

Morse theory and Seiberg-Witten moduli spaces of 3-dimensional cobordisms, I

Yi-Jen Lee

Preliminary

Abstract

Motivated by a variant of Atiyah-Floer conjecture proposed in [L2] and its potential generalizations, in this article and its sequel we study as a first step properties of moduli spaces of Seiberg-Witten equations on a 3-dimensional cobordism with cylindrical ends (CCE) Y , perturbed by closed 2-forms of the form $r * df + w$, where $r \geq 1$, where f is a harmonic Morse function with certain linear growth at the ends of Y , and w is a certain closed 2-form.

1 Introduction

Definition 1.1. A 3-dimensional cobordism with cylindrical ends (“CCE” for short) is a connected complete oriented Riemannian 3-manifold Y , such that: there is a compact 3-dimensional submanifold with boundary, $Y_c \subset Y$, and an isometry

$$\iota: (-\infty, 0)_t \times \Sigma_- \sqcup (0, \infty)_t \times \Sigma_+ \rightarrow Y \setminus Y_c,$$

where:

- Σ_{\pm} are nonempty oriented compact surfaces;
- $(-\infty, 0)_t \times \Sigma_-$ and $(0, \infty)_t \times \Sigma_+$ are both equipped with a product metric, with the first factor endowed with the metric induced from the affine metric on \mathbb{R}_t .

A metric satisfying the above constraints is called a *cylindrical metric*. Y is called a *CCE from Σ_- to Σ_+* , and is frequently denoted by $Y: \Sigma_- \rightarrow \Sigma_+$. We call $\mathcal{E}_-[Y] := \iota((-\infty, -2)_t \times \Sigma_-)$ and $\mathcal{E}_+[Y] := \iota((2, \infty)_t \times \Sigma_+)$ respectively the *negative end* and the *positive end* of Y .

Definition 1.2. Let Y be a CCE from Σ_- to Σ_+ , and adopt the notations from Definition 1.1. An *admissible function* $f: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a harmonic Morse function such that:

- (1) It has finitely many critical points. Thus, we may and will choose to define Y_c such that all critical points of f lie in the interior of Y_c ;
- (2) There exists constants $C_{\pm} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $f - (\iota^*t + C_{\pm}) \in L^2_1(\mathcal{E}_{\pm}[Y])$ respectively. Here, where $t: (-\infty, 0) \times \Sigma_- \sqcup (0, \infty) \times \Sigma_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ denotes the projection to the first factor.

Let (Y, \mathfrak{s}) be a Spin^c 3-manifold, and let \mathbb{S} denote the associated spinor bundle. Let $\text{Conn}(\mathbb{S})$ denote the space of Spin-connections on \mathbb{S} . Let $\rho: \bigwedge^* T^*M \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathbb{S})$ denote the Clifford action, with the convention¹ chosen such that

$$\rho(*\nu) = -\rho(\nu) \quad \forall \nu \in \Omega^1(M).$$

The 3-dimensional *Seiberg-Witten equation* on (Y, \mathfrak{s}) concerns an element $(A, \Psi) \in \text{Conn}(\mathbb{S}) \times \Gamma(\mathbb{S})$, called a (Seiberg-Witten) *configuration*, and takes the following general form:

$$\mathfrak{F}_{\mu}(A, \Psi) := \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} * F_{A^t} + \rho^{-1}(\Psi\Psi^*)_0 + \frac{i}{4} * \mu \\ \not{D}_A \Psi \end{pmatrix} = 0, \quad (1.1)$$

where μ is a closed 2-form (the previously mentioned perturbation form on whose cohomology class the monopole Floer homology depends on). ρ stands for the Clifford action, and \not{D}_A is the Dirac operator. The subscript $(\cdot)_0$ in $(\Psi\Psi^*)_0$ means the traceless part, and A^t is the connection on $\det \mathbb{S}$ induced from A . (In general, a further abstract perturbation is needed to make the Floer homology well-defined, but that is unnecessary in our context.) Note that $A^t \in \text{Conn}(\det \mathbb{S})$ together with the Levi-Civita connection determines a Spin^c -connection A ; so we shall use A and A^t interchangeably to specify a Spin^c connection.

¹This convention agrees with that in [KM], but is opposite to that in [L1].

There is an action of $C^\infty(Y; U(1))$ on $\text{Conn}(\det \mathbb{S}) \times \Gamma(\mathbb{S})$ given by

$$g \cdot (A^t, \Psi) = (-2g^{-1}dg, g\Psi) \quad g \in C^\infty(Y; U(1)),$$

called the *gauge action*. To configurations are said to be *gauge equivalent* if they are related by such an action. Note that \mathfrak{F}_μ is invariant under the gauge action; so we may refer to a gauge equivalence class as a solution to the Seiberg-Witten equation (1.1).

Let Y be a CCE from Σ_- to Σ_+ , and let $f: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an admissible function. Fix a Spin^c structure \mathfrak{s} , and write $c_1(\mathfrak{s}) = c_1(\det \mathbb{S})$, where \mathbb{S} is the spinor bundle associated to \mathfrak{s} . We define the *degree* of \mathfrak{s} (relative to f), denoted by $d = d_{\mathfrak{s}}$, by the formula

$$c_1(\mathfrak{s})|_{\Sigma_{\min}} = 2d + \chi(\Sigma_{\min}),$$

where $\Sigma_{\min} \subset Y$ is a regular level surface of f which has minimal genus among all level surfaces of f . Note that the preceding definition does not depend on the choice of Σ_{\min} .

Let w be an admissible two form on Y , as defined in Definition 2.3 below. We consider a family of perturbation forms parametrized by $r \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$\mu_r = \mu_{r,w} = r * df + w, \quad r \geq 1. \quad (1.2)$$

The notion of an admissible configurations is introduced in Definition 2.4 below. We use $\mathcal{Z}_{r,w}(Y, \mathfrak{s}; f)$ to denote space of gauge equivalence classes of admissible solutions (A, Ψ) to $\mathfrak{F}_{\mu_{r,w}}(A, \Psi) = 0$.

Given a compact Kähler surface, we endow the symmetric product $\text{Sym}^k \Sigma$ is equipped with the Kähler form induced by its identification with the moduli space of vortex solutions on a degree k line bundle on Σ . (See e.g. [G].)

Theorem 1.1. *Let $Y: \Sigma_- \rightarrow \Sigma_+$ be a CCE, and f is an admissible function. Fix a Spin^c structure \mathfrak{s} on Y with degree d , and let $d_\pm := d + \frac{\chi(\Sigma_{\min}) - \chi(\Sigma_\pm)}{2}$. Let $\hat{\mathcal{W}}$ be the space of admissible 2-forms defined following Definition 2.3. Then there exists a constant $r_0 \geq 1$ depending only on the metric, d and w , such that $\forall r \geq r_0$, there is a Baire subset $\hat{\mathcal{W}}_{reg} \subset \hat{\mathcal{W}}$ such that $\forall w \in \hat{\mathcal{W}}_{reg}$, $\mathcal{Z}_{r,w}(Y, \mathfrak{s}; f)$ is empty when $d < 0$, and otherwise an orientable smooth manifold of dimension $d_- + d_+$. It is equipped with an “end point map”*

$$\Pi_{-\infty} \times \Pi_{+\infty}: \mathcal{Z}_{r,w}(Y, \mathfrak{s}; f) \rightarrow (-\mathcal{V}_{r,d_-}(\Sigma_-) \times \mathcal{V}_{r,d_+}(\Sigma_+),$$

which is a Lagrangian immersion. In the above, $\mathcal{V}_{r,d}(\Sigma)$ denotes the moduli space of the solutions to the version of vortex equation defined in (3.6). As explained in [G], $\mathcal{V}_{r,d}(\Sigma) \simeq \text{Sym}^d \Sigma$ and is endowed with a natural symplectic structure.

1.1 Notation and Conventions

- Let $V \rightarrow Y$ be a euclidean or hermitian vector bundle over a manifold (possibly with boundary) Y . We use $\Gamma(Y; V) = \Gamma(V)$ to denote the space of smooth sections of V , and use $C_0^\infty(Y; V)$ to denote the space of smooth sections whose support lie in compact subspaces in the interior of Y . Given $\delta \in \Gamma(Y; V)$, $\|\delta\|_{L^p(Y; V)} := \left(\int_Y |\delta|^p \right)^{1/p}$. This is sometimes abbreviated as $\|\delta\|_{L^p}$ or $\|\cdot\|_p$. Given a connection A on V , $\|\delta\|_{L_{k/A}^p(Y; V)} := \left(\sum_{i=0}^k \int_Y |\nabla_A^i \delta|^p \right)^{1/p}$, where ∇_A is used to denote covariant derivatives with respect to connections induced from A and the Levi-Civita connection on T^*Y . It is also abbreviated as $\|\delta\|_{L_{k/A}^p}$ or $\|\delta\|_{p,k/A}$. The connection A is sometimes omitted from the notation when its choice is obvious or insignificant. For example, when $V = \underline{\mathbb{R}}$ is the trivial \mathbb{R} -bundle, then $L_k^p(Y)$ denotes $L_{k/A}^p(Y; \underline{\mathbb{R}})$ when A is taken to be the trivial connection.

Let $L_{k/A,loc}^p(Y; V)$ denote the space consisting all sections of V whose restriction to any compact subspace of Y is in $L_{k/A}^p$.

- Given a topological space M , $\overset{\circ}{M}$ denotes the interior of M .
- C_* , C'_* with various subscripts $*$ usually denote positive constants whose precise values are not important, and possibly vary with each occurrence. Similarly for r_0 .

This article frequently refers to various literature, which unfortunately use different conventions. For the reader's convenience, we clarify some of their relations here. The Seiberg-Witten equations in this article follow the convention of [KM] and [L3]. In Taubes's articles, $F_A/2$ above is replaced by F_A . This results in a difference of factor 2 in many expressions below from

their analogs in Taubes's articles. To sum up,

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi &= \Psi_{\text{KM}} = \Psi_{\text{LT}}/\sqrt{2} = \psi_{\text{L1}}/\sqrt{2} \\ \frac{i\mu}{4} &= irw_f|_{\text{LT}} = -2w|_{\text{KM}} = -\frac{i}{2}\omega|_{\text{L1}}; \\ [\rho^{-1}(\Psi^*\Psi)_0] &= [\rho^{-1}(\Psi^*\Psi)_0]_{\text{KM}} = -[\Psi^\dagger\tau\Psi]_{\text{LT}}\end{aligned}$$

where the first expressions in all three lines are in the notation used in this article, and the subscripts *KM*, *PFH*, *har* refer respectively to their counterparts in [KM], [LT], and [L1].

Acknowledgement This work is supported in part by Hong Kong RGC grant GRF-14301622.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Some definitions

Let $\chi(t)$ denote a non-negative, non-decreasing smooth real function on \mathbb{R} such that $\chi(t) = 0$ on $(-\infty, 1]$, and $\chi(t) = 1$ on $[2, \infty)$. Let $\bar{\chi}(t) := \chi(t) + \chi(-t)$. Let $\chi_e: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the nonnegative function defined by

$$\chi_e = \begin{cases} \iota^* \pi_{\mathbb{R}}^* \bar{\chi} & \text{on } Y \setminus Y_c, \text{ where } \pi_{\mathbb{R}}: \mathbb{R}_{\pm}^{\pm} \times \Sigma_{\pm} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\pm}^{\pm} \text{ denotes the projection,} \\ 0 & \text{on } Y_c, \end{cases}$$

and let $\tilde{t}: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ denote the function defined by

$$d\tilde{t} = \begin{cases} \chi_e \iota^* \pi_{\mathbb{R}}^* dt & \text{on } Y \setminus Y_c, \\ 0 & \text{on } Y_c, \end{cases} ; \quad \tilde{t}|_{Y_c} = 0.$$

Definition 2.1 (Weighted Sobolev norms). Let $V \rightarrow Y$ be a euclidean or hermitian vector bundle over a CCE Y . Fix $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$. Given $\mathfrak{d} \in \Gamma(Y; V)$, $\|\mathfrak{d}\|_{L^p_{;\epsilon}(Y;V)} := \left(\int_Y |e^{\epsilon|\tilde{t}} \mathfrak{d}|^p \right)^{1/p}$. This is sometimes abbreviated as $\|\mathfrak{d}\|_{L^p_{;\epsilon}}$ or $\|\cdot\|_{p;\epsilon}$. Given a euclidean/hermitian connection A on V , $\|\mathfrak{d}\|_{L^p_{/A;\epsilon}(Y;V)} :=$

$\left(\sum_{i=0}^k \int_Y \left| e^{\epsilon|\tilde{t}|} \left| \nabla_A^k \delta \right|^p \right)^{1/p}$, where ∇_A is used to denote covariant derivatives with respect to connections induced from A and the Levi-Civita connection on T^*Y . It is also abbreviated as $\|\delta\|_{L_{k/A;\epsilon}^p}$ or $\|\delta\|_{p,k/A;\epsilon}$. The connection A is sometimes omitted from the notation when its choice is obvious or insignificant. $L_{;\epsilon}^p(Y; V)$ and $L_{k/A;\epsilon}^p(Y; V)$ denote respectively the Banach spaces resulting from completing $C_0^\infty(Y; V)$ with respect to the norms $\|\cdot\|_{p;\epsilon}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{p,k/A;\epsilon}$.

w is in the cohomology class $2\pi c_1(\mathfrak{s})$.

Definition 2.2 (Extended (weighted) Sobolev space). Let $Y: \Sigma_- \rightarrow \Sigma_+$ be a CCE. Let π_2 denote the projection to the second factor of the product $(-\infty, 0) \times \Sigma_-$ or $(0, \infty) \times \Sigma_+$, and let $\pi_\Sigma: \mathcal{E}_\pm^0[Y] \rightarrow \Sigma_\pm$ be given by $\pi_\Sigma := \pi_2 \circ \iota^{-1}$, where

$$\mathcal{E}_-^R[Y] = \iota((-\infty, -R) \times \Sigma_-), \quad \mathcal{E}_+^R[Y] = \iota((R, \infty) \times \Sigma_+).$$

Let $V \rightarrow Y$ be a euclidean/hermitian vector bundle with bundle isomorphisms $\iota_V: \pi_2^* V_\pm \rightarrow V|_{\mathcal{E}'_\pm[Y]}$, where $V_\pm \rightarrow \Sigma_\pm$ are euclidean/hermitian vector bundles.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_2^* V_\pm & \xrightarrow{\iota_V} & V|_{\mathcal{E}'_\pm[Y]} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{R}^\pm \times \Sigma_\pm & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \mathcal{E}_\pm^0[Y] \end{array}$$

Fix euclidean/hermitian connections A_\pm on V_\pm . Let A_0 be a euclidean/hermitian connection on V such that it agrees on the induced connection from A_\pm over $V|_{\mathcal{E}'_\pm[Y]}$. Let A be a euclidean/hermitian connection on V such that $A - A_0 \in L_l^p$, $l > 3/p$, $l \geq k$. Let $\chi_{e\pm}: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be

$$\chi_{e\pm} := \begin{cases} \chi_e & \text{on } \mathcal{E}_\pm^0[Y], \\ 0 & \text{on } Y \setminus \mathcal{E}_\pm^0[Y]. \end{cases}$$

Given $(\delta_-, \delta_+) \in L_{k/A_-}^p(\Sigma_-; V_-) \times L_{k/A_+}^p(\Sigma_+; V_+)$, let $\mathbf{e}_{(\delta_-, \delta_+)} \in L_{k/A,loc}^p(Y; V)$ be given by

$$\mathbf{e}_{(\delta_-, \delta_+)} := \chi_{e+} \pi_{\Sigma^+}^* \delta_+ + \chi_{e-} \pi_{\Sigma^-}^* \delta_-.$$

Then given $\epsilon \geq 0$, let $\hat{L}_{k/A;\epsilon}^p(Y; V)$ denote the space

$$\hat{L}_{k/A;\epsilon}^p(Y; V) := \{\delta \mid \exists \delta_{\pm} \in L_{k/A_{\pm}}^p(\Sigma_{\pm}; V_{\pm}) \text{ s.t. } \delta - \mathbf{e}_{(\delta_-, \delta_+)} \in L_{k/A;\epsilon}^p(Y; V)\}.$$

Let $\Pi_{\pm\infty}: \hat{L}_{k/A;\epsilon}^p(Y; V) \rightarrow L_{k/A_{\pm}}^p(\Sigma_{\pm}; V_{\pm})$ denote the epimorphism given by $\delta \mapsto \delta_{\pm}$. Given a subspace $\mathbb{L} \subset L_{k/A_-}^p(\Sigma_-; V_-) \times L_{k/A_+}^p(\Sigma_+; V_+)$, let

$$\hat{L}_{k/A;\epsilon}^p(Y; V \mid \mathbb{L}) := (\Pi_{-\infty} \times \Pi_{+\infty})^{-1}\mathbb{L}.$$

By construction, \mathbb{L} is a Banach subspace of $L_{k/A_-}^p(\Sigma_-; V_-) \times L_{k/A_+}^p(\Sigma_+; V_+)$, and $(\Pi_{-\infty} \times \Pi_{+\infty}): \hat{L}_{k/A;\epsilon}^p(Y; V \mid \mathbb{L}) \rightarrow \mathbb{L}$ is a Banach bundle over \mathbb{L} , with fibers isomorphic to the Banach space $L_{k/A;\epsilon}^p(Y; V)$. We endow $\hat{L}_{k/A;\epsilon}^p(Y; V \mid \mathbb{L})$ with the topology induced from the Banach topology on its fibers and base.

In the case when $V = T^*Y$, we identify $T^*Y \Big|_{\mathcal{E}_{\pm}^0[Y]}$ with $\pi_2^*V_{\pm}$, where $V_{\pm} = \underline{\mathbb{R}} \oplus Tj\Sigma_{\pm}$, where $\underline{\mathbb{R}}$ is the trivial bundle spanned by dt . In this manner, we regard $L_k^p(\Sigma_{\pm}; T^*\Sigma_{\pm})$ as a subspace of $L_k^p(\Sigma_{\pm}; V_{\pm})$ by regarding $T^*\Sigma_{\pm}$ as a subbundle of V_{\pm} . We define

$$\tilde{L}_{k;\epsilon}^p(Y; T^*Y) := \hat{L}_{k;\epsilon}^p(Y; T^*Y \mid L_k^p(\Sigma_-; T^*\Sigma_-) \times L_k^p(\Sigma_+; T^*\Sigma_+)).$$

Let $\tilde{L}_{k;\epsilon}^p(Y; \bigwedge^2 T^*Y)$ be similarly defined: This time identify V_{\pm} with $T^*\Sigma_{\pm} \oplus \bigwedge^2 T^*\Sigma_{\pm}$, and use this splitting to identify $L_l^2(\Sigma_{\pm}; \bigwedge^2 T^*\Sigma_{\pm})$ as a subspace of $L_l^2(\Sigma_{\pm}; V_{\pm})$.

Definition 2.3. Fix a Spin^c CCE (Y, \mathfrak{s}) . Given $l \in \mathbb{N}$, an l -admissible 2-form $w \in \Omega^2(Y)$ is a closed 2-form satisfying the following conditions:

- $w \in \tilde{L}_{l;\epsilon}^2(Y; \bigwedge^2 T^*Y)$, where $\epsilon > 0$ satisfies (2.3);
- w is in the cohomology class $4\pi c_1(\mathfrak{s})$.

w is said to be *admissible* if it is l -admissible for all $l \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $\hat{\mathcal{W}}_l = \hat{\mathcal{W}}_{l,\mathfrak{s}}$ denote the space of l -admissible 2-forms, and let $\hat{\mathcal{W}} = \bigcap_l \hat{\mathcal{W}}_l$. Let $\mathcal{W}^{\pm}/\mathcal{W}_l^{\pm}$ denote the space of smooth/ L_l^2 closed 2-forms on Σ_{\pm} in the cohomology class $4\pi c_1^{\pm}$, where $(c_1^-, c_1^+) \in H^2(\Sigma_-; \mathbb{Z}) \oplus H^2(\Sigma_+; \mathbb{Z}) = H^2(\partial Y_c; \mathbb{Z})$ is the image of $c_1(\mathfrak{s})$ under the pullback map $i_c^*: H^2(Y; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow$

$H^2(\partial Y_c; \mathbb{Z})$, where $\iota_c: \partial Y_c \rightarrow Y$ is the embedding. The end-point maps $\Pi_{+\infty}$ define a bundle structure on \hat{W} and \hat{W}_l :

$$(\Pi_{-\infty} \times \Pi_{+\infty}): \hat{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^- \times \mathcal{W}^+; \quad (\Pi_{-\infty} \times \Pi_{+\infty}): \hat{W}_l \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_l^- \times \mathcal{W}_l^+.$$

By construction, the fibers of \hat{W}_l are affine spaces under the space of exact $L_{l,\epsilon}^2$ 2-forms on Y , denoted as W_l . We endow \hat{W}_l with the topology induced from the Banach topologies on its base and fibers, and similarly endow \hat{W} with topology induced from the Fréchet topologies of \mathcal{W}^\pm and $W := \bigcap_l W_l$.

Definition 2.4. Fix a Spin^c CCE (Y, \mathfrak{s}) , and let \mathbb{S} denote the associated spinor bundle. Fix an isomorphism $\iota_{\mathbb{S}}: \pi_2^* \mathbb{S}_{\Sigma_{\pm}} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\pm}^0[Y]$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_2^* \mathbb{S}_{\Sigma_{\pm}} & \xrightarrow{\iota_{\mathbb{S}}} & \mathbb{S} \Big|_{\mathcal{E}_{\pm}^0[Y]} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{R}^{\pm} \times \Sigma_{\pm} & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \mathcal{E}_{\pm}^0[Y]. \end{array}$$

Choose a reference connection $A_0 \in \text{Conn}(\mathbb{S})$ such that its restriction to $\mathcal{E}_{\pm}^0[Y]$ agrees with a pull-back connection $\pi_2^* B_{0,\pm}$, $B_{0,\pm} \in \text{Conn}(\mathbb{S}_{\Sigma_{\pm}})$. Given $l \in \mathbb{N}$, we say that $A \in \text{Conn}(\mathbb{S})$ is l -admissible if $A_t - (A_0)_t \in \tilde{L}_l^2(Y, iT^*Y)$.

Let $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $l \geq 2$. A configuration (A, Ψ) is l -admissible if it satisfies:

1. A is l -admissible and $\Psi \in \hat{L}_{l/A_0}^2(Y; \mathbb{S})$. Note that by Sobolev embedding, when A is l -admissible with $l \geq 2$, $\Psi \in \hat{L}_{l/A_0}^2(Y; \mathbb{S})$ iff $\Psi \in \hat{L}_{l/A}^2(Y; \mathbb{S})$.
2. $(\frac{\rho(df)}{|df|} - i)\Psi \Big|_{\mathcal{E}_{\pm}^0[Y]} \in L_{l/A}^2(\mathcal{E}_{\pm}^0[Y]; \mathbb{S})$. Note that $\frac{\rho(df)}{|df|}$ is well-defined on the ends of Y as the zero locus of df falls in Y_c .

(A, Ψ) *admissible* if it is admissible $\forall l$.

By Condition 1 above, there are end-point maps $\Pi_{\pm\infty}$ from the space of l -admissible configurations to $\text{Conn}_l(\mathbb{S}_{\Sigma_{\pm}}) \times L_{l/B_{0,\pm}}^2(\Sigma_{\pm}; \mathbb{S}_{\Sigma_{\pm}})$, where

$$\text{Conn}_l(\mathbb{S}_{\Sigma_{\pm}}) := \{B_{0,\pm} + b \mid b \in L_l^2(\Sigma_{\pm}; iT^*\Sigma_{\pm})\}.$$

Meanwhile, use $\rho(dt)$ to split $\mathbb{S}\Big|_{\mathcal{E}_{\pm}^0[Y]} = \hat{E} \oplus \hat{E}'$, where \hat{E} is the eigenbundle of $\rho(t)$ with eigenvalue $-i$. This induces a splitting of

$$\mathbb{S}_{\Sigma_{\pm}} = E_{\Sigma_{\pm}} \oplus E_{\Sigma_{\pm}} \otimes T^{1,0}\Sigma_{\pm} \quad (2.1)$$

via the bundle isomorphism

$$\iota_{\mathbb{S}}: \pi_2^*\mathbb{S}_{\Sigma_{\pm}} = \pi_2^*E_{\Sigma_{\pm}} \oplus \pi_2^*E_{\Sigma_{\pm}} \otimes T^{1,0}\Sigma_{\pm} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}\Big|_{\mathcal{E}_{\pm}^0[Y]} = \hat{E} \oplus \hat{E}'.$$

Condition 2 above implies that $\Pi_{\pm\infty}$ maps an admissible to an element (B_{\pm}, Φ_{\pm}) , where the $E_{\Sigma_{\pm}} \otimes T^{1,0}\Sigma_{\pm}$ -component of Φ_{\pm} under the splitting (2.1) vanishes. Thus, we may identify Φ_{\pm} as a section of $E_{\Sigma_{\pm}}$, and take the codomain of $\Pi_{\pm\infty}$ to be $\text{Conn}_l(\mathbb{S}_{\Sigma_{\pm}}) \times L^2_{l/B_{0,\pm}^E}(\Sigma_{\pm}; E_{\Sigma_{\pm}})$, where $B_{0,\pm}^E := B_{0,\pm}^E\Big|_{E_{\Sigma_{\pm}}}$.

Define the end-point maps $\Pi_{\pm\infty}$ from the space of admissible configurations similarly.

2.2 Existence and genericity of admissible functions

Proposition 2.1. *Let $Y: \Sigma_- \rightarrow \Sigma_+$ be a CCE. Then there exists a harmonic function f on Y satisfying Condition (2) of Definition 1.2. Moreover, any two such functions differ by a constant function. Given $\epsilon > 0$ satisfying (2.3) and a non-negative integer k , there are constants $C_f > 0$, f_{\pm} (depending on f) such that the following pointwise bound holds:*

$$\sum_{i=1}^k |\nabla^k(f - \tilde{t})| + |f - \tilde{t} - f_{\pm}| \leq C_f e^{-\epsilon|\tilde{t}|} \quad \text{over } \mathcal{E}_{\pm}[Y]. \quad (2.2)$$

Proof. Consider the differential operator $D: \Omega^0(Y) \oplus \Omega^1(Y) \rightarrow \Omega^0(Y) \oplus \Omega^1(Y)$ given by

$$D := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & d^* \\ d & *d \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then D is formally L^2 self-adjoint, and $D(f, \theta) = 0$ implies that both f and θ are harmonic. D is of the Atiyah-Patodi-Singer (APS [APS]) type: Over

$\iota^{-1}\mathcal{E}_{\pm}^0[Y] = \mathbb{R}_t^{\pm} \times \Sigma_{\pm}$, identify each element in $\Omega^0(\mathbb{R}_t^{\pm} \times \Sigma_{\pm}) \oplus \Omega^1(\mathbb{R}_t^{\pm} \times \Sigma_{\pm})$ with a family of elements in $\Omega^0(\Sigma_{\pm}) \oplus \Omega^0(\Sigma_{\pm}) \oplus \Omega^0(\Sigma_{\pm})$ parametrized by $t \in \mathbb{R}^{\pm}$ as follows: Assign to each

$$(f(t, z), \theta(t, z) = \vartheta(t, z)dt + \theta_z(t, z)) \in \Omega^0(\mathbb{R}_t^{\pm} \times \Sigma_{\pm}) \oplus \Omega^1(\mathbb{R}_t^{\pm} \times \Sigma_{\pm})$$

the family

$$t \mapsto (f(t, \cdot), \vartheta(t, \cdot), \theta_z(t, \cdot)) \in \Omega^0(\Sigma_{\pm}) \oplus \Omega^0(\Sigma_{\pm}) \oplus \Omega^1(\Sigma_{\pm}) = \Gamma(\Sigma_{\pm}; \underline{\mathbb{R}} \oplus \underline{\mathbb{R}} \oplus T^*\Sigma_{\pm}).$$

In the above, $t \in \mathbb{R}^{\pm}$, $z \in \Sigma_{\pm}$, $\vartheta(t, \cdot) \in \Omega^0(\Sigma_{\pm})$, and $\theta_z(t, \cdot) \in \Omega^1(\Sigma_{\pm})$. Then under the aforementioned identification,

$$\iota^* \circ D \circ (\iota^*)^{-1} = \sigma \left(\frac{d}{dt} + B \right),$$

where $\sigma: E_{\pm} \rightarrow E_{\pm}$ is a bundle automorphism, $E_{\pm} := \underline{\mathbb{R}} \oplus \underline{\mathbb{R}} \oplus T^*\Sigma_{\pm}$:

$$\sigma = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & *_z \end{bmatrix};$$

and $B: \Gamma(\Sigma_{\pm}; E_{\pm}) \rightarrow \Gamma(\Sigma_{\pm}; E_{\pm})$ is the differential operator

$$B_{\pm} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -*_z d_z \\ 0 & 0 & d_z^* \\ *_z d_z & d_z & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

In the above, $*_z: \Omega^*(\Sigma_{\pm}) \rightarrow \Omega^{2-*}(\Sigma_{\pm})$ denote the 2-dimensional Hodge dual; $d_z = d: \Omega^*(\Sigma_{\pm}) \rightarrow \Omega^*(\Sigma_{\pm})$ denotes the 2-dimensional exterior derivative.

Note that σ and B_{\pm} satisfy the properties that

$$\sigma^2 = -1, \sigma^* = -\sigma, \sigma B_{\pm} + B_{\pm} \sigma = 0,$$

and B is formally L^2 self-dual adjoint. It extends to a self-adjoint Fredholm operator denoted by the same notation:

$$B_{\pm}: L_1^2(\Sigma_{\pm}; E_{\pm}) \rightarrow L^2(\Sigma_{\pm}, E_{\pm}).$$

The kernel and the cokernel of B_{\pm} are both

$$\mathbb{H}_{B_{\pm}} = \{(f, \vartheta, \theta_z) \mid f, \vartheta, \theta_z \text{ harmonic}\} \simeq H^0(\Sigma_{\pm}) \oplus H^0(\Sigma_{\pm}) \oplus H^1(\Sigma_{\pm}).$$

Moreover, as observed in [CLM], σ induces a symplectic form Ω_{\pm}^{σ} on $L^2(\Sigma_{\pm}, E_{\pm})$:

$$\Omega_{\pm}^{\sigma}(h, h') := \langle h, \sigma h' \rangle_{L^2},$$

which restricts to a symplectic form (denoted by the same notation) on $\mathbb{H}_{B_{\pm}}$. Let $\bar{\Omega}^{\sigma}$ denote the symplectic form $(-\Omega_{-}^{\sigma}) \oplus \Omega_{+}^{\sigma}$ on $L^2(\Sigma_{-}, E_{-}) \oplus L^2(\Sigma_{+}, E_{+})$, which in turn induces a symplectic form on $\mathbb{H}_{B_{-}} \times \mathbb{H}_{B_{+}}$ denoted by the same notation. Let $\bar{\sigma} := (-\sigma) \oplus \sigma$. Then $\bar{\sigma}$ defines a complex structure on $L^2(\Sigma_{-}, E_{-}) \oplus L^2(\Sigma_{+}, E_{+})$ compatible with $\bar{\Omega}^{\sigma}$, which in turn induces a complex structure on $\mathbb{H}_{B_{-}} \times \mathbb{H}_{B_{+}}$ compatible with $\bar{\Omega}^{\sigma}$, also denoted by the same notation.

As a self-adjoint operator, B_{\pm} has a discrete spectrum $\text{Spec}(B_{\pm})$ in the real line. Fix

$$\epsilon > 0 \text{ such that } \epsilon < \min \left(\min_{\lambda \in \text{Spec}(B_{+}), \lambda \neq 0}, |\lambda|, \min_{\lambda \in \text{Spec}(B_{-}), \lambda \neq 0}, |\lambda| \right) =: \epsilon_0. \quad (2.3)$$

Let

$$D_{:\epsilon}: L^2_{1:\epsilon}(Y; \underline{\mathbb{R}} \oplus T^*Y) \rightarrow L^2_{:\epsilon}(Y; \underline{\mathbb{R}} \oplus T^*Y)$$

denote the operator obtained by completing $D: C_0^{\infty}(Y; \underline{\mathbb{R}} \oplus T^*Y) \rightarrow C_0^{\infty}(Y; \underline{\mathbb{R}} \oplus T^*Y)$ with respect to the $L^2_{1:\epsilon}$ -norm.

Note that for any $\bar{h} = (h_{-}, h_{+}) \in \mathbb{H}_{B_{-}} \times \mathbb{H}_{B_{+}}$, $D\mathbf{e}_{\bar{h}}$ is compactly supported; so $D_{:\epsilon}$ extends to define an operator

$$\hat{D}_{:\epsilon}: \hat{L}^2_{1:\epsilon}(Y; \underline{\mathbb{R}} \oplus T^*Y | \mathbb{H}_{B_{-}} \times \mathbb{H}_{B_{+}}) \rightarrow L^2_{:\epsilon}(Y; \underline{\mathbb{R}} \oplus T^*Y).$$

Given a subspace $L \subset \mathbb{H}_{B_{-}} \times \mathbb{H}_{B_{+}}$, let $\hat{D}_{:\epsilon}|_L: \hat{L}^2_{1:\epsilon}(Y; \underline{\mathbb{R}} \oplus T^*Y | L) \rightarrow L^2_{:\epsilon}(Y; \underline{\mathbb{R}} \oplus T^*Y)$ denote the restriction of $\hat{D}_{:\epsilon}$ to $\hat{L}^2_{1:\epsilon}(Y; \underline{\mathbb{R}} \oplus T^*Y | L) \subset \hat{L}^2_{1:\epsilon}(Y; \underline{\mathbb{R}} \oplus T^*Y | \mathbb{H}_{B_{-}} \times \mathbb{H}_{B_{+}})$.

Lemma 2.2. *Fix ϵ satisfying (2.3). Then:*

(1) *The operators $D_{:\epsilon}, \hat{D}_{:\epsilon}|_L$ are Fredholm, where L is an arbitrary subspace of $\mathbb{H}_{B_{-}} \times \mathbb{H}_{B_{+}}$.*

(2) *Let $\mathbb{H}_Y := \ker \hat{D}_{:\epsilon}$, then*

$$\mathbb{H}_Y = \{(C, \theta_h) \mid C \text{ is a constant function; } \theta_h \text{ is a harmonic 1-form on } Y\}.$$

Moreover, $L_D := (\Pi_{-\infty} \times \Pi_{+\infty})\mathbb{H}_Y$ is a Lagrangian subspace in $(\mathbb{H}_{B_{-}} \times \mathbb{H}_{B_{+}}, \bar{\Omega}^{\sigma})$. The fiber of the surjection $\Pi_{-\infty} \times \Pi_{+\infty}: \mathbb{H}_Y \rightarrow L_D$ is isomorphic to the image of $H^1(Y_c, \partial Y_c)$ in $H^1(Y_c)$.

(3) $\hat{D}_{:\epsilon|\bar{\sigma}L_D}$ is of index 0, whose kernel and cokernel are both isomorphic to the image of $H^1(Y_c, \partial Y_c)$ in $H^1(Y_c)$.

Proof. (1) Since $\hat{D}_{:\epsilon|L}$ is a finite dimensional extension of $D_{:\epsilon}$ for any L , it suffices to verify that $D_{:\epsilon}$ is Fredholm. This follows from the argument in [APS], noting that when ϵ satisfies (2.3), the parametrix R constructed in p.54 of [APS] is also a parametrix for $D_{:\epsilon}$.

(2) The first statement follows from Proposition 3.15 of [APS], noting that $D^2 = d^*d + dd^*$, and the observation that when ϵ satisfies (2.3), an extended L^2 -solution δ of $D\delta = 0$ in the sense of [APS] is in $\hat{L}_{1;\epsilon}^2$, since over $\mathcal{E}_{\pm}^0[Y]$, δ takes the form

$$\delta \Big|_{\mathcal{E}_{\pm}^0[Y]} = \iota^* \sum_{\lambda \in \text{Spec}(B_{\pm}), \pm\lambda \geq 0} e^{-\lambda t} \xi_{\lambda},$$

where ξ_{λ} is an eigenfunction of B_{\pm} with eigenvalue λ .

The second statement follows from [CLM] Proposition 2.3.

The third statement follows from the following observations: Each fiber of $\Pi_{-\infty} \times \Pi_{+\infty}$ is an affinement space over

$$\ker D_{:\epsilon} = \{(0, \theta_h) \mid \theta_h \in L_{:\epsilon}^2 \text{ is a harmonic 1-form}\},$$

and the space of $L_{:\epsilon}^2$ harmonic 1-forms on Y agrees with the space of L^2 harmonic 1-forms, since both $\ker D_{:\epsilon}$ and $\ker D_{:\epsilon_0}$ consist of elements δ with taking the form

$$\delta \Big|_{\mathcal{E}_{\pm}^0[Y]} = \iota^* \sum_{\lambda \in \text{Spec}(B_{\pm}), \pm\lambda > 0} e^{-\lambda t} \xi_{\lambda},$$

where ξ_{λ} is again an eigenfunction of B_{\pm} with eigenvalue λ . We denote this space as $\mathcal{H}_c^1(Y)$. Finally, the latter space is isomorphic to the image of $H^1(Y_c, \partial Y_c)$ in $H^1(Y_c)$ according to [APS] Proposition 4.9.

(3) Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \ker \hat{D}_{:\epsilon|\bar{\sigma}L_D} &= \mathbb{H}_Y \cap (\Pi_{-\infty} \times \Pi_{+\infty})^{-1} \bar{\sigma}L_D \\ &= \mathbb{H}_Y \cap (\Pi_{-\infty} \times \Pi_{+\infty})^{-1} (\bar{\sigma}L_D \cap L_D) \\ &= \mathbb{H}_Y \cap (\Pi_{-\infty} \times \Pi_{+\infty})^{-1} (0) \\ &= \ker D_{:\epsilon} = \{0\} \oplus \mathcal{H}_c^1(Y). \end{aligned}$$

Regard $L_{:\epsilon}^2$ as a Hilbert space with inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{2:\epsilon} := \langle e^{\epsilon|\tilde{t}|} f, e^{\epsilon|\tilde{t}|} g \rangle_2,$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2 = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{L^2}$ denotes the L^2 inner product. Then $q \in \text{coker } D_{:\epsilon}$ iff

$$\langle D\delta, q \rangle_{2:\epsilon} = \langle \delta, D(e^{2\epsilon|\tilde{t}|} q) \rangle_2 = 0 \quad \forall \delta \in L_{1:\epsilon}^2.$$

Since C_0^∞ is dense in both L^2 and $L_{1:\epsilon}^2$, this implies that $e^{2\epsilon|\tilde{t}|} q \in L_{:-\epsilon}^2$ is harmonic. The argument in part (2) above implies that such an element is in $\hat{L}_{1:\epsilon}^2$, and hence

$$\text{coker } D_{:\epsilon} = \{e^{-2\epsilon|\tilde{t}|} h \mid h \in \mathbb{H}_Y\}. \quad (2.4)$$

We claim that

$$\text{coker } \hat{D}_{:\epsilon|\bar{\sigma}L_D} = \{e^{-2\epsilon|\tilde{t}|}(0, \theta_h) \mid \theta_h \in \mathcal{H}_c^1(Y)\} \simeq \mathcal{H}_c^1(Y). \quad (2.5)$$

Since $\hat{L}_{1:\epsilon}^2(Y; \underline{\mathbb{R}} \oplus T^*Y \mid \bar{\sigma}L_D) = \text{Span}\{\mathbf{e}_{\bar{h}} \mid \bar{h} \in \bar{\sigma}L_D\} \oplus L_{1:\epsilon}^2(Y; \underline{\mathbb{R}} \oplus T^*Y)$, it suffices to show that:

- (i) For each $\bar{h} \in L_D$, $\bar{h} \neq 0$, there exists $h \in \mathbb{H}_Y$ such that $\langle D\mathbf{e}_{\bar{\sigma}\bar{h}}, e^{-2\epsilon|\tilde{t}|} h \rangle_{2:\epsilon} \neq 0$;
- (ii) $\langle D\mathbf{e}_{\bar{\sigma}\bar{h}}, e^{-2\epsilon|\tilde{t}|}(0, \theta_h) \rangle_{2:\epsilon} = 0 \quad \forall \bar{h} \in L_D, \theta_h \in \mathcal{H}_c^1(Y)$.

Both of the statements above follows from the following computation: Given $\mathbf{h} \in \mathbb{H}_Y$, let $\mathbf{h}_{\pm\infty} := \Pi_{\pm\infty} \mathbf{h}$. Write $\bar{h} = (h_-, h_+)$. By the Stokes' theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle D\mathbf{e}_{\bar{\sigma}\bar{h}}, e^{-2\epsilon|\tilde{t}|} \mathbf{h} \rangle_{2:\epsilon} &= \langle D\mathbf{e}_{\bar{\sigma}\bar{h}}, \mathbf{h} \rangle_2 \\ &= \langle \mathbf{e}_{\bar{\sigma}\bar{h}}, Dh \rangle_2 + \langle \sigma\sigma h_+, \mathbf{h}_{+\infty} \rangle_{L^2(\Sigma_+; E_+)} - \langle \sigma(-\sigma h_-), \mathbf{h}_{-\infty} \rangle_{L^2(\Sigma_-; E_-)} \\ &= -\langle h_+, \mathbf{h}_{+\infty} \rangle_{L^2(\Sigma_+; E_+)} - \langle h_-, \mathbf{h}_{-\infty} \rangle_{L^2(\Sigma_-; E_-)}. \end{aligned}$$

To verify (i), simply take \mathbf{h} to be an element with $\mathbf{h}_{\pm\infty} = h_{\pm}$. To verify (ii), take $\mathbf{h} = (0, \theta_h)$, $\theta_h \in \mathcal{H}_c^1(Y)$. Then $\mathbf{h}_{\pm\infty} = 0$. \square

Return now to the proof of Proposition 2.1. We shall show that there exists a $f \in \hat{L}_{1:\epsilon}^2(Y)$, such that $f = \tilde{t} + f$ is a harmonic function satisfying Condition (2) of Definition 1.2. That is, $d^*df = -d^*d\tilde{t}$. Note that $-d^*d\tilde{t}$ is

compactly supported on $(\mathcal{E}_-^1[Y] \setminus \mathcal{E}_-[Y]) \cup (\mathcal{E}_+^1[Y] \setminus \mathcal{E}_+[Y])$, and $\int_Y (-d^* d\tilde{t}) = 0$. Thus, by (2.4) and Lemma 2.2 (2), $(-d^* d\tilde{t}, 0)$ is $L_{;\epsilon}^2$ -orthogonal to the cokernel of $D_{;\epsilon}$; hence there exists $(f_0, \theta_0) \in L_{1;\epsilon}^2(Y; \mathbb{R} \oplus T^*Y)$ such that $D(f_0, \theta_0) = (-d^* d\tilde{t}, 0)$. Moreover, the space of all such solutions is an affine space under $\ker D_{;\epsilon} = \{(0, \theta_h) \mid \theta_h \in \mathcal{H}_c^1(Y)\}$. Thus, we can and shall choose a solution (f_0, θ_0) such that

$$\langle (f_0, \theta_0), (0, \theta_h) \rangle_2 = \langle (f_0, \theta_0), e^{-2\epsilon|\tilde{t}|}(0, \theta_h) \rangle_{2;\epsilon} = 0 \quad \forall \theta_h \in \mathcal{H}_c^1(Y).$$

Recalling (2.5), this implies that (f_0, θ_0) is in the image of $\hat{D}_{;\epsilon}$, and thus there exists a $(f, \theta) \in \hat{L}_{1;\epsilon}^2(Y; \mathbb{R} \oplus T^*Y \mid \mathbb{H}_{B_-} \times \mathbb{H}_{B_+})$ such that $D(f, \theta) = (f_0, \theta_0)$. Now, $D^2(f, \theta) = (-d^* d\tilde{t}, 0)$ implies that $f := \tilde{t} + f$ is a harmonic function satisfying Condition (2) of Definition 1.2. Moreover, if g is another such function, then $g - f \in \hat{L}_1^2$ and $d^* d(g - f) = 0$. Thus, $g - f$ is a constant.

To verify (2.2), note that since $(-d^* d\tilde{t}, 0) = 0$ over $\mathcal{E}_\pm[Y]$, (f, θ) takes the form

$$(f, \theta) \Big|_{\mathcal{E}_\pm[Y]} = \iota^* \left(\sum_{\lambda \in \text{Spec}(B_\pm), \pm\lambda > 0} \frac{e^{-\lambda(t \mp 2)}}{\lambda} \xi_\lambda^\pm + \xi_0^\pm \right), \quad (2.6)$$

where ξ_λ^\pm is an eigenfunction of B_\pm . Now, $(f, \theta) \Big|_{\mathcal{E}_\pm^0[Y]} - \iota^* \xi_0^\pm \in L_{2;\epsilon}^2(\mathcal{E}_\pm^0[Y]; \mathbb{R} \oplus T^*Y)$. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{\lambda \in \text{Spec}(B_\pm), \pm\lambda > 0} \frac{1}{\lambda} \xi_\lambda^\pm \right\|_{C_k} &\leq \|(f, \theta) - \iota^* \xi_0^\pm\|_{C_k(\mathcal{E}_\pm^1[Y] \setminus \mathcal{E}_\pm^3[Y]; \mathbb{R} \oplus T^*Y)} \\ &\leq C \|(f, \theta) - \iota^* \xi_0^\pm\|_{L_{k+2}^2(\mathcal{E}_\pm^1[Y] \setminus \mathcal{E}_\pm^3[Y]; \mathbb{R} \oplus T^*Y)} \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

by Sobolev embedding, where C is a (f -independent) positive constant. By elliptic bootstrapping,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(f, \theta) - \iota^* \xi_0^\pm\|_{L_{k+2}^2(\mathcal{E}_\pm^1[Y] \setminus \mathcal{E}_\pm^3[Y]; \mathbb{R} \oplus T^*Y)} &\leq C_k \|(f, \theta) - \iota^* \xi_0^\pm\|_{L_2^2(\mathcal{E}_\pm^1[Y] \setminus \mathcal{E}_\pm^3[Y]; \mathbb{R} \oplus T^*Y)} \\ &\leq C'_k \|(f, \theta) - \iota^* \xi_0^\pm\|_{L_{2;\epsilon}^2(\mathcal{E}_\pm^0[Y]; \mathbb{R} \oplus T^*Y)}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

where C_k, C'_k are (f -independent) positive constants. (2.2) now follows from a combination of (2.6)-(2.8) together with the observation that $\xi_0^\pm = (f_\pm, h_\pm)$, where f_\pm are constants, and h_\pm are harmonic 1-forms. \square

Admissible functions are generic in the following sense.

Proposition 2.3. *Let Y be a CCE with cylindrical metric g_0 , and let f_0 be a function satisfying Condition (2) of Definition 1.2 that is harmonic with respect to g_0 . Note that by (2.2), there exists $R \geq 0$ such that $\|df\|_{C_0(\mathcal{E}_{\pm}^R[Y])} > 1/2$. We redefine Y_c to be $Y_c \setminus (\mathcal{E}_-^R[Y] \cup \mathcal{E}_+^R[Y])$. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, let*

$$\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon := \{h \mid h \in C_0^\infty(Y_c; \text{Sym}^2 T^*Y), \|h\|_{C^2} \leq \varepsilon\},$$

endowed with the Fréchet topology. Choose ε to be sufficiently small such that $\forall h \in \mathcal{U}_\varepsilon$,

$$g_h := \begin{cases} g_0 + h & \text{over } Y_c \\ g_0 & \text{over } Y \setminus Y_c \end{cases}$$

is also a cylindrical metric on Y . By the previous proposition, there exists a unique function f_h satisfying:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \bullet \text{ Condition (2) of Definition 1.2 holds;} \\ \bullet f_h \text{ is harmonic with respect to } g_h; \\ \bullet \sum_{i=0}^k |\nabla^k (f_h - f_0)| \leq C_h e^{-\epsilon|\tilde{t}|} \text{ over } \mathcal{E}_+[Y], \text{ where } C_h > 0 \text{ is a} \\ \quad \text{constant depending on both } h \text{ and } f. \end{array} \right. \quad (2.9)$$

Then when ε is sufficiently small, the zero locus of df_h lies in the interior of $Y_c \forall h \in \mathcal{U}_\varepsilon$, and there exists a Baire subset $\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon^{reg} \subset \mathcal{U}_\varepsilon$, such that f_h is admissible when $h \in \mathcal{U}_\varepsilon^{reg}$.

Proof. This follows from a more-or-less standard transversality argument via the Sard-Smale theorem. Detailed proofs in similar contexts are written down in e.g. [H] (for compact Y) and [L1:v2] (for MEE).

Let $*_g$ denote the Hodge dual with respect to the metric g , and let $\delta_h * := *_g - *_0$. Then $f_h := f_h - f_0$ satisfies:

$$d *_g df_h = -d((\delta_h *)df_0). \quad (2.10)$$

Since the integral of the right hand side over Y equals 0, the arguments in the proof of the previous proposition the preceding equation has a solution

$f_h \in \hat{L}_{2,\epsilon}^2$, unique modulo constant functions. We choose the constant so that the third bullet of (2.9) holds. In [LiT], a symmetric Green's function $G_g(x, y)$ is constructed for complete Riemannian manifolds. This Green's function has the following properties:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \bullet G_g(x, y) \sim 4\pi \operatorname{dist}(x, y)^{-1} \text{ as } x \rightarrow y; \\ \bullet G_g(x, y) \Big|_{y \in Y \setminus B_x(R)} \text{ is bounded, where } R > 0 \text{ and } B_x(R) \text{ is a} \\ \text{geodesic ball of radius } R \text{ centered at } x. \end{array} \right. \quad (2.11)$$

Thus, the function

$$f_h(x) := - \int_Y G_{g_h}(x, y) d_y ((\delta_h *_{g_0}) d_y f_0(y)) = \int_Y (d_y G_{g_h}(x, y)) (\delta_h *) d_y f_0(y)$$

is also a solution to (2.10). (In the above, d_x, d_y respectively denote the exterior derivative in the variable x, y .) Moreover,

$$d_x f_h(x) = \int_Y (d_x d_y G_{g_h}(x, y)) (\delta_h *_{g_0}) d_y f_0(y) \in L_{:\epsilon}^2. \quad (2.12)$$

To see this, note that since when $x \in \mathcal{E}_{\pm}[Y]$, $y \in Y_c$, $(G_{g_h}(x, y) \Big|_{\mathcal{E}_{\pm}^{2|\tilde{t}(x)|}}, 0)$ is harmonic and thus by the second bullet of (2.11) takes the form

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \operatorname{Spec}(B_{\pm}), \pm\lambda \geq 0} \xi_{\lambda, y} e^{-\lambda \tilde{t}(x)},$$

where for fixed $y \in Y_c$, $\xi_{\lambda, y}$ is an eigenfunction of B_{\pm} varying smoothly with y . Since $\xi_{0, y} = (C_{0, y}, 0)$, where $C_{0, y}$ is a constant function (depending on y), there is constant C such that $|d_x d_y G_{g_h}(x, y)| \leq C e^{-\epsilon_0 |\tilde{t}(x)|} \forall y \in Y_c$ as Y_c is compact. Plugging this into the right hand side of the equation (2.12), and recalling that h is compactly supported on Y_c , we have thus verified that $df_h \in L_{:\epsilon}^2$.

Next, note that both $(0, df_h)$ and $(0, df_h)$ are $L_{2,\epsilon}$ solutions to

$$D_{:\epsilon, g_h}(-) = \left(- *_{g_h} d((\delta_h *_{g_0}) df_0), 0 \right),$$

and both are L^2 -orthogonal to $\ker D_{:\epsilon, g_h}$. Thus,

$$df_h = df_h = \int_Y (d_x d_y G_{g_h}(x, y)) (\delta_h *_{g_0}) d_y f_0(y).$$

It follows that $\|df_h\|_{C^0} \leq C\|h\|_{C^0}$ for a positive constant C . Since Y_c is chosen such that $|df_0| > 1/2$ over $Y \setminus Y_c$, for sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$, $|df_h| > 0$ over $Y \setminus Y_c \forall h \in \mathcal{U}_\epsilon$. Thus, the zero loci of df_h lies in the interior of $Y_c \forall h \in \mathcal{U}_\epsilon$. In particular, since Y_c is compact, the zero loci of df_h is compact and when f_h , it consists of finitely many points.

With the above understood, a straightforward adaptation of the argument in Theorem 2.19 in [H] shows that there is an open dense subset $\mathcal{U}_{\epsilon, l}^{reg}$ in

$$\mathcal{U}_{\epsilon, l} := \{h \mid h \in C_0^l(Y_c; \text{Sym}^2 T^*Y), \|h\|_{C^2} \leq \epsilon\}$$

for every integer $l \geq 2$. More explicitly, modify the argument in [H] as follows:

- Replace Equation (32) in [H] with

$$ev_{0, x}(g_h)(h) = \int_Y (d_x d_y G_{g_h}(x, y)) (\delta_h *_{g_h}) d_y f_h(y),$$

where $x \in (df_h)^{-1}(0) \subset \overset{\circ}{Y}_c$, $h \in \mathcal{U}_{\epsilon, l}$, and $h \in C_0^l(Y_c; \text{Sym}^2 T^*Y)$. (Note that the G_g in [H] denotes the Green's function for 1-forms instead.)

- Replace the computation around Equations (33) and (34) of [H] by the following. Choose a trivialization of $\bigwedge^2 T^*Y \Big|_{B_x} \simeq_{g_h} T^*Y|_{B_x} \simeq_{g_h} TY|_{B_x}$ over a small neighborhood B_x of x in Y_c , where \simeq_{g_h} denote isomorphisms induced by the metric g_h . Take a sequence $\{y_i\}_i \subset B_x$ such that $df_h(y_i) \neq 0$ and $y_i \rightarrow x$. (Such a sequence exists by Aronszajn's theorem.) Given $\eta \neq 0 \in (\mathbb{R}^3)^*$, use the same notation to denote the corresponding element in $T^*Y_{y_i}$ or T^*Y_c under the aforementioned trivialization. Passing to a subsequence if necessary, we choose y_i to approach x from the direction $\beta \neq 0 \in T_x Y \simeq \mathbb{R}^3$. Let $h_i(y) := h_i(y_i) \delta_i(y, y_i)$, where $h_i(y_i)$ is defined as in p.647 of [H], where $\delta_i(y, y_i)$ are smooth compactly supported functions approximating the Dirac δ -function $\delta(x, y)$

in the sense of distributions. Then a computation similar to that in Section 2.3 of [H] shows that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} ev_{0,x}(g_h)(h_i) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_Y (d_1 d_y G_{g_h}(y_i, y)) (\delta_{h_i * g_h}) d_y f_h(y) = R_\beta(\eta),$$

where R_β is the isomorphism defined in [H], and d_1 denotes exterior derivative with respect to the first variable of the Green's function.

□

3 Some properties of the Seiberg-Witten solutions

3.1 Vortex solutions and the case when $Y = \mathbb{R} \times \Sigma$

Proposition 3.1. *Let $Y = \mathbb{R}_t \times \Sigma$ with the product metric, $f = t$, where $(\Sigma, \omega_\Sigma, j)$ is a compact Kähler surface. Let \mathfrak{s}_d be the Spin^c structure on Y of degree $d = d_s$, and let $w = \pi_2^* \underline{w}$, where \underline{w} is a closed 2-form on Σ such that*

$$\int_\Sigma \underline{w} = 8\pi(d - g + 1),$$

g being the genus of Σ . Then $\forall r \geq 1$, there is a 1-1 map from $\mathcal{Z}_{r,w}(\mathbb{R}_t \times \Sigma, \mathfrak{s}_d, t)$ to $\text{Sym}^d \Sigma$. Here $\text{Sym}^d \Sigma$ is defined to be \emptyset when $d < 0$, and $\text{Sym}^0 \Sigma$ consists of a point.

Proof. Let \mathbb{S} denote the spinor bundle corresponding to \mathfrak{s}_d . Then $\rho(dt)$ splits \mathbb{S} into a direct sum of eigen-subbundles $E, E \otimes K^{-1}$ corresponding to eigenvalues $-i, i$ respectively:

$$\mathbb{S} = E \oplus E \otimes K^{-1},$$

where $K^{-1} = \pi_2^* T\Sigma$, and $E \simeq \pi_2^* E_\Sigma$, E_Σ being a complex line bundle over degree d over Σ . Write

$$\Psi = 2^{-1/2} r^{1/2} (\alpha, \beta) \in \Gamma(Y; E \oplus E \otimes K^{-1}).$$

On the other hand, Noting that a hermitian connection A^E on E induces a Spin^c connection A on \mathbb{S} and vice versa, we will also use $(A^E, (\alpha, \beta))$ to specify a configuration.

Choose a reference connection A_0^E on E to be of the form $A_0^E = \pi_2^* B_{\underline{w}}^E$, where B_w^E is a hermitian connection on E_Σ with

$$F_{B_{\underline{w}}^E} = -i\underline{w}/4 - F_{A^K}/2,$$

where A^K denotes the Levi-Civita curvature on the anti-canonical bundle $K^{-1} = T^{0,1}\Sigma$. Write $a^E := A^E - A_0^E = \mathfrak{a}_t(t, z) dt + a_z(t, z)$, where $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $z \in (\Sigma, j)$, \mathfrak{a}_t is an imaginery-valued function on Y , and for each fixed t , $a_z(t, \cdot)$ is an imaginery-valued 1-form on Σ , Then a configuration $(A^E, (\alpha, \beta))$ is l -admissible iff $a_z \in \hat{L}_l^2(Y; \pi_2^* T^* \Sigma)$, $\mathfrak{a}_t \in L_l^2(Y; i\mathbb{R})$. Let $\mathfrak{a}_z(t, \cdot) \in \Gamma(\Sigma; \mathbb{C})$ be defined by $a_z(t, \cdot) = \mathfrak{a}_z(t, \cdot) dz + \bar{\mathfrak{a}}_z(t, \cdot) d\bar{z}$. Let $B_z^E(t, \cdot)$ denote the connection on $E|_{\{t\} \times \Sigma} \simeq E_\Sigma$ given by $B_w^E + a_z(t)$, and let $B_z^E(t, \cdot)$ denote the connection on $(E \otimes K^{-1})|_{\{t\} \times \Sigma} \simeq E_\Sigma \otimes T\Sigma$ induced from $B_z^E(t, \cdot)$ and the Levi-Civita connection.

With such choices, the Seiberg-Witten equation $\mathfrak{F}_{\mu_r, w}(A, \Psi) = 0$ takes the following form:

$$*_{g_\Sigma} d_z a_z + \frac{ir}{4} (1 - |\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2) = 0; \quad (3.1)$$

$$\partial_t \mathfrak{a}_z - 2\partial_z \mathfrak{a}_t = \frac{ir}{2} \beta \bar{\alpha}; \quad (3.2)$$

$$2\bar{\partial}_{B_z^E} \alpha - (\partial_t + \mathfrak{a}_t) \beta = 0; \quad (3.3)$$

$$2\partial_{B_z^E} \beta + (\partial_t + \mathfrak{a}_t) \alpha = 0, \quad (3.4)$$

where $*_{g_\Sigma}$ denotes the two dimensional Hodge dual respect to the Kähler metric on Σ , and d_z denotes the 2-dimensional exterior derivative in the z -variable.

To proceed, note that any configuration $(A^E, (\alpha, \beta))$ may be bring to one with

$$\mathfrak{a}_z = 0$$

by integrating along t . (A configuration satisfying the above equation is said to be in a *temporal gauge*.)

Lemma 3.2. *Let $l > 1$ be an integer. Then $\forall r \geq 1$, any l -admissible solution $(A^E, (\alpha, \beta))$ to (3.1-3.4) in a temporal gauge satisfies $\beta \equiv 0$.*

Proof. Combining the admissibility condition on $(A^E, (\alpha, \beta))$, Sobolev embedding, and a Weitzenböck formula, the Seiberg-Witten equation (3.1-3.4) implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \beta, \left(\nabla_{A^E}^* \nabla_{A^E} + \frac{r}{4}(1 + |\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2) \right) \beta \right\rangle_{L^2} \\ &= \|\nabla_{A^E} \beta\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{r}{4} \int_Y (1 + |\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2) |\beta|^2 = 0, \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\beta \equiv 0$ if $r > 0$. \square

Now, set $\beta = 0$, $a_t = 0$ in (3.1-3.4). This implies that $\partial_t a_z = 0$, $\partial_t \alpha = 0$, that is, $(a_z, \alpha) = \pi_2^*(\underline{a}, \underline{\alpha})$, where \underline{a} is a connection on E_Σ , and $\underline{\alpha}$ is a section of E_Σ . Moreover, $(\underline{a}, \underline{\alpha})$ satisfies

$$\mathfrak{V}_{r,d}(\underline{a}, \underline{\alpha}) := \begin{pmatrix} *_{g_\Sigma} d_z \underline{a} + \frac{i}{2} r (1 - |\underline{\alpha}|^2) \\ \partial_{\underline{a}} \underline{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} = 0. \quad (3.5)$$

Equivalently, $(B_d^E + \underline{a}, r^{1/2} \underline{\alpha})$ satisfies the *vortex equation* on Σ , as defined in [G] Equation (2), with the parameter $\tau = r + cd_s$. Here, $c := \frac{8\pi}{\int_\Sigma \omega_\Sigma}$, and B_d^E is a connection on E_Σ with $F_{B_d^E} = -i \frac{c}{4} d_s \omega_\Sigma$.

The vortex equation is invariant under the action of $C^\infty(\Sigma; U(1))$: given $u \in \mathcal{G}_\Sigma := C^\infty(\Sigma; U(1))$,

$$u \cdot (\underline{a}, \underline{\alpha}) := (\underline{a} - u^{-1} du, u \cdot \underline{\alpha}).$$

We denote by $\mathcal{V}_{r,d}(\Sigma)$ the *moduli space of vortex solutions*,

$$\mathcal{V}_{r,d}(\Sigma) := \mathfrak{V}_{r,d}^{-1}(0) / \mathcal{G}_\Sigma. \quad (3.6)$$

Given a pair $(\underline{a}, \underline{\alpha}) \in i\Omega^1(\Sigma) \times \Gamma(E_\Sigma)$, we call the Seiberg-Witten configuration $(A^E, (\alpha, \beta)) = (\pi_2^*(B_d^E + \underline{a}), (\pi_2^* \underline{\alpha}, 0)) =: j(\underline{a}, \underline{\alpha})$ the *pullback* of $(\underline{a}, \underline{\alpha})$. We saw that j defines a 1-1 map from the space of solutions to the vortex equation (3.5) to the space of Seiberg-Witten solutions in temporal gauge.

Meanwhile, observe that two Seiberg-Witten configurations in temporal gauge (in particular, pullback configurations) are gauge-equivalent iff they are related by a gauge action by π_2^*u for certain $u \in C^\infty(\Sigma; U(1))$, and

$$(\pi_2^*u) \cdot j(\underline{a}, \underline{\alpha}) = j(u \cdot (\underline{a}, \underline{\alpha})).$$

Thus, j defines a 1-1 map from $\mathcal{V}_{r,d}(\Sigma)$ to $\mathcal{Z}_{r,w}(\mathbb{R}_t \times \Sigma, \mathfrak{s}_d, t)$

By Theorem 1 of [G], $\mathcal{V}_{r,d}(\Sigma)$ is diffeomorphic to $\text{Sym}^d \Sigma$ when $\tau > cd$, namely, when $r > 0$. Moreover, it is endowed with a symplectic structure induced from its embedding as a symplectic quotient in $i\Omega^1(\Sigma) \times \Gamma(E_\Sigma)$, the latter being equipped with a natural symplectic form (cf. [G] p.91). \square

3.2 Some properties of vortex solutions

We shall need the following well-known property of vortex solutions.

3.2.1 Pointwise estimates

Lemma 3.3. *Given $(\underline{a}, \underline{\alpha}) \in \mathcal{V}_{r,d}(\Sigma)$,*

$$\|\underline{\alpha}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \leq 1 + r^{-1} \|s_-\|_{L^\infty},$$

where s is the scalar curvature, $s_-(z) := \max(-s(z), 0)$.

A proof can be given along the line of the proof of Lemma 3.4 below.

3.2.2 Local structure of $\mathcal{V}_{r,d}(\Sigma)$

3.2.3 The symplectic structure on $\mathcal{V}_{r,d}(\Sigma)$

3.3 A priori estimates

3.3.1 An L^∞ bound on Ψ and F_A .

Let $\psi := 2^{1/2}r^{-1/2}\Psi$. We have the following standard L^∞ bound on ψ when (A, Ψ) is an admissible solution to (1.1).

Let (Y, \mathfrak{s}) be a Spin^c CCE, and let s denote the scalar curvature. The constraint on the metric on Y implies that $\|\max(-s, 0)\|_{L^\infty(Y)}$ is well defined. Let f be a harmonic function on Y satisfying Condition (2) of Definition 1.2. According to Proposition 2.1, $\|df\|_{L^\infty}$ is also finite. Fix an integer $l > 3$, and let $w \in \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_{l, \mathfrak{s}}$. The admissibility condition on w , together with a version of Sobolev embedding, shows that $\|w\|_{C^1}$ is also finite.

Lemma 3.4. *Let (Y, \mathfrak{s}) , f , w be as the above. Then any solution (A, Ψ) to the Seiberg-Witten equation $\mathfrak{F}_{\mu_r, w}(A, \Psi) = 0$ satisfies:*

$$\|\psi\|_{L^\infty}^2 \leq \|df\|_{L^\infty} + z' r^{-1}, \quad (3.7)$$

where z' is a positive constant depending only on the L^∞ bounds on s , w mentioned previously.

Via the curvature equation in (1.1), this gives an L^∞ -bound for F_{A^t} :

$$\|F_{A^t}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \leq 2r\|df\|_{L^\infty} + z'', \quad (3.8)$$

where z'' is a positive constant depending only on the L^∞ bounds on s , w .

Proof. The Dirac equation in (1.1) together with a Weitzenböck formula gives:

$$\partial_A \partial_A \psi = \nabla_A^* \nabla_A \psi + \frac{s}{4} \psi + \frac{\rho(F_A)}{2} \psi = 0. \quad (3.9)$$

Taking pointwise inner product of the preceding equation with ψ , and using the curvature equation in (1.1), we have

$$\frac{1}{2} d^* d |\psi|^2 + |\nabla_A \psi|^2 + \frac{r}{4} |\psi|^2 (|\psi|^2 - r^{-1} |\mu_{r, w}|) + \frac{s}{4} |\psi|^2 = 0 \quad (3.10)$$

The smooth function $|\psi|^2$ must have a maximum at a certain point $x_M \in Y$, or it is bounded by one of $2r^{-1} \|\Phi_\pm\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_\pm, \mathbb{S}_{\Sigma_\pm})}$, where $(B_\pm, \Phi_\pm) = \Pi_{\pm\infty}(A, \Psi)$. In the former case, consider the previous inequality at x_M and rearranging to get

$$|\psi(x_M)|^2 (|\psi(x_M)|^2 - |df(x_M)|^2 - z' r^{-1}) \leq 0$$

where z' is a positive constant depending only on the L^∞ bounds on s , w mentioned previously. This leads directly to (3.7). In the latter case, invoke Lemma 3.3 and the fact that $\|df\|_{L^\infty} \geq 1$. \square

3.3.2 A pointwise bound for $|\beta|^2$.

Let (Y, \mathfrak{s}) be a Spin^c CCE, and \mathbb{S} be the corresponding Spin^c bundle. Let f be an admissible function on Y . Let $Z_f \subset Y_c$ consists of the critical points of f . Then over $Y' := Y \setminus Z_f$ let K^{-1} be the subbundle $\ker(df) \subset TY|_{Y'}$, endowed with the complex structure given by the Clifford action of $\rho(df)/|df|$. Let A^K be the connection on K^{-1} induced from the Levi-Civita connection. Split

$$\mathbb{S}|_{Y'} = E \oplus E \otimes K^{-1} \quad (3.11)$$

as a direct sum of eigenbundle of $\rho(df)$, where E is the eigenbundle with eigenvalue $-i|df|$. Given a Spin^c connection A on \mathbb{S} , denote by A^E, A'^E respectively the induced connection on $E, E \otimes K^{-1}$. For simplicity, we shall use ∇_A to denote covariant derivatives with respect to any connection induced from A and the Levi-Civita connection. For example, $\nabla_A \alpha = \nabla_{A^E} \alpha$; $\nabla_A \beta = \nabla_{A'^E} \beta$. Given $\Psi \in \Gamma(\mathbb{S})$, write

$$\Psi|_{Y'} = 2^{-1/2} r^{1/2} (\alpha, \beta)$$

according to the splitting (3.11).

Let $\tilde{\sigma}$ be the function on Y' defined as follows: Suppose that $|\nu|^{-1}(0) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\sigma(\cdot)$ denote the distance function to $(df)^{-1}(0)$, and set

$$\tilde{\sigma} := (1 - \chi(\sigma)) \sigma + \chi(\sigma).$$

When f has no critical points, let $\sigma = \infty$ and $\tilde{\sigma} = 1$.

Let $Y'_\delta := \{x | \sigma(x) \geq \delta\} \subset Y$.

Lemma 3.5. *Let (Y, \mathfrak{s}) , f be as the above, and let $w \in \hat{\mathcal{W}}$. Let (A, Ψ) be an admissible solution to $\mathfrak{F}_{\mu, w}(A, \Psi) = 0$.*

There exist positive constants $O \geq 8$, $c, c', \zeta_0, \zeta'_0 \geq 1$ that depend only on the metric, f , and w , such the following hold: Suppose $r > 1$, $\delta > 0$ are such that $\delta \geq Or^{-1/3}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |\beta|^2 &\leq 2c \tilde{\sigma}^{-3} r^{-1} (|df| - |\alpha|^2) + \zeta_0 \tilde{\sigma}^{-5} r^{-2}; \\ |\beta|^2 &\leq 2c' \tilde{\sigma}^{-3} r^{-1} (|df| - |\psi|^2) + \zeta'_0 \tilde{\sigma}^{-5} r^{-2} \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

on Y'_δ .

Proof. This follows from a straightforward adaption of the proof of Proposition 5.5 of [L3]. \square

Lemma 3.6. *There exist positive constants $r_1, \zeta_0, \zeta', \zeta''$, that are independent of r and (A, Ψ) , with the following significance: Let $\delta'_0 = \zeta_0 r^{-1/3}$. For any $r > r_1$, one has:*

$$|\nabla_{A\alpha}|^2 + r\tilde{\sigma}^2|\nabla_A\beta|^2 \leq \zeta' r\varpi + \zeta''\tilde{\sigma}^{-2} \quad \text{over } Y_{\delta'_0}.$$

Proof. This follows from straightforward adaption of the proof of Proposition 5.9 in [L3]. The argument is much simpler here, since instead of the complicated curvature estimates in the 4-dimensional setting of [L3], in the 3-dimensional case, the required curvature estimate follows readily from Lemma 3.4. \square

3.4 Asymptotic behaviors of admissible Seiberg-Witten solutions

3.4.1 End-point maps from Seiberg-Witten moduli space to vortex moduli spaces.

Observe that if (A, Ψ) is an (l -) admissible solution to $\mathfrak{F}_{\mu_r}(A, \Psi) = 0$, Then $(B_{\pm}^E, \Phi_{\pm}) := \Pi_{\pm\infty}(A, \Psi) \in \text{Conn}(E_{\Sigma_{\pm}}) \times \Gamma(E_{\Sigma_{\pm}})$ must be a vortex solution. More precisely, write $B_{\pm}^E = B_{\pm,0}^E + \underline{a}_{\pm}$, $\Phi = r^{1/2}2^{-1/2}\underline{\alpha}_{\pm}$, then $(\underline{a}_{\pm}, \underline{\alpha}_{\pm})$ must satisfy $\mathfrak{V}_{r,d_{\pm}}(\underline{a}_{\pm}, \underline{\alpha}_{\pm}) = 0$. This induces end-point maps

$$\Pi_{\pm\infty}: \mathcal{Z}_{r,w}(Y, \mathfrak{s}, f) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_{r,d_{\pm}}(\Sigma_{\pm}).$$

3.4.2 Exponential Decay of $|\beta|^2$ and $|\nabla_A\beta|^2$.

Lemma 3.7. *Let (Y, \mathfrak{s}) , f be as the above, and let $w \in \hat{\mathcal{W}}$. Let (A, Ψ) be an admissible solution to $\mathfrak{F}_{\mu_r,w}(A, \Psi) = 0$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be as in (2.3). Then there exist constants $r_0 \geq 1, C > 0$ depending only on the metric, w , and df , such that $\forall r \geq r_0$, the following holds: Over $\mathcal{E}_{\pm}[Y]$, we have the pointwise bound*

$$|\beta|^2 \leq Cr^{-1}e^{-2\epsilon\tilde{t}},$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant depending only on the metric, ϵ , w , and df .

Proof. Take pointwise inner product of the equation $\mathcal{D}_A^2 \psi = 0$ with β and α respectively to get the analogs of Equations (2.3) and (2.4) of [T]:

$$\left(\frac{d^*d}{2} + r\frac{|\psi|^2 + |df|}{4}\right)|\beta|^2 + |\nabla_A \beta|^2 \leq (\zeta_1 |b| |\nabla_A \alpha| + \zeta'_1 |\nabla b| |\alpha|)|\beta|, \quad (3.13)$$

$$\frac{d^*d}{2}|\alpha|^2 + |\nabla_A \alpha|^2 - \frac{r}{4}(|df| - |\psi|^2)|\alpha|^2 \leq (\zeta_2 |b| |\nabla_A \beta| + \zeta'_2 |\nabla b| |\beta|)|\alpha|, \quad (3.14)$$

where b arises from $\nabla(df)$, and by Proposition 2.1, we have

$$|b| + |\nabla b| \leq \zeta'_0 e^{-\epsilon \bar{t}} \quad (3.15)$$

on $\mathcal{E}_\pm[Y]$. In the above as well as for the rest of this proof, the positive constants ζ_i, ζ'_i depend only on the metric, df , and w .

Using Proposition 2.1 again, we may choose $R > 0$ such that $\frac{1}{2} \leq |df| \leq 2$ over $\mathcal{E}_\pm^R[Y]$. Assume also that $r > 1$ is much larger than the L^∞ bound of w and s . Then applying a triangular inequality to (3.13) and rearranging, one has

$$\left(\frac{d^*d}{2} + r\frac{|\psi|^2 + |df|}{8}\right)|\beta|^2 + |\nabla_A \beta|^2 \leq \zeta_3 r^{-1} e^{-2\epsilon \bar{t}} |\nabla_A \alpha|^2 \quad (3.16)$$

over $\mathcal{E}_\pm^R[Y]$. Meanwhile, write $\varpi := |df| - |\alpha|^2$, and note that by Proposition 2.1,

$$|d^*d|df| \leq z_0 e^{-\epsilon \bar{t}} \quad \text{over } \mathcal{E}_\pm^R[Y],$$

where $z_0 > 0$ is a constant depending only on the metric on Y . Combine the preceding inequality with (3.14) as well as Lemma 3.4 to get:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^*d}{2}(-\varpi) + |\nabla_A \alpha|^2 + \frac{r|\alpha|^2}{8}(-\varpi + |\beta|^2) \\ \leq e^{-2\epsilon \bar{t}} (\zeta'_3 |\nabla_A \beta|^2 + \zeta''_3) \quad \text{over } \mathcal{E}_\pm^R[Y]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

Adding $\zeta_4 r^{-1} e^{-2\epsilon \bar{t}}$ times (3.17) to (3.16) for an appropriately chosen constant $\zeta_4 > 0$, we have for $u := |\beta|^2 - \zeta_4 r^{-1} e^{-2\epsilon \bar{t}} \varpi$:

$$\left(\frac{d^*d}{2} + r\frac{|df|}{8}\right)u \leq \zeta_5 r^{-1} e^{-2\epsilon \bar{t}} \quad \text{over } \mathcal{E}_\pm^R[Y].$$

Combine this with the fact that

$$d^*d e^{-2\epsilon \bar{t}} = -4\epsilon^2 e^{-2\epsilon \bar{t}} \quad \text{over } \mathcal{E}_\pm[Y] \quad (3.18)$$

as well as Lemmas 3.4, 3.5, one may find a constant $C' > 0$ depending only on the metric, ϵ , w , and df , such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{d^*d}{2} + r \frac{|df|}{8} \right) (u - C'r^{-1}e^{-2\epsilon\tilde{t}}) < 0 \quad \text{over } \mathcal{E}_{\pm}^R[Y]; \\ & (u - C'r^{-1}e^{-2\epsilon\tilde{t}}) \Big|_{\partial\mathcal{E}_{\pm}^R[Y]} < 0; \\ & \Pi_{\pm\infty}(u - C'r^{-1}e^{-2\epsilon\tilde{t}}) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

Suppose that there is an $x \in \mathcal{E}_{\pm}^R[Y]$ where $v := u - C'r^{-1}e^{-2\epsilon\tilde{t}} > 0$. Then v attains a positive maximum in the interior of $\mathcal{E}_{\pm}^R[Y]$. However, at such a maximum point, the left hand side in the first line of (3.19) is positive, which contradicts (3.19). Thus, $u \leq 0$ over $\mathcal{E}_{\pm}^R[Y]$, which implies via Lemma 3.4 that

$$|\beta|^2 \leq Cr^{-1}e^{-2\epsilon\tilde{t}} \quad \text{over } \mathcal{E}_{\pm}^R[Y].$$

Since $\overline{\mathcal{E}_{\pm}[Y] \setminus \mathcal{E}_{\pm}^R[Y]}$ is compact, enlarging the value of the constant C if necessary, we arrive at the conclusion of the lemma. \square

Lemma 3.8. *Let (Y, \mathfrak{s}) , f, w , (A, Ψ) and $\epsilon > 0$ be as in Lemma 3.7. Then there exist constants $r_0 \geq 1$, $C' > 0$ depending only on the metric, w , and df , such that $\forall r \geq r_0$, the following holds: Over $\mathcal{E}_{\pm}[Y]$, we have the pointwise bound*

$$|\nabla_A \beta|^2 \leq Cr^{-1}e^{-2\epsilon\tilde{t}},$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant depending only on the metric, ϵ , w , and df .

Proof. Let R and r be sufficiently large positive numbers as in the proof of the previous lemma. With (3.8) in place, argue as in the proof of Proposition 2.8 in [T] using this bound, (3.15) and Lemma 3.4 to get:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{d^*d}{2} + r \frac{|\psi|^2 + |df|}{4} \right) |\nabla_A \beta|^2 + |\nabla_A \nabla_A \beta|^2 \\ & \leq \zeta_0 r |\nabla_A \beta|^2 + r^{-1} e^{-2\epsilon\tilde{t}} (\zeta_1 |\nabla_A \alpha|^2 + \zeta_2 |\nabla_A \nabla_A \alpha|^2 + \zeta_3) \quad \text{over } \mathcal{E}_{\pm}^R[Y] \end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d^*d}{2} |\nabla_A \alpha|^2 + |\nabla_A \nabla_A \alpha|^2 \\ & \leq \zeta'_0 r |\nabla_A \alpha|^2 + r^{-1} e^{-2\epsilon\tilde{t}} (\zeta'_1 |\nabla_A \beta|^2 + \zeta'_2 |\nabla_A \nabla_A \beta|^2 + \zeta'_3 |\beta|^2) \quad \text{over } \mathcal{E}_{\pm}^R[Y]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

Adding $C'_1 r^{-1} e^{-2\epsilon\tilde{t}}$ times (3.21) to (3.20) for an appropriately chosen constant C'_1 , we have for $u_1 := |\nabla_A \beta|^2 - C'_1 r^{-1} e^{-2\epsilon\tilde{t}} |\nabla_A \alpha|^2$:

$$\frac{d^* d}{2} u_1 \leq \zeta'_4 r |\nabla_A \beta|^2 + \zeta'_5 r^{-1} e^{-2\epsilon\tilde{t}} |\nabla_A \alpha|^2 \quad \text{over } \mathcal{E}_{\pm}^R[Y].$$

Adding $\zeta'_4 r$ times (3.16) to the preceding inequality, we have:

$$\frac{d^* d}{2} (u_1 + \zeta'_4 r |\beta|^2) \leq \zeta'_6 r^{-1} e^{-2\epsilon\tilde{t}} |\nabla_A \alpha|^2 \quad \text{over } \mathcal{E}_{\pm}^R[Y].$$

Using (3.18) and Lemma 3.6, we may find another positive constant ζ_7 , such that with $v' := u_1 + \zeta'_4 r |\beta|^2 - \zeta_7 e^{-2\epsilon\tilde{t}}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^* d}{2} (v') &< 0 \quad \text{over } \mathcal{E}_{\pm}^R[Y]; \\ v' \Big|_{\partial \mathcal{E}_{\pm}^R[Y]} &< 0; \\ \Pi_{\pm\infty} v' &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

A maximum principle type argument as that in the proof of the previous lemma then yields:

$$|\nabla_A \beta|^2 - C'_1 r^{-1} e^{-2\epsilon\tilde{t}} |\nabla_A \alpha|^2 + \zeta'_4 r |\beta|^2 \leq \zeta_7 e^{-2\epsilon\tilde{t}}.$$

A combination of the preceding inequality with Lemma 3.6 then leads to the conclusion of the lemma. \square

3.4.3 An alternative parametrization of $\mathcal{E}_{\pm}[Y]$ and reference pull-back configurations

We aim to show that an admissible Seiberg-Witten solution $(A; \Psi)$ “approaches the end-point vortex solutions $\Pi_{\pm\infty}(A, \Psi)$ exponentially”. To state this precisely, we shall construct a reference configuration on Y from $\Pi_{\pm\infty}(A, \Psi)$, which approximate the pullback configurations on cylinders defined in Section 3.1 on the ends of Y , then show that the difference between (A, Ψ) and this reference configuration decays exponentially over $\mathcal{E}_{\pm}[Y]$. This is done similarly to what appears in Section 3.3 of [L1].

References

- [A] M. Atiyah, *New invariants of three and four dimensional manifolds.* in Proc. Symp. Pure Math. 48, (1988).
- [APS] M. Atiyah, Patodi, I. Singer, *Spectral asymmetry and Riemannian Geometry. I*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. (1975), 77, 43-69.
- [CLM] S. Cappell, R. Lee, E. Miller, *Self-Adjoint Elliptic Operators and Manifold Decompositions Part I: Low Eigenmodes and Stretching*, Comm. Pure Applied Math. 49 (1996) 8, 825-866.
- [G] O. García-Prada, *A direct existence proof for the vortex equations over a compact Riemann surface.* Bull. London Math. Soc. 26(1), 88-96 (1994).
- [H] K. Honda, *Transversality Theorems for Harmonic Forms*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 34(2): 629-664 (2004).
- [KM] P. Kronheimer, T. Mrowka, *Monopoles and 3-manifolds*, Cambridge Univ Press, 2007.
- [KLT1] *HF=HM I : Heegaard Floer homology and Seiberg-Witten Floer homology*, with C. Taubes, C. Kutluhan, Geom. Topol. 24 (2020) 2829-2854.
- [KLT2] *HF=HM II: Reeb orbits and holomorphic curves for the ech/Heegaard-Floer correspondence*, with C. Taubes, C. Kutluhan, Geom. Topol. 24 (2020) 2855-3012.
- [KLT3] *HF=HM III: Holomorphic curves and the differential for the ech and Heegaard Floer homology correspondence*; with C. Taubes, C. Kutluhan, Geom. Topol. 24 (2020) 3013-3218.
- [KLT4] *HF=HM IV: The Seiberg-Witten Floer homology and ech correspondence*; with C. Taubes, C. Kutluhan, Geom. Topol. 24 (2020) 3219-3469.
- [KLT5] *HF=HM V: Seiberg-Witten Floer homology and handle additions*; with C. Taubes, C. Kutluhan, Geom. Topol. 24 (2020) 3471-3748.
- [L1] Y.-J. Lee, *Seiberg-Witten theory on three-manifolds with euclidean ends*, *Comm. Analysis and Geometry*, 13, no. 1 (2005), 1-88.
- [L1:v2] Y.-J. Lee, *Seiberg-Witten theory on three-manifolds with euclidean ends*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/dg-ga/9706013v2>
- [L2] Y.-J. Lee *Heegaard splittings and Seiberg-Witten monopoles*, in “Geometry and Topology of Manifolds”, Fields Institute Communications 47 (2005), 173-202.

- [L3] Y.-J. Lee, *From Seiberg-Witten to Gromov: MCE with singular symplectic forms*, JDG
- [L4] Y.-J. Lee, *Floer theoretic invariants for 3- and 4-manifolds*, in Tsinghua Lectures in Mathematics, Lizhen Ji, Yat-Sun Poon, Shing Tung Yau Ed., pp. 243-264, Adv. Lect. Math. (ALM), 45, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2019.
- [LT] *Periodic Floer homology and Seiberg-Witten cohomology*, with C. Taubes, J. Symp. Geom. **10**, (2012), 1-84.
- [Le] Y. Lekili, *Heegaard Floer homology of broken fibrations over the circle*. Adv. Math. 244, 268-302 (2013).
- [LP] Y. Lekili, T. Perutz. *Fukaya categories of the torus and Dehn surgery*. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., 108 (20), 2011.
- [LiT] P. Li, L.-F. Tam, *Symmetric Green's Functions on Complete Manifolds*, American Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 109, No. 6 (1987), pp.1129-1154.
- [MWW] S. Ma'u, K. Wehrheim, C. Woodward *A^∞ functors for Lagrangian correspondences*. Sel. Math. 24, 1913-2002 (2018).
- [OS] P. Ozsvath, Z. Szabo, *Holomorphic disks and topological invariants for closed three-manifolds. I, II*. Ann. Math. (2) 2004 vol. 159 (3) pp. 1027-1158, pp. 1159-1245.
- [P] T. Perutz, *Lagrangian correspondences and invariants for 3-manifolds with boundary*, lecture at MSRI (2010). <https://www.msri.org/workshops/512/schedules/4035>
- [P:m] T. Perutz, *Lagrangian matching invariants for fibred four-manifolds. I*. Geom. Topol. 11 (2007), 759-828.
- [T] C. Taubes, four papers collected in: *Seiberg-Witten and Gromov invariants for symplectic 4-manifolds*, IP.
- [T:s] C. Taubes, *Seiberg-Witten invariants and pseudo-holomorphic subvarieties for self-dual, harmonic 2-forms*. Geometry and Topology 3, 167-210 (1999)