Z}$ ($\gamma \in Z^{\dim Y - p}(Y)$). The quotient $\text{CH}_{\text{Num}}^p(Y) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ (tensoring with \mathbb{Q}) plays a central role in the theory of Grothendieck's pure motives (see e.g., [MNP13]). The definition of numerical equivalence is abstract, but classically, for a smooth complex proper toric variety T_{Σ} , we have a geometric description

$$\text{CH}_{\text{Num}}^p(T_{\Sigma}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \cong H_{\text{sing}}^{2p}(T_{\Sigma}(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Q}). \quad (1.1)$$

The aim of this paper is to give a generalization of this isomorphism by introducing a *tropical* analog of intersection homology. We consider the following situation. Let $\varphi: Y \hookrightarrow T_{\Lambda}$ be a closed immersion to the smooth toric variety T_{Λ} corresponding to a unimodular fan Λ such that the irreducible components of intersections of $\varphi(Y)$ and toric divisors form simple normal crossing divisors of $\varphi(Y)$. We also assume that the natural map

$$\text{CH}^*(T_{\Lambda}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \text{CH}_{\text{Num}}^*(Y) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \quad (1.2)$$

is surjective.

Key words and phrases. tropical geometry, tropical cohomology, intersection homology, algebraic cycles, numerical equivalence.

Remark 1.1. The existence of such a $\varphi: Y \hookrightarrow T_\Lambda$ shows that $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Num}}^*(Y) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ is generated by divisor classes as a \mathbb{Q} -algebra. When the base field is infinite and Y is projective, by Bertini's theorem, the converse is also true (take $T_\Lambda = \prod_i \mathbb{P}^{n_i}$). For a smooth irreducible projective variety Y_0 , these conditions do not necessary hold ([HW17]), but when the base field is infinite, there is always a blow-up $Y_1 \rightarrow Y_0$ satisfying these conditions (Proposition 7.12, see also Example 7.4).

Tropical geometry is, in a sense, a generalization of toric geometry. The *tropicalization* $\mathrm{Trop}(\varphi(Y))$ of $\varphi(Y) \subset T_\Lambda$ with respect to the trivial valuation of the base field is a compactification of the dual intersection complex of pull-backs $\{\varphi^{-1}(D_i)\}$ of toric divisors $\{D_i\}$ equipped with the information of intersection numbers $\deg \varphi^*([O(\lambda)])$ for toric orbits $O(\lambda) \subset T_\Lambda$ of codimension $\dim Y$ (see e.g., [GRW17, Subsection 3.3] for details). To simplify notation, we assume $\varphi(Y) \cap O(\lambda) \neq \emptyset$ ($\lambda \in \Lambda$).

A tropical analog of singular cohomology, *tropical cohomology* $H_{\mathrm{Trop}}^{p,q}(\mathrm{Trop}(\varphi(Y)); \mathbb{Q})$, was introduced by Itenberg-Katzarkov-Mikhalkin-Zharkov ([IKMZ19]) to study limit mixed Hodge structures of some maximally degenerate varieties (cf. [GS10]). For example, when $Y = T_\Lambda$ over \mathbb{C} , we have

$$H_{\mathrm{Trop}}^{p,p}(\mathrm{Trop}(\varphi(Y)); \mathbb{Q}) \cong H_{\mathrm{sing}}^{2p}(Y(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Q})$$

([IKMZ19]) (cf. [AAPS23]). In general, Amini-Piquerez ([AP24b, Theorem 1.1]) proved

$$H_{\mathrm{Trop}}^{p,q}(\mathrm{Trop}(\varphi(Y)); \mathbb{Q}) \cong \begin{cases} \mathrm{CH}^p(T_\Lambda) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} & (p = q) \\ 0 & (p \leq q - 1) \end{cases}, \quad (1.3)$$

and that a pairing of $\mathrm{CH}^*(T_\Lambda) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ given by $(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto \deg \varphi^*(\alpha \cdot \beta)$ coincides with cup products of $H_{\mathrm{Trop}}^{*,*}(\mathrm{Trop}(\varphi(Y)); \mathbb{Q})$. (There is also a similar result ([Mik20, Theorem 1.1]) for tropical cohomology $H_{\mathrm{Trop}}^{p,q}(Y; \mathbb{Q})$ of Y itself.) By assumption (1.2), we have a natural surjective morphism

$$H_{\mathrm{Trop}}^{p,p}(\mathrm{Trop}(\varphi(Y)); \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Num}}^p(Y) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}.$$

However, this is not necessary isomorphism, in other words, *Poincaré duality* for $H_{\mathrm{Trop}}^{*,*}(\mathrm{Trop}(\varphi(Y)); \mathbb{Q})$ does not necessary hold. (In 1-dimensional case, recently, Gubler-Jell-Rabinoff ([GJR21b], [GJR21a]) introduced new tropical cohomology, and proved Poincaré duality for it.)

Classically, the failure of Poincaré duality for singular (co)homology of singular complex proper algebraic varieties is known, and Goresky-MacPherson ([GM80], [GM83]) introduced new cohomology theory, *intersection homology*, which coincides with singular homology for smooth varieties, and proved Poincaré-Verdier duality for them (of the middle perversity) in full generality.

In this paper, we will introduce a tropical analog of Goresky-MacPherson's intersection homology, *tropical intersection (co)homology* $IH_{\mathrm{Trop}}^{p,q}(X; \mathbb{Q})$ for a tropical variety X regular at infinity ([MZ14, Definition 1.2]). It coincides with $H_{\mathrm{Trop}}^{p,q}(X; \mathbb{Q})$ when Poincaré-Verdier duality holds (e.g., $X = \mathrm{Trop}(\varphi(Y))$ for $Y = T_\Lambda$ (see [JSS19], [GS23], [AP24a])). Our main result is the following.

Theorem 1.2. (*Corollary 5.27, Corollary 7.3*) *For $p, q \geq 0$, there is a non-degenerate pairing*

$$IH_{\mathrm{Trop}}^{p,q}(X; \mathbb{Q}) \times IH_{\mathrm{Trop},c}^{\dim X - p, \dim X - q}(X; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}.$$

Moreover, when $X = \mathrm{Trop}(\varphi(Y))$, under assumption (1.2), we have a natural isomorphism

$$IH_{\mathrm{Trop}}^{p,q}(\mathrm{Trop}(\varphi(Y)); \mathbb{Q}) \cong \begin{cases} \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Num}}^p(Y) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} & (p = q) \\ 0 & (p \neq q) \end{cases}, \quad (1.4)$$

under which the pairing of $IH_{\mathrm{Trop}}^{,*}(\mathrm{Trop}(\varphi(Y)); \mathbb{Q})$ coincides with intersection numbers in Y .*

Idea of proof and definitions. Let us discuss idea of proof of isomorphism (1.4) and definitions of tropical intersection homology.

First, we recall a proof of isomorphism (1.1) $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Num}}^p(T_\Sigma) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \cong H_{\mathrm{sing}}^{2p}(T_\Sigma(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Q})$ ([CLS11, Section 12.3], [Tot14, Theorem 4]). A filtration

$$\mathrm{Ker}(C_{\mathrm{sing}}^r(T_\Sigma(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow C_{\mathrm{sing}}^r(T_\Sigma(\mathbb{C}) \setminus \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Sigma_p} \overline{O(\sigma)}(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Q}))$$

of the vector space $C_{\mathrm{sing}}^r(T_\Sigma(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Q})$ of singular cochains induces a cohomological spectral sequence

$$\begin{aligned} E_1^{p,q} &= H_{\mathrm{sing}, \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Sigma_p} \overline{O(\sigma)}(\mathbb{C}) \setminus \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Sigma_{p+1}} \overline{O(\sigma)}(\mathbb{C})}^{p+q}(T_\Sigma(\mathbb{C}) \setminus \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Sigma_{p+1}} \overline{O(\sigma)}(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Q}) \Rightarrow H_{\mathrm{sing}}^{p+q}(T_\Sigma(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Q}) \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \Sigma_p} H_{\mathrm{sing}}^{q-p}(O(\sigma)(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Q})(-p), \end{aligned}$$

where $O(\sigma)$ is the torus orbit corresponding to $\sigma \in \Sigma$, the subset $\Sigma_i \subset \Sigma$ consists of cones of dimension i , and $(-p)$ is the Tate twist. Since $E_1^{p,q}$ is of pure weight $2q$, and $H_{\mathrm{sing}}^{p+q}(T_\Sigma(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Q})$ is of pure weight $p+q$, this degenerates at E_2 -pages, and we have a surjective morphism

$$\bigoplus_{\sigma \in \Sigma_p} H_{\mathrm{sing}}^0(O(\sigma)(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Q})(-p) \cong E_1^{p,p} \rightarrow E_2^{p,p} \cong H_{\mathrm{sing}}^{2p}(T_\Sigma(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Q}).$$

By an identification $H_{\mathrm{sing}}^0(O(\sigma)(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Q})(-p) \cong \mathbb{Q}[\overline{O(\sigma)}]$, this surjective morphism coincides with the restriction of the cycle class map, which is compatible with intersection numbers and cup products. Since the latter is non-degenerate, we get isomorphism (1.1).

Next, we consider $\varphi(Y) \subset T_\Lambda$. We still have a stratification $\varphi(Y) = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \varphi(Y) \cap O(\lambda)$, and hence a similar spectral sequence. However, since $H_{\mathrm{sing}}^{q-p}(\varphi(Y) \cap O(\lambda)(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Q})$ is not necessary of pure highest weight $2(q-p)$, the above discussion does not work. Instead, we have a similar spectral sequence ([AP24b]) for tropical cohomology H_{Trop} and that of tropical intersection cohomology IH_{Trop} .

Let us discuss them formally. For $H = H_{\mathrm{Trop}}, IH_{\mathrm{Trop}}$ and $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we have a spectral sequence

$$E_1^{p,q} \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda_p} H^{s-p, q-s}(\mathrm{Trop}(\varphi(Y) \cap O(\lambda)); \mathbb{Q}) \Rightarrow H^{s, p+q-s}(\mathrm{Trop}(\varphi(Y)); \mathbb{Q}),$$

and by using retractions of supports of fans to points, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\mathrm{Trop}}^{s-p, q-s}(\mathrm{Trop}(\varphi(Y) \cap O(\lambda)); \mathbb{Q}) &= 0 \quad (q-s \geq 1) \\ IH_{\mathrm{Trop}}^{s-p, q-s}(\mathrm{Trop}(\varphi(Y) \cap O(\lambda)); \mathbb{Q}) &= 0 \quad (q-s \geq 1 \text{ and } p+q \geq 2s) \end{aligned}$$

(Lemma 7.7). Hence for both $H = H_{\mathrm{Trop}}, IH_{\mathrm{Trop}}$, we have

$$\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda_s} \mathbb{Q} \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda_s} H^{0,0}(\mathrm{Trop}(\varphi(Y) \cap O(\lambda)); \mathbb{Q}) \cong E_1^{s,s} \rightarrow H^{s,s}(\mathrm{Trop}(\varphi(Y)); \mathbb{Q})$$

and $H^{p,q}(\mathrm{Trop}(\varphi(Y)); \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ for $p \leq q-1$. Moreover, there is a non-degenerate pairing

$$IH_{\mathrm{Trop}}^{p,q}(\mathrm{Trop}(\varphi(Y)); \mathbb{Q}) \times IH_{\mathrm{Trop}}^{\dim Y - p, \dim Y - q}(\mathrm{Trop}(\varphi(Y)); \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}.$$

These give isomorphisms (1.3) and (1.4). (For (1.3), we need computation of $H_{\mathrm{Trop}}^{r,0}(\mathrm{Trop}(\varphi(Y) \cap O(\lambda)); \mathbb{Q})$.)

The relation to singular cohomology can be seen as follows. Remind that for $\lambda \in \Lambda_p$, the highest weight graded quotient is

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{Gr}_{2(q-p)}^W H_{\mathrm{sing}}^{q-p}(\varphi(Y) \cap O(\lambda)(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Q}) \\
& \cong \left\{ (a_R)_R \in \bigoplus_{\lambda \subset R \in \Lambda_q} H_{\mathrm{sing}}^0(\varphi(Y) \cap \overline{O(R)}(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Q}) \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left| \sum_{S \subset R \in \Lambda_q} \epsilon_{S,R} a_R [\varphi(Y) \cap \overline{O(R)}] = 0 \in H_{\mathrm{sing}}^2(\varphi(Y) \cap \overline{O(S)}(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Q}) \ (\lambda \subset S \in \Lambda_{q-1}) \right\} \\
& \cong \left\{ (a_R)_R \in \bigoplus_{\lambda \subset R \in \Lambda_q} H_{\mathrm{sing}}^0(\varphi(Y) \cap \overline{O(R)}(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Q}) \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left| \sum_{S \subset R \in \Lambda_q} \epsilon_{S,R} a_R [\varphi(Y) \cap \overline{O(R)}] = 0 \in \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Num}}^1(\varphi(Y) \cap \overline{O(S)}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \ (\lambda \subset S \in \Lambda_{q-1}) \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

([PS08, Proposition 4.10]), where the sign $\epsilon_{S,R} \in \{\pm 1\}$ is determined by the fixed orientations of S and R , and the second isomorphism holds since homological equivalence for \mathbb{Q} -coefficients divisors is same as numerical equivalence ([Ful98, 19.3.1 (ii)]). Hence the highest graded quotients can be loosely computed by tropical geometry, which encodes informations of intersections with (closures of) toric orbits including multiplicity. More concretely, the cohomology group $H^{p,0}(\mathrm{Trop}(\varphi(Y) \cap O(\lambda)); \mathbb{Q})$ ($H = H_{\mathrm{Trop}}, IH_{\mathrm{Trop}}$) is close to $\mathrm{Gr}_{2p}^W H_{\mathrm{sing}}^p(\varphi(Y) \cap O(\lambda)(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Q})$ when $\varphi(Y)$ is over \mathbb{C} , and coincide with it when $Y = T_{\Lambda}$ over \mathbb{C} . In particular, in the latter case, for $H = H_{\mathrm{Trop}}, IH_{\mathrm{Trop}}$, the direct sum of these spectral sequence (with respect to $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$) coincides with the spectral sequence for singular cohomology.

Let us discuss the point of IH_{Trop} , i.e., that $E_1^{p,q}$ ($p+q \leq 2s-1, q \geq 1$) are not necessary 0 for IH_{Trop} . This shows $E_{\infty}^{s,s}$ does not necessary equal $E_2^{s,s}$ for IH_{Trop} while $E_{\infty}^{s,s} = E_2^{s,s}$ for H_{Trop} . By the discussion in the previous paragraph, since algebraic equivalence and homological equivalence are same for \mathbb{Q} -coefficients divisors ([Ful98, 19.3.1 (ii)]), the image of the composition

$$H^{1,0}(\mathrm{Trop}(\varphi(Y) \cap O(R)); \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\lambda \subset R \in \Lambda_s} \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \sum_{\lambda \subset R \in \Lambda_s} \mathbb{Q} \cdot \varphi^*[\overline{O(R)}] \subset \mathrm{CH}^s(Y) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$$

($H = H_{\mathrm{Trop}}, IH_{\mathrm{Trop}}$) are close to algebraically equivalent to zero. Hence the $E_2^{s,s}$ -terms

$$E_2^{s,s} \cong \mathrm{Coker} \left(\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{s-1}} H^{1,0}(\mathrm{Trop}(\varphi(Y) \cap O(\lambda))) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda_s} \mathbb{Q} \right)$$

should not equal to $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Num}}^p(Y) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ in general. Therefore, we need $E_{\infty}^{s,s} \not\cong E_2^{s,s}$ for isomorphism (1.4). In fact, we design tropical intersection homology IH_{Trop} so that these vanishing and non-necessary-vanishing of $E_1^{p,q}$ (and some natural properties (e.g., Künneth formula) hold. We will give two equivalent definitions of IH_{Trop} . We will use *allowability* in geometric definition and *truncation* functors in sheaf-theoretic definition, which are analogs of two definitions of Goresky-MacPherson's intersection homology ([GM80], [GM83]). Proof of non-degeneracy of the pairing of $IH_{\mathrm{Trop}}^{*,*}(\mathrm{Trop}(\varphi(Y)); \mathbb{Q})$ is based on formal computation of sheaf theory similar to [GM83].

Note that due to Künneth formula, our allowaility and truncation functors involve some filtrations, or we should say grades (see Remark 3.14). For technical reason, we will introduce notion

of locally graded sheaves (Section 4), and the first assertion of Theorem 1.2 holds at the level of Poincaré-Verdier duality in the derived category of locally graded sheaves (Theorem 5.26).

Contents of the paper. Contents of the paper are as follows. In Section 2, we recall tropical varieties. In Section 3, we study geometrically defined tropical intersection (co)chains $IC_{\text{Trop,geom}}^{p,*}$. In Section 4, we discuss locally graded sheaves and truncation functors which involve grades. In Section 5, we introduce $IC_{\text{Trop,sheaf}}^{p,*}$ using truncation functors, and prove Poincaré-Verdier duality (Theorem 5.26). In Section 6, we give several comparisons. In Section 7, the second assertion of Theorem 1.2 is proved and Example 7.4 is given. In Section 8 (Appendix), for convenience, we discuss six-functors formalism for derived categories of sheaves of graded modules in the classical way.

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank Emile Bouaziz, Ionut Ciocan-Fontanine, Adeel Ahmad Khan, Yuan-Pin Lee, and Shih-Wei Wille Liu for their interest and helpful discussions. Especially, I am deeply grateful to my mentor Yuan-Pin Lee for his kind encouragement, which has been instrumental in continuing my work.

2. TROPICAL VARIETIES

Throughout this paper, we fix a free \mathbb{Z} -module M of finite rank n . We put $N := \text{Hom}(M, \mathbb{Z})$. We also fix a fan Σ in $N \otimes \mathbb{R}$ and a corresponding (normal) toric variety T_Σ over \mathbb{C} . Remind that there is a natural bijection between cones $\sigma \in \Sigma$ and torus orbits $O(\sigma)$ in T_Σ . The torus orbit $O(\sigma)$ is isomorphic to the torus $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[M \cap \sigma^\perp]$. We put $N_\sigma := \text{Hom}(M \cap \sigma^\perp, \mathbb{Z})$. For $R = \mathbb{Q}$ or \mathbb{R} , we put $N_{\sigma,R} := N_\sigma \otimes R$. We put $T_\sigma := \bigsqcup_{\substack{\tau \in \Sigma \\ \tau \subset \sigma}} O(\tau)$ the affine toric variety corresponding to a cone $\sigma \in \Sigma$. See [CLS11] for toric geometry.

We shall recall the Kajiwara-Payne partial compactification $\text{Trop}(T_\Sigma)$, a *tropical toric variety*, of $N_{\mathbb{R}} \cong \mathbb{R}^n$. See [Pay09], [Rab12]. We put $\text{Trop}(T_\Sigma) := \bigsqcup_{\sigma \in \Sigma} N_{\sigma, \mathbb{R}}$ as a set. We define a topology on $\text{Trop}(T_\Sigma)$ as follows. We extend the canonical topology on \mathbb{R} to that on $\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ so that $(a, \infty]$ for $a \in \mathbb{R}$ are a basis of neighborhoods of ∞ . We also extend the addition on \mathbb{R} to that on $\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ by $a + \infty = \infty$ for $a \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$. We consider the set of semigroup homomorphisms $\text{Hom}(M \cap \sigma^\vee, \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\})$ as a topological subspace of $(\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\})^{M \cap \sigma^\vee}$. We define a topology on $\text{Trop}(T_\sigma) := \bigsqcup_{\substack{\tau \in \Sigma \\ \tau \subset \sigma}} N_{\tau, \mathbb{R}}$ by the canonical bijection

$$\text{Hom}(M \cap \sigma^\vee, \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}) \cong \bigsqcup_{\substack{\tau \in \Sigma \\ \tau \subset \sigma}} N_{\tau, \mathbb{R}}.$$

Then we endow $\text{Trop}(T_\Sigma) = \bigsqcup_{\sigma \in \Sigma} N_{\sigma, \mathbb{R}}$ with the topology generated by open subsets of $\text{Trop}(T_\sigma)$ ($\sigma \in \Sigma$). For each $\sigma \in \Sigma$, we also put $\text{Trop}(O(\sigma)) := N_{\sigma, \mathbb{R}}$. Note that when T_Σ is smooth, we have $T_\sigma \cong \mathbb{A}^{\dim \sigma} \times \mathbb{G}_m^{n - \dim \sigma}$, hence

$$\text{Trop}(T_\sigma) \cong (\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\})^{\dim \sigma} \times \mathbb{R}^{n - \dim \sigma}.$$

Definition 2.1. A subset of \mathbb{R}^r is called a polyhedron if it is an intersection of finitely many sets of the form

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R}^r \mid \langle x, a \rangle \leq b\} \quad (a \in \mathbb{R}^r, b \in \mathbb{R}),$$

here $\langle x, a \rangle$ is the usual inner product of \mathbb{R}^r . Unless otherwise stated, we assume that a polyhedron is rational, i.e., $a \in \mathbb{Z}^r$.

For a polyhedron $P \subset N_{\sigma, \mathbb{R}} \cong \mathbb{R}^{n-\dim \sigma}$ and $R = \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}$, or \mathbb{R} , we put $\text{Tan}_R P$ its tangent space with R -coefficient. It is well-defined because of rationality of P . We consider $\text{Tan}_R P \subset N_{\sigma, R}$ in the natural way.

Definition 2.2. A polyhedron in $\text{Trop}(T_\Sigma)$ is the closure \overline{C} in $\text{Trop}(T_\Sigma)$ of a polyhedron $C \subset N_{\sigma, \mathbb{R}}$ for some cone $\sigma \in \Sigma$. We put $\dim(\overline{C}) := \dim(C)$, $\text{rel.int } \overline{C} := \text{rel.int } C$, and $\text{Tan}_R \overline{C} := \text{Tan}_R C$.

Let $P \subset \text{Trop}(T_\Sigma)$ be a polyhedron. We put $\sigma_P \in \Sigma$ the unique cone such that $P \cap N_{\sigma_P, \mathbb{R}} \subset P$ is dense. A subset Q of P is called a face of P if it is the closure of the intersection $P^a \cap N_{\tau, \mathbb{R}}$ in $\text{Trop}(T_\Sigma)$ for some $a \in M \cap \sigma_P^\perp$ and some cone $\tau \in \Sigma$, where P^a is the closure of

$$\{x \in P \cap N_{\sigma_P, \mathbb{R}} \mid x(a) \leq y(a) \text{ for any } y \in P \cap N_{\sigma_P, \mathbb{R}}\}$$

in $\text{Trop}(T_\Sigma)$. A locally finite collection Λ of polyhedra in $\text{Trop}(T_\Sigma)$ is called a polyhedral complex if it satisfies the following two conditions.

- For all $P \in \Lambda$, each face of P is also in Λ .
- For all $P, Q \in \Lambda$, the intersection $P \cap Q$ is a face of P and Q .

For a locally finite collection Λ of polyhedra, we put $\Lambda_i \subset \Lambda$ the subset of polyhedra of dimension i . We also put $\text{supp } \Lambda := \bigcup_{P \in \Lambda} P \subset \text{Trop}(T_\Sigma)$. When Λ is a polyhedral complex, we call Λ a polyhedral complex structure of $\text{supp } \Lambda$.

Definition 2.3. A locally closed subset $A \subset \text{Trop}(T_\Sigma)$ is said to be *polyhedral* if there is a polyhedral complex structure Λ of $\text{Trop}(T_\Sigma)$ such that A is a union of relative interiors of some polyhedra in Λ . The set $\{\text{rel.int } P \cap A\}_{P \in \Lambda}$ gives an unrestricted topological stratification (Definition 8.19) of A . We call it a *polyhedral stratification* of A .

Definition 2.4. Let A and B be locally closed polyhedral subsets of tropical toric varieties. A continuous map $f: A \rightarrow B$ is called a *polyhedrally stratified map* if for any polyhedral stratifications Λ_A of A and Λ_B of B , there exist polyhedral stratifications $\Lambda_{A,2}$ of A and $\Lambda_{B,2}$ of B finer than Λ_A and Λ_B respectively such that f is stratified (Definition 8.20) with respect to $\Lambda_{A,2}$ and $\Lambda_{B,2}$.

Remark 2.5. Projections from products to components and embeddings of polyhedral subsets are polyhedral stratified maps. Only these two types of morphisms are used in our sheaf-theoretic study.

Definition 2.6. In this paper, a *tropical variety* $X = (\Lambda, w = (w_P)_{P \in \Lambda_d})$ of dimension d in $\text{Trop}(T_\Sigma)$ consists of a finite polyhedral complex Λ of pure dimension d and positive integers $w_P \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ for d -dimensional polyhedra $P \in \Lambda$ such that for any cone $Q \in \Lambda$ of dimension $(d-1)$, we have

$$\sum_{\substack{P \in \Lambda_d \\ Q \subset P, \sigma_Q = \sigma_P}} w_P v_{P,Q} = 0$$

in $N_{\sigma_Q} / \text{Tan}_{\mathbb{Z}} Q$, where $v_{P,Q} \in N_{\sigma_Q, \mathbb{Q}} / \text{Tan}_{\mathbb{Q}} Q$ is the primitive vector such that $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \cdot v_{P,Q}$ equals the image of $P \cap N_{\sigma_Q, \mathbb{R}}$ in $N_{\sigma_Q, \mathbb{R}} / \text{Tan}_{\mathbb{R}} Q$. (Here $\text{Tan}_R Q$ ($R = \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}$) is the tangent space considered as a R -submodule of $N_{\sigma_Q, R}$ in the natural way.) By abuse of notation, let X also denote $\text{supp } \Lambda \subset \text{Trop}(T_\Sigma)$.

Remark 2.7. In most literature, we only assume that Λ is locally finite. Finiteness of Λ is used to ensure that X is compactifiable (Definition 8.30), which is needed to see that tropical intersection homology is finite dimensional.

Example 2.8. The pair

$$\left(\{ \overline{N_{\sigma, \mathbb{R}}} \mid \sigma \in \Sigma \}, (w_{\overline{N_{\mathbb{R}}}} := 1)_{\overline{N_{\mathbb{R}} = N_{\{(0, \dots, 0)\}, \mathbb{R}} \in \{ \overline{N_{\sigma, \mathbb{R}}} \mid \sigma \in \Sigma \}}_n} \right),$$

where the closures $\overline{N_{\sigma, \mathbb{R}}}$ are taken in $\text{Trop}(T_{\Sigma})$, is a tropical variety of dimension n whose support is $\text{Trop}(T_{\Sigma})$. By abuse of notation, we also call this a *tropical toric variety*, and denote it by $\text{Trop}(T_{\Sigma})$. For example, we have $\text{Trop}(\mathbb{A}^s) = (\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\})^s$ for a fixed toric structure of \mathbb{A}^s .

For technical reason, in this paper, we consider only tropical varieties *regular at infinity*. This notion is used in the literature, see e.g., [MZ14, Definition 1.2].

Definition 2.9. We assume that T_{Σ} is smooth. In this paper, we say that a polyhedron $P \subset \text{Trop}(T_{\Sigma})$ is *regular at infinity* if

- $P \cap N_{\mathbb{R}} \neq \emptyset$, and
- for any $\tau \in \Sigma$ and $x \in P \cap N_{\tau, \mathbb{R}}$, there exists an open neighborhood $U_x \subset \text{Trop}(T_{\Sigma})$ of x such that

$$P \cap N_{\mathbb{R}} \cap U_x = ((P \cap N_{\tau, \mathbb{R}}) \times \text{Tan}_{\mathbb{R}} \tau) \cap U_x$$

for some (any) splitting $N \cong N_{\tau} \times \text{Tan}_{\mathbb{Z}} \tau$ of natural exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Tan}_{\mathbb{Z}} \tau \rightarrow N \rightarrow N_{\tau} \rightarrow 0.$$

We say that a tropical variety (Λ, w) is *regular at infinity* if every maximal dimensional polyhedron $P \in \Lambda_d$ is regular at infinity.

Definition 2.10. For $i = 1, 2$, let M_i be a free \mathbb{Z} -module of finite rank, $N_i := \text{Hom}(M_i, \mathbb{Z})$, and Σ_i a fan in $N_{i, \mathbb{R}}$. Let $X_i = (\Lambda_i, w_i = (w_{i, P_i})_{P_i \in \Lambda_{i, d_i}})$ ($i = 1, 2$) be a tropical variety of dimension d_i in $\text{Trop}(T_{\Sigma_i})$. We put

$$\Lambda_{X_1 \times X_2} := \Lambda_1 \times \Lambda_2 := \{ R_1 \times R_2 \subset \text{Trop}(T_{\Sigma_1}) \times \text{Trop}(T_{\Sigma_2}) \mid R_1 \in \Lambda_1, R_2 \in \Lambda_2 \}$$

a pure- $(d_1 + d_2)$ -dimensional polyhedral complex in $\text{Trop}(T_{\Sigma_1}) \times \text{Trop}(T_{\Sigma_2})$, and for $P_{X_1 \times X_2} \in \Lambda_{X_1 \times X_2, d_1 + d_2}$, we put

$$w_{X_1 \times X_2, P_{X_1 \times X_2}} := w_{1, P_1} \times w_{2, P_2},$$

where $P_i \in \Lambda_{i, d_i}$ ($i = 1, 2$) is the polyhedron such that $P_{X_1 \times X_2} = P_1 \times P_2$. We put

$$X_1 \times X_2 := (\Lambda_{X_1 \times X_2}, w_{X_1 \times X_2} := (w_{X_1 \times X_2, P_{X_1 \times X_2}})_{P_{X_1 \times X_2} \in \Lambda_{X_1 \times X_2, d_1 + d_2}})$$

a tropical variety of dimension $(d_1 + d_2)$ in $\text{Trop}(T_{\Sigma_1} \times T_{\Sigma_2})$.

3. GEOMETRIC TROPICAL INTERSECTION HOMOLOGY

In the section, let $X = (\Lambda, w = (w_P)_{P \in \Lambda_d})$ be a tropical variety of dimension d in a tropical toric variety $\text{Trop}(T_{\Sigma})$. We assume that T_{Σ} is smooth, and X is regular at infinity (Definition 2.9).

3.1. Geometric definition. Tropical homology, introduced by Itenberg-Katzarkov-Mikhalkin-Zharkov ([IKMZ19]), is defined as homology of singular chains on X with some coefficients F_p . In this subsection, we shall give a geometric definition (Definition 3.9) of tropical analog of Goresky-MacPherson's intersection homology ([GM80], [GM83]), *tropical intersection homology*, of X . We put $\Lambda_{\text{sm}} \subset \Lambda$ the subset of polyhedra R of dimension $\geq (d-1)$ such that $R \cap N_{\mathbb{R}} \subset R$ is dense (i.e., $R \cap N_{\mathbb{R}} \neq \emptyset$). (We have $\Lambda_d \subset \Lambda_{\text{sm}}$ since X is regular at infinity.) We also put $\Lambda_{\text{sing}} := \Lambda \setminus \Lambda_{\text{sm}}$. The subset Λ_{sing} plays the role of singular strata in the theory of Goresky-MacPherson's intersection homology.

In Goresky-MacPherson's theory, they only consider spaces smooth away from subsets of codimension ≥ 2 . This is because when there are singular subsets of codimension 1, we can hope at best duality between absolute cohomology and relative cohomology. In tropical geometry, the subsets $\text{rel.int } Q$ ($Q \in \Lambda_{\text{sm}, d-1}$) play the role of 1-codimensional strata. However, the complex of sheaves of tropical cochains $\mathcal{C}^{p,*}$ does not necessary satisfy Poincaré-Verdier duality near $\text{rel.int } Q$ ($Q \in \Lambda_{\text{sm}, d-1}$) (i.e., $\mathcal{C}^{p,*} \not\cong D(\mathcal{C}^{d-p,*})$). For this reason, we will use a version of Gubler-Jell-Rabinoff's coefficients [GJR21a] [GJR21b] on $X_{\text{sm}} := \bigcup_{R \in \Lambda_{\text{sm}}} \text{rel.int } R$, which give a cohomology satisfying Poincaré-Verdier duality near such "1-codimensional strata". (Note that the author is not sure whether our coefficients can be considered as an analog of their coefficients on the whole X , but the coefficients outside of X_{sm} are not important in this paper.)

Definition 3.1. Let $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

- For $P \in \Lambda_{\text{sm}, d}$ of dimension d , we put

$$F_{p,w}(P) := \wedge^p \text{Tan}_{\mathbb{Q}} P.$$

- For $Q \in \Lambda_{\text{sm}, d-1}$ of dimension $(d-1)$, we put $F_{p,w}(Q)$ the quotient of

$$\bigoplus_{P \in \Lambda_{\text{sm}, d}, Q \subsetneq P} \wedge^p \text{Tan}_{\mathbb{Q}} P$$

by identifying

$$\text{Im}(\text{Tan}_{\mathbb{Q}} Q \rightarrow \text{Tan}_{\mathbb{Q}} P_1) = \text{Im}(\text{Tan}_{\mathbb{Q}} Q \rightarrow \text{Tan}_{\mathbb{Q}} P_2)$$

for $P_i \in \Lambda_{\text{sm}, d}$ with $Q \subsetneq P_i$ ($i = 1, 2$) and

$$\sum_{P \in \Lambda_{\text{sm}, d}, Q \subsetneq P} w_P v_{P,Q} = 0,$$

where $v_{P,Q} \in \text{Tan}_{\mathbb{Q}} P \subset N_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is a lifting of $v_{P,Q}$ as in Definition 2.6 such that we have $\sum_{P \in \Lambda_{\text{sm}, d}, Q \subsetneq P} w_P v_{P,Q} = 0$ in $N_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

It is easy to see that $F_{p,w}(Q)$ is independent of the choices of $v_{P,Q}$.

- For a polyhedron $R \in \Lambda_{\text{sing}, d-1}$ of dimension $(d-1)$, since X is regular at infinity, there exist a unique d -dimensional polyhedron $\tilde{R} \in \Lambda_{\text{sm}, d}$ containing R and a unique 1-dimensional cone $l \in \Xi$ such that $R = \tilde{R} \cap \overline{N_{l, \mathbb{R}}}$. Then $\text{Tan}_{\mathbb{Q}} l \subset \text{Tan}_{\mathbb{Q}} \tilde{R}$, and we put

$$F_{p,w}(R) := \wedge^p (\text{Tan}_{\mathbb{Q}} \tilde{R} / \text{Tan}_{\mathbb{Q}} l).$$

For $R \in \Lambda_{d-1}$ and $P \in \Lambda_{\text{sm}, d}$ with $R \subset P$, there is a natural map $\iota_{R \subset P}: F_{p,w}(P) \rightarrow F_{p,w}(R)$. We extend $F_{p,w}$ to any $S \in \Lambda$ in a formal way.

Definition 3.2. For a polyhedron $S \in \Lambda$, we put

$$F_{p,w}(S) := \bigoplus_{\substack{P \in \Lambda_{\text{sm},d} \\ S \subset P}} F_{p,w}(P) / \sum_{\substack{R \in \Lambda_{d-1} \\ S \subset R}} \text{Ker} \left(\bigoplus_{\substack{P \in \Lambda_{\text{sm},d} \\ R \subset P}} F_{p,w}(P) \rightarrow F_{p,w}(R) \right).$$

For $S_1, S_2 \in \Lambda$ with $S_2 \subset S_1$, there is a natural map $\iota_{S_2 \subset S_1} : F_{p,w}(S_1) \rightarrow F_{p,w}(S_2)$.

Remark 3.3. Let $P \in \Lambda_{\text{sm},d}$ be a polyhedron of dimension d , and a polyhedron $S \in \Lambda$ contained in P . Since X is regular at infinity, we have

$$\text{Tan}_{\mathbb{Q}} \sigma_S \subset \text{pr}_{\sigma_S}^{-1}(\text{Tan}_{\mathbb{Q}} S) \subset \text{Tan}_{\mathbb{Q}} P,$$

where $\sigma_S \in \Sigma$ is the cone such that $N_{\sigma_S, \mathbb{R}}$ contains $\text{rel.int } S$, and $\text{pr}_{\sigma_S} : N_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow N_{\sigma_S, \mathbb{Q}}$ is the projection (given by the restriction to $M \cap \sigma_S^\perp$), and we have

$$\dim \text{pr}_{\sigma_S}^{-1}(\text{Tan}_{\mathbb{Q}} S) = \dim S + \dim \sigma_S.$$

The following numbers $v(\alpha \cap \sigma_S)$ and $u(\alpha \cap \text{pr}_{\sigma_S}^{-1}(S))$ are cores in geometric definition (Definition 3.9) of tropical intersection homology.

Definition 3.4. For a polyhedron $P \in \Lambda_{\text{sm},d}$ of dimension d , a polyhedron $S \in \Lambda$ contained in P , and $\alpha \in F_{p,w}(P)$, we put

$$v(\alpha \cap \sigma_S) := \max \left\{ j \leq p \mid \alpha \in \bigwedge^j \text{Tan}_{\mathbb{Q}} \sigma_S \wedge \bigwedge^{p-j} \text{Tan}_{\mathbb{Q}} P \right\}$$

and

$$u(\alpha \cap \text{pr}_{\sigma_S}^{-1}(S)) := \max \left\{ l \leq p \mid \alpha \in \bigwedge^l \text{pr}_{\sigma_S}^{-1}(\text{Tan}_{\mathbb{Q}} S) \wedge \bigwedge^{p-l} \text{Tan}_{\mathbb{Q}} P \right\}$$

(see [MZ14, Subsection 1.2, 1.3, and 3.1] for a related notion).

Of course, we have $v(\alpha \cap \sigma_S) \leq u(\alpha \cap \text{pr}_{\sigma_S}^{-1}(S))$.

Let T be a (locally finite) possibly non-rational polyhedral complex structure of X finer than Λ . For each polyhedron $\Delta \in T$, we put $R_\Delta \in \Lambda$ the polyhedron such that $\text{rel.int } R_\Delta \supset \text{rel.int } \Delta$. Then we get the natural boundary map

$$\partial : \prod_{\Delta \in T_q} F_{p,w}(R_\Delta)[\Delta] \rightarrow \prod_{\tau \in T_{q-1}} F_{p,w}(R_\tau)[\tau]$$

given by

$$\alpha_\Delta[\Delta] \mapsto \sum_i \iota_{R_{T_i} \subset R_\Delta}(\alpha_\Delta) \epsilon_{\tau_i, \Delta}[\tau_i]$$

($\alpha_\Delta \in F_{p,w}(R_\Delta)$, $\partial[\Delta] = \sum_i \epsilon_{\tau_i, \Delta}[\tau_i]$), where $T_i \subset T$ is the subset of i -dimensional polyhedra, $[\mu] \in H_{\dim \mu}^{\text{sing}}(\mu, \partial \mu; \mathbb{Z})$ ($\mu \in T$) is a generator, $\epsilon_{\tau_i, \Delta} \in \{\pm 1\}$. We put

$$C_{p,q,w}^{\text{Trop}, T}(X) := \prod_{\Delta \in T_q} F_{p,w}(R_\Delta)[\Delta].$$

We put

$$C_{p,q,w}^{\text{Trop}}(X) := \varinjlim C_{p,q,w}^{\text{Trop}, T}(X),$$

where the right hand side is the inductive limit of all polyhedral complex structures T of X with respect to subdivisions.

Definition 3.5. A (p, q) -chain $\gamma = \sum \alpha_\Delta[\Delta] \in C_{p,q,w}^{\text{Trop}}(X)$ is *allowable* at $S \in \Lambda_{\text{sing}}$ if for any polyhedron Δ intersecting with $\text{rel.int } S$, the coefficient $\alpha_\Delta \in F_{p,w}(R_\Delta)$ is 0 or a sum of images of $\alpha_{\Delta,i} \neq 0 \in F_{p,w}(P_{\Delta,i})$ ($R_\Delta \subset P_{\Delta,i} \in \Lambda_{\text{sm},d}$) under the natural map $\iota_{R_\Delta \subset P_{\Delta,i}}: F_{p,w}(P_{\Delta,i}) \rightarrow F_{p,w}(R_\Delta)$ such that

$$q - \dim(\Delta \cap \text{rel.int } S) + v(\alpha_{\Delta,i} \cap \sigma_S) \geq \max \{2, p + \dim \sigma_S - u(\alpha_{\Delta,i} \cap \text{pr}_{\sigma_S}^{-1}(S)) + 1\}$$

or

$$\dim \sigma_S = 0 \text{ and } q - \dim(\Delta \cap \text{rel.int } S) = d - \dim S.$$

Some reasons of our allowability are explained in Remark 3.6 and Remark 3.14.

Remark 3.6. By definition, we have

$$u(\alpha_{\Delta,i} \cap \text{pr}_{\sigma_S}^{-1}(S)) \geq p - d + \dim S + \dim \sigma_S.$$

We assume $\dim \sigma_S = 0$ and $q - \dim(\Delta \cap \text{rel.int } S) = d - \dim S$. Then when

$$u(\alpha_{\Delta,i} \cap \text{pr}_{\sigma_S}^{-1}(S)) \geq p - d + \dim S + 1,$$

the first condition (i.e., the inequality) of Definition 3.5 holds. Therefore the second condition is needed only when

$$u(\alpha_{\Delta,i} \cap \text{pr}_{\sigma_S}^{-1}(S)) = p - d + \dim S.$$

This holds e.g., when γ is the fundamental class $[X]$ (Subsection 5.1).

Definition 3.7. A (p, q) -chain $\gamma \in C_{p,q,w}^{\text{Trop}}(X)$ is *allowable* if it is allowable at all $S \in \Lambda_{\text{sing}}$.

Remark 3.8. For an allowable chain $\gamma = \sum \alpha_\Delta[\Delta] \in C_{p,q,w}^{\text{Trop}}(X)$, since

$$p + \dim \sigma_S - v(\alpha_{\Delta,i} \cap \sigma_S) - u(\alpha_{\Delta,i} \cap \text{pr}_{\sigma_S}^{-1}(S)) \geq 0,$$

we have $R_\Delta \in \Lambda_{\text{sm}}$ for Δ with $\alpha_\Delta \neq 0$.

Definition 3.9. We put

$$IC_{p,q}^{\text{Trop,BM}}(X) := \{ \gamma \in C_{p,q,w}^{\text{Trop}}(X) \mid \gamma \text{ and } \partial\gamma \text{ are allowable} \},$$

the \mathbb{Q} -vector space of tropical intersection locally finite geometric (p, q) -chains. We put $IC_{p,q}^{\text{Trop,cpt}}(X)$ its subsets of chains of compact supports. We put $IH_{p,q}^{\text{Trop,BM}}(X) := IH_{p,q}^{\text{Trop,BM}}(X; \mathbb{Q})$ (resp. $IH_{p,q}^{\text{Trop,cpt}}(X) := IH_{p,q}^{\text{Trop,cpt}}(X; \mathbb{Q})$) the q -th homology group of $IC_{p,*}^{\text{Trop,BM}}(X)$ (resp. $IC_{p,*}^{\text{Trop,cpt}}(X)$), called the *tropical intersection Borel-Moore* (resp. *compactly supported*) (p, q) -homology group. When X is compact, they coincide, and hence we omit the superscripts cpt and BM, and call it the *tropical intersection* (p, q) -homology group.

For an open subset $U \subset X$, we put

$$\begin{aligned} & IC_{p,q}^{\text{Trop,BM}}(X, X \setminus U) \\ & := IC_{p,q}^{\text{Trop,BM}}(X) / \{ \gamma \in IC_{p,q}^{\text{Trop,BM}}(X) \mid \text{supp } \gamma \subset X \setminus U \}. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 3.10. We put $IC_{p,q}^{\text{Trop,geom}}$ the sheafification of the presheaf

$$U \mapsto IC_{p,q}^{\text{Trop,BM}}(X, X \setminus U)$$

on X (cf. [GS23, Definition 4.6(a)] and [Bea84, Chapter II. Section 1]). We put

$$IC_{\text{Trop,geom},X}^{d-p,*} := IC_{\text{Trop,geom}}^{d-p,*} := IC_{p,-*-p}^{\text{Trop,geom}}$$

and

$$IH_{\text{Trop,geom},\epsilon_1}^{d-p,d-q}(X) := IH_{\text{Trop,geom},\epsilon_1}^{d-p,d-q}(X; \mathbb{Q}) := H_{\epsilon_1}^{-p-q}(R\Gamma(IC_{\text{Trop,geom},X}^{d-p,*})) = IH_{p,q}^{\text{Trop},\epsilon_2}(X)$$

((ϵ_1, ϵ_2) = (\emptyset, BM), (c, cpt)).

In Subsection 5.2, we will also define $IH_{\text{Trop,sheaf},\epsilon}^{d-p,d-q}(X)$ ($\epsilon = \emptyset, c$), and show that it is canonically isomorphic to $IH_{\text{Trop,geom},\epsilon}^{d-p,d-q}(X)$ (Proposition 6.3). Hence we will simply denote them by $IH_{\text{Trop},\epsilon}^{d-p,d-q}(X)$.

Note that similarly to usual intersection chains [Bea84, Chapter II, Proposition 5.1], the complex $IC_{\text{Trop,geom}}^{d-p,*}$ is a complex of soft sheaves.

We shall define filtrations on $IC_{\text{Trop,geom}}^{d-p,*}$ locally, which play the central role in our local computation in Subsection 3.2. For $S \in \Lambda$, we fix open polyhedral (Definition 2.3) neighborhoods W_S of $\text{rel.int } S$ in X such that $W_S \cap W_{S'} = \emptyset$ for $S, S' \in \Lambda$ with $S \not\subset S'$ and $S' \not\subset S$. In particular, we have

$$W_S \subset \bigcup_{\substack{R \in \Lambda \\ S \subset R}} \text{rel.int } R.$$

Definition 3.11. For each $S \in \Lambda$ and $v, u, p, q \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ satisfying $v \leq u \leq p$, we put

$$T_{\sigma_S}^v T_S^u IC_{p,q}^{\text{Trop,geom}}|_{W_S} \subset IC_{p,q}^{\text{Trop,geom}}|_{W_S}$$

the subsheaf given by sections $\gamma = \sum \alpha_{\Delta}[\Delta]$ of $IC_{p,q}^{\text{Trop,geom}}|_{W_S}$ such that for any Δ , the coefficient $\alpha_{\Delta} \in F_{p,w}(R_{\Delta})$ is 0 or a sum of images of $\alpha_{\Delta,i} \in F_{p,w}(P_{\Delta,i})$ ($R_{\Delta} \subset P_{\Delta,i} \in \Lambda_{\text{sm},d}$) under the natural map $\iota_{R_{\Delta} \subset P}: F_{p,w}(P_{\Delta,i}) \rightarrow F_{p,w}(R_{\Delta})$ such that

$$v(\alpha_{\Delta,i} \cap \sigma_S) \geq v \text{ and } u(\alpha_{\Delta,i} \cap \text{pr}_{\sigma_S}^{-1}(S)) \geq u.$$

We put $i_{W_S}: W_S \hookrightarrow X$ and

$$T_{\sigma_S}^v T_S^u IC_{p,q}^{\text{Trop,geom}} := i_{W_S}!(T_{\sigma_S}^v T_S^u IC_{p,q}^{\text{Trop,geom}}|_{W_S}) \subset IC_{p,q}^{\text{Trop,geom}}.$$

Remark 3.12. We have $T_{\sigma_S}^v T_S^u IC_{p,q}^{\text{Trop,geom}} = 0$ unless $v \leq \dim \sigma_S$ and $u \leq \dim S + \dim \sigma_S$.

Definition 3.13. Let $S \in \Lambda$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. We put

$$\text{Fil}_S^k IC_{p,q}^{\text{Trop,geom}} := \begin{cases} IC_{p,q}^{\text{Trop,geom}} & (k \leq 0) \\ \sum_{\substack{v \leq u \leq p \\ v+u=k}} T_{\sigma_S}^v T_S^u IC_{p,q}^{\text{Trop,geom}} & (1 \leq k \leq \dim S + 2 \dim \sigma_S) \\ 0 & (k \geq \dim S + 2 \dim \sigma_S + 1) \end{cases}$$

a subsheaf of $IC_{p,q}^{\text{Trop,geom}}$.

For $a = (a_S)_{S \in \Lambda} \in \mathbb{Z}^\Lambda$, we put

$$\mathrm{Fil}_*^\Lambda IC_{\mathrm{Trop,geom}}^{d-p,*}(a) := \bigcap_{S \in \Lambda} \mathrm{Fil}_S^{-a_S} IC_{p,-*-p}^{\mathrm{Trop,geom}} \subset IC_{\mathrm{Trop,geom}}^{d-p,*}.$$

For $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^\Lambda$ with $a_S \leq b_S$ ($S \in \Lambda$), we have

$$\mathrm{Fil}_*^\Lambda IC_{\mathrm{Trop,geom}}^{d-p,*}(a) \subset \mathrm{Fil}_*^\Lambda IC_{\mathrm{Trop,geom}}^{d-p,*}(b).$$

These define an object

$$\mathrm{Fil}_*^\Lambda IC_{\mathrm{Trop,geom}}^{d-p,*} \in D_c^b(X, \mathrm{grMod} \mathbb{Q}[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda}),$$

see Section 4 for the derived category $D_c^b(X, \mathrm{grMod} \mathbb{Q}[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})$.

Remark 3.14. We give remark on allowable and non-allowable chains. Let $S \in \Lambda_{\mathrm{sing}}$ and $\gamma = \sum \alpha_\Delta[\Delta] \in C_{p,q,w}^{\mathrm{Trop}}(X)$.

- When $q = d$ and $\partial\gamma = 0$, the chain γ is always allowable at any S . The case of $\dim \sigma_S = 0$ follows from the second condition of allowability (cf. Remark 3.6). Otherwise, we can take $v(\alpha_{\Delta,i} \cap \sigma_S) \geq 1$ in Definition 3.5 because X is regular at infinity and $\partial\gamma = 0$, hence by

$$u(\alpha_{\Delta,i} \cap \mathrm{pr}_{\sigma_S}^{-1}(S)) \geq p - d + \dim S + \dim \sigma_S,$$

γ is allowable at S .

- When S is 0-dimensional, $S \subset N_{\mathbb{R}}$, and $\mathrm{supp} \gamma \cap S \neq \emptyset$, the chain γ is allowable at S if and only if $q = d$ or $\max\{2, p+1\} \leq q$. This is used in Lemma 7.7.
- Small open neighborhoods of $\mathrm{rel.int} S$ in X can be identified with small open neighborhood of

$$\mathrm{Trop}(O(\tau_S)) \times \{\mathrm{pt}\} \times \{(0, \dots, 0)\} \in \mathrm{Trop}(T_{\tau_S}) \times \mathbb{R}^{\dim S} \times \Lambda_S,$$

where τ_S is the cone σ_S considered as a cone in $\mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma_{\mathbb{R}}$, the affine toric variety T_{τ_S} corresponds to the cone τ_S (in particular, $O(\tau_S) \subset T_{\tau_S}$ is the 0-dimensional orbit), $\mathbb{R}^{\dim S} = \mathrm{Trop}(\mathbb{G}_m^s)$ is a tropical toric variety, $\mathrm{pt} \in \mathbb{R}^{\dim S}$ is any point, and Λ_S is the star fan of S , which is a fan in $\mathbb{R}^{n-\dim S-\dim \sigma_S}$. Since Künneth formula (Subsection 6.1) holds for $IH_{p,q}^{\mathrm{Trop}}$, our allowability is affected by this decomposition, in particular, is affected by a decomposition of coefficients

$$F_{p,w}(\mathrm{Trop}(T_{\tau_S}) \times \{\mathrm{pt}\} \times \{(0, \dots, 0)\}) \cong \bigoplus_{i,j} F_{j,w}(\mathrm{Trop}(T_{\tau_S})) \otimes F_{i,w}(\mathbb{R}^{\dim S}) \otimes F_{p-i-j,w}(\{(0, \dots, 0)\}).$$

This leads our two functions u and v .

- (toric case) We assume that $X = \mathrm{Trop}(T_\Sigma)$ (Example 2.8). Let $\delta \in C_{p+q}^{\mathrm{sing,BM}}(T_\Sigma(\mathbb{C}))$ be a singular chain such that $\mathrm{supp} \delta \cap \mathbb{G}_m^n(\mathbb{C}) \subset \mathrm{supp} \delta$ is dense, and the restriction $\delta|_{\mathbb{G}_m^n(\mathbb{C})}$ to $\mathbb{G}_m^n(\mathbb{C}) \subset T_\Sigma(\mathbb{C})$ is of the form

$$\delta|_{\mathbb{G}_m^n(\mathbb{C})} = \sum_l \alpha_l \times \beta_l$$

($\alpha_l \in C_p^{\mathrm{sing}}((S^1)^n)$, $\beta_l \in C_q^{\mathrm{sing,BM}}(\mathbb{R}_{>0}^n)$), where we identify

$$\mathbb{G}_m^n(\mathbb{C}) \cong (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n \cong \mathbb{R}_{>0}^n \times (S^1)^n.$$

We consider $\alpha_l \in C_p^{\text{sing}}((S^1)^n)$ as an element of

$$\bigwedge^p \text{Tan}_{\mathbb{R}} N_{\mathbb{R}} \cong \text{Hom}\left(\bigwedge^p M \otimes \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}\right)$$

by

$$\alpha_l: \bigwedge^p M \otimes \mathbb{R} \ni \sum_i a_i f_{i,1} \wedge \cdots \wedge f_{i,p} \mapsto \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^p} \sum_i a_i \int_{\alpha} d \log f_{i,1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d \log f_{i,p} \in \mathbb{R}$$

($a_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $f_{i,j} \in M$), where $f_{i,j} \in M$ is also considered as a holomorphic function on $\mathbb{G}_m^n(\mathbb{C}) = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[M](\mathbb{C})$. We assume that the restriction $\gamma|_{N_{\mathbb{R}}}$ of γ is of the form

$$\gamma|_{N_{\mathbb{R}}} = \sum_l \alpha_l(-\log_*(\beta_l)),$$

where $-\log: \mathbb{R}_{>0}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is the coordinate-wise map. The toric variety $T_{\Sigma}(\mathbb{C})$ is stratified by torus orbits, and we can consider allowability of δ with respect to the middle perversity \overline{m} ([GM80, Subsection 1.3 and Subsection 5.1]) (here for simplicity, we ignore piecewise linearity). Then by definition, for each $\sigma \in \Sigma$, the chain δ is allowable at strata $O(\sigma)(\mathbb{C})$ if and only if γ is allowable at $\overline{N}_{\sigma, \mathbb{R}}$.

3.2. Local computations. For $S \in \Lambda_{\text{sing}}$ and $x \in \text{rel.int } S$, we shall prove attaching property when $\dim \sigma_S = 0$ (Proposition 3.19) and a vanishing type result of the stalk $\mathcal{H}^m(IC_{\text{Trop, geom}}^{d-p,*})_x$ (Corollary 3.25). When $\dim \sigma_S = 0$, proofs are same as [GM83, Subsection 2.4 and 2.5]. When $\dim \sigma_S \geq 1$, attaching property will follow from comparison to sheaf-theoretic definition (Proposition 6.3).

Remark 3.15. When $\dim \sigma_S = 0$ (i.e., $x \in \text{rel.int } S \subset N_{\mathbb{R}}$), there is a neighborhood of x in $X \cap N_{\mathbb{R}}$ which is stratified PL-homeomorphic to $x * S^{\dim S - 1} * L_S$, where $X \cap N_{\mathbb{R}}$ is equipped with the natural PL-structure, $*$ means PL-joins, $S^{\dim S - 1}$ is the $(\dim S - 1)$ -PL-sphere, L_S is the stratified PL-link of $\text{rel.int } S$ in $X \cap N_{\mathbb{R}}$. We take $L_S \subset W_S$.

For a compact subset $L \subset X$, we put

$$C_{p,q,w}^{\text{Trop}}(L; F_X) := \{\gamma \in C_{p,q,w}^{\text{Trop, cpt}}(X) \mid \text{supp } \gamma \subset L\}.$$

We also put

$$IC_{p,q}^{\text{Trop}}(L; F_X) := C_{p,q,w}^{\text{Trop}}(L; F_X) \cap IC_{p,q}^{\text{Trop, cpt}}(X)$$

and put $IH_{p,q}^{\text{Trop}}(L; F_X)$ its homology group. When $L \subset W_S$, we also put

$$\text{Fil}_S^k IC_{p,q}^{\text{Trop}}(L; F_X) := IC_{p,q}^{\text{Trop}}(L; F_X) \cap \text{Fil}_S^k IC_{p,q}^{\text{Trop}}|_{W_S}(W_S)$$

and $\text{Fil}_S^k IH_{p,q}^{\text{Trop}}(L; F_X)$ its homology group.

Proposition 3.16. *When $\dim \sigma_S = 0$, for $2 \leq q \leq d - 1$, we have*

$$\mathcal{H}^{-p-q}(IC_{\text{Trop, geom}}^{d-p,*})_x \cong \begin{cases} \text{Fil}_S^{p+\dim S - q + 1} IH_{p,q-\dim S - 1}^{\text{Trop}}(L_S; F_X) & (q \geq \dim S + 2) \\ 0 & (q \leq \dim S + 1) \end{cases}.$$

Though proof is same as [GM83, Subsection 2.4], since our coefficients are complicated a little, we give proof for the reader's convenience.

Remark 3.17. Let $A = \sum_{\square} [\square] \in C_j(S^{\dim S-1})$ be a PL-chain, and

$$B = \sum_{\Delta, i} \alpha_{\Delta, i} [\Delta] \in C_{p, q-j-2, w}^{\text{Trop}}(LS; FX).$$

Then for a polyhedron $S' \in \Lambda_{\text{sing}}$ with $S' \supsetneq S$ (in particular, $\dim \sigma_{S'} = 0$), we have

$$\begin{aligned} q-1 - \dim([\square] * [\Delta] \cap \text{rel.int } S') &\geq \max \left\{ 2, p - u(\alpha_{\Delta, i} \cap \text{pr}_{\sigma_{S'}}^{-1}(S')) + 1 \right\} \\ \iff q-j-2 - \dim([\Delta] \cap \text{rel.int } S') &\geq \max \left\{ 2, p - u(\alpha_{\Delta, i} \cap \text{pr}_{\sigma_{S'}}^{-1}(S')) + 1 \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} q-1 - \dim([\square] * [\Delta] \cap \text{rel.int } S') &= d - \dim S' \\ \iff q-j-2 - \dim([\Delta] \cap \text{rel.int } S') &= d - \dim S'. \end{aligned}$$

Namely, the join $A * B$ is allowable at S' if and only if B is allowable at S' . Similarly, the join $A * B$ is allowable at S if and only if

$$q-j-1 \geq \max \left\{ 2, p - u(\alpha_{\Delta, i} \cap \text{pr}_{\sigma_S}^{-1}(S)) + 1 \right\}$$

or

$$q-j-1 = d - \dim S.$$

Lemma 3.18. *Let $A_{j,l} \in C_j(S^{\dim S-1})$ and $B_{j,l} \in C_{p, q-j-2, w}^{\text{Trop}}(LS; FX)$ with $\dim(A_{j,l} \cap A_{j,m}) \leq j-1$ for $m \neq l$. Then*

$$\sum_{j \geq 0, l} A_{j,l} * B_{j,l} + B_{-1,0} \in IC_{p, q-1}^{\text{Trop}}(S^{\dim S-1} * LS; FX)$$

*if and only if each $A_{j,l} * B_{j,l}$ and $B_{-1,0}$ is in $IC_{p, q-1}^{\text{Trop}}(S^{\dim S-1} * LS; FX)$.*

Proof. If part is trivial. To show the converse, it suffices to show that each $\partial(A_{j,l} * B_{j,l})$ and $\partial B_{-1,0}$ is allowable. Since $A_{j,l} * B_{j,l}$ are allowable, by Remark 3.17, the chain $(\partial A_{j,l}) * B_{j,l}$ is allowable. Since

$$\partial \left(\sum_{j \geq 0, l} A_{j,l} * B_{j,l} + B_{-1,0} \right) = \sum_{j \geq 0, l} (\partial A_{j,l}) * B_{j,l} + (-1)^{j+1} A_{j,l} * (\partial B_{j,l}) + \partial B_{-1,0}$$

is allowable, by the assumption on $A_{j,l}$, each $\partial(A_{j,l} * B_{j,l})$ and $\partial B_{-1,0}$ is allowable. \square

Proof. (Proposition 3.16) We shall compute $IH_{p, q-1}^{\text{Trop}}(S^{\dim S-1} * LS; FX)$. We put

$$Z_{q-j-2} := \begin{cases} 0 & (q-j-2 \geq d - \dim S) \\ IZ_{p, q-j-2}^{\text{Trop}}(LS; FX) \\ + \text{Fil}_S^{p+j+3-q} IC_{p, q-j-2}^{\text{Trop}}(LS; FX) & (2 \leq q-j-2 = d - \dim S - 1) \\ IZ_{p, q-j-2}^{\text{Trop}}(LS; FX) & (1 = q-j-2 = d - \dim S - 1) \\ \text{Fil}_S^{p+j+2-q} IZ_{p, q-j-2}^{\text{Trop}}(LS; FX) \\ + \text{Fil}_S^{p+j+3-q} IC_{p, q-j-2}^{\text{Trop}}(LS; FX) & (2 \leq q-j-2 \leq d - \dim S - 2) \\ \text{Fil}_S^{p+j+2-q} IZ_{p, q-j-2}^{\text{Trop}}(LS; FX) & (1 = q-j-2 \leq d - \dim S - 2) \\ 0 & (q-j-2 \leq 0) \end{cases},$$

where $IZ_{*,*}^{\text{Trop}} \subset IC_{*,*}^{\text{Trop}}$ is the subset of closed chains. Let

$$f: \bigoplus_{j=-1}^{\dim S-1} \hat{C}_j(S^{\dim S-1}) \otimes Z_{q-j-2} \rightarrow IC_{p,q-1}^{\text{Trop}}(S^{\dim S-1} * L_S; F_X)$$

be the map given by

$$\sum_{j \geq -1, l} A_{j,l} \otimes B_{j,l} \mapsto \sum_{j \geq 0, l} (-1)^{\dim B_{j,l}} A_{j,l} * B_{j,l} + \sum_l (-1)_l^{\dim B_{-1,l}} A_{-1,l} B_{-1,l},$$

where we put

$$\hat{C}_j(S^{\dim S-1}) := \begin{cases} C_j(S^{\dim S-1}) & (j \geq 0) \\ \mathbb{Q} & (j = -1) \end{cases}$$

a complex with the boundary map $\hat{C}_0(S^{\dim S-1}) \rightarrow \hat{C}_{-1}(S^{\dim S-1})$ given by $\sum_{y \in S^{\dim S-1}} a_y [y] \mapsto \sum a_y$. By Remark 3.17, the map f is well-defined and gives a morphism

$$f: (\hat{C}_*(S^{\dim S-1}) \otimes Z_*)_* \rightarrow IC_{p,*}^{\text{Trop}}(S^{\dim S-1} * L_S; F_X)$$

of complexes from the single complex $(\hat{C}_*(S^{\dim S-1}) \otimes Z_*)_*$ associated to the double complex $\hat{C}_*(S^{\dim S-1}) \otimes Z_*$.

We shall give a homotopy inverse ψ of f . For a polyhedron $\Delta \subset S^{\dim S-1} * L_S$, we put $\varphi(\Delta) \subset S^{\dim S-1} * L_S$ the polyhedron whose vertices are the vertices of $\Delta \cap S^{\dim S-1}$ and the image of the other vertices of Δ under the pseudo-radical retraction

$$(S^{\dim S-1} * L_S) \setminus S^{\dim S-1} \rightarrow L_S$$

along join lines. (We have $\varphi(\Delta) = (\Delta \cap S^{\dim S-1}) * (\varphi(\Delta) \cap L_S)$.) This give a morphism

$$\psi: IC_{p,q-1}^{\text{Trop}}(S^{\dim S-1} * L_S; F_X) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j=-1}^{\dim S-1} \hat{C}_j(S^{\dim S-1}) \otimes C_{p,q-j-2,w}^{\text{Trop}}(L_S; F_X)$$

by $\alpha_\Delta[\Delta] \mapsto \epsilon_\Delta[\Delta \cap S^{\dim S-1}] \otimes \alpha_\Delta[\varphi(\Delta) \cap L_S]$ ($\epsilon_\Delta \in \{\pm 1\}$) (where we canonically identify

$$\hat{C}_{-1}(S^{\dim S-1}) \otimes C_{p,q-1,w}^{\text{Trop}}(L_S; F_X)$$

with $C_{p,q-1,w}^{\text{Trop}}(L_S; F_X)$). We shall show that the image of ψ is contained in the source of f . For an element η in the source of ψ , we put

$$\psi(\eta) = \sum_{j \geq 0, l} A_{j,l} \otimes B_{j,l} + B_{-1,0} \quad (A_{j,l} \in C_j(S^{\dim S-1}), B_{j,l} \in C_{p,q-j-2,w}^{\text{Trop}}(L_S; F_X))$$

with $\dim(A_{j,l} \cap A_{j,m}) \leq j-1$ for $m \neq l$. Then since for each polyhedron Δ and strata $S' \in \Lambda_{\text{sing}}$, we have

$$\dim(\Delta \cap \text{rel.int } S') = \dim(\varphi(\Delta) \cap \text{rel.int } S'),$$

we have $f(\psi(\eta)) \in IC_{p,q-1}^{\text{Trop}}(S^{\dim S-1} * L_S; F_X)$ (where f is extended canonically). By Lemma 3.18, the chains $A_{j,l} * B_{j,l}$ and $B_{-1,0}$ are in $IC_{p,q-1,w}^{\text{Trop}}(S^{\dim S-1} * L_S; F_X)$. Hence by Remark 3.17, we have $\psi(\eta) \in (\hat{C}_*(S^{\dim S-1}) \otimes Z_*)_{q-1}$, i.e., the morphism ψ induces

$$\psi: IC_{p,*}^{\text{Trop}}(S^{\dim S-1} * L_S; F_X) \rightarrow (\hat{C}_*(S^{\dim S-1}) \otimes Z_*)_*.$$

It is easy to see that ψ is a homotopy inverse of f (with a chain homotopy h given by mapping cylinder of natural PL-map $\varphi: \Delta \cong \varphi(\Delta)$). Since

$$H_j(\hat{C}_*(S^{\dim S-1})) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Q}[S^{\dim S-1}] & (j = \dim S - 1) \\ 0 & (\text{otherwise}), \end{cases}$$

we have

$$IH_{p,q-1}^{\text{Trop}}(S^{\dim S-1} * L_S; F_X) \cong \text{Fil}_S^{p+\dim S-q+1} IH_{p,q-\dim S-1}^{\text{Trop}}(L_S; F_X)$$

for $2 \leq q \leq d-1$. Every element of $\mathcal{H}^{-p-q}(IC_{\text{Trop,geom}}^{d-p,*})_x$ has a representative of the form $x * \gamma$ for some $\gamma \in IZ_{p,q-1}^{\text{Trop}}(S^{\dim S-1} * L_S; F_X)$. Conversely, for such a γ , the join $x * \gamma$ gives an element of $\mathcal{H}^{-p-q}(IC_{\text{Trop,geom}}^{d-p,*})_x$. This gives an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{H}^{-p-q}(IC_{\text{Trop,geom}}^{d-p,*})_x \cong IH_{p,q-1}^{\text{Trop}}(S^{\dim S-1} * L_S; F_X).$$

Therefore we have completed proof. \square

Let $i_S: W_S \setminus \text{rel.int } S \hookrightarrow W_S$ be an open embedding, and $j_S: \text{rel.int } S \hookrightarrow W_S$ a closed embedding.

Proposition 3.19. *When $\dim \sigma_S = 0$, the natural map*

$$IC_{\text{Trop,geom}}^{d-p,*}|_{W_S} \rightarrow Ri_{S*}i_S^*(IC_{\text{Trop,geom}}^{d-p,*}|_{W_S})$$

induces isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{H}^m(j_S^* \text{Fil}_S^k IC_{\text{Trop,geom}}^{d-p,*}|_{W_S}) \cong \mathcal{H}^m(j_S^* Ri_{S*}i_S^*(\text{Fil}_S^k IC_{\text{Trop,geom}}^{d-p,*}|_{W_S}))$$

for

$$m \leq \max\{-p-d, \min\{-2p-\dim S+k-1, -p-\dim S-2\}\}.$$

Proof. Proof is completely same as [GM83, Subsection 2.5]. We omit it. \square

Remark 3.20. Let $\sigma \in \Sigma$. We put

$$\Lambda \cap \overline{N_{\sigma,\mathbb{R}}} := \{R \cap \overline{N_{\sigma,\mathbb{R}}} \mid R \in \Lambda\}$$

a $(d - \dim \sigma)$ -dimensional polyhedral complex. For a $(d - \dim \sigma)$ -dimensional polyhedron $L \in \Lambda \cap \overline{N_{\sigma,\mathbb{R}}}$, we put $w_L := w_{P_L}$, where $P_L \in \Lambda_d$ is the unique d -dimensional polyhedron such that $L = P \cap \overline{N_{\sigma,\mathbb{R}}}$. Then

$$X \cap \overline{N_{\sigma,\mathbb{R}}} := (\Lambda \cap \overline{N_{\sigma,\mathbb{R}}}, (w_L)_L)$$

is a tropical variety of dimension $(d - \dim \sigma)$.

Remind that $\sigma_S \in \Sigma$ is the cone such that $\text{rel.int } S \subset N_{\sigma_S,\mathbb{R}}$.

Proposition 3.21. *We assume that $\dim \sigma_S \geq 1$. Let $\sigma \in \Sigma$ be a cone of dimension ≥ 1 contained in σ_S . (In particular, $x \in \text{rel.int } S \subset X \cap \overline{N_{\sigma,\mathbb{R}}}$.) Then for $1 \leq q \leq d$, we have an isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{H}^{-p-q+2\dim \sigma}(IC_{\text{Trop,geom},X \cap \overline{N_{\sigma,\mathbb{R}}}}^{d-p,*})_x \cong \mathcal{H}^{-p-q}(IC_{\text{Trop,geom},X}^{d-p,*})_x.$$

Moreover, for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, it gives an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{H}^{-p-q+2\dim \sigma}(\text{Fil}_S^k IC_{\text{Trop,geom},X \cap \overline{N_{\sigma,\mathbb{R}}}}^{d-p,*})_x \cong \mathcal{H}^{-p-q}(\text{Fil}_S^{k+2\dim \sigma} IC_{\text{Trop,geom},X}^{d-p,*})_x.$$

For tropical homology, this proposition is known [AP24b, Lemma 3.2].

Proof. When $q = d$, the assertion is easy. We assume that $q \leq d - 1$. By induction on $\dim \sigma$, we may assume that $\dim \sigma = 1$. To simplify notation, we fix an isomorphism $N \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$. We may assume that $\sigma = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \cdot e_1$, where e_1 is the first coordinate. We identify $N \cong \mathbb{Z} \cdot e_1 \times N_\sigma$. Since the problem is local, we may assume that

$$X = (\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}) \times (X \cap \overline{N_{\sigma, \mathbb{R}}}),$$

where $\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\} = \text{Trop}(\mathbb{A}^1)$ is a tropical toric variety (Example 2.8). The local homology group $\mathcal{H}^{-p-q}(IC_{\text{Trop, geom}, X}^{d-p,*})_x$ is the direct sum of groups $\mathcal{H}_I^{-p-q}(IC_{\text{Trop, geom}, X}^{d-p,*})_x$ ($I = \emptyset$ or $\{1\}$) of elements having representatives γ of the form

$$\gamma = \sum_{\Delta} \bigwedge_{i \in I} e_i \wedge \alpha'_{\Delta}[\Delta] \in IC_{p,q}^{\text{Trop}}(X)$$

with $\alpha'_{\Delta} = \sum_j \alpha'_{\Delta,j}$ and $\alpha'_{\Delta,j} \in \bigwedge^{p-\#I}(\text{Tan}_{\mathbb{Q}} P_{\Delta,j} \cap N_{\sigma, \mathbb{Q}})$ ($\Delta \subset P_{\Delta,j} \in \Lambda_{\text{sm}, d}$). We shall show that $\mathcal{H}_{\emptyset}^{-p-q}(IC_{\text{Trop, geom}, X}^{d-p,*})_x = 0$, and

$$\sum_{\square} \beta_{\square}[\square] \mapsto \sum_{\square} e_1 \wedge \beta_{\square}[(\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}) \times \square]$$

gives an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{H}^{-p-q+2}(IC_{\text{Trop, geom}, X \cap \overline{N_{\sigma, \mathbb{R}}}}^{d-p,*})_x \cong \mathcal{H}_{\{1\}}^{-p-q}(IC_{\text{Trop, geom}, X}^{d-p,*})_x,$$

which gives

$$\mathcal{H}^{-p-q+2}(\text{Fil}_S^k IC_{\text{Trop, geom}, X \cap \overline{N_{\sigma, \mathbb{R}}}}^{d-p,*})_x \cong \mathcal{H}^{-p-q}(\text{Fil}_S^{k+2} IC_{\text{Trop, geom}, X}^{d-p,*})_x.$$

Claim 3.22. When $I = \emptyset$, we have $\mathcal{H}_{\emptyset}^{-p-q}(IC_{\text{Trop, geom}, X}^{d-p,*})_x = 0$.

Proof. (Claim 3.22) We put

$$\text{pr}_0 \times \text{Id}_{\overline{N_{\sigma, \mathbb{R}}}}: (\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}) \times \overline{N_{\sigma, \mathbb{R}}} \rightarrow \{0\} \times \overline{N_{\sigma, \mathbb{R}}},$$

where $\text{Id}_{\overline{N_{\sigma, \mathbb{R}}}}$ is the identity map, and $\text{pr}_0: \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\} \rightarrow \{0\}$ is the map to 0. Let $c([\Delta])$ be the mapping cylinder of this map. We put

$$c(\gamma) := \sum_{\Delta} \alpha'_{\Delta} c([\Delta]) \in C_{p,q+1,w}^{\text{Trop}}(X).$$

Then since $\alpha'_{\Delta} = \sum_j \alpha'_{\Delta,j}$ and $\alpha'_{\Delta,j} \in \bigwedge^p(\text{Tan}_{\mathbb{Q}} P_{\Delta,j} \cap N_{\sigma, \mathbb{Q}})$, the mapping cylinder $c(\gamma)$ is allowable. Namely, for $S_1 \in \Lambda_{\text{sing}}$ and Δ with $\text{supp } c([\Delta]) \cap \text{rel.int } S_1 \neq \emptyset$, there is a strata $S_2 = S_1$ or

$$S_2 = S_1 \cap (\{\infty\} \times \overline{N_{\sigma, \mathbb{R}}})$$

such that $\Delta \cap \text{rel.int } S_2 \neq \emptyset$ and

$$\dim(\Delta \cap \text{rel.int } S_2) \geq \dim(\text{supp } c([\Delta]) \cap \text{rel.int } S_1) - 1.$$

When $S_2 \neq S_1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& q + 1 - \dim(\text{supp } c([\Delta]) \cap \text{rel.int } S_1) + v(\alpha'_{\Delta,j} \cap \sigma_{S_1}) \\
& \geq q - \dim(\Delta \cap \text{rel.int } S_2) + v(\alpha'_{\Delta,j} \cap \sigma_{S_2}) \\
& \geq \max \left\{ 2, p + \dim \sigma_{S_2} - u(\alpha'_{\Delta,j} \cap \text{pr}_{\sigma_{S_2}}^{-1}(S_2)) + 1 \right\} \\
& \geq \max \left\{ 2, p + \dim \sigma_{S_1} - u(\alpha'_{\Delta,j} \cap \text{pr}_{\sigma_{S_1}}^{-1}(S_1)) + 1 \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

where the first inequality follows from $v(\alpha'_{\Delta,j} \cap \sigma_{S_1}) = v(\alpha'_{\Delta,j} \cap \sigma_{S_2})$ for $\alpha'_{\Delta,j} \in \bigwedge^p(\text{Tan}_{\mathbb{Q}} P_{\Delta,j} \cap N_{\sigma,\mathbb{Q}})$. (The case of $S_2 = S_1$ is similar.) Similarly, $\alpha'_{\Delta}(\text{pr}_0 \times \text{Id}_{\overline{N_{\sigma,\mathbb{R}}}})[\Delta]$ is allowable. Note that

$$\partial c([\Delta]) = [\Delta] - (\text{pr}_0 \times \text{Id}_{\overline{N_{\sigma,\mathbb{R}}}})[\Delta] + c(\partial[\Delta])$$

Since $\partial\gamma$ is allowable, $c(\partial\gamma)$ is allowable. Consequently, the boundary $\partial c(\gamma)$ is also allowable. Therefore $c(\gamma) \in IC_{p,q+1}^{\text{Trop}}(X)$. Then $\gamma - \partial c(\gamma)$ has the same homology class as γ in $\mathcal{H}_{\emptyset}^{-p-q}(IC_{\text{Trop,geom},X}^{d-p,*})_x$. Since $\alpha'_{\Delta,j} \in \bigwedge^p(\text{Tan}_{\mathbb{Q}} P_{\Delta,j} \cap N_{\sigma,\mathbb{Q}})$, the support of $\gamma - \partial c(\gamma)$ is in $(\{0\} \times (X \cap \overline{N_{\sigma,\mathbb{R}}})) \cup \text{supp } c(\partial\gamma)$. (Note that this is not necessary the case when $I = \{1\}$, since the natural map $F_{p,w}(P_{\Delta,j}) \rightarrow F_{p,w}(P_{\Delta,j} \cap N_{\sigma,\mathbb{R}})$ is not injective.). Since $\partial\gamma = 0$ near x , we have $\mathcal{H}_{\emptyset}^{-p-q}(IC_{\text{Trop,geom},X}^{d-p,*})_x = 0$. \square

We continue proof of Proposition 3.21. We assume $I = \{1\}$. We put

$$\psi := \text{pr}_{\infty} \times \text{Id}_{\overline{N_{\sigma,\mathbb{R}}}}: (\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}) \times \overline{N_{\sigma,\mathbb{R}}} \rightarrow \{\infty\} \times \overline{N_{\sigma,\mathbb{R}}},$$

where $\text{pr}_{\infty}: \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\} \rightarrow \{\infty\}$ is the map to ∞ . Similarly to the above, we put $c_1(\gamma) \in C_{p,q+1,w}^{\text{Trop}}(X)$ the mapping cylinder of ψ . By taking a different representative in the homology class of γ , we may assume that for Δ with $\alpha'_{\Delta} \neq 0$, we have $x \in \Delta$ and $\text{rel.int } \Delta \subset \text{rel.int } P$ for some polyhedron $P \in \Lambda_{\text{sm},d}$ of dimension d .

Claim 3.23. We have $c_1(\gamma) \in IC_{p,q+1}^{\text{Trop}}(X)$ by taking a different representative in the homology class of γ if necessary.

Proof. (Claim 3.23) By allowability of γ , we can easily see that the chain $c_1(\gamma)$ is allowable. (Note that if there existed Δ with $\alpha'_{\Delta} \neq 0$ and $\text{rel.int } \Delta \subset \text{rel.int } Q$ for some polyhedron $Q \in \Lambda_{\text{sm},d-1}$ of dimension $(d-1)$, the mapping cylinder $c_1(\gamma)$ may not be allowable at

$$Q \cap (\{\infty\} \times \overline{N_{\sigma,\mathbb{R}}}) \in \Lambda_{\text{sing}.}$$

Since $\partial\gamma$ is allowable, $c_1(\partial\gamma)$ is also allowable. (Strictly speaking, in this case, we need to show that for a polyhedron Δ with $\alpha'_{\Delta} \neq 0$ and a face $\tau \subset \Delta$ of codimension 1 such that $\text{supp } c_1([\tau]) \neq \emptyset$ and $\text{rel.int } \tau \subset \text{rel.int } Q$ for some polyhedron $Q \in \Lambda_{\text{sm},d-1}$ of dimension $(d-1)$, we have $\tau \not\subset \text{supp } \partial\gamma$. Since Q is of the form $(\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}) \times (Q \cap \overline{N_{\sigma,\mathbb{R}}})$ and $\Delta \not\subset Q$, we have

$$\tau = \Delta \cap ((\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}) \times (Q \cap \overline{N_{\sigma,\mathbb{R}}}).$$

Then since $x \in \Delta$, by taking a different representative in the homology class of γ if necessary, we have $x \in \tau$. Consequently, since $\partial\gamma = 0$ near x , we have $\tau \not\subset \text{supp } \partial\gamma$.) Since $\gamma = \sum_{\Delta} e_1 \wedge \alpha'_{\Delta}[\Delta]$, the support of $\gamma - \partial c_1(\gamma)$ is in $\text{supp } c_1(\partial\gamma)$. Consequently, the boundary $\partial c_1(\gamma)$ is also allowable. \square

We continue proof of Proposition 3.21. By Claim 3.23,

$$\gamma' := \gamma - \partial_{c_1}(\gamma) = \sum_{\Delta} e_1 \wedge \beta_{\Delta}[\Delta] \in IC_{p,q}^{\text{Trop}}(X)$$

has the same homology class as γ in $\mathcal{H}_{\{1\}}^{-p-q}(IC_{\text{Trop,geom},X}^{d-p,*})_x$, and a polyhedron Δ with $\beta_{\Delta} \neq 0$ satisfies $\dim \psi(\Delta) = q - 1$.

Claim 3.24. The chain γ' is of the form

$$\gamma' = \sum_{\square \subset X \cap \overline{N_{\sigma,\mathbb{R}}}} e_1 \wedge \beta'_{\square}[(\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}) \times \square]$$

near x .

Proof. (Claim 3.24) Let Δ be a polyhedron with $\beta_{\Delta} \neq 0$, and $\tau \subset \Delta$ a face of codimension 1 with $\tau \not\subset \{\infty\} \times \overline{N_{\sigma,\mathbb{R}}}$, $x \in \tau$, and $\dim \psi(\tau) = q - 1$. Since $\partial \gamma' = 0$ near x , we have another polyhedron $\Delta_1 \neq \Delta$ with $\tau \subset \Delta_1$ and $\beta_{\Delta_1} \neq 0$. This Δ_1 is unique and $\beta_{\Delta_1} = \beta_{\Delta}$ since $\psi(\Delta_1) = q - 1$ (i.e., “ Δ and Δ_1 live in $(\pm e_1)$ -directions of τ respectively”). This show that γ' is of that form. \square

It is easy to see that we have

$$\sum_{\square \subset X \cap \overline{N_{\sigma,\mathbb{R}}}} \beta'_{\square}[\square] \in IC_{p-1,q-1}^{\text{Trop}}(X \cap \overline{N_{\sigma,\mathbb{R}}}),$$

and this gives the isomorphisms in the assertion of Proposition 3.21. \square

Corollary 3.25. *When $\dim \sigma_S \geq 1$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{H}^{-p-q}(IC_{\text{Trop,geom}}^{d-p,*})_x \\ \cong & \begin{cases} \mathcal{H}^{-p-q}(\text{Fil}_S^{p+\dim S+2\dim \sigma_S-d} IC_{\text{Trop,geom}}^{d-p,*})_x & (q = d) \\ \mathcal{H}^{-p-q}(\text{Fil}_S^{p+\dim S+2\dim \sigma_S-q+1} IC_{\text{Trop,geom}}^{d-p,*})_x & (\dim S + \dim \sigma_S + 2 \leq q \leq d - 1) \\ 0 & (q \leq \min \{\dim S + \dim \sigma_S + 1, d - 1\}) \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. This is a direct corollary of Proposition 3.16 and Proposition 3.21. See Remark 3.6 for $q = d$. \square

Remark 3.26. When $\dim \sigma_S \geq 1$, by Corollary 3.25, in particular, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{H}^{-p-q}(IC_{\text{Trop,geom}}^{d-p,*})_x \\ \cong & \begin{cases} \mathcal{H}^{-p-q}(\text{Fil}_S^{p+\dim S+\dim \sigma_S-q+1} IC_{\text{Trop,geom}}^{d-p,*})_x & (\dim S + 1 \leq q) \\ 0 & (q \leq \dim S) \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

This weaker result is enough for sheaf theoretic study in Section 5.

For our sheaf-theoretic approach in Section 5, we need slight generalizations (Proposition 3.27) of Proposition 3.16, Remark 3.26, and Proposition 3.19. Let $S_0 \in \Lambda_{\text{sing}}$,

$$L = (S_{L,1} \subsetneq S_{L,2} \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq S_{L,r(L)} = S_0)$$

($S_{L,i} \in \Lambda$, $r(L) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$) a sequence, and $a = (a_{S'})_{S' \in \Lambda} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\Lambda}$ with $a_{S'} \geq 0$ ($S' \in \Lambda \setminus \{S_{L,i}\}_{i=1}^{r(L)}$). We put

$$m_{p,S_0} := -2p - \dim S_0 - \dim \sigma_{S_0} - 1$$

and $W_L := \bigcap_{i=1}^{r(L)} W_{S_{L,i}}$. Remind that $W_{S_{L,i}}$ are small open polyhedral neighborhoods of $\text{rel.int } S_{L,i}$. We put $a(S_0 = m_{p,S_0} + p + q) \in \mathbb{Z}^\Lambda$ by

$$\begin{aligned} a(S_0 = m_{p,S_0} + p + q)_{S_0} &= m_{p,S_0} + p + q \\ a(S_0 = m_{p,S_0} + p + q)_{S'} &= a_{S'} \end{aligned}$$

($S' \neq S_0 \in \Lambda$). Remind that we put

$$\text{Fil}_*^\Lambda IC_{\text{Trop,geom}}^{d-p,*}(a) := \bigcap_{S' \in \Lambda} \text{Fil}_{S'}^{-a_{S'}} IC_{p,-*,-p}^{\text{Trop,geom}} \subset IC_{\text{Trop,geom}}^{d-p,*}.$$

Proposition 3.27. (1) (*local vanishing*) When $\dim \sigma_{S_0} = 0$, for $q \leq d-1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{H}^{-p-q}(\text{Fil}_*^\Lambda IC_{\text{Trop,geom}}^{d-p,*}|_{\text{rel.int } S_0 \cap W_L}(a)) \\ & \cong \begin{cases} \mathcal{H}^{-p-q}(\text{Fil}_*^\Lambda IC_{\text{Trop,geom}}^{d-p,*}|_{\text{rel.int } S_0 \cap W_L}(a(S_0 = m_{p,S_0} + p + q))) & (\dim S_0 + 2 \leq q \leq a_{S_0} - m_{p,S_0} - p) \\ 0 & (q \leq \dim S_0 + 1) \end{cases}. \end{aligned}$$

(2) (*local vanishing*) When $\dim \sigma_{S_0} \geq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{H}^{-p-q}(\text{Fil}_*^\Lambda IC_{\text{Trop,geom}}^{d-p,*}|_{\text{rel.int } S_0 \cap W_L}(a)) \\ & \cong \begin{cases} \mathcal{H}^{-p-q}(\text{Fil}_*^\Lambda IC_{\text{Trop,geom}}^{d-p,*}|_{\text{rel.int } S_0 \cap W_L}(a(S_0 = m_{p,S_0} + p + q))) & (\dim S_0 + 1 \leq q \leq a_{S_0} - m_{p,S_0} - p) \\ 0 & (q \leq \dim S_0) \end{cases}. \end{aligned}$$

(3) (*attaching property*) When $\dim \sigma_{S_0} = 0$, the natural map

$$\text{Fil}_*^\Lambda IC_{\text{Trop,geom}}^{d-p,*}(a)|_{W_L} \rightarrow \text{Ri}_{S_*} i_S^* \text{Fil}_*^\Lambda IC_{\text{Trop,geom}}^{d-p,*}(a)|_{W_L}$$

induces an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{H}^m(\text{Fil}_*^\Lambda IC_{\text{Trop,geom}}^{d-p,*}(a)|_{\text{rel.int } S_0 \cap W_L}) \cong \mathcal{H}^m((\text{Ri}_{S_*} i_S^* \text{Fil}_*^\Lambda IC_{\text{Trop,geom}}^{d-p,*}(a))|_{\text{rel.int } S_0 \cap W_L})$$

for

$$m \leq \max \{-p - d, \min \{m_{p,S} - a_S, -p - \dim S - 2\}\}.$$

Proof. For $c = (c_{S_{L,i}})_{S_{L,i}} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\{S_{L,i}\}_{i=1}^{r(L)}}$, we put $(c, (0)) \in \mathbb{Z}^\Lambda$ the element whose $S_{L,i}$ -component ($1 \leq i \leq r(L)$) is $c_{S_{L,i}}$ and the other components are 0. For $b \in \mathbb{Z}^{\{S_{L,i}\}_{i=1}^{r(L)}}$, we put

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{gr}_b IC_{\text{Trop,geom}}^{d-p,*}|_{W_L} \\ & := \text{Fil}_*^\Lambda IC_{\text{Trop,geom}}^{d-p,*}((b, (0)))|_{W_L} / \sum_{\substack{b' \in \mathbb{Z}^{\{S_{L,i}\}_{i=1}^{r(L)}} \\ b' \leq b}} \text{Fil}_*^\Lambda IC_{\text{Trop,geom}}^{d-p,*}((b', (0)))|_{W_L}, \end{aligned}$$

where $b' \leq b$ means $b'_{S_{L,i}} \leq b_{S_{L,i}}$ for any $1 \leq i \leq r(L)$ and the equality does not hold for some $1 \leq i \leq r(L)$. For each $P \in \Lambda_{\text{sm},d}$ containing S_0 , we have a sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 & \subseteq \text{Tan}_{\mathbb{Q}} \sigma_{S_{L,r(L)}} \subseteq \dots \subseteq \text{Tan}_{\mathbb{Q}} \sigma_{S_{L,1}} \\ & \subseteq \text{pr}_{\sigma_{S_{L,1}}}^{-1}(\text{Tan}_{\mathbb{Q}} S_{L,1}) \subseteq \dots \subseteq \text{pr}_{\sigma_{S_{L,r(L)}}}^{-1}(\text{Tan}_{\mathbb{Q}} S_{L,r(L)}) \\ & \subseteq \text{Tan}_{\mathbb{Q}} P. \end{aligned}$$

We fix an inner product of $N \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. Then the above sequence gives an orthogonal decomposition of $\text{Tan}_{\mathbb{Q}} P$. It gives a decomposition of $F_{p,w}(P)$, and these decompositions for all such P gives a decomposition

$$IC_{\text{Trop,geom}}^{d-p,*}|_{W_L} \cong \bigoplus_{b \in \mathbb{Z}} \bigoplus_{\{s_{L,i}\}_{i=1}^{r(L)}} \text{gr}_b IC_{\text{Trop,geom}}^{d-p,*}|_{W_L},$$

which induces

$$\text{Fil}_{S_0}^k IC_{\text{Trop,geom}}^{d-p,*}|_{W_L} \cong \bigoplus_{\substack{b \in \mathbb{Z} \\ b_{S_L, r(L)} \geq -k}} \bigoplus_{\{s_{L,i}\}_{i=1}^{r(L)}} \text{gr}_b IC_{\text{Trop,geom}}^{d-p,*}|_{W_L}.$$

Our proofs of Proposition 3.16, Proposition 3.19, and Proposition 3.21 just use mapping cylinders, $F_{p,w}$ -linearly, hence they also work for each $\text{gr}_b IC_{\text{Trop,geom}}^{d-p,*}|_{W_L} \subset \text{Fil}_{S_0}^k IC_{\text{Trop,geom}}^{d-p,*}|_{W_L}$. Hence the assertions hold. \square

Remark 3.28. By Proposition 6.3, attaching property (5.16) also holds for S_0 with $\dim \sigma_{S_0} \geq 1$.

4. DERIVED CATEGORIES OF LOCALLY GRADED SHEAVES

As in Goresky-MacPherson's intersection homology [GM83], the tropical intersection complex $\text{Fil}_*^{\Lambda} IC_{\text{Trop,geom}}^{p,*}$ has a sheaf-theoretic characterization (Section 5 and Subsection 6.1) using a kind of truncation functors. As we have seen in Subsection 3.2, our truncation functors should involve filtrations (or we should say grades). For each stratum $\text{rel.int } S$ ($S \in \Lambda_{\text{sing}}$), we defined the filtration on an open neighborhood W_S of $\text{rel.int } S$ instead of that on $\text{rel.int } S$. This is because we need to recover $\text{Fil}_*^{\Lambda} IC_{\text{Trop,geom}}^{p,*}$ from its restriction to an open subset $X_{\text{sm}} := \bigcup_{R \in \Lambda_{\text{sm}}} \text{rel.int } R$, which does not intersect with $\text{rel.int } S$ ($S \in \Lambda_{\text{sing}}$). However, the choice of W_S is not canonical. This may cause some technical problems. To avoid such problems, we consider localizations of categories, (*derived categories of*) *locally graded sheaves*. In this section, we discuss them.

In the first three subsections, we will give a quick introduction to (multi)graded modules, their sheaves, and derived categories. Six functors are discussed in Section 8. In Subsection 4.4, we discuss LG-(quasi-)isomorphisms of (complexes of) graded sheaves (where LG stands for ‘‘locally graded’’). In Subsection 4.5, we impose a finiteness condition on grades. In Subsection 4.6, we study the truncation functors which involve local grades.

Note that while we use categories of graded modules as a framework, probably, categories of filtered modules also work. We use the former simply because it seems much simpler. There are many references of sheaves of filtered and graded modules, e.g., [Ill71], [Lau83]. Many parts of this section and Section 8 about derived categories of sheaves of (non-locally) graded modules must be contained in some existing literature.

In this section, let k be a noetherian commutative ring of finite global dimension with unit. We put $\text{Mod } k$ the abelian category of k -modules.

4.1. The abelian category of graded modules. Let I be a finite set. For $a = (a_i)_{i \in I}$ and $b = (b_i)_{i \in I} \in \mathbb{Z}^I$, we put $a \leq b$ if and only if $a_i \leq b_i$ for any i . We consider \mathbb{Z}^I as a preordered abelian group. By abuse of notation, we put $0 := (0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{Z}^I$.

Definition 4.1. Let $k[T_i]_{i \in I}$ be the ring of polynomials in T_i ($i \in I$) over k .

- (1) A (\mathbb{Z}^I) -graded $k[T_i]_{i \in I}$ -module A is a $k[T_i]_{i \in I}$ -module equipped with a decomposition $A = \bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{Z}^I} A(a)$ into k -modules $A(a)$ such that multiplications T_i are the direct sums of $T_i: A(a) \rightarrow A(a + e_i)$ ($i \in I, a \in \mathbb{Z}^I$), where $e_i \in \mathbb{Z}^I$ is the element whose i -component is 1 and other components are 0.
- (2) We put $\text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}$ the category of graded $k[T_i]_{i \in I}$ -modules with grade-preserving morphisms, i.e.,

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}}(A, B) := \{ \varphi \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Mod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}}(A, B) \mid \varphi(A(a)) \subset B(a) (a \in \mathbb{Z}^I) \}.$$

We also put $\text{Fct}(\mathbb{Z}^I, \text{Mod } k)$ the functor category from the preordered set \mathbb{Z}^I to the abelian category $\text{Mod } k$. Then we have a natural isomorphism

$$\text{Fct}(\mathbb{Z}^I, \text{Mod } k) \cong \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}$$

given by

$$F \mapsto \bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{Z}^I} F(a)$$

and

$$T_i := F(a \rightarrow a + e_i): F(a) \rightarrow F(a + e_i)$$

($a \in \mathbb{Z}^I, i \in I$). We identify both hand sides.

Remark 4.2. The functor category $\text{Fct}(\mathbb{Z}^I, \text{Mod } k)$ is an abelian category [Sch72, Proposition 12.1.6] admitting small direct sums and products [Pop73, Section 3.4, Theorem 4.1 and Section 2.8]. Kernels, images, limits, and colimits are computed in a grade-wise manner. Explicitly,

- for a morphism $f \in \text{Hom}_{\text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}}(A, B)$, we have $(\text{Ker } f)(a) = \text{Ker } f \cap A(a)$ and $(\text{Im } f)(a) = f(A(a))$, and
- for $A_j = \bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{Z}^I} A_j(a) \in \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}$, we have $(\bigoplus_j A_j)(a) = \bigoplus_j (A_j(a))$ and $(\prod_j A_j)(a) = \prod_j (A_j(a))$.

Definition 4.3. Let $A = \bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{Z}^I} A(a)$ and $B = \bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{Z}^I} B(a) \in \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}$. Let $A \otimes_{k[T_i]_{i \in I}} B$ denote their non-graded tensor product. We equip it with the structure of graded $k[T_i]_{i \in I}$ -module by

$$(A \otimes_{k[T_i]_{i \in I}} B)(a) = \text{Im} \left(\bigoplus_{a_1 + a_2 = a} A(a_1) \otimes_k B(a_2) \rightarrow A \otimes_{k[T_i]_{i \in I}} B \right).$$

By abuse of notation, let $A \otimes_{k[T_i]_{i \in I}} B$ also denote this graded tensor product.

For a k -module A and a subset $\Omega \subset \mathbb{Z}^I$, we define $\bigoplus_{a \in \Omega} A \in \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}$ by

$$\left(\bigoplus_{a \in \Omega} A \right)(b) := \begin{cases} A & (b \in \Omega) \\ 0 & (b \notin \Omega) \end{cases}$$

($b \in \mathbb{Z}^I$), the identity map $A \rightarrow A$, and the zero maps $0 \rightarrow A$ and $A \rightarrow 0$. For $a \in \mathbb{Z}^I$, we put $\mathbb{Z}_{*a}^I := \{ b \in \mathbb{Z}^I \mid b * a \}$ ($* \in \{ \geq, \leq \}$). By abuse of notation, we put $k[T_i]_{i \in I} := \bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^I} k$. We have $B \otimes_{k[T_i]_{i \in I}} k[T_i]_{i \in I} \cong B$ ($B \in \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}$) as graded $k[T_i]_{i \in I}$ -modules.

Definition 4.4. A graded $k[T_i]_{i \in I}$ -module M is flat if for any exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow N_1 \rightarrow N_2 \rightarrow N_3 \rightarrow 0$$

in $\text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}$, a sequence

$$0 \rightarrow N_1 \otimes M \rightarrow N_2 \otimes M \rightarrow N_3 \otimes M \rightarrow 0$$

is also exact.

Definition 4.5. Let $A = \bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{Z}^I} A(a) \in \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}$ and $b \in \mathbb{Z}^I$.

- (1) We define $A[b]_{\text{gr}} \in \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}$ by $A[b]_{\text{gr}}(a) := A(a+b)$ and natural morphisms $T_i: A(a+b) \rightarrow A(a+b+e_i)$.
- (2) We also put

$$\text{grHom}(A, B)(b) := \text{Hom}_{\text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}}(A, B[b]_{\text{gr}}).$$

In particular, we put

$$\text{grHom}(A, B)(0) := \text{Hom}_{\text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}}(A, B).$$

- (3) We put

$$T_i: \text{grHom}(A, B)(b) \rightarrow \text{grHom}(A, B)(b+e_i)$$

the map given by multiplying T_i , and define

$$\text{grHom}(A, B) := \bigoplus_{b \in \mathbb{Z}^I} \text{grHom}(A, B)(b) \in \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}.$$

Remark 4.6. The functor $-[b]_{\text{gr}}$ ($b \in \mathbb{Z}^I$) is an exact functor. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{grHom}(k[T_i]_{i \in I}, B)(b) &= B(b) \in \text{Mod } k, \\ \text{grHom}(k[T_i]_{i \in I}, B) &= B \in \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}. \end{aligned}$$

Example 4.7. We assume $I = \{\text{pt}\}$. Let $A = \bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{Z}\{\text{pt}\}} A(a) \in \text{grMod } k[T_{\text{pt}}]$. Then for $b \in \mathbb{Z}\{\text{pt}\}$, we have

$$\text{grHom}(A, k[T_{\text{pt}}])(b) \cong \left\{ f \in \text{Hom}\left(\lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} A(a), k\right) \mid f(A(-b-1)) = 0 \right\}.$$

In particular, for $a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}\{\text{pt}\}$,

- when $A(a) = A(a_0)$ for $a \geq a_0$, we have $\text{grHom}(A, k[T_{\text{pt}}])(b) = 0$ for $b \leq -a_0 - 1$, and
- conversely, when $A(a) = 0$ for $a \leq a_0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{grHom}(A, k[T_{\text{pt}}])(b) &= \text{Hom}\left(\lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} A(a), k\right) \\ &= \text{grHom}(A, k[T_{\text{pt}}])(-a_0 - 1) \end{aligned}$$

for $b \geq -a_0 - 1$.

This kind of duality is used in proof of Poincaré-Verdier duality in Subsection 5.2.

We shall also define external tensor product (Definition 4.13) which is used to prove Künneth formula (Proposition 6.2). Let J be a finite set. We put $\text{pr}_I: I \times J \rightarrow I$ the projection.

Definition 4.8. We define $\min \text{pr}_I: \mathbb{Z}^{I \times J} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^I$ by $\min \text{pr}_I(b)_i := \min_{j \in J} \{b_{(i,j)}\}$ ($i \in I$) for $b = (b_{(i,j)})_{(i,j) \in I \times J}$, and define $\iota_I: \mathbb{Z}^I \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{I \times J}$ by $\iota_I(a)_{(i,j)} := a_i$ ($(i,j) \in I \times J$) for $a = (a_i)_{i \in I}$.

Remark 4.9. For $b \in \mathbb{Z}^{I \times J}$, we have $b \geq \iota_I(\min \text{pr}_I(b))$ and

$$\min \text{pr}_I(b) = \min \text{pr}_I(\iota_I(\min \text{pr}_I(b))).$$

Definition 4.10. Let $A \in \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}$, $B \in \text{grMod } k[T_{(i,j)}]_{(i,j) \in I \times J}$.

- We define $\min \text{pr}_I^* A \in \text{grMod } k[T_{(i,j)}]_{(i,j) \in I \times J}$ by

$$\min \text{pr}_I^* A(b) := A(\min \text{pr}_I(b))$$

($b \in \mathbb{Z}^{I \times J}$) with natural morphisms.

- We define $\iota_I^* B \in \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}$ by

$$\iota_I^* B(a) := B(\iota_I(a))$$

($a \in \mathbb{Z}^I$) with natural morphisms.

Obviously, the functors $\min \text{pr}_I^*$ and ι_I^* are exact functors.

Lemma 4.11. *Let $A \in \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}$ and $B \in \text{grMod } k[T_{(i,j)}]_{(i,j) \in I \times J}$. Then we have a natural isomorphism*

$$(\min \text{pr}_I^* A \otimes B)(b^\circ + \iota_I(a^\circ)) \cong (A \otimes \iota_I^*(B[b^\circ]_{\text{gr}}))(a^\circ)$$

($a^\circ \in \mathbb{Z}^I$, $b^\circ \in \mathbb{Z}^{I \times J}$).

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\min \text{pr}_I^* A \otimes B)(b^\circ + \iota_I(a^\circ)) \\ & \cong \sum_{b \in \mathbb{Z}^{I \times J}} \min \text{pr}_I^* A(b) \otimes B(b^\circ + \iota_I(a^\circ) - b) \\ & \cong \sum_{b \in \mathbb{Z}^{I \times J}} \min \text{pr}_I^* A(\iota_I(\min \text{pr}_I(b))) \otimes B(b^\circ + \iota_I(a^\circ) - \iota_I(\min \text{pr}_I(b))) \\ & \cong \sum_{a \in \mathbb{Z}^I} \min \text{pr}_I^* A(\iota_I(a)) \otimes B(b^\circ + \iota_I(a^\circ) - \iota_I(a)) \\ & \cong \sum_{a \in \mathbb{Z}^I} A(a) \otimes \iota_I^*(B[b^\circ]_{\text{gr}})(a^\circ - a) \\ & \cong (A \otimes \iota_I^*(B[b^\circ]_{\text{gr}}))(a^\circ), \end{aligned}$$

where the second isomorphism follows from Remark 4.9. □

Corollary 4.12. *The functors $\min \text{pr}_I^*$ and ι_I^* send flat modules to flat modules.*

Proof. This immediately follows from Lemma 4.11. □

Corollary 4.12 is used to define external tensor products for derived categories of sheaves of graded modules (Subsection 4.3).

Definition 4.13. For $A_I \in \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}$ and $A_J \in \text{grMod } k[T_j]_{j \in J}$, we put

$$A_I \boxtimes A_J := \min \text{pr}_I^* A_I \otimes \min \text{pr}_J^* A_J \in \text{grMod } k[T_{(i,j)}]_{(i,j) \in I \times J},$$

the *external tensor product*.

4.2. Sheaves of graded modules. Let X be a topological space.

Remark 4.14. The abelian category $\text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}$ satisfies nice properties. It has a generator $\bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{Z}^I} k[T_i]_{i \in I}[a]_{\text{gr}} \in \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}$. By [Pop73, Section 3.4, Theorem 4.1 and Section 2.8], filtered inductive limits in $\text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}$ preserve exact sequences. Consequently, by [Sch72, Theorem 15.3.7], every object in $\text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}$ has an injective envelope. By [Pop73, Section 3.4, Theorem 4.1 and Section 2.8], the locally small category $\text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}$ also satisfies the following: for any object A and any set J such that for each $j \in J$, a direct set $\{A_{j(i)}\}_{i \in I_j}$ of subobjects of A is given, we have

$$\bigcap_{j \in J} \left(\sum_{i \in I_j} A_{j(i)} \right) = \sum_{(j(i)) \in \prod_{j \in J} I_j} A_{j(i)}.$$

We put $\text{Psh}(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I})$ the abelian category of presheaves of graded $k[T_i]_{i \in I}$ -modules. By Remark 4.14, we have the abelian category of sheaves $\text{Shv}(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I})$ with enough injectives ([Pop73, Section 4.7]). (See also [KS06, Chapter 17] for sheaf theory.) It is the full subcategory of $\text{Psh}(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I})$ consisting of presheaves \mathcal{F} satisfying the usual axiom:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}(U_i) \rightarrow \prod_{i, j \in I} \mathcal{F}(U_i \cap U_j)$$

is exact for any open subset $U \subset X$ and open covering $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ of U . We also have the natural sheafification functor

$$\text{Psh}(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}) \rightarrow \text{Shv}(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}).$$

We obviously have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Psh}(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}) &= \text{Fct}(\mathbb{Z}^I, \text{Psh}(X, \text{Mod } k)), \\ \text{Shv}(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}) &= \text{Fct}(\mathbb{Z}^I, \text{Shv}(X, \text{Mod } k)). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, we can compute stalks, kernels, images, colimits and sheafifications in a grade-wise manner, and for $a \in \mathbb{Z}^I$, we have an exact functor

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Shv}(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}) &\rightarrow \text{Shv}(X, \text{Mod } k) \\ F &\mapsto F(a). \end{aligned}$$

When X is a locally closed polyhedral subset (Definition 2.3) of a tropical toric variety, we put

$$\text{Shv}_c(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}) \subset \text{Shv}(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}).$$

the full abelian subcategory of sheaves constructible (Definition 8.21) with respect to some polyhedral stratifications.

4.3. Derived categories of sheaves of graded modules. Let X and Y be locally closed polyhedral subsets (Definition 2.3) of tropical toric varieties, and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ a polyhedrally stratified map (Definition 2.4). Let

$$D(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}) := D(\text{Shv}(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}))$$

be the derived category of complexes of sheaves of $\text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}$ -modules, and $D^b(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I})$ (resp. $D_c^b(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I})$) its full triangulated subcategory of complexes quasi-isomorphic to bounded complexes (resp. bounded complexes constructible (Definition 8.22) with respect to some polyhedral stratifications).

We assume that $Rf_*, Rf_! : D^+(X, \text{Mod } k) \rightarrow D^+(Y, \text{Mod } k)$ have finite cohomological dimensions, and that for any polyhedral stratification of X , there is a refinement of it such that $f^{-1}(y)$ ($y \in Y$) is compactifiable (Definition 8.30) with respect to the restriction of the stratification. Then we have six functors

$$\begin{aligned} f_* &: D_c^b(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}) \rightarrow D_c^b(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}) \\ f^* &: D_c^b(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}) \rightarrow D_c^b(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}) \\ f_! &: D_c^b(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}) \rightarrow D_c^b(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}) \\ f^! &: D_c^b(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}) \rightarrow D_c^b(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}) \\ \otimes^L &: D_c^b(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}) \times D_c^b(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}) \rightarrow D_c^b(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}) \\ \text{Rgr } \mathcal{H}om &: D_c^b(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}) \times D_c^b(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}) \rightarrow D_c^b(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}) \end{aligned}$$

(see Section 8 for details). They satisfies the usual compatibility.

Example 4.15. We have $\text{Fil}_*^\Lambda IC_{\text{Trop, geom}}^{d-p,*} \in D_c^b(X, \text{grMod } \mathbb{Q}[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})$ (Subsection 3.1) for a tropical variety $X = (\Lambda, w)$ regular at infinity.

Remark 4.16. For an abelian category \mathcal{A} , we put $C(\mathcal{A})$ the category of (cochain) complexes. We have

$$\begin{aligned} C(\text{Shv}(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I})) &= C(\text{Fct}(\mathbb{Z}^I, \text{Shv}(X, \text{Mod } k))) \\ &= \text{Fct}(\mathbb{Z}^I, C(\text{Shv}(X, \text{Mod } k))). \end{aligned}$$

i.e., a complex $A \in C(\text{Shv}(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}))$ of sheaves $A^j = \bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{Z}^I} A^j(a) \in \text{Shv}(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I})$ ($j \in \mathbb{Z}$) can be also considered as

$$A = \bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{Z}^I} A(a) \in \text{Fct}(\mathbb{Z}^I, C(\text{Shv}(X, \text{Mod } k))),$$

where we put $A(a) := (A^j(a))_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \in C(\text{Shv}(X, \text{Mod } k))$. We use this notation freely. For $a \in \mathbb{Z}^I$, we have a functor

$$\begin{aligned} D_c^b(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}) &\rightarrow D_c^b(X, \text{Mod } k) \\ F &\mapsto F(a). \end{aligned}$$

Notation 4.17. Let $A \in D(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I})$. We put

- $\mathcal{H}^j(A) \in C(\text{Shv}(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}))$ is the sheaf of j -th cohomology group,
- $A[n]_{\text{deg}} \in D(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I})$ the usual shift given by $(A[n]_{\text{deg}})^j = A^{j+n}$ and

$$d_{A[n]_{\text{deg}}} := (-1)^n d_A : (A[n]_{\text{deg}})^j \rightarrow (A[n]_{\text{deg}})^{j+1},$$

- $A[b]_{\text{gr}} \in D(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I})$ the shift of grading given by $A[b]_{\text{gr}}(a) := A(a+b)$, and

- $\tau_{\leq p}A$ and $\tau^{\geq p}A$ the usual truncations given by

$$\tau_{\leq p}A^j := \begin{cases} 0 & (j \geq p+1) \\ \text{Ker}(d: A^p \rightarrow A^{p+1}) & (j = p) \\ A^j & (j \leq p-1) \end{cases}$$

$$\tau^{\geq p}A^j := \begin{cases} A^j & (j \geq p+1) \\ A^p / \text{Im}(d: A^{p-1} \rightarrow A^p) & (j = p) \\ 0 & (j \leq p-1) \end{cases}.$$

Let J be also a finite set. For $A \in \text{Shv}(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I})$, we put

$$\min \text{pr}_I^* A \in \text{Shv}(X, \text{grMod } k[T_{(i,j)}]_{(i,j) \in I \times J})$$

the sheaf given by

$$U \mapsto \min \text{pr}_I^*(A(U))$$

(Definition 4.10). Since $\min \text{pr}_I^*$ is exact, it defines a functor

$$\min \text{pr}_I^*: D^b(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}) \rightarrow D^b(X, \text{grMod } k[T_{(i,j)}]_{(i,j) \in I \times J}).$$

Remind that $\min \text{pr}_I^*$ sends flat modules to flat modules (Corollary 4.12). For $A_I \in D^b(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I})$ and $A_J \in D^b(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_j]_{j \in J})$, using flat resolutions (Remark 8.7), we define the *external tensor product* by

$$A_I \boxtimes^L A_J := \min \text{pr}_I^* \text{pr}_X^* A_I \otimes^L \min \text{pr}_J^* \text{pr}_Y^* A_J \in D^b(X \times Y, \text{grMod } k[T_{(i,j)}]_{(i,j) \in I \times J}),$$

where $\text{pr}_Z: X \times Y \rightarrow Z$ ($Z = X, Y$) is the projection.

Lemma 4.18. *We have*

$$\min \text{pr}_I^* \circ D_X = D_X \circ \min \text{pr}_I^*: D^b(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}) \rightarrow D^b(X, \text{grMod } k[T_{(i,j)}]_{(i,j) \in I \times J}).$$

Proof. Let $B \in D^b(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I})$ be a complex as in Lemma 8.18. Then $\min \text{pr}_I^* B \in D^b(X, \text{grMod } k[T_{(i,j)}]_{(i,j) \in I \times J})$ also satisfies the condition in Lemma 8.18. By Lemma 8.18, for $a \in \mathbb{Z}^{I \times J}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \min \text{pr}_I^*(D_X(B))(a) &= D_X(B)(\min \text{pr}_I(a)) \\ &\cong \text{gr } \mathcal{H}om(B, \bigoplus_{b \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^I} \omega_X)(\min \text{pr}_I(a)) \\ &\cong \text{gr } \mathcal{H}om(\min \text{pr}_I^* B, \bigoplus_{c \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{I \times J}} \omega_X)(a) \\ &\cong D(\min \text{pr}_I^* B)(a), \end{aligned}$$

where $\omega_X \in D^b(X, \text{Mod } k)$ is the injective dualizing complex. Since every complex is quasi-isomorphic to such a B , the assertion holds. \square

4.4. Derived categories of locally graded sheaves. In this subsection, we discuss localizations $D_{\epsilon, LG}^b(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})$ ($\epsilon = \emptyset, c$) of derived categories of sheaves of graded modules by *LG-quasi-isomorphisms* (Definition 4.20), which are used in our sheaf-theoretic study of tropical intersection homology groups. To prove a basic property (Corollary 4.59) of our truncation functor (Definition 4.48) in the next subsection, we also show that $D_{\epsilon, LG}^b(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})$ is equivalent to the derived category of its heart, which is equivalent to localizations $\text{Shv}_{\epsilon, LG}(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})$ of abelian categories of sheaves of graded modules by *LG-isomorphisms* (Definition 4.33).

In this subsection, let $X = (\Lambda, w)$ be a tropical variety, and $Y \subset X$ a locally closed polyhedral subset (Definition 2.3).

Definition 4.19. A pair (L, a) of a sequence $L = (S_{L,1} \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq S_{L,r(L)})$ ($S_{L,i} \in \Lambda$, $r(L) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$) and $a = (a_S)_{S \in \Lambda} \in \mathbb{Z}^\Lambda$ is called an *adapted pair* if $a_S \geq 0$ for $S \in \Lambda \setminus \{S_{L,i}\}_{i=1}^{r(L)}$.

Definition 4.20. Let $A, B \in D_\epsilon^b(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})$ ($\epsilon = \emptyset, c$). A morphism $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ in $D_\epsilon^b(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})$ is an *LG-quasi-isomorphism* (where LG stands for ‘‘locally graded’’) if there are open polyhedral neighborhoods $W'_S \subset X$ of $\text{rel.int } S$ ($S \in \Lambda$) such that for an adapted pair (L, a) , the morphism φ induces a quasi-isomorphism

$$A|_{Y \cap W'_L}(a) \cong B|_{Y \cap W'_L}(a),$$

where we put $W'_L := \bigcap_{i=1}^{r(L)} W'_{S_{L,i}}$. We denote LG-quasi-isomorphisms by \cong_{LG} .

Remark 4.21. In Definition 4.20, for an adapted pair (L, a) with $r(L) = 0$ (i.e., $\{S_{L,i}\}_{i=1}^{r(L)} = \emptyset$), we define the condition as $\varphi(a): A(a) \cong B(a)$ on Y .

Remark 4.22. For $S_1, S_2 \in \Lambda$ with $S_1 \not\subset S_2$ and $S_1 \not\supset S_2$, there exist open polyhedral neighborhoods $W'_{S_i} \subset X$ of $\text{rel.int } S_i$ ($i = 1, 2$) such that $W'_{S_1} \cap W'_{S_2} = \emptyset$. Hence the condition in Definition 4.20 can be written as follows: there are open polyhedral neighborhoods $W'_S \subset X$ of $\text{rel.int } S$ ($S \in \Lambda$) such that for any $a \in \mathbb{Z}^\Lambda$, the morphism φ induces a quasi-isomorphism

$$A|_{Y \cap \bigcap_{\substack{S \in \Lambda \\ a_S \leq -1}} W'_S}(a) \cong B|_{Y \cap \bigcap_{\substack{S \in \Lambda \\ a_S \leq -1}} W'_S}(a).$$

We introduce a useful functor.

Definition 4.23. Let $i: V \hookrightarrow Y$ be an open polyhedral subset. Let $A \in \text{Shv}_\epsilon(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})$ ($\epsilon = \emptyset, c$) and $S_0 \in \Lambda$. We define $(i_! i^*)_{S_0} A \in \text{Shv}_\epsilon(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})$ by

$$(i_! i^*)_{S_0} A(a) := \begin{cases} A(a) & (a_{S_0} \geq 0) \\ i_! i^* A(a) & (a_{S_0} \leq -1) \end{cases}$$

($a \in \mathbb{Z}^\Lambda$) and natural morphisms.

Remark 4.24. The functor $(i_! i^*)_{S_0}$ is exact, hence it induces a functor $(i_! i^*)_{S_0}$ on the derived category. Note that we have a natural morphism $(i_! i^*)_{S_0} A \rightarrow A$.

Definition 4.25. Let $A \in \text{Shv}_\epsilon(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})$ ($\epsilon = \emptyset, c$), and $W'_S \subset X$ open polyhedral neighborhoods of $\text{rel.int } S$ ($S \in \Lambda$). We put

$$\begin{aligned} (i_! i^*)_{(W'_S)_{S \in \Lambda}} A &:= (i_{W'_{S_{\#\Lambda}} \cap Y} i_{W'_{S_{\#\Lambda}} \cap Y}^*)_{S_{\#\Lambda}} \cdots (i_{W'_{S_1} \cap Y} i_{W'_{S_1} \cap Y}^*)_{S_1} A \\ &\in \text{Shv}_\epsilon(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda}), \end{aligned}$$

where $i_{W'_S \cap Y}: W'_S \cap Y \hookrightarrow Y$ ($S \in \Lambda$) is an open immersion, and we fix an ordering $\Lambda = \{S^1, \dots, S^{\#\Lambda}\}$.

Remark 4.26. The functor $(i_! i^*)_{(W'_S)_{S \in \Lambda}}$ is exact, hence it induces a functor $(i_! i^*)_{(W'_S)_{S \in \Lambda}}$ on the derived category. Note that we have a natural morphism $(i_! i^*)_{(W'_S)_{S \in \Lambda}} A \rightarrow A$, and for a morphism $A \rightarrow B$ in $\text{Shv}_\epsilon(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})$ or $D_\epsilon^b(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})$ ($\epsilon = \emptyset, c$), we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & B \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ (i_! i^*)_{(W'_S)_{S \in \Lambda}} A & \xrightarrow{(i_! i^*)_{(W'_S)_{S \in \Lambda}} \varphi} & (i_! i^*)_{(W'_S)_{S \in \Lambda}} B \end{array} .$$

Remark 4.27. Let $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ be a morphism in $D_\epsilon^b(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})$ ($\epsilon = \emptyset, c$), and $W'_S \subset X$ open polyhedral neighborhoods of $\text{rel.int } S$ ($S \in \Lambda$).

- The natural morphism $(i_! i^*)_{(W'_S)_{S \in \Lambda}} A \rightarrow A$ is an LG-quasi-isomorphism.
- When $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ is an LG-quasi-isomorphism, the morphism

$$(i_! i^*)_{(W'_S)_{S \in \Lambda}} \varphi: (i_! i^*)_{(W'_S)_{S \in \Lambda}} A \rightarrow (i_! i^*)_{(W'_S)_{S \in \Lambda}} B$$

is also an LG-quasi-isomorphism. Moreover, in this case, for sufficiently small W'_S ($S \in \Lambda$), the morphism $(i_! i^*)_{(W'_S)_{S \in \Lambda}} \varphi$ is a quasi-isomorphism.

The system $S_{\epsilon, LGq}$ ($\epsilon = \emptyset, c$) of all LG-quasi-isomorphisms in $D_\epsilon^b(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})$ is the union of the systems $S_{\epsilon, LGq, W'}$ (with respect to $W' = (W'_S)_{S \in \Lambda}$) arising from cohomological functors

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{L=(S_{L,1} \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq S_{L,r(L)})} \prod_{\substack{a \in \mathbb{Z}^\Lambda \\ a_S \geq 0}} \prod_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{H}^j(-|_{Y \cap W'_L}(a)) \\ : D_\epsilon^b(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda}) & \rightarrow \prod_{L=(S_{L,1} \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq S_{L,r(L)})} \prod_{\substack{a \in \mathbb{Z}^\Lambda \\ a_S \geq 0}} \prod_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Shv}_\epsilon(Y \cap W'_L, \text{Mod } k). \end{aligned}$$

The systems $S_{\epsilon, LGq, W'}$ are multiplicative, and their localizations are triangulated ([Wei94, Proposition 10.4.1]). The proof ([loc.cit.]) of this fact shows that the system $S_{\epsilon, LGq}$ is also multiplicative, its localization $S_{\epsilon, LGq}^{-1} D_\epsilon^b(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})$ is a triangulated category, and the canonical functor

$$D_\epsilon^b(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda}) \rightarrow D_{\epsilon, LG}^b(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda}) := S_{\epsilon, LGq}^{-1} D_\epsilon^b(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})$$

is a morphism of triangulated categories.

Remark 4.28. A priori, the localization $D_{\epsilon, LG}^b(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})$ exists in a larger universe, but in fact it exists in our universe. This can be proven in the almost same way as the case of the system of quasi-isomorphisms ([Wei94, Proposition 10.4.4]), using Remark 4.26. We omit details.

Remark 4.29. By Remark 4.27, for a complex $A \in D_c^b(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})$, a complex $B \in D^b(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})$ and an LG-quasi-isomorphism $\varphi: B \rightarrow A$, the complex $(i_! i^*)_{(W'_S)_{S \in \Lambda}} B$ is in $D_c^b(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})$ for some $(W'_S)_{S \in \Lambda}$. Since the composition $(i_! i^*)_{(W'_S)_{S \in \Lambda}} B \rightarrow B \xrightarrow{\varphi} A$

is also an LG-quasi-isomorphism, by [Wei94, Lemma 10.3.13.2], the full triangulated subcategory $D_c^b(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})$ of $D^b(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})$ is localizing for S_{LGq} , and

$$S_{c, LGq} = S_{LGq} \cap D_c^b(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda}).$$

Remark 4.30. For a locally closed polyhedral subset $h: Z \hookrightarrow Y$, since functors Rh_* , h^* , $Rh_!$, $h^!$ are given in a grade-wise manner (Remark 8.2 and Definition 8.10), they preserve LG-quasi-isomorphisms, and hence induce functors on the localizations.

The usual truncation functors $\tau_{\leq r}$ and $\tau_{\geq r}$ ($r \in \mathbb{Z}$) on $D_\epsilon^b(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})$ ($\epsilon = \emptyset, c$) induce truncation functors $\tau_{\leq r}$ and $\tau_{\geq r}$ on $D_{\epsilon, LG}^b(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})$.

Definition 4.31. We put

$$D_{\epsilon, LG}^{b, ?r}(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda}) \subset D_{\epsilon, LG}^b(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})$$

($\epsilon = \emptyset, c$ and $? = \leq, \geq$) the essential image of $D_\epsilon^{b, ?r}(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})$ under the natural functor. This is a t-structure on $D_{\epsilon, LG}^b(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})$. This easily follows from the following Lemma 4.32.

Lemma 4.32. For $A \in D_{\epsilon, LG}^{b, \leq -1}(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})$ and $B \in D_{\epsilon, LG}^{b, \geq 0}(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})$, we have

$$\text{Hom}_{D_{\epsilon, LG}^b(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})}(A, B) = 0.$$

Proof. Let $\varphi: C \rightarrow A$ be an LG-quasi-isomorphism in $D_\epsilon^b(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})$. Then the composition $\tau_{\leq -1}C \rightarrow C \xrightarrow{\varphi} A$ is also an LG-quasi-isomorphism. Since

$$\text{Hom}_{D_\epsilon^b(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})}(\tau_{\leq -1}C, B) = 0,$$

the assertion holds. □

We put

$$\mathcal{H}(D_{\epsilon, LG}^b(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})) := D_{\epsilon, LG}^{b, \leq 0}(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda}) \cap D_{\epsilon, LG}^{b, \geq 0}(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})$$

the heart, which is an abelian category.

We shall give a description of $\mathcal{H}(D_{\epsilon, LG}^b(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda}))$ (up to equivalences of categories), and shall show that $D_{\epsilon, LG}^b(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})$ is equivalent to its bounded derived category.

Definition 4.33. Let $A, B \in \text{Shv}_\epsilon(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})$ ($\epsilon = \emptyset, c$). A morphism $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ in $\text{Shv}_\epsilon(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})$ is an *LG-isomorphism* (where LG stands for ‘‘locally graded’’) if there are open polyhedral neighborhoods $W'_S \subset X$ of $\text{rel.int } S$ ($S \in \Lambda$) such that for an adapted pair (L, a) , the morphism φ induces an isomorphism

$$A|_{Y \cap W'_L}(a) \cong B|_{Y \cap W'_L}(a).$$

We denote LG-isomorphisms by \cong_{LG} .

Remark 4.34. Similarly to Remark 4.22, the condition in Definition 4.33 can be written as follows: there are open polyhedral neighborhoods $W'_S \subset X$ of $\text{rel.int } S$ ($S \in \Lambda$) such that for any $a \in \mathbb{Z}^\Lambda$, the morphism φ induces an isomorphism

$$A|_{Y \cap \bigcap_{\substack{S \in \Lambda \\ a_S \leq -1}} W'_S}(a) \cong B|_{Y \cap \bigcap_{\substack{S \in \Lambda \\ a_S \leq -1}} W'_S}(a).$$

Remark 4.35. Let $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ be a morphism in $\mathrm{Shv}_\epsilon(Y, \mathrm{grMod} k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})$ ($\epsilon = \emptyset, c$), and $W'_S \subset X$ open polyhedral neighborhoods of $\mathrm{rel.int} S$ ($S \in \Lambda$).

- The natural morphism $(i_! i^*)_{(W'_S)_{S \in \Lambda}} A \rightarrow A$ is an LG-isomorphism.
- When $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ is an LG-isomorphism, the morphism

$$(i_! i^*)_{(W'_S)_{S \in \Lambda}} \varphi: (i_! i^*)_{(W'_S)_{S \in \Lambda}} A \rightarrow (i_! i^*)_{(W'_S)_{S \in \Lambda}} B$$

is also an LG-isomorphism. Moreover, in this case, for sufficiently small W'_S ($S \in \Lambda$), the morphism $(i_! i^*)_{(W'_S)_{S \in \Lambda}} \varphi$ is an isomorphism.

We put $\mathcal{N}_{\epsilon, LG} \subset \mathrm{Shv}_\epsilon(Y, \mathrm{grMod} k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})$ ($\epsilon = \emptyset, c$) the abelian full subcategory of objects A LG-isomorphic to 0. This is a Serre subcategory (i.e., closed under subobjects, quotients, and extensions). The system $S_{\epsilon, LG}$ of all LG-isomorphisms in $\mathrm{Shv}_\epsilon(Y, \mathrm{grMod} k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})$ ($\epsilon = \emptyset, c$) is the set of morphisms whose kernels and cokernels are in $\mathcal{N}_{\epsilon, LG}$. Hence $S_{\epsilon, LG}$ is a multiplicative system ([Wei94, Exercise 10.3.2.1]). It is locally small by Remark 4.35, hence by [Wei94, Lemma 10.3.13.2], the localization

$$\mathrm{Shv}_{\epsilon, LG}(Y, \mathrm{grMod} k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda}) := S_{\epsilon, LG}^{-1} \mathrm{Shv}_\epsilon(Y, \mathrm{grMod} k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})$$

exists in our universe. It is abelian and the natural functor

$$\mathrm{Shv}_\epsilon(Y, \mathrm{grMod} k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Shv}_{\epsilon, LG}(Y, \mathrm{grMod} k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})$$

is exact ([Wei94, Exercise 10.3.2.4]).

Remark 4.36. By Remark 4.35, every morphism $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ in $\mathrm{Shv}_{\epsilon, LG}(Y, \mathrm{grMod} k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})$ is given by a morphism

$$f: (i_! i^*)_{(W'_S)_{S \in \Lambda}} A \rightarrow (i_! i^*)_{(W'_S)_{S \in \Lambda}} B$$

in $\mathrm{Shv}_\epsilon(Y, \mathrm{grMod} k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})$ (and natural LG-isomorphisms $(i_! i^*)_{(W'_S)_{S \in \Lambda}} C \rightarrow C$ ($C = A, B$)) for some open polyhedral neighborhoods $W'_S \subset X$ of $\mathrm{rel.int} S$ ($S \in \Lambda$). Moreover, the morphism f is unique up to the choice of $(W'_S)_{S \in \Lambda}$.

For $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, we put

$$\mathcal{H}^r := \tau^{\geq r} \tau_{\leq r}: D_{\epsilon, LG}^b(Y, \mathrm{grMod} k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(D_{\epsilon, LG}^b(Y, \mathrm{grMod} k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})).$$

Remark 4.37. Obviously, the functor \mathcal{H}^r induces a functor

$$\mathcal{H}^r: D_{\epsilon, LG}^b(Y, \mathrm{grMod} k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Shv}_{\epsilon, LG}(Y, \mathrm{grMod} k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda}).$$

It is easy to see that

$$\mathcal{H}^0: \mathcal{H}(D_{\epsilon, LG}^b(Y, \mathrm{grMod} k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})) \rightarrow \mathrm{Shv}_{\epsilon, LG}(Y, \mathrm{grMod} k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})$$

is an equivalence of category. A natural inclusion

$$\mathrm{Shv}_{\epsilon, LG}(Y, \mathrm{grMod} k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(D_{\epsilon, LG}^b(Y, \mathrm{grMod} k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda}))$$

is a quasi-inverse of it.

Lemma 4.38. *For $\epsilon = \emptyset, c$, there is an equivalence*

$$D^b(\mathrm{Shv}_{\epsilon, LG}(Y, \mathrm{grMod} k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})) \rightarrow D_{\epsilon, LG}^b(Y, \mathrm{grMod} k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})$$

of categories which is t-exact and triangulated.

Proof. We construct a functor

$$\Phi: C^b(\mathrm{Shv}_{\epsilon, LG}(Y, \mathrm{grMod} k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})) \rightarrow D_{\epsilon, LG}^b(Y, \mathrm{grMod} k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})$$

as follows, where $C^b(\mathrm{Shv}_{\epsilon, LG}(Y, \mathrm{grMod} k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda}))$ is the category of bounded complexes. Let $A = (A^j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \in C^b(\mathrm{Shv}_{\epsilon, LG}(Y, \mathrm{grMod} k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda}))$. Since A is bounded, by Remark 4.36, there exist open polyhedral neighborhoods $W'_{A,S} \subset X$ of $\mathrm{rel.int} S$ ($S \in \Lambda$) such that the morphisms $d: A^j \rightarrow A^{j+1}$ in $\mathrm{Shv}_{\epsilon, LG}(Y, \mathrm{grMod} k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})$ is given by morphisms

$$(i_! i^*)_{(W'_{A,S})_{S \in \Lambda}} A^j \rightarrow (i_! i^*)_{(W'_{A,S})_{S \in \Lambda}} A^{j+1}$$

in $\mathrm{Shv}_{\epsilon}(Y, \mathrm{grMod} k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})$. Hence

$$(i_! i^*)_{(W'_{A,S})_{S \in \Lambda}} A := ((i_! i^*)_{(W'_{A,S})_{S \in \Lambda}} A^j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$$

is a complex in $\mathrm{Shv}_{\epsilon}(Y, \mathrm{grMod} k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})$. We fix such a $(W'_{A,S})_{S \in \Lambda}$ for each A , and put $\Phi(A) := (i_! i^*)_{(W'_{A,S})_{S \in \Lambda}} A$. Let $A \rightarrow B$ be a morphism in $C^b(\mathrm{Shv}_{\epsilon, LG}(Y, \mathrm{grMod} k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda}))$. Then by Remark 4.36, we have a morphism

$$(i_! i^*)_{(W''_S)_{S \in \Lambda}} A \rightarrow (i_! i^*)_{(W''_S)_{S \in \Lambda}} B$$

in $C^b(\mathrm{Shv}_{\epsilon}(Y, \mathrm{grMod} k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda}))$ for some open polyhedral neighborhoods $W''_S \subset X$ of $\mathrm{rel.int} S$ ($S \in \Lambda$). Since natural morphisms

$$(i_! i^*)_{(W''_S)_{S \in \Lambda}} C \rightarrow (i_! i^*)_{(W'_{C,S})_{S \in \Lambda}} C$$

($C = A, B$) are LG-quasi-isomorphisms, the functor Φ is well-defined. By Remark 4.35 and similar reasoning, we can see that Φ induces the required equivalence of triangulated categories. \square

4.5. A finiteness condition . In this subsection, we discuss certain finiteness condition on grade. We also introduce L -local isomorphisms. Let $X = (\Lambda, w)$ be a tropical variety regular at infinity, and $Y \subset X$ a locally closed polyhedral subset. We put

$$\mathrm{gr}(X) := \sum_{S \in \Lambda} (\dim S + 2 \dim \sigma_S) e_S \in \mathbb{Z}^{\Lambda},$$

where $e_S \in \mathbb{Z}^{\Lambda}$ is the element whose S -component is 1 and other components are 0. Let $I \subset \Lambda$ be a subset. We put $-|_I: \mathbb{Z}^{\Lambda} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^I$ the projection. Let $\epsilon = \emptyset, c$.

Definition 4.39. We put

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Shv}_{\epsilon, [-\mathrm{gr}(X)|_I, 0]}(Y, \mathrm{grMod} k[T_S]_{S \in I}) \subset \mathrm{Shv}_{\epsilon}(Y, \mathrm{grMod} k[T_S]_{S \in I}) \\ & (\text{resp. } D_{\epsilon, [-\mathrm{gr}(X)|_I, 0]}^b(Y, \mathrm{grMod} k[T_S]_{S \in I}) \subset D_{\epsilon}^b(Y, \mathrm{grMod} k[T_S]_{S \in I})) \end{aligned}$$

the full abelian (resp. triangulated) subcategory consisting of objects E such that

- for each $S \in I$ and $a \in \mathbb{Z}^I$ with $a_S \geq 0$, the morphism $E(a) \rightarrow E(a + e_S)$ is an isomorphism (resp. a quasi-isomorphism), and
- $E(a) \cong 0$ for $a \in \mathbb{Z}^I$ with $-\mathrm{gr}(X)|_I \not\leq a$.

Definition 4.40. Let E be a sheaf (resp. a complex of sheaves) in $\mathrm{Shv}_{\epsilon}(Y, \mathrm{grMod} k[T_S]_{S \in I})$. We put $E|_{[-\mathrm{gr}(X)|_I, 0]}$ the sheaf (resp. the complex of sheaves) in $\mathrm{Shv}_{\epsilon}(Y, \mathrm{grMod} k[T_S]_{S \in I})$ such that

$$E|_{[-\mathrm{gr}(X)|_I, 0]}(a) := \begin{cases} E((\min \{0, a_S\})_{S \in I}) & (-\mathrm{gr}(X)|_I \leq a) \\ 0 & (-\mathrm{gr}(X)|_I \not\leq a) \end{cases}$$

$(a = (a_S)_{S \in I} \in \mathbb{Z}^I)$ and the morphisms between $E|_{[-\text{gr}(X)|_I, 0]}(a)$ ($a = (a_S)_{S \in I} \in \mathbb{Z}^I$) are the natural ones, where $(\min\{0, a_S\})_{S \in I} \in \mathbb{Z}^I$ is the element whose S -component is $\min\{0, a_S\}$.

Remark 4.41. • By definition, for $-\text{gr}(X)|_I \leq a \leq 0$, we have $E|_{[-\text{gr}(X)|_I, 0]}(a) = E(a)$.

- We have a natural morphism $E|_{[-\text{gr}(X)|_I, 0]} \rightarrow E$.
- The functor

$$\begin{aligned} & -|_{[-\text{gr}(X)|_I, 0]}: \text{Shv}_\epsilon(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in I}) \rightarrow \text{Shv}_{\epsilon, [-\text{gr}(X)|_I, 0]}(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in I}) \\ (\text{resp. } & -|_{[-\text{gr}(X)|_I, 0]}: D_\epsilon^b(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in I}) \rightarrow D_{\epsilon, [-\text{gr}(X)|_I, 0]}^b(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in I})) \end{aligned}$$

is an exact functor (resp. a functor of triangulated categories), whose restriction to $\text{Shv}_{\epsilon, [-\text{gr}(X)|_I, 0]}(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in I})$ (resp. $D_{\epsilon, [-\text{gr}(X)|_I, 0]}^b(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in I})$) is an equivalence.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} A & \in K^b(\text{Shv}_{\epsilon, [-\text{gr}(X)|_I, 0]}(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in I})), \\ B & \in K^b(\text{Shv}_\epsilon(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in I})), \end{aligned}$$

and $B \rightarrow A$ a quasi-isomorphism. Then the composition $B|_{[-\text{gr}(X)|_I, 0]} \rightarrow B \rightarrow A$ is also a quasi-isomorphism. Hence by [Wei94, Lemma 10.3.13.2], the full triangulated subcategory

$$K^b(\text{Shv}_{\epsilon, [-\text{gr}(X)|_I, 0]}(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in I})) \subset K^b(\text{Shv}_\epsilon(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in I}))$$

is a localizing for quasi-isomorphisms. The essential image of the localization in $D_\epsilon^b(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in I})$ is $D_{\epsilon, [-\text{gr}(X)|_I, 0]}^b(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in I})$.

For

$$\begin{aligned} A & \in D_{\epsilon, [-\text{gr}(X), 0]}^b(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda}), \\ B & \in D_\epsilon^b(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda}), \end{aligned}$$

and an LG-quasi-isomorphism $B \rightarrow A$, the composition $B|_{[-\text{gr}(X), 0]} \rightarrow B \rightarrow A$ is also an LG-quasi-isomorphism. Hence by [Wei94, Lemma 10.3.13.2], the full subcategory $D_{\epsilon, [-\text{gr}(X), 0]}^b(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})$ of $D_\epsilon^b(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})$ is localizing for $S_{\epsilon, LG}$. We put

$$D_{\epsilon, [-\text{gr}(X), 0], LG}^b(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})$$

the localization. Similarly, the full subcategory $\text{Shv}_{\epsilon, [-\text{gr}(X), 0]}(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})$ of the category $\text{Shv}_\epsilon(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})$ is localizing for $S_{\epsilon, LG}$. We put

$$\text{Shv}_{\epsilon, [-\text{gr}(X), 0], LG}(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})$$

the localization.

Definition 4.42. Let $L = (S_{L,1} \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq S_{L,r(L)})$ ($S_{L,i} \in \Lambda$, $r(L) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$) be a sequence, $A, B \in \text{Shv}(Y, \text{Mod } k)$. A morphism $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ in $\text{Shv}(Y, \text{Mod } k)$ is an L -local isomorphism if there are open polyhedral neighborhoods $W'_{S_{L,i}} \subset X$ of $\text{rel.int } S_{L,i}$ ($1 \leq i \leq r(L)$) such that the morphism φ induces an isomorphism $A|_{Y \cap W'_L} \cong B|_{Y \cap W'_L}$, where $W'_L := \bigcap_{i=1}^{r(L)} W'_{S_{L,i}}$. We denote L -local isomorphism by \cong_L .

Let $L = (S_{L,1} \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq S_{L,r(L)})$ ($S_{L,i} \in \Lambda$, $r(L) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$) be a sequence. Similarly to the system $S_{\epsilon, LG}$ of all LG-isomorphisms (Subsection 4.4), the system $S_{\epsilon, L}$ of all L -local isomorphism in $\text{Shv}_{\epsilon}(Y, \text{Mod } k)$ is locally small, the localization

$$\text{Shv}_{\epsilon, L}(Y, \text{Mod } k) := S_{\epsilon, L}^{-1} \text{Shv}_{\epsilon}(Y, \text{Mod } k)$$

exists in our universe, and is abelian. For $a \in \mathbb{Z}^{\Lambda}$ adapted to L , we have an exact functor

$$-(a): \text{Shv}_{\epsilon, LG}(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda}) \rightarrow \text{Shv}_{\epsilon, L}(Y, \text{Mod } k)$$

given by $F \mapsto F(a)$.

Remark 4.43. The functor

$$\bigoplus_{a \in [-\text{gr}(X), 0]} -(a): \text{Shv}_{\epsilon, [-\text{gr}(X), 0], LG}(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in I}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{a \in [-\text{gr}(X), 0]} \text{Shv}_{\epsilon, L(a)}(Y, \text{Mod } k)$$

is faithful, where a runs through elements in $[-\text{gr}(X), 0]$ such that the set of $S \in \Lambda$ with $a_S \leq -1$ forms a sequence $L(a) = (S_{L(a),1} \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq S_{L(a),r(L(a))})$ ($r(L(a)) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$).

We put $\omega_Y \in D_c^b(Y, \text{Mod } k)$ the injective dualizing complex.

Lemma 4.44. *The functor*

$$D(-)[\text{gr}(X)|_I]_{\text{gr}}: D_c^b(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in I}) \rightarrow D_c^b(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in I})$$

induces a functor

$$D(-)[\text{gr}(X)|_I]_{\text{gr}}: D_{c, [-\text{gr}(X)|_I, 0]}^b(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in I}) \rightarrow D_{c, [-\text{gr}(X)|_I, 0]}^b(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in I}).$$

Proof. Let $E \in D_{c, [-\text{gr}(X)|_I, 0]}^b(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in I})$. Then there is $B \in D_{c, [-\text{gr}(X)|_I, 0]}^b(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in I})$ quasi-isomorphic to E such that B^j ($j \in \mathbb{Z}$) is a direct sum of sheaves of the form $i_{V!} k[T_S]_{S \in I}[b]_{\text{gr}_V}$ (an open immersion $i_V: V \hookrightarrow Y$ and $-\text{gr}(X)|_I \leq b \leq 0$). Then by Lemma 8.18, we have

$$D(E)[\text{gr}(X)|_I]_{\text{gr}} \cong \text{gr } \mathcal{H}om(B, \bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq -\text{gr}(X)|_I}^I} \omega_Y).$$

Obviously, by the property of B^j ($j \in \mathbb{Z}$), we have

$$\text{gr } \mathcal{H}om(B, \bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq -\text{gr}(X)|_I}^I} \omega_Y) \in D_{c, [-\text{gr}(X)|_I, 0]}^b(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in I}).$$

□

Definition 4.45. For $J \subset \Lambda$, we put

$$\text{For}_J: \text{Shv}_{\epsilon, [-\text{gr}(X), 0]}(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda}) \rightarrow \text{Shv}_{\epsilon, [-\text{gr}(X)|_{\Lambda \setminus J}, 0]}(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda \setminus J})$$

the “forgetful” functor given by

$$F \mapsto (a \mapsto F((a, (0))),$$

where for $a \in \mathbb{Z}^{\Lambda \setminus J}$, we put $(a, (0)) \in \mathbb{Z}^{\Lambda}$ the element whose S -component ($S \in \Lambda \setminus J$) is a_S and S_j -component ($S_j \in J$) is 0.

The functor For_J is an exact functor. It induces a functor

$$\text{For}_J: D_{c, [-\text{gr}(X), 0]}^b(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda}) \rightarrow D_{c, [-\text{gr}(X)|_{\Lambda \setminus J}, 0]}^b(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda \setminus J}).$$

Lemma 4.46. *For a subset $J \subset \Lambda$, we have a natural transformation*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{For}_J \circ D(-)[\text{gr}(X)]_{\text{gr}} &\cong D(-)[\text{gr}(X)|_{\Lambda \setminus J}]_{\text{gr}} \circ \text{For}_J \\ &: D_{c,[-\text{gr}(X),0]}^b(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda}) \rightarrow D_{c,[-\text{gr}(X)|_{\Lambda \setminus J},0]}^b(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda \setminus J}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $B \in D_{c,[-\text{gr}(X),0]}^b(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})$ as in proof of Lemma 4.44. Then $\text{For}_J B$ also satisfies the similar condition. By Lemma 8.18, the assertion follows from a natural isomorphism

$$\text{For}_J \text{grHom}(B, \bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{Z}^\Lambda_{\geq -\text{gr}(X)}} \omega_Y) \cong \text{grHom}(\text{For}_J B, \bigoplus_{b \in \mathbb{Z}^{\Lambda \setminus J}_{\geq -\text{gr}(X)|_{\Lambda \setminus J}}} \omega_Y).$$

□

Lemma 4.47. *The functor $D(-)[\text{gr}(X)]_{\text{gr}}$ induces a functor*

$$D(-)[\text{gr}(X)]_{\text{gr}}: D_{c,[-\text{gr}(X),0],LG}^b(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda}) \rightarrow D_{c,[-\text{gr}(X),0],LG}^b(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda}).$$

Proof. Let $A, B \in D_{c,[-\text{gr}(X),0]}^b(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})$, and $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ an LG-quasi-isomorphism. By Lemma 4.44, it suffices to show that

$$D(\varphi)[\text{gr}(X)]_{\text{gr}}: D(B)[\text{gr}(X)]_{\text{gr}} \rightarrow D(A)[\text{gr}(X)]_{\text{gr}}$$

is also an LG-quasi-isomorphism. Let (L, a) be an adapted pair. Since φ is an LG-quasi-isomorphism, for sufficiently small open polyhedral neighborhoods $W'_{S_{L,i}} \subset X$ of $\text{rel.int } S_{L,i}$ ($1 \leq i \leq r(L)$), the morphism φ induces a quasi-isomorphism

$$\text{For}_{\Lambda \setminus \{S_{L,i}\}_{i=1}^{r(L)}} A|_{Y \cap W'_L} \cong \text{For}_{\Lambda \setminus \{S_{L,i}\}_{i=1}^{r(L)}} B|_{Y \cap W'_L} \in D_{c,[-\text{gr}(X)|_{\{S_{L,i}\}_{i=1}^{r(L)},0]}^b(Y \cap W'_L, \text{grMod } k[T_{S_{L,i}}]_{i=1}^{r(L)}),$$

where we put $W'_L := \bigcap_{i=1}^{r(L)} W'_{S_{L,i}}$. Hence by Lemma 4.44 and Lemma 4.46, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(B)[\text{gr}(X)]_{\text{gr}}|_{Y \cap W'_L}(a) &\cong (\text{For}_{\Lambda \setminus \{S_{L,i}\}_{i=1}^{r(L)}}(D(B)[\text{gr}(X)]_{\text{gr}}))|_{Y \cap W'_L}(a|_{\{S_{L,i}\}_{i=1}^{r(L)}}) \\ &\cong D(\text{For}_{\Lambda \setminus \{S_{L,i}\}_{i=1}^{r(L)}} B)[\text{gr}(X)|_{\{S_{L,i}\}_{i=1}^{r(L)}}]_{\text{gr}}|_{Y \cap W'_L}(a|_{\{S_{L,i}\}_{i=1}^{r(L)}}) \\ &\cong D(\text{For}_{\Lambda \setminus \{S_{L,i}\}_{i=1}^{r(L)}} A)[\text{gr}(X)|_{\{S_{L,i}\}_{i=1}^{r(L)}}]_{\text{gr}}|_{Y \cap W'_L}(a|_{\{S_{L,i}\}_{i=1}^{r(L)}}) \\ &\cong D(A)[\text{gr}(X)]_{\text{gr}}|_{Y \cap W'_L}(a). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the assertion holds. □

4.6. Truncation functors. We shall define a kind of truncation functors which involves grades. There is one ([Bei87, Appendix]) for filtered objects. The difference of it and ours is for the exceptional part ($q = d$ in Subsection 3.2). (There should be other kinds of truncation functors which can play the same role as ours.) Let $X = (\Lambda, w)$ be a tropical variety, $Y \subset X$ a locally closed polyhedral subset (Definition 2.3). Let E be a complex of flat sheaves $E^j \in \text{Shv}(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})$. We fix $S_0 \in \Lambda$. Let $Z \subset Y \cap \text{rel.int } S_0$ be a polyhedral subset closed in Y , and $r, s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ integers with $t + 1 \leq s$.

Definition 4.48. Let $a = (a_S)_{S \in \Lambda} \in \mathbb{Z}^\Lambda$. For $u \in \mathbb{Z}$, we put $a(S_0 = u) = (a(S_0 = u)_S)_{S \in \Lambda} \in \mathbb{Z}^\Lambda$ by $a(S_0 = u)_{S_0} := u$ and $a(S_0 = u)_S := a_S$ ($S \neq S_0$). We put $\tau_{\leq(t, r-S_0, s)}^Z E^j(a) \in \text{Shv}(Y, \text{Mod } k)$ the sheafification of the presheaf whose sections on $U \subset Y$ are

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} E^j(a)(U) & (U \cap Z = \emptyset \\ & \text{or } j \leq \min \{ \max \{ t, r - a_{S_0} \}, s \} - 1) \\ \text{Ker}(d: E^t(a) \rightarrow E^{t+1}(a))(U) & (U \cap Z \neq \emptyset \text{ and } j = t \geq r - a_{S_0}) \\ + \text{Im}(T_{S_0}^{a_{S_0} - r + t + 1}: E^t(a(S_0 = r - t - 1)) \rightarrow E^t(a))(U) & \\ \text{Ker}(d: E^j(a(S_0 = r - j)) \rightarrow E^{j+1}(a(S_0 = r - j)))(U) & (U \cap Z \neq \emptyset \\ + \text{Im}(T_{S_0}: E^j(a(S_0 = r - j - 1)) \rightarrow E^j(a(S_0 = r - j)))(U) & \text{and } \max \{ t + 1, r - a_{S_0} \} \leq j \leq s - 1) \\ \text{Ker}(d: E^s(a) \rightarrow E^{s+1}(a))(U) & (U \cap Z \neq \emptyset \text{ and } j = s \leq r - a_{S_0}) \\ \text{Ker}(d: E^s(a(S_0 = r - s)) \rightarrow E^{s+1}(a(S_0 = r - s)))(U) & (U \cap Z \neq \emptyset \text{ and } j = s \geq r - a_{S_0} + 1) \\ 0 & (U \cap Z \neq \emptyset \text{ and } j \geq s + 1) \end{array} \right. .$$

Remark 4.49. The truncations

$$\tau_{\leq(t, r-S_0, s)}^Z E^j := \bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{Z}^\Lambda} \tau_{\leq(t, r-S_0, s)}^Z E^j(a)$$

($j \in \mathbb{Z}$) give a complex $\tau_{\leq(t, r-S_0, s)}^Z E$ of sheaves $\tau_{\leq(t, r-S_0, s)}^Z E^j \in \text{Shv}(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})$. Note that since for a flat \mathbb{Z}^Λ -graded module M , the multiplication map $T_S: M(a) \rightarrow M(a + e_S)$ is injective ($a \in \mathbb{Z}^\Lambda$, $S \in \Lambda$), the map $d: \tau_{\leq(t, r-S_0, s)}^Z E^j(a) \rightarrow \tau_{\leq(t, r-S_0, s)}^Z E^{j+1}(a)$ is well-defined.

There is a natural morphism $\tau_{\leq(t, r-S_0, s)}^Z E \rightarrow E$.

Remark 4.50. For $x \in Z$ and $a \in \mathbb{Z}^\Lambda$, we have

$$\mathcal{H}^j(\tau_{\leq(t, r-S_0, s)}^Z E(a))_x \cong \begin{cases} \mathcal{H}^j(E(a))_x & (j \leq \min \{ \max \{ t, r - a_{S_0} \}, s \}) \\ \mathcal{H}^j(E(a(S_0 = r - j)))_x & (\max \{ t, r - a_{S_0} \} + 1 \leq j \leq s) \\ 0 & (j \geq s + 1) \end{cases} .$$

By flat resolutions, we get a *truncation functor*

$$\tau_{\leq(t, r-S_0, s)}^Z: D^b(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda}) \rightarrow D^b(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda}).$$

Obviously, it preserves LG-quasi-isomorphisms, hence we get a truncation functor

$$\tau_{\leq(t, r-S_0, s)}^Z: D_{LG}^b(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda}) \rightarrow D_{LG}^b(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda}).$$

Our goal in this subsection is Corollary 4.59, which is used to show that truncation functors and push-forward by open immersions give equivalences of categories (Proposition 5.17). These are analogs of results for the usual truncation functors ([GM83, Subsection 1.15, Theorem 3.5]).

Remark 4.51. For $u \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $? \in \{\leq, \geq, =\}$, we put

$$\mathbb{Z}_{S_0?u}^\Lambda := \{a \in \mathbb{Z}^\Lambda \mid a_{S_0} ? u\}$$

a partially ordered subset of \mathbb{Z}^Λ . Since $\text{Fct}(\mathbb{Z}_{S_0?u}^\Lambda, \text{Mod } k)$ ($? \in \{\leq, \geq, =\}$) is a good abelian category like $\text{Fct}(\mathbb{Z}^\Lambda, \text{Mod } k)$ (see Subsection 4.1), we have the abelian category of sheaves $\text{Shv}(Z, \text{Fct}(\mathbb{Z}_{S_0?u}^\Lambda, \text{Mod } k))$ (see Subsection 4.2) with enough injectives, and also have $D^b(\text{Shv}(Z, \text{Fct}(\mathbb{Z}_{S_0?u}^\Lambda, \text{Mod } k)))$.

Since $Z \subset \text{rel.int } S_0$, the following LG-(quasi-)isomorphisms only involve $S \in \Lambda$ with $S \subset S_0$.

Definition 4.52. A morphism $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ in a derived category $D^b(\text{Shv}(Z, \text{Fct}(\mathbb{Z}_{S_0?u}^\Lambda, \text{Mod } k)))$ (resp. an abelian category $\text{Shv}(Z, \text{Fct}(\mathbb{Z}_{S_0?u}^\Lambda, \text{Mod } k))$) ($u \in \mathbb{Z}$, $? \in \{\leq, \geq, =\}$) is an LG-quasi-isomorphism (resp. an LG-isomorphism) if there are open polyhedral neighborhoods $W'_S \subset X$ of $\text{rel.int } S$ ($S \in \Lambda$ with $S \subset S_0$) such that for an adapted pair (L, a) with $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{S_0?u}^\Lambda$, $r(L) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, and $S_{L,r(L)} = S_0$, the morphism φ induces a quasi-isomorphism (resp. an isomorphism)

$$A|_{Z \cap W'_L}(a) \cong B|_{Z \cap W'_L}(a).$$

Remark 4.53. In the same way as $D^b(Z, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})$ (Subsection 4.4), we have a localization

$$D_{LG}^b(\text{Shv}(Z, \text{Fct}(\mathbb{Z}_{S_0?u}^\Lambda, \text{Mod } k))) := S_{LGq,?}^{-1} D^b(\text{Shv}(Z, \text{Fct}(\mathbb{Z}_{S_0?u}^\Lambda, \text{Mod } k)))$$

($u \in \mathbb{Z}$, $? \in \{\leq, \geq, =\}$) by all LG-quasi-isomorphisms $S_{LGq,?}$, its heart is equivalent to a localization

$$\text{Shv}_{LG}(Z, \text{Fct}(\mathbb{Z}_{S_0?u}^\Lambda, \text{Mod } k)) := S_{LG,?}^{-1} \text{Shv}(Z, \text{Fct}(\mathbb{Z}_{S_0?u}^\Lambda, \text{Mod } k))$$

by all LG-isomorphisms $S_{LG,?}$, and we have an equivalence

$$D^b(\text{Shv}_{LG}(Z, \text{Fct}(\mathbb{Z}_{S_0?u}^\Lambda, \text{Mod } k))) \cong D_{LG}^b(\text{Shv}(Z, \text{Fct}(\mathbb{Z}_{S_0?u}^\Lambda, \text{Mod } k))).$$

Let $v \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. We put

$$\text{Shv}_{[-\text{gr}(X), (v, \dots, v)], LG}(Z, \text{Fct}(\mathbb{Z}_{S_0?u}^\Lambda, \text{Mod } k)) \subset \text{Shv}_{LG}(Z, \text{Fct}(\mathbb{Z}_{S_0?u}^\Lambda, \text{Mod } k))$$

the full abelian subcategory of objects LG-isomorphic to objects E such that

- for each $S \in I$ and $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{S_0?u}^\Lambda$ with $a_S \geq v$ and $a + e_S \in \mathbb{Z}_{S_0?u}^\Lambda$, the morphism $E(a) \rightarrow E(a + e_S)$ is an isomorphism, and
- $E(a) = 0$ for $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{S_0?u}^\Lambda$ with $-\text{gr}(X) \not\leq a$.

Remark 4.54. The functor

$$\begin{aligned} \bigoplus_{a \in [-\text{gr}(X), (v, \dots, v)] \cap \mathbb{Z}_{S_0?u}^\Lambda} - (a) &: \text{Shv}_{[-\text{gr}(X), (v, \dots, v)], LG}(Z, \text{Fct}(\mathbb{Z}_{S_0?u}^\Lambda, \text{Mod } k)) \\ &\rightarrow \bigoplus_{a \in [-\text{gr}(X), (v, \dots, v)] \cap \mathbb{Z}_{S_0?u}^\Lambda} \text{Shv}_{L(a)}(Z, \text{Mod } k) \end{aligned}$$

is faithful, where a runs through elements in $[-\text{gr}(X), (v, \dots, v)] \cap \mathbb{Z}_{S_0?u}^\Lambda$ such that the set of S_0 and $S \in \Lambda$ with $a_S \leq -1$ forms a sequence $L(a) = (S_{L(a),1} \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq S_{L(a),r(L(a))-1} \subsetneq S_{L(a),r(L(a))} = S_0)$ ($r(L(a)) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$). (See below Definition 4.42 for $\text{Shv}_{L(a)}(Z, \text{Mod } k)$.)

Remark 4.55. Let $u \in \mathbb{Z}$. We have the natural restriction functor

$$\text{res}_{S_0 \leq u}: \text{Fct}(\mathbb{Z}^\Lambda, \text{Mod } k) \rightarrow \text{Fct}(\mathbb{Z}_{S_0 \leq u}^\Lambda, \text{Mod } k)$$

and “restriction” functors

$$\text{res}^{S_0 \geq u}: \text{Fct}(\mathbb{Z}^\Lambda, \text{Mod } k) \rightarrow \text{Fct}(\mathbb{Z}_{S_0 \geq u}^\Lambda, \text{Mod } k)$$

$$\text{res}^{S_0 \geq u}: \text{Fct}(\mathbb{Z}_{S_0 \leq u}^\Lambda, \text{Mod } k) \rightarrow \text{Fct}(\mathbb{Z}_{S_0 = u}^\Lambda, \text{Mod } k)$$

given by

$$F \mapsto (a \mapsto F(a) / \text{Im}(T_{S_0}^{aS_0 - u + 1}: F(a(S_0 = u - 1)) \rightarrow F(a))).$$

We put $\text{res}_{S_0=u} := \text{res}^{S_0 \geq u} \circ \text{res}_{S_0 \leq u}$. We also have an extension functor

$$\text{ext}_{S_0 \leq u} : \text{Fct}(\mathbb{Z}_{S_0 \leq u}^\Lambda, \text{Mod } k) \rightarrow \text{Fct}(\mathbb{Z}^\Lambda, \text{Mod } k)$$

given by

$$F \mapsto \left(a \mapsto \begin{cases} F(a) & (a_{S_0} \leq u) \\ F(a(S_0 = u)) & (a_{S_0} \geq u + 1) \end{cases} \right)$$

and extension functors

$$\text{ext}^{S_0 \geq u} : \text{Fct}(\mathbb{Z}_{S_0 \geq u}^\Lambda, \text{Mod } k) \rightarrow \text{Fct}(\mathbb{Z}^\Lambda, \text{Mod } k)$$

$$\text{ext}^{S_0 \geq u} : \text{Fct}(\mathbb{Z}_{S_0 = u}^\Lambda, \text{Mod } k) \rightarrow \text{Fct}(\mathbb{Z}_{S_0 \leq u}^\Lambda, \text{Mod } k)$$

given by

$$F \mapsto \left(a \mapsto \begin{cases} F(a) & (a_{S_0} \geq u) \\ 0 & (a_{S_0} \leq u - 1) \end{cases} \right).$$

A restriction functor $\text{res}_{S_0 \leq u}$ is the right adjoint of an extension functor $\text{ext}_{S_0 \leq u}$. “Restriction” functors $\text{res}^{S_0 \geq u}$ are the left adjoints of extension functors $\text{ext}^{S_0 \geq u}$.

Remark 4.56. Functors in Remark 4.55 give functors of presheaves on Z , hence by sheafifications, give functors of sheaves in Shv on Z . Obviously, they preserves LG-isomorphisms. Consequently, we have functors

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ext}_{S_0 \leq u} : \text{Shv}_{LG}(Z, \text{Fct}(\mathbb{Z}_{S_0 \leq u}^\Lambda, \text{Mod } k)) &\rightleftarrows \text{Shv}_{LG}(Z, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda}) : \text{res}_{S_0 \leq u} \\ \text{res}^{S_0 \geq u} : \text{Shv}_{LG}(Z, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda}) &\rightleftarrows \text{Shv}_{LG}(Z, \text{Fct}(\mathbb{Z}_{S_0 \geq u}^\Lambda, \text{Mod } k)) : \text{ext}^{S_0 \geq u} \\ \text{res}^{S_0 \geq u} : \text{Shv}_{LG}(Z, \text{Fct}(\mathbb{Z}_{S_0 \leq u}^\Lambda, \text{Mod } k)) &\rightleftarrows \text{Shv}_{LG}(Z, \text{Fct}(\mathbb{Z}_{S_0 = u}^\Lambda, \text{Mod } k)) : \text{ext}^{S_0 \geq u}. \end{aligned}$$

Functors $\text{res}_{S_0 \leq u}$ and $\text{ext}_{S_0 \leq u}$ are exact, hence we also have

$$\text{ext}_{S_0 \leq u} : D_{LG}^b(\text{Shv}(Z, \text{Fct}(\mathbb{Z}_{S_0 \leq u}^\Lambda, \text{Mod } k))) \rightleftarrows D_{LG}^b(Z, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda}) : \text{res}_{S_0 \leq u}.$$

Lemma 4.57. *Let $r, s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ be with $t + 1 \leq s$, and $A, B \in D_{LG}^b(Z, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})$ such that for an adapted pair (L, a) with $r(L) \geq 1$ and $S_{L, r(L)} = S_0$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}^j(A)(a) &\cong_L \mathcal{H}^j(A)(a(S_0 = r - j)) \quad (\max\{t, r - a_{S_0}\} + 1 \leq j \leq s) \\ \mathcal{H}^j(A) &= 0 \quad (j \geq s + 1) \\ \mathcal{H}^j(B)(a) &= 0 \quad (j \leq \min\{\max\{t, r - a_{S_0}\}, s\} - 1). \end{aligned}$$

(See Definition 4.42 for L -local isomorphisms \cong_L .) Then the canonical map

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Hom}_{D_{LG}^b(Z, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})}(A, B) \\ &\rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\text{Shv}_{LG}(Z, \text{Fct}(\mathbb{Z}_{S_0 \geq r-t}^\Lambda, \text{Mod } k))}(\text{res}^{S_0 \geq r-t} \mathcal{H}^t(A), \text{res}^{S_0 \geq r-t} \mathcal{H}^t(B)) \\ &\oplus \bigoplus_{t+1 \leq j \leq s-1} \text{Hom}_{\text{Shv}_{LG}(Z, \text{Fct}(\mathbb{Z}_{S_0 = r-j}^\Lambda, \text{Mod } k))}(\text{res}_{S_0 = r-j} \mathcal{H}^j(A), \text{res}_{S_0 = r-j} \mathcal{H}^j(B)) \\ &\oplus \text{Hom}_{\text{Shv}_{LG}(Z, \text{Fct}(\mathbb{Z}_{S_0 \leq r-s}^\Lambda, \text{Mod } k))}(\text{res}_{S_0 \leq r-s} \mathcal{H}^s(A), \text{res}_{S_0 \leq r-s} \mathcal{H}^s(B)) \end{aligned}$$

is an injection.

Proof. By an exact sequence

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\tau_{\leq u} A[1], B) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(\tau^{\geq u+1} A, B) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(A, B) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(\tau_{\leq u} A, B)$$

(where let Hom denote $\mathrm{Hom}_{D_{LG}^b(Z, \mathrm{grMod} k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})}$ for short), five lemma, and induction on the maximum of $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\mathcal{H}^j(A) \neq 0 \in \mathrm{Shv}_{LG}(Z, \mathrm{grMod} k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})$, we may assume that $A^u = 0$ ($u \neq v$) for some $v \in \mathbb{Z}$. We assume that $t + 1 \leq v \leq s - 1$. The other cases can be proved similarly. Since

$$\mathrm{ext}_{S_0 \leq r-v} \mathrm{res}_{S_0 \leq r-v}(A) \cong A,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Hom}_{D_{LG}^b(Z, \mathrm{grMod} k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})}(A, B) \\ & \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{D_{LG}^b(Z, \mathrm{grMod} k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})}(\mathrm{ext}_{S_0 \leq r-v} \mathrm{res}_{S_0 \leq r-v}(A), B) \\ & \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{D_{LG}^b(Z, \mathrm{Fct}(\mathbb{Z}_{S_0 \leq r-v}^\Lambda, \mathrm{Mod} k))}(\mathrm{res}_{S_0 \leq r-v}(A), \mathrm{res}_{S_0 \leq r-v}(B)) \\ & \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Shv}_{LG}(Z, \mathrm{Fct}(\mathbb{Z}_{S_0 \leq r-v}^\Lambda, \mathrm{Mod} k))}(\mathcal{H}^v(\mathrm{res}_{S_0 \leq r-v}(A)), \mathcal{H}^v(\mathrm{res}_{S_0 \leq r-v}(B))) \\ & \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Shv}_{LG}(Z, \mathrm{Fct}(\mathbb{Z}_{S_0 \leq r-v}^\Lambda, \mathrm{Mod} k))}(\mathrm{res}_{S_0 \leq r-v}(\mathcal{H}^v(A)), \mathrm{res}_{S_0 \leq r-v}(\mathcal{H}^v(B))) \end{aligned}$$

where the third isomorphism follows from an equivalence

$$D_{LG}^b(\mathrm{Shv}(Z, \mathrm{Fct}(\mathbb{Z}_{S_0 \leq r-v}^\Lambda, \mathrm{Mod} k))) \cong D^b(\mathrm{Shv}_{LG}(Z, \mathrm{Fct}(\mathbb{Z}_{S_0 \leq r-v}^\Lambda, \mathrm{Mod} k)))$$

(Remark 4.53), $\mathcal{H}^j(\mathrm{res}_{S_0 \leq r-v}(A)) = 0$ ($j \geq v + 1$), and

$$\mathcal{H}^j(\mathrm{res}_{S_0 \leq r-v}(B)) = 0 \in \mathrm{Shv}_{LG}(Z, \mathrm{Fct}(\mathbb{Z}_{S_0 \leq r-t}^\Lambda, \mathrm{Mod} k)) \quad (j \leq v - 1).$$

Since by assumption,

$$\mathrm{res}_{S_0 \leq r-v}(\mathcal{H}^v(B)) = \mathrm{ext}^{S_0 \geq r-v} \mathrm{res}^{S_0 \geq r-v} \mathrm{res}_{S_0 \leq r-v}(\mathcal{H}^v(B)),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Shv}_{LG}(Z, \mathrm{Fct}(\mathbb{Z}_{S_0 \leq r-v}^\Lambda, \mathrm{Mod} k))}(\mathrm{res}_{S_0 \leq r-v}(\mathcal{H}^v(A)), \mathrm{res}_{S_0 \leq r-v}(\mathcal{H}^v(B))) \\ & \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Shv}_{LG}(Z, \mathrm{Fct}(\mathbb{Z}_{S_0 \leq r-v}^\Lambda, \mathrm{Mod} k))}(\mathrm{res}_{S_0 \leq r-v}(\mathcal{H}^v(A)), \mathrm{ext}^{S_0 \geq r-v} \mathrm{res}^{S_0 \geq r-v} \mathrm{res}_{S_0 \leq r-v}(\mathcal{H}^v(B))) \\ & \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Shv}_{LG}(Z, \mathrm{Fct}(\mathbb{Z}_{S_0=r-v}^\Lambda, \mathrm{Mod} k))}(\mathrm{res}_{S_0=r-v}(\mathcal{H}^v(A)), \mathrm{res}_{S_0=r-v}(\mathcal{H}^v(B))). \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 4.58. *Let $r, s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ be with $t + 1 \leq s$, $A \in D_{[-\mathrm{gr}(X), 0], LG}^b(Z, \mathrm{grMod} k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})$ satisfy the condition in Lemma 4.57, and $\psi: B \rightarrow C$ a morphism in $D_{[-\mathrm{gr}(X), 0], LG}^b(Z, \mathrm{grMod} k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})$ such that for an adapted pair (L, a) with $r(L) \geq 1$ and $S_{L, r(L)} = S_0$, we have*

$$\mathcal{H}^j(\psi)(a): \mathcal{H}^j(B)(a) \cong_L \mathcal{H}^j(C)(a) \quad (j \leq \min \{ \max \{ t, r - a_{S_0} \}, s \}).$$

Then we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{D_{[-\mathrm{gr}(X), 0], LG}^b(Z, \mathrm{grMod} k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})}(A, B) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{D_{[-\mathrm{gr}(X), 0], LG}^b(Z, \mathrm{grMod} k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})}(A, C).$$

Proof. This can be proved in the same way as [GM83, Subsection 1.15, Proposition] as follows. Let M be the mapping cyclinder of ψ . Then we have

$$\mathcal{H}^j(M)(a) = 0 \quad (j \leq \min \{ \max \{ t, r - a_{S_0} \}, s \} - 1)$$

and $\mathcal{H}^j(C)(a) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^j(M)(a)$ is 0 map for $j = \min \{ \max \{ t, r - a_{S_0} \}, s \}$. By Remark 4.54 and Lemma 4.57, we have an injection

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Hom}_{D_{LG}^b(Z, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})}(A, M) \\ \rightarrow & \bigoplus_{a \in [-\text{gr}(X), (v, \dots, v)] \cap \mathbb{Z}_{S_0 \geq r-t}^\Lambda} \text{Hom}_{\text{Shv}_{\epsilon, L(a)}(Z, \text{Mod } k)}((\text{res}_{S_0 \geq r-t}^{S_0} \mathcal{H}^t(A))(a), \mathcal{H}^t(M)(a)) \\ & \oplus \bigoplus_{t+1 \leq j \leq s-1} \bigoplus_{a \in [-\text{gr}(X), (v, \dots, v)] \cap \mathbb{Z}_{S_0 = r-j}^\Lambda} \text{Hom}_{\text{Shv}_{\epsilon, L(a)}(Z, \text{Mod } k)}((\text{res}_{S_0 = r-j}^{S_0} \mathcal{H}^j(A))(a), \mathcal{H}^j(M)(a)) \\ & \oplus \bigoplus_{a \in [-\text{gr}(X), (v, \dots, v)] \cap \mathbb{Z}_{S_0 \leq r-s}^\Lambda} \text{Hom}_{\text{Shv}_{\epsilon, L(a)}(Z, \text{Mod } k)}(\mathcal{H}^s(A)(a), \mathcal{H}^s(M)(a)), \end{aligned}$$

where $v := \max\{0, r - t\}$, a runs through elements such that the set of S_0 and $S \in \Lambda$ with $a_S \leq -1$ forms a sequence

$$L(a) = (S_{L(a),1} \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq S_{L(a),r(L(a))-1} \subsetneq S_{L(a),r(L(a))} = S_0)$$

($r(L(a)) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$). Note that for $T := \text{res}_{S_0 \geq r-t}^{S_0}$, $\text{res}_{S_0 = r-j}^{S_0}$, the natural map

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Shv}_{\epsilon, L(a)}(Z, \text{Mod } k)}((T \mathcal{H}^j(A))(a), \mathcal{H}^j(M)(a)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\text{Shv}_{\epsilon, L(a)}(Z, \text{Mod } k)}(\mathcal{H}^j(A)(a), \mathcal{H}^j(M)(a))$$

is injective. Hence the map

$$\text{Hom}_{D_{[-\text{gr}(X), 0], LG}^b(Z, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})}(A, C) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{D_{[-\text{gr}(X), 0], LG}^b(Z, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})}(A, M)$$

is 0 map. We also have

$$\text{Hom}_{D_{[-\text{gr}(X), 0], LG}^b(Z, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})}(A, M)[-1] = 0.$$

Hence the assertion holds. \square

Let $j: \text{rel.int } S_0 \cap Y \hookrightarrow Y$ is an embedding. We put $i: Y \setminus \text{rel.int } S_0 \hookrightarrow Y$ the complement.

Corollary 4.59. *We assume that $j: \text{rel.int } S_0 \cap Y \hookrightarrow Y$ is a closed subset. Let $r, s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ be with $t + 1 \leq s$, $A, B, C \in D_{[-\text{gr}(X), 0], LG}^b(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})$, and $\psi: B \rightarrow C$ a morphism in $D_{[-\text{gr}(X), 0], LG}^b(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})$ such that for an adapted pair (L, a) with $r(L) \geq 1$ and $S_{L, r(L)} = S_0$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}^j(j^*A)(a) &\cong_L \mathcal{H}^j(j^*A)(a(S_0 = r - j)) & (\max \{ t, r - a_{S_0} \} + 1 \leq j \leq s) \\ \mathcal{H}^j(j^*A) &= 0 & (j \geq s + 1) \\ \mathcal{H}^j(j^*\psi)(a) &: \mathcal{H}^j(j^*B)(a) \cong_L \mathcal{H}^j(j^*C)(a) & (j \leq \min \{ \max \{ t, r - a_{S_0} \}, s \}) \\ i^*\psi &: i^*B \cong_{LG} i^*C \end{aligned}$$

(e.g., $\psi: B = \tau_{\leq(t,r-s_0,s)}^Z C \rightarrow C$). Then we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{D_{[-\mathrm{gr}(X),0],LG}^b(Y,\mathrm{grMod} k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})}(A, B) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{D_{[-\mathrm{gr}(X),0],LG}^b(Y,\mathrm{grMod} k[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})}(A, C).$$

Proof. By applying $Ri_!i^* \rightarrow \mathrm{id} \rightarrow Rj_*j^* \rightarrow^{[1]}$ to B and C , the assertion follows from five lemma and Corollary 4.58 for (j^*A, j^*B, j^*C) and $(j^*A, j^*B[-1]_{\mathrm{deg}}, j^*C[-1]_{\mathrm{deg}})$. \square

5. SHEAF-THEORETIC CONSTRUCTION AND POINCARÉ-VERDIER DUALITY

Let $X = (\Lambda, w)$ be a tropical variety of dimension d in a tropical toric variety $\mathrm{Trop}(T_\Sigma)$. We assume that T_Σ is smooth and X is regular at infinity. In this section, we shall introduce

$$\mathrm{Fil}_*^\Lambda IC_{\mathrm{Trop, sheaf}}^{p,*} \in D_{c,[-\mathrm{gr}(X),0]}^b(X, \mathrm{grMod} \mathbb{Q}[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})$$

(Definition 5.18), and give an LG-quasi-isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Fil}_*^\Lambda IC_{\mathrm{Trop, sheaf}}^{p,*} \cong_{LG} D(\mathrm{Fil}_*^\Lambda IC_{\mathrm{Trop, sheaf}}^{d-p,*})[2d]_{\mathrm{deg}}[\mathrm{gr}(X)]_{\mathrm{gr}}$$

(Theorem 5.26). The *tropical intersection complex* $IC_{\mathrm{Trop, sheaf}}^{p,*}$ is defined as $\mathrm{For}_\Lambda \mathrm{Fil}_*^\Lambda IC_{\mathrm{Trop, sheaf}}^{p,*}$, and the above LG-quasi-isomorphism gives a quasi-isomorphism

$$IC_{\mathrm{Trop, sheaf}}^{p,*} \cong D(IC_{\mathrm{Trop, sheaf}}^{d-p,*})[2d]_{\mathrm{deg}}.$$

Later (Proposition 6.3), we will show that there is a natural LG-quasi-isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Fil}_*^\Lambda IC_{\mathrm{Trop, geom}, X}^{p,*} \cong_{LG} \mathrm{Fil}_*^\Lambda IC_{\mathrm{Trop, sheaf}, X}^{p,*}.$$

Remind that we put

$$\mathrm{gr}(X) := \sum_{S \in \Lambda} (\dim S + 2 \dim \sigma_S) e_S \in \mathbb{Z}^\Lambda,$$

where $e_S \in \mathbb{Z}^\Lambda$ is the element whose S -component is 1 and other components are 0.

5.1. On smooth part. In this subsection, we study a sheaf $\mathrm{Fil}_*^\Lambda \mathcal{F}_{X_{\mathrm{sm}}}^{p,w}[2d-p]_{\mathrm{deg}}$ on $X_{\mathrm{sm}} := \bigcup_{R \in \Lambda_{\mathrm{sm}}} \mathrm{rel.int} R$. The sheaf $\mathcal{F}_{X_{\mathrm{sm}}}^{p,w} = \mathrm{For}_\Lambda \mathrm{Fil}_*^\Lambda \mathcal{F}_{X_{\mathrm{sm}}}^{p,w}$ is a version of Gubler-Jell-Rabinoff's sheaves for Berkovich analytic spaces ([GJR21b]) and for weighted metric graphs with boundaries ([GJR21a]). In Subsection 5.2, we will extend $\mathrm{Fil}_*^\Lambda \mathcal{F}_{X_{\mathrm{sm}}}^{p,w}[2d-p]_{\mathrm{deg}}$ to $\mathrm{Fil}_*^\Lambda IC_{\mathrm{Trop, sheaf}}^{p,*}$ on X .

Definition 5.1. For $R \in \Lambda_{\mathrm{sm}}$, we put

$$F^{p,w}(R) := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(F_{p,w}(R), \mathbb{Q}).$$

More explicitly, for $P \in \Lambda_{\mathrm{sm}, d}$,

$$F^{p,w}(P) := \bigwedge^p \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathrm{Tan}_{\mathbb{Q}} P, \mathbb{Q})$$

and for $Q \in \Lambda_{\mathrm{sm}, d-1}$, the set $F^{p,w}(Q)$ is the subset of $\bigoplus_{\substack{P \in \Lambda_{\mathrm{sm}, d} \\ Q \subset P}} \bigwedge^p \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathrm{Tan}_{\mathbb{Q}} P, \mathbb{Q})$ consisting of elements $(f_P)_P$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} f_{P_i} |_{\bigwedge^p \mathrm{Tan}_{\mathbb{Q}} Q} &= f_{P_j} |_{\bigwedge^p \mathrm{Tan}_{\mathbb{Q}} Q} \quad (P_i, P_j \in \Lambda_{\mathrm{sm}, d}, Q \subset P_i \cap P_j) \text{ and} \\ \sum_{\substack{P \in \Lambda_{\mathrm{sm}, d} \\ Q \subset P}} w_P f_P(v_{P,Q} \wedge u) &= 0 \quad (u \in \bigwedge^{p-1} \mathrm{Tan}_{\mathbb{Q}} Q), \end{aligned}$$

where $v_{\tilde{P},Q} \in \text{Tan}_{\mathbb{Q}} P$ is as in Definition 3.1.

For $Q \in \Lambda_{\text{sm},d-1}$ and $P \in \Lambda_{\text{sm},d}$ with $Q \subset P$, the projection gives a map $F^{p,w}(Q) \rightarrow F^{p,w}(P)$.

Definition 5.2. We put $\mathcal{F}_{X_{\text{sm}}}^{p,w}$ the constructible sheaf on X_{sm} given by $\mathcal{F}_{X_{\text{sm}}}^{p,w}|_{\text{rel.int } R} = \underline{F^{p,w}(R)}_{\text{rel.int } R}$ ($R \in \Lambda_{\text{sm}}$) and the above maps $F^{p,w}(Q) \rightarrow F^{p,w}(P)$, where $\underline{F^{p,w}(R)}_{\text{rel.int } R}$ is a constant sheaf.

Since every chain on X_{sm} is allowable, the geometric tropical intersection complex $IC_{\text{Trop,geom},X}^{p,*}|_{X_{\text{sm}}}$ on X_{sm} are just the complex of polyhedral chains with $F_{d-p,w}$ -coefficients. In particular, $IC_{\text{Trop,geom},X}^{d,*}|_{X_{\text{sm}}}$ is just the complex of \mathbb{Q} -coefficients polyhedral chains.

Lemma 5.3. ([GS23, Proposition A.9 and Theorem 4.20]) *We have a natural isomorphism*

$$IC_{\text{Trop,geom},X}^{p,*}|_{X_{\text{sm}}} \cong \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F}_{X_{\text{sm}}}^{d-p,w}[d+p]_{\text{deg}}, IC_{\text{Trop,geom},X}^{d,*}|_{X_{\text{sm}}})[2d]_{\text{deg}}$$

and a quasi-isomorphism

$$\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F}_{X_{\text{sm}}}^{d-p,w}[d+p]_{\text{deg}}, IC_{\text{Trop,geom},X}^{d,*}|_{X_{\text{sm}}})[2d]_{\text{deg}} \cong D(\mathcal{F}_{X_{\text{sm}}}^{d-p,w}[d+p]_{\text{deg}})[2d]_{\text{deg}}.$$

(Note that our sign convention of coboundary maps of complexes of homomorphisms $\text{Hom}(-, -)$ are the standard one, see e.g., [Ach21, Appendix A.6], [Fu15, Section 6.4], [SGA4-3].)

Proof. The assertion can be proved in the same way as tropical homology [GS23, Proposition A.9 and Theorem 4.20]. Note that the quasi-isomorphism is given by a morphism $R\Gamma_c IC_{\text{Trop,geom},X}^{d,*}|_{X_{\text{sm}}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ of counting points. \square

Lemma 5.4. *We have*

$$\mathcal{H}^m(IC_{\text{Trop,geom},X}^{p,*}|_{X_{\text{sm}}}) = 0 \quad (m \geq -2d + p + 1).$$

Proof. The assertion is local, hence we may assume that $X_{\text{sm}} = \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \times C$ for some 1-dimensional tropical fan $C \subset \mathbb{R}^s$. By Lemma 5.3 and Künneth formula for $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1} \times C}^{d-p,w}[d+p]_{\text{deg}}$, we have Künneth formula for $IC_{\text{Trop,geom},\mathbb{R}^{d-1} \times C}^{p,*}$. Then the assertion follows from the case of $X_{\text{sm}} = \mathbb{R}$ or C , which easily follows from direct computation. \square

Definition 5.5. ([Bou74, III Subsection 11.7]) Let A be a finite dimensional \mathbb{Q} -vector space, A^\vee its dual \mathbb{Q} -vector space. For $p \leq q$, the contraction

$$-\lrcorner-: \bigwedge^q A \otimes \bigwedge^p A^\vee \rightarrow \bigwedge^{q-p} A$$

is a \mathbb{Q} -linear map characterized by

$$\begin{aligned} (e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_q) \lrcorner (e_1^\vee \wedge \cdots \wedge e_p^\vee) &= e_{p+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_q \\ (e_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{q+1}) \lrcorner (e_1^\vee \wedge \cdots \wedge e_p^\vee) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

for any basis $e_i \in A$ and its dual basis $e_i^\vee \in A^\vee$. By contraction, $\bigwedge^* A$ is a right $\bigwedge^* A^\vee$ -module, and $\bigwedge^p A^\vee$ is the dual \mathbb{Q} -vector space of $\bigwedge^p A$, where $\bigwedge^* A^\vee$ has a ring structure by the wedge product.

The fundamental class $[X] \in C_{d,d,w}^{\text{Trop,BM}}(X)$ ([MZ14], [JRS18, Definition 4.8], [GS23, Subsection 5.1]) is given as

$$[X] = \sum_{P \in \Lambda_{\text{sm},d}} w_P 1_{\wedge^d \text{Tan}_{\mathbb{Z}} P}[P],$$

where we take generators $1_{\wedge^d \text{Tan}_{\mathbb{Z}} P} \in \wedge^d \text{Tan}_{\mathbb{Z}} P \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and $[P] \in H_d^{\text{sing}}(P, \partial P; \mathbb{Z})$ so that for any d -form $a \neq 0 \in \wedge^d \text{Hom}(\text{Tan}_{\mathbb{Z}} P, \mathbb{Z})$, the sign of $1_{\wedge^d \text{Tan}_{\mathbb{Z}} P} \lrcorner a$ (Definition 5.5) is same as the sign of $\int_{[P]} ga$ for a non-negative integrable function $g \not\equiv 0$. For example, when $[P]$ is the standard d -simplex $[\Delta^d] \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, we have

$$1_{\wedge^d \text{Tan}_{\mathbb{Z}} P} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x_d},$$

where x_1, \dots, x_d is the standard coordinate of \mathbb{R}^d . The fundamental class is allowable (Remark 3.14), i.e., $[X] \in IH_{d,d}^{\text{Trop,BM}}(X)$.

Similarly to tropical homology (e.g., [JRS18, Definition 4.11]), the fundamental class $[X]$ induces a cap product

$$[X] \cap -: \mathcal{F}_{X_{\text{sm}}}^{p,w} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{-2d+p}(IC_{\text{Trop,geom},X}^{p,*} |_{X_{\text{sm}}})$$

characterized by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{X_{\text{sm},x}}^{p,w} &\cong F^{p,w}(P) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{-2d+p}(IC_{\text{Trop,geom},X}^{p,*} |_{X_{\text{sm}}})_x \\ f &\mapsto 1_{\wedge^d \text{Tan}_{\mathbb{Z}} P}[P] \lrcorner f \end{aligned}$$

at $x \in \text{rel.int } P$ ($P \in \Lambda_{\text{sm},d}$).

Lemma 5.6. *The cap product $[X] \cap -$ is an isomorphism $\mathcal{F}_{X_{\text{sm}}}^{p,w} \cong \mathcal{H}^{-2d+p}(IC_{\text{Trop,geom},X}^{p,*} |_{X_{\text{sm}}})$. In particular, we have a quasi-isomorphism $\mathcal{F}_{X_{\text{sm}}}^{p,w}[2d-p]_{\text{deg}} \cong IC_{\text{Trop,geom},X}^{p,*} |_{X_{\text{sm}}}$.*

Proof. The first assertion easily follows from local computation. The second assertion follows from the first one and Lemma 5.4. \square

By Lemma 5.3 and Lemma 5.6, we have the following.

Corollary 5.7. *The cap product $- \cap [X]$ induces a quasi-isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{F}_{X_{\text{sm}}}^{p,w}[2d-p]_{\text{deg}} \cong D(\mathcal{F}_{X_{\text{sm}}}^{d-p,w}[d+p]_{\text{deg}})[2d]_{\text{deg}}.$$

We shall prove an LG-version (Proposition 5.15) of Corollary 5.7. We define

$$\text{Fil}_*^{\Lambda} \mathcal{F}_{X_{\text{sm}}}^{p,w} \in \text{Shv}_{c,[-\text{gr}(X),0]}(X_{\text{sm}}, \text{grMod } \mathbb{Q}[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})$$

by

$$\text{Fil}_*^{\Lambda} \mathcal{F}_{X_{\text{sm}}}^{p,w}(a) := (- \cap [X])^{-1}(\mathcal{H}^{-2d+p}(\text{Fil}_*^{\Lambda} IC_{\text{Trop,geom},X}^{p,*} |_{X_{\text{sm}}})(a)) \subset \mathcal{F}_{X_{\text{sm}}}^{p,w}$$

($a \in \mathbb{Z}^{\Lambda}$) and inclusions. For a subset $I \subset \Lambda$, we put

$$\text{Fil}_*^I \mathcal{F}_{X_{\text{sm}}}^{p,w} := \text{For}_{\Lambda \setminus I} \text{Fil}_*^{\Lambda} \mathcal{F}_{X_{\text{sm}}}^{p,w}$$

(Definition 4.45). For a sequence $L = (S_{L,1} \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq S_{L,r(L)})$ ($S_{L,i} \in \Lambda$, $r(L) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$), we put $\Lambda_{\supseteq S_{L,r(L)}} \subset \Lambda$ the subset of polyhedra strictly containing $S_{L,r(L)}$ and $\Lambda_{\supseteq S_{L,r(L)},L} := \Lambda_{\supseteq S_{L,r(L)}} \cup$

$\{S_{L,i}\}_{i=1}^{r(L)}$. We also put $\text{Fil}_*^L \mathcal{F}_{X_{\text{sm}}}^{p,w} := \text{For}_{\Lambda \setminus \{S_{L,i}\}_{i=1}^{r(L)}} \text{Fil}_*^\Lambda \mathcal{F}_{X_{\text{sm}}}^{p,w}$. Remind that we fixed open polyhedral (Definition 2.3) neighborhoods W_S of $\text{rel.int } S$ in X ($S \in \Lambda$) such that $W_S \cap W_{S'} = \emptyset$ for $S, S' \in \Lambda$ with $S \not\subset S'$ and $S' \not\subset S$. We put $W_L := \bigcap_{i=1}^{r(L)} W_{S_{L,i}}$. For $b \in \mathbb{Z}^{\{S_{L,i}\}_{i=1}^{r(L)}}$, we put

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{gr}_b \mathcal{F}_{X_{\text{sm}}}^{p,w} |_{X_{\text{sm}} \cap W_L} \\ & := \text{Fil}_*^L \mathcal{F}_{X_{\text{sm}}}^{p,w} |_{X_{\text{sm}} \cap W_L}(b) / \sum_{\substack{b' \in \mathbb{Z}^{\{S_{L,i}\}_{i=1}^{r(L)}} \\ b' \leq b}} \text{Fil}_*^L \mathcal{F}_{X_{\text{sm}}}^{p,w} |_{X_{\text{sm}} \cap W_L}(b'), \end{aligned}$$

and also define

$$\text{Fil}_*^{\Lambda_{\supseteq S_{L,r(L)}}} \text{gr}_b \mathcal{F}_{X_{\text{sm}}}^{p,w} |_{X_{\text{sm}} \cap W_L} \in \text{Shv}(X_{\text{sm}} \cap W_L, \text{grMod } \mathbb{Q}[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda_{\supseteq S_{L,r(L)}}})$$

by

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Fil}_*^{\Lambda_{\supseteq S_{L,r(L)}}} \text{gr}_b \mathcal{F}_{X_{\text{sm}}}^{p,w} |_{X_{\text{sm}} \cap W_L}(a') \\ & := \text{Fil}_*^{\Lambda_{\supseteq S_{L,r(L)},L}} \mathcal{F}_{X_{\text{sm}}}^{p,w} |_{X_{\text{sm}} \cap W_L}((b, a')) / \sum_{\substack{b' \in \mathbb{Z}^{\{S_{L,i}\}_{i=1}^{r(L)}} \\ b' \leq b}} \text{Fil}_*^{\Lambda_{\supseteq S_{L,r(L)},L}} \mathcal{F}_{X_{\text{sm}}}^{p,w} |_{X_{\text{sm}} \cap W_L}((b', a')) \end{aligned}$$

($a' \in \mathbb{Z}^{\Lambda_{\supseteq S_{L,r(L)}}$) and natural morphisms. Obviously, for $a' \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\Lambda_{\supseteq S_{L,r(L)}}$, we have

$$\text{Fil}_*^{\Lambda_{\supseteq S_{L,r(L)}}} \text{gr}_b \mathcal{F}_{X_{\text{sm}}}^{p,w} |_{X_{\text{sm}} \cap W_L}(a') \cong \text{gr}_b \mathcal{F}_{X_{\text{sm}}}^{p,w} |_{X_{\text{sm}} \cap W_L}.$$

Lemma 5.8. *There is a (non-canonical) decomposition*

$$\text{Fil}_*^{\Lambda_{\supseteq S_{L,r(L)}}} \mathcal{F}_{X_{\text{sm}}}^{p,w} |_{X_{\text{sm}} \cap W_L} \cong \bigoplus_{b \in \mathbb{Z}^{\{S_{L,i}\}_{i=1}^{r(L)}}} \text{Fil}_*^{\Lambda_{\supseteq S_{L,r(L)}}} \text{gr}_b \mathcal{F}_{X_{\text{sm}}}^{p,w} |_{X_{\text{sm}} \cap W_L}$$

in $\text{Shv}(X_{\text{sm}} \cap W_L, \text{grMod } \mathbb{Q}[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda_{\supseteq S_{L,r(L)}}})$. In particular, we have

$$\mathcal{F}_{X_{\text{sm}}}^{p,w} |_{X_{\text{sm}} \cap W_L} \cong \bigoplus_{b \in \mathbb{Z}^{\{S_{L,i}\}_{i=1}^{r(L)}}} \text{gr}_b \mathcal{F}_{X_{\text{sm}}}^{p,w} |_{X_{\text{sm}} \cap W_L}.$$

Proof. We fix an inner product of $N \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. For each $P \in \Lambda_{\text{sm},d}$ containing $S_{L,r(L)}$, a sequence

$$\begin{aligned} & 0 \subseteq \text{Tan}_{\mathbb{Q}} \sigma_{S_{L,r(L)}} \subseteq \dots \subseteq \text{Tan}_{\mathbb{Q}} \sigma_{S_{L,1}} \\ & \subseteq \text{pr}_{\sigma_{S_{L,1}}}^{-1}(\text{Tan}_{\mathbb{Q}} S_{L,1}) \subseteq \dots \subseteq \text{pr}_{\sigma_{S_{L,r(L)}}}^{-1}(\text{Tan}_{\mathbb{Q}} S_{L,r(L)}) \\ & \subseteq \text{Tan}_{\mathbb{Q}} P \end{aligned}$$

gives an orthogonal decomposition of $\text{Tan}_{\mathbb{Q}} P$. These decompositions for all such P induces the required decomposition of $\mathcal{F}_{X_{\text{sm}}}^{p,w} |_{X_{\text{sm}} \cap W_L}$ into $\text{gr}_b \mathcal{F}_{X_{\text{sm}}}^{p,w} |_{X_{\text{sm}} \cap W_L}$ ($b \in \mathbb{Z}^{\{S_{L,i}\}_{i=1}^{r(L)}}$) (cf. Proposition 3.27). We shall show that this decomposition of $\mathcal{F}_{X_{\text{sm}}}^{p,w} |_{X_{\text{sm}} \cap W_L}$ induces the required decomposition of $\text{Fil}_*^{\Lambda_{\supseteq S_{L,r(L)}}} \mathcal{F}_{X_{\text{sm}}}^{p,w} |_{X_{\text{sm}} \cap W_L}(a')$ for each $a' \in \mathbb{Z}^{\Lambda_{\supseteq S_{L,r(L)}}$. For this, it suffices to show that for $a' \in \mathbb{Z}^{\Lambda_{\supseteq S_{L,r(L)}}$ such that the set of $S \in \Lambda_{\supseteq S_{L,r(L)}}$ with $a'_S \leq -1$ forms a sequence $L(a') = (S_{L(a'),1} \subsetneq$

$\cdots \subsetneq S_{L(a'),r(L(a'))}$ ($r(L(a')) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$), there exists a decomposition of $\mathcal{F}_{X_{\text{sm}}}^{p,w}|_{X_{\text{sm}} \cap W_{L'(a')}}}$ such that $\text{gr}_b \mathcal{F}_{X_{\text{sm}}}^{p,w}|_{X_{\text{sm}} \cap W_{L'(a')}}}$ ($b \in \mathbb{Z}^{\{S_{L,i}\}_{i=1}^{r(L)}}$) and $\text{Fil}_*^{\Lambda_{\geq S_{L,r(L)}}} \mathcal{F}_{X_{\text{sm}}}^{p,w}|_{X_{\text{sm}} \cap W_{L'(a')}}(a')$ are direct sums of some direct summands, where we put

$$L'(a') = (S_{L'(a'),1} \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq S_{L'(a'),r(L)+r(L(a'))})$$

a sequence with $S_{L'(a'),i} := S_{L,i}$ ($1 \leq i \leq r(L)$) and $S_{L'(a'),i} := S_{L(a'),i-r(L)}$ ($r(L) + 1 \leq i \leq r(L) + r(L(a'))$). For a polyhedron $P \in \Lambda_{\text{sm},d}$ containing $S_{L'(a'),r(L)+r(L(a'))}$, we have a sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\subseteq \text{Tan}_{\mathbb{Q}} \sigma_{S_{L'(a'),r(L)+r(L(a'))}} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \text{Tan}_{\mathbb{Q}} \sigma_{S_{L'(a'),1}} \\ &\subseteq \text{pr}_{\sigma_{S_{L'(a'),1}}}^{-1} (\text{Tan}_{\mathbb{Q}} S_{L'(a'),1}) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \text{pr}_{\sigma_{S_{L'(a'),r(L)+r(L(a'))}}}^{-1} (\text{Tan}_{\mathbb{Q}} S_{L'(a'),r(L)+r(L(a'))}) \\ &\subseteq \text{Tan}_{\mathbb{Q}} P. \end{aligned}$$

This gives the required decomposition of a decomposition $\mathcal{F}_{X_{\text{sm}}}^{p,w}|_{X_{\text{sm}} \cap W_{L'(a')}}}$. \square

For a polyhedron $S \in \Lambda$ and $c \in \mathbb{Z}$, we put

$$\text{Fil}_S^c \mathcal{F}_{X_{\text{sm}}}^{p,w} := \text{For}_{\Lambda \setminus \{S\}} \text{Fil}_*^{\Lambda} \mathcal{F}_{X_{\text{sm}}}^{p,w}(-c).$$

Corollary 5.9. *We have a quasi-isomorphism*

$$D(\text{Fil}_*^L \mathcal{F}_{X_{\text{sm}}}^{d-p,w}|_{X_{\text{sm}} \cap W_L}) \cong \text{gr} \mathcal{H}om(\text{Fil}_*^L \mathcal{F}_{X_{\text{sm}}}^{d-p,w}|_{X_{\text{sm}} \cap W_L}, \bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\{S_{L,i}\}_{i=1}^{r(L)}}} \omega_{X_{\text{sm}} \cap W_L}),$$

where $\omega_{X_{\text{sm}} \cap W_L} \in D^b(X_{\text{sm}} \cap W_L, \text{Mod } \mathbb{Q})$ is the injective dualizing complex.

Proof. This directly follows from the second assertion of Lemma 5.8 and Lemma 8.18. \square

Remark 5.10. Let

$$\omega_{X_{\text{sm}}}[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda_{\text{sing}}} \in D^b(X_{\text{sm}}, \text{grMod } \mathbb{Q}[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda_{\text{sing}}})$$

be the dualizing complex. By a quasi-isomorphism $IC_{\text{Trop,geom},X}^{d,*}|_{X_{\text{sm}}} \cong \omega_{X_{\text{sm}}}$ (see e.g., [GS23, Theorem 4.20]) and Lemma 8.17, we have a quasi-isomorphism

$$\bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\Lambda}} IC_{\text{Trop,geom},X}^{d,*}|_{X_{\text{sm}}} \cong \omega_{X_{\text{sm}}}[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda}.$$

The following is a case of an LG-version of [GS23, Proposition A.9]. By Lemma 4.44, we have

$$D(\text{Fil}_*^{\Lambda} \mathcal{F}_{X_{\text{sm}}}^{p,w}[2d-p]_{\text{deg}})[2d]_{\text{deg}}[\text{gr}(X)]_{\text{gr}} \in D_{c,[-\text{gr}(X),0]}^b(X_{\text{sm}}, \text{grMod } \mathbb{Q}[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda}).$$

Lemma 5.11. *The quasi-isomorphism in Remark 5.10 gives an LG-quasi-isomorphism*

$$\begin{aligned} &D(\text{Fil}_*^{\Lambda} \mathcal{F}_{X_{\text{sm}}}^{d-p,w}[d+p]_{\text{deg}})[2d]_{\text{deg}}[\text{gr}(X)]_{\text{gr}} \\ &\cong_{\text{LG}} \text{gr} \mathcal{H}om(\text{Fil}_*^{\Lambda} \mathcal{F}_{X_{\text{sm}}}^{d-p,w}[d+p]_{\text{deg}}, \bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\Lambda}} IC_{\text{Trop,geom},X}^{d,*}|_{X_{\text{sm}}})[2d]_{\text{deg}}[\text{gr}(X)]_{\text{gr}}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $L = (S_{L,1} \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq S_{L,r(L)})$ ($S_{L,i} \in \Lambda$, $r(L) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$) be a sequence. By Lemma 4.46, it suffices to show that

$$\begin{aligned} & D(\mathrm{Fil}_*^L \mathcal{F}_{X_{\mathrm{sm}}}^{d-p,w} |_{X_{\mathrm{sm}} \cap W_L}) \\ & \cong \mathrm{gr} \mathcal{H} \mathrm{om}(\mathrm{Fil}_*^L \mathcal{F}_{X_{\mathrm{sm}}}^{d-p,w} |_{X_{\mathrm{sm}} \cap W_L}, \bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \{S_{L,i}\}_{i=1}^{r(L)} IC_{\mathrm{Trop,geom},X}^{d,*} |_{X_{\mathrm{sm}} \cap W_L}). \end{aligned}$$

We fix the injective dualizing complex $\omega_{X_{\mathrm{sm}} \cap W_L} \in D^b(X_{\mathrm{sm}} \cap W_L, \mathrm{Mod} \mathbb{Q})$. By Corollary 5.9, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & D(\mathrm{Fil}_*^L \mathcal{F}_{X_{\mathrm{sm}}}^{d-p,w} |_{X_{\mathrm{sm}} \cap W_L}) \\ & \cong \mathrm{gr} \mathcal{H} \mathrm{om}(\mathrm{Fil}_*^L \mathcal{F}_{X_{\mathrm{sm}}}^{d-p,w} |_{X_{\mathrm{sm}} \cap W_L}, \bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \{S_{L,i}\}_{i=1}^{r(L)} \omega_{X_{\mathrm{sm}} \cap W_L}). \end{aligned}$$

For $c \in \mathbb{Z} \{S_{L,i}\}_{i=1}^{r(L)}$ and $E = \omega_{X_{\mathrm{sm}} \cap W_L}$ or $IC_{\mathrm{Trop,geom},X}^{d,*} |_{X_{\mathrm{sm}} \cap W_L}$, we have isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{gr} \mathcal{H} \mathrm{om}(\mathrm{Fil}_*^L \mathcal{F}_{X_{\mathrm{sm}}}^{d-p,w} |_{X_{\mathrm{sm}} \cap W_L}, \bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \{S_{L,i}\}_{i=1}^{r(L)} E)(c) \\ & \cong \mathrm{Ker}(\mathcal{H} \mathrm{om}(\mathcal{F}_{X_{\mathrm{sm}}}^{d-p,w} |_{X_{\mathrm{sm}} \cap W_L}, E) \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \mathrm{om}(\sum_{i=1}^{r(L)} \mathrm{Fil}_{S_{L,i}}^{c_{S_{L,i}}+1} \mathcal{F}_{X_{\mathrm{sm}}}^{d-p,w} |_{X_{\mathrm{sm}} \cap W_L}, E)) \\ & \cong \mathcal{H} \mathrm{om}(\mathcal{F}_{X_{\mathrm{sm}}}^{d-p,w} |_{X_{\mathrm{sm}} \cap W_L} / \sum_{i=1}^{r(L)} \mathrm{Fil}_{S_{L,i}}^{c_{S_{L,i}}+1} \mathcal{F}_{X_{\mathrm{sm}}}^{d-p,w} |_{X_{\mathrm{sm}} \cap W_L}, E), \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

where the kernel is taken in $C(\mathrm{Shv}(X_{\mathrm{sm}} \cap W_L, \mathrm{Mod} \mathbb{Q}))$. By [GS23, Proposition A.9], we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{H} \mathrm{om}(\mathcal{F}_{X_{\mathrm{sm}}}^{d-p,w} |_{X_{\mathrm{sm}} \cap W_L} / \sum_{i=1}^{r(L)} \mathrm{Fil}_{S_{L,i}}^{c_{S_{L,i}}+1} \mathcal{F}_{X_{\mathrm{sm}}}^{d-p,w} |_{X_{\mathrm{sm}} \cap W_L}, IC_{\mathrm{Trop,geom},X}^{d,*} |_{X_{\mathrm{sm}} \cap W_L}) \\ & \cong \mathcal{H} \mathrm{om}(\mathcal{F}_{X_{\mathrm{sm}}}^{d-p,w} |_{X_{\mathrm{sm}} \cap W_L} / \sum_{i=1}^{r(L)} \mathrm{Fil}_{S_{L,i}}^{c_{S_{L,i}}+1} \mathcal{F}_{X_{\mathrm{sm}}}^{d-p,w} |_{X_{\mathrm{sm}} \cap W_L}, \omega_{X_{\mathrm{sm}} \cap W_L}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we proved the assertion. \square

Remark 5.12. Let $S \in \Lambda$ and $P \in \Lambda_{\mathrm{sm},d}$ with $S \subset P$. We put $\mathrm{Fil}_S^k F_{d-p,w}(P) \subset F_{d-p,w}(P)$ the subspace spanned by elements α with $v(\alpha \cap \sigma_S) + u(\alpha \cap \mathrm{pr}_{\sigma_S}^{-1}(S)) \geq k$ (see Definition 3.4 for v and u). Then for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the wedge product induces a non-degenerate pairing

$$\wedge: \mathrm{Fil}_S^{-k+\dim S+2\dim \sigma_S} F_{d-p,w}(P) \times (F_{p,w}(P) / \mathrm{Fil}_S^{k+1} F_{p,w}(P)) \rightarrow F_{d,w}(P) (\cong \mathbb{Q}).$$

Lemma 5.13. *Let $L = (S_{L,1} \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq S_{L,r(L)})$ ($S_{L,i} \in \Lambda$, $r(L) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$) be a sequence and $c = (c_{S_{L,i}})_{S_{L,i} \in \{S_{L,i}\}_{i=1}^{r(L)}} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\{S_{L,i}\}_{i=1}^{r(L)}}$. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{H}^m(\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F}_{X_{sm}}^{d-p,w}|_{X_{sm} \cap W_L} / \sum_{i=1}^{r(L)} \text{Fil}_{S_{L,i}}^{c_{S_{L,i}}+1} \mathcal{F}_{X_{sm}}^{d-p,w}|_{X_{sm} \cap W_L}, IC_{\text{Trop,geom},X}^{d,*}|_{X_{sm} \cap W_L})) \\ & \cong \begin{cases} \text{Fil}_*^L \mathcal{F}_{X_{sm}}^{p,w}(c - \text{gr}(X)|_{\{S_{L,i}\}_{i=1}^{r(L)}})|_{X_{sm} \cap W_L} & (m = -d) \\ 0 & (m \neq -d). \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Similarly to [GS23, Theorem 4.20],

$$\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F}_{X_{sm}}^{d-p,w}|_{X_{sm} \cap W_L} / \sum_{i=1}^{r(L)} \text{Fil}_{S_{L,i}}^{c_{S_{L,i}}+1} \mathcal{F}_{X_{sm}}^{d-p,w}|_{X_{sm} \cap W_L}, IC_{\text{Trop,geom},X}^{d,*}|_{X_{sm} \cap W_L})$$

is isomorphic to the complex of sheaves of locally finite chains with coefficients in the dual of

$$\mathcal{F}_{X_{sm}}^{d-p,w}|_{X_{sm} \cap W_L} / \sum_{i=1}^{r(L)} \text{Fil}_{S_{L,i}}^{c_{S_{L,i}}+1} \mathcal{F}_{X_{sm}}^{d-p,w}|_{X_{sm} \cap W_L}.$$

Namely, by Remark 5.12, it is isomorphic to

$$\text{For}_{\Lambda \setminus \{S_{L,i}\}_{i=1}^{r(L)}} \text{Fil}_*^{\Lambda} IC_{\text{Trop,geom},X}^{p,*}|_{X_{sm} \cap W_L}[-d+p]_{\text{deg}}(c - \text{gr}(X)|_{\{S_{L,i}\}_{i=1}^{r(L)}}),$$

which is, similarly to Lemma 5.8, a direct sum of direct summands of a decomposition of $IC_{\text{Trop,geom},X}^{p,*}|_{X_{sm} \cap W_L}$. Hence by Lemma 5.4 and Lemma 5.6, the assertion holds. \square

Remark 5.14. By Lemma 4.46 and Corollary 5.7, we have a quasi-isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \text{For}_{\Lambda} \text{Fil}_*^{\Lambda} \mathcal{F}_{X_{sm}}^{d-p,w}[d+p]_{\text{deg}} &= \mathcal{F}_{X_{sm}}^{d-p,w}[d+p]_{\text{deg}} \\ &\cong D(\mathcal{F}_{X_{sm}}^{p,w}[2d-p]_{\text{deg}})[2d]_{\text{deg}} \\ &\cong \text{For}_{\Lambda} D(\text{Fil}_*^{\Lambda} \mathcal{F}_{X_{sm}}^{p,w}[2d-p]_{\text{deg}})[2d]_{\text{deg}}[\text{gr}(X)]_{\text{gr}}. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 5.15. *There is a unique LG-quasi-isomorphism*

$$\text{Fil}_*^{\Lambda} \mathcal{F}_{X_{sm}}^{p,w}[2d-p]_{\text{deg}} \cong_{LG} D(\text{Fil}_*^{\Lambda} \mathcal{F}_{X_{sm}}^{d-p,w}[d+p]_{\text{deg}})[2d]_{\text{deg}}[\text{gr}(X)]_{\text{gr}}$$

in $D_{c,[-\text{gr}(X),0],LG}^b(X_{sm}, \text{grMod } \mathbb{Q}[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})$ which induces the quasi-isomorphism in Remark 5.14 by the “forgetful” functor For_{Λ} .

Proof. The uniqueness holds since by definition of $\text{Fil}_*^{\Lambda} \mathcal{F}_{X_{sm}}^{p,w}[2d-p]_{\text{deg}}$, LG-automorphisms of $\text{Fil}_*^{\Lambda} \mathcal{F}_{X_{sm}}^{p,w}[2d-p]_{\text{deg}}$ are uniquely determined by induced automorphisms of $\mathcal{F}_{X_{sm}}^{p,w}[2d-p]_{\text{deg}}$. By Lemma 5.6, the cap product $[X] \cap -$ induces a quasi-isomorphism

$$\mathcal{F}_{X_{sm}}^{p,w}[2d-p]_{\text{deg}} \rightarrow IC_{\text{Trop,geom},X}^{p,*}|_{X_{sm}} \cong \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F}_{X_{sm}}^{d-p,w}[d+p]_{\text{deg}}, IC_{\text{Trop,geom},X}^{d,*}|_{X_{sm}})[2d]_{\text{deg}}.$$

By Lemma 5.13 and isomorphism (5.1) in proof of Lemma 5.11 for $E = IC_{\text{Trop,geom},X}^{d,*}|_{X_{sm} \cap W_L}$, it induces an LG-quasi-isomorphism

$$\text{Fil}_*^{\Lambda} \mathcal{F}_{X_{sm}}^{p,w}[2d-p]_{\text{deg}} \cong_{LG} \text{gr } \mathcal{H}om(\text{Fil}_*^{\Lambda} \mathcal{F}_{X_{sm}}^{d-p,w}[d+p]_{\text{deg}}, \bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\Lambda}} IC_{\text{Trop,geom},X}^{d,*}|_{X_{sm}})[2d]_{\text{deg}}[\text{gr}(X)]_{\text{gr}}.$$

Hence the assertion follows from Lemma 5.11. \square

5.2. Sheaf-theoretic construction and Poincaré-Verdier duality. We put $X_{\text{sm}} := U_1 := \bigcup_{R \in \Lambda_{\text{sm}}} \text{rel.int } R$. We fix an order $\Lambda_{\text{sing}} = \{S_2, \dots, S_{\#\Lambda_{\text{sing}}+1}\}$ such that $S_i \supset S_j$ only if $i \leq j$. In particular, for $2 \leq k \leq \#\Lambda_{\text{sing}} + 1$, the union $U_k := U_1 \cup \bigcup_{j=2}^k \text{rel.int } S_j$ is an open subset of X , and $\text{rel.int } S_k \subset U_k$ is a closed subset. We put $i_k : U_{k-1} \hookrightarrow U_k$ and $j_k : \text{rel.int } S_k \hookrightarrow U_k$ embeddings. We put

$$m_{p,S} := -2p - \dim S - \dim \sigma_S - 1.$$

In this subsection, for simplicity, for a locally closed polyhedral subset $Y \subset X$, we put

$$D_*^b(Y) := D_{c,[-\text{gr}(X),0],*}^b(Y, \text{grMod } \mathbb{Q}[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})$$

(* = \emptyset, LG).

Definition 5.16. Let $2 \leq k \leq \#\Lambda_{\text{sing}} + 1$, $E \in D_{LG}^b(U_k)$, and $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. We put $\epsilon(\sigma_{S_k}) := \min\{\dim \sigma_{S_k}, 1\}$. We say that E satisfies Axiom A_p at S_k if for an adapted pair (L, a) (Definition 4.19) with $r(L) \geq 1$ and $S_{L,r(L)} = S_k$, the following conditions hold:

(1) (local vanishing) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}^m(j_k^* E)(a) &\cong_L \mathcal{H}^m(j_k^* E)(a(S_k = m_{p,S_k} - m)) \\ (\max\{-p - d - \epsilon(\sigma_{S_k}), m_{p,S_k} - a_{S_k}\} + 1 \leq m \leq -p - \dim S_k - 2 + \epsilon(\sigma_{S_k})) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}^m(j_k^* E) = 0 \quad (m \geq -p - \dim S_k - 1 + \epsilon(\sigma_{S_k})),$$

(2) (attaching property) the natural map

$$\mathcal{H}^m(j_k^* E)(a) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^m(j_k^* Ri_{k*} i_k^* E)(a)$$

is an L -local isomorphism for

$$m \leq \max\{-p - d - \epsilon(\sigma_{S_k}), \min\{m_{p,S_k} - a_{S_k}, -p - \dim S_k - 2 + \epsilon(\sigma_{S_k})\}\}.$$

To simplify notation, we put

$$\tau_{p,S_k} := \begin{cases} \mathcal{T}_{\leq(-p-d, m_{p,S_k} - S_k, -p - \dim S_k - 2)}^{\text{rel.int } S_k} & (\dim \sigma_{S_k} = 0, \dim S_k + 3 \leq d) \\ \mathcal{T}_{\leq -p-d}^{\text{rel.int } S_k} & (\dim \sigma_{S_k} = 0, \dim S_k + 2 = d) \\ \mathcal{T}_{\leq(-p-d-1, m_{p,S_k} - S_k, -p - \dim S_k - 1)}^{\text{rel.int } S_k} & (\dim \sigma_{S_k} \geq 1) \end{cases}.$$

Proposition 5.17. For $2 \leq k \leq \#\Lambda_{\text{sing}} + 1$ and $p \geq 0$, the functor

$$\tau_{p,S_k} Ri_{k*} : D^b(U_{k-1}) \rightarrow D^b(U_k)$$

induces an equivalence of categories between $D_{LG}^b(U_{k-1})$ and the full subcategory of $D_{LG}^b(U_k)$ consisting of complexes satisfying Axiom A_p at S_k .

Proof. When $\dim \sigma_{S_k} = 0$ and $\dim S_k + 2 = d$, this is [GM83, Subsection 3.5, Theorem]. The other cases can be also proved in the same way by Corollary 4.59. \square

Definition 5.18. We put

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Fil}_*^\Lambda IC_{\mathrm{Trop},\mathrm{sheaf}}^{d-p,*} &:= \mathrm{Fil}_*^\Lambda IC_{\mathrm{Trop},\mathrm{sheaf},X}^{d-p,*} \\ &:= \tau_{p,S\#\Lambda_{\mathrm{sing}}+1} Ri_{\#\Lambda_{\mathrm{sing}}+1*} \tau_{p,S\#\Lambda_{\mathrm{sing}}} Ri_{\#\Lambda_{\mathrm{sing}}*} \cdots \tau_{p,S_2} Ri_{2*} \mathrm{Fil}_*^\Lambda \mathcal{F}_{X_{\mathrm{sm}}}^{d-p,w}[d+p]_{\mathrm{deg}} \\ &\in D^b(X). \end{aligned}$$

We also put

$$IC_{\mathrm{Trop},\mathrm{sheaf}}^{d-p,*} := IC_{\mathrm{Trop},\mathrm{sheaf},X}^{d-p,*} := \mathrm{For}_\Lambda \mathrm{Fil}_*^\Lambda IC_{\mathrm{Trop},\mathrm{sheaf}}^{d-p,*} \in D_c^b(X, \mathrm{Mod} \mathbb{Q}).$$

Definition 5.19. Let $2 \leq k \leq \#\Lambda_{\mathrm{sing}} + 1$, $E \in D_{LG}^b(U_k)$, and $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. We put $\epsilon(\sigma_{S_k}) := \min\{\dim \sigma_{S_k}, 1\}$. We say that E satisfies Axiom $A_p(2)'$ at S_k if for an adapted pair (L, a) with $r(L) \geq 1$ and $S_{L,r(L)} = S_k$ and

$$m \leq \max\{-p - d + 1 - \epsilon(\sigma_{S_k}), \min\{m_{p,S_k} - a_{S_k} + 1, -p - \dim S_k - 1 + \epsilon(\sigma_{S_k})\}\},$$

we have $\mathcal{H}^m(j_k^! E)(a) = 0$ in $\mathrm{Shv}_L(\mathrm{rel.int} S_k, \mathrm{Mod} \mathbb{Q})$.

Remark 5.20. For $x \in \mathrm{rel.int} S_k$, we put $u_x: \{x\} \rightarrow \mathrm{rel.int} S_k$ and $j_x: \{x\} \rightarrow U_k$ the inclusions. Since $j_x^! \cong u_x^! j_k^! \cong u_x^* j_k^![-\dim S_k]$, similarly to [GM83, Subsection 3.4], we can reformulate Axiom $A_p(2)'$ as follows. A complex $E \in D_{LG}^b(U_k)$ satisfies Axiom $A_p(2)'$ at S_k if and only if there are a complex $F \in D^b(U_k)$ LG-quasi-isomorphic to E and open polyhedral neighborhoods $W'_S \subset X$ of $\mathrm{rel.int} S$ ($S \in \Lambda$ with $S \subset S_k$) such that for an adapted pair (L, a) with $r(L) \geq 1$ and $S_{L,r(L)} = S_k$, a point $x \in \mathrm{rel.int} S_k \cap W'_L$, and

$$m \leq \max\{-p - d + 1 - \epsilon(\sigma_{S_k}), \min\{m_{p,S_k} - a_{S_k} + 1, -p - \dim S_k - 1 + \epsilon(\sigma_{S_k})\}\} + \dim S_k,$$

we have $H^m(j_x^! F)(a) = 0$.

For $a \in \mathbb{Z}^\Lambda$, we put

$$n_{p,S_k,a} := \max\{-p - d + 1 - \epsilon(\sigma_{S_k}), \min\{m_{p,S_k} - a_{S_k} + 1, -p - \dim S_k - 1 + \epsilon(\sigma_{S_k})\}\}.$$

Note that when $n_{p,S_k,a} \leq -p - \dim S_k - 2 + \epsilon(\sigma_{S_k})$, we have $m_{p,S_k} - n_{p,S_k,a} \leq a_{S_k} - 1$.

Lemma 5.21.

- When E satisfies Axiom $A_p(2)'$, it also satisfies Axiom $A_p(2)$.
- We assume that E satisfies Axiom $A_p(1)$ and (2). We also assume that for an adapted pair (L, a) such that $r(L) \geq 1$, $S_{L,r(L)} = S_k$, and $n_{p,S_k,a} \leq -p - \dim S_k - 2 + \epsilon(\sigma_{S_k})$, the natural map

$$\mathcal{H}^{n_{p,S_k,a}}(j_k^* Ri_{k*} i_k^* E)(a(S_k = m_{p,S_k} - n_{p,S_k,a})) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{n_{p,S_k,a}}(j_k^* Ri_{k*} i_k^* E)(a) \quad (5.2)$$

is injective in $\mathrm{Shv}_L(\mathrm{rel.int} S_k, \mathrm{Mod} \mathbb{Q})$. Then the complex E satisfies Axiom $A_p(2)'$.

Proof. The case of $\dim S_k + 2 = d$ and $\dim \sigma_S = 0$ is [GM83, Subsection 3.4]. The other cases, given below, are similar. Let (L, a) be an adapted pair with $r(L) \geq 1$ and $S_{L,r(L)} = S_k$. By a distinguished triangle $j_k^! E^* \rightarrow j_k^* E^* \rightarrow j_k^* Ri_{k*} i_k^* E^* \rightarrow^{[1]}$, the first assertion holds, and Axiom $A_p(2)$ shows $\mathcal{H}^m(j_k^! E^*)(a) = 0$ for $m \leq n_{p,S_k,a} - 1$. We assume that E satisfies Axiom $A_p(1)$ and

(2). We also assume that $n_{p,S_k,a} \leq -p - \dim S_k - 2 + \epsilon(\sigma_{S_k})$. The other case is easy. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{H}^{n_{p,S_k,a}}(j_k^! E^*)(a) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{H}^{n_{p,S_k,a}}(j_k^* E^*)(a) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{H}^{n_{p,S_k,a}}(j_k^* Ri_{k*} i_k^* E^*)(a) \\
& & & & \cong \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
& & & & \mathcal{H}^{n_{p,S_k,a}}(j_k^* E^*)(a') & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathcal{H}^{n_{p,S_k,a}}(j_k^* Ri_{k*} i_k^* E^*)(a')
\end{array}$$

with exact first row, where for simplicity, we put $a' := a(S_k = m_{p,S_k} - n_{p,S_k,a})$, and the first vertical arrow is an L -isomorphism by Axiom A_p (1). Hence when the last vertical arrow is injective, we have $\mathcal{H}^{n_{p,S_k,a}}(j_k^! E^*)(a) = 0$. \square

Definition 5.22. A complex $A \in D_{LG}^b(\text{rel.int } S_k)$ is said to be *point-wise free* if there are a complex $A' \in D^b(\text{rel.int } S_k)$ LG-quasi-isomorphic to A and open polyhedral neighborhoods $W'_S \subset X$ of $\text{rel.int } S$ ($S \in \Lambda$ with $S \subset S_k$) such that for a sequence $L = (S_{L,1} \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq S_{L,r(L)})$ ($S_{L,i} \in \Lambda$, $r(L) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$) with $S_{L,r(L)} = S_k$, an integer $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $x \in \text{rel.int } S_k \cap W'_L$, a $\mathbb{Q}[T_{S_{L,i}}]_{i=1}^{r(L)}$ -module $H^j(\text{For}_{\Lambda \setminus \{S_{L,i}\}_{i=1}^{r(L)}} u_x^* A')$ is free, where we put $u_x: \{x\} \rightarrow \text{rel.int } S_k$.

Remind that we put

$$\text{gr}(X) := \sum_{S \in \Lambda} (\dim S + 2 \dim \sigma_S) e_S \in \mathbb{Z}^\Lambda.$$

Corollary 5.23. Let $A \in D_{LG}^b(U_{k-1})$ such that $j_k^\epsilon \tau_{d-p,S_k} Ri_{k*} A$ ($\epsilon = *, !$) is point-wise free and the morphism (5.2) is injective for $\tau_{d-p,S_k} Ri_{k*} A$. We put

$$B := D(A)[2d]_{\text{deg}}[\text{gr}(X)]_{\text{gr}} \in D_{LG}^b(U_{k-1})$$

(Lemma 4.47). Then there exists a unique LG-quasi-isomorphism

$$\tau_{p,S_k} Ri_{k*} B \cong_{LG} D(\tau_{d-p,S_k} Ri_{k*} A)[2d]_{\text{deg}}[\text{gr}(X)]_{\text{gr}}$$

in $D_{LG}^b(U_k)$ extending the equality on U_{k-1} .

Proof. By Lemma 5.21, $\tau_{d-p,S_k} Ri_{k*} A$ satisfies Axiom A_{d-p} (2)'. Let $A' \in D^b(U_k)$ be LG-quasi-isomorphic to $\tau_{d-p,S_k} Ri_{k*} A$ such that $H^j(\text{For}_{\Lambda \setminus \{S_{L,i}\}_{i=1}^{r(L)}} u_x^* j_k^\epsilon A')$ ($\epsilon = *, !$) is free for some open polyhedral neighborhoods $W'_S \subset X$ of $\text{rel.int } S$ ($S \in \Lambda$ with $S \subset S_k$), a sequence $L = (S_{L,1} \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq S_{L,r(L)})$ ($S_{L,i} \in \Lambda$, $r(L) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$) with $S_{L,r(L)} = S_k$, an integer $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $x \in \text{rel.int } S_k \cap W'_L$. We may assume that A' satisfies the condition in Remark 5.20 for W'_S ($S \in \Lambda$ with $S \subset S_k$). For $a \in \mathbb{Z}^\Lambda$ adapted to L and $\{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2\} = \{*, !\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& H^m(j_x^{\epsilon_1} D(A')[2d]_{\text{deg}}[\text{gr}(X)]_{\text{gr}})(a) \\
& \cong H^{m+2d}(D(\text{For}_{\Lambda \setminus \{S_{L,i}\}_{i=1}^{r(L)}}(j_x^{\epsilon_2} A'))[\text{gr}(X)]_{\{S_{L,i}\}_{i=1}^{r(L)}\text{gr}})(a|_{\{S_{L,i}\}_{i=1}^{r(L)}}) \\
& \cong \text{grHom}(H^{-m-2d}(\text{For}_{\Lambda \setminus \{S_{L,i}\}_{i=1}^{r(L)}}(j_x^{\epsilon_2} A'))[-\text{gr}(X)]_{\{S_{L,i}\}_{i=1}^{r(L)}\text{gr}}, \mathbb{Q}[T_{S_{L,i}}]_{i=1}^{r(L)})(a|_{\{S_{L,i}\}_{i=1}^{r(L)}}),
\end{aligned}$$

where the first isomorphism follows from Lemma 4.46, and the second isomorphism follows from point-wise freeness, where we put $j_x: \{x\} \rightarrow U_k$. (Note that $u_x^! \cong u_x^*[-\dim S_k]$ for $u_x: \{x\} \rightarrow \text{rel.int } S_k$.) Then the assertion follows from direct computation and Proposition 5.17 (cf. Example 4.7). \square

For a sequence $L = (S_{L,1} \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq S_{L,r(L)})$ ($S_{L,i} \in \Lambda$, $r(L) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$) and $b \in \mathbb{Z}^{\{S_{L,i}\}_{i=1}^{r(L)}}$, we define

$$\mathrm{Fil}_*^{\Lambda_{\supseteq S_{L,r(L)}}} \mathrm{gr}_b IC_{\mathrm{Trop, sheaf}}^{p,*} |_{W_L \setminus \mathrm{rel.int} S_{L,r(L)}} \in D^b(W_L \setminus \mathrm{rel.int} S_{L,r(L)}, \mathrm{grMod} \mathbb{Q}[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda_{\supseteq S_{L,r(L)}}})$$

in the same way as $\mathrm{Fil}_*^{\Lambda} IC_{\mathrm{Trop, sheaf}}^{p,*}$ from

$$\mathrm{Fil}_*^{\Lambda_{\supseteq S_{L,r(L)}}} \mathrm{gr}_b \mathcal{F}_{X_{\mathrm{sm}}}^{p,w} [2d-p]_{\mathrm{deg}} |_{X_{\mathrm{sm}} \cap W_L}$$

(see above Lemma 5.8) using truncation functors and push-forward under open immersions. (Note that

$$W_L \setminus \mathrm{rel.int} S_{L,r(L)} \subset \bigcup_{S \in \Lambda_{\mathrm{sm}} \cup \Lambda_{\supseteq S_{L,r(L)}}} \mathrm{rel.int} S.)$$

By Lemma 5.8, we have an isomorphism

$$IC_{\mathrm{Trop, sheaf}}^{p,*} |_{W_L \setminus \mathrm{rel.int} S_{L,r(L)}} \cong \bigoplus_{b \in \mathbb{Z}^{\{S_{L,i}\}_{i=1}^{r(L)}}} \mathrm{For}_{\Lambda_{\supseteq S_{L,r(L)}}} \mathrm{Fil}_*^{\Lambda_{\supseteq S_{L,r(L)}}} \mathrm{gr}_b IC_{\mathrm{Trop, sheaf}}^{p,*} |_{W_L \setminus \mathrm{rel.int} S_{L,r(L)}}.$$

Hence we get the following.

Corollary 5.24. *For a sequence $L = (S_{L,1} \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq S_{L,r(L)})$ ($S_{L,i} \in \Lambda$, $r(L) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$), the tropical intersection complex $IC_{\mathrm{Trop, sheaf}}^{p,*} |_{W_L}$ is isomorphic to the direct sum of (usual) truncations of push-forwards of*

$$\mathrm{For}_{\Lambda_{\supseteq S_{L,r(L)}}} \mathrm{Fil}_*^{\Lambda_{\supseteq S_{L,r(L)}}} \mathrm{gr}_b IC_{\mathrm{Trop, sheaf}}^{p,*} |_{W_L \setminus \mathrm{rel.int} S_{L,r(L)}}$$

under $W_L \setminus \mathrm{rel.int} S_{L,r(L)} \rightarrow W_L$.

Corollary 5.25. *The complex $j_k^\epsilon \mathrm{Fil}_*^{\Lambda} IC_{\mathrm{Trop, sheaf}}^{p,*} |_{U_k}$ ($\epsilon = *, !$) is point-wise free, and the morphism (5.2) is injective for $\mathrm{Fil}_*^{\Lambda} IC_{\mathrm{Trop, sheaf}}^{d-p,*} |_{U_k}$.*

Proof. By Corollary 5.24, for an adapted pair (L, a) with $r(L) \geq 1$ and $S_{L,r(L)} = S_k$, the complex $\mathrm{Fil}_*^{\Lambda} IC_{\mathrm{Trop, sheaf}}^{p,*} |_{W_L}(a)$ is a direct sum of direct summands in Corollary 5.24. Hence the assertion hold. \square

Theorem 5.26. *There is a unique LG-quasi-isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{Fil}_*^{\Lambda} IC_{\mathrm{Trop, sheaf}}^{p,*} \cong_{\mathrm{LG}} D(\mathrm{Fil}_*^{\Lambda} IC_{\mathrm{Trop, sheaf}}^{d-p,*}) [2d]_{\mathrm{deg}} [\mathrm{gr}(X)]_{\mathrm{gr}}$$

in $D_{c, [-\mathrm{gr}(X), 0], \mathrm{LG}}^b(X, \mathrm{grMod} \mathbb{Q}[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})$ whose restriction to X_{sm} is the LG-quasi-isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Fil}_*^{\Lambda} \mathcal{F}_{X_{\mathrm{sm}}}^{p,w} [2d-p]_{\mathrm{deg}} \cong_{\mathrm{LG}} D(\mathrm{Fil}_*^{\Lambda} \mathcal{F}_{X_{\mathrm{sm}}}^{d-p,w} [d+p]_{\mathrm{deg}}) [2d]_{\mathrm{deg}} [\mathrm{gr}(X)]_{\mathrm{gr}}$$

in Lemma 5.15.

Proof. This follows from Corollary 5.23 and Corollary 5.25. \square

By Lemma 4.46, this LG-quasi-isomorphism gives a quasi-isomorphism

$$IC_{\mathrm{Trop, sheaf}}^{p,*} \cong D(IC_{\mathrm{Trop, sheaf}}^{d-p,*}) [2d]_{\mathrm{deg}}.$$

We put

$$IH_{\mathrm{Trop, sheaf}, *}^{p,q}(X) := H^{p+q-2d} R\Gamma_*(IC_{\mathrm{Trop, sheaf}}^{p,*})$$

($* = \emptyset, c$), which is finite dimensional by Corollary 8.31.

Corollary 5.27. *For any p and q , we have a non-degenerate bilinear map*

$$IH_{\text{Trop, sheaf}}^{p,q}(X) \times IH_{\text{Trop, sheaf, c}}^{d-p, d-q}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}.$$

6. COMPARISONS

Let $X = (\Lambda, w)$ of dimension d in a tropical toric variety $\text{Trop}(T_\Sigma)$. We assume that T_Σ is smooth and X is regular at infinity. In this section, we shall show that $IH_{\text{Trop, sheaf}}^{p,q}(X)$ is isomorphic to

- $IH_{\text{Trop, geom}}^{p,q}(X)$ (Proposition 6.3),
- tropical cohomology $H_{\text{Trop}}^{p,q}(X)$ (Proposition 6.10) when X is e.g., smooth, and
- $IH_{\text{Trop, sheaf}}^{p,q}(X')$ (Proposition 6.13) for a tropical variety X' given by a subdivision of Λ .

We use notations in Section 5.

6.1. Künneth formula and comparison of two constructions. In this subsection, we shall give an LG-quasi-isomorphism

$$\text{Fil}_*^\Lambda IC_{\text{Trop, geom}, X}^{p,*} \cong_{LG} \text{Fil}_*^\Lambda IC_{\text{Trop, sheaf}, X}^{p,*}$$

(Proposition 6.3). By Lemma 5.6, definition of $\text{Fil}_*^\Lambda \mathcal{F}_{X_{\text{sm}}}^{p,w}$, and Proposition 5.17, it suffices to show that $\text{Fil}_*^\Lambda IC_{\text{Trop, geom}, X}^{p,*}$ satisfies Axiom A_{d-p} . In Subsection 3.2, we have proved it except Axiom A_{d-p} (2) for $\text{Fil}_*^\Lambda IC_{\text{Trop, geom}, X}^{p,*}$ at $S_k \in \Lambda_{\text{sing}}$ with $\dim \sigma_{S_k} \geq 1$. In this case, as we will see, instead of attaching property, computation of stalks (Lemma 3.21) and Künneth formula (Proposition 6.2) for $\text{Fil}_*^\Lambda IC_{\text{Trop, sheaf}, X}^{p,*}$ are enough to prove the above LG-quasi-isomorphism.

Remind that $X_{\text{sm}} := U_1 := \bigcup_{R \in \Lambda_{\text{sm}}} \text{rel.int } R$, $\Lambda_{\text{sing}} = \{S_2, \dots, S_{\#\Lambda_{\text{sing}}+1}\}$, and $U_k := U_1 \cup \bigcup_{j=2}^k \text{rel.int } S_j$. We put $i_k: U_{k-1} \hookrightarrow U_k$ and $j_k: \text{rel.int } S_k \hookrightarrow U_k$ embeddings.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $A \in D_{c, [-\text{gr}(X), 0], LG}^b(U_k, \text{grMod } \mathbb{Q}[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})$. We put*

$$B := D(A)[2d]_{\text{deg}[\text{gr}(X)]_{\text{gr}}} \in D_{c, [-\text{gr}(X), 0], LG}^b(U_k, \text{grMod } \mathbb{Q}[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda}).$$

We assume that $j_k^ A$ and $j_k^* B$ are point-wise free, and both A and B satisfies Axiom A_{d-p} (1) and A_p (1), respectively. Then we have a natural LG-quasi-isomorphisms*

$$A \cong \tau_{d-p, S_k} Ri_{k*} i_k^* A, \quad B \cong \tau_{p, S_k} Ri_{k*} i_k^* B.$$

Proof. In the same way as Corollary 5.23, this follows from direct computation. \square

Let $V = (\Lambda_V, w_V)$ ($V = Y, Z$) be a tropical variety regular at infinity of dimension d_V in a smooth tropical toric variety $\text{Trop}(T_{\Sigma_V})$. Let $Y \times Z = (\Lambda_{Y \times Z}, w_{Y \times Z})$ their product (Definition 2.10). For $V = Y, Z$ and $S_V \in \Lambda_V$, we fix an open polyhedral neighborhood W_{S_V} of $\text{rel.int } S_V$ as in Subsection 3.1. Then

$$\text{Fil}_*^{\Lambda_V} IC_{\text{Trop, sheaf}, V}^{d_V-p,*} \in D_{c, [-\text{gr}(V), 0]}^b(V, \text{grMod } \mathbb{Q}[T_{S_V}]_{S_V \in \Lambda_V})$$

is defined (Definition 5.18), and we get their external tensor product (Subsection 4.3)

$$\text{Fil}_*^{\Lambda_Y} IC_{\text{Trop, sheaf}, Y}^{d_Y-p,*} \boxtimes^L \text{Fil}_*^{\Lambda_Z} IC_{\text{Trop, sheaf}, Z}^{d_Z-q,*} \in D_{c, [-\text{gr}(Y \times Z), 0]}^b(Y \times Z, \text{grMod } \mathbb{Q}[T_{S_{Y \times Z}}]_{S_{Y \times Z} \in \Lambda_{Y \times Z}}).$$

Let $W_{S_Y \times S_Z} := W_{S_Y} \times W_{S_Z}$ be a product, which is an open polyhedral neighborhood of

$$\text{rel.int}(S_Y \times S_Z) = \text{rel.int } S_Y \times \text{rel.int } S_Z.$$

We fix an order $\Lambda_{Y \times Z, \text{sing}} = \{S_{Y \times Z, 2}, \dots\}$ such that $S_{Y \times Z, i} \supset S_{Y \times Z, j}$ only if $i \leq j$. We put $U_{Y \times Z, 1} := \bigcup_{R \in \Lambda_{Y \times Z, \text{sm}}} \text{rel.int } R$, and for $2 \leq k \leq \#\Lambda_{Y \times Z, \text{sing}} + 1$, we put

$$U_{Y \times Z, k} := U_{Y \times Z, 1} \cup \bigcup_{j=2}^k \text{rel.int } S_{Y \times Z, j}.$$

Proposition 6.2. *We have a natural LG-quasi-isomorphism*

$$\bigoplus_{p_Y + p_Z = p_{Y \times Z}} \text{Fil}_*^{\Lambda_Y} IC_{\text{Trop, sheaf}, Y}^{d_Y - p_Y, *} \boxtimes^L \text{Fil}_*^{\Lambda_Z} IC_{\text{Trop, sheaf}, Z}^{d_Z - p_Z, *} \cong_{LG} \text{Fil}_*^{\Lambda_{Y \times Z}} IC_{\text{Trop, sheaf}, Y \times Z}^{d_Y + d_Z - p_{Y \times Z}, *}$$

in

$$D_{c, [-\text{gr}(Y \times Z), 0], LG}^b(Y \times Z, \text{grMod } \mathbb{Q}[T_{S_{Y \times Z}}]_{S_{Y \times Z} \in \Lambda_{Y \times Z}}).$$

In particular, we have a natural quasi-isomorphism

$$\bigoplus_{p_Y + p_Z = p_{Y \times Z}} IC_{\text{Trop, sheaf}, Y}^{d_Y - p_Y, *} \boxtimes^L IC_{\text{Trop, sheaf}, Z}^{d_Z - p_Z, *} \cong IC_{\text{Trop, sheaf}, Y \times Z}^{d_Y + d_Z - p_{Y \times Z}, *}$$

Proof. We shall prove the existence of the LG-quasi-isomorphism on $U_{Y \times Z, k}$ by induction on $k \geq 1$. Let $L_{Y \times Z} = (S_{L_{Y \times Z, 1}} \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq S_{L_{Y \times Z, r(L_{Y \times Z})}})$ ($S_{L_{Y \times Z, i}} \in \Lambda_{Y \times Z}$) and $a_{Y \times Z} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\Lambda_{Y \times Z}}$ be an adapted pair. We put $S_{L_{Y \times Z, i}} = S_{L_Y, i} \times S_{L_Z, i}$ ($S_{L_V, i} \in \Lambda_V$), and for $V = Y, Z$, we put $L_V = (S'_{L_V, 1} \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq S'_{L_V, r(L_V)})$ such that $\{S_{L_V, i}\}_{i=1}^{r(L_{Y \times Z})} = \{S'_{L_V, j}\}_{j=1}^{r(L_V)}$. We also put $W_{L_{Y \times Z}} := \bigcap_{i=1}^{r(L_{Y \times Z})} W_{S_{L_{Y \times Z, i}}}$. When $k = 1$, we have

$$\bigoplus_{p_Y + p_Z = p_{Y \times Z}} (\mathcal{F}_{Y_{\text{sm}}}^{d_Y - p_Y, w_Y} \boxtimes \mathcal{F}_{Z_{\text{sm}}}^{d_Z - p_Z, w_Z})|_{(Y \times Z)_{\text{sm}}} \cong \mathcal{F}_{(Y \times Z)_{\text{sm}}}^{d_Y + d_Z - p_{Y \times Z}, w_{Y \times Z}}.$$

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & ((\mathcal{F}_{Y_{\text{sm}}}^{d_Y - p_Y, w_Y} \boxtimes \mathcal{F}_{Z_{\text{sm}}}^{d_Z - p_Z, w_Z})|_{(Y \times Z)_{\text{sm}}} \cap \text{Fil}_*^{\Lambda_{Y \times Z}} \mathcal{F}_{(Y \times Z)_{\text{sm}}}^{d_Y + d_Z - p_{Y \times Z}, w_{Y \times Z}}(a_{Y \times Z}))|_{(Y \times Z)_{\text{sm}} \cap W_{L_{Y \times Z}}} \\ &= ((\mathcal{F}_{Y_{\text{sm}}}^{d_Y - p_Y, w_Y} \boxtimes \mathcal{F}_{Z_{\text{sm}}}^{d_Z - p_Z, w_Z})|_{(Y \times Z)_{\text{sm}}} \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^{r(L_{Y \times Z})} \text{Fil}_{S_{L_{Y \times Z, i}}}^{-a_{Y \times Z, S_{L_{Y \times Z, i}}}} \mathcal{F}_{(Y \times Z)_{\text{sm}}}^{d_Y + d_Z - p_{Y \times Z}, w_{Y \times Z}})|_{(Y \times Z)_{\text{sm}} \cap W_{L_{Y \times Z}}} \\ &= \bigcap_{i=1}^{r(L_{Y \times Z})} \left(\sum_{a_{Y, i} + a_{Z, i} = a_{Y \times Z, S_{L_{Y \times Z, i}}}} \text{Fil}_{S_{L_Y, i}}^{-a_{Y, i}} \mathcal{F}_{Y_{\text{sm}}}^{d_Y - p_Y, w_Y} \boxtimes \text{Fil}_{S_{L_Z, i}}^{-a_{Z, i}} \mathcal{F}_{Z_{\text{sm}}}^{d_Z - p_Z, w_Z} \right)|_{(Y \times Z)_{\text{sm}} \cap W_{L_{Y \times Z}}} \\ &= \sum_{a_Y + a_Z = a_{Y \times Z}} \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{r(L_{Y \times Z})} \text{Fil}_{S_{L_Y, i}}^{-a_{Y, i}} \mathcal{F}_{Y_{\text{sm}}}^{d_Y - p_Y, w_Y} \boxtimes \text{Fil}_{S_{L_Z, i}}^{-a_{Z, i}} \mathcal{F}_{Z_{\text{sm}}}^{d_Z - p_Z, w_Z} \right)|_{(Y \times Z)_{\text{sm}} \cap W_{L_{Y \times Z}}} \\ &= \text{Fil}_*^{\Lambda_Y} \mathcal{F}_{Y_{\text{sm}}}^{d_Y - p_Y, w_Y} \boxtimes \text{Fil}_*^{\Lambda_Z} \mathcal{F}_{Z_{\text{sm}}}^{d_Z - p_Z, w_Z}(a_{Y \times Z})|_{(Y \times Z)_{\text{sm}} \cap W_{L_{Y \times Z}}}, \end{aligned}$$

where the third equality can be seen as follows. Each $\text{Fil}_{S_{L_V, i}}^{-a_{V, i}} \mathcal{F}_{V_{\text{sm}}}^{d_V - p_V, w_V}|_{V_{\text{sm}} \cap W_{L_V}}$ ($V = Y, Z$) is a direct sum of direct summands of the second decomposition of Lemma 5.8. Hence the third line is generated by external products of some direct summands of the decompositions for Y and Z . Then it is easy to see that the third line is contained in the fourth one, i.e., the third equality holds.

We assume that $k \geq 2$ and that we already have the LG-quasi-isomorphism on $U_{Y \times Z, k-1}$. By Lemma 4.18 and Theorem 5.26, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & D(\mathrm{Fil}_*^{\Lambda_Y} IC_{\mathrm{Trop, sheaf}, Y}^{d_Y - p_Y, *} \overset{L}{\boxtimes} \mathrm{Fil}_*^{\Lambda_Z} IC_{\mathrm{Trop, sheaf}, Z}^{d_Z - p_Z, *})[2d_{Y \times Z}]_{\mathrm{deg}}[\mathrm{gr}(Y \times Z)]_{\mathrm{gr}} \\ & \cong_{LG} \mathrm{Fil}_*^{\Lambda_Y} IC_{\mathrm{Trop, sheaf}, Y}^{p_Y, *} \overset{L}{\boxtimes} \mathrm{Fil}_*^{\Lambda_Z} IC_{\mathrm{Trop, sheaf}, Z}^{p_Z, *}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence by Corollary 5.25 and Lemma 6.1, it suffices to show that

$$(\mathrm{Fil}_*^{\Lambda_Y} IC_{\mathrm{Trop, sheaf}, Y}^{d_Y - p_Y, *} \overset{L}{\boxtimes} \mathrm{Fil}_*^{\Lambda_Z} IC_{\mathrm{Trop, sheaf}, Z}^{d_Z - p_Z, *})|_{U_{Y \times Z, k}}$$

satisfies Axiom $A_{p_{Y \times Z}}$ (1) at $S_{Y \times Z, k}$. We put $S_{Y \times Z, k} = S_{Y, k} \times S_{Z, k}$ ($S_{V, k} \in \Lambda_V$ ($V = Y, Z$)). We assume that $r(L_{Y \times Z}) \geq 1$ and $S_{L_{Y \times Z}, r(L_{Y \times Z})} = S_{Y \times Z, k}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{H}^m(j_{Y \times Z, k}^* \mathrm{Fil}_*^{\Lambda_Y} IC_{\mathrm{Trop, sheaf}, Y}^{d_Y - p_Y, *} \overset{L}{\boxtimes} \mathrm{Fil}_*^{\Lambda_Z} IC_{\mathrm{Trop, sheaf}, Z}^{d_Z - p_Z, *})(a_{Y \times Z}) \\ & \cong_L \bigoplus_{m_Y + m_Z = m} \sum_{a_Y + a_Z = a_{Y \times Z}} \mathcal{H}^{m_Y}(j_{Y, k}^* \mathrm{Fil}_*^{\Lambda_Y} IC_{\mathrm{Trop, sheaf}, Y}^{d_Y - p_Y, *})(\min \mathrm{pr}_{\Lambda_Y} a_Y) \\ & \quad \boxtimes \mathcal{H}^{m_Z}(j_{Z, k}^* \mathrm{Fil}_*^{\Lambda_Z} IC_{\mathrm{Trop, sheaf}, Z}^{d_Z - p_Z, *})(\min \mathrm{pr}_{\Lambda_Z} a_Z), \end{aligned}$$

where $\sum'_{a_Y + a_Z = a_{Y \times Z}}$ is the sum of $a_Y, a_Z \in \mathbb{Z}^{\Lambda_{Y \times Z}}$ adapted to L_Y, L_Z with $a_Y + a_Z = a_{Y \times Z}$, and $j_{V, k}: \mathrm{rel.int} S_{V, k} \rightarrow V$ ($V = Y, Z, Y \times Z$) is an inclusion. We shall compute each

$$\mathcal{H}^{m_Y}(j_{Y, k}^* \mathrm{Fil}_*^{\Lambda_Y} IC_{\mathrm{Trop, sheaf}, Y}^{d_Y - p_Y, *})(\min \mathrm{pr}_{\Lambda_Y} a_Y) \boxtimes \mathcal{H}^{m_Z}(j_{Z, k}^* \mathrm{Fil}_*^{\Lambda_Z} IC_{\mathrm{Trop, sheaf}, Z}^{d_Z - p_Z, *})(\min \mathrm{pr}_{\Lambda_Z} a_Z). \quad (6.1)$$

When

$$m \geq -p_{Y \times Z} - \dim S_{Y \times Z, k} - 1 + \epsilon(\sigma_{S_{Y \times Z, k}}),$$

we have

$$m_V \geq -p_V - \dim S_{V, k} - 1 + \epsilon(\sigma_{S_{V, k}})$$

for $V = Y$ or Z , hence (6.1) is 0. We assume

$$\begin{aligned} & \max \left\{ -p_{Y \times Z} - d_{Y \times Z} - \epsilon(\sigma_{S_{Y \times Z, k}}), m_{p_{Y \times Z}, S_{Y \times Z, k}} - a_{Y \times Z, S_{Y \times Z, k}} \right\} + 1 \\ & \leq m \leq -p_{Y \times Z} - \dim S_{Y \times Z, k} - 2 + \epsilon(\sigma_{S_{Y \times Z, k}}), \end{aligned}$$

and shall show that (6.1) is contained in

$$\mathcal{H}^m(j_{Y \times Z, k}^* \mathrm{Fil}_*^{\Lambda_Y} IC_{\mathrm{Trop, sheaf}, Y}^{d_Y - p_Y, *} \overset{L}{\boxtimes} \mathrm{Fil}_*^{\Lambda_Z} IC_{\mathrm{Trop, sheaf}, Z}^{d_Z - p_Z, *})(a_{Y \times Z}(S_{Y \times Z, k} = m_{p_{Y \times Z}, S_{Y \times Z, k}} - m)) \quad (6.2)$$

up to $L_{Y \times Z}$ -local isomorphisms. We may assume that $m_V \geq -p_V - d_V$ for both $V = Y, Z$ since otherwise (6.1) is 0. Moreover, we have

$$-p_V - d_V - \epsilon(\sigma_{S_{V, k}}) + 1 \leq m_V$$

for $V = Y$ or Z . We assume that this holds for Z . When Y also satisfies this inequality, since

$$m_{p_{Y \times Z}, S_{Y \times Z, k}} - m = m_{p_Y, S_{Y, k}} - m_Y + m_{p_Z, S_{Z, k}} - m_Z + 1,$$

by Axiom A_{p_V} at $S_{V, k}$ for $\mathrm{Fil}_*^{\Lambda_V} IC_{\mathrm{Trop, sheaf}, V}^{d_V - p_V, *}$ ($V = Y, Z$), (6.1) is contained in (6.2). Hence we may assume that Y does not satisfy the inequality, i.e., $\epsilon(\sigma_{S_{Y, k}}) = 0$ and $m_Y = -p_Y - d_Y$. In this case,

$$m_{p_Y, S_{Y, k}} - m_Y + 1 = -p_Y - \dim S_{Y, k} - \dim \sigma_{S_{Y, k}} + d_Y.$$

Hence by the first inequality in Remark 3.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{H}^{m_{V_1}}(j_{V_1,k}^* \text{Fil}_*^{\Lambda_{V_1}} IC_{\text{Trop,sheaf},V_1}^{d_{V_1}-p_{V_1},*})(\min \text{pr}_{\Lambda_{V_1}} a_{V_1}) \\ & \subset \mathcal{H}^{m_{V_1}}(j_{V_1,k}^* \text{Fil}_*^{\Lambda_{V_1}} IC_{\text{Trop,sheaf},V_1}^{d_{V_1}-p_{V_1},*})(\min \text{pr}_{\Lambda_{V_1}} a_{V_1}(S_{V_1,k} = m_{p_{V_1},S_{V_1,k}} - m_{V_1} + 1)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence by Axiom A_{p_Z} at $S_{Z,k}$ for $\text{Fil}_*^{\Lambda_Z} IC_{\text{Trop,sheaf},Z}^{d_Z-p_Z,*}$, (6.1) is contained in (6.2). Thus

$$\text{Fil}_*^{\Lambda_Y} IC_{\text{Trop,sheaf},Y}^{d_Y-p_Y,*} \overset{L}{\boxtimes} \text{Fil}_*^{\Lambda_Z} IC_{\text{Trop,sheaf},Z}^{d_Z-p_Z,*} |_{U_Y \times Z,k}$$

satisfies Axiom A_r (1) at $S_{Y \times Z,k}$, and we have finished proof. \square

Proposition 6.3. *We have a natural LG-quasi-isomorphism*

$$\text{Fil}_*^{\Lambda} IC_{\text{Trop,geom},X}^{p,*} \cong_{LG} \text{Fil}_*^{\Lambda} IC_{\text{Trop,sheaf},X}^{p,*}$$

in $D_{c,[-\text{gr}(X),0],LG}^b(X, \text{grMod } \mathbb{Q}[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})$.

Proof. We prove the existence of the LG-quasi-isomorphism on U_k by induction on

$$r := r(k, X) := \max \{ \dim \sigma \mid U_k \cap N_{\sigma, \mathbb{R}} \neq \emptyset \}.$$

We may assume that $\dim \sigma_{S_i} \leq r$ for $i \leq k$ and $\dim \sigma_{S_k} = r$. When $r = 0$, i.e., $U_k \subset N_{\mathbb{R}}$, by induction on k , the assertion follows from Proposition 3.27, Lemma 5.6 (and Definition of $\text{Fil}_*^{\Lambda} \mathcal{F}_{X_{\text{sm}}}^{p,w}$), and Proposition 5.17. We assume that $r \geq 1$. By induction on k and Proposition 3.27, we have a morphism

$$\text{Fil}_*^{\Lambda} IC_{\text{Trop,geom},X}^{p,*} |_{U_k} \rightarrow \text{Fil}_*^{\Lambda} IC_{\text{Trop,sheaf},X}^{p,*} |_{U_k} \quad (6.3)$$

in $D_{c,[-\text{gr}(X),0],LG}^b(U_k, \text{grMod } \mathbb{Q}[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})$ which is an LG-quasi-isomorphism on U_{k-1} . A small neighborhood of $X \cap N_{\sigma_{S_k}} \subset X$ can be identified with that of

$$\{\infty\} \times \{(\infty)^{r-1}\} \times (X \cap N_{\sigma_{S_k}, \mathbb{R}}) \subset \text{Trop}(\mathbb{A}^1) \times \text{Trop}(\mathbb{A}^{r-1}) \times (X \cap N_{\sigma_{S_k}, \mathbb{R}}),$$

where $X \cap N_{\sigma_{S_k}, \mathbb{R}}$ has a natural structure of a tropical variety (Remark 3.20). Then by the case of $X = \text{Trop}(\mathbb{A}^1)$ (Example 2.8), which follows from easy computation and Proposition 5.17, hypothesis of induction to $\text{Trop}(\mathbb{A}^{r-1}) \times (X \cap N_{\sigma_{S_k}, \mathbb{R}})$, and Künneth type formula (Lemma 3.21 and Proposition 6.2), the morphism (6.3) is an LG-quasi-isomorphism on U_k . \square

In particular, we have a natural isomorphism $IH_{\text{Trop,geom},\epsilon}^{p,q}(X) \cong IH_{\text{Trop,sheaf},\epsilon}^{p,q}(X)$ ($\epsilon = \emptyset, c$). We simply denote them by $IH_{\text{Trop},\epsilon}^{p,q}(X)$ (or $IH_{\text{Trop},\epsilon}^{p,q}(X; \mathbb{Q})$).

6.2. Comparison to tropical cohomology. In this subsection, we give a natural morphism $H_{\text{Trop}}^{p,q}(X; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow IH_{\text{Trop}}^{p,q}(X; \mathbb{Q})$ from tropical cohomology $H_{\text{Trop}}^{p,q}(X; \mathbb{Q})$, introduced by Itenberg-Katzarkov-Mikhalkin-Zharkov [IKMZ19], and show that it is an isomorphism when Poincaré-Verdier duality holds for tropical cohomology. Let M be a free \mathbb{Z} -module of finite rank such that $\text{Spec } K[M] \subset T_{\Sigma}$ is the dense torus, where K is the base field.

Definition 6.4. For $R \in \Lambda$, we put

$$F^p(R) := \bigwedge^p (M \cap \sigma_R^{\perp}) \otimes \mathbb{Q} / \bigcap_{\substack{P \in \Lambda_{\text{sm},d} \\ R \subset P}} \text{Ker} \left(\bigwedge^p (M \cap \sigma_R^{\perp}) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \bigwedge^p \text{Hom}(\text{Tan}_{\mathbb{Q}} P, \mathbb{Q}) \right).$$

For $R_1, R_2 \in \Lambda$ with $R_1 \subset R_2$, the inclusion $M \cap \sigma_{R_1}^\perp \hookrightarrow M \cap \sigma_{R_2}^\perp$ induces a map $F^p(R_1) \rightarrow F^p(R_2)$. We put $\mathcal{F}^p = \mathcal{F}_X^p$ a constructible sheaf on X given by $\mathcal{F}^p|_{\text{rel.int } R} = \underline{F^p(R)}_{\text{rel.int } R}$ ($R \in \Lambda$) and natural maps $F^p(R_1) \rightarrow F^p(R_2)$ ($R_1, R_2 \in \Lambda$ with $R_1 \subset R_2$).

Tropical homology $H_{p,q}^{\text{Trop,BM}}(X; \mathbb{Q})$ (resp. cohomology $H_{\text{Trop},\epsilon}^{p,q}(X; \mathbb{Q})$ ($\epsilon = \emptyset, c$)) is defined as homology (resp. cohomology) of singular or cellular chains (resp. cochains) with coefficients in the dual of F^p (resp. F^p -coefficients) ([IKMZ19]). Tropical homology (resp. cohomology) is canonically isomorphic to sheaf cohomology of Verdier dual of \mathcal{F}^p ([GS23, Theorem, 4.20]) (resp. sheaf cohomology of \mathcal{F}^p ([MZ14, Section 12.4])), i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} H_{p,q}^{\text{Trop,BM}}(X; \mathbb{Q}) &\cong H^{-p-q}(R\Gamma D(\mathcal{F}_X^p[2d-p]_{\text{deg}})[2d]_{\text{deg}}) \\ &\cong H^{-p-q}(\Gamma \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F}_X^p[2d-p]_{\text{deg}}, \Delta_X^{\Lambda,*})[2d]_{\text{deg}}) \\ H_{\text{Trop},\epsilon}^{p,q}(X; \mathbb{Q}) &\cong H^{q-2d+p}(R\Gamma_\epsilon \mathcal{F}_X^p[2d-p]_{\text{deg}}), \end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta_X^{\Lambda,*}$ is the complex of sheaves of singular simplexes with \mathbb{Q} -coefficients respecting Λ ([GS23, Appendix A]), and the second isomorphism is given in proof of [GS23, Theorem, 4.20].

There is a natural injective morphism $\mathcal{F}^p|_{X_{\text{sm}}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{X_{\text{sm}}}^{p,w}$, whose restriction to $\text{rel.int } P$ ($P \in \Lambda_{\text{sm},d}$) is a natural isomorphism of constant sheaves given by $\bigwedge^p M \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \bigwedge^p \text{Hom}(\text{Tan}_{\mathbb{Q}} P, \mathbb{Q})$. For $a \in \mathbb{Z}^\Lambda$, we define a sheaf $\text{Fil}_*^\Lambda \mathcal{F}^p(a)$ on X by defining $\text{Fil}_*^\Lambda \mathcal{F}^p(a)(U)$ as the inverse image of $\text{Fil}_*^\Lambda \mathcal{F}_{X_{\text{sm}}}^{p,w}(a)(U \cap X_{\text{sm}})$ for open subsets $U \subset X$. Then inclusion morphisms give

$$\text{Fil}_*^\Lambda \mathcal{F}^p := \bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{Z}^\Lambda} \text{Fil}_*^\Lambda \mathcal{F}^p(a) \in \text{Shv}(X, \text{grMod } \mathbb{Q}[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda}).$$

Axiom A_{d-p} (1) at S_k for $\text{Fil}_*^\Lambda \mathcal{F}^p[2d-p]_{\text{deg}}$ holds trivially when $\dim \sigma_{S_k} = 0$ and easily follows from definition when $\dim \sigma_{S_k} \geq 1$. Hence by Corollary 4.59, we have a natural morphism

$$\varphi^p: \text{Fil}_*^\Lambda \mathcal{F}^p[2d-p]_{\text{deg}} \rightarrow \text{Fil}_*^\Lambda IC_{\text{Trop,sheaf}}^{p,*}$$

in $D_{c,[-\text{gr}(X),0],LG}^b(X, \text{grMod } \mathbb{Q}[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})$.

We recall cap product given in [GS23, Subsection 4.6]. The fundamental class $[X] \in IH_{d,d}^{\text{Trop,BM}}(X)$ in Subsection 5.1 gives an element

$$H_{d,d}^{\text{Trop,BM}}(X; \mathbb{Q}) \cong H^0(\Gamma \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F}_X^d[d]_{\text{deg}}, \Delta_X^{\Lambda,*})) \cong \text{Hom}_{K^b(X, \text{Mod } \mathbb{Q})}(\mathcal{F}_X^d[d]_{\text{deg}}, \Delta_X^{\Lambda,*}).$$

It is also denoted by $[X]$. We put $[X] \cap -: H_{\text{Trop},c}^{d,d}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ the induced morphism. Using singular cochains with F^d -coefficients, the cap product $[X] \cap -: H_{\text{Trop},c}^{d,d}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ is given by the natural pairing of singular cochains with F^d -coefficients and singular chains with coefficients in the dual of F^d -coefficients. The wedge product of $\bigwedge^p(M \cap \sigma_R^\perp) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ ($R \in \Lambda$) induces a morphism

$$- \wedge -: (\mathcal{F}^p[2d-p]_{\text{deg}} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{d-p}[d+p]_{\text{deg}})[-2d]_{\text{deg}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^d[d]_{\text{deg}},$$

which induces a morphism

$$- \cup -: H_{\text{Trop}}^{p,q}(X) \otimes H_{\text{Trop},c}^{d-p,d-q}(X) \rightarrow H_{\text{Trop}}^{d,d}(X).$$

Composition of $- \wedge -$ with $[X] \cap -: \mathcal{F}^d[d]_{\text{deg}} \rightarrow \Delta_X^{\Lambda,*}$ induces a morphism

$$\begin{aligned} [X] \cap -: \mathcal{F}^p[2d-p]_{\text{deg}} &\rightarrow \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F}^{d-p}[d+p]_{\text{deg}}, \Delta_X^{\Lambda,*})[2d]_{\text{deg}} \\ &\cong D(\mathcal{F}^{d-p}[d+p]_{\text{deg}})[2d]_{\text{deg}}, \end{aligned}$$

where the quasi-isomorphism is given by [GS23, Proposition A.9]. We also denote the induced morphism on cohomology groups by $[X] \cap -$. Then by construction, we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{\text{Trop}}^{p,q}(X; \mathbb{Q}) & \times & H_{\text{Trop},c}^{d-p,d-q}(X; \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{[X] \cap (-\cup -)} \mathbb{Q} \\ \downarrow [X] \cap - & & \downarrow = \\ H_{d-p,d-q}^{\text{Trop,BM}}(X; \mathbb{Q}) & \times & H_{\text{Trop},c}^{d-p,d-q}(X; \mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q} \end{array}$$

Similarly to Lemma 5.13, for $L = (S_{L,1} \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq S_{L,r(L)})$ ($S_{L,i} \in \Lambda$, $r(L) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$) and $c = (c_{S_{L,i}})_{S_{L,i} \in \{S_{L,i}\}_{i=1}^{r(L)}} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\{S_{L,i}\}_{i=1}^{r(L)}}$, the cap product $[X] \cap -$ gives a morphism

$$\text{Fil}_*^L \mathcal{F}^p(c - \text{gr}(X)|_{\{S_{L,i}\}_{i=1}^{r(L)}}|_{W_L}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{-d}(\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F}^{d-p}|_{W_L} / \sum_{i=1}^{r(L)} \text{Fil}_{S_{L,i}}^{c_{S_{L,i}}+1} \mathcal{F}^{d-p}|_{W_L}, \Delta_X^{\Lambda,*}|_{W_L})),$$

where $\text{Fil}_*^L \mathcal{F}^p$ and $\text{Fil}_{S_{L,i}}^{c_{S_{L,i}}+1} \mathcal{F}^{d-p}$ are defined similarly to those for $\mathcal{F}_{X_{\text{sm}}}^{*,w}$ (Subsection (5.1)). Hence by isomorphism ((5.1)) in proof of Lemma (5.11) for \mathcal{F}^{d-p} instead of $\mathcal{F}_{X_{\text{sm}}}^{d-p,w}$ and $E = \Delta_X^{\Lambda,*}$, we have a morphism

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Fil}_*^{\Lambda} \mathcal{F}^p[2d-p]_{\text{deg}} &\rightarrow \text{gr} \mathcal{H}om(\text{Fil}_*^{\Lambda} \mathcal{F}^{d-p}[d+p]_{\text{deg}}, \bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\Lambda}} \Delta_X^{\Lambda,*}[2d]_{\text{deg}}[\text{gr}(X)]_{\text{gr}}) \\ &\rightarrow D(\text{Fil}_*^{\Lambda} \mathcal{F}^{d-p}[d+p]_{\text{deg}})[2d]_{\text{deg}}[\text{gr}(X)]_{\text{gr}}, \end{aligned}$$

where the second morphism is given by Lemma 8.17.

Lemma 6.5. *We have a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Fil}_*^{\Lambda} \mathcal{F}^p[2d-p]_{\text{deg}} & \longrightarrow & D(\text{Fil}_*^{\Lambda} \mathcal{F}^{d-p}[d+p]_{\text{deg}})[2d]_{\text{deg}}[\text{gr}(X)]_{\text{gr}} \\ \downarrow \varphi^p & & \downarrow \\ \text{Fil}_*^{\Lambda} IC_{\text{Trop,sheaf}}^{p,*} & \longrightarrow & D(\text{Fil}_*^{\Lambda} IC_{\text{Trop,sheaf}}^{d-p,*})[2d]_{\text{deg}}[\text{gr}(X)]_{\text{gr}} \\ & & \uparrow \\ & & Ri_{X_{\text{sm}} \hookrightarrow X,*} D(\text{Fil}_*^{\Lambda} \mathcal{F}^{d-p}|_{X_{\text{sm}}}[d+p]_{\text{deg}})[2d]_{\text{deg}}[\text{gr}(X)]_{\text{gr}} \end{array}$$

in $D_{c,[-\text{gr}(X),0],LG}^b(X, \text{grMod } \mathbb{Q}[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})$ whose morphisms in the second column gives a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D(\text{Fil}_*^{\Lambda} \mathcal{F}^{d-p}[d+p]_{\text{deg}})[2d]_{\text{deg}}[\text{gr}(X)]_{\text{gr}} & & \\ \uparrow & \searrow & \\ D(\varphi^{d-p})[2d]_{\text{deg}}[\text{gr}(X)]_{\text{gr}} & \longrightarrow & Ri_{X_{\text{sm}} \hookrightarrow X,*} D(\text{Fil}_*^{\Lambda} \mathcal{F}^{d-p}|_{X_{\text{sm}}}[d+p]_{\text{deg}})[2d]_{\text{deg}}[\text{gr}(X)]_{\text{gr}}, \\ \uparrow & \nearrow & \\ D(\text{Fil}_*^{\Lambda} IC_{\text{Trop,sheaf}}^{d-p,*})[2d]_{\text{deg}}[\text{gr}(X)]_{\text{gr}} & & \end{array}$$

where $i_{X_{\text{sm}} \hookrightarrow X}: X_{\text{sm}} \hookrightarrow X$ is the open embedding.

Proof. The commutativity on U_1 follows from construction, and that on U_k ($k \geq 2$) holds by induction on k . \square

Lemma 6.6. *The natural map*

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Hom}_{D_{c,[-\text{gr}(X),0],LG}^b(X, \text{grMod } \mathbb{Q}[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})}(\text{Fil}_*^\Lambda \mathcal{F}^p, D(\text{Fil}_*^\Lambda \mathcal{F}^{d-p})[-d]_{\text{deg}}[\text{gr}(X)]_{\text{gr}}) \\ & \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{D_{c,[-\text{gr}(X),0],LG}^b(X, \text{grMod } \mathbb{Q}[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})}(\text{Fil}_*^\Lambda \mathcal{F}^p, Ri_{X_{\text{sm}} \hookrightarrow X,*} D(\text{Fil}_*^\Lambda \mathcal{F}^{d-p}|_{X_{\text{sm}}})[-d]_{\text{deg}}[\text{gr}(X)]_{\text{gr}}) \end{aligned}$$

is injective.

Proof. It suffices to show that for any $k \geq 2$, the natural map

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Hom}_{D_{c,[-\text{gr}(X),0],LG}^b(U_k, \text{grMod } \mathbb{Q}[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})}(\text{Fil}_*^\Lambda \mathcal{F}^p|_{U_k}, D(\text{Fil}_*^\Lambda \mathcal{F}^{d-p}|_{U_k})[-d]_{\text{deg}}[\text{gr}(X)]_{\text{gr}}) \\ & \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{D_{c,[-\text{gr}(X),0],LG}^b(U_{k-1}, \text{grMod } \mathbb{Q}[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})}(\text{Fil}_*^\Lambda \mathcal{F}^p|_{U_{k-1}}, D(\text{Fil}_*^\Lambda \mathcal{F}^{d-p}|_{U_{k-1}})[-d]_{\text{deg}}[\text{gr}(X)]_{\text{gr}}) \end{aligned}$$

is injective. By applying $j_{k*} j_k^! \rightarrow \text{Id} \rightarrow Ri_{k*} i_k^* \rightarrow [1]$ to $D(\text{Fil}_*^\Lambda \mathcal{F}^{d-p}|_{U_k})[-d]_{\text{deg}}[\text{gr}(X)]_{\text{gr}}$, it suffices to show that

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Hom}_{D_{c,[-\text{gr}(X),0],LG}^b(U_k, \text{grMod } \mathbb{Q}[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})}(j_k^* \text{Fil}_*^\Lambda \mathcal{F}^p|_{U_k}, j_k^! D(\text{Fil}_*^\Lambda \mathcal{F}^{d-p}|_{U_k})[-d]_{\text{deg}}[\text{gr}(X)]_{\text{gr}}) \\ & \cong \text{Hom}_{D_{c,[-\text{gr}(X),0],LG}^b(U_k, \text{grMod } \mathbb{Q}[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})}(j_k^* \text{Fil}_*^\Lambda \mathcal{F}^p|_{U_k}, D(j_k^* \text{Fil}_*^\Lambda \mathcal{F}^{d-p}|_{U_k})[-d]_{\text{deg}}[\text{gr}(X)]_{\text{gr}}) \end{aligned}$$

is 0. This holds since

$$\mathcal{H}^m(D(j_k^* \text{Fil}_*^\Lambda \mathcal{F}^{d-p}|_{U_k})[-d]_{\text{deg}}) = 0$$

for $m \neq d - \dim S_k$ and $d - \dim S_k \geq 1$. \square

As a direct corollary of the above two lemmas, we have the following.

Corollary 6.7. *We have a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Fil}_*^\Lambda \mathcal{F}^p[2d-p]_{\text{deg}} & \longrightarrow & D(\text{Fil}_*^\Lambda \mathcal{F}^{d-p}[d+p]_{\text{deg}})[2d]_{\text{deg}}[\text{gr}(X)]_{\text{gr}} \\ \downarrow \varphi^p & & \uparrow D(\varphi^{d-p})[2d]_{\text{deg}}[\text{gr}(X)]_{\text{gr}} \\ \text{Fil}_*^\Lambda IC_{\text{Trop, sheaf}}^{p,*} & \longrightarrow & D(\text{Fil}_*^\Lambda IC_{\text{Trop, sheaf}}^{d-p,*})[2d]_{\text{deg}}[\text{gr}(X)]_{\text{gr}} \end{array}$$

in $D_{c,[-\text{gr}(X),0],LG}^b(X, \text{grMod } \mathbb{Q}[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda})$.

In particular, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{\text{Trop}}^{p,q}(X; \mathbb{Q}) & \xrightarrow{[X] \cap -} & H_{d-p, d-q}^{\text{Trop, BM}}(X; \mathbb{Q}) \\ \downarrow \varphi^p & & \uparrow D(\varphi^{d-p})[2d]_{\text{deg}} \\ IH_{\text{Trop}}^{p,q}(X; \mathbb{Q}) & \xrightarrow{=} & IH_{\text{Trop}}^{p,q}(X; \mathbb{Q}) \end{array} \quad (6.4)$$

Corollary 6.8. *We have a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{\text{Trop}}^{p,q}(X; \mathbb{Q}) & \times & H_{\text{Trop},c}^{d-p,d-q}(X; \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{[X] \cap (-\cup -)} \mathbb{Q} \\ \downarrow \varphi^p & & \downarrow \varphi^{d-p} \quad \downarrow = \\ IH_{\text{Trop}}^{p,q}(X; \mathbb{Q}) & \times & IH_{\text{Trop},c}^{d-p,d-q}(X; \mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q} \end{array} .$$

Proof. The assertion follows from commutative diagram (6.4) and the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{\text{Trop}}^{p,q}(X; \mathbb{Q}) & \times & H_{\text{Trop},c}^{d-p,d-q}(X; \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{[X] \cap (-\cup -)} \mathbb{Q} \\ \downarrow [X] \cap - & & \downarrow = \quad \downarrow = \\ H_{d-p,d-q}^{\text{Trop,BM}}(X; \mathbb{Q}) & \times & H_{\text{Trop},c}^{d-p,d-q}(X; \mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q} \\ \uparrow D(\varphi^{d-p})[2d]_{\text{deg}} & & \downarrow \varphi^{d-p} \quad \downarrow = \\ IH_{\text{Trop}}^{p,q}(X; \mathbb{Q}) & \times & IH_{\text{Trop},c}^{d-p,d-q}(X; \mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q} \end{array} .$$

□

In the rest of this subsection, we assume that Poincaré-Verdier duality holds for tropical cohomology of X , i.e., the cap product $[X] \cap -$ induces a quasi-isomorphism $\mathcal{F}^p \cong D(\mathcal{F}^{d-p})[-d]_{\text{deg}}$ ($0 \leq p \leq d$) on X (e.g, X is smooth (i.e., locally matroidal) (Jell-Shaw-Smacka ([JSS19, Theorem 2]), Gross-Shokrieh ([GS23, Theorem D])) (cf. [AP24a, Theorem 1.2 and 1.3])).

Lemma 6.9. *The isomorphism $\mathcal{F}^p \cong D(\mathcal{F}^{d-p})[-d]_{\text{deg}}$ induces an LG-quasi-isomorphism*

$$\text{Fil}_*^\Lambda \mathcal{F}^p[2d-p]_{\text{deg}} \cong D(\text{Fil}_*^\Lambda \mathcal{F}^{d-p}[d+p]_{\text{deg}})[2d]_{\text{deg}}[\text{gr}(X)]_{\text{gr}}.$$

Proof. Proof can be given in the same way as Proposition 5.15. (In particular, analogs of Lemma 5.8 and Lemma 5.13 hold for \mathcal{F}^* instead of $\mathcal{F}_{X_{\text{sm}}}^{*,w}$.) □

Proposition 6.10. *When $\mathcal{F}^p \cong D(\mathcal{F}^{d-p})[-d]_{\text{deg}}$, the morphism*

$$\varphi^p: \text{Fil}_*^\Lambda \mathcal{F}^p[2d-p]_{\text{deg}} \rightarrow \text{Fil}_*^\Lambda IC_{\text{Trop,sheaf}}^{p,*}$$

is an LG-quasi-isomorphism.

Proof. We prove the assertion on U_k by induction on $k \geq 1$. When $k = 1$, the assertion easily follows from direct computation (see proof of Lemma 5.4). We assume $k \geq 2$. Similarly to Lemma 5.8, the sheaf $\mathcal{F}^p|_{W_L}$ has a decomposition. In particular, an analog of Corollary 5.25 holds for $\text{Fil}_*^\Lambda \mathcal{F}^p[2d-p]_{\text{deg}}$. Since $\text{Fil}_*^\Lambda \mathcal{F}^p[2d-p]_{\text{deg}}|_{U_k}$ and $\text{Fil}_*^\Lambda \mathcal{F}^{d-p}[d+p]_{\text{deg}}|_{U_k}$ satisfy Axiom $A_p(1)$, by Lemma 6.1 and Lemma 6.9, the assertion holds on U_k . □

In particular, we have a quasi-isomorphism $\varphi^p: \mathcal{F}^p[2d-p]_{\text{deg}} \cong IC_{\text{Trop,sheaf}}^{p,*}$ on X , and we have

$$H_{\text{Trop},\epsilon}^{p,q}(X; \mathbb{Q}) \cong IH_{\text{Trop},\epsilon}^{p,q}(X; \mathbb{Q}), \quad H_{p,q}^{\text{Trop,BM}}(X; \mathbb{Q}) \cong IH_{p,q}^{\text{Trop,BM}}(X; \mathbb{Q}).$$

6.3. Independence of polyhedral structures. Let Λ' be a subdivision of Λ . We put $w' = (w'_{P'})_{P' \in \Lambda_d}$ and $w'_{P'} := w_P$, where $P \in \Lambda_d$ is the unique polyhedron containing P' . Then $X' := (\Lambda', w')$ is a tropical variety of dimension d in $\text{Trop}(T_\Sigma)$. We have $X = X' \subset \text{Trop}(T_\Sigma)$ as subsets (by abuse of notation). We assume that X' is also regular at infinity. In this subsection, we shall show that there is a natural quasi-isomorphism

$$IC_{\text{Trop, sheaf}, X}^{d-p,*} \cong IC_{\text{Trop, sheaf}, X'}^{d-p,*}.$$

We put $X'_{\text{sm}} := U'_1 := \bigcup_{R' \in \Lambda'_{\text{sm}}} \text{rel.int } R'$. We define

$$\text{Fil}_*^{\Lambda'} \mathcal{F}_{X'_{\text{sm}}}^{d-p,w} \in \text{Shv}(X'_{\text{sm}}, \text{grMod } \mathbb{Q}[T_{S'}]_{S' \in \Lambda'})$$

similarly to $\text{Fil}_*^\Lambda \mathcal{F}_{X_{\text{sm}}}^{d-p,w}$. We put $\iota_{\text{sm}} : X'_{\text{sm}} \hookrightarrow X_{\text{sm}}$. We have a natural morphism

$$\iota_{\text{sm}}^* : \mathcal{F}_{X'_{\text{sm}}}^{d-p,w} \rightarrow \iota_{\text{sm}*} \mathcal{F}_{X'_{\text{sm}}}^{d-p,w} (\cong \iota_{\text{sm}*} \iota_{\text{sm}}^* \mathcal{F}_{X_{\text{sm}}}^{d-p,w}).$$

For $\Lambda \cup \Lambda' \subset 2^X$, we define

$$\text{Fil}_*^{\Lambda \cup \Lambda'} \mathcal{F}_{X_{\text{sm}}}^{d-p,w} \in \text{Shv}(X_{\text{sm}}, \text{grMod } \mathbb{Q}[T_{S^\circ}]_{S^\circ \in \Lambda \cup \Lambda'})$$

by

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Fil}_*^{\Lambda \cup \Lambda'} \mathcal{F}_{X_{\text{sm}}}^{d-p,w}(a) \subset \mathcal{F}_{X_{\text{sm}}}^{d-p,w} \\ & := \text{Fil}_*^\Lambda \mathcal{F}_{X_{\text{sm}}}^{d-p,w}(a|_\Lambda) \cap (\iota_{\text{sm}}^*)^{-1}(\text{Fil}_*^{\Lambda'} \mathcal{F}_{X_{\text{sm}}}^{d-p,w}(a|_{\Lambda'})) \end{aligned}$$

($a \in \mathbb{Z}^{\Lambda \cup \Lambda'}$) and inclusions, where $-\lfloor \Xi : \mathbb{Z}^{\Lambda \cup \Lambda'} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^\Xi$ ($\Xi = \Lambda, \Lambda'$) is the projection. We put

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Fil}_*^{\Lambda \cup \Lambda'} IC_{\text{Trop, sheaf}}^{d-p,*} & := \tau_{p, S_{\# \Lambda+1}} Ri_{\# \Lambda+1} \circ \cdots \circ \tau_{p, S_2} Ri_{2*} \text{Fil}_*^{\Lambda \cup \Lambda'} \mathcal{F}_{X_{\text{sm}}}^{d-p,w}[d+p]_{\text{deg}} \\ & \in D_{c, [-\text{gr}(X, X'), 0]}^b(X, \text{grMod } \mathbb{Q}[T_{S^\circ}]_{S^\circ \in \Lambda \cup \Lambda'}), \end{aligned}$$

where τ_{p, S_i} is as in Subsection 5.2, and we put

$$\text{gr}(X, X') := \sum_{S^\circ \in \Lambda \cup \Lambda'} (\dim S^\circ + 2 \dim \sigma_{S^\circ}) e_{S^\circ} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\Lambda \cup \Lambda'}.$$

By construction, we have a quasi-isomorphism

$$\text{For}_{\Lambda \setminus \Lambda'} \text{Fil}_*^{\Lambda \cup \Lambda'} IC_{\text{Trop, sheaf}}^{d-p,*} \cong \text{Fil}_*^\Lambda IC_{\text{Trop, sheaf}, X}^{d-p,*} \in D_{c, [-\text{gr}(X), 0]}^b(X, \text{grMod } \mathbb{Q}[T_S]_{S \in \Lambda}).$$

Lemma 6.11. *There are open polyhedral neighborhoods $W'_{S'}$ of $\text{rel.int } S'$ ($S' \in \Lambda'$) such that for an adapted pair $L' = (S'_{L',1} \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq S'_{L',r(L')})$ ($S'_{L',i} \in \Lambda'$, $r(L') \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$) and $a' = (a'_{S^\circ})_{S^\circ \in \Lambda \cup \Lambda'} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\Lambda \cup \Lambda'}$ (i.e., $a'_{S^\circ} \geq 0$ for $S^\circ \in (\Lambda \cup \Lambda') \setminus \{S'_{L',i}\}_{i=1}^{r(L')}$) satisfying $\dim S'_{L',r(L')} \neq \dim S_{L'}$, we have injections*

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{H}^m((j_{S_{L'}}^\epsilon \text{Fil}_*^{\Lambda \cup \Lambda'} IC_{\text{Trop, sheaf}}^{d-p,*})|_{\text{rel.int } S_{L'} \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^{r(L')} W'_{S'_{L',i}}}) (a') \\ & \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}^m((j_{S_{L'}}^\epsilon \text{Fil}_*^{\Lambda \cup \Lambda'} IC_{\text{Trop, sheaf}}^{d-p,*})|_{\text{rel.int } S_{L'} \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^{r(L')} W'_{S'_{L',i}}}) (a'(S'_{L',r(L')} = 0, S_{L'} = a'_{S'_{L',r(L')}})) \\ & \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}^m((j_{S_{L'}}^\epsilon \text{Fil}_*^{\Lambda \cup \Lambda'} IC_{\text{Trop, sheaf}}^{d-p,*})|_{\text{rel.int } S_{L'} \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^{r(L')} W'_{S'_{L',i}}}) (a'(S'_{L',r(L')} = a'_{S'_{L',r(L')}} + \text{codim}_{S_{L'}} S'_{L',r(L')})) \end{aligned}$$

($\epsilon = *, !$), where $S_{L'} \in \Lambda$ is the polyhedron such that $\text{rel.int } S_{L'} \supset \text{rel.int } S'_{L',r(L')}$, the morphism $j_{S_{L'}}: \text{rel.int } S_{L'} \rightarrow X$ is the inclusion,

$$a'(S'_{L',r(L')} = 0, S_{L'} = a'_{S'_{L',r(L')}}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{\Lambda \cup \Lambda'}$$

is the element whose $S'_{L',r(L')}$ -component is 0, $S_{L'}$ -component is $a'_{S'_{L',r(L')}}$, and the other components are same as a' , and

$$a'(S'_{L',r(L')} = a'_{S'_{L',r(L')}} + \text{codim}_{S_{L'}} S'_{L',r(L')}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{\Lambda \cup \Lambda'}$$

is the element whose $S'_{L',r(L')}$ -component is $a'_{S'_{L',r(L')}} + \text{codim}_{S_{L'}} S'_{L',r(L')}$, and the other components are same as a' .

Proof. In the same way as Lemma 5.8, there is a decomposition

$$\text{Fil}_*^{\Lambda \supseteq S_{L'}} \mathcal{F}_{X_{\text{sm}}}^{p,w} |_{X_{\text{sm}} \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^{r(L')} W'_{S'_{L',i}}} \cong \bigoplus_{b \in \mathbb{Z} \left(\left\{ S'_{L',i} \right\}_{i=1}^{r(L')} \cup \{ S_{L'} \} \right)} \text{Fil}_*^{\Lambda \supseteq S_{L'}} \text{gr}_b \mathcal{F}_{X_{\text{sm}}}^{p,w} |_{X_{\text{sm}} \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^{r(L')} W'_{S'_{L',i}}},$$

where direct summands of the right-hand side are defined similarly to above Lemma 5.8. Then we have a decomposition of $IC_{\text{Trop, sheaf}, X}^{d-p,*} |_{\bigcap_{i=1}^{r(L')} W'_{S'_{L',i}}}$ similar to Corollary 5.24. Then cohomology groups in the assertion are direct sums of cohomology groups of direct summands, and the assertion immediately follows from direct computation. (Note that we can take $W'_{S'_{L',r(L')}} \subset W_{S_{L'}}$, since a' is adapted to L' , we have $a'_{S_{L'}} \geq 0$, and we have $\sigma_{S_{L'}} = \sigma_{S'_{L',r(L')}} \cdot$) \square

Remark 6.12. By construction, $\text{Fil}_*^{\Lambda \cup \Lambda'} IC_{\text{Trop, sheaf}}^{d-p,*}$ satisfies analogs of Axiom A_p (1) and (2) at each $S_k \in \Lambda_{\text{sing}}$ for an adapted pair $L' = (S'_{L',1} \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq S'_{L',r(L')-1} \subsetneq S_k)$ ($S'_{L',i} \in \Lambda'$) and $a^\circ \in \mathbb{Z}^{\Lambda \cup \Lambda'}$. By decompositions in proof of Lemma 6.11 and Lemma 5.21, it also satisfies an analog of Axiom A_p (2)'. \square

Proposition 6.13. *We have a natural LG-quasi-isomorphism*

$$\text{For}_{\Lambda \setminus \Lambda'} \text{Fil}_*^{\Lambda \cup \Lambda'} IC_{\text{Trop, sheaf}}^{d-p,*} \cong \text{Fil}_*^{\Lambda'} IC_{\text{Trop, sheaf}, X'}^{d-p,*}$$

in $D_{c, [-\text{gr}(X'), 0], \text{LG}}^b(X, \text{grMod } \mathbb{Q}[T_{S'}]_{S' \in \Lambda'})$.

Proof. We have a natural LG-isomorphism

$$\text{For}_{\Lambda \setminus \Lambda'} \text{Fil}_*^{\Lambda \cup \Lambda'} \mathcal{F}_{X_{\text{sm}}}^{d-p,w} |_{X'_{\text{sm}}} \cong \text{Fil}_*^{\Lambda'} \mathcal{F}_{X'_{\text{sm}}}^{d-p,w}$$

on X'_{sm} . By Proposition 5.17, it suffices to show that $\text{For}_{\Lambda \setminus \Lambda'} \text{Fil}_*^{\Lambda \cup \Lambda'} IC_{\text{Trop, sheaf}}^{d-p,*}$ satisfies Axiom A_p (1) and (2)' at each $S' \in \Lambda'_{\text{sing}}$. This follows from Remark 5.20, Lemma 6.11, and Remark 6.12 directly. (Note that for $S \in \Lambda$ and $S' \in \Lambda'$ with $\text{rel.int } S' \subset \text{rel.int } S$, we have $m_{p,S} + \text{codim}_S S' = m_{p,S'}$.) \square

In particular, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
IC_{\text{Trop, sheaf}, X}^{d-p,*} &= \text{For}_{\Lambda} \text{Fil}_{*}^{\Lambda} IC_{\text{Trop, sheaf}, X}^{d-p,*} \\
&\cong \text{For}_{\Lambda \cup \Lambda'} \text{Fil}_{*}^{\Lambda \cup \Lambda'} IC_{\text{Trop, sheaf}}^{d-p,*} \\
&\cong \text{For}_{\Lambda'} \text{Fil}_{*}^{\Lambda'} IC_{\text{Trop, sheaf}, X'}^{d-p,*} \\
&= IC_{\text{Trop, sheaf}, X'}^{d-p,*}.
\end{aligned}$$

7. ALGEBRAICITY

In this section, we shall compare tropical intersection homology and algebraic cycles with rational coefficients modulo numerical equivalence. More generally, we study tropical intersection homology of natural compactifications of tropical fans. To give examples, we shall also see the existence of good blow-ups.

Let (Λ, w) be a tropical variety of dimension d in $N_{\mathbb{R}} \cong \mathbb{R}^n$ with a unimodular fan Λ . We put T_{Λ} the smooth toric variety corresponding to Λ , and $X := \overline{(\Lambda, w)} := \overline{(\Lambda, w)}$ the closure in $\text{Trop}(T_{\Lambda})$, i.e., a tropical variety regular at infinity of dimension d with $\overline{\Lambda} := \{\overline{P} \cap \overline{N_{\lambda, \mathbb{R}}}\}_{P \in \Lambda, \lambda \in \Lambda}$, and $w_{\overline{P}} := w_P$ ($P \in \Lambda_d$). We put

$$\text{Num}_{(\Lambda, w)}^p(T_{\Lambda}) := \{\alpha \in \text{CH}^p(T_{\Lambda}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \mid (\Lambda, w)(\alpha \cdot \beta) = 0 \ (\beta \in \text{CH}^{d-p}(T_{\Lambda}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q})\},$$

where $\alpha \cdot \beta$ is intersection product of $\text{CH}^*(T_{\Lambda}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$, and (Λ, w) is considered as a Minkowski weight $(\Lambda, w) \in \text{MW}_d(\Lambda) \cong \text{Hom}(\text{CH}^d(T_{\Lambda}), \mathbb{Z})$. See [CLS11] for toric geometry.

Amini-Piquerez ([AP24b, Theorem 1.1]) proved that there is an isomorphism $\text{CH}^p(T_{\Lambda}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \cong H_{\text{Trop}}^{p,p}(X; \mathbb{Q})$ which compatible with the pairing given by $(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto (\Lambda, w)(\alpha \cdot \beta)$ and that given by the fundamental class $[X] \cap -$ (see Subsection 6.2), and $H_{\text{Trop}}^{p,q}(X; \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ for $p \leq q - 1$. The following analog is the main result in this section.

Theorem 7.1. *For $p \geq 0$, the natural map $H_{\text{Trop}}^{p,p}(X; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow IH_{\text{Trop}}^{p,p}(X; \mathbb{Q})$ given by $\mathcal{F}_X^p[2d-p]_{\text{deg}} \rightarrow IC_{\text{Trop}, X}^{p,*}$ (see Subsection 6.2) induces an isomorphism*

$$\text{CH}^p(T_{\Lambda}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} / \text{Num}_{(\Lambda, w)}^p(T_{\Lambda}) \cong IH_{\text{Trop}}^{p,p}(X; \mathbb{Q}),$$

and we have $IH_{\text{Trop}}^{p,q}(X; \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ for $p \neq q$. Moreover, the pairing of $IH_{\text{Trop}}^{p,p}(X; \mathbb{Q})$ coincides with that of $\text{CH}^p(T_{\Lambda}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} / \text{Num}_{(\Lambda, w)}^p(T_{\Lambda})$ given by $(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto (\Lambda, w)(\alpha \cdot \beta)$.

Proof. The assertion directly follows from Corollary 6.8, Lemma 7.5, Lemma 7.8, and Lemma 7.10. \square

Remark 7.2. To prove Theorem 7.1, by Poincaré duality (Corollary 5.27) and the isomorphism $\text{CH}^p(T_{\Lambda}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \cong H_{\text{Trop}}^{p,p}(X; \mathbb{Q})$ ([AP24b, Theorem 1.1]) of Amini-Piquerez, it suffices to show that the natural map $H_{\text{Trop}}^{p,p}(X; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow IH_{\text{Trop}}^{p,p}(X; \mathbb{Q})$ is surjective, and $IH_{\text{Trop}}^{p,q}(X; \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ for $p \leq q - 1$. However, computations of $H_{\text{Trop}}^{p,p}(X; \mathbb{Q})$ in Amini-Piquerez's paper ([AP24b, Subsection 2.4, 2.5, 2.7]) is elementary but complicated. For readers convenience, we prove Theorem 7.1 without directly using Amini-Piquerez's isomorphism $\text{CH}^p(T_{\Lambda}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \cong H_{\text{Trop}}^{p,p}(X; \mathbb{Q})$ but using an essentially same (but technically different) method.

Corollary 7.3. *Let T_{Σ} be a smooth toric variety over a field, and $Y \subset T_{\Sigma}$ a Schön subvariety (i.e., a smooth irreducible proper subvariety Y such that*

- irreducible components of the intersections of Y and toric divisors form simple normal crossing divisors of Y , and
- $Y \cap O(\sigma)$ ($\sigma \in \Sigma$) is non-empty, where $O(\sigma) \subset T_\Sigma$ is the torus orbit corresponding to $\sigma \in \Sigma$).

We assume that the natural morphism

$$\mathrm{CH}^*(T_\Sigma) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Num}}^*(Y) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$$

is surjective, where $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Num}}^*(Y)$ is the Chow group modulo numerical equivalence.

Then we have

$$IH_{\mathrm{Trop}}^{p,p}(\mathrm{Trop}(Y); \mathbb{Q}) \cong \begin{cases} \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Num}}^*(Y) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} & (p = q) \\ 0 & (p \neq q) \end{cases},$$

where $\mathrm{Trop}(Y) \subset \mathrm{Trop}(T_\Sigma)$ is the tropicalization with respect to the trivial valuation of the base field (see [GRW17, Subsection 3.3]).

Proof. By [KP11, Lemma 2.3], we have $\mathrm{Trop}(\varphi(Y)) = \overline{\deg(\varphi(Y) \cdot -)}$, where $\deg(\varphi(Y) \cdot -) \in \mathrm{MW}_{\dim Y}(\Sigma)$ is the Minkowski weight, considered as a tropical fan, given by intersection product with $\varphi(Y)$. (Note that the definition of tropicalizations used in [loc.cit.] coincides with the one in [GRW17, Subsection 3.3] by [Gub13, Theorem 5.6]). Then the assertion easily follows from projection formula and Theorem 7.1. \square

Example 7.4. For a smooth irreducible projective variety Y_0 over an infinite field, there is a blow-up $Y \rightarrow Y_0$ with a closed immersion $Y \hookrightarrow T_\Sigma$ to a smooth toric variety T_Σ such that $Y \subset T_\Sigma$ is Schön and satisfies the assumption of Corollary 7.3.

This can be given in the following way. By Proposition 7.12 (which will be proved later in this section), there exists a composition $Y \rightarrow Y_0$ of blow-ups at smooth centers such that $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Num}}^*(Y) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ is generated by the Chern classes of (finitely many) very ample line bundles L_i on Y as a \mathbb{Q} -algebra. Let $Y \hookrightarrow \prod_i \mathbb{P}(L_i)$ be the product of the closed immersions to the projective spaces given by L_i . We fix a generic structure of a toric variety of each $\mathbb{P}(L_i)$, and hence fix a structure of a smooth projective toric variety of $\prod_i \mathbb{P}(L_i)$, denoted by T_{Σ_1} . Then by Bertini's theorem the pull-back of toric divisors of T_{Σ_1} is simple normal crossing divisors of Y . Hence $Y \subset T_\Sigma$ is a required one, where $T_\Sigma \subset T_{\Sigma_1}$ is the minimal open toric subvariety containing Y .

We shall prove lemmas (Lemma 7.5, Lemma 7.8, and Lemma 7.10) for Theorem 7.1. Proof of Theorem 7.1 is similar to [AP24b, Theorem 1.1]. We shall use a spectral sequence for $IH_{\mathrm{Trop}}^{*,*}$ which is an analog of the one for tropical cohomology used there, and is similar to the one used to show algebraicity of singular cohomology of smooth projective toric varieties ([CLS11, Section 12.3] and [Tot14, Theorem 4]). It is also similar to coniveau spectral sequences (see e.g., [CTHK97]), which is used to show $\mathrm{CH}^p(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \cong H_{\mathrm{Trop}}^{p,p}(X; \mathbb{Q})$ and $H_{\mathrm{Trop}}^{p,q}(X, \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ ($p \leq q - 1$) for smooth algebraic varieties X over trivially valued fields ([Mik20]). To show compatibility of pairings, we shall use compatibility of tropical cohomology and singular cohomology of toric varieties. This can be considered as a simpler case of [IKMZ19, Theorem 1.1]. For this purpose, we shall also use the spectral sequences for tropical cohomology $H_{\mathrm{Trop}}^{*,*}$ and singular cohomology H_{sing}^* .

Let $-\log|\cdot|: T_\Lambda(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Trop}(T_\Lambda)$ be the disjoint union of maps

$$-\log|\cdot|: O(\lambda)(\mathbb{C}) = \mathrm{Hom}(M \cap \lambda^\perp, \mathbb{C}^\times) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(M \cap \lambda^\perp, \mathbb{R}) = N_{\lambda, \mathbb{R}}$$

($\lambda \in \Lambda$) given by composition with $-\log|\cdot|: \mathbb{C}^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then we get a morphism

$$\bigoplus_{s \geq 0} \mathcal{F}_{\text{Trop}(T_\Lambda)}^s[-s]_{\text{deg}} \rightarrow (-\log|\cdot|)_* C_{\text{sing}, T_\Lambda(\mathbb{C})}^*(-; \mathbb{C})$$

of complexes of sheaves on $\text{Trop}(T_\Lambda)$ given by

$$\mathcal{F}^s \ni \sum_I a_I m_{I,1} \wedge \cdots \wedge m_{I,s} \mapsto (\gamma \mapsto \left(\frac{-1}{2\pi i}\right)^s \sum_I a_I \int_\gamma d \log m_{I,1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d \log m_{I,s})$$

($a_I \in \mathbb{Q}$, $m_{I,j} \in M$), where $m_{I,j} \in M$ is also considered as meromorphic function on $T_\Lambda(\mathbb{C})$, and $C_{\text{sing}, T_\Lambda(\mathbb{C})}^*(-; R)$ is the complex of flabby sheaves of smooth singular cochains with R -coefficients. This is well-defined by definition of $\mathcal{F}_{\text{Trop}(T_\Lambda)}^s$ (see Subsection 6.2 for $\mathcal{F}_{\text{Trop}(T_\Lambda)}^s$). This gives a map

$$\bigoplus_{p+q=r} H_{\text{Trop}}^{p,q}(\text{Trop}(T_\Lambda); \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_{\text{sing}}^r(T_\Lambda(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{C}).$$

The first assertion in the following lemma is a simpler case of [IKMZ19, Theorem 1.1]. For readers convenience, we give a proof here.

Lemma 7.5. • For $r \geq 0$, the above map induces an isomorphism

$$\bigoplus_{p+q=r} H_{\text{Trop}}^{p,q}(\text{Trop}(T_\Lambda); \mathbb{Q}) \cong H_{\text{sing}}^r(T_\Lambda(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Q}).$$

Moreover, for $p, q \geq 0$, this gives isomorphisms

$$H_{\text{Trop}}^{p,q}(\text{Trop}(T_\Lambda); \mathbb{Q}) \cong \text{Gr}_{2p}^W H_{\text{sing}}^{p+q}(T_\Lambda(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Q}),$$

where $\text{Gr}_*^W H_{\text{sing}}^{p+q}(T_\Lambda(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Q})$ is the graded quotient of the weight filtration of the mixed Hodge structure.

• For $p \geq 0$, the natural map

$$H_{\text{Trop}}^{p,p}(\text{Trop}(T_\Lambda); \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow IH_{\text{Trop}}^{p,p}(X; \mathbb{Q})$$

induced by

$$\mathcal{F}_{\text{Trop}(T_\Lambda)}^p[2 \dim X - p] \rightarrow i_{X,*} \mathcal{F}_X^p[2 \dim X - p] \rightarrow i_{X,*} IC_{\text{Trop}, \text{sheaf}, X}^{p,*}$$

is surjective, and $IH_{\text{Trop}}^{p,p}(X; \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ for $p \leq q - 1$, where $i_X: X \hookrightarrow \text{Trop}(T_\Lambda)$ is an embedding.

Proof. We put

$$T^p := \bigcup_{\substack{\lambda \in \Lambda \\ \dim \lambda = p}} \overline{N_{\lambda, \mathbb{R}}} \subset \text{Trop}(T_\Lambda),$$

$i_p: \text{Trop}(T_\Lambda) \setminus T^p \hookrightarrow \text{Trop}(T_\Lambda)$ an open immersion, and $j_p: T^p \hookrightarrow \text{Trop}(T_\Lambda)$ its complement. By applying $H^*(R\Gamma -)$ and $j_{p+1*} j_{p+1}^! \rightarrow \text{Id} \rightarrow Ri_{p+1*} i_{p+1}^* \rightarrow^{[1]} \cdot$ to $j_p^* j_p^! G$ for a complex of sheaves G on $\text{Trop}(T_\Lambda)$, we have an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \dots \rightarrow H^{p+q}(R\Gamma j_{p+1}^! G) \rightarrow H^{p+q}(R\Gamma j_p^! G) \rightarrow \\ H^{p+q}(R\Gamma i_{p+1}^* j_p^* j_p^! G) \rightarrow H^{p+q+1}(R\Gamma j_{p+1}^! G) \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

This gives an exact couple

$$D^{p,q} := H^{p+q}(R\Gamma j_p^! G) \quad E^{p,q} = H^{p+q}(R\Gamma i_{p+1}^* j_{p*} j_p^! G)$$

(see [Wei94, Section 5.9] for exact couples). We get a spectral sequence

$$E_{1,G}^{p,q} \cong \bigoplus_{\substack{\lambda \in \Lambda \\ \dim \lambda = p}} H^{p+q}(R\Gamma j_\lambda^! G) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(R\Gamma G).$$

where we put $j_\lambda: N_{\lambda, \mathbb{R}} \hookrightarrow \text{Trop}(T_\Lambda)$. By Künneth formula ([GS23, Lemma 4.13] for tropical cohomology and Proposition 6.2 for tropical intersection cohomology) and easy computation for (tropical) affine line, we have

$$H^{p+q}(R\Gamma j_\lambda^! G) \cong \begin{cases} \bigoplus_{s \geq 0} H_{\text{Trop}}^{s-p, q-s}(N_{\lambda, \mathbb{R}}) & (G = \bigoplus_{s \geq 0} \mathcal{F}_{\text{Trop}(T_\Lambda)}^s[-s]_{\text{deg}}) \\ H_{\text{sing}}^{q-p}(O(\lambda)(\mathbb{C}); R)(-p) & (G = (-\log|\cdot|)_* C_{\text{sing}, T_\Lambda(\mathbb{C})}^*(-; R)) \\ IH_{\text{Trop}}^{s-p, q-s}(X \cap N_{\lambda, \mathbb{R}}) & (G = i_{X*} IC_{\text{Trop, sheaf}, X}^{s,*}[-2d]_{\text{deg}}(s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})) \end{cases},$$

where $R = \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{C}$, the intersection $X \cap N_{\lambda, \mathbb{R}}$ is endowed with the natural structure of a tropical variety (see above Proposition 3.21), and $(-p)$ is the Tate-twist of Hodge structure.

- By retractions to $0 \in N_{\lambda, \mathbb{R}}$, we have $H_{\text{Trop}}^{s-p, q-s}(N_{\lambda, \mathbb{R}}) = 0$ for $q - s \geq 1$. Hence the decomposition of $E_{1, \bigoplus_{s \geq 0} \mathcal{F}_{\text{Trop}(T_\Lambda)}^s[-s]_{\text{deg}}}^{p,q}$ into $E_{1, \mathcal{F}_{\text{Trop}(T_\Lambda)}^s[-s]_{\text{deg}}}^{p,q}$ shows that it degenerates at E_2 -pages.
- Moreover, the morphisms

$$\bigoplus_{s \geq 0} \mathcal{F}_{\text{Trop}(T_\Lambda)}^s[-s]_{\text{deg}} \rightarrow (-\log|\cdot|)_* C_{\text{sing}, T_\Lambda(\mathbb{C})}^*(-; \mathbb{C}) \leftarrow (-\log|\cdot|)_* C_{\text{sing}, T_\Lambda(\mathbb{C})}^*(-; \mathbb{Q})$$

induces an isomorphism

$$E_{1, \bigoplus_{s \geq 0} \mathcal{F}_{\text{Trop}(T_\Lambda)}^s[-s]_{\text{deg}}}^{p,q} \cong E_{1, (-\log|\cdot|)_* C_{\text{sing}, T_\Lambda(\mathbb{C})}^*(-; \mathbb{Q})}^{p,q}.$$

The first assertion follows from this. Since $H_{\text{sing}}^{q-p}(O(\lambda)(\mathbb{C}); R)(-p)$ is of pure weight $2q$, $E_{1, (-\log|\cdot|)_* C_{\text{sing}, T_\Lambda(\mathbb{C})}^*(-; R)}^{p,q}$ degenerates at E_2 -pages, and we have

$$E_{2, (-\log|\cdot|)_* C_{\text{sing}, T_\Lambda(\mathbb{C})}^*(-; \mathbb{Q})}^{p,q} \cong \text{Gr}_{2q}^W H_{\text{sing}}^{p+q}(T_\Lambda(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Q}).$$

Thus the second assertion holds.

- By Lemma 7.7 and Poincaré-Verdier duality (Corollary 5.27), we have

$$IH_{\text{Trop}}^{s-p, q-s}(X \cap N_{\lambda, \mathbb{R}}) = 0$$

for $\max\{s-p, 1\} \leq q-s$. Hence we have $E_{1, i_{X^*} IC_{\text{Trop}, \text{sheaf}, X}^{s,*}}^{p,q}[-2d]_{\text{deg}} = 0$ for $2s \leq p+q$ except for $p=q=s$. In particular, $IH_{\text{Trop}}^{s, p+q-s}(X) = 0$ for $s \leq p+q-s-1$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{1, \mathcal{F}_{\text{Trop}(T_\Lambda)}^s}^{s,s}[-s]_{\text{deg}} &\cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda, \dim \lambda = s} H_{\text{Trop}}^{0,0}(N_{\lambda, \mathbb{R}}) \\
&\cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda, \dim \lambda = s} \mathbb{Q} \\
&\cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda, \dim \lambda = s} IH_{\text{Trop}}^{0,0}(X \cap N_{\lambda, \mathbb{R}}) \\
&\cong E_{1, i_{X^*} IC_{\text{Trop}, \text{sheaf}, X}^{s,*}}^{s,s}[-2d]_{\text{deg}} \\
&\rightarrow E_{\infty, i_{X^*} IC_{\text{Trop}, \text{sheaf}, X}^{s,*}}^{s,s}[-2d]_{\text{deg}} \cong IH_{\text{Trop}}^{s,s}(X).
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$E_{1, \mathcal{F}_{\text{Trop}(T_\Lambda)}^s}^{s,s}[-s]_{\text{deg}} \rightarrow E_{2, \mathcal{F}_{\text{Trop}(T_\Lambda)}^s}^{s,s}[-s]_{\text{deg}} \cong H_{\text{Trop}}^{s,s}(\text{Trop}(T_\Lambda)),$$

the last assertion holds. \square

Remark 7.6. For $S \in \Lambda$, we define $F^{p,w}(S) := \text{Hom}(F_{p,w}(S), \mathbb{Q})$ (see Definition 3.1). Then similarly to \mathcal{F}^p (Definition 5.1), we can define a sheaf $\mathcal{F}^{p,w}$. Similarly to tropical cohomology $H_{\text{Trop}}^{p,q}(X) := H^q(X, \mathcal{F}^p)$, we define a cohomology $H_{\text{Trop}}^{p,q,w}(X) := H^q(X, \mathcal{F}^{p,w})$. For this cohomology, we have a spectral sequence in proof of Lemma 7.5. We can get the results on this spectral sequence in Introduction. We omit details.

Lemma 7.7. *Let $W \subset N_{\mathbb{R}}$ be a tropical fan. Then we have*

$$IH_{p,q}^{\text{Trop}, \text{cpt}}(W) = 0$$

for $\max\{p, 1\} \leq q$.

Proof. For $\gamma \in IC_{p,q}^{\text{Trop}, \text{cpt}}(W)$ with $\partial\gamma = 0$, let $c(\gamma)$ be the mapping cone of the retraction $N_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \{0\}$ to $0 \in N_{\mathbb{R}} = \text{Hom}(M, \mathbb{R})$. Since $\max\{p, 1\} \leq q$, by definition, the chain $c(\gamma)$ is allowable at the 0-dimensional stratum $\{0\}$. It is easy to see that $c(\gamma)$ is also allowable at any other strata since so is γ . Thus $\gamma = \partial c(\gamma)$ is 0 in $IH_{p,q}^{\text{Trop}, \text{cpt}}(W)$. \square

Remind that $W_{2p}H_{\text{sing}}^{2p} \cong \text{Gr}_{2p}^W H_{\text{sing}}^{2p}$ is the lowest part.

Lemma 7.8. ([Tot14, Theorem 3]) *The cycle class map induces an isomorphism*

$$CH^p(T_\Lambda) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong W_{2p}H_{\text{sing}}^{2p}(T_\Lambda(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Q}).$$

Proof. This is a special case of [Tot14, Theorem 3]. In our case, we can prove the assertion using spectral sequences in proof of Lemma 7.5, the projective case, and some functoriality. We omit proof. \square

Consequently, we have a natural isomorphism

$$CH^p(T_\Lambda) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong H_{\text{Trop}}^{p,p}(\text{Trop}(T_\Lambda); \mathbb{Q}),$$

which preserves ring structures by construction. We shall compare their cap products. See Subsection 5.1 and Subsection 6.2 for the fundamental class

$$[X] \in H_{d,d}^{\text{Trop}}((\text{Trop}(T_\Lambda); \mathbb{Q}) \cong \text{Hom}(H_{\text{Trop}}^{d,d}((\text{Trop}(T_\Lambda); \mathbb{Q}), \mathbb{Q}))$$

and the cap product $[X] \cap - : H_{\text{Trop}}^{d,d}((\text{Trop}(T_\Lambda); \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$.

Lemma 7.9. *We have a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} CH^1(\mathbb{P}^1) \otimes \mathbb{Q} & \xrightarrow{\text{deg}} & \mathbb{Q} \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow = \\ H_{\text{Trop}}^{1,1}(\text{Trop}(\mathbb{P}^1); \mathbb{Q}) & \xrightarrow{[\text{Trop}(\mathbb{P}^1)] \cap -} & \mathbb{Q}, \end{array}$$

where $\text{deg}: CH^1(\mathbb{P}^1) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ is the map counting points.

Proof. Since the map $\text{deg}: CH^1(\mathbb{P}^1) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ is compatible with $[\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})] \cap - : H_{\text{sing}}^2(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$, we can use the latter. Then the assertion easily follows from direct computation using long exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H_{\text{sing}}^1(\mathbb{C}^\times; \mathbb{Q}) & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{sing},\{0\}}^2(\mathbb{C}; \mathbb{Q}) \oplus H_{\text{sing},\{\infty\}}^2(\mathbb{C}^\times \cup \{\infty\}; \mathbb{Q}) & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{sing}}^2(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Q}) \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ H_{\text{Trop}}^{1,0}(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{Q}) & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{Trop},\{\infty\}}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}; \mathbb{Q}) \oplus H_{\text{Trop},\{-\infty\}}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}; \mathbb{Q}) & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{Trop}}^{1,1}(\text{Trop}(\mathbb{P}^1); \mathbb{Q}), \end{array}$$

where in the first vertical morphism, $T \in H_{\text{Trop}}^{1,0}(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{Q})$ maps to the element of $H_{\text{sing}}^1(\mathbb{C}^\times; \mathbb{Q})$ given by integration of $\frac{-1}{2\pi i} d \log T$, where T is the affine parameter of \mathbb{P}^1 which give a fixed toric structure of \mathbb{P}^1 . \square

Lemma 7.10. *We have a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} CH^d(T_\Lambda) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} & \xrightarrow{(\Lambda, w)} & \mathbb{Q} \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow = \\ H_{\text{Trop}}^{d,d}(\text{Trop}(T_\Lambda); \mathbb{Q}) & \xrightarrow{[X] \cap -} & \mathbb{Q}. \end{array}$$

Proof. By projection formula, we may assume that T_Λ is quasi-projective. By projective formula again, we may assume that T_Λ is projective. Then by projective formula and the fact that $MW_d(T_\Sigma) \cong CH^{n-d}(T_\Sigma)$ is generated by toric subvarieties, we may assume that T_Λ is projective and $X = \text{Trop}(T_\Lambda)$. By projective formula again, we may assume that $T_\Lambda = (\mathbb{P}^1)^d$ and $X = \text{Trop}((\mathbb{P}^1)^d)$. Since fundamental classes are compatible with cross products (Remark 7.11), the assertion follows from Lemma 7.9. \square

Remark 7.11. We recall cross products of tropical homology groups and compatibility with fundamental classes [GS23, Proposition 5.9]. Let X_1 and X_2 be tropical varieties of dimension d_1 and d_2 , $\text{pr}_i: X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow X_i$ ($i = 1, 2$) the projection. The pullback maps $\text{pr}_i^* \mathcal{F}_{X_i}^{d_i} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{X_1 \times X_2}^{d_i}$ ($i = 1, 2$) and the wedge product of $\mathcal{F}_{X_1 \times X_2}^*[-*]_{\text{deg}}$ induce a morphism

$$- \wedge - : \mathcal{F}_{X_1}^{d_1}[-d_1]_{\text{deg}} \boxtimes \mathcal{F}_{X_2}^{d_2}[-d_2]_{\text{deg}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{X_1 \times X_2}^{d_1+d_2}[-d_1-d_2]_{\text{deg}}. \quad (7.1)$$

This morphism induces a morphism

$$H_{\text{Trop}}^{d_1, d_1}(X_1) \otimes H_{\text{Trop}}^{d_2, d_2}(X_2) \rightarrow H_{\text{Trop}}^{d_1+d_2, d_1+d_2}(X_1 \times X_2) \quad (7.2)$$

of tropical cohomology. By Verdier dual functors, we get a morphism

$$D(\mathcal{F}_{X_1 \times X_2}^{d_1+d_2}[-d_1-d_2]_{\text{deg}}) \rightarrow D(\mathcal{F}_{X_1}^{d_1}[-d_1]_{\text{deg}}) \overset{L}{\boxtimes} D(\mathcal{F}_{X_2}^{d_2}[-d_2]_{\text{deg}}),$$

which also induces a morphism

$$H_{d_1+d_2, d_1+d_2}^{\text{Trop}}(X_1 \times X_2) \rightarrow H_{d_1, d_1}^{\text{Trop}}(X_1) \otimes H_{d_2, d_2}^{\text{Trop}}(X_2).$$

This morphism maps $[X_1 \times X_2]$ to $[X_1] \otimes [X_2]$ ([GS23, Proposition 5.9]). This follows from the fact that the natural quasi-isomorphism $D_{X_1} \overset{L}{\boxtimes} D_{X_2} \cong D_{X_1 \times X_2}$ gives the usual cross products of singular homology ([GS23, Lemma 4.11]). See [GS23] for details. (Note that our convention of the sign of fundamental classes $[X]$ (Subsection 5.1) is different from the one in [loc.cit.] (see also Definition 5.5), but the same proof works.)

We shall see that Kleiman's splitting theorem of vector bundles ([Kle69, Theorem 4.7]) and Bertini's theorem give good blow-ups (Proposition 7.12) of a smooth projective variety Y over an infinite field. These blow-ups are used in Example 7.4.

Proposition 7.12. *There exists a composition $Y' \rightarrow Y$ of blow-ups at smooth centers such that $\text{CH}_{\text{Num}}^*(Y') \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ is generated by $\text{CH}^1(Y') \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ as a \mathbb{Q} -algebra, where $\text{CH}_{\text{Num}}^*(Y')$ is the Chow group modulo numerical equivalences.*

Proposition 7.12 is a special case of Proposition 7.14.

Definition 7.13. Let $V \subset Y$ be a smooth closed subscheme of dimension r . A *good blow-up* $Y' \rightarrow Y$ with respect to V is a composition

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} Y' & = & Y_m & \xrightarrow{\varphi_m} & Y_{m-1} & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{m-1}} & \dots & \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} & Y_0 & := & Y \\ & & \cup & & \cup & & & & \cup & & \\ V' & := & V_m & \longrightarrow & V_{m-1} & \longrightarrow & \dots & \longrightarrow & V_0 & := & V \\ & & & & \cup & & & & \cup & & \\ & & & & Z_{m-1} & \dots & & & Z_0 & & \end{array}$$

of blow-ups

$$\varphi_i: Y_i := \text{Bl}_{Z_{i-1}} Y_{i-1} \rightarrow Y_{i-1}$$

at smooth centers $Z_{i-1} \subsetneq V_{i-1}$ of dimension $\leq (r-1)$ such that $\text{CH}_{\text{Num}}^*(Z_i) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ is generated by pull-back of $\text{CH}^1(Y_i) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$, and Z_{i-1} does not contain any irreducible component of V_{i-1} , where

$$V_i := \text{Bl}_{Z_{i-1}} V_{i-1} \rightarrow V_{i-1}$$

is the strict transform of V_{i-1} .

Proposition 7.14. *Let $V \subset Y$ be a smooth closed subscheme of dimension r . Then there exists a good blow-up $Y' \rightarrow Y$ with respect to V such that $\text{CH}_{\text{Num}}^*(V') \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ is generated by pull-back of $\text{CH}^1(Y') \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$.*

Proof. We shall prove the assertion by induction on r . The case of $r = 0$ is trivial. We assume that $r \geq 1$ and the assertion holds for smaller r . By blow-up formula of Chow groups ([Ful98, Proposition 6.7, Example 8.3.4, Example 8.39]), it suffices to show that the existence of a good blow-up $Y' \rightarrow Y$ with respect to V such that the image of $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Num}}^*(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ to $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Num}}^*(Y') \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ is generated by $\mathrm{CH}^1(Y') \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$. Since $\mathrm{CH}^*(Y') \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ is generated by chern classes of vector bundles, the assertion follows from the following two Lemmas. \square

Lemma 7.15. *Let V be as in Proposition 7.14. We assume that Proposition 7.14 holds for smooth closed subscheme of dimension $\leq (r - 1)$. For a vector bundle G on V , there exists a good blow-up $\varphi_G: Y'_G \rightarrow Y$ with respect to V such that the pull-back $(\varphi_G|_{V'_G})^*G$ to the strict transform V'_G contains a line bundle.*

Proof. By [Kle69, Theorem 4.7], there exists a smooth closed subscheme $Z \subset V$ of dimension $\leq (r - 1)$ such that φ_Z^*G contains a line bundle and Z does not contain any irreducible component of V , where $\varphi_Z: \mathrm{Bl}_Z V \rightarrow V$ is the blow-up at Z . By the assumption, there exists a good blow-up $\varphi_{G,0}: Y'_{G,0} \rightarrow Y$ with respect to Z (and hence with respect to V) such that $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Num}}^*(Z') \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ is generated by $\mathrm{CH}^1(Y'_{G,0}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$, where Z' is the strict transform. We put $\varphi_G: Y'_G \rightarrow Y$ the composition of $\varphi_{G,0}$ and the blow-up at Z' . Then φ_G is a good blow-up with respect to V . There is a natural morphism from V'_G to $\mathrm{Bl}_Z V$, hence $(\varphi_G|_{V'_G})^*G$ contains a line bundle. \square

Lemma 7.16. *Let V be as in Proposition 7.14. We assume that Proposition 7.14 holds for smooth closed subscheme of dimension $\leq (r - 1)$. For a line bundle L on V , there exists a good blow-up $\varphi_L: Y'_L \rightarrow Y$ with respect to V such that the pull-back $(\varphi_L|_{V'_L})^*L$ to the strict transform V'_L is isomorphic to the restriction of a line bundle on Y' .*

Proof. We may assume that L is a very ample line bundle. By Bertini's theorem, we have $L \cong \mathcal{O}(D)$ for some smooth divisor $D \subset V$. By the assumption, there exists a good blow-up $\varphi_{L,0}: Y'_{L,0} \rightarrow Y$ with respect to D (and hence with respect to V) such that $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Num}}^*(D') \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ is generated by $\mathrm{CH}^1(Y'_{L,0}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$, where D' is the strict transform. We put $\varphi_L: Y'_L \rightarrow Y$ the composition of $\varphi_{L,0}$ and the blow-up $\psi_L: Y'_L \rightarrow Y'_{L,0}$ at D' . Then φ_L is a good blow-up with respect to V , and $(\varphi_L|_{V'_L})^*L$ is given by a sum of intersections of V'_L and exceptional divisors of $\varphi_L: Y'_L \rightarrow Y$. Thus $(\varphi_L|_{V'_L})^*L$ is isomorphic to the restriction of a line bundle on Y'_L . \square

8. APPENDIX. SIX FUNCTORS FOR GRADED SHEAVES

Let k be a commutative noetherian ring of finite global dimension with unit, I a finite set, and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ a continuous map of paracompact locally compact Hausdorff spaces. (Paracompactness is used only for soft sheaves, e.g., $IC_{\mathrm{Trop}}^{p,q}$.) Let

$$D(X, \mathrm{grMod} k[T_i]_{i \in I}) := D(\mathrm{Shv}(X, \mathrm{grMod} k[T_i]_{i \in I}))$$

be the derived category of complexes of sheaves of $\mathrm{grMod} k[T_i]_{i \in I}$ -modules (see Section 4), and $D^*(X, \mathrm{grMod} k[T_i]_{i \in I})$ ($*$ = $b, +, -$) its full triangulated subcategory of complexes quasi-isomorphic to bounded (resp. bounded below, bounded above) complexes. In this section, for readers convenience, we shall see that there exist six functors on them, and they satisfies the usual compatibility and preserves constructibility. Constructions and proofs directly follow from the case of sheaves of k -modules or can be given in a parallel way, see e.g., [KS90] and [Bea84]. We also give some computation of Verdier duality in Subsection 8.2.

8.1. **Five functors.** Remind that

$$\mathrm{Shv}(X, \mathrm{grMod} k[T_i]_{i \in I}) \cong \mathrm{Shv}(X, \mathrm{Fct}(\mathbb{Z}^I, \mathrm{Mod} k)) \cong \mathrm{Fct}(\mathbb{Z}^I, \mathrm{Shv}(X, \mathrm{Mod} k)).$$

We have three functors

$$\begin{aligned} f_* &: \mathrm{Shv}(X, \mathrm{grMod} k[T_i]_{i \in I}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Shv}(Y, \mathrm{grMod} k[T_i]_{i \in I}) \\ f^* &: \mathrm{Shv}(Y, \mathrm{grMod} k[T_i]_{i \in I}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Shv}(X, \mathrm{grMod} k[T_i]_{i \in I}) \\ f_! &: \mathrm{Shv}(X, \mathrm{grMod} k[T_i]_{i \in I}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Shv}(Y, \mathrm{grMod} k[T_i]_{i \in I}), \end{aligned}$$

direct sums of the usual functors for sheaves of k -modules at each grade $a \in \mathbb{Z}^I$. We put

$$\begin{aligned} Rf_* &: D^+(X, \mathrm{grMod} k[T_i]_{i \in I}) \rightarrow D^+(Y, \mathrm{grMod} k[T_i]_{i \in I}) \\ f^* &: D^*(Y, \mathrm{grMod} k[T_i]_{i \in I}) \rightarrow D^*(X, \mathrm{grMod} k[T_i]_{i \in I}) \\ Rf_! &: D^+(X, \mathrm{grMod} k[T_i]_{i \in I}) \rightarrow D^+(Y, \mathrm{grMod} k[T_i]_{i \in I}) \end{aligned}$$

their derived functors. We also put $R\Gamma := Ra_{X^*}$ and $R\Gamma_c := Ra_{X^!}$, where $a_X: X \rightarrow \{\mathrm{pt}\}$.

Lemma 8.1. *Let $a \in \mathbb{Z}^I$. The functor*

$$\begin{aligned} -(a) &: \mathrm{Shv}(X, \mathrm{grMod} k[T_i]_{i \in I}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Shv}(X, \mathrm{Mod} k) \\ &F \mapsto F(a) \end{aligned}$$

sends injective objects to injective objects.

Proof. Let

$$\geq a: \mathrm{Shv}(X, \mathrm{Mod} k) \rightarrow \mathrm{Shv}(X, \mathrm{grMod} k[T_i]_{i \in I})$$

be the functor given by $\geq a(A) := \bigoplus_{b \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq a}^I} A$ ($A \in \mathrm{Shv}(X, \mathrm{Mod} k)$) and the identity map of A , here we use notation similar to Subsection 4.1. This functor is exact, and is left adjoint of $-(a)$. Hence the assertion holds. \square

Remark 8.2. By Lemma 8.1, three functors Rf_* , f^* , $Rf_!$ are given in a graded-wise manner, e.g., $(Rf_*E)(a) \cong Rf_*(E(a))$, and Rf_* and $Rf_!$ have finite cohomological dimensions when so do

$$Rf_*, Rf_!: D^+(X, \mathrm{Mod} k) \rightarrow D^+(Y, \mathrm{Mod} k).$$

Definition 8.3. $F \in \mathrm{Shv}(X, \mathrm{grMod} k[T_i]_{i \in I})$ is flat if for $x \in X$, the stalk $F_x \in \mathrm{grMod} k[T_i]_{i \in I}$ is flat.

We have a forgetful functor $\mathrm{grMod} k[T_i]_{i \in I} \rightarrow \mathrm{Mod} k[T_i]_{i \in I}$. By abuse of notation, we denote the images of objects and morphisms under this functor by the same symbols.

Lemma 8.4. *Let $P \in \mathrm{grMod} k[T_i]_{i \in I}$ be projective as a non-graded $k[T_i]_{i \in I}$ -module, i.e., as an object in $\mathrm{Mod} k[T_i]_{i \in I}$. Then it is also projective in $\mathrm{grMod} k[T_i]_{i \in I}$.*

Proof. Let $B \xrightarrow{f} C \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence in $\mathrm{grMod} k[T_i]_{i \in I}$, and $\psi: P \rightarrow C$ a morphism in $\mathrm{grMod} k[T_i]_{i \in I}$. Then there exists a morphism $\varphi: P \rightarrow B$ in $\mathrm{Mod} k[T_i]_{i \in I}$ such that $\psi = f \circ \varphi$. For each $a \in \mathbb{Z}^I$, we have

$$\psi(a) = f(a) \circ \mathrm{pr}_a \circ \varphi|_{P(a)}: P(a) \rightarrow C(a),$$

where $\mathrm{pr}_a: B \rightarrow B(a)$ is the projection. We put

$$\phi(a) := \mathrm{pr}_a \circ \varphi|_{P(a)}: P(a) \rightarrow B(a).$$

Then it is easy to see that $\phi = (\phi(a))_{a \in \mathbb{Z}^I} : P \rightarrow B$ is a morphism in $\text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}$ satisfying $\psi = f \circ \phi$. \square

Corollary 8.5. *Every object B of $\text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}$ has a projective resolution whose length is at most the global dimension of B as a non-graded $k[T_i]_{i \in I}$ -module.*

Proof. Let

$$0 \rightarrow P_r \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0$$

be a resolution in $\text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}$ (which is also exact in $\text{Mod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}$) such that P_i ($0 \leq i \leq r-1$) is a direct sum of modules of the form $\bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq b}^I} k$ ($b \in \mathbb{Z}^I$) (in particular, projective), and P_r is projective in $\text{Mod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}$. Then by Lemma 8.4, P_r is also projective in $\text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}$. \square

Remark 8.6. By Corollary 8.5 and the same discussion as [Wei94, Section 4.1], every object B of $\text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}$ also has an injective resolution whose length is at most the global dimension of B as a non-graded $k[T_i]_{i \in I}$ -module.

Remark 8.7. By Corollary 8.5, as in the case of non-graded modules, every element of $\text{Shv}(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I})$ has a flat resolution of finite length.

Definition 8.8. For $F, G \in \text{Shv}(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I})$, we put $F \otimes G \in \text{Shv}(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I})$ the sheafification of

$$U \mapsto F(U) \otimes_{k[T_i]_{i \in I}} G(U).$$

By Remark 8.7, we have a derived functor

$$- \overset{\text{L}}{\otimes} -: D^b(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}) \times D^b(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}) \rightarrow D^b(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}).$$

Definition 8.9. For $F, G \in \text{Shv}(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I})$, we put $\text{gr}\mathcal{H}om(F, G)$ the sheaf

$$U \mapsto \bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{Z}^I} \text{Hom}_{\text{Shv}(U, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I})}(F|_U, G|_U[a]_{\text{gr}}).$$

We put

$$\text{Rgr}\mathcal{H}om : D^-(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I})^{\text{op}} \times D^+(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}) \rightarrow D^+(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I})$$

its derived functor. We also put $\text{RgrHom} := R\Gamma \circ \text{Rgr}\mathcal{H}om$.

Definition 8.10. For a locally closed subset $h : W \hookrightarrow X$, we put

$$h^! : \text{Shv}(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}) \rightarrow \text{Shv}(W, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I})$$

the direct sum of $h^!$ for sheaves of k -modules at each grade, and put

$$Rh^! : D^+(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}) \rightarrow D^+(W, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I})$$

its derived functor, which is given in a grade-wise manner by Lemma 8.1.

For $E \in D^+(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I})$, a closed subset $j : Z \hookrightarrow X$, and its complement $i : U \hookrightarrow X$, we have two distinguished triangles

$$\begin{aligned} Ri_* i^* E &\rightarrow E \rightarrow j_* j^* E \rightarrow [1] . \\ j_* j^! E &\rightarrow E \rightarrow Ri_* i^* E \rightarrow [1] . \end{aligned}$$

Definition 8.11. Let $F \in \text{Shv}(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I})$ be a sheaf.

- F is *flabby* if $F(X) \rightarrow F(U)$ is surjective for any open subset $U \subset X$.
- F is *soft* if $F(X) \rightarrow F|_Z(Z)$ is surjective for any closed subset $Z \subset X$.
- F is *c-soft* if $F(X) \rightarrow F|_K(K)$ is surjective for any compact subset $K \subset X$.
- F is *f-soft* if $F|_{f^{-1}(y)}$ is c-soft for any $y \in Y$.

Injective sheaves are flabby, flabby sheaves are soft, soft sheaves are c-soft, and c-soft sheaves are f-soft.

Remark 8.12. Remind that the derived functor of a left (resp. right) exact functor F is computable by F -injective (resp. F -projective) full subcategories ([KS90, Definition 1.8.2]). Here is a list of F -injective (resp. F -projective) full subcategories similar to [Ach21, Table 1.4.1] (see [Bre97, Chapter II, Section 9] for soft sheaves). (This follows from Lemma 8.1 and the fact that surjectivity in $\text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}$ are computed in the grade-wise manner.)

- f^* is exact.
- Rf_* is left exact. Injective sheaves, flabby sheaves, soft sheaves consist Rf_* -injective subcategories, respectively.
- $Rf_!$ is left exact. Injective sheaves, flabby sheaves, soft sheaves, c-soft sheaves, and f-soft sheaves consist $Rf_!$ -injective subcategories, respectively.
- \otimes^L is right exact in both variables. The pair of $\text{Shv}(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I})$ and the full subcategory of flat sheaves is \otimes^L -projective ([KS90, Definition 1.10.6]).
- $\text{Rgr}\mathcal{H}om$ is left exact in both variables. The pair of $\text{Shv}(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I})$ and the full subcategory of injective sheaves is $\text{Rgr}\mathcal{H}om$ -injective.

The list of relations of these classes and functors $\text{Shv}(X, \text{Mod } k)$ in [Ach21, Table 1.4.1] also holds for $\text{Shv}(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I})$. The relations give various compatibility of these functors. See [Ach21, Section 1.4] and also [KS90, Section 2.6].

Theorem 8.13. (*projection formula* [Ach21, Theorem 1.4.9]) *For $F \in D^b(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I})$ and $G \in D^b(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I})$, we have $f_! F \otimes^L G \cong f_!(F \otimes^L f^* G)$.*

Proof. Similarly to the case of non-graded sheaves, this follows from graded versions of [KS90, Lemma 2.5.12] and [KS90, Proposition 2.5.13], which can also be proved similarly. \square

8.2. Verdier duality. In this subsection, we assume that

$$Rf_!: D^+(X, \text{Mod } k) \rightarrow D^+(Y, \text{Mod } k).$$

has finite cohomological dimension (cf. Remark 8.2). In the same way as [KS90, Section 3.1], the right adjoint

$$f^!: D^+(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}) \rightarrow D^+(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I})$$

of $Rf_!$ exists. This is constructed as follows, for details see [loc.cit.]. Let K^* be a flat and f-soft resolution of $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}_X$ of finite length. For an injective sheaf $F \in \text{Shv}(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I})$, we put $f_{K^*}^!(F) \in \text{Shv}(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I})$ an injective sheaf

$$U \mapsto \text{grHom}_{\text{Shv}(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I})}(f_!(\underline{k}[T_i]_{i \in I}_X \otimes \text{Ker}(K^i \rightarrow j_{U*} j_U^* K^i)), F),$$

where $j_U: X \setminus U \hookrightarrow X$ is the complement, and for sheaves $G \in \text{Shv}(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I})$ and $K \in \text{Shv}(X, \text{Mod } \mathbb{Z})$, we put $G \otimes K \in \text{Shv}(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I})$ the sheaf given by

$$(G \otimes K)(a) := G(a) \otimes_{\underline{\mathbb{Z}}_X} K$$

and morphisms $T_i \otimes \text{Id}_K$. For a bounded below injective complex F^* on Y , we put $f^!F^*$ the single complex associated with the double complex $(f_{K-q}^!F^p)^{p,q}$. Using injective resolutions, this gives the functor

$$f^!: D^+(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}) \rightarrow D^+(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}).$$

Let $a_X: X \rightarrow \{\text{pt}\}$. We put $\omega_X[T_i]_{i \in I} := a_X^!k[T_i]_{i \in I}$ the dualizing complex and

$$\begin{aligned} D = D_X: D^-(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I})^{\text{op}} &\rightarrow D^+(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}) \\ F &\mapsto \text{Rgr}\mathcal{H}om(F, \omega_X[T_i]_{i \in I}) \end{aligned}$$

the Verdier dual.

Lemma 8.14. ([Ach21, Lemma 1.5.15]) *For $F, G \in D^b(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I})$, we have*

$$f^! \text{Rgr}\mathcal{H}om(F, G) \cong \text{Rgr}\mathcal{H}om(f^*F, f^!G).$$

In particular, we have $f^!D \cong Df^$.*

Proof. For $H \in D^b(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I})$, by projection formula $f_!H \overset{\text{L}}{\otimes} F \cong f_!(H \overset{\text{L}}{\otimes} f^*F)$ and adjunction, we have

$$\text{Hom}(H, f^! \text{Rgr}\mathcal{H}om(F, G)) \cong \text{Hom}(H, \text{Rgr}\mathcal{H}om(f^*F, f^!G)).$$

Hence the assertion follows from Yoneda's lemma. \square

We shall describe $\omega_X[T_i]_{i \in I}$ and D more explicitly.

Lemma 8.15. *Let A be an injective k -module, and $J \subset I$ a subset. Then $\bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq (-1, \dots, -1)}^J \times \mathbb{Z}^{I \setminus J}} A$ is an injective graded $k[T_i]_{i \in I}$ -modules.*

Proof. A graded $k[T_i]_{i \in I}$ -module B is injective if and only if for a finitely generated ideal $J \subset k[T_i]_{i \in I}$ in $\text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}$, and an element $b \in \mathbb{Z}^I$, any morphism $J[b]_{\text{gr}} \rightarrow B$ in $\text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}$ extends to $k[T_i]_{i \in I}[b]_{\text{gr}} \rightarrow B$. (This can be seen in the same way as non-graded rings, see e.g., [Wei94, Baer's Criterion 2.3.1].) Let

$$\psi: J[b]_{\text{gr}} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq (-1, \dots, -1)}^J \times \mathbb{Z}^{I \setminus J}} A$$

be a morphism. Let $j_1, \dots, j_r \in J$ be a generator of pure grades $c_1, \dots, c_r \in \mathbb{Z}^I$. Then ψ is determined by $\psi(j_l) \in A$ for l with $c_l - b \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq (-1, \dots, -1)}^J \times \mathbb{Z}^{I \setminus J}$. Let $c \in \mathbb{Z}^{I \setminus J}$ be an element such that

$$c_l - b \leq ((-1, \dots, -1), c)$$

for such l . Then

$$J[b]_{\text{gr}}(((-1, \dots, -1), c)) = k\langle c_l \rangle_{c_l - b \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq (-1, \dots, -1)}^J \times \mathbb{Z}^{I \setminus J}}.$$

Since A is an injective k -module, k -linear morphism

$$\psi|_{((-1, \dots, -1), c)}: J[b]_{\text{gr}}(((-1, \dots, -1), c)) \rightarrow A$$

extends to $\varphi: k \rightarrow A$. It induces a morphism

$$k[T_i]_{i \in I}[b]_{\text{gr}} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq (-1, \dots, -1)}^J \times \mathbb{Z}^{I \setminus J}} A$$

in $\text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}$. By construction, this is an extension of ψ . Thus $\bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq (-1, \dots, -1)}^J \times \mathbb{Z}^{I \setminus J}} A$ is injective. \square

Corollary 8.16. *Let A^* be an injective resolution of k as a k -module. Then the total complex*

$$\text{Tot}\left(\bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{Z}^I} A^* \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in I} \bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq -1}^{\{i\}} \times \mathbb{Z}^{I \setminus \{i\}}} A^* \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\substack{\{i,j\} \subset I \\ \#\{i,j\}=2}} \bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq (-1,-1)}^{\{i,j\}} \times \mathbb{Z}^{I \setminus \{i,j\}}} A^* \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq (-1, \dots, -1)}^I} A^*\right)$$

is an injective resolution of $k[T_i]_{i \in I}$ as a graded $k[T_i]_{i \in I}$ -module, where morphisms are given by $A^p \rightarrow A^{p+1}$ and projections

$$\bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq (-1, \dots, -1)}^J \times \mathbb{Z}^{I \setminus J}} A^p \rightarrow \bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq (-1, \dots, -1)}^{J \cup \{i\}} \times \mathbb{Z}^{I \setminus (J \cup \{i\})}} A^p$$

($i \in I \setminus J$) (up to $\{\pm 1\}$).

Let

$$\omega_X = (\omega_X^j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \in D^b(\text{Shv}(X, \text{Mod } k))$$

be the dualizing complex with injective sheaves ω_X^j ($j \in \mathbb{Z}$).

Lemma 8.17. *We have a quasi-isomorphism $\omega_X[T_i]_{i \in I} \cong \bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^I} \omega_X$.*

Proof. Let A^* be an injective resolution of k . We may assume that $\omega_X = a_X^! A^*$. We have an injective resolution of $k[T_i]_{i \in I}$ as in Corollary 8.16. For a k -module B and $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, obviously, we have an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow \text{grHom}\left(\bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^I} B, \bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^I} A^j\right) \rightarrow \text{grHom}\left(\bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^I} B, \bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{Z}^I} A^j\right) \\ &\rightarrow \text{grHom}\left(\bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^I} B, \bigoplus_{i \in I} \bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq -1}^{\{i\}} \times \mathbb{Z}^{I \setminus \{i\}}} A^j\right) \rightarrow \cdots \\ &\rightarrow \text{grHom}\left(\bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^I} B, \bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq (-1, \dots, -1)}^I} A^j\right) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the natural morphism

$$\varphi: a_{XK^{-q}}^!\left(\bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^I} A^p\right) \rightarrow a_{XK^{-q}}^!\left(\bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{Z}^I} A^p \oplus \bigoplus_{i \in I} \bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq -1}^{\{i\}} \times \mathbb{Z}^{I \setminus \{i\}}} A^{p-1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq (-1, \dots, -1)}^I} A^{p-\#I}\right)$$

given by the inclusion $\bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^I} A^p \hookrightarrow \bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{Z}^I} A^p$ induces a quasi-isomorphism of single complexes associated to the double complex $(a_{XK^{-q}}^!(\bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^I} A^p))^{p,q}$ and

$$\left(a_{XK^{-q}}^!\left(\bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{Z}^I} A^p \oplus \bigoplus_{i \in I} \bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq -1}^{\{i\}} \times \mathbb{Z}^{I \setminus \{i\}}} A^{p-1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq (-1, \dots, -1)}^I} A^{p-\#I}\right)\right)^{p,q},$$

where K^* is a flat and f-soft resolution of \mathbb{Z}_X of finite length, and $a_{XK^{-q}}^!(\bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^I} A^p)$ is defined similarly to $a_{XK^i}^!(F)$ for an injective sheaf $F \in \text{Shv}(\text{pt}, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I})$. Thus we have a quasi-isomorphism $\omega_X[T_i]_{i \in I} \cong \bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^I} \omega_X$. \square

Lemma 8.18. *Let $B^* \in D^b(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I})$ be such that B^j ($j \in \mathbb{Z}$) is a direct sum of sheaves of the form $\bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq a_0}^I} C$ ($a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^I$, $C \in \text{Shv}(X, \text{Mod } k)$). Then the quasi-isomorphism $\omega_X[T_i]_{i \in I} \cong \bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^I} \omega_X$ in Lemma 8.17 induces a quasi-isomorphism*

$$D(B^*) \cong \text{gr} \mathcal{H}om(B^*, \bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^I} \omega_X).$$

Proof. Let A^* be an injective resolution of k and K^* a flat and f-soft resolution of $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}_X$ of finite length. For $j, p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow \text{grHom}(B^j, a_{XK^{-q}}^! \left(\bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^I} A^p \right)) \rightarrow \text{grHom}(B^j, a_{XK^{-q}}^! \left(\bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{Z}^I} A^p \right)) \\ &\rightarrow \text{grHom}(B^j, a_{XK^{-q}}^! \left(\bigoplus_{i \in I} \bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq -1}^{\{i\}} \times \mathbb{Z}^{I \setminus \{i\}}} A^p \right)) \rightarrow \dots \\ &\rightarrow \text{grHom}(B^j, a_{XK^{-q}}^! \left(\bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq (-1, \dots, -1)}^I} A^p \right)) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the assertion follows in a similar way to Lemma 8.17. \square

Note that every element of $D^b(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I})$ is quasi-isomorphic to some B^* as in Lemma 8.18.

8.3. Constructible complexes. Six functors preserve constructible complexes (Remark 8.23, Theorem 8.34, Proposition 8.35, Theorem 8.36, Corollary 8.41). This can be seen in the same way as the case of sheaves of k -modules in [Bea84, Chapter V]. Since results we need spread apart in [Bea84, Chapter V], for readers' convenience, we survey proof.

Remind that $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a continuous map of paracompact locally compact Hausdorff spaces. In this subsection, we assume that

$$Rf_*, Rf_!: D^+(X, \text{Mod } k) \rightarrow D^+(Y, \text{Mod } k)$$

have finite cohomological dimensions. Then by Remark 8.2,

$$Rf_*, Rf_!: D^+(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}) \rightarrow D^+(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I})$$

also have finite cohomological dimensions. (Note that by Remark 8.7, $\overset{\text{L}}{\otimes}$ has finite homological dimension.)

Definition 8.19. ([Bea84, Chapter V. 2.1. Remark]) An unrestricted topological stratification $(X_j)_j$ of X is a filtration

$$\emptyset = X_{-1} \subset X_0 \subset X_1 \subset \dots \subset X_{\dim X - 1} \subset X_{\dim X} = X$$

by closed subspaces such that each stratum $X_j \setminus X_{j-1}$ is a topological manifold of dimension j , and for each point $x \in X_j \setminus X_{j-1}$, there is a neighborhood U of x and an homeomorphism of U to $B_j \times \overset{\circ}{c}(L)$ preserving stratifications, where B_j is the j -dimensional open disk, L is a $(\dim X - j - 1)$ -dimensional compact unrestricted topological stratified space, and $\overset{\circ}{c}(L) := [0, 1] \times L / (\{0\} \times L \sim \text{pt})$ is the open cone over L with the natural stratification.

In this subsection, we fix unrestricted topological stratifications $(X_j)_j$ and $(Y_j)_j$ of X and Y .

Definition 8.20. ([Bea84, Chapter V. 10.12]) A continuous map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is *stratified* if

- for a connected component S of a stratum $Y_j \setminus Y_{j-1}$ of Y , the inverse image $f^{-1}(S)$ is a union of connected components of strata of X , and
- for $y \in Y$, there exist a neighborhood U of y in the stratum of Y containing y , an unrestricted topological stratified space F , and a stratification preserving homeomorphism $F \times U \cong f^{-1}(U)$ which transform the projection to U into f .

In this subsection, we assume that $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is stratified with respect to $(X_j)_j$ and $(Y_j)_j$.

Definition 8.21. Let $F \in \text{Shv}(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I})$.

- F is *locally constant* if there is an open covering of X such that the restriction of F to each open subset is a constant sheaf.
- F is *of finite type* if each stalk F_x ($x \in X$) is a finitely generated graded $k[T_i]_{i \in I}$ -module.
- F is *weakly constructible* with respect to a stratification $(X_j)_j$ if $F|_{X_j \setminus X_{j-1}}$ is locally constant.
- F is *constructible* with respect to a stratification $(X_j)_j$ if $F|_{X_j \setminus X_{j-1}}$ is locally constant of finite type.

Definition 8.22. $E \in D^b(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I})$ is *(weakly) constructible* with respect to a stratification $(X_j)_j$ if $\mathcal{H}^k(E)$ ($k \in \mathbb{Z}$) is (weakly) constructible with respect to $(X_j)_j$. We put

$$D_{(w-)c, (X_j)_j}^b(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}) \subset D^b(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I})$$

the full triangulated subcategories of (weakly) constructible complexes with respect to $(X_j)_j$.

Remind that $f^*, Rf_*, Rf!, R\Gamma, R\Gamma_c$ (and $f^!$ when f is a locally closed embedding) are given as direct sums of these functors at each grade (Remark 8.2). In particular, we can apply some results on such functors for sheaves of k -modules to sheaves of graded $k[T_i]_{i \in I}$ -modules, e.g., Remark 8.23, Lemma 8.24, Proposition 8.25, and Lemma 8.26.

Remark 8.23. Obviously, for $G \in D_{c, (Y_j)_j}^b(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I})$, we have $f^*G \in D_{c, (X_j)_j}^b(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I})$ ([Bea84, Chapter V. Theorem 10.16 (i)]).

Lemma 8.24. ([Bea84, Chapter V. Theorem 10.16 (ii)]) For $F \in D_{w-c, (X_j)_j}^b(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I})$, we have $Rf_*F, Rf!F \in D_{w-c, (Y_j)_j}^b(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I})$.

Proposition 8.25. ([Bea84, Chapter V. Proposition 3.7 (a)]) Assume X is a manifold and $(X_j)_j$ is the trivial stratification. Then for $x \in X$ and $F \in D_{w-c, (X_j)_j}^b(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I})$, we have

$$H^r(R\Gamma_c F|_U) \cong H^{r-\dim X}(F_x)$$

for an open neighborhood U of x homeomorphic to an open ball.

Lemma 8.26. ([Bea84, Chapter V. Lemma 3.8 (b)]) Let Z be an open ball of dimension s . We assume that X is compact. We equip $W := X \times Z$ with a filtration $(W_j := X_j \times Z)_j$. Let $\pi: W \rightarrow Z$ be the projection, and $F \in D_{w-c, \{W_j\}_j}^b(W, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I})$. Then for $z \in Z$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} H^r(R\Gamma_c F) &\cong H^{r-s}(R\Gamma_c F|_{\pi^{-1}(z)}) \\ H^r(R\Gamma F) &\cong H^r(R\Gamma F|_{\pi^{-1}(z)}). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 8.27. *Let $F \in D_{c, (X_j)_j}^b(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I})$.*

- (1) ([Bea84, Chapter V. Proposition 3.10 a).b).e]) *Let $x \in X_j \setminus X_{j-1}$, and U a neighborhood of x homeomorphic to $B_j \times \mathring{c}(L)$ as in Definition 8.19. Then $H^r(R\Gamma_c F|_U)$ does not depend on U and finitely generated over $k[T_i]_{i \in I}$. Moreover, we have $H^r(R\Gamma_c F|_U) \cong H^r(j_x^! F)$, where $j_x: \{x\} \rightarrow X$ is the inclusion*
- (2) ([Bea84, Chapter V. Theorem 3.5]) *Let $P \subset Q \subset X$ be open subsets such that $\overline{P} \subset Q$ and \overline{P} is compact. Then*

$$\text{Im}(H^r(R\Gamma_c F|_P) \rightarrow H^r(R\Gamma_c F|_Q))$$

is finitely generated over $k[T_i]_{i \in I}$.

When X is compact, we can take $P = Q = X$, and hence $H^r(R\Gamma_c F)$ is finitely generated.

Proof. We shall prove both assertions by induction on $\dim X$. When $\dim X = 0$, the assertions are trivial. We assume $\dim X \geq 1$.

- (1) There exists an exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow H^r(R\Gamma_c F|_{U \setminus B_j}) \rightarrow H^r(R\Gamma_c F|_U) \rightarrow H^r(R\Gamma_c F|_{B_j}) \rightarrow \cdots$$

By Proposition 8.25, the cohomology group $H^r(R\Gamma_c F|_{B_j})$ does not depend on U and finitely generated. By Lemma 8.26, we have

$$H^r(R\Gamma_c F|_{U \setminus B_j}) \cong H^{r-j}(R\Gamma F|_L).$$

The right-hand side does not depend on U and, by hypothesis of induction of (2), finitely generated. Hence the first assertion holds. The last assertion immediately follows from the case of non-graded sheaves [Bea84, Chapter V. Proposition 3.10 c)].

- (2) This follows in the same way as [Bea84, Chapter V Theorem 3.5] by diagram chasing and hypothesis of induction. We omit details. \square

Remark 8.28. Note that we assumed that k is noether, and in proof of both assertions of Proposition 8.27, we used noetherian property of $k[T_i]_{i \in I}$, i.e., for a finitely generated graded $k[T_i]_{i \in I}$ -module A , its graded $k[T_i]_{i \in I}$ -submodules are also finitely generated. (This obviously follows from the case of non-graded $k[T_i]_{i \in I}$ -modules.)

Corollary 8.29. ([Bea84, Chapter V Corollary 3.11 (iii)]) *Let $h: V \hookrightarrow X$ be an open subset which is a union of connected components of strata $X_j \setminus X_{j-1}$ ($j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$). Let $F \in D_{c, (V \cap X_j)_j}^b(V, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I})$. Then $Rh_* F \in D_{c, (X_j)_j}^b(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I})$.*

Proof. $Rh_* F$ is weakly constructible by Lemma 8.24. To see constructibility at $x \in X_j \setminus X_{j-1}$, by induction on j , we may assume that $V \cap U \cong B_j \times \mathring{c}(L) \setminus B_j$ for a neighborhood $U \cong B_j \times \mathring{c}(L)$ of x as in Definition 8.19. Then by Lemma 8.26, we have

$$\begin{aligned} H^r(R\Gamma Rh_* F|_U) &\cong H^r(R\Gamma F|_{B_j \times \mathring{c}(L) \setminus B_j}) \\ &\cong H^r(R\Gamma F|_L), \end{aligned}$$

which is finitely generated by Proposition 8.27 (2). \square

Definition 8.30. An unrestricted topological stratified space X with $(X_j)_j$ is *compactifiable* if there exist a compact topological space \overline{X} containing X as an open dense subset, and an unrestricted topological stratification $(\overline{X}_j)_j$ of \overline{X} such that X is a union of connected components of strata $\overline{X}_j \setminus \overline{X}_{j-1}$ ($j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$) and the inducing stratification $(X \cap \overline{X}_j)_j$ on X is finer than $(X_j)_j$.

Corollary 8.31. ([Bea84, Chapter V. Lemma 10.13]) *We assume that X is compactifiable. Then for $F \in D_{c,(X_j)_j}^b(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I})$, the cohomology groups $H^r(R\Gamma_c F)$ and $H^r(R\Gamma F)$ are finitely generated.*

Proof. This follows from Proposition 8.27 (2) and Corollary 8.29. \square

Proposition 8.32. *Let $F \in D_{c,(X_j)_j}^b(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I})$. Then $h_j^! F \in D^b(X_j \setminus X_{j-1}, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I})$ is constructible with respect to the trivial stratification, where $h_j: X_j \setminus X_{j-1} \hookrightarrow X$ is the embedding.*

Proof. By [Bea84, Proposition 3.10 d)], $h_j^! F$ is weakly constructible. For $x \in X_j \setminus X_{j-1}$, we put $h_x: \{x\} \hookrightarrow X_j \setminus X_{j-1}$. By [Bea84, Chapter V. Proposition 3.7 b) and Proposition 3.10 c)], we have $H^s(h_x^* h_j^! F) \cong H^{s-j}((h_j \circ h_x)^! F)$. By Proposition 8.27 (1), it is finitely generated. \square

Lemma 8.33. ([Bea84, Lemma 10.15]) *We assume that $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a locally closed embedding. Then for $F \in D_{c,(Y_j)_j}^b(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I})$, we have $f^! F \in D_{c,(X_j)_j}^b(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I})$.*

Proof. We may assume that f is a closed embedding. We shall show that $f^! F|_{X \setminus X_l}$ is constructible with respect to $(X_j \setminus X_l)_j$ by induction on $l \leq \dim X - 1$. When $l = \dim X - 1$, the subset $X \setminus X_{\dim X - 1} \subset Y$ is a union of connected components of $Y_{\dim X} \setminus Y_{\dim X - 1}$. Hence the assertion of induction follows from Proposition 8.32. We assume that $l \leq \dim X - 2$. We put $i_l: X \setminus X_{l+1} \hookrightarrow X \setminus X_l$ an open embedding and $j_l: X_{l+1} \setminus X_l \hookrightarrow X \setminus X_l$ the complement. By the hypothesis of induction, a distinguished triangle given by applying $j_{l*} j_l^! \rightarrow \text{Id} \rightarrow Ri_{l*} i_l^* \rightarrow [1]$ to $(f^! F)|_{X \setminus X_l}$, and Corollary 8.29, it suffices to show that $j_l^!((f^! F)|_{X \setminus X_l})$ is constructible with respect to the trivial stratification on $X_{l+1} \setminus X_l$. This follows from Proposition 8.32. \square

Theorem 8.34. ([Bea84, Chapter V. Theorem 10.16 (iv) (v)]) *We assume that each fiber $f^{-1}(y)$ with a stratification $(f^{-1}(y) \cap X_j)_j$ ($y \in Y$) is compactifiable. Then for $F \in D_{c,(X_j)_j}^b(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I})$, we have $Rf_* F, Rf_! F \in D_{c,(Y_j)_j}^b(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I})$.*

Proof. By Lemma 8.24, $Rf_* F$ and $Rf_! F$ are weakly constructible. Since $H^r(Rf_! F_y) \cong H^r(R\Gamma_c F|_{f^{-1}(y)})$ ([Bea84, Chapter V. Lemma 7.12]), by Corollary 8.31, $H^r(Rf_! F_y)$ is finitely generated, i.e., $Rf_! F$ is constructible. We shall show that $Rf_* F|_{Y \setminus Y_l}$ is constructible with respect to a stratification $(Y_j \setminus Y_l)_j$ by induction on $l \leq \dim Y - 1$. When $l = \dim Y - 1$, since $Y \setminus Y_{\dim Y - 1}$ is a topological manifold, by [Bea84, Chapter V. Lemma 10.14 (ii)(2)], for $y \in Y \setminus Y_{\dim Y - 1}$, we have $H^r(Rf_* F_y) \cong H^r(R\Gamma F|_{f^{-1}(y)})$. By Corollary 8.31, it is finitely generated. We assume that $l \leq \dim Y - 2$. We put $i_l: Y \setminus Y_{l+1} \hookrightarrow Y \setminus Y_l$ an open embedding and $j_l: Y_{l+1} \setminus Y_l \hookrightarrow Y \setminus Y_l$ the complement. By the hypothesis of induction, a distinguished triangle given by applying $j_{l*} j_l^! \rightarrow \text{Id} \rightarrow Ri_{l*} i_l^* \rightarrow [1]$ to $(Rf_* F)|_{Y \setminus Y_l}$, and Corollary 8.29, it suffices to show that $j_l^!((Rf_* F)|_{Y \setminus Y_l})$ is constructible with respect to the trivial stratification on $Y_{l+1} \setminus Y_l$. By [Ach21, Proposition 1.5.7 (2)], we have $j_l^! Rf_* F|_{Y \setminus Y_l} \cong Rf|_{f^{-1}(Y_{l+1} \setminus Y_l)*} \varphi_l^! F$, where we put $\varphi_l: f^{-1}(Y_{l+1} \setminus Y_l) \hookrightarrow X$. By Lemma 8.33, $\varphi_l^! F$ is constructible. Since $Y_{l+1} \setminus Y_l$ is a manifold, by the case of $l = \dim Y - 1$, the complex $Rf|_{f^{-1}(Y_{l+1} \setminus Y_l)*} \varphi_l^! F$ is constructible. Thus we have proved the assertion. \square

Proposition 8.35. ([Bea84, Chapter V. Proposition 10.18]) For $F, G \in D_{c,(X_j)_j}^b(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I})$, the tensor product $F \overset{L}{\otimes} G$ is also constructible with respect to $(X_j)_j$.

Proof. This follows in the same way as [Bea84, Chapter V. Proposition 10.18]. We omit details. \square

Theorem 8.36. ([Bea84, Chapter V. Theorem 8.6]) For $F, G \in D_{c,(X_j)_j}^b(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I})$, the complex $\text{Rgr}\mathcal{H}om(F, G)$ is also constructible with respect to $(X_j)_j$.

Proof. This follows in the same way as [Bea84, Chapter V. Theorem 8.6]. We omit details. \square

Lemma 8.37. ([Ach21, Lemma 2.8.1]) We have $\omega_X[T_i]_{i \in I} \in D_{c,(X_j)_j}^b(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I})$ and

$$D: D_{c,(X_j)_j}^b(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}) \rightarrow D_{c,(X_j)_j}^b(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}).$$

Proof. The first assertion follows from Lemma 8.17 and constructibility of ω_X (e.g., [Ach21, Lemma 2.8.1]). The second assertion follows from the first one and Theorem 8.36. \square

Lemma 8.38. ([Bea84, Chapter V Proposition 3.10 a)]) Let $x \in X_j \setminus X_{j-1}$, and U a neighborhood of x homeomorphic to $B_j \times \overset{\circ}{c}(L)$ as in Definition 8.19. Then for $F \in D_{c,(X_j)_j}^b(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I})$, we have $H^r(R\Gamma F|_U) \cong H^r(j_x^* F)$, where $j_x: \{x\} \rightarrow X$ is the inclusion.

Proof. By [Bea84, Chapter V Proposition 3.10 a)], the cohomology group $H^r(R\Gamma F|_U)$ does not depend on U . Hence the assertion holds. \square

Proposition 8.39. ([KS90, Proposition 3.4.3 (iii)]) For $F \in D_{c,(X_j)_j}^b(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I})$, we have $H^r(j_x^* DF) \cong H^r(Dj_x^! F)$.

Proof. By Lemma 8.37, DF is constructible. Hence by Lemma 8.27 (1) and Lemma 8.38, we have

$$\begin{aligned} H^r(j_x^* DF) &\cong H^r(R\Gamma DF|_U) \\ &\cong H^r(\text{RgrHom}(R\Gamma_c F|_U, k[T_i]_{i \in I})) \\ &\cong H^r(Dj_x^! F), \end{aligned}$$

where U is a neighborhood of x homeomorphic to $B_j \times \overset{\circ}{c}(L)$. \square

Proposition 8.40. ([KS90, Proposition 3.4.3 (ii)]) For $F \in D_{c,(X_j)_j}^b(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I})$, we have $F \cong DDF$.

Proof. By Proposition 8.39 and Lemma 8.14, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (DDF)_x &\cong D(j_x^! DF) \\ &\cong \text{RgrHom}(j_x^! DF, k[T_i]_{i \in I}) \\ &\cong \text{RgrHom}(Dj_x^* F, k[T_i]_{i \in I}) \\ &\cong \text{RgrHom}(\text{RgrHom}(F, k[T_i]_{i \in I}), k[T_i]_{i \in I}) \\ &\cong F_x, \end{aligned}$$

where the last quasi-isomorphism follows in the same way as [Ach21, Theorem A.10.2]. \square

Corollary 8.41.

$$f^!: D_{c,(Y_j)_j}^b(Y, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}) \rightarrow D_{c,(X_j)_j}^b(X, \text{grMod } k[T_i]_{i \in I}).$$

Proof. $f^! \cong f^!DD \cong Df^*D$. □

Since $f^!\omega_Y[T_i]_{i \in I} \cong \omega_X[T_i]_{i \in I}$, we have $Rf_*D \cong DRf_!$.

Corollary 8.42. *We have $DRf_* \cong Rf_!D$ and $f^*D \cong Df^!$.*

REFERENCES

- [AAPS23] E. Aksen, O. Amini, M. Piquerez, and K. Shaw, *Cohomologically tropical varieties*, arXiv:2307.02945, 2023.
- [Ach21] P.N. Achar, *Perverse sheaves and applications to representation theory*, Math. Surveys Monogr., vol. 258, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2021.
- [AP24a] O. Amini and M. Piquerez, *Homological smoothness and Deligne resolution for tropical fans*, arXiv:2105.01504, 2024.
- [AP24b] ———, *Tropical Feichtner-Yuzvinsky and positivity criterion for fans*, arXiv:2405.05014, 2024.
- [Bea84] A. Borel and et al., *Intersection cohomology*, Modern Birkhäuser Classics., Birkhäuser Boston, MA, 1984.
- [Bei87] A. A. Beilinson, *On the derived category of perverse sheaves*, K -theory, arithmetic and geometry, Lecture Notes in Math., no. 1289, Springer, Berlin, 1987, pp. 27–41.
- [Bou74] N. Bourbaki, *Elements of mathematics. Algebra, Part I: Chapters 1-3*, Hermann, Paris; Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, MA, 1974.
- [Bre97] G.E. Bredon, *Sheaf theory*, second ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, no. 170, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997.
- [CLS11] D. A. Cox, J. B. Little, and H. K. Schenck, *Toric varieties*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 124, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2011.
- [CTHK97] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, R. T. Hoobler, and B. Kahn, *The Bloch-Ogus-Gabber theorem*, Algebraic K-theory (Toronto, ON, 1996), Fields Inst. Commun., vol. 16, Amer. Math., Providence, RI, 1997, pp. 31–94.
- [Fu15] L. Fu, *Etale cohomology theory*, revised ed., Nankai Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 14, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2015.
- [Ful98] Fulton, *Intersection theory*, second ed., Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series]2, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.
- [GJR21a] W. Gubler, P. Jell, and J. Rabinoff, *Dolbeault cohomology of graphs and Berkovich curves*, arXiv:2111.05747, 2021.
- [GJR21b] ———, *Forms on Berkovich spaces based on harmonic tropicalizations*, arXiv:2111.05741, 2021.
- [GM80] M. Goresky and R. MacPherson, *Intersection homology theory*, Topology **19** (1980), no. 2, 135–162.
- [GM83] ———, *Intersection homology II*, Invent. Math. **72** (1983), no. 1, 77–129.
- [GRW17] W. Gubler, J. Rabinoff, and A. Werner, *Tropical skeletons*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **67** (2017), no. 5, 1905–1961.
- [GS10] M. Gross and B. Siebert, *Mirror symmetry via logarithmic degeneration data, ii*, J. Algebraic Geom. **19** (2010), no. 4, 679–780.
- [GS23] A. Gross and F. Shokrieh, *A sheaf-theoretic approach to tropical homology*, J. Algebra **635** (2023), 577–641.
- [Gub13] W. Gubler, *A guide to tropicalizations*, Algebraic and combinatorial aspects of tropical geometry, Contemp. Math., vol. 589, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2013, pp. 125–189.
- [HW17] J. Huh and B. Wang, *Lefschetz classes on projective varieties*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **145** (2017), no. 11, 4629–4637.
- [IKMZ19] I. Itenberg, L. Katzarkov, G. Mikhalkin, and I. Zharkov, *Tropical homology*, Math. Ann. **374** (2019), no. 1-2, 963–10006.
- [Ill71] L. Illusie, *Complexe cotangent et déformations i*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 239, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1971.
- [JRS18] P. Jell, J. Rau, and K. Shaw, *Lefschetz (1,1)-theorem in tropical geometry*, Épijournal Géom. Algébrique **2** (2018), Art. 11, 27pp.

- [JSS19] P. Jell, K. Shaw, and J. Smacka, *Superforms, tropical cohomology, and Poincaré duality*, Adv. Geom. **19** (2019), no. 1, 101–130.
- [Kle69] S.L. Kleiman, *Geometry on grassmannians and applications to splitting bundles and smoothing cycles*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **36** (1969), 281–297.
- [KP11] E. Katz and S. Payne, *Realization spaces for tropical fans*, Combinatorial aspects of commutative algebra and algebraic geometry, Abel Symp., vol. 6, Springer, Berlin, 2011, pp. 73–88.
- [KS90] M. Kashiwara and P. Schapira, *Sheaves on manifolds*, With a chapter in French by Christian Houzel. Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 292, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990.
- [KS06] ———, *Categories and sheaves*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], no. 332, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006.
- [Lau83] G. Laumon, *Sur la catégorie dérivée des \mathcal{D} -modules filtrés*, Algebraic geometry (Tokyo/Kyoto, 1982), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1016, Springer, Berlin, 1983, pp. 151–237.
- [Mik20] R. Mikami, *On tropical cycle class maps*, arXiv:2009.04690v5, 2020.
- [MNP13] J.P. Murre, J. Nagel, and C.A.M. Peters, *Lectures on the theory of pure motives*, University Lecture, vol. 61, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2013.
- [MZ14] G. Mikhalkin and I. Zharkov, *Tropical eigenwave and intermediate jacobians*, Homological mirror symmetry and tropical geometry, Lect. Notes Unione Mat. Ital., vol. 15, Springer, Cham, 2014, pp. 309–349.
- [Pay09] S. Payne, *Analytification is the limit of all tropicalizations*, Math. Res. Lett. **16** (2009), no. 3, 543–556.
- [Pop73] N. Popescu, *Abelian categories with applications to rings and modules*, London Math. Soc. Monog., No. 3, Academic Press, London-New York, 1973.
- [PS08] C.A.M. Peters and J.H.M. Steenbrink, *Mixed Hodge structures*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics], vol. 52, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008.
- [Rab12] J. Rabinoff, *Tropical analytic geometry, Newton polygons, and tropical intersections*, Adv. Math. **229** (2012), no. 6, 3192–3255.
- [Sch72] H. Schubert, *Categories*, Translated from the German by Eva Gray. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1972.
- [Tot14] B. Totaro, *Chow groups, Chow cohomology, and linear varieties*, Forum Math. Sigma **2** (2014), Paper No. e17, 25.
- [Wei94] C. A. Weibel, *An introduction to homological algebra*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 38, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.

[RYOTA MIKAMI]INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, ACADEMIA SINICA, 7F, ASTRONOMY-MATHEMATICS BUILDING, NO. 1, SEC. 4, ROOSEVELT ROAD, DA-AN, TAIPEI 106319, TAIWAN
Email address: mikami@gate.sinica.edu.tw