A note on Huisken monotonicity-type formula for the mean curvature flow in a gradient shrinking extended Ricci soliton background

José N.V. Gomes¹, Matheus Hudson², and Hikaru Yamamoto³

ABSTRACT. We give an application of a Huisken monotonicity-type formula for the mean curvature flow in a compact smooth manifold with a Riemannian metric that evolves by a shrinking self-similar solution of the extended Ricci flow. Our investigation builds on previous articles by Huisken and the third author as we apply their techniques to establish new results in this geometric setting. Moreover, under some natural geometric assumptions, the noncompact case is also resolved.

1. Introduction

An important geometric flow in the setting of Riemannian manifolds is the celebrated mean curvature flow, which falls in the class of extrinsic geometric flows. It is well known that the mean curvature flow (MCF, for short) has been a constant object of investigation, and it has experienced a great development in the last decades. Here, it is worth mentioning some results in the literature that are related to our work. Huisken [6] showed that the shrinking self-similar solutions to the MCF in Euclidean space appear as stationary points for the Gaussian area-type functional playing the role of the energy-type functional, which is non-increasing along the flow. Lott [8] worked on the MCF in a compact smooth manifold with boundary and Riemannian metric that evolves by Ricci flow, and then he found a Huisken monotonicity-type formula in the special case of self-similar solutions to the Ricci flow. Magni, Mantegazza and Tsatis [9] also found a Huisken monotonicitytype formula for the MCF in a smooth manifold without boundary and Riemannian metric that evolves by a self-similar solution to the Ricci flow. Recently, the first and second authors [4] showed a Huisken monotonicity-type formula for the MCF in an ambient space with a Riemannian metric that evolves by a self-similar solution of the extended Ricci flow.

In [9], special emphasis was given for the possible generalization of Huisken's monotonicity formula and its connection with the validity of some Li-Yau-Hamilton differential Harnack-type inequalities in the setting of submanifolds in an ambient Riemannian manifold evolving by Ricci or backward Ricci flow, whereas in [8]

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 53C44.

Key words and phrases. Mean curvature flow, extended Ricci flow, Huisken monotonicity-type formula, Cheeger-Gromov convergence.

it was proved a relation between the mean curvature solitons and an extension of Hamilton's differential Harnack expression which vanishes on the steady case, recovering classical results known for translating solitons to the MCF in Euclidean space (see [5, Def. 4.1 and Lem. 3.2]). In [4], special attention has been given for the mean curvature solitons, for instance, an extension of Hamilton's differential Harnack expression naturally appears in the more general context of mean curvature solitons in a compact smooth manifold with boundary and Riemannian metric evolving by extended Ricci flow, whose characterization of nullity should be on the steady case. Besides, it was given a way on how to construct examples of these mean curvature solitons, and also, a characterization of an arbitrary family of such a solitons was proved. Before that, the third author showed a characterization of a family of self-shrinkers in a gradient shrinking Ricci soliton (see [13, Sect. 4]).

Huisken also proved, by means of his monotonicity formula, that a MCF converges to a self-shrinker in Euclidean space after scaling when it develops a singularity of type-I, i.e., the growth rate estimate for the norm of the second fundamental form is bounded. In the same way, the third author gave an application of Huisken monotonicity-type formula obtained by Lott in the case of gradient shrinking Ricci solitons. He showed that a MCF converges to a self-shrinker soliton with the additional uniformity conditions of bounded geometry of the ambient space.

In this note, we follow the approach in [13] to give an application of Huisken monotonicity-type formula for the context of the MCF in a gradient shrinking extended Ricci soliton background obtained in [4]. The most appropriate setting to do this is to consider the family \mathscr{F} and its associated normalized family $\widetilde{\mathscr{F}}$ as defined in Remark 1. Precisely, the main upshot of our investigation is the following convergence theorem.

Theorem 1. Assume that (M,g) is an $n \geq 3$ -dimensional compact Riemannian manifold, and let $(\overline{g}(t), \overline{w}(t))$ be a shrinking self-similar solution to the extended Ricci flow on M with potential function \overline{f} and initial value (g, w). Given an (n-1)-dimensional compact smooth manifold Σ without boundary, and let \mathscr{F} be the MCF of Σ in a gradient shrinking extended Ricci soliton background which develops a singularity of type-I. Consider the normalized MCF $\widetilde{\mathscr{F}}$ in (M,g). Then, for any sequence $s_1 < s_2 < \cdots < s_j < \cdots \rightarrow \infty$ and points $\{p_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ in Σ , there exist subsequences s_{j_k} and p_{j_k} such that the family of immersion maps $\widetilde{x}_{s_{j_k}} : \Sigma \to (M,g)$ from pointed manifolds (Σ, p_{j_k}) converges to an immersion map $x_\infty : \Sigma_\infty \to (M,g)$ from an (n-1)-dimensional complete pointed Riemannian manifold $(\Sigma_\infty, x_\infty^* g, p_\infty)$ in the C^∞ Cheeger-Gromov sense. Furthermore, $(\Sigma_\infty, x_\infty^* g)$ is an f_∞ -minimal hypersurface of (M,g), where $f_\infty = f \circ x_\infty$.

This note is structured as follows. We begin in Section 2 by defining and commenting upon the concepts required to lay the groundwork for our proofs. In Section 3, we proceed with the proofs of preliminary results which are formulated in the more general context of complete Riemannian manifolds with bounded geometry. This section ends with the proof of the main theorem. In Section 4 we prove our main theorem for the case of complete noncompact Riemannian manifold with some additional uniformity conditions. We conclude this note by appending an example of f-minimal hypersurface of an Euclidean spherical cap.

2. Mean curvature flow in an extended Ricci flow background

Consider an $n \ge 3$)-dimensional smooth manifold M and a solution (g(t), w(t)) to the *extended Ricci flow*

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}g(t) = -2\operatorname{Ric}_{g(t)} + 2\alpha_n dw(t) \otimes dw(t), \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t}w(t) = \Delta_{g(t)}w(t), \end{cases}$$
(2.1)

in $M \times I$, for some initial value (g, w). Here and throughout the paper, $\alpha_n = (n-1)/(n-2)$, $\operatorname{Ric}_{g(t)}$ stands for the Ricci tensor of the Riemannian metric g(t), the Laplacian operator $\Delta_{g(t)}$ is the trace of the Hessian operator $\nabla_{g(t)}^2$ computed on g(t), and $dw(t) \otimes dw(t)$ denotes the tensor product of the 1-form dw(t) by itself, which is metrically dual to gradient vector field $\nabla w(t)$ computed on g(t) of a scalar smooth function w(t) on M. For an account of the extended Ricci flow, including a proof of short-time existence of solutions to (2.1), we refer to List [7, Sect. 4.1].

Throughout the paper, we shall follow the notation and terminology of [4] and [13]. In particular, whenever there is no danger of confusion, we simplify the notation by suppressing the parameter t.

A gradient extended Ricci soliton on M is a self-similar solution $(\overline{g}(t), \overline{w}(t))$ of (2.1) given by

$$\begin{cases} \overline{g}(t) = \sigma(t)\psi_t^*g, \\ \overline{w}(t) = \psi_t^*w, \end{cases}$$

for some initial value (g, w), where ψ_t is a smooth one-parameter family of diffeomorphisms of M generated from the flow of $\nabla_g f/\sigma(t)$, for some $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$, and $\sigma(t)$ is a smooth positive function on t. By setting $\overline{f}(t) = \psi_t^* f$, from (2.1) we have

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{Ric}_{\overline{g}} + \nabla_{\overline{g}}^2 \overline{f} - \alpha_n d\overline{w} \otimes d\overline{w} = \frac{c}{2(T-t)}\overline{g}, \\ \Delta_{\overline{g}} \overline{w} = \langle \nabla_{\overline{g}} \overline{f}, \nabla_{\overline{g}} \overline{w} \rangle_{\overline{g}}, \end{cases}$$
(2.2)

where c = 0 in the steady case, for $t \in \mathbb{R}$; c = 1 in the shrinking case, for $t \in (-\infty, T)$; and c = 1 in the expanding case, for $t \in (T, +\infty)$. Moreover,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\overline{f} = \left|\nabla_{\overline{g}}\overline{f}\right|_{\overline{g}}^2.$$
(2.3)

The function \overline{f} is the so-called *potential function*.

As in [4], we consider a MCF in an ambient space with a complete Riemannian metric that evolves by an extended Ricci flow, as follows: let (g(t), w(t)) be an extended Ricci flow in $M \times I$. Given an (n-1)-dimensional compact smooth manifold Σ without boundary, let $\{x(\cdot, t); t \in [0, T)\}$ be a smooth one-parameter family of immersions of Σ in M. For each $t \in [0, T)$, set $x_t = x(\cdot, t)$ and Σ_t for the hypersurface $x_t(\Sigma)$ of (M, g(t)), i.e., $\Sigma_t := (x_t(\Sigma), g(t))$. We say that the family $\mathscr{F} := \{\Sigma_t; t \in [0, T)\}$ is a *MCF in an extended Ricci flow background* if it evolves under MCF

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}x(p,t) &= H(p,t)e(p,t), \\ x(p,0) &= x_0(p), \end{cases}$$

where H(p,t) and e(p,t) are the mean curvature and the unit normal of Σ_t at the point $p \in \Sigma$, respectively. In the particular case where $(g(t), w(t)) = (\overline{g}(t), \overline{w}(t))$ is a gradient extended Ricci soliton on M, with potential function \overline{f} , we say that

 \mathscr{F} is a *MCF* in a gradient extended Ricci soliton background, and a hypersurface $\Sigma_t \in \mathscr{F}$ is a mean curvature soliton, if

$$H(p,t) + e(p,t)\overline{f} = 0 \quad \forall p \in \Sigma_t.$$

Here, $e(\cdot, t)$ must be the inward unit normal vector field on Σ_t . Note that the interval I has an appropriate choice in each case of the flow. Besides, whenever there is no danger of confusion, we are writing g(t) on Σ instead of $x_t^*g(t)$.

For constructing a family of mean curvature solitons for the MCF in a gradient extended Ricci soliton background on a gradient extended Ricci soliton on M from a smooth one-parameter family of diffeomorphisms ψ_t of M generated from the flow of $\nabla_g f/\sigma(t)$ and initial value (g, w), it is enough to consider a f-minimal hypersurface (i.e., $H_g + e_0(f) = 0$) of (M, g), and then to proceed as in [4, Thm. 3] to obtain such a family. In Section 5, we give an example of f-minimal hypersurface of an Euclidean spherical cap. For an explicit example of MCF in a gradient Ricci soliton background, see [12].

The MCF in a gradient shrinking extended Ricci soliton background develops a singularity of type-I when there exists a constant C > 1 such that

$$\max_{p \in \Sigma} |\mathcal{A}(p, t)| \leqslant \frac{C}{\sqrt{T - t}},\tag{2.4}$$

where $\mathcal{A}(\cdot, t)$ stands for the second fundamental form of Σ_t .

To provide an application of a Huisken monotonicity-type formula for the context of the MCF in a shrinking gradient extended Ricci soliton background, we rewrite Thm. 2 of [4] in a convenient way, as follows.

Theorem 2. Assume that (M, g) is an $n \geq 3$ -dimensional complete Riemannian manifold, and let $(\overline{g}(t), \overline{w}(t))$ be a shrinking self-similar solution to the extended Ricci flow on M with potential function \overline{f} and initial value (g, w). Given an (n-1)-dimensional compact smooth manifold Σ without boundary, and let \mathscr{F} be the MCF of Σ in a gradient shrinking extended Ricci soliton background. Denote by $dA_{\overline{g}}$ the (n-1)-dimensional Riemannian measure on Σ induced by $\overline{g}(t)$, and consider the function $\mathscr{A}(t)$ given by

$$(-\infty, T) \ni t \mapsto [4\pi(T-t)]^{-(n-1)/2} \int_{\Sigma} e^{-\overline{f}} dA_{\overline{g}}.$$

Then

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathscr{A}(t) = -[4\pi(T-t)]^{-(n-1)/2} \int_{\Sigma} \left(H_{\overline{g}} + e_t \overline{f}\right)^2 e^{-\overline{f}} dA_{\overline{g}}$$

for all $t \in [0,T)$. In particular, $\mathscr{A}(t)$ is non-increasing. Moreover, $\mathscr{A}(t)$ is constant if and only if \mathscr{F} is a family of mean curvature solitons.

The following remark is worth noting to justify the main setting of this note.

Remark 1. Assume that (M,g) is an $n \geq 3$ -dimensional complete Riemannian manifold, and let $(\overline{g}(t), \overline{w}(t))$ be a shrinking self-similar solution to the extended Ricci flow on M with potential function \overline{f} and initial value (g, w). Given an (n-1)-dimensional compact smooth manifold Σ without boundary, and let $\mathscr{F} := \{\Sigma_t; t \in [0,T)\}$ be the MCF of Σ in a gradient shrinking extended Ricci soliton background. Write $H_{\overline{g}} = H(p,t)$ and $e_t = e(p,t)$, for short. Setting $s = -\log(T-t)$ and $\widetilde{\Sigma}_s = (\widetilde{x}_s(\Sigma) = \psi_t \circ x_t(\Sigma), \widetilde{x}_s^*g)$, one has $s \in [-\log T, \infty)$,

$$\frac{ds}{dt} = \frac{1}{T-t} \text{ and } \frac{dt}{ds} = T - t. \text{ Since } \overline{g}(t) = (T-t)\psi_t^*g, \text{ we have } H_{\overline{g}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T-t}}H_{\psi_t^*g} \text{ and } e_t = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T-t}}e_{\psi_t^*g}. \text{ Then}$$
$$\frac{\partial \widetilde{x}_s}{\partial s} = \left[\frac{d\psi_t}{dt} \circ x_t + (\psi_t)_*\left(\frac{\partial x_t}{\partial t}\right)\right]\frac{dt}{ds} = \frac{\nabla_g f \circ \psi_t}{T-t} \circ x_t \cdot \frac{dt}{ds} + (T-t)(\psi_t)_*(H_{\overline{g}}e_t)$$
$$= (\nabla_g f + H_g)(\widetilde{x}_s).$$

We call the family $\widetilde{\mathscr{F}} := \{\widetilde{\Sigma}_s; s \in [-\log T, \infty)\}$ the normalized MCF in (M, g).

As an application of Theorem 2, we prove our main result. By taking w to be constant in (2.1), we recover Theorem 1.5 in [13] from Theorem 1. The proof is by means of Arzelà–Ascoli theorem, which provides a sequence of isometric immersions on an exhaustion of Σ converging to a limiting global solution which is f_{∞} -minimal hypersurface of (M, g). We prove global supremum estimates depending only on the initial bounds on Riemann tensor Rm_g and Hessian operator $\nabla_g^2 w$, whereas the interior estimates depend on the full C^{∞} norm of \overline{g} (see Section 3). This is possible since the estimates for the derivatives of \overline{g} and \overline{w} can be combined in the right way.

3. An application of the Huisken monotonicity-type formula

In this section, we prove Theorem 1 which is an application of a Huisken monotonicity-type formula for the context of MCF in a gradient extended Ricci soliton background. We begin with a brief discussion which establishes the groundwork for the compact and noncompact cases.

Definition 1 (Bounded geometry). We say that a complete Riemannian manifold (M,g) has bounded geometry if for every integer $j \ge 0$ there exist positive constants C_j and η such that the Riemann curvature tensor Rm_g and the injectivity radius $\operatorname{inj}(M,g)$ satisfy

$$|\nabla_a^j \operatorname{Rm}_a| < C_i$$
 and $\operatorname{inj}(M, g) \ge \eta > 0.$

When (g, w) is the initial value of a self-similar solution $(\overline{g}(t), \overline{w}(t))$ to the extended Ricci flow on a noncompact smooth manifold, we also assume that there exist positive constants C'_i such that

$$\left|\nabla_{q}^{j}(dw \otimes dw)\right| \leqslant C_{j}^{\prime} \tag{3.1}$$

for every integer $j \ge 0$. The motivation for choosing this additional assumption among the other tensors of soliton fundamental equation (2.2) can be seen in List's work [7]. Of course, in the compact case, (3.1) is satisfied.

Notice that \mathbb{R}^n with the standard metric and compact Riemannian manifolds have bounded geometry. Actually, bounded geometry provides a natural generalization to the known settings of compact and Euclidean spaces. In particular, the class of manifolds of bounded geometry allows us to uniformly apply constructions that are well known for compact manifolds. For a way on how to construct complete manifolds of bounded geometry, we refer to Eldering [3].

The notion of the convergence of immersions from pointed manifolds is defined as follows. It is the immersion map version of the Cheeger-Gromov convergence.

Definition 2. Let (M, g) be an *n*-dimensional complete Riemannian manifold with bounded geometry. Assume that for each $k \ge 1$ we have an *m*-dimensional pointed manifold (Σ_k, p_k) and an immersion $x_k : \Sigma_k \to M$. Then, we say that a sequence of immersions $\{x_k: \Sigma_k \to M\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ converges to an immersion $x_{\infty}: \Sigma_{\infty} \to$ M from an m-dimensional pointed manifold $(\Sigma_{\infty}, p_{\infty})$ if there exist

- (1) An exhaustion $\{U_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ of Σ_{∞} with $p_{\infty} \in U_k$, and (2) A sequence of diffeomorphisms $\Psi_k : U_k \to V_k \subset \Sigma_k$ with $\Psi_k(p_{\infty}) = p_k$ such that the sequence of maps $x_k \circ \Psi_k : U_k \to M$ converges in C^{∞} to $x_{\infty}: \Sigma_{\infty} \to M$ uniformly on compact sets in Σ_{∞} .

In order to apply the Arzelá-Ascoli theorem, we need a type of uniform interior estimate for $|\widehat{\nabla}_{a}^{k}\mathcal{A}(\widetilde{x}_{s})|$ on $\widetilde{\Sigma}_{s} \in \widetilde{\mathscr{F}}$ as done by Ecker and Huisken for the MCF in Euclidean space and by the third author for the MCF in a Ricci flow background.

Proposition 1. Assume that (M, g) is an $n \ge 3$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold with bounded geometry, and let $(\overline{g}(t), \overline{w}(t))$ be a shrinking self-similar solution to the extended Ricci flow satisfying (3.1) on M with potential function \overline{f} and initial value (q, w). Given an (n-1)-dimensional compact smooth manifold Σ without boundary, and let \mathscr{F} be the MCF of Σ in a gradient shrinking extended Ricci soliton background which develops a singularity of type-I. Consider the normalized MCF $\widetilde{\mathscr{F}}$ in (M,q). Then, for every integer $k \ge 0$ there is a positive constant C_k which does not depend on s such that

$$|\widehat{\nabla}_{q}^{k}\mathcal{A}(\widetilde{x}_{s})|_{g} \leqslant C_{k} \quad on \quad \Sigma \times [-\log T, \infty),$$

where $\widehat{\nabla}_q$ is defined from the Levi-Civita connection on $(\widetilde{x}_s(\Sigma), \widetilde{x}_s^*g)$.

PROOF. The proof follows a standard approach as in [6, 7, 13], which is by induction on $k \in \mathbb{N}$. First of all, since $\overline{g} = (T-t)\psi_t^* g$ and $\widetilde{x}_s = \psi_t \circ x_t$, we have

$$|\widehat{\nabla}_{g}^{k}\mathcal{A}(\widetilde{x}_{s})|_{g} = (T-t)^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}k} |\widehat{\nabla}_{\overline{g}}^{k}\mathcal{A}_{\overline{g}}(x_{t})|_{\overline{g}}, \qquad (3.2)$$

$$|\nabla_{q}^{k} \operatorname{Rm}_{g}|_{g} = (T-t)^{1+\frac{1}{2}k} |\nabla_{\overline{q}}^{k} \operatorname{Rm}_{\overline{g}}|_{\overline{g}}, \qquad (3.3)$$

$$|\nabla_g^k (dw \otimes dw)|_g = (T-t)^{1+\frac{1}{2}k} |\nabla_{\overline{g}}^k (d\overline{w} \otimes d\overline{w})|_{\overline{g}}, \qquad (3.4)$$

where ∇_g , $\widehat{\nabla}_g$, $\nabla_{\overline{g}}$ and $\widehat{\nabla}_{\overline{g}}$ are defined from the Levi–Civita connection on (M, g), $(\widetilde{x}_s(\Sigma), \widetilde{x}_s^*g), (M, \overline{g})$ and $(x_t(\Sigma), x_t^*\overline{g})$, respectively. Thus, the degree of $\widehat{\nabla}_{\overline{q}}^k \mathcal{A}_{\overline{q}}$ is $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}k$ and of $\nabla_{\overline{q}}^k \operatorname{Rm}(\overline{g})$ and $\nabla_{\overline{q}}^k(d\overline{w} \otimes d\overline{w})$ are $1 + \frac{1}{2}k$. Also, we write $\mathcal{A}_{\overline{g}}(x_t)$ and $\mathcal{A}_q(\widetilde{x}_s)$ by $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ and \mathcal{A} , respectively, while $\operatorname{Rm}(\overline{g})$ and $\operatorname{Rm}(g)$ by $\overline{\operatorname{Rm}}$ and Rm , respectively.

Now, for two tensors \mathcal{T}_1 and \mathcal{T}_2 , we write $\mathcal{T}_1 * \mathcal{T}_2$ to mean a tensor formed by a sum of terms each one of them obtained by contracting some indices of the pair \mathcal{T}_1 and \mathcal{T}_2 by using g, x^*g and these inverses, in particular, there is a property that

$$|\mathcal{T}_1 * \mathcal{T}_2| \leqslant C |\mathcal{T}_1| |\mathcal{T}_2|$$

for some positive constant C that depends only on the algebraic structure of $\mathcal{T}_1 * \mathcal{T}_2$. For $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$, we consider $V_{a,b}$ the set of all (time-dependent) tensors \mathcal{T} on M that can be expressed as

$$\mathcal{T} = (\nabla_{\overline{g}}^{k_1} \overline{\mathrm{Rm}} \ast \cdots \ast \nabla_{\overline{g}}^{k_I} \overline{\mathrm{Rm}}) \ast (\nabla_{\overline{g}}^{m_1} (\alpha_n d\overline{w} \otimes d\overline{w}) \ast \dots \ast \nabla_{\overline{q}}^{m_K} (\alpha_n d\overline{w} \otimes d\overline{w})) \ast (\widehat{\nabla}_{\overline{q}}^{\ell_1} \overline{\mathcal{A}} \ast \cdots \ast \widehat{\nabla}_{\overline{q}}^{\ell_J} \overline{\mathcal{A}}) \ast (\overset{p}{\ast} Dx)$$

with $I, J, K, p, k_1, \ldots, k_I, m_1, \ldots, m_K, \ell_1, \ldots, \ell_J \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying

$$\sum_{i=1}^{I} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}k_i \right) + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}m_k \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{J} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\ell_j \right) = a \text{ and } \sum_{j=1}^{J} \ell_j \leqslant b,$$

and we define a vector space $\mathcal{V}_{a,b}$ as the set of all tensors \mathcal{T} on M which can be expressed as $\mathcal{T} = a_1 \mathcal{T}_1 + \cdots + a_r \mathcal{T}_r$ for some $r \in \mathbb{N}, a_1 \dots a_r \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathcal{T}_1, \dots, \mathcal{T}_r \in V_{a,b}$.

The first step for the induction follows from singularity of type-I assumption (2.4). For a fixed $k \ge 1$, assume that there exist positive constants $C_0, C_1, \ldots, C_{k-1}$ such that

$$|\widehat{\nabla}_{g}^{i}\mathcal{A}| \leqslant C_{i} \text{ on } \Sigma \times [-\log T, \infty)$$

for i = 0, 1, ..., k-1. We consider the evolution equation of $|\widehat{\nabla}_g^k \mathcal{A}|^2$, and finally we will prove the bound of $|\widehat{\nabla}_g^k \mathcal{A}|^2$ by the parabolic maximum principle. From (3.2) we have $|\widehat{\nabla}_g^k \mathcal{A}|^2 = (T-t)^{k+1} |\widehat{\nabla}_g^k \overline{\mathcal{A}}|^2$, and since $\frac{\partial}{\partial s} = (T-t)\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} |\widehat{\nabla}_{g}^{k}\mathcal{A}|^{2} &= -(k+1)|\widehat{\nabla}_{g}^{k}\mathcal{A}|^{2} + (T-t)^{k+2}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|\widehat{\nabla}_{g}^{k}\overline{\mathcal{A}}|^{2} \\ &\leqslant (T-t)^{k+2}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|\widehat{\nabla}_{g}^{k}\overline{\mathcal{A}}|^{2}. \end{split}$$

As used in the proof of [13, Prop. 4.9], there exist tensors $\mathcal{E}[k] \in \mathcal{V}_{\frac{3}{2}+\frac{1}{2}k,k}, \ \mathcal{C}[k] \in \mathcal{V}_{\frac{3}{2}+\frac{1}{2}k,k+1}$ and $\mathcal{G}[k] \in \mathcal{V}_{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}k,k-1}$ so that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} |\widehat{\nabla}_{\overline{g}}^{\underline{k}} \overline{\mathcal{A}}|^2 = \widehat{\Delta}_{\overline{g}} |\widehat{\nabla}_{\overline{g}}^{\underline{k}} \overline{\mathcal{A}}|^2 - 2|\widehat{\nabla}_{\overline{g}}^{\underline{k}+1} \overline{\mathcal{A}}|^2 + \mathcal{E}[k] * \widehat{\nabla}_{\overline{g}}^{\underline{k}} \overline{\mathcal{A}} + \mathcal{C}[k] * \mathcal{G}[k],$$

where $\widehat{\Delta}_{\overline{g}}$ is the Laplacian on $(x_t(\Sigma), x_t^* \overline{g}(t))$. Setting $\widehat{\Delta}_g$ for the Laplacian on $(\widetilde{x}_s(\Sigma), \widetilde{x}_s^* g)$, we get $(T-t)\widehat{\Delta}_{\overline{g}} = \widehat{\Delta}_g$, and then from (3.2) one has

$$(T-t)^{k+2}(\widehat{\Delta}_{\overline{g}}|\widehat{\nabla}_{\overline{g}}^{k}\overline{\mathcal{A}}|^{2}-2|\widehat{\nabla}_{\overline{g}}^{k+1}\overline{\mathcal{A}}|^{2}) = \widehat{\Delta}_{g}|\widehat{\nabla}_{g}^{k}\mathcal{A}|^{2}-2|\widehat{\nabla}_{g}^{k+1}\mathcal{A}|^{2}.$$

Since $\mathcal{G}[k] \in \mathcal{V}_{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}k,k-1}$, there exist $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_1, \ldots, a_r \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathcal{G}[k]_1, \ldots, \mathcal{G}[k]_r \in \mathcal{V}_{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}k,k-1}$ such that $\mathcal{G}[k] = \sum_{i=1}^r a_i \mathcal{G}[k]_i$. Thus, $|\mathcal{G}[k]| \leq \sum_{i=1}^r |a_i||\mathcal{G}[k]_i|$. By definition of $V_{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}k,k-1}$, each $\mathcal{G}[k]_i$ can be expressed as

$$\left(\nabla_{\overline{g}}^{k_{1}}\overline{\operatorname{Rm}}*\cdots*\nabla_{\overline{g}}^{k_{I}}\overline{\operatorname{Rm}}\right)*\left(\nabla_{\overline{g}}^{m_{1}}(\alpha_{n}d\overline{w}\otimes d\overline{w})*\cdots*\nabla_{\overline{g}}^{m_{K}}(\alpha_{n}d\overline{w}\otimes d\overline{w})\right)*\left(\widehat{\nabla}_{\overline{g}}^{\ell_{1}}\overline{\mathcal{A}}*\cdots*\widehat{\nabla}_{\overline{g}}^{\ell_{J}}\overline{\mathcal{A}}\right)*\left(\overset{p}{*}Dx\right)$$

with $I, J, K, p, k_1, \ldots, k_I, m_1, \ldots, m_K, \ell_1, \ldots, \ell_J \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying

$$\sum_{i=1}^{I} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}k_i \right) + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}m_k \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{J} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\ell_j \right) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}k \text{ and } \sum_{j=1}^{J} \ell_j \leqslant k - 1.$$

Hence, by using (3.2), (3.3), (3.4) and $|Dx| = \sqrt{n-1}$, we obtain

$$\begin{split} (T-t)^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}k} |\mathcal{G}[k]_i| \leqslant & C(T-t)^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}k} |\nabla_{\overline{g}}^{k_1} \overline{\mathrm{Rm}}| \cdots |\nabla_{\overline{g}}^{k_I} \overline{\mathrm{Rm}}| |\nabla_{\overline{g}}^{m_1}(d\overline{w} \otimes d\overline{w})| \cdots \\ & |\nabla_{\overline{g}}^{m_K}(d\overline{w} \otimes d\overline{w})| |\widehat{\nabla}_{\overline{g}}^{\ell_1} \overline{\mathcal{A}}| \cdots |\widehat{\nabla}_{\overline{g}}^{\ell_J} \overline{\mathcal{A}}| |Dx|^p \\ = & C \big(\sqrt{n-1}\big)^p |\nabla_{g}^{k_1} \mathrm{Rm} | \cdots |\nabla_{g}^{k_I} \mathrm{Rm} | |\nabla^{m_1}(dw \otimes dw)| \cdots \\ & |\nabla^{m_K}(dw \otimes dw)| \widehat{\nabla}_{g}^{\ell_1} \mathcal{A}| \cdots |\widehat{\nabla}_{g}^{\ell_J} \mathcal{A}| \end{split}$$

for some constant $C := C(\alpha_n) > 0$. Since (M, g) has bounded geometry and (3.1) holds, each $|\nabla_g^{k_i} \operatorname{Rm}|$ and $|\nabla_g^{m_k}(dw \otimes dw)|$ are uniformly bounded, and for $\ell_j \leq k-1$,

each $|\widehat{\nabla}_{g}^{\ell_{j}}\mathcal{A}|$ is uniformly bounded by assumption of induction. So, there exists a constant C' > 0 such that

$$(T-t)^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}k}|\mathcal{G}[k]| \leqslant C'.$$

In the same way, since $\mathcal{E}[k] \in \mathcal{V}_{\frac{3}{2}+\frac{1}{2}k,k}$, there exist $r' \in \mathbb{N}$, $b_1, \ldots, b_{r'} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathcal{E}[k]_1, \ldots, \mathcal{E}[k]_{r'} \in V_{\frac{3}{2}+\frac{1}{2}k,k}$ such that $\mathcal{E}[k] = \sum_{i=1}^{r'} b_i \mathcal{E}[k]_i$. Consequently, $|\mathcal{E}[k]| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{r'} |b_i| |\mathcal{E}[k]_i|$. By definition of $V_{\frac{3}{2}+\frac{1}{2}k,k}$, each $\mathcal{E}[k]_i$ can be expressed as $(\nabla_{\overline{g}}^{k_1} \overline{\operatorname{Rm}} * \cdots * \nabla_{\overline{g}}^{k_J} \overline{\operatorname{Rm}}) * (\nabla_{\overline{g}}^{m_1}(\alpha_n d\overline{w} \otimes d\overline{w}) * \cdots * \nabla_{\overline{g}}^{m_K}(\alpha_n d\overline{w} \otimes d\overline{w})) * (\widehat{\nabla}_{\overline{g}}^{\ell_1} \overline{\mathcal{A}} * \cdots * \widehat{\nabla}_{\overline{g}}^{\ell_J} \overline{\mathcal{A}}) * (\overset{p}{*} Dx)$ with $I, J, p, k_1, \cdots, k_I, \ell_1, \cdots, \ell_J \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying

$$\sum_{i=1}^{I} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}k_i \right) + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}m_k \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{J} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\ell_j \right) = \frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{2}k \text{ and } \sum_{j=1}^{J} \ell_j \leqslant k$$

If $\max\{\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_J\} \leq k-1$, we can prove that $(T-t)^{\frac{3}{2}+\frac{1}{2}k} |\mathcal{E}[k]_i|$ is bounded by the same argument as the case of $\mathcal{G}[k]_i$. If $\max\{\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_J\} = k$, one can see that the possible forms of $\mathcal{E}[k]_i$ are

$$\overline{\mathcal{A}} * \overline{\mathcal{A}} * \widehat{\nabla}_{\overline{g}}^{k} \overline{\mathcal{A}} * (\overset{p}{*} Dx),$$
$$\overline{\mathrm{Rm}} * \widehat{\nabla}_{\overline{g}}^{k} \overline{\mathcal{A}} * (\overset{p}{*} Dx),$$
$$d\overline{w} \otimes d\overline{w} * \widehat{\nabla}_{\overline{q}}^{k} \overline{\mathcal{A}} * (\overset{p}{*} Dx).$$

For each term, we can see by the same argument as the case of $\mathcal{G}[k]_i$ that there exists a constant $\widetilde{C} > 0$ such that $(T-t)^{\frac{3}{2}+\frac{1}{2}k}|\mathcal{E}[k]_i| \leq \widetilde{C}|\widehat{\nabla}_g^k\mathcal{A}|$. Hence, there exists a constant C'' > 0 such that

$$(T-t)^{\frac{3}{2}+\frac{1}{2}k}|\mathcal{E}[k]| \leqslant C''(1+|\widehat{\nabla}_g^k \mathcal{A}|).$$

Since $C[k] \in \mathcal{V}_{\frac{3}{2}+\frac{1}{2}k,k+1}$, there exist $r'' \in \mathbb{N}$, $c_1, \ldots, c_r'' \in \mathbb{R}$ and $C[k]_1, \ldots, C[k]_{r''} \in V_{\frac{3}{2}+\frac{1}{2}k,k+1}$ such that $C[k] = \sum_{i=1}^{r''} c_i \mathcal{C}[k]_i$. Thus, $|\mathcal{C}[k]| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{r''} |c_i| |\mathcal{C}[k]_i|$. By definition of $V_{\frac{3}{2}+\frac{1}{2}k,k+1}$, each $C[k]_i$ can be expressed as

$$\left(\nabla_{\overline{g}}^{k_{1}}\overline{\operatorname{Rm}}*\cdots*\nabla_{\overline{g}}^{k_{I}}\overline{\operatorname{Rm}}\right)*\left(\nabla_{\overline{g}}^{m_{1}}(\alpha_{n}d\overline{w}\otimes d\overline{w})*\cdots*\nabla_{\overline{g}}^{m_{K}}(\alpha_{n}d\overline{w}\otimes d\overline{w})\right)*\left(\widehat{\nabla}_{\overline{g}}^{\ell_{1}}\overline{\mathcal{A}}*\cdots*\widehat{\nabla}_{\overline{g}}^{\ell_{J}}\overline{\mathcal{A}}\right)*\left(\overset{p}{*}Dx\right)$$

with $I, J, K, p, k_1, \ldots, k_I, m_1, \ldots, m_K, \ell_1, \ldots, \ell_J \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying

$$\sum_{i=1}^{I} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}k_i \right) + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}m_k \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{J} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\ell_j \right) = \frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{2}k \text{ and } \sum_{j=1}^{J} \ell_j \leqslant k+1.$$

If $\max\{\ell_1, ..., \ell_J\} \leq k - 1$, we can prove that $(T - t)^{\frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{2}k} |\mathcal{C}[k]_i|$ is bounded by the same argument as the case of $\mathcal{G}[k]_i$ If $\max\{\ell_1, ..., \ell_J\} = k$, one can see that the possible forms of $\mathcal{C}[k]_i$ are

$$\overline{\mathcal{A}} * \overline{\mathcal{A}} * \widehat{\nabla}_{\overline{g}}^{k} \overline{\mathcal{A}} * (\stackrel{p}{*} Dx),$$

$$\overline{\operatorname{Rm}} * \widehat{\nabla}_{\overline{g}}^{k} \overline{\mathcal{A}} * (\stackrel{p}{*} Dx),$$

$$d\overline{w} \otimes d\overline{w} * \widehat{\nabla}_{\overline{g}}^{k} \overline{\mathcal{A}} * (\stackrel{p}{*} Dx).$$

Also, we get $(T-t)^{\frac{3}{2}+\frac{1}{2}k} |\mathcal{C}[k]_i| \leq \widetilde{C} |\widehat{\nabla}_g^k \mathcal{A}|$ as the case of $\mathcal{E}[k]_i$. If $\max\{\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_J\} = k+1$, one can easily see that the possible form of $\mathcal{C}[k]_i$ is

$$\widehat{\nabla}_{\overline{g}}^{k+1}\overline{\mathcal{A}}*(\overset{p}{*}Dx),$$

and $(T-t)^{\frac{3}{2}+\frac{1}{2}k}|\mathcal{C}[k]_i| \leq \widetilde{C}'|\widehat{\nabla}_g^{k+1}\mathcal{A}|$ for some constant $\widetilde{C}' > 0$. Then, we can see that there exists a constant C''' > 0 such that

$$(T-t)^{\frac{3}{2}+\frac{1}{2}k}|\mathcal{C}[k]| \leqslant C'''(1+|\widehat{\nabla}_g^k\mathcal{A}|+|\widehat{\nabla}_g^{k+1}\mathcal{A}|).$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} |\widehat{\nabla}_{g}^{k}\mathcal{A}|^{2} \leqslant (T-t)^{k+2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |\widehat{\nabla}_{g}^{k}\overline{\mathcal{A}}|^{2} \\ \leqslant \widehat{\Delta}_{g} |\widehat{\nabla}_{g}^{k}\mathcal{A}|^{2} - 2|\widehat{\nabla}_{g}^{k+1}\mathcal{A}|^{2} + C''(1+|\widehat{\nabla}_{g}^{k}\mathcal{A}|)|\widehat{\nabla}_{g}^{k}\mathcal{A}| \\ + C'C'''(1+|\widehat{\nabla}_{g}^{k}\mathcal{A}|+|\widehat{\nabla}_{g}^{k+1}\mathcal{A}|). \end{split}$$

Since $-|\widehat{\nabla}_{g}^{k+1}\mathcal{A}|^{2} + C'C'''|\widehat{\nabla}_{g}^{k+1}\mathcal{A}| \leq (C'C''')^{2}/4$, we get $\frac{\partial}{\partial s}|\widehat{\nabla}_{g}^{k}\mathcal{A}|^{2} \leq \widehat{\Delta}_{g}|\widehat{\nabla}_{g}^{k}\mathcal{A}|^{2} - |\widehat{\nabla}_{g}^{k+1}\mathcal{A}|^{2}$

$$\begin{split} |\widehat{\nabla}_{g}^{k}\mathcal{A}|^{2} \leqslant \widehat{\Delta}_{g}|\widehat{\nabla}_{g}^{k}\mathcal{A}|^{2} - |\widehat{\nabla}_{g}^{k+1}\mathcal{A}|^{2} \\ + C^{\prime\prime}|\widehat{\nabla}_{g}^{k}\mathcal{A}|^{2} + (C^{\prime\prime} + C^{\prime}C^{\prime\prime\prime})|\widehat{\nabla}_{g}^{k}\mathcal{A}| + C^{\prime}C^{\prime\prime\prime} + (C^{\prime}C^{\prime\prime\prime})^{2}/4 \end{split}$$

By putting $\overline{C}_k := C'' + (C'' + C'C''') + C'C''' + (C'C''')^2/4$, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s} |\widehat{\nabla}_{g}^{k} \mathcal{A}|^{2} \leqslant \widehat{\Delta}_{g} |\widehat{\nabla}_{g}^{k} \mathcal{A}|^{2} - |\widehat{\nabla}_{g}^{k+1} \mathcal{A}|^{2} + \overline{C}_{k} (1 + |\widehat{\nabla}_{g}^{k} \mathcal{A}|^{2}).$$
(3.5)

So,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s} |\widehat{\nabla}_{g}^{k} \mathcal{A}|^{2} \leqslant \widehat{\Delta}_{g} |\widehat{\nabla}_{g}^{k} \mathcal{A}|^{2} + \overline{C}_{k} (1 + |\widehat{\nabla}_{g}^{k} \mathcal{A}|^{2}).$$
(3.6)

We observe that inequality (3.5) also holds for k-1, that is,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s} |\widehat{\nabla}_{g}^{k-1}\mathcal{A}|^{2} \leqslant \widehat{\Delta}_{g} |\widehat{\nabla}_{g}^{k-1}\mathcal{A}|^{2} - |\widehat{\nabla}_{g}^{k}\mathcal{A}|^{2} + \overline{C}_{k-1}(1 + |\widehat{\nabla}_{g}^{k-1}\mathcal{A}|^{2}), \qquad (3.7)$$

for some constant $\overline{C}_{k-1} > 0$. By combining inequalities (3.6) and (3.7), one has

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s} (|\widehat{\nabla}_{g}^{k} \mathcal{A}|^{2} + 2\overline{C}_{k} |\widehat{\nabla}_{g}^{k-1} \mathcal{A}|^{2}) \\
\leqslant \widehat{\Delta}_{g} (|\widehat{\nabla}_{g}^{k} \mathcal{A}|^{2} + 2\overline{C}_{k} |\widehat{\nabla}_{g}^{k-1} \mathcal{A}|^{2}) + \overline{C}_{k} - \overline{C}_{k} |\widehat{\nabla}_{g}^{k} \mathcal{A}|^{2} + 2\overline{C}_{k} \overline{C}_{k-1} (1 + |\widehat{\nabla}_{g}^{k-1} \mathcal{A}|^{2}).$$
Since

$$\overline{C}_{k} - \overline{C}_{k} |\widehat{\nabla}_{g}^{k} \mathcal{A}|^{2} + 2\overline{C}_{k} \overline{C}_{k-1} (1 + |\widehat{\nabla}_{g}^{k-1} \mathcal{A}|^{2}) = -\overline{C}_{k} (|\widehat{\nabla}_{g}^{k} \mathcal{A}|^{2} + 2\overline{C}_{k} |\widehat{\nabla}_{g}^{k-1} \mathcal{A}|^{2}) + \overline{C}_{k} (1 + 2\overline{C}_{k-1} + 2(\overline{C}_{k} + \overline{C}_{k-1}) |\widehat{\nabla}_{g}^{k-1} \mathcal{A}|^{2})$$

and $|\widehat{\nabla}_{g}^{k-1}\mathcal{A}|^{2}$ is bounded by assumption of induction, one can see that there exists a constant $\overline{\overline{C}}_{k} > 0$ such that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s}(|\widehat{\nabla}_{g}^{k}\mathcal{A}|^{2}+2\overline{C}_{k}|\widehat{\nabla}_{g}^{k-1}\mathcal{A}|^{2}-\overline{C}_{k})$$

$$\leqslant \widehat{\Delta}_{g}(|\widehat{\nabla}_{g}^{k}\mathcal{A}|^{2}+2\overline{C}_{k}|\widehat{\nabla}_{g}^{k-1}\mathcal{A}|^{2}-\overline{C}_{k})-\overline{C}_{k}(|\widehat{\nabla}_{g}^{k}\mathcal{A}|^{2}+2\overline{C}_{k}|\widehat{\nabla}_{g}^{k-1}\mathcal{A}|^{2}-\overline{C}_{k}).$$

Thus, by putting $\mu := e^{\overline{C}_k s} (|\widehat{\nabla}_g^k \mathcal{A}|^2 + 2\overline{C}_k |\widehat{\nabla}_g^{k-1} \mathcal{A}|^2 - \overline{\overline{C}}_k)$, we get

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\mu \leqslant \widehat{\Delta}_g\mu.$$

Since Σ is compact, μ is bounded at initial time $s = -\log T$. Then, by the parabolic maximum principle, it follows that μ is also bounded on $\Sigma \times [-\log T, \infty)$, that is, there exists a constant $\widetilde{C}_k > 0$ such that $\mu \leq \widetilde{C}_k$ on $\Sigma \times [-\log T, \infty)$. So,

$$\begin{split} |\widehat{\nabla}_{g}^{k}\mathcal{A}|^{2} \leqslant e^{-\overline{C}_{k}s}\widetilde{C}_{k} - 2\overline{C}_{k}|\widehat{\nabla}_{g}^{k-1}\mathcal{A}|^{2} + \overline{\overline{C}}_{k} \leqslant C_{k}. \end{split}$$
 where $C_{k} := T^{\overline{C}_{k}}\widetilde{C}_{k} + \overline{\overline{C}}_{k}.$

The proof of Theorem 1 also relies on the following lemmas. Before proving these lemmas, we observe that $S := R - \alpha_n |\nabla w|^2$ is nonnegative along the gradient shrinking extended Ricci soliton on M. For it, one uses $\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} = \Delta S + 2 |\operatorname{Ric} - \alpha_n dw \otimes dw|^2 + \alpha_n (\Delta w)^2$ (see [7, Lem. 3.2]) and $|T|^2 \ge \frac{(\operatorname{tr} T)^2}{n}$ for $T = \operatorname{Ric} - \alpha_n dw \otimes dw$ to obtain

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} \geqslant \Delta S + \frac{2}{n}S^2.$$

Now, from maximum principle and since $t \in [-\infty, T)$ we can prove the required result on S (see [2, Lem. 2.18], for further details). Besides, we can assume

$$S_{\overline{g}} + |\nabla \overline{f}|^2 - \frac{\overline{f}}{T-t} = 0 \tag{3.8}$$

along the gradient extended Ricci soliton on M. Indeed, from (2.2) at t = T - 1and well known facts in the literature, we obtain

$$0 = \operatorname{div}_{g} \operatorname{Ric}_{g} + \operatorname{div}_{g}(\nabla df) - \alpha_{n} \operatorname{div}_{g}(dw \otimes dw)$$

$$= \frac{dR_{g}}{2} + d\Delta_{g}f + \operatorname{Ric}_{g}(\nabla f, \cdot) - \alpha_{n}(\Delta_{g}wdw + \frac{1}{2}d|\nabla w|^{2})$$

$$= \frac{dR_{g}}{2} + d\Delta_{g}f + \operatorname{Ric}_{g}(\nabla f, \cdot) - \alpha_{n}g(\nabla w, \nabla f)dw - \frac{\alpha_{n}}{2}d|\nabla w|^{2}$$

$$= \frac{dR_{g}}{2} + d\left(\frac{n}{2} - R_{g} + \alpha_{n}|\nabla w|^{2}\right) + \frac{1}{2}df - \frac{1}{2}d|\nabla f|^{2}$$

$$+ \alpha_{n}g(\nabla w, \nabla f)dw - \alpha_{n}g(\nabla w, \nabla f)dw - \frac{\alpha_{n}}{2}d|\nabla w|^{2}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2}dR_{g} + \frac{1}{2}\alpha_{n}d|\nabla w|^{2} + \frac{df}{2} - \frac{1}{2}d|\nabla f|^{2},$$

whence $S_g + |\nabla f|^2 - f = C$, for some constant C. Thus, we can assume, adding C to f (if necessary), $S_g + |\nabla f|^2 - f = 0$. Since $\bar{g}(t) = \sigma(t)\psi_t^*g$, where $\sigma(t) = T - t$, then $S_{\psi_t^*g} + |\nabla_{\psi_t^*g}\bar{f}|^2 - \bar{f} = 0$. By conformal theory, $R_{\bar{g}} = R_{\psi_t^*g}/\sigma(t)$ and $|\nabla_{\bar{g}}\bar{w}|^2 = |\nabla_{\psi_t^*g}\bar{w}|^2/\sigma(t)$ (the same to \bar{f}), and then we obtain (3.8).

The following lemma gives the variation of the weighted area functional on the normalized MCF.

Lemma 1. Assume that (M,g) is an $n(\geq 3)$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold, and let $(\overline{g}(t), \overline{w}(t))$ be a shrinking self-similar solution to the extended Ricci flow on M with potential function \overline{f} and initial value (g,w). Given an (n - 1)-dimensional compact smooth manifold Σ without boundary, and let \mathscr{F} be the MCF of Σ in a gradient shrinking extended Ricci soliton background. Consider the normalized MCF $\widetilde{\mathscr{F}}$ in (M,g). Then

$$\frac{d}{ds} \int_{\Sigma} e^{-f \circ \widetilde{x}_s} dA_{\widetilde{x}_s^* g} = -\int_{\Sigma} \left(H_g(\widetilde{x}_s) + e_s(f \circ \widetilde{x}_s) \right)^2 e^{-f \circ \widetilde{x}_s} dA_{\widetilde{x}_s^* g}, \ s \in [-\log T, \infty).$$

PROOF. As $\overline{f} \circ x_t = f \circ \widetilde{x}_s$ and $x_t^* \overline{g} = (T-t)(\psi_t \circ x_t)^* g = (T-t)\widetilde{x}_s^* g$ both on Σ , we have

$$[4\pi(T-t)]^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}e^{-\overline{f}}dA_{\overline{g}} = (4\pi)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}e^{-f\circ\tilde{x}_s}dA_{\tilde{x}_s^*g},$$
(3.9)

$$(T-t)\left(H_{\overline{g}} + e_t\overline{f}\right)^2 = \left(H_g(\widetilde{x}_s) + e_s(f \circ \widetilde{x}_s)\right)^2.$$
(3.10)

The result of the lemma follows from Theorem 2, identities (3.9) and (3.10) together wit chain rule.

Lemma 2. Assume that (M, g) is an $n \geq 3$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold with bounded geometry, and let $(\overline{g}(t), \overline{w}(t))$ be a shrinking self-similar solution to the extended Ricci flow satisfying (3.1) on M with potential function \overline{f} and initial value (g, w). Given an (n - 1)-dimensional compact smooth manifold Σ without boundary, and let \mathscr{F} be the MCF of Σ in a gradient shrinking Ricci soliton background which develops a singularity of type-I. Consider the normalized MCF $\widetilde{\mathscr{F}}$ in (M, q). Then, there exists a positive constant C such that

$$\int_{\Sigma} e^{-\frac{f}{2} \circ \widetilde{x}_s} dA_{\widetilde{x}_s^* g} \leqslant C$$

uniformly on $[-\log T, \infty)$.

PROOF. We start by substituting $\bar{\rho}_t := \frac{1}{[4\pi(T-t)]^{\frac{n}{2}}}e^{-\frac{\overline{f}}{2}}$, $u_t := [4\pi(T-t)]^{1/2}$, $h := -2\operatorname{Ric}_{\overline{g}} + 2\alpha_n d\overline{w} \otimes \overline{w}$ and $V := H_{\overline{g}}e_t$ into Prop. 3.2 of [13] to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Sigma} u_t \, x_t^* \bar{\rho}_t \, dA_{\overline{g}} \\ &= -\int_{\Sigma} u_t \left(H_{\overline{g}} + \frac{1}{2} e_t \overline{f} \right)^2 x_t^* \bar{\rho}_t \, dA_{\overline{g}} \\ &+ \int_{\Sigma} u_t x_t^* \left(\Delta_{\overline{g}} \overline{\rho}_t + \frac{\partial \overline{\rho}_t}{\partial t} - \overline{\rho}_t S_{\overline{g}} \right) dA_{\overline{g}} \\ &+ \int_{\Sigma} \left(\frac{\partial u_t}{\partial t} - \widehat{\Delta}_{\overline{g}} u_t + u_t \left(\frac{1}{2} \text{Hess}_{\overline{g}} \overline{f} - \frac{h}{2} \right) (e_t, e_t) \right) x_t^* \bar{\rho}_t \, dA_{\overline{g}}. \end{aligned}$$

By using $\Delta_{\overline{g}}\overline{f} = -S_{\overline{g}} + \frac{n}{2(T-t)}$ (see (2.2)), $|\nabla \overline{f}|^2 = \frac{\overline{f}}{T-t} - S_{\overline{g}}$ (see (3.8)), $S_{\overline{g}} \ge 0$ and (2.3), we obtain

$$\Delta_{\overline{g}}\overline{\rho}_t + \frac{\partial\overline{\rho}_t}{\partial t} - \overline{\rho}_t S_{\overline{g}} = \overline{\rho} \left(-\frac{\overline{f}}{4(T-t)} - \frac{S_{\overline{g}}}{4} + \frac{n}{4(T-t)} \right) \leqslant \frac{\overline{\rho}}{4(T-t)} (n-f).$$

Furthermore, since u satisfies

$$\frac{\partial u_t}{\partial t} - \widehat{\Delta}_{\overline{g}} u_t + u_t \left(\text{Hess}_{\overline{g}} \overline{f} - \frac{h}{2} \right) (e_t, e_t) = 0,$$

we get

$$\frac{\partial u_t}{\partial t} - \widehat{\Delta}_{\overline{g}} u_t + u_t \left(\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Hess}_{\overline{g}} \overline{f} - \frac{h}{2}\right) (e_t, e_t) = -\frac{1}{2} u_t \operatorname{Hess}_{\overline{g}} \overline{f}(e_t, e_t).$$

Equation $\operatorname{Hess}_{\overline{g}}\overline{f} = \frac{1}{2(T-t)}\overline{g} - \operatorname{Ric}_{\overline{g}} + \alpha_n d\overline{w} \otimes d\overline{w}$ implies

$$-\frac{1}{2}u_t \operatorname{Hess}_{\overline{g}}\overline{f}(e_t, e_t) = u_t \left(-\frac{1}{4(T-t)} + \frac{1}{2} (\operatorname{Ric}_{\overline{g}} - \alpha_n d\overline{w} \otimes d\overline{w})(e_t, e_t) \right).$$

On the other hand, (3.1) holds and since (M, g) has bounded geometry, we have that $C'' := \max_M \{ |\operatorname{Ric}_g|_g + \alpha_n | dw \otimes dw|_g \}$ is a constant, then

$$(\operatorname{Ric}_{\overline{g}} - \alpha_n d\overline{w} \otimes d\overline{w})(e_t, e_t) \\ \leqslant \quad |\operatorname{Ric}_{\overline{g}} - \alpha_n d\overline{w} \otimes d\overline{w}|_{\overline{g}} = \frac{|\operatorname{Ric}_g|_g + \alpha_n |dw \otimes dw|_g}{T - t} \leqslant \frac{C''}{T - t}$$

Hence,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Sigma} u_t \, x_t^* \bar{\rho}_t \, dA_{\overline{g}} < \frac{1}{4(T-t)} \int_{\Sigma} \left(C_0 - \overline{f} \circ x_t \right) u_t \, x_t^* \bar{\rho}_t \, dA_{\overline{g}},$$

where $C_0 := n + 2C''$. Since $s = -\log(T - t)$, we have

$$\frac{d}{ds} \int_{\Sigma} e^{-\frac{f}{2} \circ \widetilde{x}_s} dA_{\widetilde{x}_s^* g} = (4\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}} (T-t) \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Sigma} u_t \, x_t^* \bar{\rho}_t \, dA_{\overline{g}}$$
$$< \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Sigma} \Big(C_0 - f \circ \widetilde{x}_s \Big) e^{-\frac{f}{2} \circ \widetilde{x}_s} dA_{\widetilde{x}_s^* g}.$$

The result of the lemma follows from the analysis of the sign on the previous inequality. $\hfill \Box$

Lemma 3. Assume that (M, g) is an $n \geq 3$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold with bounded geometry, and let $(\overline{g}(t), \overline{w}(t))$ be a shrinking self-similar solution to the extended Ricci flow satisfying (3.1) on M with potential function \overline{f} and initial value (g, w). Given an (n - 1)-dimensional compact smooth manifold Σ without boundary, and let \mathscr{F} be the MCF of Σ in a gradient shrinking extended Ricci soliton background which develops a singularity of type-I. Consider the normalized MCF $\widetilde{\mathscr{F}}$ in (M, g). Then, there exists a constant C' > 0 such that

$$\left|\frac{d^2}{d^2s}\int_{\Sigma}e^{-f\circ\widetilde{x}_s}dA_{\widetilde{x}_s^*g}\right| = \left|\frac{d}{ds}\int_{\Sigma}\left(H_g(\widetilde{x}_s) + e_s(f\circ\widetilde{x}_s)\right)^2 e^{-f\circ\widetilde{x}_s}dA_{\widetilde{x}_s^*g}\right| \leqslant C'$$

uniformly on $[-\log T, \infty)$.

PROOF. We already know that we can assume $S + |\nabla f|^2 - f = 0$ and $S \ge 0$ along the gradient shrinking extended Ricci soliton on M. So, $0 \le |\nabla f|^2 \le f$ and $0 \le S \le f$. The result of the lemma follows from Lemma 2 and the same steps as done in [13].

Now we are in a position to prove the main result of this note.

Proof of Theorem 1. We will make this proof in a way that allows a generalization to the more general context of bounded geometry and satisfying (3.1). Note that these hypotheses for the compact case are automatically satisfied.

Take a sequence $\{\widetilde{\Sigma}_{s_j}\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ in $\widetilde{\mathscr{F}}$ and points $\{p_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ in Σ , and denote the Riemann curvature tensor of each $\widetilde{\Sigma}_{s_j}$ by $\widehat{\mathrm{Rm}}(\widetilde{x}_{s_j}^*g)$.

Firstly, we will show that the sequence of pointed manifolds $\{(\Sigma, \tilde{x}_{s_j}^*g, p_j)\}$ converges to some complete pointed Riemannian manifold $(\Sigma_{\infty}, h_{\infty}, p_{\infty})$ in the C^{∞} Cheeger-Gromov sense.

Since (M,g) has bounded geometry, there exist positive constants D_p and η such that

$$|\nabla^p \operatorname{Rm}_g| \leq D_p \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{inj}(M,g) \geq \eta > 0.$$
 (3.11)

for every integer $p \ge 0$. Besides, since assumption (3.1) holds, then by Proposition 1 there are positive constants C_p which does not depend on s_j such that

$$|\widehat{\nabla}_{q}^{p}\mathcal{A}(\widetilde{x}_{s_{j}})| \leqslant C_{p}.$$
(3.12)

Thus, we are able to apply Thm. 2.1 by Chen and Yin [1] which guarantees the existence of a positive constant $\delta = \delta(C_0, D_0, \eta, n)$ such that the injectivity radius of each $(\Sigma, \tilde{x}_{s,s}^* g)$ satisfies

$$\operatorname{inj}(\Sigma, \widetilde{x}_{s_s}^* g) \ge \delta > 0.$$

Moreover, from (3.11), (3.12), Gauss equation and its iterated derivatives, we obtain positive constants \tilde{C}_p which also does not depend on s_j such that

$$|\widehat{\nabla}^p \widehat{\mathrm{Rm}}(\widetilde{x}_{s_i}^* g)| \leqslant \widetilde{C}_p$$

for every integer $p \ge 0$. Hence, by Arzelà-Ascoli theorem, there exists a subsequence $\{(\Sigma, \tilde{x}_{s_{j_k}}^* g, p_{j_k})\}$ which converges to some complete pointed Riemannian manifold $(\Sigma_{\infty}, h_{\infty}, p_{\infty})$, i.e., there exist an exhaustion $\{U_{j_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ of Σ_{∞} with $p_{\infty} \in U_{j_k}$ and diffeomorphisms $\Psi_{j_k} : U_{j_k} \to V_{j_k} := \Psi_{j_k}(U_{j_k}) \subset \Sigma$ with $\Psi_{j_k}(p_{\infty}) = p_{j_k}$ such that $\Psi_{j_k}^*(\tilde{x}_{s_{j_k}}^* g)$ converges in C^{∞} to h_{∞} uniformly on compact sets in Σ_{∞} .

Secondly, we will prove the existence of an immersion map $x_{\infty}: \Sigma_{\infty} \to (M, g)$. For this, let $\Theta : (M, g) \to (\mathbb{R}^d, g_{st})$ be a Nash isometric embedding in some higher dimensional Euclidean space such that, for every integer $j \ge 0$, the norm $|\nabla_g^j \mathcal{A}(\Theta)| \le \overline{D}_j$, for some constants $\overline{D}_j > 0$, where $\mathcal{A}(\Theta)$ is the second fundamental form of Θ .

At this point of the proof we are assuming that the sequence $\{\tilde{x}_{s_{j_k}}(p_{j_k})\}\$ and the norms $|\nabla_g^j \mathcal{A}(\Theta)|$ are uniformly bounded in M, which are actually true in the compact case. Note though, that we will need to prove or assume these facts for the noncompact case.

Since we are working in the context of bounded geometry and each norm $|\nabla^j_q \mathcal{A}(\Theta)|$ is uniformly bounded, by setting

$$\overline{x}_{s_{j_k}} := \Theta \circ \widetilde{x}_{s_{j_k}} \circ \Psi_{j_k} : U_{j_k} \to (\mathbb{R}^d, g_{\mathrm{st}}),$$

we can prove that $|\nabla^p \overline{x}_{s_{j_k}}| \leq C_p$ for some positive constants C_p which does not depend on s_{j_k} , for every integer $p \geq 0$. The proof this fact is by induction and follows the same steps as done in [14, Appendix C, pg. 42].

Then, by a standard argument as the Arzelà–Ascoli theorem, there exists a smooth map $\overline{x}_{\infty} : \Sigma_{\infty} \to (\mathbb{R}^d, g_{\mathrm{st}})$ such that the sequence of immersions $\overline{x}_{s_{j_k}} : U_{j_k} \to (\mathbb{R}^d, g_{\mathrm{st}})$ converges to $\overline{x}_{\infty} : \Sigma_{\infty} \to (\mathbb{R}^d, g_{\mathrm{st}})$ up to subsequence. By definition of C^{∞} convergence, we get $h_{\infty} = \overline{x}^*_{\infty}g_{\mathrm{st}}$ on Σ_{∞} . This implies that $\overline{x}_{\infty} : \Sigma_{\infty} \to \mathbb{R}^d$ is an isometric immersion map and $x_{\infty} := \Theta^{-1} \circ \overline{x}_{\infty} : \Sigma_{\infty} \to (M, g)$ is the required immersion of the theorem with $x^*_{\infty}g = (\Theta^{-1} \circ \overline{x}_{\infty})^*\Theta^*g_{\mathrm{st}} = h_{\infty}$.

Next, we will prove that Σ_{∞} is a f_{∞} -hypersurface of (M, g), where $f_{\infty} = f \circ x_{\infty}$. To simplify the notation we can take an exhaustion $\{U_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ of Σ_{∞} with $p_{\infty} \in U_k$ and diffeomorphisms $\Psi_k : U_k \to V_k := \Psi_k(U_k) \subset \Sigma$ with $\Psi_k(p_{\infty}) = p_k$ such that $\Psi_k^*(\tilde{x}_{s_k}^*g)$ converges in C^{∞} to x_{∞}^*g uniformly on compact sets in Σ_{∞} . Furthermore, the sequence of maps $\tilde{x}_{s_k} \circ \Psi_k : U_k \to (M, g)$ converges in C^{∞} to $x_{\infty} : \Sigma_{\infty} \to (M, g)$ uniformly on compact sets in Σ_{∞} . So, for any compact set $K \subset \Sigma_{\infty}$ there exists k_0 such that $K \subset U_k$, for all $k \ge k_0$, and $\tilde{x}_{s_k} \circ \Psi_k : U_k \to (M, g)$ converges to $x_{\infty}: \Sigma_{\infty} \to (M, g)$ in C^{∞} uniformly on K. Thus,

$$\int_{K} \left[H(\widetilde{x}_{s_{k}} \circ \Psi_{k}) + e_{k} \left(f \circ (\widetilde{x}_{s_{k}} \circ \Psi_{k}) \right) \right]^{2} e^{-f \circ (\widetilde{x}_{s_{k}} \circ \Psi_{k})} dA_{(\widetilde{x}_{s_{k}} \circ \Psi_{k})^{*}g}$$
$$\rightarrow \int_{K} \left(H(x_{\infty}) + e_{\infty} f_{\infty} \right)^{2} e^{-f_{\infty}} dA_{x_{\infty}^{*}g}$$

as $k \to \infty$, and

$$\begin{split} &\int_{K} \left[H(\widetilde{x}_{s_{k}} \circ \Psi_{k}) + e_{k} f(\widetilde{x}_{s_{k}} \circ \Psi_{k}) \right]^{2} e^{-f \circ (\widetilde{x}_{s_{k}} \circ \Psi_{k})} dA_{(\widetilde{x}_{s_{k}} \circ \Psi_{k})^{*}g} \\ &= \int_{\Psi_{k}(K)} \left[H(\widetilde{x}_{s_{k}}) + e_{k} (f \circ \widetilde{x}_{s_{k}}) \right]^{2} e^{-f \circ \widetilde{x}_{s_{k}}} dA_{\widetilde{x}_{s_{k}}^{*}g} \\ &\leqslant \int_{\Sigma} \left[H(\widetilde{x}_{s_{k}}) + e_{k} (f \circ \widetilde{x}_{s_{k}}) \right]^{2} e^{-f \circ \widetilde{x}_{s_{k}}} dA_{\widetilde{x}_{s_{k}}^{*}g}. \end{split}$$

Hence, it is enough to prove the following:

$$\int_{\Sigma} [H(\widetilde{x}_{s_k}) + e_k(f \circ \widetilde{x}_{s_k})]^2 e^{-f \circ \widetilde{x}_{s_k}} dA_{\widetilde{x}^*_{s_k}g} \to 0$$
(3.13)

as $k \to \infty$. We will argue by contradiction. Assume that there exist a constant $\delta > 0$ and a subsequence $\{\ell\} \subset \{k\}$ with $\ell \to \infty$ such that

$$\int_{\Sigma} [H(\widetilde{x}_{s_{\ell}}) + e_{\ell}(f \circ \widetilde{x}_{s_{\ell}})]^2 e^{-f \circ \widetilde{x}_{s_{\ell}}} dA_{\widetilde{x}_{s_{\ell}}^*}g \ge \delta.$$

Then

$$\int_{\Sigma} [H(\widetilde{x}_s) + e_s(f \circ \widetilde{x}_s)]^2 e^{-f \circ \widetilde{x}_s} dA_{\widetilde{x}_s^* g} \ge \frac{\delta}{2},$$

for $s \in [s_\ell, s_\ell + \frac{\delta}{2C'}]$, where we used Lemma 3 and C' is the constant appeared in that lemma. Hence,

$$\int_{-\log T}^{\infty} \int_{\Sigma} [H(\widetilde{x}_s) + e_s(f \circ \widetilde{x}_s)]^2 e^{-f \circ \widetilde{x}_s} dA_{\widetilde{x}_s^* g} ds = \infty.$$

On the other hand, by monotonicity formula in Lemma 1

$$\frac{d}{ds} \int_{\Sigma} e^{-f \circ \widetilde{x}_s} dA_{\widetilde{x}_s^* g} = -\int_{\Sigma} [H(\widetilde{x}_s) + e_s(f \circ \widetilde{x}_s)]^2 e^{-f \circ \widetilde{x}_s} dA_{\widetilde{x}_s^* g} \leqslant 0.$$

Thus, the weighted volume

$$\int_{\Sigma} e^{-f \circ \widetilde{x}_s} dA_{\widetilde{x}_s^* g}$$

is monotone decreasing and nonnegative. Therefore, it converges to some value

$$\alpha := \lim_{s \to \infty} \int_{\Sigma} e^{-f \circ \widetilde{x}_s} dA_{\widetilde{x}_s^* g} < \infty,$$

and then we obtain the following contradiction:

$$\int_{-\log T}^{\infty} \int_{\Sigma} [H(\widetilde{x}_s) + e_s(f \circ \widetilde{x}_s)]^2 e^{-f \circ \widetilde{x}_s} dA_{\widetilde{x}_s^* g} = -\alpha + \int_{\Sigma} e^{-f \circ \widetilde{x}_a} dA_{\widetilde{x}_a^* g} < \infty,$$

where $a := -\log T$, which proves (3.13). With this, the proof of the theorem is complete.

4. The noncompact case

In this section, we address the case of complete noncompact Riemannian manifolds (M, g) with some additional uniformity conditions. We begin with a brief discussion on the reduced distance along the extended Ricci flow, which is a particular case defined by Müller for the context of Ricci Harmonic flow (see [10, Sect. 8]), initially defined by Perelman into the Ricci flow setting (see [11, Sect. 7]).

Let $(\overline{g}(t), \overline{w}(t))$ be a shrinking self-similar solution of the extended Ricci flow in $M \times [0, T)$. For any smooth curve $\gamma : [t_1, t_2] \to M$ with $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 < T$, consider the \mathcal{L} -length of γ by

$$\mathcal{L}(\gamma) := \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \sqrt{t_2 - t} \left(S_{\overline{g}} + |\dot{\gamma}|^2 \right) dt,$$

where $|\dot{\gamma}|$ is the norm of $\dot{\gamma}(t)$ measured by \overline{g} and $S_{\overline{g}} = R_{\overline{g}} - \alpha_n |\nabla w|_{\overline{g}}^2$. For a fixed point (q_2, t_2) in the space-time $M \times [0, T)$, Müller defined the reduced distance

$$\ell_{q_2,t_2}: M \times [0,t_2) \to \mathbb{R}$$

based at (q_2, t_2) by

$$\ell_{q_2,t_2}(q_1,t_1) := \frac{1}{2\sqrt{t_2 - t_1}} \inf_{\gamma} \mathcal{L}(\gamma),$$

where the infimum is taken over all smooth curve $\gamma : [t_1, t_2] \to M$ with $\gamma(t_1) = q_1$ and $\gamma(t_2) = q_2$.

In what follows, we assume that there exists a Nash isometric embedding Θ : $(M,g) \to (\mathbb{R}^d, g_{st})$ in some higher dimensional Euclidean space such that, for every integer $j \ge 0$, the second fundamental form $\mathcal{A}(\Theta)$ of Θ satisfies

$$|\nabla_q^j \mathcal{A}(\Theta)| \leqslant \overline{D}_j \tag{4.1}$$

for some constants $\overline{D}_j > 0$. We observe that, under this assumption, (M, g) must have bounded geometry by Gauss equation (and its iterated derivatives) and Thm. 2.1 of [1].

Theorem 3. Assume that (M,g) is an $n \geq 3$ -dimensional complete noncompact Riemannian manifold, and let $(\overline{g}(t), \overline{w}(t))$ be a shrinking self-similar solution to the extended Ricci flow satisfying (3.1) and (4.1) on M with potential function \overline{f} and initial value (g, w). Given an (n-1)-dimensional compact smooth manifold Σ without boundary, and let \mathscr{F} be the MCF of Σ in a gradient shrinking extended Ricci soliton background which develops a singularity of type-I. Consider the normalized MCF $\widetilde{\mathscr{F}}$ in (M,g). In addition, assume that there exists a point $q_0 \in \Sigma$ such that the reduced distance $\ell_{x_t(q_0),t}$ converges pointwise to f (as $t \to T$) on $M \times [0,T)$. Then, for any sequence $s_1 < s_2 < \cdots < s_j < \cdots \to \infty$ there exists a subsequence s_{j_k} such that the family of immersion maps $\widetilde{x}_{s_{j_k}} : \Sigma \to (M,g)$ from an (n-1)-dimensional complete pointed Riemannian manifold $(\Sigma_{\infty}, x_{\infty}^*g, q_{\infty})$ in the C^{∞} Cheeger-Gromov sense. Furthermore, $(\Sigma_{\infty}, x_{\infty}^*g)$ is an f_{∞} -minimal hypersurface of (M,g), where $f_{\infty} = f \circ x_{\infty}$.

PROOF. As we had already mentioned in the proof of the main theorem, it is enough to show that $\{\tilde{x}_{s_j}(q_0)\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ is a bounded sequence in (M, g), since the remainder of the proof is the same as in the compact case.

We start by taking t_1 , t_2 with $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 < T$, and $\{x_t(q_0)\}_{t \in [t_1, t_2]}$ as a curve joining $x_{t_1}(q_0)$ and $x_{t_2}(q_0)$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_{x_{t_2}(q_0),t_2}(x_{t_1}(q_0),t_1) &\leqslant \quad \frac{1}{2\sqrt{t_2-t_1}} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \sqrt{t_2-t} \left(S_{\overline{g}} + \left| \frac{\partial x_t}{\partial t} \right|^2 \right) dt \\ &= \quad \frac{1}{2\sqrt{t_2-t_1}} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \sqrt{t_2-t} \left(S_{\overline{g}} + H_{\overline{g}}^2 \right) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Since \mathscr{F} develops a singularity of type-I, we have $(T-t)H_{\overline{g}}^2$ is bounded. Moreover, bounded geometry assumption and the fact that $(T-t)S_{\overline{g}} = S_g$ imply $S_{\overline{g}} + H_{\overline{g}}^2 \leq \frac{C}{T-t}$ for some positive constant C which does not depend on t. Hence,

$$\ell_{x_{t_2}(q_0),t_2}(x_{t_1}(q_0),t_1) \\ \leqslant \quad \frac{C}{2\sqrt{t_2-t_1}} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{\sqrt{t_2-t}}{T-t} dt \\ \leqslant \frac{C}{2\sqrt{t_2-t_1}} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{T-t}} dt \\ \leqslant C \frac{\sqrt{T-t_1}}{\sqrt{t_2-t_1}}.$$

By assumption $\ell_{x_t(q_0),t}$ converges pointwise to f (as $t \to T$) on $M \times [0,T)$ and by taking the limit as $t_2 \to T$, we have $f(x_{t_1}(q_0), t_1) \leq C$. As $f(x_t(q_0), t) = \overline{f}(x_t(q_0)) = f(\tilde{x}_s(q_0))$, one has $f(\tilde{x}_s(q_0)) \leq C$ for all $s \in [-\log T, \infty)$. Thm. 5.1 in Wang [15] ensures that there exist positive constants C_1 and C_2 such that

$$\frac{1}{4}(r - C_1)^2 \leqslant f \leqslant \frac{1}{4}(r + C_2)^2$$

on M, where $r(q) = d_g(q_0, q)$ is the distance function from any fixed point $q_0 \in M$. Then

$$d_g(q_0, \widetilde{x}_s(q_0)) \leqslant 2\sqrt{C} + C_1$$

which means that $\{\tilde{x}_{s_i}(q_0)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is bounded in (M,g) and the proof is complete. \Box

5. Concluding remarks

We conclude this note by appending an example of f-minimal hypersurface of an Euclidean spherical cap, which corrects a mistake in Example 4 of [4].

Example 1. Consider $\mathbb{S}_{\epsilon}^{n} = \{x = (x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{S}^{n}; x_{n+1} \geq \epsilon\}$ the Euclidean spherical cap with boundary $\partial \mathbb{S}_{\epsilon}^{n}, 0 < \epsilon < 1$. Let us consider the function $f(x) = \frac{\epsilon(n-1)}{\epsilon^{2}-1}h_{v}(x)$ on $\mathbb{S}_{\epsilon}^{n}$, where $h_{v}(x) = \langle x, v \rangle$ is the height function on $\mathbb{S}^{n} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ with respect to $v = e_{n+1} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. So, $\partial \mathbb{S}_{\epsilon}^{n} = h_{v}^{-1}\{\epsilon\}$, and then $|\nabla h_{v}|$ is a constant c when restrict to $\partial \mathbb{S}_{\epsilon}^{n}$, and $e_{0} = \frac{\nabla h_{v}}{c}$ is the inward unit vector field along $\partial \mathbb{S}_{\epsilon}^{n}$. Moreover, it is known that $\operatorname{Hess}_{g_{0}} h_{v} = -h_{v}g_{0}$ on $\mathbb{S}_{\epsilon}^{n}$, where g_{0} is the round metric, and the second fundamental form of $\partial \mathbb{S}_{\epsilon}^{n}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{A} = -\nabla e_0 = -\frac{1}{c} \operatorname{Hess}_{g_0} h_v = \frac{h_v}{c} g_0 = \frac{\epsilon}{c} g_0$$

that implies $H = \frac{\epsilon(n-1)}{c}$. Now, note that we can write $\overline{\nabla}f = \nabla f + \langle \overline{\nabla}f, \vec{x} \rangle \vec{x}$. Since

$$f(x) = \frac{\epsilon(n-1)}{\epsilon^2 - 1} \langle x, e_{n+1} \rangle = \frac{\epsilon(n-1)}{\epsilon^2 - 1} x_{n+1},$$

we have

$$\overline{\nabla}f = \frac{\epsilon(n-1)}{\epsilon^2 - 1}e_{n+1}$$
 and $\nabla f = \frac{\epsilon(n-1)}{\epsilon^2 - 1}\left(-x_{n+1}x_1, \dots, -x_{n+1}x_n, 1 - x_{n+1}^2\right)$.

16

Hence, along $\partial \mathbb{S}^n_{\epsilon}$

$$e_0(f) = \frac{1}{c} \nabla f \langle \vec{x}, e_{n+1} \rangle = \frac{1}{c} \langle \nabla f, e_{n+1} \rangle = \frac{\epsilon(n-1)}{c(\epsilon^2 - 1)} (1 - \epsilon^2) = -\frac{\epsilon(n-1)}{c}.$$

Thus, the boundary $\partial \mathbb{S}_{\epsilon}^{n}$ is a f-minimal hypersurface of $\mathbb{S}_{\epsilon}^{n}$.

6. Acknowledgements

José N.V. Gomes has been partially supported by Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq), Grant 310458/2021-8, and Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo (FAPESP), Grant 2023/11126-7. Matheus Hudson has been partially supported by Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo (FAPESP), Grant 2023/13921-9. Hikaru Yamamoto has been partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant-in-Aid for Early-Career Scientists 22K13909.

References

- B.-L. Chen and L. Yin, Uniqueness and pseudolocality theorems of the mean curvature flow, Comm. Anal. Geom. 15 (3) (2007), 435-490.
- [2] B. Chow, P. Lu and L. Ni, Hamilton's Ricci Flow, Graduate studies in mathematics, American Mathematical Society/Science Press, 2006.
- [3] J. Eldering, Normally Hyperbolic Invariant Manifolds: The Noncompact Case, Atlantis Studies in Dynamical Systems, Atlantis Press, 2013.
- [4] J.N.V. Gomes and M. Hudson, Mean Curvature Flow in an Extended Ricci Flow Background, J. Geom. Anal. 33 (2023), 339.
- [5] R. Hamilton, Harnack estimate for the mean curvature flow, J. Differential Geom. 41 (1) (1995), 215-226.
- [6] G. Huisken, Asymptotic behavior for singularities of the mean curvature flow, J. Differential Geom. 31 (1) (1990), 285-299.
- [7] B. List, Evolution of an extended Ricci flow system, Comm. Anal. Geom. 16 (2008), 1007-1048.
- [8] J. Lott, Mean Curvature Flow in a Ricci Flow Background, Comm. Math. Phys. 313 (2012), 517-533.
- [9] A. Magni, C. Mantegazza and E. Tsatis, Flow by mean curvature in a moving ambient space, J. Evol. Equ. 13 (3) (2013), 561-576.
- [10] R. Müller. Ricci flow coupled with harmonic map flow, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (2012), 101-142.
- [11] G. Perelman, The entropy formula for the Ricci flow and its geometric applications, arXiv:math/0211159 [math.DG], 2002.
- [12] H. Yamamoto, Examples of Ricci-mean curvature flows, J. Geom. Anal. 28 (2) (2018), 983-1004.
- [13] H. Yamamoto, Ricci-mean curvature flows in gradient shrinking Ricci solitons, Asian J. Math. 24 (1) (2020), 77-94.
- [14] H. Yamamoto, Ricci-mean curvature flows in gradient shrinking Ricci solitons, arXiv:1501.06256 [math.DG], 2015.
- [15] L.F. Wang, On Ricci-harmonic metrics, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. 41 (2016), 417-437.

^{1,2}DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE SÃO CARLOS, ROD. WASH-INGTON LUÍS, KM 235, 13565-905, SÃO CARLOS, SÃO PAULO, BRAZIL.

 $\label{eq:email} \begin{array}{l} Email \ address: \ ^1 \texttt{jnvgomes@ufscar.br} \\ Email \ address: \ ^2 \texttt{matheushgs@ufscar.br} \\ URL: \ ^{1,2} \texttt{https://www2.ufscar.br} \end{array}$

 $^{3}\mathrm{Department}$ of Mathematics, Faculty of Pure and Applied Science, University of Tsukuba, 1-1-1 Tennodai, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8571, Japan.

 $Email \ address: \ ^3 {\tt hyamamoto@math.tsukuba.ac.jp}$

URL: https://nc.math.tsukuba.ac.jp