

The second order quasi-normal modes for an AdS₄ black brane

Wen-Bin Pan,^{1,*} ZhangPing Yu,^{1,2,†} and Yi Ling^{1,2,‡}

¹*Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences,
19B Yuquan Road, Shijingshan District, Beijing 100049, China*

²*School of Physical Sciences, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences,
19A, Yuquan Road, Shijingshan District, Beijing 100049, China*

(Dated: December 31, 2024)

We study the second order gravitational perturbations in an AdS black brane background. The equations of motion for the second order modes are obtained in terms of gauge invariant variables, where in general the second order quasi-normal modes are sourced by two first order quasi-normal modes. The ratio of the amplitudes between the second order mode and the product of two linear modes in the source is numerically computed. Remarkably, it turns out that the quadratic-to-linear ratio of amplitudes at horizon is found to be of order one in general. In particular, the resonance occurs at special positions in momentum space, where the amplitude becomes divergent. The condition leading to the resonance is that the combined frequency of two sources coincides with one of another first order quasi-normal mode. This phenomenon is in contrast to what is observed in asymptotically flat spacetime.

I. INTRODUCTION

Linear perturbation analysis in general relativity plays a significant role in testing the stability of spacetime and describing the gravitational waves emitted during the process of particles falling into a black hole [1–3] and the ringdown stage of coalescence of binary black holes [4, 5]. Conventionally, the gravitational waves are described by the linear superposition of quasi-normal modes (QNMs) [6, 7] which are characteristic black hole oscillations satisfying ingoing boundary condition on the horizon and outgoing boundary condition at infinity. These conditions determine a discrete set of complex quasi-normal frequencies (QNFs) with negative imaginary parts, which manifest the damping of the fluctuations. See [8–11] for reviews on the QNMs of black holes.

Remarkably, recent numerical simulations have provided evidence for nonlinear modes in the ringdown stage of the gravitational waveform produced by the merger of two black holes [12, 13]. Theoretically, the nonlinearity of the Einstein field equations suggests that the second(quadratic) order mode can be generated by two first(linear) order modes [14–18]. Specifically, the product of two linear modes may be the source of quadratic modes such that the frequency of a quadratic order mode is simply the sum of the frequencies of two source modes

$$\omega^{(2)} = \omega_1^{(1)} + \omega_2^{(1)}, \quad (1)$$

which implies that the quadratic modes usually decay faster than their sources. Nevertheless, the significance of the nonlinear effect at quadratic order should be judged

by the ratio of their amplitudes, which is defined as

$$\frac{A^{(2)}}{A_1^{(1)} A_2^{(1)}}. \quad (2)$$

The quadratic-to-linear amplitudes of quasi-normal modes in asymptotically flat black holes have been studied in [19–27]. Remarkably, simulations have shown that quadratic modes are also present at the horizon of a newly formed black hole generated by the head-on collision of two black holes. The quadratic-to-linear amplitude ratios have been found to be of order one [28], revealing that in general the contribution from quadratic modes should not be ignored in a system where the nonlinear effects of gravity become strong.

Inspired by the above progress on the nonlinear effects in gravitational waves, in this letter we investigate the quadratic quasi-normal modes in a 4 dimensional AdS black brane and compute the quadratic-to-linear amplitudes of gauge invariant variables [29–32] at horizon. The QNMs of the black brane have been studied intensively in the context of AdS/CFT duality in recent years [33–39]. It turns out to be a fertile ground for exploring non-equilibrium dynamics of strongly coupled quantum systems. In particular, there is a one-to-one correspondence between the QNM spectra in the AdS bulk and the poles of the thermal correlators of dual quantum field theory [36, 40, 41].

Since the black brane exhibits translational symmetry in spatial directions on the boundary, the quasi-normal modes are featured by continuous momentum, which is in contrast to the QNMs in Schwarzschild black holes with spherical symmetry. In this situation, we will show that there are resonances at special momenta of source modes. If resonance occurs in a given quadratic mode generating process, the corresponding ratio of amplitudes is expected to reach its maximum at the resonant point. The resonances imply that the quadratic order effects may play a significant role in the perturbative analysis of an AdS black brane.

* panwb@ihep.ac.cn

† yuzp@ihep.ac.cn

‡ lingy@ihep.ac.cn

II. THE GRAVITATIONAL PERTURBATIONS OVER ADS BLACK BRANE

We focus on the gravitational perturbations over the AdS₄ black brane background. Its geometry is described by the metric

$$ds^2 = -r^2 f(r) dt^2 + \frac{1}{r^2 f(r)} dr^2 + r^2 (dx^2 + dy^2), \quad (3)$$

where $f(r) = 1 - r_h^3/r^3$, with r_h being the radial coordinate of the horizon and the AdS radius set to unity. The Hawking temperature of the black brane is given by $T = \frac{3r_h}{4\pi}$.

Now we consider small gravitational perturbations over the AdS₄ black brane background. Due to the non-linear nature of the Einstein equation, one could explore the dynamics of the perturbations beyond the first linear order. As shown in the following, the perturbations at the second order are sourced by the linear ones. One could assume a full metric as

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} + \epsilon h_{\mu\nu}, \quad (4)$$

where $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$ denotes the background metric (3) and $\epsilon \ll 1$ is a bookkeeping parameter that helps to track the order of perturbations. In the absence of matter, i.e. $T_{\mu\nu} = 0$, (4) should satisfy the vacuum Einstein equation

$$G_{\mu\nu}[\bar{g} + \epsilon h] = 0, \quad (5)$$

where $G_{\mu\nu}[g] = R_{\mu\nu}[g] - \Lambda g_{\mu\nu}$, and the lower indices of the tensors $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$ and $h_{\mu\nu}$ are omitted for simplicity. Note that $\bar{g} + \epsilon h$ is a full solution to the Einstein equation rather than an approximate one of the first order. We expand (5) with respect to ϵ up to the second order:

$$G_{\mu\nu}[\bar{g}] + \epsilon G_{\mu\nu}^{(1)}[h] + \epsilon^2 G_{\mu\nu}^{(2)}[h, h] + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^3) = 0, \quad (6)$$

where $G_{\mu\nu}^{(1)}$ and $G_{\mu\nu}^{(2)}$ are respectively linear and bilinear differential operators of $h_{\mu\nu}$. The zeroth order term vanishes by definition, while the first order term is

$$G_{\mu\nu}^{(1)}[h] = -\frac{1}{2}\square h_{\mu\nu} - R_{\mu\alpha\nu\beta} h^{\alpha\beta} + \nabla_\alpha \nabla_{(\mu} \bar{h}^{\alpha}_{\nu)} - \Lambda h_{\mu\nu}, \quad (7)$$

where $\bar{h}^{\mu\nu}$ denotes the trace reversal with respect to the background metric, i.e. $\bar{h}^{\mu\nu} = h^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}g^{\alpha\beta}h_{\alpha\beta}$. Up to the first order, one only needs to solve the linear equation $G_{\mu\nu}^{(1)}[h] = 0$ and take the solution $h_{\mu\nu}^{(1)}$ as the first order approximation of the full perturbation $h_{\mu\nu}$. Up to the second order, we have

$$G_{\mu\nu}^{(1)}[h] + \epsilon G_{\mu\nu}^{(2)}[h, h] = 0. \quad (8)$$

Because ϵ is a small parameter, we expect the solution of (8) can be written in the form of

$$h_{\mu\nu} = h_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} + \epsilon h_{\mu\nu}^{(2)}, \quad (9)$$

i.e. the first order solution $h_{\mu\nu}^{(1)}$ is corrected by a quantity that is of order $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$. Then after substituting the ansatz (9) into (8) and noting that $G_{\mu\nu}^{(1)}[h^{(1)}] = 0$, we obtain

$$G_{\mu\nu}^{(1)}[h^{(2)}] + G_{\mu\nu}^{(2)}[h^{(1)}, h^{(1)}] = 0. \quad (10)$$

The first term has the same form as the first order (7), although the variable is changed to be the second order perturbation $h_{\mu\nu}^{(2)}$. The second term, which is quadratic in the first order solution $h_{\mu\nu}^{(1)}$, is interpreted as the source of $h_{\mu\nu}^{(2)}$.

III. MODE DECOMPOSITION

By virtue of the translational symmetry of the AdS black brane, we adopt the ansatz¹:

$$h_{\mu\nu}^{(n)}(t, x, y, r) = e^{-i\omega t + ik_x x + ik_y y} \tilde{h}_{\mu\nu}^{(n)}(\omega, k_x, k_y, r), \quad (11)$$

where $n = 1, 2$ denotes the order of the perturbation. Given a normalized wave vector $\hat{k} = (k_x/k, k_y/k)$ with $k = \sqrt{k_x^2 + k_y^2}$, the tensor $\tilde{h}_{\mu\nu}^{(i)}$ can be decomposed as follows²:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{h}_{ab}^{(n)} &= r^2 H_{ab}^{(n)}, \\ \tilde{h}_{ai}^{(n)} &= r^2 H_a^{(n)} \hat{k}_i + r^2 V_a^{(n)} \hat{k}_{\perp i}, \\ \tilde{h}_{ij}^{(n)} &= r^2 H_L^{(n)} \delta_{ij} + r^2 H_T^{(n)} (\hat{k}_i \hat{k}_j - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij} \hat{k}^2) \\ &\quad + r^2 V^{(n)} (\hat{k}_i \hat{k}_{\perp j} + \hat{k}_j \hat{k}_{\perp i}), \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where $\hat{k}_{\perp} = (-k_y/k, k_x/k)$ represents a vector perpendicular to \hat{k} . In addition, the indices a, b are used to denote coordinates t or r , while i, j denote x or y . As a result, the variables in the decomposition are classified into two types:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Vector:} & \quad V_a^{(n)}, \quad V^{(n)}; \\ \text{Scalar:} & \quad H_{ab}^{(n)}, \quad H_a^{(n)}, \quad H_L^{(n)}, \quad H_T^{(n)}. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

For $n = 1$, these two types of variables are decoupled in the linearized Einstein equation (7) and can be treated separately. Additionally, for each type of variable, one can construct a master variable that is gauge invariant by combining the variables of that type. Then Equation (7) can be reduced to two independent ordinary differential equations.

¹ The perturbation order n of the wave vectors k_x, k_y , and frequency ω has been omitted for simplicity. One should keep in mind that the wave vectors and frequencies of different orders are generally different.

² A factor r^2 is introduced in all terms in the decomposition for later convenience in writing down the master equations.

We choose the master variables for $n = 1$ to be the Kovton-Starinets(KS) variables defined in [36]. Keep going further, we also

as for $n = 1$, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Vector: } Y^{(n)} &:= \mathfrak{q} V_t^{(n)} + \mathfrak{w} V^{(n)}; \\ \text{Scalar: } Z^{(n)} &:= \mathfrak{q}^2 H_{tt}^{(n)} + 2\mathfrak{w}\mathfrak{q} H_t^{(n)} + \mathfrak{w}^2 H_T^{(n)} \\ &\quad + \frac{(r^2 f(r))'}{2r} \mathfrak{q}^2 \left(H_L^{(n)} - \frac{1}{2} H_T^{(n)} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where $\mathfrak{w} = \frac{\omega}{2\pi T}$ and $\mathfrak{q} = \frac{k}{2\pi T}$ are the dimensionless frequency and the magnitude of the wave vector, respectively. The second-order ODEs for $Y^{(1)}$ and $Z^{(1)}$ are respectively given by

define master variables for $n = 2$ to have the same form

$$Y''(r) + \left(\frac{4}{r} + \frac{\mathfrak{w}^2 f'(r)}{f(r)(\mathfrak{w}^2 - \mathfrak{q}^2 f(r))} \right) Y'(r) + \left(\frac{9r_h^2(\mathfrak{w}^2 - \mathfrak{q}^2 f(r))}{4r^4 f(r)^2} \right) Y(r) = 0, \quad (15)$$

$$Z''(r) + \left(\frac{f'(r)}{f(r)} + \frac{10}{r} + \frac{24(\mathfrak{q}^2 - \mathfrak{w}^2)}{r(4\mathfrak{w}^2 - 3\mathfrak{q}^2 - \mathfrak{q}^2 f(r))} \right) Z'(r) + \left(\frac{\mathfrak{q}^2 f'(r)^2}{f(r)(4\mathfrak{w}^2 - 3\mathfrak{q}^2 - \mathfrak{q}^2 f(r))} + \frac{9r_h^2(\mathfrak{w}^2 - \mathfrak{q}^2 f(r))}{4r^4 f(r)^2} \right) Z(r) = 0. \quad (16)$$

Imposing the ingoing boundary condition at the horizon and the Dirichlet boundary condition at infinity, one may solve the above master equations to figure out the free modes of oscillation over the black brane. These modes are characterized by a discrete set of quasi-normal frequencies ω_n .

To recover the metric components from the master variables, one must fix a gauge. The first order of metric fluctuations transforms under an infinitesimal diffeomorphism $x^\mu \rightarrow x^\mu + \epsilon \xi^\mu$ as

$$h_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} \rightarrow h_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} + \mathcal{L}_\xi \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} = h_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} + 2\nabla_{(\mu} \xi_{\nu)}, \quad (17)$$

$Y^{(1)}$ and $Z^{(1)}$ are both invariant under such transformations. We choose the Regge-Wheeler gauge [42, 43] for the first order perturbation $h_{\mu\nu}^{(1)}$, which imposes conditions

$$V^{(1)} = H_a^{(1)} = H_T^{(1)} = 0. \quad (18)$$

Then the remaining variables of vector and scalar type can be reconstructed by the gauge invariants $Y^{(1)}(r)$ and $Z^{(1)}(r)$ respectively. Specifically, the reconstruction for the variables in the vector sector is

$$\begin{aligned} V_t^{(1)} &= \frac{1}{\mathfrak{q}} Y^{(1)}(r) \\ V_r^{(1)} &= \frac{i\mathfrak{w}}{2\pi T \mathfrak{q} (\mathfrak{w}^2 - \mathfrak{q}^2 f(r))} \frac{dY^{(1)}(r)}{dr}. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

The detailed derivation of this reconstruction is presented in Appendix A.

IV. THE SECOND ORDER MODES

Next we focus on the second order quasi-normal modes from equation (10) with selected two first order quasi-

normal modes as the source. Equation (10) can be explicitly written as

$$\delta R_{\mu\nu}[h^{(2)}] - \Lambda h_{\mu\nu}^{(2)} + \delta^2 R_{\mu\nu}[h_1^{(1)}, h_2^{(1)}] = 0 \quad (20)$$

where the source term is [18]

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^2 R_{\mu\nu}[h_1^{(1)}, h_2^{(1)}] &= \frac{1}{2} \left(A_{\alpha\beta}[h_1^{(1)}, h_2^{(1)}] + B_{\alpha\beta}[h_1^{(1)}, h_2^{(1)}] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + C_{\alpha\beta}[h_1^{(1)}, h_2^{(1)}] \right), \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\alpha\beta}[h] &:= \frac{1}{2} h^{\mu\nu}{}_{;\alpha} h_{\mu\nu;\beta} + h^\mu{}_\beta{}^\nu (h_{\mu\alpha;\nu} - h_{\nu\alpha;\mu}), \\ B_{\alpha\beta}[h] &:= -h^{\mu\nu} (2h_{\mu(\alpha;\beta)\nu} - h_{\alpha\beta;\mu\nu} - h_{\mu\nu;\alpha\beta}), \\ C_{\alpha\beta}[h] &:= -\bar{h}^{\mu\nu}{}_{;\nu} (2h_{\mu(\alpha;\beta)} - h_{\alpha\beta;\mu}). \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Note that the source is quadratic in $h^{(1)}$.

Plugging the ansatz for the first order modes in (11) into (20) and supposing that the frequency and wave vector of the two source modes $h_1^{(1)}$ and $h_2^{(1)}$ are (ω_1, \vec{k}_1) and (ω_2, \vec{k}_2) , respectively, then the frequency ω and the wave vector \vec{k} for the second order mode $h^{(2)}$ have to be

$$(\omega = \omega_1 + \omega_2, \vec{k} = \vec{k}_1 + \vec{k}_2), \quad (23)$$

where ω_1 and ω_2 are quasi-normal frequencies that depend on continuous parameters \vec{k}_1 and \vec{k}_2 , respectively. We remark that if the second order mode is sourced by two distinct first order modes, then there are two possible contributions in the source³. However, if two source

³ One contribution comes from $\tilde{h}_1^{(1)}(\omega_1, \vec{k}_1, r)$ and $\tilde{h}_2^{(1)}(\omega_2, \vec{k}_2, r)$, the other comes from $\tilde{h}_1^{(1)}(\omega_2, \vec{k}_2, r)$ and $\tilde{h}_2^{(1)}(\omega_1, \vec{k}_1, r)$.

modes are identical, then there is only one contribution. Without loss of generality, we choose the wave vector of the second order mode \vec{k} to be along the x direction, i.e. $\vec{k} = (k_x, 0)$. Therefore, we could write $\vec{k}_1 = (k_{1x}, k_y)$ and $\vec{k}_2 = (k_{2x}, -k_y)$.

The vector and scalar types of variables in $h^{(2)}$ are also decoupled in equation (20) because its dynamical part is identical to the linear order (i.e. $G_{\mu\nu}^{(1)}$). In fact, with the choice of \vec{k} described above, equations that consist of a dynamical part $G_{ty}^{(1)}$, $G_{ry}^{(1)}$ or $G_{xy}^{(1)}$ determine vector modes while the others determine scalar modes. Therefore, equation (20) can be reduced to two inhomogeneous ODEs for each of the master variables $Y^{(2)}$ and $Z^{(2)}$, with the same homogeneous parts as in (15) and (16). The master equation has the following form,

$$(\psi^{(2)})''(r) + p(r)(\psi^{(2)})'(r) + q(r)\psi^{(2)}(r) = S[\tilde{h}_1^{(1)}, \tilde{h}_2^{(1)}], \quad (24)$$

where $\psi^{(2)}$ denotes $Y^{(2)}$ or $Z^{(2)}$, and S represents the source term.

Next, we also represent $\tilde{h}_1^{(1)}$ and $\tilde{h}_2^{(1)}$ using the master variables through the reconstruction of metric perturbations⁴. The resulting form of the source is

$$S[\psi_1, \psi_2] = F_1\psi_1'(r)\psi_2'(r) + F_2\psi_1'(r)\psi_2(r) + F_3\psi_1(r)\psi_2'(r) + F_4\psi_1(r)\psi_2(r), \quad (25)$$

where ψ_1 and ψ_2 are two first order master variables, each of which represents $Y^{(1)}$ or $Z^{(1)}$. The coefficients F_j ($j = 1, 2, 3, 4$) are functions of (ω_1, \vec{k}_1, r) and (ω_2, \vec{k}_2, r) . Note that we have eliminated the second and higher order derivatives of ψ_1 and ψ_2 in the source by using first order master equations (15) and (16). Since 1 specific quadratic order mode is generated by 2 first order modes in the source and each mode has 2 possible types, we have 8 independent processes in total:

$$\begin{aligned} Y_1^{(1)} \times Y_2^{(1)} &\rightarrow Y^{(2)}, & Y_1^{(1)} \times Y_2^{(1)} &\rightarrow Z^{(2)}, \\ Z_1^{(1)} \times Z_2^{(1)} &\rightarrow Y^{(2)}, & Z_1^{(1)} \times Z_2^{(1)} &\rightarrow Z^{(2)}, \\ Y_1^{(1)} \times Z_2^{(1)} &\rightarrow Y^{(2)}, & Y_1^{(1)} \times Z_2^{(1)} &\rightarrow Z^{(2)}, \\ Z_1^{(1)} \times Y_2^{(1)} &\rightarrow Y^{(2)}, & Z_1^{(1)} \times Y_2^{(1)} &\rightarrow Z^{(2)}. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Note that the sequence of source modes makes a difference if they belong to different types, because the isospectrality between vector and scalar modes is broken in the AdS black brane [39].

V. THE QUADRATIC-TO-LINEAR AMPLITUDES

After all the manipulations above, we are ready to numerically solve the inhomogeneous equation of (24) with

the source (25). We impose the same boundary conditions for the second order quasi-normal modes, i.e. infalling to the horizon and vanishing at the AdS boundary. Once the frequencies of two source modes are fixed, the frequency of the quadratic modes is known. One needs to determine the relative magnitude/amplitude between the quadratic and two source modes in the various processes of (26) from (24). We remark that this is in contrast to the computation of linear modes, in which the frequencies are determined by the boundary conditions and their amplitudes depend on the initial conditions of the perturbation [44–46].

In this work, we focus on the processes sourced by two vector modes, i.e. $Y_1^{(1)} \times Y_2^{(1)} \rightarrow Y^{(2)}$ and $Y_1^{(1)} \times Y_2^{(1)} \rightarrow Z^{(2)}$. Other 6 processes will be analyzed in detail in an upcoming paper [47]. Here we mainly apply an adapted version of the Horowitz-Hubeny(H-H) method [33] to compute the ratio of quadratic-to-linear amplitudes at the horizon, which is defined as

$$A = \frac{a_0^{(2)}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{q})}{a_0^{(1)}(\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{q}_1)a_0^{(1)}(\mathbf{w}_2, \mathbf{q}_2)}, \quad (27)$$

where $a_0^{(2)}$ and $a_0^{(1)}$ are the horizon amplitudes of quadratic and linear modes respectively. The numerical computation is demonstrated in Appendix B. We have also checked the results with the method of pseudo-spectrum which is presented in Appendix C.

In the following, we present the results of the quadratic-to-linear ratio in Figure (1) and (2) for two distinct processes generated by a pair of vector modes. The labels on the subfigures are two overtone numbers of the source modes.⁵ Note that we set $k_{1x} = k_{2x} = k_x$ (i.e. $\vec{k}_1 = (k_x, k_y)$ and $\vec{k}_2 = (k_x, -k_y)$) in all the figures so that the ratio depends only on k_x and k_y and can be conveniently plotted as a surface over the (k_x, k_y) plane. The location of the horizon r_h is set to be 1 for simplicity.

As can be seen from the figures, the ratio becomes divergent at certain special points in the (k_x, k_y) plane, signaling the occurrence of resonance when the combined frequency of two sources coincides with one of the another first order quasi-normal modes. Since the quasi-normal frequencies depend on the magnitude of wave vector, as two wave vectors of the source modes vary continuously, there exist special pairs of (\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2) such that the following equality holds,

$$\omega^{(2)} = \omega_n^{(1)}(k_1) + \omega_m^{(1)}(k_2) = \omega_l^{(1)}(k), \quad (28)$$

where $\vec{k} = \vec{k}_1 + \vec{k}_2$, $\omega_n^{(1)}$ and $\omega_m^{(1)}$ are the QNFs of the source modes, while $\omega_l^{(1)}$ is another QNF of vector or

⁴ It should be emphasized that one could fix the RW gauge for both of the source modes. The details are shown in appendix A.

⁵ The convention we used for the overtone number is described in Appendix B.




FIG. 1. The quadratic-to-linear ratio of the amplitude in the case of: $Y_1^{(1)} \times Y_2^{(1)} \rightarrow Y^{(2)}$. Each subfigure is labeled by the overtone numbers of source modes in the format of $n_1 \times n_2$.




FIG. 2. The quadratic-to-linear ratio of the amplitude in the case of: $Y_1^{(1)} \times Y_2^{(1)} \rightarrow Z^{(2)}$. Each subfigure is labeled by the overtone numbers of source modes in the format of $n_1 \times n_2$.

scalar modes (depending on the process under consideration). The indices n , m , and l are the overtone numbers of the modes. The first equality holds since we have (23), while the second one holds only for special \vec{k}_1 and \vec{k}_2 . It is at these special points in the (\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2) plane that the resonance occurs, and the ratio of amplitudes is greatly amplified.

The resonant condition in (28) can be justified by examining the QNF of typical first order modes directly. As

a matter of fact, three forms of divergence are found. The first one is the divergence at the original point as shown in Figure 1 (a) and Figure 2 (b). This form of divergence appears if one of the source modes is a hydrodynamic mode, that is, $n = 0$ or $m = 0$, then the resonance occurs at $\vec{k}_1 = 0$. As a consequence, $\omega_0^{(1)}(0) = 0$ and condition (28) is satisfied. The second form of divergence appears at isolated resonant points such as the columnar divergence in the case $Y_1^{(1)} \times Y_2^{(1)} \rightarrow Z^{(2)}$ ($n = m = 1$ and $l = 2$), as shown in Figure 2 (c). This resonant point is

$$(k_x, k_y) \approx (1.1231, 1.375). \quad (29)$$

The corresponding frequencies are

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_1^{(1)} &\approx 2.0257 - 2.3892i \\ \omega_2^{(2)} = \omega_2^{(1)} &\approx 4.0514 - 4.7785i, \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

which also satisfy the condition in (28). The third form of divergence appears as a line in the case of $Y_1^{(1)} \times Y_2^{(1)} \rightarrow Y^{(2)}$ ($n = 1$, $m = 1c$ and $l = 0$), as shown in Figure 1 (c). This divergent line is located at $k_x \approx 1.1809$ where the sum of QNFs of a pair of mirror modes becomes the QNF of a hydrodynamic mode.

Although divergences are found in the frequency domain, we do not expect any real divergence in the time domain. This can be understood through a simple example of normal modes. Consider the following inhomogeneous ODE:

$$y''(t) + y(t) = se^{-i\omega t}. \quad (31)$$

Substituting the ansatz $y(t) = \tilde{y}e^{-i\omega t}$ into it yields $\tilde{y} = \frac{s}{1-\omega^2}$. The divergence appears at the intrinsic frequency $\omega = 1$. However, if we compute $y(t)$ rather than \tilde{y} with initial conditions $y(0) = y'(0) = 0$, we obtain $\text{Re}[y(t)] = \frac{s}{2}t \sin(t)$. The amplitude of this solution still tends to diverge as time goes on, since the source continuously inputs energy into the system if the resonant frequency is real. On the other hand, as in the case of second order QNMs, the source has a complex frequency and is itself decaying exponentially with time, the divergence behavior is therefore suppressed.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

In this letter we have investigated the second order quasi-normal modes in the AdS₄ black brane. Such modes are sourced by pairs of first order modes. The resonance occurs at some special values of the wave vectors of the source modes, which may signify strong non-linearity in the perturbative analysis near horizon. It would be interesting to further investigate the effect of this resonance in the time domain by providing initial conditions. It is also intriguing to study its implications on the CFT side of holography, as well as in other models where similar resonances may occur.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We thank Kai Li, Pan Li, Ya-Wen Sun, Yu Tian, and Hong-Bao Zhang for their valuable discussions. This work is supported in part by the National Natural Sci-

ence Foundation of China under Grant No. 12347183, 12035016, and 12275275. It is also supported by Beijing Natural Science Foundation under Grant No. 1222031, and the Innovative Projects of Science and Technology No. E2545BU210 at IHEP.

-
- [1] F. J. Zerilli, “Gravitational field of a particle falling in a schwarzschild geometry analyzed in tensor harmonics,” *Phys. Rev. D* **2**, 2141–2160 (1970).
- [2] M. Davis, R. Ruffini, W. H. Press, and R. H. Price, “Gravitational radiation from a particle falling radially into a schwarzschild black hole,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **27**, 1466–1469 (1971).
- [3] Emanuele Berti, Vitor Cardoso, Tanja Hinderer, Madalena Lemos, Frans Pretorius, Ulrich Sperhake, and Nicolas Yunes, “Semianalytical estimates of scattering thresholds and gravitational radiation in ultrarelativistic black hole encounters,” *Phys. Rev. D* **81**, 104048 (2010), arXiv:1003.0812 [gr-qc].
- [4] Richard H. Price and Jorge Pullin, “Colliding black holes: The Close limit,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **72**, 3297–3300 (1994), arXiv:gr-qc/9402039.
- [5] Emanuele Berti, Vitor Cardoso, Jose A. Gonzalez, Ulrich Sperhake, Mark Hannam, Sascha Husa, and Bernd Bruegmann, “Inspiral, merger and ringdown of unequal mass black hole binaries: A Multipolar analysis,” *Phys. Rev. D* **76**, 064034 (2007), arXiv:gr-qc/0703053.
- [6] C. V. Vishveshwara, “Scattering of Gravitational Radiation by a Schwarzschild Black-hole,” *Nature* **227**, 936–938 (1970).
- [7] William H. Press, “Long Wave Trains of Gravitational Waves from a Vibrating Black Hole,” *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **170**, L105–L108 (1971).
- [8] Kostas D. Kokkotas and Bernd G. Schmidt, “Quasinormal modes of stars and black holes,” *Living Rev. Rel.* **2**, 2 (1999), arXiv:gr-qc/9909058.
- [9] Hans-Peter Nollert, “TOPICAL REVIEW: Quasinormal modes: the characteristic ‘sound’ of black holes and neutron stars,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **16**, R159–R216 (1999).
- [10] Emanuele Berti, Vitor Cardoso, and Andrei O. Starinets, “Quasinormal modes of black holes and black branes,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **26**, 163001 (2009), arXiv:0905.2975 [gr-qc].
- [11] R. A. Konoplya and A. Zhidenko, “Quasinormal modes of black holes: From astrophysics to string theory,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **83**, 793–836 (2011), arXiv:1102.4014 [gr-qc].
- [12] Mark Ho-Yeuk Cheung *et al.*, “Nonlinear Effects in Black Hole Ringdown,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **130**, 081401 (2023), arXiv:2208.07374 [gr-qc].
- [13] Keefe Mitman *et al.*, “Nonlinearities in Black Hole Ringdowns,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **130**, 081402 (2023), arXiv:2208.07380 [gr-qc].
- [14] Reinaldo J. Gleiser, Carlos O. Nicasio, Richard H. Price, and Jorge Pullin, “Second order perturbations of a Schwarzschild black hole,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **13**, L117–L124 (1996), arXiv:gr-qc/9510049.
- [15] Marco Bruni, Sabino Matarrese, Silvia Mollerach, and Sebastiano Sonego, “Perturbations of space-time: Gauge transformations and gauge invariance at second order and beyond,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **14**, 2585–2606 (1997), arXiv:gr-qc/9609040.
- [16] Sabino Matarrese, Silvia Mollerach, and Marco Bruni, “Second order perturbations of the Einstein-de Sitter universe,” *Phys. Rev. D* **58**, 043504 (1998), arXiv:astro-ph/9707278.
- [17] Andrew Spiers, Adam Pound, and Jordan Moxon, “Second-order Teukolsky formalism in Kerr spacetime: Formulation and nonlinear source,” *Phys. Rev. D* **108**, 064002 (2023), arXiv:2305.19332 [gr-qc].
- [18] Andrew Spiers, Adam Pound, and Barry Wardell, “Second-order perturbations of the Schwarzschild spacetime: Practical, covariant, and gauge-invariant formalisms,” *Phys. Rev. D* **110**, 064030 (2024), arXiv:2306.17847 [gr-qc].
- [19] Kunihito Ioka and Hiroyuki Nakano, “Second and higher-order quasi-normal modes in binary black hole mergers,” *Phys. Rev. D* **76**, 061503 (2007), arXiv:0704.3467 [astro-ph].
- [20] Hiroyuki Nakano and Kunihito Ioka, “Second Order Quasi-Normal Mode of the Schwarzschild Black Hole,” *Phys. Rev. D* **76**, 084007 (2007), arXiv:0708.0450 [gr-qc].
- [21] Macarena Lagos and Lam Hui, “Generation and propagation of nonlinear quasinormal modes of a Schwarzschild black hole,” *Phys. Rev. D* **107**, 044040 (2023), arXiv:2208.07379 [gr-qc].
- [22] Davide Perrone, Thomas Barreira, Alex Kehagias, and Antonio Riotto, “Non-linear black hole ringdowns: An analytical approach,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **999**, 116432 (2024), arXiv:2308.15886 [gr-qc].
- [23] Bruno Bucciotti, Adrien Kuntz, Francesco Serra, and Enrico Trincherini, “Nonlinear quasi-normal modes: uniform approximation,” *JHEP* **12**, 048 (2023), arXiv:2309.08501 [hep-th].
- [24] Bruno Bucciotti, Leonardo Juliano, Adrien Kuntz, and Enrico Trincherini, “Quadratic quasinormal modes of a Schwarzschild black hole,” *Phys. Rev. D* **110**, 104048 (2024), arXiv:2405.06012 [gr-qc].
- [25] Patrick Bourg, Rodrigo Panosso Macedo, Andrew Spiers, Benjamin Leather, Béatrice Bonga, and Adam Pound, “Quadratic quasi-normal mode dependence on linear mode parity,” (2024), arXiv:2405.10270 [gr-qc].
- [26] Bruno Bucciotti, Leonardo Juliano, Adrien Kuntz, and Enrico Trincherini, “Amplitudes and polarizations of quadratic quasi-normal modes for a Schwarzschild black hole,” *JHEP* **09**, 119 (2024), arXiv:2406.14611 [hep-th].
- [27] A. Kehagias and A. Riotto, “Nonlinear Effects in Black Hole Ringdown Made Simple: Quasi-Normal Modes as Adiabatic Modes,” (2024), arXiv:2411.07980 [gr-qc].
- [28] Neev Khera, Ariadna Ribes Metidieri, Béatrice Bonga, Xisco Jiménez Forteza, Badri Krishnan, Eric Poisson, Daniel Pook-Kolb, Erik Schnetter, and Huan Yang, “Nonlinear Ringdown at the Black Hole Horizon,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **131**, 231401 (2023), arXiv:2306.11142 [gr-qc].

- [29] V. Moncrief, “Gravitational perturbations of spherically symmetric systems. I. The exterior problem.” *Annals Phys.* **88**, 323–342 (1974).
- [30] Alcides Garat and Richard H. Price, “Gauge invariant formalism for second order perturbations of Schwarzschild space-times.” *Phys. Rev. D* **61**, 044006 (2000), arXiv:gr-qc/9909005.
- [31] David Brizuela, Jose M. Martin-Garcia, and Guillermo A. Mena Marugan, “High-order gauge-invariant perturbations of a spherical spacetime,” *Phys. Rev. D* **76**, 024004 (2007), arXiv:gr-qc/0703069.
- [32] David Brizuela, Jose M. Martin-Garcia, and Manuel Tiglio, “A Complete gauge-invariant formalism for arbitrary second-order perturbations of a Schwarzschild black hole,” *Phys. Rev. D* **80**, 024021 (2009), arXiv:0903.1134 [gr-qc].
- [33] Gary T. Horowitz and Veronika E. Hubeny, “Quasinormal modes of AdS black holes and the approach to thermal equilibrium,” *Phys. Rev. D* **62**, 024027 (2000), arXiv:hep-th/9909056.
- [34] Vitor Cardoso and Jose P. S. Lemos, “Quasinormal modes of Schwarzschild anti-de Sitter black holes: Electromagnetic and gravitational perturbations,” *Phys. Rev. D* **64**, 084017 (2001), arXiv:gr-qc/0105103.
- [35] Vitor Cardoso and Jose P. S. Lemos, “Quasinormal modes of toroidal, cylindrical and planar black holes in anti-de Sitter space-times,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **18**, 5257–5267 (2001), arXiv:gr-qc/0107098.
- [36] Pavel K. Kovtun and Andrei O. Starinets, “Quasinormal modes and holography,” *Phys. Rev. D* **72**, 086009 (2005), arXiv:hep-th/0506184.
- [37] Alex S. Miranda and Vilson T. Zanchin, “Quasinormal modes of plane-symmetric anti-de Sitter black holes: A Complete analysis of the gravitational perturbations,” *Phys. Rev. D* **73**, 064034 (2006), arXiv:gr-qc/0510066.
- [38] Alex S. Miranda, Jaqueline Morgan, and Vilson T. Zanchin, “Quasinormal modes of plane-symmetric black holes according to the AdS/CFT correspondence,” *JHEP* **2008**, 030 (2008), arXiv:0809.0297 [hep-th].
- [39] Jaqueline Morgan, Vitor Cardoso, Alex S. Miranda, C. Molina, and Vilson T. Zanchin, “Gravitational quasinormal modes of AdS black branes in d spacetime dimensions,” *JHEP* **2009**, 117 (2009), arXiv:0907.5011 [hep-th].
- [40] Danny Birmingham, Ivo Sachs, and Sergey N. Solodukhin, “Conformal field theory interpretation of black hole quasinormal modes,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88**, 151301 (2002), arXiv:hep-th/0112055.
- [41] Dam T. Son and Andrei O. Starinets, “Minkowski space correlators in AdS / CFT correspondence: Recipe and applications,” *JHEP* **09**, 042 (2002), arXiv:hep-th/0205051.
- [42] Tullio Regge and John A. Wheeler, “Stability of a Schwarzschild singularity,” *Phys. Rev.* **108**, 1063–1069 (1957).
- [43] Frank J. Zerilli, “Effective potential for even parity Regge-Wheeler gravitational perturbation equations,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **24**, 737–738 (1970).
- [44] Edward W. Leaver, “Spectral decomposition of the perturbation response of the Schwarzschild geometry,” *Phys. Rev. D* **34**, 384–408 (1986).
- [45] Abhirup Ghosh, Richard Brito, and Alessandra Buonanno, “Constraints on quasinormal-mode frequencies with LIGO-Virgo binary–black-hole observations,” *Phys. Rev. D* **103**, 124041 (2021), arXiv:2104.01906 [gr-qc].
- [46] Mark Ho-Yeuk Cheung, Emanuele Berti, Vishal Baibhav, and Roberto Cotesta, “Extracting linear and nonlinear quasinormal modes from black hole merger simulations,” *Phys. Rev. D* **109**, 044069 (2024), [Erratum: *Phys. Rev. D* **110**, 049902 (2024)], arXiv:2310.04489 [gr-qc].
- [47] Wen-Bin Pan, Yu Zhang-Ping, and Ling Yi, “In progress,” .
- [48] Philippe Grandclement and Jerome Novak, “Spectral methods for numerical relativity,” *Living Rev. Rel.* **12**, 1 (2009), arXiv:0706.2286 [gr-qc].
- [49] Ricardo Monteiro, Malcolm J. Perry, and Jorge E. Santos, “Semiclassical instabilities of Kerr-AdS black holes,” *Phys. Rev. D* **81**, 024001 (2010), arXiv:0905.2334 [gr-qc].
- [50] Aron Jansen, “Overdamped modes in Schwarzschild-de Sitter and a Mathematica package for the numerical computation of quasinormal modes,” *Eur. Phys. J. Plus* **132**, 546 (2017), arXiv:1709.09178 [gr-qc].

Appendix A: The reconstruction of metric components

In this appendix, we reconstruct the metric components of vector type from the master variable $Y^{(1)}$.

Under an infinitesimal diffeomorphism $x^\mu \rightarrow x^\mu + \epsilon \xi^\mu$, where

$$\xi_\mu(t, x, y, r) = e^{-i\omega t + ik_x x + ik_y y} \tilde{\xi}_\mu(\omega, k_x, k_y, r), \quad (\text{A1})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\xi}_a &= \zeta_a, \\ \tilde{\xi}_i &= \zeta \hat{k}_i + \eta \hat{k}_{\perp i}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A2})$$

the first order variables of the vector type transform as

$$\begin{aligned} V_t^{(1)} &\rightarrow V_t^{(1)} - i\omega \frac{\eta}{r^2} \\ V_r^{(1)} &\rightarrow V_r^{(1)} + \left(\frac{\eta}{r^2}\right)' \\ V^{(1)} &\rightarrow V^{(1)} + ik \frac{\eta}{r^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A3})$$

where $k = \sqrt{k_x^2 + k_y^2}$ and the prime denotes the derivative with respect to r . It is straightforward to see that the following three combinations of variables

$$\begin{aligned} E_1 &= kV_t^{(1)} + \omega V^{(1)} \\ E_2 &= (V_t^{(1)})' + i\omega V_r^{(1)} \\ E_3 &= (V^{(1)})' - ikV_r^{(1)} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A4})$$

are invariant under the diffeomorphism. The Einstein equations of the vector sector consist of three equations which can be represented fully by three gauge invariants as

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{2}f(r)(r^4 E_2)' + \frac{k}{2}E_1 &= 0 \\ \frac{i\omega}{2f(r)}E_2 + \frac{ik}{2}E_3 &= 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2}(r^4 f(r)E_3)' - \frac{\omega}{2f(r)}E_1 &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A5})$$

These gauge invariant variables are not independent and obey the constraint

$$E'_1 - kE_2 - \omega E_3 = 0. \quad (\text{A6})$$

Following [36], we choose $Y^{(1)} := \frac{E_1}{2\pi T}$ to be our master variable of the vector sector. Y satisfies the second order ODE (15) which can be easily derived from (A5) and (A6).

In order to reconstruct the metric components from the master variable, we choose the Regge-Wheeler gauge, which imposes $V^{(1)} = 0$ for vector type variables. After fixing the gauge, we have $V_t = \frac{E_1}{k}$ and $V_r = \frac{iE_3}{k}$. Then, by combining the second equation in (A5) and (A6), we obtain $E_3 = \frac{\omega}{\omega^2 - k^2 f(r)} E'_1$. Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} V_t^{(1)} &= \frac{E_1}{k} \\ V_r^{(1)} &= \frac{i\omega}{k(\omega^2 - k^2 f(r))} E'_1. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A7})$$

After a normalization with $2\pi T$, we obtain (19) and the reconstruction for vector type variables is completed.

It is worthwhile to note that the same gauge fixing can be applied to both modes in the source simultaneously. To impose $V^{(1)} = 0$, we need to set $\eta = \frac{ir^2 V^{(1)}}{k}$. Writing the dependence of ω and \vec{k} in the variables explicitly, we have

$$\eta(\omega, \vec{k}, r) = \frac{ir^2}{k} V^{(1)}(\omega, \vec{k}, r). \quad (\text{A8})$$

Since $\eta(\omega_1, \vec{k}_1, r)$ and $\eta(\omega_2, \vec{k}_2, r)$ are generally independent, they can be used to eliminate the component $V^{(1)}$ in each of two source modes (i.e., $V^{(1)}(\omega_1, \vec{k}_1, r)$ and $V^{(1)}(\omega_2, \vec{k}_2, r)$), respectively.

Appendix B: The numerical computation of the ratio of quadratic-to-linear amplitudes

1. The linear order modes

Firstly, we solve for the quasi-normal frequencies (QNFs) and near-horizon series solutions of linear modes using the H-H method. We assume that the near-horizon expansion of a master variable $\psi^{(1)}$ has the form

$$\psi^{(1)}(u) = (u-1)^\lambda \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} a_j^{(1)} (u-1)^j, \quad (\text{B1})$$

where $u = \frac{r_h}{r}$. We also expand the coefficients $p(u)$ and $q(u)$ of the master equation (15) near the horizon,

$$\begin{aligned} p(u) &= (u-1)^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} p_j (u-1)^j \\ q(u) &= (u-1)^{-2} \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} q_j (u-1)^j, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B2})$$

where the leading exponents are -1 and -2 for $p(u)$ and $q(u)$ respectively. Plugging (B1) and (B2) into the master equation (15) or (16) one finds that it becomes a series expansion with the form

$$\sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} \mathcal{W}_j^{(1)} (u-1)^{\lambda+j-2} = 0. \quad (\text{B3})$$

The leading order equation reads as $\mathcal{W}_0^{(1)} = (\lambda(\lambda-1) + p_0\lambda + q_0)a_0^{(1)} = 0$. Combining this with the ingoing boundary condition at the horizon, we obtain $\lambda = -\frac{i\omega}{2}$. One can then find a_j by solving (B3) order by order. The coefficients with $j \geq 1$ are functions of (ω, \vec{q}) and are all proportional to a_0 , which is the amplitude of the linear order mode. The boundary condition at $u = 0$ imposes further that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} a_j^{(1)} (-1)^j = 0. \quad (\text{B4})$$

We truncate the sum at a finite order N and obtain the quasi-normal frequencies numerically.

The quasi-normal frequencies form a discrete complex spectrum for the black brane and can be labeled with an overtone number n along with the sign of their real parts. For the vector modes in AdS, there is a hydrodynamic mode whose frequency lies on the negative imaginary axis and approaches 0 as its wave vector approaches 0, which is denoted as $n = 0$ in the following. Other non-hydro modes, whose frequencies have positive real parts, are denoted as $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, in order of their imaginary parts starting from the least damped mode. Their mirror modes⁶ are labeled as $n = 1c, 2c, 3c, \dots$, respectively. Similarly, for the scalar modes, there is a pair of hydrodynamic modes that are labeled by 0 and 0c. Other non-hydro modes are denoted as $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ and $n = 1c, 2c, 3c, \dots$.

2. The quadratic order modes

Next, we solve for the quadratic modes. It is convenient to perform a coordinate transformation $u = \frac{r_h}{r}$, which brings the AdS boundary to $u = 0$ and horizon to $u = 1$. The master equation has the form of

$$(\psi^{(2)})'' + p(u)(\psi^{(2)})' + q(u)\psi^{(2)} = S[\psi_1^{(1)}, \psi_2^{(1)}], \quad (\text{B5})$$

where a prime represents the derivative of u . We assume a series ansatz for $\psi^{(2)}$

$$\psi^{(2)}(u) = (u-1)^\lambda \sum_{i=0}^N a_i^{(2)} (u-1)^i, \quad (\text{B6})$$

⁶ The mirror mode of a QNM with frequency ω has frequency $-\omega^*$.

along with the series solutions of two linear vector modes into (B5) and further expand it up to the truncation order N . We find that the leading exponent of the series of the source on the right hand side of (B5) is $\lambda - 1$ which holds for both processes, while that of the left hand side is $\lambda - 2$. Thus, the presence of the source does not change the leading behavior of the quadratic modes ψ . Therefore, the expansion of (B5) has the form of

$$(u-1)^{\lambda-2} \left(\mathcal{W}_0^{(2)} + \sum_{j=1}^N (\mathcal{W}_j^{(2)} - \mathcal{S}_j)(u-1)^j \right) = 0, \quad (\text{B7})$$

where \mathcal{S}_j is the contribution from the source. One may solve it order by order, similar to the linear order. Imposing the ingoing boundary condition at the horizon and taking into consideration the fact that $\omega = \omega_1 + \omega_2$, the leading equation $\mathcal{W}_0^{(2)}$ gives us

$$\lambda = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \frac{-i(\mathbf{w}_1 + \mathbf{w}_2)}{2}. \quad (\text{B8})$$

Then $a_j^{(2)}$ can be solved recursively from the j -th equation:

$$\mathcal{W}_j^{(2)} - \mathcal{S}_j = \left(\sum_{i=0}^j c_{ji} a_i^{(2)} \right) - b_j s_{j-2} a_0^{(1)} a_0^{(1)} = 0, \quad (\text{B9})$$

where $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N$, c_{ji} and b_j are constants depending on \mathbf{w} and \mathbf{q} , and s_{j-2} is the $(j-2)$ -th coefficient in the series expansion of the source, which is also a function of \mathbf{w} and \mathbf{q} . The result for $a_j^{(2)}$ is in terms of the second order amplitude at horizon $a_0^{(2)}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{q})$ and the product of two first order amplitudes $a_0^{(1)}(\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{q}_1) a_0^{(1)}(\mathbf{w}_2, \mathbf{q}_2)$. The vanishing condition at $u = 0$ requires that

$$\sum_{j=0}^N a_j^{(2)} (-1)^j = 0, \quad (\text{B10})$$

which determines a ratio between the amplitudes:

$$A = \frac{a_0^{(2)}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{q})}{a_0^{(1)}(\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{q}_1) a_0^{(1)}(\mathbf{w}_2, \mathbf{q}_2)} \quad (\text{B11})$$

Now we analyze the behavior near the horizon and near the boundary to match the boundary conditions. Starting with the AdS boundary, by plugging in an ansatz $Y^{(1)}(u) = u^p$, we find that there are two solutions, a non-normalizable mode $Y^{(1)}(u) = \text{const} + \mathcal{O}(u)$ which

for each truncation order N .

With the increase of N , the convergence of the series A_N can be justified numerically for all the cases under consideration. The quadratic-to-linear ratio for a typical pattern of $Y_1^{(1)} \times Y_2^{(1)} \rightarrow Y^{(2)}$ is illustrated in Figure (3).




FIG. 3. The solution of the quadratic-to-linear ratio of amplitudes A_N with truncation order $N = 1, 2, \dots, 30$, in the process $Y_1^{(1)} \times Y_2^{(1)} \rightarrow Y^{(2)}$ and two source modes are chosen to be $n = 2$ and $n = 3$. The wave vectors of two source modes are $\vec{k}_1 = (\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ and $\vec{k}_2 = (\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})$, respectively. The blue and yellow dots represent the real and imaginary parts of A_N , respectively. As N increases, A_N approaches a fixed complex number. The horizon radius r_h is set to be 1.

To obtain a desirable accuracy, we typically need to choose at least $N = 30$ for $|\vec{k}_1| < 4$ and $|\vec{k}_2| < 4$. For larger magnitudes of the wave numbers, N must be larger. We fix $N = 30$ in our numerical computations.

Appendix C: Pseudo-spectrum

In this appendix, we concisely introduce the pseudo-spectral method [48–50] for solving quasi-normal modes and quadratic modes. We illustrate this with the vector mode Y as an example. The equation governing the first-order vector mode $Y^{(1)}$ is given by (15). We first introduce a coordinate transformation $u = \frac{r_h}{r}$, which maps the AdS boundary to $u = 0$ and the horizon to $u = 1$. Without loss of generality we set r_h to unity here; thus, $\mathbf{w} = \frac{2\omega}{3}$ and $\mathbf{q} = \frac{2k}{3}$. Consequently, the equation transforms into

$$(Y^{(1)})''(u) - \frac{(2k^2(u^3-1)^2 - (u^3+2)\omega^2)}{u(u^3-1)(k^2(u^3-1) + \omega^2)} (Y^{(1)})'(u) + \frac{(k^2(u^3-1) + \omega^2)}{(u^3-1)^2} Y^{(1)}(u) = 0.$$

we must discard, and a normalizable mode $Y^{(1)}(u) = u^3 + \mathcal{O}(u^4)$. If we define $Y^{(1)}(u) = u^3 \tilde{Y}^{(1)}(u)$, then the normalizable mode is smooth near the boundary, while the non-normalizable mode diverges.

Again, by plugging in an ansatz $Y^{(1)}(u) = (1 -$

$u)^p$, we find that two independent solutions are $Y^{(1)}(u) = (1 - u)^{\frac{i\omega}{3}} (1 + \mathcal{O}(1 - u))$ and $Y^{(1)}(u) = (1 - u)^{-\frac{i\omega}{3}} (1 + \mathcal{O}(1 - u))$. The former solution corresponds to an in-going wave, while the latter solution corresponds to an out-going wave. If we define $Y^{(1)}(u) = (1 - u)^{-\frac{i\omega}{3}} \bar{Y}^{(1)}(u)$, the in-going mode is smooth near the horizon, while the out-going mode, which oscillates more

and more rapidly as it approaches the horizon, should be discarded.

Thus, by applying transformation $Y^{(1)}(u) = u^3(1 - u)^{-\frac{i\omega}{3}} y^{(1)}(u)$, the equation becomes

$$a(\omega, k)y^{(1)''}(u) + b(\omega, k)y^{(1)'}(u) + c(\omega, k)y^{(1)}(u) = 0, \quad (\text{C1})$$

where

$$a(\omega, k) = 9(u - 1)u(u^2 + u + 1)^2(k^2(u^3 - 1) + \omega^2), \quad (\text{C2})$$

$$b(\omega, k) = -3i(u^2 + u + 1)2k^2(u - 1)(u^2 + u + 1)^2(u(\omega + 6i) - 6i) - 3i(u^2 + u + 1)\omega^2(u^3(2\omega + 21i) + 2u^2\omega + 2u\omega - 12i), \quad (\text{C3})$$

$$c(\omega, k) = 9k^4(u - 1)u(u^2 + u + 1)^2 - k^2(u^2 + u + 1)\omega(u^5(\omega + 9i) + 2u^4(\omega + 3i) + 3u^3(\omega + i) + 2u^2(\omega - 9i) - u(17\omega + 15i) - 12i) - \omega^2(u^4(\omega + 9i)^2 + 3u^3(\omega^2 + 11i\omega - 27) + u^2(6\omega^2 + 45i\omega - 81) + u\omega(8\omega + 27i) + 12i\omega), \quad (\text{C4})$$

and the Dirichlet boundary condition requires that $y^{(1)}(u)$ is smooth at horizon and AdS boundary.

Next, we discretize the differential equations. Specifically, we substitute the continuous variables with a discrete collection of collocation points known as the grid points, and we expand the functions using specific basis functions referred to as cardinal functions. Typically, Chebyshev grids and Lagrange cardinal functions are employed:

$$x_i = \cos\left(\frac{i}{N}\pi\right), \quad (\text{C5})$$

$$C_j(x) = \prod_{i=0, i \neq j}^N \frac{x - x_i}{x_j - x_i}, i = 0, \dots, N. \quad (\text{C6})$$

Afterward, the ordinary differential equation has been transformed into a matrix equation

$$(M_0 + M_1\omega + M_2\omega^2 + \dots + M_p\omega^p)y^{(1)} = 0, \quad (\text{C7})$$

where $y^{(1)}$ denotes the coefficients vector of $y^{(1)}(u)$, and $M_i (i = 0, 1, \dots, p)$, which are purely numerical matrices, denote the linear combination of the derivative matrices.

By defining

$$M_0 = \begin{pmatrix} M_0 & M_1 & M_2 & \dots & M_{p-1} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{C8})$$

$$M_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & M_p \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{C9})$$

we can rewrite this matrix equation as a generalized eigenvalue equation $(\tilde{M}_0 + \omega\tilde{M}_1)\tilde{y} = 0$, where $\tilde{y} = (y^{(1)}, \omega y^{(1)}, \omega^2 y^{(1)}, \dots, \omega^{p-1} y^{(1)})$. The linear quasi-normal frequency ω can be obtained by solving this generalized eigenvalue equation.

Quadratic modes satisfy the same equation as linear modes, except with an additional source term:

$$a(\omega^{(2)}, k^{(2)})y^{(2)''}(u) + b(\omega^{(2)}, k^{(2)})y^{(2)'}(u) + c(\omega^{(2)}, k^{(2)})y^{(2)}(u) = S \left[y_1^{(1)} \left(\omega_1^{(1)}, \vec{k}_1^{(1)} \right), y_2^{(1)} \left(\omega_2^{(1)}, \vec{k}_2^{(1)} \right) \right], \quad (\text{C10})$$

where $\omega^{(2)} = \omega_1^{(1)} + \omega_2^{(1)}$ and $k^{(2)} = \left| \vec{k}_1^{(1)} + \vec{k}_2^{(1)} \right|$ are frequency and magnitude of quadratic mode, respectively. Therefore, after discretization, $y^{(2)}$ satisfies a linear equation

$$(M_0 + M_1\omega^{(2)} + M_2(\omega^{(2)})^2 + \dots + M_p(\omega^{(2)})^p)y^{(2)} = s, \quad (\text{C11})$$

where s denotes the coefficients vector of the source. The quadratic mode can be obtained by solving this linear equation.