

# DEFORMATIONS OF IDEALS IN LIE ALGEBRAS

I. ERMEIDIS\*, M. JOTZ

ABSTRACT. This paper develops the deformation theory of Lie ideals. It shows that the smooth deformations of an ideal  $\mathfrak{i}$  in a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  differentiate to cohomology classes in the cohomology of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with values in its adjoint representation on  $\text{Hom}(\mathfrak{i}, \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i})$ . The cohomology associated with the ideal  $\mathfrak{i}$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$  is compared with other Lie algebra cohomologies defined by  $\mathfrak{i}$ , such as the cohomology defined by  $\mathfrak{i}$  as a Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  in [35], and the cohomology defined by the Lie algebra morphism  $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}$ .

After a choice of complement of the ideal  $\mathfrak{i}$  in the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , its deformation complex is enriched to the differential graded Lie algebra that controls its deformations, in the sense that its Maurer-Cartan elements are in one-to-one correspondence with the (small) deformations of the ideal. Furthermore, the  $L_\infty$ -algebra that simultaneously controls the deformations of  $\mathfrak{i}$  and of the ambient Lie bracket is identified.

Under appropriate assumptions on the low degrees of the deformation cohomology of a given Lie ideal, the (topological) rigidity and stability of ideals are studied, as well as obstructions to deformations of ideals of Lie algebras.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
Outline of the paper	3
Acknowledgement	3
2. Preliminaries	3
2.1. Graded vector spaces and $L_\infty$ -algebras	3
2.2. Deformation complexes	7
2.3. Lie algebraic structures as Maurer-Cartan elements	8
3. Deformation theory of Lie subalgebras – recap	9
4. Infinitesimal deformations of an ideal in a Lie algebra	13
4.1. Smooth deformations and infinitesimal deformations of an ideal	13
4.2. Relation with other deformation cohomologies induced by ideals in Lie algebras	14
5. Deformations of a Lie ideal as Maurer-Cartan elements	22
5.1. The Voronov dataset associated to an ideal in a Lie algebra	22
5.2. The controlling $L_\infty[1]$ -algebra of simultaneous small deformations	24
6. Geometric applications: obstructions, rigidity and stability	26
6.1. The Kuranishi map and obstructions to deformations of ideals	26
6.2. Rigidity of ideals in Lie algebras	28
6.3. Stability of ideals in Lie algebras	33
Appendix A. Useful background on Grassmannians	36
A.1. Tangent spaces to the Grassmannian	36
A.2. The tautological bundle and several vector bundles constructed from it	37
References	39

---

*Date:* December 31, 2024.

*2020 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 17B56, Secondary: 14D15.

*Key words and phrases.* Ideals, Lie algebras,  $L_\infty$ -algebras, deformation theory, deformation cohomology, stability and rigidity of ideals in Lie algebras.

This project was partially funded by the RTG 2491 in Göttingen and by a GSSP-DAAD fellowship at the University of Göttingen.

\*Corresponding author.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Ideals in Lie algebras are crucial in the representation theory and classification of the latter. Therefore, for understanding a given Lie algebra, it is crucial to have a good comprehension of its Lie ideals — such as how many there are, i.e. whether there are none, few, or many, and how much these essentially differ from one another. This is where deformation theory comes into play. Deformation theory serves as a powerful tool for understanding how mathematical structures change under formal or smooth perturbations. Originating in algebraic geometry, where it was used to study the deformations of complex structures, the theory has since found wide-ranging applications in algebra, topology, and mathematical physics. In the context of Lie algebras, deformation theory investigates how the structure of a Lie algebra can be modified or preserved under small changes to its Lie bracket.

The foundations of deformation theory were laid by Kodaira and Spencer in their remarkable series of works on deformations of complex manifolds [20, 19, 21, 18]. Gerstenhaber then studied deformations of rings and associative algebras [9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14]. Foundational work in this area was conducted as well in the 1960's by Nijenhuis and Richardson, who developed key techniques for studying infinitesimal deformations of algebraic structures. In particular, they introduced the concept of deformation cohomology and provided criteria for the integrability of infinitesimal deformations into formal deformations. Their work established that the deformation theory of Lie algebras can be elegantly formulated using the language of differential graded Lie algebras (dgLa), which naturally encode both the deformation problem and its obstructions, see [28, 29, 27, 32, 31, 30, 37, 35, 33, 36]. Despite these advancements, surprisingly enough, the deformation theory of Lie ideals seems to be, so far, almost totally absent in the literature. While the deformation theory of Lie subalgebras has been partially addressed in certain contexts, the specific case of ideals — a central and invariant feature of Lie algebras — remains largely unexplored. This gap in the literature is striking, given that the behavior of ideals under deformations can influence both the internal structure of the algebra and its external representations.

This paper addresses this gap by associating to any ideal in a Lie algebra a dgLa that controls its deformations. Furthermore, it introduces an  $L_\infty$ -algebra that governs the simultaneous deformations of the Lie bracket in the ambient Lie algebra and the ideal itself. These constructions provide a new perspective on the deformation theory of Lie algebras, with significant implications for understanding rigidity, stability, and obstruction phenomena.

A well-known principle in deformation theory states, roughly speaking, that behind every reasonable deformation problem of a mathematical structure of a specific type, there is a differential graded Lie algebra (dgLa for short) or, more generally, an  $L_\infty$ -algebra, which “controls” the deformation problem — in the sense that its Maurer-Cartan elements are in bijective correspondence with the deformations of the initial structure. This philosophy can be traced back to Deligne, Drinfeld, Kontsevich and many others [5, 6, 15, 22, 16, 26]. Around fifteen years ago, Lurie [25] and Pridham [34] formalized this heuristic philosophy of deformation theory into an equivalence between formal moduli problems and differential graded Lie algebras in characteristic zero, using higher category theory.

Returning to the main focus of this paper; given a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  and a Lie ideal  $\mathfrak{i} \triangleleft \mathfrak{g}$ , the following question arises where the adjective “controlling” is meant in the aforementioned sense.

**Question 1: What is the controlling differential graded Lie algebra of the deformation problem of a Lie ideal  $\mathfrak{i} \triangleleft \mathfrak{g}$ ?**

This paper answers the above question by describing explicitly the deformation cochain complex together with its graded Lie algebra structure and proving that it controls the “small” deformations of the Lie ideal  $\mathfrak{i} \triangleleft \mathfrak{g}$ . Moduli theory deals with the study of the geometry of moduli spaces, which are spaces whose points represent equivalence classes of algebra-geometric objects. To the best of the knowledge of the authors, the prototypical and perhaps the most elegant example of a moduli space is the (real) Grassmannian of a vector space. Given an  $n$ -dimensional vector space  $V$ , the set of isomorphism classes of  $k$ -dimensional vector subspaces, denoted  $\text{Gr}_k(V)$ , carries a natural smooth manifold structure of dimension equal to  $k(n - k)$  and is called the  $k$ -Grassmannian of  $V$ . Except for this beautiful example, in almost all other cases it is difficult to understand (at least immediately) the global geometry of a moduli space, due to the presence of singularities and/or of infinite dimensionality. Hence the infinitesimal nature of a moduli space must first and foremost be understood.

Some of the questions in deformation theory concern when a mathematical structure of interest is rigid or stable under deformations. Roughly speaking, rigidity questions are related to identifying isolated

points of the moduli space of the studied structure, while stability questions concern its local smoothness around the distinguished point. Given a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , the space  $\mathbf{I}_k(\mathfrak{g})$  of  $k$ -dimensional Lie ideals is a subspace of  $\text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g})$ . A Lie ideal  $\mathfrak{i} \triangleleft \mathfrak{g}$  is *rigid under the natural action of  $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$  on  $\mathbf{I}_k(\mathfrak{g})$*  if the space of  $k$ -dimensional Lie ideals  $\mathbf{I}_k(\mathfrak{g})$  coincides locally, in some open neighborhood of  $\mathfrak{i} \in \mathbf{I}_k(\mathfrak{g}) \subseteq \text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g})$ , with the  $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$ -orbit of  $\mathfrak{i}$ .

**Question 2:** Under which assumption is a Lie ideal  $\mathfrak{i} \triangleleft \mathfrak{g}$   $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$ -rigid?

An ideal  $\mathfrak{i} \triangleleft \mathfrak{g}$  in a Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mu_g)$  is called **stable** if for any Lie bracket  $\mu'$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$  sufficiently close to  $\mu_g$ , there exists a Lie ideal  $\mathfrak{i}' \triangleleft (\mathfrak{g}, \mu')$  sufficiently close to  $\mathfrak{i} \in \text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g})$

**Question 3:** Under what assumption is a Lie ideal  $\mathfrak{i} \triangleleft \mathfrak{g}$  stable?

This paper provides sufficient criteria for both the rigidity and stability of a Lie ideal  $\mathfrak{i}$  in a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . By developing deformation cohomologies tailored to ideals, the authors not only establish theoretical foundations but also explore geometric applications, including the use of the Kuranishi map to study obstructions to deformations of ideals in Lie algebras. This work thus represents a novel contribution to the broader landscape of deformation theory and opens up new avenues for future research in both algebraic and geometric contexts.

**Outline of the paper.** Section 2 introduces the mathematical framework necessary for the studies in this paper, including graded vector spaces, differential graded Lie algebras, and  $L_\infty$ -algebras. These structures form the algebraic foundation for the deformation theories discussed here. Then standard deformation complexes associated with Lie algebras are reviewed, such as the Chevalley-Eilenberg complex, and the notation and conventions used throughout the paper are set up. Section 2.3 in particular demonstrates how Lie algebras and Lie algebras with representations can be understood as Maurer-Cartan elements of special differential graded Lie algebras. This establishes the framework for associating dgLa structures to deformations.

Section 3 recalls existing results on the deformation theory of Lie subalgebras, including their description as Maurer-Cartan elements in dgLa frameworks. It lays the groundwork for extending these ideas to the case of Lie ideals. Section 4 then defines smooth and infinitesimal deformations of ideals in Lie algebras. It provides examples and clarifies their distinctions from subalgebra deformations. Then it explores the connections between the deformation cohomology of an ideal and those for the same ideal as a subalgebra, as well as those of morphisms associated to ideals in Lie algebras, highlighting novel differences and insights.

Section 5 constructs a Voronov dataset associated to an ideal in a Lie algebra and uses it to define the dgL[1]a that controls the deformations of the ideal. It then develops an  $L_\infty[1]$ -algebra that governs the simultaneous deformations of the Lie bracket and the ideal, providing a unified framework for understanding these processes.

Section 6 introduces the Kuranishi map as a tool for identifying obstructions to deformations. It discusses its role in understanding when deformations can be extended or are blocked, and it examines then (cohomological) conditions under which ideals remain rigid under perturbations, before also establishing criteria for their stability.

Appendix A provides necessary supplementary material on Grassmannian manifolds for the considerations in this paper.

**Acknowledgement.** This paper is included in the PhD thesis of the first author. The authors warmly thank Kalin Krishna, Stefano Ronchi, Karandeep Jandu Singh, Ivan Struchiner, Marco Zambon, and Chenchang Zhu for interesting discussions. The first author thanks in particular Miquel Cueca for numerous fruitful and useful discussions during his PhD studies, and Luca Vitagliano for a very stimulating research stay, as well as many insightful comments and discussions.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

This section collects necessary preliminaries for the contents of this paper.

**2.1. Graded vector spaces and  $L_\infty$ -algebras.**  $L_\infty$ -algebras are used to describe deformations of algebraic structures. Their definition and properties are summarized in this section.

A **graded vector space  $\mathbf{V}$**  is the direct sum of a family of vector spaces  $(V_i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z})$ , that comes equipped as follows with a grading. An element  $v \in \mathbf{V}$  is called **degree-homogeneous** if  $v \in V_i$  for some  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  and the **degree** of  $v$  is then defined to be  $|v| = i$ . That is, for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the degree  $i$  component of  $\mathbf{V}$

(denoted with lower index  $\mathbf{V}_i$ ) equals  $V_i$ . The component  $V_i$  of  $\mathbf{V}$  is then written  $V_i[-i]$  for recording its degree, i.e.

$$\mathbf{V} = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} V_i[-i].$$

That is,  $V_i$ , which as a classical vector space has elements of degree 0, is shifted by  $-i$  in the following sense. For  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the **degree  $k$ -shift**  $\mathbf{V}[k]$  of  $\mathbf{V}$  is the graded vector space

$$\mathbf{V}[k] = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} V_i[-i+k] = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} V_{j+k}[-j],$$

i.e. with  $(\mathbf{V}[k])_j = V_{j+k}$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Unless specified otherwise, the vector space  $\mathbf{V}$  has finite dimension. That is, only finitely many of its summands are non-trivial and have then finite dimension.

The usual constructions with vector spaces can be similarly done in the graded setting. Let  $\mathbf{V}$  and  $\mathbf{W}$  be two graded vector spaces.

- (1) The direct sum  $\mathbf{V} \oplus \mathbf{W}$  is given by  $(\mathbf{V} \oplus \mathbf{W})_i = V_i \oplus W_i$  for all  $i$ , i.e.

$$\mathbf{V} \oplus \mathbf{W} = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (V_i + W_i)[-i].$$

- (2) The tensor product  $\mathbf{V} \otimes \mathbf{W}$  is graded by  $(\mathbf{V} \otimes \mathbf{W})_i = \bigoplus_{j+k=i} V_j \otimes W_k$ , i.e.

$$\mathbf{V} \otimes \mathbf{W} = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( \bigoplus_{j+k=i} V_j \otimes W_k \right) [-i].$$

- (3) The dual  $\mathbf{V}^*$  of  $\mathbf{V}$  is the graded vector space

$$\mathbf{V}^* = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} V_i^*[i].$$

- (4) The graded vector space  $\mathbf{Hom}(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{W}) \simeq \mathbf{V}^* \otimes \mathbf{W}$  is then defined by

$$\mathbf{Hom}(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{W}) = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{Hom}(V_j, W_{i+j}) \right) [-i]$$

and, as usual, denoted by  $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{V}) \simeq \mathbf{V}^* \otimes \mathbf{V}$  when  $\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{W}$ .

In particular a (degree 0) **linear map**  $f: \mathbf{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{W}$  between graded vector spaces is a collection of degree-preserving linear maps  $\{f_i: V_i \rightarrow W_i\}$ . A **degree  $k$  linear map** from  $\mathbf{V}$  to  $\mathbf{W}$  is a linear map  $f: \mathbf{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{W}[k]$  i.e.  $f$  is a collection of linear maps  $f_i: V_i \rightarrow W_{i+k}$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  (with, by definition, all but finitely many of these maps being 0).

- (5) The tensor algebra  $\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{V}) = \bigoplus_{l \geq 0} \mathbf{V}^{\otimes l}$  is graded by the total degree

$$|v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_l| = |v_1| + \cdots + |v_l|$$

since according to the considerations above

$$(\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{V}))_i = \bigoplus_{l \geq 0} (\mathbf{V}^{\otimes l})_i = \bigoplus_{l \geq 0} \bigoplus_{i_1 + \dots + i_l = i} V_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes V_{i_l}$$

for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Here, by convention  $\mathbf{V}^{\otimes 0} = \mathbb{R}$  has degree 0. The **graded symmetric algebra**  $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{V})$  of  $\mathbf{V}$  is the quotient of  $\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{V})$  by the two-sided ideal generated by elements of the form  $x \otimes y - (-1)^{|x||y|} y \otimes x$ . Similarly, the **graded exterior algebra**  $\mathbf{\Lambda}(\mathbf{V})$  of  $\mathbf{V}$  is the quotient of  $\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{V})$  by the two-sided ideal generated by elements of the form  $x \otimes y + (-1)^{|x||y|} y \otimes x$ .

**Remark 2.1.** (1) The graded vector spaces  $\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{V})$ ,  $\mathbf{\Lambda}(\mathbf{V})$  and  $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{V})$  do not have finite rank in general. (2) The graded tensor algebra in the last construction is in fact bigraded, since an element  $x \in V_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes V_{i_l}$  has as well the *polynomial degree*  $l$ . Its bidegree is hence  $(l, i_1 + \dots + i_l)$ . Precisely, the elements of  $\mathbf{T}^k \mathbf{V} := \mathbf{V}^{\otimes k}$  have polynomial degree  $k$ . Analogously, the elements of  $\mathbf{S}^k(\mathbf{V})$  and  $\mathbf{\Lambda}^k(\mathbf{V})$  have polynomial degree  $k$ .

A **graded Lie algebra**  $(\mathbf{V}, [\cdot, \cdot])$  is a graded vector space  $\mathbf{V}$  equipped with a  $\mathbb{R}$ -bilinear bracket  $[\cdot, \cdot]: \mathbf{V} \otimes \mathbf{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{V}$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) the bracket is degree-preserving:  $[V_i, V_j] \subset V_{i+j}$  for  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

and

- (2) the bracket is **graded skew-symmetric**:  $[x, y] = -(-1)^{|x||y|} [y, x]$  for all degree-homogeneous elements  $x, y \in \mathbf{V}$ ,

(3) the bracket satisfies the **graded Jacobi identity**

$$[x, [y, z]] = [[x, y], z] + (-1)^{|x||y|}[y, [x, z]]$$

for degree-homogeneous elements  $x, y, z \in \mathbf{V}$ .

A **differential graded Lie algebra (dgLa)** is then a triple  $(\mathbf{V}, [\cdot, \cdot], \mathbf{d})$  where  $(\mathbf{V}, [\cdot, \cdot])$  is a graded Lie algebra and  $\mathbf{d}: \mathbf{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{V}$  is a degree 1 linear map such that

- (1)  $\mathbf{d}[x, y] = [\mathbf{d}(x), y] + (-1)^{|x|}[x, \mathbf{d}(y)]$  (that is,  $\mathbf{d}$  is a degree 1 derivation with respect to the bracket  $[\cdot, \cdot]$ )
- (2)  $\mathbf{d}^2 = 0$ .

For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $0 \leq i \leq n$  a permutation  $\sigma \in S_n$  is called an  $(i, n-i)$ -**unshuffle** if it satisfies  $\sigma(1) < \dots < \sigma(i)$  and  $\sigma(i+1) < \dots < \sigma(n)$ . The set of  $(i, n-i)$ -unshuffles is denoted by  $S_{(i, n-i)}$ .

Consider a graded vector space  $\mathbf{V}$  as above and two of its degree homogeneous elements  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ . Then as elements of  $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{V})$ ,  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  satisfy

$$x_1 \cdot x_2 = (-1)^{|x_1||x_2|}x_2 \cdot x_1 =: \epsilon((12); x_1, x_2) \cdot x_2 \cdot x_1$$

i.e. with  $\epsilon((12); x_1, x_2) \in \{-1, 1\}$  defined by this equation. More generally for  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbf{V}$  degree-homogeneous, the **Koszul sign**  $\epsilon(\sigma; x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \{-1, 1\}$  of a permutation  $\sigma$  and  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  is defined by

$$x_1 \cdot \dots \cdot x_n = \epsilon(\sigma; x_1, \dots, x_n) \cdot x_{\sigma(1)} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{\sigma(n)}.$$

Similarly, when considered as elements of  $\wedge(\mathbf{V})$ ,  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  satisfy

$$x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge x_n = \chi(\sigma; x_1, \dots, x_n) \cdot x_{\sigma(1)} \wedge \dots \wedge x_{\sigma(n)}$$

with  $\chi(\sigma; x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \{-1, 1\}$ . By definition,

$$\chi(\sigma; x_1, \dots, x_n) = (-1)^\sigma \cdot \epsilon(\sigma; x_1, \dots, x_n).$$

**Definition 2.2.** (1) An  $L_\infty[1]$ -**algebra** is a graded vector space  $\mathbf{V}$  equipped with a (necessarily finite) collection

$$\{m_k: S^k \mathbf{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{V}[1]\}_{k \geq 1}$$

of linear maps, satisfying the following relations for all homogeneous elements  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbf{V}$ :

$$(1) \quad \sum_{i+j=n+1} (-1)^{i(j-1)} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{(i, n-i)}} \epsilon(\sigma; x_1, \dots, x_n) m_j(m_i(x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(i)}), x_{\sigma(i+1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n)}) = 0.$$

(2) A **dgL[1]a** is an  $L_\infty[1]$ -algebra  $(\mathbf{V}, m_1, m_2)$ , i.e. with  $m_k = 0$  for all  $k \geq 3$ .

**Example 2.3.** A dgLa structure  $([\cdot, \cdot], \mathbf{d})$  on a graded vector space  $\mathbf{V}$  becomes a dgL[1]a structure  $(m_1, m_2)$  on  $\mathbf{V}[1]$  by setting  $m_1 = \mathbf{d}$ ,  $m_2 = [\cdot, \cdot]$  and  $m_k = 0$  for  $k > 2$ .

**Example 2.4.** (Lie 2-algebra [1]) A **2-term  $L_\infty[1]$ -algebra** is a  $L_\infty[1]$ -algebra defined on a graded<sup>1</sup> vector bundle  $\mathbf{V} = \mathfrak{g}_0[1] \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-1}[2]$ . This amounts to 2-term cochain complex of vector spaces  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1} \xrightarrow{m_1} \mathfrak{g}_0$  together with

- a skew-symmetric bilinear map  $m_2^0: \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}_0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_0$  (this map is also written  $m_2^0 = [\cdot, \cdot]$ )
- a bilinear map  $m_2^1: \mathfrak{g}_0 \otimes \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$  (this map is also written  $m_2^1 = \nabla$ )
- an alternating trilinear map  $m_3: \wedge^3 \mathfrak{g}_0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$

satisfying, for all  $x, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \in \mathfrak{g}_0$  and  $y, y_1, y_2 \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ , the following conditions:

- (i)  $m_1(\nabla_x y) = [x, m_1(y)]$
- (ii)  $\nabla_{m_1(y_1)} y_2 + \nabla_{m_1(y_2)} y_1 = 0$
- (iii)  $[x_1, [x_2, x_3]] + [x_2, [x_3, x_1]] + [x_3, [x_1, x_2]] = m_1 m_3(x_1, x_2, x_3)$
- (iv)  $\nabla_{x_1} \nabla_{x_2} y - \nabla_{x_2} \nabla_{x_1} y - \nabla_{[x_1, x_2]} y = m_3(x_1, x_2, m_1(y))$
- (v) and

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^4 (-1)^{i+1} \nabla_{x_i} (m_3(x_1, \dots, \widehat{x}_i, \dots, x_4)) + \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} m_3([x_i, x_j], x_1, \dots, \widehat{x}_i, \dots, \widehat{x}_j, \dots, x_4).$$

<sup>1</sup>The peculiar choice of grading versus indices of the summands becomes clear at the end of this example.

In other words,  $\mathfrak{g}_0[0] \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-1}[1]$  with  $(m_1, m_2 = [\cdot, \cdot] + \nabla, m_3)$  is a **Lie 2-algebra**. The maps  $m_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , are usually written  $l_i$  in this context.

**Remark 2.5.** (1) In general, a  $L_\infty[1]$ -algebra becomes a  $L_\infty$ -**algebra** ([23],[24]) when shifted appropriately by  $-1$ , see [39], [7]. Because the setting of  $L_\infty$ -algebras is not used in this paper, only  $L_\infty[1]$ -algebras are defined and considered.

- (2) A Lie 2-algebra with  $l_3 = 0$  is called a **strict Lie 2-algebra**. Then by the equations above,  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  is a Lie bracket on  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  and  $\nabla$  is a representation of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  on  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ . (ii) above defines a skew-symmetric map  $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}_{-1}}: \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ ,  $[y_1, y_2]_{\mathfrak{g}_{-1}} = \nabla_{l_1(y_1)} y_2$  which, by (iii), satisfies the Jacobi identity. (i) shows that  $l_1: \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_0$  is a morphism of Lie algebras. (i) and (iii) then imply as well together that  $\nabla: \mathfrak{g}_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{aut}(\mathfrak{g}_{-1})$ , i.e.  $\nabla$  is a representation of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  by derivations of  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ . Hence  $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \mathfrak{g}_{-1}, l_1, \nabla)$  is a **crossed-module of Lie algebras** in the following sense.
- (3) A **crossed module of Lie algebras** is a pair of Lie algebras  $(\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, \mathfrak{g}_0)$  together with a Lie algebra morphism  $\phi: \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_0$  and a Lie algebra action by derivations  $\psi: \mathfrak{g}_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{aut}(\mathfrak{g}_{-1})$  satisfying, for any  $x \in \mathfrak{g}_0$  and  $y_1, y_2 \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ , the following two conditions:

$$\phi(\psi_x(y_1)) = [x, \phi(y_1)]_{\mathfrak{g}_0} \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_{\phi(y_1)}(y_2) = [y_1, y_2]_{\mathfrak{g}_{-1}}.$$

The above considerations establish an equivalence between crossed-modules of Lie algebras and strict Lie 2-algebras.

**Example 2.6.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra and let  $\mathfrak{i}$  be an ideal in  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{g}[0] \oplus \mathfrak{i}[-1]$  becomes a strict Lie 2-algebra with the inclusion  $l_1: \mathfrak{i} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ , the Lie bracket  $l_2^0 = [\cdot, \cdot]$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$  and the restriction to  $\mathfrak{i}$  of the adjoint representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$ :  $l_2^1 = \text{ad}: \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{i} \rightarrow \mathfrak{i}$ ,  $l_2^1(x \otimes y) = [x, y] \in \mathfrak{i}$  for  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $y \in \mathfrak{i}$ .

Voronov introduced in [39] a construction of  $L_\infty[1]$ -algebras, see also [8] for the following approach to it.

**Definition 2.7.** A quadruple  $(L, \mathfrak{a}, P, \Theta)$  where

- (1)  $L = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} L_i[-i]$  is a graded Lie algebra with Lie bracket  $[\cdot, \cdot]$ ,
- (2)  $\mathfrak{a}$  is an abelian graded Lie subalgebra of  $L$ ,
- (3)  $P: L \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}$  is a linear projection such that  $\ker P$  is a graded Lie subalgebra,
- (4)  $\Theta$  is an element of  $L_1[-1]$  (i.e. of degree 1) such that  $\Theta \in \ker P$  and  $[\Theta, \Theta] = 0$

is called here a **Voronov-dataset**.

Voronov proves in [39] and [40] the following two theorems.

**Theorem 2.8** ([39]). *Let  $(L, \mathfrak{a}, P, \Theta)$  be a Voronov-dataset. The multibrackets  $m_k: S^k \mathfrak{a} \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}[1]$  given by*

$$m_k(a_1, \dots, a_k) = P[\dots [[\Theta, a_1], a_2], \dots], a_k],$$

for all  $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \mathfrak{a}$  determine an  $L_\infty[1]$ -algebra structure on  $\mathfrak{a}$ .

**Definition 2.9.** (1) A **Maurer-Cartan element** of a dgLa  $(\mathbf{V}, [\cdot, \cdot], \mathbf{d})$  is an element  $x \in \mathbf{V}$  of degree 1 satisfying the following equation:

$$(2) \quad \mathbf{d}(x) + \frac{1}{2}[x, x] = 0.$$

This equation (2) is called the **Maurer-Cartan equation**.

- (2) More generally, a **Maurer-Cartan element** of a  $L_\infty[1]$ -algebra  $(\mathbf{V}, m_1, m_2, \dots)$  is an element  $x$  of degree 0 in  $\mathbf{V}$  such that<sup>2</sup>

$$\sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{k!} m_k(x, \dots, x) = 0.$$

Voronov's version of the following theorem in [40] is more general since it considers general derivations of the graded Lie algebra. The following version is stated in [8] and focuses on inner derivations.

**Theorem 2.10** ([40],[8]). *Given a Voronov-dataset  $(L, \mathfrak{a}, P, \Theta)$ , set  $D := [\Theta, \cdot]$ . The following brackets induce an  $L_\infty[1]$ -algebra structure on the space  $L[1] \oplus \mathfrak{a}$ :*

- (1) *The unary bracket is given by  $m_1(x, a) = -(Dx), P(x + Da)$  for  $x \in L$  and  $a \in \mathfrak{a}$ .*

<sup>2</sup>Note that since  $\mathbf{V}$  is of finite rank, this sum is necessarily finite.

- (2) The binary bracket is given by<sup>3</sup>  $m_2(x, y) = (-1)^{|x|}[x, y]$  for  $x, y \in L$ .  
 (3) For  $k \geq 2$  the  $k$ -ary bracket is given by

$$m_k(x, a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}) = P[\dots[x, a_1], \dots, a_{k-1}]$$

and

$$m_k(a_1, \dots, a_k) = P[\dots[Da_1, a_2], \dots, a_k]$$

for  $x \in L$  and  $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \mathfrak{a}$ , and it vanishes on any different combination of elements of  $L[1] \oplus \mathfrak{a}$ .

**2.2. Deformation complexes.** This section only considers finite-dimensional real Lie algebras. Given a Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mu := [\cdot, \cdot])$  and a representation  $r: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on some vector space  $V$ , there is an associated complex  $C_r^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; V) := \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes V$  called the **Chevalley-Eilenberg complex with coefficients in  $V$** . Its differential  $\delta_{\mathfrak{g}}^r: C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; V) \rightarrow C^{\bullet+1}(\mathfrak{g}; V)$  is defined for all  $k \geq 0$  and  $\omega \in C^k(\mathfrak{g}; V)$  by

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} \delta_{\mathfrak{g}}^r \omega(x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}) &:= \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} (-1)^{i+1} r(x_i) \omega(x_1, \dots, \widehat{x}_i, \dots, x_{k+1}) \\ &+ \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} \omega([x_i, x_j], x_1, \dots, \widehat{x}_i, \dots, \widehat{x}_j, \dots, x_{k+1}). \end{aligned}$$

The associated cohomology is denoted by  $H_r^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; V)$ . Section 3 illustrates how strongly the complexes in the following list are linked with deformation theories in the context of Lie algebras (see [4] and references therein).

- (1) The **deformation complex of a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$**  is the Chevalley-Eilenberg complex  $C_{\text{ad}}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g}) := \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}$  with the representation  $\text{ad}: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})$ . The corresponding differential is accordingly denoted by  $\delta_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\text{ad}}$  and its cohomology is written  $H_{\text{ad}}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g})$ .
- (2) The **deformation complex of a Lie algebra morphism  $\phi: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$**  is given by the Chevalley-Eilenberg complex  $C_{\text{def}}^\bullet(\phi) := \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{h}$  with the representation  $\phi^* \text{ad}^{\mathfrak{h}}: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{h})$ . The corresponding differential is here denoted by  $\delta_\phi$  for simplicity and its cohomology by  $H_\phi^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{h})$ .
- (3) The **deformation complex of a Lie subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$**  is given by the Chevalley-Eilenberg complex  $C_{\text{def}}^\bullet(\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}) := \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{h}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$  with the **Bott representation**

$$\text{ad}^{\text{Bott}}: \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}), \quad \text{ad}_u^{\text{Bott}}(\bar{y}) = \overline{[u, y]}.$$

The corresponding differential is denoted by  $\delta_{\mathfrak{h}}^{\text{Bott}}$  and its cohomology is written  $H_{\text{Bott}}^\bullet(\mathfrak{h}; \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}) := H_{\text{def}}^\bullet(\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g})$ .

The following result is an inspiration for similar results on the relations between the different cohomologies associated to ideal in Lie algebras, see Section 4.2.

**Remark 2.11.** (1) Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra and let  $\mathfrak{h} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie subalgebra. The monomorphism  $\iota: \mathfrak{h} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  of Lie algebras induces the following short exact sequence of cochain complexes

$$C_{\text{ad}}^\bullet(\mathfrak{h}; \mathfrak{h}) \xrightarrow{\iota_*} C_{\text{def}}^\bullet(\iota) \xrightarrow{\pi_*} C_{\text{def}}^\bullet(\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g})$$

where  $\iota_*(\phi)(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \iota(\phi(x_1, \dots, x_k))$  and  $\pi_*(\psi)(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \pi(\psi(x_1, \dots, x_k))$  for  $\phi \in \wedge^k \mathfrak{h}^* \otimes \mathfrak{h}$ ,  $\psi \in \wedge^k \mathfrak{h}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}$  and  $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathfrak{h}$ .

The equalities

$$\iota_* \circ \delta_{\text{ad}} = \delta_\iota \circ \iota_* \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_* \circ \delta_\iota = \delta_{\mathfrak{h}} \circ \pi_*$$

are immediate.

- (2) Consider the cokernel complex

$$\text{Coker}^\bullet(\iota_*) := \frac{C_{\text{def}}^\bullet(\iota)}{C^\bullet(\mathfrak{h}; \mathfrak{h})} = \frac{\wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{h}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}}{\wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{h}^* \otimes \mathfrak{h}}$$

with the differential  $\overline{\delta}_\iota$  defined by the equation  $\overline{\delta}_\iota \circ p = p \circ \delta_\iota$ , where

$$p: C_{\text{def}}^\bullet(\iota) \rightarrow \text{Coker}^\bullet(\iota_*)$$

is the quotient map.

<sup>3</sup>Here,  $|x|$  is the degree of  $x$  as an element of  $L$ , and so its degree as an element of  $L[1]$  is  $|x| - 1$ .

The cokernel complex is then canonically isomorphic to the deformation complex of Lie subalgebras  $C_{\text{def}}^\bullet(\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g})$ , via the unique map  $\widehat{\pi}_*: \text{Coker}^\bullet(\iota_*) \rightarrow C_{\text{def}}^\bullet(\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g})$  such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_{\text{def}}^\bullet(\iota) & \xrightarrow{\pi_*} & C_{\text{def}}^\bullet(\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}) \\ p \downarrow & \nearrow \widehat{\pi}_* & \\ \text{Coker}^\bullet(\iota_*) & & \end{array}$$

commutes.

The existence of  $\widehat{\pi}_*$  is just an application of the first isomorphism theorem. Compute

$$\widehat{\pi}_* \circ \overline{\delta}_\iota \circ p = \widehat{\pi}_* \circ p \circ \delta_\iota = \pi_* \circ \delta_\iota = \delta_{\mathfrak{h}} \circ \pi_* = \delta_{\mathfrak{h}} \circ \widehat{\pi}_* \circ p$$

follows. Since  $p$  is surjective, this shows  $\widehat{\pi}_* \circ \overline{\delta}_\iota = \delta_{\mathfrak{h}} \circ \widehat{\pi}_*$ .

**2.3. Lie algebraic structures as Maurer-Cartan elements.** This section collects two situations, the ones of Lie algebras and of Lie algebras with representations, where the considered Lie structures are realised as Maurer-Cartan elements in appropriate graded Lie algebras, and their deformations then become Maurer-Cartan elements in the obtained differential graded Lie algebras.

**2.3.1. Lie algebras as Maurer-Cartan elements [31].** Consider a vector space  $V$  and the graded Lie algebra  $L := C^\bullet(V; V)[1] = \wedge^{\bullet+1} V^* \otimes V$  (recall that this notation means that the elements of  $\wedge^{r+1} V^* \otimes V$  have degree  $r$ ) with the graded Lie algebra bracket given by

$$(4) \quad \llbracket \xi, \eta \rrbracket = (-1)^{(r-1)(s-1)} \xi \circ \eta - \eta \circ \xi \in \wedge^{r+s-1} V^* \otimes V = C^{r+s-2}(V; V)$$

on tensors  $\xi \in \wedge^r V^* \otimes V = (C^\bullet(V; V)[1])_{r-1}$  and  $\eta \in \wedge^s V^* \otimes V = (C^\bullet(V; V)[1])_{s-1}$  (of degrees  $r-1$ , and  $s-1$ , respectively), where:

$$(\xi \circ \eta)(x_1, \dots, x_{r+s-1}) = \sum_{\tau \in S(s, r-1)} (-1)^\tau \xi(\eta(x_{\tau(1)}, \dots, x_{\tau(s)}), x_{\tau(s+1)}, \dots, x_{\tau(r+s-1)})$$

on all  $x_1, \dots, x_{r+s-1} \in V$ . A simple computation using (4) shows that a degree 1-element  $\mu \in \wedge^2 V^* \otimes V$  satisfies  $\llbracket \mu, \mu \rrbracket = -2 \cdot \text{Jac}_\mu$ , hence

$$(5) \quad \llbracket \mu, \mu \rrbracket = 0 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \mu \text{ is a Lie bracket on } V.$$

The operator  $\llbracket \mu, \cdot \rrbracket: \wedge^{\bullet+1} V^* \otimes V \rightarrow \wedge^{\bullet+2} V^* \otimes V$  is then the Chevalley-Eilenberg differential  $\delta_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\text{ad}}$  defined by the Lie bracket  $\mu$ , and  $(C^\bullet(V; V)[1], \llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket, \delta_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\text{ad}})$  is a dgLa. This follows also in a straightforward manner from the formula (4).

It is then immediate that for  $\tilde{\mu} \in \wedge^2 V^* \otimes V$ , the sum  $\mu + \tilde{\mu}$  is a Lie algebra bracket on  $V$  if and only if

$$\llbracket \tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\mu} \rrbracket + 2\delta_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\text{ad}}(\tilde{\mu}) = 0,$$

i.e. if and only if  $\tilde{\mu}$  is a Maurer-Cartan element of the differential graded Lie algebra  $(C^\bullet(V; V)[1], \llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket, \delta_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\text{ad}})$ .

**2.3.2. Lie algebras and representations as Maurer-Cartan elements [8].** Now, let  $V$  and  $W$  be two real and finite-dimensional vector spaces and consider

$$L := C^\bullet(V, V)[1] \oplus C^\bullet(V, \text{End}(W))$$

That is, the space of elements of degree  $p \geq 0$  of  $L$  is

$$(\wedge^{p+1} V^* \otimes V) \oplus (\wedge^p V^* \otimes \text{End}(W))$$

and

$$V \simeq \wedge^0 V \otimes V$$

is the space of elements of degree  $-1$  of  $L$ . Then  $L$  is equipped with a graded Lie bracket defined as follows. Choose  $\xi, \xi' \in \wedge^{\bullet+1} V^* \otimes V$  of degrees  $p$  and  $p'$ , and  $\eta, \eta' \in \wedge^\bullet V^* \otimes \text{End}(W)$  of degrees  $q$  and  $q'$ , respectively. Then

$$(6) \quad \llbracket \xi, \xi' \rrbracket = (-1)^{p p'} \xi \circ \xi' - \xi' \circ \xi \in \wedge^{p+p'+1} V^* \otimes V$$

$$(7) \quad \llbracket \xi, \eta \rrbracket = \begin{cases} -\eta \circ \xi \in \wedge^{p+q} V^* \otimes \text{End}(W) & \text{if } q \geq 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } q = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$(8) \quad \llbracket \eta, \eta' \rrbracket = (-1)^{q q'} \eta \circ \eta' - \eta' \circ \eta \in \wedge^{q+q'} V^* \otimes \text{End}(W)$$



where  $\xi \circ \xi'$  is defined as in (4) and

$$\begin{aligned} (\eta \circ \xi)(x_1, \dots, x_{p+q}) &= \sum_{\tau \in S_{(p+1, q-1)}} (-1)^\tau \eta(\xi(x_{\tau(1)}, \dots, x_{\tau(p+1)}), x_{\tau(p+2)}, \dots, x_{\tau(p+q)}) \\ (\eta \circ \eta')(x_1, \dots, x_{q+q'}) &= \sum_{\tau \in S_{(q', q)}} (-1)^\tau \eta(x_{\tau(q'+1)}, \dots, x_{\tau(q+q')}) \circ \eta'(x_{\tau(1)}, \dots, x_{\tau(q')}) \end{aligned}$$

on  $x_i \in V$ . Consider an element  $\mu + \rho \in (\wedge^2 V^* \otimes V) \oplus (\wedge^1 V^* \otimes \text{End}(W))$  of degree 1 in  $L$ . Then

$$\llbracket \mu + \rho, \mu + \rho \rrbracket = (-2\mu \circ \mu) + (-2\rho \circ \mu - 2\rho \circ \rho) = (-2 \text{Jac}_\mu) + (-2\rho \circ \mu - 2\rho \circ \rho).$$

Compute on  $x_1, x_2 \in V$

$$(-\rho \circ \mu - \rho \circ \rho)(x_1, x_2) = -\rho(\mu(x_1, x_2)) - \rho(x_2) \circ \rho(x_1) + \rho(x_1) \circ \rho(x_2).$$

This shows that  $\llbracket \mu + \rho, \mu + \rho \rrbracket = 0$  if and only if  $\mu$  is a Lie bracket on  $V$  and  $\rho$  is a representation of  $(V, \mu)$  on  $W$ .

As before, the operator  $\delta = \llbracket \mu + \rho, \cdot \rrbracket$  on  $L$  makes  $(L, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket, \delta)$  into a differential graded Lie algebra. It is then immediate that for  $\tilde{\mu} \in \wedge^2 V^* \otimes V$  and  $\tilde{\rho} \in \wedge^1 V^* \otimes \text{End}(W)$ , the sum  $\mu + \tilde{\mu}$  is a Lie algebra bracket on  $V$  such that  $\rho + \tilde{\rho}$  is a representation of  $(V, \mu + \tilde{\mu})$  on  $W$  if and only if

$$0 = \llbracket (\mu + \tilde{\mu}) + (\rho + \tilde{\rho}), (\mu + \tilde{\mu}) + (\rho + \tilde{\rho}) \rrbracket = \llbracket \tilde{\mu} + \tilde{\rho}, \tilde{\mu} + \tilde{\rho} \rrbracket + 2\delta_{\mu+\rho}(\tilde{\mu} + \tilde{\rho}),$$

i.e. if and only if  $\tilde{\mu} + \tilde{\rho}$  is a Maurer-Cartan element of the differential graded Lie algebra  $(L, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket, \delta_{\mu+\rho})$ .

### 3. DEFORMATION THEORY OF LIE SUBALGEBRAS – RECAP

This section recalls in detail the infinitesimal deformation theory of Lie subalgebras [4], since it is relevant in the deformation of ideals. Let  $\mathfrak{h}$  be a  $k$ -dimensional Lie subalgebra of a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  and let  $\text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g})$  be the Grassmannian of  $k$ -dimensional subspaces of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

**Definition 3.1.** A smooth deformation  $(\mathfrak{h}_t)_{t \in I}$  of a Lie subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h}$  inside a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a smooth curve  $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g})$  such that  $\mathfrak{h}_t := \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}(t)$  is a Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  for all  $t \in I := [0, 1]$  and such that  $\mathfrak{h}_0 = \mathfrak{h}$ .

Two smooth deformations  $(\mathfrak{h}_t)_{t \in I}$  and  $(\mathfrak{h}'_t)_{t \in I}$  of  $\mathfrak{h}$  inside  $\mathfrak{g}$  are called **equivalent** if there exists a smooth curve  $g : I \rightarrow G$  (the unique simply-connected integration of  $\mathfrak{g}$ ), starting at the identity and such that  $\mathfrak{h}'_t = \text{Ad}_{g(t)} \mathfrak{h}_t$  for each  $t \in I$ .

Appendix A.1 explains in detail how the tangent vectors to  $\text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g})$  at  $\mathfrak{h}$  are computed and seen as elements of  $\mathfrak{h}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h} \simeq T_{\mathfrak{h}} \text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g})$ . In short, a choice of linear complement  $\mathfrak{h}^c \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$  for  $\mathfrak{h}$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$  defines a smooth chart of  $\text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g})$  centered at  $\mathfrak{h}$ . Precisely, the map  $\Psi : \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}^c) \rightarrow \text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g})$  sending  $\phi$  to  $\text{graph}(\phi)$  is a diffeomorphism on its image  $U_{\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}^c} := \{W \subseteq \mathfrak{g} \mid W \oplus \mathfrak{h}^c = \mathfrak{g}\} \subseteq \text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g})$ . The inverse  $\Psi^{-1} : U_{\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}^c} \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}^c)$  sends  $\mathfrak{h}$  to 0.

Via this smooth chart,  $I \ni t \mapsto \mathfrak{h}_t \in \text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g})$  coincides with a smooth curve  $\phi : I \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}^c)$ , i.e.  $\mathfrak{h}_t = \text{graph}(\phi(t))$  for all  $t \in I$ . (Since only the values of  $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}$  in an arbitrarily small neighborhood of 0 in  $I$  are relevant, assume without loss of generality that  $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}$  has values in  $U_{\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}^c}$ .) Since  $\text{Hom}(\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}^c)$  is a vector space, the derivative  $\dot{\phi}(0)$  is again an element of  $\text{Hom}(\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}^c) = \mathfrak{h}^* \otimes \mathfrak{h}^c$ . A composition with  $\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}} : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$  defines then

$$\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}} \circ \dot{\phi}(0) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \mathfrak{h}_t$$

as an element of  $T_{\mathfrak{h}} \text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g}) \simeq \mathfrak{h}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h} = C_{\text{def}}^1(\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g})$ .

**Proposition 3.2** ([4]). Let  $\mathfrak{h}$  be a  $k$ -dimensional Lie subalgebra of a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . If  $(\mathfrak{h}_t)_{t \in I}$  is a smooth deformation of  $\mathfrak{h}$  inside  $\mathfrak{g}$ , then

$$\dot{\mathfrak{h}}_0 := \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \mathfrak{h}_t \in C_{\text{def}}^1(\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g})$$

is a cocycle. Moreover, the corresponding cohomology class only depends on the equivalence class of the deformation.

*Proof.* Consider as above  $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}$  to be a smooth curve in  $\phi: I \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}^c)$  for a linear complement  $\mathfrak{h}^c$  of  $\mathfrak{h}$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$  and set

$$\alpha: I \rightarrow \text{GL}(\mathfrak{g}), \quad t \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \text{id}_{\mathfrak{h}} & 0 \\ \phi(t) & \text{id}_{\mathfrak{h}^c} \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\mathfrak{g} \simeq \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}^c$ . Then  $\alpha(t)(\mathfrak{h}) = \text{graph}(\phi(t)) = \mathfrak{h}_t$  and

$$\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}} \circ \dot{\alpha}(0)|_{\mathfrak{h}} = \pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}} \circ \dot{\phi}(0) = \dot{\mathfrak{h}}_0,$$

see Appendix A.1. Set similarly  $\pi_t: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}_t$  to be the canonical projection for each  $t \in I$ . Since  $(\pi_t \circ \alpha(t))(\mathfrak{h}) = \pi_t(\mathfrak{h}_t) = 0$  for all  $t \in I$ ,  $\alpha(t)$  factors for each  $t$  to  $\overline{\alpha(t)} := \overline{\alpha}(t)$  as in the following commutative diagram.

$$(9) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{g} & \xrightarrow{\alpha(t)} & \mathfrak{g} \\ \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_t \\ \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h} & \xrightarrow{\overline{\alpha}(t)} & \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}_t \end{array}$$

The maps  $\overline{\alpha}(t)$  are isomorphisms for all  $t \in I$ . In order to see this, note that for  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ ,  $\overline{\alpha}(t)(x + \mathfrak{h}) = 0$  if and only if  $\alpha(t)(x) \in \mathfrak{h}_t$ . Since  $\alpha(t)$  is bijective with  $\alpha(t)(\mathfrak{h}) = \mathfrak{h}_t$ , this is the case if and only if  $x \in \mathfrak{h}$ .

Since  $\mathfrak{h}_t \subset \mathfrak{g}$  is a Lie subalgebra for each  $t \in I$  the map  $\sigma: I \rightarrow \wedge^2 \mathfrak{h}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$  defined for all  $t \in I$  by

$$\sigma(t)(x, y) = \overline{\alpha}(t)^{-1} \circ \pi_t[\alpha(t)(x), \alpha(t)(y)] \stackrel{(16)}{=} \pi \circ \alpha(t)^{-1}[\alpha(t)(x), \alpha(t)(y)]$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathfrak{h}$ , vanishes identically on  $I$ . Hence, differentiating  $t \mapsto \sigma(t)(x, y)$  at  $t = 0$  for  $x, y \in \mathfrak{h}$  yields

$$(10) \quad -\pi \circ \dot{\alpha}(0)[x, y] + \pi[\dot{\alpha}(0)(x), y] + \pi[x, \dot{\alpha}(0)(y)] = 0,$$

which is exactly the cocycle condition  $\delta_{\mathfrak{h}}(\dot{\mathfrak{h}}_0) = \delta_{\mathfrak{h}}(\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}} \circ \dot{\alpha}(0)|_{\mathfrak{h}}) = 0$ .

Let  $(\mathfrak{h}_t)_{t \in I}$  and  $(\mathfrak{h}'_t)_{t \in I}$  be two equivalent deformations of a Lie subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and let  $g: I \rightarrow G$  be the smooth curve with  $g(0) = e$  and  $\mathfrak{h}'_t = \text{Ad}_{g(t)} \mathfrak{h}_t$  for all  $t \in I$ . Then  $\text{Ad}_{g(t)} \circ \alpha(t) =: \alpha'(t)$  defines a smooth curve  $\alpha': I \rightarrow \text{GL}(\mathfrak{g})$  starting at the identity with  $\alpha'(t)(\mathfrak{h}) = \mathfrak{h}'_t$  for all  $t \in I$ . A differentiation at  $t = 0$  yields

$$[\dot{g}(0), x] + \dot{\alpha}(0)(x) = \dot{\alpha}'(0)(x)$$

for all  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ . Applying the projection  $\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}}: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$  then leads to  $\dot{\mathfrak{h}}_0 - \dot{\mathfrak{h}}'_0 = \pi \circ \dot{\alpha}(0)|_{\mathfrak{h}} - \pi \circ \dot{\alpha}'(0)|_{\mathfrak{h}} = \delta_{\mathfrak{h}}(\dot{g}(0))$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.3.** Similarly, a deformation of a Lie algebra morphism gives as follows a deformation cocycle, see [4], and references therein. Let  $\phi_0: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$  be a morphism of Lie algebras and let  $\phi: I \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{h}$  be a smooth curve defined on an open interval  $I$  containing 0, such that  $\phi(t): \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$  is a morphism of Lie algebras for all  $t \in I$ , and such that  $\phi(0) = \phi_0$ .

Then  $\dot{\phi}(0) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \phi(t)$  is again an element in the vector space  $\mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{h}$  and for all  $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{\phi_0} \left( \dot{\phi}(0) \right) (x, y) &= \left[ \phi_0(x), \dot{\phi}(0)(y) \right] - \left[ \phi_0(y), \dot{\phi}(0)(x) \right] - \dot{\phi}(0)([x, y]) \\ &= \left[ \phi(0)(x), \dot{\phi}(0)(y) \right] + \left[ \dot{\phi}(0)(x), \phi(0)(y) \right] - \dot{\phi}(0)([x, y]) \\ &= \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \left( [\phi(t)(x), \phi(t)(y)] - \phi(t)([x, y]) \right) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} 0 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

That is,  $\dot{\phi}(0) \in Z_{\phi_0}^1(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ .

The following Voronov-dataset due to [8] is used for constructing the controlling  $L_{\infty}[1]$ -algebra of small deformations of a Lie subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{h}^c$  be as before a linear complement of  $\mathfrak{h}$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Denote by  $p_{\mathfrak{h}}: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$  and  $p_{\mathfrak{h}^c}: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}^c$  the projections associated to this choice of complement  $\mathfrak{h}^c$ . The inclusion of  $\mathfrak{h}$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$  is written  $\iota: \mathfrak{h} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ .

**Lemma 3.4.** In the situation above, the following quadruple defines a Voronov-dataset:

- $L := C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g})[1] = (\wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g})[1]$  with the graded Lie algebra bracket given as in (4):

$$\llbracket \phi \otimes v, \psi \otimes w \rrbracket = (-1)^{(r-1) \cdot (s-1)} \psi \wedge \iota_w \phi \otimes v - \phi \wedge \iota_v \psi \otimes w$$

on elementary tensors  $\phi \otimes v \in \wedge^r \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}$  and  $\psi \otimes w \in \wedge^s \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}$  (of degrees  $r-1$ , and  $s-1$ , respectively).

- $\mathfrak{a} := C^\bullet(\mathfrak{h}; \mathfrak{h}^c)[1] = \wedge^{\bullet+1} \mathfrak{h}^* \otimes \mathfrak{h}^c$ , with the inclusion  $I: \mathfrak{a} \rightarrow L$  given by  $I(\psi \otimes v) = p_{\mathfrak{h}}^* \psi \otimes v$ .
- $P: L \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}$  the projection given by:  $\phi \otimes x \mapsto \iota^* \phi \otimes p_{\mathfrak{h}^c}(x)$  with kernel given by

$$\ker P = (\wedge^{\bullet+1} \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{h}) \oplus (\oplus_{r+s=\bullet+1}^{s \geq 1} \wedge^r \mathfrak{h}^* \wedge \wedge^s (\mathfrak{h}^c)^* \otimes \mathfrak{h}^c)$$

Here,  $\mathfrak{h}^*$  is identified with the annihilator  $(\mathfrak{h}^c)^\circ \subseteq \mathfrak{g}^*$  and  $(\mathfrak{h}^c)^*$  is identified with  $\mathfrak{h}^\circ$  via the splitting  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}^c$ .

- $\Theta = \mu$  is the Lie bracket on  $\mathfrak{g}$ , which, as an element of  $\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ , has degree 1, and satisfies  $\llbracket \mu, \mu \rrbracket = 0$  by (5).

*Proof.* First check that  $\mathfrak{a}$  is an abelian Lie subalgebra of  $L$ . For  $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in \wedge^{\bullet+1} \mathfrak{h}^*$  and  $v_1, v_2 \in \mathfrak{h}^c$

$$\llbracket p_{\mathfrak{h}}^* \psi_1 \otimes v_1, p_{\mathfrak{h}}^* \psi_2 \otimes v_2 \rrbracket = (-1)^{|\psi_1| |\psi_2|} p_{\mathfrak{h}}^* \psi_2 \wedge \iota_{v_2} (p_{\mathfrak{h}}^* \psi_1) \otimes v_1 - p_{\mathfrak{h}}^* \psi_1 \wedge \iota_{v_1} (p_{\mathfrak{h}}^* \psi_2) \otimes v_2 = 0.$$

Next verify that  $\ker P$  is a Lie subalgebra of  $L$ . The following computations show that the bracket of any two elements in  $\ker P$  lies again in  $\ker P$ . For  $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in \wedge^{\bullet+1} \mathfrak{g}^*$  and  $u_1, u_2 \in \mathfrak{h}$ ,

$$\llbracket \phi_1 \otimes u_1, \phi_2 \otimes u_2 \rrbracket = (-1)^{|\phi_1| |\phi_2|} \phi_2 \wedge \iota_{u_2} \phi_1 \otimes u_1 - \phi_1 \wedge \iota_{u_1} \phi_2 \otimes u_2$$

is again an element of  $\wedge^{\bullet+1} \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{h}$ . For  $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in \wedge^{\bullet+1} \mathfrak{h}^*$ ,  $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in \wedge^{\bullet+1} (\mathfrak{h}^c)^*$  and  $v_1, v_2 \in \mathfrak{h}^c$

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket p_{\mathfrak{h}}^* \psi_1 \wedge p_{\mathfrak{h}^c}^* \eta_1 \otimes v_1, p_{\mathfrak{h}}^* \psi_2 \wedge p_{\mathfrak{h}^c}^* \eta_2 \otimes v_2 \rrbracket \\ &= (-1)^\epsilon (p_{\mathfrak{h}}^* \psi_2 \wedge p_{\mathfrak{h}^c}^* \eta_2) \wedge \iota_{v_2} (p_{\mathfrak{h}}^* \psi_1 \wedge p_{\mathfrak{h}^c}^* \eta_1) \otimes v_1 - (p_{\mathfrak{h}}^* \psi_1 \wedge p_{\mathfrak{h}^c}^* \eta_1) \wedge \iota_{v_1} (p_{\mathfrak{h}}^* \psi_2 \wedge p_{\mathfrak{h}^c}^* \eta_2) \otimes v_2 \end{aligned}$$

with  $\epsilon := (|\psi_1| + |\eta_1| + 1) \cdot (|\psi_2| + |\eta_2| + 1)$ . The first term is, up to a sign,  $(p_{\mathfrak{h}}^* \psi_2 \wedge p_{\mathfrak{h}^c}^* \eta_2) \wedge (p_{\mathfrak{h}}^* \psi_1 \wedge \iota_{v_2} p_{\mathfrak{h}^c}^* \eta_1) \otimes v_1$ , which is again an element of  $\oplus_{r+s=\bullet+1}^{s \geq 1} \wedge^r \mathfrak{h}^* \otimes \wedge^s (\mathfrak{h}^c)^* \otimes \mathfrak{h}^c$ . In the same manner, the second summand is up to a sign  $(p_{\mathfrak{h}}^* \psi_1 \wedge p_{\mathfrak{h}^c}^* \eta_1) \wedge (p_{\mathfrak{h}}^* \psi_2 \wedge \iota_{v_1} p_{\mathfrak{h}^c}^* \eta_2) \otimes v_2$  and so also an element of  $\oplus_{r+s=\bullet+1}^{s \geq 1} \wedge^r \mathfrak{h}^* \otimes \wedge^s (\mathfrak{h}^c)^* \otimes \mathfrak{h}^c$ .

For  $\phi \in \wedge^{\bullet+1} \mathfrak{g}^*$ ,  $u \in \mathfrak{h}$ ,  $\psi \in \wedge^{\bullet+1} \mathfrak{h}^*$ ,  $\eta \in \wedge^{\bullet+1} (\mathfrak{h}^c)^*$  and  $v \in \mathfrak{h}^c$

$$\llbracket \phi \otimes u, p_{\mathfrak{h}}^* \psi \wedge p_{\mathfrak{h}^c}^* \eta \otimes v \rrbracket = (-1)^{(|\psi| + |\eta| + 1) \cdot |\phi|} (p_{\mathfrak{h}}^* \psi \wedge p_{\mathfrak{h}^c}^* \eta) \wedge \iota_v \phi \otimes u - \phi \wedge \iota_u (p_{\mathfrak{h}}^* \psi \wedge p_{\mathfrak{h}^c}^* \eta) \otimes v$$

The first term is an element of  $\wedge^{\bullet+1} \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{h}$ , while the second term equals, up to a sign,  $\phi \wedge (p_{\mathfrak{h}}^* (\iota_u \psi) \wedge p_{\mathfrak{h}^c}^* \eta) \otimes v$  and is so an element of  $\oplus_{r+s=\bullet+1}^{s \geq 1} \wedge^r \mathfrak{h}^* \otimes \wedge^s (\mathfrak{h}^c)^* \otimes \mathfrak{h}^c$ .

Finally note that  $P(\mu)(u_1, u_2) = p_{\mathfrak{h}^c}[u_1, u_2]$  for all  $u_1, u_2 \in \mathfrak{h}$ . Therefore,  $\Theta = \mu \in \ker P$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$  is a Lie subalgebra. As seen before,  $\llbracket \mu, \mu \rrbracket = 0$  since  $\mu$  is the Lie bracket on  $\mathfrak{g}$ .  $\square$

The multibrackets of the  $L_\infty[1]$ -algebra on  $\mathfrak{a}$  induced by the above Voronov-dataset, are given by

$$m_l(a_1, \dots, a_l) = P[\llbracket \dots \llbracket \llbracket \mu, I(a_1) \rrbracket, I(a_2) \rrbracket, \dots \rrbracket, I(a_l)]$$

for  $l \geq 1$  and  $a_1, \dots, a_l \in \mathfrak{a}$ . Choose  $\xi \in \wedge^r \mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathfrak{h}^c$  (i.e. of degree  $r-1$ ). Then

$$m_1(\xi) = P[\llbracket \mu, I(\xi) \rrbracket] = P(\delta_{\text{ad}}(I(\xi))).$$

For  $h_1, \dots, h_{r+1} \in \mathfrak{h}$  compute

$$\begin{aligned} P(\delta_{\text{ad}}(I(\xi)))(h_1, \dots, h_{r+1}) &= p_{\mathfrak{h}^c}(\delta_{\text{ad}}(I(\xi))(h_1, \dots, h_{r+1})) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} (-1)^{i+1} p_{\mathfrak{h}^c} \left[ h_i, \xi(h_1, \dots, \widehat{i}, \dots, h_{r+1}) \right] \\ &\quad + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} (-1)^{i+j} \xi \left( [h_i, h_j], h_1, \dots, \widehat{i}, \dots, \widehat{j}, \dots, h_{r+1} \right) \\ &= (\delta_{\mathfrak{h}} \xi)(h_1, \dots, h_{r+1}). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $m_1 = \delta_{\mathfrak{h}}$ , modulo the identification  $\mathfrak{h}^c \simeq \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$ .

Now, let  $\xi \in C^r(\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}^c) = \wedge^r \mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathfrak{h}^c$  and  $\eta \in C^s(\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}^c)$  (of degrees  $r-1$  and  $s-1$ , respectively). Then

$$m_2(\xi, \eta) = P[\llbracket \llbracket \mu, I(\xi) \rrbracket, I(\eta) \rrbracket] = P[\delta_{\text{ad}}(I(\xi)), I(\eta)] \in C^{r+s}(\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}^c)$$

Assume that  $r = s = 1$  and choose  $h_1, h_2 \in \mathfrak{h}$ . Then by (4)

$$\begin{aligned}
(11) \quad m_2(\xi, \eta)(h_1, h_2) &= p_{\mathfrak{h}^c}(\delta_{\text{ad}}(\xi)(\eta(h_1), h_2) - \delta_{\text{ad}}(\xi)(\eta(h_2), h_1) - \eta(\delta_{\text{ad}}\xi(h_1, h_2))) \\
&= p_{\mathfrak{h}^c}([\eta(h_1), \xi(h_2)] - [h_2, \xi(\eta(h_1))] - \xi[\eta(h_1), h_2] \\
&\quad - [\eta(h_2), \xi(h_1)] + [h_1, \xi(\eta(h_2))] + \xi[\eta(h_2), h_1] \\
&\quad - \eta([h_1, \xi(h_2)] - [h_2, \xi(h_1)]) + \eta(\xi[h_1, h_2])).
\end{aligned}$$

In particular

$$(12) \quad m_2(\xi, \xi)(h_1, h_2) = 2p_{\mathfrak{h}^c}[\xi(h_1), \xi(h_2)] - 2\xi[\xi(h_1), h_2] + 2\xi[\xi(h_2), h_1].$$

Last, the trinary bracket is worked out. Let  $\xi, \eta, \nu \in C^\bullet(\mathfrak{h}; \mathfrak{h}^c)[1]$ . Then

$$m_3(\xi, \eta, \nu) = P[[[\mu, I(\xi)], I(\eta)], I(\nu)] = P[[[\delta_{\text{ad}}(I(\xi)), I(\eta)], I(\nu)].$$

Assume that  $|\xi| = |\eta| = |\nu| = 0$  and compute for  $h_1, h_2 \in \mathfrak{h}$

$$\begin{aligned}
(13) \quad m_3(\xi, \eta, \nu)(h_1, h_2) &= p_{\mathfrak{h}^c}([[\delta_{\text{ad}}(I(\xi)), I(\eta)], I(\nu)](h_1, h_2)) \\
&= p_{\mathfrak{h}^c}([[\delta_{\text{ad}}(I(\xi)), I(\eta)](\nu(h_1), h_2) - [[\delta_{\text{ad}}(I(\xi)), I(\eta)](\nu(h_2), h_1) - \nu([[\delta_{\text{ad}}(I(\xi)), I(\eta)](h_1, h_2)))).
\end{aligned}$$

Easy computations give that this is

$$\begin{aligned}
&p_{\mathfrak{h}^c}([[\delta_{\text{ad}}(I(\xi)), I(\eta)](\nu(h_1), h_2) - [[\delta_{\text{ad}}(I(\xi)), I(\eta)](\nu(h_2), h_1) - \nu([[\delta_{\text{ad}}(I(\xi)), I(\eta)](h_1, h_2)))] \\
&= -\xi[\nu(h_1), \eta(h_2)] - \eta[\nu(h_1), \xi(h_2)] - \xi[\eta(h_1), \nu(h_2)] - \eta[\xi(h_1), \nu(h_2)] - \nu([\xi(h_1), \eta(h_2)] + [\eta(h_1), \xi(h_2)]).
\end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$m_3(\xi, \xi, \xi)(h_1, h_2) = -6\xi([\xi(h_1), \xi(h_2)])$$

for all  $h_1, h_2 \in \mathfrak{h}$ .

It is easy to see or interpolate from these computations that  $m_k$  vanishes for all  $k \geq 4$  because  $\mu = [\cdot, \cdot]$  has only two entries. In particular for all  $k \geq 4$  the multibracket  $m_k$  vanishes on degree 0 elements.

**Proposition 3.5** ([35], [8]). Given a  $k$ -dimensional Lie subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$  and a complement  $\mathfrak{h}^c$  for  $\mathfrak{h}$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$ , there is a bijection between

- (1) Maurer-Cartan elements  $\phi$  (of degree 0) of the  $L_\infty[1]$ -algebra  $(C^\bullet(\mathfrak{h}; \mathfrak{h}^c)[1], \{m_i\}_{i=1}^3)$ , and
- (2)  $k$ -dimensional Lie subalgebras  $\mathfrak{h}' \subset \mathfrak{g}$  such that  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h}' \oplus \mathfrak{h}^c$ ,

given by the correspondence  $\phi \mapsto \text{graph}(\phi)$ .

*Proof.* Consider  $\phi \in \wedge^1 \mathfrak{h}^* \otimes \mathfrak{h}^c$ . Then  $\text{graph}(\phi) = \{u + \phi(u) \mid u \in \mathfrak{h}\}$  is a Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  if and only if  $[\text{graph}(\phi), \text{graph}(\phi)] \subset \text{graph}(\phi)$ . Equivalently, this means that for every  $u, \tilde{u} \in \mathfrak{h}$ :

$$[u + \phi(u), \tilde{u} + \phi(\tilde{u})] = [u, \tilde{u}] + [u, \phi(\tilde{u})] + [\phi(u), \tilde{u}] + [\phi(u), \phi(\tilde{u})] \in \text{graph}(\phi).$$

This is equivalent to

$$p_{\mathfrak{h}^c}([u, \phi(\tilde{u})] + [\phi(u), \tilde{u}] + [\phi(u), \phi(\tilde{u})]) = \phi([u, \tilde{u}] + p_{\mathfrak{h}}([u, \phi(\tilde{u})] + [\phi(u), \tilde{u}] + [\phi(u), \phi(\tilde{u})])).$$

On the other hand consider

$$m_1(\phi) + \frac{1}{2}m_2(\phi, \phi) + \frac{1}{6}m_3(\phi, \phi, \phi).$$

On  $u, \tilde{u} \in \mathfrak{h}$  this is

$$\begin{aligned}
&p_{\mathfrak{h}^c}([u, \phi(\tilde{u})]) - p_{\mathfrak{h}^c}([\tilde{u}, \phi(u)]) - \phi([u, \tilde{u}]) + p_{\mathfrak{h}^c}([\phi(u), \phi(\tilde{u})]) - \phi(p_{\mathfrak{h}}([\phi(u), \tilde{u}])) \\
&\quad + \phi(p_{\mathfrak{h}}([\phi(\tilde{u}), u])) - \phi(p_{\mathfrak{h}}([\phi(u), \phi(\tilde{u})])),
\end{aligned}$$

which concludes the proof.  $\square$

Denote by  $\mathbf{A} \subset \text{Hom}(\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$  the space of Lie brackets on the vector space  $\mathfrak{g}$  and by  $\mathbf{S} \subset \text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g})$  the space of  $k$ -dimensional Lie subalgebras of the Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mu)$ .

**Definition 3.6.** A Lie subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$  is called a **rigid subalgebra** if for each open subset  $V \subseteq G$  containing  $e \in G$  there exists an open subset  $U_{\mathfrak{h}}^V \subseteq \text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g})$  of  $\mathfrak{h}$  such that for every Lie subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h}' \subset \mathfrak{g}$  with  $\mathfrak{h}' \in U_{\mathfrak{h}}^V$ , there exists  $g \in V$  such that the equality  $\mathfrak{h}' = \text{Ad}_g \mathfrak{h}$  holds.

**Remark 3.7.** Note that if  $\mathfrak{h} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$  is rigid, then for each  $g \in G$ , the Lie subalgebra  $\text{Ad}_g \mathfrak{h} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$  is rigid as well: for  $V \subseteq G$  open around  $e$  take the neighborhood

$$U_{\text{Ad}_g \mathfrak{h}}^V := \text{Ad}_g \left( U_{\mathfrak{h}}^{g^{-1}Vg} \right) \subseteq \text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g})$$

of  $\text{Ad}_g \mathfrak{h}$ . Then for all  $\mathfrak{h}' \in U_{\text{Ad}_g \mathfrak{h}}^V$  there exists  $\mathfrak{h}'' \in U_{\mathfrak{h}}^{g^{-1}Vg}$  such that  $\mathfrak{h}' = \text{Ad}_g \mathfrak{h}''$  and so there exists  $g' \in g^{-1}Vg$  such that

$$\mathfrak{h}' = \text{Ad}_g \mathfrak{h}'' = \text{Ad}_g \text{Ad}_{g'} \mathfrak{h} = \text{Ad}_{gg'g^{-1}} \text{Ad}_g \mathfrak{h},$$

with  $gg'g^{-1} \in V$ . In particular, if a Lie subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$  is **rigid**, then its orbit  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{h}}$  is open in  $\mathbf{S}$ :

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{h}} := \{ \text{Ad}_g \mathfrak{h} \mid g \in G \} \subseteq \cup_{g \in G} \left( U_{\text{Ad}_g \mathfrak{h}}^G \cap \mathbf{S} \right) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{h}}$$

shows that

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{h}} = \cup_{g \in G} \left( U_{\text{Ad}_g \mathfrak{h}}^G \cap \mathbf{S} \right),$$

which is an open subset of  $S$ .

**Theorem 3.8** ([4]). *Let  $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie subalgebra. If  $H_{\text{def}}^1(\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}) = 0$ , then  $\mathfrak{h}$  is a rigid subalgebra.*

A Lie subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h} \subset (\mathfrak{g}, \mu)$  is *stable* if all nearby Lie algebra structures on  $\mathfrak{g}$  have a nearby Lie subalgebra isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{h}$ .

**Definition 3.9.** A Lie subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h} \subset (\mathfrak{g}, \mu)$  is called a **stable subalgebra** if for every neighborhood  $U_{\mathfrak{h}} \subset \text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g})$  of  $\mathfrak{h}$ , there exists a neighborhood  $V_{\mu} \subseteq \Lambda$  of  $\mu$  such that for every  $\mu' \in V_{\mu}$  there exists  $\mathfrak{h}' \in U_{\mathfrak{h}}$  such that  $\mathfrak{h}' \subset (\mathfrak{g}, \mu')$  is a Lie subalgebra.

**Theorem 3.10** ([4]). *Let  $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie subalgebra. If  $H_{\text{def}}^2(\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}) = 0$ , then  $\mathfrak{h}$  is a stable subalgebra. In addition, the space of  $k$ -dimensional Lie subalgebras of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is then locally a manifold, around  $\mathfrak{h}$ , of dimension equal to the dimension of  $Z_{\text{def}}^1(\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g})$ .*

#### 4. INFINITESIMAL DEFORMATIONS OF AN IDEAL IN A LIE ALGEBRA

Consider again Definition 3.1 and Proposition 3.2 in the case where  $\mathfrak{h} := \mathfrak{i}$  happens not to be just a Lie subalgebra of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , but also an ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . In this case the Bott representation vanishes, so  $H_{\text{def}}^1(\mathfrak{i} \subset \mathfrak{g})$  equals  $[\mathfrak{i}, \mathfrak{i}]^{\circ} \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}$ , and more generally, the deformation complex of the ideal as a Lie subalgebra is then  $(C_{\text{def}}^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{i} \subset \mathfrak{g}), \delta_{\mathfrak{i}}^{\text{Bott}}) = (C^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{i}; \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}), \delta_{\text{tr}})$ , with the trivial representation  $\text{tr}: \mathfrak{i} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i})$ , i.e. the zero linear map. This section describes the appropriate cohomology associated to deformations of an ideal *as an ideal* and not as a mere Lie subalgebra.

**4.1. Smooth deformations and infinitesimal deformations of an ideal.** A Lie ideal  $\mathfrak{i}$  of a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  comes with two natural representations:

- (i)  $\text{ad}^{\mathfrak{i}}: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{i})$ ,  $\text{ad}_x^{\mathfrak{i}}(u) = [x, u]$  for all  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  and all  $u \in \mathfrak{i}$ , and
- (ii)  $\text{ad}^{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}}: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i})$ ,  $\text{ad}_x^{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}}(\overline{y}) = \overline{[x, y]}$  for all  $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$ .

Consider the associated Hom-representation on  $\text{Hom}(\mathfrak{i}, \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}) = \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}$ :

$$\text{ad}^{\text{Hom}}: \mathfrak{g} \otimes \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{i}, \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{i}, \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}), \quad \text{ad}_x^{\text{Hom}}(\phi)(u) = \text{ad}_x^{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}}(\phi(u)) - \phi(\text{ad}_x^{\mathfrak{i}}(u)),$$

for all  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  and all  $u \in \mathfrak{i}$ . Consider the corresponding Chevalley-Eilenberg complex  $C^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}) := \bigwedge^{\bullet} \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}$ , with  $\delta_{\mathfrak{g} \triangleright \mathfrak{i}}^{\text{Hom}} := \delta_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\text{ad}^{\text{Hom}}}$ . The obtained cohomology is written  $H_{\mathfrak{g} \triangleright \mathfrak{i}}^{\bullet, \text{Hom}}(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}) =: H^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{i} \triangleleft \mathfrak{g})$  for simplicity. Given  $f \in C^k(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i})$ , the Chevalley-Eilenberg differential is given explicitly by the following formula:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{\mathfrak{g} \triangleright \mathfrak{i}}^{\text{Hom}}(f)(x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}, u) &= \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} (-1)^{i+1} \text{ad}_{x_i}^{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}}(f(x_1, \dots, \widehat{x}_i, \dots, x_{k+1}, u)) \\ (14) \quad &+ \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} (-1)^i f(x_1, \dots, \widehat{x}_i, \dots, x_{k+1}, [x_i, u]) \\ &+ \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} f([x_i, x_j], x_1, \dots, \widehat{x}_i, \dots, \widehat{x}_j, \dots, x_{k+1}, u) \end{aligned}$$

for  $x_1, \dots, x_{k+1} \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $u \in \mathfrak{i}$ .

**Definition 4.1.** A smooth deformation  $(\mathfrak{i}_t)_{t \in I}$  of an ideal  $\mathfrak{i}$  inside  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a smooth curve  $\tilde{\mathfrak{i}}: [0, 1] \rightarrow \text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g})$  such that  $\mathfrak{i}_0 = \mathfrak{i}$  and  $\mathfrak{i}_t := \tilde{\mathfrak{i}}(t)$  is an ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}$  for all  $t \in I := [0, 1]$ .

Because ideals are Ad-invariant, the equivalence relation in Definition 3.1 would here become trivial: in the sense of this definition, two equivalent smooth deformations of an ideal as an ideal (not only as a Lie subalgebra) would be equal. Accordingly, two deformations of an ideal are *equivalent* if they are equal – there is no room here for a more permissive relation of equivalence of ideals.

**Proposition 4.2.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra and let  $\mathfrak{i} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$  be an ideal. If  $(\mathfrak{i}_t)_{t \in I}$  is a smooth deformation of the ideal  $\mathfrak{i}$  inside  $\mathfrak{g}$ , then

$$(15) \quad \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \mathfrak{i}_t \in T_{\mathfrak{i}} \text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g})$$

is a 0-cocycle in  $C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i})$ .

Because of this result, cohomology classes in  $H^0(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i})$  are called **infinitesimal deformations** of  $\mathfrak{i}$ .

*Proof.* First recall that  $\left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \mathfrak{i}_t$  is a linear map from  $\mathfrak{i}$  to  $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}$  using the canonical identification  $T_{\mathfrak{i}} \text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g}) \simeq \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}$  (see Appendix A.1). In addition identify  $\left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \mathfrak{i}_t = \pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}} \circ \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \alpha(t)|_{\mathfrak{i}}$ , where the curve<sup>4</sup>  $\alpha: I \ni t \mapsto \alpha(t) \in \text{GL}(\mathfrak{g})$  is such that  $\alpha(t)(\mathfrak{i}) = \mathfrak{i}_t$  for each  $t \in I$ , and where  $\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}}: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}$  is the canonical projection.

For each  $t \in I$  the map  $\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}_t}$  has kernel  $\mathfrak{i}_t$  and factors hence to an isomorphism  $\overline{\alpha(t)}: \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}_t$  such that

$$(16) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{g} & \xrightarrow{\alpha(t)} & \mathfrak{g} \\ \pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}} \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}_t} \\ \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i} & \xrightarrow{\overline{\alpha(t)}} & \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}_t \end{array}$$

commutes. The fact that  $\mathfrak{i}_t \subset \mathfrak{g}$  is an ideal for each  $t \in I$  means that  $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{i}_t] \subset \mathfrak{i}_t$ . Consider the smooth map  $\sigma: I \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i})$  defined, for any  $t \in I$ ,  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $u \in \mathfrak{i}$ , by

$$\sigma(t)(x, u) = \overline{\alpha(t)}^{-1} \circ \pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}_t}([x, \alpha(t)(u)]) \stackrel{(16)}{=} \pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}} \circ \alpha(t)^{-1}([x, \alpha(t)(u)]).$$

$\sigma$  vanishes at  $t \in I$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{i}_t$  is an ideal. Differentiating with respect to  $t$  the expression  $\sigma = 0$  yields

$$(17) \quad 0 = \left( \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \sigma(t) \right)(x, u) = -\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}} \circ \left( \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \alpha(t) \right)([x, u]) + \pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}} \left( \left[ x, \left( \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \alpha(t) \right)(u) \right] \right),$$

for all  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $u \in \mathfrak{i}$ . This is the cocycle condition for the linear map  $\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}} \circ \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \alpha(t)|_{\mathfrak{i}}: \mathfrak{i} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}$  in  $C^0(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i})$ .  $\square$

**4.2. Relation with other deformation cohomologies induced by ideals in Lie algebras.** This section compares the deformation cohomology and deformation classes of ideals with several deformations cohomology and deformation classes associated to ideals in Lie algebras:

- (1) The ideal  $\mathfrak{i}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a subrepresentation of the adjoint representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , which can be deformed as a subrepresentation, i.e. as a morphism of Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{i})$ , where  $\mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{i})$  is the Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})$  consisting of all the endomorphisms of  $\mathfrak{g}$  preserving the vector subspace  $\mathfrak{i} \triangleleft \mathfrak{g}$ .
- (2) The ideal  $\mathfrak{i}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  defines the morphism  $\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}}: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}$  of Lie algebras, which can be deformed as such a morphism, see Remark 3.3.
- (3) The Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}$  defined by  $\mathfrak{i}$  can be deformed as a Lie algebra.
- (4) This section considers as well Nijenhuis and Richardson's deformation class [31] associated to the ideal  $\mathfrak{i} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$ .
- (5) Finally, the deformation class of  $\mathfrak{i}$  as a mere *Lie subalgebra* of  $\mathfrak{g}$  can be considered.

For the convenience of the reader, the adjoint action of  $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}$  on itself is written  $\overline{\text{ad}}$  in this section. The adjoint action of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on itself is simply written  $\text{ad}$ . It is easy to see that  $\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}}^* \overline{\text{ad}} = \text{ad}^{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}}$ .

<sup>4</sup> $\alpha$  might be defined on a small neighborhood of 0 only.

4.2.1. *Relation with the deformation cohomology of the ideal  $\mathfrak{i}$  as a subrepresentation of the adjoint of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .* Denote by  $\mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{i})$  the Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})$  consisting of all the endomorphisms of  $\mathfrak{g}$  preserving the vector subspace  $\mathfrak{i} \triangleleft \mathfrak{g}$ . Since the adjoint representation  $\text{ad}^{\mathfrak{g}}: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  preserves  $\mathfrak{i}$ , it has image in  $\mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{i})$ . The natural inclusion  $i_{\mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})}: \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{i}) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})$  induces the following linear map for all  $k \geq 0$ :

$$(i_{\mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})})_*: C^k(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{i})) \hookrightarrow \underbrace{C^k(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g}))}_{C_{\text{def}}^k(\text{ad}^{\mathfrak{g}})}, \quad (i_{\mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})})_*(\phi)(x_1, \dots, x_k) = i_{\mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})}(\phi(x_1, \dots, x_k)).$$

The representation in  $C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g}))$ , the deformation complex of the Lie algebra morphism  $\text{ad}^{\mathfrak{g}}: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})$ , is  $r := (\text{ad}^{\mathfrak{g}})^*(\text{ad}^{\mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})})$  and so its differential  $\delta_{\text{ad}^{\mathfrak{g}}}$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{\text{ad}^{\mathfrak{g}}}(\omega)(x_1, \dots, x_{k+1})(y) &= \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} (-1)^{i+1} [x_i, \omega(x_1, \dots, \widehat{x}_i, \dots, x_{k+1})(y)] \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} (-1)^i \omega(x_1, \dots, \widehat{x}_i, \dots, x_{k+1})([x_i, y]) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} \omega([x_i, x_j], x_1, \dots, \widehat{x}_i, \dots, \widehat{x}_j, \dots, x_{k+1})(y) \end{aligned}$$

for  $\omega \in C^k(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g}))$  and  $x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}, y \in \mathfrak{g}$ . This differential restricts to the subspace  $C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{i}))$  of  $C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g}))$  and  $(i_{\mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})})_*: (C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{i})), \delta_{\text{ad}^{\mathfrak{g}}}) \hookrightarrow (C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})), \delta_{\text{ad}^{\mathfrak{g}}})$  is a cochain map.

The category of cochain complexes is an abelian category and so kernels and cokernels exist in it. If  $\Phi: (B^\bullet, d_B) \rightarrow (A^\bullet, d_A)$  is a cochain map, its kernel  $\text{Ker}^\bullet(\Phi)$ , its image  $\text{Im}^\bullet(\Phi)$  and its cokernel  $\text{Coker}^\bullet(\Phi) := B^\bullet / \text{Im}^\bullet(\Phi)$  complexes are defined degree-wise. Denote by  $p_{\text{Coker}}: (A^\bullet, d_A) \twoheadrightarrow (\text{Coker}^\bullet(\Phi), \overline{d}_A)$ , the canonical projection, where  $\overline{d}_A$  is exactly defined such that  $p_{\text{Coker}}$  is a cochain map:  $\overline{d}_A \circ p_{\text{Coker}} = p_{\text{Coker}} \circ d_A$ .

**Proposition 4.3.** The deformation complex  $C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i})$  of an ideal  $\mathfrak{i} \triangleleft \mathfrak{g}$  fits into the following short exact sequence of cochain complexes

$$(C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{i})), \delta_{\text{ad}^{\mathfrak{g}}}) \xleftarrow{(i_{\mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})})_*} (C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})), \delta_{\text{ad}^{\mathfrak{g}}}) \longrightarrow (C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}), \delta_{\mathfrak{g} \triangleright \mathfrak{i}}^{\text{Hom}}).$$

*Proof.* It is sufficient to check that the cokernel complex of the inclusion  $(i_{\mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})})_*$  is isomorphic to the deformation complex  $C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i})$ . Consider, for any  $k \geq 0$ , the surjective linear map

$$\pi_*: C^k(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})) \rightarrow C^k(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}), \quad \pi_*(\omega)(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}} \circ \omega(x_1, \dots, x_k)|_{\mathfrak{i}}.$$

The following direct computation shows that  $\pi_*$  is a cochain map:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_*(\delta_{\text{ad}^{\mathfrak{g}}}(\omega))(x_1, \dots, x_{k+1})(u) &= \pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}}(\delta_{\text{ad}^{\mathfrak{g}}}(\omega)(x_1, \dots, x_{k+1})(u)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} (-1)^{i+1} \pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}}([x_i, \omega(x_1, \dots, \widehat{x}_i, \dots, x_{k+1})(u)]) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} (-1)^i \pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}}(\omega(x_1, \dots, \widehat{x}_i, \dots, x_{k+1})([x_i, u])) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} \pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}}(\omega([x_i, x_j], x_1, \dots, \widehat{x}_i, \dots, \widehat{x}_j, \dots, x_{k+1})(u)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} (-1)^{i+1} \text{ad}_{x_i}^{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}}(\pi_*(\omega)(x_1, \dots, \widehat{x}_i, \dots, x_{k+1})(u)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} \pi_*(\omega)([x_i, x_j], x_1, \dots, \widehat{x}_i, \dots, \widehat{x}_j, \dots, x_{k+1})(u) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} (-1)^i \pi_*(\omega)(x_1, \dots, \widehat{x}_i, \dots, x_{k+1})([x_i, u]) \\ &= \delta_{\mathfrak{g} \triangleright \mathfrak{i}}^{\text{Hom}}(\pi_*(\omega))(x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}, u) \end{aligned}$$

for  $x_1, \dots, x_{k+1} \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $u \in \mathfrak{i}$ . The kernel complex of  $\pi_*$  is exactly the complex  $C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{i}))$ . The following commutative diagram is then automatically a commutative diagram of cochain complexes, that yields the desired isomorphism.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})), \delta_{\text{ad}^\mathfrak{g}}) & \xrightarrow{\pi_*} & (C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}), \delta_{\mathfrak{g} \triangleright \mathfrak{i}}^{\text{Hom}}) \\ \text{pCoker} \downarrow & \nearrow \simeq & \\ \left( \frac{C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g}))}{C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{i}))}, \overline{\delta_{\text{ad}^\mathfrak{g}}} \right) & & \end{array}$$

□

4.2.2. *Relation with the deformation cohomology of the canonical projection  $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}$ .* The ideal  $\mathfrak{i}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  defines the quotient Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}$  and the canonical projection  $\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}}: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}$ , which is an epimorphism of Lie algebras.

Recall here that the shift by  $k$  of a cochain complex  $(A^\bullet, d_A)$  is  $(A^\bullet[k], (-)^k d_A)$ , by convention. In this section, several cochain complexes are shifted by 1, giving a minus sign to the ‘shifted’ differentials. **Note that whenever a cochain complex  $(A^\bullet = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} A^k[-k], d_A)$  is shifted by 1 in this section, the shift by 1 is understood to be truncated to non-negative degrees; i.e.  $A^\bullet[1]$  is understood to be  $\bigoplus_{k \geq 1} A^k[-k+1]$ .**

**Proposition 4.4.** There is a natural cochain map from the deformation complex of the canonical projection  $\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}}: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}$ , as a Lie algebra morphism, to the deformation complex of the ideal  $\mathfrak{i} \triangleleft \mathfrak{g}$ , defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi: (C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i})[1], -\delta_{\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}}}) &\rightarrow (C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}), \delta_{\mathfrak{g} \triangleright \mathfrak{i}}^{\text{Hom}}) \\ C^{k+1}(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}) \ni \phi &\mapsto (-1)^{k+1} \phi|_{(\wedge^k \mathfrak{g}) \wedge \mathfrak{i}} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $k \geq 0$ , which in addition sends deformation cocycles to deformation cocycles.

Denote the image complex of  $\Pi$  by  $C_\wedge^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}) := \{\phi|_{\wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g} \wedge \mathfrak{i}} \mid \phi \in \wedge^{\bullet+1} \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}\}$  and the restriction of  $\Pi$  to its image by  $\Pi_\wedge$ . Note that there is no better description of this complex – it needs to be defined as the image of the map  $\Pi$ .

*Proof.* Choose  $\phi \in \wedge^k \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}$ . Then the following computation shows that  $\Pi \circ (-\delta_{\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}}})(\phi) = \delta_{\mathfrak{g} \triangleright \mathfrak{i}}^{\text{Hom}} \circ \Pi(\phi)$  and so  $\Pi$  is a cochain map. Compute for  $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $x_{k+1} \in \mathfrak{i}$

$$\begin{aligned} &(-1)^{k+1} (-\delta_{\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}}}(\phi))|_{\wedge^k \mathfrak{g} \wedge \mathfrak{i}}(x_1, \dots, x_k, \underbrace{x_{k+1}}_{\in \mathfrak{i}}) \\ &= (-1)^k \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} (-1)^{i+1} (\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}}^* \overline{\text{ad}})_{x_i}(\phi(x_1, \dots, \widehat{x}_i, \dots, x_{k+1})) \\ &\quad + (-1)^k \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k+1} (-1)^{i+j} \phi([x_i, x_j], x_1, \dots, \widehat{x}_i, \dots, \widehat{x}_j, \dots, x_k, x_{k+1}) \\ &= (-1)^k \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{i+1} \text{ad}_{x_i}^{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}}(\phi(x_1, \dots, \widehat{x}_i, \dots, x_k, x_{k+1})) \\ &\quad + (-1)^k \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (-1)^{i+j} \phi([x_i, x_j], x_1, \dots, \widehat{x}_i, \dots, \widehat{x}_j, \dots, x_k, x_{k+1}) \\ &\quad + (-1)^k \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^i \phi(x_1, \dots, \widehat{x}_i, \dots, x_k, [x_i, x_{k+1}]) \\ &= (-1)^k \delta_{\mathfrak{g} \triangleright \mathfrak{i}}^{\text{Hom}}(\phi|_{\wedge^{k-1} \mathfrak{g} \wedge \mathfrak{i}})(x_1, \dots, x_k, x_{k+1}) \\ &= \delta_{\mathfrak{g} \triangleright \mathfrak{i}}^{\text{Hom}}((-1)^k \phi|_{\wedge^{k-1} \mathfrak{g} \wedge \mathfrak{i}})(x_1, \dots, x_k, x_{k+1}), \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality uses that  $\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}}^* \overline{\text{ad}} = \text{ad}^{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}}$  and  $\text{ad}_{x_{k+1}}^{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}} = 0$ . For the second claim, let  $\widetilde{\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}}}: I \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}$  with  $\widetilde{\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}}}(t, \cdot) =: \pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}}^t$  be a smooth deformation of the canonical projection  $\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}}^0 := \pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}}$ . Note that the dimension of  $\text{Ker}(\pi_t)$  can possibly vary but since the surjectivity of  $\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}}$  is an open condition, at least



for  $t$  in a sufficiently small neighborhood  $J \subset I$  of 0, the rank of  $\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}}^t$  remains maximal. Assume hence without loss of generality that the deformation of  $\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}}$  is deformed by surjective Lie algebra morphisms. The induced deformation of  $\mathfrak{i} := \text{Ker}(\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}})$  as an ideal is given by  $(\mathfrak{i}_t := \text{Ker}(\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}}^t))_{t \in I}$  and the smoothness of this curve in the Grassmannian is guaranteed by the smoothness of  $\widetilde{\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}}}$ .

Pick a smooth curve of linear vector space isomorphisms  $(\alpha_t)_{t \in J \subset I}$  in  $\text{GL}(\mathfrak{g})$  with  $\alpha_0 = \text{Id}$  and  $\alpha_t(\mathfrak{i}) = \mathfrak{i}_t$  for all  $t \in J$  and consider the linear map  $\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}}^t \circ \alpha_t: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}$ . Differentiating the equation  $\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}}^t \circ \alpha_t|_{\mathfrak{i}} = 0$  yields  $\frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0} \pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}}^t(u) = \pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}} \circ \frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0} (-\alpha_t)(u)$  for all  $u \in \mathfrak{i}$ . The left-hand side is the deformation cocycle associated to the deformation of  $\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}}$ , see Remark 3.3, and the right-hand side is, up to the minus sign, the deformation cocycle associated to the deformation of  $\mathfrak{i}$ , see Proposition 4.2. Since  $\Pi$  sends  $\phi \in \wedge^1 \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}$  to  $-\phi|_{\mathfrak{i}} \in \wedge^0 \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}$ , this completes the proof of the second statement.  $\square$

**Remark 4.5.** One could hope that the natural inclusion

$$i: C_{\wedge}^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}) \hookrightarrow C^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i})$$

is a quasi-isomorphism. However this is not the case in general: Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mu_{\mathfrak{g}} = 0)$  be an abelian Lie algebra. Then any vector subspace of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is an ideal in  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Here  $\delta_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}}^{\text{Hom}} = 0$ , so the cohomologies are identical to the complexes themselves and therefore cannot be isomorphic.

However, in degree 0, the vector spaces  $C_{\wedge}^0(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i})$  and  $C^0(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i})$  are equal, so also the 0-cohomologies of the two complexes are equal.

4.2.3. *Relation with the deformation cohomology of  $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}$ .* Now the deformation complex of the ideal  $\mathfrak{i}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is set in relation with the deformation complex  $(C^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}; \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}), \delta_{\overline{\text{ad}}})$  of the quotient Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}$ .

**Proposition 4.6.** The cochain complex  $(C_{\wedge}^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}), \delta_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}}^{\text{Hom}})$  fits into the following short exact sequence of cochain complexes:

$$(18) \quad \left( C^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}; \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i})[1], -\delta_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}}^{\overline{\text{ad}}} \right) \hookrightarrow \left( C^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i})[1], -\delta_{\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}}} \right) \xrightarrow{\Pi_{\wedge}} \left( C_{\wedge}^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}), \delta_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}}^{\text{Hom}} \right).$$

The first map is simply the inclusion  $\wedge^{\bullet} \mathfrak{i}^{\circ} \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i} \hookrightarrow \wedge^{\bullet} \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}$ , via the canonical isomorphism  $\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}}^*: (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i})^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{i}^{\circ} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}^*$ .

*Proof.* The kernel complex of  $\Pi_{\wedge}$  is given at degree  $k$  by:

$$(19) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Ker}^{k+1}(\Pi_{\wedge}) &= \left\{ \phi: \wedge^{k+1} \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i} \mid \phi(x_1, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_{k+1}) = 0 \text{ if } x_i \in \mathfrak{i} \text{ for some } i \in \{1, \dots, k+1\} \right\} \\ &= \wedge^{k+1} \mathfrak{i}^{\circ} \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i} \simeq C^{k+1}(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}; \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}) \end{aligned}$$

via the natural isomorphism

$$(20) \quad \wedge^{k+1} \mathfrak{i}^{\circ} \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i} \rightarrow C^{k+1}(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}; \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}), \quad \phi \mapsto (\overline{\phi}: (\overline{x_1}, \dots, \overline{x_{k+1}}) \mapsto \phi(x_1, \dots, x_{k+1})).$$

Via this isomorphism, the inclusion  $(C^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}; \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}), \delta_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}}^{\overline{\text{ad}}}) \hookrightarrow (C^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}), \delta_{\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}}})$  is a cochain map, as shown in the following computation.

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}}^{\overline{\text{ad}}}(\overline{\phi})(\overline{x_1}, \dots, \overline{x_{k+1}}) &= \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} (-1)^{i+1} \overline{\text{ad}}_{\overline{x_i}}(\overline{\phi}(\overline{x_1}, \dots, \widehat{\overline{x_i}}, \dots, \overline{x_{k+1}})) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} \overline{\phi}([\overline{x_i}, \overline{x_j}], \overline{x_1}, \dots, \widehat{\overline{x_i}}, \dots, \widehat{\overline{x_j}}, \dots, \overline{x_{k+1}}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} (-1)^{i+1} (\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}}^* \overline{\text{ad}})_{x_i}(\phi(x_1, \dots, \widehat{x_i}, \dots, x_{k+1})) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} \phi([\overline{x_i}, \overline{x_j}], x_1, \dots, \widehat{x_i}, \dots, \widehat{x_j}, \dots, x_{k+1}) \\ &= \delta_{\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}}}(\phi)(x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}) \end{aligned}$$

for  $\phi \in \wedge^{k+1} \mathfrak{i}^{\circ} \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}$  and  $x_1, \dots, x_{k+1} \in \mathfrak{g}$ .  $\square$

4.2.4. *Relation with Nijenhuis and Richardson's deformation cohomology of an ideal.* Let here  $C_i^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g})$  be the graded vector space defined at degree  $k$  by

$$C_i^k(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g}) := \{ \phi: \wedge^k \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \mid \phi(x_1, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_k) \in \mathfrak{i} \text{ if } x_i \in \mathfrak{i} \text{ for some } i = 1, \dots, k \},$$

and equip it with the usual differential  $\delta_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\text{ad}}$ , which preserves  $C_i^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g})$ .

The obtained complex  $C_i^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g})$  appeared in [31] as the complex controlling deformations of the Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mu_{\mathfrak{g}})$ , such that  $\mathfrak{i}$  remains an ideal in it. This section explains how this deformation problem is related at the infinitesimal level to the study in this paper of deformations of ideals in Lie algebras.

Recall the differential graded Lie algebra structure on  $C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g})[1]$  defined in Section 2.3.1 by the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

**Proposition 4.7.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra and  $\mathfrak{i}$  be an ideal in  $\mathfrak{g}$ . The graded vector space  $C_i^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g})[1]$  is a differential graded Lie subalgebra of  $C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g})[1]$  and the linear map

$$(21) \quad \overline{\pi}_*: C_i^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g})[1] \rightarrow C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}; \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i})[1], \quad \phi \mapsto \overline{\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}} \circ \phi}$$

with  $\overline{\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}} \circ \phi} \in (C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}; \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i})[1])_k$  defined as in (20) by

$$\overline{\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}} \circ \phi}(\overline{x_1}, \dots, \overline{x_{k+1}}) := (\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}} \circ \phi)(x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}),$$

for all  $\phi \in C_i^{k+1}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$ ,  $x_1, \dots, x_{k+1} \in \mathfrak{g}$ , is a dgLa morphism<sup>5</sup>. Furthermore, the cokernel complex  $\frac{C_i^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g})[1]}{C_i^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g})[1]}$  of the inclusion  $C_i^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g})[1] \hookrightarrow C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g})[1]$  is isomorphic to  $C_\wedge^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i})$  via the linear map

$$(22) \quad \overline{\Pi}: \overline{\phi} \mapsto (-1)^k (\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}} \circ \phi)|_{\wedge^{k-1} \mathfrak{g} \wedge \mathfrak{i}}.$$

*Proof.* The fact that the differential  $\delta_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\text{ad}}$  and the Gerstenhaber bracket  $[[\cdot, \cdot]]$  both restrict to  $C_i^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g})[1]$  is a direct observation of their formulas. Recalling the complex  $\text{Ker}^\bullet(\Pi_\wedge)$  from (19), for  $k \geq 1$  the map  $\overline{\pi}_*: C_i^k(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow C^k(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}; \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i})$  factors as

$$C_i^k(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \text{Ker}^k(\Pi_\wedge) \xrightarrow{\sim} C^k(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}; \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}), \quad \phi \mapsto \pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}} \circ \phi \mapsto \overline{\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}} \circ \phi}.$$

Since the canonical identification  $\text{Ker}^k(\Pi_\wedge) = \wedge^k \mathfrak{i}^\circ \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i} \xrightarrow{\sim} C^k(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}; \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i})$  is a cochain map, it is enough to check that also the map  $C_i^k(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \text{Ker}^k(\Pi_\wedge)$  is a cochain map. Compute

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}}}(\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}} \circ \phi)(x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}) &= \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} (-1)^{i+1} (\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}}^* \overline{\text{ad}})_{x_i} (\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}} \circ \phi(x_1, \dots, \widehat{x}_i, \dots, x_{k+1})) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i,j} (-1)^{i+j} \pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}} \circ \phi([x_i, x_j], x_1, \dots, \widehat{x}_i, \dots, \widehat{x}_j, \dots, x_{k+1}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} (-1)^{i+1} \pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}}([x_i, \phi(x_1, \dots, \widehat{x}_i, \dots, x_{k+1})]) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} \pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}} \circ \phi([x_i, x_j], x_1, \dots, \widehat{x}_i, \dots, \widehat{x}_j, \dots, x_{k+1}) \\ &= (\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}} \circ \delta_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\text{ad}}(\phi))(x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}) \end{aligned}$$

for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\phi \in C_i^k(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g})$  and  $x_1, \dots, x_{k+1} \in \mathfrak{g}$ .

Next check that  $\overline{\pi}_*: C_i^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g})[1] \rightarrow C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}; \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i})[1]$  respects as well the Lie brackets. Take  $\phi \in (C_i^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g})[1])_k$  and  $\psi \in (C_i^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g})[1])_l$  and compute

$$\begin{aligned} & \overline{[\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}} \circ \phi, \pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}} \circ \psi]}(x_1, \dots, x_{k+l+1}) \\ &= (-1)^{kl} \sum_{\tau \in S_{(l+1, k)}} \overline{\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}} \circ \phi}(\overline{\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}} \circ \psi}(\overline{x_{\tau(1)}}, \dots, \overline{x_{\tau(l+1)}}), \overline{x_{\tau(l+2)}}, \dots, \overline{x_{\tau(k+l+1)}})) \\ &\quad - \sum_{\tau \in S_{(k+1, l)}} \overline{\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}} \circ \psi}(\overline{\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}} \circ \phi}(\overline{x_{\tau(1)}}, \dots, \overline{x_{\tau(k+1)}}), \overline{x_{\tau(k+2)}}, \dots, \overline{x_{\tau(k+l+1)}})) \end{aligned}$$

<sup>5</sup>This map can be extended to the whole complex  $C_i^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g})[1]$ , i.e. is not only defined on non-negative degrees. The space  $(C_i^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g})[1])_{-1}$  is  $C_i^0(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{i}$ . The map  $\overline{\pi}_*$  is simply zero on this space.

for  $x_1, \dots, x_{k+l+1} \in \mathfrak{g}$ . By definition, this is

$$\begin{aligned} & (-1)^{kl} \sum_{\tau \in S(l+1, k)} \pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}} \circ \phi \left( \psi \left( x_{\tau(1)}, \dots, x_{\tau(l+1)} \right), x_{\tau(l+2)}, \dots, x_{\tau(k+l+1)} \right) \\ & - \sum_{\tau \in S(k+1, l)} \pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}} \circ \psi \left( \phi \left( x_{\tau(1)}, \dots, x_{\tau(k+1)} \right), x_{\tau(k+2)}, \dots, x_{\tau(k+l+1)} \right) = \pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}} \circ \llbracket \phi, \psi \rrbracket (x_1, \dots, x_{k+l+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Finally check that the linear map

$$\bar{\Pi}: \left( C_{\mathfrak{i}}^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g}) [1], -\overline{\delta_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\text{ad}}} \right) \rightarrow \left( C_{\wedge}^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}), \delta_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}}^{\text{Hom}} \right), \quad \bar{\phi} \mapsto (-1)^k (\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}} \circ \phi)|_{\wedge^{k-1} \mathfrak{g} \wedge \mathfrak{i}}$$

for  $k \geq 1$  and  $\phi \in C^k(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g})$ , is an isomorphism of cochain complexes. This map is well-defined since  $\bar{\phi} = 0$  is equivalent to  $\phi(x_1, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_k) \in \mathfrak{i}$  whenever  $x_i \in \mathfrak{i}$  for some  $i \in 1, \dots, k$ , and so it implies  $\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}} \circ \phi|_{\wedge^{k-1} \mathfrak{g} \wedge \mathfrak{i}} = 0$ . Moreover, the map  $C^k(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow C_{\wedge}^{k-1}(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i})$ ,  $\phi \mapsto \pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}} \circ \phi|_{\wedge^{k-1} \mathfrak{g} \wedge \mathfrak{i}}$  is surjective with kernel  $C_{\mathfrak{i}}^k(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g})$  and so it factors as claimed to an isomorphism. Choose  $k \geq 1$ ,  $\phi \in C^k(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g})$  and  $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $x_{k+1} \in \mathfrak{i}$ , then:

$$\begin{aligned} & \delta_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}}^{\text{Hom}} \left( (-1)^k \pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}} \circ \phi|_{\wedge^{k-1} \mathfrak{g} \wedge \mathfrak{i}} (x_1, \dots, x_k, x_{k+1}) \right) \\ & = (-1)^k \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{i+1} \overline{\text{ad}}_{x_i} (\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}} \circ \phi (x_1, \dots, \widehat{x}_i, \dots, x_k, x_{k+1})) \\ & + (-1)^k \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (-1)^{i+j} \pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}} \circ \phi ([x_i, x_j], x_1, \dots, \widehat{x}_i, \dots, \widehat{x}_j, \dots, x_k, x_{k+1}) \\ & + (-1)^k \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^i \pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}} \circ \phi (x_1, \dots, \widehat{x}_i, \dots, x_k, [x_i, x_{k+1}]) \\ & = (-1)^k \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} (-1)^{i+1} \pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}} ([x_i, \phi(x_1, \dots, \widehat{x}_i, \dots, x_{k+1})]) \\ & + (-1)^k \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k+1} (-1)^{i+j} \pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}} \circ \phi ([x_i, x_j], x_1, \dots, \widehat{x}_i, \dots, \widehat{x}_j, \dots, x_{k+1}) \\ & = (-1)^{k+1} (\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}} \circ (-\delta_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\text{ad}}) \phi)|_{\wedge^k \mathfrak{g} \wedge \mathfrak{i}} (x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}). \end{aligned}$$

□

**Remark 4.8.** The complex  $C_{\mathfrak{i}}^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g})$  appeared in [31] as the complex which controls deformations of the Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mu_{\mathfrak{g}})$  such that  $\mathfrak{i}$  remains an ideal. This remark explains how this deformation problem is related to the one studied in this paper, at the infinitesimal level. A smooth deformation  $(\mathfrak{i}_t)_{t \in I}$  of an ideal  $\mathfrak{i} \subset \mathfrak{g}$  induces as follows a smooth deformation  $(\mu_{\mathfrak{g}}^t)_{t \in I}$  of the Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mu_{\mathfrak{g}})$  such that  $\mu_{\mathfrak{g}}^t(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{i}) \subset \mathfrak{i}$  for all  $t \in I$  – i.e. the Lie bracket on  $\mathfrak{g}$  is deformed such that  $\mathfrak{i}$  remains an ideal in the deformed Lie algebras. This smooth deformation is defined, after choosing a smooth family of linear isomorphisms  $(\alpha_t)_{t \in I} \in \text{GL}(\mathfrak{g})$  such that  $\alpha_0 = \text{id}$  and  $\alpha_t(\mathfrak{i}) = \mathfrak{i}_t$ , at each time  $t$ , by conjugation:

$$\mu_{\mathfrak{g}}^t(x, y) := \alpha_t^{-1} \circ \mu_{\mathfrak{g}}(\alpha_t(x), \alpha_t(y)), \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Differentiating the above equation at  $t = 0$  yields

$$\left( \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \mu_{\mathfrak{g}}^t \right) (x, y) = - \left( \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \alpha_t \right) \circ \mu_{\mathfrak{g}}(x, y) + \mu_{\mathfrak{g}} \left( \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \alpha_t(x), y \right) + \mu_{\mathfrak{g}} \left( x, \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \alpha_t(y) \right)$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$ . Assume that  $y := u \in \mathfrak{i}$  and apply the canonical projection  $\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}}$  to the above equation:

$$\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}} \circ \left( \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \mu_{\mathfrak{g}}^t \right) (x, u) = - \pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}} \circ \left( \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \alpha_t \right) ([x, u]) + \pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}} \left[ \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \alpha_t(x), u \right] + \pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}} \left[ x, \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \alpha_t(u) \right].$$

The right-hand side of this equation vanishes since it is the cocycle condition for  $\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}} \circ \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \alpha_t \in C^0(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i})$ , see (17) in the proof of Proposition 4.2. Therefore  $\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}} \circ \left( \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \mu_{\mathfrak{g}}^t \right) \Big|_{\mathfrak{g} \wedge \mathfrak{i}} = 0$ , which shows that  $\frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \mu_{\mathfrak{g}}^t$  indeed is an element of  $C_{\mathfrak{i}}^2(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g})$ .

4.2.5. *Relation with the deformation cohomology of the ideal as a Lie subalgebra.* Let  $\mathfrak{i}$  be an ideal in a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Recall that the deformation cochain complex of  $\mathfrak{i}$  as a Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is  $(C_{\text{def}}^\bullet(\mathfrak{i} \subset \mathfrak{g}), \delta_{\mathfrak{i}}^{\text{Bott}}) = (C^\bullet(\mathfrak{i}; \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}), \delta_{\text{tr}})$ . Let  $\iota_{\mathfrak{i}}: \mathfrak{i} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  be the inclusion.

**Proposition 4.9.** The natural restriction

$$(23) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{res}_{\wedge \mathfrak{i}}: C_{\wedge}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}) &\longrightarrow C^\bullet(\mathfrak{i}; \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i})[1], \\ C_{\wedge}^k(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}) \ni \phi &\mapsto (-1)^{k+1} \phi|_{\wedge^{k+1} \mathfrak{i}}, \end{aligned}$$

for  $k \geq 0$  is a cochain map and

$$H^0(\text{res}_{\wedge \mathfrak{i}}): H_{\wedge}^0(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}) \rightarrow H_{\text{def}}^1(\mathfrak{i} \subset \mathfrak{g})$$

is injective. Furthermore, there is a commutative diagram of short exact sequences:

$$(24) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}; \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i})[1] & \hookrightarrow & C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i})[1] & \xrightarrow{\Pi_{\wedge}} & C_{\wedge}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}) \\ \downarrow & & \parallel & & \downarrow \text{res}_{\wedge \mathfrak{i}} \\ \text{Ker}^\bullet(\iota_{\mathfrak{i}}^*)[1] & \hookrightarrow & C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i})[1] & \xrightarrow{\iota_{\mathfrak{i}}^*} & C^\bullet(\mathfrak{i}; \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i})[1] \end{array}$$

where  $\text{Ker}(\iota_{\mathfrak{i}}^*) = \{\phi: \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i} \mid \phi|_{\wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{i}} = 0\}$ , and the top left and left inclusions are just given by the canonical inclusion  $\wedge^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i})^* \simeq \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{i}^\circ \hookrightarrow \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^*$ .

Note that  $C_{\wedge}^0(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}) = \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i} = C^1(\mathfrak{i}, \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i})$ , so  $\text{res}_{\wedge \mathfrak{i}}$  is minus the identity in degree 0. However, because the cocycle conditions on  $C_{\wedge}^0(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i})$  and  $C^1(\mathfrak{i}, \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i})$  are very different,  $H^0(\text{res}_{\wedge \mathfrak{i}})$  is in general not surjective and so not an isomorphism in cohomology.

Recall as well that in (24), not the full complexes  $C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i})[1]$ , etc, are considered, but only their truncations to non-negative degrees, as the top-right term does not have negative degrees. The map  $\Pi_{\wedge}$  is *not* defined on  $(C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i})[1])_{-1} = C^0(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}) = \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}$ , and also e.g.  $(C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}; \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i})[1])_{-1} = C^0(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}; \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}) = \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}$  is *not* a vector subspace of  $\text{Ker}^0(\iota_{\mathfrak{i}}^*)$  which is  $\{0\}$  by definition.

*Proof of Proposition 4.9.* Compute using Proposition 4.4 and  $\text{res}_{\wedge \mathfrak{i}} \circ \Pi = \iota_{\mathfrak{i}}^*$

$$\text{res}_{\wedge \mathfrak{i}} \circ \delta_{\mathfrak{g} \triangleright \mathfrak{i}}^{\text{Hom}} \circ \Pi = \text{res}_{\wedge \mathfrak{i}} \circ \Pi \circ (-\delta_{\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}}}) = \iota_{\mathfrak{i}}^* \circ (-\delta_{\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}}}).$$

On  $\phi \in C^k(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i})$  and  $u_1, \dots, u_{k+1} \in \mathfrak{i}$ , this is

$$-\sum_{i < j} \phi([u_i, u_j], u_1, \dots, \widehat{u}_i, \dots, \widehat{u}_j, \dots, u_{k+1}),$$

which equals

$$(-\delta_{\mathfrak{i}}^{\text{Bott}}(\iota_{\mathfrak{i}}^* \phi))(u_1, \dots, u_{k+1}).$$

Hence

$$\text{res}_{\wedge \mathfrak{i}} \circ \delta_{\mathfrak{g} \triangleright \mathfrak{i}}^{\text{Hom}} \circ \Pi = \iota_{\mathfrak{i}}^* \circ (-\delta_{\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}}}) = -\delta_{\mathfrak{i}}^{\text{Bott}} \circ \iota_{\mathfrak{i}}^* = -\delta_{\mathfrak{i}}^{\text{Bott}} \circ \text{res}_{\wedge \mathfrak{i}} \circ \Pi$$

Since  $\Pi$  is surjective on its image  $C_{\wedge}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i})$ , this shows that  $\text{res}_{\wedge \mathfrak{i}} \circ \delta_{\mathfrak{g} \triangleright \mathfrak{i}}^{\text{Hom}} = -\delta_{\mathfrak{i}}^{\text{Bott}} \circ \text{res}_{\wedge \mathfrak{i}}$  on  $C_{\wedge}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i})$ , i.e. that  $\text{res}_{\wedge \mathfrak{i}}$  in (23) is a cochain map.

If  $\phi: \mathfrak{i} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}$  is an infinitesimal deformation of  $\mathfrak{i} \triangleleft \mathfrak{g}$ , then  $H^0(\text{res}_{\wedge \mathfrak{i}})[\phi] = [-\phi] \in H_{\text{def}}^1(\mathfrak{i} \subset \mathfrak{g})$ , where  $[\phi] = \phi$  on the left-hand side is the cohomology class of  $\phi$  as a closed element of  $C_{\wedge}^0(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}) = \wedge^0 \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}$ , and  $[-\phi]$  on the right-hand side is the cohomology class of  $-\phi$  as an element of  $C^1(\mathfrak{i}, \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i})$ . Assume that the image  $H^1(\mathfrak{i}, \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}) \ni [-\phi] = 0$ , then there exists  $\bar{x} \in \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}$ , i.e. the class in  $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}$  of some  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ , such that  $-\phi = \pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}} \circ [\cdot, x]: \mathfrak{i} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}$ . But this vanishes because  $\mathfrak{i}$  is an ideal in  $\mathfrak{g}$ . As a consequence,  $\phi = 0$  and so  $H^0(\text{res}_{\wedge \mathfrak{i}})$  is indeed injective.

Next note that

$$\text{Ker}^\bullet(\iota_{\mathfrak{i}}^*)[1] = \{\phi: \wedge^k \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i} \mid k \geq 1 \text{ and } \phi|_{\wedge^k \mathfrak{i}} = 0\} = \bigoplus_{p \geq 0} \left( \mathfrak{i}^\circ \wedge \bigwedge^p \mathfrak{g}^* \right) \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}$$

naturally contains  $C^{\bullet \geq 1}(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}; \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}) \simeq \wedge^{\bullet \geq 1} \mathfrak{i}^\circ \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}$ . The commutativity of (24) is easy to check: the right-hand square commutes since  $\text{res}_{\wedge \mathfrak{i}} \circ \Pi = \iota_{\mathfrak{i}}^*$  and the left-hand square is just the fact that via the identification  $\wedge^{\bullet \geq 1}(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i})^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i} \simeq \wedge^{\bullet \geq 1} \mathfrak{i}^\circ \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}$ , the inclusion of  $\wedge^{\bullet \geq 1}(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i})^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}$  has image in  $\text{Ker}^\bullet(\iota_{\mathfrak{i}}^*)$ .  $\square$

4.2.6. *Bringing everything together.* Consider the following diagram.

$$(25) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} C_i^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g})[1] & \hookrightarrow & C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g})[1] & \xrightarrow{p_{\text{Coker}}} & \frac{C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g})[1]}{C_i^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g})[1]} \\ \overline{\pi}_* \downarrow & & (\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/i})_* \downarrow & & \downarrow \wr \\ C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}/i; \mathfrak{g}/i)[1] & \hookrightarrow & C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g}/i)[1] & \xrightarrow{\Pi_\wedge} & C_\wedge^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/i) \end{array}$$

The short exact sequence at the bottom of the diagram was already found in (24) and the map  $\overline{\pi}_*$  was defined in (21). The isomorphism on the right-hand side is given by the map defined in (22). The map  $(\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/i})_*: C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}/i)$  sends  $\omega \in \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}$  to  $\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/i} \circ \omega \in \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/i$ . It is easy to check that this is a cochain map

$$(C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}), \delta_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\text{ad}}) \rightarrow (C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}/i), \delta_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\text{ad}^{\mathfrak{g}/i}}).$$

The square on the left-hand side of (25) commutes by definition of  $\overline{\pi}_*$  and  $(\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/i})_*$ , and the square on the right-hand side commutes as well by definition of the involved linear maps.

**Proposition 4.10.** The cohomology  $H_\wedge^k(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/i)$  fits into two long exact sequences, which are related by the following commutative diagram (starting at  $k = 1$ ).

$$(26) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \longrightarrow & H_i^k(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g}) & \longrightarrow & H^k(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g}) & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{Coker}}^k(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g}) & \xrightarrow{\partial_{\mathfrak{g}}^{k-1}} & H_i^{k+1}(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g}) & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\ & & \downarrow H^k(\overline{\pi}_*) & & \downarrow H^k((\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/i})_*) & & \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow H^{k+1}(\overline{\pi}_*) & & \\ \cdots & \longrightarrow & H^k(\mathfrak{g}/i; \mathfrak{g}/i) & \longrightarrow & H^k(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g}/i) & \longrightarrow & H_\wedge^{k-1}(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/i) & \xrightarrow{\partial_{\mathfrak{g}/i}^{k-1}} & H^{k+1}(\mathfrak{g}/i; \mathfrak{g}/i) & \longrightarrow & \cdots \end{array}$$

with the coboundary operators  $\partial_{\mathfrak{g}}^\bullet$  and  $\partial_{\mathfrak{g}/i}^\bullet$  defined as usual (see e.g. [2, I.§2]) by

$$\partial_{\mathfrak{g}}^{k-1}[\overline{\omega}] = [\delta_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\text{ad}}(\omega)]$$

for all  $k \geq 1$  and all  $\omega \in C^k(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g})$  such that  $\delta_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\text{ad}}\omega$  lies in  $C_i^{k+1}(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g})$ , i.e. such that  $\overline{\omega}$  is a closed element of  $\frac{C^k(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g})}{C_i^k(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g})}$ , and

$$\partial_{\mathfrak{g}/i}^{k-1}[\phi] = [\delta_{\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/i}} \tilde{\phi}]$$

for all  $k \geq 1$  and all closed elements  $\phi = \tilde{\phi}|_{\wedge^{k-1} \mathfrak{g}^* \wedge i} \in C_\wedge^{k-1}(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/i)$ , i.e. with  $\tilde{\phi} \in \wedge^k \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/i$  satisfying  $\delta_{\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/i}} \tilde{\phi}|_{\wedge^k \mathfrak{g} \wedge i} = 0$ , i.e.  $\delta_{\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/i}} \tilde{\phi} \in \wedge^{k+1} \mathfrak{i}^o \otimes \mathfrak{g}/i = \wedge^{k+1}(\mathfrak{g}/i)^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/i$ .

The proof of Proposition 4.10 is just a straightforward application of the following lemma to the diagram in (25).

**Lemma 4.11.** Let

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (A_1^\bullet, d_{A,1}) & \xleftarrow{\phi_1} & (B_1^\bullet, d_{B,1}) & \xrightarrow{\psi_1} & (C_1^\bullet, d_{C,1}) \\ F_A \downarrow & & F_B \downarrow & & \downarrow F_C \\ (A_2^\bullet, d_{A,2}) & \xleftarrow{\phi_2} & (B_2^\bullet, d_{B,2}) & \xrightarrow{\psi_2} & (C_2^\bullet, d_{C,2}) \end{array}$$

be a commutative diagram of cochain complexes, with the two horizontal sequences being exact.

Then the maps  $[F_A]$ ,  $[F_B]$ ,  $[F_C]$  defined in cohomology by  $F_A$ ,  $F_B$  and  $F_C$  intertwine the long exact sequences in cohomology induced by the short exact sequences  $(A_i, d_{A,i}) \hookrightarrow (B_i, d_{B,i}) \twoheadrightarrow (C_i, d_{C,i})$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . That is, the following diagram of long exact sequences in cohomology commutes, with  $k \geq 0$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \xrightarrow{\partial_1^{k-1}} & H^k(A_1) & \xrightarrow{[\phi_1]} & H^k(B_1) & \xrightarrow{[\psi_1]} & H^k(C_1) & \xrightarrow{\partial_1^k} & H^{k+1}(A_1) & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\ & & \downarrow [F_A] & & \downarrow [F_B] & & \downarrow [F_C] & & \downarrow [F_A] & & \\ \cdots & \xrightarrow{\partial_2^{k-1}} & H^k(A_2) & \xrightarrow{[\phi_2]} & H^k(B_2) & \xrightarrow{[\psi_2]} & H^k(C_2) & \xrightarrow{\partial_2^k} & H^{k+1}(A_2) & \longrightarrow & \cdots \end{array}$$

*Proof.* Recall that  $\partial_i^k$  is defined as follows for  $k \geq 0$  and  $i = 1, 2$ , see [2, I.§2]

$$\partial_i^k[c] = [a]$$

for  $c$  a closed element of  $C_i^k$ ,  $b \in B_i^k$  such that  $\psi_i(b) = c$  and  $a \in A_i^{k+1}$  the (necessarily closed) element such that  $\phi_i(a) = d_{B,i}(b)$ .

Choose  $k \geq 0$  and  $c \in C_1^k$  a closed element. Choose as above  $b \in B_1^k$  such that  $\psi_1(b) = c$  and  $a \in A_1^{k+1}$  such that  $\phi_1(a) = d_{B,1}(b)$ . Then

$$\phi_2(F_A(a)) = F_B(\phi_1(a)) = F_B(d_{B,1}(b)) = d_{B,2}(F_B(b))$$

and

$$\psi_2(F_B(b)) = F_C(\psi_1(b)) = F_C(c).$$

This shows that

$$\partial_2^k[F_C(c)] = [F_A(a)],$$

and so, since  $[F_A(a)] = [F_A]([a]) = ([F_A] \circ \partial_1^k)[c]$  and the closed element  $c \in C_1^k$  was arbitrary,

$$\partial_2^k \circ [F_C] = [F_A] \circ \partial_1^k.$$

□

## 5. DEFORMATIONS OF A LIE IDEAL AS MAURER-CARTAN ELEMENTS

While for investigating the infinitesimal deformation theory of ideals, no choice of complement is needed, for finding the Lie structure on the deformation complex  $C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i})$  it is crucial to fix a complement  $\mathfrak{i}^c$  of the ideal  $\mathfrak{i} \triangleleft \mathfrak{g}$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$ . That is,  $\mathfrak{i}^c$  is canonically isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}$  as a vector space. The projections associated to the choice of complement  $\mathfrak{i}^c \subset \mathfrak{g}$  are denoted by  $\text{pr}_\mathfrak{i}: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{i}$  and  $\text{pr}_{\mathfrak{i}^c}: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{i}^c$ . The inclusions  $\mathfrak{i} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{i}^c \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  are denoted by  $\iota_\mathfrak{i}$  and  $\iota_{\mathfrak{i}^c}$ , respectively.

Consider small deformations of  $\mathfrak{i} \triangleleft \mathfrak{g}$  in the sense of the following definition.

**Definition 5.1.** Given a  $k$ -dimensional Lie ideal  $\mathfrak{i} \triangleleft \mathfrak{g}$  in a Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot])$  and a vector space complement  $\mathfrak{i}^c \subset \mathfrak{g}$ . Then a  $k$ -dimensional subspace  $\mathfrak{i}' \subset \mathfrak{g}$  is called a **small deformation of  $\mathfrak{i} \triangleleft \mathfrak{g}$**  if  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{i}' \oplus \mathfrak{i}^c$  and  $\mathfrak{i}'$  is an ideal in  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

Recall that the choice of  $\mathfrak{i}^c$  defines a smooth chart of  $\text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g})$  around  $\mathfrak{i}$ . Its elements are the  $k$ -dimensional subspaces of  $\mathfrak{g}$  that are complementary to  $\mathfrak{i}^c$ . Therefore, small deformations of  $\mathfrak{i} \triangleleft \mathfrak{g}$  are the subspaces of the vector space  $\mathfrak{g}$  which lie in the chart domain  $U_{\mathfrak{i}^c} \simeq \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{i}, \mathfrak{i}^c)$  around  $\mathfrak{i} \in \text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g})$  and are, in addition, ideals of the Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot])$ .

**5.1. The Voronov dataset associated to an ideal in a Lie algebra.** This section begins with constructing a  $\text{dgL}[1]\mathfrak{a}$  structure on  $C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i})$  associated to an ideal  $\mathfrak{i}$  in a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , that will then be the  $\text{dgL}[1]\mathfrak{a}$  controlling the deformations of  $\mathfrak{i}$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

**Proposition 5.2.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra and let  $\mathfrak{i}$  be an ideal in it. Choose a (vector space) complement  $\mathfrak{i}^c$  for  $\mathfrak{i}$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$ . The following quintuple is then a Voronov-dataset:

- (1) The graded Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g} := (C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g})[1] \oplus C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g}l(\mathfrak{g})), \llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket)$  defined in Section 2.3.2.
- (2)  $\mathfrak{a} := C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{i}^c)$  with the inclusion

$$\mathbf{I}: \mathfrak{a} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}, \quad \psi \mapsto \mathbf{I}(\psi)(x_1, \dots, x_k, y) := (0, \iota_{\mathfrak{i}^c}(\psi(x_1, \dots, x_k, \text{pr}_\mathfrak{i}(y))))),$$

- (3)  $\mathbf{P}: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}$  defined by  $(\phi, \psi) \mapsto \mathbf{P}(\phi, \psi)(x_1, \dots, x_k, u) := \text{pr}_{\mathfrak{i}^c}(\psi(x_1, \dots, x_k, \iota_\mathfrak{i}(u)))$ ,

- (4)  $\Theta := \mu_\mathfrak{g} + \text{ad}^\mathfrak{g}$ .

*Proof.* By Section 2.3.2,  $(\mathfrak{g}, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket)$  is a graded Lie algebra and  $\mu_\mathfrak{g} + \text{ad}^\mathfrak{g}$  is a Maurer-Cartan element in it.

First check that  $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{g}$  is an abelian subalgebra via the inclusion  $\mathbf{I}$ . If  $\psi_1 \in C^{k_1}(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{i}^c)$  and  $\psi_2 \in C^{k_2}(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{i}^c)$ , then for  $x_1, \dots, x_{k_1+k_2}, y \in \mathfrak{g}$

$$\llbracket \mathbf{I}(\psi_1), \mathbf{I}(\psi_2) \rrbracket(x_1, \dots, x_{k_1+k_2}, y) = ((-1)^{k_1 k_2} \mathbf{I}(\psi_1) \circ \mathbf{I}(\psi_2) - \mathbf{I}(\psi_2) \circ \mathbf{I}(\psi_1))(x_1, \dots, x_{k_1+k_2}, y).$$

It is enough to check that  $(\mathbf{I}(\psi_1) \circ \mathbf{I}(\psi_2))(x_1, \dots, x_{k_1+k_2}, y)$  vanishes. By definition, this is

$$(27) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{\tau \in S_{(k_2, k_1)}} (-1)^\tau \mathbf{I}(\psi_1)(x_{\tau(k_2+1)}, \dots, x_{\tau(k_2+k_1)}, \mathbf{I}(\psi_2)(x_{\tau(1)}, \dots, x_{\tau(k_2)}, y)) \\ &= \sum_{\tau \in S_{(k_2, k_1)}} (-1)^\tau \iota_{\mathfrak{i}^c} \left( \psi_1 \left( x_{\tau(k_2+1)}, \dots, x_{\tau(k_1+k_2)}, (\text{pr}_\mathfrak{i} \circ \iota_{\mathfrak{i}^c})(\psi_2(x_{\tau(1)}, \dots, x_{\tau(k_2)}, \text{pr}_\mathfrak{i}(y))) \right) \right), \end{aligned}$$

which vanishes because  $\text{pr}_\mathfrak{i} \circ \iota_{\mathfrak{i}^c} = 0$ .

Next check that  $\text{Ker}(\mathbf{P})$  is a graded Lie subalgebra of  $(\mathfrak{g}, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket)$ . Observe that the kernel of the projection  $\mathbf{P} : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}$  consists in the following graded vector spaces:

$$\text{Ker}(\mathbf{P}) = \underbrace{C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g})[1]}_{=:A} \oplus \left( \underbrace{C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; (\mathfrak{i}^c)^\circ \otimes \mathfrak{i})}_{=:B} \oplus \underbrace{C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{i}^\circ \otimes \mathfrak{g})}_{=:C} \right).$$

By (6), the first component  $A$  is a graded Lie (sub)algebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , while (7) implies immediately that  $\llbracket A, B \rrbracket \subset B$  and  $\llbracket A, C \rrbracket \subset C$ . Last, (8) implies that  $\llbracket B, B \rrbracket \subset B$ ,  $\llbracket C, C \rrbracket \subset C$  and  $\llbracket B, C \rrbracket \subset B$ . The element  $\mu_{\mathfrak{g}}$  is clearly in  $A$  and  $\text{ad}^{\mathfrak{g}} \in \text{Ker}(\mathbf{P})$  since  $\mathfrak{i} \subset \mathfrak{g}$  is an ideal. Hence  $\Theta = \mu_{\mathfrak{g}} + \text{ad}^{\mathfrak{g}} \in \text{Ker}(\mathbf{P}) \cap \text{MC}(\mathfrak{g})$ .  $\square$

The following shows that the  $\text{dgL}[1]\mathfrak{a}$  structure on  $C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{i}^c)$  induced by the above Voronov dataset is the one controlling the deformation problem of the ideal  $\mathfrak{i} \triangleleft \mathfrak{g}$ . That is, the unary bracket

$$m_1 : C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{i}^c) \rightarrow C^{\bullet+1}(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{i}^c)$$

defined by  $m_1(\psi) = \mathbf{P} \llbracket \mu_{\mathfrak{g}} + \text{ad}^{\mathfrak{g}}, \mathbf{I}(\psi) \rrbracket$  equals the differential  $\delta_{\mathfrak{g} \triangleright \mathfrak{i}}^{\text{Hom}}$ , up to the identification  $\mathfrak{i}^c \simeq \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}$ . The following computation confirms that this is exactly the case. For  $\psi \in \wedge^k \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes (\mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{i}^c)$ ,  $x_1, \dots, x_{k+1} \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $u \in \mathfrak{i}$

$$\begin{aligned} m_1(\psi)(x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}, u) &= \mathbf{P} \llbracket \mu_{\mathfrak{g}} + \text{ad}^{\mathfrak{g}}, \mathbf{I}(\psi) \rrbracket(x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}, u) \\ &= \mathbf{P} \llbracket \mu_{\mathfrak{g}}, \mathbf{I}(\psi) \rrbracket(x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}, u) + \mathbf{P} \llbracket \text{ad}^{\mathfrak{g}}, \mathbf{I}(\psi) \rrbracket(x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}, u). \end{aligned}$$

The first term is

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{pr}_{\mathfrak{i}^c} \left( \llbracket \mu_{\mathfrak{g}}, \mathbf{I}(\psi) \rrbracket(x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}, \iota_{\mathfrak{i}}(u)) \right) \\ &= - \text{pr}_{\mathfrak{i}^c} \left( \sum_{\tau \in S_{(2, k-1)}} (-1)^\tau \mathbf{I}(\psi)(\mu_{\mathfrak{g}}(x_{\tau(1)}, x_{\tau(2)}, x_{\tau(3)}, \dots, x_{\tau(k+1)}, \iota_{\mathfrak{i}}(u)) \right) \\ &= - \sum_{\tau \in S_{(2, k-1)}} (-1)^\tau \psi([x_{\tau(1)}, x_{\tau(2)}], x_{\tau(3)}, \dots, x_{\tau(k+1)}, u) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{i < j \\ i=1 \\ j=2}}^{k+1} (-1)^{i+j} \psi([x_i, x_j], x_1, \dots, \widehat{x}_i, \dots, \widehat{x}_j, \dots, x_{k+1}, u) \end{aligned}$$

and the second term is

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{pr}_{\mathfrak{i}^c} \left( \llbracket \text{ad}^{\mathfrak{g}}, \mathbf{I}(\psi) \rrbracket(x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}, \iota_{\mathfrak{i}}(u)) \right) \\ &= \text{pr}_{\mathfrak{i}^c} \left( (-1)^k \sum_{\tau \in S_{(k, 1)}} (-1)^\tau \text{ad}_{x_{\tau(k+1)}}^{\mathfrak{g}} (\mathbf{I}(\psi)(x_{\tau(1)}, \dots, x_{\tau(k)}, \iota_{\mathfrak{i}}(u))) \right) \\ &\quad - \text{pr}_{\mathfrak{i}^c} \left( \sum_{\tau \in S_{(1, k)}} (-1)^\tau \mathbf{I}(\psi)(x_{\tau(2)}, \dots, x_{\tau(k+1)}, \text{ad}_{x_{\tau(1)}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\iota_{\mathfrak{i}}(u))) \right) \\ &= \sum_{\tau \in S_{(1, k)}} (-1)^\tau \text{pr}_{\mathfrak{i}^c} \left( \text{ad}_{x_{\tau(1)}}^{\mathfrak{g}} (\iota_{\mathfrak{i}^c}(\psi(x_{\tau(2)}, \dots, x_{\tau(k+1)}, u))) \right) \\ &\quad - \sum_{\tau \in S_{(1, k)}} (-1)^\tau \psi(x_{\tau(2)}, \dots, x_{\tau(k+1)}, [x_{\tau(1)}, u]) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} (-1)^{i+1} \text{ad}_{x_i}^{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}}(\psi(x_1, \dots, \widehat{x}_i, \dots, x_{k+1}, u)) + \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} (-1)^i \psi(x_1, \dots, \widehat{x}_i, \dots, x_{k+1}, [x_i, u]). \end{aligned}$$

Together, the two terms add therefore up to  $\delta_{\mathfrak{g} \triangleright \mathfrak{i}}^{\text{Hom}}(\psi)(x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}, u)$ .

Next consider the binary bracket. Choose  $\psi$  and  $\phi \in C^0(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{i}^c) = \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{i}^c$ . Compute

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket \llbracket \mu_{\mathfrak{g}} + \text{ad}^{\mathfrak{g}}, \mathbf{I}(\psi) \rrbracket, \mathbf{I}(\phi) \rrbracket &= \llbracket \llbracket \mu_{\mathfrak{g}}, \mathbf{I}(\psi) \rrbracket, \mathbf{I}(\phi) \rrbracket + \llbracket \llbracket \text{ad}^{\mathfrak{g}}, \mathbf{I}(\psi) \rrbracket, \mathbf{I}(\phi) \rrbracket \\ &= \llbracket 0, \mathbf{I}(\phi) \rrbracket + \llbracket \text{ad}^{\mathfrak{g}} \circ \mathbf{I}(\psi) - \mathbf{I}(\psi) \circ \text{ad}^{\mathfrak{g}}, \mathbf{I}(\phi) \rrbracket \\ &= \text{ad}^{\mathfrak{g}} \circ \mathbf{I}(\psi) \circ \mathbf{I}(\phi) - \mathbf{I}(\psi) \circ \text{ad}^{\mathfrak{g}} \circ \mathbf{I}(\phi) - \mathbf{I}(\phi) \circ \text{ad}^{\mathfrak{g}} \circ \mathbf{I}(\psi) + \mathbf{I}(\phi) \circ \mathbf{I}(\psi) \circ \text{ad}^{\mathfrak{g}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence for all  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $u \in \mathfrak{i}$

$$\begin{aligned} m_2(\psi, \phi)(x, u) &= -\psi(\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{i}}(\mathrm{ad}_x^{\mathfrak{g}}(\phi(u)))) - \phi(\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{i}}(\mathrm{ad}_x^{\mathfrak{g}}(\psi(u)))) \\ &= -\psi(\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{i}}[x, \phi(u)]) - \phi(\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{i}}[x, \psi(u)]). \end{aligned}$$

In particular,  $m_2(\phi, \phi)$  is defined by

$$(28) \quad m_2(\phi, \phi)(x, u) = -2\phi(\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{i}}[x, \phi(u)])$$

for all  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  and all  $u \in \mathfrak{i}$ .

An easy computation using  $I(\psi) \circ I(\phi) = 0$  for all  $\psi, \phi \in C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{i}^c)$  shows that  $m_k = 0$  for all  $k \geq 3$ .

**Theorem 5.3.** *Given a  $k$ -dimensional Lie ideal  $\mathfrak{i} \triangleleft (\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot])$  together with a complement  $\mathfrak{i}^c \subset \mathfrak{g}$ , there is a bijection between*

- (1) MC-elements of the  $L_\infty[1]$ -algebra  $(C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{i}^c), \delta_{\mathfrak{g} \triangleright \mathfrak{i}}^{\mathrm{Hom}} = m_1, m_2)$ , and
- (2) small deformations of the ideal  $\mathfrak{i} \triangleleft \mathfrak{g}$ ,

given by the correspondence:

$$C^0(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{i}^c) \ni \phi \mapsto \mathrm{graph}(\phi) \subseteq \mathfrak{g}.$$

*Proof.* The subspace  $\mathrm{graph}(\phi) = \{u + \phi(u) \mid u \in \mathfrak{i}\}$  is an ideal in  $\mathfrak{g}$  if and only if  $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathrm{graph}(\phi)] \subset \mathrm{graph}(\phi)$ . Equivalently, this means that for every  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $u \in \mathfrak{i}$ :

$$[x, u] + [x, \phi(u)] = [x, u + \phi(u)] \in \mathrm{graph}(\phi).$$

This can be rephrased as

$$\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{i}^c}([x, \phi(u)]) = \phi([x, u]) + \phi(\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{i}}[x, \phi(u)])$$

for all  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  and all  $u \in \mathfrak{i}$ . On the other hand, compute the Maurer-Cartan equation of  $(C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes V), \delta_{\mathfrak{g} \triangleright \mathfrak{i}}^{\mathrm{Hom}} = m_1, m_2)$  for  $\phi \in C^0(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{i}^c)$ :

$$\delta_{\mathfrak{g} \triangleright \mathfrak{i}}^{\mathrm{Hom}}(\phi) + \frac{1}{2}m_2(\phi, \phi) = 0.$$

On  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $u \in \mathfrak{i}$ , this reads

$$\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{i}^c}([x, \phi(u)]) - \phi([x, u]) - \phi(\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{i}}[x, \phi(u)]) = 0$$

by the considerations above and using the identification  $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i} \simeq \mathfrak{i}^c$ . This concludes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 5.4.** Observe that in the case of a Lie subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ , the controlling  $L_\infty[1]$ -algebra becomes a  $\mathrm{dgl}[1]\mathfrak{a}$  if the chosen complement  $V_{\mathfrak{h}}$  is a Lie subalgebra itself (such a complement does not always exist), since then a straightforward computation shows that the ternary bracket  $m_3$  defined in (13) vanishes.

However, in the case of a Lie ideal  $\mathfrak{i} \triangleleft \mathfrak{g}$ , the choice of a complement  $\mathfrak{i}^c$  which is closed under the Lie bracket does not simplify the problem. However, picking a complement which is itself a Lie ideal turns the deformation problem into a linear one since then the binary bracket  $m_2$  vanishes. Once again, a choice like this, is not always possible – except for example when  $\mathfrak{g}$  is semisimple.

**5.2. The controlling  $L_\infty[1]$ -algebra of simultaneous small deformations.** In the situation of the previous section, using Theorem 2.10, there is a cubic  $L_\infty[1]$ -algebra structure  $\{\widetilde{m}_i\}_{i=1}^3$  on the direct sum

$$(29) \quad \mathfrak{g}[1] \oplus \mathfrak{a} := C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g})[2] \oplus C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g}))[1] \oplus C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{i}^c),$$

where, for any<sup>6</sup>  $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $a, a_1, a_2 \in \mathfrak{a}$ , the brackets are defined as follows:

$$(30) \quad \begin{aligned} \widetilde{m}_1(x + a) &:= -\llbracket \mu_{\mathfrak{g}} + \mathrm{ad}^{\mathfrak{g}}, x \rrbracket + \mathbf{P}(x) + m_1(a) \\ \widetilde{m}_2(x, y) &:= (-1)^{|x||y|} \llbracket x, y \rrbracket \\ \widetilde{m}_2(x, a) &:= \mathbf{P} \llbracket x, \mathbf{I}(a) \rrbracket \\ \widetilde{m}_2(a_1, a_2) &:= m_2(a_1, a_2) \\ \widetilde{m}_3(x, a_1, a_2) &:= \mathbf{P} \llbracket \llbracket x, \mathbf{I}(a_1) \rrbracket, \mathbf{I}(a_2) \rrbracket. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mu_{\mathfrak{g}})$  be a Lie algebra and  $\mathfrak{i}$  an ideal in this Lie algebra. Choose a vector space  $\mathfrak{i}^c \subset \mathfrak{g}$  complementing  $\mathfrak{i}$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$ . A **simultaneous small deformation** of  $(\mu_{\mathfrak{g}}, \mathfrak{i})$  is a pair  $(\mu' \in \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{i}' \subseteq \mathfrak{g})$  such that  $\mu_{\mathfrak{g}} + \mu'$  is a Lie bracket on  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{i}'$  a vector subspace of  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{i}' \oplus \mathfrak{i}^c$  and  $\mathfrak{i}'$  is an ideal in  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mu_{\mathfrak{g}} + \mu')$ .

<sup>6</sup>Here  $|x|$  stands for the degree of  $x$  as an element of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .



**Theorem 5.5.** *Let  $\mathfrak{i}$  be an ideal in a Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mu_{\mathfrak{g}})$  and choose a vector subspace  $\mathfrak{i}^c \subset \mathfrak{g}$  complementing  $\mathfrak{i}$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Consider  $\phi \in \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{i}^c$  and  $\mu' \in \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ . Define  $\text{ad}' \in \wedge^1 \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})$  by  $\text{ad}'_x(y) := \mu'(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$ , and set  $\text{graph}(\phi) =: \mathfrak{i}'$ .*

*Then the pair  $(\mu', \mathfrak{i}')$  is a simultaneous small deformation of  $(\mu_{\mathfrak{g}}, \mathfrak{i})$  if and only if  $(\mu', \text{ad}', \phi)$  is a Maurer-Cartan element of the  $L_{\infty}[1]$ -algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}[1] \oplus \mathfrak{a}, \widetilde{m}_1, \widetilde{m}_2, \widetilde{m}_3)$  defined in (29) and (30).*

*Proof.* The sum  $\mu_{\mathfrak{g}} + \mu'$  is a Lie bracket on  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{i}' \triangleleft (\mathfrak{g}, \mu_{\mathfrak{g}} + \mu')$  is an ideal in the obtained Lie algebra if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket \mu_{\mathfrak{g}} + \mu', \mu_{\mathfrak{g}} + \mu' \rrbracket &= 0, \quad \text{see (5),} \\ (\mu_{\mathfrak{g}} + \mu')(x, u + \phi(u)) &\subset \text{graph}(\phi) \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathfrak{g}, u \in \mathfrak{i}. \end{aligned}$$

The first condition is equivalent to

$$(31) \quad \llbracket \mu_{\mathfrak{g}} + \text{ad}^{\mathfrak{g}} + \mu' + \text{ad}', \mu_{\mathfrak{g}} + \text{ad}^{\mathfrak{g}} + \mu' + \text{ad}' \rrbracket = 0$$

by Section 2.3.2, since  $\text{ad}^{\mathfrak{g}} + \text{ad}'$  is then the adjoint representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  associated to the Lie bracket  $\mu_{\mathfrak{g}} + \mu'$ . The second condition is equivalent to the following equality for all  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $u \in \mathfrak{i}$ :

$$(32) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{pr}_{\mathfrak{i}^c}(\mu_{\mathfrak{g}}(x, \phi(u))) + \text{pr}_{\mathfrak{i}^c}(\mu'(x, u)) + \text{pr}_{\mathfrak{i}^c}(\mu'(x, \phi(u))) \\ = \phi(\mu_{\mathfrak{g}}(x, u)) + \phi(\text{pr}_{\mathfrak{i}}(\mu'(x, u))) + \phi(\text{pr}_{\mathfrak{i}}(\mu_{\mathfrak{g}}(x, \phi(u)))) + \phi(\text{pr}_{\mathfrak{i}}(\mu'(x, \phi(u)))). \end{aligned}$$

The Maurer-Cartan equation for

$$\mu' + \text{ad}' + \phi \in C^2(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g})[2] \oplus C^1(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g}))[1] \oplus C^0(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{i}^c)$$

is

$$(33) \quad \widetilde{m}_1(\mu' + \text{ad}' + \phi) + \frac{1}{2}\widetilde{m}_2(\mu' + \text{ad}' + \phi, \mu' + \text{ad}' + \phi) + \frac{1}{6}\widetilde{m}_3(\mu' + \text{ad}' + \phi, \mu' + \text{ad}' + \phi, \mu' + \text{ad}' + \phi) = 0.$$

Compute

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{m}_1(\mu' + \text{ad}' + \phi) &= -\llbracket \mu_{\mathfrak{g}} + \text{ad}^{\mathfrak{g}}, \mu' + \text{ad}' \rrbracket + \mathbf{P}(\mu' + \text{ad}') + m_1(\phi) \\ &= -\llbracket \mu_{\mathfrak{g}} + \text{ad}^{\mathfrak{g}}, \mu' + \text{ad}' \rrbracket + \mathbf{P}(\text{ad}') + \mathbf{P}\llbracket \mu_{\mathfrak{g}} + \text{ad}^{\mathfrak{g}}, I(\phi) \rrbracket \\ &\stackrel{(7)}{=} -\llbracket \mu_{\mathfrak{g}} + \text{ad}^{\mathfrak{g}}, \mu' + \text{ad}' \rrbracket + \mathbf{P}(\text{ad}') + \mathbf{P}\llbracket \text{ad}^{\mathfrak{g}}, I(\phi) \rrbracket, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\widetilde{m}_2(\mu' + \text{ad}' + \phi, \mu' + \text{ad}' + \phi) &= -\frac{1}{2}\llbracket \mu' + \text{ad}', \mu' + \text{ad}' \rrbracket + \widetilde{m}_2(\mu' + \text{ad}', I(\phi)) + \frac{1}{2}m_2(\phi, \phi) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\llbracket \mu' + \text{ad}', \mu' + \text{ad}' \rrbracket + \mathbf{P}\llbracket \mu' + \text{ad}', I(\phi) \rrbracket + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{P}\llbracket \llbracket \mu_{\mathfrak{g}} + \text{ad}^{\mathfrak{g}}, I(\phi) \rrbracket, I(\phi) \rrbracket \\ &\stackrel{(7)}{=} -\frac{1}{2}\llbracket \mu' + \text{ad}', \mu' + \text{ad}' \rrbracket + \mathbf{P}\llbracket \text{ad}', I(\phi) \rrbracket + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{P}\llbracket \llbracket \text{ad}^{\mathfrak{g}}, I(\phi) \rrbracket, I(\phi) \rrbracket \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{6}\widetilde{m}_3(\mu' + \text{ad}' + \phi, \mu' + \text{ad}' + \phi, \mu' + \text{ad}' + \phi) &= \frac{1}{2}\widetilde{m}_3(\mu' + \text{ad}', \phi, \phi) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{P}\llbracket \llbracket \mu' + \text{ad}', I(\phi) \rrbracket, I(\phi) \rrbracket \\ &\stackrel{(7)}{=} \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{P}\llbracket \llbracket \text{ad}', I(\phi) \rrbracket, I(\phi) \rrbracket. \end{aligned}$$

Using  $\llbracket \mu_{\mathfrak{g}} + \text{ad}^{\mathfrak{g}}, \mu_{\mathfrak{g}} + \text{ad}^{\mathfrak{g}} \rrbracket = 0$  (see Section 2.3.2) and  $\llbracket \mu_{\mathfrak{g}} + \text{ad}^{\mathfrak{g}}, \mu' + \text{ad}' \rrbracket = \llbracket \mu' + \text{ad}', \mu_{\mathfrak{g}} + \text{ad}^{\mathfrak{g}} \rrbracket$ , the left-hand side of (33) now reads

$$\begin{aligned} &-\frac{1}{2}\llbracket \mu_{\mathfrak{g}} + \text{ad}^{\mathfrak{g}} + \mu' + \text{ad}', \mu_{\mathfrak{g}} + \text{ad}^{\mathfrak{g}} + \mu' + \text{ad}' \rrbracket \\ &+ \mathbf{P}(\text{ad}') + \mathbf{P}\llbracket \text{ad}^{\mathfrak{g}} + \text{ad}', I(\phi) \rrbracket + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{P}\llbracket \llbracket \text{ad}^{\mathfrak{g}} + \text{ad}', I(\phi) \rrbracket, I(\phi) \rrbracket. \end{aligned}$$

The first term has degree 2 in  $\mathfrak{g} := C^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g})[1] \oplus C^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g}))$ , and the remaining terms have degree 1. Hence (33) holds if and only if (31) holds true and

$$\mathbf{P}(\text{ad}') + \mathbf{P}\llbracket \text{ad}^{\mathfrak{g}} + \text{ad}', I(\phi) \rrbracket + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{P}\llbracket \llbracket \text{ad}^{\mathfrak{g}} + \text{ad}', I(\phi) \rrbracket, I(\phi) \rrbracket = 0.$$

A straightforward computation using (8) shows that the latter equation reads

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{pr}_{i^c}(\text{ad}'_x(u)) + \text{pr}_{i^c}(\text{ad}_x^{\mathfrak{g}}(\phi(u)) + \text{ad}'_x(\phi(u))) - (\phi \circ \text{pr}_i)(\text{ad}_x^{\mathfrak{g}}(u) + \text{ad}'_x(u)) \\ & - \phi(\text{pr}_i(\text{ad}_x^{\mathfrak{g}}(\phi(u)))) - \phi(\text{pr}_i(\text{ad}'_x(\phi(u)))) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

on  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $u \in \mathfrak{i}$ . Since  $\mu_{\mathfrak{g}}(x, u)$  lies in  $\mathfrak{i}$  and by definition of  $\text{ad}'$ , this is (32).  $\square$

## 6. GEOMETRIC APPLICATIONS: OBSTRUCTIONS, RIGIDITY AND STABILITY

This section focusses on applying the machinery built above to a study of the local geometry of the (moduli) space of Lie ideals in a given Lie algebra.

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra and choose  $k \in \{0, \dots, \dim \mathfrak{g}\}$ . Denote by  $\mathbf{I}_k(\mathfrak{g})$  the subset of the Grassmannian  $\text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g})$  consisting of the space of  $k$ -dimensional Lie ideals inside  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mu_{\mathfrak{g}})$ .

**6.1. The Kuranishi map and obstructions to deformations of ideals.** Recall that the deformation cohomology

$$H_{\delta_{\mathfrak{g} \triangleright \mathfrak{i}}^{\text{Hom}}}(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}) =: H^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{i} \triangleleft \mathfrak{g})$$

of an ideal  $\mathfrak{i}$  in a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  was defined in Section 4.1, and that the underlying complex  $(C^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}), \delta_{\mathfrak{g} \triangleright \mathfrak{i}}^{\text{Hom}})$  fits in the  $\text{dgl}[1]_{\mathfrak{a}}(C^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}), \delta_{\mathfrak{g} \triangleright \mathfrak{i}}^{\text{Hom}} = m_1, m_2)$  of Theorem 5.3 – identifying now the chosen complement  $\mathfrak{i}^c$  of  $\mathfrak{i}$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$  with the vector space  $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}$ .

**Definition 6.1.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra and let  $\mathfrak{i} \triangleleft \mathfrak{g}$  be an ideal in  $\mathfrak{g}$ . The **Kuranishi map**

$$\text{Kur}_{\mathfrak{i} \triangleleft \mathfrak{g}}: H^0(\mathfrak{i} \triangleleft \mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow H^1(\mathfrak{i} \triangleleft \mathfrak{g})$$

associated to the Lie ideal  $\mathfrak{i} \triangleleft \mathfrak{g}$  is defined as follows:

$$[\eta] \mapsto \frac{1}{2}[m_2(\eta, \eta)].$$

This is well-defined since  $m_1 = \delta_{\mathfrak{i} \triangleleft \mathfrak{g}}^{\text{Hom}}: C^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}) \rightarrow C^{\bullet+1}(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i})$  and

$$m_1(m_2(\eta, \eta)) = 2m_2(m_1(\eta), \eta)$$

for  $\eta \in C^0(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i})$ .

The following proposition is standard in deformation theory, but its proof is repeated here for the convenience of the reader.

**Proposition 6.2.** If  $\text{Kur}_{\mathfrak{i} \triangleleft \mathfrak{g}} \neq 0$ , then the deformation problem of  $\mathfrak{i} \triangleleft \mathfrak{g}$  is *obstructed*: there exists a cohomology class  $[\eta] \in H^0(\mathfrak{i} \triangleleft \mathfrak{g})$  that is not a deformation class – in other words, there exists no smooth deformation  $(\mathfrak{i}_t)_{t \in I}$  of the ideal  $\mathfrak{i}$  inside  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that  $\frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \mathfrak{i}_t = \eta$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{i}^c$  a complement of  $\mathfrak{i} \triangleleft \mathfrak{g}$  and let  $(\phi_t)_{t \in I} \in \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{i}^c$  be a smooth family of linear maps starting at the zero map. Then  $\mathfrak{i}_t := \text{graph}(\phi_t)$  is a smooth deformation of the vector space  $\mathfrak{i} := \mathfrak{i}_0$ . Consider the Taylor expansion of  $\phi_t$  around  $t = 0$ :

$$\phi_t \simeq t\eta + t^2\omega + \mathbf{O}(t^3)$$

with  $\eta, \omega \in \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{i}^c$ . The following shows that the condition of  $\text{graph}(\phi_t)$  being an ideal in  $\mathfrak{g}$  for all  $t$  forces the Kuranishi map to vanish on  $\eta = \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \phi_t$ . Let  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $u \in \mathfrak{i}$ , then

$$[x, u + \phi_t(u)] = [x, u] + t[x, \eta(u)] + t^2[x, \omega(u)] + \mathbf{O}(t^3) \in \text{graph}(\phi_t)$$

for all  $t$  induces

$$t \text{pr}_{i^c}([x, \eta(u)]) + t^2(\text{pr}_{i^c}[x, \omega(u)]) = t\eta([x, u]) + t^2\omega([x, u]) + t^2\eta(\text{pr}_i[x, \eta(u)]) + \mathbf{O}(t^3),$$

for all  $t$ , which is equivalent to the following two equations:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{pr}_{i^c}([x, \eta(u)]) - \eta([x, u]) = 0 \\ & \text{pr}_{i^c}([x, \omega(u)]) - \eta(\text{pr}_i[x, \eta(u)]) - \omega([x, u]) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $u \in \mathfrak{i}$  where arbitrary, the first equation recovers the fact that  $\delta_{\mathfrak{i} \triangleleft \mathfrak{g}}^{\text{Hom}}(\eta) = 0$ , while the second equation and (28) yield  $m_2(\eta, \eta) = -2 \cdot \delta_{\mathfrak{i} \triangleleft \mathfrak{g}}^{\text{Hom}}(\omega)$ . Hence

$$\text{Kur}_{\mathfrak{i} \triangleleft \mathfrak{g}}([\eta]) = \frac{1}{2}[m_2(\eta, \eta)] = [\delta_{\mathfrak{g} \triangleright \mathfrak{i}}^{\text{Hom}}(-\omega)] = 0.$$

This shows that the Kuranishi map vanishes on deformation classes.  $\square$

**Example 6.3** (The case  $H^2(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}; \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}) = 0$ ). Recall that Proposition 4.4 shows that the cochain map

$$\Pi_\wedge : C^{\bullet+1}(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}) \rightarrow C_\wedge^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}), \quad \phi \mapsto \phi|_{\wedge^k \mathfrak{g} \wedge \mathfrak{i}}$$

sends the deformation cocycle associated to a deformation  $(\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}}^t)_{t \in I}$  of the projection  $\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}} : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}$  to the deformation cocycle defined by the deformation of the ideal  $\mathfrak{i}$  defined (locally) by the kernels  $(\text{Ker}(\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}}^t))_{t \in I}$ .

Combining the assumption  $H^2(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}; \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}) = 0$  (e.g. when  $\mathfrak{i} = \text{rad}(\mathfrak{g})$  or  $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}$  is semi-simple) and (26) shows that

$$H^0(\Pi_\wedge) : H^1(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}) \rightarrow H_\wedge^0(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}) = H^0(\mathfrak{i} \triangleleft \mathfrak{g})$$

is surjective. This implies that  $\mathfrak{i} \triangleleft \mathfrak{g}$  is (topologically) rigid, see Definition 6.12 and Theorem 6.14 below.

In particular every deformation class of the ideal  $\mathfrak{i} \triangleleft \mathfrak{g}$  is the image under  $H^0(\Pi_\wedge)$  of a cohomology class in the deformation cohomology of the canonical projection  $\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}} : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}$ .

When  $H^2(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}; \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}) = 0$  in fact every *smooth* deformation of the ideal  $\mathfrak{i} \triangleleft \mathfrak{g}$  arises as the kernel of a smooth deformation of the canonical projection. To see this, use the fact that  $(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}, \mu_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}})$  is (geometrically) rigid and so every smooth deformation of the Lie bracket on  $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}$  is (geometrically) equivalent to the trivial one (see [31], or [3, Section 4.1]). Let  $(\mathfrak{i}_t)_{t \in I}$  be a smooth deformation of the ideal  $\mathfrak{i} \triangleleft \mathfrak{g}$ . At each time  $t \in I$ , the ideal  $\mathfrak{i}_t$  defines the canonical projections  $\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}_t} : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}_t$ . The Lie algebra structures on  $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}_t$ ,  $t \in I$ , are then transferred to Lie algebras  $(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}, \mu_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}}^t)$  via a smooth family of linear isomorphisms denoted by  $\alpha_t : \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}_t \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}$  and satisfying  $\alpha_0 = \text{id}_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}}$ . Then  $(\mu_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}}^t)_{t \in I}$  is a smooth family of deformations of the Lie bracket  $\mu_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}}$  induced by the transfer. Using the fact that  $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}$  is rigid, there exists a smooth family of Lie algebra isomorphisms  $\phi_t : (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}, \mu_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}}^t) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}, \mu_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}})$ , with  $\phi_0 = \text{id}_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}}$ . Then the maps

$$\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}}^t := \phi_t \circ \alpha_t \circ \pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}_t} : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i},$$

for all  $t \in I$ , define a smooth deformation of the canonical projection  $\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}}$ , such that  $\text{Ker}(\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}}^t) = \mathfrak{i}_t$  for all  $t \in I$ .

The following example illustrates once more how the deformation theory of an ideal is *not* its deformation theory as a Lie subalgebra.

**Example 6.4** (Obstructed as an ideal  $\not\Rightarrow$  obstructed as Lie subalgebra). Let  $\mathfrak{h}_3(\mathbb{R}) =: \mathfrak{g}$  be the 3-dimensional Heisenberg algebra, in other words the Lie algebra of  $(3 \times 3)$ -strictly upper triangular matrices. The center  $\text{Cent}(\mathfrak{h}_3(\mathbb{R})) =: \mathfrak{i}$  of  $\mathfrak{h}_3(\mathbb{R})$  is the 1-dimensional ideal generated by the basis vector:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Furthermore, consider the complement  $\mathfrak{i}^c \subset \mathfrak{h}_3(\mathbb{R})$ , which is generated by the other two canonical basis vectors:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The inclusions  $[\mathfrak{h}_3(\mathbb{R}), \text{Cent}(\mathfrak{h}_3(\mathbb{R}))] = 0$  and  $[\mathfrak{h}_3(\mathbb{R}), \mathfrak{i}^c] \subset \text{Cent}(\mathfrak{h}_3(\mathbb{R}))$  imply that any 0-cochain is a 0-cocycle: for any  $\eta \in \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}$  and any  $x \in \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h}_3(\mathbb{R})$  and  $u \in \mathfrak{i} = \text{Cent}(\mathfrak{h}_3(\mathbb{R}))$ :

$$\delta_{\mathfrak{i} \triangleright \mathfrak{g}}^{\text{Hom}}(\eta)(x, u) = \text{pr}_{\mathfrak{i}^c}(\underbrace{[x, \eta(u)]}_{\in \mathfrak{i}}) - \underbrace{\eta([x, u])}_{=0} = 0.$$

The Kuranishi map is hence given here by

$$\text{Kur}_{\mathfrak{i} \triangleleft \mathfrak{g}} : C^0(\mathfrak{i} \triangleleft \mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow Z^1(\mathfrak{i} \triangleleft \mathfrak{g}), \quad \text{Kur}_{\mathfrak{i} \triangleleft \mathfrak{g}}(\eta) = \frac{1}{2} m_2(\eta, \eta)$$

with

$$m_2(\eta, \eta)(x, u) = -2\eta(\text{pr}_{\mathfrak{i}}[x, \eta(u)]) = -2\eta([x, \eta(u)])$$

for all  $x \in \mathfrak{h}_3(\mathbb{R})$  and all  $u \in \mathfrak{i}$ .

A linear map  $\phi: \mathfrak{i} \rightarrow \mathfrak{i}^c$  is uniquely defined by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\phi} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \beta \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ . It is a 0-cocycle, but the 1-cocycle  $\text{Kur}_{\mathfrak{i} \triangleleft \mathfrak{g}}(\phi) = \frac{1}{2}m_2(\phi, \phi)$  does not vanish (unless  $\phi = 0$ ) since it does

$$\left( \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & y \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \mapsto - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha^2 y - \alpha \beta x & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha \beta y - \beta^2 x \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ . Therefore, the deformation problem of the ideal  $\text{Cent}(\mathfrak{h}_3(\mathbb{R})) \triangleleft \mathfrak{h}_3(\mathbb{R})$  is obstructed: the ideal  $\mathfrak{i} = \text{Cent}(\mathfrak{h}_3(\mathbb{R}))$  of  $\mathfrak{h}_3(\mathbb{R})$  admits no smooth deformation. On the other hand, since  $\mathfrak{i} = \text{Cent}(\mathfrak{h}_3(\mathbb{R}))$  has dimension 1, any deformation of the vector subspace  $\mathfrak{i}$  is a deformation of  $\mathfrak{i}$  as a Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{h}_3(\mathbb{R})$ . Hence, the deformation problem of the Lie subalgebra  $\text{Cent}(\mathfrak{h}_3(\mathbb{R})) \subseteq \mathfrak{h}_3(\mathbb{R})$  is unobstructed.

Denote by  $\mathbf{S}_k(\mathfrak{g})$  the subset of  $\text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g})$  consisting of the space of  $k$ -dimensional Lie subalgebras of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . A  $k$ -dimensional Lie ideal  $\mathfrak{i} \triangleleft \mathfrak{g}$  is a point in  $\mathbf{I}_k(\mathfrak{g}) \subset \mathbf{S}_k(\mathfrak{g})$ . The local geometry of  $\mathfrak{i} \triangleleft \mathfrak{g}$  as a point in the bigger space  $\mathbf{S}_k(\mathfrak{g})$  and as a point in the smaller  $\mathbf{I}_k(\mathfrak{g})$  is hence different in general.

**6.2. Rigidity of ideals in Lie algebras.** This section is inspired from the study in [4] of the rigidity of Lie algebras, Lie algebra morphisms and Lie subalgebras. Similar techniques are developed to obtain a rigidity result for Lie ideals.

Let  $(E \rightarrow M, G)$  be a  $G$ -vector bundle, i.e. a vector bundle  $E \rightarrow M$ , together with a Lie group  $G$  acting on it by vector bundle automorphisms. That is, the smooth Lie group action

$$\alpha: G \times E \rightarrow E, \quad \alpha(g, e) =: ge =: \alpha_g(e)$$

restricts to a Lie group action on the zero section  $M$ , denoted by  $\alpha^{\text{res}}: G \times M \rightarrow M$  such that, for all  $g \in G$ , the map  $\alpha_g: E \rightarrow E$  is a vector bundle automorphism over  $\alpha_g^{\text{res}}: M \rightarrow M$ .

**Remark 6.5.** The restriction  $T_{0E}E$  of the tangent space of  $E \rightarrow M$  along its zero section is canonically isomorphic as a vector bundle over  $M$  to  $TM \oplus E$ , via the isomorphism

$$TM \oplus E \rightarrow T_{0E}E, \quad (v_m, e_m) \mapsto T_m 0^E(v_m) + \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} t e_m$$

In other words, the short exact sequence

$$E \hookrightarrow T_{0E}E \xrightarrow{Tq} TM,$$

with the inclusion  $E \hookrightarrow T_{0E}E$ ,  $e \rightarrow \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} t e$ , is canonically split by the tangent of the zero section  $T0^E: TM \rightarrow T_{0E}E$ .

**Definition 6.6.** Let  $(E \rightarrow M, G)$  be a  $G$ -vector bundle. A section  $\sigma: M \rightarrow E$  is called **G-equivariant** if  $\sigma(gx) = g\sigma(x)$  for any  $g \in G$  and  $x \in M$ . The **vertical tangent map**

$$T_x^{\text{vert}}\sigma: T_x M \rightarrow E_x$$

of  $\sigma: M \rightarrow E$  at a zero  $x \in \sigma^{-1}(0) := \{x \in M \mid \sigma(x) = 0_x^E\}$  is the map  $T^{\text{vert}}\sigma: TM \rightarrow E$  defined as the composition of  $T_x\sigma: T_x M \rightarrow T_{0_x^E}E \simeq T_x M \oplus E_x$ , followed by the projection onto  $E_x$ . A zero  $x \in M$  of  $\sigma$  is called **non-degenerate** if the sequence

$$(34) \quad \mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{T\alpha^x} T_x M \xrightarrow{T_x^{\text{vert}}\sigma} E_x$$

is exact, where  $\alpha^x: G \rightarrow M$  is the orbit map of  $\alpha^{\text{res}}$  at  $x \in M$ .

The following proposition on the openness of orbits is proved in [4].

**Proposition 6.7.** Let  $(E \rightarrow M, G)$  be a  $G$ -vector bundle, let  $\sigma: M \rightarrow E$  be a  $G$ -equivariant section and assume that  $x \in \sigma^{-1}(0)$  is nondegenerate. Then there is an open neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  and a smooth map  $h: U \rightarrow G$  such that for all  $y \in U$  with  $\sigma(y) = 0$  the equality  $h(y)x = y$  holds. In particular, the orbit of  $x$  under the  $G$ -action and the zero set of  $\sigma$  coincide in an open neighborhood of  $x$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra and let  $\mathfrak{i}$  be an ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}$  of dimension  $k$ . Consider the smooth vector bundle  $E \rightarrow M$ , with base manifold  $M := \mathrm{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g})$  and fiber over  $W \in \mathrm{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g})$  given by  $E_W := \{W\} \times \mathrm{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}, W^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/W)$ . Let  $\mathrm{Taut}_k(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g})$  be the tautological vector bundle, i.e.  $\mathrm{Taut}_k$  is the rank  $k$  vector subbundle of  $\mathrm{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g}) \times \mathfrak{g}$  given by  $\mathrm{Taut}_k(\mathfrak{g})|_{\{W\}} = \{W\} \times W$ . Then  $E \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g})$  is the smooth vector bundle

$$(35) \quad E = (\mathrm{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g}) \times \mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes (\mathrm{Taut}_k(\mathfrak{g}))^* \otimes \frac{\mathrm{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g}) \times \mathfrak{g}}{\mathrm{Taut}_k(\mathfrak{g})} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g}).$$

The dual vector bundle  $\mathrm{Taut}_k^*(\mathfrak{g})$  is the quotient of  $\mathrm{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g}) \times \mathfrak{g}^*$  by its smooth vector subbundle

$$(\mathrm{Taut}_k(\mathfrak{g}))^\circ = \cup_{W \in \mathrm{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g})} \{W\} \times \{l \in \mathfrak{g}^* \mid l(w) = 0 \text{ for all } w \in W\}.$$

The vector bundle  $E \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g})$  comes as a consequence with the smooth vector bundle projection

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g}) \times (\mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}) & \xrightarrow{P} & E \\ & \searrow \mathrm{pr}_1 & \swarrow \pi_E \\ & & \mathrm{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g}) \end{array}$$

sending  $(W, \phi) \in \mathrm{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g}) \times (\mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g})$  to

$$(W, \pi_{\mathfrak{g}/W} \circ \phi|_{\mathfrak{g} \otimes W}) \in E|_W,$$

see Appendix A.2, where local trivialisations of  $E$  are given.

The section

$$(36) \quad \sigma: M \rightarrow E, \quad W \mapsto (W, \pi_{\mathfrak{g}/W} \circ \mu_{\mathfrak{g}}|_{\mathfrak{g} \otimes W})$$

is smooth since it can be written as  $P \circ \tilde{\sigma}: \mathrm{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow E$ , with the constant section

$$\tilde{\sigma}: \mathrm{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g}) \times (\mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}), \quad W \mapsto (W, \mu_{\mathfrak{g}}).$$

The zero set  $\sigma^{-1}(0)$  of  $\sigma$  is exactly the space  $\mathbf{I}_k(\mathfrak{g}) \subset \mathrm{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g})$ .

**Remark 6.8.** Note that this construction is independent of  $\mu_{\mathfrak{g}}$  satisfying the Jacobi identity (or being skew-symmetric). In fact, for each  $\nu \in \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g} \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$  the constant section

$$\tilde{\nu}: \mathrm{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g}) \times (\mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}), \quad W \mapsto (W, \nu)$$

projects as above to a smooth section

$$\Sigma(\nu): \mathrm{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow E, \quad W \mapsto (W, \pi_{\mathfrak{g}/W} \circ \nu|_{\mathfrak{g} \otimes W})$$

such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g}) \times (\mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}) & \xrightarrow{P} & E \\ & \swarrow \tilde{\nu} & \searrow \Sigma(\nu) \\ & & \mathrm{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g}) \end{array}$$

commutes. The map

$$\Sigma: \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \Gamma(E)$$

is then an  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear map.

The following computes explicitly the vertical differential  $T_i^{\mathrm{vert}} \sigma: T_i \mathrm{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow E_i$ . The following lemma is useful for this.

**Lemma 6.9.** Let  $\pi: E \rightarrow M$  be a smooth vector bundle with a vector bundle isomorphism  $\phi: E \rightarrow M \times E|_p$  for some  $p \in M$ , such that  $\phi|_p: E|_p \rightarrow \{p\} \times E|_p$  is the identity. If  $p$  is a zero of a smooth section  $\sigma: M \rightarrow E$ , then

$$T_p^{\mathrm{vert}} \sigma = T_p^{\mathrm{vert}}(\phi \circ \sigma): T_p M \rightarrow E|_p,$$

and so

$$T_p(\phi \circ \sigma)(v_p) = (v_p, 0_p^E, T_p^{\mathrm{vert}} \sigma(v_p)) \in T_p U \times \{0_p^E\} \times E|_p = T_p U \times T_{0_p^E}(E|_p)$$

for all  $v_p \in T_p M$ .

*Proof.* By definition,  $T_p^{\text{vert}}\sigma: T_pU \rightarrow E|_p$  sends  $v_p \in T_pM$  to  $T_p\sigma v_p - T_p0^E v_p \in E|_p = T_{0_p^E}^\pi E$ . As a consequence,

$$\begin{aligned} T_p^{\text{vert}}(\phi \circ \sigma)(v_p) &= T_p(\phi \circ \sigma)(v_p) - T_p0^{M \times E|_p}(v_p) = T_{0_p^E}\phi(T_p\sigma v_p) - T_{0_p^E}\phi(T_p0^E v_p) \\ &= T_{0_p^E}\phi(T_p\sigma v_p - T_p0^E v_p) = T_{0_p^E}\phi(T_p^{\text{vert}}\sigma v_p) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $v_p \in T_pM$ . Choose  $e_p \in E|_p$  and consider the corresponding vertical vector

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} t e_p \in T_{0_p^E} E.$$

Then

$$T_{0_p^E}\phi \left( \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} t e_p \right) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} t \phi(e_p) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} t e_p$$

since  $\phi|_p: E|_p \rightarrow \{p\} \times E|_p$  is the identity map. This shows that

$$T_p^{\text{vert}}\sigma v_p = T_{0_p^E}\phi(T_p^{\text{vert}}\sigma v_p) = T_p^{\text{vert}}(\phi \circ \sigma)(v_p)$$

for all  $v_p \in T_pM$ .

The second statement then follows immediately.  $\square$

The last lemma shows that the map  $T_i^{\text{vert}}\sigma: T_i \text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow E_i$  can be computed in a chart  $U := U^{i, i^c} \simeq \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{i}^c$  given by a choice of complement  $\mathfrak{i}^c$  for  $\mathfrak{i}$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$ , since it induces a trivialisation

$$E|_{U^{i, i^c}} \rightarrow U^{i, i^c} \times (\mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i})$$

that is the identity on  $E|_i$ . By (40), the image under the isomorphism  $\Phi^{-1}: E|_U \rightarrow U \times (\mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i})$  of  $\sigma|_U: U \rightarrow E|_U$  is given by

$$\tilde{\sigma} := \Phi^{-1} \circ \sigma: U \rightarrow U \times (\mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}), \quad \tilde{\sigma}(\text{graph}(\phi)) = (\phi, \mu_\phi)$$

for all  $\phi \in \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{i}^c$ , with  $\mu_\phi \in \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}$  given by

$$\mu_\phi(x, u) = \text{pr}_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}}[x, u + \phi(u)] - (\text{pr}_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}} \circ \phi \circ \text{pr}_i)[x, u + \phi(u)]$$

for all  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  and all  $u \in \mathfrak{i}$ .

Take a smooth curve  $\phi: I \rightarrow U$  with  $\phi(0) = 0$  i.e.  $\text{graph}(\phi(0)) = \mathfrak{i}$ . Then as discussed in Appendix A.1,

$$\dot{\phi}(0) \in T_i \text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}$$

and by the considerations above

$$T_i^{\text{vert}}\sigma \left( \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \phi(t) \right) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \mu_{\phi(t)}.$$

On  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $u \in \mathfrak{i}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \mu_{\phi(t)} \right) (x, u) &= \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \left( \text{pr}_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}}[x, u + \phi(t)(u)] - (\text{pr}_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}} \circ \phi(t) \circ \text{pr}_i)[x, u + \phi(t)(u)] \right) \\ &= \text{pr}_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}} \left[ x, \dot{\phi}(0)(u) \right] - \left( \text{pr}_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}} \circ \dot{\phi}(0) \circ \text{pr}_i \right) [x, u + \phi(0)(u)] - (\text{pr}_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}} \circ \phi(0) \circ \text{pr}_i) [x, u + \dot{\phi}(0)(u)] \\ &= \text{pr}_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}} \left[ x, \dot{\phi}(0)(u) \right] - \underbrace{\left( \text{pr}_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}} \circ \dot{\phi}(0) \circ \text{pr}_i \right)}_{\in \mathfrak{i}} [x, u] = \text{pr}_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}} \left[ x, \dot{\phi}(0)(u) \right] - (\text{pr}_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}} \circ \dot{\phi}(0)) [x, u] \end{aligned}$$

since<sup>7</sup>  $\phi(0) = 0$ . This shows that

$$T_i^{\text{vert}}\sigma \left( \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \phi(t) \right) = \delta_{\mathfrak{g} \triangleright \mathfrak{i}}^{\text{Hom}} \left( \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \phi(t) \right).$$

Since the curve  $\phi: I \rightarrow U$  was arbitrary, this shows that

$$T_i^{\text{vert}}\sigma = \delta_{\mathfrak{g} \triangleright \mathfrak{i}}^{\text{Hom}}: \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}.$$

Let  $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$  be the Lie group of Lie algebra automorphisms of  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mu_{\mathfrak{g}})$  and consider the (left) linear Lie group action

$$\tilde{\alpha}: \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}) \times (\text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g}) \times (\mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g})) \rightarrow (\text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g}) \times (\mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}))$$

<sup>7</sup>In this computation  $\dot{\phi}(0)$  is considered an element of  $\mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{i}^c$ .

defined by

$$(\Psi, (W, \omega) \mapsto (\Psi(W), \Psi \circ \omega \circ (\Psi^{-1}, \Psi^{-1})),$$

where  $\omega \in \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}$  is seen as an element of  $\text{Hom}(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$ . The action  $\tilde{\alpha}$  is linear over the canonical Lie group action  $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}) \times \text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g})$ ,  $(\Psi, W) \mapsto \Psi(W)$ . By the following proposition, there is a unique Lie group action

$$\alpha: \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}) \times E \rightarrow E$$

such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}) \times (\text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g}) \times (\mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g})) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\alpha}} & \text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g}) \times (\mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}) \\ \downarrow \text{id}_{\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})} \times P & & \downarrow P \\ \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}) \times E & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & E \end{array}$$

commutes. The Lie group action  $\alpha$  is explicitly defined by

$$(\Psi, (W, \phi_W)) \mapsto (\Psi(W), \Psi \phi_W) \in \{\Psi(W)\} \times \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}, (\Psi(W))^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\Psi(W)),$$

where the second component is given on  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $w \in W$  by:

$$(37) \quad \Psi \phi_W(x, \Psi(w)) := \pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\Psi(W)} \circ \Psi(\underbrace{(\phi_W(\Psi^{-1}(x), w))^{\text{lift}}}_{\in \mathfrak{g}/W}),$$

where for any  $y \in \mathfrak{g}/W$ , the element  $y^{\text{lift}}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is an arbitrary choice of element of  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that  $\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/W}(y^{\text{lift}}) = y$ .

**Proposition 6.10.** In the situation above, the pair  $(E \rightarrow M, \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}))$  is an  $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$ -vector bundle and its section  $\sigma$  defined in (36) is  $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$ -equivariant.

This follows from the following lemma, the proof of which is straightforward and left to the reader.

**Lemma 6.11.** Let  $F \rightarrow M$  be a smooth vector bundle over a smooth manifold  $M$ , let  $F_0 \subset F$  be a vector subbundle over  $M$ , and let  $G$  be a Lie group. Let  $P: F \rightarrow F/F_0$  be the canonical epimorphism of vector bundles over the identity on  $M$ . Assume that

$$\tilde{\alpha}: G \times F \rightarrow F, \quad \alpha_0: G \times M \rightarrow M$$

are smooth (left) Lie group actions, such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G \times F & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\alpha}} & F \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ G \times M & \xrightarrow{\alpha_0} & M \end{array}$$

is a vector bundle homomorphism.

(1) If  $\tilde{\alpha}_g(F_0) = F_0$  for all  $g \in G$ , then  $\tilde{\alpha}$  quotients to a smooth Lie group action

$$\alpha: G \times F/F_0 \rightarrow F/F_0, \quad \alpha(g, f_p + F_0(p)) \mapsto \tilde{\alpha}(g, f_p) + F_0(gp)$$

for all  $g \in G$ ,  $p \in M$  and  $f_p \in F(p)$ , i.e. such that

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & G \times F/F_0 & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & F/F_0 \\ & \nearrow \text{id}_G \times P & & & \nearrow P \\ G \times F & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\alpha}} & F & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ G \times M & \xrightarrow{\alpha_0} & M & & \end{array}$$

commutes.

- (2) Consider a smooth  $G$ -equivariant section  $\tilde{\sigma}: M \rightarrow F$ . Then  $\tilde{\sigma}$  quotient to a smooth  $G$ -equivariant section  $\sigma := P \circ \tilde{\sigma}: M \rightarrow F/F_0$ , i.e. such that

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & G \times F/F_0 & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & F/F_0 \\
 & \nearrow \text{id}_G \times P & & & \nearrow P \\
 G \times F & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\alpha}} & F & & \\
 \uparrow \text{id}_G \times \tilde{\sigma} & \nearrow \text{id}_G \times \sigma & \uparrow \tilde{\sigma} & & \nearrow \sigma \\
 G \times M & \xrightarrow{\alpha_0} & M & & 
 \end{array}$$

commutes.

*Proof of Proposition 6.10.* The vector bundle  $E \rightarrow \text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g})$  is the quotient of  $\text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g}) \times (\mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g})$  by the kernel

$$(\text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g}) \times \mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \text{Taut}_k(\mathfrak{g})^\circ \otimes (\text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g}) \times \mathfrak{g}) \oplus (\text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g}) \times \mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes (\text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g}) \times \mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \text{Taut}_k(\mathfrak{g}).$$

For  $\alpha$  to be defined, it suffices hence to show that this vector subbundle of  $\text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g}) \times (\mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g})$  is invariant under the action  $\tilde{\alpha}$ . Take  $(W, \omega)$  in the first summand, i.e.  $W \in \text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g})$  and  $\omega \in \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}$  does  $\omega(x, w) = 0$  for all  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  and all  $w \in W$ . Then for all  $\Psi \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$ , for all  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  and all  $w \in W$

$$(\Psi \circ \omega)(\Psi^{-1}(x), \Psi^{-1}(w)) = \Psi(\omega(\Psi^{-1}(x), w)) = 0,$$

which shows that

$$\Psi \cdot (W, \omega) = (\Psi(W), \Psi \circ \omega \circ (\Psi^{-1}, \Psi^{-1}))$$

lies again in  $(\text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g}) \times \mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \text{Taut}_k(\mathfrak{g})^\circ \otimes (\text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g}) \times \mathfrak{g})$ . Similarly, the subspace  $(\text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g}) \times \mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes (\text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g}) \times \mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \text{Taut}_k(\mathfrak{g})$  is clearly preserved by the  $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$ -action on  $\text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g}) \times (\mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g})$ .

It remains therefore to check that  $\tilde{\sigma}: \text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g}) \times (\mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g})$  is  $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$ -equivariant. But this is immediate since  $\tilde{\sigma}$  is the constant section

$$\tilde{\sigma}(W) = (W, \mu_{\mathfrak{g}})$$

for all  $W \in \text{Gr}_k(W)$ , and  $\Psi \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$  is by definition an isomorphism of  $\mathfrak{g}$  preserving  $\mu_{\mathfrak{g}}$ :

$$\Psi \circ \mu_{\mathfrak{g}} \circ (\Psi^{-1}, \Psi^{-1}) = \mu_{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

□

The differential at  $\text{Id}_{\mathfrak{g}} \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$  of the orbit map  $\alpha^i: \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g})$  of the action  $\alpha^{\text{res}}$  is computed as follows. First recall that

$$T_{\text{Id}_{\mathfrak{g}}}(\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})) = \mathfrak{aut}(\mathfrak{g}) := \{\phi \in \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g}) \mid \phi([x, y]) = [\phi(x), y] + [x, \phi(y)]\} = Z^1(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g}).$$

Therefore,  $T_{\text{Id}_{\mathfrak{g}}}\alpha^i: \mathfrak{aut}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow T_i \text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g})$ . Let  $(\phi_t)_{t \in I} \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$  a smooth curve of automorphisms such that  $\phi_0 = \text{Id}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ . Then as an element of  $T_i \text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}$ ,

$$T_{\text{Id}_{\mathfrak{g}}}\alpha^i \left( \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \phi_t \right) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \phi_t(\mathfrak{i}) = \pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}} \circ \dot{\phi}(0)|_{\mathfrak{i}},$$

see the computation at the end of Appendix A. This shows that for all  $\psi \in \mathfrak{aut}(\mathfrak{g})$ ,  $T_{\text{Id}_{\mathfrak{g}}}\alpha^i(\psi) = \pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}} \circ \psi|_{\mathfrak{i}} \in \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{i}, \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}) \simeq T_i \text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g})$ .

As a summary, the sequence (34) reads here

$$Z^1(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{aut}(\mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow T_i \text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i} \longrightarrow E_i = \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}$$

with the first map

$$\psi \mapsto \pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}} \circ \psi|_{\mathfrak{i}}$$

and the second map

$$\psi \mapsto \delta_{\mathfrak{i} \triangleleft \mathfrak{g}}^{\text{Hom}} \psi.$$



**Definition 6.12.** An ideal  $\mathfrak{i} \triangleleft (\mathfrak{g}, \mu_{\mathfrak{g}})$  is called a **(topologically) rigid ideal** if the space of  $k$ -dimensional Lie ideals  $\mathbf{I}_k(\mathfrak{g})$  coincides locally, in some open neighborhood of  $\mathfrak{i} \in \mathbf{I}_k(\mathfrak{g}) \subset \text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g})$ , with the  $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$ -orbit of  $\mathfrak{i}$ . Namely,  $\mathfrak{i} \triangleleft \mathfrak{g}$  is (topologically) rigid if there exists a neighborhood  $U_{\mathfrak{i}^\perp} \in \text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g})$  such that every  $\mathfrak{i}' \in U_{\mathfrak{i}^\perp} \cap \mathbf{I}_k(\mathfrak{g})$  belongs in the orbit of  $\mathfrak{i}$ :  $\mathfrak{i}' = \Psi(\mathfrak{i})$ , for some  $\Psi \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$ .

**Remark 6.13.** Note that in the case of Lie subalgebras, two Lie subalgebras are considered equivalent if they are related by an *inner automorphism* of the ambient Lie algebra. As already mentioned, in the case of an ideal, this equivalence relation becomes trivial and consequently not interesting. The following theorem shows that the definition of rigidity above is more natural in the context of Lie ideals. Therefore this paper studies the moduli space of Lie ideals under this natural action of the automorphism group of the ambient Lie algebra on the space of  $k$ -dimensional Lie ideals.

**Theorem 6.14.** *Let  $\mathfrak{i} \triangleleft (\mathfrak{g}, \mu_{\mathfrak{g}})$  be a Lie ideal. If*

$$H^0(\Pi): H^1_{\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}}}(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}) \rightarrow H^0(\mathfrak{i} \triangleleft \mathfrak{g}), [\phi] \mapsto \phi|_{\mathfrak{i}}$$

*is surjective, then  $\mathfrak{i} \triangleleft \mathfrak{g}$  is a (topologically) rigid ideal with respect to the  $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$ -action.*

*Proof.* The vector bundle  $(E \rightarrow \text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g}), \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}))$  is an  $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$ -vector bundle and the section  $\sigma: \text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow E$  is  $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$ -equivariant by Proposition 6.14. The only assumption that remains to be checked, in order to apply Proposition 6.7, is the non-degeneracy of the zero  $\mathfrak{i}$  of  $\sigma$ . The map  $T_{\text{Id}_{\mathfrak{g}}} \alpha^{\mathfrak{i}}: \psi \mapsto \pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}} \circ \psi|_{\mathfrak{i}}$  factors as follows through the map  $(\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}})_*: Z^1(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow Z^1(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i})$ ,  $\psi \mapsto \pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}} \circ \psi$ ,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z^1(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g}) & \xrightarrow{T_{\text{Id}_{\mathfrak{g}}} \alpha^{\mathfrak{i}}} & C^0(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}) \xrightarrow{\delta_{\mathfrak{g} \triangleright \mathfrak{i}}^{\text{Hom}}} C^1(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}) \\ (\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}})_* \downarrow & \nearrow \Pi & \\ Z^1(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}) & & \end{array}$$

with  $\Pi$  as in Proposition 4.4:

$$\Pi: C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i})[1] \rightarrow C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}), f \mapsto f|_{\wedge \bullet \mathfrak{g} \wedge \mathfrak{i}},$$

restricted at degree 0 cocycles. The above sequence is exact if  $\text{Im}(\Pi) \subset C^0(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i})$  is equal to  $\text{Ker}(\delta_{\mathfrak{g} \triangleright \mathfrak{i}}^{\text{Hom}}) = Z^0(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i})$ . This is exactly the surjectivity of  $H^0(\Pi)$ .  $\square$

The last theorem and Proposition 4.10 have together the following corollary.

**Corollary 6.15.** *If  $H^2(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}; \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}) = 0$ , then  $\mathfrak{i} \triangleleft \mathfrak{g}$  is (topologically) rigid under the  $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$ -action.*

**Example 6.16.** This shows that the radical ideal  $\text{Rad}(\mathfrak{g})$  of a finite-dimensional Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is topologically rigid with respect to the  $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$ -action, since the quotient  $\mathfrak{g}/\text{Rad}(\mathfrak{g})$  is semi-simple and so

$$H^2\left(\frac{\mathfrak{g}}{\text{Rad}(\mathfrak{g})}; \frac{\mathfrak{g}}{\text{Rad}(\mathfrak{g})}\right) = 0,$$

by Whitehead's (second) lemma, see e.g. [17].

**6.3. Stability of ideals in Lie algebras.** This section is inspired from the study in [4] of the stability of Lie algebras, Lie algebra morphisms and Lie subalgebras. Similar techniques are developed to obtain a stability result for Lie ideals.

**Proposition 6.17.** (Stability of zeros [4]) *Let  $E \rightarrow M$  and  $F \rightarrow M$  be two vector bundles over the same base,  $\sigma \in \Gamma(E)$  and  $\tau \in \Gamma(\text{Hom}(E, F))$  satisfying  $\tau \circ \sigma = 0$ . In addition, let  $x \in \sigma^{-1}(0)$  and assume that the following sequence is exact:*

$$T_x M \xrightarrow{T_x^{\text{vert}} \sigma} E_x \xrightarrow{\tau_x} F_x.$$

Then the following statements hold true:

- (1)  $\sigma^{-1}(0)$  is locally a manifold around  $x$  of dimension equal to  $\dim(\text{Ker}(T_x^{\text{vert}} \sigma))$ .
- (2) For each open neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  in  $M$  there exist  $C^0$ -open subsets  $V \subseteq \Gamma(E)$  and  $W \subseteq \Gamma(\text{Hom}(E, F))$  around  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$ , respectively, such that for all  $\sigma' \in V$  and  $\tau' \in W$  with  $\tau' \circ \sigma' = 0$ , there exists  $x' \in U$  such that  $\sigma'(x') = 0$ .
- (3) In the situation of (2) there exists as well a  $C^1$ -open subset  $V^1 \subseteq V$  around  $\sigma$  such that if  $\sigma' \in V^1$ , then the zero set of  $\sigma'$  is also locally a manifold around  $x'$ , of the same dimension as  $\sigma^{-1}(0)$ .

In the following,  $E \rightarrow \text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g})$  is the vector bundle already defined in (35) for the rigidity of a Lie ideal  $\mathfrak{i} \triangleleft \mathfrak{g}$ , and  $\sigma$  is its section  $\sigma: \text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow E$ ,  $W \mapsto \sigma_W \in E|_W$  with  $\sigma_W(x, w) = \pi_{\mathfrak{g}/W}([x, w])$  for all  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  and all  $w \in W$ . The second vector bundle  $\pi_F: F \rightarrow \text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g})$  is defined to be the vector bundle over  $\text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g})$  with fiber over  $W \in \text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g})$  defined by  $F|_W := \{W\} \times \text{Hom}(\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}; W^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/W)$ , i.e.  $F$  is the smooth vector bundle

$$F = (\text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g}) \times \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes (\text{Taut}_k(\mathfrak{g}))^* \otimes \frac{\text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g}) \times \mathfrak{g}}{\text{Taut}_k(\mathfrak{g})} \longrightarrow \text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Like  $E$ , the vector bundle  $F$  is a quotient vector bundle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g}) \times (\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}) & \xrightarrow{P_F} & F \\ & \searrow \text{pr}_1 & \swarrow \pi_F \\ & & \text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g}) \end{array}$$

with the smooth quotient map  $P_F$  sending  $(W, \eta)$  to

$$(W, \pi_{\mathfrak{g}/W} \circ \eta|_{\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g} \otimes W}).$$

Consider the vector bundle morphism

$$\tilde{\tau}: \text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g}) \times (\mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})) \rightarrow \text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g}) \times (\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g}))$$

over the identity  $\text{id}_{\text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g})}$ , which is defined by

$$\tilde{\tau}(W, \phi) \mapsto (W, \delta_{\text{ad}^{\mathfrak{g}}} \phi)$$

for all  $W \in \text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g})$  and all  $\phi \in \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})$ . Recall here that  $\delta_{\text{ad}^{\mathfrak{g}}}: \wedge^{\bullet} \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \wedge^{\bullet+1} \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})$  is the differential defined in Section 4.2.1 by the representation  $r := (\text{ad}^{\mathfrak{g}})^* \left( \text{ad}^{\mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})} \right)$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on  $\mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})$ :

$$r: \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g}), \quad (r_x(\phi))(y) = [x, \phi(y)] - \phi[x, y]$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$  and all  $\phi \in \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ .

The smooth vector bundle morphism

$$\tau := P_F \circ \tilde{\tau} \circ S: E \rightarrow F,$$

with  $S: E \rightarrow \text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g}) \times (\mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g})$  defined as in Appendix A.2 by a choice of inner product on  $\mathfrak{g}$ , then sends  $(W, \eta) \in E|_W = \{W\} \times (\mathfrak{g}^* \otimes W^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/W)$  to

$$(W, \pi_{\mathfrak{g}/W} \circ (\delta_{\text{ad}^{\mathfrak{g}}}(S_W(\eta))|_{\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g} \otimes W})) \in \{W\} \otimes (\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes W^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/W) = F|_W.$$

The form  $\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/W} \circ (\delta_{\text{ad}^{\mathfrak{g}}}(S_W(\eta))|_{\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g} \otimes W}) =: \tau_W(\eta) \in \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes W^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/W$  is explicitly defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_W(\eta)(x, y, w) &= \pi_{\mathfrak{g}/W}([x, s_W(\eta(y, w))]) - \eta(y, \text{pr}_W[x, w]) \\ &\quad - \pi_{\mathfrak{g}/W}([y, s_W(\eta(x, w))]) + \eta(x, \text{pr}_W[y, w]) - \eta([x, y], w) \end{aligned}$$

for  $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $w \in W$ . Write again  $\sigma_W$  for the second component  $\pi_{\mathfrak{g}/W} \circ \mu_{\mathfrak{g}}|_{\mathfrak{g} \otimes W}$  of the image under  $\sigma: \text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow E$  of  $W \in \text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g})$ . Then, using the Jacobi identity for  $\mu_{\mathfrak{g}}$

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_W(\sigma_W)(x, y, w) &= \pi_{\mathfrak{g}/W}[x, s_W \circ \pi_{\mathfrak{g}/W}[y, w]] - \pi_{\mathfrak{g}/W}[y, \text{pr}_W[x, w]] \\ &\quad - \pi_{\mathfrak{g}/W}[y, s_W \circ \pi_{\mathfrak{g}/W}[x, w]] + \pi_{\mathfrak{g}/W}[x, \text{pr}_W[y, w]] - \pi_{\mathfrak{g}/W}([x, y], w) \\ (38) \quad &= \pi_{\mathfrak{g}/W}[x, \text{pr}_{\text{orth}(W)}[y, w]] - \pi_{\mathfrak{g}/W}[y, \text{pr}_W[x, w]] \\ &\quad - \pi_{\mathfrak{g}/W}[y, \text{pr}_{\text{orth}(W)}[x, w]] + \pi_{\mathfrak{g}/W}[x, \text{pr}_W[y, w]] - \pi_{\mathfrak{g}/W}([x, y], w) \\ &= \pi_{\mathfrak{g}/W}([x, [y, w]] - [y, [x, w]] - [[x, y], w]) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$  and all  $w \in W$ . This shows that  $\tau_W \circ \sigma_W = 0$  for all  $W \in \text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g})$  and so that

$$\tau \circ \sigma = 0.$$

**Remark 6.18.** Each element  $\eta \in \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}$  defines a linear map

$$\delta_{\eta}: \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}$$

by

$$\delta_{\eta}(\nu)(x_1, x_2, x) = \eta(x_1, \nu(x_2, x)) - \nu(x_2, \eta(x_1, x)) - \eta(x_2, \nu(x_1, x)) + \nu(x_1, \eta(x_2, x)) - \nu(\eta(x_1, x_2), x)$$

for all  $\nu \in \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}$  and  $x_1, x_2, x \in \mathfrak{g}$ , and the map

$$\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}, \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}), \quad \eta \rightarrow \delta_\eta$$

is again linear. Hence it defines a linear map

$$\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \Gamma(\text{Hom}(\text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g}) \times (\mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}), \text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g}) \times (\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}))), \quad \eta \rightarrow \tilde{\delta}_\eta,$$

where for  $\psi \in \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}, \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g})$ , the section

$$\tilde{\psi} \in \Gamma(\text{Hom}(\text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g}) \times (\mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}), \text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g}) \times (\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g})))$$

is the constant section defined by  $\psi$ . The map

$$\text{T}: \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \Gamma(\text{Hom}(E, F)), \quad \eta \mapsto P_F \circ \tilde{\delta}_\eta \circ S$$

is then also  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear since  $P_F: \text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g}) \times (\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow F$  and  $S: E \rightarrow \text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g}) \times (\mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g})$  are vector bundle morphisms over the identity on  $\text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g})$ .

**Lemma 6.19.** Let  $\Lambda \subset \text{Hom}(\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g})$  be the space of Lie brackets on the vector space  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Consider the map

$$(39) \quad \Theta: \Lambda \rightarrow \Gamma(E) \times \Gamma(\text{Hom}(E, F)), \quad \mu \mapsto (\Sigma(\mu), \text{T}(\mu)),$$

with  $\Sigma: \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \Gamma(E)$  the linear map defined in Remark 6.8 and  $\text{T}: \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \Gamma(F)$  the linear map defined in Remark 6.18. Then  $\Theta$  is continuous with respect to the product compact-open  $C^k$ -topology on  $\Gamma(E) \times \Gamma(\text{Hom}(E, F))$ , for any  $k \geq 0$ .

*Proof.* The maps  $\Sigma: \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \Gamma(E)$  and  $\phi: \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \Gamma(\text{Hom}(E, F))$  are continuous as linear maps from finite dimensional Hausdorff topological vector spaces to topological vector space, see e.g. [38, Lemma 1.20]. The natural inclusion  $i: \Lambda \rightarrow \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}$  is continuous, since  $\Lambda$  is equipped with the subspace topology, and so  $\Sigma|_\Lambda$  and  $\text{T}|_\Lambda$  are continuous by the following commutative diagrams.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda & \xrightarrow{\Sigma|_\Lambda} & \Gamma(E) \\ & \searrow i & \nearrow \Sigma \\ & & \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda & \xrightarrow{\text{T}|_\Lambda} & \Gamma(\text{Hom}(E, F)) \\ & \searrow i & \nearrow \text{T} \\ & & \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g} \end{array}$$

□

Roughly speaking, an ideal  $\mathfrak{i} \triangleleft (\mathfrak{g}, \mu_{\mathfrak{g}})$  is (topologically) stable if for any Lie bracket  $\mu'$  close enough to  $\mu_{\mathfrak{g}}$ , there exists a Lie ideal  $\mathfrak{i}' \triangleleft (\mathfrak{g}, \mu')$  close enough to  $\mathfrak{i} \in \text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g})$ . The precise definition is the following.

**Definition 6.20.** An ideal  $\mathfrak{i} \triangleleft (\mathfrak{g}, \mu_{\mathfrak{g}})$  in a Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mu_{\mathfrak{g}})$  is called a **(topologically) stable ideal** if for each neighborhood  $U \subset \text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g})$  of  $\mathfrak{i}$  in  $\text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g})$  there exists a neighborhood  $V \subset \Lambda$  of  $\mu_{\mathfrak{g}}$  in  $\Lambda$  such that for every  $\mu' \in V$  there exists  $\mathfrak{i}' \in U$  with  $\mathfrak{i}' \triangleleft (\mathfrak{g}, \mu')$ .

**Theorem 6.21.** Let  $\mathfrak{i} \triangleleft (\mathfrak{g}, \mu_{\mathfrak{g}})$  be an ideal. If  $H^1(\mathfrak{i} \triangleleft \mathfrak{g}) = 0$ , then  $\mathfrak{i}$  is a (topologically) stable ideal. Furthermore, in this case, the space of  $k$ -dimensional Lie ideals  $\mathbf{I}_k(\mathfrak{g}) \subset \text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g})$  is locally around  $\mathfrak{i}$ , a manifold of dimension equal to  $\dim(Z^0(\mathfrak{i} \triangleleft \mathfrak{g}))$ . In particular,  $Z^0(\mathfrak{i} \triangleleft \mathfrak{g})$  is the tangent space of  $\mathbf{I}_k(\mathfrak{g})$  at  $\mathfrak{i}$  and each infinitesimal deformation is a deformation class.

*Proof.* Consider the map  $\Theta$  defined in (39)

$$\Theta: \Lambda \rightarrow \Gamma(E) \times \Gamma(\text{Hom}(E, F)), \quad \mu \mapsto (\Sigma(\mu), \text{T}(\mu)).$$

The same computation as (38) but with  $\mu \in \Lambda$  replacing  $[\cdot, \cdot] = \mu_{\mathfrak{g}}$  shows that the identity  $\text{T}(\mu) \circ \Sigma(\mu) = 0$  is satisfied for all Lie brackets  $\mu \in \Lambda$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

It is easy to see using Section 4.2.1 that  $\tau = \text{T}(\mu_{\mathfrak{g}})$  restricted to

$$E|_{\mathfrak{i}} = \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i} \longrightarrow F|_{\mathfrak{i}} = \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}$$

is the linear map  $\delta_{\mathfrak{g} \triangleright \mathfrak{i}}^{\text{Hom}}$ . Hence the sequence of Proposition 6.17 at the point  $\mathfrak{i} \in \mathbf{I}_k(\mathfrak{g})$  is given by

$$C^0(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}) \xrightarrow{\delta_{\mathfrak{g} \triangleright \mathfrak{i}}^{\text{Hom}}} C^1(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}) \xrightarrow{\delta_{\mathfrak{g} \triangleright \mathfrak{i}}^{\text{Hom}}} C^2(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{i}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i})$$

and it is exact if and only if  $H^1(\mathfrak{i} \triangleleft \mathfrak{g}) = 0$ . Hence Proposition 6.17 can be applied here.

Choose an open subset  $U \subseteq \text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g})$  around  $\mathfrak{i} \in \text{Gr}_k(\mathfrak{g})$ . Then there exist  $V \subseteq \Gamma(E)$  and  $W \subseteq \Gamma(\text{Hom}(E, F))$   $C^0$ -open around  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  as in (2) of Proposition 6.17. The continuity of the map (39) guarantees that the pre-image  $\Theta^{-1}(V \times W)$  of  $V \times W$  under  $\Theta$  in the  $C^0$  compact-open topology is an open neighborhood  $O_{\mu_{\mathfrak{g}}} \subset \Lambda$  around  $\mu_{\mathfrak{g}}$ . Let  $\mu' \in O_{\mu_{\mathfrak{g}}}$  and consider  $\sigma' := \Sigma(\mu')$  and  $\tau' := \text{T}(\mu')$ . Recall that then  $\sigma' \circ \tau' = 0$ , due to the Jacobi identity. Hence by (2) of Proposition 6.17 there exists  $\mathfrak{i}' \in U$  such that  $\sigma'(\mathfrak{i}') = 0$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 6.22.** If  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mu_{\mathfrak{g}})$  is a semisimple Lie algebra, then every Lie ideal  $\mathfrak{i} \triangleleft \mathfrak{g}$  is a (topologically) stable ideal.

*Proof.* By Whitehead's (first) lemma, see e.g. [17], follows that  $H^1(\mathfrak{i} \triangleleft \mathfrak{g}) = 0$  and so the claim follows by Theorem 6.21.  $\square$

## APPENDIX A. USEFUL BACKGROUND ON GRASSMANNIANS

This appendix collects useful structural results on Grassmann manifolds, their tangent spaces and their tautological bundles.

**A.1. Tangent spaces to the Grassmannian.** Let  $V$  be a finite-dimensional vector space and choose  $k \in \{0, \dots, \dim V\}$ . The  $k$ -Grassmannian of  $V$  is the space

$$\text{Gr}_k(V) = \{W \subseteq V \mid W \text{ vector subspace of dimension } k\}.$$

For each  $W \in \text{Gr}_k(V)$  and each choice of linear complement  $W^c \subseteq V$  of  $W$  in  $V$ , the map

$$\Psi_{W, W^c}: W^* \otimes W^c \rightarrow \{W' \in \text{Gr}_k(V) \mid W' \oplus W^c = V\} =: U_{W, W^c}, \quad \phi \mapsto \text{graph}(\phi)$$

is a bijection with inverse

$$\Psi_{W, W^c}^{-1}: U_{W, W^c} \rightarrow W^* \otimes W^c, \quad W' \mapsto \phi = \text{pr}_{W^c}|_{W'} \circ (\text{pr}_W|_{W'})^{-1},$$

where  $\text{pr}_{W^c}: V \rightarrow W^c$  and  $\text{pr}_W: V \rightarrow W$  are the linear projections defined by the splitting  $V = W \oplus W^c$  of  $V$ .

The set  $\text{Gr}_k(V)$  has a unique topology and a unique smooth structure such that for each  $W \in \text{Gr}_k(V)$  and each choice of complement  $W^c$  as above, the map  $\Psi_{W, W^c}$  is a smooth chart of  $\text{Gr}_k(V)$  centered at  $W$ . The smooth manifold  $\text{Gr}_k(V)$  has consequently the dimension  $k(n-k)$ . Choose again  $W \in \text{Gr}_k(V)$  and a smooth curve  $\gamma: I \rightarrow \text{Gr}_k(V)$  with  $I$  an interval containing 0, and with  $\gamma(0) = W$ . Choose a complement  $W^c$  for  $W$  in  $V$ . Then, possibly after shrinking the interval  $I$  around 0, the curve  $\gamma$  has image in  $U_{W, W^c}$ . It is hence identified via  $\Psi_{W, W^c}$  with a smooth curve  $\phi: I \rightarrow W^* \otimes W^c$ , and its tangent vector at  $t = 0$  is hence

$$\dot{\phi}(0) \in W^* \otimes W^c.$$

Since  $W^c$  is canonically isomorphic to  $V/W$ , this shows that

$$T_W \text{Gr}_k(V) \simeq W^* \otimes V/W$$

via the choice of chart centered at  $W$ . The following shows that this does not depend on the choice of complement  $W^c$  for  $W$ . Consider two linear complements  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  for  $W$  in  $V$ . Then for each  $w_1 \in W_1$  there exist  $w \in W$  and  $w_2 \in W_2$  such that  $w_1 = w + w_2$ . Setting  $A(w_1) = w$  and  $B(w_1) = w_2$  defines two linear maps  $A = \text{pr}_W^{W, W_2} \circ \iota_{W_1}: W_1 \rightarrow W$  and  $B = \text{pr}_{W_2}^{W, W_2} \circ \iota_{W_1}: W_1 \rightarrow W_2$ . Here,  $\iota_{W_1}$  is the inclusion of  $W_1$  in  $V$ , and  $\text{pr}_W^{W, W_2}$  and  $\text{pr}_{W_2}^{W, W_2}$  are the linear projections from  $V$  on  $W$  and  $W_2$  defined by the splitting  $V = W \oplus W_2$ . The map  $B$  is invertible with inverse  $\text{pr}_{W_1}^{W, W_1} \circ \iota_{W_2}: W_2 \rightarrow W_1$ . Take  $\phi \in W^* \otimes W_1$ . Then for all  $w \in W$

$$w + \phi(w) = w + A\phi(w) + B\phi(w) = \underbrace{(\text{id}_W + A\phi)(w)}_{\in W} + \underbrace{B\phi(w)}_{\in W_2}.$$

If  $\text{graph}(\phi) \cap W_2 = \{0\}$ , then the map

$$\text{pr}_W^{W, W_2}|_{\text{graph } \phi}: \text{graph}(\phi) \rightarrow W, \quad w + \phi(w) \mapsto (\text{id}_W + A\phi)(w)$$

is invertible, and so the map

$$\text{id}_W + A\phi = \text{pr}_W^{W, W_2}|_{\text{graph } \phi} \circ I_{\phi}: W \rightarrow W$$

is invertible as well, if  $I_{\phi}: W \rightarrow \text{graph}(\phi)$  is the isomorphism  $w \mapsto w + \phi(w)$ .

It is then easy to see that

$$\text{graph}(\phi) = \text{graph}(B\phi(\text{id}_W + A\phi)^{-1}),$$

with the linear map  $B\phi(\text{id}_W + A\phi)^{-1} \in W^* \otimes W_2$ .

Now take a smooth curve  $\gamma: I \rightarrow \text{Gr}_k(V)$  with  $\gamma(0) = W$ . There exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $\gamma(-\epsilon, \epsilon) \subseteq \{W' \in \text{Gr}_k(V) \mid W' \oplus W_1 = V = W' \oplus W_2\}$ . Without loss of generality  $I = (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ . Set  $\phi_1: I \rightarrow W^* \otimes W_1$ ,

$$\phi_1 = \Psi_{W, W_1}^{-1} \circ \gamma.$$

Then by the considerations above the image  $\phi_2$  of  $\gamma$  in the chart of  $\text{Gr}_k(V)$  defined by  $W$  and  $W_2$  is given by

$$\phi_2(t) = \Psi_{W, W_2}^{-1} \circ \gamma(t) = B \cdot \phi_1(t) \cdot (I_W + A\phi_1(t))^{-1}$$

for all  $t \in I$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\phi}_2(0) &= \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \phi_2(t) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} B \cdot \phi_1(t) \cdot (I_W + A\phi_1(t))^{-1} \\ &= B \cdot \dot{\phi}_1(0) \cdot (I_W + A\phi_1(0))^{-1} + B \cdot \phi_1(0) \cdot \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} (I_W + A\phi_1(t))^{-1} \\ &= B \cdot \dot{\phi}_1(0) \end{aligned}$$

since  $\phi_1(0) = 0$ .

By definition of  $B$ , the canonical projection  $\pi_{V/W}: V \rightarrow V/W$  does

$$\pi_{V/W}(\psi(w)) = \pi_{V/W}(A\psi(w) + B\psi(w)) = \pi_{V/W}(B\psi(w))$$

for all  $\psi \in W^* \otimes W_1$  and  $w \in W$ , i.e.

$$\pi_{V/W} \circ \psi = \pi_{V/W} \circ B \circ \psi.$$

In particular,

$$\pi_{V/W} \circ \dot{\phi}_2(0) = \pi_{V/W} \circ B \circ \dot{\phi}_1(0) = \pi_{V/W} \circ \dot{\phi}_1(0)$$

as elements of  $W^* \otimes V/W$ .

Now consider more generally a curve  $\alpha: I \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$  of isomorphisms of  $V$  such that  $\alpha(0) = \text{id}_V$ , and take  $W \in \text{Gr}_k(V)$ . Then  $\gamma: I \rightarrow \text{Gr}_k(V)$ ,  $t \mapsto \alpha(t)(W)$  is a smooth curve starting at  $W$ . Choose as before a complement  $W^c$  to  $W$  in  $V$ . Then the curves

$$A := \text{pr}_W \circ \alpha|_W: I \rightarrow W^* \otimes W \quad \text{and} \quad B := \text{pr}_{W^c} \circ \alpha|_W: I \rightarrow W^* \otimes W^c$$

are smooth and  $A$  is invertible on an open neighborhood of 0 in  $I$ , with  $A(0) = \text{Id}_W$  and  $B(0) = 0$ . Without loss of generality  $A$  is invertible on  $I$ . Then for all  $t \in I$

$$\gamma(t) = \alpha_t(W) = \{A(t)(w) + B(t)(w) \mid w \in W\} = \{w + B(t)(A(t))^{-1}(w) \mid w \in W\} = \text{graph}(B(t)(A(t))^{-1}).$$

As an element of  $T_W \text{Gr}_k(W) \simeq W^* \otimes V/W$ , the vector  $\dot{\gamma}(0)$  is hence

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\gamma}(0) &= \pi_{V/W} \circ \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} (B(t)(A(t))^{-1}) = \pi_{V/W} \circ \left( \dot{B}(0)(A(0))^{-1} - B(0) \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} (A(t))^{-1} \right) \\ &= \pi_{V/W} \circ \dot{B}(0) = \pi_{V/W} \circ \dot{\alpha}(0)|_W. \end{aligned}$$

**A.2. The tautological bundle and several vector bundles constructed from it.** The tautological bundle  $\pi: \text{Taut}_k(V) \rightarrow \text{Gr}_k(V)$  over  $\text{Gr}_k(V)$  is defined fibrewise by

$$\text{Taut}_k(V)|_W := \{W\} \times W \subset \text{Gr}_k(V) \times V,$$

and it is a smooth vector subbundle of the product vector bundle  $\text{Gr}_k(V) \times V$ . That is, the vector space structure on  $E_W$  is given by:  $(W, w) + (W, w') = (W, w + w')$ , while the projection  $\pi: \text{Taut}_k(V) \rightarrow \text{Gr}_k(V)$  is given by  $\pi(W, w) = W$ . Let  $U := U_{W, W^c} \simeq W^* \otimes W^c$  be a neighborhood around  $W \in \text{Gr}_k(V)$  defined as above by a choice of complement  $W^c$  for  $W$  in  $V$ . Then

$$\pi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U \times W, \quad (\text{graph}(\phi), v) \mapsto (\phi, \text{pr}_W(v))$$

for all  $\phi \in W^* \otimes W^c$  and all  $v \in \text{graph}(\phi)$ , is a smooth trivialisation of  $\text{Taut}_k(V)$  around  $W$ , with smooth inverse

$$U \times W \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(U), \quad (\phi, w) \mapsto (\text{graph}(\phi), w + \phi(w)).$$

The smooth annihilator

$$\mathrm{Taut}_k^\circ(V) := \{(W, l) \in \mathrm{Gr}_k(V) \times V^* \mid l(w) = 0 \text{ for all } w \in W\}$$

of  $\mathrm{Taut}_k(V)$  as a subbundle of  $\mathrm{Gr}_k(V) \times V$  is denoted by  $\pi_{\mathrm{Taut}^\circ}: \mathrm{Taut}_k^\circ(V) \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_k(V)$ . It is a smooth subbundle of  $\mathrm{Gr}_k(V) \times V^*$  because a smooth chart  $U$  of  $\mathrm{Gr}_k(V)$  as above trivialises  $\mathrm{Taut}_k^\circ(V)$  via the map

$$\pi_{\mathrm{Taut}^\circ}^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U \times W^\circ, \quad (\mathrm{graph}(\phi), l) \mapsto (\phi, l|_{W^c})$$

with smooth inverse

$$U \times W^\circ \rightarrow \pi_{\mathrm{Taut}^\circ}^{-1}(U), \quad (\phi, l) \mapsto (\mathrm{graph}(\phi), l - \phi^*l).$$

Here, the canonical identifications  $W^\circ = (W^c)^*$  and  $(W^c)^\circ = W^*$  are used.

The dual vector bundle  $\pi_{\mathrm{Taut}^*}: \mathrm{Taut}_k^*(V) \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_k(V)$  is then as usual canonically isomorphic to the quotient

$$\mathrm{Taut}_k^*(V) \simeq \frac{\mathrm{Gr}_k(V) \times V^*}{\mathrm{Taut}_k^\circ(V)} \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_k(V).$$

Again, the smooth chart  $U$  of  $\mathrm{Gr}_k(V)$  around  $W$  trivialises  $\mathrm{Taut}_k^*(V)$  via

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{\mathrm{Taut}^*}^{-1}(U) &\simeq \frac{U \times V^*}{\pi_{\mathrm{Taut}^\circ}^{-1}(U)} \longrightarrow U \times W^* \simeq U \times (W^c)^\circ, \\ (\mathrm{graph}(\phi), l + \mathrm{graph}(\phi)^\circ) &\mapsto (\phi, l|_W + l|_{W^c} \circ \phi) \end{aligned}$$

with the smooth inverse

$$(\mathrm{graph}(\phi), l) \mapsto (\mathrm{graph}(\phi), l + \mathrm{graph}(\phi)^\circ).$$

Finally, the quotient vector bundle

$$\bar{\pi}: \frac{\mathrm{Gr}_k(V) \times V}{\mathrm{Taut}_k(V)} \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_k(V)$$

is locally trivialised by the smooth map

$$\bar{\pi}^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U \times W^c, \quad (\mathrm{graph}(\phi), x + \mathrm{graph}(\phi)) \mapsto (\phi, \mathrm{pr}_{W^c}(x) - \phi(\mathrm{pr}_W(x)))$$

with the smooth inverse

$$U \times W^c \rightarrow \bar{\pi}^{-1}(U), \quad (\phi, x) \mapsto (\mathrm{graph}(\phi), x + \mathrm{graph}(\phi)).$$

The vector bundle

$$E := (\mathrm{Gr}_k(V) \times V^*) \otimes (\mathrm{Taut}_k(V))^* \otimes \frac{\mathrm{Gr}_k(V) \times V}{\mathrm{Taut}_k(V)} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_k(V)$$

comes with the smooth vector bundle projection

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Gr}_k(V) \times (V^* \otimes V^* \otimes V) & \xrightarrow{P} & E \\ & \searrow \mathrm{pr}_1 & \swarrow \pi_E \\ & & \mathrm{Gr}_k(V) \end{array}$$

sending  $(W, \phi)$  to

$$(W, \pi_{V/W} \circ \phi|_{V \otimes W}).$$

$E$  is trivialised over the open subset  $U = U_{W, W^c}$  of  $\mathrm{Gr}_k(V)$  by

$$\Phi: U \times (V^* \otimes W^* \otimes V/W) \rightarrow E|_U,$$

$(\mathrm{graph}(\phi), \theta \otimes (\eta|_W + \eta|_{W^c} \circ \phi) \otimes (\mathrm{pr}_{W^c}(x) - \phi(\mathrm{pr}_W(x)))) \mapsto (\phi, \theta \otimes (\eta + \mathrm{graph}(\phi)^\circ) \otimes (x + \mathrm{graph}(\phi)))$ .

Conversely, the inverse of this smooth vector bundle isomorphism sends  $(\mathrm{graph}(\phi), \omega)$  with  $\omega \in V^* \otimes \mathrm{graph}(\phi)^* \otimes V / \mathrm{graph}(\phi)$  to  $(\phi, \tilde{\omega})$  with  $\tilde{\omega} \in V^* \otimes W^* \otimes V/W$  defined by

$$(40) \quad \tilde{\omega}(v, w) = \mathrm{pr}_{W^c}(\omega(v, w + \phi(w))) - \phi(\mathrm{pr}_W(\omega(v, w + \phi(w))))$$

for all  $v \in V$  and  $w \in W$ . Note that the isomorphism  $\Phi: U \times (V^* \otimes W^* \otimes V/W) \rightarrow E|_U$  is the identity on  $E|_W = \{W\} \times (V^* \otimes W^* \otimes V/W)$ .

A choice of inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  on  $V$  defines a map  $\text{orth}: \text{Gr}_k(V) \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{n-k}(V)$  (where  $n = \dim V$ ) sending a  $k$ -dimensional subspace of  $V$  to its orthogonal complement. For  $\phi \in W^* \otimes \text{orth}(W)$ , the adjoint map  $\phi^t \in (\text{orth}(W))^* \otimes W$  with respect to  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is defined as usual by

$$\langle \phi(w), u \rangle = \langle w, \phi^t(u) \rangle$$

for all  $w \in W$  and  $u \in \text{orth}(W)$ . It is then easy to see that in the coordinates on  $\text{Gr}_k(V)$  and  $\text{Gr}_{n-k}(V)$  defined by the splitting  $W \oplus \text{orth}(W)$ , the map  $\text{orth}$  sends  $\phi \in W^* \otimes \text{orth}(W)$  to  $-\phi^t \in (\text{orth}(W))^* \otimes W$ . Hence, it is a smooth map.

It defines the vector bundle morphism

$$s: \frac{\text{Gr}_k(V) \times V}{\text{Taut}_k(V)} \rightarrow \text{Gr}_k(V) \times V, \quad (W, x + W) \mapsto (W, \underbrace{\text{pr}_{\text{orth}(W)}(x)}_{=:s_W(x+W)}),$$

where for each  $W \in \text{Gr}_k(V)$  the map  $\text{pr}_{\text{orth}(W)}: V \rightarrow V$  is the projection of  $V$  on  $\text{orth}(W)$  defined by the splitting  $V = W \oplus \text{orth}(W)$  of  $V$ . The map  $s$  is smooth since

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Gr}_k(V) \times V & \xrightarrow{\tilde{s}} & \text{Gr}_k(V) \times V \\ & \searrow & \nearrow s \\ & \frac{\text{Gr}_k(V) \times V}{\text{Taut}_k(V)} & \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} (W, v) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{s}} & (W, \text{pr}_{\text{orth}(W)}(v)) \\ & \searrow & \nearrow s \\ & (W, v + W) & \end{array}$$

commutes and the top map  $\tilde{s}$  is clearly a smooth vector bundle morphism, while the left projection is a fibration of smooth vector bundles (hence a smooth surjective submersion). The vector bundle morphism  $s$  splits the short exact sequence of vector bundles

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Taut}_k(V) \longrightarrow \text{Gr}_k(V) \times V \longrightarrow \frac{\text{Gr}_k(V) \times V}{\text{Taut}_k(V)} \longrightarrow 0$$

over the identity on  $\text{Gr}_k(V)$ . Similarly, the smooth vector bundle morphism

$$\text{pr}: \text{Gr}_k(V) \times V \longrightarrow \text{Taut}_k(V), \quad (W, x) \mapsto (W, \text{pr}_W(x))$$

is defined by the projections  $\text{pr}_W: V \rightarrow W$  of  $V$  on  $W$  defined by the same splittings  $V = W \oplus \text{orth}(W)$  of  $V$ . The vector bundle morphisms  $s$  and  $\text{pr}$  then define together the smooth splitting

$$S: E \rightarrow \text{Gr}_k(V) \times (V^* \otimes V^* \otimes V)$$

of  $P: \text{Gr}_k(V) \times (V^* \otimes V^* \otimes V) \rightarrow E$  by

$$S: (W, \eta) \mapsto (W, \underbrace{s_W \circ \eta \circ (\text{id}_V \otimes \text{pr}_W)}_{=:S_W(\eta) \in V^* \otimes V^* \otimes V}).$$

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. C. Baez and A. S. Crans. Higher-dimensional algebra. VI. Lie 2-algebras. *Theory Appl. Categ.*, 12:492–538, 2004.
- [2] R. Bott and L. W. Tu. *Differential forms in algebraic topology*, volume 82 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1982.
- [3] M. Crainic. On the perturbation lemma, and deformations. *arXiv preprint math/0403266*, 2004.
- [4] M. Crainic, F. Schätz, and I. Struchiner. A survey on stability and rigidity results for Lie algebras. *Indag. Math. (N.S.)*, 25(5):957–976, 2014.
- [5] P. Deligne. Letter to J. Millson and W. Goldman. 1987.
- [6] V. Drinfeld. A letter from Kharkov to Moscow. *EMS Surv. Math. Sci.*, 1(2):241–248, 2014.
- [7] D. Fiorenza and M. Manetti.  $L_\infty$  structures on mapping cones. *Algebra Number Theory*, 1(3):301–330, 2007.
- [8] Y. Frézier and M. Zambon. Simultaneous deformations of algebras and morphisms via derived brackets. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 219(12):5344–5362, 2015.
- [9] M. Gerstenhaber. The cohomology structure of an associative ring. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 78:267–288, 1963.
- [10] M. Gerstenhaber. On the deformation of rings and algebras. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 79:59–103, 1964.
- [11] M. Gerstenhaber. On the deformation of rings and algebras. II. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 84:1–19, 1966.
- [12] M. Gerstenhaber. On the deformation of rings and algebras. III. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 88:1–34, 1968.
- [13] M. Gerstenhaber. On the deformation of rings and algebras. IV. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 99:257–276, 1974.
- [14] M. Gerstenhaber and C. W. Wilkerson. On the deformation of rings and algebras. V. Deformation of differential graded algebras. In *Higher homotopy structures in topology and mathematical physics (Poughkeepsie, NY, 1996)*, volume 227 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 89–101. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999.
- [15] W. M. Goldman and J. Millson. The homotopy invariance of the kuranishi space. *Illinois Journal of Mathematics*, 34:337–367, 1990.

- [16] V. Hinich. DG coalgebras as formal stacks. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 162(2-3):209–250, 2001.
- [17] N. Jacobson. *Lie algebras*, volume No. 10 of *Interscience Tracts in Pure and Applied Mathematics*. Interscience Publishers (a division of John Wiley & Sons, Inc.), New York-London, 1962.
- [18] K. Kodaira. *Complex manifolds and deformation of complex structures*, volume 283 of *Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1986. Translated from the Japanese by Kazuo Akao, With an appendix by Daisuke Fujiwara.
- [19] K. Kodaira, L. Nirenberg, and D. C. Spencer. On the existence of deformations of complex analytic structures. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 68:450–459, 1958.
- [20] K. Kodaira and D. C. Spencer. On deformations of complex analytic structures. I, II. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 67:328–466, 1958.
- [21] K. Kodaira and D. C. Spencer. On deformations of complex analytic structures. III. Stability theorems for complex structures. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 71:43–76, 1960.
- [22] M. Kontsevich and Y. Soibelman. Deformation theory. 2002.
- [23] T. Lada and M. Markl. Strongly homotopy Lie algebras. *Comm. Algebra*, 23(6):2147–2161, 1995.
- [24] T. Lada and J. Stasheff. Introduction to SH Lie algebras for physicists. *Internat. J. Theoret. Phys.*, 32(7):1087–1103, 1993.
- [25] J. Lurie. Derived Algebraic Geometry X: Formal moduli problems. 2011.
- [26] M. Manetti. Differential graded Lie algebras and formal deformation theory. In *Algebraic geometry, Seattle 2005. Proceedings of the 2005 Summer Research Institute, Seattle, WA, USA, July 25–August 12, 2005*, pages 785–810. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society (AMS), 2009.
- [27] A. Nijenhuis. Composition systems and deformations of subalgebras. *Indag. Math.*, 30:119–136, 1968. *Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A* **71**.
- [28] A. Nijenhuis and R. W. Richardson, Jr. Cohomology and deformations of algebraic structures. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 70:406–411, 1964.
- [29] A. Nijenhuis and R. W. Richardson, Jr. Cohomology and deformations in graded Lie algebras. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 72:1–29, 1966.
- [30] A. Nijenhuis and R. W. Richardson, Jr. Deformations of homomorphisms of Lie groups and Lie algebras. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 73:175–179, 1967.
- [31] A. Nijenhuis and R. W. Richardson, Jr. Deformations of Lie algebra structures. *J. Math. Mech.*, 17:89–105, 1967.
- [32] A. Nijenhuis and R. W. Richardson, Jr. Commutative algebra cohomology and deformations of Lie and associative algebras. *J. Algebra*, 9:42–53, 1968.
- [33] S. Page and R. W. Richardson, Jr. Stable subalgebras of Lie algebras and associative algebras. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 127:302–312, 1967.
- [34] J. P. Pridham. Unifying derived deformation theories. *Adv. Math.*, 224(3):772–826, 2010.
- [35] Jr. R. W. Richardson. Deformations of subalgebras of Lie algebras. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 3(3-4):289 – 308, 1969.
- [36] R. W. Richardson, Jr. On the rigidity of semi-direct products of Lie algebras. *Pacific J. Math.*, 22:339–344, 1967.
- [37] R. W. Richardson, Jr. A rigidity theorem for subalgebras of Lie and associative algebras. *Illinois J. Math.*, 11:92–110, 1967.
- [38] W. Rudin. *Functional analysis*. International Series in Pure and Applied Mathematics. McGraw-Hill, Inc., New York, second edition, 1991.
- [39] Th. Voronov. Higher derived brackets and homotopy algebras. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 202(1-3):133–153, 2005.
- [40] Th. Th. Voronov. Higher derived brackets for arbitrary derivations. In *Travaux mathématiques. Fasc. XVI*, volume 16 of *Trav. Math.*, pages 163–186. Univ. Luxemb., Luxembourg, 2005.

*Email address:* [ilias.ermeydis@mathematik.uni-goettingen.de](mailto:ilias.ermeydis@mathematik.uni-goettingen.de)

*Email address:* [madeleine.jotz@uni-wuerzburg.de](mailto:madeleine.jotz@uni-wuerzburg.de)