

ON RECONSTRUCTING MORSE FUNCTIONS WITH PRESCRIBED PREIMAGES ON 3-DIMENSIONAL MANIFOLDS AND A NECESSARY AND SUFFICIENT CONDITION FOR THE RECONSTRUCTION

NAOKI KITAZAWA

ABSTRACT. We discuss a necessary and sufficient condition for reconstruction of Morse functions with prescribed preimages on 3-dimensional manifolds. The present work strengthens a previous result of the author where only sufficient conditions are studied. Our new work is also regarded as a kind of addenda.

1. INTRODUCTION.

Morse functions have been fundamental and important tools in mathematics, mainly in various geometry of manifolds, since the birth of the theory of Morse functions in the 20th century.

For arguments, we introduce fundamental terminologies, notions and notation. Let \mathbb{R}^n denote the n -dimensional Euclidean space, which is one of simplest smooth manifolds. Let $\mathbb{R} := \mathbb{R}^1$. For a smooth map $c : X \rightarrow Y$ between smooth manifolds, a *singular point* $p \in X$ of c means a point where the rank of the differential of c at p is smaller than both the dimensions of X and Y . A *singular value* $c(p)$ of c is a value realized as a value of c at a singular point p of c . A *Morse function* $c : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function whose singular point is always in the interior of the manifold X and whose singular point p is of the form $c(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \sum_{j=1}^{m-i(p)} x_j^2 - \sum_{j=1}^{i(p)} x_{m-i(p)+j}^2 + c(p)$ where a suitable local coordinate is chosen. The integer $0 \leq i(p) \leq m$ is shown to be chosen uniquely and it is the *index* of the singular point p of c . Singular points of c appear discretely. [8, 9] explain related fundamental theory and [1] is for related singularity theory, for example.

Surprisingly, very fundamental problems on Morse functions are still studied and developing. Our study is on reconstruction of Morse functions or more generally, nice smooth functions with prescribed preimages. This is very new, founded in [13]. [6, 7] follow the study for example. These studies are on functions locally represented as polynomials on closed surfaces. The author has studied such reconstruction respecting preimages in [2]. This reconstructs Morse functions, more generally, so-called Morse-Bott functions or functions of suitably generalized classes, on suitable 3-dimensional closed, connected and orientable manifolds. [12] respects it: it considers a very general situation and methods where singularities of the functions are not explicitly presented. Our preprint [4] considers a generalized case. Preimages of single points containing no singular points are generalized to closed and connected manifolds of boundaries of compact and connected manifolds of a

Key words and phrases. Morse functions. Surfaces. 3-dimensional manifolds.
2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 57R45. Secondary 57R19.

certain class: *most fundamental handlebodies*. The class of boundaries of most fundamental handlebodies contains the class of 3-dimensional closed, connected and orientable manifolds. [3] extends [2] to the non-orientable case. This also studies cases we cannot discuss by [4]. For example, projective planes can appear as connected components of preimages of single points containing no singular points of the functions and they cannot be boundaries of 3-dimensional compact manifolds.

We formulate our problem.

Problem 1. Let $m \geq 2$ be an integer, Let $a < s < b$ be three real numbers. Let F_a and F_b be $(m - 1)$ -dimensional smooth closed manifolds. Can we reconstruct some Morse function $\tilde{f}_{F_a, F_b} : \tilde{M}_{F_a, F_b} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ on a suitable m -dimensional compact and connected manifold \tilde{M}_{F_a, F_b} enjoying the following properties.

- (1) The image is $[a, b] := \{t \mid a < t < b\}$. The number of singular values of $\tilde{f}_{F_a, F_b} : \tilde{M}_{F_a, F_b} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is 1 and the value is s .
- (2) The preimage $\tilde{f}_{F_a, F_b}^{-1}(a)$ ($\tilde{f}_{F_a, F_b}^{-1}(b)$) is diffeomorphic to F_a (resp F_b). The preimage $\tilde{f}_{F_a, F_b}^{-1}(s)$ is connected. The boundary of the manifold \tilde{M}_{F_a, F_b} is $\tilde{f}_{F_a, F_b}^{-1}(a) \sqcup \tilde{f}_{F_a, F_b}^{-1}(b)$.

In short, [7] has solved the case $m = 2$ completely and affirmatively. [2] (, followed by the preprint [4],) has solved the case $m = 3$ with F_a and F_b being orientable. [3] has partially solved the case $m = 3$ where these surfaces may not be orientable. Our result is a necessary and sufficient condition for Problem 1 to be solved affirmatively. [3] has found a sufficient condition only. There, explicit construction of explicit local Morse functions is essential. In considering non-orientable surfaces as preimages of single points, some elementary combinatorial arguments are also essential: they are not in [2] or [4].

We assume fundamental knowledge on closed surfaces here. However, we review and introduce several properties here. A closed, connected and orientable surface is diffeomorphic to the 2-dimensional sphere S^2 or a connected sum of finitely many copies of $S^1 \times S^1$, the product of two copies of the circle S^1 . The numbers of the copies of $S^1 \times S^1$ for the connected sums give an invariant for closed, connected and orientable surfaces: this is the *genus* of the surface. A closed, connected and non-orientable manifold is diffeomorphic to a connected sum of finitely many copies of the real projective plane $\mathbb{R}P^2$. The numbers of copies of $\mathbb{R}P^2$ to present the surfaces by connected sums is invariant for the surfaces. For a closed and connected surface F , let $P(F)$ denote the number. For a closed, connected and orientable surface F , $P(F) = 0$ and a non-orientable one F , $P(F) > 0$. For the non-orientable case, this number is a complete topological invariant and also the *genus* of the surface, and we can know the (non-)orientability of the closed and connected surface F by this non-negative integer. We can also extend this for non-connected surfaces F in the additive way. For a closed surface F which may not be connected, let $P_o(F)$ denote the number of connected components F_j of F with $P(F_j)$ being odd. Theorem 1 is our main result.

Theorem 1 (An extension of [3]). *Problem 1 is solved affirmatively in the case $m = 3$ if and only if the following hold.*

- (1) *The value $P_o(F_b) - P_o(F_a)$ is even. This is equivalent to the fact that the closed surface $F_a \sqcup F_b$ is the boundary of some 3-dimensional compact and connected manifold: in short two closed surfaces F_a and F_b are cobordant.*

- (2) *Either of the following holds.*
- (a) $P_o(F_b) = P_o(F_a)$.
 - (b) $P_o(F_b) > P_o(F_a)$ and $P_o(F_b) \leq P(F_a)$ hold.
 - (c) $P_o(F_b) < P_o(F_a)$ and $P_o(F_a) \leq P(F_b)$ hold.

We prove this in the next section. It is a main ingredient to apply [11, Lemma 6.6] and related arguments to show that our condition is a necessary condition. Such arguments are applied by the author in [5] and our paper also presents another new application.

In [5] the author classified Morse functions on 3-dimensional closed, connected and orientable manifolds such that preimages of single points containing no singular points of the functions are disjoint unions of copies of the sphere S^2 and the torus $S^1 \times S^1$, via graphs or so-called *Reeb graphs* and data on preimages. The presented study has strengthened [11, Theorem 6.5], characterizing 3-dimensional closed, connected and orientable manifolds represented as connected sums of copies of the product $S^1 \times S^2$ and so-called *Lens spaces* by the existence of such Morse functions. The *Reeb graph* of a smooth function is the space of all connected components of preimages of single points ([10]). Reeb graphs are regarded as graphs naturally in considerable cases ([12]).

We do not need to understand the notion of Reeb graph, presented above, rigorously. However, related knowledge may help us to understand our discussions in "STEP 1" in "Our proof of Theorem 1". We do not assume arguments on our preprints [4, 5], for example.

Last, the third section presents our conclusion and additional remarks.

2. A PROOF OF THEOREM 1.

Our proof of Theorem 1. STEP 1 Sufficiency.

We prove that the condition is a sufficient condition.

We check this by [3, Main Theorem 2]. Here we refer to the article.

In the article, "the number D_v " is for $P_o(F_b) - P_o(F_a)$ and even by our present condition (1). Here v is a vertex of "a graph G " in [3, Main Theorem 2]. There exists a one-to-one correspondence between each edge $e \ni v$ of the graph G in [3, Main Theorem 2] and a connected component F_e of F_a or F_b here. If $P(F_e) = 0$, then "the integer $r_G(e)$ " from [3, Main Theorem 2] is non-negative, even and equal to the genus of F_e , which is orientable. If $P(F_e) > 0$, then the integer $r_G(e)$ from [3, Main Theorem 2] is negative and the integer $-r_G(e)$ is equal to the genus of F_e , which is non-orientable.

We consider the following three cases and check that the assumption of [3, Main Theorem 2] is satisfied.

Case 1-1 $D_v = P_o(F_b) - P_o(F_a) = 0$: (2a).

The assumption of [3, Main Theorem 2] is satisfied and we can reconstruct our desired function $\tilde{f}_{F_a, F_b} : \tilde{M}_{F_a, F_b} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The vertex v naturally corresponds to the unique singular value s of the function \tilde{f}_{F_a, F_b} .

Case 1-2 $D_v = P_o(F_b) - P_o(F_a) > 0$: (2b).

In this case, we have a one-to-one correspondence between each edge " $e \in B_{\text{low}, v}$ " of [3, Main Theorem 2] containing v and a component $F_e \subset F_a$ with $P(F_e) > 0$. "The number $r_G'(e)$ " of [3, Main Theorem 2] is equal to $P(F_e) (P(F_e) - 1)$ in the

case $P(F_e) > 0$ is even (resp. odd) according to the original rule. We can see that $D_v = P_o(F_b) - P_o(F_a) = P_o(F_b) - (P(F_a) - \sum_{e \in B_{\text{low},v}} r_G'(e)) \leq \sum_{e \in B_{\text{low},v}} r_G'(e)$. The assumption of [3, Main Theorem 2] is satisfied and we can reconstruct the desired function similarly.

Case 1-3 $D_v = P_o(F_b) - P_o(F_a) < 0$: (2c).

Thanks to a kind of symmetries, we can argue this case similarly to Case 1-2.

We have checked the sufficiency.

STEP 2 Necessity.

We prove that the condition is a necessary condition. This is our main ingredient. This is not discussed in [3].

The condition (1) is clear.

By the symmetry, it is sufficient to check the condition (2b).

We assume the existence of a Morse function $\tilde{f}_{F_a, F_b} : \tilde{M}_{F_a, F_b} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. We need fundamental theory of attachments of so-called *handles*, corresponding to singular points of Morse functions, naturally. This is presented in [9] for example as classical, fundamental and important theory.

Hereafter, we use $D^k \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ for the k -dimensional unit disk. This is defined as the set of all points the distances between which and the origin 0 are smaller than or equal to 1, rigorously: the distances are induced from the standard Euclidean metric of \mathbb{R}^k . We have used S^{k-1} as a k -dimensional sphere (the k -dimensional unit sphere) as is often used and S^{k-1} is the boundary of the manifold D^k . For the boundary of a manifold X , let us use ∂X .

In terms of handles, we discuss the structure of \tilde{M}_{F_a, F_b} as a smooth manifold. For this, [11, Lemma 6.6] and related arguments on handles are also important. We can have \tilde{M}_{F_a, F_b} in the following way.

- We prepare the product $F_a \times D^1 = F_a \times [0, 1]$ and identify F_a with $F_a \times \{0\}$ by identifying x with $(x, 0)$.
- We choose suitable finitely many disjoint copies of $S^1 \times D^1$ and D^2 smoothly embedded in $F_a \times \{1\}$. The number of the copies of D^2 must be even.
- We attach so-called *2-handles* $D^2 \times D^1$ to the chosen copies of $S^1 \times D^1$ suitably along $\partial D^2 \times D^1$. There exists a one-to-one correspondence between 2-handles and singular points of index 2 of the Morse function. Let the complementary set of $F_a = F_a \times \{0\}$ of the boundary of the resulting manifold be denoted by F_s : of course the corner of the resulting 3-dimensional manifold is smoothed canonically.
- We attach so-called *1-handles* $D^1 \times D^2$ to the chosen copies of $D^2 \sqcup D^2$ suitably along $\partial D^1 \times D^2$. There exists a one-to-one correspondence between 1-handles and singular points of index 1 of the function. The resulting manifold is regarded to be \tilde{M}_{F_a, F_b} , after the corner is smoothed canonically. The complementary set of $F_a = F_a \times \{0\}$ of the boundary of the resulting manifold is naturally regarded as F_b .

We investigate relations among F_a , F_b and F_s .

Let the resulting surface obtained by attaching the j -th 2-handle here to $F_a \times \{1\}$ be denoted by $F_{a,j}$: this is in other words the complementary set of $F_a = F_a \times \{0\}$ of the boundary of the resulting 3-dimensional manifold, smoothed canonically. By

applying elementary topological arguments on closed surfaces, we have either of the following.

- The relation $P(F_{a,j+1}) = P(F_{a,j})$ holds and the number of connected components of $F_{a,j+1}$ is greater by 1 than that of $F_{a,j}$. Here the handle decomposes a component of $F_{a,j}$ into two connected summands of it. Furthermore, the relation $P_o(F_{a,j+1}) = P_o(F_{a,j})$ or $P_o(F_{a,j+1}) = P_o(F_{a,j}) + 2$ holds: the former holds in the case for at least one of the resulting components $F_{a,j+1,c}$, $P(F_{a,j+1,c})$ is even, and the latter holds in the case both of the two resulting components $F_{a,j+1,c_1}$ and $F_{a,j+1,c_2}$, the integers $P(F_{a,j+1,c_1})$ and $P(F_{a,j+1,c_2})$ are odd.
- The numbers of connected components of $F_{a,j}$ and $F_{a,j+1}$ are same. In addition, either of the following holds.
 - The relation $P(F_{a,j+1}) = P(F_{a,j})$ holds. For exactly one component of $F_{a,j}$, the topology is changed: before this change, the component of $F_{a,j}$ is orientable and the resulting component of $F_{a,j+1}$ is still orientable after the change.
 - The relation $P(F_{a,j+1}) = P(F_{a,j}) - 2$ holds. For exactly one component of $F_{a,j}$, the topology is changed: before this change, the component of $F_{a,j}$ is non-orientable and the resulting component of $F_{a,j+1}$ is non-orientable after the change.
 - The relation $P(F_{a,j+1}) = P(F_{a,j}) - 2k$ holds for some positive integer k and a component which is orientable appears newly in $F_{a,j+1}$: the component is changed from a closed, connected and non-orientable component of $F_{a,j}$.

Furthermore, the relation $P_o(F_{a,j+1}) = P_o(F_{a,j})$ holds in this case.

Let the resulting surface obtained by attaching the j -th 1-handle here to $F_a \times \{1\}$ (F_s) be denoted by $F_{s,j}$: this is in other words the complementary set of F_a of the boundary of the resulting 3-dimensional manifold, smoothed canonically. As a kind of duality to the previous argument, we have either of the following.

- The relation $P(F_{s,j+1}) = P(F_{s,j})$ holds and the number of connected components of $F_{s,j+1}$ is smaller by 1 than that of $F_{s,j}$. Here the handle connects two chosen components of $F_{s,j}$. Furthermore, the relation $P_o(F_{s,j+1}) = P_o(F_{s,j})$ or $P_o(F_{s,j+1}) = P_o(F_{s,j}) - 2$ holds: the former holds in the case for at least one of the chosen components $F_{s,j,c}$, $P(F_{s,j,c})$ is even, and the latter holds in the case both of the two chosen components F_{s,j,c_1} and F_{s,j,c_2} , the integers $P(F_{s,j,c_1})$ and $P(F_{s,j,c_2})$ are odd.
- The numbers of connected components of $F_{s,j}$ and $F_{s,j+1}$ are same. In addition, either of the following holds.
 - The relation $P(F_{s,j+1}) = P(F_{s,j})$ holds. For exactly one component of $F_{s,j}$, the topology is changed: Before this change, the component of $F_{s,j}$ is orientable and the resulting component of $F_{s,j+1}$ is still orientable after the change.
 - The relation $P(F_{s,j+1}) = P(F_{s,j}) + 2$ holds. For exactly one component of $F_{s,j}$, the topology is changed: before this change, the component of $F_{s,j}$ is non-orientable and the resulting component of $F_{s,j+1}$ is non-orientable after the change.
 - The relation $P(F_{s,j+1}) = P(F_{s,j}) + 2k$ holds for some positive integer k and a component which is non-orientable appears newly in $F_{s,j+1}$:

the component is changed from a closed, connected and orientable component of $F_{s,j}$.

Furthermore, the relation $P_o(F_{s,j+1}) = P_o(F_{s,j})$ holds in this case.

Although the exposition on important integers on surfaces seems to be lengthy, first, we can see that the integer $P_o(F_b)$ must be at most $P_o(F_s)$. Our definition immediately yields the relation $P_o(F_s) \leq P(F_s)$. Furthermore, the integer $P(F_s)$ is seen to be at most $P(F_a)$. Remember that the relation $P_o(F_b) > P_o(F_a)$ is assumed and that the relation $P_o(F_a) \leq P(F_a)$ holds, and note that the relation $P_o(F_a) < P_o(F_b) \leq P(F_a)$ holds. We have checked (2b).

This completes our proof. \square

3. OUR CONCLUSION AND REMARKS.

Theorem 1 solves Problem 1 in the case $m = 3$ completely.

In addition, [3, Main Theorem 2] is improved and our result also gives a positive answer to [3, Remarks 2 and 3] for example.

For example, let F_a be closed, connected and orientable and $F_b = \mathbb{R}P^2 \sqcup \mathbb{R}P^2$. This satisfies the condition (1) and does not satisfy the condition (2) ((2b)), in Problem 1 and Theorem 1.

As another example, let F_a be closed, connected and non-orientable and $F_b = \mathbb{R}P^2 \sqcup \mathbb{R}P^2$. This satisfies the assumption of Theorem 1 if and only if $P(F_a)$ is even.

As another result, the previous sufficient condition of [3, Main Theorem 2] has been simplified.

Last, we review cases other than our case for Problem 1, again. The preprint [4] of the author solves Problem 1 in a specific case for general m . This also completely and affirmatively solves the case $m = 4$ under the constraint that F_a and F_b are orientable. Our next step is, the case $m = 4$ where the manifolds F_a and F_b may be non-orientable, for example.

4. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.

The author would like to thank Osamu Saeki for fruitful discussions on [12] with [2, 4]. This has motivated the author to present [3, 5] and the present work.

5. CONFLICT OF INTEREST AND DATA AVAILABILITY.

Conflict of interest.

The author works at Institute of Mathematics for Industry (<https://www.jgmi.kyushu-u.ac.jp/en/about/young-mentors/>). This project is closely related to our study. Our study thanks them for their encouragements. The author is also a researcher at Osaka Central Advanced Mathematical Institute (OCAMI researcher): this is supported by MEXT Promotion of Distinctive Joint Research Center Program JP-MXP0723833165. He is not employed there. However, our study also thanks them for such an opportunity.

Data availability.

Essentially, data supporting our present study are all here. Note that the present paper is also seen as a kind of addenda to [3].

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Golubitsky and V. Guillemin, *Stable Mappings and Their Singularities*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics (14), Springer-Verlag (1974).
- [2] N. Kitazawa, *On Reeb graphs induced from smooth functions on 3-dimensional closed orientable manifolds with finitely many singular values*, *Topol. Methods in Nonlinear Anal.* Vol. 59 No. 2B, 897–912.
- [3] N. Kitazawa, *On Reeb graphs induced from smooth functions on 3-dimensional closed manifolds which may not be orientable*, *Methods of Functional Analysis and Topology* Vol. 29 No. 1 (2023), 57–72, 2024.
- [4] N. Kitazawa, *Realization problems of graphs as Reeb graphs of Morse functions with prescribed preimages*, the 3rd version is submitted to a refereed journal based on positive comments, arXiv:2108.06913.
- [5] N. Kitazawa, *On a classification of Morse functions on 3-dimensional manifolds represented as connected sums of manifolds of Heegaard genus one*, arXiv:2411.15943, 2024.
- [6] Y. Masumoto and O. Saeki, *A smooth function on a manifold with given Reeb graph*, *Kyushu J. Math.* 65 (2011), 75–84.
- [7] L. P. Michalak, *Realization of a graph as the Reeb graph of a Morse function on a manifold*. *Topol. Methods in Nonlinear Anal.* 52 (2) (2018), 749–762, arXiv:1805.06727.
- [8] J. Milnor, *Morse Theory*, Annals of Mathematic Studies AM-51, Princeton University Press; 1st Edition (1963.5.1).
- [9] J. Milnor, *Lectures on the h-cobordism theorem*, Math. Notes, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J. 1965.
- [10] G. Reeb, *Sur les points singuliers d’une forme de Pfaff complètement intégrable ou d’une fonction numérique*, *Comptes Rendus Hebdomadaires des Séances de l’Académie des Sciences* 222 (1946), 847–849.
- [11] O. Saeki, *Morse functions with sphere fibers*, *Hiroshima Math. J.* Volume 36, Number 1 (2006), 141–170.
- [12] O. Saeki, *Reeb spaces of smooth functions on manifolds*, *International Mathematics Research Notices*, maa301, Volume 2022, Issue 11, June 2022, 3740–3768, <https://doi.org/10.1093/imrn/maa301>, arXiv:2006.01689.
- [13] V. Sharko, *About Kronrod-Reeb graph of a function on a manifold*, *Methods of Functional Analysis and*

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS FOR INDUSTRY, KYUSHU UNIVERSITY, 744 MOTOOKA, NISHI-KU FUKUOKA 819-0395, JAPAN, TEL (OFFICE): +81-92-802-4402, FAX (OFFICE): +81-92-802-4405,

Email address: n-kitazawa@imi.kyushu-u.ac.jp, naokikitazawa.formath@gmail.com

Webpage: <https://naokikitazawa.github.io/NaokiKitazawa.html>