

GOLDBACH'S PROBLEM IN SHORT INTERVALS FOR NUMBERS WITH A MISSING DIGIT

JISEONG KIM

ABSTRACT. In this paper, by assuming a zero-free region for Dirichlet L-functions, we show that almost all even integers n in a short interval $[x, x + x^{2/3+\varepsilon}]$ with a missing digit are Goldbach numbers.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a power of a natural number g , and let $[X, X + H]^*$ be the set of natural numbers $X < n \leq X + H$ such that n has only digits from $\{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, g-1\} \setminus \{b\}$ in its base- g expansion, for some $b \in \{2, 3, \dots, g-1\}$. The size of $[X, X + H]^*$ is approximately $H^{\log(g-1)/\log g}$ when X is a power of g .

Due to the lack of multiplicative structure among the elements in $[X, X + H]^*$, some standard approaches for summations of arithmetic functions over $[X, X + H]^*$ do not work. However, because $[X, X + H]^*$ has a nice Fourier transform, various interesting results have been proved (see [5], [6], [10], [1], [3]). More recently, Maynard [14] proved that there are infinitely many primes with restricted digits, using various sieve methods and Fourier analysis. He established very strong upper bounds for

$$\sum_{n \in [1, X]^*} e(\alpha n)$$

and its moments. This allowed him to apply the circle method to his problem, which is considered a binary problem. For other arithmetic functions over integers with restricted digits, see [15].

We say that an even number that can be written as a sum of two primes is a Goldbach number. In [16], A. Perelli and J. Pintz showed that almost all even integers in $[X, X + X^{1/3+\varepsilon}]$ are Goldbach numbers. In this paper, we follow their argument to prove that almost all even numbers in $[X, X + H]^*$ are also Goldbach numbers under the following assumption:

Assumption: Let us denote $L(s, \chi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \chi(n)n^{-s}$ where χ is a Dirichlet character. $L(s, \chi) \neq 0$ when $\Re(s) > 1 - c_2$, for some small fixed constant $c_2 > 0$.

In [9], we proved that

$$(1.1) \quad \sum_{n \in [X, X+H]^*} d_2(n) \ll |[X, X + H]^*| (\log X)^3$$

when H is larger than $X^{1/2}$. We will follow a similar argument to prove the following result, using recent results on exponential sum estimates in [13].

Theorem 1.1. *Let $X^{3/5+\varepsilon} \ll H \ll X^{1-\varepsilon}$, and let g be sufficiently large depends on ε . Then*

$$\sum_{n \in [X, X+H]^*} d_4(n) \ll (\log X)^7 |[x, x+H]^*|.$$

By applying Theorem 1.1 under the given assumption, we prove the following result:

Theorem 1.2. *Let $X^{2/3+\varepsilon} \ll H \ll X^{1-\varepsilon}$, and let g be sufficiently large depending on ε . Assume the stated assumption holds. Then almost all $2n$ in $[X, X+H]^*$ are Goldbach numbers.*

For the long interval version, see [2].

1.1. Setting for Theorem 1.2. From now on, we assume that $\varepsilon > 0$ is a sufficiently small constant. Let $I_1 = (X-H, X]$, $I_2 = (0, H]$, and let $Q = \delta^{-1}$, where $\delta = X^{-\varepsilon}$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} R^*(2n) &= R^*(2n, X, H) = \sum_{\substack{h+k=2n \\ h \in I_1 \\ k \in I_2}} \Lambda(h)\Lambda(k), \\ M^*(2n) &= M^*(2n, X, H) = \sum_{\substack{n+k=2n \\ n \in I_1 \\ k \in I_2}} 1, \\ S_1(\alpha) &= \sum_{n \in I_1} \Lambda(n)e(n\alpha), \quad S_2(\alpha) = \sum_{n \in I_2} \Lambda(n)e(n\alpha), \quad e(\alpha) = e^{2\pi i\alpha}, \end{aligned}$$

and let

$$\begin{aligned} T_1(\eta) &= \sum_{n \in I_1} e(n\eta), \quad T_2(\eta) = \sum_{n \in I_2} e(n\eta), \\ R_i(\eta, q, a) &= S_i \left(\frac{a}{q} + \eta \right) - \frac{\mu(q)}{\phi(q)} T_i(\eta), \quad i = 1, 2, \\ W_1(\chi, \eta) &= \sum_{n \in I_1} \Lambda(n)\chi(n)e(n\eta) - \delta_\chi T_1(\eta), \\ W_2(\chi, \eta) &= \sum_{n \in I_2} \Lambda(n)\chi(n)e(n\eta) - \delta_\chi T_2(\eta), \quad \text{where } \delta_\chi = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \chi = \chi_0, \\ 0 & \text{if } \chi \neq \chi_0, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

$$\sum_{a(q)} := \sum_{a=1}^q, \quad \sum_{a(q)}^* := \sum_{\substack{a=1 \\ (a,q)=1}}^q.$$

Also, $\|\cdot\|$ will denote the distance to the nearest integer. Also we use the following notations:

$$c_q(m) = \sum_{a(q)}^* e\left(\frac{ma}{q}\right), \quad \text{Ramanujan's sum,}$$

$$\tau(\chi) = \sum_{a(q)}^* \chi(a)e\left(\frac{a}{q}\right), \quad \text{Gauss's sum,}$$

$$\psi(x, \chi) = \sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n)\chi(n),$$

$$N(\sigma, T, \chi) = |\{\rho = \beta + i\gamma : L(\rho, \chi) = 0, \beta \geq \sigma \text{ and } |\gamma| \leq T\}|,$$

$$N(\sigma, T, q) = \sum_{\chi(\text{mod } q)} N(\sigma, T, \chi).$$

1.2. Lemmas. Set $H = X^{2/3+\varepsilon}$. By using the standard Hardy-Littlewood circle method, we have

$$\sum_{\substack{k+m=2n \\ k \in I_1, m \in I_2}} \Lambda(k)\Lambda(m) = \int_{1/Q}^{1+1/Q} S_1(\alpha)S_2(\alpha)e(-2n\alpha)d\alpha$$

We divide up the interval $[1/Q, 1 + 1/Q]$ into Farey arcs of order Q , writing $I_{q,r}$ for the arc with centre at r/q . Thus

$$I_{q,r} \subset \left[\frac{r}{q} - \frac{1}{qQ}, \frac{r}{q} + \frac{1}{qQ} \right]$$

for $q \leq Q, 1 \leq r \leq q, (r, q) = 1$. Let

$$I'_{q,r} = \left[\frac{r}{q} - \beta(\delta), \frac{r}{q} + \beta(\delta) \right],$$

where $D(\delta) := (\log X)^{-3^6-1}, \beta(\delta) := \frac{1}{H\delta D(\delta)}$.

The major and minor arcs are defined by

$$\mathfrak{M} = \bigcup_{q \leq Q} \bigcup_{\substack{r=1 \\ (r,q)=1}}^q I'_{q,r}, \quad \mathfrak{m} = [1/Q, 1 + 1/Q] \setminus \mathfrak{M},$$

respectively.

First, we need the following zero density estimates for the Dirichlet L-functions.

Lemma 1.3. [8] *For $1 \geq \sigma \geq 4/5, T \gg 1$, we have*

$$(1.2) \quad N(\sigma, T, q) \ll_{\varepsilon} (qT)^{(2+\varepsilon)(1-\sigma)+o(1)}.$$

By following the standard argument, we can estimate upper bounds for $W_i(\chi, \eta)$.

Lemma 1.4. *Let χ be a Dirichlet character and let $T \gg 1$. Then*

$$(1.3) \quad \sum_{n \in I_1} \Lambda(n)\chi(n) = \delta_{\chi}H - \sum_{\substack{\rho=\beta+i\gamma \\ |\gamma|<T}} \frac{X^{\rho} - (X-H)^{\rho}}{\rho} + O(X(\log qX)^2T^{-1}),$$

where the summation runs over the nontrivial zeros ρ of $L(s, \chi)$.

Proof. See [7, Chapter 7]. □

Note that

$$R_i(\eta, q, a) = \frac{1}{\phi(q)} \sum_{\chi} \chi(a) \tau(\bar{\chi}) W_i(\chi, \eta) + O(\sqrt{H}).$$

Therefore, by using the above lemmas, we can obtain the following bounds, which will be applied to some parts of the major arcs.

Lemma 1.5. *Assume the stated assumption holds. Then*

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{q \leq Q} \sum_{a(q)}^* \frac{1}{\phi(q)} \int_{|\eta| < \beta(\delta)} (T_1(\eta) R_2(\eta, q, a) + T_2(\eta) R_1(\eta, q, a)) e(-2n\eta) d\eta = o(H), \\ & \sum_{q \leq Q} \sum_{a(q)}^* \int_{|\eta| < \beta(\delta)} R_1(\eta, q, a) R_2(\eta, q, a) e(-2n\eta) d\eta = o(H). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By applying summation by parts, it is easy to see that when $|\eta| \leq \beta(\delta)$, $|W_i(\chi, \eta)| \ll H\beta(\delta)|W_i(\chi, 0)|$. Therefore, by using the bound $|\tau(\chi)| \leq q^{1/2}$, we have

$$|R_i(\eta, q, a)| \ll \frac{H\beta(\delta)}{q^{1/2}} \sum_{\chi} |W_i(\chi, 0)| + O(\sqrt{H}).$$

Therefore,

$$\int_{|\eta| < \beta(\delta)} |R_i(\eta, q, a)|^2 d\eta \ll \beta(\delta) \left(\frac{H^2\beta(\delta)^2}{q} \sum_{\chi} |W_i(\chi, 0)|^2 + H \right).$$

Note that $\beta(\delta) = \frac{(\log X)^{3^6+1}}{H\delta}$. Therefore, the left-hand side of the first equation in (1.4) is bounded by

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{q \leq Q} \sum_{a(q)}^* \frac{1}{\phi(q)q^{1/2}} H^{1/2}\beta(\delta)^{1/2} \left(H^2\beta(\delta)^2 \sum_{\chi} |W_i(\chi, 0)|^2 + H \right)^{1/2} \\ & \ll H^{3/2}\beta(\delta)^{3/2} \log Q \left(\sum_{q \leq Q} \left(\sum_{\chi} |W_i(\chi, 0)|^2 + \frac{1}{H\beta(\delta)^2} \right) \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

By following the argument in [7, Chapter 7], setting $T = \frac{qX(\log X)^2}{X^{3/5}}$, with the assumption, we have

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{\chi} |W_i(\chi, 0)|^2 \ll H^2 \sum_{\rho} X^{2\sigma-2} \left(\sum_{\chi(\bmod q)} N(\sigma, T, \chi) \right) \\ & \ll \left(\frac{H}{X} \right)^2 (X^{2-2c_2}(qT)^{2c_2+o(1)}) \\ & \ll H^2 q^{5\varepsilon} X^{-1.25c_2+o(1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the left hand-side of (1.4) is bounded by

$$\delta^{-3/2} Q \log Q H X^{-0.625c_2+o(1)}.$$

Also,

$$\int_{|\eta| < \beta(\delta)} |R_i(\eta, q, a)|^2 d\eta \ll H^4 \beta(\delta)^3 q^{5\varepsilon-1} X^{-1.25c_2+o(1)} \ll H\delta^{-3} (\log X)^{-3(3^6+1)} X^{-1.25c_2+o(1)}.$$

□

Now, we show that under the assumption, the exponential sum with weight $\Lambda(n)$ is small when $\alpha \in m$. Since $H\beta(\delta) \gg 1$, we can use the following lemma.

Lemma 1.6. *Let the stated assumption hold, and let $X^{2/3+\varepsilon} \ll H \ll X^{1-\varepsilon}$. When $\alpha \in m$, $\max(S_1(\alpha), S_2(\alpha)) \ll HX^{-c_3\varepsilon}$ for some $c_3 > 0$.*

Proof. The proof comes from the argument in [12]. The only difference is, we use δ as a power of $X^{-\varepsilon}$. One may use [11, Lemma 3.4] instead of [12, Lemma 2.3] with the assumption.

□

The following lemma shows that, on average,

$$F_{[X, X+H]}(\alpha) := |[X, X+H]^*|^{-1} \left| \sum_{n \in [X, X+H]^*} e(n\alpha) \right|$$

is of size

$$|[X, X+H]^*|^{-1 + \frac{\log((\log g)+1)}{\log(g-1)}}.$$

Lemma 1.7. *Let g be sufficiently large. Then*

$$\int_{[0,1]} F_{[X, X+H]}(\alpha) d\alpha \ll |[X, X+H]^*|^{-1 + \frac{\log((\log g)+1)}{\log(g-1)}}.$$

To treat the main terms in the major arcs, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 1.8. *Let $l \mid q$, and let $(am', q) = 1$. Then*

$$\sum_{b(q)}^* \sum_{a(q)} e\left(\frac{a(m'b - n)}{q}\right) \ll d_2(q)(n, q).$$

Proof. Note that

$$(1.7) \quad c_q(n) = \mu\left(\frac{q}{(q, n)}\right) \frac{\phi(q)}{\phi\left(\frac{q}{(q, n)}\right)}.$$

By rearranging the double sum, it can be written as

$$\sum_{a(q)}^* e\left(-\frac{an}{q}\right) \sum_{l|b} c_{q/l}(am') = \sum_{a(q)}^* e\left(-\frac{an}{q}\right) \sum_{l|q} \mu(q/l).$$

By (1.7), the proof is complete.

□

1.3. Proof of Theorem 1.3 assuming Theorem 1.2. We basically follow the argument in [16]. The major arcs contribution is

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathfrak{M}} S_1(\alpha) \overline{S_2(\alpha)} e(-2n\alpha) d\alpha \\ &= \sum_{q < Q} \sum_{a(q)}^* e\left(-\frac{2na}{q}\right) \left\{ \frac{\mu(q)^2}{\phi(q)^2} \int_{|\eta| < \beta(\delta)} T_1(\eta) T_2(\eta) e(-2n\eta) d\eta \right. \\ &+ \frac{\mu(q)}{\phi(q)} \int_{|\eta| < \beta(\delta)} (T_1(\eta) R_2(\eta, q, a) + T_2(\eta) R_1(\eta, q, a)) e(-2n\eta) d\eta \\ &\left. + \int_{|\eta| < \beta(\delta)} R_1(\eta, q, a) R_2(\eta, q, a) e(-2n\eta) d\eta \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The first sum on the right hand-side of (1.3) is

$$\sum_{q < Q} \sum_{a(q)}^* e\left(-\frac{2na}{q}\right) \frac{\mu(q)^2}{\phi(q)^2} \int_{[0,1]} T_1(\eta) T_2(\eta) e(-2n\eta) d\eta + O\left(\log Q \int_{|\eta| > \beta(\delta)} \left(\frac{1}{|\eta|}\right)^2 d\eta\right).$$

Since $\beta(\delta)^{-1} = H\delta(\log X)^{-3^6-1}$, the error term is $o(H)$. Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (1.8) \quad & \sum_{q < Q} \sum_{a(q)}^* e\left(-\frac{2na}{q}\right) \frac{\mu(q)^2}{\phi(q)^2} \int_{[0,1]} T_1(\eta) T_2(\eta) e(-2n\eta) d\eta = M^*(2n) \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(q)^2}{\phi(q)^2} c_q(-2n) \\ & + O\left(H \left| \sum_{q > Q} \frac{\mu(q)^2}{\phi(q)^2} c_q(-2n) \right|\right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $d_2(n)^2 \leq d_4(n)$. Therefore, by using Theorem 1.1,

$$\begin{aligned} (1.9) \quad & \left(\sum_{n \in [X, X+H]^*} H^2 \left| \sum_{q > Q} \frac{\mu(q)^2}{\phi(q)^2} c_q(-2n) \right|^2 \right) \ll H^2 \sum_{2n \in [X, X+H]^*} \left\{ \sum_{d|2n} \frac{1}{\phi(d)} \min\left(\frac{d}{Q}, 1\right) \right\}^2 \\ & \ll H^2 Q^{-2} \log Q \sum_{j \in [X, X+H]^*} d_2^2(j) \\ & \ll H^2 Q^{-2} \log Q \sum_{j \in [X, X+H]^*} d_4(j) \\ & \ll o(H^2 |[X, X+H]^*|). \end{aligned}$$

By using Lemma 1.5, the second and third sums in (1.3) are bounded by $o(H)$. Since the main term

$$M^*(2n) \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(q)^2}{\phi(q)^2} c_q(-2n) \asymp H,$$

it follows that the contribution from the major arcs gives the asymptotic for almost all $2n \in [X, X+H]^*$.

Now, let us consider the minor arcs. By Lemma 1.6, we have $S_i(\alpha) \ll HX^{-c\varepsilon}$ for some $c > 0$. Therefore, combining the upper bound in Lemma 1.7, we have

$$\int_m |S_1(\alpha)S_2(\alpha)| \left| \sum_{n \in [X, X+H]^*} e(-n\alpha) \right| d\alpha \ll H^2 X^{-2c\varepsilon} H^{\log((\log g)+1)/\log(g-1)}.$$

Since $|[X, X+H]^*| \asymp H^{\log g - 1/\log g}$, the above upper bound is $o(H|[X, X+H]^*|)$.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

In this section, we use the following notations:

$$\begin{aligned} S_4(\alpha; H) &:= \sum_{n=X}^{X+H} d_4(n)e(n\alpha), & S_4(\alpha) &:= \sum_{n=1}^X d_4(n)e(n\alpha), \\ S_4^\sharp(\alpha; H) &:= \sum_{n=X}^{X+H} d_4^\sharp(n)e(n\alpha), & S_4^\sharp(\alpha) &:= \sum_{n=1}^X d_4^\sharp(n)e(n\alpha), \\ S_{[X, X+H]^*}(\alpha) &:= \sum_{n \in [X, X+H]^*} e(n\alpha) \end{aligned}$$

For the approximant of $d_4(n)$, we use the following in [13]:

$$d_4^\sharp(n) := \sum_{\substack{m \leq R_4^6 \\ m|n}} P_m(\log n),$$

where R_4 is the parameter

$$R_4 := X^{\frac{\varepsilon}{40}},$$

where the polynomials $P_m(t)$ (which have degree at most 3) are given by the formula

$$P_m(t) := \sum_{j=0}^3 \binom{4}{j} \sum_{\substack{m=n_1 \cdots n_3 \\ n_1, \dots, n_j \leq R_4 \\ R_4 < n_{j+1}, \dots, n_3 \leq R_4^2}} \frac{(t - \log(n_1 \cdots n_j R_4^{4-j}))^{4-j-1}}{(4-j-1)! \log^{4-j-1} R_4}.$$

Note that $R_4^6 = x^{3\varepsilon/20}$. It is easy to see that $P_m(\log n) \ll_\varepsilon d_3(m)$ when $n \ll X$.

2.1. Propositions. The following proposition shows that $d_4^\sharp(n)$ is a good approximation for $d_4(n)$.

Proposition 2.1. [13, Theorem 1.1 (iii)] *Let $X^{3/5+\varepsilon} \leq H \leq X^{1-\varepsilon}$. Then*

$$\left| \sum_{X \leq b \leq X+H} (d_4(n) - d_4^\sharp(n)) e(n\alpha) \right| \ll Hx^{-c\varepsilon}$$

for some $c > 0$.

By using this, we can reduce our problem to the case of $d_4^\sharp(n)$.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $X^{3/5+\varepsilon} \leq H \leq X^{1-\varepsilon}$. Then*

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{n \in [X, X+H]^*} \int_{[0,1]} S_4(\alpha; H) e(-n\alpha) d\alpha = \sum_{n \in [x, x+H]^*} \int_{[0,1]} S_4^\sharp(\alpha; H) e(-n\alpha) d\alpha + o(|[X, X+H]^*|).$$

Proof. By using the trivial bound and Lemma 1.7,

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{n \in [X, X+H]^*} \int_{[0,1]} \left(S_4(\alpha; H) - S_4^\sharp(\alpha; H) \right) e(-n\alpha) d\alpha \\ & \ll \sup_{\alpha \in [0,1]} \left| S_4(\alpha; H) - S_4^\sharp(\alpha; H) \right| \int_{[0,1]} \left| \sum_{n \in [X, X+H]^*} e(-n\alpha) \right| d\alpha \\ & \ll H X^{-c\varepsilon} |[X, X+H]^*|^{\frac{\log(\log g)+1}{\log(g-1)}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for sufficiently large g , the above is bounded by $o(|[X, X+H]^*|)$. \square

Proposition 2.3.

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{n \in [X, X+H]} d_4^\sharp(n) e(an/q) e(n\beta) &= \int_X^{X+H} \sum_{m \leq X^{3\varepsilon}/20} \sum_{b(q)} e\left(\frac{a}{q} mb\right) \frac{1}{mq} P_m(\log u) e(\beta u) du \\ &+ O\left(qX^{2\varepsilon}(1+H|\beta|)\right) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By the definition of $d_4^\sharp(n)$,

$$\sum_{n \in [X, X+H]} d_4^\sharp(n) e(an/q) = \sum_{m \leq X^{3\varepsilon}/20} \sum_{k \in [X/m, (X+H)/m]} P_m(\log mk) e(amk/q).$$

The right hand side of the above equation is

$$\sum_{m \leq X^{3\varepsilon}/20} \sum_{b(q)} e(amb/q) \sum_{X/qm \leq k \leq (x+H)/qm} P_m(\log m(qk+b)) + O\left(X^{3\varepsilon/20+o(1)}q\right)$$

Removing b inside of P_m cause negligible error ,so we have

$$\sum_{m \leq X^{3\varepsilon}/20} \sum_{b(q)} e(amb/q) \sum_{X/qm \leq k \leq (X+H)/qm} \left(P_m(\log mqk) + O\left(\log X\right)^2 d_3(m)/k \right).$$

By using the fact that

$$\sum_{X/qm \leq k \leq (X+H)/qm} P_m(\log mqk) = \frac{1}{mq} \int_X^{X+H} P_m(\log u) du + O\left(X^\varepsilon\right),$$

we have

$$(2.4) \quad \sum_{n \in [x, x+H]} d_4^\sharp(n) e(an/q) = \sum_{m \leq X^{3\varepsilon}/20} \sum_{b(q)} e(amb/q) \frac{1}{mq} \int_X^{X+H} P_m(\log u) du + O\left(qX^{2\varepsilon}\right).$$

By using integration by parts,

(2.5)

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \in [x, x+H]} d_4^\sharp(n) e(an/q) e(n\beta) &= \sum_{m \leq X^{3\epsilon/20}} \sum_{b(q)} e(amb/q) \frac{1}{mq} \int_X^{X+H} P_m(\log u) e(u\beta) du \\ &+ O(qX^{2\epsilon}(1 + H|\beta|)). \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 2.4. *Let $X^{3/5+\epsilon} \leq H \leq X^{1-\epsilon}$. Then*

(2.6)

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{n \in [X, X+H]^*} \int_{\alpha \in \mathcal{M}} S_4^\sharp(\alpha; H) e(-n\alpha) d\alpha \\ &= \sum_{q \leq Q} \sum_{a(q)}^* \sum_{l_1|q}^* \sum_{b(q/l_1)}^* \sum_{l_2|q}^* \sum_{m' \leq X^{3\epsilon/20}/l_2} \sum_{n \in [X, X+H]^*} \frac{1}{m'l_2} e(a(m'l_2 b l_1 - n)/q) K(H, m', l_2, n, q) \\ &+ O(X^{5\epsilon} H^{3\epsilon}) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$K(H, m', l_2, n, q) := \int_X^{X+H} \frac{P_{m'l_2}(\log u)}{q(u-n)} \sin(2\pi\beta(\delta)(u-n)) du.$$

Proof. After integrating over α and by Proposition 2.3, we only need to consider the error term contributions. The contribution from the error terms is bounded by

$$qX^{2\epsilon} (1 + H\beta(\delta)) \int_{\alpha \in \mathcal{M}} |[X, X+H]^*| F_{[X, X+H]}(\alpha) d\alpha.$$

By using the bounds of the error term in Proposition 2.3 and the exponential sum bound in Lemma 1.7, the above term is bounded by

$$O(X^{5\epsilon} H^\epsilon).$$

□

Now, we consider the contribution of the minor arcs.

Proposition 2.5.

$$\sum_{n \in [X, X+H]^*} \int_{\alpha \in m} S_4^\sharp(\alpha; H) e(-n\alpha) d\alpha = o(|[1, H]^*|).$$

Proof. The contribution from the minor arc is bounded by

$$\sup_{\alpha \in m} |S_4^\sharp(\alpha; H)| \int_{\alpha \in m} |[X, X+H]^*| F_{[X, X+H]}(\alpha) d\alpha.$$

By using the bounds of the supremum in Proposition 3.4, the proof is completed. □

By considering the number of multiples of $q \leq Q$ in $[X, X+H]^*$, we can obtain an upper bound with a few extra power of logarithm.

Lemma 2.6. *Let g be a sufficiently large natural number and let*

$$\int_{[0,1]} \left| \sum_{n \in [1, x]^*} e(n\alpha) \right| d\alpha \ll x^{\frac{\log((\log g)+1)}{\log(g-1)}}$$

for sufficiently large x . Then for every $A > 0$, there exists $B > 0$ such that

$$(2.7) \quad \sum_{\substack{q \leq Q(\log X)^{-B} \\ (q, g(g-1))=1}} \max_{a \pmod{q}} \left| |\{n \in [1, X]^* : n \equiv a \pmod{q}\}| - \frac{|[1, X]^*|}{q} \right| \ll_g |[1, X]^*| (\log X)^{-A}.$$

Proof. This is a special case of the results in [4]. \square

2.2. Proof of Theorem 1.1. By Proposition 2.5, we only need to consider the major arcs contributions. Let $L_q = \beta(\delta)$, and let $P_{m,q}(u) := \frac{P_m(\log u)}{q}$. By separating the integral in Proposition 2.4, we see that

$$(2.8) \quad \frac{1}{\pi} \int_X^{X+H} \frac{P_{m',q}(u)}{u-n} \sin(L_q(u-n)) du \ll \left| \int_{L_q(X-n)}^{-L_q} + \int_{L_q}^{L_q(u+H-n)} P_{m',q} \left(n + \frac{u}{L_q} \right) \frac{\sin u}{u} du \right| + \left| \int_{-L_q}^{L_q} P_{m',q}(u) \left(n + \frac{u}{L_q} \right) \frac{\sin u}{u} du \right|.$$

Using the fact that

$$\left| \int_0^x \frac{\sin y}{y} dy - \frac{\pi}{2} \right| \ll \frac{1}{|x|}$$

for large $x \neq 0$, and

$$\frac{\sin x}{x} = 1 + o(x^2)$$

for small x , we have

$$\int_0^x \frac{\sin y}{y} dy \ll \min \left(\frac{\pi}{2}, |x| \right).$$

Also noting that $(P_{m',q}(x))' \ll \frac{d_3(m')}{qx}$. By applying integration by parts, we have

$$(2.9) \quad \left| \int_{L_q(X-n)}^{-L_q} P_{m',q}(x) \left(n + \frac{x}{L_q} \right) \frac{\sin x}{x} dx \right| \ll \frac{d_3(m')}{q} + \left| \int_{L_q(X-n)}^{-L_q} \frac{d_3(m')}{qL_q(n+x/L_q)} \min \left(\frac{\pi}{2}, |x| \right) dx \right|.$$

Therefore, the integral of the right-hand side of (2.9) is bounded by

$$O \left(d_3(m') \left(\frac{H\delta^{-c_1} D(\delta)^{-1}}{qX} + \frac{1}{q} \right) \right).$$

Hence, the left-hand side of (2.9) is bounded by $d_3(m')/q$. By the similar argument as the above, we have

$$\left| \int_{L_q}^{L_q(X+H-n)} P_{m',q} \left(n + \frac{x}{L_q} \right) \frac{\sin x}{x} dx \right| \ll \frac{d_3(m')}{q},$$

and

$$\left| \int_{-L_q}^{L_q} P_{m',q} \left(n + \frac{x}{L_q} \right) \frac{\sin x}{x} dx \right| \ll \frac{d_3(m')}{q}.$$

Thus, the entire contribution from the main term is bounded by

$$\sum_{m \leq X^{3\varepsilon/20}} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{q \leq Q} \sum_{n \in [X, X+H]^*} \frac{d_2(q) |c_q(n)| d_3(m)}{q}.$$

Using the crude bound $|c_q(n)| \leq (n, q)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{q \leq Q} \sum_{n \in [X, X+H]^*} \frac{|c_q(n)| d_2(q)}{q} \\
 & \ll \sum_{a|g(g-1)} d_2(a) \sum_{\substack{q \leq Q \\ (q, g(g-1))=a}} \frac{d_2(q)}{q} \sum_{l|q} l \sum_{\substack{n \in [X, X+H]^* \\ (n, q)=l}} 1 \\
 (2.10) \quad & \ll \sum_{a|g(g-1)} \frac{d_2(a)}{a} \sum_{\substack{q \leq Q/a \\ (q, g(g-1))=1}} \frac{d_2(q)}{q} \sum_{l|aq} l \sum_{\substack{n \in [X, X+H]^* \\ l|n}} 1 \\
 & \ll \sum_{a|g(g-1)} d_2(a) \sum_{l \leq aQ} d_2(l) \sum_{\substack{n \in [X, X+H]^* \\ l|n}} \sum_{\substack{lq_1 \leq Q \\ (lq_1, g(g-1))=a}} \frac{d_2(q_1)}{q_1} \\
 & \ll (\log X)^2 \sum_{a|g(g-1)} d_2(a) \sum_{l \leq aQ} d_2(l) \sum_{\substack{n \in [X, X+H]^* \\ l|n}} 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

By applying (2.7) and summation by parts, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.11) \quad & \sum_{l \leq aQ} d_2(l) \sum_{\substack{n \in [X, X+H]^* \\ l|n}} 1 \ll_A |[X, X+H]^*| \left(\sum_{l \leq aQ} \frac{d_2(l)}{l} + (\log X)^{-A} \right) \\
 & \ll |[X, X+H]^*| (\log X)^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that the coprimality condition in Lemma 2.6 can be easily resolved. Therefore, consider the sum over m

$$\sum_{m \leq X^{3\epsilon/20}} \frac{d_3(m)}{m} \ll (\log X)^3,$$

the proof is completed.

3. MINOR ARCS

Now we are left to treat the minor arcs contributions.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\alpha \in [0, 1)$, and suppose that $\|\alpha\| \leq \frac{A}{H} \delta^{-1}$ for at least $D(\delta)A \geq 1$ values of $a \in [A, 2A]$. Then there exists a positive integer $q \leq D(\delta)^{-1}$ such that $\|\alpha q\| \leq \frac{q}{H\delta D(\delta)}$.*

Proof. We basically follow the argument as in the proof in [17, Lemma 1.1.14]. By the pigeonhole principle, there exist two different integers $a, a' \in [A, 2A]$ such that $|a - a'| \leq D(\delta)^{-1}$. Set $q = a - a'$, so we have $\|q\alpha\| \leq 2\frac{A}{H} \delta^{-c_1}$. Since $AD(\delta) \gg 1$, we can consider q arithmetic progressions, and by the pigeonhole principle, there exists a $r \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, q-1\}$ such that

$$\{A/q + O(1) \leq n \leq 2A/q + O(1) : \|\alpha(nq + r)\| \leq \frac{A}{H} \delta^{-1}\}$$

has cardinality at least $D(\delta)A/q$. By considering intervals in the above set, we see that at most one interval (longer than length 1) appears in the set. Therefore, $2\frac{A}{H} \delta^{-c_1} / \|q\alpha\| \geq D(\delta)A/q$. \square

Now, let us define (δ, A) Type I sums, which are somewhat simplified versions of those in [13]. For the details, see [13].

Definition 3.2. Let $0 < \delta < 1$. A (δ, A) type I sum is an arithmetic function of the form $f(n) = \alpha * \beta(n) := \sum_{d|n} \alpha(d)\beta(n/d)$, where α is supported on $[1, A]$, and satisfies

$$\sum_{n \leq N} |\alpha(n)|^2 \leq \frac{1}{\delta} N,$$

$$\|\beta\|_{TV(\mathbb{N}, q)} \leq 1$$

for all $N \geq 1$ and for some $1 \leq q \leq 1/\delta$.

In [11, Lemma 2.19], the authors proved the following lemma.

Lemma 3.3. [11, Lemma 2.19] *There exists $J \ll 1$ and sequences $\{a_i(n)\}_{i=1}^J$, supported on $[1, X^{\varepsilon/5}]$ with $a_i(n) \ll d_3(n)^3$ such that, for each $1 \leq n \leq x$ we have $d_4^\sharp(n) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq J} (a_i * \phi_i)(n)$ where $\phi_i(n) = (\log n)^{l_i} / (\log x)^{l_i}$ for some $0 \leq l_i \leq 3$.*

Therefore, by using Shiu's theorem, it is easy to see that $d_4^\sharp(n)$ is a linear combination of $((\log^{1-3^6}(X), A)$ Type I sums, where $A \in [1, X^{J\varepsilon/5}]$. Now, we are going to use the following inverse theorem.

Proposition 3.4. *Let $2 \leq H \leq x$. Let f be a $((\log X)^{1-3^6}, A)$ type I sum for some $A > 1$, such that*

$$\left| \sum_{x \leq n \leq x+H} f(n)e(\alpha n) \right| \geq \delta H.$$

Then either $H \ll \delta^{-O(1)}A$ or

$$\|q\alpha\| \ll \frac{q}{H\delta D(\delta)}$$

for some $q \ll D(\delta)^{-1}$.

Proof. Assume that $H > \delta^{-O(1)}A$. We follow the argument in the proof of [13, Theorem 4.2]. Since $f = \alpha * \beta$,

$$\delta H \leq \sum_{a \leq A} |\alpha(a)| \left| \sum_{X/a \leq b \leq X/a+H/a} \beta(b)e(ab\alpha) \right|.$$

By the pigeonhole principle, there exists $1 \leq B \leq A$ such that

$$\sum_{B \leq a \leq 2B} |\alpha(a)| \left| \sum_{X/a \leq b \leq X/a+H/a} \beta(b)e(ab\alpha) \right| \geq \delta H / \log x.$$

By applying the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality,

$$\sum_{B \leq a \leq 2B} \left(\left| \sum_{X/a \leq b \leq X/a+H/a} \beta(b)e(ab\alpha) \right| \right)^2 \geq \frac{\delta^2 H^2}{(\log X)^2} \frac{1}{B(\log B)^{3^6-1}}.$$

Let $D(\delta)B \ll 1$. Then there exists $a \in [B, 2B]$ such that

$$\left| \sum_{X/a \leq b \leq X/a+H/a} e(ab\alpha) \right| \gg \delta H / (B(\log B)^{(3^6-1)/2} \log X).$$

Therefore, $\|a\alpha\| \leq \frac{B(\log X)^{(3^6+1)/2}}{\delta H}$. Let $D(\delta)B \gg 1$. Then there should be at least $D(\delta)B$ choices of $a \in [B, 2B]$ such that

$$\left| \sum_{X/a \leq b \leq X/a+H/a} e(ab\alpha) \right| \gg \delta H/B.$$

Therefore, by applying Lemma 3.1, the proof is completed. \square

By combining the above results, we get the following:

Proposition 3.5. *Let $\alpha \in m$. Then*

$$S_4^\sharp(\alpha; H) \ll \delta H.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] William D. Banks, Alessandro Conflitti, and Igor E. Shparlinski. Character sums over integers with restricted g -ary digits. *Illinois J. Math.*, 46(3):819–836, 2002.
- [2] James Cumberbatch. Digitally restricted sets and the goldbach conjecture: An exceptional set result, 2024.
- [3] Cécile Dartyge and Christian Mauduit. Nombres presque premiers dont l'écriture en base r ne comporte pas certains chiffres. *J. Number Theory*, 81(2):270–291, 2000.
- [4] Cécile Dartyge and Christian Mauduit. Ensembles de densité nulle contenant des entiers possédant au plus deux facteurs premiers. *Journal of Number Theory*, 91(2):230–255, 2001.
- [5] Paul Erdős, Christian Mauduit, and András Sárközy. On arithmetic properties of integers with missing digits. I. Distribution in residue classes. *J. Number Theory*, 70(2):99–120, 1998.
- [6] Paul Erdős, Christian Mauduit, and András Sárközy. On arithmetic properties of integers with missing digits. II. Prime factors. volume 200, pages 149–164. 1999. Paul Erdős memorial collection.
- [7] Glyn Harman. *Prime-detecting sieves*, volume 33 of *London Mathematical Society Monographs Series*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2007.
- [8] Matti Jutila. On Linnik's constant. *Math. Scand.*, 41(1):45–62, 1977.
- [9] Jiseong Kim. The divisor function over integers with a missing digit, 2024.
- [10] Sergei Konyagin. Arithmetic properties of integers with missing digits: distribution in residue classes. *Period. Math. Hungar.*, 42(1-2):145–162, 2001.
- [11] Kaisa Matomäki, Maksym Radziwiłł, Xuancheng Shao, Terence Tao, and Joni Teräväinen. Higher uniformity of arithmetic functions in short intervals ii. almost all intervals, 2024.
- [12] Kaisa Matomäki and Xuancheng Shao. Discorrelation between primes in short intervals and polynomial phases. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (16):12330–12355, 2021.
- [13] Kaisa Matomäki, Xuancheng Shao, Terence Tao, and Joni Teräväinen. Higher uniformity of arithmetic functions in short intervals I. All intervals. *Forum Math. Pi*, 11:Paper No. e29, 97, 2023.
- [14] James Maynard. Primes with restricted digits. *Invent. Math.*, 217(1):127–218, 2019.
- [15] Kunjakanan Nath. Primes with a missing digit: distribution in arithmetic progressions and an application in sieve theory. *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)*, 109(1):Paper No. e12837, 59, 2024.
- [16] A. Perelli and J. Pintz. On the exceptional set for Goldbach's problem in short intervals. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 47(1):41–49, 1993.
- [17] Terence Tao. *Higher order Fourier analysis*, volume 142 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2012.

THE UNIVERSITY OF MISSISSIPPI, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS HUME HALL 335 OXFORD, MS 38677

Email address: Jkim51@olemiss.edu