

Certain functional identities involving a pair of homogeneous derivations with central values in gr-prime rings

Yassine Ait Mohamed

Abstract

In this paper, we explore functional identities with central values in gr-prime rings involving pairs of homogeneous derivations. We establish commutativity conditions that extend classical results from prime rings to the graded setting. In particular, we show that under certain conditions on homogeneous derivations, the ring must be commutative. Furthermore, we demonstrate that these results cannot be extended to gr-semiprime rings.

1. Introduction

Homogeneous derivations were first introduced by Kanunnikov [2] and naturally appear in the study of graded rings. Recent investigations [1] have focused on extending classical results to gr-prime rings, with particular attention to Posner's theorems in the graded setting. These extensions demonstrate that in gr-prime rings, when two derivations (at least one being homogeneous) have a composition that is itself a derivation, then one must vanish. Moreover, when homogeneous derivations d_1 and d_2 satisfy the condition that $[d_1(x), d_2(y)]$ lies in the center $Z(R)$ for all $x, y \in R$, then R is necessarily commutative. The present work continues this line of investigation by examining central conditions involving Jordan products and compositions of homogeneous derivations. Our main results establish that in a gr-prime ring R , the centrality of either the Jordan product $d_1(x) \circ d_2(y)$ or the composition $d_1 d_2(x)$ for all elements $x, y \in R$ implies the commutativity of R .

Let R be an associative ring with center $Z(R)$, and let G be an abelian group with identity e . For $x, y \in R$, the symbol $[x, y]$ (resp. $x \circ y$) denotes the Lie product $xy - yx$ (resp. $xy + yx$ for Jordan product). A ring R is G -graded if there is a family $\{R_g, g \in G\}$ of additive subgroups R_g of $(R, +)$ such that $R = \bigoplus_{g \in G} R_g$ and $R_g R_h \subseteq R_{gh}$ for every $g, h \in G$. The additive subgroup R_g is called the homogeneous component of R , and we denote by $\mathcal{H}(R) = \bigcup_{g \in G} R_g$ the set of homogeneous elements of R . A nonzero element $x \in R_g$ is said to be homogeneous of

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 13A02, 16N60, 13N15.

Key words and phrases. Commutativity; homogeneous derivation; gr-prime rings; gr-semiprime rings.

degree g , and we write $\deg(x) = g$. Each element $x \in R$ has a unique decomposition $x = \sum_{g \in G} x_g$ with $x_g \in R_g$ for all $g \in G$, where the sum is finite. The terms x_g are called the homogeneous components of element x .

Let R and T be G_1 -graded and G_2 -graded rings, respectively, then $R \times T$ is $G_1 \times G_2$ -graded by

$$(R \times T)_{(g,h)} = \{(a_g, b_h) \mid a_g \in R \text{ and } b_h \in T_h\} \text{ for } (g, h) \in G_1 \times G_2.$$

Let I be an ideal of a G -graded ring R . Then I is said to be a graded ideal if $I = \bigoplus_{g \in G} I_g$, where $I_g = (I \cap R_g)$ for all $g \in G$. That is, for $x \in I$, $x = \sum_{g \in G} x_g$, where $x_g \in I$ for all $g \in G$.

A graded ring R is said to be gr-prime (gr-semiprime) if $aRb = \{0\}$ implies $a = 0$ or $b = 0$ (if $aRa = \{0\}$ then $a = 0$), where $a, b \in \mathcal{H}(R)$. It should be noted that there exist gr-prime (gr-semiprime) rings that are not prime (semiprime) for more details we refer to [3].

An additive mapping $d : R \rightarrow R$ is a derivation of a ring R if d satisfies

$$d(xy) = d(x)y + xd(y)$$

for all $x, y \in R$. A derivation d is called an inner derivation if there exists $a \in R$ such that $d(x) = [a, x]$ for all $x \in R$.

A derivation $d : R \rightarrow R$ is called homogeneous if

$$d(r) \in \mathcal{H}(R)$$

for all $r \in \mathcal{H}(R)$ (see [2]).

This paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, we establish fundamental preliminaries and present key results concerning graded rings and homogeneous derivations. Section 3 contains our main results and their proofs, where we investigate the aforementioned central identities involving pairs of homogeneous derivations. We conclude this section by providing an example demonstrating that these results cannot be extended to gr-semiprime rings.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we present essential results on graded rings and homogeneous derivations needed to prove the main results of this paper.

Lemma 2.1. [[1], Proposition 2.1] *Let R be a gr-prime ring. The following statements hold:*

- (1) *If $aRb = \{0\}$, where a or $b \in \mathcal{H}(R)$, then $a = 0$ or $b = 0$.*
- (2) *Let $c \in Z(R) \cap \mathcal{H}(R) \setminus \{0\}$. If $x \in R$ satisfies $cx \in Z(R)$, then $x \in Z(R)$.*

(3) The centralizer of any nonzero graded one-sided ideal I is equal to the center of R . In particular, if R has a nonzero central graded ideal, then R is a commutative graded ring.

Lemma 2.2. Let R be a gr-prime ring and d a homogeneous derivation of R . Suppose that $ad(x) = 0$ or $d(x)a = 0$ for all $x \in R$. Then in both the cases either $a = 0$ or $d = 0$.

Proof. Suppose that $ad(x) = 0$ and $a \neq 0$. Writing xy instead of x , we obtain $axd(y) = 0$. This implies $aRd(x) = \{0\}$ for all $x \in R$. In particular, $aRd(r) = \{0\}$ for all $r \in \mathcal{H}(R)$. Using Lemma (2.1), we conclude that $d(r) = 0$ for all $r \in \mathcal{H}(R)$, hence $d = 0$. \square

The following proposition describes the commutativity of a gr-prime ring R when either the Lie product or the Jordan product of elements in a graded ideal always lies in the center of R .

Proposition 2.1. Let R be a gr-prime ring and I a nonzero graded ideal of R such that

$$[x, y] \in Z(R) \text{ or } x \circ y \in Z(R)$$

for all $x, y \in I$, then R is a commutative graded ring.

Proof. Assume that $[x, y] \in Z(R)$ for all $x, y \in I$. Then

$$[z, [x, y]] = 0 \tag{2.1}$$

for all $x, y \in I$ and $z \in R$. Taking yx instead of y in (2.1) and using it, we obtain

$$[x, y][z, x] = 0 \tag{2.2}$$

for all $x, y \in I$ and $z \in R$. Writing zy for z in (2.2), we are given that

$$[x, y]z[x, y] = 0$$

for all $x, y \in I$ and $z \in R$, which implies that

$$[x, y]R[x, y] = \{0\}$$

for all $x, y \in I$. Since I is a graded ideal, then

$$[r_1, r_2]R[r_1, r_2] = \{0\}$$

for all $r_1, r_2 \in I \cap \mathcal{H}(R)$. By gr-primeness of R , we conclude that $[r_1, r_2] = 0$ for all $r_1, r_2 \in I \cap \mathcal{H}(R)$ and hence $[x, y] = 0$ for all $x, y \in I$. Therefore, I is commutative.

In view of Lemma (2.1), R is a commutative graded ring. Now, if $x \circ y \in Z(R)$ for all $x, y \in I$. Then

$$[x \circ y, z] = 0 \quad (2.3)$$

for all $x, y \in I$ and $z \in R$. Writing yx instead of y in (2.3) and using it, we obtain

$$(x \circ y)[x, z] = 0 \quad (2.4)$$

Replacing z by sz in (2.4), we obtain

$$(x \circ y)s[x, z] = 0$$

for all $x, y \in I$ and $s, z \in R$. This implies that

$$(x \circ y)R[x, z] = \{0\}$$

for all $x, y \in I$ and $z \in R$. In particular,

$$(r_1 \circ r_2)R[r_1, z] = \{0\}$$

for all $r_1, r_2 \in I \cap \mathcal{H}(R)$ and $z \in R$. According to Lemma (2.1), we conclude that $r_1 \circ r_2 = 0$ or $[r_1, z] = 0$ for all $r_1, r_2 \in I \cap \mathcal{H}(R)$ and $z \in R$. Hence $x \circ y = 0$ or $[x, z] = 0$ for all $x, y \in I$ and $z \in R$. The latter case implies that I is a central graded ideal, applying Lemma 2.1, we conclude that R is commutative. Now, assume that $x \circ y = 0$ for all $x, y \in I$. Writing yz instead of y , we obtain $y[x, z] = 0$ for all $x, y \in I$ and $z \in R$. Since I is a nonzero ideal of R , then for some $a \in I \setminus \{0\}$, we have $a[x, z] = 0$ for all $x \in I$ and $z \in R$. For a fixed $r \in I \cap \mathcal{H}(R)$ define d_r to be the inner homogeneous derivation associated with r of R . Moreover, we have $ad_r(z) = 0$ for all $z \in R$. Invoking Lemma (2.2), we have $d_r(z) = [r, z] = 0$ for all $z \in R$. Hence $[x, z] = 0$ for all $x \in I$ and $z \in R$. In both cases we find that I is a central graded ideal of R . Therefore, R is a commutative graded ring. □

3. Main results

The main purpose of this section is to prove the following theorems.

Theorem 3.1. *Let R be a gr-prime ring and I a nonzero graded ideal of R . Suppose d_1 and d_2 are homogeneous derivations of R such that $d_1(Z(R)) \neq \{0\}$ and $d_2(Z(R)) \neq \{0\}$. If d_1 and d_2 satisfy*

$$d_1(x) \circ d_2(y) \in Z(R) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in I,$$

then R is a commutative graded ring.

Theorem 3.2. *Let R be a gr-prime ring of characteristic different from 2. Suppose d_1 and d_2 are nonzero homogeneous derivations of R such that*

$$d_1 d_2(x) \in Z(R) \quad \text{for all } x \in R,$$

then R is a commutative graded ring.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. By hypothesis

$$d_1(x) \circ d_2(y) \in Z(R) \tag{3.1}$$

for all $x, y \in I$. Since $d_2(Z(R)) \neq \{0\}$, then there exists $c \in Z(R) \cap \mathcal{H}(R) \setminus \{0\}$ such that $d_2(c) \neq 0$. Taking yc instead of y in (3.1) and using it, yields

$$(d_1(x) \circ y) d_2(c) \in Z(R). \tag{3.2}$$

for all $x, y \in I$. Using Lemma (2.1), we conclude that

$$d_1(x) \circ y \in Z(R) \tag{3.3}$$

for all $x, y \in I$. Since $d_1(Z(R)) \neq \{0\}$, then there exists $c' \in Z(R) \cap \mathcal{H}(R) \setminus \{0\}$ such that $d_1(c') \neq 0$. Putting xc' instead of x in (3.3) and using it, we arrive at

$$d_1(c')(x \circ y) \in Z(R) \tag{3.4}$$

for all $x, y \in I$. According to Lemma (2.1), it follows that

$$x \circ y \in Z(R) \tag{3.5}$$

for all $x, y \in I$. In light of Proposition (2.1), we deduce that R is a commutative graded ring.

Proof of Theorem 3.2. By hypothesis, we have

$$d_1 d_2(x) \in Z(R) \tag{3.6}$$

for all $x \in R$. Writing $[x, y]$ instead of x in (3.6) and using it, we get

$$[d_2(x), d_1(y)] + [d_1(x), d_2(y)] \in Z(R) \tag{3.7}$$

for all $x, y \in R$. Putting $y = d_2(z)$ in (3.7), we obtain

$$[d_1(x), d_2^2(z)] \in Z(R) \tag{3.8}$$

for all $x, z \in R$. In particular,

$$[d_2^2(r), d_1(y)] \in Z(R)$$

for all $r \in \mathcal{H}(R)$ and $y \in R$. In light of [1, Lemma 2.2], it follows that either $d_2^2(r) \in Z(R)$ for all $r \in \mathcal{H}(R)$ or $d_1 = 0$. Hence $d_2^2(x) \in Z(R)$ for all $x \in R$. Taking $[x, z]$ instead of x , we obviously get

$$2[d_2(x), d_2(z)] \in Z(R) \quad (3.9)$$

for all $x, z \in R$. Since $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$, it follows that

$$[d_2(x), d_2(z)] \in Z(R)$$

for all $x, z \in R$. By [1, Theorem 3.5], we conclude that R is a commutative graded ring.

Remark 3.1. *The result of Lee [4, Theorem 4] can be recovered from Theorem 3.2 by considering R with the trivial grading.*

The following example proves that the gr-primeness hypothesis in Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 is not superfluous. In particular, our theorems cannot be extended to gr-semiprime rings.

Example 3.1. *Consider the ring $R = \mathbb{C}[X] \times M_2(\mathbb{C})$. We may define a \mathbb{Z} -grading on R by putting*

$$R_{(n,n)} = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}(X^n) \times \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \text{ if } n \geq 2, \quad R_{(1,1)} = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}(X) \times \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & z \\ z' & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid z, z' \in \mathbb{C} \right\},$$

$$R_{(0,0)} = \mathbb{C} \times \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} z & 0 \\ 0 & z' \end{pmatrix} \mid z, z' \in \mathbb{C} \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad R_{(n,n)} = \left\{ \left(0, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \right\} \text{ if } n < 0.$$

Then R is a gr-semiprime. We define homogeneous derivation d on R by

$$d: \quad \begin{array}{ccc} R & \longrightarrow & R \\ \left(P, \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \right) & \longmapsto & \left(D(P), \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \end{array}$$

where $D := \frac{d}{dX}$ the usual derivation of $\mathbb{C}[X]$. Taking $d_1 = d_2 = d$. One can verify that $d_1(Z(R)) \neq \{0\}$ and $d_2(Z(R)) \neq \{0\}$. Moreover, d_1, d_2 satisfying the condition of Theorem 3.1 and 3.2. However, R is a non-commutative ring.

Compliance with Ethical Standards

Conflicts of interest The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Funding The authors did not receive support from any organization for the submitted work.

Data availability This manuscript has no associated data.

References

- [1] Ait Mohamed, Y. On graded rings with homogeneous derivations. *Revista de la Unión Matemática Argentina*, Published online (early view): December 22, 2024. <https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.4934>
- [2] Kanunnikov, A. L. Graded Quotient Rings. *Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, Vol. 233, no. 1, (August 2018). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10958-018-3925-7>
- [3] Lambek, J. Lectures on Rings and Modules. *Blaisdell, London* (1966).
- [4] Lee, P. H., and Lee, T. K. On derivations of prime rings. *Chinese J. Math.* 9 (1981), no. 2, 107–110.
- [5] Năstăsescu, C., and van Oystaeyen, F. Methods of graded rings. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, 1836, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (2004). <https://doi.org/10.1007/b94904>
- [6] Posner, E. C. Derivations in prime rings. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 8 (1957), 1093–1100. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2032686>

(Yassine Ait Mohamed) Sidi Mohamed Ben Abdellah University, Fez, Morocco.
Email address: **y.aitmohamed@yahoo.com**