

Demographics of black holes at <100 R_g scales: accretion flows, jets, and shadows

Dhanya G. Nair 1,2* , Neil M. Nagar 1 , Venkatessh Ramakrishnan 3,40,1 , Maciek Wielgus 4 , Vicente Arratia 1 , Thomas P. Krichbaum 2 , Xinyue A. Zhang 5,8 , Angelo Ricarte 5,8 , Silpa S. 1 , Joaquín Hernández-Yévenes 1 , Nicole M. Ford 6 , Bidisha Bandyopadhyay 1 , Mark Gurwell 5 , Roman Burridge 12 , Dominic W. Pesce 5,8 , Sheperd S. Doeleman 5,8 , Jae-Young Kim 63,2 , Daewon Kim 2 , Michael Janssen 19,2 , Sebastiano D. von Fellenberg 2 , Christian M. Fromm 21,20,2 , Deokhyeong Lee 27 , Heino Falcke 19 , Jan Wagner 2 , Geoffrey C. Bower 11,12 , Anne-Kathrin Baczko 10,2 , Dong-Jin Kim 61 , Kazunori Akiyama 7,8,9 , Keiichi Asada 28 , Patricia Arevalo 43,44 , Hayley Bignall 62,61 , Lindy Blackburn 5,8 , Avery E. Broderick 13,14,15 , Andreas Brunthaler 2 , Chi-kwan Chan 16,17,18 , Akihiro Doi⁶⁰, Vincent L. Fish 67 , Edward Fomalont 42 , José L. Gómez 4 , Daryl Haggard 6,22 , Kazuhiro Hada 23,24 , Rodrigo Herrera-Camus 1 , Daniel Hoak 67 , David Hughes⁵⁷, Julie Hlavacek-Larrondo 45 , Svetlana Jorstad 25 , Michael D. Johnson 5,8 , Tomohisa Kawashima 52 , Garrett K. Keating 5 , Preeti Kharb 26 , Jun Yi Koay 29 , Shoko Koyama 28,29 , Cheng-Yu Kuo 53,28 , Nathan W. C. Leigh 1 , Paulina Lira 1,43 , Michael Lindqvist 10 , Andrei P. Lobanov 2 , Wen-Ping Lo 28,56 , Ru-Sen Lu 31,32,2 , Sera Markoff 33,34 , Nicholas R. MacDonald 64,2 , Mary Loli Martínez-Aldama 1 , Lynn D. Matthews 7 , Satoki Matsushita 28 , Mar Mezcua 46,47 , Monika Moscibrodzka 19 , Hendrik Müller 42,2 , Hiroshi Nagai 9,24 , Masanori Nakamura 51,28 , Priyamvada Natarajan 48,49,8 , Gopal Narayanan 35 , Michael A. Nowak 36 , Héctor Raúl Olivares Sánchez 55 , Jongho Park 37 , Dimitrios Psaltis 38 , Hung-Yi Pu 58,59,28 , Oliver Porth 33,20 , Ramprasad Rao 5

Abstract. Using the Event Horizon Telescope (EHT), the gravitationally lensed rings around the supermassive black holes (SMBHs) in Messier 87 (M87), which has billions of solar masses and a strong jet, and Sagittarius A* (Sgr A*), which has millions of solar masses and a weak or no jet, have now been successfully imaged at a resolution under 10 gravitational radii ($R_g = GM/c^2$, where *M* is the SMBH mass). To expand studies beyond M87 and Sgr A*, we have constructed the 'Event Horizon and Environs (ETHER)' sample, a comprehensive database currently encompassing approximately 3.15 million SMBH mass estimates, ~ 20,000 Very-Long Baseline Interferometry (VLBI) radio flux densities, and ~ 36,000 hard X-ray flux densities. This database is designed to identify and optimize target selection for the EHT and its upgrades on the ground and in space. Within the ETHER database, we have identified a 'Gold Sample' (GS) of nearby low-luminosity Active Galactic Nuclei (AGNs) that are optimal for studying jet bases, and potentially imaging black hole shadows, with the EHT and its upgrades.

We observed 27 of these AGNs using the EHT from 2022 to 2024, providing a unique opportunity to resolve and image accretion flows and jets at resolutions of $\leq 100 \text{ R}_g$. Only a few SMBHs have sufficiently high enough flux density to be imaged at scales of $\leq 50 \text{ R}_g$ with the present EHT. Among these are M87, Sgr A*, NGC 4594 (Sombrero/M104), NGC 4261, and NGC 4374 (Messier 84/M84). Of these, NGC 4261, Sombrero, and M84 have been observed and/or are scheduled for deep imaging with EHT+ALMA from 2023 to 2025. Sombrero, NGC 4261, M84, NGC 4278, and NGC 5232 are clearly detected in our EHT+ALMA observations in 2022, indicating that the 230 GHz flux density from the accretion flows is significantly high. Ongoing imaging of the ETHER GS will enable measurements of black hole mass and spin, help constrain General Relativity (GR), and enrich our understanding of jet launching and accretion inflows across a broad multi-parameter space, including black hole mass, spin, accretion rate, and orientation.

1. Introduction

Supermassive black holes (SMBHs, ~ $10^5 - 10^{10} M_{\odot}$) are likely present in most galaxies, with masses ~0.5% of the host galaxy bulge (e.g., Saglia et al., 2016). SMBHs and their host galaxies evolve together, with Active Galactic Nuclei (AGNs) accretion and feedback impacting the host galaxy. The Event Horizon Telescope (EHT) now provides direct evidence for the existence of SMBHs and the associated accretion flows (EHTC et al., 2019a, 2022a).

A SMBH is characterized by three independent external observables: mass, spin, and charge. SMBHs at high Eddington accretion rates typically host an optically-thick, geometricallythin accretion disk extending from 10s to 1000s of R_g . At low Eddington accretion rates (characteristic of low-luminosity AGNs, or LLAGNs), they exhibit a quasi-spherical opticallythin accretion inflow extending down to the innermost tens of R_g (e.g., Narayan, 1996). Bipolar relativistic plasma jets

^{*} Corresponding author e-mail: dhanyagnair01@gmail.com

launched from within 100 R_g of the SMBH are more common in the latter. Several jet launching mechanisms have been proposed (e.g., Blandford & Znajek, 1977; Blandford & Payne, 1982), with the rotational energy extraction either from the accretion flow or the SMBH spin. The physics of jet launching and collimation remains an active area of research (e.g., Broderick & Tchekhovskoy, 2015; Tchekhovskoy, 2015; EHTC et al., 2019a) that is primarily limited by the paucity of observational data on scales less than hundreds of R_g .

When an SMBH is enveloped in an optically-thin accretion inflow and/or has an emitting jet base, we expect to see: (1) the accretion inflow and jet (e.g., Johnson et al., 2023; Janssen et al., 2021) down to 10s of Rg; (2) a bright ring produced by gravitational lensing around the SMBH (diameter ~10.4-10.8 Rg, almost independent of black hole spin and orientation Falcke et al. 2000; Johannsen et al. 2012; Gralla et al. 2019); (3) a deficit of emission from inside the ring, or the 'shadow', due to photons lost to the event horizon or deflected away from the line of sight (Falcke et al., 2000; Johnson et al., 2023). The shadow and ring shapes tightly constrain the SMBH mass, spin, space-time metric, and 'no hair' theorem (e.g., Broderick et al., 2014; Vigeland et al., 2011). The accretion inflow's morphology and polarization can constrain accretion disk physics and help discriminate between disk states (e.g., magnetization and temperature; EHTC et al., 2019d, 2024). Meanwhile, the jet's morphology, distance from the SMBH, magnetic field strength and orientation (from polarization measurements, e.g., Fish et al., 2013; Issaoun et al., 2022; Paraschos et al., 2024) and lateral profile (e.g., Asada & Nakamura, 2012; Hada et al., 2013b; Walker et al., 2018) provide critical constraints on current jet theory and numerical simulations (Savolainen et al., 2008; O'Sullivan & Gabuzda, 2009; Nakamura et al., 2018).

The Event Horizon Telescope (EHT) array is a global VLBI network of radio telescopes operating at 230 GHz (EHTC et al., 2019b), which has imaged SMBH shadows in M87 (EHTC et al., 2019a) and Sgr A* (EHTC et al., 2022a), thus testing GR and constraining black hole mass (EHTC et al., 2019e, 2022b). It has also imaged the inner jets of several other SMBHs, such as 3C 279 (Kim et al., 2020), Centaurus A (Janssen et al., 2021), J1924-2914 (Issaoun et al., 2022), NRAO 530 (Jorstad et al., 2023), and 3C84 (Paraschos et al., 2024), but all at resolutions coarser than 100 Rg. The Global Millimeter VLBI Array (GMVA) is a global VLBI network of radio telescopes operating at 86 GHz frequency (Krichbaum et al., 2006; Boccardi et al., 2017). The GMVA+ALMA and EHT+ALMA achieve native resolutions (FWHM) of ~45 μ arcsec at 86 GHz (Boccardi et al., 2017; Nair et al., 2019) and $\sim 20 \ \mu arcsec$ at 230 GHz (EHTC et al., 2019a), respectively. Using 'superresolution' imaging techniques (Chael et al., 2016; Akiyama et al., 2017), the resolutions can reach as low as ~ 15 μ arcsec with the GMVA and $\sim 8 \,\mu \text{arcsec}$ with the EHT, if the SNR and (u,v) coverage are sufficient. In the high-SNR regime, Bayesian imaging and modeling techniques (Broderick et al., 2020a,b) enable precise estimations of model parameters, such as ring diameters for horizon-scale sources and distances between moving features (e.g., Kim et al., 2020), enhancing intrinsic resolutions by factors of approximately 3 to 5. Additionally, recent advancements in imaging techniques (e.g., Müller et al.,



Fig. 1: The expected 230 GHz flux density in the EHT field of view as a function of the diameter of the gravitationally lensed ring around the SMBH (here 10.4 R_g) in the ETHER sample. Circles denote VLBI-detected SMBHs, with 'GS' sources highlighed in purple. Black squares denote other SMBHs detected in our SMA and ACA 230 GHz observation runs (see Sect. 2.3, Nair et al., in prep.). The ngEHT is expected to measure the SMBH masses, spins and shadows above the red, green, and blue lines, respectively (Pesce et al., 2022; Johnson et al., 2023).

2022; Kim et al., 2024) continue to improve these capabilities. The EHT can now detect sources as faint as 30–50 mJy (EHTC et al., 2019c), with next-generation upgrades to the EHT (ngEHT) expected to lower this limit to a few to 10 mJy (Doeleman et al., 2023). This opens the possibility to apply the transformational results in M87 (EHTC et al., 2019a) and Sgr A* (EHTC et al., 2022a) to a sample of SMBHs with a broader range of masses, spins, accretion rates, and orientations by imaging the inner hundreds of R_g.

2. Results & discussion

2.1. Event Horizon and Environs (ETHER) sample:

Simulations suggest that the EHT with ngEHT expansion, utilizing super-resolution techniques, is expected to measure ~ 50 SMBH masses, ~ 30 SMBH spins, and ~ 7 SMBH shadows (Pesce et al., 2022; Johnson et al., 2023). As noted by Johnson et al. (2023), these mass measurements will provide the most precise SMBH masses for a sample to date. Spin measurements (particularly for low-Eddington luminosity SMBHs) may help trace SMBH merger and accretion history (e.g., Ricarte et al., 2023, 2024), meanwhile, shadow measurements offer strong tests of gravity. To identify this elusive 'Gold Sample' (GS) and fully leverage the capabilities of the EHT and ngEHT, we have compiled the Event Horizon and Environs (ETHER) sample. This extensive dataset includes black hole mass measure-



Fig. 2: Left (a & b): Illustrative examples of simple analytic ADAF+jet fits (black curve) to the nuclear, highest resolution available (red points, or red squares if VLBI) radio and hard X-ray data for two ETHER galaxies: one ADAF-dominated (NGC 4594 (a)) and the other jet-dominated (NGC 315 (b)), as discussed in Sect 2.2. The 43 GHz VLBI flux densities and morphologies presented in Sect. 2.4 (see e.g., in Fig.3) are crucial for constraining the model jet parameters and enabling more detailed modeling. Right (c): A table showing the properties of a few selected large-ring SMBHs in the 'ETHER GS', as described in Sect. 2.1, which we observed with EHT+ALMA, GMVA+ALMA, and the 43 GHz VLBA. Columns: 1 - Name; 2 - Angular distance in Megaparsec (Mpc); 3 - Logarithm (base 10) of black hole mass in solar masses (M_{\odot}); $4 - Diameter of the gravitationally lensed ring around SMBH in <math>\mu$ arcsec; 5 - The peak flux density (mJy), observation frequency (GHz), and resolution (milliarcseconds) from previous VLBI imaging in the literature or from our 43 GHz VLBA imaging (PID: BN065B, BN065C).

ments and estimates, radio to hard X-ray flux densities, and spectral energy distribution (SED) information from catalogs, literature, and our own observations.

Currently, the ETHER database consists of ~ 3.15 million SMBH mass estimates, ~ 20,000 VLBI radio flux densities, and ~ 36,000 hard X-ray flux densities. An additional ~ 210,000 SMBHs have some radio flux density measurement at frequencies between 1.4 and 345 GHz. This database and its algorithms, along with data source references, were first presented in Ramakrishnan et al. (2023) and updated in Hernández-Yévenes et al. (2024). ETHER is continuously evolving, with the incorporation of a wider literature and new observational programs (Silpa S. et al., in prep.).

Currently, there are only ~ 244 black hole mass measurements from methods such as stellar dynamics (e.g., Thater et al., 2019; Liepold et al., 2020), gas kinematics (e.g., Onishi et al., 2017; Kuo et al., 2011; Nguyen et al., 2021; Boizelle et al., 2019, 2021), reverberation mapping (RM; Benz & Katz, 2015), ETHER thus primarily depends on black hole mass estimations, with ~ 240,000 via the M-sigma relation (Saglia et al., 2016), ~ 2.7 million via the M-L_{bulge} relation primarily from Hernández-Yévenes et al. (2024), 524,000 from single-epoch spectroscopy of broad line AGNs using RM-based relationships (e.g., Dalla Bontà et al., 2020; Rakshit et al., 2021), and several thousand from other fundamental plane type relationships.

ETHER contains predictions for the 230 GHz and 345 GHz flux densities in the EHT field of view for \sim 40,000 SMBHs. These are obtained via: (a) extrapolations of observed VLBI (mas-scale) 5 to 86 GHz flux densities for \sim 20,000 sources (e.g., from Nair et al., 2019; Cheng et al., 2020; Lister et al., 2018; Doi et al., 2016; Helmboldt et al., 2007, the Radio Fundamental Catalog and our new Very-Long Baseline Array (VLBA) observations from Nair et al., in prep.; note that we extrapolated VLBI measurements from the highest observed frequency available to 230 GHz, applying a typical spectral index of -0.4 and incorporating a median flux attenuation factor to adjust for higher resolution at increased frequencies (varying from 0.4–0.8 for 5–86 GHz)); (b) extrapolations of arcsec-scale 230 GHz flux densities from our ALMA Compact Array (ACA) & Submillimeter Array (SMA) surveys (Nair et al., in prep.), the ALMA calibrator database, and literature; and (c) predictions from Advection Dominated Accretion Flow (ADAF) plus jet model fitting to the radio and hard X-ray flux densities for a given SMBH mass measurement or estimate (Arratia et al., in prep.).

Combining all of this information, we have now identified an initial 'GS' from the ETHER dataset, comprising the largest SMBH rings with the brightest estimated EHT flux densities from their jets and accretion flows. The most recent data for the VLBI-detected sources in the ETHER sample are displayed in Fig. 1, featuring several GS targets. The GS spans a wide range of SMBH masses (log $M_{\rm BH} = 7.74 - 9.72 M_{\odot}$), Eddington rates (log $L_{\rm Edd} = -6.59$ to -1.92), jet powers, and morphologies, with details of a few selected top targets given in panel (c) of Fig. 2. We are observing these targets with ALMA, SMA, VLBA, GMVA, and EHT to leverage their combined capabilities at high angular resolution for further study, with details and results discussed in the following subsections: Sect. 2.3, 2.4, 2.5, and 2.6.

2.2. SED modeling to predict EHT flux densities

Nuclear radio emission in LLAGNs originates primarily from two sources: the outflowing jet, which typically dominates at cm wavelengths, and the accretion inflow, which is typi-



Fig. 3: Example results from our VLBA 43 GHz imaging of ETHER targets (Sect. 2.4) include: (a) the NGC 1218 image (total clean flux: 257 ± 3 mJy, peak flux: 113 mJy), (b) NGC 1218's *uv* visibility amplitude vs. *uv* radius, (c) NGC 1218's *uv* coverage, and (d) the IC 1459 image (total clean flux: 65.2 ± 1.2 mJy, peak flux: 55.9 mJy) (from Nair et al., in prep.).

cally stronger at mm and sub-mm wavelengths. This leads to the "sub-mm bump," an increase in sub-mm emission beyond what cm-wave extrapolation predicts (e.g., Doi et al., 2005; Bandyopadhyay et al., 2019). To model this phenomenon, we utilize detailed (e.g., Bandyopadhyay et al., 2019) or analytic (Lucchini et al. 2022; Spada et al. 2001) plus ADAF models (Pesce et al., 2021). Our broadband SED models, first introduced in Ramakrishnan et al. (2023) and further developed by Arratia et al. (in prep.), can predict the expected EHT flux density from both the jet and ADAF inflow. Examples of the ADAF+jet models are shown in Fig. 2. The modeling and fitting processes require key inputs including distance, redshift, estimated SMBH mass, hard X-ray flux densities, and cm/mmwave radio flux densities ideally from VLBI observations. Approximately 36,000 ETHER sources are obtained from the Chandra Source Catalog, NED, and/or the eROSITA eRASS1 release (Merloni et al., 2024). Integrating these with radioflux densities from our VLBA observations (Sect. 2.4), supplemented by our 5 arcsec 230 GHz flux densities from ALMA-ACA and SMA campaigns (Sect. 2.3), is vital for ADAF+jet SED fitting and selecting the GS.

Accurate SED modeling for ETHER targets depends on having high-resolution and simultaneous radio and X-ray data. Our recent and upcoming high-resolution *NuSTAR* and highcadence *NICER* X-ray programs (PIDs: 8229 and 10244) targeting multiple GS galaxies (e.g., Sombrero and NGC 4261), will enable us to build broad, time-resolved SEDs, fit our accretion and jet models, and predict EHT band flux densities.

2.3. ETHER: 230 GHz survey with ALMA Compact Array & Submillimeter Array

We conducted an extensive 230 GHz survey of a complete sample of SMBHs with estimated ring sizes > 3.0 μ arcsec to constrain their morphologies and measure peak 230 GHz flux densities. Together with the ADAF and ADAF+jet modeling presented in Sec. 2.2, this will lead to better predictions of the EHT flux. This survey was carried out using the Atacama Compact Array (ACA), where 929 sources in southern declinations < 20° were observed for a total of 86 hours during ALMA Cycles 8 and 9 (PIDs: 2021.1.00966.S, 2022.1.00049.S), and 10 (PID: 2023.1.01099.S) from 2021 to 2024, and using the Submillimeter Array (SMA), where 96 sources in northern declinations > 20° were observed over 24 hours (PID: 2022B-S022) from 2022 to 2023. This effort is crucial for identifying 'ADAF-only' sources (i.e., without cm-wave jets) for inclusion in the GS.

We achieved a 10% detection rate with the ACA and a 15% detection rate with the SMA as demonstrated in Fig. 1, identifying potential sources for the EHT or ngEHT (Nair et al., in prep.). For example, NGC 5872 and PGC 1086306 were detected with peak flux densities of 12.7 ± 3.3 mJy and 11.7 ± 1.6 mJy, respectively, using ACA, while NGC 5353 and NGC 2146 were detected with peak flux densities of 20.8 ± 2.7 mJy and 15.6 ± 3.1 mJy, respectively, using SMA. The 5σ upper limits were around 8–10 mJy at ALMA and SMA, indicating that even among current non-detections, there could be interesting science that could be done with the ngEHT.

The observed and EHT-predicted 230 GHz flux densities constitute an important driver of the technical requirements of the ngEHT. Our initial results suggest that SMBH demographic studies with the ngEHT would highly benefit from the capability of imaging ~ 10 mJy SMBHs and detecting ~ 1 mJy SMBHs in ~ 1 hour of integration.

2.4. ETHER: 43 GHz VLBA Imaging

The VLBA at 43 GHz is the highest frequency sensitive phasereferenced VLBI network, and coincidentally, this frequency is near the transition between jet dominance and (potential) ADAF dominance in radio emission, and thus VLBA 43 GHz imaging can provide valuable constraints for our SED modeling discussed in Sect. 2.2. We thus observed 32 of our top 'GS' ETHER targets, including 23 galaxies with estimated ring sizes $\geq 3 \mu$ arcsec and nine with estimated ring sizes $\geq 1 \mu$ arcsecthat lacked VLBI observations at $\nu \geq 43$ GHz but were detected in previous VLBI experiments at lower frequency (typically 8.4 GHz).



Fig. 4: (a): Ray-traced General Relativistic Magneto-hydrodynamic (GRMHD) simulations representing the center of the Sombrero galaxy, scaled to the mean total 230 GHz flux density of Sombrero (198 mJy), its mass ($M = 10^{8.82} M_{\odot}$), distance (D = 9.87 Mpc), expected inclination (50°) and with the spin/jet position angle being vertical (from Zhang et al. 2024). (b): The simulated *uv* coverage expected from our EHT observations of Sombrero in April 2024 (6 hours) is shown in blue. For reference, the maximal *uv* coverage obtainable by the present EHT for Sombrero is that shown in blue and red together. (c): Visibility amplitudes obtained for the synthetic observation of Sombrero as a function of *uv* distance, with a random gain amplitude corruption of 10%. Data from the 6-hour track is shown in blue, while the maximal obtainable dataset is shown in blue and red. (d): Best-fit model to the maximal synthetic data (blue plus red in panels (b) and (c)), obtained by fitting the GRMHD model image with a thick ring of total intensity = 160 mJy (ring diameter = $9.94 \pm 0.04 \mu arcsec$, ring thickness (FWHM of Gaussian convolution) = $4.22 \pm 0.08 \mu arcsec$, azimuthal brightness variations in the ring determined by a Fourier mode expansion with complex Fourier coefficients (beta1_abs = 0.48 ± 0.003 , beta1_arg = $-124.02^{\circ} \pm 0.17^{\circ}$). The χ^2 values for closure phases and closure amplitudes are 2.82 and 1.56, respectively. The jet emission is very weak, so the source appears as a very compact thick ring with a diameter of $\sim 10 \mu arcsec$ (from Nair et al., in prep.).



Fig. 5: (a): A geometric model representing the center of the Sombrero galaxy as a thick ring (total intensity = 160 mJy, ring diameter = 10.41 μ arcsec, ring thickness = 2.60 μ arcsec, azimuthal brightness variations in the ring determined by a Fourier mode expansion with complex Fourier coefficients [beta1_abs = 0.10, beta1_arg = 90°]). (b): The simulated *uv* coverage expected from our EHT observations of Sombrero in April 2024 (6 hours) is shown in blue. For reference, the maximal *uv* coverage obtainable by the present EHT for Sombrero is that shown in blue and red together. (c): Visibility amplitudes obtained for the synthetic observation of the geometric model of Sombrero as a function of *uv* distance, with a random gain amplitude corruption of 10%. Data from the 6-hour track is shown in blue, while the maximal obtainable dataset is shown in blue and red. (d): Best-fit solution obtained by fitting a geometric model of the source with a thick ring of total intensity = 160 mJy (ring diameter = 10.49 ± 0.09 μ arcsec, ring thickness = 2.25±0.38 μ arcsec, azimuthal brightness variations in the ring determined by a Fourier mode expansion with complex Fourier coefficients [beta1_abs = 0.093 ± 0.006, beta1_arg = 88.04° ± 2.05°], to the maximal synthetic data (blue plus red in panels (b) and (c)). The χ^2 values for closure phases and closure amplitudes are 0.98 and 0.99, respectively (from Nair et al., in prep.).

Through several VLBA programs (PIDs: BR222, BN054, BN065B, BN065C) between 2018-2023, we have observed and detected all ETHER targets with the 43 GHz VLBA so far (Nair et al., in prep.). The data are calibrated in AIPS (Greisen et al., 1990) or using the CASA rPICARD pipeline (Janssen et al., 2019; EHTC et al., 2019c) for various epochs and then imaged using the Caltech DIFMAP software package (Shepherd et al., 1994). The measured peak flux densities of these sources range from 27 to 155 mJy, and the targets show a variety of 43 GHz nuclear morphologies, including core-twin-jet, corejet, and compact core structures. Two examples, NGC 1218 and IC 1459, are shown in Fig. 3, along with typical *uv* coverage, and a typical *uv* visibility amplitude plot. We found several flat spectrum nuclei underlining the need for 43 GHz VLBA imaging of all large (even if fainter) rings previously detected by lower frequency VLBI. The success of our 43 GHz VLBA detections of these large ring SMBHs directly motivated EHT+ALMA snapshot/imaging observations of these sources in April 2022, 2023, and 2024 (see Sect. 2.6 for details).

D. G. Nair et al.: Demographics of black holes at <100 Rg scales: accretion flows, jets, and shadows



Fig. 6: (a): The simulated *uv* coverage of the EHT expected for our approved 8-hour track to observe M84 in April 2025 is shown in blue. For reference, the maximal *uv* coverage obtainable by the present EHT for M84 is that shown in blue and red together. (b): Visibility amplitudes as a function of *uv* distance obtained for the synthetic observation of M84, with a random gain amplitude corruption of 10%. Data from the 8-hour track is shown in blue, while the maximal obtainable dataset is shown in blue and red. (c): Best-fit model for the maximal synthetic data (blue plus red in panels (a) and (b)) of M84, obtained by fitting a geometric model with an 8.5 μ arcsec ring of 50 mJy; a circular Gaussian representing accretion inflow (49.2 mJy, FWHM = 32.4 μ arcsec); an elliptical Gaussian representing the jet base (20.6 mJy, FWHM_{major} = 39.7 μ arcsec, FWHM_{minor} = 19.9 μ arcsec, PA = 0.7° x0 = -0.51 μ arcsec, y0 = 99.4 μ arcsec); and an elliptical Gaussian representing the counter-jet base (4.2 mJy, FWHM_{major} = 40.4 μ arcsec, FWHM_{minor} = 19.3 μ arcsec, PA = 177.0°, x0 = -1.87 μ arcsec, y0 = -103.5 μ arcsec). The χ^2 values for closure phases and closure amplitudes are 1.12 and 0.98, respectively. The morphology of the assumed synthetic model is fully and accurately recovered (from Nair et al., in prep.).

2.5. ETHER: 86 GHz GMVA+ALMA observations

The largest SMBH rings in the 'ETHER GS', with expected sizes ranging from 0.6 to 8.9 µarcsec, and 43/15 GHz VLBI core detections of flux densities ranging from 40 to 416 mJy (as found in Sect. 2.4 and the literature), were observed at 86 GHz with GMVA+ALMA in May 2023 and October 2024. The 2023 program included Sombrero, M84, IC1459, and NGC 3998 (GMVA-only) for deep-imaging, along with fringe tests of NGC 4261, NGC 4278, and NGC 5077 (flux densities: 91 to 390 mJy at 43/15 GHz; PID: MN004 & 2022.1.00366.V, 19 hrs). The 2024 GMVA-only program, including the APEX telescope, observed NGC 1218, 3C 218, NGC 3894, UGC 2783, NGC 6061, NGC 6251, ICRF J152122.5+0420, NGC 5232, and NGC 4552 (flux densities: 40 to 416 mJy at 43/15 GHz; PID: MN005, 6.75 hrs), thus completing the characterization of all galaxies currently imageable at $\leq 100 R_g$ with the current EHT. The forthcoming data will determine the 86 GHz nuclear morphologies and flux densities of these sources, enabling better constraints on the contributions of the jet base, extended jet, and accretion flow, while also providing useful synergies and spectral shapes alongside existing EHT+ALMA observations (see Sect. 2.6) and other multiwavelength (MWL) data. These GMVA observations are expected to provide better performance with the inclusion of the highly sensitive NOEMA (e.g., Kim et al., 2023) and ALMA arrays (e.g., Lu et al., 2023).

2.6. ETHER: 230 GHz EHT+ALMA observations

The results from our 43 GHz and 86 GHz VLBI imaging (see Sects. 2.4 and 2.5), combined with data from the literature, our 230 GHz ACA and SMA surveys (Sect. 2.3), and our

jet+ADAF modeling (Sect.2.2), enabled us to select an optimal sub-sample of 27 targets for 230 GHz EHT+ALMA observations in April 2022, 2023, and 2024 with an angular resolution of ~ 20 μ arcsec. The 15-minute EHT+ALMA fringe tests in 2022 on five LLAGNs (PID:2021.1.01156.V, 6 hours) – Sombrero, M84, NGC 4261, NGC 4278, and NGC 5232 achieved clear detections across all baselines, and imaging of these sources was possible even with the 'snapshot' nature of the observations (Ramakrishnan et al., in prep.). 'Passive phasing' at ALMA was crucial for obtaining these detections.

In April 2023, EHT+ALMA performed fringe tests on an additional seven ETHER LLAGNs (NGC 4552, NGC 315, IERS 0923+392, 2FGL J1635.2+3810, 2FGL J1550.7+0526, BWE 1354+195, TXS 0607–157; PID:2022.1.01055.V, 7.2 hours). Deep imaging six-hour observations of NGC 4261 were also conducted (PID:2022.1.00520.V).

In April 2024, EHT+ALMA carried out fringe tests on twelve more ETHER LLAGNs (NGC 6500, 4C01.24B (J0909+0121), 2FGL J0956.9+2516, 2FGL J1159.5+2914, OQ208, IERS 1741–038, PKS 1936–15, 2FGL J2025–0736, OX–146 (J2129–1538), IERS 2128–123, PKS 2344+092) and repeated observations on three LLAGNs (NGC 315, IERS0738+313, and 2FGLJ1504.3+1029), which had also been observed in 2023 (PID:2023.1.01139.V, 9 hours). Deep imaging 12-hour observations of the Sombrero and M84 galaxies were also conducted (6 hours each; PID:2023.1.01175.V).

Additionally, eight more hours of EHT+ALMA imaging have been approved for M84, scheduled for April 2025 (PID:2024.1.00802.V).

The completed (2023–2024) and approved (2025) deep imaging observations of NGC 4261, Sombrero, and M84, each for 6–8 hours, are expected to uniquely reveal the inner jet

bases and potentially resolve the black hole shadows within them. The expectations based on the data sets of Sombrero and M84 are shown in detail in Figs.4, 5, and 6, which were generated using the eht-imaging package (Chael et al., 2018).

Note that tests with synthetic data, particularly with a simple geometric source models fitted with the same family of models, as considered in Figs. 5 and 6, are idealized. Successful source morphology reconstruction in such cases should be considered a necessary rather than a sufficient condition to determine the positive outcome of the actual observations. Even in the case of a GRMHD model of the source, as in Fig. 4, some simplifications were taken, e.g., 'passive' phasing efficiency at ALMA are not taken into account, and good weather conditions were assumed for all the sites. Narrow fit uncertainties are a consequence of underfitting a simple geometric model to complicated synthetic data. Our ongoing observational projects will give us a better understanding of the model fitting process for low signal-to-noise sources. In any case, with the inclusion of more baselines and the upgrades of the EHT sites, the EHT's imaging capabilities are expected to vastly improve in the future (e.g., Johnson et al., 2023; Doeleman et al., 2023).

3. Conclusions & prospects

In this article, we present the capabilities of the ETHER database and the identified 'ETHER GS', along with SED modeling and VLBI programs, to accurately measure VLBI flux densities from SMBHs with large apparent ring sizes at high angular resolutions using VLBA, GMVA, SMA, ALMA, and EHT across frequencies from 43 to 230 GHz. The conclusions are as follows:

- The ETHER database provides candidate SMBHs that can potentially be 'extreme' laboratories for a wide range of science goals, allowing unique tests of physics, including GR, accretion processes, jet launching, and the properties of plasma and particles.
- VLBA imaging of 32 of the largest SMBH ring ETHER targets helped constrain the 43 GHz nuclear flux densities and revealed morphologies ranging from core-twin-jet to core-jet and compact core. This insight enabled deep imaging of this optimal sub-sample with GMVA+ALMA and EHT+ALMA to investigate their jet launching and accretion inflows at $\leq 100 \text{ R}_g$.
- In our EHT+ALMA observations in 2022, five of the top ETHER GS galaxies - Sombrero, NGC 4261, M84, NGC 4278, and NGC 5232 are clearly detected, indicating that the 230 GHz flux density from the accretion flows is significantly high.
- Integrating 43 GHz VLBA radio flux densities with 5arcsec 230 GHz flux densities from ACA and SMA campaigns is essential for ADAF+jet SED fitting of ETHER targets. By incorporating X-ray flux densities from *Chandra, eROSITA*, and our *NuSTAR* and *NICER* observations, along with the ETHER database, we can predict EHT flux densities through SED modeling with ADAF and ADAF+jet models, helping in EHT and ngEHT target selection.
- Our initial ACA and SMA survey results of 1,025 AGNs and EHT-predicted 230 GHz flux densities suggest that

SMBH demographic studies with the ngEHT would benefit from the capability to image ~ 10 mJy SMBHs and detect ~ 1 mJy SMBHs in ~ 1 hour of integration.

- − Our completed and scheduled deep EHT+ALMA imaging of ETHER GS galaxies, combined with MWL observations, offers the potential to study SMBH masses, spins, and potentially resolved rings, thereby constraining both GR and the physics of accretion flows across a broad parameter space (SMBH mass, accretion rate, spin, jet power/type, and orientation). This will allow us to resolve or constrain the accretion flow extent (Fig. 2) and search for orbiting hot spots at ≥ 30–100 Rg (Doeleman et al., 2009; Fish et al., 2013; Emami et al., 2023). Monitoring these features will provide strong constraints on the GR metric and accretion physics.
- With 11 telescopes, including passive-phased ALMA and NOEMA, we expect to detect sources as faint as ~ 30–50 mJy. This capability may enable us to leverage the transformational results in M87 and Sgr A* to a few other nearby AGNs with the current EHT, and even larger samples of AGNs with the ngEHT.

Acknowledgements. The Submillimeter Array is a joint project between the Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory and the Academia Sinica Institute of Astronomy and Astrophysics and is funded by the Smithsonian Institution and the Academia Sinica. We recognize that Maunakea is a culturally important site for the indigenous Hawaiian people; we are privileged to study the cosmos from its summit. This paper makes use of following ALMA data: ADS/JAO.ALMA#2021.1.00966.S, ADS/JAO.ALMA#2022.1.00049.S, ADS/JAO.ALMA#2023.1.01099.S. ALMA is a partnership of ESO (representing its member states), NSF (USA), and NINS (Japan), together with NRC (Canada), MOST and ASIAA (Taiwan), and KASI (Republic of Korea), in cooperation with the Republic of Chile. The Joint ALMA Observatory is operated by ESO, AUI/NRAO, and NAOJ. This research has made use of data obtained with the Global Millimeter VLBI Array (GMVA), which consists of telescopes operated by the MPIfR, IRAM, Onsala, Metsahovi, Yebes, the Korean VLBI Network, the Greenland Telescope the Green Bank Observatory and the Very Long Baseline Array (VLBA). The VLBA and the GBT are facilities of the National Science Foundation operated under cooperative agreement by Associated Universities, Inc. The data were correlated at the correlator of the MPIfR in Bonn, Germany. We thank the EHT Collaboration for their work on enabling the extreme resolution VLBI observations. The VLBA is a facility of the National Science Foundation operated by the National Radio Astronomy Observatory under cooperative agreement with Associated Universities, Inc. DGN acknowledges funding from ANID through Fondecyt Postdoctorado (project code 3220195) and Nucleo Milenio TITANs (project NCN2022_002). SS acknowledges financial support from Millenium Nucleus NCN23_002 (TITANs) and Comite Mixto ESO-Chile. MM acknowledges support from the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation through the project PID2021-124243NB-C22. This work was partially supported by the program Unidad de Excelencia Maria de Maeztu CEX2020-001058-M.

References:

- [1] Akiyama et al. 2017, AJ **153**, 159.
- [2] Asada & Nakamura 2012, ApJ 745, L28.
- [3] Bandyopadhyay et al. 2019, MNRAS 490, 4606.
- [4] Blackburn et al. 2019, arXiv:1909.01411.
- [5] Blandford & Payne 1982, MNRAS 199, 883.
- [6] Blandford & Znajek 1977, MNRAS 179, 433.
- [7] Boccardi et al. 2017, A&A Rev. 25, 4.
- [8] Boizelle et al. 2019, ApJ 881, 10.
- [9] Boizelle et al. 2021, ApJ **908**, 19.
- [10] Bosch et al. 2015, ApJS, **218**, 10.
- [11] Bower et al. 1998, ApJ, **492**, L111-L114.
- [12] Bentz & Katz 1979, PASP 127, 67.
- [13] Broderick & Tchekhovskoy 2015, ApJ 809, 97.
- [14] Broderick et al. 2014, ApJ 784, 7.
- [15] Broderick et al. 2020, ApJ 897, 139.
- [16] Broderick et al. 2020, ApJ 898, 9.
- [17] Cheng et al. 2020, ApJS, 247, 57.
- [18] Chael et al. 2016, ApJ 829, 11.

D. G. Nair et al.: Demographics of black holes at <100 Rg scales: accretion flows, jets, and shadows

[19] Chael et al. 2018, ApJ 857, 23. [20] Dalla et al. 2020, ApJ 903, 112. [21] Doeleman et al. 2023, Galaxies, Vol. 11, Issue 5, 107. [22] Doi et al. 2015, MNRAS 363, 692. [23] Doi et al. 2016, PASJ 68, 5. [24] Emami et al. 2023, Galaxies, Vol. 11, Issue 1, 23. [25] EHT Collaboration 2019, ApJ 875, L1. [26] EHT Collaboration 2019, ApJ 875, L2. [27] EHT Collaboration 2019, ApJ 875, L3. [28] EHT Collaboration 2019, ApJ 875, L5. [29] EHT Collaboration 2019, ApJ 875, L6. [30] EHT Collaboration 2022, ApJ 930, L12. [31] EHT Collaboration 2022, ApJ 930, L17. [32] EHT Collaboration 2024, ApJ 964, L26. [33] Falcke et al. 2000, ApJ 528, L13. [34] Doeleman et al. 2009, ApJ 695, 59-74. [35] Fish et al. 2013, arXiv:1309.3519. [36] Greisen et al. 1990, Acquisition, Processing and Archiving of Astronomical Images, eds. G. Longo, & G. Sedmak, 125. [37] Gralla et al. 2019, Physical Review D 100, 024018. [38] Gultekin et al. 2019, ApJ 871, 80. [39] Hada et al. 2013, ApJ 779, 6. [40] Hada et al. 2013, ApJ 775, 70. [41] Helmboldt et al. 2007, ApJ 658, 203-216. [42] Hernández-Yévenes et al. 2024, MNRAS 531, 4503-4523. [43] Issaoun et al. 2022, ApJ 934, 145. [44] Janssen et al. 2019, A&A 626, A75. [45] Janssen et al. 2021, Nature Astronomy 5, 1017J. [46] Johannsen et al. 2012, ApJ 758, 30. [47] Johnson et al. 2023, Galaxies, Vol. 11, Issue 3, 61. [48] Jorstad et al. 2023, ApJ 943, 170. [49] Kim et al. 2020, A&A 640, 69. [50] Kim et al. 2023, A&A 680, L3. [51] Kim et al. 2024, A&A 690, A129. [52] Kormendy, J, et al. 1996, ApJ, 473, L91. [53] Krichbaum et al. 2006, arXiv:astro-ph/0611288. [54] Kuo, et al. 2011, ApJ, 727, 20. [55] Liepold et al. 2020, ApJ 891, 4. [56] Lister et al. 2018, ApJS 234, 12. [57] Lu, R., et al. 2023, Nature, 616, 686. [58] Lucchini et al. 2022, MNRAS 517, 5853. [59] Merloni et al. 2024, A&A 682, A34. [60] Middelburg et al. 2005, A&A 433, 897. [61] Müller et al. 2022, A&A 666, A137. [62] Nair et al. 2019, A&A 622, 92. [63] Nakamura et al. 2018, ApJ 868, 146. [64] Narayan 1996, ApJ 462, 136. [65] Nguyen et al. 2021, MNRAS 504, 4123-4142. [66] Onishi et al. 2017, MNRAS 468, 4663-4674. [67] O'Sullivan & Gabuzda 2009, MNRAS 400, 26. [68] Paraschos et al. 2024, A&A 682, L3. [69] Pesce et al. 2021, ApJ 923, 260. [70] Pesce et al. 2022, Galaxies, Vol. 10, Issue 6, 109. [71] Rakshit et al. 2021, ApJS, 253, 28. [72] Ramakrishnan et al. 2023, Galaxies, Vol. 11, Issue 1, 15. [73] Ricarte et al. 2023, Galaxies, Vol. 11, Issue 1, 6. [74] Ricarte et al. 2024, arXiv:2410.07477. [75] Ruffa et al. 2024, MNRAS 528, 76.

- [76] Saglia et al. 2016, ApJ 818, 47.
- [77] Savolainen et al. 2008, ASPC, 386, 451.
- [78] Shepherd et al. 1994, BAAS 26, 987.
- [79] Spada et al. 2001, MNRAS 325, 4.
- [80] Tchekhovskoy 2015, ASSL, 414, 45.
- [81] Thater et al. 2019, A&A 625, A62.
- [82] Vigeland et al. 2011, Phys. Rev. D 83, 104027.
- [83] Walker et al. 2018, ApJ 855, 128.
- [84] Wang et al. 2022, ApJ 941, 140.
- [85] Zhang et al. 2024, arXiv:2406.17754.

Affiliations

- 1 Astronomy Department, Universidad de Concepción, Casilla 160-C, Concepción, Chile
- 2 Max-Planck-Institut für Radioastronomie, Auf dem Hügel 69, D-53121 Bonn, Germany
- 3 Finnish Centre for Astronomy with ESO, FI-20014 University of Turku, Finland
- 4 Instituto de Astrofísica de Andalucia-CSIC, Glorieta de la Astronomia s/n, E-18008 Granada, Spain
- 5 Center for Astrophysics | Harvard & Smithsonian, 60 Garden Street, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA
- 6 Department of Physics, McGill University, 3600 rue University, Montréal, QC H3A 2T8, Canada
- 7 Massachusetts Institute of Technology Haystack Observatory, 99 Millstone Road, Westford, MA 01886, USA
- 8 Black Hole Initiative at Harvard University, 20 Garden Street, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA
- 9 National Astronomical Observatory of Japan, 2-21-1 Osawa, Mitaka, Tokyo 181-8588, Japan
- 10 Department of Space, Earth and Environment, Chalmers University of Technology, Onsala Space Observatory, SE-43992 Onsala, Sweden
- Institute of Astronomy and Astrophysics, Academia Sinica, 645 N. A'ohoku Place, Hilo, HI 96720, USA
- 12 Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Hawaii at Manoa, 2505 Correa Road, Honolulu, HI 96822, USA
- 13 Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics, 31 Caroline Street North, Waterloo, ON N2L 2Y5, Canada
- 14 Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Waterloo, 200 University Avenue West, Waterloo, ON N2L 3G1, Canada
- 15 Waterloo Centre for Astrophysics, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Canada
- 16 Steward Observatory and Department of Astronomy, University of Arizona, 933 N. Cherry Ave., Tucson, AZ 85721, USA
- 17 Data Science Institute, University of Arizona, 1230 N. Cherry Ave., Tucson, AZ 85721, USA
- 18 Program in Applied Mathematics, University of Arizona, 617 N. Santa Rita, Tucson, AZ 85721, USA
- 19 Department of Astrophysics, Institute for Mathematics, Astrophysics and Particle Physics (IMAPP), Radboud University, P.O. Box 9010, 6500 GL Nijmegen, The Netherlands
- 20 Institut für Theoretische Physik, Goethe-Universität Frankfurt, Max-von-Laue-Straße 1, D-60438 Frankfurt am Main, Germany
- 21 Institut für Theoretische Physik und Astrophysik, Universität Würzburg, Emil-Fischer-Str. 31, Germany
- 22 Trottier Space Institute at McGill, 3550 rue University, Montréal, QC H3A 2A7, Canada
- 23 Mizusawa VLBI Observatory, National Astronomical Observatory of Japan, 2-12 Hoshigaoka, Mizusawa, Oshu, Iwate 023-0861, Japan
- 24 Department of Astronomical Science, The Graduate University for Advanced Studies (SOKENDAI), 2-21-1 Osawa, Mitaka, Tokyo 181-8588, Japan

- 25 Institute for Astrophysical Research, Boston University, 725 Commonwealth Ave., Boston, MA 02215, USA
- 26 National Centre for Radio Astrophysics (NCRA) Tata Institute of Fundamental Research (TIFR), S. P. Pune University Campus, Ganeshkhind, 411007 Pune, India
- 27 Department of Astronomy, Kyungpook National University, 80 Daehak-ro, Buk-gu, Daegu, 41566, Republic of Korea
- 28 Institute of Astronomy and Astrophysics, Academia Sinica, 11F of Astronomy-Mathematics Building, AS/NTU No. 1, Sec. 4, Roosevelt Rd., Taipei 106216, Taiwan, R.O.C.
- 29 Graduate School of Science and Technology, Niigata University, 8050 Ikarashi 2-no-cho, Nishi-ku, Niigata 950-2181, Japan
- 31 Shanghai Astronomical Observatory, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 80 Nandan Road, Shanghai 200030, People's Republic of China
- 32 Key Laboratory of Radio Astronomy, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Nanjing 210008, People's Republic of China
- 33 Anton Pannekoek Institute for Astronomy, University of Amsterdam, Science Park 904, 1098 XH, Amsterdam, The Netherlands
- 34 Gravitation and Astroparticle Physics Amsterdam (GRAPPA) Institute, University of Amsterdam, Science Park 904, 1098 XH Amsterdam, The Netherlands
- 35 Department of Astronomy, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA 01003, USA
- 36 Physics Department, Washington University, CB 1105, St. Louis, MO 63130, USA
- 37 School of Space Research, Kyung Hee University, 1732, Deogyeong-daero, Giheung-gu, Yongin-si, Gyeonggi-do 17104, Republic of Korea
- 38 School of Physics, Georgia Institute of Technology, 837 State St NW, Atlanta, GA 30332, USA
- 39 Aalto University Department of Electronics and Nanoengineering, PL 15500, FI-00076 Aalto, Finland
- 40 Aalto University Metsähovi Radio Observatory, Metsähovintie 114, FI-02540 Kylmälä, Finland
- 41 Leiden Observatory, Leiden University, 9513 RA Leiden, The Netherlands
- 42 National Radio Astronomy Observatory, 520 Edgemont Road, Charlottesville
- 43 Millennium Nucleus on Transversal Research and Technology to Explore Supermassive Black Holes (TITANS)
- 44 Instituto de Física y Astronomía, Universidad de Valparaíso, Gran Bretaña 1111, Valparaíso, Chile
- 45 Département de Physique, Université de Montréal, Succ. Centre-Ville, Montréal, QC, H3C 3J7, Canada
- 46 Institute of Space Sciences (ICE, CSIC), Campus UAB, Carrer de Magrans, 08193 Barcelona, Spain
- 47 Institut d'Estudis Espacials de Catalunya (IEEC), Edifici RDIT, Campus UPC, 08860 Castelldefels (Barcelona), Spain
- 48 Department of Astronomy, Yale University, 219 Prospect Street, New Haven, CT 06511, USA
- 49 Department of Physics, Yale University, 217 Prospect Street, New Haven, CT 06511, USA
- 50 Instituto de Astrofísica and Centro de Astroingeniería, Facultad de Física, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Casilla 306, Santiago 22, Chile
- 51 National Institute of Technology, Hachinohe College, 16-1 Uwanotai, Tamonoki, Hachinohe City, Aomori 039-1192, Japan
- 52 Institute for Cosmic Ray Research, University of Tokyo, Chiba 277-8582, Japan
- 53 Physics Department, National Sun Yat-Sen University, No. 70, Lien-Hai Road, Kaosiung City 80424, Taiwan, R.O.C.
- 54 Tuorla Observatory, Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Turku, Finland

- 55 Departamento de Matemática da Universidade de Aveiro and Centre for Research and Development in Mathematics and Applications (CIDMA), Campus de Santiago, 3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal
- 56 Department of Physics, National Taiwan University, No. 1, Sec. 4, Roosevelt Rd., Taipei 106216, Taiwan, R.O.C.
- 57 Instituto Nacional de Astrofísica, Óptica y Electrónica. Apartado Postal 51 y 216, 72000. Puebla, Pue., México
- 58 Department of Physics, National Taiwan Normal University, No. 88, Sec. 4, Tingzhou Rd., Taipei 116, Taiwan, R.O.C.
- 59 Center of Astronomy and Gravitation, National Taiwan Normal University, No. 88, Sec. 4, Tingzhou Road, Taipei 116, Taiwan, R.O.C.
- 60 Institute of Space and Astronautical Science, Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency, Japan
- 61 CSIRO, Space and Astronomy, PO Box 1130, Bentley, WA 6151, Australia
- 62 Manly Astrophysics, 15/41-42 East Esplanade, Manly NSW 2095, Australia
- 63 Department of Physics, Ulsan National Institute of Science and Technology (UNIST), Ulsan 44919, Republic of Korea
- 64 Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Mississippi, 108 Lewis Hall, University, Mississippi 38677-1848, USA.