

JACOBI-KOSZUL-VINBERG STRUCTURES ON JACOBI-LEFT-SYMMETRIC ALGEBROIDS

NAOKI KIMURA AND TOMOYA NAKAMURA*

Abstract

A Koszul-Vinberg manifold is a generalization of a Hessian manifold, and their relation is similar to the relation between Poisson manifolds and symplectic manifolds. Koszul-Vinberg structures and Poisson structures on manifolds extend to the structures on algebroids. A Koszul-Vinberg structure on a left-symmetric algebroid is regarded as a symmetric analogue of a Poisson structure on a Lie algebroid. We define a Jacobi-Koszul-Vinberg structure on a Jacobi-left-symmetric algebroid as a symmetric analogue of a Jacobi structure on a Jacobi algebroid. We show some properties of Jacobi-Koszul-Vinberg structures on Jacobi algebroids and give the definition of a Jacobi-Koszul-Vinberg manifold, which is a symmetric analogue of a Jacobi manifold.

Introduction

A Poisson manifold is a generalization of a symplectic manifold and a non-degenerate Poisson manifold is equivalent to a symplectic manifold. A Jacobi manifold, which is also a generalization of a contact manifold, is a further generalization of a Poisson manifold. Poisson and Jacobi structures on manifolds extend to the structures on Lie and Jacobi algebroids respectively. These structures are defined as skew-symmetric tensor fields on algebroids. A Poisson structure on a Lie algebroid A induces a Lie algebroid structure on its dual bundle A^* . Similarly, a Jacobi structure on a Jacobi algebroid induces a

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 53D17; Secondary 53B12, 53B05, 17D25.

Key words and phrases. Poisson manifold, Hessian manifold, Koszul-Vinberg manifold, Lie algebroid, left-symmetric algebroid, Jacobi algebroid, Jacobi manifold.

*The second author was partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP23K12977.

Jacobi algebroid structure on its dual bundle. The Poissonization of a Jacobi structure on a Jacobi algebroid (A, ϕ_0) yields a Poisson structure on a Lie algebroid $A \times \mathbb{R}$. The Poissonization of a Jacobi structure is a generalization of the symplectization of a contact structure.

A Koszul-Vinberg manifold was introduced in [3] (it is called a contravariant pseudo-Hessian manifold in [3]) as a generalization of a (pseudo-)Hessian manifold. Their relation is similar to the relation between Poisson manifolds and symplectic manifolds. A non-degenerate Koszul-Vinberg manifold is equivalent to a (pseudo-)Hessian manifold. Koszul-Vinberg structures on manifolds also extend to the structures on left-symmetric algebroids. A Koszul-Vinberg structure on a left-symmetric algebroid is defined as a symmetric tensor field, and is regarded as a symmetric analogue of a Poisson structure on a Lie algebroid. Liu, Sheng and Bai proved in [5] that a Koszul-Vinberg structure on a left-symmetric algebroid A induces a left-symmetric algebroid structure on its dual bundle A^* . Besides this, there are other studies on Koszul-Vinberg structures in terms of analogy of Poisson geometry such as [1], [2], [3] and [9].

In this paper, we define a Jacobi-left-symmetric algebroid and a Jacobi-Koszul-Vinberg structure on a Jacobi-left-symmetric algebroid as a symmetric analogue of a Jacobi structure on a Jacobi algebroid. We show that a Jacobi-Koszul-Vinberg structure on a Jacobi-left-symmetric algebroid A induces a Jacobi-left-symmetric algebroid structure on its dual bundle A^* . We also define the Koszul-Vinbergization of a Jacobi-Koszul-Vinberg structure as an analogy of the Poissonization of a Jacobi structure. Furthermore, we give the definition of a Jacobi-Koszul-Vinberg manifold, which is a symmetric analogue of a Jacobi manifold. Matsuzoe introduced in [6] a semi-Weyl manifold as a generalization of both a statistical manifold and a Weyl manifold. We prove that a Jacobi-Koszul-Vinberg manifold with non-degenerate symmetric $(2, 0)$ -tensor field h is a semi-Weyl manifold. Moreover, we also show that such a Jacobi-Koszul-Vinberg manifold is a locally conformally Hessian manifold defined by Osipov in [7].

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall the definitions and properties of several notions such as Lie algebroids, Poisson structures, left-symmetric algebroids and Koszul-Vinberg structures. We explain similarities between Poisson structures on Lie algebroids and Koszul-Vinberg structures on left-symmetric algebroids. We also review the definitions of Jacobi algebroids and Jacobi structures, which are generalizations of Lie algebroids and Poisson structures respectively. We describe the Poissonization of a Jacobi structure at the end of Section 2. In Section 3, we introduce a Jacobi-left-symmetric algebroid as a generalization of a left-symmetric algebroid. We define a Jacobi-Koszul-Vinberg structure on a Jacobi-left-symmetric algebroid as a generalization of a Koszul-Vinberg structure on a left-symmetric algebroid. We show that a Jacobi-Koszul-Vinberg structure

on a Jacobi-left-symmetric algebroid A induces a Jacobi-left-symmetric algebroid structure on its dual bundle A^* . We also define the Koszul-Vinbergization of a Jacobi-Koszul-Vinberg structure as an analogy of the Poissonization of a Jacobi structure. At the end of Section 3, we give the definition of a Jacobi-Koszul-Vinberg manifold, which is considered as a symmetric analogue of a Jacobi manifold. A Jacobi-Koszul-Vinberg manifold with non-degenerate symmetric $(2, 0)$ -tensor field h is a semi-Weyl manifold. Furthermore, we show that such a Jacobi-Koszul-Vinberg manifold is also a locally conformally Hessian manifold.

1 Preliminaries

In this section, we recall the definitions and properties of Lie, left-symmetric and Jacobi algebroids, as well as Poisson, Koszul-Vinberg and Jacobi structures on algebroids.

1.1 Lie algebroids and Poisson structures

A *Lie algebroid* over a manifold M is a vector bundle $A \rightarrow M$ equipped with a Lie bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]_A : \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(A) \rightarrow \Gamma(A)$ and a bundle map $\rho_A : A \rightarrow TM$ over M , called the *anchor*, satisfying the following condition: for any X, Y in $\Gamma(A)$ and f in $C^\infty(M)$,

$$[X, fY]_A = f[X, Y]_A + (\rho_A(X)f)Y,$$

where we denote the map $\Gamma(A) \rightarrow \Gamma(TM) = \mathfrak{X}(M)$ induced by the anchor, the same symbol ρ_A . For any Lie algebroid $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, \rho_A)$ over M , it follows that for any X and Y in $\Gamma(A)$,

$$\rho_A([X, Y]_A) = [\rho_A(X), \rho_A(Y)],$$

where the bracket on the right hand side is the usual Lie bracket on $\mathfrak{X}(M)$.

EXAMPLE 1.1. For any manifold M , the tangent bundle $(TM, [\cdot, \cdot], \text{id}_{TM})$ is a Lie algebroid over M , where $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is the usual Lie bracket on the vector fields $\mathfrak{X}(M) = \Gamma(TM)$.

EXAMPLE 1.2. Let A be a Lie algebroid over a manifold M and set $A \oplus \mathbb{R} := A \oplus (M \times \mathbb{R})$. Then $(A \oplus \mathbb{R}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{A \oplus \mathbb{R}}, \rho_A \circ \text{pr}_1)$ is also a Lie algebroid over M , where the bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]_{A \oplus \mathbb{R}}$ is defined by

$$[(X, f), (Y, g)]_{A \oplus \mathbb{R}} := ([X, Y]_A, \rho_A(X)g - \rho_A(Y)f)$$

for any $(X, f), (Y, g)$ in $\Gamma(A \oplus \mathbb{R}) \cong \Gamma(A) \times C^\infty(M)$ and the map $\text{pr}_1 : A \oplus \mathbb{R} \rightarrow A$ is the canonical projection to the first factor.

Let $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, \rho_A)$ be a Lie algebroid over M . The *Schouten bracket* on $\Gamma(\Lambda^*A)$ is defined similarly to the Schouten bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$ on the multivector fields $\mathfrak{X}^*(M)$ and is denoted by the same symbol $[\cdot, \cdot]_A$ as the bracket on $\Gamma(A)$. The *differential* of the Lie algebroid A is an operator $d_A : \Gamma(\Lambda^k A^*) \rightarrow \Gamma(\Lambda^{k+1} A^*)$ defined by, for any ω in $\Gamma(\Lambda^k A^*)$ and X_0, \dots, X_k in $\Gamma(A)$,

$$(d_A \omega)(X_0, \dots, X_k) = \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i \rho_A(X_i)(\omega(X_0, \dots, \hat{X}_i, \dots, X_k)) \\ + \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} \omega([X_i, X_j]_A, X_0, \dots, \hat{X}_i, \dots, \hat{X}_j, \dots, X_k).$$

The differential d_A satisfies $d_A^2 = 0$. For any X in $\Gamma(A)$, the *Lie derivative* $\mathcal{L}_X^A : \Gamma(\Lambda^k A^*) \rightarrow \Gamma(\Lambda^k A^*)$ is defined by the *Cartan formula* $\mathcal{L}_X^A := d_A \iota_X + \iota_X d_A$ and \mathcal{L}_X^A is extended on $\Gamma(\Lambda^* A)$ in the same way as the usual Lie derivative \mathcal{L}_X . Then it follows that $\mathcal{L}_X^A D = [X, D]_A$ for any D in $\Gamma(\Lambda^* A)$. We call a d_A -closed 2-cosection ω in $\Gamma(\Lambda^2 A^*)$, i.e., $d_A \omega = 0$, a *presymplectic structure* on $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, \rho_A)$. A presymplectic structure ω is called a *symplectic structure* if ω is non-degenerate.

A *Poisson structure* on a Lie algebroid A over a manifold M is a 2-section π in $\Gamma(\Lambda^2 A)$ satisfying $[\pi, \pi]_A = 0$. For any 2-section π in $\Gamma(\Lambda^2 A)$, we define a skew-symmetric bilinear bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]_\pi$ on $\Gamma(A^*)$ by for any ξ, η in $\Gamma(A^*)$,

$$[\xi, \eta]_\pi := \mathcal{L}_{\pi^\sharp \xi}^A \eta - \mathcal{L}_{\pi^\sharp \eta}^A \xi - d_A \langle \pi^\sharp \xi, \eta \rangle,$$

where a bundle map $\pi^\sharp : A^* \rightarrow A$ over M is defined by $\langle \pi^\sharp \xi, \eta \rangle := \pi(\xi, \eta)$. Moreover it follows that

$$\frac{1}{2} [\pi, \pi]_A(\xi, \eta, \cdot) = [\pi^\sharp \xi, \pi^\sharp \eta]_A - \pi^\sharp [\xi, \eta]_\pi.$$

A triple $A_\pi^* := (A^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_\pi, \rho_\pi)$, where $\rho_\pi := \rho_A \circ \pi^\sharp$, is a Lie algebroid if and only if π is Poisson on $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, \rho_A)$.

It is well known that there exists a one-to-one correspondence between symplectic structures and non-degenerate Poisson structures on a Lie algebroid $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, \rho_A)$. In fact, for a non-degenerate Poisson structure π , a 2-cosection ω_π characterized by $\omega_\pi^\flat = -(\pi^\sharp)^{-1}$ is symplectic, where for any 2-cosection Ω , a bundle map $\Omega^\flat : A \rightarrow A^*$ over M is defined by $\langle \Omega^\flat X, Y \rangle := \Omega(X, Y)$ for any X and Y in $\Gamma(A)$.

An *affine connection* on a Lie algebroid $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, \rho_A)$ over M is an \mathbb{R} -bilinear map $\nabla : \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(A) \rightarrow \Gamma(A)$ satisfying for any $f \in C^\infty(M)$ and $X, Y \in \Gamma(A)$,

$$\nabla_{fX} Y = f \nabla_X Y, \\ \nabla_X (fY) = f \nabla_X Y + (\rho_A(X)f)Y.$$

An affine connection on the standard Lie algebroid $(TM, [\cdot, \cdot], \text{id}_{TM})$ is just an affine connection on M . An affine connection ∇ on a Lie algebroid $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, \rho_A)$ over M is *torsion-free* if

$$\nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X = [X, Y]_A,$$

and is *flat* if

$$\nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z = \nabla_{[X, Y]_A} Z,$$

for any X, Y and $Z \in \Gamma(A)$.

1.2 Left-symmetric algebroids and Koszul-Vinberg structures

A *statistical manifold* (M, g, ∇) is a (pseudo-)Riemannian manifold (M, g) equipped with a torsion-free affine connection ∇ on M such that ∇g is symmetric, i.e., for any X, Y, Z in $\mathfrak{X}(M)$,

$$(1) \quad (\nabla_X g)(Y, Z) = (\nabla_Y g)(X, Z).$$

The equation (1) is called the *Codazzi equation*.

Let (M, g) be a (pseudo-)Riemannian manifold and ∇ an affine connection on M . The *dual connection* ∇^* of ∇ with respect to g is defined by, for X, Y, Z in $\mathfrak{X}(M)$,

$$X(g(Y, Z)) = g(\nabla_X Y, Z) + g(Y, \nabla_X^* Z).$$

It is known that for a torsion-free connection ∇ , the dual connection ∇^* is torsion-free if and only if ∇g is symmetric. Hence a statistical manifold is defined also as the quadruple (M, g, ∇, ∇^*) such that both ∇ and ∇^* are torsion-free.

For a statistical manifold (M, g, ∇) , the connection ∇ is flat if and only if the dual connection ∇^* is flat. Therefore, a statistical manifold (M, g, ∇) with the flat connection ∇ is called a *dually flat manifold*. A dually flat manifold is also called a *Hessian manifold* since it possesses a local coordinate system in which the metric g is described as the Hessian matrix of some local function with respect to the local coordinates.

An *affine manifold* (M, ∇) is a manifold M with a torsion-free flat connection ∇ on M . Hence a Hessian manifold (M, g, ∇) is an affine manifold (M, ∇) with a (pseudo-)Riemannian metric g satisfying the Codazzi equation (1). For more comprehensive study on Hessian manifolds, see [8].

DEFINITION 1.3. ([3, Definition 6.6]) A *Koszul-Vinberg structure* on an affine manifold (M, ∇) is a symmetric $(2, 0)$ -tensor field h in $\Gamma(S^2 TM)$ satisfying, for any α, β, γ in $\Omega^1(M)$,

$$(2) \quad (\nabla_{h^\sharp \alpha} h)(\beta, \gamma) = (\nabla_{h^\sharp \beta} h)(\alpha, \gamma).$$

(M, ∇, h) is called a *Koszul-Vinberg manifold*. The equation (2) is also called the Codazzi equation.

Note that a Koszul-Vinberg structure is called a contravariant pseudo-Hessian structure in [3].

There exists a one-to-one correspondence between the non-degenerate symmetric $(0, 2)$ -tensor fields on M and the non-degenerate symmetric $(2, 0)$ -tensor fields on M . For a non-degenerate symmetric $(2, 0)$ -tensor h , let g be the corresponding non-degenerate symmetric $(0, 2)$ -tensor, that is, $g^\flat = (h^\sharp)^{-1}$. Then we can easily check that the non-degenerate symmetric $(0, 2)$ -tensor h satisfies the Codazzi equation (2) if and only if ∇g is symmetric. Therefore, a non-degenerate Koszul-Vinberg manifold is equivalent to a (pseudo-)Hessian manifold.

An algebra (A, \cdot) is called a *left-symmetric algebra* if it satisfies for any x, y, z in A ,

$$(x, y, z) = (y, x, z),$$

where $(x, y, z) := (x \cdot y) \cdot z - x \cdot (y \cdot z)$. A left-symmetric algebra is also called a *Koszul-Vinberg algebra*.

EXAMPLE 1.4. An associative algebra is a left-symmetric algebra.

EXAMPLE 1.5. Let (M, ∇) be an affine manifold. Then $(\mathfrak{X}(M), \cdot)$ is a left-symmetric algebra, where $X \cdot Y := \nabla_X Y$ for X, Y in $\mathfrak{X}(M)$.

A left-symmetric algebra (A, \cdot) is Lie-admissible, i.e., $(A, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is a Lie algebra, where $[x, y] := x \cdot y - y \cdot x$ for x, y in A . Hence a left-symmetric algebra is also called a *pre-Lie algebra*.

DEFINITION 1.6. ([4, Definition 2.1]) A *left-symmetric algebroid* over a manifold M is a vector bundle $A \rightarrow M$ equipped with a left-symmetric algebra structure \cdot_A on $\Gamma(A)$ and a bundle map $\rho_A : A \rightarrow TM$ over M , called the anchor, satisfying the following conditions: for any X, Y in $\Gamma(A)$ and f in $C^\infty(M)$,

$$(3) \quad X \cdot_A (fY) = f(X \cdot_A Y) + (\rho_A(X)f)Y,$$

$$(4) \quad (fX) \cdot_A Y = f(X \cdot_A Y).$$

Note that a left-symmetric algebroid is called a Koszul-Vinberg algebroid in [4].

For a left-symmetric algebroid (A, \cdot_A, ρ_A) over a manifold M , $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, \rho_A)$ is a Lie algebroid over M , where $[X, Y]_A := X \cdot_A Y - Y \cdot_A X$ for X, Y in $\Gamma(A)$. $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, \rho_A)$ is called the *sub-adjacent Lie algebroid* of the left-symmetric algebroid (A, \cdot_A, ρ_A) .

EXAMPLE 1.7. Let $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, \rho_A)$ be a Lie algebroid over M and ∇ a torsion-free flat connection on $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, \rho_A)$. Then (A, \cdot_A, ρ_A) is a left-symmetric algebroid over M , where $X \cdot_A Y := \nabla_X Y$ for X, Y in $\Gamma(A)$. The sub-adjacent Lie algebroid of (A, \cdot_A, ρ_A) is the given Lie algebroid $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, \rho_A)$.

In particular, for an affine manifold (M, ∇) , $TM_{\nabla} := (TM, \nabla, \text{id}_{TM})$ is a left-symmetric algebroid over M . The sub-adjacent Lie algebroid of TM_{∇} is the standard Lie algebroid $(TM, [\cdot, \cdot], \text{id}_{TM})$.

EXAMPLE 1.8. Let $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, \rho_A)$ be a Lie algebroid over M and ∇ a torsion-free flat connection on $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, \rho_A)$. Define $\bar{\nabla} : \Gamma(A \oplus \mathbb{R}) \times \Gamma(A \oplus \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \Gamma(A \oplus \mathbb{R})$ by

$$\bar{\nabla}_{(X,f)}(Y, g) := (\nabla_X Y, \rho_A(X)g)$$

for $(X, f), (Y, g)$ in $\Gamma(A \oplus \mathbb{R}) \cong \Gamma(A) \times C^\infty(M)$. Then $\bar{\nabla}$ is a torsion-free flat connection on the Lie algebroid $(A \oplus \mathbb{R}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{A \oplus \mathbb{R}}, \rho_A \circ \text{pr}_1)$ in Example 1.2. Hence $(A \oplus \mathbb{R}, \bar{\nabla}, \rho_A \circ \text{pr}_1)$ is a left-symmetric algebroid over M and its sub-adjacent Lie algebroid is $(A \oplus \mathbb{R}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{A \oplus \mathbb{R}}, \rho_A \circ \text{pr}_1)$.

In particular, for an affine manifold (M, ∇) , $(TM \oplus \mathbb{R}, \bar{\nabla}, \text{pr}_1)$ is a left-symmetric algebroid over M .

Let (A, \cdot_A, ρ_A) be a left-symmetric algebroid over a manifold M . For a symmetric $(2, 0)$ -tensor h in $\Gamma(S^2 A)$, we define $[[h, h]]_A$ in $\Gamma(\Lambda^2 A \otimes A)$ by, for α, β, γ in $\Gamma(A^*)$,

$$\begin{aligned} [[h, h]]_A(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) &= \rho_A(h^\sharp \alpha)h(\beta, \gamma) - \rho_A(h^\sharp \beta)h(\alpha, \gamma) + \langle \alpha, h^\sharp \beta \cdot_A h^\sharp \gamma \rangle \\ &\quad - \langle \beta, h^\sharp \alpha \cdot_A h^\sharp \gamma \rangle - \langle \gamma, [h^\sharp \alpha, h^\sharp \beta]_A \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The bracket $[[\cdot, \cdot]]_A$ is an analogy of the Schouten bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]_A$ on $\Gamma(\Lambda^* A)$ for a Lie algebroid $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, \rho_A)$. Set $C^{k+1}(A) := \Gamma(\Lambda^k A^* \otimes A^*)$ for $k \geq 0$. The coboundary operator $\delta_A : C^k(A) \rightarrow C^{k+1}(A)$ is defined by, for ω in $C^k(A)$ and X_0, \dots, X_k in $\Gamma(A)$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta_A \omega)(X_0, \dots, X_k) &= \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (-1)^i \rho_A(X_i) (\omega(X_0, \dots, \hat{X}_i, \dots, X_k)) \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (-1)^i \omega(X_0, \dots, \hat{X}_i, \dots, X_{k-1}, X_i \cdot_A X_k) \\ &\quad + \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq k-1} (-1)^{i+j} \omega([X_i, X_j]_A, X_0, \dots, \hat{X}_i, \dots, \hat{X}_j, \dots, X_k). \end{aligned}$$

DEFINITION 1.9. ([5]) A Koszul-Vinberg structure on a left-symmetric algebroid (A, \cdot_A, ρ_A) over a manifold M is a symmetric $(2, 0)$ -tensor field h in $\Gamma(S^2 A)$ such that

$$[[h, h]]_A = 0.$$

For the left-symmetric algebroid TM_{∇} and h in $\Gamma(S^2 TM)$, the condition $[[h, h]]_{TM_{\nabla}} = 0$ is equivalent to the Codazzi equation (2). This means that a Koszul-Vinberg structure on an affine manifold (M, ∇) is a Koszul-Vinberg structure on the left-symmetric algebroid TM_{∇} . On the other hand, for $g \in \Gamma(S^2 T^* M) \subset C^2(TM) = \Gamma(T^* M \otimes T^* M)$, the condition

$\delta_{TM_\nabla} g = 0$ is equivalent to that ∇g is symmetric. Recall that for non-degenerate h and the corresponding non-degenerate g , that is, $g^b = (h^\sharp)^{-1}$, h satisfies the Codazzi equation (2) if and only if ∇g is symmetric. Hence, if h in $\Gamma(S^2TM)$ is non-degenerate, $[[h, h]]_{TM_\nabla} = 0$ is equivalent to $\delta_{TM_\nabla} g = 0$, where g in $\Gamma(S^2T^*M)$ is the corresponding symmetric $(0, 2)$ -tensor field to h . This equivalence on the left-symmetric algebroid TM_∇ extends to a general left-symmetric algebroid in the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 1.10. ([5, Proposition 4.7]) *Let (A, \cdot_A, ρ_A) be a left-symmetric algebroid over a manifold M . If h in $\Gamma(S^2A)$ is non-degenerate, then $[[h, h]]_A = 0$ is equivalent to $\delta_A g = 0$, where g in $\Gamma(S^2A^*)$ is the corresponding section to h .*

This equivalence on left-symmetric algebroids is regarded as a symmetric analogue of the correspondence between non-degenerate Poisson structures and symplectic structures on Lie algebroids mentioned at the end of Subsection 2.1.

As previously stated in Subsection 2.1, a Poisson structure π on a Lie algebroid $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, \rho_A)$ induces a Lie algebroid structure on the dual bundle A^* , denoted by A^*_π . A similar property holds for a Koszul-Vinberg structure on a left-symmetric algebroid in the following way.

PROPOSITION 1.11. ([5, Theorem 4.10]) *Let (A, \cdot_A, ρ_A) be a left-symmetric algebroid over a manifold M and h in $\Gamma(S^2A)$ a Koszul-Vinberg structure on (A, \cdot_A, ρ_A) . Then $(A^*, \cdot^{h^\sharp}, \rho_A \circ h^\sharp)$ is a left-symmetric algebroid over M , where \cdot^{h^\sharp} is defined by, for α, β in $\Gamma(A^*)$,*

$$(5) \quad \alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp} \beta := \mathcal{L}_{h^\sharp \alpha}^A \beta - R_{h^\sharp \beta} \alpha - d_A(h(\alpha, \beta)).$$

Here \mathcal{L}_X^A and d_A are the Lie derivative and the differential respectively of the sub-adjacent Lie algebroid of the left-symmetric algebroid (A, \cdot_A, ρ_A) . The operator $R_X : \Gamma(A^*) \rightarrow \Gamma(A^*)$ is defined by, for α in $\Gamma(A^*)$ and X, Y in $\Gamma(A)$,

$$\langle R_X \alpha, Y \rangle = -\langle \alpha, Y \cdot_A X \rangle.$$

The other operator $L_X : \Gamma(A^*) \rightarrow \Gamma(A^*)$ is defined by, for any α in $\Gamma(A^*)$ and X, Y in $\Gamma(A)$,

$$\langle L_X \alpha, Y \rangle = \rho_A(X) \langle \alpha, Y \rangle - \langle \alpha, X \cdot_A Y \rangle.$$

For any h in $\Gamma(S^2A^*)$, the product \cdot^{h^\sharp} defined by (5) satisfies the following equations:

$$(6) \quad [[h, h]]_A(\alpha, \cdot, \beta) = h^\sharp(\alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp} \beta) - h^\sharp \alpha \cdot_A h^\sharp \beta,$$

$$(7) \quad \langle (\alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp} \beta) \cdot^{h^\sharp} \gamma - \alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp} (\beta \cdot^{h^\sharp} \gamma) - ((\beta \cdot^{h^\sharp} \alpha) \cdot^{h^\sharp} \gamma - \beta \cdot^{h^\sharp} (\alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp} \gamma)), X \rangle \\ = \langle \mathcal{L}_{[[h, h]]_A(\alpha, \cdot, \beta) - [[h, h]]_A(\beta, \cdot, \alpha)}^A \gamma, X \rangle + [[h, h]]_A(\beta, L_X \alpha, \gamma) - [[h, h]]_A(\alpha, L_X \beta, \gamma)$$

for any α, β, γ in $\Gamma(A^*)$ and X in $\Gamma(A)$.

1.3 Jacobi algebroids and Jacobi structures

A pair (A, ϕ_0) is a *Jacobi algebroid* over a manifold M if $A = (A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, \rho_A)$ is a Lie algebroid over M and ϕ_0 in $\Gamma(A^*)$ is d_A -closed, that is, $d_A\phi_0 = 0$.

EXAMPLE 1.12. For any Lie algebroid A over M , we set $\phi_0 := 0$. Then (A, ϕ_0) is a Jacobi algebroid. We call ϕ_0 the *trivial Jacobi algebroid structure* on A . Therefore any Lie algebroid is a Jacobi algebroid.

EXAMPLE 1.13. For a Lie algebroid $A \oplus \mathbb{R}$ in Example 1.2, we set $\phi_0 := (0, 1)$ in $\Gamma(A^* \oplus \mathbb{R}) = \Gamma(A^*) \times C^\infty(M)$. Then $(A \oplus \mathbb{R}, \phi_0)$ is a Jacobi algebroid.

For a Jacobi algebroid (A, ϕ_0) , the ϕ_0 -Schouten bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]_{A, \phi_0}$ on $\Gamma(\Lambda^*A)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} [D_1, D_2]_{A, \phi_0} := & [D_1, D_2]_A + (a_1 - 1)D_1 \wedge \iota_{\phi_0} D_2 \\ & - (-1)^{a_1+1} (a_2 - 1) \iota_{\phi_0} D_1 \wedge D_2 \end{aligned}$$

for any D_i in $\Gamma(\Lambda^{a_i}A)$, where $[\cdot, \cdot]_A$ is the Schouten bracket of the Lie algebroid A . The ϕ_0 -differential d_{A, ϕ_0} and the ϕ_0 -Lie derivative $\mathcal{L}_X^{A, \phi_0}$ are defined by

$$(8) \quad d_{A, \phi_0}\omega := d_A\omega + \phi_0 \wedge \omega, \quad \mathcal{L}_X^{A, \phi_0} := \iota_X \circ d_{A, \phi_0} + d_{A, \phi_0} \circ \iota_X$$

for any ω in $\Gamma(\Lambda^*A^*)$ and X in $\Gamma(A)$.

A *Jacobi structure* on a Jacobi algebroid (A, ϕ_0) is a 2-section π in $\Gamma(\Lambda^2A)$ satisfying the condition

$$[\pi, \pi]_{A, \phi_0} = 0.$$

For a Lie algebroid A , a Poisson structure π on A is a Jacobi structure on the trivial Jacobi algebroid $(A, 0)$.

For any 2-section π on (A, ϕ_0) , we define a skew-symmetric bilinear bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\pi, \phi_0}$ on $\Gamma(A^*)$ by, for any ξ, η in $\Gamma(A^*)$,

$$[\xi, \eta]_{\pi, \phi_0} := \mathcal{L}_{\pi^\sharp \xi}^{A, \phi_0} \eta - \mathcal{L}_{\pi^\sharp \eta}^{A, \phi_0} \xi - d_{A, \phi_0} \langle \pi^\sharp \xi, \eta \rangle.$$

Moreover it follows that

$$\frac{1}{2} [\pi, \pi]_{A, \phi_0} (\xi, \eta, \cdot) = [\pi^\sharp \xi, \pi^\sharp \eta]_A - \pi^\sharp [\xi, \eta]_{\pi, \phi_0}.$$

Then a triple $A_{\pi, \phi_0}^* := (A^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\pi, \phi_0}, \rho_\pi)$ is a Lie algebroid over M if and only if π is Jacobi. Furthermore, in the case that π is Jacobi, a pair (A_{π, ϕ_0}^*, X_0) is a Jacobi algebroid over M , where $X_0 := -\pi^\sharp \phi_0$ in $\Gamma(A)$.

EXAMPLE 1.14. Let A be a Lie algebroid over M , Λ a 2-section on A and E a section on A satisfying

$$[\Lambda, \Lambda]_A = 2E \wedge \Lambda, \quad [E, \Lambda]_A = 0.$$

Then a pair (Λ, E) in $\Gamma(\Lambda^2 A) \oplus \Gamma(A) \cong \Gamma(\Lambda^2(A \oplus \mathbb{R}))$ is a Jacobi structure on a Jacobi algebroid $(A \oplus \mathbb{R}, (0, 1))$, i.e., it satisfies $[(\Lambda, E), (\Lambda, E)]_{A \oplus \mathbb{R}, (0, 1)} = 0$. When (Λ, E) is a Jacobi structure on $(TM \oplus \mathbb{R}, (0, 1))$, we call it a *Jacobi structure on M* and a triple (M, Λ, E) a *Jacobi manifold*. If π is a Poisson structure on A , then $(\pi, 0)$ is a Jacobi structure on $(A \oplus \mathbb{R}, (0, 1))$.

Let (A, ϕ_0) be a Jacobi algebroid over M . We set $\tilde{A} := A \times \mathbb{R}$. Then \tilde{A} is a vector bundle over $M \times \mathbb{R}$. The sections $\Gamma(\tilde{A})$ can be identified with the set of time-dependent sections of A . Here a time-dependent section on A means a section on A with a parameter t , where t is a coordinate of \mathbb{R} . Under this identification, we can define two Lie algebroid structures $([\cdot, \cdot]_A^{\hat{\phi}_0}, \hat{\rho}_A^{\phi_0})$ and $([\cdot, \cdot]_A^{\bar{\phi}_0}, \bar{\rho}_A^{\phi_0})$ on \tilde{A} , where for any \tilde{X} and \tilde{Y} in $\Gamma(\tilde{A})$,

$$(9) \quad [\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}]_A^{\hat{\phi}_0} := e^{-t} \left([\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}]_A + \langle \phi_0, \tilde{X} \rangle \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{Y}}{\partial t} - \tilde{Y} \right) - \langle \phi_0, \tilde{Y} \rangle \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{X}}{\partial t} - \tilde{X} \right) \right),$$

$$(10) \quad \hat{\rho}_A^{\phi_0}(\tilde{X}) := e^{-t} \left(\rho_A(\tilde{X}) + \langle \phi_0, \tilde{X} \rangle \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right),$$

$$(11) \quad [\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}]_A^{\bar{\phi}_0} := [\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}]_A + \langle \phi_0, \tilde{X} \rangle \frac{\partial \tilde{Y}}{\partial t} - \langle \phi_0, \tilde{Y} \rangle \frac{\partial \tilde{X}}{\partial t},$$

$$(12) \quad \bar{\rho}_A^{\phi_0}(\tilde{X}) := \rho_A(\tilde{X}) + \langle \phi_0, \tilde{X} \rangle \frac{\partial}{\partial t}.$$

Conversely, for a Lie algebroid A over M and a section ϕ_0 on A , if the triple $(\tilde{A}, [\cdot, \cdot]_A^{\hat{\phi}_0}, \hat{\rho}_A^{\phi_0})$ (resp. $(\tilde{A}, [\cdot, \cdot]_A^{\bar{\phi}_0}, \bar{\rho}_A^{\phi_0})$) defined by (9) and (10) (resp. (11) and (12)) is a Lie algebroid over $M \times \mathbb{R}$, then (A, ϕ_0) is a Jacobi algebroid over M , i.e., $d_A \phi_0 = 0$. A vector bundle \tilde{A} equipped with the Lie algebroid structure $([\cdot, \cdot]_A^{\hat{\phi}_0}, \hat{\rho}_A^{\phi_0})$ (resp. $([\cdot, \cdot]_A^{\bar{\phi}_0}, \bar{\rho}_A^{\phi_0})$) is denoted by $\tilde{A}_{\phi_0}^{\hat{\cdot}}$ (resp. $\tilde{A}_{\phi_0}^{\bar{\cdot}}$).

Let (A, ϕ_0) be a Jacobi algebroid over M , π a 2-section on A and set $\tilde{\pi} := e^{-t}\pi$ in $\Gamma(\Lambda^2 \tilde{A})$. Then the following holds:

$$[\tilde{\pi}, \tilde{\pi}]_A^{\bar{\phi}_0} = e^{-2t}[\pi, \pi]_{A, \phi_0}.$$

Therefore a 2-section π on A is a Jacobi structure on a Jacobi algebroid (A, ϕ_0) over M if and only if $\tilde{\pi}$ in $\Gamma(\Lambda^2 \tilde{A})$ is a Poisson structure on a Lie algebroid $\tilde{A}_{\phi_0}^{\bar{\cdot}}$ over $M \times \mathbb{R}$. The Poisson structure $\tilde{\pi}$ on $\tilde{A}_{\phi_0}^{\bar{\cdot}}$ is called *the Poissonization* of π .

In the case of $(A, \phi_0) = (TM \oplus \mathbb{R}, (0, 1))$, the Lie algebroid $\tilde{A}_{\phi_0}^{\bar{\cdot}}$ is isomorphic to the standard Lie algebroid $T(M \times \mathbb{R})$ over $M \times \mathbb{R}$. Then the Poissonization $(\tilde{\pi}, \tilde{A}_{\phi_0}^{\bar{\cdot}})$ of (π, A)

a Jacobi structure (Λ, E) on $(TM \oplus \mathbb{R}, (0, 1))$ corresponds to a Poisson structure $\Pi := e^{-t} \left(\Lambda + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \wedge E \right)$ on $T(M \times \mathbb{R})$. This is just the Poissonization of a Jacobi structure on M .

2 Jacobi-left-symmetric algebroids and Jacobi-Koszul-Vinberg structures

In this section, we define a Jacobi-left-symmetric algebroid and a Jacobi-Koszul-Vinberg structure on a Jacobi-left-symmetric algebroid. We show that a Jacobi-Koszul-Vinberg structure on a Jacobi-left-symmetric algebroid (A, ϕ_0) induces a Jacobi-left-symmetric algebroid structure on its dual bundle A^* . We also define the Koszul-Vinbergization of a Jacobi-Koszul-Vinberg structure as an analogy of the Poissonization of a Jacobi structure. Furthermore, we give the definition of a Jacobi-Koszul-Vinberg manifold, which is a symmetric analogue of a Jacobi manifold. Finally, we show that a Jacobi-Koszul-Vinberg manifold with non-degenerate $h \in \Gamma(S^2TM)$ is a locally conformally Hessian manifold defined in [7].

DEFINITION 2.1. A *Jacobi-left-symmetric algebroid* over M is a pair (A, ϕ_0) of a left-symmetric algebroid $A = (A, \cdot_A, \rho_A)$ over M and ϕ_0 in $\Gamma(A^*)$ satisfying that $\delta_A \phi_0$ is symmetric.

PROPOSITION 2.2. *Let (A, \cdot_A, ρ_A) be a left-symmetric algebroid and ϕ_0 an element in $\Gamma(A^*)$. Then $\delta_A \phi_0$ is symmetric if and only if $d_A \phi_0 = 0$, where d_A is the differential of the sub-adjacent Lie algebroid of (A, \cdot_A, ρ_A) . In particular, if $\delta_A \phi_0 = 0$, then $d_A \phi_0 = 0$.*

PROOF. Let $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, \rho_A)$ be the sub-adjacent Lie algebroid of (A, \cdot_A, ρ_A) . For any X and Y in $\Gamma(A)$,

$$\begin{aligned} (d_A \phi_0)(X, Y) &= \rho_A(X) \langle \phi_0, Y \rangle - \rho_A(Y) \langle \phi_0, X \rangle - \langle \phi_0, [X, Y]_A \rangle \\ &= \rho_A(X) \langle \phi_0, Y \rangle - \rho_A(Y) \langle \phi_0, X \rangle - \langle \phi_0, X \cdot_A Y - Y \cdot_A X \rangle \\ &= (\rho_A(X) \langle \phi_0, Y \rangle - \langle \phi_0, X \cdot_A Y \rangle) \\ &\quad - (\rho_A(Y) \langle \phi_0, X \rangle - \langle \phi_0, Y \cdot_A X \rangle) \\ &= (\delta_A \phi_0)(X, Y) - (\delta_A \phi_0)(Y, X). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the conclusion holds. \square

COROLLARY 2.3. *For a Jacobi-left-symmetric algebroid $((A, \cdot_A, \rho_A), \phi_0)$, a pair $((A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, \rho_A), \phi_0)$ is a Jacobi algebroid, where $[X, Y]_A = X \cdot_A Y - Y \cdot_A X$ for any X, Y in $\Gamma(A)$.*

We call $((A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, \rho_A), \phi_0)$ in Corollary 2.3 the *sub-adjacent Jacobi algebroid* of $((A, \cdot_A, \rho_A), \phi_0)$.

Let (A, ϕ_0) be a Jacobi-left-symmetric algebroid over M . For h in $\Gamma(S^2A)$, define $[[h, h]]_A^{\phi_0}$ in $\Gamma(\Lambda^2A \otimes A)$ by

$$[[h, h]]_A^{\phi_0}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) := [[h, h]]_A(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) + h(\phi_0, \alpha)h(\beta, \gamma) - h(\phi_0, \beta)h(\alpha, \gamma)$$

for any α, β and γ in $\Gamma(A^*)$. $[[\cdot, \cdot]]_A^{\phi_0}$ is an analogy of the ϕ_0 -twisted Schouten bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]_A^{\phi_0}$ on $\Gamma(\Lambda^*A)$ for a Jacobi algebroid $((A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, \rho_A), \phi_0)$.

DEFINITION 2.4. A *Jacobi-Koszul-Vinberg structure* h on a Jacobi-left-symmetric algebroid (A, ϕ_0) over M is a section of S^2A such that

$$[[h, h]]_A^{\phi_0} = 0.$$

THEOREM 2.5. Let $((A, \cdot_A, \rho_A), \phi_0)$ be a Jacobi-left-symmetric algebroid over M and h an element in $\Gamma(S^2A)$. Then:

- (1) $A_{h, \phi_0}^* := (A^*, \cdot^{h^\sharp, \phi_0}, \rho_A \circ h^\sharp)$ satisfies (3) and (4), where \cdot^{h^\sharp, ϕ_0} is defined by

$$\alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp, \phi_0} \beta := \mathcal{L}_{h^\sharp \alpha}^{A, \phi_0} \beta - R_{h^\sharp \beta} \alpha - d_A^{\phi_0}(h(\alpha, \beta)). \quad (\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma(A^*))$$

- (2) If h is a Jacobi-Koszul-Vinberg structure on $((A, \cdot_A, \rho_A), \phi_0)$, then A_{h, ϕ_0}^* is a left-symmetric algebroid over M . Furthermore, $(A_{h, \phi_0}^*, -h^\sharp \phi_0)$ is a Jacobi-left-symmetric algebroid over M .

PROOF. (1) By (8), for any α and β in $\Gamma(A^*)$, we have

$$\alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp, \phi_0} \beta = \alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp} \beta + \langle \phi_0, h^\sharp \alpha \rangle \beta - h(\alpha, \beta) \phi_0.$$

For any f in $C^\infty(M)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp, \phi_0} (f\beta) &= \alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp} (f\beta) + \langle \phi_0, h^\sharp \alpha \rangle f\beta - h(\alpha, f\beta) \phi_0 \\ &= f(\alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp} \beta) + (\rho_A(h^\sharp \alpha) f) \beta + f \langle \phi_0, h^\sharp \alpha \rangle \beta - fh(\alpha, \beta) \phi_0 \\ &= f(\alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp} \beta + \langle \phi_0, h^\sharp \alpha \rangle \beta - h(\alpha, \beta) \phi_0) + (\rho_A(h^\sharp \alpha) f) \beta \\ &= f \alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp, \phi_0} \beta + (\rho_A(h^\sharp \alpha) f) \beta, \\ (f\alpha) \cdot^{h^\sharp, \phi_0} \beta &= (f\alpha) \cdot^{h^\sharp} \beta + \langle \phi_0, h^\sharp f\alpha \rangle \beta - h(f\alpha, \beta) \phi_0 \\ &= f(\alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp} \beta) + f \langle \phi_0, h^\sharp \alpha \rangle \beta - fh(\alpha, \beta) \phi_0 \\ &= f(\alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp} \beta + \langle \phi_0, h^\sharp \alpha \rangle \beta - h(\alpha, \beta) \phi_0) \\ &= f(\alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp, \phi_0} \beta) \end{aligned}$$

hold. To show (2), we first prove that $[[h, h]_A^{\phi_0}(\alpha, \cdot, \beta) = h^\sharp(\alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp, \phi_0} \beta) - h^\sharp \alpha \cdot_A h^\sharp \beta$. In fact, by using (6),

$$\begin{aligned} [[h, h]_A^{\phi_0}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) &= [[h, h]_A(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) + h(\phi_0, \alpha)h(\beta, \gamma) - h(\phi_0, \beta)h(\alpha, \gamma) \\ &= \langle h^\sharp(\alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp} \gamma) - h^\sharp \alpha \cdot_A h^\sharp \gamma, \beta \rangle + \langle \phi_0, h^\sharp \alpha \rangle \langle h^\sharp \gamma, \beta \rangle - h(\alpha, \gamma) \langle h^\sharp \phi_0, \beta \rangle \\ &= \langle h^\sharp(\alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp} \gamma + \langle \phi_0, h^\sharp \alpha \rangle \gamma - h(\alpha, \gamma) \phi_0) - h^\sharp \alpha \cdot_A h^\sharp \gamma, \beta \rangle \\ &= \langle h^\sharp(\alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp, \phi_0} \gamma) - h^\sharp \alpha \cdot_A h^\sharp \gamma, \beta \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Then we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} &(\alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp, \phi_0} \beta) \cdot^{h^\sharp, \phi_0} \gamma \\ &= (\alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp} \beta + \langle \phi_0, h^\sharp \alpha \rangle \beta - h(\alpha, \beta) \phi_0) \cdot^{h^\sharp} \gamma \\ &\quad + \langle \phi_0, h^\sharp(\alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp, \phi_0} \beta) \rangle \gamma - h(\alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp, \phi_0} \beta, \gamma) \phi_0 \\ &= (\alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp} \beta) \cdot^{h^\sharp} \gamma + (\langle \phi_0, h^\sharp \alpha \rangle \beta) \cdot^{h^\sharp} \gamma - (h(\alpha, \beta) \phi_0) \cdot^{h^\sharp} \gamma \\ &\quad + ([[h, h]_A^{\phi_0}(\alpha, \phi_0, \beta) + \langle \phi_0, h^\sharp \alpha \cdot_A h^\sharp \beta \rangle) \gamma - \langle h^\sharp(\alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp, \phi_0} \beta), \gamma \rangle \phi_0 \\ &= (\alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp} \beta) \cdot^{h^\sharp} \gamma + h(\alpha, \phi_0)(\beta \cdot^{h^\sharp} \gamma) - h(\alpha, \beta)(\phi_0 \cdot^{h^\sharp} \gamma) \\ &\quad + [[h, h]_A^{\phi_0}(\alpha, \phi_0, \beta) \gamma + \langle \phi_0, h^\sharp \alpha \cdot_A h^\sharp \beta \rangle \gamma \\ &\quad - ([[h, h]_A^{\phi_0}(\alpha, \gamma, \beta) + \langle h^\sharp \alpha \cdot_A h^\sharp \beta, \gamma \rangle) \phi_0 \\ &= (\alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp} \beta) \cdot^{h^\sharp} \gamma + h(\alpha, \phi_0)(\beta \cdot^{h^\sharp} \gamma) - h(\alpha, \beta)(\phi_0 \cdot^{h^\sharp} \gamma) \\ &\quad + [[h, h]_A^{\phi_0}(\alpha, \phi_0, \beta) \gamma + \langle \phi_0, h^\sharp \alpha \cdot_A h^\sharp \beta \rangle \gamma \\ &\quad - [[h, h]_A^{\phi_0}(\alpha, \gamma, \beta) \phi_0 - \langle h^\sharp \alpha \cdot_A h^\sharp \beta, \gamma \rangle \phi_0, \\ &(\beta \cdot^{h^\sharp, \phi_0} \alpha) \cdot^{h^\sharp, \phi_0} \gamma \\ &= (\beta \cdot^{h^\sharp} \alpha) \cdot^{h^\sharp} \gamma + h(\beta, \phi_0)(\alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp} \gamma) - h(\beta, \alpha)(\phi_0 \cdot^{h^\sharp} \gamma) \\ &\quad + [[h, h]_A^{\phi_0}(\beta, \phi_0, \alpha) \gamma + \langle \phi_0, h^\sharp \beta \cdot_A h^\sharp \alpha \rangle \gamma \\ &\quad - [[h, h]_A^{\phi_0}(\beta, \gamma, \alpha) \phi_0 - \langle h^\sharp \beta \cdot_A h^\sharp \alpha, \gamma \rangle \phi_0, \\ &\alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp, \phi_0} (\beta \cdot^{h^\sharp, \phi_0} \gamma) \\ &= \alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp} (\beta \cdot^{h^\sharp} \gamma + \langle \phi_0, h^\sharp \beta \rangle \gamma - h(\beta, \gamma) \phi_0) \\ &\quad + \langle \phi_0, h^\sharp \alpha \rangle (\beta \cdot^{h^\sharp} \gamma + \langle \phi_0, h^\sharp \beta \rangle \gamma - h(\beta, \gamma) \phi_0) - h(\alpha, \beta \cdot^{h^\sharp, \phi_0} \gamma) \phi_0 \\ &= \alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp} (\beta \cdot^{h^\sharp} \gamma) + (\rho_A(h^\sharp \alpha) \langle \phi_0, h^\sharp \beta \rangle) \gamma + \langle \phi_0, h^\sharp \beta \rangle (\alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp} \gamma) \\ &\quad - (\rho_A(h^\sharp \alpha) (h(\beta, \gamma))) \phi_0 - h(\beta, \gamma) (\alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp} \phi_0) + \langle \phi_0, h^\sharp \alpha \rangle (\beta \cdot^{h^\sharp} \gamma) \\ &\quad + \langle \phi_0, h^\sharp \alpha \rangle \langle \phi_0, h^\sharp \beta \rangle \gamma - \langle \phi_0, h^\sharp \alpha \rangle h(\beta, \gamma) \phi_0 - \langle \alpha, h^\sharp (\beta \cdot^{h^\sharp} \gamma) \rangle \phi_0 \\ &= \alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp} (\beta \cdot^{h^\sharp} \gamma) + (\rho_A(h^\sharp \alpha) (h(\beta, \phi_0))) \gamma + h(\beta, \phi_0) (\alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp} \gamma) \\ &\quad - (\rho_A(h^\sharp \alpha) (h(\beta, \gamma))) \phi_0 - h(\beta, \gamma) (\alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp} \phi_0) \\ &\quad + h(\alpha, \phi_0) (\beta \cdot^{h^\sharp} \gamma) + h(\alpha, \phi_0) h(\beta, \phi_0) \gamma - h(\alpha, \phi_0) h(\beta, \gamma) \phi_0 \\ &\quad - ([[h, h]_A^{\phi_0}(\beta, \alpha, \gamma) + \langle \alpha, h^\sharp \beta \cdot_A h^\sharp \gamma \rangle) \phi_0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp} (\beta \cdot^{h^\sharp} \gamma) + (\rho_A(h^\sharp \alpha)(h(\beta, \phi_0)))\gamma + h(\beta, \phi_0)(\alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp} \gamma) \\
&\quad - (\rho_A(h^\sharp \alpha)(h(\beta, \gamma)))\phi_0 - h(\beta, \gamma)(\alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp} \phi_0) \\
&\quad + h(\alpha, \phi_0)(\beta \cdot^{h^\sharp} \gamma) + h(\alpha, \phi_0)h(\beta, \phi_0)\gamma - h(\alpha, \phi_0)h(\beta, \gamma)\phi_0 \\
&\quad - \llbracket h, h \rrbracket_A^{\phi_0}(\beta, \alpha, \gamma)\phi_0 - \langle \alpha, h^\sharp \beta \cdot_A h^\sharp \gamma \rangle \phi_0, \\
&\beta \cdot^{h^\sharp, \phi_0} (\alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp, \phi_0} \gamma) \\
&= \beta \cdot^{h^\sharp} (\alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp} \gamma) + (\rho_A(h^\sharp \beta)(h(\alpha, \phi_0)))\gamma + h(\alpha, \phi_0)(\beta \cdot^{h^\sharp} \gamma) \\
&\quad - (\rho_A(h^\sharp \beta)(h(\alpha, \gamma)))\phi_0 - h(\alpha, \gamma)(\beta \cdot^{h^\sharp} \phi_0) \\
&\quad + h(\beta, \phi_0)(\alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp} \gamma) + h(\beta, \phi_0)h(\alpha, \phi_0)\gamma - h(\beta, \phi_0)h(\alpha, \gamma)\phi_0 \\
&\quad - \llbracket h, h \rrbracket_A^{\phi_0}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)\phi_0 - \langle \beta, h^\sharp \alpha \cdot_A h^\sharp \gamma \rangle \phi_0.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp, \phi_0} \beta) \cdot^{h^\sharp, \phi_0} \gamma - \alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp, \phi_0} (\beta \cdot^{h^\sharp, \phi_0} \gamma) \\
&\quad - ((\beta \cdot^{h^\sharp, \phi_0} \alpha) \cdot^{h^\sharp, \phi_0} \gamma - \beta \cdot^{h^\sharp, \phi_0} (\alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp, \phi_0} \gamma)) \\
&= (\alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp} \beta) \cdot^{h^\sharp} \gamma - \alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp} (\beta \cdot^{h^\sharp} \gamma) - ((\beta \cdot^{h^\sharp} \alpha) \cdot^{h^\sharp} \gamma - \beta \cdot^{h^\sharp} (\alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp} \gamma)) \\
&\quad + h(\alpha, \phi_0)(\beta \cdot^{h^\sharp} \gamma) - h(\beta, \phi_0)(\alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp} \gamma) \\
&\quad + h(\beta, \gamma)(\alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp} \phi_0) - h(\alpha, \gamma)(\beta \cdot^{h^\sharp} \phi_0) \\
&\quad - \llbracket h, h \rrbracket_A^{\phi_0}(\alpha, \gamma, \beta)\phi_0 + \llbracket h, h \rrbracket_A^{\phi_0}(\beta, \gamma, \alpha)\phi_0 \\
&\quad + \llbracket h, h \rrbracket_A^{\phi_0}(\beta, \alpha, \gamma)\phi_0 - \llbracket h, h \rrbracket_A^{\phi_0}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)\phi_0 \\
&\quad + (\rho_A(h^\sharp \alpha)(h(\beta, \gamma)) - \rho_A(h^\sharp \beta)(h(\alpha, \gamma))) + \langle \alpha, h^\sharp \beta \cdot_A h^\sharp \gamma \rangle \\
&\quad - \langle \beta, h^\sharp \alpha \cdot_A h^\sharp \gamma \rangle - \langle \gamma, h^\sharp \alpha \cdot_A h^\sharp \beta - h^\sharp \beta \cdot_A h^\sharp \alpha \rangle \\
&\quad + h(\phi_0, \alpha)h(\beta, \gamma) - h(\phi_0, \beta)h(\gamma, \alpha))\phi_0 \\
&\quad + (\rho_A(h^\sharp \beta)\langle \phi_0, h^\sharp \alpha \rangle - \langle \phi_0, h^\sharp \beta \cdot_A h^\sharp \alpha \rangle)\gamma \\
&\quad - (\rho_A(h^\sharp \alpha)\langle \phi_0, h^\sharp \beta \rangle - \langle \phi_0, h^\sharp \alpha \cdot_A h^\sharp \beta \rangle)\gamma \\
&\quad + \llbracket h, h \rrbracket_A^{\phi_0}(\alpha, \phi_0, \beta)\gamma - \llbracket h, h \rrbracket_A^{\phi_0}(\beta, \phi_0, \alpha)\gamma \\
&= (\alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp} \beta) \cdot^{h^\sharp} \gamma - \alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp} (\beta \cdot^{h^\sharp} \gamma) - ((\beta \cdot^{h^\sharp} \alpha) \cdot^{h^\sharp} \gamma - \beta \cdot^{h^\sharp} (\alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp} \gamma)) \\
&\quad + h(\alpha, \phi_0)(\beta \cdot^{h^\sharp} \gamma) - h(\beta, \phi_0)(\alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp} \gamma) \\
&\quad + h(\beta, \gamma)(\alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp} \phi_0) - h(\alpha, \gamma)(\beta \cdot^{h^\sharp} \phi_0) \\
&\quad - \llbracket h, h \rrbracket_A^{\phi_0}(\alpha, \gamma, \beta)\phi_0 + \llbracket h, h \rrbracket_A^{\phi_0}(\beta, \gamma, \alpha)\phi_0 \\
&\quad + \llbracket h, h \rrbracket_A^{\phi_0}(\beta, \alpha, \gamma)\phi_0 - \llbracket h, h \rrbracket_A^{\phi_0}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)\phi_0 \\
&\quad + (\rho_A(h^\sharp \alpha)(h(\beta, \gamma)) - \rho_A(h^\sharp \beta)(h(\alpha, \gamma))) + \langle \alpha, h^\sharp \beta \cdot_A h^\sharp \gamma \rangle \\
&\quad - \langle \beta, h^\sharp \alpha \cdot_A h^\sharp \gamma \rangle - \langle \gamma, [h^\sharp \alpha, h^\sharp \beta]_A \rangle \\
&\quad + h(\phi_0, \alpha)h(\beta, \gamma) - h(\phi_0, \beta)h(\gamma, \alpha))\phi_0 \\
&\quad - (\delta_A \phi_0)(h^\sharp \alpha, h^\sharp \beta)\gamma + (\delta_A \phi_0)(h^\sharp \beta, h^\sharp \alpha)\gamma
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \llbracket h, h \rrbracket_A^{\phi_0}(\alpha, \phi_0, \beta)\gamma - \llbracket h, h \rrbracket_A^{\phi_0}(\beta, \phi_0, \alpha)\gamma \\
= & (\alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp} \beta) \cdot^{h^\sharp} \gamma - \alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp} (\beta \cdot^{h^\sharp} \gamma) - ((\beta \cdot^{h^\sharp} \alpha) \cdot^{h^\sharp} \gamma - \beta \cdot^{h^\sharp} (\alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp} \gamma)) \\
& + h(\alpha, \phi_0)(\beta \cdot^{h^\sharp} \gamma) - h(\beta, \phi_0)(\alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp} \gamma) \\
& + h(\beta, \gamma)(\alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp} \phi_0) - h(\alpha, \gamma)(\beta \cdot^{h^\sharp} \phi_0) \\
& - \llbracket h, h \rrbracket_A^{\phi_0}(\alpha, \gamma, \beta)\phi_0 + \llbracket h, h \rrbracket_A^{\phi_0}(\beta, \gamma, \alpha)\phi_0 \\
& + \llbracket h, h \rrbracket_A^{\phi_0}(\beta, \alpha, \gamma)\phi_0 - \llbracket h, h \rrbracket_A^{\phi_0}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)\phi_0 \\
& + \llbracket h, h \rrbracket_A^{\phi_0}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)\phi_0 - ((\delta_A \phi_0)(h^\sharp \alpha, h^\sharp \beta) - (\delta_A \phi_0)(h^\sharp \beta, h^\sharp \alpha))\gamma \\
& + \llbracket h, h \rrbracket_A^{\phi_0}(\alpha, \phi_0, \beta)\gamma - \llbracket h, h \rrbracket_A^{\phi_0}(\beta, \phi_0, \alpha)\gamma \\
= & (\alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp} \beta) \cdot^{h^\sharp} \gamma - \alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp} (\beta \cdot^{h^\sharp} \gamma) - ((\beta \cdot^{h^\sharp} \alpha) \cdot^{h^\sharp} \gamma - \beta \cdot^{h^\sharp} (\alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp} \gamma)) \\
& + h(\alpha, \phi_0)(\beta \cdot^{h^\sharp} \gamma) - h(\beta, \phi_0)(\alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp} \gamma) \\
& + h(\beta, \gamma)(\alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp} \phi_0) - h(\alpha, \gamma)(\beta \cdot^{h^\sharp} \phi_0) \\
& - \llbracket h, h \rrbracket_A^{\phi_0}(\alpha, \gamma, \beta)\phi_0 + \llbracket h, h \rrbracket_A^{\phi_0}(\beta, \gamma, \alpha)\phi_0 \\
& + \llbracket h, h \rrbracket_A^{\phi_0}(\beta, \alpha, \gamma)\phi_0 - ((\delta_A \phi_0)(h^\sharp \alpha, h^\sharp \beta) - (\delta_A \phi_0)(h^\sharp \beta, h^\sharp \alpha))\gamma \\
& + \llbracket h, h \rrbracket_A^{\phi_0}(\alpha, \phi_0, \beta)\gamma - \llbracket h, h \rrbracket_A^{\phi_0}(\beta, \phi_0, \alpha)\gamma.
\end{aligned}$$

By using that $\llbracket h, h \rrbracket_A^{\phi_0} = 0$ and symmetry of $\delta_A \phi_0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp, \phi_0} \beta) \cdot^{h^\sharp, \phi_0} \gamma - \alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp, \phi_0} (\beta \cdot^{h^\sharp, \phi_0} \gamma) \\
& - ((\beta \cdot^{h^\sharp, \phi_0} \alpha) \cdot^{h^\sharp, \phi_0} \gamma - \beta \cdot^{h^\sharp, \phi_0} (\alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp, \phi_0} \gamma)) \\
= & (\alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp} \beta) \cdot^{h^\sharp} \gamma - \alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp} (\beta \cdot^{h^\sharp} \gamma) - ((\beta \cdot^{h^\sharp} \alpha) \cdot^{h^\sharp} \gamma - \beta \cdot^{h^\sharp} (\alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp} \gamma)) \\
& + h(\alpha, \phi_0)(\beta \cdot^{h^\sharp} \gamma) - h(\beta, \phi_0)(\alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp} \gamma) \\
& + h(\beta, \gamma)(\alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp} \phi_0) - h(\alpha, \gamma)(\beta \cdot^{h^\sharp} \phi_0).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, for any X in $\Gamma(A)$, it follows from (7) and the definition of $\llbracket h, h \rrbracket_A^{\phi_0}$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle (\alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp, \phi_0} \beta) \cdot^{h^\sharp, \phi_0} \gamma - \alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp, \phi_0} (\beta \cdot^{h^\sharp, \phi_0} \gamma) \\
& - ((\beta \cdot^{h^\sharp, \phi_0} \alpha) \cdot^{h^\sharp, \phi_0} \gamma - \beta \cdot^{h^\sharp, \phi_0} (\alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp, \phi_0} \gamma)), X \rangle \\
= & \langle (\alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp} \beta) \cdot^{h^\sharp} \gamma - \alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp} (\beta \cdot^{h^\sharp} \gamma) - ((\beta \cdot^{h^\sharp} \alpha) \cdot^{h^\sharp} \gamma - \beta \cdot^{h^\sharp} (\alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp} \gamma)), X \rangle \\
& + h(\alpha, \phi_0)\langle \beta \cdot^{h^\sharp} \gamma, X \rangle - h(\beta, \phi_0)\langle \alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp} \gamma, X \rangle \\
& + h(\beta, \gamma)\langle \alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp} \phi_0, X \rangle - h(\alpha, \gamma)\langle \beta \cdot^{h^\sharp} \phi_0, X \rangle \\
= & \langle \mathcal{L}_{\llbracket h, h \rrbracket_A(\alpha, \cdot, \beta) - \llbracket h, h \rrbracket_A(\beta, \cdot, \alpha)}^A \gamma, X \rangle \\
& + \llbracket h, h \rrbracket_A(\beta, L_X \alpha, \gamma) - \llbracket h, h \rrbracket_A(\alpha, L_X \beta, \gamma) \\
& + h(\alpha, \phi_0)\langle \mathcal{L}_{h^\sharp \beta}^A \gamma - R_{h^\sharp \gamma} \beta - d_A(h(\beta, \gamma)), X \rangle \\
& - h(\beta, \phi_0)\langle \mathcal{L}_{h^\sharp \alpha}^A \gamma - R_{h^\sharp \gamma} \alpha - d_A(h(\alpha, \gamma)), X \rangle \\
& + h(\beta, \gamma)\langle \mathcal{L}_{h^\sharp \alpha}^A \phi_0 - R_{h^\sharp \phi_0} \alpha - d_A(h(\alpha, \phi_0)), X \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - h(\alpha, \gamma) \langle \mathcal{L}_{h^\sharp \beta}^A \phi_0 - R_{h^\sharp \phi_0} \beta - d_A(h(\beta, \phi_0)), X \rangle \\
= & \langle \mathcal{L}_{[[h, h]]_A(\alpha, \cdot, \beta)}^A \gamma, X \rangle - \langle \mathcal{L}_{[[h, h]]_A(\beta, \cdot, \alpha)}^A \gamma, X \rangle \\
& + [[h, h]]_A(\beta, L_X \alpha, \gamma) - [[h, h]]_A(\alpha, L_X \beta, \gamma) \\
& + h(\alpha, \phi_0) (\rho_A(h^\sharp \beta) \langle \gamma, X \rangle - \langle \gamma, \mathcal{L}_{h^\sharp \beta}^A X \rangle \\
& \quad + \langle \beta, X \cdot_A h^\sharp \gamma \rangle - \rho_A(X)(h(\beta, \gamma))) \\
& - h(\beta, \phi_0) (\rho_A(h^\sharp \alpha) \langle \gamma, X \rangle - \langle \gamma, \mathcal{L}_{h^\sharp \alpha}^A X \rangle \\
& \quad + \langle \alpha, X \cdot_A h^\sharp \gamma \rangle - \rho_A(X)(h(\alpha, \gamma))) \\
& + h(\beta, \gamma) (\rho_A(h^\sharp \alpha) \langle \phi_0, X \rangle - \langle \phi_0, \mathcal{L}_{h^\sharp \alpha}^A X \rangle \\
& \quad + \langle \alpha, X \cdot_A h^\sharp \phi_0 \rangle - \rho_A(X)(h(\alpha, \phi_0))) \\
& - h(\alpha, \gamma) (\rho_A(h^\sharp \beta) \langle \phi_0, X \rangle - \langle \phi_0, \mathcal{L}_{h^\sharp \beta}^A X \rangle \\
& \quad + \langle \beta, X \cdot_A h^\sharp \phi_0 \rangle - \rho_A(X)(h(\beta, \phi_0))) \\
= & \langle \mathcal{L}_{[[h, h]]_A(\alpha, \cdot, \beta)}^A \gamma, X \rangle - \langle \mathcal{L}_{[[h, h]]_A(\beta, \cdot, \alpha)}^A \gamma, X \rangle \\
& + [[h, h]]_A(\beta, L_X \alpha, \gamma) - [[h, h]]_A(\alpha, L_X \beta, \gamma) \\
& + \rho_A(h(\alpha, \phi_0) h^\sharp \beta) \langle \gamma, X \rangle - h(\alpha, \phi_0) \langle \gamma, [h^\sharp \beta, X]_A \rangle \\
& \quad + h(\alpha, \phi_0) (\langle \beta, X \cdot_A h^\sharp \gamma \rangle - \rho_A(X) \langle h^\sharp \beta, \gamma \rangle) \\
& - \rho_A(h(\beta, \phi_0) h^\sharp \alpha) \langle \gamma, X \rangle + h(\beta, \phi_0) \langle \gamma, [h^\sharp \alpha, X]_A \rangle \\
& \quad - h(\beta, \phi_0) (\langle \alpha, X \cdot_A h^\sharp \gamma \rangle - \rho_A(X) \langle h^\sharp \alpha, \gamma \rangle) \\
& + h(\beta, \gamma) (\rho_A(h^\sharp \alpha) \langle \phi_0, X \rangle - \langle \phi_0, [h^\sharp \alpha, X]_A \rangle) \\
& \quad + h(\beta, \gamma) (\langle \alpha, X \cdot_A h^\sharp \phi_0 \rangle - \rho_A(X) \langle \alpha, h^\sharp \phi_0 \rangle) \\
& - h(\alpha, \gamma) (\rho_A(h^\sharp \beta) \langle \phi_0, X \rangle - \langle \phi_0, [h^\sharp \beta, X]_A \rangle) \\
& \quad - h(\alpha, \gamma) (\langle \beta, X \cdot_A h^\sharp \phi_0 \rangle - \rho_A(X) \langle \beta, h^\sharp \phi_0 \rangle) \\
= & \langle \mathcal{L}_{[[h, h]]_A(\alpha, \cdot, \beta)}^A \gamma, X \rangle - \langle \mathcal{L}_{[[h, h]]_A(\beta, \cdot, \alpha)}^A \gamma, X \rangle \\
& + [[h, h]]_A(\beta, L_X \alpha, \gamma) - [[h, h]]_A(\alpha, L_X \beta, \gamma) \\
& + \rho_A(h(\alpha, \phi_0) h^\sharp \beta) \langle \gamma, X \rangle - \langle \gamma, h(\alpha, \phi_0) [h^\sharp \beta, X]_A \rangle \\
& \quad - h(\alpha, \phi_0) \langle L_X \beta, h^\sharp \gamma \rangle \\
& - \rho_A(h(\beta, \phi_0) h^\sharp \alpha) \langle \gamma, X \rangle + \langle \gamma, h(\beta, \phi_0) [h^\sharp \alpha, X]_A \rangle \\
& \quad + h(\beta, \phi_0) \langle L_X \alpha, h^\sharp \gamma \rangle \\
& + h(\beta, \gamma) ((d_A \phi_0)(h^\sharp \alpha, X) + \rho_A(X) \langle \phi_0, h^\sharp \alpha \rangle) - h(\beta, \gamma) \langle L_X \alpha, h^\sharp \phi_0 \rangle \\
& - h(\alpha, \gamma) ((d_A \phi_0)(h^\sharp \beta, X) + \rho_A(X) \langle \phi_0, h^\sharp \beta \rangle) + h(\alpha, \gamma) \langle L_X \beta, h^\sharp \phi_0 \rangle \\
= & \langle \mathcal{L}_{[[h, h]]_A(\alpha, \cdot, \beta)}^A \gamma, X \rangle - \langle \mathcal{L}_{[[h, h]]_A(\beta, \cdot, \alpha)}^A \gamma, X \rangle \\
& + ([[h, h]]_A(\beta, L_X \alpha, \gamma) + h(\phi_0, \beta) h(L_X \alpha, \gamma) - h(\phi_0, L_X \alpha) h(\beta, \gamma)) \\
& - ([[h, h]]_A(\alpha, L_X \beta, \gamma) + h(\phi_0, \alpha) h(L_X \beta, \gamma) - h(\phi_0, L_X \beta) h(\alpha, \gamma))
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \rho_A(h(\alpha, \phi_0)h^\sharp\beta)\langle\gamma, X\rangle - \langle\gamma, h(\alpha, \phi_0)[h^\sharp\beta, X]_A\rangle \\
& - \rho_A(h(\beta, \phi_0)h^\sharp\alpha)\langle\gamma, X\rangle + \langle\gamma, h(\beta, \phi_0)[h^\sharp\alpha, X]_A\rangle \\
& + h(\beta, \gamma)(d_A\phi_0)(h^\sharp\alpha, X) + \langle(\rho_A(X)(h(\alpha, \phi_0)))h^\sharp\beta, \gamma\rangle \\
& - h(\alpha, \gamma)(d_A\phi_0)(h^\sharp\beta, X) + \langle(\rho_A(X)(h(\beta, \phi_0)))h^\sharp\alpha, \gamma\rangle \\
= & \langle\mathcal{L}_{[[h, h]]_A(\alpha, \cdot, \beta)}^A\gamma, X\rangle - \langle\mathcal{L}_{[[h, h]]_A(\beta, \cdot, \alpha)}^A\gamma, X\rangle \\
& + [[h, h]]_A^{\phi_0}(\beta, L_X\alpha, \gamma) - [[h, h]]_A^{\phi_0}(\alpha, L_X\beta, \gamma) \\
& + \rho_A(h(\alpha, \phi_0)h^\sharp\beta)\langle\gamma, X\rangle \\
& \quad - \langle\gamma, h(\alpha, \phi_0)[h^\sharp\beta, X]_A - (\rho_A(X)(h(\alpha, \phi_0)))h^\sharp\beta\rangle \\
& - (\rho_A(h(\beta, \phi_0)h^\sharp\alpha)\langle\gamma, X\rangle \\
& \quad - \langle\gamma, h(\beta, \phi_0)[h^\sharp\alpha, X]_A - (\rho_A(X)(h(\beta, \phi_0)))h^\sharp\alpha\rangle) \\
& + h(\beta, \gamma)(d_A\phi_0)(h^\sharp\alpha, X) - h(\alpha, \gamma)(d_A\phi_0)(h^\sharp\beta, X) \\
= & \langle\mathcal{L}_{[[h, h]]_A(\alpha, \cdot, \beta)}^A\gamma, X\rangle - \langle\mathcal{L}_{[[h, h]]_A(\beta, \cdot, \alpha)}^A\gamma, X\rangle \\
& + [[h, h]]_A^{\phi_0}(\beta, L_X\alpha, \gamma) - [[h, h]]_A^{\phi_0}(\alpha, L_X\beta, \gamma) \\
& + \rho_A(h(\alpha, \phi_0)h^\sharp\beta)\langle\gamma, X\rangle - \langle\gamma, [h(\alpha, \phi_0)h^\sharp\beta, X]_A\rangle \\
& - (\rho_A(h(\beta, \phi_0)h^\sharp\alpha)\langle\gamma, X\rangle - \langle\gamma, [h(\beta, \phi_0)h^\sharp\alpha, X]_A\rangle) \\
& + h(\beta, \gamma)(d_A\phi_0)(h^\sharp\alpha, X) - h(\alpha, \gamma)(d_A\phi_0)(h^\sharp\beta, X) \\
= & \langle\mathcal{L}_{[[h, h]]_A(\alpha, \cdot, \beta)}^A\gamma, X\rangle + \rho_A(h(\alpha, \phi_0)h^\sharp\beta)\langle\gamma, X\rangle - \langle\gamma, \mathcal{L}_{h(\alpha, \phi_0)h^\sharp\beta}^AX\rangle \\
& - (\langle\mathcal{L}_{[[h, h]]_A(\beta, \cdot, \alpha)}^A\gamma, X\rangle + \rho_A(h(\beta, \phi_0)h^\sharp\alpha)\langle\gamma, X\rangle - \langle\gamma, \mathcal{L}_{h(\beta, \phi_0)h^\sharp\alpha}^AX\rangle) \\
& + [[h, h]]_A^{\phi_0}(\beta, L_X\alpha, \gamma) - [[h, h]]_A^{\phi_0}(\alpha, L_X\beta, \gamma) \\
& + h(\beta, \gamma)(d_A\phi_0)(h^\sharp\alpha, X) - h(\alpha, \gamma)(d_A\phi_0)(h^\sharp\beta, X) \\
= & \langle\mathcal{L}_{[[h, h]]_A(\alpha, \cdot, \beta)}^A\gamma, X\rangle + \langle\mathcal{L}_{h(\alpha, \phi_0)h^\sharp\beta}^A\gamma, X\rangle - \langle\mathcal{L}_{h(\beta, \alpha)h^\sharp\phi_0}^A\gamma, X\rangle \\
& - (\langle\mathcal{L}_{[[h, h]]_A(\beta, \cdot, \alpha)}^A\gamma, X\rangle + \langle\mathcal{L}_{h(\beta, \phi_0)h^\sharp\alpha}^A\gamma, X\rangle - \langle\mathcal{L}_{h(\alpha, \beta)h^\sharp\phi_0}^A\gamma, X\rangle) \\
& + [[h, h]]_A^{\phi_0}(\beta, L_X\alpha, \gamma) - [[h, h]]_A^{\phi_0}(\alpha, L_X\beta, \gamma) \\
& + h(\beta, \gamma)(d_A\phi_0)(h^\sharp\alpha, X) - h(\alpha, \gamma)(d_A\phi_0)(h^\sharp\beta, X) \\
= & \langle\mathcal{L}_{[[h, h]]_A(\alpha, \cdot, \beta)+h(\alpha, \phi_0)h^\sharp\beta-h(\beta, \alpha)h^\sharp\phi_0}^A\gamma, X\rangle \\
& - \langle\mathcal{L}_{[[h, h]]_A(\beta, \cdot, \alpha)+h(\beta, \phi_0)h^\sharp\alpha-h(\alpha, \beta)h^\sharp\phi_0}^A\gamma, X\rangle \\
& + [[h, h]]_A^{\phi_0}(\beta, L_X\alpha, \gamma) - [[h, h]]_A^{\phi_0}(\alpha, L_X\beta, \gamma) \\
& + h(\beta, \gamma)(d_A\phi_0)(h^\sharp\alpha, X) - h(\alpha, \gamma)(d_A\phi_0)(h^\sharp\beta, X) \\
= & \left\langle\mathcal{L}_{[[h, h]]_A^{\phi_0}(\alpha, \cdot, \beta)}^A\gamma, X\right\rangle - \left\langle\mathcal{L}_{[[h, h]]_A^{\phi_0}(\beta, \cdot, \alpha)}^A\gamma, X\right\rangle \\
& + [[h, h]]_A^{\phi_0}(\beta, L_X\alpha, \gamma) - [[h, h]]_A^{\phi_0}(\alpha, L_X\beta, \gamma) \\
& + h(\beta, \gamma)(d_A\phi_0)(h^\sharp\alpha, X) - h(\alpha, \gamma)(d_A\phi_0)(h^\sharp\beta, X).
\end{aligned}$$

By using that $\llbracket h, h \rrbracket_A^{\phi_0} = 0$ and the d_A -closedness of ϕ_0 , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle (\alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp, \phi_0} \beta) \cdot^{h^\sharp, \phi_0} \gamma - \alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp, \phi_0} (\beta \cdot^{h^\sharp, \phi_0} \gamma) \\ & \quad - ((\beta \cdot^{h^\sharp, \phi_0} \alpha) \cdot^{h^\sharp, \phi_0} \gamma - \beta \cdot^{h^\sharp, \phi_0} (\alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp, \phi_0} \gamma)), X \rangle = 0, \\ & \alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp, \phi_0} \beta \cdot^{h^\sharp, \phi_0} \gamma - \alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp, \phi_0} (\beta \cdot^{h^\sharp, \phi_0} \gamma) \\ & \quad - ((\beta \cdot^{h^\sharp, \phi_0} \alpha) \cdot^{h^\sharp, \phi_0} \gamma - \beta \cdot^{h^\sharp, \phi_0} (\alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp, \phi_0} \gamma)) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore A_{h, ϕ_0}^* is a left-symmetric algebroid over M . Finally, we prove symmetry of $\delta_{h, \phi_0}(-h^\sharp \phi_0)$, where δ_{h, ϕ_0} is the coboundary operator of the left-symmetric algebroid A_{h, ϕ_0}^* . It follows that, for any α, β in $\Gamma(A^*)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & (\delta_{h, \phi_0}(-h^\sharp \phi_0))(\alpha, \beta) \\ & \quad = \rho_A(h^\sharp \alpha) \langle -h^\sharp \phi_0, \beta \rangle - \langle -h^\sharp \phi_0, \alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp, \phi_0} \beta \rangle \\ & \quad = -\rho_A(h^\sharp \alpha) \langle \phi_0, h^\sharp \beta \rangle + \langle \phi_0, h^\sharp (\alpha \cdot^{h^\sharp, \phi_0} \beta) \rangle \\ & \quad = -(\rho_A(h^\sharp \alpha) \langle \phi_0, h^\sharp \beta \rangle - (\llbracket h, h \rrbracket_A^{\phi_0}(h^\sharp \alpha, \phi_0, h^\sharp \beta) + \langle \phi_0, h^\sharp \alpha \cdot_A h^\sharp \beta \rangle)) \\ & \quad = -(\rho_A(h^\sharp \alpha) \langle \phi_0, h^\sharp \beta \rangle - \langle \phi_0, h^\sharp \alpha \cdot_A h^\sharp \beta \rangle) - \llbracket h, h \rrbracket_A^{\phi_0}(h^\sharp \alpha, \phi_0, h^\sharp \beta) \\ & \quad = -(\delta_A \phi_0)(h^\sharp \alpha, h^\sharp \beta) - \llbracket h, h \rrbracket_A^{\phi_0}(h^\sharp \alpha, \phi_0, h^\sharp \beta), \\ & (\delta_{h, \phi_0}(-h^\sharp \phi_0))(\beta, \alpha) = -(\delta_A \phi_0)(h^\sharp \beta, h^\sharp \alpha) - \llbracket h, h \rrbracket_A^{\phi_0}(h^\sharp \beta, \phi_0, h^\sharp \alpha). \end{aligned}$$

By using that $\llbracket h, h \rrbracket_A^{\phi_0} = 0$ and symmetry of $\delta_A \phi_0$, we obtain

$$(\delta_{h, \phi_0}(-h^\sharp \phi_0))(\alpha, \beta) = (\delta_{h, \phi_0}(-h^\sharp \phi_0))(\beta, \alpha).$$

Therefore $(A_{h, \phi_0}^*, -h^\sharp \phi_0)$ is a Jacobi-left-symmetric algebroid over M . \square

PROPOSITION 2.6. *Let (A, ϕ_0) be a Jacobi-left-symmetric algebroid over M . Then $(\tilde{A}, \overset{\cdot}{\hat{\rho}}_A^{\phi_0})$ and $(\tilde{A}, \overset{-}{\hat{\rho}}_A^{\phi_0})$ are left-symmetric algebroids over $M \times \mathbb{R}$, where $\overset{\cdot}{\hat{\rho}}_A^{\phi_0}$ and $\overset{-}{\hat{\rho}}_A^{\phi_0}$ are defined by, for any \tilde{X}, \tilde{Y} in $\Gamma(\tilde{A})$,*

$$(13) \quad \tilde{X} \overset{\cdot}{\hat{\rho}}_A^{\phi_0} \tilde{Y} := e^{-t} \left(\tilde{X} \cdot_A \tilde{Y} + \langle \phi_0, \tilde{X} \rangle \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{Y}}{\partial t} - \tilde{Y} \right) \right),$$

$$(14) \quad \tilde{X} \overset{-}{\hat{\rho}}_A^{\phi_0} \tilde{Y} := \tilde{X} \cdot_A \tilde{Y} + \phi_0(\tilde{X}) \frac{\partial \tilde{Y}}{\partial t},$$

and $\overset{\cdot}{\hat{\rho}}_A^{\phi_0}$ and $\overset{-}{\hat{\rho}}_A^{\phi_0}$ are defined by (10) and (12) respectively. Conversely, for a left-symmetric algebroid A over M and ϕ_0 in $\Gamma(A^*)$, if the triple $(\tilde{A}, \overset{\cdot}{\hat{\rho}}_A^{\phi_0})$ (resp. $(\tilde{A}, \overset{-}{\hat{\rho}}_A^{\phi_0})$) defined by (13) and (10) (resp. (14) and (12)) is a left-symmetric algebroid over $M \times \mathbb{R}$, then (A, ϕ_0) is a Jacobi-left-symmetric algebroid over M , i.e., $\delta_A \phi_0$ is symmetric. Furthermore the sub-adjacent Lie algebroid of $(\tilde{A}, \overset{\cdot}{\hat{\rho}}_A^{\phi_0})$ (resp. $(\tilde{A}, \overset{-}{\hat{\rho}}_A^{\phi_0})$) is $\tilde{A}_{\phi_0}^\wedge$ (resp. $\tilde{A}_{\phi_0}^-$) in Subsection 1.3.

PROOF. For any \tilde{X}, \tilde{Y} in $\Gamma(\tilde{A})$ and \tilde{f} in $C^\infty(M \times \mathbb{R})$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{X} \cdot_A^{\phi_0} (f\tilde{Y}) &= \tilde{X} \cdot_A (f\tilde{Y}) + \phi_0(\tilde{X}) \frac{\partial f\tilde{Y}}{\partial t} \\
 &= \tilde{f}(\tilde{X} \cdot_A \tilde{Y}) + (\rho_A(\tilde{X})\tilde{f})\tilde{Y} + \phi_0(\tilde{X}) \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial t} \tilde{Y} + \tilde{f} \frac{\partial \tilde{Y}}{\partial t} \right) \\
 &= \tilde{f} \left(\tilde{X} \cdot_A \tilde{Y} + \phi_0(\tilde{X}) \frac{\partial \tilde{Y}}{\partial t} \right) + \left(\rho_A(\tilde{X})\tilde{f} + \phi_0(\tilde{X}) \frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial t} \right) \tilde{Y} \\
 &= \tilde{f}(\tilde{X} \cdot_A^{\phi_0} \tilde{Y}) + (\tilde{\rho}_A^{\phi_0}(\tilde{X})\tilde{f})\tilde{Y}, \\
 (f\tilde{X}) \cdot_A^{\phi_0} \tilde{Y} &= (f\tilde{X}) \cdot_A \tilde{Y} + \phi_0(f\tilde{X}) \frac{\partial \tilde{Y}}{\partial t} \\
 &= \tilde{f}(\tilde{X} \cdot_A \tilde{Y}) + \tilde{f}\phi_0(\tilde{X}) \frac{\partial \tilde{Y}}{\partial t} \\
 &= \tilde{f} \left(\tilde{X} \cdot_A \tilde{Y} + \phi_0(\tilde{X}) \frac{\partial \tilde{Y}}{\partial t} \right) \\
 &= \tilde{f} \left(\tilde{X} \cdot_A^{\phi_0} \tilde{Y} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

For any \tilde{X}, \tilde{Y} and \tilde{Z} in $\Gamma(\tilde{A})$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{X} \cdot_A^{\phi_0} (\tilde{Y} \cdot_A^{\phi_0} \tilde{Z}) &= \tilde{X} \cdot_A^{\phi_0} \left(\tilde{Y} \cdot_A \tilde{Z} + \phi_0(\tilde{Y}) \frac{\partial \tilde{Z}}{\partial t} \right) \\
 &= \tilde{X} \cdot_A \left(\tilde{Y} \cdot_A \tilde{Z} + \phi_0(\tilde{Y}) \frac{\partial \tilde{Z}}{\partial t} \right) \\
 &\quad + \phi_0(\tilde{X}) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\tilde{Y} \cdot_A \tilde{Z} + \phi_0(\tilde{Y}) \frac{\partial \tilde{Z}}{\partial t} \right) \\
 &= \tilde{X} \cdot_A (\tilde{Y} \cdot_A \tilde{Z}) + \tilde{X} \cdot_A \left(\phi_0(\tilde{Y}) \frac{\partial \tilde{Z}}{\partial t} \right) \\
 &\quad + \phi_0(\tilde{X}) \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{Y}}{\partial t} \cdot_A \tilde{Z} + \tilde{Y} \cdot_A \frac{\partial \tilde{Z}}{\partial t} \right) \\
 &\quad + \phi_0(\tilde{X}) \left(\phi_0 \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{Y}}{\partial t} \right) \frac{\partial \tilde{Z}}{\partial t} + \phi_0(\tilde{Y}) \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{Z}}{\partial t^2} \right) \\
 &= \tilde{X} \cdot_A (\tilde{Y} \cdot_A \tilde{Z}) + \phi_0(\tilde{Y}) \left(\tilde{X} \cdot_A \frac{\partial \tilde{Z}}{\partial t} \right) + \rho_A(\tilde{X})(\phi_0(\tilde{Y})) \frac{\partial \tilde{Z}}{\partial t} \\
 &\quad + \phi_0(\tilde{X}) \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{Y}}{\partial t} \cdot_A \tilde{Z} \right) + \phi_0(\tilde{X}) \left(\tilde{Y} \cdot_A \frac{\partial \tilde{Z}}{\partial t} \right) \\
 &\quad + \phi_0(\tilde{X}) \phi_0 \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{Y}}{\partial t} \right) \frac{\partial \tilde{Z}}{\partial t} + \phi_0(\tilde{X}) \phi_0(\tilde{Y}) \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{Z}}{\partial t^2},
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{Y} \cdot_A^{\phi_0} (\tilde{X} \cdot_A^{\phi_0} \tilde{Z}) &= \tilde{Y} \cdot_A (\tilde{X} \cdot_A \tilde{Z}) + \phi_0(\tilde{X}) \left(\tilde{Y} \cdot_A \frac{\partial \tilde{Z}}{\partial t} \right) + \rho_A(\tilde{Y})(\phi_0(\tilde{X})) \frac{\partial \tilde{Z}}{\partial t} \\
 &\quad + \phi_0(\tilde{Y}) \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{X}}{\partial t} \cdot_A \tilde{Z} \right) + \phi_0(\tilde{Y}) \left(\tilde{X} \cdot_A \frac{\partial \tilde{Z}}{\partial t} \right) \\
 &\quad + \phi_0(\tilde{Y}) \phi_0 \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{X}}{\partial t} \right) \frac{\partial \tilde{Z}}{\partial t} + \phi_0(\tilde{Y}) \phi_0(\tilde{X}) \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{Z}}{\partial t^2}, \\
 (\tilde{X} \cdot_A^{\phi_0} \tilde{Y}) \cdot_A^{\phi_0} \tilde{Z} &= \left(\tilde{X} \cdot_A \tilde{Y} + \phi_0(\tilde{X}) \frac{\partial \tilde{Y}}{\partial t} \right) \cdot_A^{\phi_0} \tilde{Z} \\
 &= \left(\tilde{X} \cdot_A \tilde{Y} + \phi_0(\tilde{X}) \frac{\partial \tilde{Y}}{\partial t} \right) \cdot_A \tilde{Z} \\
 &\quad + \phi_0 \left(\tilde{X} \cdot_A \tilde{Y} + \phi_0(\tilde{X}) \frac{\partial \tilde{Y}}{\partial t} \right) \frac{\partial \tilde{Z}}{\partial t} \\
 &= (\tilde{X} \cdot_A \tilde{Y}) \cdot_A \tilde{Z} + \left(\phi_0(\tilde{X}) \frac{\partial \tilde{Y}}{\partial t} \right) \cdot_A \tilde{Z} \\
 &\quad + \phi_0(\tilde{X} \cdot_A \tilde{Y}) \frac{\partial \tilde{Z}}{\partial t} + \phi_0(\tilde{X}) \phi_0 \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{Y}}{\partial t} \right) \frac{\partial \tilde{Z}}{\partial t} \\
 &= (\tilde{X} \cdot_A \tilde{Y}) \cdot_A \tilde{Z} + \phi_0(\tilde{X}) \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{Y}}{\partial t} \cdot_A \tilde{Z} \right) \\
 &\quad + \phi_0(\tilde{X} \cdot_A \tilde{Y}) \frac{\partial \tilde{Z}}{\partial t} + \phi_0(\tilde{X}) \phi_0 \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{Y}}{\partial t} \right) \frac{\partial \tilde{Z}}{\partial t}, \\
 (\tilde{Y} \cdot_A^{\phi_0} \tilde{X}) \cdot_A^{\phi_0} \tilde{Z} &= (\tilde{Y} \cdot_A \tilde{X}) \cdot_A \tilde{Z} + \phi_0(\tilde{Y}) \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{X}}{\partial t} \cdot_A \tilde{Z} \right) \\
 &\quad + \phi_0(\tilde{Y} \cdot_A \tilde{X}) \frac{\partial \tilde{Z}}{\partial t} + \phi_0(\tilde{Y}) \phi_0 \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{X}}{\partial t} \right) \frac{\partial \tilde{Z}}{\partial t}. \\
 \therefore \tilde{X} \cdot_A^{\phi_0} (\tilde{Y} \cdot_A^{\phi_0} \tilde{Z}) - \tilde{Y} \cdot_A^{\phi_0} (\tilde{X} \cdot_A^{\phi_0} \tilde{Z}) - (\tilde{X} \cdot_A^{\phi_0} \tilde{Y}) \cdot_A^{\phi_0} \tilde{Z} + (\tilde{Y} \cdot_A^{\phi_0} \tilde{X}) \cdot_A^{\phi_0} \tilde{Z} \\
 &= \tilde{X} \cdot_A (\tilde{Y} \cdot_A \tilde{Z}) - \tilde{Y} \cdot_A (\tilde{X} \cdot_A \tilde{Z}) - (\tilde{X} \cdot_A \tilde{Y}) \cdot_A \tilde{Z} + (\tilde{Y} \cdot_A \tilde{X}) \cdot_A \tilde{Z} \\
 &\quad + (\rho_A(\tilde{X})(\phi_0(\tilde{Y})) - \phi_0(\tilde{X} \cdot_A \tilde{Y})) \frac{\partial \tilde{Z}}{\partial t} \\
 &\quad - (\rho_A(\tilde{Y})(\phi_0(\tilde{X})) - \phi_0(\tilde{Y} \cdot_A \tilde{X})) \frac{\partial \tilde{Z}}{\partial t} \\
 &= (\delta_A \phi_0)(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) \frac{\partial \tilde{Z}}{\partial t} - (\delta_A \phi_0)(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{X}) \frac{\partial \tilde{Z}}{\partial t}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Here the last step follows from that \cdot_A is a left-symmetric algebra structure on $\Gamma(A)$. Since \tilde{Z} is arbitrary, the triple $(\tilde{A}, \cdot_A^{\phi_0}, \hat{\rho}_A^{\phi_0})$ is a left-symmetric algebroid over $M \times \mathbb{R}$ if and

only if (A, ϕ_0) is a Jacobi-left-symmetric algebroid over M , i.e., $\delta_A \phi_0$ is symmetric. The bundle map $\Psi : \tilde{A} \rightarrow \tilde{A}$, $(v, t) \mapsto (e^t v, t)$, is an isomorphism of vector bundles and

$$\hat{\rho}_A^{\phi_0} \circ \Psi = \bar{\rho}_A^{\phi_0}, \quad \Psi(\tilde{X} \cdot_A^{\phi_0} \tilde{Y}) = \Psi(\tilde{X}) \cdot_A^{\phi_0} \Psi(\tilde{Y}).$$

Therefore $(\tilde{A}, \cdot_A^{\phi_0}, \hat{\rho}_A^{\phi_0})$ is a left-symmetric algebroid if and only if $(\tilde{A}, \cdot_A^{\phi_0}, \bar{\rho}_A^{\phi_0})$ is a left-symmetric algebroid. The last claim is obvious by (9), (10), (11), (12), (13) and (14). \square

THEOREM 2.7. *Let (A, ϕ_0) be a Jacobi-left-symmetric algebroid over M and h an element in $\Gamma(S^2 A)$. Then h in $\Gamma(S^2 A)$ is a Jacobi-Koszul-Vinberg structure on (A, ϕ_0) if and only if $\tilde{h} := e^{-t} h$ in $\Gamma(S^2 \tilde{A})$ is a Koszul-Vinberg structure on the left-symmetric algebroid $(\tilde{A}, \cdot_A^{\phi_0}, \bar{\rho}_A^{\phi_0})$.*

PROOF. For any $\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}$ and $\tilde{\gamma}$ in $\Gamma(\tilde{A}^*)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \tilde{h}, \tilde{h} \rrbracket_{\tilde{A}}(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma}) \\ &= \bar{\rho}_A^{\phi_0}(\tilde{h}^\# \tilde{\alpha}) \tilde{h}(\tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma}) - \bar{\rho}_A^{\phi_0}(\tilde{h}^\# \tilde{\beta}) \tilde{h}(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\gamma}) + \langle \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{h}^\# \tilde{\beta} \cdot_A^{\phi_0} \tilde{h}^\# \tilde{\gamma} \rangle - \langle \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{h}^\# \tilde{\alpha} \cdot_A^{\phi_0} \tilde{h}^\# \tilde{\gamma} \rangle \\ & \quad - \langle \tilde{\gamma}, [\tilde{h}^\# \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{h}^\# \tilde{\beta}]_A^{\phi_0} \rangle \\ &= \left(\rho_A(e^{-t} h^\# \tilde{\alpha}) + \phi_0(e^{-t} h^\# \tilde{\alpha}) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) (e^{-t} h(\tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma})) \\ & \quad - \left(\rho_A(e^{-t} h^\# \tilde{\beta}) + \phi_0(e^{-t} h^\# \tilde{\beta}) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) (e^{-t} h(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\gamma})) \\ & \quad + \left\langle \tilde{\alpha}, (e^{-t} h^\# \tilde{\beta}) \cdot_A (e^{-t} h^\# \tilde{\gamma}) + \phi_0(e^{-t} h^\# \tilde{\beta}) \frac{\partial e^{-t} h^\# \tilde{\gamma}}{\partial t} \right\rangle \\ & \quad - \left\langle \tilde{\beta}, (e^{-t} h^\# \tilde{\alpha}) \cdot_A (e^{-t} h^\# \tilde{\gamma}) + \phi_0(e^{-t} h^\# \tilde{\alpha}) \frac{\partial e^{-t} h^\# \tilde{\gamma}}{\partial t} \right\rangle \\ & \quad - \left\langle \tilde{\gamma}, [e^{-t} h^\# \tilde{\alpha}, e^{-t} h^\# \tilde{\beta}]_A \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \phi_0(e^{-t} h^\# \tilde{\alpha}) \frac{\partial e^{-t} h^\# \tilde{\beta}}{\partial t} - \phi_0(e^{-t} h^\# \tilde{\beta}) \frac{\partial e^{-t} h^\# \tilde{\alpha}}{\partial t} \right\rangle \\ &= e^{-2t} \rho_A(h^\# \tilde{\alpha}) (h(\tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma})) \\ & \quad + e^{-t} \phi_0(h^\# \tilde{\alpha}) \left(-e^{-t} h(\tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma}) + e^{-t} h \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{\beta}}{\partial t}, \tilde{\gamma} \right) + e^{-t} h \left(\tilde{\beta}, \frac{\partial \tilde{\gamma}}{\partial t} \right) \right) \\ & \quad - e^{-2t} \rho_A(h^\# \tilde{\beta}) (h(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\gamma})) \\ & \quad - e^{-t} \phi_0(h^\# \tilde{\beta}) \left(-e^{-t} h(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\gamma}) + e^{-t} h \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{\alpha}}{\partial t}, \tilde{\gamma} \right) + e^{-t} h \left(\tilde{\alpha}, \frac{\partial \tilde{\gamma}}{\partial t} \right) \right) \\ & \quad + e^{-2t} \langle \tilde{\alpha}, h^\# \tilde{\beta} \cdot_A h^\# \tilde{\gamma} \rangle + e^{-t} \phi_0(h^\# \tilde{\beta}) \left\langle \tilde{\alpha}, -e^{-t} h^\# \tilde{\gamma} + e^{-t} h^\# \frac{\partial \tilde{\gamma}}{\partial t} \right\rangle \\ & \quad - e^{-2t} \langle \tilde{\beta}, h^\# \tilde{\alpha} \cdot_A h^\# \tilde{\gamma} \rangle - e^{-t} \phi_0(h^\# \tilde{\alpha}) \left\langle \tilde{\beta}, -e^{-t} h^\# \tilde{\gamma} + e^{-t} h^\# \frac{\partial \tilde{\gamma}}{\partial t} \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & - e^{-2t} \langle \tilde{\gamma}, [h^\# \tilde{\alpha}, h^\# \tilde{\beta}]_A \rangle - e^{-t} \phi_0(h^\# \tilde{\alpha}) \left\langle \tilde{\gamma}, -e^{-t} h^\# \tilde{\beta} + e^{-t} h^\# \frac{\partial \tilde{\beta}}{\partial t} \right\rangle \\
 & + e^{-t} \phi_0(h^\# \tilde{\beta}) \left\langle \tilde{\gamma}, -e^{-t} h^\# \tilde{\alpha} + e^{-t} h^\# \frac{\partial \tilde{\alpha}}{\partial t} \right\rangle \\
 = & e^{-2t} \left(\rho_A(h^\# \tilde{\alpha}) \left(h(\tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma}) \right) - \rho_A(h^\# \tilde{\beta}) \left(h(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\gamma}) \right) \right. \\
 & + \langle \tilde{\alpha}, h^\# \tilde{\beta} \cdot_A h^\# \tilde{\gamma} \rangle - \langle \tilde{\beta}, h^\# \tilde{\alpha} \cdot_A h^\# \tilde{\gamma} \rangle - \langle \tilde{\gamma}, [h^\# \tilde{\alpha}, h^\# \tilde{\beta}]_A \rangle \\
 & \left. + h(\phi_0, \tilde{\alpha}) h(\tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma}) - h(\phi_0, \tilde{\beta}) h(\tilde{\gamma}, \tilde{\alpha}) \right) \\
 = & e^{-2t} \left([[h, h]_A](\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma}) + h(\phi_0, \tilde{\alpha}) h(\tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma}) - h(\phi_0, \tilde{\beta}) h(\tilde{\gamma}, \tilde{\alpha}) \right) \\
 = & e^{-2t} [[h, h]_A^{\phi_0}](\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore h is a Jacobi-Koszul-Vinberg structure on (A, ϕ_0) if and only if \tilde{h} is a Koszul-Vinberg structure on $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\rho}_A^{\phi_0}, \tilde{\rho}_A^{\phi_0})$. \square

DEFINITION 2.8. \tilde{h} in Theorem 2.7 is called the *Koszul-Vinbergization* of a Jacobi-Koszul-Vinberg structure h on (A, ϕ_0) .

Now we define a Jacobi-Koszul-Vinberg manifold as a symmetric analogue of a Jacobi manifold.

DEFINITION 2.9. A *Jacobi-Koszul-Vinberg structure* on an affine manifold (M, ∇) is a pair (h, E) in $\Gamma(S^2TM) \times \mathfrak{X}(M)$ satisfying, for any α, β, γ in $\Omega^1(M)$,

- (i) $(\nabla_{h^\# \alpha} h)(\beta, \gamma) - \langle \alpha, E \rangle h(\beta, \gamma) = (\nabla_{h^\# \beta} h)(\alpha, \gamma) - \langle \beta, E \rangle h(\alpha, \gamma)$,
- (ii) $(\nabla_E h)(\beta, \gamma) - \langle \gamma, \nabla_{h^\# \beta} E \rangle + \langle \beta, E \rangle \langle \gamma, E \rangle = 0$,
- (iii) $\nabla_E E = 0$.

(M, ∇, h, E) is called a *Jacobi-Koszul-Vinberg manifold*.

Let (M, ∇) be an affine manifold. As previously stated in Example 1.8, $(TM \oplus \mathbb{R}, \bar{\nabla}, \text{pr}_1)$ is a left-symmetric algebroid over M . Furthermore, because of Example 1.13 and Proposition 2.2, $((TM \oplus \mathbb{R}, \bar{\nabla}, \text{pr}_1), (0, 1))$ is a Jacobi-left-symmetric algebroid over M .

PROPOSITION 2.10. *Let (M, ∇) be an affine manifold. Identify (h, E) in $\Gamma(S^2TM) \times \mathfrak{X}(M)$ with H in $\Gamma(S^2(TM \oplus \mathbb{R}))$ by $H = h + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \otimes E + E \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$. Under this identification, the followings are equivalent;*

- (1) (h, E) is a Jacobi-Koszul-Vinberg structure on (M, ∇)
- (2) $[[H, H]_{TM \oplus \mathbb{R}}^{(0,1)}] = 0$.

PROOF. Since (α, f) in $\Omega^1(M) \times C^\infty(M)$ is identified with $\alpha + f dt$ in $\Gamma((TM \oplus \mathbb{R})^*)$, the followings hold:

$$\begin{aligned} H((\alpha_1, f_1), (\alpha_2, f_2)) &= h(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) + \langle \alpha_1, E \rangle f_2 + \langle \alpha_2, E \rangle f_1, \\ H^\sharp(\alpha_1, f_1) &= (h^\sharp \alpha_1 + f_1 E, \langle \alpha_1, E \rangle), \\ H^\sharp(\alpha_1, f_1) \cdot_{TM \oplus \mathbb{R}} H^\sharp(\alpha_2, f_2) &= \bar{\nabla}_{H^\sharp(\alpha_1, f_1)} H^\sharp(\alpha_2, f_2) \\ &= (\nabla_{h^\sharp \alpha_1 + f_1 E} (h^\sharp \alpha_2 + f_2 E), (h^\sharp \alpha_1 + f_1 E) \langle \alpha_2, E \rangle), \end{aligned}$$

for (α_i, f_i) in $\Omega^1(M) \times C^\infty(M)$ ($i = 1, 2$). By using these equalities, it follows that for (α_i, f_i) in $\Omega^1(M) \times C^\infty(M)$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$),

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket H, H \rrbracket_{TM \oplus \mathbb{R}}((\alpha_1, f_1), (\alpha_2, f_2), (\alpha_3, f_3)) \\ &= (\text{pr}_1 \circ H^\sharp(\alpha_1, f_1)) H((\alpha_2, f_2), (\alpha_3, f_3)) \\ & \quad - (\text{pr}_1 \circ H^\sharp(\alpha_2, f_2)) H((\alpha_1, f_1), (\alpha_3, f_3)) \\ & \quad - \langle (\alpha_2, f_2), H^\sharp(\alpha_1, f_1) \cdot_{TM \oplus \mathbb{R}} H^\sharp(\alpha_3, f_3) \rangle \\ & \quad + \langle (\alpha_1, f_1), H^\sharp(\alpha_2, f_2) \cdot_{TM \oplus \mathbb{R}} H^\sharp(\alpha_3, f_3) \rangle \\ & \quad - \langle (\alpha_3, f_3), [H^\sharp(\alpha_1, f_1), H^\sharp(\alpha_2, f_2)]_{TM \oplus \mathbb{R}} \rangle \\ &= (h^\sharp \alpha_1 + f_1 E)(h(\alpha_2, \alpha_3) + \langle \alpha_2, E \rangle f_3 + \langle \alpha_3, E \rangle f_2) \\ & \quad - (h^\sharp \alpha_2 + f_2 E)(h(\alpha_1, \alpha_3) + \langle \alpha_1, E \rangle f_3 + \langle \alpha_3, E \rangle f_1) \\ & \quad - (\langle \alpha_2, \nabla_{h^\sharp \alpha_1 + f_1 E} (h^\sharp \alpha_3 + f_3 E) \rangle + f_2 (h^\sharp \alpha_1 + f_1 E) \langle \alpha_3, E \rangle) \\ & \quad + (\langle \alpha_1, \nabla_{h^\sharp \alpha_2 + f_2 E} (h^\sharp \alpha_3 + f_3 E) \rangle + f_1 (h^\sharp \alpha_2 + f_2 E) \langle \alpha_3, E \rangle) \\ & \quad - (\langle \alpha_3, [h^\sharp \alpha_1 + f_1 E, h^\sharp \alpha_2 + f_2 E] \rangle \\ & \quad \quad + f_3 \{ (h^\sharp \alpha_1 + f_1 E) \langle \alpha_2, E \rangle - (h^\sharp \alpha_2 + f_2 E) \langle \alpha_1, E \rangle \}) \\ &= (h^\sharp \alpha_1 + f_1 E) h(\alpha_2, \alpha_3) + \langle \alpha_2, E \rangle (h^\sharp \alpha_1 + f_1 E) f_3 + \langle \alpha_3, E \rangle (h^\sharp \alpha_1 + f_1 E) f_2 \\ & \quad - (h^\sharp \alpha_2 + f_2 E) h(\alpha_1, \alpha_3) - \langle \alpha_1, E \rangle (h^\sharp \alpha_2 + f_2 E) f_3 - \langle \alpha_3, E \rangle (h^\sharp \alpha_2 + f_2 E) f_1 \\ & \quad - \langle \alpha_2, \nabla_{h^\sharp \alpha_1 + f_1 E} (h^\sharp \alpha_3 + f_3 E) \rangle + \langle \alpha_1, \nabla_{h^\sharp \alpha_2 + f_2 E} (h^\sharp \alpha_3 + f_3 E) \rangle \\ & \quad - \langle \alpha_3, [h^\sharp \alpha_1 + f_1 E, h^\sharp \alpha_2 + f_2 E] \rangle \\ &= (h^\sharp \alpha_1 + f_1 E) h(\alpha_2, \alpha_3) + \langle \alpha_3, E \rangle (h^\sharp \alpha_1 + f_1 E) f_2 \\ & \quad - (h^\sharp \alpha_2 + f_2 E) h(\alpha_1, \alpha_3) - \langle \alpha_3, E \rangle (h^\sharp \alpha_2 + f_2 E) f_1 \\ & \quad - \langle \alpha_2, \nabla_{h^\sharp \alpha_1 + f_1 E} h^\sharp \alpha_3 \rangle - f_3 \langle \alpha_2, \nabla_{h^\sharp \alpha_1 + f_1 E} E \rangle \\ & \quad + \langle \alpha_1, \nabla_{h^\sharp \alpha_2 + f_2 E} h^\sharp \alpha_3 \rangle + f_3 \langle \alpha_1, \nabla_{h^\sharp \alpha_2 + f_2 E} E \rangle \\ & \quad - \langle \alpha_3, \nabla_{h^\sharp \alpha_1 + f_1 E} (h^\sharp \alpha_2 + f_2 E) - \nabla_{h^\sharp \alpha_2 + f_2 E} (h^\sharp \alpha_1 + f_1 E) \rangle \\ &= (h^\sharp \alpha_1 + f_1 E) h(\alpha_2, \alpha_3) - (h^\sharp \alpha_2 + f_2 E) h(\alpha_1, \alpha_3) \\ & \quad - \langle \alpha_2, \nabla_{h^\sharp \alpha_1 + f_1 E} h^\sharp \alpha_3 \rangle - f_3 \langle \alpha_2, \nabla_{h^\sharp \alpha_1 + f_1 E} E \rangle \\ & \quad + \langle \alpha_1, \nabla_{h^\sharp \alpha_2 + f_2 E} h^\sharp \alpha_3 \rangle + f_3 \langle \alpha_1, \nabla_{h^\sharp \alpha_2 + f_2 E} E \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \langle \alpha_3, \nabla_{h^\sharp_{\alpha_1+f_1E}} h^\sharp_{\alpha_2} \rangle - f_2 \langle \alpha_3, \nabla_{h^\sharp_{\alpha_1+f_1E}} E \rangle \\
& + \langle \alpha_3, \nabla_{h^\sharp_{\alpha_2+f_2E}} h^\sharp_{\alpha_1} \rangle + f_1 \langle \alpha_3, \nabla_{h^\sharp_{\alpha_2+f_2E}} E \rangle \\
= & - (\nabla_{h^\sharp_{\alpha_1+f_1E}} h)(\alpha_2, \alpha_3) + (\nabla_{h^\sharp_{\alpha_2+f_2E}} h)(\alpha_1, \alpha_3) \\
& - f_3 \langle \alpha_2, \nabla_{h^\sharp_{\alpha_1+f_1E}} E \rangle + f_3 \langle \alpha_1, \nabla_{h^\sharp_{\alpha_2+f_2E}} E \rangle \\
& - f_2 \langle \alpha_3, \nabla_{h^\sharp_{\alpha_1+f_1E}} E \rangle + f_1 \langle \alpha_3, \nabla_{h^\sharp_{\alpha_2+f_2E}} E \rangle \\
= & - (\nabla_{h^\sharp_{\alpha_1}} h)(\alpha_2, \alpha_3) + (\nabla_{h^\sharp_{\alpha_2}} h)(\alpha_1, \alpha_3) \\
& - f_1 ((\nabla_E h)(\alpha_2, \alpha_3) - \langle \alpha_3, \nabla_{h^\sharp_{\alpha_2}} E \rangle) \\
& + f_2 ((\nabla_E h)(\alpha_1, \alpha_3) - \langle \alpha_3, \nabla_{h^\sharp_{\alpha_1}} E \rangle) \\
& + f_3 (\langle \alpha_1, \nabla_{h^\sharp_{\alpha_2}} E \rangle - \langle \alpha_2, \nabla_{h^\sharp_{\alpha_1}} E \rangle + f_2 \langle \alpha_1, \nabla_E E \rangle - f_1 \langle \alpha_2, \nabla_E E \rangle).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
& \llbracket H, H \rrbracket_{TM \oplus \mathbb{R}}^{(0,1)}((\alpha_1, f_1), (\alpha_2, f_2), (\alpha_3, f_3)) \\
= & \llbracket H, H \rrbracket_{TM \oplus \mathbb{R}}((\alpha_1, f_1), (\alpha_2, f_2), (\alpha_3, f_3)) \\
& + H((0, 1), (\alpha_1, f_1))H((\alpha_2, f_2), (\alpha_3, f_3)) \\
& - H((0, 1), (\alpha_2, f_2))H((\alpha_1, f_1), (\alpha_3, f_3)) \\
= & \llbracket H, H \rrbracket_{TM \oplus \mathbb{R}}((\alpha_1, f_1), (\alpha_2, f_2), (\alpha_3, f_3)) \\
& + \langle \alpha_1, E \rangle (h(\alpha_2, \alpha_3) + \langle \alpha_2, E \rangle f_3 + \langle \alpha_3, E \rangle f_2) \\
& - \langle \alpha_2, E \rangle (h(\alpha_1, \alpha_3) + \langle \alpha_1, E \rangle f_3 + \langle \alpha_3, E \rangle f_1) \\
= & \llbracket H, H \rrbracket_{TM \oplus \mathbb{R}}((\alpha_1, f_1), (\alpha_2, f_2), (\alpha_3, f_3)) \\
& + \langle \alpha_1, E \rangle (h(\alpha_2, \alpha_3) + \langle \alpha_3, E \rangle f_2) - \langle \alpha_2, E \rangle (h(\alpha_1, \alpha_3) + \langle \alpha_3, E \rangle f_1) \\
= & - (\nabla_{h^\sharp_{\alpha_1}} h)(\alpha_2, \alpha_3) + \langle \alpha_1, E \rangle h(\alpha_2, \alpha_3) \\
& + (\nabla_{h^\sharp_{\alpha_2}} h)(\alpha_1, \alpha_3) - \langle \alpha_2, E \rangle h(\alpha_1, \alpha_3) \\
& - f_1 ((\nabla_E h)(\alpha_2, \alpha_3) - \langle \alpha_3, \nabla_{h^\sharp_{\alpha_2}} E \rangle + \langle \alpha_2, E \rangle \langle \alpha_3, E \rangle) \\
& + f_2 ((\nabla_E h)(\alpha_1, \alpha_3) - \langle \alpha_3, \nabla_{h^\sharp_{\alpha_1}} E \rangle + \langle \alpha_1, E \rangle \langle \alpha_3, E \rangle) \\
& + f_3 (\langle \alpha_1, \nabla_{h^\sharp_{\alpha_2}} E \rangle - \langle \alpha_2, \nabla_{h^\sharp_{\alpha_1}} E \rangle + f_2 \langle \alpha_1, \nabla_E E \rangle - f_1 \langle \alpha_2, \nabla_E E \rangle).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, this vanishes for any α_i in $\Omega^1(M)$ and any f_i in $C^\infty(M)$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$) if and only if (i)-(iii) in Definition 2.9 hold for any α, β, γ in $\Omega^1(M)$. \square

Let (M, g) be a (pesudo-)Riemannian manifold, ∇ a torsion-free connection on M and θ a 1-form on M . The quadruple (M, ∇, g, θ) is called a *semi-Weyl manifold* [6] if $\nabla g + \theta \otimes g$ is symmetric. A semi-Weyl manifold (M, ∇, g, θ) is called a *locally conformally Hessian manifold* [7] if ∇ is flat and θ is d -closed in addition.

PROPOSITION 2.11. *Let (M, ∇, h, E) be a Jacobi-Koszul-Vinberg manifold. Assume*

h in $\Gamma(S^2TM)$ is non-degenerate. Then (M, ∇, g, θ) is a locally conformally Hessian manifold, where g is the corresponding metric to h and $\theta = g^\flat E$.

PROOF. For α, β, γ in $\Omega^1(M)$, set $X = h^\sharp\alpha$, $Y = h^\sharp\beta$, $Z = h^\sharp\gamma$. Then, by a straightforward calculation, the following equalities hold:

$$\begin{aligned} & (\nabla_{h^\sharp\alpha}h)(\beta, \gamma) - (\nabla_{h^\sharp\beta}h)(\alpha, \gamma) = -(\nabla_Xg)(Y, Z) + (\nabla_Yg)(X, Z), \\ & (\nabla_{h^\sharp\alpha}h)(\beta, \gamma) - \langle \alpha, E \rangle h(\beta, \gamma) - (\nabla_{h^\sharp\beta}h)(\alpha, \gamma) + \langle \beta, E \rangle h(\alpha, \gamma) \\ & = -(\nabla_Xg)(Y, Z) - \theta(X)g(Y, Z) + (\nabla_Yg)(X, Z) + \theta(Y)g(X, Z). \end{aligned}$$

This means that if h is non-degenerate, (i) in Definition 2.9 is equivalent to that (M, ∇, g, θ) is a semi-Weyl manifold. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} (d\theta)(X, Y) &= X(\theta(Y)) - Y(\theta(X)) - \theta([X, Y]) \\ &= X(g(Y, E)) - Y(g(X, E)) - g([X, Y], E) \\ &= X(g(Y, E)) - Y(g(X, E)) - g(\nabla_XY - \nabla_YX, E) \\ &= (\nabla_Xg)(Y, E) + g(Y, \nabla_XE) - (\nabla_Yg)(X, E) - g(X, \nabla_YE) \\ &= -\theta(X)g(Y, E) + \theta(Y)g(X, E) + g(Y, \nabla_XE) - g(X, \nabla_YE) \\ &= g(Y, \nabla_XE) - g(X, \nabla_YE). \end{aligned}$$

From (ii) in Definition 2.9, $\langle \beta, \nabla_{h^\sharp\alpha}E \rangle$ is symmetric for any α, β in $\Omega^1(M)$. This means that $g(Y, \nabla_XE)$ is symmetric for any X, Y in $\mathfrak{X}(M)$ since

$$\langle \beta, \nabla_{h^\sharp\alpha}E \rangle = g(Y, \nabla_XE).$$

Therefore, for any X, Y in $\mathfrak{X}(M)$,

$$(d\theta)(X, Y) = 0.$$

□

References

- [1] A. ABOUQATEB, M. BOUCETTA AND C. BOURZIK, Contravariant pseudo-Hessian manifolds and their associated Poisson structures, *Differential Geom. Appl.* 70 (2020), 101630, 26 pp.
- [2] A. ABOUQATEB, M. BOUCETTA AND C. BOURZIK, Submanifolds in Koszul-Vinberg geometry, *Results Math.* 77 (2022), no.1, Paper No. 19, 24 pp.

- [3] S. BENAYADI AND M. BOUCETTA, On para-Kähler Lie algebroids and contravariant pseudo-Hessian structures, *Math. Nachr.* 292 (2019), no.7, 1418–1443.
- [4] M. NGUIFFO BOYOM, Cohomology of Koszul-Vinberg algebroids and Poisson manifolds. I, *Lie algebroids and related topics in differential geometry*. Banach Center Publ., 54 (2001), 99–110.
- [5] J. LIU, Y. SHENG AND C.BAI, Left-symmetric bialgebroids and their corresponding Manin triples, *Differential Geom. Appl.* 59 (2018), 91–111.
- [6] H. MATSUZOE, Geometry of semi-Weyl manifolds and Weyl manifolds, *Kyushu J. Math.* 55 (2001), no.1, 107–117.
- [7] P. OSIPOV, Locally conformally Hessian and statistical manifolds, *J. Geom. Phys.* 193 (2023), Paper No. 104989, 16 pp.
- [8] H. SHIMA, *The geometry of Hessian structures*. World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2007.
- [9] Q. Wang, J. Liu and Y. Sheng, Koszul-Vinberg structures and compatible structures on left-symmetric algebroids, *Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys.* 17 (2020), no.13, 2050199, 28 pp.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
FACULTY OF SCIENCE DIVISION II
TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE
1-3 KAGURAZAKA, SHINJUKU-KU
TOKYO 162-8601
JAPAN

E-mail address: n-kimura@rs.tus.ac.jp

ACADEMIC SUPPORT CENTER
KOGAKUIN UNIVERSITY
2665-1 NAKANO-CHO, HACHIOJI
TOKYO 192-0015
JAPAN

E-mail address: kt13676@ns.kogakuin.ac.jp