

Generating and Computing Quantum Periods in Exact WKB

Max Meynig¹

¹Department of Physics, University of Connecticut

Abstract

Periods of rational integrals appear in quantum mechanics through asymptotic expansions of traces computed with the semiclassical symbol calculus. In particular, a novel formal series expansion for the trace of the Dirac delta of the quantization of a polynomial Hamiltonian is developed. Reducing these integrals modulo total derivatives results in a novel formula for normal forms of the integrals. In the case of one degree of freedom, the two dimensional residue formula relates the rational integrals to the quantum actions in the exact WKB formalism.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Description of Main results	4
2.1	Restating the reduction problem	6
2.2	Main result on the Reduction of the Integrals	7
2.3	Notation	8
3	Reduction of the integrals	8
3.1	The Griffiths-Dwork reduction	9
3.2	Complete reduction of the integrals	12
3.3	Proof of theorem 2.5	17
4	Remarks on the ring of matrix valued functions	18
4.1	The Generators of the algebra	18

5	Connection to WKB	19
5.1	The residue calculus	19
5.2	WKB periods	20
5.3	A direct application of the residue formula to the WKB periods	21
6	The integrals	22
6.1	The symbol calculus	22
6.2	The Integrals	23
6.3	Proof of theorem 2.3	25
7	Examples	26
7.1	The harmonic oscillator	27
7.2	A cubic potential in one dimension	28
7.3	The structure of the ring of matrix functions	30

1 Introduction

Motivation for this article comes from Exact Wentzel-Kramers-Brillouin (WKB) analysis [1–5, 8–12, 15, 16, 21, 27, 32, 37, 41, 49, 52, 54, 55]. Consider a Schrödinger equation of the form

$$\left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V(x)\right) \psi(x) = E\psi(x) \quad (1)$$

with $V(x)$ a polynomial. Applying an ansatz $\psi = e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \int^x \phi dx}$ leads to the equation

$$\phi^2 = 2(E - V(x)) + i\hbar \frac{d\phi}{dx}. \quad (2)$$

which can be solved over formal power series

$$\phi = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \hbar^r \phi_r \quad (3)$$

Each term ϕ_r is generated recursively from the previous terms. A reasonable question is the following: *Is it possible to express ϕ_r in closed form?* Here we show that it is possible to find a closed form expression for each term in the series modulo total derivatives.

Each term in the series is a differential on the Riemann surface defined by the classical equation of motion $p^2 + V(x) = E$. Closed loop integrals of the differentials in series (3) are the fundamental objects appearing in ‘exact quantization conditions.’ Given boundary conditions, the corresponding

exact quantization condition encodes the transseries expansion of the spectrum. Therefore, a fundamental task in exact WKB analysis of spectra is to evaluate the closed loop integrals

$$\oint_{\gamma} \phi dx = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \hbar^r \oint_{\gamma} \phi_r dx \quad (4)$$

along the various cycles γ of the underlying Riemann surface.

This task can be simplified. From Stokes' theorem, total derivatives integrate to zero. It is therefore advantageous to consider the de Rham cohomology of the underlying Riemann surface, as it describes the classes of differentials which are equal modulo total-derivatives. Because the first de Rham cohomology group of the Riemann surface is finitely generated, with rank twice the genus of the Riemann surface, it is only necessary to calculate a finite number of integrals. The remainder of the work comes from strategically applying integration-by-parts until each term is expressed as a linear combination of the chosen generators.

In other words, because the first de Rham cohomology group is a finite dimensional vector space, it has a basis $\{\alpha_i\}$ and there are coefficients $\xi_{r,i}(E)$ such that

$$\oint \phi_r dx = \sum_{i=1}^{2 \times (\text{genus})} \xi_{r,i}(E) \oint \alpha_i. \quad (5)$$

Because the ϕ_r are generated by a recursive formula, a reasonable question is the following: *Do the $\xi_{r,i}(E)$ satisfy a recursive equation? And if the ϕ_r can be found in closed form can the $\xi_{r,i}(E)$?*

To answer the above questions, we adopt a new perspective. In [12] Colin-de-Verdière proved that up to total derivatives, the ϕ_r can be generated using the semiclassical symbol calculus (similar approaches were also developed in [2, 9]). By applying the multivariable residue calculus to the expressions coming from the symbol calculus, the first question is addressed. As a corollary, we find a novel expression for $\frac{d\phi}{dE}$ up to total derivatives.

Using this expression, the second question is addressed. A novel method is presented which computes the decomposition of $\frac{d\phi}{dE}$ into a basis for the de Rham cohomology group. It completes the necessary integration-by-parts steps needed to decompose *all* terms of $\frac{d\phi}{dE}$ into a basis, in a finite number of steps.

As pointed out by Colin-de-Verdière [12], the perspective supplied by the symbol calculus generalizes to higher dimensions straightforwardly. Additionally, the method we give for the decomposition of the integrals is also

well suited to multidimensional integrals. For these reasons, the perspective adopted here is supplied by the symbol calculus and the principal object of study is $\text{tr} \delta(\hat{H} - E)$, where δ is the dirac delta and \hat{H} is the differential operator arising from the quantization of a polynomial.

2 Description of Main results

The semiclassical symbol calculus provides a pathway to formal series expansions for traces of ‘quantum mechanical’ operators. The standard quantization, as well as ‘other’ quantization schemes are defined according to the following [56]:

Definition 2.1. Let $a : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a function on the phase space. The function $a(x, p)$ is referred to as a *symbol*. Let $0 \leq t \leq 1$. The linear differential operator $\text{Op}_t(a)$ given by

$$\text{Op}_t(a)u = \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}(x-y)\cdot p} a(tx + (1-t)y, p) u(y) dy dp.$$

is called a *semiclassical pseudodifferential operator*, or the “quantization of a .” The case $t = 1$ defines the standard quantization.

Example 1. Let $n = 1$ and consider the standard quantization (the case $t = 1$) of xp . From the definition and properties of the fourier transform

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Op}_1(xp)u &= \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}(x-y)\cdot p} xp u(y) dy dp \\ &= \frac{x}{2\pi\hbar} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}(x-y)\cdot p} p u(y) dy dp \\ &= x \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} u(x). \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

In addition, consider the Weyl quantization (the case $t = 1/2$) of xp . A similar calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Op}_{\frac{1}{2}}(xp)u &= \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}(x-y)\cdot p} \left(\frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1}{2}y \right) p u(y) dy dp \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(x \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} x \right) u(x). \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

The expression for $\text{tr} \delta(\hat{H} - E)$ features a sequence of polynomials in non-commutative differential operators. The polynomials are closely related

to the solution to noncommutative recurrence relations with constant coefficients. The following definition is inspired by notation used in [34, 48] and is helpful for expressing these polynomials.

Definition 2.2. Let t be an integer greater than zero. For $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_t \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and for g_1, g_2, \dots, g_t elements of a ring let $\{g_1^{(a_1)} g_2^{(a_2)} \dots g_t^{(a_t)}\}$ denote the sum of all possible permutations of a_1 factors of g_1 , a_2 factors of g_2, \dots and a_t factors of g_t .

In terms of these definitions the first result is:

Theorem 2.3. Let $E \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $H : \mathbb{C}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a polynomial and let $\hat{H} = \text{Op}_1(H)$ be the standard quantization of H . Then there is a cycle Γ such that $\text{tr} \delta(\hat{H} - E)$ has a formal series expansion in \hbar given by

$$(2\pi\hbar)^n \text{tr} \delta(\hat{H} - E) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\hbar^k}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} P_k(g_1, \dots, g_{\deg H}) \frac{dx_1 dp_1 \dots dx_n dp_n}{H(x, p) - E} \quad (8)$$

where

$$g_r = -\frac{1}{H - E} \frac{1}{i^r r!} \sum_{\beta_1 + \dots + \beta_n = r} \frac{\partial^r H}{\partial p_1^{\beta_1} \dots \partial p_n^{\beta_n}} \frac{\partial^r}{\partial x_1^{\beta_1} \dots \partial x_n^{\beta_n}} \quad (9)$$

for each $r = 1, \dots, \deg H$ and

$$P_k = \sum_{a_1 + 2a_2 + \dots + \deg H a_{\deg H} = k} \{g_1^{(a_1)} \dots g_{\deg H}^{(a_{\deg H})}\} \quad (10)$$

for each k in the sum.

A proof of theorem 2.3 is given in section 6.3.

To clarify both definition 2.2 and theorem 2.3 consider the following example, which describes the special case of a one dimensional Schrödinger operator.

Example 2. Suppose $n = 1$ and $H = p^2/2 + V(x)$ then the only non-zero operators $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{\deg H}$ are given by

$$g_1 = \frac{ip}{H - E} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \quad g_2 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{H - E} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}. \quad (11)$$

And the first four of the P_k are given by

$$\begin{aligned} P_1 &= g_1, \\ P_2 &= g_1^2 + g_2, \\ P_3 &= g_1^3 + g_1 g_2 + g_2 g_1, \\ P_4 &= g_1^4 + g_1^2 g_2 + g_1 g_2 g_1 + g_2 g_1^2 + g_2^2. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

2.1 Restating the reduction problem

Because the integrals in theorem 2.3 are ‘rational integrals,’ (integrals of rational functions) they can be simplified using the Griffiths-Dwork algorithm [22, 23, 28, 29, 38, 42]. Given a rational differential form, the Griffiths-Dwork reduction outputs a new rational differential which is a normal form of the input modulo ‘total derivatives’ [38]. Therefore, it can be applied to the task at hand in a term-by-term manner, first by expanding the above expression and then reducing the terms to normal form.

The rational differentials considered here should be thought of as integrals on *the complex projective space* \mathbb{P}^{2n} . Given a complex projective hypersurface $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{2n}$ of codimension one, assumed to be irreducible and smooth (in the current context X is determined by $H = E$), the Griffiths-Dwork reduction [38] provides a linear map r_{GD} from the vector space $A^{2n}(X)$ of rational differential $2n$ -forms on $\mathbb{P}^{2n} \setminus X$ to itself. That is, $r_{\text{GD}} : A^{2n}(X) \rightarrow A^{2n}(X)$. The filtration of $A^{2n}(X)$ by *pole order*

$$A_1^{2n}(X) \subseteq A_2^{2n}(X) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq A_{m-1}^{2n}(X) \subseteq A_m^{2n}(X) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq A^{2n}(X)$$

determines an essential property of r_{GD} . The map r_{GD} satisfies

$$r_{\text{GD}}(A_m^{2n}(X)) \subseteq A_{m-1}^{2n}(X)$$

for all $m \geq ((\deg H - 1)(2n + 1) + 1)/\deg H$, see [28] proposition 4.13. Additionally, for each $a \in A^{2n}(X)$ and any $2n$ -cycle Γ of $\mathbb{P}^{2n} \setminus X$ the map satisfies

$$\int_{\Gamma} a = \int_{\Gamma} r_{\text{GD}}(a). \quad (13)$$

That is, r_{GD} ‘lowers pole orders’ by subtracting total derivatives. Iterated application of r_{GD} yields fixed points which are the ‘normal forms’ described above.

In general, reducing an $a \in A_m^{2n}(X)$ to its normal form requires at most m iterations and at minimum

$$l_{\min} = \max(0, m - \lfloor ((\deg H - 1)(2n + 1) + 1)/\deg H \rfloor).$$

The problem is as follows: Each operator $g_k \in \{g_1, \dots, g_{\deg H}\}$ raises the pole order by $k + 1$. That is,

$$g_k(A_m^{2n}(X)) \subseteq A_{m+k+1}^{2n}(X).$$

Therefore with P_k as in theorem 2.3 we have that,

$$P_k(g_1, \dots, g_{\deg H})(A_m^{2n}(X)) \subseteq A_{m+k}^{2n}(X)$$

in other words, computing normal forms, for each term in the series in 2.3, requires an ever growing number of iterations of r_{GD} , with maximum $m + k(k + 1)$ needed at the k th order.

Remark. We propose a new method which limits the number of iterations needed to reduce the k -th order of series (8) to a constant. That is, we eliminate the ‘ k dependence’ described above.

2.2 Main result on the Reduction of the Integrals

The modified reduction procedure applies directly to the differential operators $g_1, \dots, g_{\deg H}$ defined in theorem 2.3. The procedure reduces ‘all’ integrals by computing a ring homomorphism from the ring G generated by addition and composition of the $g_1, \dots, g_{\deg H}$, to the following ring of matrices.

Definition 2.4. Let $\mathcal{M}_{d \times d}(\mathbb{C}[t])$ denote the set of d -by- d square matrices with polynomial coefficients in $\mathbb{C}[t]$. Let $\mathcal{R}_{n,d}[t]$ be the set of matrix valued functions

$$g^{(\cdot, \cdot)} : \mathbb{N}_0^{2n+1} \times \mathbb{N}_0^{2n+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{d \times d}(\mathbb{C}[t])$$

such that $g^{\alpha, \cdot} : \mathbb{N}_0^{2n+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{d \times d}(\mathbb{C}[t])$ has finite support for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{2n+1}$. The set $\mathcal{R}_{n,d}[t]$ is given the structure of a ring $(\mathcal{R}_{n,d}[t], +, \diamond)$ by the product \diamond defined as follows: Let $g_1, g_2 \in \mathcal{R}_{n,d}[t]$ and let $I_\alpha \subset \mathbb{N}_0^{2n+1}$ denote the support of $g_1^{\alpha, \cdot} : \mathbb{N}_0^{2n+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{d \times d}(\mathbb{C}[t])$. Then

$$g_1 \diamond g_2 = \sum_{\beta \in I_{(\cdot, \cdot)}} g_1^{i, \beta} g_2^{\beta, \cdot}$$

Remark. The multiplicative identity in $\mathcal{R}_{n,d}[t]$ is given by the function $\delta^{\alpha, \beta}$ which is the identity matrix if $\alpha = \beta$ and the zero matrix otherwise.

The ring homomorphism is accompanied by a linear map which describes an action of the elements of $\mathcal{R}_{n,d}[t]$ on rational differential forms. The key properties of these two maps are described by the following theorem, which we prove constructively in section 3.3.

Theorem 2.5. *Let $H, E, g_1, \dots, g_{\deg H}$ be as in theorem 2.3 and suppose the complex projective variety X determined by $H = E$, is irreducible and smooth. Let $r = \lfloor ((\deg H - 1)(2n + 1) + 1) / \deg H \rfloor$. Then there is an integer d such that there is a ring homomorphism $\lambda : G \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{n,d}[t]$ and a linear map $\mu : \text{im } \lambda \otimes A^{2n}(X) \rightarrow A^{2n}(X)$, such that*

$$\mu(\text{im } \lambda \otimes A_m^{2n}(X)) \subseteq A_{m+r}^{2n}(X)$$

and for all $g \in G$ and $a \in A^{2n}(X)$ we have that

$$\int_{\Gamma} ga = \int_{\Gamma} \mu(\lambda(g) \otimes a).$$

Remark. Observe that theorem 2.5 states that the ‘ k ’ dependence in the pole order of $P_k(a)$ can be removed.

To summarize, the method computes ‘all’ normal forms in a finite number of steps. Once the images $\lambda(g_i)$ have been found, the work of reducing $P_k(g_1, \dots, g_{\deg H})(a)$ to a normal form amounts to addition and multiplication of matrices. Moreover, the proof is constructive and the images $\lambda(g_i)$ are determined by finite computations.

2.3 Notation

The multi-index notation will be used extensively. For a $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^{n+1}$ given by $\beta = (\beta_0, \dots, \beta_n)$ the notation $|\beta|$ is defined by

$$|\beta| = \beta_0 + \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_n. \quad (14)$$

Given $z = (z_0, \dots, z_n)$ the expression z^β is defined by

$$z^\beta = z_0^{\beta_0} z_1^{\beta_1} \dots z_n^{\beta_n} \quad (15)$$

as is typical in the multi-index notation. Additionally, given a multi-index $\alpha = (\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n)$ the multi-index factorial $\alpha!$ is defined as

$$\alpha! = \alpha_0! \alpha_1! \dots \alpha_n! \quad (16)$$

The following notation will be used to denote the ideal of both commutative and noncommutative rings. Given a ring R and a collection of subsets $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k \subset R$ then

$$\langle r_1, \dots, r_k \rangle \quad (17)$$

denotes the smallest ideal of R which contains r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k . In the case R is non-commutative the notation indicates the smallest right ideal of R which contains r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k .

3 Reduction of the integrals

We review the Griffiths-Dwork reduction for smooth hypersurfaces in section 3.1. This provides sufficient background for section 3.2, where the necessary results used in the proof of theorem 2.5 are developed. In section 3.3 theorem 2.5 is proven.

3.1 The Griffiths-Dwork reduction

As Griffiths points out [28], rational one dimensional residue integrals are best considered as integrals of differentials on the Riemann sphere $\mathbb{P}^1 = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$. Similarly, the rational $2n$ -forms defined by theorem 2.3 (and more generally the differential forms which are treated by the Griffiths-Dwork reduction) are best thought of as rational differentials on the complex projective space \mathbb{P}^{2n} . While the interpretation of \mathbb{P}^{2n} as \mathbb{C}^{2n} with the hyperplane at infinity added provides geometric ‘intuition,’ the equivalent description of \mathbb{P}^{2n} as the space of lines through the origin of \mathbb{C}^{2n+1} is more convenient for calculations. The latter is described succinctly with homogeneous coordinates. In line with these observations, the first step in describing the Griffiths-Dwork Reduction is to introduce homogeneous coordinates.

Given local coordinates (x, p) on phase space where—the x are the ‘position’ coordinates and p the momentum coordinates—define a set of homogeneous coordinates $[z_0, \dots, z_{2n}] \in \mathbb{P}^{2n}$ on the complex projective space according to

$$x_j = \frac{z_{2j-1}}{z_0} \quad \text{and} \quad p_j = \frac{z_{2j}}{z_0}. \quad (18)$$

In the geometric perspective, the equation $z_0 = 0$ describes the hyperplane at infinity. The following polynomial

$$f = z_0^{\deg H} (H(z_{2i-1}/z_0, z_{2i}/z_0) - E) \quad (19)$$

will play a central role in the analysis that follows. The algebraic variety defined by the equation $f = 0$ will be denoted X . Exchanging the local coordinates for homogeneous coordinates in the integrals in theorem 2.3 gives

$$\frac{1}{H - E} dx_1 \wedge dp_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n \wedge dp_n = z_0^{\deg f - 2n - 1} \frac{\Omega}{f}. \quad (20)$$

where $\Omega = \sum_{i=0}^{2n} (-1)^i z_i dz_0 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{dz_i} \wedge \dots \wedge dz_{2n}$ and the hat indicates omission.

Proving theorem 2.5 requires Griffiths’ [28] results on the structure of $A_m^{2n}(X)$ as well the corresponding de Rham cohomology group. The advantage of working with homogeneous coordinates manifests in describing these spaces of forms. The following definitions lead to a definition of the rational de Rham cohomology group as well as a theorem of Griffiths on its structure. The map r_{GD} described in section 2 is also made concrete.

The following definition enumerates a finite set of polynomials. The definition is used to describe $A_m^{2n}(X)$, the rational $2n$ -forms on $\mathbb{P}^{2n} \setminus X$ with pole order m .

Definition 3.1. Let F_m be given by

$$F_m := \text{span}\{z^\alpha \mid |\alpha| = m \deg f - 2n - 1\}$$

if $m \geq \frac{2n+1}{\deg f}$, and $F_m := \{0\}$ if $m < \frac{2n+1}{\deg f}$. Additionally, define $F := \cup_m F_m$.

In [28], Griffiths proved that the vector space $A_m^{2n}(X)$ of $2n$ -forms on $\mathbb{P}^{2n} \setminus X$ with pole of order m is given by

$$A_m^{2n}(X) = \left\{ \frac{q_m}{f^m} \Omega \mid q_m \in F_m \right\} \quad (21)$$

and that the elements of the vector space $A_{m-1}^{2n-1}(X)$ of $(2n-1)$ -forms on $\mathbb{P}^{2n} \setminus X$, with pole of order $m-1$, is comprised of elements of the form

$$\sum_{i < j} \frac{z_i b_i - z_j b_j}{f^{m-1}} dz_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dz_i} \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dz_j} \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_{2n} \quad (22)$$

where the b_i are any homogeneous polynomials of degree $(m \deg f - 2n)$ for each $i = 0, \dots, 2n$. Following Griffiths, [28] these results can be used to define the rational de Rham cohomology group.

Definition 3.2. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ the quotient $\mathcal{H}^m(X) = A_m^{2n}(X)/dA_{m-1}^{2n-1}(X)$ defines the rational de Rham cohomology group of pole order m . The *rational de Rham cohomology group* $\mathcal{H}(X)$ is defined through

$$\mathcal{H}(X) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{H}^m(X).$$

Note that $dA_{m-1}^{2n-1}(X) \subset A_m^{2n}(X)$ is comprised of elements of the form

$$\sum_{i=0}^{2n} \frac{f \frac{\partial a_i}{\partial z_i} - (m-1)a_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_i}}{f^m} \Omega \quad (23)$$

where a_i is homogeneous of degree $\deg a_i = (m-1) \deg f - 2(n+1)$ for each i . This observation forms the basis of the Griffiths-Dwork reduction. Terms of higher pole order can be replaced with terms of lower pole order if they lie in the Jacobian ideal

$$J = \langle \partial f / \partial z_0, \dots, \partial f / \partial z_{2n} \rangle. \quad (24)$$

For the case X smooth—that is, where $\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_0} = 0, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_{2n}} = 0$ have no common solutions in \mathbb{P}^{2n} —Griffiths proved the converse. Meaning, if a form

can be replaced with one of lower pole order, then the polynomial in the numerator is in J . When the assumption fails, the converse is not true and additional relations must be taken into account [18, 38].

The above discussion describes a result of Griffiths [28] on the structure of $\mathcal{H}(X)$ which can be summarized as follows.

Theorem 3.3 (Griffiths [28]). *Suppose $f = 0$ is smooth. Let J be the ideal $J = \langle \partial f / \partial z_0, \dots, \partial f / \partial z_{2n} \rangle$ of $\mathbb{C}[z]$ and let F be given by definition 3.1. Then there is a vector space isomorphism $\mathcal{H}(X) \rightarrow F/J$.*

Remark. The singular case has been treated by Dimca, Saito [18] and Lairez [38]. If the hypersurface $f = 0$ is singular there is a hierarchy of new relations, the first of which comes from syzygies of the ideal J .

The map r_{GD} described in section 2 can now be described more precisely. Given a differential form $\frac{q_m \Omega}{f^m} \in A_m^{2n}(X)$ there are polynomials a_0, \dots, a_{2n} and r such that

$$q_m = \sum_{i=0}^{2n} a_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_i} + r \quad (25)$$

and the map r_{GD} is given by

$$r_{\text{GD}} \left(\frac{q_m \Omega}{f^m} \right) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=0}^{2n} \frac{\partial a_i}{\partial z_i} \frac{\Omega}{f^{m-1}} + \frac{r \Omega}{f^m}. \quad (26)$$

Remark. For practical computations, completing the decomposition of q_m into the Jacobian ideal requires the use of a Gröbner basis for the ideal J as well as the choice of a monomial order.

Example 3. An application of the Griffiths-Dwork reduction is to the computation of Picard-Fuchs equations [38]. For example, suppose $H(x, p) = \frac{1}{2}p^2 + x^2 + x^3$. The homogeneous polynomial f defined in equation (19) is given by

$$f = \frac{1}{2}z_2^2 z_0 + z_1^2 z_0 + z_1^3 - E z_0^3. \quad (27)$$

Consider the differential form $\frac{\Omega}{f}$ and its first two derivatives

$$\frac{d}{dE} \frac{\Omega}{f} = \frac{z_0^3 \Omega}{f^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d^2}{dE^2} \frac{\Omega}{f} = \frac{z_0^6 \Omega}{f^3}. \quad (28)$$

We have that

$$\begin{aligned} r_{\text{GD}} \left(\frac{d}{dE} \frac{\Omega}{f} \right) &= \frac{9}{2(4-27E)} \frac{\Omega}{f} - \frac{1}{E(27E-4)} z_1 z_2^2 \frac{\Omega}{f^2}, \\ (r_{\text{GD}} \circ r_{\text{GD}}) \left(\frac{d^2}{dE^2} \frac{\Omega}{f} \right) &= \frac{3(189E-4)}{8(4-27E)^2 E} \frac{\Omega}{f} + \frac{(27E-2)}{(4-27E)^2 E^2} z_1 z_2^2 \frac{\Omega}{f^2} \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

which shows, up to exact forms, that $\frac{d^2}{dE^2} \frac{\Omega}{f}$ is a linear combination of $\frac{d}{dE} \frac{\Omega}{f}$ and $\frac{\Omega}{f}$. That is, there is an $\eta \in A^{2n-1}(X)$ such that

$$4(27E^2 - 4E) \frac{d^2}{dE^2} \frac{\Omega}{f} + 4(27E - 2) \frac{d}{dE} \frac{\Omega}{f} + 15 \frac{\Omega}{f} = d\eta. \quad (30)$$

Integrating gives a differential equation for the associated period integrals $\int_{\gamma} \frac{\Omega}{f}$. That is,

$$4(27E^2 - 4E) \frac{d^2}{dE^2} \int_{\gamma} \frac{\Omega}{f} + 4(27E - 2) \frac{d}{dE} \int_{\gamma} \frac{\Omega}{f} + 15 \int_{\gamma} \frac{\Omega}{f} = 0. \quad (31)$$

This is the Picard-Fuchs equation.

3.2 Complete reduction of the integrals

Theorem 3.3 and the preceding discussion contain the essential ingredients of the Griffiths-Dwork reduction for smooth hypersurfaces. The method discussed in this paper offers a ‘reduction’ of the operators g_i from theorem 2.3. A simplifying step is to work directly with the polynomials F where the g_i can be interpreted as endomorphisms of polynomials. To this end consider the following map from F to $A^{2n}(X)$.

Definition 3.4. Define a map $\psi : F \rightarrow A^{2n}(X)$ by the following: For $q \in F$ let $q_m \in F_m$ be such that $q = \sum_m q_m$ then

$$\psi(q) = \sum_m \frac{q_m}{f^m} \Omega.$$

In particular we have that

Proposition 3.5. *Let $g_1, \dots, g_{\deg H}$ be as in proposition (2.3) and let $\hat{m} = \frac{1}{\deg f} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{2n} z_i \partial / \partial z_i + 2n + 1 \right)$. For $k = 1, \dots, \deg H$ let*

$$\hat{g}_k = \frac{z_0^k}{i^k k!} \sum_{|\beta|=k} \frac{\partial^k f}{\partial z_2^{\beta_1} \partial z_4^{\beta_2} \dots \partial z_{2n}^{\beta_n}} \prod_{i=1}^n \left(z_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{2i-1}} - z_0 \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_{2i-1}} \hat{m} \right)^{\beta_i}.$$

Then

$$\psi(\hat{g}_k q) = g_k \psi(q).$$

Proof. Let $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $q_{m_1} \in F_{m_1}$ and let q be homogeneous of degree $m_2 \deg f$. Then $qq_{m_1} \in F_{m_2+m_1}$. The multiplicative part of the operator follows from

$$\psi(qq_{m_1}) = \frac{qq_{m_1}\Omega}{f^{m_2+m_1}} = \frac{q}{f^{m_2}}\psi(q_{m_1}). \quad (32)$$

To address the rest of the operator first note the following. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $q_m \in F_m$. Euler's homogenous function theorem implies that $\hat{m}(q_m) = mq_m$. Let

$$\delta_i = z_0 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{2i-1}} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_{2i-1}} \hat{m} \right).$$

It remains to check that $\psi(\delta_i q_m) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \psi(q_m)$. Observe

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\delta_i q_m) &= \psi \left(z_0 \left(\frac{\partial q_m}{\partial z_{2i-1}} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_{2i-1}} m q_m \right) \right) \\ &= z_0 \frac{\partial q_m}{\partial z_{2i-1}} \frac{\Omega}{f^m} - m \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_{2i-1}} \frac{q_m \Omega}{f^{m+1}} \\ &= \left(\frac{\partial q_m}{\partial x_i} \frac{\omega}{(H-E)^m} - m \frac{\partial H}{\partial x_i} \frac{q_m \omega}{(H-E)^{m+1}} \right) \Big|_{z_0=1} \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \frac{q_m|_{z_0=1}}{(H-E)^m} \omega. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

Therefore the operators \hat{g}_k reduce to the operators g_k under the map ψ and then restriction to the local coordinates (x, p) . \square

The algebra containing these operators can be described with a Weyl Algebra defined as follows:

Definition 3.6. Let \mathbf{A} denote the Weyl algebra over \mathbb{C} which is generated by z, ∂ subject to the relations

$$\begin{aligned} z_i z_j - z_j z_i &= \partial_i \partial_j - \partial_j \partial_i = 0, \\ \partial_i z_j - z_j \partial_i &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \neq j, \\ 1 & \text{if } i = j \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

for each $i, j = 0, 1, \dots, 2n$.

Note that the action of an a in the Weyl algebra \mathbf{A} on a polynomial in F is not guaranteed to return another polynomial in F . Taking this fact into account, we introduce the following subsets of \mathbf{A} .

Definition 3.7. Let \hat{m} be as above. For $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ define $\mathcal{F}_m \subset \mathbf{A}$ by

$$\mathcal{F}_m := \{w \in \mathbf{A} \mid \hat{m}w - w\hat{m} = mw\}.$$

Additionally, define $\mathcal{F} := \cup_m \mathcal{F}_m$.

Elements of \mathcal{F}_m define maps from $F_{m'} \rightarrow F_{m'+m}$ for $m' \in \mathbb{N}$. Additionally, the annihilator of the mapping

$$\mathcal{F} \times F \rightarrow F/J \tag{35}$$

which through theorem 3.3 describes $\mathcal{H}(X)$, clearly contains the intersection of the right ideal $\mathbf{J} = \left\langle (\hat{m} - 1) \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_0} - \partial_0, \dots, (\hat{m} - 1) \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_{2n}} - \partial_{2n} \right\rangle$ of \mathbf{A} with \mathcal{F} . A convenient reduction method comes from a localization of \mathbf{A} which allows for ‘division’ of non-zero polynomials in \hat{m} .

Let M be the set of nonzero polynomials in \hat{m} . The set is multiplicatively closed and the localization $M^{-1}\mathbf{A}$ is well defined. Let $q_1(\hat{m})^{-1}, q_2(\hat{m})^{-1} \in M$. Then for any $\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2 \in \mathbb{N}_0^{2n+1}$ the product of two elements in $M^{-1}\mathbf{A}$ is determined by

$$(q_1(\hat{m})z^{\alpha_1}\partial^{\beta_1}) \cdot (q_2(\hat{m})z^{\alpha_2}\partial^{\beta_2}) = q_1(\hat{m})q_2 \left(\hat{m} + \frac{|\alpha_1| - |\beta_1|}{\deg f} \right) z^{\alpha_1}\partial^{\beta_1}z^{\alpha_2}\partial^{\beta_2} \tag{36}$$

and follows from the commutator $[\hat{m}, z^{\alpha_1}\partial^{\beta_1}]$. Extending the ideal \mathbf{J} to $M^{-1}\mathbf{A}$ gives a right ideal \mathbf{J}_M . The choice of generators

$$\mathbf{J}_M = \left\langle \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_0} - \frac{1}{\hat{m}}\partial_0, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_{2n}} - \frac{1}{\hat{m}}\partial_{2n} \right\rangle \tag{37}$$

found by multiplying the old generators by $1/(\hat{m} - 1/\deg f)$ from the right, allows for a convenient reduction algorithm. By ignoring the cancellations between the denominators and numerators it follows that the quotient $M^{-1}\mathbf{A}/\mathbf{J}_M$ is contained by $M \otimes (\mathbb{C}[z]/J) \otimes \mathbb{C}[\partial]$. That is, if an element of $M^{-1}\mathbf{A}$ is in the (right) ideal J defined in equation 24, then the degree of the factor in $\mathbb{C}[z]$ can be reduced. This provides the basis of the method introduced here. Additionally, because $M^{-1}\mathbf{A}/\mathbf{J}_M$ is a right \mathbf{A} -module there is a representation of the form

$$\mathbf{A} \rightarrow \text{End}(M^{-1}\mathbf{A}/\mathbf{J}_M). \tag{38}$$

We calculate the image of this map in terms of $M \otimes (\mathbb{C}[z]/J) \otimes \mathbb{C}[\partial]$. This leads to a ring homomorphism between \mathbf{A} and the ring $\mathcal{R}_{n,d}[t]$ defined in 2.4.

For an element $g \in \mathbf{A}$, the representation can be determined as follows. In the case X smooth, $\mathbb{C}[z]/J$ is a finite dimensional vector space. Let d be the dimension of the vector space $\mathbb{C}[z]/J$. Fix a basis $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^d$ for $\mathbb{C}[z]/J$. The strategy is to reduce modulo \mathbf{J}_M the product of a general element $(q(\hat{m})^{-1}e_i\partial^\alpha)$ of $M^{-1}\mathbf{A}/\mathbf{J}_M$ with g . From the Leibniz formula

$$\partial^\alpha z^\beta = \sum_{\nu \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\nu} \frac{\beta!}{(\beta - \nu)!} z^{\beta - \nu} \partial^{\alpha - \nu} \quad (39)$$

it is straightforward to compute the polynomials $c_{i,\nu,\alpha}(z) \in \mathbb{C}[z]$ such that that

$$(q(\hat{m})^{-1}e_i\partial^\alpha) \cdot g = \sum_{\nu} q(\hat{m})^{-1}c_{i,\nu,\alpha}(z)\partial^\nu \quad (40)$$

for an arbitrary α . The polynomial terms can now be reduced modulo \mathbf{J}_M by replacing left factors of $\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_i}$ with $\hat{m}^{-1}\partial_i$. For each term $c_{i,\nu,\alpha}(z)$ we can compute the polynomials w_j and the $r_k \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$c_{i,\nu,\alpha}(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{2n} w_j \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j} + \sum_{k=1}^d r_k e_k. \quad (41)$$

The following calculation gives

$$\begin{aligned} q(\hat{m})^{-1}w_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_i} &= \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_i} \cdot \left(q \left(\hat{m} + \frac{\deg f - 1}{\deg f} \right)^{-1} w_i \right) \\ &= (\hat{m}^{-1}\partial_i) \cdot q \left(\hat{m} + \frac{\deg f - 1}{\deg f} \right)^{-1} w_i \\ &= (\hat{m}q(\hat{m} + 1))^{-1} \partial_i w_i \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

mod \mathbf{J}_M for each $i = 0, \dots, 2n$. Therefore,

$$q(\hat{m})^{-1}c_{i,\nu,\alpha}(z) = (\hat{m}q(\hat{m} + 1))^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{2n} \partial_j w_j + q(\hat{m})^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^d r_k e_k \quad (43)$$

mod \mathbf{J}_M . The removal of the factors in J can be iterated. To describe the result of this iteration we introduce a (right) shift operator $S_{\hat{m}} : M \rightarrow M$ according to

$$q(\hat{m})S_{\hat{m}} = S_{\hat{m}}q(\hat{m} + 1). \quad (44)$$

Let $s_{\max} = \left\lceil \frac{\deg(c_{i,\nu,\alpha}(z))}{\deg f} \right\rceil$ and let $I_\alpha \subset \mathbb{N}_0^{2n+1}$. After no more than s_{\max} iterations, we arrive at an expression of the form

$$(q(\hat{m})^{-1}e_i\partial^\alpha) \cdot g = \sum_{j=1}^d \sum_{\beta \in I_\alpha} \sum_{s=0}^{s_{\max}} \frac{g_{ijs}^{\alpha,\beta}}{q(\hat{m})} \left(S_{\hat{m}} \frac{1}{\hat{m}} \right)^s e_j \partial^\beta \quad (45)$$

mod \mathbf{J}_M , where $g_{ijs}^{\alpha,\beta} \in \mathbb{C}$. The set $I_\alpha \subset \mathbb{N}_0^{2n+1}$ must be finite as it is determined by the number of iterations as well as the set of $\nu' \in \mathbb{N}_0^{2n+1}$ such that $c_{i,\nu',\alpha}(z) \neq 0$, both of which are finite. Calculating the coefficients $g_{ijs}^{\alpha,\beta}$ for each $i = 1, \dots, d$ shows that there are matrices $g^{\alpha,\beta} : M \otimes (\mathbb{C}[z]/J) \rightarrow M \otimes (\mathbb{C}[z]/J)$ with components given by

$$(g^{\alpha,\beta})_{ij} = \sum_{s=0}^{s_{\max}} g_{ijs}^{\alpha,\beta} ((S_{\hat{m}} \hat{m})^s \otimes 1). \quad (46)$$

This constructs a map $\sigma : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{n,d}[S_{\hat{m}}\hat{m}^{-1}]$.

Proposition 3.8. *The map $\sigma : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{n,d}[S_{\hat{m}}\hat{m}^{-1}]$ is a ring homomorphism.*

Proof. Let $g_1, g_2 \in \mathbf{A}$. We have that there are $g_{1,ij s}^{\alpha,\beta}$ such that

$$(q(\hat{m})^{-1}e_i\partial^\alpha) \cdot g_1 \cdot g_2 = \sum_{j=1}^d \sum_{\beta \in I_\alpha} \sum_{s=0}^{s_{1,\max}} \frac{g_{1,ij s_2}^{\alpha,\beta}}{q(\hat{m})} \left(S_{\hat{m}} \frac{1}{\hat{m}} \right)^{s_1} e_j \partial^\beta g_2 \quad (47)$$

and $g_{2,jk s}^{\beta,\nu}$ such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^d \sum_{\nu \in I_\beta} \sum_{s=0}^{s_{2,\max}} e_j \partial^\beta g_2 = g_{2,jk s_2}^{\beta,\nu} \left(S_{\hat{m}} \frac{1}{\hat{m}} \right)^{s_2} e_k \partial^\nu \quad (48)$$

which implies that $\sigma(g_1 g_2) = \sum_{\beta \in I_{(\cdot)}} g_1^{\beta,\beta} g_2^{\beta,\cdot} = \sigma(g_1) \diamond \sigma(g_2)$. The map as constructed clearly satisfies $\sigma(g_1 + g_2) = \sigma(g_1) + \sigma(g_2)$ and $\sigma(1) = \delta$. \square

Definition 3.9. Fix a basis $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^d$ for $\mathbb{C}[z]/J$, and suppose $e_1 = 1$. Let σ be given by the above calculations in the basis $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^d$. Define

$$\mu_{\mathbf{A}} : \sigma(\mathbf{A}) \otimes \mathbb{C}[z] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[z]$$

according to the following: Let \hat{u} be the d -dimensional row vector with 1 in the first component and zeros in all other entries. Let \hat{e} be the column

vector with components given by the basis $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^d$. Then for $g \in \mathbf{A}$ and $q \in \mathbb{C}[z]$ we have

$$\mu_{\mathbf{A}}(g, q) = \sum_{\beta \in I_{\bar{0}}} \left(\hat{u} g^{\bar{0}, \beta} \hat{e} \right) \frac{\partial^\beta q}{\partial z^\beta}$$

Further define $\mu_{\mathcal{F}} : \sigma(\mathcal{F}) \otimes F \rightarrow F$ to be the restriction of $\mu_{\mathbf{A}}$ to $\sigma(\mathcal{F}) \otimes F \subset \sigma(\mathbf{A}) \otimes \mathbb{C}[z]$.

The well-definedness of the map $\mu_{\mathcal{F}}$ follows from the above calculation of the coefficients $g_{i;js}^{\alpha, \beta}$. The map $\mu_{\mathcal{F}}$ leads to the following result:

Proposition 3.10. *Let $q \in F$ and let $g \in \mathcal{F}$ then for any $2n$ -cycle Γ on $\mathbb{P}^{2n} \setminus X$ we have*

$$\int_{\Gamma} \psi(gq) = \int_{\Gamma} (\psi \circ \mu_{\mathcal{F}})(\sigma(g), q).$$

Proof. By construction there is a $j \in \mathbf{J}_M$ such that $\mu_{\mathcal{F}}(\sigma(g), q) = gq + jq$. Therefore,

$$(\psi \circ \mu_{\mathcal{F}})(\sigma(g), q) = \psi(gq + jq) = \psi(gq) + \psi(jq).$$

Noting that $\psi(jq) \in dA^{2n-1}(X)$ gives the result. \square

The final piece of notation useful for proving theorem 2.5 is established by the following definition.

Definition 3.11. Let $a \in A^{2n}(X)$ and suppose $q_m \in F_m/f \cdot F_{m-1}$ are such that $a = \sum_m q_m \frac{\Omega}{f^m}$. Define

$$\varphi : A^{2n}(X) \rightarrow F$$

according to

$$\varphi(a) = \sum_m q_m. \tag{49}$$

Remark. Note that $\psi \circ \varphi = \text{id}$.

3.3 Proof of theorem 2.5

Proof. From proposition 3.5 we can define a ring homomorphism $\tau : G \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ according to $g_i \mapsto \hat{g}_i$. Composing this with the ring homomorphism σ introduced in proposition 3.8 constructs the ring homomorphism $\lambda = \sigma \circ \tau : G \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{n,d}[t]$ where d is given by the dimension of the vector space $\mathbb{C}[z]/J$.

The map $\mu_{\mathcal{F}} : \sigma(\mathcal{F}) \otimes F \rightarrow F$ from definition 3.9, composed with the maps $\varphi : A^{2n}(X) \rightarrow F$ from definition 3.11 and $\psi : F \rightarrow A^{2n}(X)$ from definition 3.4, gives the map $\mu = (\psi \circ \mu_{\mathcal{F}})(\text{id} \otimes \varphi)$.

The second of the two properties can be checked. For any $g \in G$ and $a \in A^{2n}(X)$ proposition 3.10 shows that for any cycle Γ on X we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Gamma} ga &= \int_{\Gamma} g(\psi \circ \varphi)(a) \\ &= \int_{\Gamma} \psi(\tau(g)\varphi(a)) \\ &= \int_{\Gamma} (\psi \circ \mu_{\mathcal{F}})((\sigma \circ \tau)(g), \varphi(a)) \\ &= \int_{\Gamma} \mu(\lambda(g), a). \end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

The first property in the statement of the theorem follows from proposition 4.13 in [28] which constrains the highest degree terms in $\mathbb{C}[z]/J$ to be r . Therefore, the definition 3.9 of the map $\mu_{\mathcal{F}}$ shows that the maximum increase in pole order is r .

□

4 Remarks on the ring of matrix valued functions

The ring $\mathcal{R}_{n,d}[t]$ of definition 2.4 appeared as the general setting for a representation of the Weyl algebra \mathbf{A} of definition 3.6. In this regard, the primary object of interest is the subring $\sigma(\mathbf{A}) \subset \mathcal{R}_{n,d}[t]$ with sigma defined by 3.8.

4.1 The Generators of the algebra

The subring $\sigma(\mathbf{A})$ is determined by the images of the generators $1, z_0, \dots, z_{2n}, \partial_0, \dots, \partial_{2n}$ of \mathbf{A} . The following remarks record several properties of the generators of $\sigma(\mathbf{A})$. In the following subsection, these observations are used to propose a reduction formula akin to the Griffiths-Dwork reduction.

1. The multiplicative identity in $\mathcal{R}_{n,d}[t]$ is given by the matrix valued function $\delta^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ which is the identity matrix if $\alpha = \beta$ and the zero matrix otherwise.
2. Let $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}_0^{2n+1}$. The image of ∂^γ can be expressed as

$$\sigma(\partial^\gamma)(\alpha, \beta) = \delta^{(\alpha+\gamma,\beta)} \tag{51}$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^{2n+1}$.

3. Let $u_i \in \mathbb{N}_0^{2n+1}$ be given by

$$u_i = (\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{i-1}, 1, 0, \dots, 0) \quad (52)$$

there is a subset $I_i \subset \mathbb{N}_0^{2n+1}$ which is contained in

$$I_i \subseteq \{\nu \in \mathbb{N}_0^{2n+1} : 0 \leq |\nu| \leq r\} \quad (53)$$

where r is as in theorem 2.5, such that for any $i = 0, \dots, 2n$ there are matrices $Z_i^\nu(\alpha)$ such that image $\sigma(z_i)$ is

$$\sigma(z_i)(\alpha, \beta) = \sum_{\nu \in \{-u_i\} \cup I_i} Z_i^\nu(\alpha) \delta^{\alpha+\nu, \beta}. \quad (54)$$

4. Only $Z_i^{-u_i}(\alpha)$ has α dependence and it is determined by

$$\sigma(z_i)(\alpha, \beta) = (u_i \cdot \alpha) \delta^{\alpha-u_i, \beta} + \sum_{\nu \in I_i} Z_i^\nu \delta^{\alpha+\nu, \beta}. \quad (55)$$

The Z_i^ν matrices are the fundamental building blocks of all period integrals on the surface $f = 0$. An example of these matrices is listed in section 7.3 for the cubic of equation (27).

5 Connection to WKB

In one dimension, the WKB expansions of $\frac{d}{dE}\phi$, with ϕ defined by equation (2), is related to the expansion of $\text{tr} \delta(\hat{H} - E)$ through the multivariable residue formula. The residue formula is reviewed in section 5.1. In section 5.2 the relation to the WKB series is discussed. Section 5.3 provides a second application of the Griffiths-Dwork reduction to the calculation of WKB periods which uses neither of the main results.

5.1 The residue calculus

The spaces $\mathcal{H}^m(X)$ defined in 3.2, are related to the de Rham cohomology group $H_{\text{dR}}^{2n-1}(X)$ through the residue formula. For the purposes of this article, the residue formula is described by the following theorem which follows from statements and results which can be found in [28].

Theorem 5.1 (Residue Formula). *Let $s : \mathbb{P}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be regular and let X be the hypersurface defined by the equation $s = 0$. Let α be a $(2n - 1)$ -form on \mathbb{P}^{2n} regular on X and let γ be any $(2n - 1)$ -cycle on X . Then there exists a $2n$ -cycle $T\gamma$ on $\mathbb{P}^{2n} \setminus X$ such that*

$$\int_{T\gamma} \alpha \wedge \frac{ds}{s} = 2\pi i \int_{\gamma} \alpha|_X. \quad (56)$$

The residue formula defines a map between the rational differential forms on $\mathbb{P}^{2n} \setminus X$ and the differentials on X .

Definition 5.2. For α, s, X as in theorem 5.1, define the residue map $\text{res} : \mathcal{H}(X) \rightarrow H_{\text{dR}}^{2n-1}(X)$ according to

$$\text{res} \left(\alpha \wedge \frac{ds}{s} \right) = \alpha|_X. \quad (57)$$

Remark. The residue map is an isomorphism

$$\text{res} : \mathcal{H}(X) \rightarrow H_{\text{dR}}^{2n-1}(X) \quad (58)$$

and the filtration of $\mathcal{H}(X)$ by *pole order* corresponds precisely with the *Hodge filtration* of $H_{\text{dR}}^{2n-1}(X)$ see [28].

The residue formula is written in terms of differential forms with pole order equal to one. However, the residue formula can be applied to a differential form with higher order poles by first reducing the pole order using the following formula:

$$d \frac{\eta}{s^m} = \frac{d\eta}{s^m} - m \frac{ds \wedge \eta}{s^{m+1}}. \quad (59)$$

5.2 WKB periods

Let \hat{H} be the quantization of a classical hamiltonian H . Consider the step function $\Theta : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $\Theta(E) = 1$ if $E \in (-\infty, 0]$ and $\Theta(E) = 0$ otherwise. In the case that \hat{H} has a discrete real spectrum the trace $\text{tr} \Theta(\hat{H} - E)$ counts the number of eigenvalues with energy less than E . That is, for $\{\lambda_k\}$ the set of eigenvalues of \hat{H} we have

$$\text{tr} \Theta(\hat{H} - E) = \#\{\lambda_k \mid \lambda_k \leq E\}. \quad (60)$$

The E -derivative of the $\text{tr} \Theta(\hat{H} - E)$ reduces the left hand side to the trace of the Dirac delta distribution

$$\frac{d}{dE} \text{tr} \Theta(\hat{H} - E) = \text{tr} \delta(\hat{H} - E). \quad (61)$$

Therefore, for one degree of freedom (that is, the case $n = 1$), the formal expansion of theorem 2.3 is closely related to the counting function of quantum mechanics. The relation to the all orders in \hbar extension of the Bohr-Sommerfeld condition was formalized in [12]. To summarize: The Bohr-Sommerfeld condition provides a formal asymptotic series which encodes the ‘counting function’ associated to a specific periodic trajectory determined by a ‘well’ of the potential function. A new hamiltonian which is equivalent in the classically allowed region but which is bounded outside with no additional ‘wells’ has a counting function which agrees precisely with the Bohr-Sommerfeld condition.

Let ϕ be the ‘action density’ defined in equation (2). The results of [12] as well as proposition 6.4 and theorem 2.3 show that for $H = p^2/2 + V(x)$ the WKB periods satisfy

$$\int_{\gamma} \frac{d}{dE} \phi = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\hbar^k}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \text{res} \left(P_k(g_1, g_2) \frac{dx \wedge dp}{H(x, p) - E} \right) \quad (62)$$

for any cycle γ on the Riemann surface determined by $H = E$.

5.3 A direct application of the residue formula to the WKB periods

For a one dimensional hamiltonian of the form $H = p^2/2 + V(x)$ the ‘action density’ ϕ defined in the introduction in equation (2) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dE} \phi &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\sqrt{E - V(x)}} + \frac{i\hbar V'(x)}{4(E - V(x))^2} \\ &+ \hbar^2 \left(-\frac{3V''(x)}{16\sqrt{2}(E - V(x))^{5/2}} - \frac{25V'(x)^2}{64\sqrt{2}(E - V(x))^{7/2}} \right) + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

The task of reducing these into a basis of periods of the Riemann surface $H = E$ has been addressed in [4, 11, 24, 32, 41, 49] and many other places. Here an alternate approach is described.

From the structure of the terms in 63, it is evident that they are residues of rational differential forms. The following observation demonstrates how this can be utilized for an application of the Griffiths-Dwork reduction to the computation of the WKB periods.

Let $w(x)$ be a polynomial. The residue formula 57 can be applied directly to the even terms of series 63 using the following formula, which follows from

induction on the pole reduction formula 59. We have that

$$\frac{w(x)dx}{(\sqrt{E-V(x)})^{2m-1}}dx = (m-1)! \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}-m\right) \text{res} \frac{w(x)dx \wedge dp}{(H-E)^m} \quad (64)$$

mod total derivatives. For example, modulo exact forms

$$[\hbar^2] \frac{d\phi}{dE} = \text{res} \left(\frac{5V'(x)^2}{4(H-E)^4} dx \wedge dp - \frac{V''(x)}{2(H-E)^3} dx \wedge dp \right). \quad (65)$$

Writing the terms of the series 63 as rational integrals using equation (64) allows for the Griffiths-Dwork reduction to be applied in a term-by-term manner. We use this for a straightforward check of equation (62) in section 7.2.

6 The integrals

The origin of theorem 2.3 is now addressed. As mentioned, it follows from a formal application of the symbol calculus. The necessary results from the symbol calculus are briefly discussed in the following section 6.1 before the proof of the main result is developed.

6.1 The symbol calculus

Given two semiclassical pseudodifferential operators $\text{Op}_t(A)$ and $\text{Op}_t(B)$ a natural question is: *What is the symbol of $\text{Op}_t(A) \circ \text{Op}_t(B)$?* The question is answered for the standard quantization by the following theorem.

Theorem 6.1 (Standard composition rule, [56] p. 71). *Let A and B be two symbols with standard quantizations given by $\text{Op}_1(A)$ and $\text{Op}_1(B)$ respectively. The composition $\text{Op}_1(A) \circ \text{Op}_1(B)$ has symbol*

$$(A \star B)(x, p) = \left(e^{\frac{\hbar}{i} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j}} A(x, p') B(x', p) \right) \Big|_{(x', p')=(x, p)}. \quad (66)$$

The ‘star product’ gives an efficient means to access formal series expansions of various symbols. Of particular interest is the symbol of the resolvent $(\hat{H} - E)^{-1}$. A formal series for the symbol R of the resolvent can be found by solving the equation

$$1 = R \star (H - E) \quad (67)$$

see for instance [12, 17]. For a general quantization scheme the equation can be solved recursively. However, the standard quantization provides computational simplicity which allows for a closed form expression for the formal series solution to the above equation to be found.

It is often convenient to work with quantizations other than the standard quantization [56]. For instance, the work of Colin-de-Verdière [12] utilized the Weyl quantization. Any two quantization schemes can be interpolated between using the following result.

Theorem 6.2 (Changing Quantizations, [56] p. 70). *For any symbol a , let $0 \leq t \leq 1$ and let $0 \leq s \leq 1$ then*

$$\text{Op}_s(a) = \text{Op}_t \left(e^{i(t-s)\hbar \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j}} a \right). \quad (68)$$

The following equation describes traces in the symbol calculus [17, 30, 56]. Let $a(x, p, \hbar)$ be a symbol and suppose $\hat{A} = \text{Op}_s(a)$. Then

$$\text{tr } \hat{A} = \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} a(x, p, \hbar) d^n x d^n p. \quad (69)$$

6.2 The Integrals

In [12] Colin de Verdière used the Moyal formula for the star product of Weyl symbols to generate the terms appearing in the all orders Bohr-Sommerfeld quantization rules. We follow a similar approach to develop a formal expansion for $\text{tr } \delta(\hat{H} - E)$. The approach is centered on the symbol R of the resolvent $(\hat{H} - E)^{-1}$.

According to [12, 17], in any quantization scheme R is given by an expression of the form

$$R(x, p, E) = \sum_{i \geq 0} \sum_{j=0}^{m_i} \frac{r_{i,j}(x, p)}{(H(x, p) - E)^{j+1}} \hbar^i \quad (70)$$

where the $r_{i,j}(x, p)$ are polynomials and m_i is an integer for each i . The Helffer-Sjostrand formula [12, 17] states that the symbol Δ_H of $\delta(\hat{H} - E)$ is given by

$$\Delta_H = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \hbar^i \sum_j \frac{1}{j!} r_{i,j}(x, p) \frac{\partial^j \delta}{\partial u^j} \Big|_{u=H(x,p)-E}. \quad (71)$$

Let $\omega = dx_1 \wedge dp_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n \wedge dp_n$ be the volume form on the phase space \mathbb{R}^{2n} . Applying the semiclassical trace formula 69 gives a formal series expansion

for $\text{tr} \delta(\hat{H} - E)$ which is

$$\text{tr} \delta(\hat{H} - E) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^n} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_j \frac{1}{j!} \frac{\partial^j}{\partial E^j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} r_{i,j}(x,p) \delta(H - E) \omega \hbar^i. \quad (72)$$

The delta function can be integrated. That is, the $(2n-1)$ -form ω_{2n-1} such that $\omega_{2n-1} \wedge dH = \omega$ and the cycle γ which is determined by the delta function give the expression

$$\text{tr} \delta(\hat{H} - E) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^n} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_j \frac{1}{j!} \frac{\partial^j}{\partial E^j} \int_{\gamma} r_{i,j}(x,p) \omega_{2n-1}|_X \hbar^i. \quad (73)$$

Remark. The cycle γ determined by the delta function can be constructed following the prescription discussed by Pham [47].

Remark. For applications to exact WKB, the cycle γ is replaced by a cycle localized around a potential well or a tunnelling sector.

Remark. For computations of period integrals, it is sufficient to calculate Picard-Fuchs equations where the cycle of integration can be identified through imposition of boundary conditions [38]. As Lairez points out in the context of computing general periods of rational integrals, “in fact there is no harm in simply discarding γ .”

Applying the residue formula to equation (73) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr} \delta(\hat{H} - E) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^n} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_j \frac{1}{j!} \frac{\partial^j}{\partial E^j} \int_{T\gamma} r_{i,j}(x,p) \frac{\omega}{H - E} \hbar^i \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^n} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{T\gamma} R\omega. \end{aligned} \quad (74)$$

The conclusion is recorded in the following proposition.

Proposition 6.3. *Fix a quantization scheme and let R be the symbol of the resolvent $(\hat{H} - E)^{-1}$ in this scheme. There is a cycle $T\gamma$ so that as formal power series $(2\pi\hbar)^n \text{tr} \delta(\hat{H} - E) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{T\gamma} R\omega$.*

We remark that the change of quantization theorem (6.2) shows how the result of theorem (2.3) can be adapted to other quantization schemes. A particularly nice case is captured by the following.

Proposition 6.4. *Suppose $H(x, p)$ satisfies $\partial^2 H / \partial x_i \partial p_i = 0$ for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Then for any $s \in [0, 1]$ we have the following equality of formal series*

$$\mathrm{tr} \delta(\mathrm{Op}_s(H) - E) = \mathrm{tr} \delta(\mathrm{Op}_1(H) - E).$$

Proof. Let R_s and R be the symbols of $\mathrm{Op}_s(H - E)^{-1}$ and $\mathrm{Op}_1(H - E)^{-1}$ respectively. Theorem 6.2 implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Op}_s(R_s)(1) &= \mathrm{Op}_s(R_s) \circ \mathrm{Op}_s(H - E) \\ &= \mathrm{Op}_1 \left(e^{i(1-s)\hbar \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j}} R_s \right) \circ \mathrm{Op}_1 \left(e^{i(1-s)\hbar \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j}} (H - E) \right) \\ &= \mathrm{Op}_1 \left(e^{i(1-s)\hbar \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j}} R_s \right) \circ \mathrm{Op}_1(H - E) \end{aligned} \tag{75}$$

which in terms of symbols gives

$$\left(e^{i(t-s)\hbar \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j}} R_s \right) \star (H - E) = 1 \tag{76}$$

and it can be concluded that $e^{i(t-s)\hbar \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j}} R_s = R$. From Stokes' theorem

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{T\gamma} R\omega = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{T\gamma} e^{i(t-s)\hbar \partial_x \partial_p} R_s \omega = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{T\gamma} R_s \omega \tag{77}$$

and applying proposition 6.3 gives the result. \square

Remark. Recall that $s = 1$ corresponds to the standard quantization. The above proposition implies that for $n = 1$ and $H = \frac{1}{2}p^2 + V(x)$. The trace $\delta(\hat{H} - E)$ is equivalent in any quantization scheme.

Theorem 2.3 is now proven. From proposition 6.3 this amounts to finding the correct expression for the symbol of the resolvent in the standard quantization.

6.3 Proof of theorem 2.3

Proof. Let $t = \deg H$. To prove the result, we start by a direct calculation of the symbol of the resolvent R in the standard quantization. Applying the

star product in the standard quantization gives

$$\begin{aligned}
1 &= R \star (H - E) \\
&= \left(e^{\frac{\hbar}{i} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j}} R(x, p') (H(x', p) - E) \right) \Big|_{(x', p')=(x, p)} \\
&= (H - E)R - (H - E) \sum_{k=1}^t \hbar^k g_k R.
\end{aligned} \tag{78}$$

From the above calculation

$$\frac{1}{H - E} = \left(1 - \sum_{k=1}^t \hbar^k g_k \right) R \tag{79}$$

because the sum on the right hand side contains an over all factor of \hbar , the equation can be solved over formal power series using the geometric series

$$R = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=1}^t \hbar^k g_k \right)^r \frac{1}{H - E}. \tag{80}$$

Expanding the powers of the sum gives a sum over all permutations of $\hbar g_1, \dots, \hbar^t g_t$ with r total factors. Using definition 2.2 we have

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^t \hbar^k g_k \right)^r = \sum_{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_t = r} \hbar^{a_1 + 2a_2 + \dots + ta_t} \{g_1^{(a_1)} g_2^{(a_2)} \dots g_t^{(a_t)}\}. \tag{81}$$

The coefficient of \hbar^k is therefore given by the sum

$$[\hbar^k]R = \sum_{a_1 + 2a_2 + \dots + ta_t = k} \{g_1^{(a_1)} g_2^{(a_2)} \dots g_t^{(a_t)}\} \frac{1}{H - E}. \tag{82}$$

Applying proposition 6.3 completes the proof of theorem 2.3. \square

7 Examples

Some examples are discussed here which illustrate theorem 2.3 and theorem 2.5. The Harmonic oscillator in n dimensions, and a cubic potential in one dimension.

7.1 The harmonic oscillator

In any dimension the harmonic oscillator is trivial. Let

$$H = \frac{1}{2} (p_1^2 + \cdots + p_n^2 + x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2). \quad (83)$$

The Jacobian ideal J defined by equation (24) is

$$J = \langle Ez_0, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{2n} \rangle. \quad (84)$$

The quotient $\mathbb{C}[z]/J$ is therefore trivial, that is, $\mathbb{C}[z]/J = \{1\}$. The spaces F_1 up to F_{n+1} of definition 3.1 are given by

$$\begin{aligned} F_1 &= \{0\}, \\ &\vdots \\ F_n &= \{0\}, \\ F_{n+1} &= \text{span}\{z_0, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{2n}\}. \end{aligned} \quad (85)$$

These observations combined with Griffiths theorem 3.3 suggests that

$$\mathcal{H}(X) \cong F/J = 0$$

which reflects the fact that there are no closed forms which are not exact on the hypersurface X defined by the harmonic oscillator.

Non-zero contributions to $\text{tr } \delta(\hat{H} - E)$ come from differentials, which have an additional pole at infinity. Consider equation (20) with H the above harmonic oscillator. That is,

$$\frac{\omega}{H - E} = \frac{\Omega}{z_0^{2n-1} f} \quad (86)$$

which shows that the classical period is not in $A^{2n}(X)$ due to the pole on $z_0 = 0$, the hyperplane at infinity. The operators g_1 and g_2 of theorem 2.3 are given by

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 &= -\frac{i}{H - E} \left(p_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + \cdots + p_n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \right), \\ g_2 &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{H - E} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} + \cdots + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_n^2} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (87)$$

The observation, implied by proposition 3.5, that the operator g_1 carries an overall factor of z_0^2 , and similarly that g_2 carries an overall factor of z_0^4 suggests that $P_k \frac{\Omega}{z_0^{2n-1} f} \in A^{2n}(X)$ if $k \geq n + 1$. Therefore, the series in 2.3 truncates at order $n + 1$.

Remark. It is important to note that the method introduced in section 3.2 cannot be applied to the cases $k < n + 1$. This is precisely for the reason mentioned above: the non-zero integrals are not integrals of forms on the smooth, irreducible variety X . The extension of the Griffiths-Dwork reduction to complete intersections of codimension two, such as what was developed in [46], could be used extend the method introduced in section 3.2 to treat these cases.

7.2 A cubic potential in one dimension

As a non-trivial example, consider the case $n = 1$ and the classical hamiltonian $H = \frac{1}{2}p^2 + x^2 + x^3$. In the homogenous coordinates $[z_0, z_1, z_2]$ such that $x = z_1/z_0$ and $p = z_2/z_0$ the polynomial f of equation (19) is given by

$$f = \frac{1}{2}z_2^2 z_0 + z_1^2 z_0 + z_1^3 - E z_0^3. \quad (88)$$

There are only two non-zero operators g_1, g_2 defined in theorem 2.3. Placing the operators g_1 and g_2 in the algebra \mathcal{F} as using proposition 3.5 gives

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{g}_1 &= i z_0^3 z_2 (\partial_1 - (2z_1 z_0 + 3z_1^2) \hat{m}), \\ \hat{g}_2 &= \frac{1}{2} z_0^3 (z_0 (\partial_1 - (2z_1 z_0 + 3z_1^2) \hat{m}))^2. \end{aligned} \quad (89)$$

A monomial basis for the vector space $V = \mathbb{C}[z_0, z_1, z_2]/\langle \partial_0 f, \partial_1 f, \partial_2 f \rangle$ is given by

$$S = \{1, z_2, z_1, z_0, z_2^2, z_1 z_2, z_1^2, z_1 z_2^2\} \quad (90)$$

therefore $d = \dim V = 8$. As a result the $\lambda(g_i)^{\alpha, \beta} = g_i^{\alpha, \beta}$ constructed according to 3.8 are 8×8 matrices. In total we find that for a general α there are 210 values of β such that the $g_1^{\alpha, \beta}$ are not the zero matrix and 639 values of β such that $g_2^{\alpha, \beta}$ are non-zero. The map $\mu_{\mathcal{F}}$ of definition 3.9 is defined using the vectors

$$u = (1, 0, \dots, 0) \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{e}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ z_2 \\ z_1 \\ z_0 \\ z_2^2 \\ z_1 z_2 \\ z_1^2 \\ z_1 z_2^2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (91)$$

To correspond to a differential form a monomial must have degree which is a multiple of 3. There are only two elements in the basis with this property 1 and $z_1 z_2^2$. This reflects the fact that $f = 0$ describes a genus one Riemann surface. For either independent cycle γ_1, γ_2 on the Riemann surface, the two periods

$$\pi^{(1)}(E) = \int_{\gamma_j} \frac{\Omega}{f} \quad \text{and} \quad \pi^{(2)}(E) = \int_{\gamma_j} \frac{z_1 z_2^2 \Omega}{f^2} \quad (92)$$

can be identified with the solutions to the following Picard-Fuchs equations which are calculated with Griffiths-Dwork method as described in example 3. The Picard-Fuchs equations are

$$\begin{aligned} 4(27E^2 - 4E) \frac{d^2 \pi^{(1)}}{dE^2} + 4(27E - 2) \frac{d\pi^{(1)}}{dE} + 15\pi^{(1)} &= 0, \\ 4(-27E^2 + 4E) \frac{d^2 \pi^{(2)}}{dE^2} + 54E \frac{d\pi^{(2)}}{dE} + 3\pi^{(2)} &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (93)$$

The two linearly independent solutions of each equation correspond to the two linearly independent choices of integration cycle for either period. Additionally, the residue formula (5.1) implies

$$\text{res} \frac{\Omega}{f} = \frac{dx}{p} \Big|_{H=E} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{res} \frac{z_1 z_2^2 \Omega}{f^2} = \frac{xdx}{p} \Big|_{H=E}. \quad (94)$$

Let $\Pi(E, \hbar) = 2\pi i (2\pi \hbar)^n \text{tr} \delta(\hat{H} - E)$. For the first two odd terms in the series the relevant calculations can be written

$$\begin{aligned} [\hbar^1] \Pi &= \int_{\Gamma} \psi(\hat{u} g_1^{\vec{0}, \vec{0}} \hat{e}) = 0, \\ [\hbar^3] \Pi &= \int_{\Gamma} \psi \left(\hat{u} \left(\sum_{\beta \in I_{\vec{0}}} \sum_{\nu \in I_{\beta}} g_1^{\vec{0}, \beta} g_1^{\beta, \nu} g_1^{\nu, \vec{0}} + g_1^{\vec{0}, \beta} g_2^{\beta, \vec{0}} + g_2^{\vec{0}, \beta} g_1^{\beta, \vec{0}} \right) \hat{e} \right) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (95)$$

The fact that the odd terms integrate to zero is a well known feature of the

WKB series. The even term $[\hbar^2]\Pi$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
[\hbar^2]\Pi &= \int_{\Gamma} \psi \left(\hat{u} \left(\sum_{\beta \in I_{\vec{0}}} g_1^{\vec{0},\beta} g_1^{\beta,\vec{0}} + g_2^{\vec{0},\vec{0}} \right) \hat{e} \right) \\
&= \int_{\Gamma} \psi \left(\left(\frac{3(135E+4)}{4(27E-4)^2 E (\hat{m}+3)(\hat{m}+2)} \right) (1) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left(\frac{(\hat{m}-1)(1215E^2-180E+16)}{4(\hat{m}+2)(\hat{m}+1)E^2(27E-4)^2} \right) (z_1 z_2^2) \right) \quad (96) \\
&= -\frac{135E+4}{16(27E-4)^2 E} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\Omega}{f} + \frac{1215E^2-180E+16}{48(27E-4)^2 E^2} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{z_1 z_2^2 \Omega}{f^2} \\
&= -\frac{135E+4}{16(27E-4)^2 E} \pi^{(1)}(E) + \frac{1215E^2-180E+16}{48(27E-4)^2 E^2} \pi^{(2)}(E).
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, this can be checked using the method described in section 5.3. With $V(x) = x^2 + x^3$ the right hand side of equation (65) gives rational differentials on X . Placing them in homogeneous coordinates gives

$$[\hbar^2]\Pi = \int_{\Gamma} \left(\frac{5}{4} z_0^5 z_1^2 (2z_0 + 3z_1)^2 \frac{\Omega}{f^4} - z_0^5 (z_0 + 3z_1) \frac{\Omega}{f^3} \right). \quad (97)$$

Applying the Griffiths-Dwork reduction to this equation reduces it to

$$[\hbar^2]\Pi = -\frac{135E+4}{16(27E-4)^2 E} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\Omega}{f} + \frac{1215E^2-180E+16}{48(27E-4)^2 E^2} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{z_1 z_2^2 \Omega}{f^2} \quad (98)$$

which agrees with equation 96.

7.3 The structure of the ring of matrix functions

With f as in equation (27), the subring $\sigma(\mathbf{A})$ is determined by the images of z_0, z_1, z_2 under the map σ . As described in section 4, each $\sigma(z_i)$ for $i = 0, 1, 2$ is specified by a set of matrices $\{Z'_i\}_{\nu \in I_i}$ with $I_i \subset \mathbb{N}_0^3$ a finite set. All such non-zero matrices are listed in the below. The function $\sigma(z_0)$ is determined

by the following four matrices:

$$Z_0^{(0,0,0)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{3}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{6E} & 0 & \frac{1}{3E} & 0 \\ S_{\hat{m}} \frac{1}{\hat{m}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -S_{\hat{m}} \frac{2}{\hat{m}(27E-4)} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{-3}{27E-4} \\ 0 & 0 & S_{\hat{m}} \frac{1}{\hat{m}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (99)$$

$$Z_0^{(0,0,1)} = S_{\hat{m}} \frac{1}{\hat{m}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (100)$$

$$Z_0^{(0,1,0)} = S_{\hat{m}} \frac{1}{\hat{m}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{-2}{(27E-4)} & \frac{9E}{(27E-4)} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (101)$$

$$Z_0^{(1,0,0)} = S_{\hat{m}} \frac{1}{\hat{m}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{3E} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{6}{(27E-4)} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (102)$$

The function $\sigma(z_1)$ is determined by the following four matrices

$$Z_1^{(0,0,0)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{3}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ S_{\hat{m}} \frac{9E}{\hat{m}(27E-4)} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{2}{27E-4} \\ 0 & 0 & -S_{\hat{m}} \frac{2}{3\hat{m}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (103)$$

$$Z_1^{(0,0,1)} = -S_{\hat{m}} \frac{2}{3\hat{m}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (104)$$

$$Z_1^{(0,1,0)} = S_{\hat{m}} \frac{1}{\hat{m}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{9E}{(27E-4)} & -\frac{6E}{(27E-4)} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (105)$$

$$Z_1^{(1,0,0)} = -S_{\hat{m}} \frac{4}{\hat{m}(27E-4)} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (106)$$

The function $\sigma(z_2)$ is determined by the following five matrices

$$Z_2^{(0,0,0)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -S_{\hat{m}}\frac{2}{3\hat{m}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (107)$$

$$Z_2^{(0,0,1)} = S_{\hat{m}}\frac{1}{\hat{m}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{4}{3} & 6E & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{2}{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{-27E+4}{3} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (108)$$

$$Z_2^{(0,1,0)} = S_{\hat{m}}\frac{1}{3\hat{m}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (109)$$

$$Z_2^{(1,0,0)} = 2S_{\hat{m}}\frac{1}{\hat{m}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (110)$$

$$Z_2^{(0,1,1)} = \left(S_{\hat{m}} \frac{1}{\hat{m}} \right)^2 \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 3E & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (111)$$

References

- [1] Anton Alekseev, Andrew Neitzke, Xiaomeng Xu, and Yan Zhou, *WKB Asymptotics of Stokes Matrices, Spectral Curves and Rhombus Inequalities*, Commun. Math. Phys. **405** (2024), no. 11, 269, available at [2403.17906](#).
- [2] P. N. Argyres, *The Bohr-Sommerfeld quantization rule and the Weyl correspondence*, Phys. Phys. Fiz. **2** (1965Nov), 131–139.
- [3] Sujay K. Ashok, Dileep P. Jatkar, Renjan R. John, Madhusudhan Raman, and Jan Troost, *Exact WKB analysis of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauge theories*, JHEP **07** (2016), 115, available at [1604.05520](#).
- [4] Gokce Basar, Gerald V. Dunne, and Mithat Ünsal, *Quantum Geometry of Resurgent Perturbative/Nonperturbative Relations*, JHEP **5** (2017May), 87. arXiv: 1701.06572.
- [5] V. V. Bazhanov, Sergei L. Lukyanov, and Alexander B. Zamolodchikov, *Higher level eigenvalues of Q operators and Schrödinger equation*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **7** (2003), no. 4, 711–725, available at [hep-th/0307108](#).
- [6] Raphaël Belliard, Vincent Bouchard, Reinier Kramer, and Tanner Nelson, *Highest weight vectors, shifted topological recursion and quantum curves* (2024Dec), available at [2412.09120](#).
- [7] Carl M. Bender and Steven A. Orszag, *Advanced Mathematical Methods for Scientists and Engineers I*, Springer, 1999.
- [8] Bruno Bucciotti, Tomas Reis, and Marco Serone, *An anharmonic alliance: exact WKB meets EPT*, JHEP **11** (2023Nov), 124, available at [2309.02505](#).
- [9] Matthew Cargo, Alfonso Gracia-Saz, R G Littlejohn, M W Reinsch, and P de M Rios, *Quantum normal forms, Moyal star product and Bohr-Sommerfeld approximation*, J. Phys. A **38** (2005feb), no. 9, 1977.
- [10] Atakan Çavuşoğlu, Can Kozçaz, and Kemal Tezgin, *Unified genus-1 potential and a parametric perturbative/nonperturbative relation*, Phys. Rev. D **110** (2024), no. 12, 125001, available at [2311.17850](#).
- [11] Santiago Codesido and Marcos Marino, *Holomorphic Anomaly and Quantum Mechanics*, J. Phys. A **51** (2018Feb), no. 5, 055402. arXiv: 1612.07687.
- [12] Yves Colin de Verdière, *Bohr-Sommerfeld Rules to All Orders*, Ann. Henri Poincaré **6** (October 2005), no. 5, 925–936.

- [13] David A. Cox and Sheldon Katz, *Mirror Symmetry and Algebraic Geometry*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, American Mathematical Society, United States, 1999 (English (US)).
- [14] Leonardo de la Cruz and Pierre Vanhove, *Algorithm for differential equations for Feynman integrals in general dimensions*, Lett. in Math. Phys. **114** (2024), no. 3, 89, available at [2401.09908](#).
- [15] Éric Delabaere, Hervé Dillinger, and F. Pham, *Exact semiclassical expansions for one-dimensional quantum oscillators*, J. Math. Phys. **38** (1997), 6126–6184.
- [16] Éric Delabaere and Frédéric Pham, *Resurgent methods in semi-classical asymptotics*, Annales de l’Institut Henri Poincaré, physique théorique **71** (1999), no. 1, 1–94 (en). [MR1704654](#)
- [17] M. Dimassi and J. Sjöstrand, *Spectral Asymptotics in the Semi-Classical Limit*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, Cambridge University Press, 1999.
- [18] Alexandru Dimca and Morihiko Saito, *A generalization of Griffiths’ theorem on rational integrals i*, Duke Mathematical Journal **135** (2006), 303–326, available at [math/0501253](#).
- [19] Alexandru Dimca, Morihiko Saito, and Lorenz Wotzlaw, *A generalization of Griffiths’ theorem on rational integrals II*, Mich. Math. J. **58** (2009), no. 3, 603–625.
- [20] Charles F. Doran, Andrew Harder, Pierre Vanhove, and Eric Pichon-Pharabod, *Motivic Geometry of two-Loop Feynman Integrals*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. **75** (2024), no. 3, 901–967, available at [2302.14840](#).
- [21] J. L. Dunham, *The Wentzel-Brillouin-Kramers Method of Solving the Wave Equation*, Phys. Rev. **41** (1932Sep), no. 6, 713–720.
- [22] Bernard Dwork, *On the Zeta Function of a Hypersurface: I*, Publ. Math. IHÉS **12** (1962), 5–68 (eng).
- [23] ———, *On the Zeta Function of a Hypersurface: II*, Ann. Math. **80** (1964), no. 2, 227–299.
- [24] Fabian Fischbach, Albrecht Klemm, and Christoph Nega, *WKB method and quantum periods beyond genus one*, J. Phys. A. **52** (2019Feb), no. 7, 075402. [arXiv: 1803.11222](#).
- [25] Hiroyuki Fuji, Kohei Iwaki, Masahide Manabe, and Ikuo Satake, *Reconstructing GKZ via Topological Recursion*, Commun. Math. Phys. **371** (2019Nov), no. 3, 839–920.
- [26] Davide Gaiotto, Gregory W. Moore, and Andrew Neitzke, *Spectral Networks*, Ann. Henri Poincaré **14** (2013Nov), no. 7, 1643–1731.
- [27] ———, *Wall-crossing, Hitchin systems, and the WKB approximation*, Adv. Math. **234** (2013), 239–403, available at [0907.3987](#).
- [28] Phillip A. Griffiths, *On the Periods of Certain Rational Integrals: I*, Ann. Math. **90** (1969), no. 3, 460–495.
- [29] ———, *On the Periods of Certain Rational Integrals: II*, Ann. Math. **90** (1969), no. 3, 496–541.
- [30] V. Guillemin and S. Sternberg, *Semi-classical Analysis*, 2013. online lecture notes.
- [31] R.C. Hwa, C. Hua, and V.L. Teplitz, *Homology and Feynman Integrals*, Mathematical physics monograph series, W. A. Benjamin, 1966.

- [32] Katsushi Ito and Jingjing Yang, *Exact WKB analysis and TBA equations for the Stark effect*, Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. **1** (2024), Paper No. 013A02, 30. MR4691840
- [33] Kohei Iwaki and Tomoki Nakanishi, *Exact WKB analysis and cluster algebras*, J. Phys. **47** (2014), no. 47, 474009.
- [34] M. A. Jivulescu, A. Messina, A. Napoli, and F. Petruccione, *Exact treatment of linear difference equations with noncommutative coefficients*, Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences **30** (2007Aug), no. 16, 2147–2153.
- [35] Takahiro Kawai and Yoshitsugu Takei, *Algebraic analysis of singular perturbation theory*, Vol. 227, American Mathematical Society, 2005.
- [36] A. Klemm, *On the geometry behind $N = 2$ supersymmetric effective actions in four-dimensions*, 33rd Karpacz Winter School of Theoretical Physics: Duality - Strings and Fields, 1997May.
- [37] R. A. Konoplya and O. S. Stashko, *Probing the Effective Quantum Gravity via Quasi-normal Modes and Shadows of Black Holes* (2024Aug), available at 2408.02578.
- [38] Pierre Lairez, *Computing periods of rational integrals*, Math. Comput. **85** (November 2015), no. 300, 1719–1752.
- [39] Pierre Lairez and Pierre Vanhove, *Algorithms for minimal Picard–Fuchs operators of Feynman integrals*, Lett. Math. Phys. **113** (March 2023), no. 2, 37.
- [40] Dan Li, *Harper Operator, Fermi Curves and Picard–Fuchs Equation*, Lett. Math. Phys. **104** (November 2013), no. 5, 613–624.
- [41] A. Mironov and A. Morozov, *Nekrasov Functions and Exact Bohr-Zommerfeld Integrals*, JHEP **04** (2010), 040, available at 0910.5670.
- [42] David R. Morrison, *Picard-Fuchs equations and mirror maps for hypersurfaces*, AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math. **9** (1998), 185–199, available at hep-th/9111025.
- [43] H. Movasati, *A Course in Hodge Theory: With Emphasis on Multiple Integrals*, International Press of Boston, 2021.
- [44] Stefan Müller-Stach, Stefan Weinzierl, and Raphael Zayadeh, *Picard-Fuchs equations for Feynman integrals*, Commun. Math. Phys. **326** (2014Feb), no. 1, 237–249. arXiv: 1212.4389.
- [45] Toshinori Oaku and Nobuki Takayama, *An algorithm for de Rham cohomology groups of the complement of an affine variety via D-module computation*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **139** (1999June), no. 1, 201–233.
- [46] Natalie Peternell, *Coherent sheaves on Calabi-Yau manifolds, Picard-Fuchs equations and potential functions*, Ph.D. Thesis, 2018.
- [47] Frédéric Pham, *Singularities of integrals: Homology, hyperfunctions and microlocal analysis*, Universitext, Springer, 2011.
- [48] Georg Puhlfürst and Stephan Stieberger, *Differential Equations, Associators, and Recurrences for Amplitudes*, Nucl. Phys. B **902** (2016), 186–245, available at 1507.01582.
- [49] Madhusudhan Raman and P. N. Bala Subramanian, *On Chebyshev Wells: Periods, Deformations, and Resurgence*, Phys. Rev. D **101** (2020Jun), no. 12, 126014. arXiv: 2002.01794.

- [50] Naohisa Sueishi, Syo Kamata, Tatsuhiro Misumi, and Mithat Ünsal, *On exact-WKB analysis, resurgent structure, and quantization conditions*, JHEP **12** (2020), 114, available at 2008.00379.
- [51] Mustafa Türe and Mithat Ünsal, *Quantum Hamilton-Jacobi Theory, Spectral Path Integrals and Exact-WKB* (2024June), available at 2406.07829.
- [52] André Voros, *The return of the quartic oscillator. The complex WKB method*, Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré, physique théorique **39** (1983), no. 3, 211–338 (en). MR729194
- [53] ———, *Spectral zeta functions*, Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics **21** (1992), 327–359.
- [54] Jean Zinn-Justin and Ulrich D. Jentschura, *Multi-instantons and exact results I: Conjectures, WKB expansions, and instanton interactions*, Ann. Phys. **313** (2004), 197–267, available at quant-ph/0501136.
- [55] ———, *Multi-instantons and exact results II: Specific cases, higher-order effects, and numerical calculations*, Annals Phys. **313** (2004), 269–325, available at quant-ph/0501137.
- [56] Maciej Zworski, *Semiclassical Analysis* (David Cox, ed.), Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 138, American Mathematical Society, 2012.