

ON THE DONG PROPERTY FOR A BINARY QUADRATIC OPERAD

P. S. KOLESNIKOV, B. K. SARTAYEV

ABSTRACT. The classical Dong Lemma for distributions over a Lie algebra lies in the foundation of vertex algebras theory. In this paper, we find necessary and sufficient condition for a variety of nonassociative algebras with binary operations to satisfy the analogue of the Dong Lemma. In particular, it turns out that Novikov and Novikov–Poisson algebras satisfy the Dong Lemma. The criterion is stated in the language of operads, so we determine for which binary quadratic operads the Dong Lemma holds true. As an application, we show the black Manin product of Dong operads is also a Dong operad.

1. INTRODUCTION

One of the cornerstones of the theory of vertex algebras is the statement known as the Dong Lemma for quantum fields (see, e.g., [11]) which goes back to the paper [20]. The Dong Lemma concerns the locality property of formal distributions with coefficients in a Lie algebra, but the roots of this statement are in axiomatic quantum field theory in the sense of [27]: the locality of formal distributions represents the basic principle of relativity transformed into algebraic conditions in the special case (2-dimensional conformal field theory) [6].

The first algebraic definition of a vertex algebra is due to [8], and a review of modern approaches to the subject may be found, for example, in [4]. The Dong Lemma is especially important for constructing examples of vertex algebras. Namely, given a family of pairwise local quantum fields, we are sure that the locality property holds for the entire space of quantum fields generated (in the context of vertex algebras) by the initial ones.

In particular, the Dong Lemma plays a crucial role in the theory of conformal algebras. The latter were introduced in [17] as an algebraic tool to describe the singular part of a vertex algebra operations. Namely, suppose $(V, T, |0\rangle, Y)$ is a vertex algebra with a space of states V , a translation operator $T : V \rightarrow V$, a vacuum vector $|0\rangle \in V$, and vertex operator $Y : V \rightarrow \text{gl}(V)[[z, z^{-1}]]$, $a \mapsto Y(a, z)$. One of the most important conditions on a vertex operator is called *locality*: for every $a, b \in V$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that

$$(w - z)^N [Y(a, w), Y(b, z)] = 0$$

is the space $\text{gl}(V)[[z, z^{-1}, w, w^{-1}]]$.

Then V equipped with the same operator T and with a series of n -products $[\cdot (n) \cdot]$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, such that

$$Y([a (n) b], z) = \text{Res}_{w=0} (w - z)^n [Y(a, w), Y(b, z)], \quad a, b \in V, \quad (1)$$

is a *Lie conformal algebra* [17].

Thus, the notion of a Lie conformal algebra describes a part of a vertex algebra structure. However, for every Lie conformal algebra there exists a uniquely defined universal enveloping vertex algebra, and most of classical examples of vertex algebras (see, e.g., [11]) are based on this construction.

An axiomatic description of (Lie and associative) conformal algebras is given in [17]. The latter notion naturally emerges in the study of representations of Lie conformal algebras. In recent years, a series of papers has been devoted to conformal algebras corresponding to various classes of nonassociative algebras (e.g., Jordan [18], left-symmetric [16], dendriform [30]). A general method to get a unified approach to all these definitions was described in [3]: given an operad \mathcal{P} , the class of \mathcal{P} -conformal algebras consists of all morphisms from \mathcal{P} to the symmetric

multi-category (pseudo-tensor category) $\mathcal{M}^*(H)$, where $H = \mathbb{C}[T]$ is the polynomial Hopf algebra in one primitive variable.

For every \mathcal{P} -conformal algebra V there exists an ‘‘ordinary’’ \mathcal{P} -algebra $A = \mathcal{A}(V)$ such that V can be embedded into the space of formal distributions over A , i.e., there exists an injection

$$V \ni a \mapsto Y(a, z) \in A[[z, z^{-1}]],$$

so that the operator T corresponds to the formal derivation d/dz and the conformal n -products are given by expressions similar to (1).

In order to construct \mathcal{P} -conformal algebras by means of formal distributions over ordinary \mathcal{P} -algebras, it is essential to know whether the locality of formal distributions is preserved under n -products.

Let \mathfrak{A} be a class of algebras with a family of bilinear products μ_i , $i \in I$ (later we will always assume I is a finite set). Suppose that for every μ_i the opposite operation $(12)\mu_i$ also belongs to this family. (Practically, we consider a space of binary operations as a module over S_2 , $(\mu_i)_{i \in I}$ is a basis of this space.) The operations μ_i are expanded on formal distributions $a(w), b(z) \in A[[z, z^{-1}, w, w^{-1}]]$ in the ordinary way (formal variables commute with each other and with coefficients).

Given an algebra $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ and two formal distributions $a(z), b(z) \in A[[z, z^{-1}]]$, we say $(a(z), b(z))$ is a *local* pair if for every $i \in I$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that

$$(w - z)^N \mu_i(a(w), b(z)) = 0.$$

For a local pair $(a(z), b(z))$ of formal distributions over $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ define

$$(a \circ_{i(n)} b)(z) = \operatorname{Res}_{w=0} (w - z)^n \mu_i(a(w), b(z)), \quad i \in I, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \quad (2)$$

The formal series $(a \circ_{i(n)} b)(z)$ are the coefficients in the expansion of the product $\mu_i(a(w), b(z))$ into a finite sum

$$\mu_i(a(w), b(z)) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (a \circ_{i(n)} b)(z) \cdot \frac{1}{n!} \partial_z^n \delta(w - z),$$

where $\delta(w - z)$ is the formal delta-function [17].

We say \mathfrak{A} *satisfies the Dong Property* if for every $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ and for every pairwise local formal distributions $a(z), b(z), c(z) \in A[[z, z^{-1}]]$ the pair $((a \circ_{i(n)} b)(z), c(z))$ is local for all $i \in I, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

If \mathfrak{A} is a variety of algebras, i.e., a class defined by a family of identities, then the Dong Property obviously concerns multilinear identities of degree 3. Hence, it is natural to formulate the Dong Property for a binary quadratic operad. Namely, we say that an operad \mathcal{P} satisfies the Dong Property (or \mathcal{P} is a *Dong operad*) if so is the class of all \mathcal{P} -algebras. Apparently, a binary operad \mathcal{P} satisfies the Dong Property if and only if so is its quadratic part (see, e.g., [22, S. 7.8]).

In this paper, we establish the necessary and sufficient condition for a binary quadratic operad \mathcal{P} to satisfy the Dong Property. The condition is easy to check provided that we know the Koszul dual operad $\mathcal{P}^!$.

As an application, we observe how operads with the Dong Property behave under some standard operadic constructions. For example, we show that for neither binary quadratic operad \mathcal{P} its dendriform splitting $\operatorname{pre}\mathcal{P}$ or $\operatorname{post}\mathcal{P}$ satisfies the Dong Property. If \mathcal{P} is a Dong operad then so are replicated ([25]) operads $\operatorname{di}\mathcal{P}$ and $\operatorname{tri}\mathcal{P}$. The latter are obtained by means of Manin white product of \mathcal{P} with operads Perm and $\operatorname{ComTriAs}$, respectively. However, the white Manin product of two Dong operads is not necessarily a Dong operad (it is well known, for example, that the white product of As and Lie corresponds to the class of all nonassociative algebras). Finally, we consider the black Manin product of two Dong operads and show that it is always a Dong operad. To that end, we establish an easy algorithmic way to compute the Manin black product.

Throughout the paper, all spaces are over a field \mathbb{k} , S_n is the symmetric group. Given two S_n -modules U and V we denote by $U \otimes V$ the ordinary tensor product with $\sigma(u \otimes v) = \sigma u \otimes \sigma v$, $\sigma \in S_n$, $u \in U$, $v \in V$. We will use the notation $U \vec{\otimes} V$ for the right-justified S_n -module:

$\sigma(u \vec{\otimes} v) = u \vec{\otimes} \sigma v$. The dual space V^* equipped with the transpose dual action of S_n is denoted by V^\vee . Obviously, $V^\vee \cong \mathbb{k}_- \otimes V^*$, where \mathbb{k}_- is the 1-dimensional sign S_n -module, $(U \vec{\otimes} V)^\vee \cong U^\vee \vec{\otimes} V^\vee$, $(U \otimes V)^\vee \cong \mathbb{k}_- \otimes U^\vee \otimes V^\vee$. For the induced modules ($m \geq n$) we have

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{S_n}^{S_m}(V^\vee) \cong (\mathrm{Ind}_{S_n}^{S_m}(V))^\vee.$$

2. BINARY QUADRATIC OPERADS AND KOSZUL DUALITY

An operad \mathcal{O} in our setting is a family of linear spaces $\mathcal{O}(n)$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, such that every $\mathcal{O}(n)$ is a (left) S_n -module, and the following composition rules are given:

$$\gamma_{m_1, \dots, m_k}^n : \mathcal{O}(k) \otimes \mathcal{O}(m_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{O}(m_k) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(n), \quad n = m_1 + \dots + m_k.$$

The composition rules are linear maps such that the natural associativity and equivariance (relative to the action of S_n) properties hold (see, e.g., [22, 9] for details). The space $\mathcal{O}(1)$ contains an identity element $1_{\mathcal{O}}$ with respect to the composition.

Example 1. Given a linear space A , define an operad End_A in such a way that $\mathrm{End}_A(n) = \mathrm{Hom}(A^{\otimes n}, A)$. This is an operad relative to the ordinary composition of multilinear maps (the groups S_n act by permutation of arguments).

Example 2. Let H be a cocommutative bialgebra with a coproduct $\Delta : H \rightarrow H \otimes H$. Then for every (left, unital) H -module M one may define an operad Cend_M in such a way that

$$\mathrm{Cend}_M(n) = \mathrm{Hom}_{H^{\otimes n}}(M^{\otimes n}, H^{\otimes n} \otimes_H M),$$

see [3] or [19] for details.

Example 3. Let Var be a multilinear variety of algebras, i.e., a class of linear spaces equipped with a series of multilinear operations (not necessarily binary) satisfying a family of multilinear identities in the variables $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$. Then the multilinear part of the free algebra $\mathrm{Var}\langle X \rangle$ generated by X is an operad (also denoted Var) so that $\mathrm{Var}(n)$, $n \geq 1$, consists of all multilinear elements of degree n depending on x_1, \dots, x_n . Symmetric groups S_n permute the variables, and the composition rules are constructed by substitutions with consecutive reenumeration. The identity element in $\mathrm{Var}(1)$ is always $1_{\mathrm{Var}} = x_1$.

For example, let $\mathrm{Var} = \mathrm{As}$ be the variety of associative algebras. Then $\mathrm{As}(n)$ is spanned by $x_{\sigma(1)} \dots x_{\sigma(n)}$, $\sigma \in S_n$, and

$$\gamma_{2,1,3}^6 : x_3 x_2 x_1 \otimes x_2 x_1 \otimes x_1 \otimes x_1 x_3 x_2 \mapsto (x_4 x_6 x_5) x_3 (x_2 x_1) = x_4 x_6 x_5 x_3 x_2 x_1.$$

A morphism of operads $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ is a family of S_n -linear maps $\mathcal{P}(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(n)$ that preserve compositions and identity. For example, if $\mathcal{O} = \mathrm{As}$ as above and $\mathcal{P} = \mathrm{Lie}$ is the operad obtained from the variety of Lie algebras then there exists a morphism of operads $\mathrm{Lie} \rightarrow \mathrm{As}$ transforming $x_1 x_2 \in \mathrm{Lie}(2)$ into $x_1 x_2 - x_2 x_1 \in \mathrm{As}(2)$.

A binary quadratic operad is a combinatorial object which is convenient to use for the description of a class of algebras with (one or more) bilinear operations satisfying multilinear identities of degree 3. Let us state the necessary details, mainly following [13] in order to fix the notations.

Let V be a finite-dimensional space over a field \mathbb{k} equipped with a linear action of the symmetric group S_2 . Define

$$\mathcal{F}_V(3) = \mathbb{k}S_3 \otimes_{\mathbb{k}S_2} (V \vec{\otimes} V).$$

Given an S_3 -submodule R in $\mathcal{F}_V(3)$, one can define a binary quadratic operad $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}(V, R)$ so that $\mathcal{P}(0) = \mathbb{k}$, $\mathcal{P}(2) = V$, $\mathcal{P}(3) = \mathcal{F}_V(3)/R$.

Given a binary quadratic operad $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}(V, R)$ and a linear space A over the field \mathbb{k} , a morphism of operads

$$\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathrm{End}_A$$

defines an algebra structure on the space A in such a way that the image of $V = \mathcal{P}(2)$ is the subspace of $\mathrm{End}_A(2)$ spanned by the binary operations in A (together with their opposites). The

class of \mathcal{P} -algebras obtained in this way is a multilinear variety with operations V (for a more classical setting, one may fix a linear basis of V) and identities given by R .

It is worth mentioning that the same idea underlies the categorical definition of an H -conformal \mathcal{P} -algebra: a morphism of operads

$$\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \text{Cend}_M$$

defines an H -conformal algebra (or pseudo-algebra [3]) structure on a module M over a cocommutative bialgebra H . The case when $H = \mathbb{k}[\partial]$ with $\Delta(\partial) = \partial \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \partial$, $\varepsilon(\partial) = 0$ corresponds to what is known as conformal algebras [17].

The interpretation of the space $\mathcal{P}(3)$ in the language of Example 3 is the following. If e_i and e_j are from V , and $e_i = x_1 \circ_i x_2$, $e_j = x_1 \circ_j x_2$ then $e_i \vec{\otimes} e_j = (x_1 \circ_j x_2) \circ_i x_3$.

For example, if $\dim V = 2$ and a basis of V is presented by $\mu = x_1 x_2$, $(12)\mu = x_2 x_1$ then the space $V \vec{\otimes} V$ is 4-dimensional, it is spanned by

$$\mu \vec{\otimes} \mu = (x_1 x_2) x_3, \quad \mu \vec{\otimes} (12)\mu = (x_2 x_1) x_3, \quad (12)\mu \vec{\otimes} \mu = x_3 (x_1 x_2), \quad (12)\mu \vec{\otimes} (12)\mu = x_3 (x_2 x_1).$$

Example 4. *The operad Pois governing the variety of Poisson algebras is defined by the following pair (V, R) . The space V is a 2-dimensional S_2 -module with a basis (e_1, e_2) , where $(12)e_1 = e_1$, $(12)e_2 = -e_2$. The S_3 -submodule R of $\mathcal{F}_V(3)$ generated by*

$$e_1 \vec{\otimes} e_1 - (13)(e_1 \vec{\otimes} e_1) \tag{3}$$

(the associativity of $e_1 = x_1 x_2$),

$$e_2 \vec{\otimes} e_2 - (13)(e_2 \vec{\otimes} e_2) - (23)(e_2 \vec{\otimes} e_2) \tag{4}$$

(the Jacobi identity for $e_2 = \{x_1, x_2\}$), and

$$e_2 \vec{\otimes} e_1 - (23)(e_1 \vec{\otimes} e_2) + (13)(e_1 \vec{\otimes} e_2)$$

(the Leibniz rule for $\{x_1 x_2, x_3\}$).

Alternatively, one may consider another basis of $\text{Pois}(2)$ that consists of $\mu = e_1 + e_2$ and $(12)\mu = e_1 - e_2$. Then it is enough to set one identity to define the same R (see [23]).

Example 5. *The operad Nov of Novikov algebras is defined by a 2-dimensional space V with a basis $g_1, g_2 = (12)g_1$ and by the S_3 -submodule R generated by*

$$g_1 \vec{\otimes} g_1 - g_1 \vec{\otimes} g_2 - (13)(g_2 \vec{\otimes} g_2) + (23)(g_2 \vec{\otimes} g_1) \tag{5}$$

(the left symmetry of $g_1 = x_1 \circ x_2$),

$$g_1 \vec{\otimes} g_1 - (23)(g_1 \vec{\otimes} g_1) \tag{6}$$

(the right commutativity for $x_1 \circ x_2$).

The class of Novikov algebras was introduced in the 1980s as a language to describe the series of conditions on the coefficients of a rank 3 tensor emerging in the formal calculus of variations [12] or in the construction of the Hamiltonian formalism for PDEs of hydrodynamic type [5].

In [28], the notion of a Novikov–Poisson algebras (NP-algebras) was introduced as a tool to study Novikov algebras. When translated into the language of binary quadratic operads, this definition turns into the following

Example 6. *The operad NP is defined by a 3-dimensional space V with a basis e_1, g_1, g_2 , where $(12)e_1 = e_1$, $(12)g_1 = g_2$, relative to the S_3 -submodule R generated by (3), (5), (6), and*

$$g_1 \vec{\otimes} e_1 - (13)(e_1 \vec{\otimes} g_2), \quad e_1 \vec{\otimes} g_1 - (13)(g_2 \vec{\otimes} e_1) - e_1 \vec{\otimes} g_2 + (23)(g_2 \vec{\otimes} e_1). \tag{7}$$

Straightforward computation shows the dimension of R as of a linear space is equal to 16.

The class of Novikov–Poisson algebra is somewhat analogous to the class of Poisson algebras with a Novikov product instead of the Lie bracket. The classes of Lie and Novikov algebras have many similar features. Note that the original name for the class of Novikov algebras was “local translation invariant Lie algebras” [31], the name “Novikov algebras” was proposed in [24]. In this paper, we will mention one more common feature of Poisson and NP-algebras: they both satisfy the Dong Property.

Let us also mention an example of a binary quadratic operad corresponding to a variety of algebras introduced in [12], see also [29].

Example 7. *The operad GD of Gelfand–Dorfman algebras is generated by 3-dimensional space V with a basis g_1, g_2, e_2 , where $(12)g_1 = g_2$, $(12)e_2 = -e_2$, relative to the S_3 -submodule R generated by (4), (5), (6), and*

$$e_2 \vec{\otimes} g_1 - (23)(e_2 \vec{\otimes} g_1) + g_1 \vec{\otimes} e_2 - (23)(g_1 \vec{\otimes} e_2) + (13)(g_2 \vec{\otimes} e_2). \quad (8)$$

Given a binary quadratic operad $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}(V, R)$, its Koszul dual operad \mathcal{P}^\dagger is determined by the space of generators V^\vee and relations $R^\perp \subseteq (\mathcal{F}_V(3))^\vee \cong \mathcal{F}_{V^\vee}(3)$, see [13]. There is a relatively simple way to compute the defining identities of the variety of \mathcal{P}^\dagger -algebras without solving a large system of linear equations describing R^\perp .

Suppose $(e_i)_{i \in I}$ is a linear basis of V , the corresponding operations on a \mathcal{P} -algebra are denoted $(\cdot \circ_i \cdot)$, $i \in I$. Recall that $|I|$ is assumed to be finite.

Consider the dual basis $(e_i^\vee)_{i \in I}$ of V^\vee . The corresponding operations on a \mathcal{P}^\dagger -algebra are denoted $(\cdot \circ^i \cdot)$, $i \in I$. Then the elements of $\mathcal{F}_{V^\vee}(3)$ are presented by monomials of the form $(x_{\sigma(1)} \circ^i x_{\sigma(2)}) \circ^j x_{\sigma(3)}$, for $i, j \in I$, $\sigma \in S_3$. The defining relations of the operad \mathcal{P}^\dagger can be found by the following statement.

Proposition 1 (c.f. [13, 2.2.9]). *Let $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}(V, R)$ be a binary quadratic operad, and let B be an algebra with the S_2 -space V^\vee of bilinear operations. Then B is a \mathcal{P}^\dagger -algebra if and only if for every \mathcal{P} -algebra F the space $B \otimes F$ equipped with the bracket*

$$[(a \otimes x), (b \otimes y)] = \sum_{i \in I} (a \circ^i b) \otimes (x \circ_i y), \quad a, b \in B, \quad x, y \in F, \quad (9)$$

is a Lie algebra (i.e., $[\cdot, \cdot]$ satisfies the Jacobi identity).

Example 8. *Let $\mathcal{P} = \text{NP}$ be the operad of Novikov–Poisson algebras from Example 6. Assume $e_1 = x_1 x_2$, $g_2 = x_1 \circ x_2$, $e_3 = x_2 \circ x_1$.*

Consider the dual basis $e_1^\vee, g_1^\vee, g_2^\vee$, and denote, respectively, $e_1^\vee = [y_1, y_2]$, $g_1^\vee = y_1 \circ y_2$, then $e_3^\vee = -y_2 \circ y_1$. By Proposition 1, the relations on $e_1^\vee, g_1^\vee, g_2^\vee$ are exactly those that make the skew-symmetric bracket

$$[y_1 \otimes x_1, y_2 \otimes x_2] = e_1^\vee \otimes e_1 + g_1^\vee \otimes g_1 + g_2^\vee \otimes g_2 = [y_1, y_2] \otimes (x_1 x_2) + (y_1 \circ y_2) \otimes (x_1 \circ x_2) - (y_2 \circ y_1) \otimes (x_2 \circ x_1)$$

to satisfy the Jacobi identity.

Calculate the first term of the Jacobi identity:

$$\begin{aligned} [[y_1 \otimes x_1, y_2 \otimes x_2], y_3 \otimes x_3] &= [[y_1, y_2], y_3] \otimes (x_1 x_2) x_3 + [y_1, y_2] \circ y_3 \otimes (x_1 x_2) \circ x_3 - y_3 \circ [y_1, y_2] \otimes x_3 \circ (x_1 x_2) \\ &+ [y_1 \circ y_2, y_3] \otimes (x_1 \circ x_2) x_3 + (y_1 \circ y_2) \circ y_3 \otimes (x_1 \circ x_2) \circ x_3 - y_3 \circ (y_1 \circ y_2) \otimes x_3 \circ (x_1 \circ x_2) \\ &- [y_2 \circ y_1, y_3] \otimes (x_2 \circ x_1) x_3 + (y_2 \circ y_1) \circ y_3 \otimes (x_2 \circ x_1) \circ x_3 - y_3 \circ (y_2 \circ y_1) \otimes x_3 \circ (x_2 \circ x_1). \end{aligned}$$

Apply the cyclic permutations (123), (132) to get the remaining terms of the Jacobi identity, then add them all together and collect similar terms at normal forms (basic elements of $\text{NP}(3)$) in the second tensor factor. These normal forms are: $x_1 x_2 x_3$, $x_1 x_3 \circ x_2$, $x_2 \circ x_1 x_3$, $(x_1 \circ x_3) x_2$, $(x_3 \circ x_1) x_2$, $x_1 \circ (x_2 \circ x_3)$, $x_1 \circ (x_3 \circ x_2)$, $x_2 \circ (x_1 \circ x_3)$, $x_2 \circ (x_3 \circ x_1)$, $(x_1 \circ x_3) \circ x_2$, $(x_3 \circ x_1) \circ x_2$. As a result,

we obtain the following identities for $e_1^\vee = [y_1, y_2]$ (skew symmetric) and $g_1^\vee = y_1 \circ y_2$:

$$\begin{aligned} & [[y_1, y_2], y_3] + [[y_2, y_3], y_1] + [[y_3, y_1], y_2] = 0, \\ (y_1 \circ y_2) \circ y_3 - y_1 \circ (y_2 \circ y_3) &= (y_1 \circ y_3) \circ y_2 - y_1 \circ (y_3 \circ y_2), \quad y_1 \circ (y_2 \circ y_3) = y_2 \circ (y_1 \circ y_3), \\ & y_1 \circ [y_2, y_3] + y_2 \circ [y_3, y_1] + y_3 \circ [y_1, y_2] = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

$$[y_1 \circ y_2, y_3] + [y_1, y_3 \circ y_2] - [y_1, y_3] \circ y_2 - y_2 \circ [y_1, y_3] = 0. \tag{11}$$

One may easily see that (10), (11) imply an identity which is opposite to (8). Hence, quite expectedly, if V is an NP^1 -algebra then the opposite algebra V^{op} is a GD-algebra.

Remark 1. *Note that every transpose Poisson algebra in the sense of [1] is also an NP^1 -algebra.*

3. THE DONG PROPERTY: SUFFICIENT CONDITION

Let $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}(V, R)$ be a binary quadratic operad (we assume $\dim V < \infty$). Suppose A is a \mathcal{P} -algebra: this is a linear space equipped with bilinear operations $\mu : A \times A \rightarrow A$ for every $\mu \in V$. These operations may be extended in a coefficient-wise way to the pairs of formal distributions over A depending in different variables.

Definition 1. *A pair of formal distributions $a(z), b(z) \in A[[z, z^{-1}]]$ is said to be local if for every $\mu \in V$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that*

$$(w - z)^N \mu(a(w), b(z)) = 0.$$

In terms of coefficients, if $a(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a(n)z^{-n-1}$, $b(z) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} b(m)z^{-m-1}$, $a(n), b(m) \in A$, then the pair $a(z), b(z)$ is local if and only if

$$\sum_{s \geq 0} (-1)^s \binom{N}{s} \mu(a(n-s), b(m+s)) = 0, \quad N \gg 0, \tag{12}$$

for all $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$. It is enough to check the locality condition for $\mu = e_i$, where $(e_i)_{i \in I}$ is a linear basis of V .

The locality condition naturally emerges in the theory of conformal algebras. Given a binary quadratic operad \mathcal{P} , suppose M is a \mathcal{P} -conformal algebra, i.e., there is a morphism $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \text{Cend}_M$. If $(e_i)_{i \in I}$ is a basis of $V = \mathcal{P}(2)$ then denote by $*_i$ the image of e_i in $\text{Cend}_M(2)$:

$$*_i : M \otimes M \rightarrow H^{\otimes 2} \otimes_H M,$$

where $H = \mathbb{k}[\partial]$. Since H is a Hopf algebra, one may uniquely rewrite $(a *_i b)$, $a, b \in M$, in the following form:

$$(a *_i b) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(-\partial)^n}{n!} \otimes c_{i(n)}, \quad c_{i(n)} \in M,$$

for each $i \in I$ the sum is finite (see [3]). The elements $c_{i(n)}$ may be considered as the results of bilinear products $(a \circ_{i(n)} b)$ on M .

For every \mathcal{P} -conformal algebra M defined as above there exists an ‘‘ordinary’’ \mathcal{P} -algebra $\mathcal{A}(M)$ with operations \circ_i , $i \in I$. Namely, the space $\mathcal{A}(M)$ is presented by $\mathbb{k}[t, t^{-1}] \otimes_H M$, where ∂ acts on $\mathbb{k}[t, t^{-1}]$ as $-d/dt$. Denote $t^n \otimes_H a = a(n)$ for $a \in M$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. The products \circ_i on $\mathcal{A}(M)$ are given by the well-defined formula

$$a(n) \circ_i b(m) = \sum_{s \geq 0} \binom{n}{s} (a \circ_{i(s)} b)(n+m-s), \quad a, b \in M, \quad n, m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

These operations satisfy the defining identities of the variety of \mathcal{P} -algebras (see [26, 19]).

There exists an injective linear map

$$\iota : M \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(M)[[z, z^{-1}]], \quad a \mapsto \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a(n)z^{-n-1},$$

such that $\iota(\partial a) = \frac{d\iota(a)}{dz}$ for all $a \in M$ and

$$\iota(a \circ_{i(n)} b) = \iota(a) \circ_{i(n)} \iota(b),$$

where the operations on the right-hand side are given by (2).

For every $a, b \in M$, the formal distributions $\iota(a), \iota(b)$ form a local pair. Conversely, one may try to construct a \mathcal{P} -conformal algebra *generated* by a family of pairwise local formal distributions over an ordinary \mathcal{P} -algebra A . In order to get a success in this way, one needs the general statement similar to the Dong Lemma.

Definition 2. *Let us say that a binary quadratic operad $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}(V, R)$ satisfies the Dong Property if for every \mathcal{P} -algebra A and for every pairwise local formal distributions $a(z), b(z), c(z) \in A[[z, z^{-1}]]$ the distributions $(a \circ_{i(n)} b)(z)$ and $c(z)$ form a local pair for every $i \in I, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.*

Here, as above, we have fixed a linear basis $(e_i)_{i \in I}$ of V . Obviously, the locality property does not depend on the choice of a basis, so the Dong Property is immanent to the operad \mathcal{P} . Alternatively, we will say \mathcal{P} is a *Dong operad*.

The main purpose of this paper is to set up a criterion for a binary quadratic operad \mathcal{P} to satisfy the Dong Property. This criterion will be stated in terms of the Koszul dual operad $\mathcal{P}^!$. Recall that $\mathcal{P}^! = \mathcal{P}(V^\vee, R^\perp)$, where $R^\perp \subseteq (\mathcal{F}_V(3))^\vee \cong \mathcal{F}_{V^\vee}(3)$ is the subspace orthogonal to R .

It turns out that the Dong Property for \mathcal{P} is determined by the intersection of the subspaces R^\perp and $V^\vee \vec{\otimes} V^\vee$ in $\mathcal{F}_{V^\vee}(3)$. Namely, we will be interested in the condition

$$(V^\vee \vec{\otimes} V^\vee) \cap R^\perp = 0 \quad (13)$$

which is easy to check provided that we know a basis of $\mathcal{P}^!(3)$. Fix a linear basis $(e_i)_{i \in I}$ of V and choose the dual basis $(e_i^\vee)_{i \in I}$ of the transpose dual space V^\vee .

Suppose A is a \mathcal{P} -algebra with operations $e_i(a, b) = a \circ_i b, i \in I, a, b \in A$. Similarly, every algebra B from the class of $\mathcal{P}^!$ -algebras is equipped with operations $e_i^\vee(x, y) = x \circ^i y, i \in I, x, y \in B$.

The condition (13) is equivalent to the following one: in the free algebra $\mathcal{P}^!\langle p, q, t \rangle$ generated by a 3-element set $\{p, q, t\}$, the elements

$$(p \circ^i q) \circ^j t, \quad i, j \in I, \quad (14)$$

are linearly independent (they correspond to $e_j^\vee \vec{\otimes} e_i^\vee \in V^\vee \vec{\otimes} V^\vee$).

In particular, if $\dim V = 1, e_1(a, b) = ab$, as in the commutative or anti-commutative cases, then the family (14) contains only one term $(pq)t$. Hence, (13) is equivalent to $(pq)t \neq 0$ in the free $\mathcal{P}^!$ -algebra.

If $V \cong S_2$, as in those cases like associative, alternative, Novikov, or Poisson algebras, then we may choose $e_2 = (12)e_1$, so that $e_1(a, b) = ab, e_2(a, b) = ba$. In this case the family (14) contains four terms:

$$(pq)t, (qp)t, t(pq), t(qp).$$

Therefore, the condition (13) means that these four monomials are linearly independent in the free $\mathcal{P}^!$ -algebra.

Theorem 1. *Assume that for a binary quadratic operad $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}(V, R)$ we have $(V^\vee \vec{\otimes} V^\vee) \cap R^\perp = 0$. Then \mathcal{P} is a Dong operad.*

Proof. Suppose A is a \mathcal{P} -algebra with operations $(\cdot \circ_i \cdot)$ as above, and let B be the free $\mathcal{P}^!$ -algebra generated by $\{p, q, t\}$ with dual operations $(\cdot \circ^i \cdot)$.

Assume $a(z), b(z), c(z) \in A[[z, z^{-1}]]$ are three pairwise local formal distributions over A ,

$$x(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} x(n)z^{-n-1}, \quad x(n) \in A, \quad x \in \{a, b, c\}.$$

Denote

$$\bar{a}(z) = p \otimes a(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} p \otimes a(n) z^{-n-1}$$

is a formal distribution over $B \otimes A$. Similarly, define $\bar{b}(z) = q \otimes b(z)$ and $\bar{c}(z) = t \otimes c(z)$.

Recall that $B \otimes A$ is a Lie algebra relative to the operation (9).

Lemma 1. *The formal distributions $\bar{a}(z), \bar{b}(z), \bar{c}(z) \in \text{in}(B \otimes A)[[z, z^{-1}]]$ are pairwise local.*

Proof. Consider the pair $\bar{a}(z), \bar{b}(z)$. By definition, there exists $N \geq 0$ such that

$$\sum_{s \geq 0} (-1)^s \binom{N}{s} a(n-s) \circ_i b(m+s) = 0 \in A$$

for all $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $i \in I$ (recall that $|I| = \dim V < \infty$). The Lie bracket on $B \otimes A$ is given by (9), so

$$[p \otimes a(n), q \otimes b(m)] = \sum_{i \in I} (p \circ^i q) \otimes (a(n) \circ_i b(m))$$

for $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence, for the same N we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{s \geq 0} (-1)^s \binom{N}{s} [p \otimes a(n-s), q \otimes b(m+s)] &= \sum_{s \geq 0} (-1)^s \binom{N}{s} \sum_{i \in I} (p \circ^i q) \otimes (a(n-s) \circ_i b(m+s)) \\ &= \sum_{i \in I} (p \circ^i q) \otimes \sum_{s \geq 0} (-1)^s \binom{N}{s} a(n-s) \circ_i b(m+s) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

as desired. \square

Hence, the Dong Lemma for Lie algebras can be applied to the distributions $\bar{a}(z), \bar{b}(z), \bar{c}(z) \in (B \otimes A)[[z, z^{-1}]]$. Namely, for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ the formal distributions $[\bar{a}_{(k)} \bar{b}](z)$ and $\bar{c}(z)$ are local.

The coefficient of $[\bar{a}_{(k)} \bar{b}](z)$ at z^{-n-1} is given by (2), that is,

$$[\bar{a}_{(k)} \bar{b}](n) = \sum_{t \geq 0} (-1)^t \binom{k}{t} [p \otimes a(k-t), q \otimes b(n+t)].$$

Hence, there exists $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that

$$\sum_{t, s \geq 0} (-1)^{s+t} \binom{k}{t} \binom{N}{s} [[p \otimes a(k-t), q \otimes b(n-s+t)], t \otimes c(m+s)] = 0 \quad (15)$$

for every $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Expand (15) by definition (9):

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{t, s \geq 0} \sum_{i \in I} (-1)^{t+s} \binom{k}{t} \binom{N}{s} [(p \circ^i q) \otimes (a(k-t) \circ_i b(n-s+t)), t \otimes c(m+s)] \\ = \sum_{i, j \in I} \sum_{t, s \geq 0} (-1)^{t+s} \binom{k}{t} \binom{N}{s} ((p \circ^i q) \circ^j t) \otimes (a(k-t) \circ_i b(n-s+t)) \circ_j c(m+s) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $(p \circ^i q) \circ^j t$, $i, j \in I$, are linearly independent, the corresponding coefficients are zero in A :

$$\sum_{t, s \geq 0} (-1)^{t+s} \binom{k}{t} \binom{N}{s} (a(k-t) \circ_i b(n-s+t)) \circ_j c(m+s) = 0$$

for all $i, j \in I$. The latter means the distributions $(a \circ_{i(k)} b)(z)$ and $c(z)$ form a local pair. \square

4. THE DONG PROPERTY: NECESSARY CONDITION

According to Theorem 1, the condition (13) is sufficient for a binary quadratic operad to satisfy the Dong Property in the sense of Definition 2. The purpose of this section is to prove that (13) is also a necessary condition.

Theorem 2. *Suppose $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}(V, R)$ is a binary quadratic operad. If \mathcal{P} satisfies the Dong Property then the canonical epimorphism*

$$\mathcal{F}_{V^\vee}(3) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_V(3)^\vee / R^\perp = \mathcal{P}^!(3)$$

acts injectively on $V^\vee \vec{\otimes} V^\vee$.

Proof. Let us describe the details of the proof in a particular but important case when $V \simeq \mathbb{k}S_2$, i.e., when \mathcal{P} is generated by single non-symmetric operation $(x, y) \mapsto xy$ (then so is the dual operad $\mathcal{P}^!$).

Assume the conclusion is wrong, i.e., there exists nonzero $h \in V^\vee \vec{\otimes} V^\vee$ such that the image of h is zero in $\mathcal{P}^!(3)$. The latter means, by definition, that $h \in R^\perp$, where R is the S_3 -submodule of defining relations of \mathcal{P} . In the generic form, h is a linear combination of $(x_1x_2)x_3$, $(x_2x_1)x_3$, $x_3(x_1x_2)$, and $x_3(x_2x_1)$. There are two different cases up to permutation (12).

CASE 1. A coefficient at $(x_1x_2)x_3$ in h is nonzero, i.e.,

$$h = (x_1x_2)x_3 + \alpha(x_2x_1)x_3 + \beta x_3(x_1x_2) + \gamma x_3(x_2x_1), \quad \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{k}. \quad (16)$$

CASE 2. A coefficient at $x_3(x_1x_2)$ in h is nonzero.

Let us consider Case 1 in details: we will construct a \mathcal{P} -algebra A and three formal distributions $a, b, c \in A[[z, z^{-1}]]$ such that a, b, c are pairwise local but the pair $(a \text{ }_{(0)} b, c)$ is not a local one. (For Case 2, a contradiction can be obtained similarly, just the non-local pair is $(a, b \text{ }_{(0)} c)$.)

Denote by X the set of all symbols $x(n)$, where $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $x \in \{a, b, c\}$. Consider the free \mathcal{P} -algebra $\mathcal{P}\langle X \rangle$ generated by X and let I stand for the ideal of $\mathcal{P}\langle X \rangle$ generated by the relations

$$x(n)y(m) - x(n-1)y(m+1), \quad x, y \in \{a, b, c\}, \quad n, m \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (17)$$

Then the \mathcal{P} -algebra $A = \mathcal{P}\langle X \rangle / I$ has the following property: the formal distributions

$$x(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} x(n)z^{-n-1} \in A[[z, z^{-1}]], \quad x \in \{a, b, c\},$$

are pairwise local with $N = 1$.

Since the operad \mathcal{P} has the Dong Property, the formal distributions $(a \text{ }_{(0)} b)(z)$ and $c(z)$ also form a local pair, i.e., there exists $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that

$$f = \sum_{s \geq 0} (-1)^s \binom{N}{s} (a(0)b(n-s))c(m+s) \in I \triangleleft \mathcal{P}\langle X \rangle$$

for all $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$. The latter means that f may be presented as a linear combination of left and right multiples of the relations (17), i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} f = & \sum_{x, y, z \in \{a, b, c\}} \sum_{i+j+k=m+n} \gamma_{x, y, z}^{i, j, k} (x(i)y(j) - x(i-1)y(j+1))z(k) \\ & + \sum_{x, y, z \in \{a, b, c\}} \sum_{i+j+k=m+n} \beta_{x, y, z}^{i, j, k} z(k) (x(i)y(j) - x(i-1)y(j+1)) \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

in the free \mathcal{P} -algebra. Note that the sums are finite, so almost all $\gamma_{x, y, z}^{i, j, k}, \beta_{x, y, z}^{i, j, k} \in \mathbb{k}$ are zero.

Let us re-arrange the terms in the right-hand side of (18) to get

$$\begin{aligned} & - \sum_{s \geq 0} (-1)^s \binom{N}{s} (a(0)b(n-s)c(m+s) + \sum_{x,y,z \in \{a,b,c\}} \sum_{i+j+k=m+n} (\gamma_{x,y,z}^{i,j,k} - \gamma_{x,y,z}^{i+1,j-1,k})(x(i)y(j))z(k) \\ & + \sum_{x,y,z \in \{a,b,c\}} \sum_{i+j+k=m+n} (\beta_{x,y,z}^{i,j,k} - \beta_{x,y,z}^{i+1,j-1,k})z(k)(x(i)y(j)) = 0 \in \mathcal{P}\langle X \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Given a triple of integers i, j, k , denote by $f^{i,j,k}$ the sum of all those summands of (19) that contain the variables $a(i), b(j), c(k)$. All polynomials of the form $f^{i,n-i,m}$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, are of degree 3 and they are equal to zero in the free \mathcal{P} -algebra. Hence, each $f^{i,n-i,m}$ is obtained from some element of R by replacing x_1, x_2, x_3 by the respective variables $a(i), b(n-i), c(m) \in X$, i.e.,

$$F^{i,n-i,m} \Big|_{x_1=a(i), x_2=b(n-i), x_3=c(m)}.$$

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} f^{0,n,m} = & -(a(0)b(n))c(m) + (\gamma_{a,b,c}^{0,n,m} - \gamma_{a,b,c}^{1,n-1,m})(a(0)b(n))c(m) + (\gamma_{b,a,c}^{n,0,m} - \gamma_{b,a,c}^{n+1,-1,m})(b(n)a(0))c(m) \\ & + (\gamma_{a,c,b}^{0,m,n} - \gamma_{a,c,b}^{1,m-1,n})(a(0)c(m))b(n) + (\gamma_{c,a,b}^{m,0,n} - \gamma_{c,a,b}^{m+1,-1,n})(c(m)a(0))b(n) \\ & + (\gamma_{b,c,a}^{n,m,0} - \gamma_{b,c,a}^{n+1,m-1,0})(b(n)c(m))a(0) + (\gamma_{c,b,a}^{m,n,0} - \gamma_{c,b,a}^{m+1,n-1,0})(c(m)b(n))a(0) \\ & + (\beta_{a,b,c}^{0,n,m} - \beta_{a,b,c}^{1,n-1,m})c(m)(a(0)b(n)) + (\beta_{b,a,c}^{n,0,m} - \beta_{b,a,c}^{n+1,-1,m})c(m)(b(n)a(0)) \\ & + (\beta_{a,c,b}^{0,m,n} - \beta_{a,c,b}^{1,m-1,n})b(n)(a(0)c(m)) + (\beta_{c,a,b}^{m,0,n} - \beta_{c,a,b}^{m+1,-1,n})b(n)(c(m)a(0)) \\ & + (\beta_{b,c,a}^{n,m,0} - \beta_{b,c,a}^{n+1,m-1,0})a(0)(b(n)c(m)) + (\beta_{c,b,a}^{m,n,0} - \beta_{c,b,a}^{m+1,n-1,0})a(0)(c(m)b(n)). \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

By definition of a dual operad, $\langle h, F^{0,n,m} \rangle = 0$, where h is the element of $\mathcal{F}_{V^\vee}(3)$ represented by (16). The latter pairing is easy to compute in terms of the coefficients from (20):

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = & \langle h, F^{0,n,m} \rangle \\ = & -1 + (\gamma_{a,b,c}^{0,n,m} - \gamma_{a,b,c}^{1,n-1,m}) - \alpha(\gamma_{b,a,c}^{n,0,m} - \gamma_{b,a,c}^{n+1,-1,m}) - \beta(\beta_{a,b,c}^{0,n,m} - \beta_{a,b,c}^{1,n-1,m}) + \gamma(\beta_{b,a,c}^{n,0,m} - \beta_{b,a,c}^{n+1,-1,m}), \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$(\gamma_{a,b,c}^{0,n,m} - \gamma_{a,b,c}^{1,n-1,m}) - \alpha(\gamma_{b,a,c}^{n,0,m} - \gamma_{b,a,c}^{n+1,-1,m}) - \beta(\beta_{a,b,c}^{0,n,m} - \beta_{a,b,c}^{1,n-1,m}) + \gamma(\beta_{b,a,c}^{n,0,m} - \beta_{b,a,c}^{n+1,-1,m}) = 1. \quad (21)$$

For $F^{1,n-1,m}$ we also have $\langle h, F^{1,n-1,m} \rangle = 0$, but note that $a(1)$ does not appear in the locality relation f . Hence,

$$(\gamma_{a,b,c}^{1,n-1,m} - \gamma_{a,b,c}^{2,n-2,m}) - \alpha(\gamma_{b,a,c}^{n-1,1,m} - \gamma_{b,a,c}^{n,0,m}) - \beta(\beta_{a,b,c}^{1,n-1,m} - \beta_{a,b,c}^{2,n-2,m}) + \gamma(\beta_{b,a,c}^{n-1,1,m} - \beta_{b,a,c}^{n,0,m}) = 0. \quad (22)$$

Now, let us add (21) and (22) to get

$$(\gamma_{a,b,c}^{0,n,m} - \gamma_{a,b,c}^{2,n-2,m}) - \alpha(\gamma_{b,a,c}^{n-1,1,m} - \gamma_{b,a,c}^{n+1,-1,m}) - \beta(\beta_{a,b,c}^{0,n,m} - \beta_{a,b,c}^{2,n-2,m}) + \gamma(\beta_{b,a,c}^{n-1,1,m} - \beta_{b,a,c}^{n+1,-1,m}) = 1. \quad (23)$$

Similarly, for every $i = 2, 3, \dots$ it follows from $\langle h, F^{i,n-i,m} \rangle = 0$ that

$$\begin{aligned} & (\gamma_{a,b,c}^{i,n-i,m} - \gamma_{a,b,c}^{i+1,n-i-1,m}) - \alpha(\gamma_{b,a,c}^{n-i,i,m} - \gamma_{b,a,c}^{n-i+1,i-1,m}) \\ & - \beta(\beta_{a,b,c}^{i,n-i,m} - \beta_{a,b,c}^{i+1,n-i-1,m}) + \gamma(\beta_{b,a,c}^{n-i,i,m} - \beta_{b,a,c}^{n-i+1,i-1,m}) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Let us subsequently add (24) for $i = 2, 3, \dots, k$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, to (23) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & (\gamma_{a,b,c}^{0,n,m} - \gamma_{a,b,c}^{k,n-k,m}) - \alpha(\gamma_{b,a,c}^{n-k+1,k-1,m} - \gamma_{b,a,c}^{n+1,-1,m}) \\ & - \beta(\beta_{a,b,c}^{0,n,m} - \beta_{a,b,c}^{k,n-k,m}) + \gamma(\beta_{b,a,c}^{n-k+1,k-1,m} - \beta_{b,a,c}^{n+1,-1,m}) = 1. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Since almost all coefficients in the decomposition (18) are zero, for $k \gg 0$ we have

$$\gamma_{a,b,c}^{0,n,m} + \alpha\gamma_{b,a,c}^{n+1,-1,m} - \beta\beta_{a,b,c}^{0,n,m} - \gamma\beta_{b,a,c}^{n+1,-1,m} = 1. \quad (26)$$

Now, deduce similar relations that follow from $\langle h, F^{-i, n+i, m} \rangle = 0$, $i = 1, 2, \dots$: for example, if $i = 1$ then

$$\begin{aligned} (\gamma_{a,b,c}^{-1, n+1, m} - \gamma_{a,b,c}^{0, n, m}) - \alpha(\gamma_{b,a,c}^{n+1, -1, m} - \gamma_{b,a,c}^{n+2, -2, m}) \\ - \beta(\beta_{a,b,c}^{-1, n+1, m} - \beta_{a,b,c}^{0, n, m}) + \gamma(\beta_{b,a,c}^{n+1, -1, m} - \beta_{b,a,c}^{n+2, -2, m}) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

If we add (27) to (26) then the relation obtained is

$$\gamma_{a,b,c}^{-1, n+1, m} + \alpha\gamma_{b,a,c}^{n+2, -2, m} - \beta\beta_{a,b,c}^{-1, n+1, m} - \gamma\beta_{b,a,c}^{n+2, -2, m} = 1.$$

Proceed in a similar way to get

$$\gamma_{a,b,c}^{-k, n+k, m} + \alpha\gamma_{b,a,c}^{n+k+1, -k-1, m} - \beta\beta_{a,b,c}^{-k, n+k, m} - \gamma\beta_{b,a,c}^{n+k+1, -k-1, m} = 1. \quad (28)$$

for every $k \geq 1$. When k is large enough, we obtain $0 = 1$, a contradiction. \square

5. APPLICATIONS TO MANIN PRODUCTS

By Theorem 1, the condition (13) is sufficient for a binary quadratic operad $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}(V, R)$ to satisfy the Dong Property. Apart from well-known examples of such operads ($\mathcal{P} = \text{As}, \text{Com}, \text{Lie}$), this sufficient condition holds for many other classes of algebras.

Example 9. Let $\mathcal{P} = \text{Pois}$ be the operad of Poisson algebras (see Example 4). Then $\text{Pois}^!$ is isomorphic to Pois , so this is a Dong operad.

Indeed, the family (14) for Poisson algebras consists of four elements

$$\{\{p, q\}, t\}, \{p, q\}t, \{pq, t\}, pqt.$$

They are linearly independent in the free Poisson algebra.

Example 10. Let $\mathcal{P} = \text{Nov}$ be the operad of Novikov algebras from Example 5. Then the Koszul dual operad $\text{Nov}^!$ describes the class of right-symmetric and left commutative algebras, so the condition of Theorem 1 holds, and Nov is a Dong operad.

Indeed, the family (14) may be presented by elements from the free commutative differential algebra [10]:

$$(x \circ y) \circ z = x''yz + x'y'z, (y \circ x) \circ z = xy''z + x'y'z, z \circ (x \circ y) = x'yz', z \circ (y \circ x) = xy'z',$$

they are linearly independent. Therefore, the class of Novikov algebras satisfies the Dong Property (in a different way it was proved in [7]).

Example 11. Let $\mathcal{P} = \text{NP}$ be the operad of Novikov–Poisson algebras from Example 6. It follows from the description of $\text{NP}^!$ (see Example 8) that the elements (14)

$$[[p, q], t], [p, q] \circ t, t \circ [p, q], [p \circ q, t], [q \circ p, t], (p \circ q) \circ t, (q \circ p) \circ t, t \circ (p \circ q), t \circ (q \circ p)$$

are linearly independent in the free $\text{NP}^!$ -algebra. Hence, NP is a Dong operad.

Example 12. Let $\mathcal{P} = \text{Alt}$ be the operad of alternative algebras, i.e., there is one binary product satisfying the identities

$$\begin{aligned} (x_1x_2)x_3 - x_1(x_2x_3) &= -(x_2x_1)x_3 + x_2(x_1x_3), \\ (x_1x_2)x_3 - x_1(x_2x_3) &= -(x_1x_3)x_2 + x_1(x_3x_2). \end{aligned}$$

Then $\mathcal{P}^!$ is the operad of associative algebras satisfying $x^3 = 0$. The latter identity in the linearized form is

$$\sum_{\sigma \in S_3} x_{\sigma(1)}x_{\sigma(2)}x_{\sigma(3)},$$

so the terms $x_1x_2x_3, x_2x_1x_3, x_3x_1x_2, x_3x_2x_1$ are linearly independent in $\mathcal{P}^!(3)$. Therefore, the Dong Property holds for alternative algebras.

However, $\text{NP}^!$ is not a Dong operad, so the more general class of GD-algebras also does not satisfy the Dong Property. Note that the dual operad $\text{GD}^!$ is Dong.

Since the paper [21], various classes of di- and tri-algebras have been widely studied (see [25] and references therein). For every variety Var of algebras one may construct the classes diVar and triVar that are defined by the operads $\text{Perm} \otimes \text{Var}$ and $\text{ComTriAs} \otimes \text{Var}$. For a binary quadratic operad \mathcal{P} , the Hadamard product $\text{Perm} \otimes \mathcal{P}$ coincides with the so-called Manin white product [13] denoted $\text{Perm} \circ \mathcal{P}$. The same holds for the product with ComTriAs . In particular, diLie is the operad of Leibniz algebras.

The natural question is to decide whether $\text{di}\mathcal{P}$ or $\text{tri}\mathcal{P}$ is a Dong operad provided that so is \mathcal{P} . Since the criterion (13) concerns the Koszul dual operad, we have to study

$$(\text{di}\mathcal{P})^! = \text{preLie} \bullet \mathcal{P}^!, \quad (\text{tri}\mathcal{P})^! = \text{postLie} \bullet \mathcal{P}^!,$$

where \bullet stands for the Manin black product of [13], preLie and postLie are the operads of pre-Lie and post-Lie algebras, respectively.

Corollary 1. *Let \mathcal{P} be a Dong operad. Then the operads $\text{di}\mathcal{P}$, $\text{tri}\mathcal{P}$ governing the classes of replicated algebras are also Dong.*

Proof. Given a multilinear variety Var , the identities of preVar or postVar can be found by means of an algorithmic procedure described in [2] (see also [14, 15]).

If Var is the class of $\mathcal{P}^!$ -algebras, where $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}(V, R)$ is a binary quadratic operad, then the algebras in Var are linear spaces equipped with a family of operations $e_i^\vee = x_1 \circ^i x_2$, $(e_i)_{i \in I}$ is a basis of V . The algebras from preVar have duplicated family of operations $\mu_1 \otimes e_i^\vee = x_1 \succ^i x_2$, $\mu_2 \otimes e_i^\vee = x_1 \prec^i x_2$, $i \in I$, where μ_1, μ_2 form a basis of operations for preLie , $(12)\mu_1 = \mu_2$. Similarly, the algebras from postVar have triple family of operations: $\mu_1 \otimes e_i^\vee = x_1 \succ^i x_2$, $\mu_2 \otimes e_i^\vee = x_1 \prec^i x_2$ as above, and $\nu \otimes e_i^\vee = x_1 \perp^i x_2$. Here μ_1, μ_2, ν form a basis of the operation space for postLie , $(12)\mu_1 = \mu_2$, $(12)\nu = -\nu$.

Let us consider the tri-case: recall how to find the identities of postVar [15]. For brevity, denote

$$a *^j b = a \succ^j b + a \prec^j b + a \perp^j b.$$

For each defining identity $f(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ of Var (these identities form the space R^\perp) consider the *splitting* f_1, \dots, f_7 constructed as follows. Suppose f is a linear combination of monomials in x_1, x_2, x_3 with respect to the operations \circ^i , $i \in I$. For each monomial $u = (x_{k_1} \circ^j x_{k_2}) \circ^i x_{k_3}$, $\{k_1, k_2, k_3\} = \{1, 2, 3\}$, $i, j \in I$, consider

$$\begin{aligned} u_{k_1} &= (x_{k_1} \prec^j x_{k_2}) \prec^i x_{k_3}, & u_{k_2} &= (x_{k_1} \succ^j x_{k_2}) \prec^i x_{k_3}, & u_{k_3} &= (x_{k_1} *^j x_{k_2}) \succ^i x_{k_3}, \\ u_{k_1, k_2} &= (x_{k_1} \perp^j x_{k_2}) \prec^i x_{k_3}, & u_{k_1, k_3} &= (x_{k_1} \prec^j x_{k_2}) \perp^i x_{k_3}, & u_{k_2, k_3} &= (x_{k_1} \succ^j x_{k_2}) \perp^i x_{k_3}, \\ u_{k_1, k_2, k_3} &= (x_{k_1} \perp^j x_{k_2}) \perp^i x_{k_3}. \end{aligned}$$

We do not need to consider the right-justified monomials $u = x_{k_1} \circ^i (x_{k_2} \circ^j x_{k_3})$ separately since we assume the family e_i^\vee already contains permutations $(12)e_i^\vee$.

In order to find the defining identities of postVar coming from an identity f of Var one has to replace each monomial u in f with the respective u_M , M is a non-empty subset of $\{1, 2, 3\}$. The obtained relations f_M form the desired splitting.

Denote by R_M^\perp the linear span of all f_M , $f \in R^\perp$, $\emptyset M \subseteq \{1, 2, 3\}$. This is an S_3 -subspace of $\mathcal{F}_W(3)$, where $W = \text{postLie}(2) \otimes V^\vee$.

For every $f \in \mathcal{F}_{V^\vee}(3)$ we may write

$$f = f^{(0)} + (13)f^{(1)} + (23)f^{(2)},$$

where $f^{(i)} \in V^\vee \vec{\otimes} V^\vee$. Note that the splitting procedure described above does not affect the order of variables x_1, x_2, x_3 . Hence, if the elements $(13)f^{(1)} + (23)f^{(2)}$, $f \in R^\perp$, were linearly independent in $\mathcal{F}_{V^\vee}(3)$ then so are their splittings as summands of

$$f_M = f_M^{(0)} + (13)f_M^{(1)} + (23)f_M^{(2)}.$$

Therefore, every nonzero polynomial g from R_M^\perp contains a nonzero summand $g^{(1)}$ or $g^{(2)}$ provided that (13) holds for the initial operad \mathcal{P} . \square

The next natural question is to study how the Dong Property relates with the splitting procedure. It was shown in [7] that the operads preAs and preLie are not Dong. Now we may check it again using the criterion (13).

Example 13. *Let $\mathcal{P} = \text{preAs}$ be the operad of pre-associative (dendriform) algebras. Then $\mathcal{P}^\dagger = \text{diAs}$ is the operad of di-associative algebras (dialgebras).*

Choose a basis of $\text{diAs}(2)$ to be of $e_1 = x_1 \vdash x_2$, $e_2 = (12)e_1 = x_2 \vdash x_1$, $e_3 = x_1 \dashv x_2$, $e_4 = (12)e_3 = x_2 \dashv x_1$. If we assume preAs satisfies the Dong Property then

$$e_i \vec{\otimes} e_j, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, 4,$$

are linearly independent in $\text{diAs}(3)$. However, we have

$$e_1 \vec{\otimes} e_1 - e_1 \vec{\otimes} e_3 = (x_1 \vdash x_2) \vdash x_3 - (x_1 \dashv x_2) \vdash x_3 = 0 \in \text{diAs}(3),$$

i.e., the criterion of Theorem 2 does not hold. Hence, the operad preAs does not satisfy the Dong Property.

Even the smaller variety of pre-commutative (Zinbiel) algebras does not have the Dong Property since $\text{Zinb}^\dagger = \text{Leib}$. By similar reason, since $(x_1x_2)x_3 - (x_2x_1)x_3 = 0 \in \text{Perm}(3)$, the operad preLie = Perm † does not satisfy the Dong Property.

In general, we may deduce the following

Corollary 2. *Let \mathcal{P} be a binary quadratic operad. Then the dendriform splitting operad $\text{pre}\mathcal{P} = \text{preLie} \bullet \mathcal{P}$ does not satisfy the Dong Property.*

Proof. Indeed, $(\text{pre}\mathcal{P})^\dagger = \text{di}(\mathcal{P}^\dagger) = \text{Perm} \otimes \mathcal{P}$ [2, 14]. Suppose $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}(V, R)$, and $0 \neq \mu \in V^\vee$. Then for $x_1x_2 \in \text{Perm}(2)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (x_1x_2 \otimes \mu) \vec{\otimes} (x_1x_2 \otimes \mu) - (x_1x_2 \otimes \mu) \vec{\otimes} (x_2x_1 \otimes \mu) \\ = (x_1x_2)x_3 \otimes (\mu \vec{\otimes} \mu) - (x_2x_1)x_3 \otimes (\mu \vec{\otimes} \mu) = 0 \in \text{Perm}(3) \otimes \mathcal{P}^\dagger(3) = \text{di}(\mathcal{P}^\dagger)(3). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the necessary condition for the operad $\text{pre}\mathcal{P}$ to satisfy the Dong Property does not hold. \square

Obviously, the greater operads (tridendriform splitting) $\text{postVar} = \text{postLie} \bullet \text{Var}$ also do not satisfy the Dong Property.

However, if we are given two Dong operads \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{Q} then it turns out that their Manin black product $\mathcal{P} \bullet \mathcal{Q}$ is also a Dong operad (this statement is not true for the white product).

In order to prove that, we need an algorithmic way to compute the defining relations of $\mathcal{P} \bullet \mathcal{Q}$. It was actually mentioned in [13, 2.2.8], but in a very sketched form. In [15] this method (with an independent proof based on Rota–Baxter operators) was applied to describe the dendriform splitting of an operad \mathcal{Q} , i.e., to find the defining relations of $\text{preLie} \bullet \mathcal{Q}$ or $\text{postLie} \bullet \mathcal{Q}$. Let us present an easy way to find the Manin black product of binary quadratic operads in general.

Let $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}(V, R)$ and $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{P}(W, S)$ be two binary quadratic operads, $\dim V, \dim W < \infty$. Assume $(e_i)_{i \in I}$ and $(f_j)_{j \in J}$ are linear bases of V and W , respectively. Choose the dual basis $(e_i^\vee)_{i \in I}$ of V^\vee .

As above, express the operations generating \mathcal{P}^\dagger and \mathcal{Q} via monomials:

$$e_i^\vee = x_1 \circ^i x_2, \quad f_j = x_1 *_j x_2.$$

Suppose A is an algebra with the space of binary operations presented by $(1_- \otimes e_i \otimes f_j)_{(i,j) \in I \times J}$,

$$1_- \otimes e_i \otimes f_j = x_1 *_i *_j x_2, \quad i \in I, j \in J.$$

Then for every \mathcal{P}^1 -algebra B the space $B \otimes A$ may be considered as an algebra with operations $(\cdot *_j \cdot)$, $j \in J$, defined as follows:

$$(p \otimes a) *_j (q \otimes b) = \sum_{i \in I} (p \circ^i q) \otimes (a *_i b), \quad p, q \in B, \quad a, b \in A. \quad (29)$$

Proposition 2. *An algebra A with bilinear operations $(\cdot *_i \cdot)$ is a $(\mathcal{P} \bullet \mathcal{Q})$ -algebra if and only if for every \mathcal{P}^1 -algebra B the space $B \otimes A$ equipped with the operations (29) is a \mathcal{Q} -algebra.*

Proof. We keep the notations for \mathcal{P} , \mathcal{Q} introduced above. Denote by E the subset of $\mathcal{F}_V(3)^\vee \otimes \mathcal{F}_W(3)^\vee$ naturally isomorphic to

$$\text{Ind}_{S_2}^{S_3} ((V^\vee \otimes W^\vee) \vec{\otimes} (V^\vee \otimes W^\vee)).$$

Let π stand for the conjugate map to the embedding $E \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}_V(3)^\vee \otimes \mathcal{F}_W(3)^\vee$: this is a surjection

$$\pi : (\mathcal{F}_V(3)^\vee \otimes \mathcal{F}_W(3)^\vee)^\vee \cong \mathbb{k}_- \otimes \mathcal{F}_V(3) \otimes \mathcal{F}_W(3) \rightarrow E^\vee.$$

By definition, the Manin black product of \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{Q} is a binary quadratic operad generated by the S_2 -space $\mathbb{k}_- \otimes V \otimes W$ with respect to the relations

$$\pi(E^\perp + \mathbb{k}_- \otimes R \otimes S) \subseteq \mathbb{k}_- \otimes \mathcal{F}_V(3) \otimes \mathcal{F}_W(3).$$

Denote $e_{ij} = 1_- \otimes e_i \otimes f_j$, for $i \in I$, $j \in J$. An arbitrary element $h \in S \subseteq \mathcal{F}_W(3)$ may be presented as

$$h = \sum_{j, k \in J} (\alpha_{jk}(f_j \vec{\otimes} f_k) + \beta_{jk}(123)(f_j \vec{\otimes} f_k) + \gamma_{jk}(132)(f_j \vec{\otimes} f_k)).$$

Here we choose $e, (123), (132)$ as a basis of $\mathbb{k}S_3$ over $\mathbb{k}S_2$.

For every \mathcal{P}^1 -algebra B the operation $f_j \vec{\otimes} f_k$ applied to $p_1 \otimes a_1, p_2 \otimes a_2, p_3 \otimes a_3 \in B \otimes A$ takes the same value as

$$\sum_{i, l \in I} (e_i^\vee \vec{\otimes} e_l^\vee) \otimes (e_{ij} \vec{\otimes} e_{lk})$$

applied to $p_1 \otimes p_2 \otimes p_3 \otimes a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes a_3$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i, l \in I} (e_i^\vee \vec{\otimes} e_l^\vee) \otimes (e_{ij} \vec{\otimes} e_{lk}) &= \sum_{i, l \in I} (e_i^\vee \vec{\otimes} e_l^\vee) \otimes ((1_- \otimes e_i \otimes f_j) \vec{\otimes} (1_- \otimes e_l \otimes f_k)) \\ &= \sum_{i, l \in I} (e_i \vec{\otimes} e_l)^\vee \otimes \pi(1_- \otimes (e_i \vec{\otimes} e_l) \otimes (f_j \vec{\otimes} f_k)) \\ &= (\text{id}_{(V \vec{\otimes} V)^\vee} \otimes \pi) \sum_{i, l \in I} (e_i \vec{\otimes} e_l)^\vee \otimes 1_- \otimes (e_i \vec{\otimes} e_l) \otimes (f_j \vec{\otimes} f_k) \\ &= (\text{id}_{\mathcal{F}_V(3)^\vee} \otimes \pi) \sum_{i, l \in I} (e + (123) + (132))((e_i \vec{\otimes} e_l)^\vee \otimes 1_- \otimes (e_i \vec{\otimes} e_l)) \otimes (f_j \vec{\otimes} f_k) \quad (30) \end{aligned}$$

For the last equation, we added elements with permutations (123) and (132) from the kernel of π .

Therefore, $h(p_1 \otimes a_1, p_2 \otimes a_2, p_3 \otimes a_3)$ may be presented as

$$H = \sum_{\xi} F_{\xi} \otimes G_{\xi}, \quad F_{\xi} \in \mathcal{F}_V(3), \quad G_{\xi} \in \mathcal{F}_{1_- \otimes V \otimes W}(3),$$

acting on the corresponding element of $B^{\otimes 3} \otimes A^{\otimes 3}$. It follows from (30) that

$$H = (\text{id}_{\mathcal{F}_V(3)^\vee} \otimes \pi)(C^{13} \otimes h).$$

Here C^{13} is the Casimir element of the space $\mathcal{F}_V(3)$, i.e.,

$$C^{13} = \sum_{\mu} \mu^\vee \otimes 1_- \otimes \mu,$$

the summation is made over a basis of $\mathcal{F}_V(3)$.

Since the operations $\text{id} \otimes \pi$ and $\langle f, \cdot \rangle \otimes \text{id}$ (for $f \in \mathcal{F}_V(3)$) commute, we have

$$(\langle f, \cdot \rangle \otimes \text{id})H = \pi((\langle f, \cdot \rangle \otimes \text{id})(C^{13} \otimes h)) = \pi(1_- \otimes f \otimes h). \quad (31)$$

Suppose A is a $\mathcal{P} \bullet \mathcal{Q}$ -algebra. Then for every $f \in R$ the right-hand side of (31) is a zero map, so $h(p_1 \otimes a_1, p_2 \otimes a_2, p_3 \otimes a_3) = 0$ for every $h \in S$. Hence, $B \otimes A$ is a \mathcal{Q} -algebra.

Conversely, assume $B \otimes A$ is a \mathcal{Q} -algebra for every \mathcal{P}^1 -algebra B . In particular, it is true for the free \mathcal{P}^1 -algebra generated by p_1, p_2, p_3 . In this case, the left-hand side of (31) is a zero map for every $f \in R, h \in S$, so the right-hand side is an identity on A , i.e., A is a $\mathcal{P} \bullet \mathcal{Q}$ -algebra. \square

Example 14. Let $\mathcal{P} = \text{diLie}$ be the operad of Leibniz algebras, and let $\mathcal{Q} = \text{Nov}$. Let us calculate $\text{diLie} \bullet \text{Nov}$ by means of Proposition 2.

Assume $\text{diLie}(2)$ is spanned by $e_1, e_2 = (12)e_1$, and $\text{Nov}(2)$ is spanned by $f_1, f_2 = (12)f_1$. Then $(\text{diLie})^! = \text{preCom}$, i.e., the operad of Zinbiel algebras satisfying the identity

$$(x_1x_2)x_3 = x_1(x_2x_3) + x_1(x_3x_2)$$

for $x_1x_2 = e_1^\vee$ (then $e_2^\vee = -x_2x_1$).

In order to get a result in terms of familiar binary operations, $e_{11} = 1_- \otimes e_1 \otimes f_1$ by $x_1 \dashv x_2$ and $e_{21} = 1_- \otimes e_2 \otimes f_1$ by $-x_1 \vdash x_2$, then $e_{12} = -(12)e_{21} = x_2 \vdash x_1$, $e_{22} = -(12)e_{11} = -x_2 \dashv x_1$.

Suppose A is an algebra with operations $a \vdash b, a \dashv b$. Then for every Zinbiel algebra B we need $B \otimes A$ with operation

$$e_1^\vee \otimes e_{11} + e_2^\vee \otimes e_{21}$$

to be a Novikov algebra. According to the fixed notations, the latter operation is given by

$$(x \otimes a)(y \otimes b) = xy \otimes a \dashv b + yx \otimes a \vdash b, \quad x, y \in B, a, b \in A. \quad (32)$$

The identities on \vdash, \dashv should make the operation (32) left-symmetric and right commutative, see Example 5.

From the right-commutative identity, we have the equality of

$$\begin{aligned} & ((x \otimes a)(y \otimes b))(z \otimes c) \\ &= (xy)z \otimes (a \dashv b) \dashv c + z(xy) \otimes (a \dashv b) \vdash c + (yx)z \otimes (a \vdash b) \dashv c + z(yx) \otimes (a \vdash b) \vdash c \\ &= (x(yz) + x(zy)) \otimes (a \dashv b) \dashv c + z(xy) \otimes (a \dashv b) \vdash c \\ &\quad + (y(xz) + y(zx)) \otimes (a \vdash b) \dashv c + z(yx) \otimes (a \vdash b) \vdash c \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & ((x \otimes a)(z \otimes c))(y \otimes b) = (x(zy) + x(yz)) \otimes (a \dashv c) \dashv b + y(xz) \otimes (a \dashv c) \vdash b \\ &\quad + (z(xy) + z(yx)) \otimes (a \vdash c) \dashv b + y(zx) \otimes (a \vdash c) \vdash b. \end{aligned}$$

Compare similar terms with (linearly independent) monomials $x(yz), y(xz), z(yx)$, etc., in the first tensor factor, we obtain

$$(a \dashv b) \dashv c = (a \dashv c) \dashv b, \quad (33)$$

$$(a \dashv b) \vdash c = (a \vdash c) \dashv b, \quad (34)$$

$$(a \vdash b) \dashv c = (a \vdash c) \vdash b. \quad (35)$$

The relation (34) may be replaced with

$$(a \dashv b) \vdash c = (a \vdash b) \vdash c \quad (36)$$

modulo (35).

In a similar way, the identity of left symmetry

$$\begin{aligned} & ((x \otimes a)(y \otimes b))(z \otimes c) - (x \otimes a)((y \otimes b)(z \otimes c)) = \\ & \quad ((y \otimes b)(x \otimes a))(z \otimes c) - (y \otimes b)((x \otimes a)(z \otimes c)). \end{aligned}$$

for (32) implies the following relations on \vdash and \dashv :

$$(a \dashv b) \dashv c - (b \vdash a) \dashv c = a \dashv (b \dashv c) - b \vdash (a \dashv c), \quad (37)$$

$$(a \dashv b) \dashv c - (b \vdash a) \dashv c = a \dashv (b \vdash c) - b \vdash (a \dashv c), \quad (38)$$

$$(a \dashv b) \vdash c - (b \vdash a) \vdash c = a \vdash (b \vdash c) - b \vdash (a \vdash c), \quad (39)$$

$$(a \vdash b) \vdash c - (b \dashv a) \vdash c = a \vdash (b \dashv c) - b \dashv (a \vdash c). \quad (40)$$

It follows from (38) and (37) that

$$a \dashv (b \vdash c) = a \dashv (b \dashv c). \quad (41)$$

Therefore, the complete list of independent identities that hold on A coincides with the replication of right commutativity and left symmetry, i.e.,

$$\text{diLie} \bullet \text{Nov} = \text{diNov}.$$

Theorem 3. *Given two Dong operads \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{Q} , their Manin black product $\mathcal{P} \bullet \mathcal{Q}$ also satisfies the Dong Property.*

Proof. Let us follow the notations above: the operations on a \mathcal{P}^1 -algebra are denoted $(\cdot \circ^i \cdot)$, $i \in I$, the operations on a \mathcal{Q} -algebra are $(\cdot *_j \cdot)$, $j \in J$, and let $(\cdot *_i *_j \cdot)$, $i \in I$, $j \in J$, stand for the operations on a $(\mathcal{P} \bullet \mathcal{Q})$ -algebra.

Suppose we are given three pairwise local formal distributions $a(z), b(z), c(z)$ over a $(\mathcal{P} \bullet \mathcal{Q})$ -algebra A . Recall that

$$x(z) = \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}} x(s) z^{-s-1}, \quad x \in \{a, b, c\}, \quad x(s) \in A.$$

Consider the free \mathcal{P}^1 -algebra B generated by three elements p, q, t . Then

$$\bar{a}(z) = p \otimes a(z), \quad \bar{b}(z) = q \otimes b(z), \quad \bar{c}(z) = t \otimes c(z)$$

are formal distributions over the \mathcal{Q} -algebra $B \otimes A$. It is obvious that $\bar{a}(z), \bar{b}(z), \bar{c}(z)$ are pairwise local (with respect to the operations $*_j$, $j \in J$). Since \mathcal{Q} is a Dong operad, for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and for every $j \in J$ the distributions

$$(\bar{a} \circ_{j(n)} \bar{b})(z), \bar{c}(z) \in (B \otimes A)[[z, z^{-1}]]$$

are local. The latter means that for every $j_1 \in J$ there exists $N \geq 0$ such that

$$(w - z)^N (\bar{a} \circ_{j(n)} \bar{b})(w) *_j \bar{c}(z) = 0.$$

By definition, see (2), we have

$$(\bar{a} \circ_{j(n)} \bar{b})(w) = \text{Res}_{\xi=0} (\xi - w)^n \bar{a}(\xi) *_j \bar{b}(w).$$

It follows from (29) that

$$\bar{a}(\xi) *_j \bar{b}(w) = \sum_{i \in I} (p \circ^i q) \otimes (a(\xi) *_j *_i b(w)),$$

hence,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (w - z)^N (\bar{a} \circ_{j(n)} \bar{b})(w) *_j \bar{c}(z) \\ &= (w - z)^N \text{Res}_{\xi=0} (\xi - w)^n \left(\sum_{i \in I} (p \circ^i q) \otimes (a(\xi) *_j *_i b(w)) \right) *_j (t \otimes c(z)) \\ &= (w - z)^N \sum_{i, i_1 \in I} (p \circ^i q) \circ^{i_1} t \otimes \text{Res}_{\xi=0} (\xi - w)^n (a(\xi) *_j *_i b(w)) *_j *_i c(z) \\ &= \sum_{i, i_1 \in I} (p \circ^i q) \circ^{i_1} t \otimes (w - z)^N (a \circ_{j, i(n)} b)(w) *_i c(z). \end{aligned}$$

Since the elements $(p \circ^i q) \circ^{i_1} t$ are linearly independent in B by Theorem 2, we have

$$(w - z)^N (a \circ_{i,j(n)} b)(w) *_{i_1, j_1} c(z) = 0 \in A[[z, z^{-1}, w, w^{-1}]]$$

for every $i, i_1 \in I$, as desired. \square

Acknowledgments. We are grateful to Vladimir Dotsenko for the idea to study the analogues of the Dong Lemma at the level of operads. This research was funded by the Science Committee of the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of the Republic of Kazakhstan (Grant No. AP26193761). The first author was supported by the Program of Fundamental Research RAS (project FWNF-2022-0002).

REFERENCES

- [1] Bai C., Bai R., Guo L., Wu Y., Transposed Poisson algebras, Novikov-Poisson algebras and 3-Lie algebras, *Journal of Algebra*, 2023, 632, 535–566.
- [2] Bai C., Bellier O., Guo L., Ni X., Splitting of operations, Manin products, and Rota-Baxter operators, *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2013, 2013(3), 485–524.
- [3] Bakalov B., D’Andrea A., Kac V.G., Theory of finite pseudoalgebras, *Advances in Mathematics*, 2001, 162(1), 1–140.
- [4] Bakalov B., De Sole A., Heluani R., Kac V. G., An operadic approach to vertex algebra and Poisson vertex algebra cohomology, *Japanese Journal of Mathematics*, 2019, 14(2), 249–342.
- [5] Balinskii A. A., Novikov S. P., Poisson brackets of hydrodynamic type, Frobenius algebras and Lie algebras, *Sov. Math. Dokl.*, 32(1) (1985), 228–231.
- [6] Belavin A.A., Polyakov A.M., Zamolodchikov A.B., Infinite conformal symmetry in two-dimensional quantum field theory, *Nuclear Physics, Section B*, 1984, 241(2), 333–380.
- [7] Bokut L. A., Kolesnikov P. S. On the locality of formal distributions over right-symmetric and Novikov algebras, *The Bulletin of Irkutsk State University. Series Mathematics*, 2024, vol. 50, pp. 83–100. (in Russian). ArXiv:2404.17232v1.
- [8] Borchers R. E., Vertex algebras, Kac-Moody algebras, and the monster, *Proceedings of the National Academic Society USA*, 83 (1986), 3068–3071.
- [9] Bremner M. R., Dotsenko V., *Algebraic Operads An Algorithmic Companion*, Chapman Hall, 2016.
- [10] Dzhumadil’daev A., Löfwall C., Trees, free right-symmetric algebras, free Novikov algebras and identities, *Homology, Homotopy and Applications*, 2002, 4(2 I), 165–190.
- [11] Frenkel E., Ben-Zvi D., *Vertex algebras and algebraic curves*, American Mathematical Society, 88, 2004.
- [12] Gelfand I. M., Dorfman I. Ya., Hamilton operators and associated algebraic structures, *Functional analysis and its application*, 13 (1979), no. 4, 13–30.
- [13] Ginzburg V., Kapranov M., Koszul duality for operads, *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 76(1) (1994), 203–272.
- [14] Gubarev V. Y., Kolesnikov P. S., Embedding of dendriform algebras into Rota-Baxter algebras, *Central European Journal of Mathematics*, 2013, 11(2), 226–245.
- [15] Gubarev V. Yu., Kolesnikov P. S., Operads of decorated trees and their duals, *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin.* 55(4) (2014) 421–445.
- [16] Hong Y., Li F., Left-symmetric conformal algebras and vertex algebras, *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 2015, 219(8), 3543–3567.
- [17] Kac V. G., *Vertex algebras for beginners*, American Mathematical Society 10, 1998.
- [18] Kac V. G., Retakh A., Simple Jordan conformal superalgebras, *J. Algebra Appl.* 7(4) (2008) 517–533.
- [19] Kolesnikov P., Identities of conformal algebras and pseudoalgebras, *Communications in Algebra*, 2006, 34(6), 1965–1979.
- [20] Li H., Local systems of vertex operators, vertex superalgebras and modules, *J. Pure Appl. Alg.* 109 (1996) 143–195.
- [21] Loday J.-L., Pirashvili T., Universal enveloping algebras of Leibniz algebras and homology, *Math. Ann.* 296 (1993) 139–158.
- [22] Loday J. L., Vallette B., *Algebraic operads*, Springer Science and Business Media, 2012.
- [23] Markl M., Remm E., Algebras with one operation including Poisson and other Lie-admissible algebras, *Journal of Algebra*, 2006, 299(1), 171–189.
- [24] Osborn J. M., Novikov algebras, *Nova J. Algebra & Geom.*, 1 (1992), 1–14.
- [25] Pei J., Bai C., Guo L., Ni X., Replicators, Manin white product of binary operads and average operators. In: *New trends in algebras and combinatorics*, pp. 317–353. World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ (2020).
- [26] Roitman M., On free conformal and vertex algebras, *Journal of Algebra*, 1999, 217(2), 496–527.

- [27] Wightman A. S., Quantum field theory in terms of vacuum expectation values. *Phys. Rev.* 101 (1956), 860–866.
- [28] Xu X., On simple Novikov algebras and their irreducible modules, *Journal of Algebra*, 1996, 185(3), 905–934.
- [29] Xu X., Quadratic conformal superalgebras, *Journal of Algebra*, 2000, 231(1), 1–38.
- [30] Yuan L., O -operators and Nijenhuis operators of associative conformal algebras, *J. Algebra* 609 (2022) 245–291.
- [31] Zelmanov E. I., On a class of local translation invariant Lie algebras, *English transi. Soviet Math. Dokl.* 35 (1987), 216–218.

SOBOLEV INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, NOVOSIBIRSK (RUSSIA)

NARXOZ UNIVERSITY, ALMATY (KAZAKHSTAN)