

# STRONGLY INVARIANT DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS ON PARABOLIC GEOMETRIES MODELLED ON $Gr(3, 3)$

JAN SLOVÁK AND VLADIMÍR SOUČEK

ABSTRACT. We consider the curved geometries modelled on the homogeneous space  $G/P$ , where  $G = SL(6, \mathbb{R})$  acts transitively on the Grassmannian  $Gr(3, 3)$  of three-dimensional subspaces in  $\mathbb{R}^6$ , and  $P$  is the corresponding isotropic subgroup. We classify the strongly invariant operators between sections of vector bundles induced on such geometries by irreducible  $P$ -modules, i.e., those obtained via homomorphisms of semi-holonomic Verma modules.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The 4-dimensional conformal Riemannian geometry twistor calculus, going back to Roger Penrose and his group, has got essential in modern theories of classical gravity. Over decades, it was generalized in two main directions – the conformal geometry and the (almost) quaternionic geometry, in general dimensions. The quaternionic geometry is closely related to the  $(2, n)$ -Grassmanians, overlapping with the original  $(2, 2)$ -Grassmannian story in the most classical spinor and twistor calculus.

We suggest to explore the third obvious possibility here — the  $(n, n)$ -Grassmannian geometries. Compared to the 4-dimensional case, this choice has got several nice similarities. First, the tangent space is a tensor product of an auxiliary  $n$ -dimensional bundle  $\mathcal{S}$  and its dual, whose top forms are identified, i.e.,  $\Lambda^n \mathcal{S} \simeq \Lambda^n \mathcal{S}^*$  as line bundles. Second, there is the canonical Cartan connection coming from the standard normalization of the parabolic geometries, with all the relevant calculus at hand. Finally, there are also two essential components of the Cartan curvature here, which are both of the torsion type.

All three series of geometries are belonging to the category of ( $|1|$ -graded) parabolic geometries, see [4] for careful exposition. Thus, the general calculus applies and it is well known that the main tools of these calculi are of algebraic and (co)homological character. In particular, there is the complete understanding of patterns of all invariant linear differential operators between the homogeneous vector bundles for the flat Klein geometry models. They correspond to the well known patterns of (generalized) Verma module homomorphisms, a story going back to Gelfand, Kostant and others, many decades back.

There are several approaches how to extend and understand these patterns for the curved Cartan geometries, cf. [5, 3, 6, 7]. The work [10] provides the purely algebraic picture by introducing the semi-holonomic induced modules and extending the celebrated Jantzen-Zuckermann translation principle.

We aim at classification of strongly invariant operators between sections of vector bundles induced by irreducible  $P$ -modules. In general, the concept of *strongly* invariant operators is related to the tractor calculi. It was introduced in the realm of conformal geometry and invariant operators

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 17B10, 17B25, 22E47, 58J60.

Both authors acknowledge the support by the grants GX19-28628X and GA24-10887S of GAČR. The first author was also supported by the projects CaLIGOLA and CaLiForNIA, MSCA Horizon, project id 101086123 and 101119552. The authors would also like to thank the Isaac Newton Institute for Mathematical Sciences for the support and hospitality during the program Twistor Theory.

on scalar functions which can be directly viewed as operators on any tractors, see the survey in [8]. This always happens if the operators on the flat model are given by  $P$ -module homomorphisms on the semi-holonomic jets covering the relevant  $P$ -module homomorphisms on jets. In this work, we understand the *strongly invariant operators* in this sense only, and these operators also automatically extend to all curved geometries. Clearly, this corresponds to classification of homomorphisms of semi-holonomic Verma modules, as introduced in [10].

All these concepts and results are summarized by the authors in the recent survey article [13]. In this paper, we shall rely on all the concepts and facts explained there. Facts from representation theory could be also collected from [14].

## 2. BASIC CONCEPTS AND NOTATION

Grassmannian manifolds are homogeneous spaces  $Gr(m, n) = G/P$ , where  $G = SL(m + n, \mathbb{R})$  and  $P$  is its parabolic subgroup. The Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $P$  has got  $\mathfrak{sl}(m, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{R})$  as the simple part of the Levi subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{p}$ .

We shall deal with normal parabolic geometries  $M$  modelled on the homogeneous space  $G/P$ , where  $G = SL(6, \mathbb{R})$  acts transitively on the Grassmannian  $Gr(3, 3)$  of three-dimensional subspaces in  $\mathbb{R}^6$  and  $P$  is the corresponding isotropic subgroup.

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be the Lie algebra of  $G$ . Irreducible representations of  $\mathfrak{g}$  are labeled by highest weights  $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 \lambda_4 \lambda_5 \lambda_6)$  with  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ;  $i = 1, \dots, 6$ ;  $\lambda_i \geq \lambda_{i+1}$ ;  $i = 1, \dots, 5$ , modulo the equivalence relation:  $\lambda \simeq \lambda'$  if and only if  $\lambda'_i = \lambda_i + c$ ;  $i = 1, \dots, 6$ , for any fixed integer  $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Often we shall fix a representative of the equivalence relation by the additional condition  $\lambda_6 = 0$ .

The differences  $\lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1}$  correspond to the coefficients in the alternative description of the highest weights as linear combinations of the fundamental weights. Thus,  $\rho = (543210)$  is the lowest weight in the interior of the dominant Weyl chamber of  $(g)$ . The irreducible  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module with the highest weight  $\lambda$  will be denoted by  $\mathbb{W}_\alpha$ , where  $\alpha = \lambda + \rho$ . The components of  $\alpha$  satisfy  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, 6$ ;  $\alpha_{i+1} < \alpha_i$ ;  $i = 1, \dots, 5$ .

The Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is  $|1|$ -graded, it decomposes under the adjoint action of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  into irreducible components as  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1$ .

Irreducible representations of the parabolic subalgebra  $\mathfrak{p}$  are given by irreducible modules for the Levi factor  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  (with the trivial action of the nilpotent radical  $\mathfrak{g}_1$ ). They are classified by their highest weights, and we can consider them as the so-called  $\mathfrak{p}$ -dominant weights of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . We shall again denote such a module with highest  $\lambda$  as  $\mathbb{V}_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha = \lambda + \rho$ , and write them with a vertical bar in the middle. Components of such  $\alpha$  satisfy the inequalities:

$$(\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 | \alpha_4 \alpha_5 \alpha_6); \alpha_1 > \alpha_2 > \alpha_3; \alpha_4 > \alpha_5 > \alpha_6; \alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}, i = 1, \dots, 6.$$

with the same equivalence relation as above.

Notice that the difference  $\alpha_3 - \alpha_4$  is responsible for the resulting action of the center of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ , as follows. Elements of the center of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  act by scalar multiplication on irreducible  $\mathfrak{p}$ -modules. There is the unique element in the center of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  whose adjoint action  $\varphi$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$  is  $\varphi(\mathfrak{g}_j) = j$ ,  $j = -1, 0, 1$ . For irreducible  $\mathfrak{p}$ -modules with highest weight  $\lambda$ , the value of the corresponding scalar is given by

$$\varphi(\alpha) = \varphi(\mathbb{V}_\alpha) = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 - \lambda_4 - \lambda_5 - \lambda_6),$$

and we have to subtract  $\varphi(\rho) = \frac{1}{2}9$ , when using the  $\alpha = \lambda + \rho$  instead.

A general finite dimensional  $P$ -module  $\mathbb{E}$  has a composition series  $\mathbb{V} = \mathbb{V}_{\alpha_0} + \dots + \mathbb{V}_{\alpha_k}$ , where  $\varphi(\mathbb{V}_{\alpha_j}) = \varphi(\alpha_0) + j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, k$ .

Given an irreducible  $P$ -module  $\mathbb{V}_\alpha$ , the (generalized) Verma module is defined by  $V(\mathbb{V}_\alpha) = \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{p})} (\mathbb{V}_\alpha)^*$ . Shortly,  $V(\mathbb{V}_\alpha) = V(\alpha)$ .

As well known, the generalized Verma modules  $V(\alpha)$  are the topological duals to the infinite jets of section of the homogeneous bundles defined by the  $P$ -modules  $\mathbb{V}_\alpha$ . Thus the morphisms between them correspond to the invariant linear differential operators, see [13].

The *order* of a homomorphism  $\Phi : V(\alpha) \rightarrow V(\beta)$  is defined as  $\varphi(\beta) - \varphi(\alpha)$  (and this clearly corresponds to the order of the corresponding differential operators).

The Verma modules are  $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules. Clearly, nontrivial homomorphisms between two Verma modules may exist only if the actions of the center  $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$  of  $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g})$  on them coincide. The action of  $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$  is called the *infinitesimal character* and it is always shared just by Verma modules for the weights  $\alpha$  on one orbit of the affine Weyl group action (and this is the reason why the notation  $\alpha = \lambda + \rho$  is so useful). The action of the Weyl group on our weights  $\alpha$  is given by permutations if its components (another reason for choosing this notation). Thus, the infinitesimal characters of  $V(\alpha)$  and  $V(\alpha')$  coincide if and only if there is a permutation  $\pi$  of the set  $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$  such that

$$\alpha'_i = \alpha_{\pi(i)}, \quad i = 1, \dots, 6.$$

The infinitesimal character of  $V(\alpha)$  is called *regular* if the affine orbit contains only one  $\mathfrak{g}$ -dominant inside the dominant Weyl chamber, and this happens if and only if all components  $\alpha_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, 6$  are mutually different. We call the infinitesimal character of  $V(\alpha)$   $|1|$ -singular (resp.  $|2|$ -singular, resp.  $|3|$ -singular) if and only if one pair (resp. two pairs, resp. three pairs) of components coincide (which means that the only  $\mathfrak{g}$ -dominant weight  $\alpha$  in the affine orbit is on intersections of 2, 3, or 4 walls of the dominant Weyl chamber).

For our purposes, we do not need to consider Verma modules with  $|3|$ -singular infinitesimal characters, because there are no nontrivial homomorphisms between such Verma modules.

Another important observation is that for irreducible  $\mathfrak{p}$ -modules  $\mathbb{F}^* = (V_\lambda)^*$  and  $\mathbb{E}^* = (V_\mu)^*$ , the Verma modules are again highest weight modules. Moreover, as  $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{p})$ -modules they come with a filtration

$$\mathbb{R} + V_1(\beta) + V_2(\beta) + \dots + V_k(\beta) + \dots,$$

where the quotients are equal to  $V_k(\beta)/V_{k-1}(\beta) = S^k \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \otimes \mathbb{E}^*$ , as  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ -modules. Thus, every homomorphism  $\psi : V(\alpha) \rightarrow V(\beta)$  must be completely determined by the embedding  $\mathbb{F}^* \rightarrow V(\beta)$  and this must sit in a  $V_k(\beta)$  with the smallest possible  $k$  for our  $|1|$ -graded algebra. Of course,  $k$  equals the order of the homomorphism.

The filtrations define the short exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow V_{k-1}(\beta) \rightarrow V_k(\beta) \rightarrow S^k \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \otimes \mathbb{E}^* \rightarrow 0$$

and the composition of the embedding of  $\mathbb{F}^*$  with the projection defines the *symbol* of the homomorphism  $\psi$ ,  $\sigma(\psi) : \mathbb{F}^* \rightarrow S^k \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \otimes \mathbb{E}^*$ . Notice that for  $|1|$ -graded geometries, the homomorphisms are completely determined by their symbols. All these facts are explained in more detail in [13].

### 3. CLASSIFICATION OF HOMOMORPHISM BETWEEN VERMA MODULES

On the way to our aim, the discussion of the homomorphisms of the semi-holonomic Verma modules, we need the well known complete description of all homomorphisms between the classical Verma modules, i.e., the complete classification of all linear invariant operators between the homogenous bundles on our Grassmannian Klein model  $Gr(3, 3)$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{sl}(6, \mathbb{R})$ . Recall that the notation for the parabolic Lie subalgebra  $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{g}$  in terms of the Satake diagrams is  $\bullet \text{---} \times \text{---} \bullet \text{---} \bullet$ , while the  $\mathfrak{p}$ -dominant highest weights as the linear combination of the fundamental weights are often written as  $\overset{\mu_1}{\bullet} \text{---} \overset{\mu_2}{\bullet} \times \overset{\mu_3}{\bullet} \text{---} \overset{\mu_4}{\bullet} \text{---} \overset{\mu_5}{\bullet}$ . In our preferred notation for a highest weight  $\alpha$  this means  $\alpha_1 = 5 + \mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_3 + \mu_4 + \mu_5$ ,  $\alpha_2 = 4 + \mu_2 + \mu_3 + \mu_4 + \mu_5$ ,  $\alpha_3 = 3 + \mu_3 + \mu_4 + \mu_5$ ,  $\alpha_4 = 2 + \mu_4 + \mu_5$ ,  $\alpha_5 = 1 + \mu_5$ ,  $\alpha_6 = 0$ .

We shall first discuss two cases when the infinitesimal characters of the Verma modules are singular. Then we come to the regular infinitesimal characters which is the most complicated case.

The singular infinitesimal characters can be nicely treated using equivalence of categories due to Enright-Shelton, [11, 2], providing a reduction of singular cases to the regular cases for lower rank groups.

**3.1. The case of |2|-singular infinitesimal characters.** The results found by Enright and Shelton, [2], tell that the category of Verma modules with a given |2|-singular infinitesimal character is equivalent to the category of Verma modules with regular infinitesimal character for the projective one-dimensional space  $Gr(1, 1)$ . It is well known that on  $Gr(1, 1)$ , there is just one homomorphism (up to a multiple) in each regular infinitesimal character.

The |2|-singular infinitesimal characters are parametrized by  $\mathfrak{g}$ -dominant weights in the intersection of two walls of the dominant Weyl chamber for  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Hence there are six different cases parametrized by dominant weights with two repeating components. For each such case, there is a unique homomorphism  $\Psi$  (up to a multiple).

**Theorem 1.** *The complete list of homomorphisms  $\Psi : V(\alpha) \rightarrow V(\beta)$  between Verma modules with |2|-singular infinitesimal characters is given by the lines in the following table.*

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda + \rho & \alpha & \beta \\ (aabbcd) & (abd|abc) & (abc|abd) \\ (aabccd) & (acd|abc) & (abc|acd) \\ (aabcdd) & (acd|abd) & (abd|acd) \\ (abbccd) & (abc|bcd) & (bcd|abc) \\ (abbcdd) & (bcd|abd) & (abd|bcd) \\ (abccdd) & (bcd|acd) & (acd|bcd) \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ ;  $a > b > c > d = 0$ .

*Proof.* The first column in the matrix is clearly giving the list (of representatives) of all possible |2|-singular infinitesimal characters. The list of all regular infinitesimal characters for the projective space  $Gr(1, 1)$  is given by  $\{(cd) \mid c \in \mathbb{Z}; c > d = 0\}$ . Identification of both lists is given by deleting both pairs of repeated indices in the list in the theorem. The rest is the application of the Enright-Shelton theory (see [2, Theorem 7.3]).  $\square$

Let us notice some familiar homomorphisms (operators going in the opposite directions) appearing with the lowest possible weights in this scheme. The two 1st order analogues of the Dirac operators are:

$$\begin{array}{c} 0 \quad 1 \quad -4 \quad 0 \quad 0 \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \quad \times \quad \bullet \quad \bullet \end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{c} 0 \quad 0 \quad -3 \quad 0 \quad 1 \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \quad \times \quad \bullet \quad \bullet \end{array}, \quad \begin{array}{c} 0 \quad 0 \quad -4 \quad 1 \quad 0 \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \quad \times \quad \bullet \quad \bullet \end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 0 \quad -3 \quad 0 \quad 0 \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \quad \times \quad \bullet \quad \bullet \end{array}.$$

The analogue of the Laplace operator between suitable densities has got order three:

$$\begin{array}{c} 0 \quad 0 \quad -4 \quad 0 \quad 0 \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \quad \times \quad \bullet \quad \bullet \end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{c} 0 \quad 0 \quad -2 \quad 0 \quad 0 \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \quad \times \quad \bullet \quad \bullet \end{array}.$$


While in the 4-dimensional conformal case, the symbol of the conformally invariant Laplacian (the Yamabe operator) is given by the determinant of the  $2 \times 2$  matrices viewed as  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ , here we get the same. Just the determinant is now on  $3 \times 3$  matrices and thus the order of the operator is three.

**3.2. The case of |1|-singular infinitesimal characters.** By Enright and Shelton, the category of Verma modules with a given |1|-singular infinitesimal character is equivalent to the category of Verma modules for the Grassmannian  $Gr(2, 2)$  with regular infinitesimal character. The classification of all homomorphisms in such case is well known and for every fixed infinitesimal character, it coincides with the usual pattern with 6 standard homomorphisms and one nonstandard one (the "long arrow"), see also [13].

Recall that the standard homomorphisms appear as projections from the Borel subalgebra case, while the non-standard ones appear in a very different way.

There are five different cases parametrized by dominant weights with one repeating component. All homomorphisms in each pattern are unique (up to a constant multiples).

**Theorem 2.** *The complete list of homomorphisms between Verma modules with  $|1|$ -singular infinitesimal character is given by the following list. All five cases are forming the same pattern:*



with weights given by the lines in the table

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda + \rho & (\alpha_{bc}|) & (\alpha_{bd}|) & (\alpha_{be}|) & (\alpha_{cd}|) & (\alpha_{ce}|) & (\alpha_{de}|) \\ (abcde) & (abc|ade) & (abd|ace) & (abe|acd) & (acd|abe) & (ace|abd) & (ade|abc) \\ (abbcde) & (abc|bde) & (abd|bce) & (abe|bcd) & (bcd|abe) & (bce|abd) & (bde|abc) \\ (abccde) & (abc|cde) & (acd|bce) & (ace|bcd) & (bcd|ace) & (bce|acd) & (cde|abc) \\ (abcdde) & (abd|cde) & (acd|bde) & (ade|bcd) & (bcd|ade) & (bde|acd) & (cde|abd) \\ (abcdee) & (abe|cde) & (ace|bde) & (ade|bce) & (bce|ade) & (bde|ace) & (cde|abe) \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}; a > b > c > d > e = 0$ .

Full arrows in the diagram are the standard homomorphisms, which form the (generalized) BGG resolution. The dotted arrow is a nonstandard homomorphism. The only nontrivial compositions appear in the central diamond and they are equal up to sign.

*Proof.* The first column in the matrix is clearly giving the list (of representatives) of all possible  $|1|$ -singular infinitesimal characters. The list of all regular infinitesimal characters for the Grassmannian  $Gr(2,2)$  and homomorphisms between them is well known and it is given in the theorem. The identification of both lists and patterns is given by the deleting the pair of repeating indices. The rest is again the application of the Enright-Shelton theory (see [2, Theorem 7.3]).  $\square$


Again, notice the analogue of the invariant square of the Laplacian (the Paneitz operator) between suitable densities here. It is the nonstandard operator in the middle line with the lowest possible weights and its order is six, while its symbol is (again in full analogy to the 4-dimensional case) the square of the determinant:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & 0 & -5 & 0 & 0 & \rightarrow & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ \bullet & \bullet & \times & \bullet & \bullet & & \bullet & \bullet & \times & \bullet & \bullet \end{array}$$

**3.3. The case of regular infinitesimal character.** The list of all homomorphisms between Verma modules with regular infinitesimal characters can be read off [1] for low rank semisimple groups.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>It also can be effectively computed by Brian Boe's software, whose Fortran77 implementation the authors gratefully use for more than two decades already.

**Theorem 3.** *The list of all homomorphisms between Verma modules with a fixed regular infinitesimal character  $(abcdef)$  is given in the following diagram,*



where  $a, b, c, d, e \in \mathbb{Z}$ ;  $a > b > c > d > e > f = 0$ . Full arrows denote standard homomorphisms (included in the corresponding BGG resolution). Dotted and dashed arrows describe nonstandard homomorphisms.

For every square of arrows, the homomorphism given by the diagonal composition is also nontrivial. This includes the cases of squares given by standard homomorphisms as well as those given by two standard and two nonstandard homomorphisms. On top of that, the diagonal in the cube in the middle of the diagram (a composition of three morphisms) is also nontrivial.

In the lowest regular infinitesimal character, the standard homomorphisms correspond to the relevant restrictions and projections of the exterior differential on exterior forms, further there are 6 nonstandard operators of order 4 (dotted arrows), one nonstandard operator of order 7, and one nonstandard operator between functions and top forms (of order 9). The structure of the scheme is independent of the chosen infinitesimal character, but the order of operators is changing.

*Proof.* Regular infinitesimal characters of Verma modules for  $Gr(3, 3)$  are parametrized equivalence classes of weights of the form  $(abc|def)$ ;  $a, b, c, d, e, f \in \mathbb{Z}$ ;  $a > b > c > d > e > f$  modulo the weight  $(111111)$ . The action of the Weyl group is given by permutation of their components but we keep just those dominant for  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Representatives of equivalence classes are chosen to be weights with  $f = 0$ .

The lowest infinitesimal character is given by  $(543210)$ . The corresponding nodes in the scheme are given by irreducible pieces of the Grassmann algebra  $\Lambda^*(\mathfrak{g}_1)$  with respect to the action of the Levi subalgebra  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ .

The list of all nonstandard homomorphisms for the lowest infinitesimal character can be found in [1, p. 82]. It is possible to check there all cases of nontrivial compositions of operators in squares and the one in the cube.

The scheme is repeated for more complicated infinitesimal characters and corresponding homomorphisms are obtained by the standard translation procedure, see the comments on the Jantzen-Zuckermann translation principle below. The shifts of the scheme to other infinitesimal characters have the same structure.  $\square$

The big cell in the Grassmannian is isomorphic to the space of real matrices  $(x_{ij})_{i,j=1}^3$  and symbols of homomorphisms corresponding to intertwining operators on line bundles can be directly understood as polynomials in terms of the entries of these matrices. In particular, there is the series

of intertwining differential operators on line bundles with symbols given by  $\left(\det\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{ij}}\right)\right)^n$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . They are the analogues of powers of Laplacians in conformal geometry (see [12]). The corresponding homomorphisms are easily visible in our classification. We have seen already the  $n = 1$  case in the infinitesimal character (322110),  $n = 2$  case in the infinitesimal character (432210). For  $n \geq 3$ , they are the longest dashed arrows in the regular infinitesimal characters  $(n+2, n+1, n, 2, 1, 0)$ . In the other notation, they appear as

$$\begin{array}{c} 0 \quad 0 \quad \cdots \quad 0 \quad 0 \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \quad \cdots \quad \bullet \quad \bullet \\ \hline \quad \quad \quad \times \quad \quad \quad \times \\ \quad \quad \quad -n-3 \quad \quad \quad n-3 \end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{c} 0 \quad 0 \quad \cdots \quad 0 \quad 0 \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \quad \cdots \quad \bullet \quad \bullet \\ \hline \quad \quad \quad \times \quad \quad \quad \times \\ \quad \quad \quad n-3 \end{array} .$$

#### 4. THE TRANSLATION PRINCIPLE

Now we are coming to the crucial point for understanding the overall structure of the homomorphisms, a functorial construction providing (iso)morphisms between the categories of modules with given infinitesimal characters.

We refer to the survey in [13] and the references therein, here we recall the construction very briefly. The main observation is very simple:

Suppose  $\mathbb{W}$  is a  $G$ -module (and  $P$ -module by restriction), and  $\mathbb{E}$  a  $P$ -module. Then  $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \mathbb{E}^* \otimes \mathbb{W}^*$ , as a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module, can be viewed in two different ways:

$$\begin{aligned} X(x \otimes e \otimes w) &= Xx \otimes e \otimes w \\ X(x \otimes e \otimes w) &= Xx \otimes e \otimes w + x \otimes e \otimes Xw, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ ,  $x \in \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ ,  $e \in \mathbb{E}^*$ , and  $w \in \mathbb{W}^*$ . While the first case descends to the  $(\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g}), P)$ -module structure on  $V(\mathbb{E} \otimes \mathbb{W})$ , the second one leads to the structure of  $V(\mathbb{E}) \otimes \mathbb{W}^*$ . The identity on  $1 \otimes e \otimes w$  extends to an isomorphism between these two modules:

$$(1) \quad V(\mathbb{E} \otimes \mathbb{W}) = V(\mathbb{E}) \otimes \mathbb{W}^*.$$

Non-trivial irreducible  $G$ -modules  $\mathbb{W}$  are never irreducible  $P$ -modules. They always enjoy a composition series

$$(2) \quad \mathbb{W} = \mathbb{W}_{\alpha-\ell} + \mathbb{W}_{\alpha-\ell+1} + \cdots + \mathbb{W}_{\alpha}$$

where the labeling reflects the scalar action by the grading element in  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ , and the ‘right ends’  $W_j = \mathbb{W}_j + \cdots + \mathbb{W}_{\alpha}$  form  $\mathfrak{p}$ -submodules, i.e., we get the filtration

$$(3) \quad \mathbb{W}_{\alpha} = W_{\alpha} \subset W_{\alpha-1} \subset \cdots \subset W_{\alpha-\ell} = \mathbb{W},$$

with  $\mathbb{W}_j = W_j/W_{j+1}$ . As a  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ -module, the composition series is a direct sum of submodules  $\mathbb{W}_j$  and each of them further decomposes into  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ -irreducible submodules  $\mathbb{W}_{j,k}$ .

For each irreducible  $\mathfrak{p}$ -module  $\mathbb{E}$ , and a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module  $\mathbb{W}$  as above,

$$\mathbb{E} \otimes \mathbb{W} = \mathbb{E} \otimes \mathbb{W}_{\alpha-\ell} + \cdots + \mathbb{E} \otimes \mathbb{W}_{\alpha},$$

and all components  $\mathbb{E} \otimes \mathbb{W}_i$  split into direct sum of irreducible  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ -modules  $\mathbb{E}_{i,j}$ .

If we assume that one of the many modules  $\mathbb{E}' = \mathbb{E}_{i,j}$  has got a distinct infinitesimal character than all the other modules in the above decomposition, then the injection  $V(\mathbb{E}') \rightarrow V(\mathbb{E} \otimes \mathbb{W})$  is defined by its image being the joint eigenspace of the infinitesimal character of  $V(\mathbb{E}')$ . Clearly, there is the complementary subspace defined as the generalized eigenspaces of all the other infinitesimal characters there.

Thus, under the latter assumption,  $V(\mathbb{E}')$  canonically splits off the  $V(\mathbb{E} \otimes \mathbb{W}) = V(\mathbb{E}) \otimes \mathbb{W}^*$  as a direct summand, and this provides the translation idea:

For each non-trivial  $(\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g}), P)$ -module homomorphism  $\Phi : V(\mathbb{F}) \rightarrow V(\mathbb{E})$ , and assuming further that both  $V(\mathbb{E}')$  and  $V(\mathbb{F}')$  enjoy the same and unique infinitesimal character in  $V(\mathbb{E} \otimes \mathbb{W})$  and  $V(\mathbb{F} \otimes \mathbb{W})$ , respectively, we obtain the composed homomorphism

$$(4) \quad V(\mathbb{F}') \rightarrow V(\mathbb{F} \otimes \mathbb{W}) = V(\mathbb{F}) \otimes \mathbb{W}^* \rightarrow V(\mathbb{E}) \otimes \mathbb{W}^* = V(\mathbb{E} \otimes \mathbb{W}) \rightarrow V(\mathbb{E}').$$

We talk about *twisting the homomorphism*  $\Phi$  by tensoring it with the identity on  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module  $\mathbb{W}^*$ . Although it is highly non-trivial to recognize whether the *translated morphism*  $V(\mathbb{F}') \rightarrow V(\mathbb{E}')$  is nontrivial, there are general arrangements securing this. In particular, the celebrated Jantzen-Zuckermann translation principle tells, that using the highest and lowest weights of  $\mathbb{W}$  always provides two adjoint equivalences of categories for all equisingular infinitesimal characters.

Our aim is to extend this algebraic translations to the realm of curved Cartan geometries, but not all  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules are generally suitable for this. Thus, the crucial observation in [10] was that many other weights in  $\mathbb{W}$  work as well. We provide two such observations as two propositions, both explained carefully in [13]:

**Proposition 1** ([10, 13]). *Suppose that  $V(\mathbb{E})$  and  $V(\mathbb{F})$  have the same infinitesimal character. Suppose that  $V(\mathbb{E}')$  and  $V(\mathbb{F}')$  have the same infinitesimal character. Let  $\mathbb{W}$  be a finite-dimensional irreducible representation of  $G$  and suppose that*

- $V(\mathbb{F}')$  occurs in the composition series for  $V(\mathbb{F} \otimes \mathbb{W})$  and has distinct infinitesimal character from all other factors;
- $V(\mathbb{E}')$  occurs in the composition series for  $V(\mathbb{E} \otimes \mathbb{W})$  and has distinct infinitesimal character from all other factors.

*It follows that  $V(\mathbb{F})$  occurs in the composition series for  $V(\mathbb{F}' \otimes \mathbb{W}^*)$  and that  $V(\mathbb{E})$  occurs in the composition series for  $V(\mathbb{E}' \otimes \mathbb{W}^*)$ . We suppose further that*

- *all other composition factors of  $V(\mathbb{F}' \otimes \mathbb{W}^*)$  have infinitesimal character distinct from  $V(\mathbb{F})$ ;*
- *all other composition factors of  $V(\mathbb{E}' \otimes \mathbb{W}^*)$  have infinitesimal character distinct from  $V(\mathbb{E})$ .*

*Then translation gives an isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{(\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{g}), P)}(V(\mathbb{F}), V(\mathbb{E})) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{(\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{g}), P)}(V(\mathbb{F}'), V(\mathbb{E}'))$$

*whose inverse is given by translation using  $\mathbb{W}^*$ .*

The other proposition deals with the one-way translations producing non-trivial homomorphisms in the less singular patterns from the more singular ones. Recall we write  $\varphi(\mathbb{E})$  for the constant action of the grading element.

**Proposition 2** ([10, 13]). *Let  $\Phi : V(\mathbb{E}) \rightarrow V(\mathbb{F})$  be a nontrivial homomorphism of Verma modules, and let  $\mathbb{W}$  be an irreducible finite dimensional  $G$ -module.*

*Suppose that there are irreducible  $\mathfrak{p}$ -modules  $\mathbb{E}_1, \mathbb{E}_2, \mathbb{F}_1, \mathbb{F}_2$  such that:*

- (i)  $\mathbb{E} \otimes \mathbb{W} = \mathbb{E}_1 \oplus \mathbb{E}_2 \oplus \mathbb{E}'$ ;  $\mathbb{F} \otimes \mathbb{W} = \mathbb{F}_1 \oplus \mathbb{F}_2 \oplus \mathbb{F}'$ ;
- (ii) *Verma modules  $V(\mathbb{E}_1), V(\mathbb{E}_2), V(\mathbb{F}_1), V(\mathbb{F}_2)$  have the same infinitesimal character;*
- (iii) *all pieces in the composition series for  $V(\mathbb{E}'), V(\mathbb{F}')$  have different infinitesimal characters from those in (ii);*
- (iv)  $\varphi(\mathbb{E}_1) < \varphi(\mathbb{E}_2)$ ,  $\varphi(\mathbb{F}_1) > \varphi(\mathbb{F}_2)$  and  $V(\mathbb{F})$  splits off from  $V(\mathbb{F}_1 \otimes \mathbb{W}^*)$ .

*If there is no nontrivial homomorphism from  $V(\mathbb{E}_2)$  to  $V(\mathbb{F}_1)$ , then the translated homomorphism*

$$\hat{\Phi} : V(\mathbb{E}_1) \rightarrow V(\mathbb{E} \otimes \mathbb{W}) \rightarrow V(\mathbb{F} \otimes \mathbb{W}) \rightarrow V(\mathbb{F}_1)$$

*is nontrivial.*

Let us come back to our  $Gr(3, 3)$  situation. The next lemmas show, that we have got nice and straightforward possibilities to twist homomorphisms with simple modules. Notice, we are writing the  $\mathfrak{g}$ -dominant weight  $\lambda$  for the  $\mathfrak{g}$  modules, while keeping the  $\alpha$  notation for the  $\mathfrak{p}$ -dominant ones (i.e., shifted by the lowest weight  $\rho$ ).

**Lemma 1.** *Consider a  $\mathfrak{p}$ -dominant weight  $\alpha = (abc|def)$ ,  $a > b > c$ ,  $d > e > f$ .*

(i) *Its tensor product with  $\mathbb{W} = (100000)$  decomposes as*

$$(5) \quad (abc|def) \otimes (100000) = (a+1, b, c|def) + (a, b+1, c|def) + (a, b, c+1|def) + \\ (abc|d+1, e, f) + (abc|d, e+1, f) + (abc|d, e, f+1)$$



supposing that summands which are not  $\mathfrak{p}$ -dominant are removed from the right-hand side.

(ii) If  $\alpha$  has got regular infinitesimal character, then all summands in (5) have pairwise different infinitesimal characters.

(iii) If the infinitesimal character of  $\alpha$  is singular, then the terms on the right hand side increasing the non-repeating values have got an infinitesimal character different from the rest.

(iv) Tensor products with  $\mathbb{W}^* = (111110)$  behave similarly and can be understood as decreasing one of the values in  $\alpha$ .

*Proof.* (i) The relation (5) follows from  $(100000) = (100|000) + (000|100)$  and from  $\mathfrak{sl}(3, \mathbb{R})$  decomposition

$$(abc) \otimes (100) = (a+1, b, c) \oplus (a, b+1, c) \oplus (a, b, c+1),$$

where  $a > b > c$  and the summands are not there if components are not pairwise different.

(ii) The argument is seen well on an example:  $(a+1, b, c|def)$  and  $(a, b, c|d+1, e, f)$  have four components in common and they have the same infinitesimal character if and only if the pair  $(a+1, d)$  equals to the pair  $(a, d+1)$ . But this is true only if  $a = d$  which is excluded in regular character. The same reasoning works for any pair in the sum.

(iii) The above reasoning fails if  $a = d$ , but we still conclude the same claim if  $a$  does not belong to the repeated values.

(iv) In this case we run through the same procedure, just going through the options for choosing one of the values which is not increased by one. By the equivalence relation on the weight vectors modulo  $(111111)$  this corresponds to subtracting one from the chosen value.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.** *Consider a weight  $\alpha = (abc|def)$ ,  $a > b > c$ ,  $d > e > f$ , with a singular infinitesimal character. Then its tensor product with  $\mathbb{W} = (110000)$  contains summands with one of the couples of repeated indices increased both by one (whenever this increase makes sense) and this summand has got distinct infinitesimal character from the rest.*

*The tensor product with  $\mathbb{W}^* = (111100)$  works similarly, and it can be understood as decreasing the couples repeated values in the weights by one, resulting in infinitesimal characters distinct from the rest.*

*Proof.* Here  $\mathbb{W}$  has got the composition series  $(110|000) + (100|100) + (000|110)$ . Its tensor product with  $\alpha$  has got 15 potential summands, but only those coming from the middle part are of interest for us now. Clearly they involve all possible increases of the repeated couples by one. A similar direct check as above reveals that the resulting weights have got distinct infinitesimal character from the rest.

The claim about  $\mathbb{W}^*$  is deduced the same way.  $\square$

Next, we show how to (uniformly) translate individual components of the infinitesimal character  $(abcdef)$  by one.

**Proposition 3.** *Twisting the morphisms with  $\mathbb{W} = (100000)$  and  $\mathbb{W} = (110000)$  allows to translate the entire schemes of equisingular weights bijectively.*

*In particular, we can obtain all the diagrams of morphisms from Theorems 1, 2, 3 from the diagrams with the lowest possible weights by these translations.*

*Proof.* The general reasoning is again best seen on examples. Suppose that  $b > c + 1$  and that we want to move by translation the whole Hasse diagram from regular character  $(abcdef)$  to character  $(a, b, c+1, d, e, f)$ . We can do that by tensoring every term in the Hasse diagram by  $(100000) = (100|000) + (000|100)$  and restrict everything to the character  $(a, b, c+1, d, e, f)$ .

Indeed, we know from Lemma 1 that all summands (at any position in the Hasse diagram) have pairwise different characters. But at any position in the Hasse diagram, there clearly is a unique summand sitting in the character  $(a, b, c+1, d, e, f)$ . At the same time, the tensor products of the

results with  $\mathbb{W}^*$  behave well, again by Lemma 1, so we may exploit Proposition 1. Summarizing, by restricting everything to character of  $(a, b, c + 1, d, e, f)$ , we have performed the (uniform) translation of the whole diagram.

Dealing with singular infinitesimal characters we either use the same procedure as above (if we want to increase one of the non-repeating values), or we have to be more careful and use Lemma 2, which again leads to applications of Proposition 1.  $\square$

Thus, we have verified that all equisingular patterns for our Grassmannian geometries always have identical shapes. Moreover, notice that we are always using a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module with the composition series of length at most three, and so the injection and projection operators in the translations are always of order at most two!

## 5. SEMIHOLONOMIC VERMA MODULES AND MORPHISMS

While the invariant differential operators between homogenous bundles over Klein geometries  $G/P$  can be completely understood via the purely algebraic and functorial setup mentioned above, the curved Cartan geometries are not that easy.

The homogeneous bundles determined by  $P$ -modules extend to functors of the associated bundles to the Cartan bundles  $\mathcal{G}$ , but the question which of the invariant operators extend to natural differential operators between such bundles is much more intriguing. On one hand, the standard operators in the schemes with regular infinitesimal characters always admit such extensions and they are available by direct constructions, known as the BGG machinery, cf. [5, 3]. At the same time, in conformal Riemannian geometry, there is a class of operators which do not allow such extensions at all, see [10, 13] for explanation.

The approach introduced in [10] allows to mimic the Klein geometry algebraic story and deliver a lot of the non-standard operators in a purely algebraic way. We shall follow this track for our almost Grassmannian geometries modelled over  $Gr(3, 3)$  now.

The main tool consists in understanding the semi-holonomic jets of sections of the associated bundles  $\mathcal{E}$  corresponding to a  $P$ -module  $\mathbb{E}$  and the universal differential operator  $\sigma \mapsto \bar{j}^k \sigma$  on sections  $\sigma$  of the bundles  $\mathcal{E}$ , provided by the iterated Cartan connection fundamental derivative. The analogous construction to the Verma modules then provides the so-called semi-holonomic universal enveloping algebra  $\bar{\mathfrak{U}}(\mathfrak{g})$  and the topological dual to the infinite semi-holonomic jets  $\bar{V}(\mathbb{E}) = \bar{\mathfrak{U}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{p})} \mathbb{E}^*$ .

The latter  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules are again highest weight modules and there is the following commutative diagram of short exact sequences:

$$(6) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \bar{V}_{k-1}(\mathbb{E}) & \longrightarrow & \bar{V}_k(\mathbb{E}) & \longrightarrow & \otimes^k(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}) \otimes \mathbb{E}^* \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & V_{k-1}(\mathbb{E}) & \longrightarrow & V_k(\mathbb{E}) & \longrightarrow & S^k(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}) \otimes \mathbb{E}^* \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

where the most right vertical arrow is given by the symmetrization.

All this is carefully explained in [13] and we are getting the opportunity to study the possible liftings of the existing homomorphisms  $V(\mathbb{F}) \rightarrow V(\mathbb{E})$  to morphisms  $\bar{V}(\mathbb{F}) \rightarrow \bar{V}(\mathbb{E})$  with respect to the canonical projection. Moreover, due to the Frobenius reciprocity, this is equivalent to the search for the dashed  $P$ -module morphisms in the following commutative diagram:

$$(7) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & & \bar{V}(\mathbb{E}) \\ & \nearrow \text{dashed} & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{F}^* & \longrightarrow & V(\mathbb{E}) \end{array}$$

In turn, for irreducible modules  $\mathbb{E}, \mathbb{F}$ , this is equivalent to finding a highest weight vector in  $\bar{V}(\mathbb{E})$  covering the relevant highest weight vector in  $V(\mathbb{E})$ . The order of a homomorphism  $\Phi$  between the semi-holonomic Verma modules is defined in the same way as for the standard Verma modules, and the symbol of  $\Phi$  is

$$\sigma(\Phi) : \mathbb{F}^* \rightarrow \bar{V}_k(\mathbb{E}) \rightarrow \bar{V}_k(\mathbb{E})/\bar{V}_{k-1}(\mathbb{E}) = \otimes^k(\mathfrak{g}_-) \otimes \mathbb{E}^*.$$

Due to the existence of the universal differential operators  $\bar{j}^k : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \bar{J}^k \mathcal{E}$ , every nontrivial homomorphism  $\Phi : \bar{V}(\mathbb{F}) \rightarrow \bar{V}(\mathbb{E})$  obviously provides an invariant differential operator  $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ , of the same order.

The final ingredient we need is the following simple fact:

**Proposition 4** ([10, 13]). *A homomorphism  $V(\mathbb{F}) \rightarrow V(\mathbb{E})$  of order at most two always lifts to a homomorphism  $\bar{V}(\mathbb{F}) \rightarrow \bar{V}(\mathbb{E})$ .*

This simple proposition implies again that all first and second order linear invariant differential operators extend canonically from the Klein's models to the entire category of the corresponding Cartan geometries. This concerns also the initial embeddings and final projection in the translation construction, whose order is equal (for all  $|1|$ -graded geometries) to the distance of the components from the highest or lowest weight components in the tensor product composition series, respectively.

Finally, we can recover the translation idea from the Klein's model in the curved case:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 & & & & \bar{\Phi}' & & & & \\
 & & \dashrightarrow & & \dashrightarrow & & \dashrightarrow & & \\
 \bar{V}(\mathbb{F}') & \xrightarrow{\bar{\Phi}} & \bar{V}(\mathbb{F} \otimes \mathbb{W}) & \xrightarrow{=} & \bar{V}(\mathbb{F}) \otimes \mathbb{W}^* & \xrightarrow{\bar{\Phi} \otimes 1} & \bar{V}(\mathbb{E}) \otimes \mathbb{W}^* & \xrightarrow{=} & \bar{V}(\mathbb{E} \otimes \mathbb{W}) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\Phi}'} & \bar{V}(\mathbb{E}') \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 V(\mathbb{F}') & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & V(\mathbb{F} \otimes \mathbb{W}) & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & V(\mathbb{F}) \otimes \mathbb{W}^* & \xrightarrow{\Phi \otimes 1} & V(\mathbb{E}) \otimes \mathbb{W}^* & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & V(\mathbb{E} \otimes \mathbb{W}) & \xrightarrow{\Phi'} & V(\mathbb{E}') \\
 & & & & \dashrightarrow & & \dashrightarrow & & \dashrightarrow & & \\
 & & & & \Phi' & & & & & & 
 \end{array}$$

Consequently, starting with any homomorphism  $\Phi$  of Verma modules which lifts to  $\bar{\Phi}$ , all other morphisms  $\Phi'$  obtained from  $\Phi$  by means of translations described in Propositions 1 and 2, i.e., using only splitting operators of order at most two, admit the covering by homomorphisms  $\bar{\Phi}'$  of semi-holonomic Verma modules, too. Moreover, the symbols of the covered homomorphisms are obtained by symmetrizations of symbols of those covering ones. Again, see [13] for detailed explanation.

We are ready to formulate the main results of this paper now. Both theorems deal with the case of the almost Grassmannian geometries modelled over  $Gr(3,3)$ , i.e.,  $\mathfrak{g} = \bullet \text{---} \bullet \times \bullet \text{---} \bullet$ .

**Theorem 4.** *All homomorphisms between Verma modules with singular infinitesimal characters lift to homomorphisms between the corresponding semi-holonomic Verma modules.*

**Theorem 5.** *All homomorphisms between Verma modules with regular infinitesimal characters  $(abcdef)$ ,  $a > b > c > d > e > f$ , lift to homomorphisms between the corresponding semi-holonomic Verma modules, except the following three classes:*

$$V(def|abc) \rightarrow V(abc|def); V(ade|bcf) \rightarrow V(abc|def); V(def|abc) \rightarrow V(bcf|ade).$$

*The last two classes of homomorphisms do not allow any lift.*

In the theorem, there are three sets of homomorphisms, which are excluded from the statement. Methods used in the proof of the theorem do not work for these three sets. We prove that the second and third cases do not allow for any lifts in Theorem 6 below.

So far, we do not know about the first case, but we strongly believe it does not lift as well.

In order to see that all homomorphisms in the same position in our scheme of equisingular infinitesimal characters do not allow for lifts, it is enough to deal with one of them. Indeed, the

general simple translation procedure for equisingular infinitesimal characters from Proposition 3 ensures that the entire class must behave equally.

The next theorem resolves the two nonstandard homomorphisms of order 4 in the lowest possible infinitesimal character and this proves the very last claim in Theorem 5.

**Theorem 6.** *The homomorphisms*

(A)  $(521|430) \rightarrow (543|210)$

(B)  $(210|543) \rightarrow (430|521)$

*cannot be lifted to semi-holonomic case.*

*Proof.* Note that there are 9 roots in  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ . Let us denote by  $y_{ij}$ ,  $3 \leq i \leq 5$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq 2$ , the matrices having 1 at the position  $(ij)$  and zero everywhere else. They are root vectors for the roots  $\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j$  and they form a basis for  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ .

(A) In terms of highest weights, we deal with the homomorphism  $V(\mathbb{E}) \rightarrow V(\mathbb{F})$ , where  $\mathbb{E} = (0, -2, -2|2, 2, 0)$  and  $\mathbb{F} = (000|000)$ . Let us consider Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  given by matrices in  $\mathfrak{g}$  having the first and the last rows, as well as the first and last columns trivial. This is a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}'$  of type  $A_3$ . The intersection  $\mathfrak{p}'$  of the parabolic subalgebra  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , corresponding to the middle node crossed with  $\mathfrak{g}'$ , is clearly a parabolic subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}'$  and the corresponding geometry is the 4-dimensional conformal geometry.

Suppose now that there is a lift of the homomorphism (A) to semi-holonomic Verma modules corresponding to a singular vector  $w \otimes e$ , where  $e$  is a basis vector for the trivial module  $\mathbb{F}$ , and  $w$  has the weight  $(0, -2, -2|2, 2, 0)$ , and it is a (noncommutative) polynomial in variables  $y_{ij} \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ . Due to its weight, the polynomial depends only on  $y_{ij}$ ,  $3 \leq i \leq 4$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq 2$ . Then, the same formula also defines a singular vector for a semi-holonomic lift of a nonstandard homomorphisms in  $\mathfrak{g}'$ , because the actions of elements in  $\mathfrak{g}'_0$  and  $\mathfrak{g}'_1$  on  $w \otimes e$  coincide with the actions of elements in  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  on  $w \otimes e$ . This is a contradiction with the well known results in 4-dimensional conformal geometry (cf. [10, 13]).

(B) Now we deal with the homomorphism  $V(\mathbb{E}) \rightarrow V(\mathbb{F})$ , and weights  $\mathbb{E} = (-3, -3, -3|3, 3, 3)$  and  $\mathbb{F} = (-1, -1, -3|3, 1, 1)$ . The same reasoning also works here.

This time, the subalgebra  $\mathfrak{g}'$  is given by matrices from  $\mathfrak{g}$  having trivial the third and the fourth rows and the third and the fourth columns. Again, the resulting Lie algebra is of type  $A_3$ .

Suppose now that there is a lift of the homomorphism (B) to semi-holonomic Verma modules corresponding to a singular vector in  $V(\mathbb{F})$ . The representation  $\mathbb{F}$  is no more one-dimensional, hence the expression for the singular vector is more complicated one but due to the weight of the singular vector, only allowed action of lowering operators in the formula is by elements from  $\mathfrak{g}'_{-1}$  and so the formula recovers a singular vector in 4-dimensional conformal geometry.  $\square$

## 6. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 4

Let us recall the two Propositions 1, and 2. In particular, the latter one allows us to employ suitable one-way translations from more singular to less singular patterns. Dealing with the semi-holonomic Verma modules, we prefer to translate with  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules with composition series of length at most three since then all the projections and injections in the twisting procedure lift.

Concerning the notation for weights, we shall again use the dominant  $\mathfrak{g}$ -weights  $\lambda$  (i.e., without adding the lowest weight  $\rho$ ), while we keep writing  $\alpha$  for the  $\mathfrak{p}$ -dominant weights. This might look chaotic, but it is easier to see the tensor product decompositions this way.

**6.1. The case of  $|2|$ -singular Verma modules.** (i) Let us first consider the lowest  $|2|$ -singular infinitesimal characters. All homomorphisms are of first or second order (hence they lift) with the exception of the homomorphism  $(210|321) \rightarrow (321|210)$ , which is of the third order.

Using Proposition 1, we shall get it as a translation of the homomorphism  $(210|310) \rightarrow (310|210)$  by  $\mathbb{W} = (110000)$  (the most relevant weights are boxed):

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{F} &= (310|210); \mathbb{E} = (210|310); \mathbb{F}' = (321|210); \mathbb{E}' = (210|321) \\ \mathbb{F} \otimes \mathbb{W} &= (420|210) + \boxed{(321|210)} + (410|310) + (320|310) + (310|320) \\ \mathbb{E} \otimes \mathbb{W} &= (320|310) + (310|410) + (310|320) + (210|420) + \boxed{(210|321)} \\ \mathbb{F}' \otimes \mathbb{W}^* &= (432|310) + (431|320) + \boxed{(421|321)} \\ \mathbb{E}' \otimes \mathbb{W}^* &= \boxed{(321|421)} + (320|431) + (310|432)\end{aligned}$$

and the conditions from the proposition are easy to check.

As we learned in Proposition 3, by further translations using Proposition 1, with  $\mathbb{W} = (100000)$  or  $W = (110000)$ , we can then reach any homomorphism in all equisingular infinitesimal characters.

**6.2. The case of |1|-singular Verma modules.** We start first with lowest weight involving components  $(43210)$  with one of them repeated. All standard homomorphisms (appearing as arrows in the BGG complex for the four-dimensional conformal Riemannian case) are in this case of order at most two, hence they lift.

We are going to obtain one of the remaining nonstandard operator by a one-way translation (see Proposition 2) from a |2|-singular case:

$$V(210|321) \rightarrow V(321|210) \implies V(210|431) \rightarrow V(431|210).$$

Notice, the third order morphism on the left is the 3rd order analog of Laplace which lifts, as we already proved above. The target of the translation is the 5th order morphism in the 4th line in Theorem 2.

Consider modules

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{F} &= (321|210); \mathbb{F}_1 = (431|210); \mathbb{F}_2 = (421|310) \\ \mathbb{E} &= (210|321); \mathbb{E}_1 = (210|431); \mathbb{E}_2 = (310|421) \\ \mathbb{W} &= (110000) = (110|000) + (100|100) + (000|110) \\ \mathbb{W}^* &= (111100) = (111|100) + (110|110) + (100|111).\end{aligned}$$

The tensor products decompose (we indicate the most relevant components by boxes)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{F} \otimes \mathbb{W} &= \boxed{(431|210)} + \boxed{(421|310)} + (321|320) \\ \mathbb{E} \otimes \mathbb{W} &= (320|321) + \boxed{(310|421)} + \boxed{(210|431)} \\ \mathbb{F}_1 \otimes \mathbb{W}^* &= (542|310) + (541|320) + (532|320) + (531|321) + \boxed{(432|321)}.\end{aligned}$$

There is no homomorphism from  $(310|421)$  to  $(431|210)$  (look at the last but one line in the table in Theorem 2), hence we can use Proposition 2 (all other assumptions are clearly satisfied).

Now, all other nonstandard operators in |1|-singular case with the lowest possible weight can be obtained by usual translations based on Proposition 1 as follows.

$$(A) \mathbb{E} = (210|430) \rightarrow \mathbb{F} = (430|210) \iff \mathbb{E}' = (210|431) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}' = (431|210)$$

$$\mathbb{W} = (100000) = (100|000) + (000|100)$$

$$\mathbb{W}^* = (111110) = (111|110) + (110|111)$$

$$\mathbb{E} \otimes \mathbb{W} = (310|430) + (210|530) + \boxed{(210|431)}$$

$$\mathbb{F} \otimes \mathbb{W} = (530|210) + \boxed{(431|210)} + (430|310)$$

$$\mathbb{E}' \otimes \mathbb{W}^* = \boxed{(321|541)} + (321|532) + (320|542)$$

$$\mathbb{F}' \otimes \mathbb{W}^* = (543|320) + \boxed{(541|321)} + (532|321).$$

All infinitesimal characters in the last four lines are pairwise different.

$$(B) \mathbb{E} = (210|431) \rightarrow \mathbb{F} = (431|210) \iff \mathbb{E}' = (310|432) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}' = (432|310)$$

$$\mathbb{W} = (100000) = (100|000) + (000|100)$$

$$\mathbb{W}^* = (111110) = (111|110) + (110|111)$$

$$\mathbb{E} \otimes \mathbb{W} = (310|431) + (210|531) + \boxed{(210|432)}$$

$$\mathbb{F} \otimes \mathbb{W} = \boxed{(531|210)} + (432|210) + (431|310)$$

$$\mathbb{E}' \otimes \mathbb{W}^* = \boxed{(321|542)} + (320|543)$$

$$\mathbb{F}' \otimes \mathbb{W}^* = (543|320) + \boxed{(542|321)}.$$

All infinitesimal characters in the last four lines are pairwise different.

$$(C) \mathbb{E} = (210|432) \rightarrow \mathbb{F} = (432|210) \iff \mathbb{E}' = (310|432) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}' = (432|310)$$

$$\mathbb{W} = (100000) = (100|000) + (000|100)$$

$$\mathbb{W}^* = (111110) = (111|110) + (110|111)$$

$$\mathbb{E} \otimes \mathbb{W} = \boxed{(310|432)} + (210|532)$$

$$\mathbb{F} \otimes \mathbb{W} = (532|210) + \boxed{(432|310)}$$

$$\mathbb{E}' \otimes \mathbb{W}^* = (421|542) + (420|543) + \boxed{(321|543)}$$

$$\mathbb{F}' \otimes \mathbb{W}^* = (543|320) + \boxed{(543|321)} + (542|421).$$

All infinitesimal characters in the last four lines are pairwise different.

$$(D) \mathbb{E} = (310|432) \rightarrow \mathbb{F} = (432|310) \iff \mathbb{E}' = (410|432) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}' = (432|410)$$

$$\mathbb{W} = (100000) = (100|000) + (000|100)$$

$$\mathbb{W}^* = (111110) = (111|110) + (110|111)$$

$$\mathbb{E} \otimes \mathbb{W} = \boxed{(410|432)} + (320|432) + (310|532)$$

$$\mathbb{F} \otimes \mathbb{W} = (532|310) + \boxed{(432|410)} + (432|310)$$

$$\mathbb{E}' \otimes \mathbb{W}^* = \boxed{(521|542)} + (520|543) + (421|543)$$

$$\mathbb{F}' \otimes \mathbb{W}^* = (543|520) + \boxed{(543|421)} + (542|521).$$

All infinitesimal characters in the last four lines are pairwise different.

Finally, to reach higher levels of  $|1|$ -singular infinitesimal character, we can exploit Proposition 3, i.e., twisting with the fundamental representations  $\mathbb{W} = (100000)$  or  $\mathbb{W} = (110000)$ .

## 7. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 5

We start again in the lowest infinitesimal character (543210). All standard homomorphisms (included in the BGG complex) are of the first order, hence we can lift them.

To treat nonstandard ones, we are going to use again one-way translations from  $|1|$ -singular cases. We shall construct four homomorphisms of order 4 and the homomorphism of order 7, cf. Theorem 3. In view of Theorem 6, we cannot construct the remaining two homomorphisms of order 4 (involving the first and the last Verma module in the scheme), and we have not been able to resolve the homomorphism of order 9 yet.

**(A)** Translation  $V(410|321) \rightarrow V(421|310) \implies V(510|432) \rightarrow V(532|410)$   
Consider the modules

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E} &= (410|321); \mathbb{E}_1 = (510|432); \mathbb{E}_2 = (520|431) \\ \mathbb{F} &= (421|310); \mathbb{F}_1 = (532|410); \mathbb{F}_2 = (531|420) \\ \mathbb{W} &= (111100) = (111|100) + (110|110) + (100|111) \\ \mathbb{W}^* &= (110000) = (110|000) + (100|100) + (000|110).\end{aligned}$$

Their tensor products decompose as follows (again we box the most relevant summands):

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E} \otimes \mathbb{W} &= (521|421) + \boxed{(520|431)} + (421|431) + \boxed{(510|432)} + (420|432) \\ \mathbb{F} \otimes \mathbb{W} &= \boxed{(532|410)} + (532|320) + \boxed{(531|420)} + (531|321) + (432|420) + \\ &\quad (432|321) + (521|421) + (431|421) \\ \mathbb{F}_1 \otimes \mathbb{W}^* &= (642|410) + (543|410) + (632|510) + (632|420) + (542|510) + (542|420) + \\ &\quad (532|520) + \boxed{(532|421)}.\end{aligned}$$

Now,  $V((520|431) + (510|432))$  splits off from  $V(\mathbb{E} \otimes \mathbb{W})$  (because these two summands are the only summands in regular infinitesimal character), and  $V(\mathbb{E}_1) = V(510|432)$  embeds to  $V(\mathbb{E} \otimes \mathbb{W})$ . Moreover  $V[(532|410) + (531|420)]$  splits off from  $V(\mathbb{F} \otimes \mathbb{W})$ , and  $V(\mathbb{F} \otimes \mathbb{W})$  projects to  $V(\mathbb{F}_1) = V(532|410)$ . The condition on the central action on the summands from Proposition 2 is satisfied, too. Finally, there is no nontrivial homomorphism from  $V(520|431)$  to  $V(532|410)$ , see the classification in Theorem 3.

Hence, by Proposition 2 we have arrived at the nontrivial homomorphism from  $V(510|432)$  to  $V(532|410)$ .

**(B)** Translation  $V(310|421) \rightarrow V(321|410) \implies V(410|532) \rightarrow V(432|510)$   
Consider modules

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E} &= (310|421); \mathbb{E}_1 = (410|532); \mathbb{E}_2 = (420|531) \\ \mathbb{F} &= (321|410); \mathbb{F}_1 = (432|510); \mathbb{F}_2 = (431|520) \\ \mathbb{W} &= (111100) = (111|100) + (110|110) + (100|111) \\ \mathbb{W}^* &= (110000) = (110|000) + (100|100) + (000|110).\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E} \otimes \mathbb{W} &= (421|521) + (421|431) + \boxed{(420|531)} + (420|432) + \\ &\quad (321|531) + (321|432) + \boxed{(410|532)} + (320|532) \\ \mathbb{F} \otimes \mathbb{W} &= \boxed{(432|510)} + (432|420) + \boxed{(431|520)} + (431|421) + (421|521) \\ \mathbb{F}_1 \otimes \mathbb{W}^* &= (542|510) + (532|610) + (532|520) + (432|620) + \boxed{(321|410)}.\end{aligned}$$

There is no nontrivial homomorphism from  $V((420|531))$  to  $V((432|510))$ , and all the other assumptions in Proposition 2 are valid, hence we get a nontrivial homomorphism from  $V((410|532))$  to  $V((432|510))$ .

**(C)** Translation  $V(320|431) \rightarrow V(430|321) \implies V(320|541) \rightarrow V(540|321)$

Consider modules

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E} &= (320|431); \mathbb{F} = (430|321); \mathbb{E}_1 = (320|541); \mathbb{E}_2 = (420|531) \\ \mathbb{F} &= (430|321); \mathbb{F}_1 = (432|510); \mathbb{F}_2 = (431|520) \\ \mathbb{W} &= (110000) = (110|000) + (100|100) + (000|110).\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E} \otimes \mathbb{W} &= (430|431) + (421|431) + \boxed{(420|531)} + (420|432) + \\ &\quad (321|531) + (321|432) + \boxed{(320|541)} + (320|532) \\ \mathbb{F} \otimes \mathbb{W} &= \boxed{(540|321)} + (531|321) + \boxed{(530|421)} + (431|421) + (430|431).\end{aligned}$$

There is no nontrivial homomorphism from  $V((420|531))$  to  $V((540|321))$ , and all other assumption of Proposition 2 are valid, hence we get a nontrivial homomorphism from  $V((320|541))$  to  $V(540|321)$ .

**(D)** Translation  $V(321|430) \rightarrow V(431|320) \implies V(321|540) \rightarrow V(541|320)$

Consider modules

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E} &= (321|430); \mathbb{E}_1 = (321|540), \mathbb{E}_2 = (421|530) \\ \mathbb{F} &= (431|320); \mathbb{F}_1 = (541|320); \mathbb{F}_2 = (531|420) \\ \mathbb{W} &= (110000) = (110|000) + (100|100) + (000|110).\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E} \otimes \mathbb{W} &= (431|430) + \boxed{(421|530)} + (421|431) + \boxed{(321|540)} + (321|531) \\ \mathbb{F} \otimes \mathbb{W} &= \boxed{(541|320)} + (532|320) + \boxed{(531|420)} + (531|321) + (432|420) + (432|312) + \\ &\quad (431|430) + (431|421).\end{aligned}$$

There is no nontrivial homomorphism from  $V((421|530))$  to  $V((541|320))$ , and all other assumptions of Proposition 2 are valid, hence we get a nontrivial homomorphism from  $V((321|540))$  to  $V((541|320))$ .

**(E)** Translation  $V(310|432) \rightarrow V(432|310) \implies V(310|542) \rightarrow V(542|310)$  (7th order)

Consider modules

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E} &= (310|432); \mathbb{E}_1 = (310|542); \mathbb{E}_2 = (410|532); \mathbb{F}_1 = (542|310) \\ \mathbb{F} &= (432|310); \mathbb{F}_1 = (542|310); \mathbb{F}_2 = (532|410) \\ \mathbb{W} &= (110000) = (110|000) + (100|100) + (000|110).\end{aligned}$$



We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \otimes \mathbb{W} &= (420|432) + (321|432) + \boxed{(410|532)} + (320|532) + \boxed{(310|542)} \\ \mathbb{F} \otimes \mathbb{W} &= \boxed{(542|310)} + \boxed{(532|410)} + (532|320) + (432|420) + (432|321). \end{aligned}$$

There is no nontrivial homomorphism from  $V((410|532))$  to  $V((542|310))$ , and all other assumptions of Proposition 2 are valid, hence we get a nontrivial homomorphism from  $V((310|542))$  to  $V((542|310))$ .

At the moment, we have verified statement of Theorem 5 for the lowest infinitesimal character. The proof of the statement for higher level infinitesimal characters is finished by standard translation procedure using the defining representation  $\mathbb{W} = (100000)$  and Proposition 1.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] B. Boe, D. Collinwood: Intertwining operators between holomorphically induced modules, Pacific Jour. Math., 124, 1 (1986), 73-84.
- [2] B.Boe, M. Hunziker: Kostant modules in category  $\mathcal{O}_S$ , Comm. Algebra, 37, 1 (2009), 323-356.
- [3] D.M.J. Calderbank, T. Diemer: Differential invariants and curved Bernstein-Gelfand-Gelfand sequences, J. Reine Angew. Math. 537 (2001), 67–103.
- [4] A. Cap, J. Slovák: Parabolic geometries. I, Math. Surveys Monogr., 154 American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2009, x+628 pp.
- [5] A. Cap, J. Slovák, V. Souček: Bernstein-Gelfand-Gelfand sequences, Ann. of Math. (2) 154, 1 (2001), 97–113.
- [6] A. Cap, V. Souček: Curved Casimir operators and the BGG machinery, SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl., 3 (2007), Paper 111, 17 pp.
- [7] A. Cap, V. Souček: Subcomplexes in curved BGG-sequences, Math. Ann. 354, 1 (2012), 111–136.
- [8] M.G. Eastwood: Notes on Conformal Differential Geometry, Supp. Rend. Circ. Matem. Palermo, 43 (1996), 57-76.
- [9] M.G. Eastwood: Variations on the de Rham complex, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. 46, 11 (1999), 1368–1376.
- [10] M. Eastwood, J. Slovák: Semiholonomic Verma modules, Jour. Algebra, 197, 2 (1997), 424-448.
- [11] T.Enright , B.Shelton: Categories of highest weight modules: Applications to classical Hermitian symmetric pairs, Memoires AMS, 367, 1987.
- [12] R. Howe, Soo Teck Lee: Spherical harmonics on Grassmannians, Colloq. Math., 118, 1 (2010), 349-364.
- [13] J. Slovák, V. Souček: Semiholonomic jets and induced modules in Cartan geometry calculus, Arch. Math., 60, 2024, No. 4, 191-219.
- [14] D. A. Vogan: Representation of Real Reductive Lie Groups, Progress in Mathematics, Vol. 15, Birkhauser, Boston, Cambridge, MA, 1981.

J.S.: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, MASARYK UNIVERSITY, KOTLÁŘSKÁ 2A, 611 37 BRNO, CZECH REPUBLIC

V.S.: MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE OF CHARLES UNIVERSITY, SOKOLOVSKÁ 83, 186 75 PRAHA, CZECH REPUBLIC  
*Email address:* slovak@math.muni.cz, soucek@karlin.mff.cuni.cz