

On 2-convex non-orientable surfaces in four-dimensional Euclidean space

Dmitry V. Bolotov

December 30, 2024

We prove that a 2-convex closed surface $S \subset E^4$ in the four-dimensional Euclidean space E^4 , which is either C^2 -smooth or polyhedral, provided that each vertex is incident to at most five edges, admits a mapping of degree one to a two-dimensional torus, where the degree is assumed to be $\pmod 2$ if S is nonorientable. As a corollary, we show that the projective plane and the Klein bottle do not admit such a 2-convex embedding in E^4 .

1. Introduction

Let us recall the following definition (see [4, §16]).

Definition 1.1. A subset C of the Euclidean space E^n is called k -convex if through each point $x \in E^n \setminus C$ there passes a k -dimensional plane π_x that does not intersect C .

Note that for the case $k = n - 1$ we obtain one of the equivalent definitions of usual convexity. If we, moreover, replace E^n by the complex affine space \mathbb{C}^n and take the hyperplane π_x to be complex, we obtain the definition of linear convexity of $C \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ (see [4, Definition 1.6]). Recall, that the concept of linear convexity in two-dimensional complex plane \mathbb{C}^2 was first introduced in [1] and has gained great importance in complex analysis in several variables (see [2], [3]). Topological properties of linearly convex sets and their real analogues are represented in [4].

Clearly, linearly convex sets in \mathbb{C}^n are $(n - 2)$ -convex in $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \simeq \mathbb{R}^n \oplus i\mathbb{R}^n = \mathbb{C}^n$. It is not hard to see that the Clifford torus ($S^1 \times S^1 \subset \mathbb{C}^2$) is a linearly convex subset of the complex affine plane \mathbb{C}^2 (see [4, Example 1.3]), in particular, this gives an example of a 2-convex subset of E^4 .

Yu. Zelinsky asked (see [4, Question 30.5a]): *Is there a 2-convex embedding of a compact K , which is a homological sphere S^2 , in the Euclidean space E^4 ?*

In papers [6], [7] we gave a partial answer to this question and showed that there is no 2-convex embedding $f : S^2 \rightarrow E^4$ which is C^2 or PL -embedding such that the valence of vertices does not exceed five. For simplicity denote such PL embeddings by $PL(5)$.

In the present paper we show that our result obtained for the sphere is actually a corollary of the following topological property of 2-convex closed surfaces, which are either C^2 -smooth or $PL(5)$ embedded in E^4 . Namely, we prove that such a

surface admits a mapping of degree one onto a two-dimensional torus (Theorem 3.1). As a corollary, we show that the projective plane and Klein bottle do not admit C^2 -smooth or $PL(5)$ embedding in E^4 (Corollary 3.5). Note that by the Gauss-Bonnet theorem, the Klein bottle does not admit $PL(5)$ -triangulations.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Degree mod 2 of a mapping Let $f : M \rightarrow N$ be a differentiable mapping between two n -dimensional differentiable closed manifolds.

Definition 2.1 (see [8]). Let $y \in N$ be a regular value, then we define the degree mod 2 of f (or $\deg_2 f$) by

$$\deg_2 f := \#f^{-1}y \pmod{2} \quad (2.1)$$

It can be shown that the degree mod 2 does not depend on the regular value y .

As in the orientable case, using Poincaré duality with coefficients \mathbb{Z}_2 , we have

$$\deg_2 f := f^* \mathbf{u}, \quad (2.2)$$

where \mathbf{u} is the generator of $H^n(N; \mathbb{Z}_2) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $f^* : H^n(N; \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow H^n(M; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is the homomorphism of the cohomology groups induced by f .

Let us recall the cohomology ring structure of the torus T^2 , the projective plane P^2 and the Klein bottle K^2 .

Tab. 2.1: Ring structure

A surface S	T^2	K^2	P^2
Cohomology group $H^1(S; \mathbb{Z}_2)$:	$\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$	\mathbb{Z}_2
generators	\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}	\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}	\mathbf{e}
Cohomology ring $H^*(S; \mathbb{Z}_2)$:	$\mathbb{Z}_2[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]/(\mathbf{a}^2, \mathbf{b}^2)$	$\mathbb{Z}_2[\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}]/(\mathbf{c}^2, \mathbf{d}^3, \mathbf{d}^2 - \mathbf{cd})$	$\mathbb{Z}_2[\mathbf{e}]/\mathbf{e}^3$

Here $\mathbb{Z}_2[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ denotes the polynomial ring over \mathbb{Z}_2 in n variables.

2.2. Construction Recall the construction that underlies the proof of the main result in the case of the sphere (see [6], [7]). Denote by S a closed surface which is C^2 -smooth or $PL(5)$ embedded into the Euclidean space E^4 . Since S is compact, there exists a ball $B^4 \subset E^4$ that contains S . We will decrease the radius of B^4 , until its boundary $S^3 := \partial B^4$ touches S at some point p . Let $T_p S^3$ denotes the 3-dimensional plane in E^4 tangent to S^3 at the point p . As was shown in [6], [7] there exists another 3-dimensional plane Π parallel and sufficiently close to $T_p S^3$, which divides E^4 into closed half-spaces $E_+^4 \supset p$ and E_-^4 such that the intersection $\gamma := \Pi \cap S = S_+ \cap S_-$, where $S_\pm = E_\pm^4 \cap S$, satisfies the properties represented in Table 2.2 below.

Tab. 2.2: Properties of γ .

C^2 - case	PL(5)-case
γ is a connected C^2 -smooth curve, $\gamma \subset \partial L_\gamma$, where L_γ denotes the convex hull of γ	γ is a closed k -segmented polygonal chain, $3 \leq k \leq 5$
$p \in S_+$ and S_+ is homeomorphic to the disk D^2	$S_+ = C\gamma$ is the cone with vertex p and base γ .

These properties are obvious in $PL(5)$ - case. In C^2 - case we can represent the surface S in a small neighborhood of the point p as follows:

$$\begin{cases} x^3 = f(x^1, x^2) \\ x^4 = g(x^1, x^2), \end{cases}$$

where $\{x_i, i = 1, \dots, 4\}$ are the Euclidean coordinates in E^4 such that the point p is the origin and the coordinate frame $\{e_i, i = 1, \dots, 4\}$ has the property that $\{e_1, e_2\}$ defines the basis of the tangent plane $T_p S$ and e_3 is orthogonal to the tangent plane $T_p S^3$, and f is a convex function. Thus, the desired 3-dimensional plane Π can be taken as $\{x^3 = \varepsilon\}$, where ε is chosen such that the curve $f(x^1, x^2) = \varepsilon$ defines a convex closed curve in the 3-dimensional plane $\Pi_0 := \{x^4 = 0\}$.

Observe that $l_x := \pi_x \cap \Pi$ is a line if $x \in L_\gamma \setminus \gamma$ (see Definition 1.1). The basic observation we made for the case of sphere in [6], [7] is transferred one-to-one to the case of an arbitrary closed closed surface at the form of the following theorem.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $S \subset E^4$ be a 2-convex closed surface, which is C^2 or $PL(5)$ - embedded in E^4 . Let Π be a 3-dimensional plane in E^4 satisfying the conditions above (see Table 2.2). Then one of the following possibilities occurs:*

1. *There exist $x \in L_\gamma \setminus \gamma$ and π_x from Definition 1.1, such that $[\gamma]$ is a generator of $\pi_1(\Pi \setminus l_x) \cong \mathbb{Z}^1$.*
2. *There exist $x_1, x_2 \in \text{int } L_\gamma$ and π_{x_1}, π_{x_2} from Definition 1.1, such that:*
 - (a) π_{x_1} and π_{x_2} are in a general position.
 - (b) $l_{x_1} \cap l_{x_2} = \emptyset$;
 - (c) $[\gamma] = [a][b][a]^{-1}[b]^{-1}$, where a, b are the circles of the bouquet $S^1 \vee S^1$ representing the generators of the group $\pi_1(\Pi \setminus (l_{x_1} \cup l_{x_2})) \cong \mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z}$ (see Fig. 2.1).

Sketch of proof for C^2 -case. If γ is flat then item 1 holds obviously. Suppose, γ is not flat, then γ separates ∂L_γ into two connected parts A_i , $i = 1, 2$, homeomorphic to open discs. If there exist a point $x \in \text{int } L_\gamma$ and π_x such that $l_x \cap A_i$, $i \in \{1, 2\}$ is one point, then item 1 holds obviously. Otherwise, $\text{int } L_\gamma = \bigcup_i C_i$, $i = 1, 2$, where C_i is characterized as follows:

¹In fact, such a situation is impossible (see the proof of Theorem 3.1) .




Fig. 2.1: Link

$x_i \in C_i \Leftrightarrow$ There exists a plane π_{x_i} through x_i from Definition 1.1 such that $l_{x_i} \cap A_i \neq \emptyset$ ².

Observe that $C_i \neq \emptyset$. Indeed, let $y_i \in A_i$ be a smooth boundary point, i.e. there exists only one supporting plane T_{y_i} through y_i . Such points are everywhere dense in A_j [5]. If $l_{y_i} \subset T_{y_i}$ we can move the plane π_{y_i} a little bit to get $l_{y_i} \cap \text{int } L_\gamma \neq \emptyset$. On the other hand, obviously, C_i are open subsets in $\text{int } L_\gamma$. From the connectivity of $\text{int } L_\gamma$ it follows that $C_1 \cap C_2 \neq \emptyset$. This means that there exist $x \in \text{int } L_\gamma$ and planes π_i , $i = 1, 2$, through x from Definition 1.1 such that $l_1 \cap l_2 = x$ and $l_i \cap A_i \neq \emptyset$, where $l_i := \pi_i \cap \Pi$. Now, by a small perturbation of the planes π_i to the planes π_{x_i} through some points $x_1, x_2 \in \text{int } L_\gamma$ we can get items 2(a) and 2(b) of Theorem 2.2 with the mutual arrangement of the straight lines l_{x_1}, l_{x_2} and the curve γ as a nontrivial link as shown in Fig. 2.1 a). The diagram of this link can be obtained by the orthogonal projection $p : \Pi \rightarrow \Pi \cap \Pi_0$. Item 2(c) is checked directly.

3. Main result

Theorem 3.1. *If $S \subset E^4$ is a 2-convex closed surface which is either C^2 or $PL(5)$ - embedded into E^4 , then it admits a mapping of degree one to a two-dimensional torus. If S is non-orientable, we assume that the degree is taken modulo 2.*

Proof. Suppose $f : S \rightarrow E^4$ is a 2-convex embedding satisfying the condition of the theorem. Then Theorem 2.2 is satisfied. If item 1 of Theorem 2.2 holds, then we immediately come to a contradiction, since γ bounds $S_+ \simeq D^2$, which

²In this case $l_{x_i} \cap A_i$ consists of two points.

contradicts to $[\gamma] \neq 0$ in $\pi_1(\Pi \setminus l_x)$ and therefore $[\gamma] \neq 0$ in $\pi_1(E^4 \setminus \pi_x)$ since $\Pi \setminus l_x$ is the deformation retract of $E^4 \setminus \pi_x$.

Let us suppose that item 2 of Theorem 2.2 is satisfied. Then from item 2_(a) it follows that $\pi_{x_1} \cap \pi_{x_2}$ is a point, which we denote by O . There are two possible cases.

Case 1. $O \in E_+^4$. In this case we have the deformation retraction

$$r_+ : E_+^4 \setminus (\pi_{x_1} \cup \pi_{x_2}) \rightarrow \Pi \setminus (l_{x_1} \cup l_{x_2}),$$

which is defined as follows:

$$r_+(x) = l_{Ox} \cap \Pi,$$

where l_{Ox} denotes the straight line passing through O and $x \in E_+^4$.

We immediately come to a contradiction, since γ bounds the disk

$$S_+ \subset E_+^4 \setminus (\pi_{x_1} \cup \pi_{x_2})$$

and $[\gamma]$ must be equal to zero in

$$\pi_1(E_+^4 \setminus (\pi_{x_1} \cup \pi_{x_2})) \simeq \pi_1(\Pi \setminus (l_{x_1} \cup l_{x_2}))^3,$$

which contradicts to item 2_(c) of Theorem 2.2.

Case 2. $O \in E_-^4$. In this case, as above, we have the deformation retraction

$$r_- : E_-^4 \setminus (\pi_{x_1} \cup \pi_{x_2}) \rightarrow \Pi \setminus (l_{x_1} \cup l_{x_2})$$

and the homotopically inverse to r_- embedding

$$i_- : \Pi \setminus (l_{x_1} \cup l_{x_2}) \rightarrow E_-^4 \setminus (\pi_{x_1} \cup \pi_{x_2}).$$

Moreover, we have the following homotopy equivalences:

$$h_1 : \Pi \setminus (l_{x_1} \cup l_{x_2}) \xrightarrow{r_1} S^1 \vee S^1 \xrightarrow{i_1} \Pi \setminus (l_{x_1} \cup l_{x_2});$$

$$h_2 : S_- \xrightarrow{r_2} \bigvee_p S_p^1 \xrightarrow{i_2} S_- \text{ (see Remark 3.2),}$$

where $\bigvee_{p=1}^n S_p^1$ is a bouquet of circles generating $\pi_1(S_-)$, and r_k, i_k ($k = 1, 2$) are the deformation retractions and the homotopically inverse embeddings to them.

Remark 3.2. Note that the choice of the bouquet $\bigvee_{p=1}^n S_p^1$ generating $\pi_1(S_-)$ and the deformation retraction $r_2 : S_- \rightarrow \bigvee_{p=1}^n S_p^1$ are ambiguous. Moreover, we can always choose circles S_p^1 such that $\bigcap_{p=1}^n S_p^1 \cap \gamma$ is a single point (the basepoint of S_-), which we denote by o . Fixing the orientations of the circles S_p^1 and cutting along them, we obtain a representation of S_- by a 2n-gon P with a hole bounded by γ and oriented sides that are pairwise identified (see Fig.3.1 in the case where S is either P^2 or K^2). The deformation retraction

$$r_2 : P \setminus \text{int } S_+ \rightarrow \partial P$$

is shown in the Figure 3.1 by the thin arrows. This defines the equality $[\gamma] = [w] \in \pi_1(S_-)$, where $w = r_2(\gamma)$.




Fig. 3.1: Deformation retraction

Remark 3.3. The marking of the sides of polygons in the Fig.3.1 are not accidental, since the sides represent homological classes of the group $H_1(S; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ of the surface S obtained by the gluing of the corresponding sides of the polygons, which are Poincaré dual to the classes of cohomologies in $H^1(S; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ denoted by the same letters in Table 2.1. Note that the modulo 2 indices of intersection of homological classes correspond to the multiplication of cohomology classes with coefficients in \mathbb{Z}_2 .

Let us consider the following composition of the mappings:

$$\psi := r_1 \circ r_- \circ \bar{f} \circ i_2 : \vee_p S_p^1 \rightarrow S^1 \vee S^1,$$

where \bar{f} is defined from the composition:

$$f|_{S_-} : S_- \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} E_-^4 \setminus (\pi_{x_1} \cup \pi_{x_2}) \hookrightarrow E^4.$$

³Recall that deformation retraction induces an isomorphism of fundamental groups.

Taking into account the definition of w and item 2_(c) of Theorem 2.2 we have

$$\psi_*[w] = \psi_* r_{2*}[\gamma] = (r_1 \circ r_-)_* \bar{f}_* i_{2*} r_{2*}[\gamma] = (r_1 \circ r_-)_* \bar{f}_*[\gamma] = [a][b][a]^{-1}[b]^{-1},$$

where $*$ indicates the induced homomorphisms of fundamental groups.

Let us complete the natural cellular decomposition of $S^1 \vee S^1$ to the cellular decomposition of the torus T^2 as shown in Fig. 3.2. Observe, that S has a natural cellular decomposition generated by o , $\vee_p S_p^1 \setminus o$, $\gamma \setminus o$, $\text{int } S_-$, $\text{int } S_+$. We claim that one can extend ψ to the continuous map $\Psi : S \rightarrow T^2$ of degree one.




Fig. 3.2: Cellular decomposition of T^2

One can extend ψ to the map of 1-skeletons $\Psi^{(1)} : S^{(1)} \rightarrow T^{2(1)}$, by means of some diffeomorphism $\Psi^{(1)}|_\gamma : \gamma \rightarrow u$ preserving orientations. By the construction we have

$$(\Psi^{(1)})_*[\gamma]^{-1}[w] = [u]^{-1}[a][b][a]^{-1}[b]^{-1}.$$

Thus, we can extend $\Psi^{(1)}$ to S_- , whose images are in $\bar{\sigma}_1$ (see Fig.3.2) and then to S_+ by some diffeomorphism $\Psi|_{S_+} : S_+ \rightarrow \bar{\sigma}_2$. Smoothing Ψ and leaving them unchanged in the small disk $B \subset \text{int } S_+$, we see that the degree of Ψ is equal to one (see (2.1)).

□

Theorem 3.4. *Neither the projective plane nor Klein bottle admit a continuous mapping onto the torus T^2 of degree one mod 2.*

Proof. Let us suppose that $g : S \rightarrow T^2$ is a mapping of a closed surface S to the torus T^2 and $\deg_2 g = 1$. Then, using the ring structure of $H^*(T^2; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ (see Table 2.1) and (2.2) we have:

$$g^*(\mathbf{a} \cup \mathbf{b}) = g^*\mathbf{a} \cup g^*\mathbf{b} \neq 0. \quad (3.1)$$

Note, that

$$\mathbf{a} \cup \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{b} \cup \mathbf{b} = 0. \quad (3.2)$$

Case 1. $S = P^2$. In this case, it follows from the ring structure of $H^*(P^2; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ (see Table 2.1) and (3.1) that $g^*\mathbf{a} = g^*\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{e}$. But this leads to a contradiction:

$$0 \neq \mathbf{e}^2 = g^*\mathbf{a} \cup g^*\mathbf{a} = g^*(\mathbf{a} \cup \mathbf{a}) \stackrel{(3.2)}{=} 0.$$

Case 2. $S = K^2$. Let \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d} be generators of the group $H^1(K^2; \mathbb{Z}_2) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$ (see Table 2.1). If $g^*\mathbf{a} = g^*\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{c}$, then we obtain the contradiction:

$$0 = \mathbf{c}^2 = g^*\mathbf{a} \cup g^*\mathbf{b} \stackrel{(3.1)}{\neq} 0.$$

Thus, one of two things happens:

- a) $g^*\mathbf{a} \in \{\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{d} + \mathbf{c}\}$;
- b) $g^*\mathbf{b} \in \{\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{d} + \mathbf{c}\}$.

Without loss of generality we can assume that a) is satisfied. Using the ring structure of $H^*(K^2; \mathbb{Z}_2)$, we have:

- $\mathbf{d}^2 \neq 0$;
- $(\mathbf{c} + \mathbf{d}) \cup (\mathbf{c} + \mathbf{d}) = \mathbf{c}^2 + 2\mathbf{c} \cup \mathbf{d} + \mathbf{d}^2 = \mathbf{d}^2 \neq 0$.

But this yields to a contradiction: $0 \neq g^*\mathbf{a} \cup g^*\mathbf{a} = g^*(\mathbf{a} \cup \mathbf{a}) \stackrel{(3.2)}{=} 0$.

□

Theorems 3.1 and 3.4 imply:

Corollary 3.5. *Neither the projective plane nor Klein bottle admit a C^2 -smooth or $PL(5)$ embedding in four-dimensional Euclidean space as a 2-convex surface.*

4. Final remarks

Finally, we note that the question under consideration for non-orientable surfaces M_μ^2 , $\mu \geq 3$, where μ denotes the number of Möbius bands glued into the sphere S^2 , remains open. Indeed, any such surface is homeomorphic to the torus T^2 with $\mu - 2$ Möbius bands glued into it. The mapping $g : M_\mu^2 \rightarrow T^2$, contracting each such Möbius band to a point, has $\deg_2 g = 1$ (see Fig. 4.1) and Theorem 3.4 is wrong for $S = M_\mu^2$, $\mu \geq 3$.




Fig. 4.1: Degree one mod 2 map of M_3^2 to the torus T^2 .

References

- [1] H. Behnke, E. Peschl, *Zur Theorie der Funktionen mehrerer komplexer Veränderlichen Konvexität in bezug auf analytische Ebenen im kleinen und großen*. Math. Ann.**111** (1935), No.2, 158-177.
- [2] A. Martineau , *Sur la topologie des espaces de fonctions holomorphes*. Math. Ann.**163** (1966), No. 1, 62-88.
- [3] L. A. Aisenberg, *Linear convexity in \mathbb{C}^n and the separation of singularities of holomorphic functions*[in Russian]. Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci.**15** (1967), No. 7, 487-495.
- [4] Yu. B. Zelinsky, *Convexity. Selected chapters* [in Russian]. Proceedings of the Institute of Mathematics of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine. Kiev **92** (2012).
- [5] K. Leichtweiss, *Convex sets*[in Russian]. Nauka. Moscow (1985).
- [6] D. V. Bolotov, *On embeddings S^2 v E^4* [in Russian]. Dopovidi NAN Ukrayiny,**11** (2013), 19-22.
- [7] D. V. Bolotov, *On PL embeddings of a 2-sphere in the 4-dimensional Euclidean space*. Siberian Electronic Mathematical Reports,**18** (2021), No. 2, 867-883.
- [8] John W. Milnor, *Topology from the differentiable viewpoint*. The University Press of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia (1969).