ARITHMETIC ON CONTINUED FRACTIONS

MICHAEL J. COLLINS ASSOCIATE RESEARCH SCIENTIST DANIEL H. WAGNER ASSOCIATES HAMPTON, VA USA MJCOLLINS10@GMAIL.COM

Abstract. Gosper developed algorithms for adding, subtracting, multiplying, or dividing two CFs, and for solving quadratics with CF coefficients, getting a CF as the result. Here we present modified versions of those algorithms which avoid all difficulties with infinite loops. We have implemented these algorithms in Haskell.

1. INTRODUCTION

A continued fraction is a (possibly infinite) expression of the form

$$
a_0 + \cfrac{1}{a_1 + \cfrac{1}{a_2 + \cfrac{1}{a_3 + \cdots}}}
$$

where the terms a_i are integers, with a_i positive when $i > 0$. It is written more compactly as $[a_0, a_1, \dots]$ $[a_0, a_1, \dots]$ $[a_0, a_1, \dots]$. The properties of continued fractions are very well-known¹, so here we only remind the reader of some notation we will use:

- A quadratic irrational has a periodic CF expansion. We denote the periodic part by putting it in parentheses, i.e $[1,(2,3)] = [1,2,3,2,3,2,3,\dots]$.
- A rational number has a finite CF expansion $[a_0, a_1, \cdots, a_k]$. It will be convenient to consider a finite CF as ending with an infinite term $a_{k+1} = \infty$, treating $\frac{1}{\infty}$ as equal to zero.

Gosper (in an appendix to the famous HAKMEM report [\[1\]](#page-13-0)) developed algorithms for adding, subtracting, multiplying, or dividing two CFs, and for solving quadratics with CF coefficients, getting a CF as the result; the point of course is that we can do this entirely within the CF representation, making no use of floating-point arithmetic. Here we present modified versions of those algorithms which avoid all difficulties with infinite loops; any combination of arithmetic computations can be carried out to any required degree of accuracy in a finite number of steps. We have implemented these algorithms in Haskell^{[2](#page-0-1)}.

¹[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Simple](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Simple_continued_fraction) continued fraction

²<https://github.com/mjcollins10/ContinuedFractions>

2. Arithmetic on One CF

Before we describe how to add or multiply two CFs, we consider the simpler problem of operations combining a single CF with a rational number p/q . A few examples reveal there is no evident general pattern for transforming the CF terms of irrational x into the terms of px/q or $x + p/q$, even in the apparently simplest cases:

$$
\sqrt{7} = [2, (1, 1, 1, 4)]
$$

\n
$$
\sqrt{7}/2 = [1, (3, 10, 3, 2)]
$$

\n
$$
\sqrt{11} = [3, (3, 6)]
$$

\n
$$
\sqrt{11}/2 = [1, (1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1, 2)]
$$

\n
$$
\pi = [3, 7, 15, 1, 292, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 3, ...]
$$

\n
$$
\pi + 1/2 = [3, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 3, 4, 73, 6, 3, 3, 2, 1, 3...]
$$

As a motivating example for the general algorithm, we compute

$$
[y_0, y_1, y_2 \cdots] = \pi/2 = 1.5707963267948966 \cdots
$$

It will be convenient to have notation for the "tail" of a continued fraction, so let

$$
r_i=[\pi_{i+1},\pi_{i+2},\cdots].
$$

Note that all $r_i \geq 1$, and $r_i = \pi_{i+1} + 1/r_{i+1}$. The fact that $\pi_0 = \lfloor \pi \rfloor = 3$ is enough to determine $y_0 = \lfloor \pi/2 \rfloor = 1$; more precisely

$$
y = \frac{\pi}{2} = \frac{3 + 1/r_0}{2} = \frac{3r_0 + 1}{2r_0} = 1 + \frac{r_0 + 1}{2r_0} = 1 + \frac{1}{[y_1, y_2, \dots]}.
$$

Now we have to get y_1 from

$$
[y_1, y_2, \dots] = \frac{2r_0}{r_0 + 1}
$$

.

The mere fact that $r_0 > 1$ is enough to tell us that $\lfloor \frac{2r_0}{r_0+1} \rfloor = 1$, so we obtain $y_1 = 1$ and continue

$$
[y_1, y_2, \cdots] = 1 + \frac{r_0 - 1}{r_0 + 1} = y_1 + \frac{1}{[y_2, y_3 \cdots]}
$$

$$
[y_2, \cdots] = \frac{r_0 + 1}{r_0 - 1}.
$$

Now the floor of $\frac{r_0+1}{r_0-1}$ ranges from 1 to infinity as r_0 ranges from one to infinity, so we need to make use of $\pi_1 = 7$; we substitute $r_0 = 7 + 1/r_1$ to get

$$
[y_2, \dots] = \frac{8+1/r_1}{6+1/r_1} = \frac{8r_1+1}{6r_1+1} = 1 + \frac{2r_1}{6r_1+1} = 1 + \frac{1}{[y_3, \dots]}.
$$

Thus $y_2 = 1$. We also get $y_3 = 3$ from

$$
[y_3, \dots] = \frac{6r_1 + 1}{2r_1} = 3 + \frac{1}{2r_1} = 3 + \frac{1}{[y_4, \dots]}.
$$

Going further will require substituting $r_1 = 15 + 1/r_2$ into $2r_1 = [y_4, \dots]$. It is now clear that we will generate terms of y by repeatedly determining the integer part (i.e. floor) of expressions of the form

$$
\frac{px+q}{rx+s}
$$

where $x > 1$ and the continued fraction expansion of x is known. Functions of this form are called *homographic*. We will identify a homographic function with the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} p & q \\ r & s \end{pmatrix}$.

To formalize our observations, we first define the *range* of the function given by (1) as the set of possible floors, i.e.

(2)
$$
\mathcal{R}\left(\begin{array}{c}p & q\\r & s\end{array}\right) = \left\{ \left\lfloor \frac{px+q}{rx+s} \right\rfloor \mid 1 \leq x < \infty \right\}
$$

We will be able to produce the next term of output when this set is a singleton. If $-s/r \leq 1$ then the denominator cannot be zero, and the upper and lower limits are the min and max of $\{p/r,(p+q)/(r+s)\}\$: if p/r is the max, and is also an integer, then the integer part cannot be larger than $p/r - 1$, since we are approaching p/r from below. If $-s/r > 1$ the range will be infinite, so there is no need to compute it explicitly; we need to transform the expression by incorporating more information about x .

We define two transformations on homographics. When the range of the homographic expression for $[y_j, y_{j+1}, \dots]$ is not a singleton set, we do not yet have enough information to determine y_j , and must *ingest* the next term of x. If this term is k we make the substitution $x \leftarrow k + 1/x$, leading to the definition

(3)
$$
\operatorname{ingest}(k, \left(\begin{matrix} p & q \\ r & s \end{matrix}\right)) = \begin{pmatrix} kp + q & p \\ kr + s & r \end{pmatrix}
$$

When the range of the homographic expression for $[y_j, y_{j+1}, \cdots]$ consists of a single integer k, we can *produce* the next output term $y_j = k$; the rest of the output, $[y_{j+1}, y_{j+2}, \dots]$, is the continued fraction expansion of

$$
\frac{1}{\frac{px+q}{rx+s} - k} = \frac{rx+s}{(p - kr)x + (q - ks)}
$$

so we define

(4)
$$
\text{produce}(k, (\begin{array}{c} p \ q \\ r \ s \end{array})) = \begin{pmatrix} r \\ p - kr & q - ks \end{pmatrix}.
$$

Note that if we started with rational x, we will eventually reach $x_i = \infty$; ingesting infinity returns the limit $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & p \\ 0 & r \end{pmatrix}$ $\binom{0}{0}$ *r*). This is the constant function at the rational number p/q , so there will never be any need to ingest the (nonexistent) subsequent terms of x . If we proceed as in the examples above, we will compute the CF representation of p/q as the last part of the finite expansion of y, ending with a production that subtracts the final term y_k from the expression $\frac{y_k}{1}$; this leads to $\overline{M} = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, which we may read as infinity.

 $i \leftarrow 0$ {index of the next term of x we will read} $j \leftarrow 0$ {index of the next term of y we will generate} $M \leftarrow (P_q q)$ {the initial matrix, i.e. the expression whose CF we will compute} $M \leftarrow \text{ingest}(x_0, M)$ $i \leftarrow 1$ while $M \neq \infty$ do while $\mathcal{R}(M)$ is not a singleton do $M \leftarrow \text{ingest}(x_i, M)$ $i \leftarrow i + 1$ end while $y_j \leftarrow \mathcal{R}(M)$ $M \leftarrow \text{produce}(y_i, M)$ $j \leftarrow j + 1$ end while

FIGURE 1. Algorithm for arithmetic on a CF and a rational number

So now we have an algorithm for producing the CF expansion $[y_0, y_1, \dots]$ of $\frac{px+q}{rx+s}$, assuming the expansion of x is known. To compute x/k we would start with $M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & k \end{pmatrix}$; to get kx we would start with $M = \begin{pmatrix} k & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$; while $x + \frac{j}{k}$ would be $M = \begin{pmatrix} k & j \\ 0 & k \end{pmatrix}$ $\begin{matrix} k & j \\ 0 & k \end{matrix}$).

2.1. Properties of the Algorithm. The outer loop "while $M \neq \infty$ " is an infinite loop if $\mathbf{x} = [\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{x}_1, \dots]$ is irrational (here we use boldface x for the real-valued input, as distinct from the symbolic variable x in the homomorphic expression). This can be implemented quite directly in a language like $Haskell³$ $Haskell³$ $Haskell³$ with lazy evaluation; such languages support conceptually infinite data structures, which are a perfect fit for working with continued fractions. Under lazy evaluation, defining a variable y to be the result of an algorithm just associates y with the finite recursive expression that defines the algorithm; the number of y terms actually generated will be only what is needed by subsequent computations.

The inner loop "while $\mathcal{R}(M)$ is not a singleton" is guaranteed to terminate; we will never be in an infinite loop waiting for the next term. We have already observed that this loop terminates if x is rational, so assume it is irrational. As we iterate through this loop, we are repeatedly rewriting the initial $M = \binom{p \ q}{r \ s}$ with $[\mathbf{x}_0, \cdots, \mathbf{x}_i, x]$ in place of x. As i goes to infinity, the upper and lower bounds on $[\mathbf{x}_0, \cdots, \mathbf{x}_i, x]$ approach \mathbf{x} , so the upper and lower bounds of the matrix approach $\frac{px+q}{rx+s}$. This limit is irrational, so eventually the bounding interval contains no integers; at this point $\mathcal{R}(M)$ will be a singleton (namely the common floor of all numbers in the interval), and we will produce a term.

2.2. Visualizing the Algorithm. Since ingest $(k, {p \ q \atop r s})$ returns a matrix whose right column is $\binom{p}{r}$, and produce $(k, \binom{p}{r}, q)$ returns a matrix whose top row is (r, s) , we can visualize the progress of the algorithm as moving a 2×2 window through a two-dimensional

³haskell.org

grid of integers. We start with M in the upper right corner; ingest moves left and produce moves down. We put the x_i on the top row, at the positions where we ingest, and y_j in the right column, at the positions where we produce. Here is such a representation of our earlier example of $\pi/2$, taken further to use $\pi = [3, 7, 15, 1 \cdots]$ to obtain $\pi/2 = [1, 1, 1, 3, 31, \cdots]$.

$$
\begin{array}{cccccc} & 1 & 15 & 7 & 3 \\ & & 3 & 1 & 0 \\ & & 2 & 0 & 2 & 1 \\ & & 8 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ & & 6 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 32 & 30 & 2 & 0 & 3 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 31 \\ 1 & -1 & & & & \end{array}
$$

3. Arithmetic on Two Continued Fractions

We now turn to adding or multiplying two CFs. Conceptually, this is hardly any different from what we have already done; but it will raise some implementation issues that require care. As a motivating example, let

$$
z = \pi + \sqrt{2} = 4.555806215962888\dots
$$

with $[y_0, y_1, \dots] = \sqrt{2} = [1, (2)]$. We can write this sum as

$$
3 + \frac{1}{[\pi_1, \pi_2 \cdots]} + 1 + \frac{1}{[y_1, \cdots]} = \frac{4[\pi_1, \cdots][y_1, \cdots] + [\pi_1, \cdots] + [y_1 \cdots]}{[\pi_1, \cdots][y_1 \cdots]}.
$$

Substituting $[\pi_1, \dots] = 7 + 1/[\pi_2, \dots]$ and $[y_1, \dots] = 2 + 1/[y_2, \dots]$ leads (after a lot of high-school algebra) to

$$
z = \frac{65[\pi_2, \cdots][y_2, \cdots] + 29[\pi_2, \cdots] + 9[y_2, \cdots] + 4}{14[\pi_2, \cdots][y_2, \cdots] + 7[\pi_2, \cdots] + 2[y_2, \cdots] + 1}
$$

The integer parts of $\frac{65}{14}$, $\frac{29}{7}$ $\frac{29}{7}, \frac{9}{2}$ $\frac{9}{2}$ and $\frac{4}{1}$ are all 4; therefore $\lfloor z \rfloor = 4$. The next term will be the floor of

$$
\frac{1}{z-4} = \frac{14[\pi_2, \cdots][y_2, \cdots] + 7[\pi_2, \cdots] + 2[y_2, \cdots] + 1}{9[\pi_2, \cdots][y_2, \cdots] + [\pi_2, \cdots] + [y_2, \cdots]}
$$

into which we can substitute $[\pi_2, \dots] = 15 + \frac{1}{[\pi_3, \dots]}, [y_2, \dots] = 2 + \frac{1}{[y_3, \dots]}$ and so on. Similarly, the product $\pi\sqrt{2} = 4.442882938158366...$ is

$$
(3+\frac{1}{[\pi_1,\cdots]})(1+\frac{1}{[y_1\cdots]})=\frac{3[\pi_1,\cdots][y_1\cdots]+3[\pi_1,\cdots]+[y_1\cdots]+1}{[\pi_1,\cdots][y_1\cdots]}.
$$

into which we can make the same substitutions.

In general, computing terms of a sum or product of CFs will require finding the floors of two-variable expressions of the form

(5)
$$
\frac{axy + bx + cy + d}{exy + fx + gy + h}
$$

where x and y independently vary from 1 to ∞ . Such an expression is called *bihomographic*, and will be represented by the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & d \\ e & f & g & h \end{pmatrix}$. To determine bounds on the floor of [\(5\)](#page-4-0), it is convenient to make the substitutions $\hat{x} = x - 1$, $\hat{y} = y - 1$, and consider

$$
\frac{a\hat{x}\hat{y} + (a+b)\hat{x} + (a+c)\hat{y} + (a+b+c+d)}{e\hat{x}\hat{y} + (e+f)\hat{x} + (e+g)\hat{y} + (e+f+g+h)}
$$

as \hat{x}, \hat{y} range independently from zero to infinity. If the denominator cannot be zero, the floor is always between the minimum and maximum of

$$
\left\{\frac{a}{e}, \frac{a+b}{e+f}, \frac{a+c}{e+g}, \frac{a+b+c+d}{e+f+g+h}\right\}
$$

.

When the floor (i.e. the next term of output) is known, we produce output $z_j = k$ and the next bihomographic expression is

$$
\left(\frac{axy+bx+cy+d}{exy+fx+gy+h}-k\right)^{-1} = \frac{exy+fx+gy+h}{(a-ke)xy+(b-kf)x+(c-kg)y+(d-kh)}
$$

so we define the corresponding operation on matrices

(6)
$$
\text{produce}(k, \left(\begin{array}{c} a & b & c & d \\ e & f & g & h \end{array}\right)) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} e & f & g & h \\ (a - ke) & (b - kf) & (c - kg) & (d - kh) \end{array}\right)
$$

If the floor is not determined, we must narrow its range by ingesting the next term of either x or y. If we use $y = [s, y_{k+1}, y_{k+2} \cdots]$, we make the substitution $y \leftarrow s + 1/y$ to get

$$
\frac{(sa+b)xy + ax + (sc+d)y + c}{(se+f)xy + ex + (sg+h)y + g}
$$

so we define

(7)
$$
\text{ingest}_{\mathbf{y}}(s, \left(\begin{array}{cc} a & b & c & d \\ e & f & g & h \end{array}\right)) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} (sa+b) & a & (sc+d) & c \\ (se+f) & e & (sg+h) & g \end{array}\right)
$$

with the analogous

(8)
$$
\text{ingest}_{\mathbf{x}}(s, \left(\begin{array}{cc} a & b & c & d \\ e & f & g & h \end{array}\right)) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} (sa+c) & (sb+d) & a & b \\ (ae+g) & (af+h) & e & f \end{array}\right)
$$

for the result of substituting $x \leftarrow s + 1/x$.

We now have a preliminary algorithm for arithmetic; this will in fact require substantial modification, but we include it as a summary of the key ideas. It is not much different from the single-CF case. Computation of $x + y$, $x - y$, xy , and x/y begin with matrices $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right), \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right), \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right) \text{ and } \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right).$

Note that it is not at all necessary to ingest terms of both inputs in lockstep as we have here; Gosper suggests heuristics for accelerating the algorithm by choosing the input term most likely to get us to the next production step more quickly. This appears to be a worthwhile subject for further work.

It is interesting that the algorithms for all arithmetic operations are identical, except for the initial M . The reason, one might say, is that division is the hardest arithmetic operation, and the definition of continued fractions has division built-in to everything.

 $i \leftarrow 0$ {index of the next term of x and y we will read} $j \leftarrow 0$ {index of the next term of z we will generate} $M \leftarrow \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & d \\ e & f & g & h \end{pmatrix}$ {the initial matrix, i.e. the expression whose CF we will compute} $M \leftarrow \text{ingest}_y(y_0, \text{ingest}_x(x_0, M))$ $i \leftarrow 1$ while $M \neq \infty$ do while $\mathcal{R}(M)$ is not a singleton do $M \leftarrow \text{ingest}_y(y_i, \text{ingest}_x(x_i, M))$ $i \leftarrow i + 1$ end while $z_i \leftarrow \mathcal{R}(M)$ $M \leftarrow \text{produce}(z_i, M)$ $j \leftarrow j + 1$ end while

FIGURE 2. Preliminary algorithm for arithmetic on two CFs

3.1. Failure to Converge. Algorithm [\(3\)](#page-5-0) demonstrates the basic idea of CF arithmetic, but is insufficient because it can fail to converge; we might endlessly ingest terms of both x and y without ever obtaining a bihomographic expression whose floor is known. The simplest case is multiplying $\sqrt{2}$ by itself. The first iteration, ingesting 1 from x and from y, gives

$$
z = \sqrt{2} * \sqrt{2} = [z_0, z_1, \dots] = \frac{1xy + 1x + 1y + 1}{1xy + 0x + 0y + 0}.
$$

Repeatedly ingesting 2 from both x and y yields

(9)
$$
[z_0, z_1, \dots] = \frac{9xy + 3x + 3y + 1}{4xy + 2x + 2y + 1}
$$

(10)
$$
[z_0, z_1, \cdots] = \frac{49xy + 21x + 21y + 9}{25xy + 20x + 10y + 4}
$$

(11)
$$
[z_0, z_1, \dots] = \frac{289xy + 119x + 119y + 49}{144xy + 60x + 60y + 25}
$$

and so on. The matrix [\(9\)](#page-6-0) takes values ranging from $\frac{16}{9}$ to $\frac{9}{4}$ (i.e. 1.777 to 2.25) as x and y range from one to infinity; similarly matrix [\(10\)](#page-6-1) is between $\frac{49}{25}$ and $\frac{100}{49}$ (i.e. 1.96 to 2.0408). And the last ranges from $\frac{576}{289}$ to $\frac{289}{144}$, which is about 1.993 to 2.00694.

The source of the problem is now clear: we should have $z = [z_0, z_1] = [2, \infty]$, but no finite number of terms can ever tell us that $z_0 = 2$. It is always possible that one input is in fact less than $\sqrt{2}$, so it is always possible that $z < 2$ and $z_0 = 1$.

Our approach to the problem (see [\[2\]](#page-13-1) for an entirely different approach) is to separate the internal representation of a CF as a data structure from the mathematical notion of a sequence of integer terms. The internal representation will contain explicit terms (i.e. $z_k = n$) whenever such can be determined, but might also contain arbitrary bounds on the tail $[z_k, z_{k+1} \cdots]$, such as the sequence of bounds we derived for $\sqrt{2} * \sqrt{2}$. This is a direct generalization, because the explicit term $z_k = n$ is equivalent to the bound

$$
n\leq [z_k,z_{k+1}\cdots]
$$

Therefore, when a CF is constructed explicitly from a known sequence of terms $[z_0, z_1, \dots]$, it will be represented internally as the sequence of half-open intervals

$$
[[z_0, z_0+1), [z_1, z_1+1), \cdots].
$$

At the opposite extreme, the internal representation for $\sqrt{2}^2$ will consist entirely of evertighter bounds on the first term, i.e. the sequence of intervals

$$
[[\frac{16}{9}, \frac{9}{4}), [\frac{49}{25}, \frac{100}{49}), [\frac{576}{289}, \frac{289}{144}), \cdots].
$$

The general idea (elaborated more fully in section [3.3\)](#page-7-0) is that we will generate output on every iteration of the arithmetic algorithm; when we cannot produce a term, we output upper and lower bounds on the current homomorphic matrix, leaving the matrix unchanged. Reading such output, there can be no ambiguity about the term to which a bound applies; the first bound applies to $z₀$, and as soon as we encounter a bound which uniquely determines z_k (i.e. an interval with $|x|$ the same for all x in the interval), we know that the next bound will apply to z_{k+1} . This implies that any finite prefix of the output sequence will determine a finite prefix $[z_0 \cdots z_{k-1}]$ of the actual CF, followed by a bound $[\ell_k, u_k]$ on the tail $[z_k, z_{k+1} \cdots]$. The exact value of the CF is between $[z_0 \cdots z_{k-1}, \ell_k]$ and $[z_0 \cdots z_{k-1}, u_k]$ (where we extend the usual CF notation by allowing a rational number, instead of an integer, as the last term).

Note that storage of a long sequence of intervals is not an issue for implementation; successive bounds on the same term are always tighter, so there is no need to retain earlier bounds when a new one is obtained.

3.2. Extracting Terms. We must note that our representation of CF arithmetic makes it *impossible* to simply ask a question like "what are the first five terms of $z = xy$?" An explicit list of terms can only be relative to a required degree of accuracy ε . Our arithmetic algorithms consume and return theoretically infinite sequences of intervals; extracting a finite sequence of terms is a separate "post-processing" step, carried out only when a numerical approximation is required. To obtain such an approximation, we find a prefix of the output interval sequence which is long enough to make the difference between $[z_0 \cdots z_{k-1}, \ell_k]$ and $[z_0 \cdots z_{k-1}, u_k]$ less than ε ; then our approximate CF is $[z_0 \cdots z_k]$, where z_k is some integer in the interval $[\ell_k, u_k)$. We might as well always take $z_k = \lfloor u_k \rfloor$, which is the only integer in the interval if the interval is small.

In the case of $\sqrt{2}^2$, any accuracy threshold will yield the same result, $z = [2]$.

3.3. An Algorithm for CF Arithmetic. We now present the full details of the algorithm suggested in the previous section. We augment the matrix M with a pair of intervals $\{I_x, I_y\} = \{[x_\ell, x_u), [y_\ell, y_u)\},\$ the current bounds on the remaining tails of the inputs x, y . These intervals start at $(-\infty, \infty)$, since the first term could be any integer. When we ingest an interval of the form $[a, a + 1]$ from x or from y, we modify M as before with $M \leftarrow \text{ingest}_X(a, M)$ or $M \leftarrow \text{ingest}_X(a, M)$, and we change that variable's bound to $[1,\infty)$. When we ingest an *ambiguous* interval [ℓ, u] (i.e. a bound that does not determine the next term of the input), we replace the current bound on the corresponding variable with $[\ell, u]$, leaving M unchanged.

We define $\mathcal{R}(M)$ to take the bounds into account, i.e.

(12)
$$
\mathcal{R}\left(\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b & c & d \\ e & f & g & h \end{smallmatrix}\right), I_x, I_y\right) = \left\{ \left\lfloor \frac{axy + bx + cy + d}{cxy + fx + gy + h} \right\rfloor \mid x \in I_x, y \in I_y \right\}
$$

When this is a singleton set $\{n\}$, we output $[n, n+1)$ and modify M as before with $M \leftarrow$ produce (n, M) ; the bounds I_x and I_y do not change. Otherwise we leave M unchanged and output upper and lower bounds on M, i.e. the min and max of $\frac{axy+bx+cy+d}{exy+fx+gy+h}$ subject to $x \in I_x, y \in I_y$: denote this interval $\rho\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & d \\ e & f & g & h \end{pmatrix}, I_x, I_y\right)$. These bounds are easily computed, see section [\(3.5\)](#page-9-0). To retain unlimited accuracy, the bounds must be computed with exact rational arithmetic.

3.4. Possible Optimizations of the CF Arithmetic Algorithm.

3.4.1. Winnowing Interval Sequences. When we have to ingest the next interval from x or y, and we see an ambiguous interval at the head of the list, it is not necessary to ingest that interval; we may skip it and go on to the next element of the list, which will give a tighter bound on the next term. Any such heuristic must guarantee that, given an infinite list of ambiguous input intervals, we eventually ingest something. Similarly, the algorithm does not need to output every ambiguous interval of z ; but we must guarantee that we eventually output something, even if we are generating an infinite list of ambiguous intervals.

3.4.2. Taming Large Coefficients. If a high degree of accuracy is required, the coefficients of M may grow very large; performing rational arithmetic on arbitrarily large integers will incur a performance penalty. We might be able to take advantage of the fact that we do not require the tightest possible bounds on the next term; the only requirement for correctness is that the bounds converge to the correct value. We might divide every coefficient of M by some large integer k , rounding each result up or down in whichever direction slightly widens the range of M . We might do the same with intervals whose numerators and denominators are very large. To guarantee convergence, we must never make an adjustment which makes a new bound weaker than the current bound.

Any such decision to "simplify" M must consider the gap between the upper and lower bounds of M ; if the gap is large relative to the reciprocals of the coefficients, we might be carrying too many digits. Remember that, with lazy evaluation, all computations are driven by how much accuracy we need; if the gap is small, yet we are still continuing the computation, it means that we need even tighter bounds. In such cases large coefficients might be unavoidable.

 $\{$ input x, y: CFs represented as lists of intervals $\}$ {input M : bihomomorphic matrix} {output z: CF expansion of $M(x, y)$ represented as list of intervals} $i \leftarrow 0$ {index of the next element of x and y we will read} $j \leftarrow 0$ {index of the next element of z we will generate} $I_x, I_y \leftarrow (-\infty, \infty), (-\infty, \infty)$ {current bounds on remaining parts of x, y } while $M \neq \infty$ do {read input} if x_i is ambiguous then $I_x \leftarrow x_i$ else $M \leftarrow \text{ingest_x}(x_i, M)$ $I_x \leftarrow [1, \infty)$ end if if y_i is ambiguous then $I_y \leftarrow y_i$ else $M \leftarrow \text{ingest}_y(y_i, M)$ $I_y \leftarrow [1,\infty)$ end if $i \leftarrow i + 1$ {produce output} if $\mathcal{R}(M, I_x, I_y)$ is not a singleton then $z_j \leftarrow \rho(M, I_x, I_y)$ else $z_j \leftarrow \mathcal{R}(M, I_x, I_y)$ $M \leftarrow \text{produce}(z_i, M)$ end if $j \leftarrow j + 1$ end while

Figure 3. Algorithm for arithmetic on two CFs

3.5. Computing the range of M. To compute min and max of M subject to $x_{\ell} < x <$ $x_u, y_\ell < y < y_u$:

- Let $\delta_x, \delta_y = x_u x_\ell, y_u y_\ell$
- Substitute $x \leftarrow x_{\ell} + \frac{\delta}{x'+1}$ and $y \leftarrow y_{\ell} + \frac{\delta}{y'+1}$ $\frac{\delta}{y'+1}$. Now x goes from x_{ℓ} to x_u as x' goes from 0 to infinity, similarly for y, y'
- Let the coefficients of the resulting matrix be $\begin{pmatrix} a' & b' & c' & d' \\ e' & f' & g' & h' \end{pmatrix}$ $\left.\begin{array}{cc} a' & b' & c' & d' \\ e' & f' & g' & h' \end{array}\right).$

The range of M subject to the bounds will be the min and max of

$$
\left\{\frac{a'}{e'},\frac{b'}{f'},\frac{c'}{g'},\frac{d'}{h'}\right\}
$$

.

4. Solving Quadratic Equations

4.1. Square root of a rational number. The arithmetic algorithm can be extended to solve quadratic equations with CF coefficients. We begin with the special case of computing the square root of a rational number α . The key idea is that $\sqrt{\alpha}$ is the *fixed point* of a homographic function, i.e. the solution to

$$
y = \frac{\alpha}{y} .
$$

We thus need to find a continued fraction y which produces y given the initial matrix $\int 0 p$ $\left(\begin{smallmatrix}0&p\\q&0\end{smallmatrix}\right)$, where $\alpha=\frac{p}{q}$ $\frac{p}{q}.$

As a concrete example, we find $\sqrt{11}$. The first term will be the floor of the fixed point of $M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 11 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Of course it is easy to observe in this case that $M(3) > 3$ and $M(4) < 4$, so the first term is $y_0 = 3$; but we must find a better algorithm than linear search through $M(1), M(2), M(3) \cdots$. Such an algorithm exists because any homographic function of the form

$$
\begin{pmatrix} p & q \\ r & -p \end{pmatrix}
$$

is self-inverse: i.e. $M(M(y)) = y$. This immediately implies that, given any "initial guess" \hat{y} , the fixed point is between \hat{y} and $M(\hat{y})$. So we can use binary search, starting perhaps from $\hat{y} = 1$, to find the desired term in logarithmic time. When $\hat{y} = |M(\hat{y})|$ or $\hat{y} = |M(\hat{y})|$, this common value is the next term of y . The self-inverse property is preserved by ingesting and then producing the same term:

produce
$$
(\hat{y}, \text{ingest }(\hat{y}, \begin{pmatrix} p & q \\ r & -p \end{pmatrix})) = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{y}r-p & r \\ q+2\hat{y}p-\hat{y}r & p-\hat{y}r \end{pmatrix}
$$

.

Thus each subsequent iteration can be handled the same way. After $y_0 = 3$ we obtain

$$
M = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 2 & -3 \end{pmatrix}
$$

which yields $y_1 = 3$ since now $M(3) = \frac{10}{3}$. Ingesting and producing 3 leads to

$$
M = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 1 & -3 \end{pmatrix}
$$

implying $y_2 = 6$ because $M(6) = \frac{20}{3}$. The next iteration puts us in a loop: ingesting and producing 6 takes us back to

$$
\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 \ 2 & -3 \end{pmatrix}
$$

proving that $\sqrt{11} = [3, (3, 6)].$

4.2. Square root of an explicit CF. Now we consider how to extract the square root of a real number x given as a continued fraction. We will start with the simpler case in which x expressed as an explicit list of integer terms, before generalizing to an algorithm that operates on our internal representation of a CF as a list of intervals.

The square root of x is the fixed point $y = \frac{x}{y}$ $\frac{x}{y}$. The matrix $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ is bihomographic, but we can also think of it as a homographic matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ with constant x and variable y. More generally let $M_{\mathbf{x}}$ denote M with x fixed at \mathbf{x} , i.e. if $M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & d \\ e & f & g & h \end{pmatrix}$ then

$$
M_{\mathbf{x}} = \begin{pmatrix} (a\mathbf{x} + c) & (b\mathbf{x} + d) \\ (e\mathbf{x} + g) & (f\mathbf{x} + h) \end{pmatrix}
$$

.

As before, we use boldface x to distinguish a specific input from the symbolic variable. M_{∞} is the limit $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ e & f \end{smallmatrix}\right)$.

Starting from $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, we must ingest terms of **x** until we reach a stage at which the floor of the fixed point does not depend on x; in other words, until the floor of the fixpoint is the same when $x = 1$ and $x = \infty$. This floor will be the next term of y. To find the next term, we first use the same binary search as before to get \hat{y} , the floor of the fixed point of of M_1 ; then we check whether \hat{y} equals $\lfloor M_\infty(\hat{y}) \rfloor$ or $\lfloor M_\infty(\hat{y}) \rfloor$. If so, we ingest \hat{y} and produce \hat{y} ; if not, we ingest another term of **x** and continue.

This works because the matrices M_x will always have the self-inverse property. Such is clearly the case with the initial matrix, and a similar computation to what we did for rational x proves the property is preserved when we ingest and produce a term.

As an example, we compute the fourth root of 2, i.e. the square root of $\mathbf{x} = [1, (2)]$. Making the substitution $x \leftarrow 1 + \frac{1}{x}$ gives

$$
M = \frac{x+1}{xy} .
$$

The fact that $|\mathbf{x}| = 1$ should be enough to imply that $|y| = 1$, and indeed this is the case:

$$
M_1 = \frac{2}{y} \qquad M_{\infty} = \frac{1}{y}
$$

$$
M_1(1) = 2 \quad M_{\infty}(1) = 1
$$

Ingesting and producing $y_0 = 1$ leads to $M = \frac{yx+x}{y-x}$; then ingesting 2 from **x** gives

$$
M = \frac{2xy + 2x + y + 1}{xy - 2x - 1} \; .
$$

We do not yet have enough information to determine the next output term:

$$
M_1 = \frac{3y+3}{y-3} \quad M_{\infty} = \frac{2y+2}{y-2}
$$

$$
M_1(6) = 7 \quad M_{\infty}(5) = 4
$$

Ingesting another 2 from x will suffice:

$$
M = \frac{5xy + 5x + 2y + 2}{2xy - 5x + y - 2}
$$

{input x: CF represented as list of intervals} {output y: CF expansion of \sqrt{x} represented as list of intervals} $i \leftarrow 0$ {index of the next element of x we will read} $j \leftarrow 0$ {index of the next element of y we will generate} $(x_{\ell}, x_h) \leftarrow (1, \infty)$ {current bounds on remaining part of x} $M = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ {solve $y = \frac{x}{y}$ $\frac{1}{y}$ while $M \neq \infty$ do {read input} if $x_i = [a, b)$ is ambiguous then $(x_{\ell}, x_h) \leftarrow (a, b)$ else $M \leftarrow \text{ingest_x}(x_i, M)$ $(x_{\ell}, x_h) \leftarrow [1, \infty)$ end if $i \leftarrow i + 1$ {produce output if we can} $\hat{y} \leftarrow$ floor of fixed point of M_{x_ℓ} {found with binary search} if floor of fixed point of M_{x_h} equals \hat{y} then $y_i \leftarrow \hat{y}$ $j \leftarrow j + 1$ $M \leftarrow \text{produce}(\hat{y}, \text{ingest}_y(\hat{y}, M))$ end if end while

and

$$
M_1 = \frac{7y+7}{3y-7} \quad M_{\infty} = \frac{5y+5}{2y-5}
$$

$$
M_1(5) = \frac{21}{4} \quad M_{\infty}(5) = 5
$$

so $y_1 = 5$. After ingesting/producing 5 and then reading another 2 from **x** we reach

$$
M = \frac{13xy + 5x + 5y + 2}{7xy - 13x + 5y - 5}
$$

which tells us that $y_2 = 3$: $M_1(3) = \frac{61}{18}$ and $M_\infty(4) = \frac{19}{5}$. The first few terms of $\sqrt[4]{2}$ are $1.18921 \cdots = [1, 5, 3, 1, 1, 40, 5, \cdots].$

4.3. Quadratic equations. A quadratic equation can be written as the fixed point of a self-inverse homographic function: $py^2 + qy + r = 0$ is equivalent to

(13)
$$
y = \frac{-qy - 2r}{2py + q}.
$$

If q is a continued fraction, we can solve [\(13\)](#page-12-0) by starting with $M = \begin{pmatrix} -x & -2r \\ 2p & x \end{pmatrix}$ and finding the fixed point, ingesting terms of $q = \mathbf{x}$. The cases of p or r as continued fractions are similar.

4.4. **Square root of a general CF.** The algorithm is not much different for x represented as a list of intervals. When we read an ambiguous interval $[x_{\ell}, x_h)$, we do not change the current matrix; instead we check whether the floors of the fixed points of M_{x_ℓ} and M_{x_h} are the same. If so, this common value is the next term of y .

Note we have assumed that all terms of x , including the first term, are greater than or equal to 1. If $x = [0, x_1, x_2, \dots]$ then $\sqrt{x} = [0, z_0, z_1, \dots]$ where $z = \sqrt{[x_1, x_2, \dots]} = \sqrt{1/x}$.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Beeler, R.W. Gosper, and R. Schroeppel. HAKMEM. Technical Report AIM-293, MIT, February 1972.
- [2] David Lester. Effective continued fractions. In Proceedings of 15th IEEE Symposium on Computer Arithmetic (ARITH-15 2001), pages 163–170, 2001.