

σ -MATCHING AND INTERCHANGEABLE STRUCTURES ON THE STRICTLY UPPER TRIANGULAR MATRIX ALGEBRA

MYKOLA KHRYPCHENKO

ABSTRACT. We describe σ -matching, interchangeable and, as a consequence, totally compatible structures on the strictly upper triangular matrix algebra $UT_n(K)$ for all $n \geq 3$.

CONTENTS

Introduction	1
1. Definitions and preliminaries	2
1.1. Matching compatibilities and interchangeability	3
1.2. Isomorphic and antiisomorphic structures	3
1.3. The algebras $T_n(K)$ and $UT_n(K)$	5
2. id-matching structures on $UT_n(K)$	5
2.1. The complete classification of id-matching structures on $UT_3(K)$	8
3. (12)-matching structures on $UT_n(K)$	11
3.1. Some classes of (12)-matching structures on $UT_n(K)$	11
3.2. The decomposition of a (12)-matching structure on $UT_n(K)$	12
3.3. The complete classification of (12)-matching structures on $UT_3(K)$	16
4. Interchangeable structures on $UT_n(K)$	18
4.1. Some general lemmas	18
4.2. Some classes of products on $UT_n(K)$ that are interchangeable with \cdot	19
4.3. The decomposition of an interchangeable structure on $UT_n(K)$	19
4.4. The complete classification of interchangeable structures on $UT_3(K)$	24
5. Totally compatible structures on $UT_n(K)$	25
References	26

INTRODUCTION

Given two structures from a same variety of algebras \mathcal{A} (e.g. associative, Lie, Leibniz algebras) defined on a same vector space, in general their sum does not necessarily belong to \mathcal{A} . If it does, such structures are called *compatible*. The notion of compatibility goes back to old papers in mathematical physics [11, 15, 2], in which context it has been used for quite a while [4, 3, 7, 8, 14]. More precisely, [4, 14] dealt with compatible associative algebras, while [7, 8] studied compatible Lie algebras. Since then, the interest to compatible algebras has gone beyond mathematical

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 16W99, 16S50; secondary: 16N40.

Key words and phrases. compatible structures; σ -matching structures; interchangeable structures; totally compatible structures; strictly upper triangular matrix algebra.

physics: thus, there appeared compatible Leibniz algebras [12], pre-Lie algebras [1], anti-pre-Lie algebras [13], Hom-Lie algebras [5] and Hom-Lie triple systems [17] and so on. For the algebraic classification of nilpotent compatible algebras of small dimensions see [1, 10].

The compatibility of a pair of bilinear associative products \cdot_1 and \cdot_2 on a same vector space V is equivalent to the following identity on V :

$$(a \cdot_1 b) \cdot_2 c + (a \cdot_2 b) \cdot_1 c = a \cdot_1 (b \cdot_2 c) + a \cdot_2 (b \cdot_1 c). \quad (1)$$

Such structures first appeared (under the name “quantum bi-Hamiltonian systems”) in [4]. Given an associative algebra (A, \cdot) , it is natural to ask: what are the associative products on A that are compatible with \cdot ? In general, one can point out some specific classes of such products (see, for example, [4]), but their full description seems to be a difficult task. For instance, when A is the matrix algebra, such a description is given in [14].

However, there are several particular cases of (1) based on equalities of pairs monomials. If the i -th monomial of the left hand side of (1) equals the i -th monomial of the right hand side of (1) for all $i \in \{1, 2\}$, then the products \cdot_1 and \cdot_2 are said to be *matching* (in this case (V, \cdot_1, \cdot_2) is a so-called *matching dialgebra* [20], also known as *As⁽²⁾-algebra* [22]). In [18], this notion was generalized to σ -*matching* products, where σ is a permutation of $\{1, 2\}$ (so that the i -th monomial of the left hand side of (1) equals the $\sigma(i)$ -th monomial of the right hand side of (1)). If all the 4 monomials in (1) are equal, then \cdot_1 and \cdot_2 are *totally compatible* (see [21, 19]). In [9], we also studied one more “compatibility-like” condition, called *interchangeability*, whose idea comes from [16] and which means that one can permute the operations \cdot_1 and \cdot_2 in each of the monomials in (1) without changing the brackets. It turns out that, whenever A is unital, σ -matching, interchangeable and totally compatible structures on A admit easy descriptions [9], so it makes sense to study them on algebras that are far from being unital, for example, on nilpotent ones.

In this paper we characterize σ -matching, interchangeable and totally compatible structures on the strictly upper triangular matrix algebra $UT_n(K)$, $n \geq 3$, which is a classical example of a nilpotent associative algebra. In Section 1 we recall the definitions of different types of compatibility, give examples and discuss isomorphic and antiisomorphic structures on an arbitrary associative algebra A . We also give some technical details on $UT_n(K)$ that will be used below. Sections 2–4 are devoted to id-matching, (12)-matching and interchangeable structures on $UT_n(K)$, respectively. Each of these sections has a theorem that describes the corresponding structure as a linear combination of some specific products on $UT_n(K)$ (these are Theorems 2.9, 3.18 and 4.19, respectively) and a subsection, where we apply the theorem to give a complete list of pairwise non-isomorphic structures on $UT_3(K)$ (see Propositions 2.13, 3.23 and 4.23). As a consequence, in Section 5 we obtain a description of totally compatible structures on $UT_n(K)$.

1. DEFINITIONS AND PRELIMINARIES

In what follows K will be an arbitrary field. All the algebras and vector spaces will be over K , all the maps between them will be K -linear and all the products on them will be K -bilinear.

1.1. Matching compatibilities and interchangeability. Recall from [9, Definition 1.1] (based on [18]) that two associative products \cdot_1 and \cdot_2 on a same vector space V are

(i) σ -*matching* (where $\sigma \in S_2 = \{\text{id}, (12)\}$), if

$$(a \cdot_1 b) \cdot_2 c = a \cdot_{\sigma(1)} (b \cdot_{\sigma(2)} c) \text{ and } (a \cdot_2 b) \cdot_1 c = a \cdot_{\sigma(2)} (b \cdot_{\sigma(1)} c), \quad (2)$$

(ii) *totally compatible*, if

$$(a \cdot_1 b) \cdot_2 c = (a \cdot_2 b) \cdot_1 c = a \cdot_1 (b \cdot_2 c) = a \cdot_2 (b \cdot_1 c), \quad (3)$$

for all $a, b, c \in V$.

Furthermore, two (not necessarily associative) products \cdot_1 and \cdot_2 on V are said to be *interchangeable* if

$$(a \cdot_1 b) \cdot_2 c = (a \cdot_2 b) \cdot_1 c \text{ and } a \cdot_1 (b \cdot_2 c) = a \cdot_2 (b \cdot_1 c).$$

It is clear that \cdot_1 and \cdot_2 are totally compatible $\Leftrightarrow \cdot_1$ and \cdot_2 are σ -matching for all $\sigma \in S_2 \Leftrightarrow \cdot_1$ and \cdot_2 are interchangeable and σ -matching for some $\sigma \in S_2$.

Given an associative algebra (A, \cdot) , by a σ -*matching* (resp. *interchangeable* or *totally compatible*) *structure* on A we mean an associative product $*$ on A that is σ -matching (resp. interchangeable or totally compatible) with \cdot . It is obvious that \cdot itself is totally compatible with \cdot . More generally, the *mutation* [6] \cdot_x of \cdot by an arbitrary $x \in A$ (i.e., $a \cdot_x b = a \cdot x \cdot b$) is id-matching with \cdot . If, moreover, x is central (more generally, belongs to the *centroid* of A), then \cdot_x is totally compatible with \cdot . For *unital* algebras A , all the id-matching structures on A are mutations of \cdot (see [9, Proposition 2.2]), and all the (12)-matching or interchangeable structures on A are mutations of \cdot by central elements of A (see [9, Proposition 2.6]). In particular, any (12)-matching or interchangeable structure on a unital algebra (A, \cdot) is always totally compatible with \cdot . If A is non-unital (for example, if A is *nilpotent*), all these matching compatibilities may be different as it was shown in [9, Examples 1.4 and 1.5].

In order to give another general example of totally compatible structures (which makes sense, in particular, for nilpotent algebras), recall some notations. Let (A, \cdot) be a (not necessarily associative) algebra. The *annihilator* of A is the ideal $\text{Ann}(A) = \{a \in A : a \cdot b = b \cdot a = 0 \text{ for all } b \in A\}$. As usual, we denote by A^2 the subspace $\text{span}_K\{a \cdot b : a, b \in A\}$.

Remark 1.1. Let (A, \cdot) be an algebra and V be a vector space complement of A^2 . Then any bilinear map $\mu : V \times V \rightarrow \text{Ann}(A)$ extends to the product $*$ on A given by

$$(v_1 + a_1) * (v_2 + a_2) = \mu(v_1, v_2), \quad (4)$$

where $v_i \in V$, $a_i \in A^2$, $i = 1, 2$. Moreover, $(a \cdot b) * c = (a * b) \cdot c = a \cdot (b * c) = a * (b \cdot c) = 0$. In particular, \cdot and $*$ are totally compatible, whenever they are associative.

1.2. Isomorphic and antiisomorphic structures. Let $*_1$ and $*_2$ be two σ -matching, interchangeable or totally compatible structures on (A, \cdot) . We say that $*_1$ and $*_2$ are *isomorphic*, if there exists $\phi \in \text{Aut}(A, \cdot)$ such that

$$\phi(a *_1 b) = \phi(a) *_2 \phi(b)$$

for all $a, b \in A$ (this means that $(A, \cdot, *_1)$ and $(A, \cdot, *_2)$ are isomorphic as algebras with two multiplications). In particular, given a σ -matching (resp. interchangeable

or totally compatible) structure $*$ on (A, \cdot) and $\phi \in \text{Aut}(A, \cdot)$, one can define the new product \star on A by means of

$$a \star b = \phi(\phi^{-1}(a) * \phi^{-1}(b)) \quad (5)$$

for all $a, b \in A$. Clearly, \star is also a σ -matching (resp. interchangeable or totally compatible) structure on (A, \cdot) that is isomorphic to $*$.

An antiautomorphism of (A, \cdot) also induces a new structure on A of the same matching type, which is, however, not isomorphic to $*$.¹

Lemma 1.2. *Let (A, \cdot) be an algebra and φ be an antiautomorphism of (A, \cdot) . Given a product $*$ on A , let \star be the new product on A given by*

$$a \star b = \phi(\phi^{-1}(b) * \phi^{-1}(a)) \quad (6)$$

for all $a, b \in A$.

- (i) *If $*$ satisfies (2) with some $\sigma \in S$, then so does \star .*
- (ii) *If $*$ is interchangeable with \cdot , then so is \star .*
- (iii) *If $*$ is associative, then so is \star .*

Proof. Let $a, b, c \in A$. We first calculate

$$\begin{aligned} (a \cdot b) \star c &= \phi(\phi^{-1}(c) * \phi^{-1}(a \cdot b)) = \phi(\phi^{-1}(c) * (\phi^{-1}(b) \cdot \phi^{-1}(a))), \\ (a \star b) \cdot c &= \phi(\phi^{-1}(b) * \phi^{-1}(a)) \cdot \phi(\phi^{-1}(c)) = \phi(\phi^{-1}(c) \cdot (\phi^{-1}(b) * \phi^{-1}(a))). \end{aligned}$$

(i). Assume that $*$ satisfies (2) with $\sigma = \text{id}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\phi^{-1}(c) * (\phi^{-1}(b) \cdot \phi^{-1}(a))) &= \phi((\phi^{-1}(c) * \phi^{-1}(b)) \cdot \phi^{-1}(a)) \\ &= \phi(\phi^{-1}(a)) \cdot \phi(\phi^{-1}(c) * \phi^{-1}(b)) = a \cdot (b \star c), \\ \phi(\phi^{-1}(c) \cdot (\phi^{-1}(b) * \phi^{-1}(a))) &= \phi((\phi^{-1}(c) \cdot \phi^{-1}(b)) * \phi^{-1}(a)) \\ &= \phi(\phi^{-1}(b \cdot c) * \phi^{-1}(a)) = a \star (b \cdot c). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, if $*$ satisfies (2) with $\sigma = (12)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\phi^{-1}(c) * (\phi^{-1}(b) \cdot \phi^{-1}(a))) &= \phi((\phi^{-1}(c) \cdot \phi^{-1}(b)) * \phi^{-1}(a)) \\ &= \phi(\phi^{-1}(b \cdot c) * \phi^{-1}(a)) = a \star (b \cdot c), \\ \phi(\phi^{-1}(c) \cdot (\phi^{-1}(b) * \phi^{-1}(a))) &= \phi((\phi^{-1}(c) * \phi^{-1}(b)) \cdot \phi^{-1}(a)) \\ &= \phi(\phi^{-1}(a)) \cdot \phi(\phi^{-1}(c) * \phi^{-1}(b)) = a \cdot (b \star c). \end{aligned}$$

(ii). Assume that $*$ is interchangeable with \cdot . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\phi^{-1}(c) * (\phi^{-1}(b) \cdot \phi^{-1}(a))) &= \phi(\phi^{-1}(c) \cdot (\phi^{-1}(b) * \phi^{-1}(a))) \\ &= \phi(\phi^{-1}(b) * \phi^{-1}(a)) \cdot \phi(\phi^{-1}(c)) = (a \star b) \cdot c, \\ \phi(\phi^{-1}(c) \cdot (\phi^{-1}(b) * \phi^{-1}(a))) &= \phi(\phi^{-1}(c) * (\phi^{-1}(b) \cdot \phi^{-1}(a))) \\ &= \phi(\phi^{-1}(c) * \phi^{-1}(a \cdot b)) = (a \cdot b) \star c. \end{aligned}$$

(iii). Assume that $*$ is associative. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (a \star b) \star c &= \phi(\phi^{-1}(c) * \phi^{-1}(a \star b)) = \phi(\phi^{-1}(c) * (\phi^{-1}(b) * \phi^{-1}(a))) \\ &= \phi((\phi^{-1}(c) * \phi^{-1}(b)) * \phi^{-1}(a)) = \phi(\phi^{-1}(b \star c) * \phi^{-1}(a)) = a \star (b \star c). \end{aligned}$$

□

¹More precisely, the resulting algebras $(A, \cdot, *_1)$ and $(A, \cdot, *_2)$ are antiisomorphic.

1.3. The algebras $T_n(K)$ and $UT_n(K)$. Let $(T_n(K), \cdot)$ be the algebra of $n \times n$ upper triangular matrices over K . As a K -space, $T_n(K)$ admits the canonical basis consisting of the matrix units e_{ij} (denoted also $e_{i,j}$ when needed), $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$, where $e_{ij} \cdot e_{kl} = \delta_{jk}e_{il}$. Given $a \in T_n(K)$, we write $a = \sum_{i \leq j} a(i, j)e_{ij}$ and call $a(i, j)$ the *value* of a at (i, j) . The following straightforward formulas will be used throughout the text without any reference:

$$e_{kl} \cdot a = \sum_{l \leq j} a(l, j)e_{kj} \text{ and } a \cdot e_{kl} = \sum_{i \leq k} a(i, k)e_{il}.$$

When needed, we will write e_i for e_{ii} , $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Denote by $UT_n(K)$ the ideal of $T_n(K)$ consisting of strictly upper triangular matrices. Thus, $UT_n(K)$ is a nilpotent associative algebra. Clearly,

$$UT_n(K) = \text{span}_K\{e_{ij} : 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$$

As a K -algebra, $UT_n(K)$ is generated by $\{e_{i,i+1} : 1 \leq i < n\}$.

Remark 1.3. We have $\text{Ann}(UT_n(K)) = \text{span}_K\{e_{1n}\}$ and $UT_n(K)^2 = \text{span}_K\{e_{ij} : 2 \leq i + 1 < j \leq n\}$.

The case $n = 2$ is trivial, since $UT_2(K)$ is a one-dimensional algebra with zero multiplication, so any bilinear product on $UT_2(K)$ is totally compatible with \cdot . Thus, we assume $n \geq 3$ below.

The description of automorphisms of $UT_3(K)$ will be used several times in the applications, so let us give it here.

Lemma 1.4. *The automorphisms of $UT_3(K)$ are the linear maps $\phi : UT_3(K) \rightarrow UT_3(K)$ of the form*

$$\phi(e_{12}) = a_{11}e_{12} + a_{31}e_{13}, \quad \phi(e_{23}) = a_{22}e_{23} + a_{32}e_{13}, \quad \phi(e_{13}) = a_{11}a_{22}e_{13}, \quad (7)$$

where $a_{11}, a_{22} \in K^*$ and $a_{31}, a_{32} \in K$.

Proof. It is easy to check that any linear map of the form (7) is an automorphism of $UT_3(K)$. Conversely, let $\phi \in \text{Aut}(UT_3(K))$. Clearly, $\phi(e_{13}) = a_{33}e_{13}$ for some $a_{33} \in K^*$, because $\text{span}_K\{e_{13}\} = \text{Ann}(UT_3(K))$. Write $\phi(e_{12}) = a_{11}e_{12} + a_{21}e_{23} + a_{31}e_{13}$ and $\phi(e_{23}) = a_{12}e_{12} + a_{22}e_{23} + a_{32}e_{13}$. Then $\phi(e_{12})\phi(e_{23}) = \phi(e_{13})$ gives $a_{11}a_{22} = a_{33}$. In particular, $a_{11}, a_{22} \in K^*$. Moreover, $\phi(e_{12})^2 = 0 \Leftrightarrow a_{11}a_{21} = 0 \Leftrightarrow a_{21} = 0$ and similarly $\phi(e_{23})^2 = 0 \Leftrightarrow a_{12}a_{22} = 0 \Leftrightarrow a_{12} = 0$. \square

2. id-MATCHING STRUCTURES ON $UT_n(K)$

We begin this section with the following general fact.

Lemma 2.1. *Let (A, \cdot) be an associative algebra and X a set of generators of A . Any bilinear product on A satisfying (2) with $\sigma = \text{id}$ is uniquely determined by its values on $X \times X$.*

Proof. Let $*_1$ and $*_2$ be two bilinear products on A satisfying (2) with $\sigma = \text{id}$ and such that $*_1|_{X \times X} = *_2|_{X \times X}$. Then for all $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in X$ we have

$$(x_1 \cdot x_2) *_1 (y_1 \cdot y_2) = x_1 \cdot (x_2 *_1 y_1) \cdot y_2 = x_1 \cdot (x_2 *_2 y_1) \cdot y_2 = (x_1 \cdot x_2) *_2 (y_1 \cdot y_2).$$

By bilinearity, $*_1 = *_2$ on A^2 . \square

In view of Lemma 2.1, it suffices to know how an id-matching structure on $UT_n(K)$ acts on the generators $e_{i,i+1}$, $1 \leq i < n$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $*$ be a bilinear product on $UT_n(K)$ satisfying (2) with $\sigma = \text{id}$. Given arbitrary $1 \leq i < n$ and $1 \leq j < n$, we have*

- (i) *if $1 < i < j + 1 < n$, then $e_{i,i+1} * e_{j,j+1} \in \text{span}_K\{e_{1,j+1}, e_{1n}, e_{in}, e_{i,j+1}\}$;*
- (ii) *if $1 = i < j + 1 < n$, then $e_{i,i+1} * e_{j,j+1} \in \text{span}_K\{e_{1,j+1}, e_{1n}\}$;*
- (iii) *if $1 < i < j + 1 = n$, then $e_{i,i+1} * e_{j,j+1} \in \text{span}_K\{e_{1n}, e_{in}\}$;*
- (iv) *if $1 = i < j + 1 = n$, then $e_{i,i+1} * e_{j,j+1} \in \text{span}_K\{e_{1n}\}$;*
- (v) *if $i \geq j + 1$, then $e_{i,i+1} * e_{j,j+1} \in \text{span}_K\{e_{1,j+1}, e_{1n}, e_{in}\}$.*

Proof. Let $1 < k < n$, $k \neq i$. Then $e_{1k} \cdot (e_{i,i+1} * e_{j,j+1}) = (e_{1k} \cdot e_{i,i+1}) * e_{j,j+1} = 0$. Consequently, $(e_{i,i+1} * e_{j,j+1})(k, l) = (e_{1k} \cdot (e_{i,i+1} * e_{j,j+1}))(1, l) = 0$ for all $1 < k < l$, $k \neq i$. Similarly, for $1 < l < n$, $l \neq j + 1$, one has $(e_{i,i+1} * e_{j,j+1}) \cdot e_{ln} = e_{i,i+1} * (e_{j,j+1} \cdot e_{ln}) = 0$, whence $(e_{i,i+1} * e_{j,j+1})(k, l) = ((e_{i,i+1} * e_{j,j+1}) \cdot e_{ln})(k, n) = 0$ for all $k < l < n$, $l \neq j + 1$. Thus,

$$e_{i,i+1} * e_{j,j+1} \in \begin{cases} \text{span}_K\{e_{1,j+1}, e_{1n}, e_{in}, e_{i,j+1}\}, & i < j + 1, \\ \text{span}_K\{e_{1,j+1}, e_{1n}, e_{in}\}, & i \geq j + 1. \end{cases}$$

Observe that in the case $i < j + 1$ some of the elements $e_{1,j+1}$, e_{1n} , e_{in} , $e_{i,j+1}$ may coincide. More precisely, we have 4 different subcases (i)–(iv) listed above. \square

We are going to show that the product $e_{i,i+1} * e_{j,j+1}$ can indeed be an arbitrary element of the corresponding span from Lemma 2.2. To this end, we need one more general lemma. For a non-unital associative algebra A denote by A^1 its unitization. As a K -space, $A^1 = A \oplus K$ and $(a, k)(b, l) = (ab + kb + la, kl)$ for all $(a, k), (b, l) \in A^1$. Then A is an ideal in A^1 . For any $a \in A$, the K -space $A^1 a$ (resp. $a A^1$) is the left (resp. right) ideal of A generated by a .

Lemma 2.3. *Let A be an associative algebra and $a, b, c \in A$ satisfying the following properties:*

- (i) *for all $x, y \in A^1$: $xa = ya \Rightarrow xc = yc$ and $bx = by \Rightarrow cx = cy$;*
- (ii) *there is a left ideal I in A such that $A = A^1 a \oplus I$ (as left A -modules) and there is a right ideal J in A such that $A = b A^1 \oplus J$ (as right A -modules).*

Then, given $x, y \in A$, write

$$x = pa + u \text{ and } y = bq + v \tag{8}$$

with $p, q \in A^1$ and $u \in I$, $v \in J$. The formula

$$x * y = pcq \tag{9}$$

defines a bilinear product $$ on A . Moreover it satisfies (2) with $\sigma = \text{id}$.*

Proof. First of all, $*$ is well-defined. Indeed, by (ii) any element of A can be written as $pa + u$ for some $p \in A^1$, $u \in I$ and as $bq + v$ for some $q \in A^1$, $v \in J$. Moreover, if $pa + u = p'a + u'$ with $p, p' \in A^1$ and $u, u' \in I$, then $pa = p'a$, whence $pc = p'c$ by (i). Similarly, if $bq + v = bq' + v'$ with $q, q' \in A^1$ and $v, v' \in J$, then $bq = bq'$, whence $cq = cq'$ by (i). Hence, $pcq = p'cq = p'cq'$, so the right-hand side of (9) depends only on x and y , but not on the choice of p and q in (8).

It is clear that $*$ is bilinear. Furthermore, for any $x, y \in A$ as in (8) and $z \in A$ we have $zx = (zp)a + zu$, where $zu \in I$, so $(zx) * y = (zp)cq = z(pcq) = z(x * y)$. Similarly, $yz = b(qz) + vz$, where $vz \in J$, so $x * (yz) = pc(qz) = (pcq)z = (x * y)z$. \square

Observe that $UT_n(K)^1$ is isomorphic to the subalgebra $UT_n(K) + K\delta$ of $T_n(K)$, where δ denotes the identity element of $T_n(K)$.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $1 \leq i < n$. Then*

- (i) $UT_n(K) = UT_n(K)^1 \cdot e_{i,i+1} \oplus UT_n(K) \cdot (\delta - e_{i+1});$
- (ii) $UT_n(K) = e_{i,i+1} \cdot UT_n(K)^1 \oplus (\delta - e_i) \cdot UT_n(K).$

Proof. It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} UT_n(K)^1 \cdot e_{i,i+1} &= \text{span}_K\{e_{i,i+1}\} + \text{span}_K\{e_{k,i+1} \mid k < i\} \\ &= \text{span}_K\{e_{k,i+1} \mid k < i+1\} = UT_n(K) \cdot e_{i+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$UT_n(K) = UT_n(K) \cdot \delta = UT_n(K)^1 \cdot e_{i,i+1} + UT_n(K) \cdot (\delta - e_{i+1}).$$

Since e_{i+1} and $\delta - e_{i+1}$ are orthogonal idempotents of $T_n(K)$, the sum is direct, so we have (i). The proof of (ii) is similar. \square

Lemma 2.5. *Let $1 \leq i < n$ and $1 < j \leq n$. Then*

- (i) $e_{in} \cdot UT_n(K) = UT_n(K) \cdot e_{1j} = \{0\};$
- (ii) *given $f, g \in UT_n(K)$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} f \cdot e_{i,i+1} = g \cdot e_{i,i+1} &\Rightarrow f \cdot e_{ik} = g \cdot e_{ik} \text{ for all } k > i, \\ e_{j,j+1} \cdot f = e_{j,j+1} \cdot g &\Rightarrow e_{l,j+1} \cdot f = e_{l,j+1} \cdot g \text{ for all } l < j + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Item (i) is obvious and (ii) follows from the fact that $e_{ik} = e_{i,i+1} \cdot e_{i+1,k}$ for all $k > i + 1$ and $e_{l,j+1} = e_{lj} \cdot e_{j,j+1}$ for all $l < j$. \square

Lemma 2.6. *The following bilinear products $*$ on $UT_n(K)$ satisfy (2) with $\sigma = \text{id}$.²*

- (i) $\mathbf{M}_{i,j}^{\text{id},1} (1 < i \leq n, 1 \leq j < n) : e_{i-1,i} * e_{jl} = e_{1l} \text{ for all } j < l \leq n;$
- (ii) $\mathbf{M}_{i,j}^{\text{id},2} (1 < i \leq n, 1 \leq j < n, (i,j) \neq (2, n-1)) : e_{ki} * e_{j,j+1} = e_{kn} \text{ for all } 1 \leq k < i;$
- (iii) $\mathbf{M}_{i,j}^{\text{id},3} (2 < i \leq j+1 < n) : e_{ki} * e_{jl} = e_{kl} \text{ for all } 1 \leq k < i \text{ and } j < l \leq n;$
- (iv) $\mathbf{M}_{i,j}^{\text{id},4} (2 < i \leq n, 1 \leq j < n-1) : e_{i-1,i} * e_{j,j+1} = e_{1n}.$

Moreover, (i)–(iv) are linearly independent.

Proof. All the products (i)–(iv) are determined by a fixed triple (a, b, c) of elements of $UT_n(K)$ as in Lemma 2.3 with $A = UT_n(K)$, whose conditions are satisfied in view of Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5. The elements $a = e_{i-1,i}$ and $b = e_{j,j+1}$ are common for all the products, while $c = e_{1,j+1}$ in (i), $c = e_{i-1,n}$ in (ii), $c = e_{i-1,j+1}$ in (iii) and $c = e_{1n}$ in (iv). The ideals I and J are $UT_n(K) \cdot (\delta - e_i)$ and $(\delta - e_j) \cdot UT_n(K)$, respectively.

Indeed, for (i) we have $e_{i-1,i} = \delta \cdot e_{i-1,i} + 0$ and $e_{jl} = e_{j,j+1} \cdot q + 0$, where q is either δ (if $l = j + 1$) or $e_{j+1,l}$ (if $l > j + 1$). Then $e_{i-1,i} * e_{jl} = \delta \cdot e_{1,j+1} \cdot q = e_{1l}$ by (9). Now, let $x < y$ and $z < w$. If $y \neq i$, then $e_{xy} \in I$, so $e_{xy} * e_{zw} = 0$ by (9). Similarly, if $z \neq j$, then $e_{zw} \in J$, so $e_{xy} * e_{zw} = 0$ by (9). Finally, if $y = i$, $z = j$ and $x < i - 1$, we have $e_{xy} = e_{x,i-1} \cdot e_{i-1,i} + 0$ and $e_{zw} = e_{j,j+1} \cdot q + 0$, where q is either δ (if $w = j + 1$) or $e_{j+1,w}$ (if $w > j + 1$). In any case, $e_{xy} * e_{zw} = e_{x,i-1} \cdot e_{1,j+1} \cdot q = 0$ by (9) because $e_{x,i-1} \cdot e_{1,j+1} = 0$.

Furthermore, for (iii) we have $e_{ki} = p \cdot e_{i-1,i} + 0$ and $e_{jl} = e_{j,j+1} \cdot q + 0$, where p is either δ (if $k = i - 1$) or $e_{k,i-1}$ (if $k < i - 1$) and q is either δ (if $l = j + 1$) or $e_{j+1,l}$ (if $l > j + 1$). Then $e_{ki} * e_{jl} = p \cdot e_{i-1,j+1} \cdot q = e_{kl}$ by (9). Now, let $x < y$

²Here and below we usually omit the zero products in the multiplication table.

and $z < w$. If $y \neq i$, then $e_{xy} \in I$, so $e_{xy} * e_{zw} = 0$ by (9). Similarly, if $z \neq j$, then $e_{zw} \in J$, so $e_{xy} * e_{zw} = 0$ by (9).

The proof for (ii) is similar³ to that for (i), and the proof for (iv) is obvious because $e_{1n} \in \text{Ann}(UT_n(K), \cdot)$.

We impose the restriction $(i, j) \neq (2, n-1)$ in (ii), because $\mathbf{M}_{2, n-1}^{\text{id}, 1} = \mathbf{M}_{2, n-1}^{\text{id}, 2}$. Similarly, we exclude $i = 2$ and $j = n-1$ from (iii) and (iv), because $\mathbf{M}_{2, j}^{\text{id}, 3} = \mathbf{M}_{2, j}^{\text{id}, 1}$, $\mathbf{M}_{i, n-1}^{\text{id}, 3} = \mathbf{M}_{i, n-1}^{\text{id}, 2}$, $\mathbf{M}_{2, j}^{\text{id}, 4} = \mathbf{M}_{2, j}^{\text{id}, 2}$ and $\mathbf{M}_{i, n-1}^{\text{id}, 4} = \mathbf{M}_{i, n-1}^{\text{id}, 1}$. With these restrictions on indices, the products (i)–(iv) are linearly independent. \square

Remark 2.7. There are $(n-1)^2$ products of the form (i), $(n-1)^2 - 1$ products of the form (ii), $\frac{1}{2}(n-3)(n-2)$ products of the form (iii) and $(n-2)^2$ products of the form (iv). In total, there are $\frac{7}{2}(n-2)(n-1) + 1$ products of the form (i)–(iv).

Remark 2.8. The structure $\mathbf{M}_{i, j}^{\text{id}, 4}$ is a particular case of (4), because $e_{i-1, i}$ and $e_{j, j+1}$ belong to a complement of $UT_n(K)^2$ and $e_{1n} \in \text{Ann}(UT_n(K), \cdot)$. In particular, $\mathbf{M}_{i, j}^{\text{id}, 4}$ is totally compatible with \cdot .

Theorem 2.9. *Let $n > 2$. Then the id-matching structures on $UT_n(K)$ are exactly the associative products on $UT_n(K)$ that are linear combinations of the products (i)–(iv) of Lemma 2.6.*

Proof. Any associative linear combination of the products (i)–(iv) is an id-matching structure on $UT_n(K)$ by Lemma 2.6. Conversely, let $*$ be an id-matching structure on $UT_n(K)$. It follows from Lemma 2.2 that $*$ is a linear combination of (i)–(iv), when restricted to the pairs $(e_{i, i+1}, e_{j, j+1})$, $1 \leq i < n$, $1 \leq j < n$. Since $e_{i, i+1}$, $1 \leq i < n$, generate $UT_n(K)$ as a K -algebra, then $*$ coincides with a linear combination of (i)–(iv) on $UT_n(K)^2$ by Lemma 2.1. \square

2.1. The complete classification of id-matching structures on $UT_3(K)$. We are going to apply Theorem 2.9 to classify the id-matching structures on $UT_3(K)$ up to an isomorphism. The following corollary is just a specification of Theorem 2.9 to the case $n = 3$. Observe that there are no products of the form (iii) on $UT_3(K)$.

Corollary 2.10. *The id-matching structures on $UT_3(K)$ are exactly the associative products on $UT_3(K)$ that are linear combinations of the products:*

- (i) $\mathbf{M}_{2, 1}^{\text{id}, 1} : e_{12} * e_{12} = e_{12}, e_{12} * e_{13} = e_{13};$
- (ii) $\mathbf{M}_{2, 2}^{\text{id}, 1} : e_{12} * e_{23} = e_{13};$
- (iii) $\mathbf{M}_{3, 1}^{\text{id}, 1} : e_{23} * e_{12} = e_{12}, e_{23} * e_{13} = e_{13};$
- (iv) $\mathbf{M}_{3, 2}^{\text{id}, 1} : e_{23} * e_{23} = e_{13};$
- (v) $\mathbf{M}_{2, 1}^{\text{id}, 2} : e_{12} * e_{12} = e_{13};$
- (vi) $\mathbf{M}_{3, 1}^{\text{id}, 2} : e_{13} * e_{12} = e_{13}, e_{23} * e_{12} = e_{23};$
- (vii) $\mathbf{M}_{3, 2}^{\text{id}, 2} : e_{13} * e_{23} = e_{13}, e_{23} * e_{23} = e_{23};$
- (viii) $\mathbf{M}_{3, 1}^{\text{id}, 4} : e_{23} * e_{12} = e_{13},$

³Alternatively, one can observe that (ii) is obtained from (i) using the antiautomorphism $\phi(e_{kl}) = e_{n-l+1, n-k+1}$ of $UT_n(K)$ as in Lemma 1.2.

i.e. the associative products of the form

$$\begin{aligned} e_{12} * e_{12} &= \lambda_1 e_{12} + \lambda_5 e_{13}, & e_{13} * e_{23} &= \lambda_7 e_{13}, \\ e_{12} * e_{13} &= \lambda_1 e_{13}, & e_{23} * e_{12} &= \lambda_3 e_{12} + \lambda_6 e_{23} + \lambda_8 e_{13}, \\ e_{12} * e_{23} &= \lambda_2 e_{13}, & e_{23} * e_{13} &= \lambda_3 e_{13}, \\ e_{13} * e_{12} &= \lambda_6 e_{13}, & e_{23} * e_{23} &= \lambda_4 e_{13} + \lambda_7 e_{23} \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

for some $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^8 \subseteq K$.

Denote by $[a, b, c] = (a * b) * c = a * (b * c)$ the *associator* of $a, b, c \in UT_3(K)$ under $*$. The proofs of the following two lemmas are straightforward, so they will be omitted.

Lemma 2.11. *We have the following non-trivial associators of the basis elements $\{e_{12}, e_{23}, e_{13}\}$ of $UT_3(K)$ under (10):*

$$[e_{12}, e_{12}, e_{12}] = \lambda_5(\lambda_6 - \lambda_1)e_{13} \quad (11)$$

$$[e_{12}, e_{12}, e_{23}] = \lambda_5\lambda_7e_{13}, \quad (12)$$

$$[e_{12}, e_{23}, e_{12}] = -\lambda_1\lambda_3e_{12} - (\lambda_1\lambda_8 + \lambda_5\lambda_3)e_{13}, \quad (13)$$

$$[e_{12}, e_{23}, e_{23}] = -\lambda_1\lambda_4e_{13}, \quad (14)$$

$$[e_{12}, e_{23}, e_{13}] = -\lambda_1\lambda_3e_{13},$$

$$[e_{23}, e_{12}, e_{12}] = \lambda_6\lambda_3e_{12} + \lambda_6(\lambda_6 - \lambda_1)e_{23} + \lambda_8(2\lambda_6 - \lambda_1)e_{13}, \quad (15)$$

$$[e_{23}, e_{12}, e_{23}] = \lambda_7\lambda_6e_{23} + (\lambda_7\lambda_8 + \lambda_6\lambda_4)e_{13}, \quad (16)$$

$$[e_{23}, e_{12}, e_{13}] = -[e_{13}, e_{23}, e_{12}] = \lambda_6\lambda_3e_{13},$$

$$[e_{23}, e_{23}, e_{12}] = \lambda_3(\lambda_7 - \lambda_3)e_{12} - \lambda_6\lambda_3e_{23} + \lambda_8(\lambda_7 - 2\lambda_3)e_{13}, \quad (17)$$

$$[e_{23}, e_{23}, e_{23}] = \lambda_4(\lambda_7 - \lambda_3)e_{13}, \quad (18)$$

$$[e_{23}, e_{23}, e_{13}] = \lambda_3(\lambda_7 - \lambda_3)e_{13},$$

$$[e_{13}, e_{12}, e_{12}] = \lambda_6(\lambda_6 - \lambda_1)e_{13},$$

$$[e_{13}, e_{12}, e_{23}] = \lambda_7\lambda_6e_{13}.$$

Lemma 2.12. *Let $\phi \in \text{Aut}(UT_3(K))$ as in (7) and $*$ be given by (10). Then the corresponding product \star on $UT_3(K)$ defined by (5) is as follows:*

$$e_{12} \star e_{12} = a_{11}^{-1}\lambda_1e_{12} + a_{11}^{-2}(a_{11}a_{22}\lambda_5 - a_{31}\lambda_6)e_{13},$$

$$e_{12} \star e_{23} = a_{11}^{-1}a_{22}^{-1}(a_{11}a_{22}\lambda_2 - a_{32}\lambda_1 - a_{31}\lambda_7)e_{13},$$

$$e_{12} \star e_{13} = a_{11}^{-1}\lambda_1e_{13},$$

$$e_{23} \star e_{12} = a_{22}^{-1}\lambda_3e_{12} + a_{11}^{-1}\lambda_6e_{23} + \lambda_8e_{13},$$

$$e_{23} \star e_{23} = a_{22}^{-1}\lambda_7e_{23} + a_{22}^{-2}(a_{11}a_{22}\lambda_4 - a_{32}\lambda_3)e_{13},$$

$$e_{23} \star e_{13} = a_{22}^{-1}\lambda_3e_{13},$$

$$e_{13} \star e_{12} = a_{11}^{-1}\lambda_6e_{13},$$

$$e_{13} \star e_{23} = a_{22}^{-1}\lambda_7e_{13}.$$

Proposition 2.13. *Any id-matching structure on $UT_3(K)$ is isomorphic to exactly one of the following structures:*

- (i) $e_{13} \star e_{23} = e_{13}$, $e_{23} \star e_{12} = e_{12}$, $e_{23} \star e_{13} = e_{13}$, $e_{23} \star e_{23} = e_{23}$;
- (ii) $e_{12} \star e_{12} = e_{12}$, $e_{12} \star e_{13} = e_{13}$, $e_{13} \star e_{23} = e_{13}$, $e_{23} \star e_{23} = e_{23}$;

- (iii) $e_{13} \star e_{23} = e_{13}, e_{23} \star e_{23} = e_{23};$
- (iv) $e_{12} \star e_{12} = \alpha e_{13}, e_{12} \star e_{23} = \beta e_{13}, e_{23} \star e_{12} = \gamma e_{13}, e_{23} \star e_{23} = e_{13};$
- (v) $e_{12} \star e_{12} = e_{12}, e_{12} \star e_{13} = e_{13}, e_{23} \star e_{12} = e_{23}, e_{13} \star e_{12} = e_{13};$
- (vi) $e_{12} \star e_{12} = e_{12}, e_{12} \star e_{13} = e_{13};$
- (vii) $e_{12} \star e_{12} = e_{13}, e_{12} \star e_{23} = \alpha e_{13}, e_{23} \star e_{12} = \beta e_{13};$
- (viii) $e_{12} \star e_{23} = \alpha e_{13}, e_{23} \star e_{12} = \beta e_{13}.$

Proof. Let \star be an id-matching structure on $UT_3(K)$. By Corollary 2.10 the product \star is of the form (10).

Case 1. $\lambda_3 \neq 0$. It follows from (13) that $\lambda_1 = \lambda_5 = 0$. Furthermore, by (17) we have $\lambda_7 = \lambda_3$ and $\lambda_6 = \lambda_8 = 0$. Let $\phi \in \text{Aut}(UT_3(K))$ given by (7). Then by Lemma 2.12 the automorphism ϕ defines the following isomorphic structure:

$$\begin{aligned} e_{12} \star e_{23} &= a_{11}^{-1} a_{22}^{-1} (a_{11} a_{22} \lambda_2 - a_{31} \lambda_3) e_{13}, \\ e_{13} \star e_{23} &= a_{22}^{-1} \lambda_3 e_{13}, \\ e_{23} \star e_{12} &= a_{22}^{-1} \lambda_3 e_{12}, \\ e_{23} \star e_{13} &= a_{22}^{-1} \lambda_3 e_{13}, \\ e_{23} \star e_{23} &= a_{22}^{-1} \lambda_3 e_{23} + a_{22}^{-2} (a_{11} a_{22} \lambda_4 - a_{32} \lambda_3) e_{13}. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing $a_{11} = 1, a_{22} = \lambda_3, a_{31} = \lambda_2$ and $a_{32} = \lambda_4$, we get (i).

Case 2. $\lambda_3 = 0$ and $\lambda_7 \neq 0$. Then (12) implies $\lambda_5 = 0$. Furthermore, (16) gives $\lambda_6 = \lambda_8 = 0$. Finally, (18) yields $\lambda_4 = 0$. Let $\phi \in \text{Aut}(UT_3(K))$ given by (7). Then by Lemma 2.12 the automorphism ϕ defines the following isomorphic structure

$$\begin{aligned} e_{12} \star e_{12} &= a_{11}^{-1} \lambda_1 e_{12}, & e_{13} \star e_{23} &= a_{22}^{-1} \lambda_7 e_{13}, \\ e_{12} \star e_{13} &= a_{11}^{-1} \lambda_1 e_{13}, & e_{23} \star e_{23} &= a_{22}^{-1} \lambda_7 e_{23}. \\ e_{12} \star e_{23} &= a_{11}^{-1} a_{22}^{-1} (a_{11} a_{22} \lambda_2 - a_{32} \lambda_1 - a_{31} \lambda_7) e_{13}, \end{aligned}$$

If $\lambda_1 \neq 0$, then choosing $a_{11} = \lambda_1, a_{22} = \lambda_7, a_{31} = \lambda_1 \lambda_2$ and $a_{32} = 0$, we get the structure (ii). Otherwise, choosing $a_{11} = 1, a_{22} = \lambda_7, a_{31} = \lambda_2$ and $a_{32} = 0$, we get (iii).

Case 3. $\lambda_3 = \lambda_7 = 0$ and $\lambda_4 \neq 0$. Then (14) implies $\lambda_1 = 0$ and (16) gives $\lambda_6 = 0$. Let $\phi \in \text{Aut}(UT_3(K))$ given by (7). Then by Lemma 2.12 the automorphism ϕ defines the following isomorphic structure

$$\begin{aligned} e_{12} \star e_{12} &= a_{11}^{-1} a_{22} \lambda_5 e_{13}, & e_{23} \star e_{12} &= \lambda_8 e_{13}, \\ e_{12} \star e_{23} &= \lambda_2 e_{13}, & e_{23} \star e_{23} &= a_{11} a_{22}^{-1} \lambda_4 e_{13}. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing $a_{11} = 1$ and $a_{22} = \lambda_4$, we get the family (iv) of pairwise non-isomorphic structures.

Case 4. $\lambda_3 = \lambda_7 = \lambda_4 = 0$ and $\lambda_6 \neq 0$. Then (15) implies $\lambda_1 = \lambda_6$ and $\lambda_8 = 0$. Let $\phi \in \text{Aut}(UT_3(K))$ given by (7). Then by Lemma 2.12 the automorphism ϕ defines the following isomorphic structure

$$\begin{aligned} e_{12} \star e_{12} &= a_{11}^{-1} \lambda_6 e_{12} + a_{11}^{-2} (a_{11} a_{22} \lambda_5 - a_{31} \lambda_6) e_{13}, & e_{23} \star e_{12} &= a_{11}^{-1} \lambda_6 e_{23}, \\ e_{12} \star e_{23} &= (\lambda_2 - a_{11}^{-1} a_{22}^{-1} a_{32} \lambda_6) e_{13}, & e_{13} \star e_{12} &= a_{11}^{-1} \lambda_6 e_{13}. \\ e_{12} \star e_{13} &= a_{11}^{-1} \lambda_6 e_{13}, \end{aligned}$$

Choosing $a_{11} = \lambda_6, a_{22} = 1, a_{31} = \lambda_5$ and $a_{32} = \lambda_2$, we get the structure (v).

Case 5. $\lambda_3 = \lambda_7 = \lambda_4 = \lambda_6 = 0$ and $\lambda_1 \neq 0$. Then (11) implies $\lambda_5 = 0$ and (13) implies $\lambda_8 = 0$. Let $\phi \in \text{Aut}(UT_3(K))$ given by (7). Then by Lemma 2.12 the

automorphism ϕ defines the following isomorphic structure

$$e_{12} \star e_{12} = a_{11}^{-1} \lambda_1 e_{12}, \quad e_{12} \star e_{13} = a_{11}^{-1} \lambda_1 e_{13}, \quad e_{12} \star e_{23} = (\lambda_2 - a_{11}^{-1} a_{22}^{-1} a_{32} \lambda_1) e_{13}.$$

Choosing $a_{11} = \lambda_1$, $a_{22} = 1$ and $a_{32} = \lambda_2$, we get the structure (vi).

Case 6. $\lambda_3 = \lambda_7 = \lambda_4 = \lambda_6 = \lambda_1 = 0$. Then \star is associative. Let $\phi \in \text{Aut}(UT_3(K))$ given by (7). Then by Lemma 2.12 the automorphism ϕ defines the following isomorphic structure

$$e_{12} \star e_{12} = a_{11}^{-1} a_{22} \lambda_5 e_{13}, \quad e_{12} \star e_{23} = \lambda_2 e_{13}, \quad e_{23} \star e_{12} = \lambda_8 e_{13}.$$

If $\lambda_5 \neq 0$, then choosing $a_{11} = \lambda_5$ and $a_{22} = 1$, we get the family (vii) of pairwise non-isomorphic structures. Otherwise, we get the family (viii) of pairwise non-isomorphic structures.

Conversely, each product of the list (i)–(viii) is a particular case of (10), and it is associative by Lemma 2.11, so it is an id-matching structure on $UT_3(K)$ by Corollary 2.10. Moreover, it is directly seen by Lemma 2.12 that all the listed structures are pairwise non-isomorphic. \square

3. (12)-MATCHING STRUCTURES ON $UT_n(K)$

3.1. Some classes of (12)-matching structures on $UT_n(K)$. We first point out the structures that will appear in the classification below. Clearly, $\mathbf{M}_{i,j}^{\text{id},4}$ from Lemma 2.6 is (12)-matching with \cdot for all $1 < i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j < n$ by Remark 2.8.

Lemma 3.1. *For each fixed $1 \leq i < n$ the bilinear product*

$$e_{12} \star e_{i,i+1} = e_{1,n-1}, \quad e_{i,i+1} \star e_{n-1,n} = e_{2n} \tag{19}$$

is a (12)-matching structure on $UT_n(K)$.

Proof. Since $e_{12}, e_{i,i+1}, e_{n-1,n} \in \text{span}_K\{e_{i,i+1} : 1 \leq i < n\}$, which is a complement of $UT_n(K)^2$, then $(a \cdot b) \star c = a \star (b \cdot c) = 0$ for all $a, b, c \in UT_n(K)$.

Now, observe that

$$(e_{xy} \star e_{zw}) \cdot e_{uv} \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow e_{xy} \cdot (e_{zw} \star e_{uv}) \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow (e_{xy}, e_{zw}, e_{uv}) = (e_{12}, e_{i,i+1}, e_{n-1,n}),$$

in which case

$$\begin{aligned} (e_{xy} \star e_{zw}) \cdot e_{uv} &= (e_{12} \star e_{i,i+1}) \cdot e_{n-1,n} = e_{1,n-1} \cdot e_{n-1,n} = e_{1n}, \\ e_{xy} \cdot (e_{zw} \star e_{uv}) &= e_{12} \cdot (e_{i,i+1} \star e_{n-1,n}) = e_{12} \cdot e_{2n} = e_{1n}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $(a \star b) \cdot c = a \cdot (b \star c)$ for all $a, b, c \in UT_n(K)$.

For the associativity observe that $(a \star b) \star c = a \star (b \star c) = 0$ for all $a, b, c \in UT_n(K)$, if $n > 3$. Now, on $UT_3(K)$ we have 2 structures of the form (19), corresponding to $i = 1$ and $i = 2$. It is easily seen that they are isomorphic to the subalgebras of $(T_2(K), \cdot)$ generated by $\{e_1, e_{12}\}$ and $\{e_2, e_{12}\}$, respectively. \square

Lemma 3.2. *For each fixed $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ with $j > i + 1$ the bilinear product*

$$e_{ik} \star e_{kj} = e_{1n}, \quad i < k < j, \tag{20}$$

is a (12)-matching structure on $UT_n(K)$.

Proof. Clearly, $(a \star b) \cdot c = a \cdot (b \star c) = 0$ for all $a, b, c \in UT_n(K)$, because $e_{1n} \in \text{Ann}(UT_n(K), \cdot)$.

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} (e_{xy} \cdot e_{zw}) * e_{uv} \neq 0 &\Leftrightarrow e_{xy} \cdot e_{zw} = e_{ik} \text{ and } e_{uv} = e_{kj} \text{ for some } i < k < j \\ &\Leftrightarrow (e_{xy}, e_{zw}, e_{uv}) = (e_{iy}, e_{yk}, e_{kj}) \text{ for some } i < k < j, \end{aligned}$$

in which case

$$\begin{aligned} (e_{xy} \cdot e_{zw}) * e_{uv} &= (e_{iy} \cdot e_{yk}) * e_{kj} = e_{ik} * e_{kj} = e_{1n}, \\ e_{xy} * (e_{zw} \cdot e_{uv}) &= e_{iy} * (e_{yk} \cdot e_{kj}) = e_{iy} * e_{yj} = e_{1n}. \end{aligned}$$

Symmetrically,

$$\begin{aligned} e_{xy} * (e_{zw} \cdot e_{uv}) \neq 0 &\Leftrightarrow e_{xy} = e_{ik} \text{ and } e_{zw} \cdot e_{uv} = e_{kj} \text{ for some } i < k < j \\ &\Leftrightarrow (e_{xy}, e_{zw}, e_{uv}) = (e_{ik}, e_{kw}, e_{wj}) \text{ for some } i < k < j, \end{aligned}$$

in which case

$$\begin{aligned} e_{xy} * (e_{zw} \cdot e_{uv}) &= e_{ik} * (e_{kw} \cdot e_{wj}) = e_{ik} * e_{kj} = e_{1n}, \\ (e_{xy} \cdot e_{zw}) * e_{uv} &= (e_{ik} \cdot e_{kw}) * e_{wj} = e_{iw} * e_{wj} = e_{1n}. \end{aligned}$$

For the associativity observe that $a * e_{1n} = e_{1n} * a = 0$ for all $a \in UT_n(K)$, so $(a * b) * c = a * (b * c) = 0$ for all $a, b, c \in UT_n(K)$. \square

Lemma 3.3. *The bilinear product*

$$e_{ik} * e_{kj} = e_{ij}, 1 \leq i < k < j \leq n, (i, j) \neq (1, n), \quad (21)$$

is a (12)-matching structure on $UT_n(K)$.

Proof. The structure (21) is the difference between two (12)-matching structures on $UT_n(K)$: the original product \cdot on $UT_n(K)$ and the product (20) corresponding to $(i, j) = (1, n)$. Thus, (21) satisfies (2) with $\sigma = (12)$.

For the associativity observe that

$$(e_{xy} * e_{zw}) * e_{uv} \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow e_{xy} * (e_{zw} * e_{uv}) \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow y = z, w = u \text{ and } (x, v) \neq (1, n),$$

in which case $(e_{xy} * e_{zw}) * e_{uv} = e_{xy} * (e_{zw} * e_{uv}) = e_{xv}$. \square

3.2. The decomposition of a (12)-matching structure on $UT_n(K)$. Let $*$ be a (not necessarily associative) bilinear product on $UT_n(K)$ satisfying (2) with $\sigma = (12)$.

3.2.1. The product $e_{ij} * e_{kl}$ with $j \neq k$.

Lemma 3.4. *For all $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ and $1 \leq k < l \leq n$ if $j \neq k$ and either $j > i + 1$ or $l > k + 1$, then $e_{ij} * e_{kl} = 0$.*

Proof. Let $j \neq k$ and $j > i + 1$. Choose $i < l < j$. Then $e_{ij} * e_{kl} = (e_{il} \cdot e_{lj}) * e_{kl} = e_{il} * (e_{lj} \cdot e_{kl}) = 0$. The case $j \neq k$ and $l > k + 1$ is analogous. \square

Lemma 3.5. *For all $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ and $1 \leq j \leq n - 2$ if $i + 1 \neq j$, then $e_{i, i+1} * e_{j, j+1} \in \text{span}_K\{e_{1, n-1}, e_{1n}\}$.*

Proof. Since $1 \leq j \leq n - 2$, one has $e_{i, i+1} * e_{j, j+2} = 0$ by Lemma 3.4. Then $(e_{1l} * e_{i, i+1}) \cdot e_{j, j+2} = e_{1l} \cdot (e_{i, i+1} * e_{j, j+2}) = 0$ for all $1 < l \leq n$. Hence, $(e_{1l} * e_{i, i+1})(s, j) = 0$ for all $s < j$, so $e_{1l} \cdot (e_{i, i+1} * e_{j, j+1}) = (e_{1l} * e_{i, i+1}) \cdot e_{j, j+1} = 0$. It follows that

$$e_{i, i+1} * e_{j, j+1} \in \text{span}_K\{e_{1k} : 1 < k \leq n\}. \quad (22)$$

Now take $1 < k < n - 1$. Then $e_{j,j+1} * e_{k,k+1} \in \text{span}_K\{e_{1t} : 1 < t \leq n\}$ by (22), so $(e_{i,i+1} * e_{j,j+1}) \cdot e_{k,k+1} = e_{i,i+1} \cdot (e_{j,j+1} * e_{k,k+1}) = 0$. This reduces $1 < k \leq n$ to $k \in \{n - 1, n\}$ in (22). \square

The following corollary is based on the idea that will be used several times below: as soon as we have a statement for $*$, we can apply it to the product \star given by (6), where ϕ is the involution (23).

Corollary 3.6. *For all $2 \leq i \leq n - 1$ and $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$ if $i + 1 \neq j$, then $e_{i,i+1} * e_{j,j+1} \in \text{span}_K\{e_{1n}, e_{2n}\}$.*

Proof. Consider the involution ϕ of $UT_n(K)$ given by

$$\phi(e_{kl}) = e_{n-l+1, n-k+1} \quad (23)$$

for all $1 \leq k < l \leq n$. Let \star be the product on $UT_n(K)$ defined by (6). If $2 \leq i \leq n - 1$, $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$ and $i + 1 \neq j$, then $1 \leq n - j \leq n - 1$, $1 \leq n - i \leq n - 2$ and $n - j + 1 \neq n - i$. Hence,

$$\phi(e_{j,j+1}) \star \phi(e_{i,i+1}) = e_{n-j, n-j+1} \star e_{n-i, n-i+1} \in \text{span}_K\{e_{1, n-1}, e_{1n}\}$$

by Lemma 3.5 applied to \star and $(i', j') = (n - j, n - i)$. Then by (6) we have $\phi(e_{i,i+1} * e_{j,j+1}) \in \text{span}_K\{e_{1, n-1}, e_{1n}\}$. Applying $\phi^{-1} = \phi$, we get the desired result. \square

Corollary 3.7. *For all $2 \leq i \leq n - 1$ and $1 \leq j \leq n - 2$ if $i + 1 \neq j$, then $e_{i,i+1} * e_{j,j+1} \in \text{span}_K\{e_{1n}\}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.5 and Corollary 3.6 we have

$$e_{i,i+1} * e_{j,j+1} \in \text{span}_K\{e_{1, n-1}, e_{1n}\} \cap \text{span}_K\{e_{1n}, e_{2n}\} = \text{span}_K\{e_{1n}\}.$$

\square

Lemma 3.8. *We have $e_{12} * e_{n-1, n} \in \text{span}_K\{e_{1, n-1}, e_{1n}, e_{2n}\}$.*

Proof. The statement is trivial for $n = 3$, so let $n > 3$. Take $2 < k < n$. Then $e_{k-1, k} * e_{12} \in \text{span}_K\{e_{1n}, e_{2n}\}$ by Corollary 3.6. Therefore, $(e_{k-1, k} * e_{12}) \cdot e_{n-1, n} = 0$, whence $e_{k-1, k} \cdot (e_{12} * e_{n-1, n}) = 0$. Consequently,

$$e_{12} * e_{n-1, n} \in \text{span}_K\{e_{st} : 1 \leq s < t \leq n, s \in \{1, 2\}\}. \quad (24)$$

Applying (24) to the product \star given by (6), where ϕ is the involution (23), we get

$$e_{12} * e_{n-1, n} \in \text{span}_K\{e_{st} : 1 \leq s < t \leq n, t \in \{n - 1, n\}\}. \quad (25)$$

It follows from (24) and (25) that $e_{12} * e_{n-1, n} \in \text{span}_K\{e_{1, n-1}, e_{1n}, e_{2, n-1}, e_{2n}\}$. However, since $e_{n-1, n} \cdot e_{n-1, n} = 0$, we have

$$e_{12} \cdot (e_{12} * e_{n-1, n}) \cdot e_{n-1, n} = (e_{12} * e_{12}) \cdot e_{n-1, n} \cdot e_{n-1, n} = 0,$$

whence $(e_{12} * e_{n-1, n})(2, n - 1) = 0$. \square

Lemma 3.9. *For all $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ we have*

$$(e_{12} * e_{i, i+1})(1, n - 1) = (e_{i, i+1} * e_{n-1, n})(2, n).$$

Proof. Just evaluate $(e_{12} * e_{i, i+1}) \cdot e_{n-1, n} = e_{12} \cdot (e_{i, i+1} * e_{n-1, n})$ at $(1, n)$. \square

3.2.2. *The product $e_{ik} * e_{kj}$.*

Lemma 3.10. *Let $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ with $j > i + 1$. Then for all $i < k < j$ we have*

$$e_{ik} * e_{kj} = e_{i,i+1} * e_{i+1,j}.$$

*Thus, $e_{ik} * e_{kj}$ does not depend on k .*

Proof. It suffices to consider the case $k > i + 1$. Then

$$e_{ik} * e_{kj} = (e_{i,i+1} \cdot e_{i+1,k}) * e_{kj} = e_{i,i+1} * (e_{i+1,k} \cdot e_{kj}) = e_{i,i+1} * e_{i+1,j}.$$

□

Lemma 3.11. *For all $1 \leq i < k < j < n$ we have $e_{ik} * e_{kj} \in \text{span}_K\{e_{ij}, e_{1,n-1}, e_{1n}\}$.*

Proof. In view of Lemma 3.10 it suffices to take $k = i + 1$. Let $1 < l \leq n$ with $l \neq i$. Then $e_{l-1,l} * e_{i,i+1} \in \text{span}_K\{e_{1,n-1}, e_{1n}\}$ by Lemma 3.5. It follows that $(e_{l-1,l} * e_{i,i+1}) \cdot e_{i+1,j} = 0$, whence $e_{l-1,l} \cdot (e_{i,i+1} * e_{i+1,j}) = 0$, i.e.

$$e_{i,i+1} * e_{i+1,j} \in \text{span}_K\{e_{st} : 1 \leq s < t \leq n, s \in \{1, i\}\}. \quad (26)$$

Now, for $1 \leq t < n - 1$ with $t \neq j$ either $e_{i+1,j} * e_{t,t+1} = 0$ (if $j > i + 2$) by Lemma 3.4 or $e_{i+1,j} * e_{t,t+1} \in \text{span}_K\{e_{1,n-1}, e_{1n}\}$ (if $j = i + 2$) by Lemma 3.5. In any case, $e_{i,i+1} \cdot (e_{i+1,j} * e_{t,t+1}) = 0$, whence $(e_{i,i+1} * e_{i+1,j}) \cdot e_{t,t+1} = 0$. Thus, $t \in \{j, n - 1, n\}$ in (26), i.e.

$$e_{i,i+1} * e_{i+1,j} \in \text{span}_K\{e_{st} : s \in \{1, i\}, t \in \{j, n - 1, n\}\}. \quad (27)$$

If $i = 1$, then we are done. Otherwise,

$$e_{1i} * e_{i,i+1} \in \text{span}_K\{e_{1t} : t \in \{i + 1, n - 1, n\}\}$$

by (27). Then

$$e_{1i} \cdot (e_{i,i+1} * e_{i+1,j}) = (e_{1i} * e_{i,i+1}) \cdot e_{i+1,j} \in \text{span}_K\{e_{1j}\},$$

showing that one can remove $(s, t) = (i, n - 1)$ and $(s, t) = (i, n)$ from (27). If $j = n - 1$, then we are done. Otherwise,

$$e_{i+1,j} * e_{j,n-1} \in \text{span}_K\{e_{st} : s \in \{1, i + 1\}, t \in \{n - 1, n\}\}$$

by (27). Then

$$(e_{i,i+1} * e_{i+1,j}) \cdot e_{j,n-1} = e_{i,i+1} \cdot (e_{i+1,j} * e_{j,n-1}) \in \text{span}_K\{e_{i,n-1}, e_{in}\},$$

showing that one can also remove $(s, t) = (1, j)$ from (27). □

Corollary 3.12. *For all $1 < i < k < j \leq n$ we have $e_{ik} * e_{kj} \in \text{span}_K\{e_{ij}, e_{1n}, e_{2n}\}$.*

Proof. This follows by applying Lemma 3.11 to the product \star given by (6), where ϕ is the involution (23). □

Corollary 3.13. *For all $1 < i < k < j < n$ we have $e_{ik} * e_{kj} \in \text{span}_K\{e_{ij}, e_{1n}\}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.11 and Corollary 3.12 we have

$$e_{ik} * e_{kj} \in \text{span}_K\{e_{ij}, e_{1,n-1}, e_{1n}\} \cap \text{span}_K\{e_{ij}, e_{1n}, e_{2n}\} = \text{span}_K\{e_{ij}, e_{1n}\}.$$

□

Lemma 3.14. *For all $1 < k < j \leq n - 1$ we have $e_{1k} * e_{kj} \in \text{span}_K\{e_{1j}, e_{1n}\}$, unless $(k, j) = (2, 3)$.*

Proof. If $j = n - 1$, then the result trivially follows from Lemma 3.11, so let $j < n - 1$. In view of Lemma 3.11 it suffices to show that $(e_{1k} * e_{kj})(1, n - 1) = 0$ for $(k, j) \neq (2, 3)$. By Lemma 3.10 we have $e_{1k} * e_{kj} = e_{12} * e_{2j}$. If $j > 3$, then $e_{2j} * e_{n-1, n} = 0$ by Lemma 3.4, whence

$$(e_{12} * e_{2j})(1, n - 1) = ((e_{12} * e_{2j}) \cdot e_{n-1, n})(1, n) = (e_{12} \cdot (e_{2j} * e_{n-1, n}))(1, n) = 0$$

If $j = 3$, then $k = 2$, and we are done. \square

Corollary 3.15. *For all $1 \leq i < k < n$ we have $e_{ik} * e_{kn} \in \text{span}_K\{e_{in}, e_{1n}\}$, unless $(i, k) = (n - 2, n - 1)$.*

Proof. This follows by applying Lemma 3.14 to the product \star given by (6), where ϕ is the involution (23). \square

Lemma 3.16. *Let $n > 3$. Then for all $1 < k < n$ we have $e_{1k} * e_{kn} \in \text{span}_K\{e_{1n}\}$.*

Proof. In view of Lemma 3.10 it suffices to prove the result for $k = 2$. For all $1 < l \leq n$ we have $e_{l-1, l} * e_{12} \in \text{span}_K\{e_{1, n-1}, e_{1n}\}$ by Lemma 3.5. Then $(e_{l-1, l} * e_{12}) \cdot e_{2n} = 0$ because $n > 3$, whence $e_{l-1, l} \cdot (e_{12} * e_{2n}) = 0$. Now, for all $1 \leq l < n$ we have $e_{2n} * e_{l, l+1} = 0$ by Lemma 3.4, because $n > 3$. Then $e_{12} \cdot (e_{2n} * e_{l, l+1}) = 0$, whence $(e_{12} * e_{2n}) \cdot e_{l, l+1} = 0$. Since $\{e_{l, l+1} : 1 \leq l < n\}$ generate $UT_n(K)$, we have $e_{12} * e_{2n} \in \text{Ann}(UT_n(K), \cdot) = \text{span}_K\{e_{1n}\}$. \square

Lemma 3.17. *Let $n > 3$. Then for all $1 \leq i < k < j \leq n$ with $(i, j) \neq (1, n)$ we have $(e_{ik} * e_{kj})(i, j) = (e_{12} * e_{23})(1, 3)$.*

Proof. It suffices to prove that $(e_{ik} * e_{kj})(i, j) = (e_{23} * e_{3n})(2, n)$, because

$$(e_{23} * e_{3n})(2, n) = (e_{12} \cdot (e_{23} * e_{3n}))(1, n) = ((e_{12} * e_{23}) \cdot e_{3n})(1, n) = (e_{12} * e_{23})(1, 3).$$

Assume that $i > 1$. Then $e_{ik} * e_{kj} \in \text{span}_K\{e_{ij}, e_{1n}, e_{2n}\}$ by Corollary 3.12 and $e_{1i} * e_{ik} \in \text{span}_K\{e_{1k}, e_{1, n-1}, e_{1n}\}$ by Lemma 3.11. Consequently,

$$(e_{ik} * e_{kj})(i, j) = (e_{1i} \cdot (e_{ik} * e_{kj}))(1, j) = ((e_{1i} * e_{ik}) \cdot e_{kj})(1, j) = (e_{1i} * e_{ik})(1, k),$$

the last being $(e_{12} * e_{2k})(1, k)$ by Lemma 3.10. Now, $k < n$, so

$$\begin{aligned} (e_{12} * e_{2k})(1, k) &= ((e_{12} * e_{2k}) \cdot e_{kn})(1, n) = (e_{12} \cdot (e_{2k} * e_{kn}))(1, n) \\ &= (e_{2k} * e_{kn})(2, n) = (e_{23} * e_{3n})(2, n), \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality is again by Lemma 3.10.

Now let $i = 1$, so that $j < n$. Then $e_{ik} * e_{kj} = e_{1k} * e_{kj} = e_{12} * e_{2j}$, the latter being by Lemma 3.10. We have

$$(e_{12} * e_{2j})(1, j) = ((e_{12} * e_{2j}) \cdot e_{jn})(1, n) = (e_{12} \cdot (e_{2j} * e_{jn}))(1, n) = (e_{2j} * e_{jn})(2, n).$$

The last again equals $(e_{23} * e_{3n})(2, n)$ by Lemma 3.10. \square

Theorem 3.18. *If $n > 4$, then the (12)-matching structures on $UT_n(K)$ are exactly the associative products that are linear combinations of the structures:*

- (i) $\mathbf{M}_{i, j}^{(12), 1}$ ($1 < i \leq n, 1 \leq j < n$): $e_{i-1, i} * e_{j, j+1} = e_{1n}$;
- (ii) $\mathbf{M}_i^{(12), 2}$ ($1 \leq i < n$): $e_{12} * e_{i, i+1} = e_{1, n-1}, e_{i, i+1} * e_{n-1, n} = e_{2n}$;
- (iii) $\mathbf{M}_{i, j}^{(12), 3}$ ($1 \leq i < j \leq n, j > i + 2$): $e_{ik} * e_{kj} = e_{1n}$ for all $i < k < j$;
- (iv) $\mathbf{M}^{(12), 4}$: $e_{ik} * e_{kj} = e_{ij}$ for all $1 \leq i < k < j \leq n$ with $(i, j) \neq (1, n)$.

If $n = 4$ (resp. $n = 3$), then the (12)-matching structures on $UT_n(K)$ are exactly the associative products that are linear combinations of (i)–(iii) (resp. (i) and (ii)) above.

Proof. Let $*$ be a (12)-matching structure on $UT_n(K)$. Then by the results of Subsection 3.2.1 there exist $\{\alpha_{ij} : i \neq j \text{ unless } n = 3 \text{ and } i = j = 2\} \subseteq K$ and $\{\beta_j\}_{j=1}^{n-1} \subseteq K$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} e_{i-1,i} * e_{j,j+1} &= \alpha_{ij} e_{1n}, \quad 3 \leq i \leq n, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n-2, \quad i \neq j, \\ e_{12} * e_{j,j+1} &= \beta_j e_{1,n-1} + \alpha_{2j} e_{1n}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n-2, \quad j \neq 2, \\ e_{j,j+1} * e_{n-1,n} &= \beta_j e_{2n} + \alpha_{j+1,n-1} e_{1n}, \quad 2 \leq j \leq n-1, \quad j \neq n-2, \\ e_{12} * e_{n-1,n} &= \beta_{n-1} e_{1,n-1} + \beta_1 e_{2n} + \alpha_{2,n-1} e_{1n}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by the results of Subsection 3.2.2 there exist $\{\alpha_{ii}\}_{i=2}^{n-1} \subseteq K$, $\beta_2, \beta_{n-2} \in K$, $\{\gamma_{ij} : 2 \leq i+1 < j < n\} \subseteq K$ and $\eta \in K$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} e_{ik} * e_{kj} &= \eta e_{ij} + \gamma_{ij} e_{1n}, \quad j > i+2, \quad (i,j) \neq (1,n), \\ e_{i-1,i} * e_{i,i+1} &= \eta e_{i-1,i+1} + \alpha_{ii} e_{1n}, \quad 2 < i < n-1, \\ e_{1k} * e_{kn} &= \gamma_{1n} e_{1n}, \quad n > 3, \\ e_{12} * e_{23} &= \begin{cases} \beta_2 e_{12} + \beta_1 e_{23} + \alpha_{22} e_{13}, & n = 3, \\ \beta_2 e_{13} + \alpha_{22} e_{14}, & n = 4, \\ \eta e_{13} + \beta_2 e_{1,n-1} + \alpha_{22} e_{1n}, & n > 4, \end{cases} \\ e_{n-2,n-1} * e_{n-1,n} &= \begin{cases} \beta_2 e_{12} + \beta_1 e_{23} + \alpha_{22} e_{13}, & n = 3, \\ \beta_2 e_{24} + \alpha_{33} e_{14}, & n = 4, \\ \eta e_{n-2,n} + \beta_{n-2} e_{2n} + \alpha_{n-1,n-1} e_{1n}, & n > 4. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $*$ is the linear combination $\sum_{ij} \alpha_{ij} \mathbf{M}_{i,j}^{(12),1} + \sum_j \beta_j \mathbf{M}_j^{(12),2} + \sum_{i,j} \gamma_{ij} \mathbf{M}_{i,j}^{(12),3} + \eta \mathbf{M}^{(12),4}$. Observe that, whenever $n = 3$, the structures $\mathbf{M}_{i,j}^{(12),3}$ and $\mathbf{M}^{(12),4}$ do not exist due to the restrictions on the indices, and whenever $n = 4$, we have $\mathbf{M}^{(12),4} = \mathbf{M}_2^{(12),2}$. The restriction $j > i+2$ in (iii) was imposed to exclude the coincidence $\mathbf{M}_{i,i+2}^{(12),3} = \mathbf{M}_{i+1,i+1}^{(12),1}$.

Conversely, each of the products (i)–(iv) is a (12)-matching structure on $UT_n(K)$ as seen in Subsection 3.1. So, any associative linear combination of them is also a (12)-matching structure on $UT_n(K)$. \square

Remark 3.19. There are $(n-1)^2$ products of the form (i), $n-1$ products of the form (ii) and $\frac{1}{2}(n-2)(n-3)$ products of the form (iii). In total, there are $\frac{n}{2}(3n-7) + 3$ linearly independent products of the form (i)–(iii) and 1 product of the form (iv) (which is linearly independent with (i)–(iii) only for $n > 4$).

3.3. The complete classification of (12)-matching structures on $UT_3(K)$.

We are now ready to give a complete classification of the (12)-matching structures on $UT_3(K)$ up to an isomorphism. Specifying Theorem 3.18 to the case $n = 3$, we get the following.

Corollary 3.20. *The (12)-matching structures on $UT_3(K)$ are exactly the associative products that are linear combinations of the structures:*

$$(i) \quad \mathbf{M}_{2,1}^{(12),1} : e_{12} * e_{12} = e_{13};$$

- (ii) $\mathbf{M}_{2,2}^{(12),1} : e_{12} * e_{23} = e_{13};$
- (iii) $\mathbf{M}_{3,1}^{(12),1} : e_{23} * e_{12} = e_{13};$
- (iv) $\mathbf{M}_{3,2}^{(12),1} : e_{23} * e_{23} = e_{13};$
- (v) $\mathbf{M}_1^{(12),2} : e_{12} * e_{12} = e_{12}, e_{12} * e_{23} = e_{23};$
- (vi) $\mathbf{M}_2^{(12),2} : e_{12} * e_{23} = e_{12}, e_{23} * e_{23} = e_{23}.$

i.e. the associative products of the form

$$\begin{aligned} e_{12} * e_{12} &= \lambda_5 e_{12} + \lambda_1 e_{13}, & e_{23} * e_{12} &= \lambda_3 e_{13}, \\ e_{12} * e_{23} &= \lambda_6 e_{12} + \lambda_2 e_{13} + \lambda_5 e_{23}, & e_{23} * e_{23} &= \lambda_4 e_{13} + \lambda_6 e_{23} \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

for some $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^6 \subseteq K$.

As above, we omit the proofs of the following two lemmas, since they are just a straightforward calculation.

Lemma 3.21. *We have the following non-trivial associators of the basis elements $\{e_{12}, e_{23}, e_{13}\}$ of $UT_3(K)$ under (28):*

$$[e_{12}, e_{12}, e_{23}] = -\lambda_5 \lambda_6 e_{12} - \lambda_1 \lambda_6 e_{13}, \quad (29)$$

$$[e_{12}, e_{23}, e_{12}] = \lambda_5 \lambda_6 e_{12} + (\lambda_1 \lambda_6 + \lambda_3 \lambda_5) e_{13}, \quad (30)$$

$$[e_{12}, e_{23}, e_{23}] = \lambda_4 \lambda_5 e_{13} + \lambda_5 \lambda_6 e_{23}, \quad (31)$$

$$[e_{23}, e_{12}, e_{12}] = -\lambda_3 \lambda_5 e_{13},$$

$$[e_{23}, e_{12}, e_{23}] = -(\lambda_3 \lambda_6 + \lambda_4 \lambda_5) e_{13} - \lambda_5 \lambda_6 e_{23},$$

$$[e_{23}, e_{23}, e_{12}] = \lambda_3 \lambda_6 e_{13}. \quad (32)$$

Lemma 3.22. *Let $\phi \in \text{Aut}(UT_3(K))$ as in (7) and $*$ be given by (28). Then the corresponding product \star on $UT_3(K)$ defined by (5) is as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned} e_{12} \star e_{12} &= a_{11}^{-1} \lambda_5 e_{12} + a_{11}^{-2} (a_{11} a_{22} \lambda_1 + a_{31} \lambda_5) e_{13}, \\ e_{12} \star e_{23} &= a_{22}^{-1} \lambda_6 e_{12} + a_{11}^{-1} \lambda_5 e_{23} + a_{11}^{-1} a_{22}^{-1} (a_{11} a_{22} \lambda_2 + a_{32} \lambda_5 + a_{31} \lambda_6) e_{13}, \\ e_{23} \star e_{12} &= \lambda_3 e_{13}, \\ e_{23} \star e_{23} &= a_{22}^{-1} \lambda_6 e_{23} + a_{22}^{-2} (a_{11} a_{22} \lambda_4 + a_{32} \lambda_6) e_{13}. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.23. *Any (12)-matching structure on $UT_3(K)$ is isomorphic to exactly one of the following structures:*

- (i) $e_{12} \star e_{12} = e_{12}, e_{12} \star e_{23} = e_{23};$
- (ii) $e_{12} \star e_{23} = e_{12}, e_{23} \star e_{23} = e_{23};$
- (iii) $e_{12} \star e_{12} = \alpha e_{13}, e_{12} \star e_{23} = \beta e_{13}, e_{23} \star e_{12} = \gamma e_{13}, e_{23} \star e_{23} = e_{13};$
- (iv) $e_{12} \star e_{12} = e_{13}, e_{12} \star e_{23} = \alpha e_{13}, e_{23} \star e_{12} = \beta e_{13};$
- (v) $e_{12} \star e_{23} = \alpha e_{13}, e_{23} \star e_{12} = \beta e_{13}.$

Proof. Let $*$ be an id-matching structure on $UT_3(K)$. By Corollary 3.20 the product $*$ is of the form (28).

Case 1. $\lambda_5 \neq 0$. It follows from (29) that $\lambda_6 = 0$. Furthermore, by (30) we have $\lambda_3 = 0$ and by (31) we have $\lambda_4 = 0$. Let $\phi \in \text{Aut}(UT_3(K))$ given by (7). Then by Lemma 3.22 the automorphism ϕ defines the following isomorphic structure

$$\begin{aligned} e_{12} \star e_{12} &= a_{11}^{-1} \lambda_5 e_{12} + a_{11}^{-2} (a_{11} a_{22} \lambda_1 + a_{31} \lambda_5) e_{13}, \\ e_{12} \star e_{23} &= a_{11}^{-1} \lambda_5 e_{23} + a_{11}^{-1} a_{22}^{-1} (a_{11} a_{22} \lambda_2 + a_{32} \lambda_5) e_{13}. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing $a_{11} = \lambda_5$, $a_{22} = 1$, $a_{31} = -\lambda_1$ and $a_{32} = -\lambda_2$, we get (i).

Case 2. $\lambda_5 = 0$ and $\lambda_6 \neq 0$. Then it follows from (29) that $\lambda_1 = 0$, and (32) implies $\lambda_3 = 0$. By Lemma 3.22 the automorphism ϕ defines the following isomorphic structure

$$\begin{aligned} e_{12} \star e_{23} &= a_{22}^{-1} \lambda_6 e_{12} + a_{11}^{-1} a_{22}^{-1} (a_{11} a_{22} \lambda_2 + a_{31} \lambda_6) e_{13}, \\ e_{23} \star e_{23} &= a_{22}^{-1} \lambda_6 e_{23} + a_{22}^{-2} (a_{11} a_{22} \lambda_4 + a_{32} \lambda_6) e_{13}. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing $a_{11} = 1$, $a_{22} = \lambda_6$, $a_{31} = -\lambda_2$ and $a_{32} = -\lambda_4$, we get (ii).

Case 3. $\lambda_5 = \lambda_6 = 0$. Then \star is associative. By Lemma 3.22 the automorphism ϕ defines the following isomorphic structure

$$\begin{aligned} e_{12} \star e_{12} &= a_{22} a_{11}^{-1} \lambda_1 e_{13}, \\ e_{12} \star e_{23} &= \lambda_2 e_{13}, \\ e_{23} \star e_{12} &= \lambda_3 e_{13}, \\ e_{23} \star e_{23} &= a_{11} a_{22}^{-1} \lambda_4 e_{13}. \end{aligned}$$

If $\lambda_4 \neq 0$, we get the family (iii). If $\lambda_4 = 0$ and $\lambda_1 \neq 0$, we get the family (iv). Finally, if $\lambda_1 = \lambda_4 = 0$, we get the family (v).

Conversely, each product of the list (i)–(v) is a particular case of (28), and it is associative by Lemma 3.21, so it is a (12)-matching structure on $UT_3(K)$ by Corollary 3.20. Moreover, it is directly seen by Lemma 3.22 that all the listed structures are pairwise non-isomorphic. \square

4. INTERCHANGEABLE STRUCTURES ON $UT_n(K)$

4.1. Some general lemmas.

Lemma 4.1. *Let (A, \cdot) be a (not necessarily associative) algebra and \star a bilinear product on A interchangeable with \cdot . Then for all $a, b \in A$ if $a \cdot b = 0$, then $a \star b \in \text{Ann}(A, \cdot)$.*

Proof. Let $a \cdot b = 0$. Then for all $c \in A$ we have $(a \star b) \cdot c = (a \cdot b) \star c = 0$ and $c \cdot (a \star b) = c \star (a \cdot b) = 0$. \square

Lemma 4.2. *Let (A, \cdot) be an associative algebra and \star a bilinear product on A interchangeable with \cdot . Then*

$$(a \star b) \cdot (c \cdot d) = (a \cdot b) \cdot (c \star d) = (a \cdot b \cdot c) \star d = a \star (b \cdot c \cdot d) = (a \cdot b) \star (c \cdot d)$$

for all $a, b, c, d \in A$.

Proof. For all $a, b, c, d \in A$ we have

$$(a \cdot b) \star (c \cdot d) = (a \star b) \cdot (c \cdot d) = ((a \star b) \cdot c) \cdot d = ((a \cdot b) \star c) \cdot d = ((a \cdot b) \cdot c) \star d$$

and similarly

$$(a \cdot b) \star (c \cdot d) = (a \cdot b) \cdot (c \star d) = a \cdot (b \cdot (c \star d)) = a \cdot (b \star (c \cdot d)) = a \star (b \cdot (c \cdot d)).$$

\square

4.2. Some classes of products on $UT_n(K)$ that are interchangeable with \cdot .

We begin by observing that $\mathbf{M}_{i,j}^{\text{id},4}$ from Lemma 2.6 is interchangeable with \cdot for all $1 < i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j < n$ by Remark 2.8.

Lemma 4.3. *For each fixed $1 \leq j \leq n - 2$ the bilinear product*

$$e_{12} * e_{j,j+2} = e_{1n}, \quad e_{j,j+1} * e_{j+1,j+2} = e_{2n}$$

is interchangeable with \cdot .

Proof. Since $e_{12}, e_{j,j+1} \in \text{span}_K\{e_{i,i+1} : 1 \leq i < n\}$, which is a complement of $UT_n(K)^2$, then $(a \cdot b) * c = 0$ for all $a, b, c \in UT_n(K)$. Furthermore, $e_{1n} \cdot UT_n(K) = e_{2n} \cdot UT_n(K) = \{0\}$, whence $(a * b) \cdot c = 0$ for all $a, b, c \in UT_n(K)$.

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} e_{xy} * (e_{zw} \cdot e_{uv}) \neq 0 &\Leftrightarrow e_{xy} \cdot (e_{zw} * e_{uv}) \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow (x, y) = (1, 2), (z, w) = (j, j + 1) \\ &\text{and } (u, v) = (j + 1, j + 2) \end{aligned}$$

for some $1 \leq j \leq n - 2$, in which case

$$\begin{aligned} e_{xy} * (e_{zw} \cdot e_{uv}) &= e_{12} * (e_{j,j+1} \cdot e_{j+1,j+2}) = e_{12} * e_{j,j+2} = e_{1n}, \\ e_{xy} \cdot (e_{zw} * e_{uv}) &= e_{12} \cdot (e_{j,j+1} * e_{j+1,j+2}) = e_{12} \cdot e_{2n} = e_{1n}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $a * (b \cdot c) = a \cdot (b * c)$ for all $a, b, c \in UT_n(K)$. \square

Corollary 4.4. *For each fixed $1 \leq j \leq n - 2$ the bilinear product*

$$e_{j,j+2} * e_{n-1,n} = e_{1n}, \quad e_{j,j+1} * e_{j+1,j+2} = e_{1,n-1}$$

is interchangeable with \cdot .

Proof. This follows by applying Lemma 4.3 to the product \star given by (6), where ϕ is the involution (23). \square

4.3. The decomposition of an interchangeable structure on $UT_n(K)$. Let $*$ be a (not necessarily associative) bilinear product on $UT_n(K)$ that is interchangeable with \cdot .

4.3.1. *The product $e_{ij} * e_{kl}$ with $j \neq k$.*

Lemma 4.5. *For all $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ and $1 \leq k < l \leq n$ if $j \neq k$, then $e_{ij} * e_{kl} \in \text{span}_K\{e_{1n}\}$.*

Proof. This is a consequence of Lemma 4.1, because $e_{ij} \cdot e_{kl} = 0$ for $j \neq k$ and $\text{Ann}(UT_n(K), \cdot) = \text{span}_K\{e_{1n}\}$. \square

Lemma 4.6. *For all $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ and $1 \leq k < l \leq n$ if $j \neq k$ and $j - i + l - k > 3$, then $e_{ij} * e_{kl} = 0$.*

Proof. The proof is based on Lemma 4.2.

Case 1. $j - i > 2$. Then $i < i + 1 < i + 2 < j$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} e_{ij} * e_{kl} &= (e_{i,i+1} \cdot e_{i+1,i+2} \cdot e_{i+2,j}) * e_{kl} = (e_{i,i+1} * e_{i+1,i+2}) \cdot (e_{i+2,j} \cdot e_{kl}) \\ &= (e_{i,i+1} * e_{i+1,i+2}) \cdot 0 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2. $l - k > 2$. Then $k < k + 1 < k + 2 < l$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} e_{ij} * e_{kl} &= e_{ij} * (e_{k,k+1} \cdot e_{k+1,k+2} \cdot e_{k+2,l}) = (e_{ij} \cdot e_{k,k+1}) \cdot (e_{k+1,k+2} * e_{k+2,l}) \\ &= 0 \cdot (e_{k+1,k+2} * e_{k+2,l}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Case 3. $j - i = l - k = 2$. Then $i < i + 1 < i + 2 = j$ and $k < k + 1 < k + 2 = l$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} e_{ij} * e_{kl} &= (e_{i,i+1} \cdot e_{i+1,j}) * (e_{k,k+1} \cdot e_{k+1,l}) = e_{i,i+1} * (e_{i+1,j} \cdot e_{k,k+1} \cdot e_{k+1,l}) \\ &= e_{i,i+1} * 0 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 4.7. *Let $1 < i \leq n - 1$ and $1 \leq j \leq n - 2$ with $j \neq i + 1$. Then $e_{i,i+1} * e_{j,j+2} = 0$.*

Proof. We have

$$e_{i,i+1} * e_{j,j+2} = e_{i,i+1} * (e_{j,j+1} \cdot e_{j+1,j+2}) = e_{i,i+1} \cdot (e_{j,j+1} * e_{j+1,j+2}),$$

whence $(e_{i,i+1} * e_{j,j+2})(1, n) = 0$ because $i > 1$. Since $e_{i,i+1} * e_{j,j+2} \in \text{span}_K\{e_{1n}\}$ by Lemma 4.5, we are done. □

Corollary 4.8. *Let $1 \leq i \leq n - 2$ and $1 \leq j < n - 1$ with $j \neq i + 2$. Then $e_{i,i+2} * e_{j,j+1} = 0$.*

Proof. This follows by applying Lemma 4.7 to the product \star given by (6), where ϕ is the involution (23). □

Lemma 4.9. *For all $1 \leq j \leq n - 2$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} (e_{12} * e_{j,j+2})(1, n) &= (e_{j,j+1} * e_{j+1,j+2})(2, n), \\ (e_{j,j+2} * e_{n-1,n})(1, n) &= (e_{j,j+1} * e_{j+1,j+2})(1, n - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Just evaluate the following products at $(1, n)$:

$$\begin{aligned} e_{12} * e_{j,j+2} &= e_{12} * (e_{j,j+1} \cdot e_{j+1,j+2}) = e_{12} \cdot (e_{j,j+1} * e_{j+1,j+2}), \\ e_{j,j+2} * e_{n-1,n} &= (e_{j,j+1} \cdot e_{j+1,j+2}) * e_{n-1,n} = (e_{j,j+1} * e_{j+1,j+2}) \cdot e_{n-1,n}. \end{aligned}$$

□

4.3.2. *The product $e_{ik} * e_{kj}$.*

Lemma 4.10. *Let $1 \leq i < k < j \leq n$. If $k > i + 1$, then $e_{ik} * e_{kj} \in \text{span}_K\{e_{ij}, e_{1j}\}$.*

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} e_{ik} * e_{kj} &= (e_{i,i+1} \cdot e_{i+1,k}) * e_{kj} \\ &= (e_{i,i+1} * e_{i+1,k}) \cdot e_{kj} \in \text{span}_K\{e_{lj} : 1 \leq l < j \leq n\}. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

Now, $j - i > 2$, so for all $1 < t < j$ with $t \neq i$ we have $e_{t-1,t} * e_{ij} = 0$ by Lemma 4.6. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} (e_{ik} * e_{kj})(t, j) &= (e_{t-1,t} \cdot (e_{ik} * e_{kj}))(t - 1, j) = (e_{t-1,t} * (e_{ik} \cdot e_{kj}))(t - 1, j) \\ &= (e_{t-1,t} * e_{ij})(t - 1, j) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, in fact $l \in \{1, i\}$ in (33). □

Corollary 4.11. *Let $1 \leq i < k < j \leq n$. If $j > k + 1$, then $e_{ik} * e_{kj} \in \text{span}_K\{e_{ij}, e_{in}\}$.*

Proof. This follows by applying Lemma 4.10 to the product \star given by (6). □

Corollary 4.12. *Let $1 \leq i < k < j \leq n$. If $k > i + 1$ and $j > k + 1$, then $e_{ik} * e_{kj} \in \text{span}_K\{e_{ij}\}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.10 and Corollary 4.11 we have

$$e_{ik} * e_{kj} \in \text{span}_K\{e_{ij}, e_{1j}\} \cap \text{span}_K\{e_{ij}, e_{in}\} = \text{span}_K\{e_{ij}\}.$$

□

Lemma 4.13. *Let $1 \leq i < k < n-1$. If $k > i+1$, then $e_{ik} * e_{k,k+1} \in \text{span}_K\{e_{i,k+1}\}$.*

Proof. If $i = 1$, then the statement trivially follows from Lemma 4.10. Let $i > 1$. In view of Lemma 4.10 it suffices to prove that $(e_{ik} * e_{k,k+1})(1, k+1) = 0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (e_{ik} * e_{k,k+1})(1, k+1) &= ((e_{i,i+1} \cdot e_{i+1,k}) * e_{k,k+1})(1, k+1) \\ &= ((e_{i,i+1} * e_{i+1,k}) \cdot e_{k,k+1})(1, k+1) = (e_{i,i+1} * e_{i+1,k})(1, k) \\ &= ((e_{i,i+1} * e_{i+1,k}) \cdot e_{kn})(1, n) = ((e_{i,i+1} \cdot e_{i+1,k}) * e_{kn})(1, n) \\ &= (e_{ik} * e_{kn})(1, n), \end{aligned}$$

which is zero by Corollary 4.12, because $k > i+1$ and $n > k+1$ and $i > 1$. □

Corollary 4.14. *Let $2 < k < j \leq n$. If $j > k+1$, then $e_{k-1,k} * e_{kj} \in \text{span}_K\{e_{k-1,j}\}$.*

Proof. This follows by applying Lemma 4.13 to the product \star given by (6), where ϕ is the involution (23). □

Lemma 4.15. *Let $1 \leq i < n-3$. Then $e_{i,n-1} * e_{n-1,n} \in \text{span}_K\{e_{in}\}$.*

Proof. The statement is trivial for $i = 1$ in view of Lemma 4.10. Let $i > 1$. By Lemma 4.10 it suffices to prove that $(e_{i,n-1} * e_{n-1,n})(1, n) = 0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (e_{i,n-1} * e_{n-1,n})(1, n) &= ((e_{i,i+1} \cdot e_{i+1,n-1}) * e_{n-1,n})(1, n) \\ &= ((e_{i,i+1} * e_{i+1,n-1}) \cdot e_{n-1,n})(1, n) \\ &= (e_{i,i+1} * e_{i+1,n-1})(1, n-1), \end{aligned}$$

which is zero by Corollary 4.14 because $n-1 > i+2$ and $i > 1$. □

Corollary 4.16. *Let $4 < j \leq n$. Then $e_{12} * e_{2j} \in \text{span}_K\{e_{1j}\}$.*

Proof. This follows by applying Lemma 4.13 to the product \star given by (6), where ϕ is the involution (23). □

Lemma 4.17. *Let $1 \leq i \leq n-2$. Then*

$$e_{i,i+1} * e_{i+1,i+2} \in \text{span}_K\{e_{i,i+2}, e_{1,n-1}, e_{1n}, e_{2n}\}.$$

Proof. For all $2 < s < t$ with $s \neq i$ we have $e_{s-1,s} * e_{i,i+2} \in \text{span}_K\{e_{1n}\}$ by Lemma 4.5. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} (e_{i,i+1} * e_{i+1,i+2})(s, t) &= (e_{s-1,s} \cdot (e_{i,i+1} * e_{i+1,i+2}))(s-1, t) \\ &= (e_{s-1,s} * (e_{i,i+1} \cdot e_{i+1,i+2}))(s-1, t) \\ &= (e_{s-1,s} * e_{i,i+2})(s-1, t) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for all $1 \leq s < t < n-1$ with $t \neq i+2$ we have $e_{i,i+2} * e_{t,t+1} \in \text{span}_K\{e_{1n}\}$ by Lemma 4.5. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} (e_{i,i+1} * e_{i+1,i+2})(s, t) &= ((e_{i,i+1} * e_{i+1,i+2}) \cdot e_{t,t+1})(s, t+1) \\ &= ((e_{i,i+1} \cdot e_{i+1,i+2}) * e_{t,t+1})(s, t+1) \\ &= (e_{i,i+2} * e_{t,t+1})(s, t+1) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$e_{i,i+1} * e_{i+1,i+2} \in \text{span}_K \{e_{st} : s \in \{1, 2, i\}, t \in \{i+2, n-1, n\}\}. \quad (34)$$

Case 1. $i > 2$. Then

$$e_{1i} \cdot (e_{i,i+1} * e_{i+1,i+2}) = e_{1i} * (e_{i,i+1} \cdot e_{i+1,i+2}) = e_{1i} * e_{i,i+2} \in \text{span}_K \{e_{1,i+2}\}$$

by Corollary 4.12. So, one can remove $(s, t) = (i, n-1)$ and $(s, t) = (i, n)$ from (34). Furthermore,

$$e_{12} \cdot (e_{i,i+1} * e_{i+1,i+2}) = e_{12} * (e_{i,i+1} \cdot e_{i+1,i+2}) = e_{12} * e_{i,i+2} \in \text{span}_K \{e_{1n}\}$$

by Lemma 4.5. Hence, $(s, t) = (2, i+2)$ and $(s, t) = (2, n-1)$ can also be removed from (34). If $i+2 \in \{n-1, n\}$, we are done. Otherwise $i+2 < n-1$, so we have

$(e_{i,i+1} * e_{i+1,i+2}) \cdot e_{i+2,n} = (e_{i,i+1} \cdot e_{i+1,i+2}) * e_{i+2,n} = e_{i,i+2} * e_{i+2,n} \in \text{span}_K \{e_{in}\}$
by Corollary 4.12, and we can finally remove $(s, t) = (1, i+2)$ from (34).

Case 2. $i = 2$. Then $\{(2, i+2), (i, n-1), (i, n)\} = \{(i, i+2), (2, n-1), (2, n)\}$ in (34). Furthermore

$$\begin{aligned} e_{1i} \cdot (e_{i,i+1} * e_{i+1,i+2}) &= e_{12} \cdot (e_{23} * e_{34}) = e_{12} * (e_{23} \cdot e_{34}) \\ &= e_{12} * e_{24} \in \text{span}_K \{e_{14}, e_{1n}\} \end{aligned}$$

by Corollary 4.11, so we can remove $(s, t) = (2, n-1)$ from (34). If $4 \in \{n-1, n\}$, we are done. Otherwise, $4 < n-1$, so, as in Case 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (e_{i,i+1} * e_{i+1,i+2}) \cdot e_{i+2,n} &= (e_{23} * e_{34}) \cdot e_{4n} = (e_{23} \cdot e_{34}) * e_{4n} \\ &= e_{24} * e_{4n} \in \text{span}_K \{e_{2n}\} \end{aligned}$$

by Corollary 4.12, and we can finally remove $(s, t) = (1, i)$ from (34).

Case 3. $i = 1$. Then $\{(1, i+2), (i, n-1), (i, n)\} = \{(i, i+2), (1, n-1), (1, n)\}$ in (34). Furthermore, as in Case 1,

$$e_{12} \cdot (e_{i,i+1} * e_{i+1,i+2}) = e_{12} \cdot (e_{12} * e_{23}) = e_{12} * (e_{12} \cdot e_{23}) = e_{12} * e_{13} \in \text{span}_K \{e_{1n}\}$$

by Lemma 4.5. Hence, one can remove $(s, t) = (2, n-1)$ from (34). If $n = 3$, we are done. Otherwise, $n > 3$, so we have

$$\begin{aligned} (e_{i,i+1} * e_{i+1,i+2}) \cdot e_{i+2,n} &= (e_{12} * e_{23}) \cdot e_{3n} = (e_{12} \cdot e_{23}) * e_{3n} \\ &= e_{13} * e_{3n} \in \text{span}_K \{e_{1n}\} \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 4.10, and we can finally remove $(s, t) = (2, i+2)$ from (34). \square

Lemma 4.18. *Let $n > 4$. Then for all $1 \leq i < k < j \leq n$ we have*

$$(e_{ik} * e_{kj})(i, j) = (e_{12} * e_{23})(1, 3).$$

Proof. *Case 1.* $i > 1$. Then

$$(e_{ik} * e_{kj})(i, j) = (e_{1i} \cdot (e_{ik} * e_{kj}))(1, j) = (e_{1i} * (e_{ik} \cdot e_{kj}))(1, j) = (e_{1i} * e_{ij})(1, j).$$

So, it suffices to consider $i = 1$.

Case 2.1. $i = 1$ and $k > 2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (e_{1k} * e_{kj})(1, j) &= ((e_{1,k-1} \cdot e_{k-1,k}) * e_{kj})(1, j) = ((e_{1,k-1} * e_{k-1,k}) \cdot e_{kj})(1, j) \\ &= (e_{1,k-1} * e_{k-1,k})(1, k). \end{aligned}$$

If $k-1 = 2$, we are done. Otherwise, applying the same argument, we get

$$(e_{1,k-1} * e_{k-1,k})(1, k) = (e_{1,k-2} * e_{k-2,k-1})(1, k-1),$$

and so on, until we arrive at $(e_{12} * e_{23})(1, 3)$.

Case 2.2. $(i, k) = (1, 2)$. If $j < n$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (e_{ik} * e_{kj})(i, j) &= (e_{12} * e_{2j})(1, j) = ((e_{12} * e_{2j}) \cdot e_{jn})(1, n) \\ &= ((e_{12} \cdot e_{2j}) * e_{jn})(1, n) = (e_{1j} * e_{jn})(1, n), \end{aligned}$$

and we are in the conditions of the Case 2.1. Let $j = n > 4$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (e_{ik} * e_{kj})(i, j) &= (e_{12} * e_{2n})(1, n) = (e_{12} * (e_{23} \cdot e_{3n}))(1, n) \\ &= (e_{12} \cdot (e_{23} * e_{3n}))(1, n) = (e_{23} * e_{3n})(2, n). \end{aligned}$$

Applying the same argument once again, we get $(e_{23} * e_{3n})(2, n) = (e_{34} * e_{4n})(3, n)$, which coincides with $(e_{13} * e_{3n})(1, n)$ by Case 1. Thus, the result again follows by Case 2.1. \square

Theorem 4.19. *If $n > 4$, then the interchangeable structures on $UT_n(K)$ are exactly the associative products that are linear combinations of the structures:*

- (i) $\mathbf{I}_{i,j}^1$ ($1 < i \leq n, 1 \leq j < n$): $e_{i-1,i} * e_{j,j+1} = e_{1n}$;
- (ii) \mathbf{I}_i^2 ($1 \leq i < n-1$): $e_{12} * e_{i,i+2} = e_{1n}$, $e_{i,i+1} * e_{i+1,i+2} = e_{2n}$;
- (iii) \mathbf{I}_i^3 ($1 \leq i < n-1$): $e_{i,i+2} * e_{n-1,n} = e_{1n}$, $e_{i,i+1} * e_{i+1,i+2} = e_{1,n-1}$;
- (iv) \mathbf{I}^4 : $e_{ik} * e_{kj} = e_{ij}$ for all $1 \leq i < k < j \leq n$.

If $n \in \{3, 4\}$, then the interchangeable structures on $UT_n(K)$ are exactly the associative products that are linear combinations of the structures (i)–(iii) above.

Proof. Let $*$ be an interchangeable structure on $UT_n(K)$. Then by the results of Subsection 4.3.1 there exist $\{\alpha_{ij} : 1 < i \leq n, 1 \leq j < n, i \neq j\} \subseteq K$, $\{\beta_i : 1 \leq i \leq n-2, i \neq 2\} \subseteq K$ and $\{\gamma_i : 1 \leq i \leq n-2, i \neq 2\} \subseteq K$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} e_{i-1,i} * e_{j,j+1} &= \alpha_{ij} e_{1n}, \quad 1 < i \leq n, \quad 1 \leq j < n, \quad i \neq j, \\ e_{12} * e_{i,i+2} &= \beta_i e_{1n}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n-2, \quad i \neq 2, \\ e_{i,i+2} * e_{n-1,n} &= \gamma_i e_{1n}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n-2, \quad i \neq n-3. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by the results of Subsection 4.3.2, there exist $\{\alpha_{ii}\}_{i=2}^{n-1} \subseteq K$ and $\eta \in K$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} e_{ik} * e_{kj} &= \eta e_{ij}, \quad j > i + 2, \quad (i, k, j) \notin \{(1, 2, 4), (n-3, n-1, n)\}, \\ e_{12} * e_{24} &= \begin{cases} \beta_2 e_{14}, & n = 4, \\ \beta_2 e_{1n} + \eta e_{14}, & n > 4, \end{cases} \\ e_{n-3, n-1} * e_{n-1, n} &= \begin{cases} \gamma_1 e_{14}, & n = 4, \\ \gamma_{n-3} e_{1n} + \eta e_{n-3, n}, & n > 4, \end{cases} \\ e_{i, i+1} * e_{i+1, i+2} &= \begin{cases} \gamma_i e_{12} + \alpha_{i+1, i+1} e_{13} + \beta_i e_{23}, & n = 3, \\ \gamma_i e_{13} + \alpha_{i+1, i+1} e_{14} + \beta_i e_{24}, & n = 4, \\ \eta e_{i, i+2} + \gamma_i e_{1, n-1} + \alpha_{i+1, i+1} e_{1n} + \beta_i e_{2n}, & n > 5, \end{cases} \\ & \quad 1 \leq i \leq n-2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $*$ is the linear combination $\sum_{i,j} \alpha_{ij} \mathbf{I}_{i,j}^1 + \sum_i \beta_i \mathbf{I}_i^2 + \sum_i \gamma_i \mathbf{I}_i^3 + \eta \mathbf{I}^4$. Observe that $\mathbf{I}^4 = \mathbf{I}_{2,2}^1$, whenever $n = 3$, and $\mathbf{I}^4 = \mathbf{I}_2^2 + \mathbf{I}_2^3$, whenever $n = 4$.

Conversely, each of the products (i)–(iii) is interchangeable with \cdot as seen in Subsection 4.2. Moreover, (iv) coincides with the original product \cdot on $UT_n(K)$, so

it is interchangeable with \cdot . Thus, any associative linear combination of (i)–(iv) is an interchangeable structure on $UT_n(K)$. \square

Remark 4.20. There are $(n - 1)^2$ products of the form (i), $n - 2$ products of the form (ii) and $n - 2$ products of the form (iii). In total, there are $n^2 - 3$ linearly independent products of the form (i)–(iii) and 1 product of the form (iv) (which is linearly independent with (i)–(iii) only for $n > 4$).

4.4. The complete classification of interchangeable structures on $UT_3(K)$.
In order to classify the interchangeable structures on $UT_3(K)$ we first specify Theorem 4.19 to the case $n = 3$.

Corollary 4.21. *The interchangeable structures on $UT_3(K)$ are exactly the associative products that are linear combinations of the structures:*

- (i) $\mathbf{I}_{2,1}^1 : e_{12} * e_{12} = e_{13}$;
- (ii) $\mathbf{I}_{2,2}^1 : e_{12} * e_{23} = e_{13}$;
- (iii) $\mathbf{I}_{3,1}^1 : e_{23} * e_{12} = e_{13}$;
- (iv) $\mathbf{I}_{3,2}^1 : e_{23} * e_{23} = e_{13}$;
- (v) $\mathbf{I}_1^2 : e_{12} * e_{13} = e_{13}, e_{12} * e_{23} = e_{23}$;
- (vi) $\mathbf{I}_1^3 : e_{13} * e_{23} = e_{13}, e_{12} * e_{23} = e_{12}$.

i.e. the associative products of the form

$$\begin{aligned} e_{12} * e_{12} &= \lambda_1 e_{13}, & e_{13} * e_{23} &= \lambda_6 e_{13}, \\ e_{12} * e_{13} &= \lambda_5 e_{13}, & e_{23} * e_{12} &= \lambda_3 e_{13}, \\ e_{12} * e_{23} &= \lambda_6 e_{12} + \lambda_2 e_{13} + \lambda_5 e_{23}, & e_{23} * e_{23} &= \lambda_4 e_{13} \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

for some $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^6 \subseteq K$.

Lemma 4.22. *We have the following non-trivial associators of the basis elements $\{e_{12}, e_{23}, e_{13}\}$ of $UT_3(K)$ under (35):*

$$\begin{aligned} [e_{12}, e_{12}, e_{12}] &= -\lambda_1 \lambda_5 e_{13}, \\ [e_{12}, e_{12}, e_{23}] &= -\lambda_5 \lambda_6 e_{12} - \lambda_5^2 e_{23} - 2\lambda_2 \lambda_5 e_{13}, \\ [e_{12}, e_{12}, e_{13}] &= -\lambda_5^2 e_{13}, \\ [e_{12}, e_{23}, e_{12}] &= \lambda_1 \lambda_6 e_{13}, \\ [e_{12}, e_{23}, e_{23}] &= \lambda_6^2 e_{12} + \lambda_5 \lambda_6 e_{23} + 2\lambda_2 \lambda_6 e_{13}, \\ [e_{12}, e_{23}, e_{13}] &= \lambda_5 \lambda_6 e_{13}, \\ [e_{23}, e_{12}, e_{23}] &= -\lambda_4 \lambda_5 e_{13}, \\ [e_{23}, e_{23}, e_{23}] &= \lambda_4 \lambda_6 e_{13}, \\ [e_{13}, e_{12}, e_{23}] &= -\lambda_5 \lambda_6 e_{13}, \\ [e_{13}, e_{23}, e_{23}] &= \lambda_6^2 e_{13}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, (35) is associative if and only if $\lambda_5 = \lambda_6 = 0$.

Proposition 4.23. *Any interchangeable structure on $UT_3(K)$ is isomorphic to exactly one of the following structures:*

- (i) $e_{12} \star e_{12} = \alpha e_{13}, e_{12} \star e_{23} = \beta e_{13}, e_{23} \star e_{12} = \gamma e_{13}, e_{23} \star e_{23} = e_{13}$;
- (ii) $e_{12} \star e_{12} = e_{13}, e_{12} \star e_{23} = \alpha e_{13}, e_{23} \star e_{12} = \beta e_{13}$;
- (iii) $e_{12} \star e_{23} = \alpha e_{13}, e_{23} \star e_{12} = \beta e_{13}$.

In particular, any such structure is totally compatible with \cdot .

Proof. Let $*$ be an interchangeable structure on $UT_3(K)$. By Corollary 4.21 the product $*$ is of the form (35), where $\lambda_5 = \lambda_6 = 0$ thanks to Lemma 4.22. So, $*$ is exactly the structure (28) with $\lambda_5 = \lambda_6 = 0$. Such structures were classified in Case 3 of Proposition 3.23, they are given in (iii)–(v) of Proposition 3.23. So, we just copy them here. \square

Corollary 4.24. *The totally compatible structures on $UT_3(K)$ are exactly (i)–(iii) of Proposition 4.23.*⁴

5. TOTALLY COMPATIBLE STRUCTURES ON $UT_n(K)$

We are finally ready to characterize totally compatible structures on $UT_n(K)$.

Theorem 5.1. *If $n > 3$, then the totally compatible structures on $UT_n(K)$ are exactly the linear combinations of the structures:*

- (i) $\mathbf{T}_{i,j}^1$ ($1 < i \leq n, 1 \leq j < n$) : $e_{i-1,i} * e_{j,j+1} = e_{1n}$;
- (ii) \mathbf{T}^2 : $e_{ik} * e_{kj} = e_{ij}$ for all $1 \leq i < k < j \leq n$.

If $n = 3$, then the totally compatible structures on $UT_n(K)$ are exactly the linear combinations of the structures (i) above.

Proof. Let $*$ be a product on $UT_n(K)$ that is totally compatible with \cdot . In particular, $*$ is interchangeable with \cdot , so $*$ is a linear combination

$$\sum_{i,j} \alpha_{ij} \mathbf{I}_{i,j}^1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \beta_i \mathbf{I}_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \gamma_i \mathbf{I}_i^3 + \eta \mathbf{I}^4 \quad (36)$$

by Theorem 4.19. However, $\mathbf{I}_{i,j}^1$ is totally compatible with \cdot for all i, j as a structure of the form (4) and \mathbf{I}^4 coincides with \cdot , so it is also totally compatible with \cdot . So, subtracting $\sum_{i,j} \alpha_{ij} \mathbf{I}_{i,j}^1 + \eta \mathbf{I}^4$, one can assume that $\alpha_{ij} = \eta = 0$ in (36) (by doing so one can a priori lose the associativity, but (3) will be preserved). Thus, it is enough to consider $*$ of the form

$$\begin{aligned} e_{12} * e_{i,i+2} &= \beta_i e_{1n}, \quad e_{i,i+1} * e_{i+1,i+2} = \beta_i e_{2n} + \gamma_i e_{1,n-1}, \\ e_{i,i+2} * e_{n-1,n} &= \gamma_i e_{1n}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n-2. \end{aligned}$$

Given such a $*$, we have

$$e_{12} \cdot (e_{i,i+1} * e_{i+1,i+2}) = e_{12} \cdot (\beta_i e_{2n} + \gamma_i e_{1,n-1}) = \beta_i e_{1n}$$

for all i . On the other hand, $(e_{12} \cdot e_{i,i+1}) * e_{i+1,i+2} = 0$, unless $i = 2$ and $n = 4$, in which case $(e_{12} \cdot e_{i,i+1}) * e_{i+1,i+2} = \gamma_1 e_{14}$. Similarly,

$$(e_{i,i+1} * e_{i+1,i+2}) \cdot e_{n-1,n} = (\beta_i e_{2n} + \gamma_i e_{1,n-1}) \cdot e_{n-1,n} = \gamma_i e_{1n}$$

for all i , but $e_{i,i+1} * (e_{i+1,i+2} \cdot e_{n-1,n}) = 0$, unless $i = 1$ and $n = 4$, in which case $e_{i,i+1} * (e_{i+1,i+2} \cdot e_{n-1,n}) = \beta_2 e_{1n}$. Thus, $*$ is zero for $n \neq 4$. For $n = 4$ we have $\beta_1 = \gamma_2 = 0$ and $\beta_2 = \gamma_1 =: \alpha$, in which case $*$ is of the form

$$e_{12} * e_{24} = \alpha e_{14}, \quad e_{12} * e_{23} = \alpha e_{13}, \quad e_{23} * e_{34} = \alpha e_{24}, \quad e_{13} * e_{34} = \alpha e_{14},$$

i.e., $*$ is a multiple of the original product \cdot .

Observe that in the case $n = 3$ we have $\mathbf{T}^2 = \mathbf{T}_{2,2}^1$, so the linear combinations of $\mathbf{T}_{i,j}^1$ already give all the totally compatible structures on $UT_n(K)$. \square

⁴This can also be seen as a particular case of Theorem 5.1 below.

Remark 5.2. There are $(n - 1)^2$ structures of the form (i). Thus, the number of linearly independent totally compatible structures on $UT_n(K)$ is either $(n - 1)^2 + 1$ (if $n > 3$) or $(n - 1)^2$ (if $n = 3$).

REFERENCES

- [1] ABDELWAHAB, H., KAYGORODOV, I., AND MAKHLOUF, A. The algebraic and geometric classification of compatible Pre-Lie algebras. *SIGMA* 20 (2024), 107, 20 pages.
- [2] BOLSINOV, A. V. Compatible Poisson brackets on Lie algebras and completeness of families of functions in involution. *Math. USSR, Izv.* 38, 1 (1991), 69–90.
- [3] BOLSINOV, A. V., AND BORISOV, A. V. Compatible Poisson brackets on Lie algebras. *Math. Notes* 72, 1 (2002), 10–30.
- [4] CARIÑENA, J. F., GRABOWSKI, J., AND MARMO, G. Quantum bi-Hamiltonian systems. *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* 15, 30 (2000), 4797–4810.
- [5] DAS, A. Cohomology and deformations of compatible Hom-Lie algebras. *J. Geom. Phys.* 192 (2023), 14. Id/No 104951.
- [6] ELDUQUE, A., AND MYUNG, H. C. *Mutations of alternative algebras*, vol. 278 of *Math. Appl., Dordr.* Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1994.
- [7] GOLUBCHIK, I. Z., AND SOKOLOV, V. V. Compatible Lie brackets and integrable equations of the principal chiral model type. *Funct. Anal. Appl.* 36, 3 (2002), 172–181.
- [8] GOLUBCHIK, I. Z., AND SOKOLOV, V. V. Factorization of the loop algebras and compatible Lie brackets. *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys.* 12 (2005), 343–350.
- [9] KHRYPCHENKO, M. σ -matching and interchangeable structures on certain associative algebras. *Commun. Math.* 33, 3 (2025), Paper no. 6.
- [10] LADRA, M., LEITE DA CUNHA, B., AND LOPES, S. A. A classification of nilpotent compatible Lie algebras. *To appear in Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo Series 2* (arXiv:2406.04036) (2024).
- [11] MAGRI, F. A simple model of the integrable Hamiltonian equation. *J. Math. Phys.* 19 (1978), 1156–1162.
- [12] MAKHLOUF, A., AND SAHA, R. On compatible Leibniz algebras. *To appear in J. Algebra Appl.*, doi: 10.1142/S0219498825501051 (2023).
- [13] NORMATOV, Z. Compatible anti-pre-lie algebras. arXiv:2412.16273 (2024).
- [14] ODESSKII, A., AND SOKOLOV, V. Algebraic structures connected with pairs of compatible associative algebras. *Int. Math. Res. Not.* 2006, 19 (2006), 35. Id/No 43743.
- [15] REYMAN, A. G., AND SEMENOV-TIAN-SHANSKY, M. A. Compatible Poisson brackets for Lax equations and classical r -matrices. *J. Sov. Math.* 47, 2 (1989), 2493–2502.
- [16] STROHMAYER, H. Operads of compatible structures and weighted partitions. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 212, 11 (2008), 2522–2534.
- [17] TENG, W., LONG, F., ZHANG, H., AND JIN, J. On compatible Hom-Lie triple systems. arXiv:2311.07531 (2023).
- [18] ZHANG, H., GAO, X., AND GUO, L. Compatible structures of operads by polarization, their Koszul duality and Manin products. arXiv:2311.11394 (2023).
- [19] ZHANG, Y. Homotopy transfer theorem for linearly compatible di-algebras. *J. Homotopy Relat. Struct.* 8, 1 (2013), 141–150.
- [20] ZHANG, Y., BAI, C., AND GUO, L. The category and operad of matching dialgebras. *Appl. Categ. Struct.* 21, 6 (2013), 851–865.
- [21] ZHANG, Y., BAI, C., AND GUO, L. Totally compatible associative and Lie dialgebras, tri-dendriform algebras and PostLie algebras. *Sci. China, Math.* 57, 2 (2014), 259–273.
- [22] ZINBIEL, G. W. Encyclopedia of types of algebras 2010. In *Operads and universal algebra. Proceedings of the summer school and international conference, Tianjin, China, July 5–9, 2010*. Hackensack, NJ: World Scientific, 2012, pp. 217–297.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE SANTA CATARINA, CAMPUS REITOR JOÃO DAVID FERREIRA LIMA, FLORIANÓPOLIS, SC, CEP: 88040–900, BRAZIL
 Email address, Corresponding author: nskhripchenko@gmail.com