

# CHARACTERIZATIONS OF KNOT GROUPS AND KNOT SYMMETRIC QUANDLES OF SURFACE-LINKS

JUMPEI YASUDA

**ABSTRACT.** The Artin-Alexander theorem characterizes the knot groups of classical links, providing necessary and sufficient conditions for a group to be the knot group of some classical link. This result was shown using the closed braid method. González-Acuña and Kamada independently extended this characterization to the knot groups of orientable surface-links. Kamada applied the closed 2-dimensional braid method to show this result.

In this paper, we generalize these results to characterize the knot groups of surface-links, including non-orientable ones. Our approach relies on a plat form presentation for surface-links. Furthermore, we show a similar characterization for the knot symmetric quandles of surface-links. As an application, we show that every dihedral quandle with an arbitrarily good involution can be realized as the knot symmetric quandle of a surface-link.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The *knot group* is the fundamental group of the complement of a knot or a link. In knot theory, the knot group plays a crucial role in the classification and study of invariants for knots and links. This naturally leads to the following question: for a given group  $G$ , when is  $G$  the knot group of some link? The answer to this question is provided by Alexander-Artin Theorem:

**Theorem 1.1** ([1]). *A group  $G$  is the knot group of a link if and only if there exists an  $m$ -braid  $b$  such that  $G$  has a presentation*

$$\langle x_1, \dots, x_m \mid x_i = b \cdot x_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, m) \rangle.$$

Here,  $b \cdot x$  denotes the action on the free group  $F_m$  by the braid group  $B_m$ , which will be defined in Section 2.2. This theorem was shown by using the fact that every link is equivalent to the closure of some braid.

A *surface-knot* is a closed connected surface smoothly embedded in the 4-space, and a *surface-link* is a disjoint union of surface-knots. González-Acuña [5] and Kamada [8] independently proved a characterization of the knot groups of orientable surface-links. We recall the result due to Kamada as follows: A group presentation is called an  $(m, n)$ -presentation (associated with  $m$ -braids  $b_1, \dots, b_n$ ) if it is

$$\langle x_1, \dots, x_m \mid b_i \cdot x_1 = b_i \cdot x_2 \quad (i = 1, \dots, n) \rangle.$$

We say that an  $(m, n)$ -presentation satisfies the  $\partial$ -condition if there exist  $n$  signs  $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n \in \{\pm 1\}$  such that

$$\prod_{i=1}^n b_i^{-1} \sigma_1^{\varepsilon_i} b_i = 1_m,$$

where  $\sigma_i$  is Artin's generator of  $B_m$  and  $1_m$  is the unit element of  $B_m$ .

**Theorem 1.2** ([8]). *A group  $G$  is the knot group of a  $c$ -component (total) genus  $g$  orientable surface-link if and only if  $G$  satisfies the following conditions for some  $m, n \geq 0$ :*

- (1)  $G$  has an  $(m, n)$ -presentation satisfying the  $\partial$ -condition.
- (2) It holds that  $2c - 2g = 2m - n$ .
- (3)  $G/[G, G]$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}^c$ .

In this paper, we give an analogue result for arbitrarily (unoriented) surface-links including non-orientable ones. A group presentation is called a  $(2m, n)$ -presentation *with inverses* (associated with  $b_1, \dots, b_n$ ) if it is

$$\langle x_1, \dots, x_{2m} \mid b_i \cdot x_1 = b_i \cdot x_2, x_{2j-1} = x_{2j}^{-1} \quad (i = 1, \dots, n, j = 1, \dots, m) \rangle,$$

which is obtained from a  $(2m, n)$ -presentation by adding new  $m$  relations  $x_{2j-1} = x_{2j}^{-1}$  ( $j = 1, \dots, m$ ). We say that an  $(2m, n)$ -presentation satisfies the *weak  $\partial$ -condition* if there exist  $n$  signs  $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n \in \{1, -1\}$  such that

$$\prod_{i=1}^n b_i^{-1} \sigma_1^{\varepsilon_i} b_i \in K_{2m},$$

where  $K_{2m}$  is Hilden's subgroup of  $B_{2m}$  defined in Section 2.3. A surface-link  $F$  is called  $(c, d)$ -*component* if  $F$  consists of  $c$  orientable surface-knots and  $d$  non-orientable ones. The (non-orientable) genus of  $(c, d)$ -component surface-link  $F$  means the integer  $g$  satisfying  $\chi(F) = 2(c + d) - g$ , where  $\chi(F)$  is the Euler characteristic of  $F$ .

**Theorem 1.3.** *A group  $G$  is the knot group of a  $(c, d)$ -component genus  $g$  surface-link if and only if  $G$  satisfies the following conditions for some  $m, n \geq 0$ :*

- (1)  $G$  has an  $(2m, n)$ -presentation with inverses satisfying the weak  $\partial$ -condition.
- (2) It holds that  $2(c + d) - g = 2m - n$ .
- (3)  $G/[G, G]$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}^c \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2)^d$ .

We will show this theorem using the fact that every surface-link is equivalent to the plat closure of some braided surface, which is discussed in Section 2.3.

In Section 3, we extend Theorem 1.3 to the knot symmetric quandles of surface-links. A *quandle* [7, 15] is a set  $Q$  with a binary operation  $*$  :  $Q \times Q \rightarrow Q$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) For any  $a \in Q$ , we have  $a * a = a$ .
- (2) For any  $a, b \in Q$ , there exists a unique element  $c \in Q$  such that  $a * c = b$ .
- (3) For any  $a, b, c \in Q$ , we have  $(a * b) * c = (a * c) * (b * c)$ .

Quandles are usefull tools in the study of oriented links and oriented surface-links. Specifically, the knot quandle is defined for oriented links and oriented surface-links (Section 3.1). It has been shown that the knot quandle is a stronger invariant than the knot group for distinguishing surface-links ([18]).

To apply quandle theory to unoriented surface-links, Kamada [10] introduced symmetric quandles, which is a pair of a quandle  $Q$  and a good involution  $\rho$  of  $Q$ . The knot symmetric quandle is defined for an unoriented surface-links, with details provided in Section 3.2. We present an algebraic characterization of the knot symmetric quandles of surface-links:

**Theorem 1.4.** *A symmetric quandle  $(Q, \rho)$  is the knot symmetric quandle of a  $(c, d)$ -component (non-orientable) genus  $g$  surface-link if and only if  $(Q, \rho)$  satisfies the following conditions for some  $m, n \geq 0$ :*

- (1)  $(Q, \rho)$  has an  $(2m, n)$ -presentation with inverses satisfying the weak  $\partial$ -condition.
- (2) It holds that  $2(c + d) - g = 2m - n$ .
- (3)  $Q$  consists of  $2c + d$  connected components  $X_1, \dots, X_c, Y_1, \dots, Y_c$ , and  $Z_1, \dots, Z_d$  such that  $\rho(X_i) = Y_i$  and  $\rho(Z_j) = Z_j$  for each  $i \in \{1, \dots, c\}$  and  $j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ .

The dihedral quandle  $R_n$  is one of the most basic examples of quandles. In Section 4, we provide presentations of  $R_n$  as symmetric quandles. Applying Theorem 1.4, we show the following result:

**Theorem 1.5.** *For any  $n \geq 1$  and any good involution  $\rho$  of  $R_n$ , there exists a surface-link whose knot symmetric quandle is  $(R_n, \rho)$ .*

In Section 5, we discuss the relationship between symmetric quandles and  $P^2$ -irreducibility of surface-links. In particular, we construct an infinite family of 2-component  $P^2$ -irreducible  $P^2$ -links (Theorem 5.3).

## 2. BRAIDS AND BRAIDED SURFACES

**2.1. Braids and Braided surfaces.** Let  $m \geq 1$  be an integer,  $D^2$  a 2-disk, and  $p_2 : D^2 \times I \rightarrow I$  the projection of  $D^2 \times I$ . We fix an  $m$ -point subset  $X_m = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$  of  $D^2$  such that  $x_1, \dots, x_m$  lie on a line of  $D^2$  in this order. An  $m$ -braid is a curve  $\beta$  in  $D^2 \times I$  such that

- (1) the restriction map  $p_2|_\beta : \beta \rightarrow I$  is covering map of degree  $m$  and
- (2)  $\partial\beta = X_m \times \{0, 1\}$ .

Two  $m$ -braids are said to be *equivalent* if they are isotopic in  $D^2 \times I$  rel  $\partial$ . The braid group  $B_m$  of degree  $m$  is the fundamental group of the configuration space  $C_m$  of  $m$ -point subsets of  $\text{Int}(D^2)$  with based point  $X_m$ . Then elements of  $B_m$  are naturally identified with equivalence classes of  $m$ -braid.

Let  $B^2$  be the unit disk on  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $\text{pr}_2 : D^2 \times B^2 \rightarrow B^2$  the projection of  $D^2 \times B^2$ . We take a point  $y_0 \in \partial B^2$  as a based point of  $B^2$ . A *braided surface* [17] of degree  $m$  is a compact surface  $S$  properly embedded in  $D^2 \times B^2$  such that

- (1) the restriction map  $\pi_S := \text{pr}_2|_S : S \rightarrow B^2$  is a branched covering map of degree  $m$  and
- (2)  $S \cap D^2 \times \{y_0\} = X_m \times \{y_0\}$ .

A *2-dimensional braid* [19] of degree  $m$  is a braided surface  $S$  of degree  $m$  with  $\partial S = X_m \times \partial B^2$ . In this paper, every braided surface is assumed to be *simple*, meaning that for every  $y \in B^2$ , the preimage  $\pi_S^{-1}(y)$  consists of  $m$  or  $m - 1$  points. Two braided surfaces  $S$  and  $S'$  are said to be *equivalent* if there exists

- an isotopy  $\{\Phi_t\}_{t \in [0,1]}$  of  $D^2 \times B^2$  carrying  $S$  to  $S'$ , and
- an isotopy  $\{h_t\}_{t \in [0,1]}$  of  $B^2$

such that the following conditions hold for  $t \in [0, 1]$ :

- (1)  $\phi_t \circ \text{pr}_2 = \text{pr}_2 \circ h_t$ , and
- (2)  $\Phi_t|_{D^2 \times \{y_0\}} = \text{id}$ .

Kamada [9] showed that  $S$  and  $S'$  are equivalent if and only if there exists an isotopy  $\{\Phi_t\}_{t \in [0,1]}$  of  $D^2 \times B^2$  carrying  $S$  to  $S'$  such that  $\Phi_t(S)$  is a simple braided surface for  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

**2.2. Braid systems of braided surfaces.** Let  $\text{pr}_1 : D^2 \times B^2 \rightarrow D^2$  be the projection and  $S$  a braided surface of degree  $m$ . The branch locus of  $\pi_S : S \rightarrow B^2$  is denoted by  $\Sigma(S) = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ , where  $n \geq 0$ .

The *braid monodromy* of  $S$  is the homomorphism  $\rho_S : \pi_1(D^2 \setminus \Sigma(S), y_0) \rightarrow \pi_1(C_m, X_m)$  defined as follows: For each  $y \in B^2 \setminus \Sigma(S)$ , the image  $\text{pr}_1(\pi_S^{-1}(y))$  is a point of  $C_m$ . For a loop  $\gamma : (I, \partial I) \rightarrow (D^2 \setminus \Sigma(S), y_0)$ , the loop  $\rho_S(\gamma) : (I, \partial I) \rightarrow (C_m, X_m)$  is defined by  $\rho_S(\gamma)(t) := \text{pr}_1(\pi_S^{-1}(\gamma(t)))$ . Then, the braid monodromy is defined as the homomorphism  $\rho_S : \pi_1(D^2 \setminus \Sigma(S), y_0) \rightarrow B_m = \pi_1(C_m, X_m)$  sending  $[\gamma]$  to  $[\rho_S(\gamma)]$ .

It is known that  $\pi_1(D^2 \setminus \Sigma(S), y_0)$  is isomorphic to the free group on  $n$  letters. To specify a generating system of  $\pi_1(D^2 \setminus \Sigma(S), y_0)$ , we use a *Hurwitz arc system* in  $B^2$  (with the base point  $y_0$ ) which is an  $n$ -tuple  $\mathcal{A} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r)$  of oriented simple arcs in  $B^2$  such that

- (1) for each  $i = 1, \dots, r$ ,  $\alpha_i \cap \partial D_2 = \partial \alpha_i \cap \partial D_2 = \{y_0\}$  which is the terminal point of  $\alpha_i$ ,
- (2)  $\alpha_i \cap \alpha_j = \{y_0\}$  ( $i \neq j$ ), and
- (3)  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$  appear in this order around the base point  $y_0$ .

The *starting point set* of  $\mathcal{A}$  is the set of initial points of  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$ .

Let  $\mathcal{A} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  be a Hurwitz arc system in  $B^2$  with the starting point set  $\Sigma(S)$ . Let  $N_i$  be a (small) regular neighborhood of the starting point of  $\alpha_i$  and  $\bar{\alpha}_i$  an oriented arc obtained from  $\alpha_i$  by restricting to  $D_2 \setminus \text{Int} N_i$ . For each  $\alpha_i$ , let  $\gamma_i$  be a loop  $\bar{\alpha}_i^{-1} \cdot \partial N_i \cdot \bar{\alpha}_i$  in  $D_2 \setminus \Sigma(S)$  with base point  $y_0$ , where  $\partial N_i$  is oriented counter-clockwise. The  $n$ -tuple  $(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)$  is called a *Hurwitz loop system* in  $B^2$  with the base point  $y_0$ , and  $\pi_1(D_2 \setminus \Sigma(S), y_0)$  is the free group generated by  $[\gamma_1], [\gamma_2], \dots, [\gamma_n]$ .

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $\gamma_i$  as above. A *braid system* of  $S$  is an  $n$ -tuple  $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)$  with  $\beta_i = \rho_S(\gamma_i)$ .

The choice of a braid system depends on the Hurwitz arc system. Rudolph [17] showed that an  $n$ -tuple  $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)$  of  $m$ -braids is a braid system of some  $S$  if and only if  $\beta_i$  is a conjugate of  $\sigma_1$  or  $\sigma_1^{-1}$ . We notice that for  $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$  and  $\varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$ , a conjugate of  $\sigma_i^\varepsilon$  is a conjugate of  $\sigma_1^\varepsilon$ . Such an  $n$ -tuple is simply called a braid system. For a braid system  $b = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)$  and  $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ , we define a new braid system  $b'$  by

$$b' = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{i-1}, \beta_i \beta_{i+1} \beta_i^{-1}, \beta_i, \beta_{i+2}, \dots, \beta_n).$$

Then, we say that  $b'$  is obtained from  $b$  by a *slide action*. Two braid systems are said to be *slide equivalent* (or *Hurwitz equivalent*) if one can be obtained from the other by a finite sequence of slide actions.

**Proposition 2.2** ([17]). *There exists an one to one natural correspondance between the set of equivalent classes of (simple) braided surfaces degree  $m$  and the set of slide equivalent classes of braid systems of degree  $m$ :*

$$\{\text{Braided surfaces}\} / \text{equivalent} \xleftrightarrow{1:1} \{\text{braid systems}\} / \text{slide equivalent}.$$

The braid group  $B_m$  acts on the free group  $F_m$  as follows: The braid group  $B_m$  is identified with the mapping class group  $\text{MCG}_\partial(D^2, X_m)$ , the group of isotopy classes of homeomorphisms  $\phi : D^2 \setminus X_m \rightarrow D^2 \setminus X_m$  that fix  $\partial D^2$  pointwise. An element  $b \in B_m$  corresponds to an isotopy class  $[\phi]$ , and the action of  $[\phi]$  on an element  $[\gamma] \in \pi_1(D^2 \setminus X_m)$  is defined as  $[\phi \circ \gamma]$ .

A Hurwitz system in  $D^2$  with the starting point set  $X_m$  induces generators  $x_1, \dots, x_m$  for  $\pi_1(D^2 \setminus X_m)$ , making it the free group  $F_m$  on  $x_1, \dots, x_m$ . The action of  $B_m$  on  $F_m$  is defined similarly via homeomorphisms  $\phi$  as described above. Explicitly, the images of  $\sigma_i \cdot x_j$  and  $\sigma_i^{-1} \cdot x_j$  are given by:

$$\sigma_i \cdot x_j = \begin{cases} x_i x_{i+1} x_i^{-1} & (j = i), \\ x_i & (j = i + 1), \\ x_j & (\text{otherwise}), \end{cases} \quad \sigma_i^{-1} \cdot x_j = \begin{cases} x_{i+1} & (j = i), \\ x_{i+1}^{-1} x_i x_{i+1} & (j = i + 1), \\ x_j & (\text{otherwise}). \end{cases}$$

For braids  $b_1, b_2 \in B_m$ , the action of  $(b_1 b_2)$  on  $w \in F_m$  is given by  $(b_1 b_2) \cdot w = b_2 \cdot (b_1 \cdot w)$ .

**2.3. The plat closure of braided surfaces.** A *wicket* [2] is a semi-circle in  $D^2 \times I$  that meets  $D^2 \times \{0\}$  orthogonally at its endpoints in  $\text{Int}D^2$ . A configuration of  $m$  wicket is a disjoint union of  $m$  wickets. Let  $w_0$  be the configuration of  $m$  wickets such that each wicket has the boundary  $\{x_{2i-1}, x_{2i}\} \subset X_m$  ( $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ ), and let  $\mathcal{W}_m$  denote the space consisting of configurations of  $m$ -wickets. For a loop  $f : (I, \partial I) \rightarrow (\mathcal{W}_m, w_0)$ , the  $2m$ -braid  $\beta_f$  is defined as

$$\beta_f = \bigcup_{t \in I} \partial f(t) \times \{t\} \subset (D^2 \times \{0\}) \times I = D^2 \times I.$$

A  $2m$ -braid  $\beta$  is *adequate* if  $\beta = \beta_f$  for some loop  $f : (I, \partial I) \rightarrow (\mathcal{W}_m, w_0)$ .

*Hilden subgroup*  $K_{2m}$  of  $B_{2m}$  is the subgroup generated by  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \sigma_1 \sigma_3 \sigma_2$  and  $\sigma_{2k} \sigma_{2k-1} \sigma_{2k+1}^{-1} \sigma_{2k}^{-1}$  for  $k \in \{1, \dots, m-1\}$ . We remark that  $\sigma_{2j-1}$  and  $\sigma_{2k} \sigma_{2k-1} \sigma_{2k+1} \sigma_{2k}$  also belong to  $K_{2m}$  for  $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  and  $k \in \{1, \dots, m-1\}$ . Brendle-Hatcher [2] proved that  $K_{2m}$  is precisely the subgroup generated by adequate  $2m$ -braids.

We assume  $D^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $y_0 = 1 \in \mathbb{C}$ . For a braided surface  $S$  of degree  $m$ , the  $m$ -braid  $\beta_S$  are defined as follows:

$$\beta_S = \bigcup_{t \in I} \text{pr}_1(\pi_S^{-1}(e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}t})) \times \{t\} \subset D^2 \times I.$$

We call  $\beta_S$  the *boundary braid* of  $S$ . A braided surface is *adequate* if the boundary braid  $\beta_S$  is adequate. We remark that the degree of an adequate braided surface is even.

Let  $S$  be an adequate braided surface of degree  $2m$ , and we define the plat closure of  $S$  as follows: For  $t \in I = [0, 1]$ , we define an interval  $J_t = \{re^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}t} \in \mathbb{C} \mid 1 \leq r \leq 2\}$ , where  $J_0 = J_1$ . The union of  $J_t$  ( $t \in I$ ) is an annulus, and  $\partial B^2$  is contained in the boundary of the annulus. Since  $\beta_S$  is adequate, there exists the loop  $f : (I, \partial I) \rightarrow (\mathcal{W}_m, w_0)$  such that  $\beta_S = \beta_f$ . Let  $w_t$  ( $t \in I$ ) denote the configuration of  $m$  wickets  $f(t)$  in  $D^2 \times J_t$  such that  $\partial w_t \subset D^2 \times \{e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}t}\}$ . Then, the union of  $w_t$  ( $t \in I$ ), denoted by  $A_S$ , is the surface properly embedded in  $(D^2 \times B^2)^c = \mathbb{R}^4 \setminus \text{int}(D^2 \times B^2)$  such that  $\partial A_S = \partial S = S \cap A_S$ .

**Definition 2.3.** The *plat closure* of  $S$  is the union of  $S$  and  $A_S$ , denoted by  $\widetilde{S}$ .

**Proposition 2.4** ([20]). *Every surface-link is ambiently isotopic to the plat closure of some braided surface.*

**Proposition 2.5** ([21]). *Let  $S$  be an adequate braided surface of degree  $2m$ , and let  $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)$  a braid system of  $S$  such that  $\beta_i = b_i^{-1} \sigma_1^{\varepsilon_i} b_i$  ( $\varepsilon_i \in \{1, -1\}$ ). Then, the knot group of the plat closure  $\widetilde{S}$  has a  $(2m, n)$ -presentation with inverses associated with  $b_1, \dots, b_n$ :*

$$\left\langle x_1, \dots, x_{2m} \mid b_i \cdot x_1 = b_i \cdot x_2, \quad x_{2j-1} = x_{2j}^{-1} \quad (i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, j \in \{1, \dots, m\}) \right\rangle.$$

*Proof.* Let  $S$  be an adequate braided surface of degree  $2m$  and  $\beta_S$  the boundary braid of  $S$ . From [8], the knot group  $G(S) = \pi_1(D^2 \times B^2 \setminus S)$  has  $(2m, n)$ -presentation

$$G(S) = \left\langle x_1, \dots, x_{2m} \mid b_i \cdot x_1 = b_i \cdot x_2 \quad (i \in \{1, \dots, n\}) \right\rangle.$$

Let  $L$  denote the intersection of  $S$  and  $A_S$ , which is a link in a 3-sphere  $\partial(D^2 \times B^2)$  and the closure of  $\beta_S$ . Then, the knot group  $G(L)$  has a presentation

$$G(L) = \left\langle x_1, \dots, x_{2m} \mid x_k = \beta_S \cdot x_k \quad (k = 1, \dots, 2m) \right\rangle.$$

By applying [21, Lemma 4.7] for the case of groups, the knot group of  $G(A_S) = \pi_1((D^2 \times B^2)^c \setminus A_S)$  has a presentation

$$G(A_S) = \left\langle x_1, \dots, x_{2m} \mid x_k = \beta_S \cdot x_k, \quad x_{2j-1} = x_{2j}^{-1} \ (k = 1, \dots, 2m, j = 1, \dots, m) \right\rangle.$$

Finally, the homomorphism  $\iota_S : G(L) \rightarrow G(S)$  (or  $\iota_{A_S} : G(L) \rightarrow G(A_S)$ ) induced from the natural inclusion map sends  $x_k \in G(L)$  to  $x_k \in G(S)$  (or  $x_k \in G(A_S)$ ), respectively. Hence we obtain the presentation in Proposition 2.5 by van Kampen's theorem.  $\square$

**2.4. Proof of Theorem 1.3.** We divide the proof into two parts: the ‘‘only if part’’ and the ‘‘if part’’.

**(Proof of the only if part):** Let  $G = G(F)$  be the knot group of a  $(c, d)$ -component genus  $g$  surface-link  $F$ . From Theorem 2.4,  $F$  is ambiently isotopic to the plat closure of an adequate braided surface  $S$  of degree  $2m$ . Let  $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)$  be a braid system of  $S$  such that  $\beta_i = b_i^{-1} \sigma_1^{\varepsilon_i} b_i$  ( $\varepsilon_i \in \{1, -1\}$ ). By Proposition 2.5,  $G$  admits a  $(2m, n)$ -presentation with inverses associated with  $b_1, \dots, b_n$ . Since  $\beta_S$  is adequate, the  $(2m, n)$ -presentation with inverses of  $G$  satisfies the weak  $\partial$ -condition:

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i=1}^n b_i^{-1} \sigma_1^{\varepsilon_i} b_i &= \prod_{i=1}^n \beta_i = \prod_{i=1}^n \rho_S([\gamma_i]) = \rho_S([\prod_{i=1}^n \gamma_i]) = \rho_S([\partial B^2]) \\ &= \beta_S \in K_{2m}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)$  is a Hurewitz loop system in  $B^2$ . It holds that  $\chi(\widetilde{S}) = 2m - n$  so that  $G$  satisfies the condition (2). Using the Alexander Duality Theorem, we know that  $H_1(\mathbb{R}^4 \setminus F) \cong \mathbb{Z}^c \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2)^d$ . Since  $H_1(\mathbb{R}^4 \setminus F)$  is the abelianization of  $G(F)$ ,  $G$  satisfies the condition (3).

**(Proof of the if part):** Suppose  $G$  is a group satisfying the conditions (1) – (3) of Theorem 1.3 for some  $m, n \geq 0$ . Specifically,  $G$  admits a  $(2m, n)$ -presentation with inverses associated with  $2m$ -braids  $b_1, \dots, b_n$ , and there exists signs  $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n$  such that

$$\prod_{i=1}^n b_i^{-1} \sigma_1^{\varepsilon_i} b_i \in K_{2m}.$$

Let  $S$  be a braided surface of degree  $2m$  associated with a braid system  $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)$  with  $\beta_i = b_i^{-1} \sigma_1^{\varepsilon_i} b_i$ . Since  $\beta_S = \prod_{i=1}^n b_i^{-1} \sigma_1^{\varepsilon_i} b_i \in K_{2m}$ ,  $S$  can be chosen as an adequate braided surface. We define the surface-link  $F$  as the plat closure of  $S$ . By Proposition 2.5, the knot group of  $F$  is isomorphic to  $G$ . Furthermore,  $F$  is  $(c, d)$ -component and genus  $g$  because

$$H_1(\mathbb{R}^4 \setminus F) \cong G/[G, G] \cong \mathbb{Z}^c \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2)^d \quad \text{and} \quad \chi(\widetilde{S}) = 2m - n = 2(c + d) - g.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.3.  $\square$

The author [20] also showed that every orientable surface-link is ambiently isotopic to the plat closure of a 2-dimensional braid. Consequently, we have a similar characterization of knot groups of orientable surface-links:

**Theorem 2.6.** *A group  $G$  is the knot group of a  $c$ -component genus  $g$  orientable surface-link if and only if  $G$  satisfies the following conditions for some  $m, n \geq 0$ :*

- (1)  $G$  has an  $(2m, n)$ -presentation with inverses satisfying the  $\partial$ -condition.
- (2) It holds that  $2c - g = 2m - n$ .
- (3)  $G/[G, G]$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}^c$ .

### 3. QUANDLES AND SYMMETRIC QUANDLES

**3.1. Quandles.** A *quandle* [7, 15] is a set  $Q$  with a binary operation  $*$  :  $Q \times Q \rightarrow Q$  on  $Q$  satisfying the following three axioms:

- (Q1) For any  $a \in Q$ , we have  $a * a = a$ .
- (Q2) For any  $a, b \in Q$ , there exists a unique element  $c \in Q$  such that  $a * c = b$ .
- (Q3) For any  $a, b, c \in Q$ , we have  $(a * b) * c = (a * c) * (b * c)$ .

A rack [4] is a set  $Q$  with a binary operation on  $Q$  satisfying (Q2) and (Q3).

A quandle homomorphism is a map  $f : Q \rightarrow Q'$  such that  $f(a * b) = f(a) * f(b)$  for any  $a, b \in Q$ . For  $b \in Q$ , the map  $S_b : Q \rightarrow Q$  sending  $a \in Q$  to  $a * b \in Q$  is a quandle homomorphism. Moreover,  $S_b$  is a quandle automorphism. The *inner automorphism group* of  $Q$ , denoted by  $\text{Inn}(Q)$ , is the subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(Q)$  generated by  $S_b$  for all  $b \in Q$ .

A quandle  $Q$  is called *connected* if  $\text{Inn}(Q)$  acts transitively on  $Q$ . Similarly, an orbit of  $Q$  under the action of  $\text{Inn}(Q)$  is called a *connected component* of  $Q$ .

For a quandle  $(Q, *)$ , we define the binary operation  $\bar{*}$  on  $Q$  by  $a\bar{*}b = c$ , where  $c \in Q$  is a unique element obtained from the axiom (Q2). Then  $(Q, \bar{*})$  is again a quandle. We call  $\bar{*}$  the *dual operation* of  $*$ .

When expressing elements of  $Q$ , we sometimes use the Fenn-Rourke notation [4]: For  $a, b \in Q$ ,  $a * b$  is written as  $a^b$ , and for  $w = b_1^{\varepsilon_1} b_2^{\varepsilon_2} \cdots b_n^{\varepsilon_n} \in F(Q)$ ,  $(\cdots ((a *^{\varepsilon_1} b_1) *^{\varepsilon_2} b_2) *^{\varepsilon_3} \cdots) *^{\varepsilon_n} b_n$  is written as  $a^w$ , where  $*^1 = *$  and  $*^{-1} = \bar{*}$ .

**Example 3.1** (Free quandle). Let  $A$  be a non-empty set and  $F(A)$  the free group on  $A$ . Then  $\text{FR}(A) = A \times F(A)$  is a rack by a binary operation  $(x, w) * (y, u) = (x, wu^{-1}yu)$  for  $(x, w), (y, u) \in \text{FR}(A)$ . We call  $\text{FR}(A)$  the *free rack* on  $A$ .

Let  $\sim_q$  be the equivalence relation on  $\text{FR}(A)$  generated by  $(x, w) \sim_q (x, xw)$  for  $x \in A$  and  $w \in F(A)$ . Then the rack operation on  $\text{FR}(A)$  induces a quandle operation on  $\text{FQ}(A) := \text{FR}(A) / \sim_q$ . We call  $\text{FQ}(A)$  the *free quandle* on  $A$ . We write  $x^w$  for  $(x, w) \in \text{FQ}(A)$  and  $x$  for  $(x, 1)$ , where  $1 \in F(A)$  is the unit element.

For a subset  $R$  of  $\text{FQ}(A) \times \text{FQ}(A)$ , the quandle  $\langle A \mid R \rangle_q$  is defined in a manner similar to the case of group presentations. The quandle  $\langle A \mid R \rangle_q$  is called a *quandle presentation* of a quandle  $Q$  if  $Q$  is isomorphic to  $\langle A \mid R \rangle_q$ . (See [4] for details.)

**Example 3.2** (Knot quandle). Let  $K$  be a properly embedded oriented  $n$ -submanifold in a connected  $(n + 2)$ -manifold  $M$ ,  $N(K)$  a regular neighborhood of  $K$  in  $M$ ,  $E(K) = \text{cl}(M \setminus N(K))$  the exterior of  $K$ , and  $*$  a fixed based point of  $E(K)$ . An (*unoriented*) *noose* of  $K$  is a pair  $(D, \alpha)$  of an (unoriented) meridional disk  $D$  of  $K$  and an oriented arc  $\alpha$  in  $E(K)$  connecting from a point of  $\partial D$  to  $*$ .

We denote by  $Q(M, K, p)$  the set of homotopy classes  $[(D, \alpha)]$  of all noose of  $K$ . The *knot quandle* (or *fundamental quandle*) of  $K$  is  $Q(K, p)$  with the binary operation  $*$  on  $Q(M, K, p)$  defined by

$$[(D, \alpha)] * [(D', \alpha')] = [(D, \alpha \cdot \alpha'^{-1} \partial D' \alpha')],$$

where  $\partial D'$  is a meridional loop starting from the initial point of  $\alpha'$  and going along  $\partial D'$  in the positive direction. See Figure 1 for  $n = 1$ . Thus we denote it by  $Q(M, K)$  or  $Q(K)$  simply. We notice that the knot quandle is independent of the choice of the base point  $p$  since  $M$  is connected. It is known that the numbers of connected components of  $K$  and  $Q(K)$  are equal.




FIGURE 1. Nooses of  $K$  (left) and an operation result of the knot quandle (right)

The knot quandle  $Q(D^2, X_m)$  is isomorphic to the free quandle on  $n$  letters. By a similar way of the definition of the action on the free group by  $B_m$ , we can define the action on the free quandle  $\text{FQ}(X_m)$  by  $B_m$ , denoted by  $b \cdot x$  for  $b \in B_m$  and  $x \in \text{FQ}(X_m)$ . Explicitly, for  $i \in \{1, \dots, m - 1\}$  and  $x_j \in X_m$ , the images  $\sigma_i \cdot x_j$  and  $\sigma_i^{-1} \cdot x_j$

are written as

$$\sigma_i \cdot x_j = \begin{cases} x_{i+1} \bar{*} x_i & (j = i), \\ x_i & (j = i + 1), \\ x_j & (\text{otherwise}). \end{cases} \quad \sigma_i^{-1} \cdot x_j = \begin{cases} x_{i+1} & (j = i), \\ x_i \bar{*} x_{i+1} & (j = i + 1), \\ x_j & (\text{otherwise}). \end{cases}$$

**3.2. Symmetric quandles.** A *good involution* of a quandle  $Q$  is an involution  $\rho : X \rightarrow X$  (i.e.,  $\rho \circ \rho = \text{id}_Q$ ) such that for any  $a, b \in Q$ , we have  $\rho(a * b) = \rho(a) * b$  and  $a * \rho(b) = a \bar{*} b$ . A *symmetric quandle* is a pair  $X = (Q, \rho)$  of a quandle  $Q$  and its good involution  $\rho$ . A symmetric quandle homomorphism is a quandle homomorphism  $f : (Q, \rho) \rightarrow (Q', \rho')$  such that  $f \circ \rho = \rho' \circ f$ .

**Example 3.3** (Dihedral quandles). The *dihedral quandle*  $R_n$  is  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  with  $a * b = 2b - a$ . Kamada and Oshiro [13] classified the good involutions of dihedral quandles:

- (1) When  $n \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{4}$ , the identity map  $\text{id}_{R_n}$  is the only good involution of  $R_n$ .
- (2) When  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ , the good involution is either the identity map  $\text{id}_{R_n}$  or the *antipodal map*  $\rho_A$  which is defined by  $\rho_A(a) = a + n/2$ .
- (3) When  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ , the good involution is either the identity map  $\text{id}_{R_n}$ , the antipodal map  $\rho_A$ , or one of the two types of *half-antipodal maps*, denoted by  $\rho_{HA}$  and  $\rho'_{HA}$ , which are defined by

$$\rho_{HA}(a) = \begin{cases} a + n/2 & (a: \text{even}) \\ a & (a: \text{odd}) \end{cases}, \quad \rho'_{HA}(a) = \begin{cases} a & (a: \text{even}) \\ a + n/2 & (a: \text{odd}) \end{cases}.$$

We remark that  $(R_{4n}, \rho_{HA})$  and  $(R_{4n}, \rho'_{HA})$  are isomorphic as symmetric quandles.

A *kei* (or a *involutory quandle*) is a quandle  $Q$  such that  $(a * b) * b = a$  for any  $a, b \in Q$ . The dihedral quandle is a kei. They also proved that  $Q$  is a kei if and only if the identity map  $\text{id}_Q$  is a good involution of  $Q$ .

**Example 3.4.** Let  $Q$  be a quandle and  $\bar{Q} = \{\bar{a} \mid a \in Q\}$  a copy of  $Q$ . We extend the binary operation  $*$  on  $Q$  into the disjoint union  $D(Q) = Q \cup \bar{Q}$ :

$$a * \bar{b} := a \bar{*} b, \quad \bar{a} * b := \overline{a * b}, \quad \bar{a} * \bar{b} := \overline{a \bar{*} b}.$$

Then  $(D(Q), *)$  is a quandle. We define a good involution  $\rho$  on  $D(Q)$  by  $\rho(a) = \bar{a}$ . The symmetric quandle  $(D(Q), \rho)$  is called the *double* of  $Q$ .

The *free symmetric quandle* on  $A$ , denoted by  $\text{FSQ}(A)$ , is the double of the free quandle  $\text{FQ}(A)$  on  $A$ . The underlying set of  $\text{FSQ}(A)$  is  $(A \cup \bar{A}) \times F(A)$  under the identification  $\bar{a} \in \bar{A}$  with  $a^{-1} \in F(A)$ .

Oshiro showed [16] that the double of  $R_{2n+1}$  is the dihedral quandle  $R_{4n+2}$  with the antipodal map.

**Example 3.5** (Knot symmetric quandles). Let  $K$  be an (unoriented) properly embedded  $n$ -submanifold in a connected  $(n + 2)$ -manifold  $M$ ,  $N(K)$  a regular neighborhood of  $K$  in  $M$ ,  $E(K) = \text{cl}(M \setminus N(K))$  the exterior of  $K$ , and  $*$  a fixed based point of  $E(K)$ . An *oriented noose* of  $K$  is a pair  $(D, \alpha)$  of an oriented meridional disk  $D$  of  $K$  and an oriented arc  $\alpha$  in  $E(K)$  connecting from a point of  $\partial D$  to  $*$ .

$\widetilde{Q}(M, K)$  (or  $\widetilde{Q}(K)$  simply) denotes the set of homotopy classes  $[(D, \alpha)]$  of all oriented noose of  $K$ . We remark that  $[(D, \alpha)]$  and  $[(-D, \alpha)]$  are different homotopy classes if  $K$  is orientable, where  $-D$  is  $D$  with the reversed orientation. The *knot full quandle* (or *fundamental full quandle*) of  $K$  is  $\widetilde{Q}(M, K)$  with the binary operation  $*$  on  $\widetilde{Q}(M, K)$  defined by

$$[(D, \alpha)] * [(D', \alpha')] = [(D, \alpha \cdot \alpha'^{-1} \partial D' \alpha')].$$

The good involution  $\rho_K$  of  $\widetilde{Q}(M, K)$  is defined by  $\rho_K([(D, \alpha)]) = [(-D, \alpha)]$ . The *symmetric knot quandle* (or *fundamental symmetric quandle*) of  $K$ , denoted by  $X(M, K)$  (or  $X(K)$  simply), is the pair of  $\widetilde{Q}(K)$  and  $\rho_K$ .

When  $K$  is orientable, then  $X(K)$  is the double of  $Q(K)$ . When  $K$  is non-orientable and connected, then  $X(K)$  is also connected as a quandle.

The knot symmetric quandle  $X(D^2, X_m)$  is isomorphic to the free symmetric quandle on  $m$  letters. The action on the free quandle  $\text{FQ}(X_m)$  by the braid group  $B_m$  extends the action on the free symmetric quandle  $\text{FSQ}(X_m)$  by  $B_m$  defined by  $b \cdot \bar{x}_j = \overline{b \cdot x_j}$ .

**3.3. Symmetric quandle presentation.** In this section, we recall the definition of presentations of symmetric quandles.

Let  $A$  be a non-empty set, and  $R$  be a subset of  $\text{FSQ}(A) \times \text{FSQ}(A)$ . Then, we define a subset  $\langle\langle R \rangle\rangle_{\text{sq}}$  of  $\text{FSQ}(A) \times \text{FSQ}(A)$  by repeating the following moves:

- (E1) For every  $x \in \text{FSQ}(A)$ , add  $(x, x)$  to  $R$ .
- (E2) For every  $(x, y) \in R$ , add  $(y, x)$  to  $R$ .
- (E3) For every  $(x, y), (y, z) \in R$ , add  $(x, z)$  to  $R$ .
- (R1) For every  $(x, y) \in R$  and  $a \in A$ , add  $(x^a, y^a), (x^{-a}, y^{-a})$  to  $R$ .
- (R2) For every  $(x, y) \in R$  and  $t \in \text{FSQ}(A)$ , add  $(t^x, t^y), (t^{-x}, t^{-y})$  to  $R$ .
- (S) For every  $(x, y) \in R$ , add  $(x^{-1}, y^{-1})$  to  $R$ .

Then  $\langle\langle R \rangle\rangle_{\text{sq}}$  is called the *set of symmetric quandle consequences* of  $R$ , which is the smallest congruence containing  $R$  with respect to the axioms of a symmetric quandle ([11]). An element of  $\langle\langle R \rangle\rangle_{\text{sq}}$  is called a *consequence* of  $R$ . Let  $\langle A \mid R \rangle_{\text{sq}}$  denote the quotient set  $\text{FSQ}(A)/\langle\langle R \rangle\rangle_{\text{sq}}$ . The quandle operation and the good involution of  $\text{FSQ}(A)$  induce a quandle operation and a good involution on  $\langle A \mid R \rangle_{\text{sq}}$ .

**Definition 3.6.** The symmetric quandle  $\langle A \mid R \rangle_{\text{sq}}$  is a *symmetric quandle presentation* of a symmetric quandle  $X$  if  $\langle A \mid R \rangle_{\text{sq}}$  is isomorphic to  $X$ .

The symbol  $\overline{x_i}$  denotes the image of  $x_i$  by the good involution in a symmetric quandle presentation. The notions and properties of symmetric quandle presentations are discussed in detail in [11, 21].

It is known that two finite presentations of a group are related by a finite sequence of Tietze transformations. This result was extended for finite presentations of racks [4] and of symmetric quandles [21].

**Proposition 3.7** ([21]). *Any two finite presentations of a symmetric quandle are related by a finite sequence of Tietze transformations (T1) – (T4):*

- (T1) Add a consequence  $r \in \langle\langle R \rangle\rangle_{\text{sq}}$  to  $R$ .
- (T2) Delete a relator  $r$  from  $R$ , where  $r$  is a consequence of other relators in  $R$ .
- (T3) Add a new generator  $x$  and a new relator  $(x, r)$  to  $A$  and  $R$ , respectively, where  $r$  is an element of  $F(A)$ .
- (T4) Delete a generator  $x$  and a relator  $(x, r)$  from  $A$  and  $R$ , respectively, where  $(x, r)$  is a consequence of other relators and  $x$  does not occur in other relators in  $R$ .

A symmetric quandle presentation is called a  $(2m, n)$ -presentation with inverses (associated with  $b_1, \dots, b_n$ ) if it is

$$\left\langle x_1, \dots, x_{2m} \mid b_i \cdot x_1 = b_i \cdot x_2, x_{2j-1} = \overline{x_{2j}} \quad (i = 1, \dots, n, j = 1, \dots, m) \right\rangle_{\text{sq}}.$$

We say that an  $(2m, n)$ -presentation with inverses satisfies the *weak  $\partial$ -condition* if there exist signs  $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n \in \{1, -1\}$  such that

$$\prod_{i=1}^n b_i^{-1} \sigma_1^{\varepsilon_i} b_i \in K_{2m}.$$

**Proposition 3.8** ([21]). *Let  $S$  be an adequate braided surface of degree  $2m$  and  $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)$  be a braid system of  $S$  such that  $\beta_i = b_i^{-1} \sigma_1^{\varepsilon_i} b_i$  ( $\varepsilon_i \in \{1, -1\}$ ). Then the knot symmetric quandle of the plat closure  $\widetilde{S}$  has a  $(2m, n)$ -presentation with inverses associated with  $b_1, \dots, b_n$ .*

**3.4. Proof of Theorem 1.4.** We prove Theorem 1.4 by rephrasing the proof of Theorem 1.3. Thus, we provide only an outline of the proof.

**(Proof of the only if part):** Let  $(Q, \rho)$  be the knot symmetric quandle of a  $(c, d)$ -component genus  $g$  surface-link  $F$ . Then, there exists an adequate braided surface  $S$  of degree  $2m$  whose plat closure is  $F$ . By Proposition 3.8,  $(Q, \rho)$  has a  $(2m, n)$ -presentation with inverses satisfying the weak  $\partial$ -condition. Furthermore,  $(Q, \rho)$  satisfies the condition (2) because  $\chi(\widetilde{S}) = 2m - n = 2(c + d) - g$ .

Let  $F_0$  be a connected component of  $F$ . For any  $[(D, \alpha)], [(D', \alpha')] \in X(F)$  with meridional disks  $D$  and  $D'$  of  $F_0$ , either  $[(D, \alpha)]$  or  $[(-D, \alpha)]$  belong to the connected component of  $X(F)$  containing  $[(D', \alpha')]$ . Moreover, connected components of  $X(F)$  containing  $[(D, \alpha)]$  and  $[(-D, \alpha)]$  are the same if and only if  $F_0$  is non-orientable. Thus, the number of connected component of  $X(F)$  is  $2c + d$ . Furthermore, the good involution of  $X(F)$  maps  $[(D, \alpha)]$  to  $[(-D, \alpha)]$ . Hence,  $(Q, \rho)$  satisfies the condition (3).



**(Proof of the if part):** Let  $(Q, \rho)$  be a symmetric quandle satisfying the conditions (1) – (3) of Theorem 1.4 for some  $m, n \geq 0$ . Then, there exists an adequate braided surface  $S$  of degree  $2m$  such that the knot symmetric quandle of the plat closure  $\widetilde{S}$  is  $(Q, \rho)$ . By the condition (3),  $\widetilde{S}$  is  $(c, d)$ -component. Finally, the genus of  $\widetilde{S}$  is  $g$  since it holds that  $\chi(\widetilde{S}) = 2m - n = 2(c + d) - g$ .  $\square$

#### 4. KNOT SYMMETRIC QUANDLES AND DIHEDRAL QUANDLES

In this section, we provide symmetric quandle presentations of dihedral quandles with arbitrarily good involutions, and we show that dihedral quandles with arbitrarily good involutions can be realized as the knot symmetric quandles of surface-links. The following was provided by Taniguchi in a private communication: Let  $\langle A \mid R \rangle_{\text{sq}}$  be a symmetric quandle presentation of  $(Q, \rho)$ . Denote  $\bar{A} = \{\bar{x} \mid x \in A\}$ , and assume that  $\bar{A}$  is disjoint from  $A$ . For an element  $r = (x^w, y^u) \in R$ , we denote  $\bar{r} = (\bar{x}^w, \bar{y}^u)$ , where  $\bar{x} = x$  for  $x \in A$ . We define  $\bar{R} = \{\bar{r} \mid r \in R\}$ , and  $R_0 = \{(x^{\bar{y}}, x^{\bar{y}^{-1}}) \mid x, y \in A\}$ .

**Proposition 4.1.** *In the situation above,  $Q$  has a quandle presentation  $\langle A, \bar{A} \mid R, \bar{R}, R_0 \rangle_{\text{q}}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $Q_0$  denote the quandle with  $\langle A, \bar{A} \mid R, \bar{R}, R_0 \rangle_{\text{q}}$ . We define the involution  $\rho_0 : Q_0 \rightarrow Q_0$  by  $\rho_0(x^w) = \bar{x}^w$  for  $x^w \in Q_0$ . Then we verify that  $\rho_0$  is a good involution of  $Q_0$  as follows: For any  $x^w, y^u \in Q$  ( $x, y \in A \cup \bar{A}$ ,  $w, u \in F(A)$ ), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_0(x^w * y^u) &= \bar{x}^{wu^{-1}yu} = \rho_0(x^w) * y^u. \\ x^w * \rho_0(y^u) &= x^{wu^{-1}\bar{y}u} \stackrel{(\#)}{=} x^{\bar{y}yu^{-1}y^{-1}u} = x^{y^{-1}ywu^{-1}y^{-1}u} = x^w * \bar{y}^u. \end{aligned}$$

Here, the equality  $(\#)$  follows from  $z^{w\bar{y}} = z^{wx^{-1}\bar{y}yx^{-1}}$  for each  $x, y, z \in A$  and  $w \in F(A)$ , derived from the relation  $x^{\bar{y}} = x^{y^{-1}}$  in  $R_0$ .

Let  $f_1 : A \cup \bar{A} \hookrightarrow Q$  and  $\iota_1 : A \cup \bar{A} \hookrightarrow Q_0$  be the natural maps. By the universality of quandle presentations (cf. [12, Lemma 8.6.3]), there exists a quandle homomorphism  $F_1 : Q_0 \rightarrow Q$  such that  $f_1 = F_1 \circ \iota_1$ .

Similarly, let  $f_2 : A \hookrightarrow Q_0$  and  $\iota_2 : A \hookrightarrow Q$  be the natural maps. By the universality of symmetric quandle presentation ([21, Lemma 3.8]), there exists a symmetric quandle homomorphism  $F_2 : (Q, \rho) \rightarrow (Q_0, \rho_0)$  such that  $f_2 = F_2 \circ \iota_2$ . Finally,  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are inverse maps of each other, and thus  $Q$  and  $Q_0$  are isomorphic.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.2** (cf. [3]). *The dihedral quandle has the following quandle presentation:*

$$\begin{aligned} R_{2n+1} &= \left\langle x, y \mid x^{y^2} = x, y^{x^2} = y, x = y^{(xy)^n} \right\rangle_{\text{q}}. \\ R_{2n} &= \left\langle x, y \mid x^{y^2} = x, y^{x^2} = y, x = x^{(yx)^n}, y = y^{(xy)^n} \right\rangle_{\text{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we provide symmetric quandle presentations of dihedral quandles for each good involution.

**Proposition 4.3.** *For  $n \geq 1$ , the dihedral quandle with the antipodal map has the following presentation:*

$$\begin{aligned} (R_{4n+2}, \rho_A) &= \left\langle x, y \mid \bar{x} = y^{(xy)^n}, \bar{y} = x^{(yx)^n} \right\rangle_{\text{sq}}, \\ (R_{4n}, \rho_A) &= \left\langle x, y \mid \bar{x} = x^{(yx)^n}, \bar{y} = y^{(xy)^n} \right\rangle_{\text{sq}}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* We show the case of  $(R_{4n+2}, \rho_A)$ . Let  $(Q, \rho) = \left\langle x, y \mid \bar{x} = y^{(xy)^n}, \bar{y} = x^{(yx)^n} \right\rangle_{\text{sq}}$  denote the symmetric quandle. By direct calculation, we obtain the equality  $x * y = x * (y^{(xy)^n} x^{(yx)^n} y)$  without any additional relations:

$$\begin{aligned} x * (y^{(xy)^n} x^{(yx)^n} y) &= x * ((y^{-1} x^{-1})^n y(xy)^n y^{-1} (x^{-1} y^{-1})^n x(yx)^n y) \\ &= x * ((y^{-1} x^{-1})^n (yx)^n y y^{-1} (x^{-1} y^{-1})^n (xy)^{n+1}) \\ &= x * (xy) = x * y. \end{aligned}$$

Since the relation  $\bar{y} = x^{(yx)^n}$  derives the equality  $\bar{y} = x^{(yx)^n} y$ , we obtain a new relation  $x * y = x * \bar{y}$  in the presentation. Similarly, we obtain  $y * x = y * \bar{x}$ . Hence,  $(Q, \rho)$  has the following presentation

$$(4.1) \quad (Q, \rho) = \left\langle x, y \mid x = x^{y^2}, y = y^{x^2}, \bar{x} = y^{(xy)^n}, \bar{y} = x^{(yx)^n} \right\rangle_{\text{sq}}.$$

By Proposition 4.1,  $Q$  has a quandle 1presentation with four generators  $x, y, \bar{x}, \bar{y}$ , and the following twelve relations:

$$\begin{aligned} x = x^{y^2}, \quad y = y^{x^2}, \quad \bar{x} = y^{(xy)^n}, \quad \bar{y} = x^{(yx)^n}, \quad \bar{x} = \bar{x}^{y^2}, \quad \bar{y} = \bar{y}^{x^2}, \quad x = \bar{y}^{(xy)^n}, \quad y = \bar{x}^{(yx)^n}, \\ x^{\bar{x}} = x^{x^{-1}}, \quad x^{\bar{y}} = x^{y^{-1}}, \quad y^{\bar{x}} = y^{x^{-1}}, \quad y^{\bar{y}} = y^{y^{-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

We now remove generators  $\bar{x}, \bar{y}$  with the relations  $\bar{x} = y^{(xy)^n}$  and  $\bar{y} = x^{(yx)^n}$  from the presentation of  $Q$ , resulting in the quandle  $Q$  being generated by  $x, y$  with the following ten relations:

$$\begin{aligned} x^{y^2} = x, \quad y^{x^2} = y, \quad y^{(xy)^n y^2} = y^{(xy)^n}, \quad x^{(xy)^n x^2} = x^{(xy)^n}, \quad x = x^{(yx)^n (xy)^n}, \quad y = y^{(xy)^n (yx)^n}, \\ x^{y^{(xy)^n}} = x^{x^{-1}}, \quad x^{x^{(yx)^n}} = x^{y^{-1}}, \quad y^{x^{(yx)^n}} = y^{x^{-1}}, \quad y^{y^{(xy)^n}} = y^{y^{-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Two relations  $x^{y^2} = x$  and  $y^{x^2} = y$  induce the following equalities for any  $z \in \{x, y\}$  and  $w, u \in F(\{x, y\})$ :

$$z^{wxu} = z^{wx^{-1}u}, \quad z^{wyu} = z^{wy^{-1}u}.$$

Therefore, all relations except for  $x^{y^2} = x$ ,  $y^{x^2} = y$ ,  $x^{y^{(xy)^n}} = x^{x^{-1}}$ , and  $y^{x^{(yx)^n}} = y^{y^{-1}}$  are removable relations, so we can remove these relations from the presentation. Finally, the relations  $x^{y^{(xy)^n}} = x^{x^{-1}}$  and  $y^{x^{(yx)^n}} = y^{y^{-1}}$  are equivalent to  $x = x^{(yx)^{2n+1}}$  and  $y = y^{(xy)^{2n+1}}$ , respectively. Therefore,  $Q$  is the dihedral quandle  $R_{2n+1}$ . Since the images of  $x$  and  $y$  under  $\rho$  are distinct from themselves,  $\rho$  is the antipodal map  $\rho_A$ . The case of  $(R_{4n}, \rho_A)$  can be shown by a similar way, so we leave the proof to the reader.  $\square$

The proofs of the following two propositions are similar to the proof of Proposition 4.3, so we omit them.

**Proposition 4.4.** *The dihedral quandle with the identity map has the following presentations:*

$$\begin{aligned} (R_{2n+1}, \text{id}) &= \langle x, y \mid \bar{x} = x, \bar{y} = y, x = y^{(xy)^n} \rangle_{\text{sq}}, \\ (R_{2n}, \text{id}) &= \langle x, y \mid \bar{x} = x, \bar{y} = y, x = x^{(yx)^n}, y = y^{(xy)^n} \rangle_{\text{sq}}. \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 4.5.** *The dihedral quandle with the half-antipodal map has the following presentation:*

$$(R_{4n}, \rho_{HA}) = \langle x, y \mid \bar{x} = x, \bar{x} = x^{(yx)^n}, \bar{y} = y^{(xy)^n} \rangle_{\text{sq}}.$$

**4.1. Proof of Theorem 1.5.** For each good involution  $\rho$  of  $R_n$ , we construct a surface-link  $F$  with  $X(F) \simeq (R_n, \rho)$ .

**(Case 1:  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ ,  $\rho = \rho_A$ : the antipodal map)** We denote  $k = n/2$  and take an integer  $m$  such that  $\gcd(k, m) = 1$ . Let  $F = \tau^2(K(k, m))$  denote the 2-twist spun 2-knot of a 2-bridge knot  $K(k, m)$ . It was shown by Inoue [6] that the knot quandle of  $F$  is isomorphic to the dihedral quandle  $R_k$ . Hence, the knot symmetric quandle  $X(F)$  is the double of  $R_k$ , which is  $(R_{2k}, \rho_A) = (R_n, \rho_A)$  (see Example 3.4).

**(Case 2:  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ ,  $\rho = \rho_A$ : the antipodal map)** We construct a surface-link  $F_n$  as follows: Let  $b = (\sigma_2^{-1})^k$  be a 4-braid for  $k = n/2$ . We put  $b_1 = b$  and  $b_2 = (\sigma_2 \sigma_1 \sigma_3 \sigma_2)^{-1} b$ . Then,  $(b_1^{-1} \sigma_1 b_1)(b_2^{-1} \sigma_1^{-1} b_2)$  is an adequate 4-braid. Hence, we have a symmetric quandle  $X$  with a  $(4, 2)$ -presentation with inverses associated with  $b_1, b_2$  satisfying the weak  $\partial$ -condition:

$$\langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \mid x_1 = x_2^{(x_3 x_2)^k}, x_3^{(x_2 x_3)^k} = x_4, x_1 = \bar{x}_2, x_3 = \bar{x}_4 \rangle_{\text{sq}}.$$

By Tietze moves, we remove generators  $x_2$  and  $x_3$  with relations  $x_1 = \bar{x}_2$  and  $x_3 = \bar{x}_4$  from the presentation so that we have

$$X = \langle x_2, x_3 \mid \bar{x}_2 = x_2^{(x_3 x_2)^k}, \bar{x}_3 = x_3^{(x_2 x_3)^k} \rangle_{\text{sq}},$$

and hence  $X$  is the dihedral quandle  $R_{2k} = R_n$  with the antipodal map. By Theorem 1.4,  $X$  is the knot symmetric quandle of a surface-link  $F_n$  consisting of two projective planes.

**(Case 3:  $n \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{4}$ ,  $\rho = \text{id}$ )** Let  $F$  denote a connected sum of  $\tau^2(K(n, m))$  and a trivial  $P^2$ -knot. In terms of the presentation of a symmetric quandle, taking the connected sum of a trivial  $P^2$ -knot is equivalent to adding relations  $x = \bar{x}$ , where  $x$  represents the meridional disk of the trivial  $P^2$ -knot. Since  $F$  is connected,  $x = \bar{x}$  induces the relation  $y = \bar{y}$  so that  $X(F)$  has the presentation of  $(R_{2n+1}, \text{id})$  in Proposition 4.4.

(**Case 4:**  $n \equiv 0, 2 \pmod{4}$ ,  $\rho = \text{id}$ ) Let  $F_n$  be the surface-link constructed in (Case 2). Since  $F_n$  is a 2-component surface-link, we obtain the surface-link  $F$  by taking the connected sum of trivial  $P^2$ -knots with each component of  $F_n$ . Then, a presentation of  $X(F)$  is obtained from the presentation of  $(R_{4n}, \rho_A)$  in Proposition 4.3 by adding two relations  $x = \bar{x}$  and  $y = \bar{y}$ , and hence this is the presentation of  $(R_{2n}, \text{id})$  in Proposition 4.4.

(**Case 5:**  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ ,  $\rho = \rho_{HA}$ ) Let  $F$  denote a connected sum of the surface-link  $F_n$  constructed in (Case 2) and a trivial  $P^2$ -knot. Then  $X(F)$  has the presentation obtained from the presentation of  $(R_{4n}, \rho_A)$  in Proposition 4.3 by adding the relation  $x = \bar{x}$ , and hence this is the presentation of  $(R_{4n}, \rho_{HA})$  in Proposition 4.5.  $\square$

## 5. REMARKS ON $P^2$ -IRREDUCIBILITY OF NON-ORIENTABLE SURFACE-LINKS

A  $P^2$ -knot is a surface-knot homeomorphic to the projective plane  $\mathbb{R}P^2$ , and a  $P^2$ -link is a disjoint union of  $P^2$ -knots. A surface-link is called  $P^2$ -reducible if it is a connected sum of a trivial  $P^2$ -knot and some surface-link, otherwise it is called  $P^2$ -irreducible. The following is one of the fundamental conjectures for  $P^2$ -knots.

**Conjecture 5.1** (Kinoshita's problem [14]). *Every  $P^2$ -knot is  $P^2$ -reducible.*

Using the knot symmetric quandle, we derive a necessary condition for the  $P^2$ -reducibility of surface-knots.

**Proposition 5.2.** *For a  $P^2$ -reducible surface-knot  $F$ , the good involution of  $X(F)$  is the identity map. In particular,  $X(F)$  is a kei.*

*Proof.* Let  $F$  be a  $P^2$ -reducible surface-knot, meaning that  $F$  decomposes into a surface-knot and a trivial  $P^2$ -knot  $P_0$ . Let  $x \in X(F)$  be an element representing a meridional disk of  $P_0$ . Then the image  $\rho(x)$  of  $x$  under the good involution  $\rho$  of  $X(F)$  is the same as  $x$ . Since  $F$  is a surface-knot with a  $(0, 1)$ -component,  $X(F)$  is a connected quandle by Theorem 1.4. Hence, for each  $y \in X(F)$ , there exists  $z \in X(F)$  such that  $y = x * z$ . Therefore, we have  $\rho(y) = \rho(x * z) = \rho(x) * z = x * z = y$ , which implies that  $\rho = \text{id}$ . It was shown by Kamada-Oshiro [13] that a quandle  $Q$  is a kei if and only if the identity map is a good involution of  $Q$ .  $\square$

We remark that this Proposition does not hold for surface-links. For instance, the surface-link constructed in (Case 5) of the proof of Theorem 1.5 is  $P^2$ -reducible even though the good involution is the half-antipodal map.

In the proof of Theorem 1.5, we construct an infinite family of 2-component  $P^2$ -links  $F_n$  ( $n \geq 1$ ). It follows from a direct computation that the knot group of  $F_n$  is the generalized quaternion group  $Q_n$  of order  $8n$  whose group presentation is

$$\langle a, b \mid a^{4k} = 1, b^2 = a^k, b^{-1}ab = a^{-1} \rangle,$$

where meridional loops of the components of  $F_n$  are represented by  $b$  and  $b^{-1}a$ , respectively. The orders of  $b$  and  $b^{-1}a$  are four. It implies that  $F_n$  is  $P^2$ -irreducible, and we have the following result:

**Theorem 5.3.** *There exists an infinite family of 2-component  $P^2$ -irreducible  $P^2$ -links.*

We notice that  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are  $8_1^{-1,-1}$  and  $10_1^{-1,-1}$  in the list of [22], respectively.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author would like to thank Taizo Kanenobu and Yuta Taniguchi for their helpful advice on the computation of the knot groups and the knot symmetric quandles of surface-links. This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 22J20494.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Emil Artin. Theorie der Zöpfe. *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg*, 4(1):47–72, 1925.
- [2] Tara Brendle and Allen Hatcher. Configuration spaces of rings and wickets. *Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici*, 88, 05 2008.
- [3] Neeraj K. Dhanwani, Hitesh Raundal, and Mahender Singh. Presentations of Dehn quandles. *J. Algebra*, 636:207–247, 2023.
- [4] Roger Fenn and Colin Rourke. Racks and links in codimension two. *J. Knot Theory Ramifications*, 1(4):343–406, 1992.
- [5] F. González-Acuña. A characterization of 2-knot groups. *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana*, 10(2):221–228, 1994.
- [6] Ayumu Inoue. On the knot quandle of a fibered knot, finiteness and equivalence of knot quandles. *Topology Appl.*, 265:106811, 8, 2019.
- [7] D. Joyce. A classifying invariants of knots. *J. Pure. Appl. Alg.*, 23:37–65, 1982.

- [8] Seiichi Kamada. A characterization of groups of closed orientable surfaces in 4-space. *Topology*, 33(1):113–122, 1994.
- [9] Seiichi Kamada. On braid monodromies of non-simple braided surfaces. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 120(2):237–245, 1996.
- [10] Seiichi Kamada. Quandles with good involutions, their homologies and knot invariants. *Intelligence of Low Dimensional Topology 2006*, pages 101–108, 01 2007.
- [11] Seiichi Kamada. Quandles and symmetric quandles for higher dimensional knots. In *Knots in Poland III. Part III*, volume 103 of *Banach Center Publ.*, pages 145–158. Polish Acad. Sci. Inst. Math., Warsaw, 2014.
- [12] Seiichi Kamada. *Surface-Knots in 4-Space*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, 2017.
- [13] Seiichi Kamada and Kanako Oshiro. Homology groups of symmetric quandles and cocycle invariants of links and surface-links. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 362(10):5501–5527, 2010.
- [14] Shin’ichi Kinoshita. On the Alexander polynomials of 2-spheres in a 4-sphere. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 74:518–531, 1961.
- [15] S. Matveev. Distributive groupoids in knot theory. *Math. USSR-Sb*, 47:73–83, 1984.
- [16] Kanako Oshiro. Quandles versus symmetric quandles for oriented links, 2020.
- [17] Lee Rudolph. Braided surfaces and Seifert ribbons for closed braids. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 58(1):1–37, 1983.
- [18] Kokoro Tanaka and Yuta Taniguchi. 2-knots with the same knot group but different knot quandles, 2023.
- [19] O.Ya. Viro. Lecture given at osaka city university. September 1990.
- [20] Jumpei Yasuda. A plat form presentation for surface-links. *arXiv:2105.08634*, 2021.
- [21] Jumpei Yasuda. Computation of the knot symmetric quandle and its application to the plat index of surface-links. *J. Knot Theory Ramifications*, 33(03):2450005, 2024.
- [22] Katsuyuki Yoshikawa. An enumeration of surfaces in four-space. *Osaka Journal of Mathematics*, 31(3):497 – 522, 1994.