

Cosmological stimulated emission

Atsuhisa Ota^{1,*}

¹*Department of Physics, Chongqing Key Laboratory for Strongly Coupled Physics, Chongqing University, Chongqing 401331, P.R. China*

(Dated: December 31, 2024)

We study the analogy between graviton emission in a thermal radiation environment and the laser mechanism, where photons of the same momentum and polarization are amplified. Using interaction picture perturbation theory, we analyze the time evolution of the graviton number operator and its expectation value in a squeezed vacuum state, describing the inflationary graviton state. During the radiation-dominated era of the early universe, we find secular growth in the graviton number, leading to the breakdown of perturbative analysis within approximately ten Hubble times after reheating. We also explore analogous effects in a Minkowski background. As a thought experiment, we consider LIGO/Virgo-like detectors immersed in a radiation environment at temperatures of $\mathcal{O}(10)$ GeV. In this scenario, graviton numbers at $\mathcal{O}(100)$ Hz could be enhanced, suggesting a mechanism to amplify gravitational wave signals. While this setup is beyond current experimental capabilities, it points to potential advancements in gravitational wave measurements.

Stimulated emission is a well-known mechanism in laser physics, where an incoming photon of a specific frequency interacts with an excited atomic electron, causing it to transition to a lower energy level (See, e.g., [1]). This process results in the emission of photons with the same frequency, direction, and polarization as the incoming photon, making it ideal for beam amplification. In this Letter, we investigate whether a similar mechanism applies to graviton interactions in a medium, particularly in cosmological settings. While such an effect is suppressed by the Planck mass in vacuum, in a dense, high-temperature medium—such as the thermal background of the early universe—the number of thermal fields interacting with gravitons becomes substantial (see Fig. 1). This interaction potentially amplifies the graviton population by several orders of magnitude. Our analysis shows that, under certain conditions, thermal effects can overcome the intrinsic weakness of gravitational minimal coupling, opening the possibility for observable cosmological signatures of stimulated graviton emission.

Stimulated emission is often discussed in the context of non-relativistic quantum mechanics. We consider the effect in a cosmological context; therefore, we first examine this effect from a field-theoretical perspective (see also Ref. [2]). Consider a photon field $\hat{A} = u\hat{d} + u^*\hat{d}^\dagger$, with the positive frequency mode function u and the annihilation operator \hat{d} . For notational simplicity, we suppress the polarization index, Lorentz index, and momentum, and we write $[\hat{d}, \hat{d}^\dagger] = 1$. A rigorous treatment is postponed for gravitons. The photon number operator is defined as $\hat{N} \equiv \hat{d}^\dagger\hat{d}$. We study the evolution of \hat{N} under the interactions between photons and atomic electrons. The interaction Hamiltonian is given by $\hat{H}_I = -e\hat{j}\hat{A}$, where \hat{j} is the U(1) current and e is the U(1) coupling constant. The time evolution of the number operator in the inter-




FIG. 1. A Feynman diagram illustrating cosmological stimulated emission. The wavy and solid lines represent the propagators of the graviton, h , and scalar thermal radiation, χ , as considered in this letter. External legs marked with a cross indicate sources. The scalar field χ is generated by the thermal bath, while h originates from inflation. Although the reduced Planck mass, M_{pl} , suppresses a single process, the relation $\partial\chi|_{\text{th}} \propto \sqrt{\rho_\gamma} \propto M_{\text{pl}}$ with the radiation energy density ρ_γ , derived from the Friedmann equation, compensates for this suppression. Consequently, the overall order counting for this diagram is M_{pl}^0 .

action picture is given by [3]

$$\sum_{n=0} \hat{N}_n(\tau) = i^n \int^\tau d\tau_1 \cdots \int^{\tau_{n-2}} d\tau_{n-1} \int^{\tau_{n-1}} d\tau_n \times \left[\hat{H}_I(\tau_n), \left[\hat{H}_I(\tau_{n-1}), \cdots \left[\hat{H}_I(\tau_1), \hat{N}(\tau) \right] \cdots \right] \right], \quad (1)$$

with the interaction picture field \hat{N} . We evaluate the ensemble average of Eq. (1) for a given quantum state $\hat{\rho}$ of the photon-electron system. For simplicity, we assume that the photon and atomic electron states are separable: $\hat{\rho} = \hat{\rho}_\gamma \otimes \hat{\rho}_e$. For stimulated emission, $\hat{\rho}_e$ is in a state of population inversion, and $\hat{\rho}_\gamma$ is chosen such that the photon frequency coincides with the energy gap of the atomic electrons.

The expectation value of $n = 1$ vanishes since \hat{N}_1 is linear in $(\hat{d}, \hat{d}^\dagger)$. The next-to-leading-order correction has

two contributions: $\hat{N}_2 = \hat{N}_{\text{spon}} + \hat{N}_{\text{stim}}$, with

$$\hat{N}_{\text{spon}} = e^2 \int^\tau d\tau_1 \int^{\tau_1} d\tau_2 \frac{\hat{j}(\tau_1)\hat{j}(\tau_2) + \hat{j}(\tau_2)\hat{j}(\tau_1)}{2} \times (u(\tau_2)u(\tau_1)^* + u(\tau_1)u(\tau_2)^*), \quad (2)$$

$$\hat{N}_{\text{stim}} = e^2 \int^\tau d\tau_1 \int^{\tau_1} d\tau_2 [\hat{j}(\tau_1), \hat{j}(\tau_2)] \times ((u(\tau_1)u(\tau_2)^* - u(\tau_1)^*u(\tau_2)) \frac{\hat{d}\hat{d}^\dagger + \hat{d}^\dagger\hat{d}}{2} + u(\tau_1)u(\tau_2)\hat{d}^2 - u(\tau_1)^*u(\tau_2)^*\hat{d}^{\dagger 2}), \quad (3)$$

The evolution of the photon number depends only on the electron states, so Eq. (2) represents spontaneous emission. In contrast, Eq. (3) depends on the initial photon state. Consider the N -photon state $|N\rangle \equiv \frac{(\hat{d}^\dagger)^N}{\sqrt{N!}}|0\rangle$ with $\hat{d}|0\rangle = 0$, and $\hat{\rho}_\gamma = |N\rangle\langle N|$. In this photon-number eigenstate, the last line vanishes and Eq. (3) yields $\text{Tr}[\hat{\rho}\hat{N}_{\text{stim}}] \propto N$, which describes stimulated emission. To be more precise, Eq. (3) involves the vacuum stimulated emission that we eliminate by taking the normal ordering $\hat{d}\hat{d}^\dagger \rightarrow \hat{d}^\dagger\hat{d}$.

In contrast to the photon-number eigenstates, stimulated emission exhibits a distinct feature in squeezed vacuum states. With a squeeze operator \hat{S} , a squeezed vacuum state is $|\psi\rangle = \hat{S}|0\rangle$. Furthermore, one can write $\hat{d} = \hat{S}^\dagger \hat{a}_\psi \hat{S} = \mu \hat{a}_\psi + \nu \hat{a}_\psi^\dagger$, with $|\mu|^2 - |\nu|^2 = 1$, and $\hat{a}_\psi|\psi\rangle = \hat{d}|0\rangle = 0$. The photon number evaluated in this squeezed vacuum state is $\langle\psi|\hat{N}|\psi\rangle = |\nu|^2$. In Eq. (3), because squeezed vacuum states involve various even-number states, interference effects among these different photon-number states can be observed. We find $\langle\psi|\hat{d}^2|\psi\rangle = \mu\nu$, $\langle\psi|\hat{d}^\dagger\hat{d}|\psi\rangle = |\nu|^2$, and $\langle\psi|\hat{d}^{\dagger 2}|\psi\rangle = \mu^*\nu^*$. Typically, μ and ν oscillate with different phases. For the simple case where the phases are equivalent, $\mu \sim \nu = \sqrt{N} \gg 1$, all terms in Eq. (3) contribute equally, in contrast to the case of number eigenstates.

The above discussion helps establish an analogy between the transitions of bound-state electrons and various interactions represented by \hat{H}_I . The essential difference is a choice of a state, and the question is if cosmological states introduce similar effects or not. In cosmology, gravitational wave production is often used to constrain various early-universe models (see Ref. [4] and references therein), which corresponds to spontaneous emission in the present framework. In a laser medium, greater spontaneous emission generally leads to greater stimulated emission as one can find the relationship between the absorption and emission coefficients [1]. Analogously, mechanisms that produce substantial gravitational waves suggest the potential for significant cosmological stimulated emission of gravitons. Note that squeezed vacuum states are particularly important here since inflationary gravitons exist in such a state [5, 6].

As an example of cosmological stimulated emission, let us consider gravitons minimally coupled to a massless scalar field χ in a general flat Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker (FLRW) background. χ is free but is initially in a local thermal state characterized by a local canonical ensemble with inverse temperature β . Note that population inversion does not occur in canonical ensembles; hence, we naively do not expect stimulated emission in this setup. We define the Hamiltonians with respect to conformal time, so β is understood as a co-moving inverse temperature.

A graviton h_{ij} is defined as the quantized traceless transverse perturbation around a flat FLRW metric:

$$ds^2 = a^2(\tau) \left[-d\tau^2 + (\delta_{ij} + 2M_{\text{pl}}^{-1}h_{ij})dx^i dx^j \right], \quad (4)$$

where $h^i_i = \partial_i h^i_j = 0$ and the reduced Planck mass M_{pl} . The leading-order interaction between h_{ij} and χ is given by expanding the kinetic term of χ :

$$\hat{H}_I = -\frac{a^2}{M_{\text{pl}}} \int d^3x \hat{h}^{ij} \partial_i \chi \partial_j \chi. \quad (5)$$

At one-loop order, the four-point interaction also contributes to the spectrum. However, it is found that the one-loop correction from the four-point interaction is canceled by the equivalence principle, so we ignore it in this letter [7].

One can express the Fourier integrals of h_{ij} and χ as

$$\hat{h}_{ij}(\tau, \mathbf{x}) = \sum_{s=\pm} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} e_{\mathbf{k},ij}^{(s)} \hat{h}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(s)}(\tau), \quad (6)$$

$$\hat{\chi}(\tau, \mathbf{x}) = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \hat{\chi}_{\mathbf{k}}(\tau), \quad (7)$$

where the gravitational wave polarization tensor satisfies $k^i e_{\mathbf{k},ij}^{(s)} = \delta^{ij} e_{\mathbf{k},ij}^{(s)} = 0$ and $e_{\mathbf{k},ij}^{(s)} (e_{\mathbf{k},ij}^{(s')})^* = \delta^{ss'}$. Using these expressions, Eq. (5) becomes

$$\hat{H}_I = -M_{\text{pl}}^{-1} \sum_{s=\pm} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \hat{h}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(s)} \hat{T}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(s)}, \quad (8)$$

where $\hat{T}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(s)}$ is the energy-momentum tensor of χ projected onto the graviton polarization and momentum:

$$\hat{T}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(s)} \equiv -a^2 \int \frac{d^3l d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \delta(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{l} + \mathbf{p}) e_{\mathbf{k}}^{(s)ij} l_i p_j \hat{\chi}_{\mathbf{l}} \hat{\chi}_{\mathbf{p}}. \quad (9)$$

Thus, the U(1) coupling constant, current, and photons are replaced by the Planck mass, energy-momentum tensor, and gravitons, with $e \rightarrow M_{\text{pl}}^{-1}$, $j \rightarrow T$, and $A \rightarrow h$.

As we study the evolution of the number operator in interaction picture perturbation theory, we need to identify the free graviton number operator, which is time-dependent in an expanding universe. Define the *instantaneous* annihilation operator [8]

$$\hat{d}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(s)} \equiv a \sqrt{\frac{k}{2}} \hat{h}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(s)} + \frac{i}{a\sqrt{2k}} \hat{\pi}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger(s)}, \quad (10)$$

with the conjugate momentum $\hat{\pi}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(s)} \equiv a^2 \hat{h}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(s) \prime}$. Eq. (10) writes the time-dependent graviton free Hamiltonian

$$\sum_s \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} k \left(\hat{d}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(s)\dagger} \hat{d}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(s)} + \frac{1}{2} \left[\hat{d}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(s)}, \hat{d}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(s)\dagger} \right] \right), \quad (11)$$

where the commutator term is a time-independent constant shift. The instantaneous vacuum is defined as $\hat{d}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(s)}|0\rangle = 0$. Using Eqs. (1) and (8), we consider loop corrections to the time-dependent free number operator defined from Eq. (10):

$$\hat{N}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(s)} \equiv \hat{d}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(s)\dagger} \hat{d}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(s)}. \quad (12)$$

In Eq. (1), \hat{N} evolves via the canonical variables in Eq. (10).

Given an instantaneous vacuum $|0\rangle$ and a squeeze operator \hat{S} , a squeezed vacuum state is $|\psi\rangle = \hat{S}|0\rangle$. $|\psi\rangle$ is, for example, the adiabatic vacuum during inflation. The Bogoliubov transformation writes the instantaneous operator at τ with respect to $\hat{d}_{\psi, \mathbf{k}}^{(s)}|\psi\rangle = 0$:

$$\hat{d}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(s)} = \hat{S}^\dagger \hat{d}_{\psi, \mathbf{k}}^{(s)} \hat{S} = \mu_k \hat{d}_{\psi, \mathbf{k}}^{(s)} + \nu_k \hat{d}_{\psi, -\mathbf{k}}^{(s)\dagger}, \quad (13)$$

which implies that $|\psi\rangle$ is in a two-mode squeezed vacuum state and in an entanglement state of gravitons with momenta \mathbf{k} and $-\mathbf{k}$. These Bogoliubov coefficients depend on time through the canonical variables, and $V^{-1} \langle \psi | \hat{d}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(s)\dagger} \hat{d}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(s)} | \psi \rangle = |\nu_k|^2$ represents the number of free gravitons in a state $|\psi\rangle$ in a comoving volume $V \equiv (2\pi)^3 \delta(0)$ at τ .

Let us write the graviton operator at $\tau_{1,2}$ with respect to Eq. (13):

$$\hat{h}_{\mathbf{k}_i}^{(s_i)}(\tau_i) = u_{k_i}(\tau_i, \tau) \hat{d}_{\mathbf{k}_i}^{(s_i)} + u_{k_i}^*(\tau_i, \tau) \hat{d}_{-\mathbf{k}_i}^{(s_i)\dagger}. \quad (14)$$

Note that u is the mode function at τ_i with respect to the instantaneous operators at τ . This unusual convention is used to subtract vacuum stimulated emission consistently. We evaluate the cosmological counterpart of Eq. (3) with the following remarks:

1. The trace of $\hat{\chi}$ is taken in a local frame where \hat{h}_{ij} vanishes. Then we take the trace in $|\psi\rangle$ in a global frame.
2. Typical χ fields are well-inside the horizon, $\beta \ll \tau_i$.
3. The graviton momentum k is small enough compared to the radiation temperature, i.e., $k\beta \ll 1$.
4. The vacuum stimulated emission is subtracted by $\hat{d}\hat{d}^\dagger \rightarrow \hat{d}^\dagger\hat{d}$, since it does not depend on $|\psi\rangle$.

Then, after careful treatment to the polarization indices and momentum integration, we find

$$\text{Tr} \left[\hat{\rho} \frac{\hat{N}_{\text{stim}, \mathbf{k}}^{(s)}}{V} \right] = 2\text{Re} \left[\lambda_k |\nu_k|^2 + \sigma_k \mu_k^* \nu_k^* \right], \quad (15)$$

$$\lambda_k \equiv 4i \int^\tau d\tau_2 \int_{\tau_2}^\tau d\tau_1 u_k(\tau_2, \tau) u_k^*(\tau_1, \tau) \frac{X(\tau_1, \tau_2)}{M_{\text{pl}}^2}, \quad (16)$$

$$\sigma_k \equiv 4i \int^\tau d\tau_2 \int_{\tau_2}^\tau d\tau_1 u_k^*(\tau_2, \tau) u_k^*(\tau_1, \tau) \frac{X(\tau_1, \tau_2)}{M_{\text{pl}}^2}, \quad (17)$$

where we rearrange the order of integration in time and introduce

$$X(\tau_1, \tau_2) \equiv \frac{1}{15\pi^2} \left[-\frac{3}{8\Delta\tau^5} + \text{csch}^5 \left(\frac{2\pi\Delta\tau}{\beta} \right) \right. \\ \left. \times \frac{\pi^5}{\beta^5} \left(11 \cosh \left(\frac{2\pi\Delta\tau}{\beta} \right) + \cosh \left(\frac{6\pi\Delta\tau}{\beta} \right) \right) \right], \quad (18)$$

which serves as a window function that peaks for $\Delta\tau = \tau_1 - \tau_2 \sim \beta/(2\pi)$ (See Ref. [7] for the shape of the window). We neglected the zero-temperature component when deriving Eq. (18). As usual, the vacuum contribution may contain divergences, but these are distinct from finite-temperature effects, as the renormalization of physical constants at zero temperature is independent of the physics at finite temperature [9]. The divergence for the finite-temperature part is avoided here because the thermal distribution suppresses contributions from high and low momentum modes. Since X is a window for a short interval $\tau_2 \lesssim \tau_1 \lesssim \tau_2 + \beta/2\pi$, we can assume that the graviton mode functions are approximately constant over this interval. This approximation allows us to carry out the τ_1 integration straightforwardly. In the high-temperature limit, $\beta/\tau \ll 1$, we find

$$\lim_{\beta/\tau \rightarrow 0} \int_{\tau_2}^\tau d\tau_1 X(\tau_1, \tau_2) = \frac{\pi^2}{450\beta^4}. \quad (19)$$

The significance of stimulated emission in each frequency bin is characterized by the ratio of Eq. (15) and the free graviton number:

$$r_k \equiv 2\text{Re} \left[\lambda_k + \frac{\sigma_k^* \mu_k \nu_k}{|\nu_k|^2} \right]. \quad (20)$$

Let us evaluate cosmological stimulated emission in a thermal radiation background realized by the χ field. The Bogoliubov coefficients for the squeezed vacuum state gravitons during radiation dominance after inflation are

$$|\nu_k|^2 = \frac{1}{8k^6 \tau^2 \tau_R^4} \left[2k^4 \tau_R^4 + 2k^2 \tau^2 \right. \\ \left. + \sin(2k(\tau - \tau_R)) (4k^3 \tau \tau_R^2 - 2k\tau + 2k\tau_R) \right. \\ \left. + \cos(2k(\tau - \tau_R)) (4k^2 \tau_R(\tau_R - \tau) - 1) + 1 \right], \quad (21)$$

$$\mu_k \nu_k = \frac{1}{8k^6 \tau^2 \tau_R^4} \left[-i(2k\tau - i)(2k^4 \tau_R^4 + 1) \right. \\ \left. + \cos(2k(\tau - \tau_R)) (4k^4 \tau^2 \tau_R^2 + 4ik^3 \tau \tau_R(\tau - \tau_R) \right. \\ \left. - 2k^2(\tau - \tau_R)^2 + 2ik\tau + 1) \right. \\ \left. + \sin(2k(\tau - \tau_R)) (-2k\tau_R + 2k\tau(1 - ik\tau_R)) \right]$$

$$+ 2ik\tau_R^2(k\tau - i)], \quad (22)$$

where τ_R is the reheating time, and instantaneous reheating is considered. The positive frequency mode function in Eq. (14), with scale factor $a(\tau) = \tau/(H\tau_R^2)$, is given by

$$u_k(\tau_1, \tau) = \frac{H\tau_R^2 (\sin(k(\tau_1 - \tau)) + k\tau e^{-ik(\tau_1 - \tau)})}{\sqrt{2}k^{3/2}\tau\tau_1}. \quad (23)$$

Here, H is the inflationary Hubble parameter, as instantaneous reheating is assumed. With this setup, we evaluate Eqs. (16) and (17). In the high-temperature limit $\beta/\tau \ll 1$, we find $\text{Re}[\lambda_k] \sim -H^2\pi^3\tau_R^3/(450M_{\text{pl}}^2\beta^3) < 0$. The factor H^2/M_{pl}^2 relates to the undetermined amplitude of primordial gravitational waves, whose upper bound is $\mathcal{O}(10^{-5})$ [10]. On the other hand, $\tau_R^4/\beta^4 = \rho_\gamma(\tau_R)/H^4$ is large, as thermal photons are well subhorizon even at reheating time. From the Friedmann equation, $3M_{\text{pl}}^2H^2 = \rho_\gamma(\tau_R)$, we find $\tau_R^4/\beta^4 = 90M_{\text{pl}}^2/(\pi^2H^2)$, assuming the radiation is dominated by χ . Thus, $r_k = \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{H/M_{\text{pl}}}) < 0$, which indicates a small absorption effect when evaluated on graviton number eigenstates. This $r_k < 0$ result is expected, as population inversion does not occur in χ 's distribution.

For a squeezed vacuum state, r_k also depends on σ . Interestingly, we found $r_k > 0$ including a squeezed vacuum state, which is unexpected without population inversion in χ . Here, we may interpret that population inversion occurs in the graviton sector instead of χ , due to the graviton squeezed vacuum state, as it includes excited states with respect to the instantaneous vacuum. We derived an analytic expression for r_k in the present setup, but it is tedious, so we omit the full expression here. Instead, we present asymptotic formulas for subhorizon and superhorizon limits.

The asymptotic formula in the subhorizon limit is

$$\lim_{k\tau \rightarrow \infty} r_k = \frac{8\pi^2}{5} (1 - \gamma_E - \ln(2k\tau_R)), \quad (24)$$

where $\gamma_E = 0.577216$ is the Euler-Mascheroni constant. Note that M_{pl}^2 in the denominator is canceled by using the Friedmann equation, and thus, the Planck mass suppression disappears. Note that $k\tau_R \rightarrow 0$ is taken before the limit in Eq. (24) to account for the graviton modes reentering the horizon after reheating. The spectral index is given by

$$n_r \equiv \frac{d \ln r_k}{d \ln k} = \frac{1}{-1 + \gamma_E + \ln(2k\tau_R)}. \quad (25)$$

For successful inflation, the e -folding number is typically 50 to 60, which implies $\ln k\tau_R \gtrsim -60$, and then $n_r \lesssim -0.016$.

For IR graviton modes during radiation dominance, the oscillatory feature in the Bogoliubov coefficients dis-

appears, and r_k simplifies to

$$\lim_{k\tau \rightarrow 0} r_k = \frac{8}{5} \left[\ln \left(\frac{\tau}{\tau_R} \right) - \frac{2}{3} \left(1 - \frac{\tau_R}{\tau} \right) \left(2 + \frac{\tau_R^3}{\tau^3} \right) \right]. \quad (26)$$

Similar effects were also discussed for the graviton power spectrum of \hat{h} in Refs. [7, 11–13], whereas r_k in this letter is a correction to the graviton number. The log factor found in Ref. [7] coincides with

$$\lim_{k\tau \rightarrow 0} \text{Re}[\sigma] = \frac{4}{5} \left(\ln \left(\frac{\tau}{\tau_R} \right) - 1 + \frac{\tau_R}{\tau} \right), \quad (27)$$

which implies that the power spectra of both \hat{h} and $\hat{\pi}$ evolve, and the sum of them determines the stimulated emission. The secular logarithmic growth of IR cosmological perturbation is also reported in the presence of primordial magnetic fields and massive neutrinos [14, 15]. The similarity of our effect may suggest that the stimulated emission in IR modes is due to effective anisotropic stress.

The large stimulated emission observed at 1-loop order suggests a breakdown in the perturbative approach, an issue commonly encountered in thermal field theory [16], which would need to be incorporated into a more comprehensive analysis to be addressed in future work. The $\ln a$ dependence of cosmological loop corrections is also discussed in a more general context in Ref. [17], which suggests the n -th order loop correction is $(\ln a)^n$.

The stimulated emission rate r_k is integrated into the observable as follows. The number operator determines the inflationary graviton energy density:

$$\rho_{\text{GW}} = \frac{1}{a^4V} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} k \sum_s \langle \psi | \hat{N}_{\mathbf{k}}^s | \psi \rangle. \quad (28)$$

The commonly used k -space graviton energy fraction $\rho_{\text{GW}}/\rho_{\text{tot}} = \int d \ln k \Omega_{\text{GW}}(k)$, where ρ_{tot} is the energy density of χ in the present case. Then, $\Omega_{\text{GW}}^{\text{stim}} = r_k \Omega_{\text{GW}}^{\text{free}}$, so that r_k can be directly observed in measurements of the stochastic gravitational wave background.

This analysis includes several simplifying assumptions, which effectively capture the primary physical implications for an initial study. Specifically, thermal radiation is modeled by a massless free scalar field with an initial thermal distribution, neglecting any self-interactions of χ . A more realistic treatment, involving Standard Model fields, would introduce characteristic diffusion scales from various interactions. In our setup, the mean free path (MFP) of the free scalar field is effectively infinite, meaning that the hydrodynamic description does not apply. Nevertheless, this approach successfully reproduces the radiation equation of state, which is sufficient for modeling the radiation background. Notably, the infinite MFP is unlikely to impact our results substantially. Since stimulated emission occurs over an extremely short interval, $\Delta\tau \sim \beta$, which is the fastest timescale in the problem,

the MFP for any interaction process should be longer than β . We also note that cosmological neutrinos, after decoupling, are well described by a massless free particle with an initial thermal distribution, similar to our setup. Here, we ignore the tensor perturbation in β , which modifies the linear solution and results in a damping effect in tensor modes [18], which may compensate the present enhancement.

The stimulated emission, especially for the super-horizon graviton enhancement, may seem counterintuitive. Our calculations employ the in-in formalism, ensuring rigorous time ordering of operators, which does not imply a violation of causality. The scalar field is distributed across the universe and interacts coherently with the existing gravitons. In fact, we find a similar effect in a Minkowski background, where the concept of a horizon does not exist. In a Minkowski background, with $a = 1$, the positive frequency mode function in Eq. (14) is given by $u_k(\tau_1, \tau) = e^{-ik(\tau_1 - \tau)}/\sqrt{2k}$. Let us evaluate Eqs. (16) and (17) under this setup. We then obtain $\text{Re}[\lambda_k] = -\pi^3\tau/(450M_{\text{pl}}^2\beta^3) < 0$, and

$$\sigma_k = \frac{\pi^2\tau^2}{225M_{\text{pl}}^2\beta^4} \left(\frac{\sin^2(k\tau)}{k^2\tau^2} + \frac{i}{k\tau} \frac{\sin(2k\tau)}{2k\tau} \right). \quad (29)$$

Further details depend on the configuration of the graviton squeezed vacuum state. For IR modes, suppose $\mu_k\nu_k = c_1(1 + ik\tau c_2 + \mathcal{O}(k^2\tau^2))$ and $|\nu_k|^2 = c_1(1 + \mathcal{O}(k^2\tau^2))$. Then, one finds a scale-invariant correction:

$$r_k \simeq \frac{\pi^2\tau^2(1 + c_2)}{225M_{\text{pl}}^2\beta^4}. \quad (30)$$

If $1/(2\tau)$ is identified with the Hubble parameter, we reproduce the M_{pl} cancellation in the radiation-dominated universe.

As described above, there are various issues that need to be considered more carefully. However, assuming the effect is real, it has tremendous implications for cosmological observations. The amplitudes of primordial perturbations are directly related to the size of the slow-roll parameter and the inflationary Hubble scale [10]. The present constraints on the primordial gravitational wave amplitude become effectively stronger, provided that the net stimulated emission is positive and vice versa. Cosmological stimulated emission could be of interest for ground-based gravitational wave measurements, such as LIGO/Virgo [19]. Hereafter we set $a = 1$. The observable gravitational wave frequency is typically $\mathcal{O}(100)$ Hz, so $k \sim \mathcal{O}(1) \text{ m}^{-1} \sim 10^{-34} M_{\text{pl}}$. In Eq. (30), the temperature scale realized in a ground-based laboratory is limited, but τ in Planck time is enormous. Suppose $k\tau \lesssim 0.1$, i.e., $\tau \lesssim 10^{33} M_{\text{pl}}^{-1}$, which implies

$$r_k \sim (1 + c_2) \left(\frac{\tau}{0.1 \text{ m}} \right)^2 \left(\frac{\beta^{-1}}{56 \text{ GeV}} \right)^4. \quad (31)$$

Thus, with radiation at $\beta^{-1} \gg \mathcal{O}(10) \text{ GeV}$, cosmological stimulated emission could be efficient if $c_2 \gtrsim 0$. This mechanism may serve as a means to amplify gravitational wave signals without altering the waveform. Such an energy scale is extremely high but not inaccessible to humanity compared to the Planck scale, as the Large Hadron Collider reaches 7 TeV. While we cannot conclude quantitatively at this stage, investigating cosmological stimulated emission will be crucial in the coming decades.

The author would like to thank Misao Sasaki, Ryo Saito, Tsutomu Yanagida, Jun'ichi, Yokoyama, Yi Wang, and Richard Woodard for useful discussions. This work was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 12347101 and 12403001.

* aota@cqu.edu.cn

- [1] Steven Weinberg. Lectures on Quantum Mechanics. 2015. doi:10.1017/CB09781316276105.
- [2] I. A. Aleksandrov, A. Di Piazza, G. Plumien, and V. M. Shabaev. Stimulated Vacuum Emission and Photon Absorption in Strong Electromagnetic Fields. Phys. Rev. D, 105(11):116005, 2022. arXiv:2202.06886, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.105.116005.
- [3] Steven Weinberg. Quantum Contributions to Cosmological Correlations. Phys. Rev. D, 72:043514, 2005. arXiv:hep-th/0506236, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.72.043514.
- [4] Chiara Caprini and Daniel G. Figueroa. Cosmological Backgrounds of Gravitational Waves. Class. Quant. Grav., 35(16):163001, 2018. arXiv:1801.04268, doi:10.1088/1361-6382/aac608.
- [5] L. P. Grishchuk and Yu. V. Sidorov. On the Quantum State of Relic Gravitons. Class. Quant. Grav., 6:L161-L165, 1989. doi:10.1088/0264-9381/6/9/002.
- [6] Andreas Albrecht, Pedro Ferreira, Michael Joyce, and Tomislav Prokopec. Inflation and squeezed quantum states. Phys. Rev. D, 50:4807-4820, 1994. arXiv:astro-ph/9303001, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.50.4807.
- [7] Atsuhisa Ota, Misao Sasaki, and Yi Wang. One-Loop Thermal Radiation Exchange in Gravitational Wave Power Spectrum. 10 2023. arXiv:2310.19071.
- [8] Sugumi Kanno and Jiro Soda. Squeezed Quantum States of Graviton and Axion in the Universe. Int. J. Mod. Phys. D, 31(13):2250098, 2022. arXiv:2112.14496, doi:10.1142/S0218271822500985.
- [9] N. P. Landsman and C. G. van Weert. Real and Imaginary Time Field Theory at Finite Temperature and Density. Phys. Rept., 145:141, 1987. doi:10.1016/0370-1573(87)90121-9.
- [10] Y. Akrami et al. Planck 2018 Results. X. Constraints on Inflation. Astron. Astrophys., 641:A10, 2020. arXiv:1807.06211, doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201833887.
- [11] Atsuhisa Ota, Misao Sasaki, and Yi Wang. One-Loop Tensor Power Spectrum from an Excited Scalar Field during Inflation. Phys. Rev. D, 108(4):043542, 2023. arXiv:2211.12766, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.108.043542.

- [12] Atsuhisa Ota, Misao Sasaki, and Yi Wang. Scale-Invariant Enhancement of Gravitational Waves during Inflation. *Mod. Phys. Lett. A*, 38(12n13):2350063, 2023. [arXiv:2209.02272](#), [doi:10.1142/S0217732323500633](#).
- [13] Chao Chen, Atsuhisa Ota, Hui-Yu Zhu, and Yuhang Zhu. Missing One-Loop Contributions in Secondary Gravitational Waves. *Phys. Rev. D*, 107(8):083518, 2023. [arXiv:2210.17176](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.107.083518](#).
- [14] J. Richard Shaw and Antony Lewis. Massive Neutrinos and Magnetic Fields in the Early Universe. *Phys. Rev. D*, 81:043517, 2010. [arXiv:0911.2714](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.81.043517](#).
- [15] Shohei Saga, Hiroyuki Tashiro, and Shuichiro Yokoyama. Limits on Primordial Magnetic Fields from Direct Detection Experiments of Gravitational Wave Background. *Phys. Rev. D*, 98(8):083518, 2018. [arXiv:1807.00561](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.98.083518](#).
- [16] Mikko Laine and Aleksi Vuorinen. *Basics of Thermal Field Theory*, volume 925. Springer, 2016. [arXiv:1701.01554](#), [doi:10.1007/978-3-319-31933-9](#).
- [17] Steven Weinberg. Quantum Contributions to Cosmological Correlations. II. Can These Corrections Become Large? *Phys. Rev. D*, 74:023508, 2006. [arXiv:hep-th/0605244](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.74.023508](#).
- [18] Steven Weinberg. Damping of Tensor Modes in Cosmology. *Phys. Rev. D*, 69:023503, 2004. [arXiv:astro-ph/0306304](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.69.023503](#).
- [19] B. P. Abbott et al. Observation of Gravitational Waves from a Binary Black Hole Merger. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 116(6):061102, 2016. [arXiv:1602.03837](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.061102](#).