On the uplift of 4D wormholes in Braneworld models and their 5D structure

Thomas D. Pappas and Theodoros Nakas

- ^a Research Centre for Theoretical Physics and Astrophysics. [Institute of Physics, Silesian University in Opava,](https://www.slu.cz/phys/en/) Bezručovo náměstí 13, CZ-746 01 Opava, Czech Republic
- b [Cosmology, Gravity, and Astroparticle Physics Group,](https://ibs.re.kr)</sup> [Center for Theoretical Physics of the Universe, Institute for Basic Science \(IBS\),](https://ibs.re.kr) Daejeon 34126, Korea

E-mail: thomas.pappas@physics.slu.cz, theodoros.nakas@gmail.com

Abstract: Recent developments in the consistent embedding of general 4D static and spherically-symmetric spacetimes in arbitrary single-brane braneworld models [\[Phys.Rev.D 109 \(2024\) 4, L041501\]](https://journals.aps.org/prd/abstract/10.1103/PhysRevD.109.L041501) initiated the program of studying the bulk structure of braneworld wormholes. In this article, adopting a completely generic approach, we derive the general conditions that the metric functions of any braneworld spacetime must satisfy to describe a wormhole structure in the bulk. Particular emphasis is placed on clarifying the proper uplift of 4D wormholes, expressed in terms of various radial coordinates on the brane, and we demonstrate the important role of the circumferential radius metric function for the embedding. Additionally, the flare-out conditions for braneworld wormholes are presented for the first time and are found to differ from the case of flat extra dimensions. To illustrate the method, we first perform the uplift into the Randall-Sundrum II braneworld model for three well-known 4D wormhole spacetimes; the effective braneworld wormhole solutions of Casadio-Fabbri-Mazzacurati and Bronnikov-Kim, and the Simpson-Visser spacetime. Subsequently, we study their bulk features by means of curvature invariants, flare-out conditions, energy conditions and embedding diagrams. Our analysis reveals that the assumption of a warped extra dimension has non-trivial implications for the structure of 5D wormholes.

Contents

1 Introduction

Wormholes (WHs) are hypothetical, topologically non-trivial, spacetime structures with a "tunnel-like" shape that connect two asymptotic regions of the same or different universes and do not require the existence of extra dimensions for their formulation [\[1\]](#page-21-0). The concept of a wormhole can be traced back to as early as 1916 with the work of Flamm [\[2,](#page-21-1) [3\]](#page-21-2) and later on it re-emerged in the works of Einstein-Rosen [\[4\]](#page-21-3) and Wheeler [\[5\]](#page-21-4) in the 1930s and 1950s respectively. However, these early WHs were non-traversable. The first known wormhole solution in General Relativity (GR) is the Ellis-Bronnikov [\[6,](#page-21-5) [7\]](#page-21-6) spacetime from the 1970s, that is sourced by a phantom (negative kinetic energy) scalar field. Nevertheless, it was not until the seminal works of Morris-Thorne [\[8\]](#page-21-7) and Visser [\[9\]](#page-21-8) on traversable WHs in the late 1980s that a significant resurgence of interest in the study of wormhole spacetimes emerged.

As the work of Morris and Thorne, and subsequent studies have revealed, WHs come with a number of problems such as the requirement of exotic forms of matter to prevent the WH from collapsing [\[8,](#page-21-7) [10\]](#page-21-9) and/or dynamical instabilities [\[11,](#page-21-10) [12\]](#page-21-11). Various attempts have been made in the literature to minimize the amount of the required exotic matter [\[9\]](#page-21-8) or even to avoid it completely in modified theories of gravity [\[13,](#page-21-12) [14\]](#page-21-13), however such solutions are often found to be unstable [\[15\]](#page-21-14). Recently, in the context of Einstein-Maxwell theory it was found that asymmetric WHs can indeed be obtained as solutions to the field equations without the need for exotic forms of matter [\[16](#page-21-15)-18], although a dynamical stability analysis for these solutions is still lacking. Another important theoretical challenge for the existence of WHs concerns their formation mechanism. In contrast to black holes (BHs) the formation of which can be modeled via gravitational collapse of matter [\[19](#page-21-17)[–22\]](#page-21-18), WHs do not yet have a well-established formation mechanism. In fact, due to the topological difference between BHs and WHs, the formation of the later via gravitational collapse, seems to require new Physics, since, within the context of General Relativity, topological changes of space-like sections entail causality violation [\[23\]](#page-22-0). To date, there is no known example of a fully satisfactory traversable Lorentzian WH that has been proven to not require exotic matter for its existence and being dynamically stable.

Despite the aforementioned challenges, wormhole structures cannot be ruled out and attempts to find observational evidence for their existence are ongoing [\[24\]](#page-22-1). Such efforts are further motivated by the recent significant advancements of our observational facilities allowing us to probe the strong gravity regime in the gravitational [\[25\]](#page-22-2) and electromagnetic spectrum [\[26\]](#page-22-3). Even though WHs are drastically different objects from BHs, their appearance need not always deviate significantly from that of a BH [\[27\]](#page-22-4) since there exist many examples

in the literature of WHs acting as BH mimickers [\[28–](#page-22-5)[30\]](#page-22-6) and as such they can evade immediate observational detection.

Even though much of the work on WHs has been performed in the familiar 4D spacetime, their study in the broader context of higher-dimensional settings has gained significant attention in recent years. Extra dimensions are motivated by a number of compelling reasons such as their crucial role in String Theory and in other approaches to quantum gravity, and their potential to resolving long-standing problems in particle Physics and Cosmology [\[31](#page-22-7)[–38\]](#page-22-8). In such theories, the extra dimensions are compactified or otherwise hidden from direct detection and thus our Universe appears to be effectively four-dimensional. In scenarios where the extra dimensions are flat, wormhole spacetimes provide simple, yet illuminating examples of how the existence of extra space-like dimensions affects the basic properties of these exotic objects such as stability, energy conditions, and traversability [\[39,](#page-22-9) [40\]](#page-22-10). Moreover, these flat extra-dimensional models act as stepping stones for studying more complicated frameworks.

In the *braneworld models* [\[37,](#page-22-11) [38\]](#page-22-8), introduced in the early 2000s as a means to address the hierarchy problem of the huge discrepancy between the electroweak and gravity scale, the extra dimension is assumed to be warped. Such braneworld scenarios seem to have regained popularity in recent years since they were found to be useful for holography as well [\[41](#page-22-12)[–46\]](#page-22-13). This seemingly simple assumption about the nature of the extra dimension however makes the study of higher dimensional objects a highly non-trivial task. Due to the difficulties in constructing consistent 5D objects in the presence of warped extra dimensions, studies of braneworld BHs and WHs have been performed mainly in terms of the effective brane field equations [\[47,](#page-23-0) [48\]](#page-23-1). Such an approach however, remains agnostic to the bulk content and provides no insight into the 5D structure of these objects [\[29,](#page-22-14) [49,](#page-23-2) [50\]](#page-23-3). In recent developments, a general embedding algorithm (GEA) that allows for the uplift on any static and spherically-symmetric brane metric to any single-brane branewrold model characterized by a non-singular and injective warp factor has been introduced in [\[51\]](#page-23-4) generalizing the embedding approach introduced in [\[52\]](#page-23-5) for the Schwarzschild BH metric on the brane and the Randall–Sundrum II (RS-II) braneworld model [\[38\]](#page-22-8). With the GEA it is now possible to perform a study of the complete 5D structure of braneworld WHs.

The article is organized as follows. In Sec. [2,](#page-3-0) we define in the most general terms static and spherically symmetric WHs in spacetimes with an arbitrary number of extra space-like dimensions, and provide general conditions that the metric functions should satisfy in order for the geometry to have a wormhole topology. In Sec. [3,](#page-5-0) after providing an in-depth discussion on the properties of the GEA, we define in general terms wormhole structures in braneworld models. Subsequently, in section [4,](#page-11-0) we consider as examples various well-known 4D wormhole spacetimes, perform their uplift into the bulk for the Randall-Sundrum II braneworld model, and analyze their curvature invariants, flare-out and energy conditions, and their embedding diagram representations. Lastly, in Sec. [5,](#page-19-0) we provide a summary of our findings and discuss future directions of research.

Conventions and notation: We adopt the $(-, +, +, +, +)$ signature for the metric and use natural units. The total differentiation of a function f , with respect to its stated argument, will be denoted by f' . A subscript d in the line of the l the line element $ds^2_{\rm d}$ indicates that it is on a d-dimensional hypersurface in the higher-dimensional spacetime. The coordinate location of the throat will be denoted interchangeably by R_{\bowtie} , or with the subscript th on a radial coordinate. In flat dimensions, R_{\bowtie} coincides with the gauge-invariant physical radius of the throat, while this correspondence no longer holds in braneworld models. Capital Latin letters will be employed to represent indices within the 5D bulk spacetime, while lowercase Greek letters will be used to denote indices related to the 4D brane. The Morris-Thorne (MT) metric frame will be called the metric coordinate system in which the circumferential radius is identified with the metric radial coordinate. On the other hand, non-Morris-Thorne (nMT) metric frame will be called a metric in which the previous condition does not hold. We use tilde over the $g_{00} = -\widetilde{f}$ and $g_{11} = \widetilde{h}^{-1}$ metric functions in the nMT frame, to distinguish them from their MT versions which we denote as f and h^{-1} respectively. Finally, throughout the paper, we use geometrized units, namely $c = G = 1$.

2 Wormholes in D flat dimensions

In terms of an arbitrary radial coordinate $u \in (-\infty, +\infty)$ the most general line element for a static spherically symmetric spacetime in $D = 4 + n$ flat dimensions, with $n \in \mathbb{W}^1$ $n \in \mathbb{W}^1$ being the number of extra dimensions, is given by 2

$$
\mathrm{d}s^2 = -\widetilde{f}(u)\mathrm{d}t^2 + \frac{\mathrm{d}u^2}{\widetilde{h}(u)} + r^2(u)\mathrm{d}\Omega_{\mathrm{D-2}}^2\,,\tag{2.1}
$$

where the line element on the unit (D − 2)-sphere reads as $h(u)$

$$
d\Omega_{D-2}^2 = d\theta_1^2 + \sum_{i=2}^{D-2} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} \sin^2 \theta_j d\theta_i^2,
$$
 (2.2)

with $\theta_i \in [0, \pi]$, $\forall i \in \{1, ..., D-3\}$, and $\varphi \equiv \theta_{D-2} \in [0, 2\pi)$. The induced line element on a spacelike $(t = const.)$ hypersurface of fixed radial coordinate $u = const = u_0$ in [\(2.1\)](#page-3-3) is given by

$$
dl^2 = r^2(u_0)d\Omega_{D-2}^2.
$$
 (2.3)

The total surface area—or the $(D - 2)$ -dimensional volume, to be more specific—enclosed by the line element $\mathrm{d}l^2$ is determined by the following relation

$$
S(u_0) = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \cdots \int_0^{\pi} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{D-3} \sqrt{g_{\theta_i \theta_i}} \, d\theta_i \right) \sqrt{g_{\varphi \varphi}} \, d\varphi \Bigg|_{u=u_0} = \frac{2\pi^{(D-1)/2}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{D-1}{2}\right)} r^{D-2}(u_0) \,. \tag{2.4}
$$

The form of Eq. [\(2.4\)](#page-3-4) reveals that it is the circumferential-radius metric function $r(u) \ge 0$, not u, that has the normal meaning of the radial variable. The radial coordinate r is oftentimes also called Schwarzschild or curvature radial coordinate since it corresponds to the radius of a sphere with radius r [\[53\]](#page-23-6).

We wish to approach the definition of a wormhole metric described by (2.1) in the most general way possible, and to this end we start with the minimal requirement of a "tunnel-like" structure that connects two asymptotic regions of spacetime. The "throat" of the WH, is defined as the narrowest part of that tunnel. Geometrically, such a structure in [\(2.1\)](#page-3-3) is characterized by the existence of a $(D-2)$ -dimensional spacelike hypersurface of constant radial coordinate with a minimal area at the throat [\[54,](#page-23-7) [55\]](#page-23-8). Then, in terms of [\(2.4\)](#page-3-4), the coordinate location of the wormhole throat u_{th} is determined in the most general way, and for any D, as the solution to the minimization conditions [3](#page-3-5)

$$
\left. \frac{\mathrm{d}S(u)}{\mathrm{d}u} \right|_{u=u_{\text{th}}} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \left. \frac{\mathrm{d}r}{\mathrm{d}u} \right|_{u=u_{\text{th}}} = 0, \tag{2.5}
$$

$$
\left. \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 S(u)}{\mathrm{d} u^2} \right|_{u=u_{\text{th}}} > 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \left. \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 r}{\mathrm{d} u^2} \right|_{u=u_{\text{th}}} > 0. \tag{2.6}
$$

Let us also mention here that for static axially-symmetric spacetimes [\[56\]](#page-23-9) (this will be relevant for our analysis in this work), where the circumferential radius is not a pure function of the radial coordinate, the conditions [\(2.5\)](#page-3-6) and (2.6) are equivalent to 4

$$
\left. \frac{\partial r}{\partial u} \right|_{u=u_{\text{th}}} = 0, \tag{2.7}
$$

$$
\left. \frac{\partial^2 r}{\partial u^2} \right|_{u=u_{\text{th}}} > 0. \tag{2.8}
$$

¹The W set is defined as $W = \{0, \mathbb{N}\}$ and will be used throughout this article when referring to non-negative integer numbers. ²Even though only two out of the three metric functions $\tilde{f}(u)$, $\tilde{h}(u)$ and $r(u)$ in [\(2.1\)](#page-3-3) are independent due to the freedom in

reparametrization of the radial coordinate, we here wish to develop the formalism in the most general way possible.

³In general, it is possible to have more than one roots to [\(2.5\)](#page-3-6), in which case, the WH has multiple throats (if $r''(u_0) > 0$) or equators (if $r''(u_0) < 0$), see e.g. [\[14\]](#page-21-13).

 4 This is possible because the integrals w.r.t. the angular coordinates are definite, and thus it is possible to interchange between the integration of one variable and the derivation of the other.

In both cases, the physical radius of the throat, that is a gauge-invariant characteristic of the WH, is given by the circumferential radius metric function evaluated at u_{th} , i.e.,

$$
R_{\bowtie} \equiv r(u_{\rm th})\,. \tag{2.9}
$$

Notice that, even though the throat is located at a constant radial coordinate u_0 , its physical radius R_{\bowtie} , need not be spherical when the geometry is axisymmetric, see e.g. [\[57\]](#page-23-10).

We have thus far established a precise and fully general prescription to determine the coordinate location and radius of the wormhole throat. However, we need to impose further restrictions on the metric functions of [\(2.1\)](#page-3-3) for it to describe a traversable Lorentzian WH. The "tunnel-like" topology connecting two asymptotic regions of spacetime located at $u \to \pm \infty$, in compliance with the minimality of the tunnel at the throat implies that the circumferential radius should be large compared to the minimum on both sides of the throat i.e.

$$
r(u) \gg r(u_{\rm th})\,. \tag{2.10}
$$

Finally, traversability requires the absence of event horizons everywhere in spacetime and this entails that the remaining two metric functions should satisfy

$$
\widetilde{f}(u) > 0, \quad \widetilde{h}(u) > 0.
$$
\n(2.11)

Another very commonly-used coordinate frame for the representation of wormhole spacetimes is the one Morris and Thorne (MT) chose to work with in their seminal work [\[8\]](#page-21-7). They defined wormhole geometries in a coordinate system with the circumferential radius as the radial coordinate, which, when generalized to D dimensions reads as

$$
ds^{2} = -f(r)dt^{2} + \frac{dr^{2}}{h(r)} + r^{2}d\Omega_{D-2}^{2}. \qquad (2.12)
$$

 $\frac{dS}{dr} = \int \frac{dV}{dr} dr$ is sometimes referred to in the wormhole literature as the "MT frame", and for the remainder of this article, we will adopt this terminology; furthermore we will call [\(2.1\)](#page-3-3) the "non-MT frame" (nMT) in order to distinguish the two. Under the assumption of an invertible circumferential radius metric function $r(u)$ in [\(2.1\)](#page-3-3), a straightforward correspondence between the MT and nMT frame representations of a WH can be established by means of a radial coordinate redefinition $u \to r = r(u)$, however this may not always be the case.

Contrary to WHs defined in the nMT frame [\(2.1\)](#page-3-3), where the circumferential radius metric function $r(u) \ge 0$ exhibits a minimum w.r.t. the radial coordinate u , in the MT frame [\(2.12\)](#page-4-0) it does not, since it is identified with the radial coordinate $r \geqslant 0$, and is in this sense a linear function of the radial coordinate. Consequently, it becomes obvious that different conditions to [\(2.5\)](#page-3-6)-[\(2.6\)](#page-3-7) are necessary for the determination of the wormhole throat radius in this case. In the MT frame, a wormhole structure requires the existence of a lower bound in the range of r, i.e. $r \in [R_{\bowtie}, +\infty)$, where $R_{\bowtie} > 0$ is identified with the radius of the wormhole throat. Thus the appropriate condition for determining R_{\bowtie} in the MT comes from the divergence of the g_{rr} metric component which is equivalent to the surface area extremization condition (the analog of [\(2.5\)](#page-3-6) in the nMT frame)

$$
h(R_{\bowtie}) = 0. \tag{2.13}
$$

Furthermore, to ensure that the metric has the topology of a WH, with the throat corresponding to the minimum, one has to also consider the so-called flare-out conditions evaluated at or near the location of the throat. For the metric [\(2.12\)](#page-4-0), and for any number of *flat* dimensions these correspond to (analog of [\(2.6\)](#page-3-7) in the nMT frame)

$$
\frac{h'(r)}{2(h(r)-1)^2} > 0.
$$
\n(2.14)

The above relation can be easily obtained by following the same analysis presented in e.g. [\[58\]](#page-23-11). Finally, traversability once again requires $f(r) > 0$ everywhere (analog of [\(2.11\)](#page-4-1) in the nMT frame).

The main objective in this work, is to obtain the corresponding general conditions on the metric functions of 5D WHs, in single-brane braneworld models, where the extra dimension is warped with an injective and non-singular warp factor.

3 General Embedding Algorithm for 5D braneworld wormholes

In this section, we provide an in-depth overview of the GEA as introduced in terms of the curvature radial coordinate r in [\[51\]](#page-23-4) and highlight some of its important brane-localization properties. Subsequently, in line with the analysis of Sec. [2,](#page-3-0) we discuss in detail the general conditions that the metric functions of the GEA metric must satisfy in order for it to describe a wormhole structure in braneworld models.

The general line element for a flat 3-brane embedded in a warped 5D spacetime with warp function $A(y)$ is given by

$$
ds^{2} = e^{2A(y)} \eta_{\mu\nu} dx^{\mu} dx^{\nu} + dy^{2} = e^{2A(y)} \left(-dt^{2} + dr^{2} + r^{2} d\Omega_{2}^{2} \right) + dy^{2}.
$$
 (3.1)

In the above relation, $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ is the four-dimensional Minkowski metric, and $y \in (-\infty, +\infty)$ is the coordinate parametrizing the extra dimension, with the brane located at $y = 0$, where $A(0) = 0$, identified with our four-dimensional Universe. The domains for the remaining coordinates are $t \in (-\infty, +\infty)$, $r \in [0, +\infty)$, $\vartheta \in [0, \pi]$ and $\varphi \in [0, 2\pi)$. Regarding the spatial symmetries of the five-dimensional spacetime [\(3.1\)](#page-5-1), it is axisymmetric w.r.t. y, while, the induced four-dimensional metric on any $y_0 = const$. slice is spherically symmetric w.r.t. r.

A method to generalize [\(3.1\)](#page-5-1) for a curved brane, proved to be a very challenging endeavor that required over two decades for its proper formulation [\[51,](#page-23-4) [52,](#page-23-5) [59\]](#page-23-12). According to the intuitive approach followed by Chamblin, Hawking and Reall (CHR) [\[60\]](#page-23-13), the four-dimensional Minkowski metric in [\(3.1\)](#page-5-1) is replaced by a curved four-dimensional metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ leading to the 5D spacetime

$$
ds^{2} = e^{2A(y)}g_{\mu\nu}dx^{\mu}dx^{\nu} + dy^{2}.
$$
 (3.2)

Such an approach is however problematic since, despite inducing the four-dimensional metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ on the brane, the five-dimensional spacetime [\(3.2\)](#page-5-2) is plagued with the undesirable feature of propagating features of the seed brane metric all the way to the AdS₅ boundary. For example, when $g_{\mu\nu}$ is that of a four-dimensional BH solution containing a curvature singularity at its center, the bulk geometry according to [\(3.2\)](#page-5-2), corresponds to a black-string spacetime [\[61–](#page-23-14)[65\]](#page-23-15). More recently, it has been demonstrated that even in cases where $g_{\mu\nu}$ is free of curvature singularities, such as for the de Sitter metric [\[51\]](#page-23-4), or even for wormhole spacetimes [\[66\]](#page-23-16), the CHR bulk metric [\(3.2\)](#page-5-2) may yield curvature invariants that diverge at the boundary of AdS_5 .

According however, to the recently proposed GEA [\[51\]](#page-23-4) that generalized the prescription introduced in [\[52\]](#page-23-5), all of the aforementioned drawbacks of the CHR method are resolved. The GEA-generated $5D$ geometries yield curvature invariants that are localized near the brane while reducing to $AdS₅$ asymptotically into the bulk (see [\[51,](#page-23-4) [52,](#page-23-5) [59,](#page-23-12) [67,](#page-23-17) [68\]](#page-23-18)). The reason for this, is the drastically different approach of the two methods in uplifting the brane metric into the bulk. An inspection of [\(3.2\)](#page-5-2) reveals that the CHR bulk metric is constructed from the "seed" brane metric by generating exact copies of $g_{\mu\nu}$ at any given bulk "slice" with $y =$ const., and then rescaling each one according to the value of the warp factor there $e^{2A(y)}$. Consequently, any brane curvature singularities, as well as any event horizons that are present in the seed metric, also extend indefinitely into the bulk, see left panel in Fig. [1.](#page-6-0) On the other hand, the main idea behind the GEA is to perform the uplift of $g_{\mu\nu}$ in the bulk by generating copies of the metric in terms of a rotation about the origin of the brane coordinates and then warping the bulk spacetime, as is illustrated in the right panel of Fig. [1.](#page-6-0) This ensures that all features of $g_{\mu\nu}$ remain localized near the brane and do not "leak" into the bulk.

The starting point for the GEA, as with the CHR method, is the axisymmetric five-dimensional line element [\(3.1\)](#page-5-1). Unlike the CHR method however, in GEA, eq. [\(3.1\)](#page-5-1) gets properly transformed prior to introducing any curvature on the brane. Upon rescaling y according to

$$
dy = e^{A(y)} dz \rightarrow z(y) = \int_0^y e^{-A(\bar{y})} d\bar{y}, \qquad (3.3)
$$

with the new coordinate having the domain $z \in (-\infty, +\infty)$ and with the brane located at $z = 0$, the line element is brought to the form

$$
ds^{2} = e^{2A(y(z))} \left(-dt^{2} + dr^{2} + dz^{2} + r^{2} d\Omega_{2}^{2} \right).
$$
 (3.4)

Figure 1: The 5D bulk extension of the Schwarzschild BH in the RS-II model using the CHR method (left panel) and the GEA (right panel). The light-blue planes correspond to identical copies of the seed brane that continuously extend the geometry into the bulk prior to warping. The dashed blue curves correspond to the locations of the event horizon in each of the replica branes, while the red dots correspond to the locations of the curvature singularities. The green surfaces correspond to the 5D event horizon of the final warped bulk geometry. The CHR method yields a black string with the curvature singularity and the event horizon extending indefinitely into the bulk, while the GEA generates a BH with a finite-area event horizon and a curvature singularity that is localized strictly on the brane.

Subsequently, the algorithm calls for introducing 5D spherical coordinates $\{\rho, \chi\}$ [\[52\]](#page-23-5), by means of the invertible coordinate transformation

$$
\{r, z\} \to \{\rho \sin \chi, \rho \cos \chi\},\tag{3.5}
$$

$$
\{\rho, \chi\} \to \{\sqrt{r^2 + z^2}, \tan^{-1}(r/z)\},\tag{3.6}
$$

with domains $\rho \in [0, +\infty)$ and $\chi \in [0, \pi]$. In this coordinate frame, the brane is located at $\chi = \pi/2$. Thus, we may rewrite the line element (3.4) as

$$
\mathrm{d}s^2 = e^{2A(y(\rho\cos\chi))}\left(-\mathrm{d}t^2 + \mathrm{d}\rho^2 + \rho^2\mathrm{d}\Omega_3^2\right). \tag{3.7}
$$

The final step in the GEA consists of introducing curvature to the unwarped flat metric g in [\(3.7\)](#page-6-1), by promoting the g_{00} and g_{11} metric components to arbitrary functions of the bulk radial coordinate, leading to the five-dimensional geometry

$$
\mathrm{d}s^2 = e^{2A(y(\rho\cos\chi))}\left(-f(\rho)\mathrm{d}t^2 + \frac{\mathrm{d}\rho^2}{h(\rho)} + \rho^2\,\mathrm{d}\Omega_3^2\right). \tag{3.8}
$$

A very useful representation of the GEA metric [\(3.8\)](#page-6-2) for the study of the five-dimensional structure of spacetime is obtained by following the inverse coordinate transformations [\(3.6\)](#page-6-3) resulting to

$$
ds^{2} = e^{2A(y(z))} \left[-f(\rho) dt^{2} + \left(\frac{r^{2}}{h(\rho)} + z^{2} \right) \frac{dr^{2}}{\rho^{2}} + \left(\frac{z^{2}}{h(\rho)} + r^{2} \right) \frac{dz^{2}}{\rho^{2}} + \left(\frac{1 - h(\rho)}{h(\rho)} \right) \frac{2rz \, dr dz}{\rho^{2}} + r^{2} d\Omega_{2}^{2} \right].
$$
 (3.9)

Taking one step further, via [\(3.5\)](#page-6-3) we also have the representation in terms of $\{r, y\}$

$$
ds^{2} = e^{2A(y)} \left[-f(\rho) dt^{2} + \left(\frac{r^{2}}{h(\rho)} + z^{2} \right) \frac{dr^{2}}{\rho^{2}} + r^{2} d\Omega_{2}^{2} + \frac{2rz e^{-A(y)} (1 - h(\rho))}{h(\rho) \rho^{2}} dr dy \right] + \left(\frac{z^{2}}{h(\rho)} + r^{2} \right) \frac{dy^{2}}{\rho^{2}},
$$
 (3.10)

where it is understood that $\rho = \rho(r, y) = \sqrt{r^2 + z^2(y)}$, and the functional form of $z = z(y)$ is specified for any desired braneworld model characterized by a warp function $A(y)$ in terms of Eq. [\(3.3\)](#page-5-4). By inspection of [\(3.10\)](#page-6-4), it is immediately evident that the axisymmetry w.r.t. y and spherical symmetry w.r.t. r for any $y_0 =$ const. slice in the bulk are (as of course they should) preserved by the GEA metric [\(3.8\)](#page-6-2).

With the above presented GEA, any static spherically-symmetric 4D brane geometry written in terms of the curvature radial coordinate with a line element given by

$$
ds_4^2 = -f(r)dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{h(r)} + r^2 d\Omega_2^2,
$$
\n(3.11)

can be immediately embedded in any 5D single-brane braneworld model characterized by a warp function $A(y)$, simply by substituting the functional form of $f(r)$ and $h(r)$ for the desired induced brane metric [\(3.11\)](#page-7-0) into any of the Eqs. [\(3.8\)](#page-6-2)-[\(3.10\)](#page-6-4) after replacing $r \to \rho$. For example, the Schwarzschild black hole (BH) of mass parameter M in [\(3.11\)](#page-7-0) is described by

$$
f(r) = h^{-1}(r) = 1 - \frac{2M}{r} \,. \tag{3.12}
$$

Taking $r \to \rho = \sqrt{ }$ warp factor, is given in terms of $\{t, \rho, \chi, \vartheta, \varphi\}$ by [\(3.8\)](#page-6-2) with $2 + z^2$ according to [\(3.6\)](#page-6-3), the 5D braneworld extension of the Schwarzschild geometry for any

$$
f(\rho) = h^{-1}(\rho) = 1 - \frac{2M}{\rho},
$$
\n(3.13)

or equivalently in terms of $\{t, r, \vartheta, \varphi, \psi\}$ by [\(3.10\)](#page-6-4) with

$$
f(\rho(r, y)) = h^{-1}(\rho(r, y)) = 1 - \frac{2M}{\sqrt{r^2 + z^2(y)}}.
$$
\n(3.14)

A very important general conclusion that can be drawn from the form of the GEA metric [\(3.8\)](#page-6-2) is that, since for any asymptotically flat brane metric (3.11) the metric functions satisfy

$$
\lim_{r \to \infty} f(r) = 1, \quad \lim_{r \to \infty} h(r) = 1,
$$
\n(3.15)

the corresponding GEA metric functions will also satisfy

$$
\lim_{\rho \to \infty} f(\rho) = 1, \quad \lim_{\rho \to \infty} h(\rho) = 1,
$$
\n(3.16)

and as such, the GEA line element (3.8) reduces to AdS₅ asymptotically into the bulk with a curvature radius that is determined by the warp factor. Even in the case of asymptotically (A)dS metrics on the brane, it has been demonstrated that the AdS₅ asymptotics of the GEA are guaranteed. In this case, the *effective* asymptotic AdS₅ curvature radius is determined in terms of both the warp factor of the braneworld model under consideration, and the effective brane cosmological constant. Of course, in such cases, consistency relations must be imposed between the aforementioned parameters so that the effective bulk cosmological constant is negative [\[51\]](#page-23-4). Finally, a direct byproduct of this observation is that the curvature invariants for any GEA metric will deviate from those of pure $AdS₅$ only near the brane. It is in this sense, that the bulk extensions provided by the GEA are guaranteed by construction to be brane-localized.

Let us now derive in direct analogy to the completely general analysis of Sec. [2,](#page-3-0) the conditions on the functions of the GEA metric [\(3.8\)](#page-6-2), in order for it to represent a five-dimensional wormhole structure connecting two asymptotic regions of spacetime in single-brane braneworld models with injective (one-to-one) and non-singular warp factors. Since the original formulation of the GEA [\[51\]](#page-23-4) is based on the curvature radial coordinate $r \ge 0$, it can be used to uplift any MT brane geometry (3.11) into the bulk (3.8) including four-dimensional WHs. However, restricting the domain of $r \ge R_{\bowtie}$ in order to describe a wormhole spacetime, cannot be done naively

and a careful consideration of the domains of all the coordinates is necessary for a proper interpretation of the 5D structure of these objects.

For the definition of a wormhole structure in braneworld scenarios (in complete analogy to the general analysis of Sec. [2\)](#page-3-0), we have the requirement for the existence of a minimal-area spacelike ($t =$ const.) hypersurface at a fixed value of the radial coordinate $\rho = \rho_0$. The line element of such a hypersurface in the GEA spacetime [\(3.8\)](#page-6-2) becomes

$$
ds_3^2 = e^{2A(y(\rho_0 \cos \chi))} \rho_0^2 d\Omega_3^2,
$$
\n(3.17)

and thus, we immediately identify the proper circumferential radius in the bulk as

$$
R_{\text{eff}}(\rho_0, \chi) \equiv e^{A(y(\rho_0 \cos \chi))} \rho_0.
$$
 (3.18)

Notice that the χ -dependence in [\(3.18\)](#page-8-0) stems from the warp factor and is a manifestation of the underlying bulk axi-symmetry w.r.t. the extra dimension. In the brane limit $R_{\text{eff}}(\rho_0, \pi/2)$, reduces to the four-dimensional circumferential radius r_0 .

An important observation has to be made as this point. The functional form of the bulk circumferential radius [\(3.18\)](#page-8-0) has a non-trivial dependence on the bulk radial coordinate ρ in contrast to the MT seed brane metric that generated it. Naively then, one may be tempted to determine the coordinate location of the hyperthroat in the bulk by following the nMT minimization conditions [\(2.7\)](#page-3-9) and [\(2.8\)](#page-3-10). Let us demonstrate why this is not the correct approach for MT WHs. First we perform, in analogy with [\(2.4\)](#page-3-4), the spacelike hypersurface integral at constant ρ_0 for [\(3.17\)](#page-8-1) in order to obtain the total surface area

$$
S(\rho_0) = 4\pi \int_0^{\pi} R_{\text{eff}}^3(\rho_0, \chi) \sin^2 \chi \, d\chi.
$$
 (3.19)

The surface-area extremization condition is then given by

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}S(\rho_0)}{\mathrm{d}\rho_0} = 0 \implies \partial_{\rho_0} R_{\text{eff}}(\rho_0, \chi) = 0. \tag{3.20}
$$

In the equation above, we were able to translate the condition involving the total derivative on S for the partial derivative on R_{eff} because the integral over χ in [\(3.19\)](#page-8-2) is definite. Subsequently, by using [\(3.18\)](#page-8-0) in [\(3.20\)](#page-8-3) we have the equivalent condition in terms of the ρ -derivative of the warp function

$$
\partial_{\rho_0} A(y(z(\rho_0, \chi))) = -\frac{1}{\rho_0} \,. \tag{3.21}
$$

With the above condition in mind, let us point out the *general* property of any warp function subject to [\(3.21\)](#page-8-4) (not necessarily at constant ρ_0)

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}A}{\mathrm{d}y}\frac{\mathrm{d}y}{\mathrm{d}z}\frac{\partial z}{\partial \rho} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \Rightarrow \frac{\mathrm{d}A}{\mathrm{d}z} = -\frac{1}{z} \Rightarrow e^{A(y(z))} \propto \frac{1}{z} \,. \tag{3.22}
$$

Such a solution is immediately discarded, since the brane limit $z \to 0$ does not exist. We can thus conclude that one cannot naively apply the nMT conditions in this case despite the non-trivial bulk circumferential radius. The proper way to determine ρ_0 then comes, as with any MT WH in flat-dimensions, from the divergence of the g_{11} in [\(3.8\)](#page-6-2) corresponding to

$$
e^{-2A(y(\rho_{\text{th}}\cos\chi))}h(\rho_{\text{th}})=0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad h(\rho_{\text{th}})=0. \tag{3.23}
$$

In the last step, we used the assumption that for any reasonable braneworld model, the warp factor is non-vanishing everywhere in the bulk. We have thus discovered that for MT WHs, the condition for the determination of the coordinate location of the throat is the same in any number of flat-extra dimensions and in $5D$ single brane braneworld models with a non-singular and injective warp factor. The physical radius of the wormhole hyperthroat is then given by [\(3.18\)](#page-8-0) evaluated at the solution $\rho_{\rm th}$ of [\(3.23\)](#page-8-5) and it reduces to the four-dimensional value on the brane.

Figure 2: Coordinates in the bulk. The light-purple region is not part of spacetime, since the radial coordinate $\rho \ge R_{\bowtie}$. However this does not restrict the domains for the coordinates $r \in [0, +\infty)$ and $z \in (-\infty, +\infty)$.

Having determined the coordinate location of the hyperthroat, we are now in position to discuss the domains of the various coordinates. The restricted domain of the radial coordinate $r \ge R_{\bowtie}$ for the seed brane wormhole metric, induces a lower cut-off on the bulk radial coordinate ρ as defined in [\(3.6\)](#page-6-3) in the following way

$$
\rho \equiv \sqrt{r^2 + z^2} \implies \rho \ge R_{\bowtie} \,,\tag{3.24}
$$

given that $z \in (-\infty, +\infty)$. This means that, R_{\bowtie} corresponds to the coordinate location of the hyperthroat i.e. $\rho_{\rm th} = R_{\rm \bowtie}$, and this is indeed the same solution one would get from [\(3.23\)](#page-8-5), having used the functional form of the 4D seed metric function: $h(r)$ with $r \ge R_{\bowtie}$. Consequently, Eq. [\(3.24\)](#page-9-0) implies the following constraint between r and z at the location of the hyperthroat

$$
R_{\rm M}^2 = r^2 + z^2, \tag{3.25}
$$

defining also the boundary of spacetime, see also Fig. [2.](#page-9-1) Equation (3.25) reveals that the domain of r is not globally $r \ge R_{\bowtie}$, an assumption that would have led to a misinterpretation of the 5D wormhole structure, but it depends on the value of z. On the brane $(z = 0)$ we have $r \in [R_{\bowtie}, +\infty)$, thus recovering the 4D domain of the seed metric, while, in the bulk, the lower cutoff is reduced as we move away from the brane and further into the bulk according to

$$
r_{\min}(z) = \sqrt{R_{\bowtie}^2 - z^2}, \quad \forall z \in [-R_{\bowtie}, R_{\bowtie}]. \tag{3.26}
$$

Consequently, after the uplift of the 4D WH in a 5D braneworld model, the domain of the four-dimensional radial coordinate is expanded: $r \in [0, +\infty)$. Conversely, the original domain of the r coordinate is inherited in the five-dimensional radial coordinate, namely $\rho \in [R_{\bowtie}, +\infty)$.

The next criterion for a wormhole structure in the MT frame are the flare-out conditions. We begin by considering $z = z_0$ = const. slices in the $\{r, z\}$ representation of the GEA metric [\(3.9\)](#page-6-5) for which the induced spacelike hypersurface ($t =$ const.) with $\theta = \pi/2$ is described by the following line element

$$
ds_2^2 = e^{2A(y(z_0))} \left(\frac{r^2}{h \left(\sqrt{r^2 + z_0^2} \right)} + z_0^2 \right) \frac{dr^2}{r^2 + z_0^2} + e^{2A(y(z_0))} r^2 d\varphi^2.
$$
 (3.27)

Then, by utilizing the spherical symmetry w.r.t. r for each z_0 slice in the bulk we are able to apply the standard methodology [\[58\]](#page-23-11). A rescaling of the radial coordinate according to

$$
r \to x \equiv e^{A(y(z_0))} r \,, \tag{3.28}
$$

recasts [\(3.27\)](#page-9-3) in the form

$$
ds_2^2 = \left(\frac{e^{-2A(y(z_0))}x^2}{h\left(\sqrt{e^{-2A(y(z_0))}x^2 + z_0^2}\right)} + z_0^2\right) \frac{dx^2}{e^{-2A(y(z_0))}x^2 + z_0^2} + x^2 d\varphi^2,
$$
\n(3.29)

$$
\equiv \frac{\mathrm{d}x^2}{H(x)} + x^2 \mathrm{d}\varphi^2 \,. \tag{3.30}
$$

Next, we consider the embedding three-dimensional Euclidean space 5 5 in cylindrical coordinates $\{l, x, \varphi\}$:

$$
ds_3^2 = dl^2 + dx^2 + x^2 d\varphi^2.
$$
 (3.31)

The variable x , corresponding to the radius in cylindrical coordinates, has to be non-negative, however, l has no such restriction and its domain is $l \in \mathbb{R}$. Upon allowing *l* to depend on x, the above space becomes

$$
\mathrm{d}s_2^2 = \left[1 + \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}l}{\mathrm{d}x}\right)^2\right] \mathrm{d}x^2 + x^2 \mathrm{d}\varphi^2 \,. \tag{3.32}
$$

By identifying [\(3.32\)](#page-10-1) with [\(3.30\)](#page-10-2), we obtain the equation that determines the generalized lift function $l(x)$

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}l(x)}{\mathrm{d}x} = \pm \sqrt{\frac{1 - H(x)}{H(x)}},\tag{3.33}
$$

and thus, the flare-out condition at the throat is given by

$$
\frac{d^2x}{dl^2} = \frac{H'(x)}{2(H(x)-1)^2} > 0.
$$
\n(3.34)

Equations [\(3.33\)](#page-10-3) and [\(3.34\)](#page-10-4) can be expressed in terms of r at each z_0 = const. slice in the bulk respectively by ^{[6](#page-10-5)}

$$
l(r) = \pm \int_{r_0}^r e^{A(y(z_0))} \sqrt{\frac{\left[1 - h\left(\sqrt{\bar{r}^2 + z_0^2}\right)\right] \bar{r}^2}{h\left(\sqrt{\bar{r}^2 + z_0^2}\right) (\bar{r}^2 + z_0^2)}} d\bar{r}.
$$
 (3.35)

and

$$
\frac{e^{-A(y(z_0))}\left\{2z_0^2h\left(\sqrt{r^2+z_0^2}\right)\left[h\left(\sqrt{r^2+z_0^2}\right)-1\right]+r\left(r^2+z_0^2\right)\partial_r h\left(\sqrt{r^2+z_0^2}\right)\right\}}{2r^3\left[h\left(\sqrt{r^2+z_0^2}\right)-1\right]^2}>0.
$$
\n(3.36)

Notice that on the brane $(z_0 = 0)$, Eqs. [\(3.35\)](#page-10-6) and [\(3.36\)](#page-10-7) reduce to the standard four-dimensional expressions [\[58\]](#page-23-11). Furthermore, as we have discussed in Sec. [2,](#page-3-0) the four-dimensional expressions have the same functional form for any number of flat extra dimensions. Thus, we have discovered that the warping of the extra dimensions, modifies the flare out conditions for higher-dimensional WHs in a non-trivial way.

The last condition for the GEA metric [\(3.8\)](#page-6-2) to describe a two-way traversable WH, is the absence of event horizons and this corresponds to $f(\rho) > 0$ and $h(\rho) \ge 0$ everywhere.

With the GEA as presented in this section, it is possible to embed four-dimensional spacetimes into the bulk assuming that the brane metric is written in the MT frame where the radial coordinate is the curvature

 5 The term "embedding space" refers to a higher-dimensional space in which another brane or surface is embedded.

⁶Rigorously, a different symbol should be used for $\tilde{l}(r) \equiv l(x(r))$ but we choose to avoid introducing another symbol here.

coordinate. In principle, however, one may start with a four-dimensional metric in terms of an arbitrary radial coordinate $u \in (-\infty, +\infty)$ (nMT frame)

$$
\mathrm{d}s_4^2 = -\widetilde{f}(u)\mathrm{d}t^2 + \frac{\mathrm{d}u^2}{\widetilde{h}(u)} + r^2(u)d\Omega_2^2.
$$
 (3.37)

Such a line element is commonly used for the representation of wormhole spacetimes where the circumferential radius metric function $r(u)$ has the restricted domain $r \in [R_\bowtie, +\infty)$ with the physical radius of the throat located at coordinate value u_{th} and given by $r(u_{\text{th}}) = R_{\text{M}} > 0$. For *invertible r(u)*, the radial coordinate transformation $dr^2 = du^2 (r'(u))^2$, recasts [\(3.37\)](#page-11-2) into the MT frame

$$
ds_4^2 = -\tilde{f}(u(r))dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{\tilde{h}(u(r))\left[r'(u(r))\right]^2} + r^2d\Omega_2^2
$$
\n(3.38)

$$
= -f(r)dt^{2} + \frac{dr^{2}}{h(r)} + r^{2}d\Omega_{2}^{2},
$$
\n(3.39)

where $f(r) \equiv \tilde{f}(u(r))$ and $h(r) \equiv [r'(u(r))]^2 \tilde{h}(u(r))$.

Then, one is able to uplift the metric [\(3.37\)](#page-11-2) in any single-brane braneworld scenario by means of the GEA.Thus, the circumferential radius metric function $r(u)$, corresponding to the natural choice of a radial coordinate, plays an important role in the uplift of any $4D$ metric, independently of radial coordinate used to represent the brane metric. In the remaining of this article, we apply the general formalism developed in this section to various examples of four dimensional wormhole spacetimes. We first obtain their bulk extensions according to the GEA and in turn we study their 5D structure by analyzing the curvature invariants, energy conditions, flare-out conditions and embedding diagrams.

4 Application to specific examples

In this section, we will consider three well-known wormhole spacetimes and we will uplift them to the RS-II braneworld model in order to showcase our embedding method. Additionally, we will examine the scalar curvature, the flare-out conditions, the energy conditions, and the geometrical structure of the wormholes in the bulk by studying their embedding diagrams.

4.1 Casadio-Fabbri-Mazzacurati wormholes

Casadio, Fabbri and Mazzacurati (CFM), in their search for new black-hole solutions in the braneworld, obtained an interesting solution to the effective 4D brane equations that exhibits both black-hole and wormhole branches [\[69\]](#page-23-19). This metric had also been obtained by Germani and Maartens as a possible description of the spacetime outside a homogeneous star on the brane [\[70\]](#page-23-20). In terms of the curvature radial coordinate the CFM brane metric is given by

$$
ds^{2} = -\left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)dt^{2} + \frac{1 - \frac{3M}{2r}}{\left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)\left(1 - \frac{a}{r}\right)}dr^{2} + r^{2}d\Omega_{2}^{2},
$$
\n(4.1)

where $M \geq 0$ is identified with the Arnowitt–Deser–Misner (ADM) mass [\[71\]](#page-24-0) of the solution. The value of the dimensionless ratio of parameters $\alpha/(2M) > 0$, determines the causal structure of [\(4.1\)](#page-11-3) as follows:

- $\alpha/(2M) \in (1, +\infty)$: Isometric traversable WH. Here α is identified with the radius of the wormhole throat.
- $\alpha/(2M) = 1$: WH/BH threshold.
- $\alpha/(2M) = 3/4$: Schwarzschild BH.
- $\alpha/(2M) \in (0, 3/4)$: Schwarzschild-like BH with a spacelike curvature singularity located at $r = 3M/2$.

Here we will focus our analysis on the wormhole branch of the CFM spacetime. However, the GEA will provide us with the consisted extension of [\(4.1\)](#page-11-3) for any value of $\alpha/(2M)$, and so, one may investigate the bulk properties of this interesting spacetime for any braneworld model. In the representation [\(4.1\)](#page-11-3), the coordinate location of the wormhole throat, is given by $r_{\rm th} = \alpha$ [\[30\]](#page-22-6) and is identified with the physical radius of the throat since r is the curvature radial coordinate.

Following the analysis of the preceding section, it is straightforward to deduce that the embedding of the CFM wormhole in the RS-II model, with $A(y) = -k|y|$ or $A(y(\rho \cos \chi)) = -\ln(1 + k\rho |\cos \chi|)$, is described by the following line element

$$
\mathrm{d}s^2 = \frac{1}{(1+k\rho|\cos\chi|)^2} \Bigg[-\left(1-\frac{2M}{\rho}\right) \mathrm{d}t^2 + \frac{1-\frac{3M}{2\rho}}{\left(1-\frac{2M}{\rho}\right)\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{\rho}\right)} \mathrm{d}\rho^2 + \rho^2 \mathrm{d}\Omega_3^2 \Bigg],\tag{4.2}
$$

with $k > 0$. As we have already discussed, after the embedding, the domain of the radial coordinate r of the 4d wormhole, is inherited in the 5d radial coordinate ρ . Therefore, the above line element describes the RS-II braneworld version of the CFM wormhole, iff $\alpha/(2M) > 1$ and $\rho \in [\alpha, +\infty)$. The domains for both the 4d radial coordinate r and the bulk coordinate z or y , are determined via the relation $\rho^2 = r^2 + z^2 \ge \alpha^2$, with $r \in [0, +\infty)$ and $z \in (-\infty, +\infty)$. The location of the hyperthroat is given by $\rho = \alpha$. Out of the three parameters of [\(4.2\)](#page-12-0) $\{M, \alpha, k\}$, we can construct various dimensionless combinations in order to study the properties of the spacetime. The combination ka in particular, is the most interesting one, since it includes the effect of the bulk curvature radius (via k^{-1}) and the wormhole throat curvature radius (via α). As such, its value allows us to deduce the effect of the warping of the extra dimension on the wormhole structure for any fixed α . The smaller the value of $k\alpha$, the weaker the warping in the bulk (larger AdS₅ curvature radius). In our study of the 5D structure of spacetime we will thus use this dimensionless parameter for our analysis.

In Fig. [3,](#page-13-0) we depict the Ricci curvature R for the line element [\(4.2\)](#page-12-0) for three different values of $k\alpha$ and fixed value $\alpha/(2M) > 1$. We observe, that in all cases R asymptotes to its pure AdS₅ value $R = -20k^2$ very rapidly along the extra dimension, while it deviates from it only on and near the brane due to the curvature of the brane. In all panels of Fig. [3,](#page-13-0) the purple lines indicate the location of the hyperthroat ($\rho = \alpha$) as it extends into the bulk. Independently of the model parameters, the maximum value of the Ricci curvature takes place in the intersection between the solid red $(r/a = 1)$ and dashed red lines $(y/a = 0)$. At this point, we meet the induced four-dimensional wormhole throat, $r = \alpha$, on the 3-brane. For any other point on the wormhole hyperthroat (solid purple line), we observe that $r < \alpha$. This should not be surprising as it is a consequence of the braneworld uplift of the 4d wormhole geometry and eq.[\(3.26\)](#page-9-4). This effect will become more clear during the upcoming discussion of the embedding diagrams for the current wormhole geometry. In addition to the above, one notices that when the warping of the bulk is weak (top left panel), the spacetime curvature along the hyperthroat tends to be more homogeneous relative to its maximum value at the location of the wormhole throat on the brane. This is similar to the case of a WH in flat-extra dimensions where the wormhole structure is globally spherically symmetric and as such the curvature on the hyperthroat is uniform along all dimensions. On the other hand, for mild bulk warping (top right panel) deviations of the curvature along the hyperthroat from its 4D limit become more prominent, while for strong bulk warping (bottom panel) the effect of the bulk has very significant impact on the curvature of the WH along the extra dimension. We also observe that the scalar curvature along the hyperthroat of the WH is not sign-definite, and it can be positive everywhere, negative everywhere or exhibiting regions of both, depending on the parameters. Similar findings regarding the sign of R on the throat have also been reported for 4D WHs, see e.g. [\[72\]](#page-24-1).

Pertaining now to the flare-out condition—defined by [\(3.36\)](#page-10-7)—of the braneworld CFM wormhole solution [\(4.2\)](#page-12-0), we obtain

$$
\frac{e^{-A(y(z_0))}}{r}\left(\frac{2M}{\alpha}-1\right)\left(\frac{3M}{\alpha}-2\right)^{-1}>0,
$$
\n(4.3)

for any braneworld model for which $e^{-2A(y)} > 0$, $\forall y$ on the wormhole hyperthroat. Since the wormhole branch of the CFM spacetime is defined by $\alpha/(2M) > 1$, the above condition is always satisfied and as such we conclude

Figure 3: The Ricci curvature of the Casadio-Fabbri-Mazzacurati wormhole embedded in the RS-II model for a fixed value of the dimensionless parameter $\alpha/(2M) = 1.5$ and $k\alpha = \{0.015, 0.15, 0.75\}.$ In all cases, the purple lines indicate the location of the wormhole throat as it extends into the bulk $(\rho = \alpha)$, the continuous red line is for $r/\alpha = 1$, while the dashed red line is for $y/\alpha = 0$ (on the 3-brane). All depicted quantities are dimensionless.

that the bulk embedding of the four-dimensional CFM wormhole via the GEA preserves its wormhole structure for any braneworld model respecting the aforementioned conditions.

Furthermore, the study of the energy conditions, in the causal structure of the spacetime, is a necessary analysis which reveals whether the matter/energy which is required to support the spacetime geometry respects some very basic laws of nature. However, depending on the form of the field theory, it is not always clear how to interpret the energy-momentum tensor, especially in theories in which matter fields are coupled with gravitational terms. Such distinctive examples are scalar-tensor theories with non-minimal couplings with gravity, e.g. Einstein-scalar-Gauss-Bonnet models, Horndeski gravity [\[73\]](#page-24-2), Chern-Simons gravitational theories, and so on. In all of these scenarios, matter can be coupled to gravity in multiple ways, either with the Ricci scalar (Einstein-Hilbert term), the Einstein tensor (Horndeski gravity), the Gauss-Bonnet term, or the Chern-Simons term (see e.g. [\[14,](#page-21-13) [72,](#page-24-1) [74](#page-24-3)[–78\]](#page-24-4) and references therein). Contrary to GR, in such theories, it is, more often than not, impossible to separate gravity from the matter fields at the level of equations of motion. Forcing the equations of motion to be written in the form $G_{\mu\nu} = T_{\mu\nu}$, one is doomed to include gravitational terms inside the

Figure 4: The graphs of the quantities defining the energy conditions on the hyperthroat ($\rho = \alpha$) of the CFM wormhole embedded in the RS-II braneworld, in terms of the γ -coordinate, for $\alpha/(2M) = 1.5$ and $k\alpha = 0.15$ (left panel), 0.75 (right panel). All depicted quantities are dimensionless.

matter part and the energy-momentum tensor. The way that one interprets the energy-momentum tensor can utterly affect the results regarding the energy conditions. In the literature, there are different interpretations regarding the allowed interactions that can be incorporated in the energy-momentum tensor. And in some cases, the energy-momentum tensor supporting a wormhole configuration may satisfy all energy conditions [\[14,](#page-21-13) [49\]](#page-23-2).

For every example that will be considered in this article, the analysis of the energy conditions will be based on the assumption that the action functional leading to the braneworld WHs is of the form

$$
S = \frac{1}{2\kappa_5} \int d^5 x \sqrt{-g} (R + \mathcal{L}_M) , \qquad (4.4)
$$

where gravity and matter are decoupled. In the above, κ_5 has dimensions of (length), while both the Ricci scalar and the matter Lagrangian density are on equal footing with dimensions of (length) $^{-2}$. The action (4.4) leads to the well-known Einstein equations $G_{MN} = T_{MN}$. In this category of theories, one is able to use the Einstein tensor instead of the energy-momentum tensor to define all the necessary quantities and study the energy conditions. We know from previous studies, regarding localized braneworld black holes in the context of the RS-II model [\[52,](#page-23-5) [59,](#page-23-12) [67\]](#page-23-17), that the exponential warping of the bulk spacetime results in a violation of the energy conditions away from the brane. This happens because the $AdS₅$ asymptotic nature of the 5D spacetime rapidly dominates in the bulk, thus rendering all the energy conditions to be violated. However, on and very close to the brane it has been shown that the energy conditions can be satisfied.

Returning now to the wormhole solutions embedded in the RS-II model, it becomes apparent that the energy conditions will be violated away from the brane due to the AdS_5 asymptotics. Consequently, the most important region of spacetime to check the violation of the energy conditions is precisely on the wormhole throat, as it extends on the brane and into the bulk. For the spacetime configuration described by [\(4.2\)](#page-12-0) one finds that on the wormhole throat, where $\rho = \alpha$, the energy density ρ_E , the radial pressure p_r , and the tangential pressure p_θ are

given respectively by

$$
\frac{\rho_E}{k^2} = -\frac{G^t}{k^2} = 3 \frac{(k\alpha)\left(3 - 5\frac{M}{\alpha}\right)|\cos \chi| + 2(k\alpha)^2 \left(2 - 3\frac{M}{\alpha}\right) + \frac{M}{\alpha} - 1}{(k\alpha)^2 \left(3\frac{M}{\alpha} - 2\right)},
$$
\n(4.5)

$$
\frac{p_r}{k^2} = \frac{G^{\rho}{}_{\rho}}{k^2} = 6 + \frac{3|\cos \chi|}{(k\alpha)} - \frac{3}{(k\alpha)^2},\tag{4.6}
$$

$$
\frac{p_{\theta}}{k^2} = \frac{G^{\chi}\chi}{k^2} = \frac{G^{\theta}\vartheta}{k^2} = \frac{G^{\varphi}\varphi}{k^2} = \frac{3}{2} \frac{2\left(3 - 4\frac{M}{a}\right)\left|\cos\chi\right| + (k\alpha)\left[\left(\frac{2M}{a} - 1\right)\cos(2\chi) - 10\frac{M}{a} + 7\right]}{(k\alpha)\left(2 - 3\frac{M}{a}\right)}\,. \tag{4.7}
$$

We have scaled all quantities in Eqs. $(4.5)-(4.7)$ $(4.5)-(4.7)$ $(4.5)-(4.7)$ with k^2 to make them dimensionless. We see that the only independent variable, is the bulk coordinate $\gamma \in [0, \pi]$ which encodes the deviations from the brane limit $(\chi = \pi/2)$. On the brane, ρ reduces to the four-dimensional radial coordinate r, and as a result, the throat radius becomes $r = \alpha$. Under the assumption [\(4.4\)](#page-14-0), we observe that the necessary matter/energy to support the spacetime geometry [\(4.2\)](#page-12-0) is characterized by an anisotropic fluid. For such a fluid, the energy conditions manifest themselves in the following way:

• Null Energy Conditions (NEC):

$$
\rho_E + p_r \ge 0 \quad \& \quad \rho_E + p_\theta \ge 0 \,. \tag{4.8}
$$

• Weak Energy Conditions (WEC):

$$
\rho_E \ge 0 \quad \& \quad \text{NEC.} \tag{4.9}
$$

• Dominant Energy Conditions (DEC):

$$
\rho_E - |p_r| \ge 0 \quad \& \quad \rho_E - |p_\vartheta| \ge 0. \tag{4.10}
$$

• Strong Energy Conditions (SEC):

$$
\text{NEC} \quad \& \quad \rho_E + p_r + 3p_\theta \ge 0 \,. \tag{4.11}
$$

In Fig. [4,](#page-14-1) the energy conditions are depicted for the dimensionless parameters $\alpha/(2M) = 1.5$ and $k\alpha =$ 0.15, 0.75. We observe that for mild bulk curvature ($k\alpha = 0.15$), it is possible to satisfy only part of the energy conditions, while as the bulk curvature becomes more prominent $(k\alpha = 0.75)$, most of the conditions [\(4.8\)](#page-15-2)-[\(4.11\)](#page-15-3) are violated. Specifically, for the quantity $\rho_E + p_r$ it holds that

$$
\frac{\rho_E + p_r}{k^2} = 3\left(1 - \frac{2M}{\alpha}\right) \left(3\frac{M}{\alpha} - 2\right)^{-1} \frac{1 + (k\alpha) |\cos \chi|}{(k\alpha)^2} < 0,\tag{4.12}
$$

which is always negative for RS-II braneworld CFM wormholes, since $\alpha/(2M) > 1$ and $k\alpha > 0$. Consequently, the above analysis showcases that a theory of the form [\(4.4\)](#page-14-0) will always lead to the violation of null energy conditions. To avoid this violation, one would need to consider scalar-tensor theories with non-trivial couplings with gravity, and/or higher-order curvature or derivative terms. Of course, such a task is highly non-trivial and goes beyond the scope of this article.

Let us finally discuss the embedding diagram representation of [\(4.2\)](#page-12-0). In order to be able to illustrate 5D wormhole spacetimes via embedding diagrams, we need to utilize the symmetries of the spacetime to reduce the number of dimensions for the graphical representation. As we have discussed in Sec. [3,](#page-5-0) each constant y_0 hypersurface in the bulk is spherically symmetric w.r.t. r . This allows us to readily obtain the cross-sectional embedding diagram (CED) for the 5D WH at each y_0 = const. as for any static and spherically symmetric 4D

Figure 5: For $k\alpha = 0.75$ and $\alpha/(2M) = 1.5$ the wormhole throat extends into the bulk up to $|y_{\text{max}}| \approx 0.746 \alpha$. Left panel: The embedding diagram for the bulk slice with $y_0 = 0.95 y_{\text{max}}$. The WH is spherically symmetric w.r.t. r at each $y_0 =$ const. and as such, the cross-sectional embedding diagram (CED) representation (green curves) encodes the complete information. Right panel: Embedding diagram representation of the 5D wormhole throat via stacks of CEDs at fixed $|y_0|$ spanning the circumference of the hyperthroat (red curve). The color coding of the curves is identical to those in Fig. [6.](#page-17-1) The light-blue plane represents the brane and all points interior to the throat circumference are not part of spacetime.

wormhole spacetime by means of the lift function [\(3.35\)](#page-10-6) evaluated at y_0 . Indeed, the CED can be seen in the left panel of Fig. [5](#page-16-0) and the hyperthroat projection on that hypersurface (red circle) is spherically symmetric as expected. At each y_0 , the projected radius r_{th} of the wormhole throat is subject to the constraint [\(3.26\)](#page-9-4). As a result, $r_{\rm th} < \alpha$ on each $y_0 \neq 0$ hypersurface in the bulk, while $r_{\rm th} = \alpha$ on the brane $(y_0 = 0)$. Hence, the wormhole throat is shrinking as we move away from the brane. Due to the spherical symmetry of the y_0 bulk slicings, it is sufficient to only consider the 2D representation of the CED diagrams (green curves on left panel of Fig. [5\)](#page-16-0), without loss of information about the embedding representation.

Consequently, by considering stacks of constant y_0 slicings of the bulk we may use the 2D CEDs to obtain a representation of the complete 5D bulk wormhole structure as given in the right panel of Fig. [5.](#page-16-0) There, we can see that the 5D hyperthroat radius is not spherical due to the warping of the extra dimension. It shrinks monotonicaly as we move away from the brane, at an exponential rate, and vanishes at a distance from the brane equal to

$$
|y_{\max}| = \frac{\ln(1+z_0k)}{k} \bigg|_{z_0 = \alpha} = \frac{\ln(1+\alpha k)}{k}, \tag{4.13}
$$

in the RS-II model that we consider here. For $y > y_{\text{max}}$, constant y_0 hypersurfaces no longer exhibit wormhole topology since on their corresponding induced geometries there is no minimum surface area of constant radial coordinate r . However, the complete 5D spacetime preserves its wormhole structure, that remains localized near the brane. In the "flat-extra dimension limit" of a vanishing bulk warping $(k \to 0)$, we have from [\(4.13\)](#page-16-1) that

$$
|y_{\text{max}}| = \alpha - \frac{\alpha^2 k}{2} + O\left(k^2\right),\tag{4.14}
$$

and thus we see that the hyperthroat in this limit approaches a spherically symmetric structure where $|y_{\text{max}}|/a = 1$.

On the left panel of Fig. [6,](#page-17-1) we provide the exact same 5D embedding diagram as given on the right panel of Fig. [5](#page-16-0) in an equivalent 2D representation, with the extra dimension suppressed for a better quantitative

Figure 6: Cross-sectional embedding diagrams (see Fig. [5\)](#page-16-0) for the CFM WH in the RS-II braneworld model. The brane is located at $y_0 = 0$, and the hyperthroat extends to $y_0 = \pm ymax$ in the bulk. The effect of the bulk curvature, parametrized here with the dimensionless variable $k\alpha$, is to deform the WH along the extra dimension (left panel). For milder bulk curvature the WH is characterized by a more symmetric structure (right panel).

understanding of the embedding diagrams. As the two panels of Fig. [\(6\)](#page-17-1) reveal, the effect of the bulk warping (parametrized once again in terms of the dimensionless parameter $k\alpha$) is to deform the embedding diagram of the WH along the extra dimension. In the weak warping limit (right panel), the 5D structure of the embedding diagram is approaching a symmetric configuration reinforcing the observation of a "flat extra dimension limit" in allignment with the analysis in terms of the curvature invariants above.

4.2 Simpson-Visser wormholes

Simpson and Visser (SV) introduced in [\[79\]](#page-24-5) a regularization method to remove the curvature singularities at the center of BHs at the level of the metric. When their method is applied to the Schwarzschild solution of mass M , the so-called SV metric is obtained in the nMT frame

$$
ds^{2} = -\left(1 - \frac{2M}{\sqrt{u^{2} + \alpha^{2}}}\right)dt^{2} + \left(1 - \frac{2M}{\sqrt{u^{2} + \alpha^{2}}}\right)^{-1} du^{2} + \left(u^{2} + \alpha^{2}\right) d\Omega_{2}^{2},
$$
\n(4.15)

where $\alpha \in [0, +\infty)$ is a regularization parameter with dimension (length), and the domain of the radial coordinate is $u \in (-\infty, +\infty)$. Depending on the value of α relative to M, the SV metric can describe a BH ($\alpha = 0$), a black bounce $(\alpha < 2M)$, a one-way WH with an extremal null throat $(\alpha = 2M)$ or a two-way traversable Lorentzian WH $(\alpha > 2M)$. In the wormhole branch, the coordinate location of the throat is determined by the surface-area minimization condition

$$
r'(u) = \frac{u}{\sqrt{u^2 + \alpha^2}} = 0 \Rightarrow u_{\text{th}} = 0.
$$
 (4.16)

For the subsequent analysis however, we will work in the MT-frame representation of the metric [\[30\]](#page-22-6) which can be obtained under the radial coordinate redefinition $u \to r(u)$: $r^2 = u^2 + a^2$, with $r \in [\alpha, +\infty)$

$$
ds^{2} = -\left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)dt^{2} + \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)^{-1}\left(1 - \frac{a^{2}}{r^{2}}\right)^{-1}dr^{2} + r^{2}d\Omega_{2}^{2}.
$$
 (4.17)

In this representation, the coordinate location of the wormhole throat, is given by $r_{\text{th}} = \alpha$ [\[30\]](#page-22-6) and is identified with the physical radius of the throat since r is the curvature radial coordinate. Properties and generalizations of this geometry have been investigated in a number of works [\[30,](#page-22-6) [80,](#page-24-6) [81\]](#page-24-7). The SV regularization procedure has also been applied to a plethora of other metrics, see e.g. [\[82\]](#page-24-8) and references therein. Inspired by the SV approach, an alternative regularization method a la Bardeen has been proposed in [\[83\]](#page-24-9). Recently, in an attempt towards a generalization of the SV metric to the Randall-Sundrum II (RS-II) [\[38\]](#page-22-8) braneworld model, in [\[66\]](#page-23-16), the SV regularization method has been applied to the black-string solution of CHR [\[60\]](#page-23-13). However, even though this approach yields the SV geometry on the brane, it exhibits curvature singularities at the AdS horizon [\[66\]](#page-23-16). The Nakas-Kanti approach for localized SV wormholes has been followed in [\[68\]](#page-23-18), where the authors studied the case of a RS-II braneworld model. As in the previous subsection, here, we will use the SV wormhole as a representative example, to showcase our analysis.

Following the GEA, it is straightforward to obtain the 5D extension of [\(4.17\)](#page-17-2) in the RS-II model, given by the line element

$$
ds^{2} = \frac{1}{(1 + k\rho |\cos \chi|)^{2}} \left[-\left(1 - \frac{2M}{\rho}\right) dt^{2} + \left(1 - \frac{2M}{\rho}\right)^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha^{2}}{\rho^{2}}\right)^{-1} d\rho^{2} + \rho^{2} d\Omega_{3}^{2} \right],
$$
(4.18)

with $k > 0$. As we have already discussed, after the uplift, the domain of the radial coordinate r of the 4d wormhole, is inherited in the 5d radial coordinate ρ , hence, $\rho \in [\alpha, +\infty)$. By performing a similar analysis on the [\(4.18\)](#page-18-1) metric as the one that was done for the braneworld Casadio-Fabbri-Mazzacurati wormhole [\(4.2\)](#page-12-0), one observes that the form of the Ricci curvature is nearly identical to that of the CFM case. It is easy to verify that for the same dimensionless parameters $k\alpha$ and $\alpha/(2M)$, the profile of the Ricci curvature corresponding to [\(4.18\)](#page-18-1) has the same qualitative characteristics as in Fig. [3.](#page-13-0) Using [\(3.36\)](#page-10-7), one can readily find that the flare-out condition for [\(4.18\)](#page-18-1) is evaluated to be

$$
\frac{e^{-A(y(z_0))}}{r}\left(1-\frac{M}{\alpha}\right)>0\,,\tag{4.19}
$$

for any braneworld model with $e^{-2A(y)} > 0$, ∀y. As for the energy conditions, the relevant quantities on the wormhole hyperthroat are evaluated to be

$$
\frac{\rho_E}{k^2} = 3 \frac{(k\alpha)\left(\frac{2M}{\alpha} - 2\right)|\cos\chi| - 2(k\alpha)^2 + \frac{2M}{\alpha}}{(k\alpha)^2},\tag{4.20}
$$

$$
\frac{p_r}{k^2} = 6 + \frac{3|\cos \chi|}{(k\alpha)} - \frac{3}{(k\alpha)^2},\tag{4.21}
$$

$$
\frac{p_{\vartheta}}{k^2} = 5 + \frac{5|\cos\chi|}{(k\alpha)} + \frac{1}{(k\alpha)^2} \left(1 - 3\frac{M}{\alpha}\right) + \left(\frac{3}{2}\frac{M}{\alpha} - 1\right)\cos(2\chi) + \frac{3}{2}\frac{M}{\alpha} \,. \tag{4.22}
$$

Notice that the sum of the energy density ρ_E and the radial pressure p_r is given by the following relation

$$
\frac{\rho_E + p_r}{k^2} = 3 \left(\frac{2M}{\alpha} - 1 \right) \frac{1 + (k\alpha) |\cos \chi|}{(k\alpha)^2},
$$
\n(4.23)

and is negative-definite on the hyperthroat for all values of $\chi \in [0, \pi]$. Consequently, under the assumption [\(4.4\)](#page-14-0), the energy conditions are violated on the hyperthroat. Finally, the embedding diagrams concerning the RS-II braneworld SV wormhole do not differ from the ones that were presented in the previous subsection for the braneworld CFM wormhole.

4.3 Bronnikov-Kim braneworld wormholes

As a final example, we will consider the so-called zero-Schwarzschild mass braneworld spacetime obtained by Bronnikov-Kim (BK) [\[84\]](#page-24-10) as a solution to the effective four-dimensional braneworld field equations of Shiromizu-Maeda-Sasaki [\[47\]](#page-23-0). The line element for the BK metric in the MT frame can be written as [\[30\]](#page-22-6)

$$
ds^{2} = -\left(1 - \frac{\beta^{2}}{r^{2}}\right)dt^{2} + \left(1 - \frac{\beta^{2}}{r^{2}}\right)^{-1}\left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{2\alpha^{2} - \beta^{2}}{2r^{2} - \beta^{2}}}\right)^{-1} dr^{2} + r^{2}d\Omega_{2}^{2}.
$$
 (4.24)

The two integration constants of the solution with dimensions of (length) are α , that corresponds to the radius of the wormhole throat since at $r = \alpha$ the g_{11} metric component diverges, and β , for which traversability of the WH requires $\alpha > \beta$. In the limiting case of $\alpha/\beta \to 1$ the above geometry exhibits a BH/WH threshold with β corresponding to the radius of the (double) BH event horizon.

The uplift of [\(4.24\)](#page-18-2) in the RS-II model leads to the line element

$$
ds^{2} = \frac{1}{(1 + k\rho |\cos \chi|)^{2}} \left[-\left(1 - \frac{\beta^{2}}{\rho^{2}}\right) dt^{2} + \left(1 - \frac{\beta^{2}}{\rho^{2}}\right)^{-1} \left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{2\alpha^{2} - \beta^{2}}{2\rho^{2} - \beta^{2}}}\right)^{-1} d\rho^{2} + \rho^{2} d\Omega_{3}^{2} \right],
$$
(4.25)

with $\rho \in [\alpha, +\infty)$, $(\alpha > 0)$. The qualitative behavior of the Ricci curvature for fixed $\alpha/\beta = 5$ and $k\alpha =$ ⁰.015, ⁰.15, ⁰.75, is the same as in Fig. [\(3\)](#page-13-0) for the braneworld CFM wormhole. Again, this should not be surprising since we are considering the same braneworld model in all three examples. We do this, in order to be able to identify any differences induced in the bulk by the various brane metrics. Consequently, the qualitative similarities observed in all three examples seem to suggest that the dominant effect determining the 5D WH structure is the warp factor and the details of the brane curvature affects the bulk spacetime sub-dominantly. For the metric [\(4.25\)](#page-19-1), the flare-out condition as evaluated by [\(3.36\)](#page-10-7) leads to

$$
\frac{e^{-A(y(z_0))}}{r}\left(1-\frac{\beta^2}{\alpha^2}\right)\left(2-\frac{\beta^2}{\alpha^2}\right)^{-1} > 0,
$$
\n(4.26)

for any braneworld scenario that respects the constraint $e^{-A(y)} > 0$, $\forall y$ and since the wormhole branch requires $\alpha > \beta$, eq. [\(4.26\)](#page-19-2) is satisfied everywhere for the BK WH. The energy conditions are violated in this case as well. The expressions for the energy density, the radial and the tangential pressures are given below

$$
\frac{\rho_E}{k^2} = 3 \frac{\left(2\beta^2/\alpha^2 - 3\right)(k\alpha)\left|\cos \chi\right| + 2\left(\beta^2/\alpha^2 - 2\right)(k\alpha)^2 + 1}{\left(2 - \beta^2/\alpha^2\right)(k\alpha)^2},\tag{4.27}
$$

$$
\frac{p_r}{k^2} = 6 + \frac{3|\cos \chi|}{(k\alpha)} - \frac{3}{(k\alpha)^2},\tag{4.28}
$$

$$
\frac{p_{\theta}}{k^2} = 3 \frac{2(\beta^2/\alpha^2 - 3) |\cos \chi| + (k\alpha) \left[(1 - \beta^2/\alpha^2) \cos(2\chi) + 3\beta^2/\alpha^2 - 7 \right]}{2(\beta^2/\alpha^2 - 2) (k\alpha)}.
$$
(4.29)

For the same values of the dimensionless parameter $k\alpha$ and $\alpha/\beta = 1.5$, one can verify that the corresponding graphs determining the energy conditions for a braneworld BK wormhole are almost identical to the ones depicted in Fig. [4.](#page-14-1) Moreover, it can be shown explicitly that

$$
\frac{\rho_E + p_r}{k^2} = -\frac{3\left(\beta^2/\alpha^2 - 1\right)\left[1 + (k\alpha)\right]\cos\chi\left|\right]}{\left(\beta^2/\alpha^2 - 2\right)(k\alpha)^2} < 0\,. \tag{4.30}
$$

Consequently, similar to the previous examples, the energy conditions are violated under the assumption [\(4.4\)](#page-14-0), while the structure of the wormhole hyperthroat possesses the same features as shown in Figs. [5,](#page-16-0) [6.](#page-17-1)

Overall, we conclude that under an uplift to a braneworld model, the Casadio-Fabbri-Mazzacurati, Simpson-Visser and Bronnikov-Kim wormholes exhibit qualitatively the same physical characteristics in the bulk, even though the origin and derivation of the solutions are very different.

5 Conclusions

By utilizing the recently-proposed General Embedding Algorithm (GEA) [\[51\]](#page-23-4), one can uplift any static spherically symmetric 4D geometry, into any 5D single-brane braneworld model characterized by a non-singular and injective warp factor. However, one must be careful when uplifting brane geometries with non-trivial topology

such as in the case of wormhole (WH) spacetimes. To this end, we have here performed an in-depth investigation of the general properties and features of braneworld WHs generated by the GEA.

In the framework of the GEA, the seed brane metric is given in terms of the curvature radial coordinate r (MT frame) that is identified with the circumferential radius of the 4D geometry. Our analysis reveals the important role of r in the uplift of any static and spherically symmetric brane spacetime written in terms of an arbitrary radial coordinate u (nMT frame). For nMT brane metrics, the functional form of an *invertible* circumferential radius metric function $r(u)$, corresponding to the physical radius of the spacetime, is indirectly involved in the definition of the GEA metric. We show that this is a direct consequence of the proper way to define wormhole structures in models of extra dimensions, that is in terms of a lower cutoff in the domain of the bulk radial coordinate ρ .

Based on minimalistic requirements for the GEA line element to describe a wormhole structure in the bulk, we have derived the corresponding general constraints on its metric functions for any type of brane radial coordinate i.e. for MT and nMT WHs. We have also discussed in detail how to determine the coordinate location and physical radius in the most general way for the 5D GEA WHs. Furthermore, we have derived a general formula for the flare-out condition of MT braneworld WHs which we have found to be different from the one in the case of flat-extra dimensions. As such, we have demonstrated the non-trivial dependence of the flare-out conditions, and consequently of the wormhole structure, on the nature of the extra dimensions (flat or warped). Also, by utilizing the symmetries of the GEA metric we have demonstrated how to obtain embedding diagram representations of these 5D braneworld WHs.

As example seed-brane metrics for the method, we have considered three well-known 4D spacetimes, namely the Casadio-Fabbri-Mazzacurati braneworld WH [\[69\]](#page-23-19), the Simpson Visser (SV) spacetime [\[79\]](#page-24-5), and the Bronnikov-Kim zero-Schwarzschild mass braneworld WH [\[84\]](#page-24-10). The SV metric serves as a simple illustrative example, while the other two examples are of particular importance since they correspond to solutions of the effective 4D braneworld field equations. Consequently, their herein presented 5D bulk uplift provides for the first time in the literature an answer to the question regarding their higher-dimensional structure in the bulk.

Our analysis of the Ricci scalar, energy conditions, flare-out conditions and the embedding diagram representations for the aforementioned examples leads to the following general conclusions: When the GEA is applied to wormhole brane seed metrics, the resultant 5D spacetimes preserve their wormhole structure. In the low bulk curvature regime, the braneworld wormhole structure exhibits strong similarities with the flat-extra dimensional case, however, when the warping of the extra dimension is strong, the 5D structure exhibits strong deformation along the extra dimension. In all cases however, the WH is localized near the brane and the spacetimes asymptote to $AdS₅$ exponentially fast at a finite distance from the brane. The wormhole hyperthroat corresponds to a $(D-2)$ -dimensional hypersurface defining the boundary of spacetime. The amount of deviation of this hypersurface from sphericity is determined by the bulk AdS_5 curvature.

Our work, points towards exciting future research directions in wormhole spacetimes in braneworld models. In particular, the violation of the energy conditions for WHs in the RS-II model seems to be a generic result independent of the choice of the seed brane metric. This raises the intriguing question of whether it is possible to consider a different warp function that would lead to wormhole spacetimes satisfying the energy conditions everywhere along the hyperthroat. The stability of braneworld WHs is yet another important area for future investigation and the freedom in choosing the warp function in the GEA provides some flexibility in this quest. Such an endeavor however requires knowledge of the complete bulk field theory that supports these geometries and this can be facilitated by means of conformal transformations as it has been demonstrated in [\[51\]](#page-23-4).

Furthermore, the herein demonstrated significant impact of the warping of the extra dimension on the wormhole structure provides further motivation to extend the GEA to two-brane setups and identify the conditions for wormhole structures in that case. In a recent intriguing proposal [\[85\]](#page-24-11), wormhole-like structures in two-brane models have been considered as a means to address the open question regarding a formation mechanism for WHs. Also motivation is provided for the generalization of the method to the case of multiple warped extra dimensions. The importance of such a generalization would extend beyond wormhole spacetimes with potential implications

for the uplift of 4D metrics into models of String Theory.

Acknowledgments

TDP acknowledges the support of the Research Centre for Theoretical Physics and Astrophysics of the Institute of Physics at the Silesian University in Opava. TN is supported by IBS under the project code IBS-R018-D3.

References

- [1] M. Visser, Lorentzian wormholes: From Einstein to Hawking. 1995.
- [2] L. Flamm, "Beiträge zur Einsteinschen Gravitationstheorie," Phys. Z. **17** (1916) 448.
- [3] L. Flamm, "Republication of: Contributions to Einstein's theory of gravitation," [General Relativity and Gravitation](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10714-015-1908-2) **47** [\(June, 2015\) 72.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10714-015-1908-2)
- [4] A. Einstein and N. Rosen, "The Particle Problem in the General Theory of Relativity," Phys. Rev. **48** [\(1935\) 73–77.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.48.73)
- [5] J. A. Wheeler, "Geons," Phys. Rev. **97** [\(1955\) 511–536.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.97.511)
- [6] K. A. Bronnikov, "Scalar-tensor theory and scalar charge," Acta Phys. Polon. B **4** (1973) 251–266.
- [7] H. G. Ellis, "Ether flow through a drainhole - a particle model in general relativity," [J. Math. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1666161) **14** (1973) [104–118.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1666161)
- [8] M. S. Morris and K. S. Thorne, "Wormholes in space-time and their use for interstellar travel: A tool for teaching general relativity," Am. J. Phys. **56** [\(1988\) 395–412.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1119/1.15620)
- [9] M. Visser, "Traversable wormholes: Some simple examples," Phys. Rev. D **39** [\(1989\) 3182–3184,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.39.3182) [arXiv:0809.0907](http://arxiv.org/abs/0809.0907) [\[gr-qc\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/0809.0907).
- [10] M. S. Morris, K. S. Thorne, and U. Yurtsever, "Wormholes, Time Machines, and the Weak Energy Condition," [Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.61.1446) Rev. Lett. **61** [\(1988\) 1446–1449.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.61.1446)
- [11] J. A. Gonzalez, F. S. Guzman, and O. Sarbach, "Instability of wormholes supported by a ghost scalar field. I. Linear stability analysis," [Class. Quant. Grav.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/26/1/015010) **26** (2009) 015010, [arXiv:0806.0608 \[gr-qc\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/0806.0608).
- [12] K. A. Bronnikov, J. C. Fabris, and A. Zhidenko, "On the stability of scalar-vacuum space-times," [Eur. Phys. J. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-011-1791-2) **71** [\(2011\) 1791,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-011-1791-2) [arXiv:1109.6576 \[gr-qc\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1109.6576).
- [13] P. Kanti, B. Kleihaus, and J. Kunz, "Wormholes in Dilatonic Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet Theory," [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.271101) **107** [\(2011\) 271101,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.271101) [arXiv:1108.3003 \[gr-qc\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1108.3003).
- [14] G. Antoniou, A. Bakopoulos, P. Kanti, B. Kleihaus, and J. Kunz, "Novel Einstein–scalar-Gauss-Bonnet wormholes without exotic matter," Phys. Rev. D **101** [no. 2, \(2020\) 024033,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.101.024033) [arXiv:1904.13091 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1904.13091).
- [15] M. A. Cuyubamba, R. A. Konoplya, and A. Zhidenko, "No stable wormholes in Einstein-dilaton-Gauss-Bonnet theory," Phys. Rev. D **98** [no. 4, \(2018\) 044040,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.98.044040) [arXiv:1804.11170 \[gr-qc\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1804.11170).
- [16] J. L. Blázquez-Salcedo, C. Knoll, and E. Radu, "Traversable wormholes in Einstein-Dirac-Maxwell theory," [Phys. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.126.101102) Lett. **126** [no. 10, \(2021\) 101102,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.126.101102) [arXiv:2010.07317 \[gr-qc\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2010.07317).
- [17] S. Bolokhov, K. Bronnikov, S. Krasnikov, and M. Skvortsova, "A Note on "Traversable Wormholes in Einstein–Dirac–Maxwell Theory"," Grav. Cosmol. **27** [no. 4, \(2021\) 401–402,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1134/S0202289321040034) [arXiv:2104.10933 \[gr-qc\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2104.10933).
- [18] R. A. Konoplya and A. Zhidenko, "Traversable Wormholes in General Relativity," [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.128.091104) **128** no. 9, (2022) [091104,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.128.091104) [arXiv:2106.05034 \[gr-qc\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2106.05034).
- [19] C. W. Misner, K. S. Thorne, and J. A. Wheeler, *Gravitation*. W. H. Freeman, San Francisco, 1973.
- [20] S. W. Hawking and G. F. R. Ellis, [The Large Scale Structure of Space-Time](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/9781009253161). Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics. Cambridge University Press, 2, 2023.
- [21] S. L. Shapiro and S. A. Teukolsky, *[Black holes, white dwarfs, and neutron stars: The physics of compact objects](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/9783527617661)*. 1983.
- [22] R. M. Wald, [General Relativity](http://dx.doi.org/10.7208/chicago/9780226870373.001.0001). Chicago Univ. Pr., Chicago, USA, 1984.
- [23] R. P. Geroch, "Topology in general relativity," J. Math. Phys. **8** [\(1967\) 782–786.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1705276)
- [24] C. Bambi and D. Stojkovic, "Astrophysical Wormholes," Universe **7** [no. 5, \(2021\) 136,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/universe7050136) [arXiv:2105.00881 \[gr-qc\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2105.00881).
- [25] **LIGO Scientific, Virgo** Collaboration, B. P. Abbott et al., "Observation of Gravitational Waves from a Binary Black Hole Merger," Phys. Rev. Lett. **116** [no. 6, \(2016\) 061102,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.061102) [arXiv:1602.03837 \[gr-qc\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1602.03837).
- [26] **Event Horizon Telescope** Collaboration, K. Akiyama et al., "First M87 Event Horizon Telescope Results. I. The Shadow of the Supermassive Black Hole," [Astrophys. J. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/ab0ec7) **875** (2019) L1, [arXiv:1906.11238 \[astro-ph.GA\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1906.11238).
- [27] S. V. M. C. B. Xavier, C. A. R. Herdeiro, and L. C. B. Crispino, "Traversable wormholes and light rings," [Phys. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.109.124065) D **109** [no. 12, \(2024\) 124065,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.109.124065) [arXiv:2404.02208 \[gr-qc\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2404.02208).
- [28] T. Damour and S. N. Solodukhin, "Wormholes as black hole foils," Phys. Rev. D **76** [\(2007\) 024016,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.76.024016) [arXiv:0704.2667 \[gr-qc\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/0704.2667).
- [29] K. A. Bronnikov and R. A. Konoplya, "Echoes in brane worlds: ringing at a black hole–wormhole transition," [Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.101.064004) Rev. D **101** [no. 6, \(2020\) 064004,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.101.064004) [arXiv:1912.05315 \[gr-qc\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1912.05315).
- [30] K. A. Bronnikov, R. A. Konoplya, and T. D. Pappas, "General parametrization of wormhole spacetimes and its application to shadows and quasinormal modes," Phys. Rev. D **103** [no. 12, \(2021\) 124062,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.103.124062) [arXiv:2102.10679](http://arxiv.org/abs/2102.10679) [\[gr-qc\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2102.10679).
- [31] K. Akama, "An Early Proposal of 'Brane World'," Lect. Notes Phys. **176** (1982) 267–271, [arXiv:hep-th/0001113](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0001113).
- [32] V. A. Rubakov and M. E. Shaposhnikov, "Extra Space-Time Dimensions: Towards a Solution to the Cosmological Constant Problem," [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(83)91254-6) **125** (1983) 139.
- [33] V. A. Rubakov and M. E. Shaposhnikov, "Do We Live Inside a Domain Wall?," Phys. Lett. B **125** [\(1983\) 136–138.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(83)91253-4)
- [34] N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos, and G. R. Dvali, "The Hierarchy problem and new dimensions at a millimeter," Phys. Lett. B **429** [\(1998\) 263–272,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(98)00466-3) [arXiv:hep-ph/9803315](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9803315).
- [35] N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos, and G. R. Dvali, "Phenomenology, astrophysics and cosmology of theories with submillimeter dimensions and TeV scale quantum gravity," Phys. Rev. D **59** [\(1999\) 086004,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.59.086004) [arXiv:hep-ph/9807344](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9807344).
- [36] I. Antoniadis, N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos, and G. R. Dvali, "New dimensions at a millimeter to a Fermi and superstrings at a TeV," Phys. Lett. B **436** [\(1998\) 257–263,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(98)00860-0) [arXiv:hep-ph/9804398](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9804398).
- [37] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, "A Large mass hierarchy from a small extra dimension," [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.83.3370) **83** (1999) [3370–3373,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.83.3370) [arXiv:hep-ph/9905221](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9905221).
- [38] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, "An Alternative to compactification," Phys. Rev. Lett. **83** [\(1999\) 4690–4693,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.83.4690) [arXiv:hep-th/9906064](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9906064).
- [39] T. Torii and H.-a. Shinkai, "Wormholes in higher dimensional space-time: Exact solutions and their linear stability analysis," Phys. Rev. D **88** [\(2013\) 064027,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.88.064027) [arXiv:1309.2058 \[gr-qc\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1309.2058).
- [40] P. K. F. Kuhfittig, "Traversable wormholes sustained by an extra spatial dimension," Phys. Rev. D **98** [no. 6, \(2018\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.98.064041) [064041,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.98.064041) [arXiv:1809.01993 \[gr-qc\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1809.01993).
- [41] J. M. Maldacena, "The Large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity," [Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.4310/ATMP.1998.v2.n2.a1) **2** [\(1998\) 231–252,](http://dx.doi.org/10.4310/ATMP.1998.v2.n2.a1) [arXiv:hep-th/9711200](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9711200).
- [42] S. S. Gubser, I. R. Klebanov, and A. M. Polyakov, "Gauge theory correlators from noncritical string theory," [Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(98)00377-3) Lett. B **428** [\(1998\) 105–114,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(98)00377-3) [arXiv:hep-th/9802109](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9802109).
- [43] E. Witten, "Anti-de Sitter space and holography," [Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.4310/ATMP.1998.v2.n2.a2) **2** (1998) 253–291, [arXiv:hep-th/9802150](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9802150).
- [44] S. Antonini and B. Swingle, "Cosmology at the end of the world," Nature Phys. **16** [no. 8, \(2020\) 881–886,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41567-020-0909-6) [arXiv:1907.06667 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1907.06667).
- [45] H. Geng, A. Karch, C. Perez-Pardavila, S. Raju, L. Randall, M. Riojas, and S. Shashi, "Entanglement phase structure of a holographic BCFT in a black hole background," JHEP **05** [\(2022\) 153,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2022)153) [arXiv:2112.09132 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2112.09132).
- [46] H. Geng, L. Randall, and E. Swanson, "BCFT in a black hole background: an analytical holographic model," [JHEP](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2022)056) **12** [\(2022\) 056,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2022)056) [arXiv:2209.02074 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2209.02074).
- [47] T. Shiromizu, K.-i. Maeda, and M. Sasaki, "The Einstein equation on the 3-brane world," [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.62.024012) **62** (2000) [024012,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.62.024012) [arXiv:gr-qc/9910076](http://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/9910076).
- [48] S. Kanno and J. Soda, "Radion and holographic brane gravity," Phys. Rev. D **66** [\(2002\) 083506,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.66.083506) [arXiv:hep-th/0207029](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0207029).
- [49] S. Kar, S. Lahiri, and S. SenGupta, "Can extra dimensional effects allow wormholes without exotic matter?," [Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2015.09.039) Lett. B **750** [\(2015\) 319–324,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2015.09.039) [arXiv:1505.06831 \[gr-qc\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1505.06831).
- [50] S. Biswas, M. Rahman, and S. Chakraborty, "Echoes from braneworld wormholes," Phys. Rev. D **106** [no. 12, \(2022\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.106.124003) [124003,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.106.124003) [arXiv:2205.14743 \[gr-qc\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2205.14743).
- [51] T. Nakas, T. D. Pappas, and Z. Stuchlík, "Bridging dimensions: General embedding algorithm and field-theory reconstruction in 5D braneworld models," Phys. Rev. D **109** [no. 4, \(2024\) L041501,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.109.L041501) [arXiv:2309.00873 \[gr-qc\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2309.00873).
- [52] T. Nakas and P. Kanti, "Localized brane-world black hole analytically connected to an AdS₅ boundary," *[Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2021.136278)* **816** [\(2021\) 136278,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2021.136278) [arXiv:2012.09199 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2012.09199).
- [53] K. A. Bronnikov and S. G. Rubin, [Black Holes, Cosmology and Extra Dimensions](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/12186). WSP, 2012.
- [54] D. Hochberg and M. Visser, "Geometric structure of the generic static traversable wormhole throat," [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.56.4745) **56** [\(1997\) 4745–4755,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.56.4745) [arXiv:gr-qc/9704082](http://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/9704082).
- [55] M. Visser and D. Hochberg, "Generic wormhole throats," Annals Israel Phys. Soc. **13** (1997) 249, [arXiv:gr-qc/9710001](http://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/9710001).
- [56] H. Maeda, "Simple traversable wormholes violating energy conditions only near the Planck scale," [Class. Quant. Grav.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1361-6382/ac586b) **39** [no. 7, \(2022\) 075027,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1361-6382/ac586b) [arXiv:2107.07052 \[gr-qc\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2107.07052).
- [57] E. Teo, "Rotating traversable wormholes," Phys. Rev. D **58** [\(1998\) 024014,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.58.024014) [arXiv:gr-qc/9803098](http://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/9803098).
- [58] S.-W. Kim, "Flare-out condition of a Morris-Thorne wormhole and finiteness of pressure," [J. Korean Phys. Soc.](http://dx.doi.org/10.3938/jkps.63.1887) **63** [\(2013\) 1887–1891,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3938/jkps.63.1887) [arXiv:1302.3337 \[gr-qc\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1302.3337).
- [59] T. Nakas and P. Kanti, "Analytic and exponentially localized braneworld Reissner-Nordström-AdS solution: A top-down approach," Phys. Rev. D **104** [no. 10, \(2021\) 104037,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.104.104037) [arXiv:2105.06915 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2105.06915).
- [60] A. Chamblin, S. W. Hawking, and H. S. Reall, "Brane world black holes," Phys. Rev. D **61** [\(2000\) 065007,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.61.065007) [arXiv:hep-th/9909205](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9909205).
- [61] Z.-Y. Tang, X.-M. Kuang, B. Wang, and W.-L. Qian, "Photon region and shadow of a rotating 5D black string," [Eur.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-023-12015-7) Phys. J. C **83** [no. 9, \(2023\) 837,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-023-12015-7) [arXiv:2211.08137 \[gr-qc\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2211.08137).
- [62] S. Rezvanjou, S. Soroushfar, R. Saffari, and M. Masoudi, "Particle dynamics around the black string," [Class. Quant.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1361-6382/ab9934) Grav. **37** [no. 18, \(2020\) 185008,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1361-6382/ab9934) [arXiv:1707.02817 \[gr-qc\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1707.02817).
- [63] T. Nakas, P. Kanti, and N. Pappas, "Incorporating Physical Constraints in Braneworld Black-String Solutions for a Minkowski Brane in Scalar-Tensor Gravity," Phys. Rev. D **101** [no. 8, \(2020\) 084056,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.101.084056) [arXiv:2001.07226 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2001.07226).
- [64] T. Nakas, N. Pappas, and P. Kanti, "New Black-String Solutions for an Anti-de Sitter Brane in Scalar-Tensor Gravity," Phys. Rev. D **99** [no. 12, \(2019\) 124040,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.99.124040) [arXiv:1904.00216 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1904.00216).
- [65] P. Kanti, T. Nakas, and N. Pappas, "Antigravitating braneworld solutions for a de Sitter brane in scalar-tensor gravity," Phys. Rev. D **98** [no. 6, \(2018\) 064025,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.98.064025) [arXiv:1807.06880 \[gr-qc\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1807.06880).
- [66] T. M. Crispim, M. Estrada, C. R. Muniz, and G. Alencar, "Braneworld Black Bounce to Transversable Wormhole," [arXiv:2405.08048 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2405.08048).
- [67] J. C. S. Neves, "Five-dimensional regular black holes in a brane world," Phys. Rev. D **104** [no. 8, \(2021\) 084019,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.104.084019) [arXiv:2107.04072 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2107.04072).
- [68] T. M. Crispim, G. Alencar, and M. Estrada, "Braneworld Black Bounce to Transversable Wormhole Analytically Connected to an asymptotically AdS_5 Boundary," $arXiv:2407.03528$ [gr-qc].
- [69] R. Casadio, A. Fabbri, and L. Mazzacurati, "New black holes in the brane world?," Phys. Rev. D **65** [\(2002\) 084040,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.65.084040) [arXiv:gr-qc/0111072](http://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/0111072).
- [70] C. Germani and R. Maartens, "Stars in the brane world," Phys. Rev. D **64** [\(2001\) 124010,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.64.124010) [arXiv:hep-th/0107011](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0107011).
- [71] R. L. Arnowitt, S. Deser, and C. W. Misner, "Coordinate invariance and energy expressions in general relativity," [Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.122.997) Rev. **122** [\(1961\) 997.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.122.997)
- [72] A. Bakopoulos, C. Charmousis, and P. Kanti, "Traversable wormholes in beyond Horndeski theories," JCAP **05** [no. 05,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2022/05/022) [\(2022\) 022,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2022/05/022) [arXiv:2111.09857 \[gr-qc\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2111.09857).
- [73] G. W. Horndeski, "Second-order scalar-tensor field equations in a four-dimensional space," [Int. J. Theor. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01807638) **10** [\(1974\) 363–384.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01807638)
- [74] N. Chatzifotis, G. Koutsoumbas, and E. Papantonopoulos, "Formation of bound states of scalar fields in AdS-asymptotic wormholes," Phys. Rev. D **104** [no. 2, \(2021\) 024039,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.104.024039) [arXiv:2011.08770 \[gr-qc\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2011.08770).
- [75] N. Chatzifotis, E. Papantonopoulos, and C. Vlachos, "Disformal transition of a black hole to a wormhole in scalar-tensor Horndeski theory," Phys. Rev. D **105** [no. 6, \(2022\) 064025,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.105.064025) [arXiv:2111.08773 \[gr-qc\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2111.08773).
- [76] T. Karakasis, E. Papantonopoulos, and C. Vlachos, "f(R) gravity wormholes sourced by a phantom scalar field," [Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.105.024006) Rev. D **105** [no. 2, \(2022\) 024006,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.105.024006) [arXiv:2107.09713 \[gr-qc\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2107.09713).
- [77] N. Chatzifotis, P. Dorlis, N. E. Mavromatos, and E. Papantonopoulos, "Scalarization of Chern-Simons-Kerr black hole solutions and wormholes," Phys. Rev. D **105** [no. 8, \(2022\) 084051,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.105.084051) [arXiv:2202.03496 \[gr-qc\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2202.03496).
- [78] A. Bakopoulos, N. Chatzifotis, C. Erices, and E. Papantonopoulos, "Stealth Ellis wormholes in Horndeski theories," JCAP **11** [\(2023\) 055,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2023/11/055) [arXiv:2306.16768 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2306.16768).
- [79] A. Simpson and M. Visser, "Black-bounce to traversable wormhole," JCAP **02** [\(2019\) 042,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2019/02/042) [arXiv:1812.07114](http://arxiv.org/abs/1812.07114) [\[gr-qc\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1812.07114).
- [80] J. Mazza, E. Franzin, and S. Liberati, "A novel family of rotating black hole mimickers," JCAP **04** [\(2021\) 082,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2021/04/082) [arXiv:2102.01105 \[gr-qc\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2102.01105).
- [81] K. A. Bronnikov and R. K. Walia, "Field sources for Simpson-Visser spacetimes," Phys. Rev. D **105** [no. 4, \(2022\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.105.044039) [044039,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.105.044039) [arXiv:2112.13198 \[gr-qc\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2112.13198).
- [82] A. Lima, G. Alencar, and D. Sáez-Chillon Gómez, "Regularizing rotating black strings with a new black-bounce solution," Phys. Rev. D **109** [no. 6, \(2024\) 064038,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.109.064038) [arXiv:2307.07404 \[gr-qc\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2307.07404).
- [83] K. A. Bronnikov, "Regular black holes as an alternative to black bounce," [arXiv:2404.14816 \[gr-qc\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2404.14816).
- [84] K. Bronnikov and S.-W. Kim, "Possible wormholes in a brane world," Phys. Rev. D **67** [\(2003\) 064027,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.67.064027) [arXiv:gr-qc/0212112](http://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/0212112).
- [85] D.-C. Dai, D. Minic, and D. Stojkovic, "How to form a wormhole," Eur. Phys. J. C **80** [no. 12, \(2020\) 1103,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-020-08698-x) [arXiv:2010.03947 \[gr-qc\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2010.03947).