

Moments to All Orders from Lattice QCD

Zhuoyi Pang,^{1,2} Jian-Hui Zhang,^{1,*} and Dian-Jun Zhao^{1,†}

¹*School of Science and Engineering, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shenzhen 518172, China*

²*University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui, 230026, P.R.China*

We show that the traditional moments approach in lattice QCD, based on operator product expansion (OPE), can be realized in a way that avoids power divergent mixings, and thus allows to extract moments order by order, to all orders in principle. We propose to use momentum instead of distance as a leverage to extract moments at a given order. Moreover, by utilizing symmetry of the lattice matrix elements, we can determine the even and odd moments separately. As a demonstrative example, we determine the first three moments beyond the tensor charge g_T of the isovector quark transversity distribution in the nucleon.

Introduction: In high-energy scattering, the structure of hadrons is characterized by quantities such as parton distribution functions (PDFs), which describe the momentum distributions of quark and gluon partons inside a hadron. While these functions are essential for interpreting experimental data in hadron-hadron or lepton-hadron collisions, calculating them from first principles has been challenging due to their intrinsic nonperturbative nature and the fact that their definition involves lightcone correlations.

Phenomenologically, PDFs are usually determined through global fits to a wide range of experimental data from high-energy collisions. As different fitting groups may choose different data sets and PDF parametrizations, such global fits can lead to ambiguities in the extracted PDFs, especially in certain kinematic regions where experimental data are sparse. On the other hand, lattice QCD offers a reliable first-principles approach that can provide important complementary information about PDFs. Traditionally, PDFs are accessed indirectly on lattice through the operator product expansion (OPE), where lightcone correlators are expanded in terms of local operator matrix elements that define the Mellin moments of PDFs. While these moments can be computed on the lattice, only the first few orders are typically obtainable due to potential power-divergent operator mixings at higher orders. Nevertheless, considerable efforts have been made to calculate the Mellin moments, and the results have had a valuable impact on phenomenological analyses (see, e.g., Ref. [1] for a recent review). A notable example is the global analysis of the quark transversity PDF in the nucleon [2], which incorporates the lattice result on the isovector tensor charge g_T together with experimental data from semi-inclusive deep-inelastic scattering. The inclusion of the former has significantly reduced the uncertainty bands of the fit.

In addition to calculating moments, recent theoretical developments [3–10] have enabled direct calculations of the Bjorken- x dependence of PDFs from lattice. A commonly used quantity in these approaches is the equal-time Euclidean correlation function, which can be linked to PDFs either through a short distance factorization

in coordinate space [8] or through a large momentum factorization in momentum space [6, 7, 11], where the latter has been formulated as the large-momentum effective theory (LaMET) [7, 11]. The LaMET approach has shown promise in determining the Bjorken- x dependence of PDFs in the moderate x region, while in the small and large x regions the prediction is not reliable because power-suppressed higher-twist contributions become important there and must be taken into account. As a result, it is difficult to obtain moments in a reliable way from the integration of extracted PDFs over the momentum fraction x . In contrast, the short distance factorization approach relies on a global fit to lattice matrix elements, and therefore suffers from similar ambiguities to those encountered in phenomenological fits to experimental data.

In this work, we show that, by leveraging recent theoretical developments, the traditional moments approach based on OPE can be realized in a way that avoids power divergent mixings and thus allows us to extract moments order by order, to all orders in principle. Instead of using the difference in distances in the operators, we utilize the difference in momenta as a tool to extract moments at a given order. Moreover, by utilizing symmetry of the lattice matrix elements, the even and odd moments can be determined separately. This approach significantly reduces the computational cost of higher-order moments on the lattice, making it possible to reconstruct PDFs across the full kinematic range from their Mellin moments in principle.

Traditional moments approach based on OPE: To illustrate the traditional approach for calculating moments on the lattice, We take the unpolarized structure function as an example. The local operators from OPE that measure the $(n-1)$ -th moments $\langle x^{n-1} \rangle$ take the following form

$$O^{\mu_1\mu_2\cdots\mu_n} = \bar{\psi}(0)\gamma^{\{\mu_1}D^{\mu_2}\cdots D^{\mu_n\}}\psi(0), \quad (1)$$

where the bracket indicates that the enclosed indices are symmetric and traceless. $\psi, \bar{\psi}$ denote the quark fields, and the covariant derivative

$$D_\mu = \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu = \frac{1}{2}(\overrightarrow{D}_\mu - \overleftarrow{D}_\mu) \quad (2)$$

is realized on the lattice as following:

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{D}_\mu\psi(x) &= \frac{1}{2a} [U_\mu(x)\psi(x+a\hat{\mu}) - U_\mu^\dagger(x-a\hat{\mu})\psi(x-a\hat{\mu})], \\ \bar{\psi}(x)\overleftarrow{D}_\mu &= \frac{1}{2a} [\bar{\psi}(x+a\hat{\mu})U_\mu^\dagger(x) - \bar{\psi}(x-a\hat{\mu})U_\mu(x-a\hat{\mu})],\end{aligned}\quad (3)$$

where $U_\mu(x)$ is the link variable joining the points x and $x+a\hat{\mu}$ with $\hat{\mu}$ being a unit vector.

While the operators in Eq. (1) belong to irreducible representations of the Lorentz group in the continuum, they are in general reducible on the lattice and become linear combinations of irreducible representations of the hypercubic group, and therefore lead to operator mixings. In particular, for higher dimensional operators, the mixing coefficient can be power divergent, making the calculation of moments beyond the first few orders very challenging [12].

Moments from nonlocal correlators: Now we outline our proposal in which we use momentum rather than distance as a leverage to extract moments at a given order. The discussion below is based on the so-called quasi-light-front (quasi-LF) correlations, which are equal-time nonlocal quark and gluon bilinear operator matrix elements used in LaMET and short distance factorization. However, the same strategy can also be applied to other correlations used, e.g., in current-current correlations [4] and lattice cross sections [9], provided that a similar factorization formula exists. In the following, we will take the isovector unpolarized quark PDF as an example. The discussion can be readily extended to polarized quark PDFs, as well as singlet quark and gluon PDFs, with minor modifications.

We consider the following quasi-LF correlation

$$\tilde{h}(z^2, \lambda = zP^z) = N \langle PS | \bar{\psi}(z) \gamma^t W(z, 0) \psi(0) | PS \rangle, \quad (4)$$

where $\psi, \bar{\psi}$ denote quark fields, $W(z, 0) = \mathcal{P} \exp[-ig \int_0^z du n \cdot A(um)]$ is the gauge link along the z direction with $n^\mu = (0, 0, 0, 1)$, $|PS\rangle$ denotes an external hadron state with momentum $P^\mu = (P^t, 0, 0, P^z)$ and polarization S , and $\lambda = zP^z$ is the so-called quasi-LF distance. $N = 1/(2P^t)$ is a normalization factor. The nonlocal quark bilinear operator defining \tilde{h} has been shown to renormalize multiplicatively [13–15]. Therefore, \tilde{h} can be nonperturbatively renormalized by dividing by the same correlation in a zero-momentum hadron state

$$\tilde{h}_R(z^2, \lambda) = \frac{\tilde{h}(z^2, \lambda)}{\tilde{h}(z^2, \lambda = 0)}. \quad (5)$$

As long as z is within the perturbative region, this is a legitimate renormalization scheme, and has been used in many lattice calculations of PDFs. For recent examples, see, e.g., [18–21]. The renormalized quasi-LF correlation

at small z can then be factorized into the LF correlation defining the leading-twist PDF, denoted as h , as following

$$\tilde{h}_R(z^2, \lambda) = \int_{-1}^1 du C(u, z^2\mu^2) h(u\lambda, \mu) + p.c., \quad (6)$$

where *p.c.* denotes power corrections. Using OPE, the above equation can be turned into an equation for the moments of PDFs [16, 17]

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{h}_R(z^2, \lambda) &= N \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-iz)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} C^{(n-1)}(z^2\mu^2) \\ &\times \langle P | n_{\mu_1}^t n_{\mu_2} \dots n_{\mu_n} O^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n}(\mu) | P \rangle + p.c. \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-izP^z)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} C^{(n-1)}(z^2\mu^2) \langle x^{n-1} \rangle + p.c.,\end{aligned}\quad (7)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\langle x^{n-1} \rangle &= \int_{-1}^1 dx x^{n-1} f(x, \mu), \\ C^{(n-1)}(z^2\mu^2) &= \int_{-1}^1 du u^{n-1} C(u, z^2\mu^2)\end{aligned}\quad (8)$$

are the $(n-1)$ -th moments of the unpolarized quark PDF $f(x, \mu)$ and the perturbative matching kernel $C(u, z^2\mu^2)$, respectively. The power correction *p.c.* in Eq. (7) consists of two parts: target mass corrections and genuine higher-twist contributions. Both have less powers in P^z than the leading term as they arise from the trace terms. While the leading target mass corrections have been calculated to all orders for unpolarized, helicity and transversity quark PDFs in Ref. [22], genuine higher-twist contributions can be kept small by requiring $z^2\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}^2 \ll 1$.

Our proposal is based on the observation of different momentum dependence in moments of different orders, as well as in the leading and subleading power terms in the expansion above. Furthermore, the symmetry of real and imaginary parts of the quasi-LF correlation \tilde{h}_R provides a practical advantage that greatly simplifies the calculation.

To show how to extract the moments order by order, we separate the real and imaginary parts of \tilde{h}_R as

$$\begin{aligned}Re \tilde{h}_R &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-izP^z)^{2k}}{(2k)!} C^{2k}(z^2\mu^2) \langle x^{2k} \rangle + p.c., \\ Im \tilde{h}_R &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-izP^z)^{2k+1}}{(2k+1)!} C^{2k+1}(z^2\mu^2) \langle x^{2k+1} \rangle + p.c..\end{aligned}\quad (9)$$

They are even and odd functions of P^z , respectively. Therefore, we can define a new variable $\zeta = (P^z)^2$, and the even and odd moments are then given by the follow-

ing derivatives with respect to ζ at fixed z

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x^{2k} \rangle &= \frac{(2k)!}{k!(-z^2)^k} \frac{1}{C^{2k}(z^2\mu^2)} \left. \frac{d}{d\zeta^k} \text{Re} \tilde{h}_R \right|_{\zeta=0} + p.c., \\ \langle x^{2k+1} \rangle &= \frac{(2k+1)!}{k!(-z^2)^k} \frac{1}{C^{2k+1}(z^2\mu^2)} \left. \frac{d}{d\zeta^k} \text{Im} \tilde{h}_R \right|_{\zeta=0} + p.c., \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where $p.c.$ denotes $\mathcal{O}(z^2 M^2, z^2 \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}^2)$ corrections that can be kept small by keeping the distance $z \ll 1/\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$. In addition, these power corrections will be further suppressed by extra combinatorial factors at each order, so that they only have a minor impact on the extraction of moments at a given order. One great advantage of using Eq. (10) is that by using symmetry of the renormalized matrix elements and defining the new variable ζ , the even and odd moments can be determined separately, therefore it is much easier to go to higher orders.

Numerical tests: Using the approach above, we extract the first three moments beyond g_T of the isovector quark transversity PDF in the nucleon, based on the lattice data at a single lattice spacing $a = 0.094$ fm with a pion mass 358 MeV and various momenta in Ref. [18]. All moments presented here have been normalized by g_T . The next-to-leading order Wilson coefficients needed in Eq. (9) have also been given in Ref. [18] as

$$\begin{aligned} C_n(z^2\mu^2) &= 1 + \frac{\alpha_s C_F}{\pi} \left[\ln \frac{z^2\mu^2 e^{2\gamma_E+1}}{4} \sum_{k=2}^{n+1} \frac{1}{k} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} \right)^2 - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k^2} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where α_s is the strong coupling constant, γ_E is the Euler constant.

Note that in our approach, the derivatives of lattice matrix elements are taken with respect to the momentum squared ζ . Data at different perturbative distances, z , can be combined together to determine moments at a given order. This is also the strategy we adopt here due to the limited data quality. We have chosen lattice data at $z = 2a, 3a$ for our analysis, as $z = a$ data suffer from discretization effects while $z \geq 4a$ is too large so that the validity of factorization in Eq. (6) becomes questionable. We take the difference between including $z = a$ data or not as part of our systematic uncertainties. Our results are shown in Fig. 1 with

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x^1 \rangle / g_T &= 0.274(10)(24), \\ \langle x^2 \rangle / g_T &= 0.099(25)(20), \\ \langle x^3 \rangle / g_T &= 0.17(14)(01), \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where the renormalization scale has been chosen as $\mu = \sqrt{2}$ GeV, and the numbers in the two parentheses represent statistical and systematic errors, respectively. The




FIG. 1. The first three moments beyond the tensor charge g_T of the isovector quark transversity PDF in the nucleon. All results are normalized by g_T . The renormalization scale is $\mu = \sqrt{2}$ GeV. The blue stars and green inverted triangles points represent the raw data for joint fit lines of $z = 2a$ and $z = 3a$, respectively, while the black line and gray band show the results of $\langle x^n \rangle / g_T$.

sources of systematic errors are mainly composed of two parts: 1) Fit range of z : The lattice data at $z = a$ have large discretization errors. We consider the difference in our results with and without including these data as part of our systematic uncertainties; 2) Perturbative running: We change the renormalization scale to $\mu = 2$ GeV and include the difference in the results as systematic uncertainties. This scale dependence reflects the impact of missing higher-order perturbative corrections. Our final results for $\langle x^1 \rangle$ and $\langle x^2 \rangle$ are slightly larger than, but are still consistent within $1 \sim 2\sigma$ with those extracted in Ref. [18] through global fits. Our $\langle x^3 \rangle$ has relatively large errors due to the limited data points and quality, which can be improved in the future by increasing the statistics and collecting more data points at small momenta. Note that our approach does not require using lattice data at large distances, as done in [18], and our results do not suffer from ambiguities of global fits.

Prospect for lattice calculations: In contrast to the traditional moments approach, our method offers several advantages. First, the potential power divergent mixing between higher- and lower-order moments operators has been avoided. Second, the usual differentiation with respect to distance in the calculation of moments has been replaced by a differentiation with respect to momentum, which is computationally much cheaper. Third, by using symmetry of the lattice matrix elements, the even and odd moments can be computed separately, making it much easier to go to higher orders.

To facilitate the lattice calculation using the method presented here, we need lattices with a large box size L so that the step in momentum $\Delta P = 2\pi/L$ is small and we can reliably take the derivatives and extrapolate to zero momentum, whereas a small lattice spacing is less important. In practical calculations, we can combine matrix elements at different perturbative distances to determine moments at a given order. Our extraction of higher-order moments is also independent of the extraction of lower-order ones.

Universality class of correlators: In the discussion above, we have focused on quasi-LF correlators employed in LaMET and short-distance factorization. However, the methodology we outlined can be applied to other types of nonlocal correlators, such as current-current correlations and lattice cross sections, where a factorization similar to Eq. (6) holds. These nonlocal correlators form a universality class of operators, whose matrix elements can be combined together to compute the same moments effectively.

Conclusion and outlook: To conclude, we have shown that the traditional moments approach based on OPE can be realized in a way that avoids power divergent mixings, and thus allow for moment calculations to be performed order by order, to all orders in principle. A

key aspect of our method is to replace differentiation with respect to distance with the differentiation with respect to momentum, which is computationally much cheaper. Taking the isovector quark transversity PDF of the nucleon as an example, we illustrated how its first three moments beyond g_T can be computed through differentiating renormalized quasi-LF correlations with respect to momentum. Furthermore, we showed how the real and imaginary parts of the correlations can be used to separately extract even and odd moments. We also outlined the main advantages and requirements for the lattice setup in our proposal. It will be intriguing to explore the extent to which we can extend the computation of moments to higher orders using the proposed approach. The results will provide valuable complementary insights into the partonic structure of hadrons along with other approaches.

We are grateful to Jiunn-Wei Chen for valuable discussions at the early stage of this project. We also thank Joe Karpie for sharing their lattice data with us, and Huey-Wen Lin and Yi-Bo Yang for helpful discussions. This work is supported in part by National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grants No. 12375080, 11975051, 12061131006, and by CUHK-Shenzhen under grant No. UDF01002851.

* Corresponding author: zhangjianhui@cuhk.edu.cn

† Corresponding author: zhaodianjun@cuhk.edu.cn

- [1] M. Constantinou, A. Courtoy, M. A. Ebert, M. Engelhardt, T. Giani, T. Hobbs, T. J. Hou, A. Kusina, K. Kutak and J. Liang, *et al.* Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **121**, 103908 (2021) doi:10.1016/j.pnpnp.2021.103908 [arXiv:2006.08636 [hep-ph]].
- [2] H. W. Lin, W. Melnitchouk, A. Prokudin, N. Sato and H. Shows, Phys. Rev. Lett. **120**, no.15, 152502 (2018) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.152502 [arXiv:1710.09858 [hep-ph]].
- [3] K. F. Liu and S. J. Dong, Phys. Rev. Lett. **72**, 1790-1793 (1994) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.72.1790 [arXiv:hep-ph/9306299 [hep-ph]].
- [4] V. Braun and D. Müller, Eur. Phys. J. C **55**, 349 (2008) doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-008-0608-4 [arXiv:0709.1348 [hep-ph]].
- [5] W. Detmold and C. J. D. Lin, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 014501 (2006) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.73.014501 [hep-lat/0507007].
- [6] X. Ji, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 262002 (2013) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.262002 [arXiv:1305.1539 [hep-ph]].
- [7] X. Ji, Sci. China Phys. Mech. Astron. **57**, 1407 (2014) doi:10.1007/s11433-014-5492-3 [arXiv:1404.6680 [hep-ph]].
- [8] A. V. Radyushkin, Phys. Rev. D **96**, no. 3, 034025 (2017) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.96.034025 [arXiv:1705.01488 [hep-ph]].
- [9] Y. Q. Ma and J. W. Qiu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **120**, no.

- 2, 022003 (2018) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.022003 [arXiv:1709.03018 [hep-ph]].
- [10] A. J. Chambers, R. Horsley, Y. Nakamura, H. Perlt, P. E. L. Rakow, G. Schierholz, A. Schiller, K. Somfleth, R. D. Young and J. M. Zanotti, Phys. Rev. Lett. **118**, no.24, 242001 (2017) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.242001 [arXiv:1703.01153 [hep-lat]].
- [11] X. Ji, Y. S. Liu, Y. Liu, J. H. Zhang and Y. Zhao, Rev. Mod. Phys. **93**, no.3, 035005 (2021) doi:10.1103/RevModPhys.93.035005 [arXiv:2004.03543 [hep-ph]].
- [12] S. Capitani, Phys. Rept. **382**, 113-302 (2003) doi:10.1016/S0370-1573(03)00211-4 [arXiv:hep-lat/0211036 [hep-lat]].
- [13] X. Ji, J. H. Zhang and Y. Zhao, Phys. Rev. Lett. **120**, no. 11, 112001 (2018) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.112001 [arXiv:1706.08962 [hep-ph]].
- [14] T. Ishikawa, Y. Q. Ma, J. W. Qiu and S. Yoshida, Phys. Rev. D **96**, no.9, 094019 (2017) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.96.094019 [arXiv:1707.03107 [hep-ph]].
- [15] J. Green, K. Jansen and F. Steffens, Phys. Rev. Lett. **121**, no. 2, 022004 (2018) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.121.022004 [arXiv:1707.07152 [hep-lat]].
- [16] T. Izubuchi, X. Ji, L. Jin, I. W. Stewart and Y. Zhao, Phys. Rev. D **98**, no. 5, 056004 (2018) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.98.056004 [arXiv:1801.03917 [hep-ph]].
- [17] W. Wang, J. H. Zhang, S. Zhao and R. Zhu, Phys. Rev. D **100**, no. 7, 074509 (2019) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.100.074509 [arXiv:1904.00978 [hep-ph]].
- [18] C. Egerer *et al.* [HadStruc], Phys. Rev. D **105**, no.3, 034507 (2022) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.105.034507 [arXiv:2111.01808 [hep-lat]].
- [19] F. Yao *et al.* [Lattice Parton], Phys. Rev. Lett. **131**, no.26, 261901 (2023) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.131.261901 [arXiv:2208.08008 [hep-lat]].
- [20] J. Holligan, X. Ji, H. W. Lin, Y. Su and R. Zhang, Nucl. Phys. B **993**, 116282 (2023) doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2023.116282 [arXiv:2301.10372 [hep-lat]].
- [21] I. Cloet, X. Gao, S. Mukherjee, S. Syritsyn, N. Karthik, P. Petreczky, R. Zhang and Y. Zhao, Phys. Rev. D **110**, no.11, 114502 (2024) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.110.114502 [arXiv:2407.00206 [hep-lat]].
- [22] J. W. Chen, S. D. Cohen, X. Ji, H. W. Lin and J. H. Zhang, Nucl. Phys. B **911**, 246-273 (2016) doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2016.07.033 [arXiv:1603.06664 [hep-ph]].