# Pion fragmentation functions from a quark-jet model in a functional approach

Roberto Correa da Silveira,<sup>1</sup> Fernando E. Serna,<sup>2</sup> and Bruno El-Bennich<sup>3,4</sup>

 ${}^{1}$ Laborátório de Física Teórica e Computacional, Universidade Cidade de São Paulo,

Rua Galv˜ao Bueno 868, S˜ao Paulo, S˜ao Paulo 01506-000, Brazil

 $2$ Departamento de Física, Universidad de Sucre, Carrera 28 No. 5-267,

Barrio Puerta Roja, Sincelejo 700001, Colombia

 $3$ Departamento de Física, Universidade Federal de São Paulo,

Rua São Nicolau 210, Diadema, 09913-030 São Paulo, Brazil

<sup>4</sup>Instituto de Física Teórica, Universidade Estadual Paulista,

Rua Dr. Bento Teobaldo Ferraz 271, 01140-070 São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil

(Dated: December 31, 2024)

The elementary fragmentation function that describes the process  $q \to \pi$  is predicted applying crossing and charge symmetry to the cut diagram of the pion valence quark distribution function. This elementary probability distribution defines the ladder-kernel of a quark jet fragmentation equation, which is solved self-consistently to obtain the full pion fragmentation function. The hadronization into a pion employs the complete Poincaré invariant Bethe-Salpeter wave function, though the overwhelming contribution to the fragmentation function is due the leading Bethe-Salpeter amplitude. Compared to a Nambu–Jona-Lasinio model prediction, the fragmentation function we obtain is enhanced in the range  $z \leq 0.8$  but otherwise in good qualitative agreement. The full pion fragmentation function is overall greater than the elementary fragmentation function below  $z \lesssim 0.6$ .

## I. INTRODUCTION

Many high-energy scattering processes can be treated perturbatively in Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD) within the framework of factorization theorems. The latter allow for a separation the perturbatively calculable part of a given cross section from the nonperturbative component at a given energy scale, which are frequently codified in parton distribution functions and are essential for calculating cross-sections. They also are fundamental tools in understanding the internal structure of protons and other hadrons.

Likewise, quark jet fragmentation functions describe how the energy and momentum are distributed amongst highly energetic hadrons that form a jet with nearly parallel longitudinal momenta and negligible transverse momenta [\[1\]](#page-6-0). Such jets are produced in high-energy collisions where reaction and decay processes produce gluon and quark partons that fragment into a cascade of hadrons once they escape the interaction region. Besides being of fundamental interest in their own right, their knowledge is essential for the extraction of the transversity quark distribution functions [\[2,](#page-6-1) [3\]](#page-6-2). At the heart of quark jet fragmentations functions are elementary fragmentation functions of quarks and gluons [\[4\]](#page-6-3), which describe the probably for such a parton to fragment into a single hadron with a given fraction of its light-front momentum.

In here, we obtain the elementary  $q \to \pi$  fragmentation function from the corresponding cut diagram [\[5](#page-6-4)[–7\]](#page-6-5) using a functional approach to QCD modeling. In doing so, we solve the Dyson-Schwinger equation (DSE) for a light quark, while the solution of the Bethe-Salpeter equation (BSE) in the pseudoscalar channel yields the pion wave function. The  $q \to \pi$  fragmentation function for a physical light-front momentum fraction z and the parton distribution function of a quark in a pion for unphysical  $x > 1$  obey a Drell-Levy-Yan (DLY) relation  $[8-10]$  due to charge conjugation and crossing symmetry. However, in a single step fragmentation process, such as  $q \to \pi$ , momentum and isospin sum rules cannot be satisfied [\[7\]](#page-6-5) and one must consider the possibility that the fragmenting quark produces a cascade of mesons. The infinite fragmentation tower can in principle involve any hadrons, though we here limit ourselves to the hadronization into a cascade of pions described by jet functions, much alike the original quark jet-model of Fields and Feynman [\[1\]](#page-6-0). Our approach satisfies momentum and isospin sum rules and represents a systematic extension of a Nambu–Jona-Lasinio (NJL) model calculation  $[7]$  where the Poincaré invariant Bethe-Salpeter amplitude is not point-like but possesses a spatial extension and the quark masses are momentum dependent.

In Section [II](#page-1-0) we present the theoretical framework, namely the DSE and BSE that describe the quark and the bound state wave function of the pion, respectively. The elementary fragmentation function we obtain is discussed in Section [III](#page-2-0) and our prediction is compared to that of a NJL model [\[7\]](#page-6-5). We emphasize that while the latter is about 10–12% suppressed compared with the fragmentation function obtained in the DSE-BSE approach, the qualitative behavior of both functions is very similar. This is chiefly due to the fact that the fragmentation function is dominated by the contribution of the leading Bethe-Salpeter amplitude, which mirrors the NJL calculation where the wave function is a single, point-like Lorentz covariant. We then discuss the quark-jet fragmentation equations from which we obtain full fragmentation function that describes the infinite tower of quark hadronization into pions of different isospin. We wrap up with concluding remarks in Section [IV.](#page-5-0)

## <span id="page-1-0"></span>II. FROM QUARK TO PION: GAP AND BOUND-STATE EQUATIONS

## A. Dressed quark propagators

In a nonperturbative approach to the quark fragmentation function, the fully dressed quark propagator is a crucial ingredient. We obtain this propagator from the corresponding DSE of a dressed quark,

$$
S^{-1}(p) = Z_2(i\gamma \cdot p + m_{\text{bm}}) + Z_1 g^2 \int^{\Lambda} \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} D_{\mu\nu}^{ab}(q) \frac{\lambda^a}{2} \gamma_{\mu} S(k) \Gamma_{\nu}^b(k, p), (1)
$$

whose solutions are Euclidean Schwinger functions [\[11,](#page-6-8) 12. In Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-1),  $m^{bm}$  is the bare current-quark mass,  $Z_1(\mu,\Lambda)$  and  $Z_2(\mu,\Lambda)$  are the vertex and wave-function renormalization constants at the renormalization scale  $\mu$ , respectively. The integral describes the self-energy of the quark and involves the dressed-quark propagator  $S(k)$ , the dressed-gluon propagator  $D_{\mu\nu}(q)$  with  $q = k - p$  and the quark-gluon vertex,  $\Gamma_{\mu}^{a}(k, p) = \frac{1}{2} \lambda^{a} \Gamma_{\mu}(k, p)$  [13-[16\]](#page-6-11), where  $\lambda^a$  are SU(3) color matrices. The regularization scale  $\Lambda \gg \mu$  can be taken to infinity. For a given flavor, the general covariant form of the dressed propagator is,

<span id="page-1-5"></span>
$$
S(p) = -i\sigma_V(p^2)\gamma \cdot p + \sigma_S(p^2) = \frac{Z(p^2)}{i\gamma \cdot p + M(p^2)},\quad(2)
$$

where  $Z(p^2)$  is the wave renormalization function and  $M(p^2)$  is the mass function of the quark, and the functions  $\sigma_S(p^2)$  and  $\sigma_V(p^2)$  can be related to them. In a subtractive renormalization scheme one imposes the conditions,

$$
Z(\mu^2) \equiv 1 \tag{3}
$$

$$
S^{-1}(\mu^2) \equiv i\gamma \cdot p + m(\mu) , \qquad (4)
$$

where  $m(\mu)$  is the renormalized current-quark mass related to the bare mass by,

$$
Z_4(\mu,\Lambda) m(\mu) = Z_2(\mu,\Lambda) m_{\text{bm}}(\Lambda) , \qquad (5)
$$

and  $Z_4(\mu,\Lambda)$  is the mass-renormalization constant in the QCD Lagrangian.

The leading rainbow truncation of the DSE [\(1\)](#page-1-1) and the ladder truncation of the corresponding BSE kernel has proven to be a robust approximation that preserves the axialvector Ward-Green-Takahashi identity and allows for the description of light ground-state mesons in the isospin-nonzero pseudoscalar and vector channels. In essence, the fully dressed quark-gluon vertex is reduced to the perturbative vertex,  $\Gamma_{\nu} \rightarrow Z_2 \gamma_{\nu}$ . Therefore, the DSE kernel becomes [\[17\]](#page-6-12),

<span id="page-1-2"></span>
$$
Z_1 g^2 D_{\mu\nu}(q) \Gamma_{\nu}(k, p) = Z_2^2 \mathcal{G}(q^2) D_{\mu\nu}^{\text{free}}(q) \frac{\lambda^a}{2} \gamma_{\nu} . \tag{6}
$$

This truncation is characterized by an Abelianized Ward identity and, as in QED, implies  $Z_1 = Z_2$  [\[11\]](#page-6-8) due to the omission of the three-gluon interaction in  $\Gamma_{\mu}(k, p)$ . The additional factor  $Z_2$  in Eq. [\(6\)](#page-1-2) ensures multiplicative renormalizability of the DSE and consequently  $M(p^2)$  is a renormalization-point invariant quantity [\[18\]](#page-6-13).

The free-gluon propagator is transverse in Landau gauge,

<span id="page-1-3"></span>
$$
D_{\mu\nu}^{\text{free}}(q) = \delta^{ab} \left( \delta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{q_{\mu}q_{\nu}}{q^2} \right) \frac{1}{q^2} , \qquad (7)
$$

<span id="page-1-1"></span>and the dressing function  $\mathcal{G}(q^2)$  is composed of two pieces,

<span id="page-1-4"></span>
$$
\frac{\mathcal{G}(q^2)}{q^2} = \mathcal{G}_{\text{IR}}(q^2) + 4\pi \tilde{\alpha}_{\text{PT}}(q^2) ,\qquad (8)
$$

where we deliberately absorb a factor  $1/q^2$  of the gluon propagator [\(7\)](#page-1-3). The first term in Eq. [\(8\)](#page-1-4) dominates in the infrared domain,  $q^2 < \Lambda_{\rm QCD}^2$ , and is suppressed at large momenta, while the second term describes a bounded and monotonically decreasing continuation of the perturbative coupling that dominates at large momenta:  $\mathcal{G}_{IR}(q^2) \ll \tilde{\alpha}_{PT}(q^2) \forall q^2 \gtrsim 2 \text{ GeV}^2$ . In here, we use the infrared-finite dressing model of Ref. [\[19\]](#page-6-14) which reads,

$$
\mathcal{G}^{\text{IR}}(q^2) = \frac{8\pi^2}{\omega^4} D e^{-q^2/\omega^2}
$$
 (9)

$$
4\pi \tilde{\alpha}_{\rm PT}(q^2) = \frac{8\pi^2 \gamma_m \mathcal{E}(q^2)}{\ln \left[ \tau + \left(1 + q^2 / \Lambda_{\rm QCD}^2\right)^2 \right]} \,, \qquad (10)
$$

where  $\gamma_m = 12/(33 - 2N_f)$  is the anomalous mass dimension and  $N_f$  is the active flavor number,  $\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$  = 0.234 GeV,  $\tau = e^2 - 1$ ,  $\mathcal{E}(q^2) = \left[1 - \exp(-q^2/4m_t^2)\right]/q^2$ ,  $m_t = 0.5 \,\text{GeV}, \omega = 0.5 \,\text{GeV} \text{ and } \kappa = (\omega D)^{1/3} = 0.8 \,\text{GeV}.$ In solving the DSE  $(1)$ , we determine the renormaliza-

tion constants  $Z_2$  and  $Z_4$  with the conditions  $A(2 \text{ GeV}) =$ 1 and  $m(2 \text{ GeV}) \equiv B(2 \text{ GeV}) = 0.018 \text{ GeV}$  [\[20\]](#page-6-15).

#### B. Pion wave function

The pions produced in the fragmentation of a quark are described by a Poincaré-covariant Bethe-Salpeter amplitude (BSA),  $\Gamma_{\pi}(k, p)$ . The latter is the solution of the homogeneous BSE for pseudoscalar mesons,

$$
\Gamma_{\pi}(k,p) = \int^{\Lambda} \frac{d^4q}{(2\pi)^4} K(k,q,p) \chi_{\pi}(q,p) , \qquad (11)
$$

where  $k$  is the relative quark-antiquark momentum,  $p$  is the on-shell pion momentum,  $p^2 = -m_{\pi}^2$ , and  $K(k, q, p)$ is the fully amputated scattering kernel. The Bethe-Salpeter wave function of the pion,  $\chi_{\pi}(k, p)$  is defined by attaching the quark propagators to the BSA,

$$
\chi_{\pi}(k, p) \equiv S(k_{\eta}) \Gamma_{\pi}(k, p) S(k_{\bar{\eta}}), \qquad (12)
$$

with the momentum-partition parameters  $\eta + \bar{\eta} = 1$  and the quark and antiquark momenta  $k_{\eta} = k + \eta p$  and  $k_{\bar{\eta}} = k - \bar{\eta}p$ . The ladder truncation compatible with the rainbow truncation of the DSE in Eq. [\(6\)](#page-1-2) reads,

$$
K(k,q,p) = -Z_2^2 \frac{\mathcal{G}(l^2)}{l^2} D_{\mu\nu}^{\text{free}}(l) \frac{\lambda^a}{2} \gamma_\mu \frac{\lambda^a}{2} \gamma_\nu , \qquad (13)
$$

and  $l = k - q$  is the gluon momentum exchanged infinitely many times between the quark and antiquark.

The BSA is composed of Dirac matrices and the relative and total momenta consistent with the quantum numbers  $J^{PC} = 0^{-+}$ . Its most general Poincarécovariant form is given by [\[21\]](#page-6-16),

$$
\Gamma_{\pi}(k, p) = \gamma_5 \Big[ i E_{\pi}(k, p) + \gamma \cdot p F_{\pi}(k, p) + \gamma \cdot k k \cdot p G_{\pi}(k, p) + \sigma_{\mu\nu} k_{\mu} p_{\nu} H_{\pi}(k, p) \Big], \quad (14)
$$

in which  $E_{\pi}, F_{\pi}, G_{\pi}, H_{\pi}$  are Lorentz-invariant scalar amplitudes. In the calculation of the elementary fragmentation function  $d_q^{\pi}(x)$  [\(16\)](#page-2-1), we employ the BSE solution of Ref. [\[20\]](#page-6-15) for the pion.

#### <span id="page-2-0"></span>III. FRAGMENTATION FUNCTIONS

#### A. Elementary quark fragmentation function

Fragmentation functions describe the hadronization of highly energetic partons with nearly longitudinal momenta, though the transverse momentum dependence can be included [\[3\]](#page-6-2). The original parton model of fragmentation [\[1\]](#page-6-0) is nowadays understood as the process of very energetic quarks and gluons escaping the initial collision region and then fragmenting into jets of hadrons.

The elementary fragmentation function of the process  $q \to q\pi$  for physical light-front momentum fraction  $z = 1/x < 1$  can be related to the parton distribution function for *unphysical*  $x > 1$  using crossing and chargeconjugation symmetry,

$$
d_q^{\pi}(z) = \frac{z}{6} f_q^{\pi}(x) , \qquad (15)
$$

which is the DLY relation [\[8–](#page-6-6)[10\]](#page-6-7), and  $x = k^+/p^+$  is the fraction of light-front momentum transferred from a valence quark to a pion. This elementary fragmentation function represents a probability density and can be formulated with a cut diagram whose evaluation leads to the expression [\[7\]](#page-6-5):

$$
d_q^{\pi}(z) = \frac{N_c C_q^{\pi} z}{6} \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} 2\pi i \delta \left( k^+ - \frac{p^+}{z} \right) \delta \left( \ell^2 + M^2(\ell^2) \right)
$$

$$
\times \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{D}} \left[ S(k)\gamma^+ S(k) \bar{\Gamma}_{\pi} \left( -k + \frac{p}{2}, -p \right) \right]
$$

$$
\times \left( -i\gamma \cdot \ell + M(\ell^2) \right) \Gamma_{\pi} \left( k - \frac{p}{2}, p \right).
$$
(16)

In Eq. [\(16\)](#page-2-1),  $N_c = 3$ , k and  $\ell = k - p$  are the incoming and outgoing four-momenta of the quark,  $S(k)$  is the fully

dressed quark propagator [\(2\)](#page-1-5), p and  $\Gamma_{\pi}(k-\frac{p}{2},p)$  are the momentum and the BSA [\(14\)](#page-2-2) of the pion, respectively, while  $C_q^{\pi} = (1 + \tau_q \tau_{\pi})/2$  is an isospin factor. The lightfront momenta are defined as  $k^+ = n \cdot k$ ,  $p^+ = n \cdot p$  and  $\gamma^+ = \gamma \cdot n$ , where *n* is a light-like four-vector:  $n^2 = 0$ .

In order to calculate the fragmentation function [\(16\)](#page-2-1) in light-front variables, a suitable algebraic representation of the BSA and quark propagators is indispens- $able<sup>1</sup>$  $able<sup>1</sup>$  $able<sup>1</sup>$ , as the dressing functions and scalar amplitudes are only available as numerical functions of their momentum squared and angles between the relative and total momentum of the meson [\[20,](#page-6-15) [22–](#page-6-17)[25\]](#page-6-18). As discussed in more detail in appendix [A,](#page-5-1) the generalized Nakanishi integral representation we use for the BSA and the complexconjugate pole parametrization of the quark propagators depend on squared momenta and scalar products of momenta which we define in the following.

<span id="page-2-2"></span>We follow Ref. [\[26\]](#page-6-19) and define light-front variables in Euclidean metric:

$$
p^{\pm} = -ip_4 \pm p_3
$$
,  $p_3 = \frac{p^+ - p^-}{2}$ ,  $p_4 = i \frac{p^+ + p^-}{2}$ , (17)

where  $p_E = (\mathbf{p}_T, p_3, p_4)$ . In the rest frame of the pion,  $p = (0, 0, im_\pi)$ , and therefore  $p^{\pm} = m_\pi$ . Evaluating the trace in Eq. [\(16\)](#page-2-1) leads to scalar products which in lightfront coordinates read,

$$
k_E \cdot p_E = \boldsymbol{k}_T \cdot \boldsymbol{p}_T - \frac{1}{2} \left( k^- p^+ + k^+ p^- \right) , \qquad (18)
$$

$$
k_E^2 = \mathbf{k}_T^2 - k^+k^-, \quad p_E^2 = \mathbf{p}_T^2 - p^+p^- = -m_\pi^2
$$
, (19)

while the four-momentum integral is:

<span id="page-2-5"></span><span id="page-2-4"></span>
$$
\int d^4k = \frac{1}{2} \int d\mathbf{k}_T \, d\mathbf{k}^+ d\mathbf{k}^- \,. \tag{20}
$$

As discussed in Refs.  $[2, 27]$  $[2, 27]$ , Eq.  $(16)$  refers to the frame where the produced meson has no transverse momentum,  $p_T = 0$ , whereas the fragmenting quark is characterized by non-zero  $k_T$ . In order to interpret this fragmentation function as a distribution of the pion in the quark, the quark has to be boosted to the frame where  $k_{\perp} = 0$ . This can be achieved with a Lorentz transformation and it follows that one may simply substitute,

<span id="page-2-6"></span>
$$
\mathbf{k}_T = -\frac{\mathbf{p}_\perp}{z} \,. \tag{21}
$$

Note that we distinguish between both frames with the subscripts T and  $\bot$ , that is  $k_T \neq 0$  but  $k_{\bot} = 0$ .

<span id="page-2-3"></span><span id="page-2-1"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Alternatively, we can compute moments  $\langle x^m \rangle = \int_0^1 x^m d_q^{\pi}(x) dx$ of the fragmentation function, which implies an integration over the Dirac function  $\delta(k^+ - p^+x)$  in Eq. [\(16\)](#page-2-1) followed by an integration over  $d^4k$  without resorting to light-front variables. With an adequate amount of moments the fragmentation function can then be reconstructed analogously to the case of Light Front Distribution Amplitudes [\[20,](#page-6-15) [22\]](#page-6-17). On the other hand, the reconstruction introduces an uncertainty due to the fitting procedure we here eschew.



<span id="page-3-1"></span>FIG. 1. The elementary  $u \to \pi^+$  fragmentation function  $d_u^{\pi^+}$  derived from the expression in Eq. [\(16\)](#page-2-1) compared with a prediction in the NJL model [\[7\]](#page-6-5). The red-shaded error band stems from the systematic error in fitting the generalized Nakanishi integral representation [\(A7\)](#page-5-2), as discussed in in Ref. [\[20\]](#page-6-15).

Note that the quarks described by a running mass functions [\(2\)](#page-1-5) are confined and therefore there is no solution of the mass-shell equation  $\ell^2 + M^2(\ell^2)$ . On the other hand,  $\ell^2 = M^2(\ell^2)$ , provides a working definition for a Euclidean-quark mass, and therefore a realistic estimate of the quark's active constituent mass. We here use:  $|\ell| = M_E = 0.41$  GeV [\[17\]](#page-6-12). With this, the second Dirac function in Eq  $(16)$ , using Eqs.  $(18)$ ,  $(19)$ ,  $(21)$  and  $p_T = 0$ , becomes,

$$
\delta\left(\ell^2 + M^2(\ell^2)\right) =
$$
  
 
$$
\delta\left(\mathbf{p}_{\perp}^2/z^2 - k^+k^- + k^+p^- + k^-p^+ - m_{\pi}^2 + M_E^2\right). (22)
$$

The first Dirac function in Eq [\(16\)](#page-2-1) leads to  $k^+ = p^+/z$ after integration over  $k^+$  which modifies the second Dirac function to,

$$
\frac{z\delta\left(k^{-}-\frac{1}{(1-z)p^{+}}\left[\frac{\boldsymbol{p}_{\perp}^{2}}{z}+(1-z)m_{\pi}^{2}+zM_{E}^{2}\right]\right)}{p^{+}(z-1)}.
$$
 (23)

Integrating over  $k^-, k_E^2$  and  $k_E \cdot p_E$  become:

$$
k_E \cdot p_E = \frac{p_\perp^2 - m_\pi^2 \left(z^2 - 1\right) + z^2 M_E^2}{2z(z - 1)}\,,\qquad(24)
$$

$$
k_E^2 = \frac{\mathbf{p}_{\perp}^2 - m_{\pi}^2(z-1) + zM_E^2}{z(z-1)}.
$$
 (25)

With this, the integral over  $d\mathbf{k}_T = -d\mathbf{p}_{\perp}/z$  can be calculated numerically and normalized according to,

<span id="page-3-0"></span>
$$
\sum_{m} \int_{0}^{1} \hat{d}_{q}^{m}(z) dz = 1, \quad m = \pi^{+}, \pi^{0}, \pi^{-}, \qquad (26)
$$



<span id="page-3-2"></span>FIG. 2.  $E_{\pi}(k, p)$  and  $F_{\pi}(k, p)$  contributions [\(14\)](#page-2-2) to the  $u \to$  $\pi^+$  fragmentation function. The contributions of  $G_{\pi}(k, p)$  and  $H_{\pi}(k, p)$  are not plotted, as they are negligible.

which satisfies isospin and momentum sum rules. We have used the isospin notation  $(\tau_u, \tau_d) = (1, -1)$  and  $(\tau_{\pi^+}, \tau_{\pi^0}, \tau_{\pi^-}) = (1, 0, -1)$  in Eqs. [\(16\)](#page-2-1) and [\(26\)](#page-3-0).

The elementary fragmentation function  $d_q^{\pi}(z)$  is compared to that obtained with a NJL model  $\left[7\right]$  in Fig. [1.](#page-3-1) Both predictions are qualitatively in good agreement, though the fragmentation function obtained in the present work is clearly enhanced up to  $x \approx 0.8$  and slightly softer for larger  $x$ . In order to understand the similarity of both predictions, we plot the contributions of  $E_{\pi}(k, p)$  and  $F_{\pi}(k, p)$  [\(14\)](#page-2-2) to  $d_{u}^{\pi^{+}}$  in Fig. [2.](#page-3-2) Clearly, the fragmentation function is dominated by the leading amplitude, not unlike the single covariant however point-like pion wave function employed in the NJL calculation [\[7\]](#page-6-5).

Since we use a gluon-model interaction in Eq. [\(8\)](#page-1-4), the renormalization scale of the quark propagators  $S_f(k)$  is not that of a given scheme in perturbation theory. Following Ref. [\[7,](#page-6-5) [28\]](#page-6-21), we also compute in the present approach the pion's PDF. We then match the first moment of the valence quark extracted from a  $\pi N$  Drell-Yan analysis,  $2\langle x \rangle_v = 0.47(2)$  [\[29,](#page-6-22) [30\]](#page-6-23), by using next-toleading order DGLAP evolution [\[31\]](#page-6-24) including strangeand charm quark-mass thresholds from the experimental scale,  $Q = 2 \,\text{GeV}$ , to our model scale. For the latter we find  $Q_0 = 0.56 \,\text{GeV}$ .

### B. Quark-jet functions

There is no reason to assume the quark fragments into a single pion described by the amplitude in Eq. [\(16\)](#page-2-1). On the contrary, one must consider the possibility that the fragmenting quark produces a cascade of mesons. In the quark-jet model formulated by Field and Feynman [\[1\]](#page-6-0),



<span id="page-4-3"></span>FIG. 3. The elementary fragmentation functions  $d_u^{\pi^+}$  and  $\hat{d}_u^{\pi^+}$  [normalized as in Eq. [\(26\)](#page-3-0)] and the pion fragmentation function  $D_u^{\pi^+}$  at the scale  $Q_0 = 0.56 \,\text{GeV}$ , where error bands are as in Fig. [1.](#page-3-1)

the meson observed in a semi-inclusive process is one amongst many others which form a jet of hadrons. The probability for finding a pion with light-front momentum fraction  $z$  in the jet is described by the full fragmentation or jet function  $D_q^{\pi}(z)$ , obtained via an iterative resummation of all possible fragmentations.

With the convenient isospin decomposition [\[7\]](#page-6-5),

<span id="page-4-0"></span>
$$
D_q^{\pi}(z) \equiv \frac{1}{3} \left[ D_0^{\pi}(z) + \tau_q \tau_{\pi} D_1^{\pi}(z) \right], \tag{27}
$$

one can distinguish between favored, unfavored and neutral fragmentation functions:

$$
D_u^{\pi^+} = D_d^{\pi^-} = D_{\bar{u}}^{\pi^-} = D_{\bar{d}}^{\pi^+} = \frac{1}{3} \left( D_0^{\pi} + D_1^{\pi} \right), \quad (28)
$$

$$
D_u^{\pi^-} = D_d^{\pi^+} = D_{\bar{u}}^{\pi^+} = D_{\bar{d}}^{\pi^-} = \frac{1}{3} \left( D_0^{\pi} - D_1^{\pi} \right), \qquad (29)
$$

$$
D_u^{\pi^0} = D_d^{\pi^0} = D_{\bar{u}}^{\pi^0} = D_{\bar{d}}^{\pi^0} = \frac{1}{3} D_0^{\pi} . \tag{30}
$$

The unfavored functions denote those fragmentation functions where the hadronizing quark or antiquark is not a valence quark of the pion. The functions  $D_0^{\pi}(z)$ and  $D_1^{\pi}(z)$  of Eq. [\(27\)](#page-4-0) are obtained by solving the set of Volterra integral equations [\[7\]](#page-6-5),

$$
D_0^{\pi}(z) = \hat{d}_q^{\pi}(z) + \int_z^1 \hat{d}_q^{\pi}(1 - z/y) \frac{D_0^{\pi}(y)}{y} dy, \qquad (31)
$$

$$
D_1^{\pi}(z) = \hat{d}_q^{\pi}(z) - \frac{1}{3} \int_z^1 \hat{d}_q^{\pi}(1 - z/y) \frac{D_1^{\pi}(y)}{y} \, dy \,, \tag{32}
$$

which are normalized as  $\int_0^1 z D_0^{\pi}(z) dz = 1$  and  $\int_0^1 D_1^{\pi}(z) dz = 3/4$ . In the limit  $z \to 1$  the full fragmentation function reduces to the elementary one,

$$
D_u^{\pi}(z) \xrightarrow{z \to 1} \hat{d}_q^{\pi}(z) , \qquad (33)
$$



<span id="page-4-6"></span>FIG. 4. The  $D_q^{\pi^+}$  fragmentation functions evolved from the model scale  $Q_0$  to  $2 \,\text{GeV}$  and the evolution-generated gluon,  $d, s$  and  $c$  quark fragmentation functions. In the latter, the momentum fractions are of  $d\bar{d}$ ,  $s\bar{s}$  and  $c\bar{c}$  pairs generated by the initial  $u$ -quark in the DGLAP evolution  $[32]$ .

since in this case the quark gives all its momentum to the initial pion, leaving no momentum left for a jet. The solution of Eqs.  $(31)$  $(31)$  $(31)$  and  $(32)$  is plotted in Fig. 3 for the pion fragmentation function  $D_u^{\pi^+}$  along with the elementary fragmentation function. We observe that  $D_u^{\pi^+}$  is overall enhanced and greater than elementary fragmentation function in the range  $z \lesssim 0.7$ .

The moments of the fragmentation functions are defined as,

$$
\langle z \rangle_{D_q^{\pi}}^{\mu} = \int z D_q^{\pi}(z, \mu) dz \tag{34}
$$

and at the model scale  $\mu = Q_0 = 0.56$  GeV we find:

$$
\langle z \rangle_{D_0^{\pi}}^{Q_0} = 0.91 \,, \quad \langle z \rangle_{D_1^{\pi}}^{Q_0} = 0.51 \,, \quad \langle z \rangle_{D_u^{\pi}}^{Q_0} = 0.47 \,. \tag{35}
$$

After leading order DGLAP evolution of the fragmen-tation functions [\[32\]](#page-6-25) including  $N_f = 4$  thresholds, their moments at a scale  $\mu = 2 \text{ GeV}$  are:

<span id="page-4-5"></span><span id="page-4-4"></span>
$$
\langle z \rangle^{\mu}_{D^{\pi}_{u}} = 0.31 \,, \quad \langle z \rangle^{\mu}_{D^{\pi}_{u,d\bar{d}}}=0.009 \,, \tag{36a}
$$

$$
\langle z \rangle_{D_{u,s\bar{s}}^{\pi}}^{\mu} = 0.009 \,, \quad \langle z \rangle_{D_{u,c\bar{c}}^{\pi}}^{\mu} = 0.003 \,. \tag{36b}
$$

<span id="page-4-2"></span><span id="page-4-1"></span>The moments in Eqs. [\(36a\)](#page-4-4) and [\(36b\)](#page-4-5) describe the momentum fractions of the  $d\vec{d}$ ,  $s\bar{s}$  and  $c\bar{c}$  pairs generated in the evolution equations from the original  $u$ -quark. The corresponding pion fragmentation functions evolved to the scale  $\mu = 2$  GeV are drawn as functions of z in Fig. [4.](#page-4-6) Notably, as seen from comparison of Figs. [3](#page-4-3) and [4,](#page-4-6) the fragmentation function  $D_u^{\pi^+}$  is strongly enhanced at small  $z$ , while the hump structure persists even though the original maximum is considerably lower. In the evolution we assume that the pion is made of u and  $\overline{d}$  quarks only at the model scale. It has been advocated [\[33\]](#page-6-26) that a singlet jet equation in QCD should involve gluon contributions to the cascade because of gluon and  $q\bar{q}$  mixing, which implies that  $D_g^{\pi}(z, Q_0) \neq 0$  and contributes to momentum conservation. Doing so would obviously lead to an enhancement of  $D_g^{\pi^+}(z,\mu)$  in Fig. [4](#page-4-6) if we assumed some model for that fragmentation function at our model scale  $Q_0$ .

### <span id="page-5-0"></span>IV. CONCLUSIVE REMARKS

We present the first calculation of the elementary  $q \rightarrow \pi$  fragmentation function in the DSE-BSE framework employing a cut-diagram representation of the fragmentation process and a convenient algebraic representations of the pion's BSA and quark propagators. The latter allows us to analytically integrate over the lightfront variables  $k^+$  and  $k^-$  while the transverse momentum can be integrated numerically. The fragmentation function we obtain is in qualitative agreement with an earlier calculation in the NJL model, but is about 10– 12% enhanced for momentum fractions  $z \lesssim 0.8$ .

This elementary fragmentation function feeds into the kernel of a quark jet fragmentation equation, which is solved to obtain the full pion fragmentation function. The resulting functions  $D_u^{\pi^+}$  and  $D_u^{\pi^-}$ , amongst others, describe the probability that a u quark escaping the collision region produces a positively or negatively charged pion, respectively, thereby transferring a fraction of its light-front momentum z.

The present approach is readily applicable to light and heavy pseudoscalar and vector meson fragmentation functions and calculations are underway. As a light quark can fragment in a cascade of mesons (and baryons) other than pions, this leads to a set of coupled quark jet fragmentation functions that must be solved selfconsistently [\[41\]](#page-7-0). Future improvements must also consider gluon contributions to the isospin-singlet fragmentation function  $D_0^{\pi}$  at the initial hadronic scale, as one expects gluon and  $q\bar{q}$  mixing. The fragmentation of a gluon poses a more daunting challenge, as the topology of their hadronization involves higher-order diagrams and a robust ansatz for the quark-gluon vertex. Nevertheless, their calculation will reveal unknown aspects of hadronization and shed light on the underlying confinement mechanism.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are indebted to Ian Cloët for very helpful discussions. B. E. and R. C. S are members of the Brazilian network project *INCT-Física Nuclear e Aplicações*, grant no. 464898/2014-5. B. E. is supported by the São Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP), grant no. 2023/00195-8 and by the National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq), grant no. 409032/2023-9.

## <span id="page-5-1"></span>Appendix A: Algebraic representation of propagators and Bethe-Salpeter amplitudes

Since we work with light-front variables, we need a suitable algebraic representations for the propagators and bound-state amplitudes that enter the fragmentation function  $(16)$ . A sum of complex conjugate mass poles [\[34,](#page-6-27) [35\]](#page-6-28) provides an adequate reproduction of the numerical solution of the scalar and vector dressing functions of the quark propagator  $S(k) = -i\sigma_V(k^2)\gamma \cdot k +$  $\sigma_S(k^2)$ :

$$
\sigma_S(k^2) = \sum_{n=1}^2 \left[ \frac{z_n m_n}{k^2 + m_n^2} + \frac{z_n^* m_n^*}{k^2 + m_n^{*2}} \right],\tag{A1}
$$

$$
\sigma_V(k^2) = \sum_{n=1}^2 \left[ \frac{z_n}{k^2 + m_n^2} + \frac{z_n^*}{k^2 + m_n^{*2}} \right],\tag{A2}
$$

$$
(A3)
$$

where  $m_n$  are complex-valued light-quark mass scales and  $z_n$  are complex coefficients which are fitted to the DSE solutions for  $\sigma_S$  and  $\sigma_V$ . Their values are found in Table 2 of Ref. [\[20\]](#page-6-15).

The Lorentz-invariant amplitudes of the BSA [\(14\)](#page-2-2) are expanded in terms of Chebyshev moments,  $\mathcal{F}_{im}(k, P)$ ,

$$
\mathcal{F}_i(k, P, z_k) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_{im}(k, P) U_m(z_k) , \qquad (A4)
$$

which results in a faster convergence in solving the BSE. We consider  $m = 3$  Chebyshev polynomials  $U_m(z_k)$  of the second kind, where  $z_k = k \cdot P/|k||P|$  is an angle between  $k$  and  $P$ . The eigenvalue problem for the vector  $\mathcal{F} := \{\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \mathcal{F}_3, \mathcal{F}_4\} = \{E_\pi, F_\pi, G_\pi, H_\pi\}$  that describes the pseudoscalar meson is solved by means of Arnoldi factorization implemented with the ARPACK library [\[36\]](#page-6-29). For details we refer, for instance, to Refs. [\[37,](#page-6-30) [38\]](#page-6-31).

One can separate the BSA in even and odd components,

$$
\mathcal{F}_i(k, P) = \mathcal{F}_i^0(k, P) + k \cdot P \mathcal{F}_i^1(k, P), \qquad (A5)
$$

where  $\mathcal{F}^{0,1}_i(k, P)$  are even under  $k \cdot P \rightarrow -k \cdot P$  and  $\mathcal{F}^1_i(k, P) \equiv 0$  for flavorless pseudoscalar mesons, as they are eigenstates of the charge-conjugation operator defined as,

$$
\Gamma_M(k, P) \stackrel{C}{\longrightarrow} \bar{\Gamma}_M(k, P) := C \Gamma_M^T(-k, P) C^T . \quad (A6)
$$

In here, we assume  $SU(2)$  isospin symmetry with  $m_u =$  $m_d$ . The constraint that the covariant basis [\(14\)](#page-2-2) must satisfy  $\Gamma_M(k, P) = \lambda_c \Gamma_M(k, P)$  with  $\lambda_c = +1$  for pseudoscalar mesons is the reason that  $\mathcal{F}^0_i(k, P)$  is even.

The four scalar amplitudes  $\mathcal{F}_i(k, P) = \mathcal{F}_i^0(k, P)$  are parametrized with a generalized Nakanishi integral representation [\[39,](#page-6-32) [40\]](#page-6-33),

<span id="page-5-2"></span>
$$
\mathcal{F}_i(k, P) = \sum_{j=1}^N \int_{-1}^1 d\alpha \, \rho_j(\alpha) \, \frac{U_j \Lambda^{2n_j}}{\Delta^{n_j}(k, \alpha, \Lambda)}, \quad (A7)
$$

where  $\Delta = k^2 + \alpha k \cdot P + \Lambda^2$ ,  $N = 3$  and the spectral density  $\rho_i(\alpha)$  is given by,

$$
\rho_j(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2} \Big( C_0^{1/2}(\alpha) + \sigma_j C_2^{1/2}(\alpha) \Big) . \tag{A8}
$$

 $C_0^{1/2}(\alpha)$  and  $C_2^{1/2}(\alpha)$  are Gegenbauer polynomials of order

- <span id="page-6-0"></span>[1] R. D. Field and R. P. Feynman, Phys. Rev. D 15, 2590- 2616 (1977) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.15.2590
- <span id="page-6-1"></span>[2] V. Barone, A. Drago and P. G. Ratcliffe, Phys. Rept. 359 (2002), 1-168 doi:10.1016/S0370-1573(01)00051-5
- <span id="page-6-2"></span>[3] H. H. Matevosyan, W. Bentz, I. C. Cloët and A. W. Thomas, Phys. Rev. D 85, 014021 (2012) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.85.014021
- <span id="page-6-3"></span>[4] A. Metz and A. Vossen, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 91 (2016), 136-202 doi:10.1016/j.ppnp.2016.08.003
- <span id="page-6-4"></span>[5] R. L. Jaffe, Nucl. Phys. B 229 (1983), 205-230 doi:10.1016/0550-3213(83)90361-9
- [6] W. Bentz, T. Hama, T. Matsuki and K. Yazaki, Nucl. Phys. A 651 (1999), 143-173 doi:10.1016/S0375- 9474(99)00130-X
- <span id="page-6-5"></span> $[7]$  T. Ito, W. Bentz, I. C. Cloët, A. W. Thomas and K. Yazaki, Phys. Rev. D 80, 074008 (2009) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.80.074008
- <span id="page-6-6"></span>[8] S. D. Drell, D. J. Levy and T. M. Yan, Phys. Rev. 187, 2159-2171 (1969) doi:10.1103/PhysRev.187.2159
- [9] S. D. Drell, D. J. Levy and T. M. Yan, Phys. Rev. D 1, 1035-1068 (1970) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.1.1035
- <span id="page-6-7"></span>[10] S. D. Drell, D. J. Levy and T. M. Yan, Phys. Rev. D 1, 1617-1639 (1970) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.1.1617
- <span id="page-6-8"></span>[11] A. Bashir, L. Chang, I. C. Cloët, B. El-Bennich, Y. X. Liu, C. D. Roberts and P. C. Tandy, Commun. Theor. Phys. 58, 79-134 (2012) doi:10.1088/0253- 6102/58/1/16
- <span id="page-6-9"></span>[12] I. C. Cloët and C. D. Roberts, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 77, 1-69 (2014) doi:10.1016/j.ppnp.2014.02.001
- <span id="page-6-10"></span>[13] L. Albino, A. Bashir, L. X. G. Guerrero, B. E. Bennich and E. Rojas, Phys. Rev. D 100, no.5, 054028 (2019) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.100.054028
- [14] L. Albino, A. Bashir, B. El-Bennich, E. Rojas, F. E. Serna and R. C. da Silveira, JHEP 11, 196 (2021) doi:10.1007/JHEP11(2021)196
- [15] B. El-Bennich, F. E. Serna, R. C. da Silveira, L. A. F. Rangel, A. Bashir and E. Rojas, Rev. Mex. Fis. Suppl. 3, no.3, 0308092 (2022) doi:10.31349/SuplRevMexFis.3.0308092
- <span id="page-6-11"></span>[16] J. R. Lessa, F. E. Serna, B. El-Bennich, A. Bashir and O. Oliveira, Phys. Rev. D 107, no.7, 074017 (2023) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.107.074017
- <span id="page-6-12"></span>[17] F. E. Serna, C. Chen and B. El-Bennich, Phys. Rev. D **99**, no.9, 094027 (2019) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.99.094027
- <span id="page-6-13"></span>[18] J. C. R. Bloch, Phys. Rev. D 66, 034032 (2002) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.66.034032
- <span id="page-6-14"></span>[19] S. x. Qin, L. Chang, Y. x. Liu, C. D. Roberts and D. J. Wilson, Phys. Rev. C 84, 042202 (2011) doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.84.042202
- <span id="page-6-15"></span>[20] F. E. Serna, R. C. da Silveira, J. J. Cobos-Martínez, B. El-Bennich and E. Rojas, Eur. Phys. J. C 80, no.10,

1/2. The parameters  $U_j$ ,  $Λ$ ,  $n_j$  and  $σ_j$  for the pion are listed in Table IV of Ref. [\[24\]](#page-6-34). The amplitudes  $\mathcal{F}_i(k, P)$ are fitted to the sum of the 0th and 2nd Chebyshev moments.

955 (2020) doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-020-08517-3

- <span id="page-6-16"></span>[21] C. H. Llewellyn-Smith, Annals Phys. 53, 521-558 (1969) doi:10.1016/0003-4916(69)90035-9
- <span id="page-6-17"></span>[22] F. E. Serna, R. C. da Silveira and B. El-Bennich, Phys. Rev. D 106, no.9, L091504 (2022) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.106.L091504
- [23] F. E. Serna and B. El-Bennich, PoS CHARM2020, 047 (2021) doi:10.22323/1.385.0047
- <span id="page-6-34"></span>[24] R. C. da Silveira, F. E. Serna and B. El-Bennich, Phys. Rev. D 107, no.3, 034021 (2023) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.107.034021
- <span id="page-6-18"></span>[25] F. E. Serna, B. El-Bennich and G. Krein, Phys. Rev. D 110, no.11, 114033 (2024) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.110.114033 [arXiv:2409.01441 [hep-ph]].
- <span id="page-6-19"></span>[26] G. Eichmann, E. Ferreira and A. Stadler, Phys. Rev. D 105, no.3, 034009 (2022) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.105.034009
- <span id="page-6-20"></span>[27] J. C. Collins and D. E. Soper, Nucl. Phys. B 194, 445-492 (1982)
- <span id="page-6-21"></span>[28] C. Shi and I. C. Cloët, Phys. Rev. Lett. **122**, no.8, 082301 (2019) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.122.082301
- <span id="page-6-22"></span>[29] P. J. Sutton, A. D. Martin, R. G. Roberts and W. J. Stirling, Phys. Rev. D 45, 2349-2359 (1992) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.45.2349
- <span id="page-6-23"></span>[30] M. Gluck, E. Reya and I. Schienbein, Eur. Phys. J. C 10, 313-317 (1999) doi:10.1007/s100529900124
- <span id="page-6-24"></span>[31] M. Botje, Comput. Phys. Commun. 182, 490-532 (2011) doi:10.1016/j.cpc.2010.10.020
- <span id="page-6-25"></span>[32] M. Hirai and S. Kumano, Comput. Phys. Commun. 183 (2012), 1002-1013 doi:10.1016/j.cpc.2011.12.022
- <span id="page-6-26"></span>[33] H. Y. Xing, Z. Q. Yao, B. L. Li, D. Binosi, Z. F. Cui and C. D. Roberts, Eur. Phys. J. C 84 (2024) no.1, 82 doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-024-12403-7
- <span id="page-6-27"></span>[34] M. Bhagwat, M. A. Pichowsky and P. C. Tandy, Phys. Rev. D 67, 054019 (2003) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.67.054019
- <span id="page-6-28"></span>[35] B. El-Bennich, G. Krein, E. Rojas and F. E. Serna, Few Body Syst. 57, no.10, 955-963 (2016) doi:10.1007/s00601-016-1133-x
- <span id="page-6-29"></span>[36] R. B. Lehoucq, D. C. Sorensen, C. Yang, ARPACK Users' Guide: Solution of Large-Scale Eigenvalue Problems with Implicitly Restarted Arnoldi Methods (Society for Industrial & Applied Mathematics, 1998).
- <span id="page-6-30"></span>[37] M. Blank and A. Krassnigg, Comput. Phys. Commun. 182, 1391-1401 (2011) doi:10.1016/j.cpc.2011.03.003
- <span id="page-6-31"></span>[38] E. Rojas, B. El-Bennich and J. P. B. C. de Melo, Phys. Rev. D 90, 074025 (2014) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.90.074025
- <span id="page-6-32"></span>[39] N. Nakanishi, Phys. Rev. 138 (1965), B1182-B1192 doi:10.1103/PhysRev.138.B1182
- <span id="page-6-33"></span>[40] N. Nakanishi, Phys. Rev. 139 (1965), B1401-B1406

<span id="page-7-0"></span>

A. W. Thomas, Phys. Rev. D 85 (2012), 114049 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.85.114049